


CATHERINE E. HOUSECROFT AND ALAN G. SHARPE

# INORGANIC CHEMISTRY

SECOND EDITION

PEARSON  
Prentice  
Hall




# Periodic table

|                           |                           | Atomic number, Z                     |                          |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                            |                           |                           |                            |                            |                           |                           |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                           |                           | Element symbol                       |                          |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                            |                           |                           |                            |                            |                           |                           |
|                           |                           | Relative atomic mass, A <sub>r</sub> |                          |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                            |                           |                           |                            |                            |                           |                           |
| 1                         | 2                         | 3                                    | 4                        | 5                         | 6                         | 7                         | 8                         | 9                         | 10                        | 11                        | 12                        | 13                         | 14                        | 15                        | 16                         | 17                         | 18                        |                           |
| 1<br><b>H</b><br>1.008    | 2<br><b>He</b><br>4.00    | 3<br><b>Li</b><br>6.94               | 4<br><b>Be</b><br>9.01   | 5<br><b>B</b><br>10.81    | 6<br><b>C</b><br>12.01    | 7<br><b>N</b><br>14.01    | 8<br><b>O</b><br>16.00    | 9<br><b>F</b><br>19.00    | 10<br><b>Ne</b><br>20.18  | 11<br><b>Na</b><br>22.99  | 12<br><b>Mg</b><br>24.31  | 13<br><b>Al</b><br>26.98   | 14<br><b>Si</b><br>28.09  | 15<br><b>P</b><br>30.97   | 16<br><b>S</b><br>32.06    | 17<br><b>Cl</b><br>35.45   | 18<br><b>Ar</b><br>39.95  |                           |
| 19<br><b>K</b><br>39.10   | 20<br><b>Ca</b><br>40.08  | 21<br><b>Sc</b><br>44.96             | 22<br><b>Ti</b><br>47.90 | 23<br><b>V</b><br>50.94   | 24<br><b>Cr</b><br>52.01  | 25<br><b>Mn</b><br>54.94  | 26<br><b>Fe</b><br>55.85  | 27<br><b>Co</b><br>58.93  | 28<br><b>Ni</b><br>58.69  | 29<br><b>Cu</b><br>63.54  | 30<br><b>Zn</b><br>65.41  | 31<br><b>Ga</b><br>69.72   | 32<br><b>Ge</b><br>72.59  | 33<br><b>As</b><br>74.92  | 34<br><b>Se</b><br>78.96   | 35<br><b>Br</b><br>79.91   | 36<br><b>Kr</b><br>83.80  |                           |
| 37<br><b>Rb</b><br>85.47  | 38<br><b>Sr</b><br>87.62  | 39<br><b>Y</b><br>88.91              | 40<br><b>Zr</b><br>91.22 | 41<br><b>Nb</b><br>92.91  | 42<br><b>Mo</b><br>95.94  | 43<br><b>Tc</b><br>98.91  | 44<br><b>Ru</b><br>101.07 | 45<br><b>Rh</b><br>102.91 | 46<br><b>Pd</b><br>106.42 | 47<br><b>Ag</b><br>107.87 | 48<br><b>Cd</b><br>112.40 | 49<br><b>In</b><br>114.82  | 50<br><b>Sn</b><br>118.71 | 51<br><b>Sb</b><br>121.75 | 52<br><b>Te</b><br>127.60  | 53<br><b>I</b><br>126.90   | 54<br><b>Xe</b><br>131.30 |                           |
| 55<br><b>Cs</b><br>132.91 | 56<br><b>Ba</b><br>137.34 | <b>La-Lu</b>                         |                          | 72<br><b>Hf</b><br>178.49 | 73<br><b>Ta</b><br>180.95 | 74<br><b>W</b><br>183.85  | 75<br><b>Re</b><br>186.21 | 76<br><b>Os</b><br>190.23 | 77<br><b>Ir</b><br>192.22 | 78<br><b>Pt</b><br>195.08 | 79<br><b>Au</b><br>196.97 | 80<br><b>Hg</b><br>200.59  | 81<br><b>Tl</b><br>204.37 | 82<br><b>Pb</b><br>207.19 | 83<br><b>Bi</b><br>208.98  | 84<br><b>Po</b><br>210     | 85<br><b>At</b><br>210    | 86<br><b>Rn</b><br>222    |
| 87<br><b>Fr</b><br>223    | 88<br><b>Ra</b><br>226.03 | <b>Ac-Lr</b>                         |                          | 104<br><b>Rf</b><br>[261] | 105<br><b>Db</b><br>[262] | 106<br><b>Sg</b><br>[266] | 107<br><b>Bh</b><br>[264] | 108<br><b>Hs</b><br>[277] | 109<br><b>Mt</b><br>[268] | 110<br><b>Ds</b><br>[271] | 111<br><b>Rg</b><br>[272] | 112<br><b>Uub</b><br>[285] |                           |                           |                            |                            |                           |                           |
|                           |                           | <b>Lanthanoids</b>                   |                          | 57<br><b>La</b><br>138.91 | 58<br><b>Ce</b><br>140.12 | 59<br><b>Pr</b><br>140.91 | 60<br><b>Nd</b><br>144.24 | 61<br><b>Pm</b><br>146.92 | 62<br><b>Sm</b><br>150.35 | 63<br><b>Eu</b><br>151.96 | 64<br><b>Gd</b><br>157.25 | 65<br><b>Tb</b><br>158.92  | 66<br><b>Dy</b><br>162.50 | 67<br><b>Ho</b><br>164.93 | 68<br><b>Er</b><br>167.26  | 69<br><b>Tm</b><br>168.93  | 70<br><b>Yb</b><br>173.04 | 71<br><b>Lu</b><br>174.97 |
|                           |                           | <b>Actinoids</b>                     |                          | 89<br><b>Ac</b><br>227.03 | 90<br><b>Th</b><br>232.04 | 91<br><b>Pa</b><br>231.04 | 92<br><b>U</b><br>238.03  | 93<br><b>Np</b><br>237.05 | 94<br><b>Pu</b><br>239.05 | 95<br><b>Am</b><br>241.06 | 96<br><b>Cm</b><br>244.07 | 97<br><b>Bk</b><br>249.08  | 98<br><b>Cf</b><br>252.08 | 99<br><b>Es</b><br>252.09 | 100<br><b>Fm</b><br>257.10 | 101<br><b>Md</b><br>258.10 | 102<br><b>No</b><br>259   | 103<br><b>Lr</b><br>262   |

Visit the *Inorganic Chemistry, second edition* Companion Website at [www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft](http://www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft) to find valuable **student** learning material including:

- Multiple choice questions to help test your learning
- Web-based problems for Chapter 3
- Rotatable 3D structures taken from the book
- Interactive Periodic Table



The screenshot shows a web browser window titled "Inorganic Chemistry, second edition - Microsoft Internet Explorer". The address bar displays "http://www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft". The page header includes the Pearson Education logo and the text "INORGANIC CHEMISTRY SECOND EDITION • CATHERINE E. HOUSECROFT • ALAN G. SHARPE". The main content area features a "Welcome" message and a navigation menu with options: Home, Select Resource, and Welcome. A central graphic shows the book cover for "INORGANIC CHEMISTRY" with a 3D molecular model. Below the graphic, there is a "Welcome to the Companion Website for Inorganic Chemistry, second edition." message. The page provides instructions on how to navigate and lists three resource categories: "About the Book", "Student Resources", and "Lecturer Resources", each with a brief description of the content available.

Welcome to the Companion Website for Inorganic Chemistry, second edition.

Select the sections below, or use the drop-down menu above to navigate through the website. Use the 'Syllabus Manager' button within the sections to create and post your personalised online syllabus.

[About the Book](#)  
This section contains information on what you will find on this website, along with specific details about the book and its authors.


[Student Resources](#)  
A multitude of helpful resources to further increase your knowledge. Each chapter contains multiple choice questions with online grading to test your knowledge, and rotatable structures taken from the book. Extra resources include links to interactive Periodic Tables from both the Royal Society of Chemistry (ChemSoc), the Chemistry Societies Network, and Mark Winter's Web Elements, and there are also graphics for use with web-based problems located in Chapter 3.

[Lecturer Resources](#)  
Click here for useful resources that can save you time and help to enhance your students' learning experience. All of these resources are open access and include a guide for lecturers, rotatable structures from the book, figures from the book in PowerPoint (coming soon) and details on how to order a solutions manual (available in hard copy only).

CATHERINE E. HOUSECROFT AND ALAN G. SHARPE

# INORGANIC CHEMISTRY

SECOND  
EDITION



PEARSON  
Prentice  
Hall

Harlow, England • London • New York • Boston • San Francisco • Toronto • Sydney • Singapore • Hong Kong  
Tokyo • Seoul • Taipei • New Delhi • Cape Town • Madrid • Mexico City • Amsterdam • Munich • Paris • Milan

**Pearson Education Limited**

Edinburgh Gate  
Harlow  
Essex CM20 2JE  
England

and Associated Companies throughout the world

*Visit us on the World Wide Web at:*  
[www.pearsoned.co.uk](http://www.pearsoned.co.uk)

First edition 2001  
**Second edition 2005**

© Pearson Education Limited 2001, 2005

The rights of Catherine E. Housecroft and Alan G. Sharpe to be identified as the authors of this Work have been asserted by them in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying in the United Kingdom issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1T 4LP.

All trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners. The use of any trademark in this text does not vest in the author or publisher any trademark ownership rights in such trademarks, nor does the use of such trademarks imply any affiliation with or endorsement of this book by such owners.

ISBN 0130-39913-2

*British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data*

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2  
09 08 07 06 05

Typeset in  $9\frac{1}{2}$ /12 pt Times by 60  
Printed by Ashford Colour Press Ltd., Gosport

# Contents

Preface to the second edition

xxxi

Preface to the first edition

xxiii

## 1 Some basic concepts 1

### 1.1 Introduction 1

Inorganic chemistry: it is not an isolated branch of chemistry 1

The aims of Chapter 1 1

### 1.2 Fundamental particles of an atom 1

### 1.3 Atomic number, mass number and isotopes 2

Nuclides, atomic number and mass number 2

Relative atomic mass 2

Isotopes 2

### 1.4 Successes in early quantum theory 3

Some important successes of classical quantum theory 4

Bohr's theory of the atomic spectrum of hydrogen 5

### 1.5 An introduction to wave mechanics 6

The wave-nature of electrons 6

The uncertainty principle 6

The Schrödinger wave equation 6

### 1.6 Atomic orbitals 9

The quantum numbers  $n$ ,  $l$  and  $m_l$  9

The radial part of the wavefunction,  $R(r)$  10

The radial distribution function,  $4\pi r^2 R(r)^2$  11

The angular part of the wavefunction,  $A(\theta, \phi)$  12

Orbital energies in a hydrogen-like species 13

Size of orbitals 13

The spin quantum number and the magnetic spin quantum number 15

The ground state of the hydrogen atom 16

### 1.7 Many-electron atoms 16

The helium atom: two electrons 16

Ground state electronic configurations: experimental data 16

Penetration and shielding 17

### 1.8 The periodic table 17

|             |   |           |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| <b>1.9</b>  | <b>The <i>aufbau</i> principle</b>  | <b>21</b> |
|             | Ground state electronic configurations  | 21        |
|             | Valence and core electrons  | 22        |
|             | Diagrammatic representations of electronic configurations                                 | 22        |
| <b>1.10</b> | <b>Ionization energies and electron affinities</b>  | <b>23</b> |
|             | Ionization energies   | 23        |
|             | Electron affinities   | 25        |
| <b>1.11</b> | <b>Bonding models: an introduction</b>  | <b>26</b> |
|             | A historical overview   | 26        |
|             | Lewis structures  | 26        |
| <b>1.12</b> | <b>Homonuclear diatomic molecules: valence bond (VB) theory</b>                           | <b>27</b> |
|             | Uses of the term <i>homonuclear</i>   | 27        |
|             | Covalent bond distance, covalent radius and van der Waals radius                          | 27        |
|             | The valence bond (VB) model of bonding in H <sub>2</sub>                                  | 27        |
|             | The valence bond (VB) model applied to F <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>2</sub> and N <sub>2</sub> | 28        |
| <b>1.13</b> | <b>Homonuclear diatomic molecules: molecular orbital (MO) theory</b>                      | <b>29</b> |
|             | An overview of the MO model   | 29        |
|             | Molecular orbital theory applied to the bonding in H <sub>2</sub>                         | 29        |
|             | The bonding in He <sub>2</sub> , Li <sub>2</sub> and Be <sub>2</sub>                      | 31        |
|             | The bonding in F <sub>2</sub> and O <sub>2</sub>  | 32        |
|             | What happens if the <i>s-p</i> separation is small?                                       | 33        |
| <b>1.14</b> | <b>The octet rule</b>   | <b>36</b> |
| <b>1.15</b> | <b>Electronegativity values</b>   | <b>36</b> |
|             | Pauling electronegativity values, $\chi^{\text{P}}$                                       | 37        |
|             | Mulliken electronegativity values, $\chi^{\text{M}}$                                      | 37        |
|             | Allred–Rochow electronegativity values, $\chi^{\text{AR}}$                                | 38        |
|             | Electronegativity: final remarks  | 38        |
| <b>1.16</b> | <b>Dipole moments</b>   | <b>39</b> |
|             | Polar diatomic molecules  | 39        |
|             | Molecular dipole moments  | 40        |
| <b>1.17</b> | <b>MO theory: heteronuclear diatomic molecules</b>  | <b>41</b> |
|             | Which orbital interactions should be considered?  | 41        |
|             | Hydrogen fluoride   | 42        |
|             | Carbon monoxide   | 42        |
| <b>1.18</b> | <b>Isoelectronic molecules</b>  | <b>43</b> |
| <b>1.19</b> | <b>Molecular shape and the VSEPR model</b>  | <b>43</b> |
|             | Valence-shell electron-pair repulsion theory  | 43        |
|             | Structures derived from a trigonal bipyramid  | 47        |
|             | Limitations of VSEPR theory   | 48        |
| <b>1.20</b> | <b>Molecular shape: geometrical isomerism</b>   | <b>48</b> |
|             | Square planar species   | 48        |
|             | Octahedral species  | 48        |
|             | Trigonal bipyramidal species  | 49        |
|             | High coordination numbers   | 49        |
|             | Double bonds  | 49        |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>2</b> | <b>Nuclear properties</b>   | <b>53</b> |
| 2.1      | Introduction  | 53        |
| 2.2      | Nuclear binding energy  | 53        |
|          | Mass defect and binding energy  | 53        |
|          | The average binding energy per nucleon                                | 54        |
| 2.3      | Radioactivity   | 55        |
|          | Nuclear emissions   | 55        |
|          | Nuclear transformations   | 55        |
|          | The kinetics of radioactive decay                                     | 56        |
|          | Units of radioactivity  | 57        |
| 2.4      | Artificial isotopes   | 57        |
|          | Bombardment of nuclei by high-energy $\alpha$ -particles and neutrons | 57        |
|          | Bombardment of nuclei by 'slow' neutrons                              | 57        |
| 2.5      | Nuclear fission   | 58        |
|          | The fission of uranium-235  | 58        |
|          | The production of energy by nuclear fission                           | 60        |
|          | Nuclear reprocessing  | 61        |
| 2.6      | Syntheses of transuranium elements                                    | 61        |
| 2.7      | The separation of radioactive isotopes                                | 62        |
|          | Chemical separation   | 62        |
|          | The Szilard–Chalmers effect   | 62        |
| 2.8      | Nuclear fusion  | 62        |
| 2.9      | Applications of isotopes  | 63        |
|          | Infrared (IR) spectroscopy  | 63        |
|          | Kinetic isotope effects   | 64        |
|          | Radiocarbon dating  | 64        |
|          | Analytical applications   | 65        |
| 2.10     | Sources of $^2\text{H}$ and $^{13}\text{C}$                           | 65        |
|          | Deuterium: electrolytic separation of isotopes                        | 65        |
|          | Carbon-13: chemical enrichment  | 65        |
| 2.11     | Multinuclear NMR spectroscopy in inorganic chemistry                  | 67        |
|          | Which nuclei are suitable for NMR spectroscopic studies?              | 68        |
|          | Chemical shift ranges   | 68        |
|          | Spin–spin coupling  | 69        |
|          | Stereochemically non-rigid species                                    | 72        |
|          | Exchange processes in solution  | 73        |
| 2.12     | Mössbauer spectroscopy in inorganic chemistry                         | 73        |
|          | The technique of Mössbauer spectroscopy                               | 73        |
|          | What can isomer shift data tell us?                                   | 75        |

|  |   |            |
|--|---|------------|
| <b>3 An introduction to molecular symmetry</b> |   | <b>79</b>  |
| <b>3.1</b>                                     | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>79</b>  |
| <b>3.2</b>                                     | <b>Symmetry operations and symmetry elements</b>  | <b>79</b>  |
|  | Rotation about an $n$ -fold axis of symmetry  | 80         |
|  | Reflection through a plane of symmetry (mirror plane)   | 80         |
|  | Reflection through a centre of symmetry (inversion centre)  | 82         |
|  | Rotation about an axis, followed by reflection through a plane perpendicular to this axis                 | 82         |
|  | Identity operator   | 82         |
| <b>3.3</b>                                     | <b>Successive operations</b>  | <b>84</b>  |
| <b>3.4</b>                                     | <b>Point groups</b>   | <b>85</b>  |
|  | $C_1$ point group   | 85         |
|  | $C_{\infty v}$ point group  | 85         |
|  | $D_{\infty h}$ point group  | 85         |
|  | $T_d$ , $O_h$ or $I_h$ point groups   | 86         |
|  | Determining the point group of a molecule or molecular ion  | 86         |
| <b>3.5</b>                                     | <b>Character tables: an introduction</b>  | <b>89</b>  |
| <b>3.6</b>                                     | <b>Why do we need to recognize symmetry elements?</b>   | <b>90</b>  |
| <b>3.7</b>                                     | <b>Infrared spectroscopy</b>  | <b>90</b>  |
|  | How many vibrational modes are there for a given molecular species?                                       | 90         |
|  | Selection rule for an infrared active mode of vibration   | 91         |
|  | Linear ( $D_{\infty h}$ or $C_{\infty v}$ ) and bent ( $C_{2v}$ ) triatomic molecules                     | 92         |
|  | $XY_3$ molecules with $D_{3h}$ or $C_{3v}$ symmetry   | 92         |
|  | $XY_4$ molecules with $T_d$ or $D_{4h}$ symmetry  | 93         |
|  | Observing IR spectroscopic absorptions: practical problems  | 94         |
| <b>3.8</b>                                     | <b>Chiral molecules</b>   | <b>95</b>  |
| <b>4 Bonding in polyatomic molecules</b>       |   | <b>100</b> |
| <b>4.1</b>                                     | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>100</b> |
| <b>4.2</b>                                     | <b>Valence bond theory: hybridization of atomic orbitals</b>  | <b>100</b> |
|  | What is orbital hybridization?  | 100        |
|  | $sp$ Hybridization: a scheme for linear species   | 101        |
|  | $sp^2$ Hybridization: a scheme for trigonal planar species  | 102        |
|  | $sp^3$ Hybridization: a scheme for tetrahedral and related species  | 103        |
|  | Other hybridization schemes   | 104        |
| <b>4.3</b>                                     | <b>Valence bond theory: multiple bonding in polyatomic molecules</b>                                      | <b>105</b> |
|  | $C_2H_4$  | 105        |
|  | HCN   | 105        |
|  | $BF_3$  | 106        |
| <b>4.4</b>                                     | <b>Molecular orbital theory: the ligand group orbital approach and application to triatomic molecules</b> | <b>107</b> |
|  | Molecular orbital diagrams: moving from a diatomic to polyatomic species                                  | 107        |

|            |   |            |
|------------|---|------------|
|            | MO approach to the bonding in linear $\text{XH}_2$ : symmetry matching by inspection  | 107        |
|            | MO approach to bonding in linear $\text{XH}_2$ : working from molecular symmetry  | 109        |
|            | A bent triatomic: $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  | 109        |
| <b>4.5</b> | <b>Molecular orbital theory applied to the polyatomic molecules <math>\text{BH}_3</math>, <math>\text{NH}_3</math> and <math>\text{CH}_4</math></b> | <b>112</b> |
|            | $\text{BH}_3$   | 112        |
|            | $\text{NH}_3$   | 113        |
|            | $\text{CH}_4$   | 115        |
|            | A comparison of the MO and VB bonding models  | 116        |
| <b>4.6</b> | <b>Molecular orbital theory: bonding analyses soon become complicated</b>   | <b>117</b> |
| <b>4.7</b> | <b>Molecular orbital theory: learning to use the theory objectively</b>   | <b>119</b> |
|            | $\pi$ -Bonding in $\text{CO}_2$   | 119        |
|            | $[\text{NO}_3]^-$   | 120        |
|            | $\text{SF}_6$   | 120        |
|            | Three-centre two-electron interactions  | 123        |
|            | A more advanced problem: $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$   | 124        |

## **5 Structures and energetics of metallic and ionic solids 131**

|            |   |            |
|------------|---|------------|
| <b>5.1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>131</b> |
| <b>5.2</b> | <b>Packing of spheres</b>   | <b>131</b> |
|            | Cubic and hexagonal close-packing   | 131        |
|            | The unit cell: hexagonal and cubic close-packing                          | 132        |
|            | Interstitial holes: hexagonal and cubic close-packing                     | 133        |
|            | Non-close-packing: simple cubic and body-centred cubic arrays             | 134        |
| <b>5.3</b> | <b>The packing-of-spheres model applied to the structures of elements</b> | <b>134</b> |
|            | Group 18 elements in the solid state                                      | 134        |
|            | $\text{H}_2$ and $\text{F}_2$ in the solid state                          | 134        |
|            | Metallic elements in the solid state                                      | 134        |
| <b>5.4</b> | <b>Polymorphism in metals</b>   | <b>136</b> |
|            | Polymorphism: phase changes in the solid state                            | 136        |
|            | Phase diagrams  | 136        |
| <b>5.5</b> | <b>Metallic radii</b>   | <b>136</b> |
| <b>5.6</b> | <b>Melting points and standard enthalpies of atomization of metals</b>    | <b>137</b> |
| <b>5.7</b> | <b>Alloys and intermetallic compounds</b>                                 | <b>139</b> |
|            | Substitutional alloys   | 139        |
|            | Interstitial alloys   | 139        |
|            | Intermetallic compounds   | 140        |
| <b>5.8</b> | <b>Bonding in metals and semiconductors</b>                               | <b>141</b> |
|            | Electrical conductivity and resistivity                                   | 141        |
|            | Band theory of metals and insulators                                      | 141        |
|            | The Fermi level   | 142        |
|            | Band theory of semiconductors   | 143        |

|  |   |            |
|--|---|------------|
| <b>5.9</b>   | <b>Semiconductors</b>   | <b>143</b> |
|  | Intrinsic semiconductors  | 143        |
|  | Extrinsic (n- and p-type) semiconductors                              | 143        |
| <b>5.10</b>  | <b>Sizes of ions</b>  | <b>144</b> |
|  | Ionic radii   | 144        |
|  | Periodic trends in ionic radii  | 145        |
| <b>5.11</b>  | <b>Ionic lattices</b>   | <b>146</b> |
|  | The rock salt (NaCl) lattice  | 148        |
|  | The caesium chloride (CsCl) lattice                                   | 149        |
|  | The fluorite (CaF <sub>2</sub> ) lattice                              | 149        |
|  | The antiferite lattice  | 149        |
|  | The zinc blende (ZnS) lattice: a diamond-type network                 | 149        |
|  | The $\beta$ -cristobalite (SiO <sub>2</sub> ) lattice                 | 150        |
|  | The wurtzite (ZnS) structure  | 151        |
|  | The rutile (TiO <sub>2</sub> ) structure                              | 151        |
|  | The CdI <sub>2</sub> and CdCl <sub>2</sub> lattices: layer structures | 151        |
|  | The perovskite (CaTiO <sub>3</sub> ) lattice: a double oxide          | 152        |
| <b>5.12</b>  | <b>Crystal structures of semiconductors</b>                           | <b>152</b> |
| <b>5.13</b>  | <b>Lattice energy: estimates from an electrostatic model</b>          | <b>152</b> |
|  | Coulombic attraction within an isolated ion-pair                      | 152        |
|  | Coulombic interactions in an ionic lattice                            | 153        |
|  | Born forces   | 153        |
|  | The Born–Landé equation   | 154        |
|  | Madelung constants  | 154        |
|  | Refinements to the Born–Landé equation                                | 155        |
|  | Overview  | 155        |
| <b>5.14</b>  | <b>Lattice energy: the Born–Haber cycle</b>                           | <b>155</b> |
| <b>5.15</b>  | <b>Lattice energy: ‘calculated’ versus ‘experimental’ values</b>      | <b>156</b> |
| <b>5.16</b>  | <b>Applications of lattice energies</b>                               | <b>157</b> |
|  | Estimation of electron affinities                                     | 157        |
|  | Fluoride affinities   | 157        |
|  | Estimation of standard enthalpies of formation and disproportionation | 157        |
|  | The Kapustinskii equation   | 158        |
| <b>5.17</b>  | <b>Defects in solid state lattices: an introduction</b>               | <b>158</b> |
|  | Schottky defect   | 158        |
|  | Frenkel defect  | 158        |
|  | Experimental observation of Schottky and Frenkel defects              | 159        |
| <b>6 Acids, bases and ions in aqueous solution</b> |   | <b>162</b> |
| <b>6.1</b>   | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>162</b> |
| <b>6.2</b>   | <b>Properties of water</b>  | <b>162</b> |
|  | Structure and hydrogen bonding  | 162        |
|  | The self-ionization of water  | 163        |
|  | Water as a Brønsted acid or base                                      | 163        |

|             |   |            |
|-------------|---|------------|
| <b>6.3</b>  | <b>Definitions and units in aqueous solution</b>  | <b>165</b> |
|             | Molarity and molality   | 165        |
|             | Standard state  | 165        |
|             | Activity  | 165        |
| <b>6.4</b>  | <b>Some Brønsted acids and bases</b>  | <b>166</b> |
|             | Carboxylic acids: examples of mono-, di- and polybasic acids                              | 166        |
|             | Inorganic acids   | 167        |
|             | Inorganic bases: hydroxides   | 167        |
|             | Inorganic bases: nitrogen bases   | 168        |
| <b>6.5</b>  | <b>The energetics of acid dissociation in aqueous solution</b>                            | <b>169</b> |
|             | Hydrogen halides  | 169        |
|             | H <sub>2</sub> S, H <sub>2</sub> Se and H <sub>2</sub> Te                                 | 170        |
| <b>6.6</b>  | <b>Trends within a series of oxoacids EO<sub>n</sub>(OH)<sub>m</sub></b>                  | <b>170</b> |
| <b>6.7</b>  | <b>Aquated cations: formation and acidic properties</b>                                   | <b>171</b> |
|             | Water as a Lewis base   | 171        |
|             | Aquated cations as Brønsted acids   | 172        |
| <b>6.8</b>  | <b>Amphoteric oxides and hydroxides</b>   | <b>173</b> |
|             | Amphoteric behaviour  | 173        |
|             | Periodic trends in amphoteric properties  | 173        |
| <b>6.9</b>  | <b>Solubilities of ionic salts</b>  | <b>174</b> |
|             | Solubility and saturated solutions  | 174        |
|             | Sparingly soluble salts and solubility products   | 174        |
|             | The energetics of the dissolution of an ionic salt: $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ$          | 175        |
|             | The energetics of the dissolution of an ionic salt: hydration of ions                     | 176        |
|             | Solubilities: some concluding remarks   | 177        |
| <b>6.10</b> | <b>Common-ion effect</b>  | <b>178</b> |
| <b>6.11</b> | <b>Coordination complexes: an introduction</b>  | <b>178</b> |
|             | Definitions and terminology   | 178        |
|             | Investigating coordination complex formation  | 179        |
| <b>6.12</b> | <b>Stability constants of coordination complexes</b>                                      | <b>180</b> |
|             | Determination of stability constants  | 182        |
|             | Trends in stepwise stability constants  | 182        |
|             | Thermodynamic considerations of complex formation: an introduction                        | 182        |
| <b>6.13</b> | <b>Factors affecting the stabilities of complexes containing only monodentate ligands</b> | <b>186</b> |
|             | Ionic size and charge   | 186        |
|             | Hard and soft metal centres and ligands   | 187        |

## 7 Reduction and oxidation

192

|            |                         |            |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| <b>7.1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>     | <b>192</b> |
|            | Oxidation and reduction | 192        |
|            | Oxidation states        | 192        |
|            | Stock nomenclature      | 193        |

|                            |   |            |
|----------------------------|---|------------|
| 7.2                        | Standard reduction potentials, $E^\circ$ , and relationships between $E^\circ$ , $\Delta G^\circ$ and $K$ | 193        |
|                            | Half-cells and galvanic cells   | 193        |
|                            | Defining and using standard reduction potentials, $E^\circ$   | 195        |
|                            | Dependence of reduction potentials on cell conditions   | 197        |
| 7.3                        | The effect of complex formation or precipitation on $M^{Z+}/M$ reduction potentials                       | 199        |
|                            | Half-cells involving silver halides   | 199        |
|                            | Modifying the relative stabilities of different oxidation states of a metal                               | 200        |
| 7.4                        | Disproportionation reactions  | 203        |
|                            | Disproportionation  | 203        |
|                            | Stabilizing species against disproportionation  | 203        |
| 7.5                        | Potential diagrams  | 203        |
| 7.6                        | Frost–Ebsworth diagrams   | 205        |
|                            | Frost–Ebsworth diagrams and their relationship to potential diagrams                                      | 205        |
|                            | Interpretation of Frost–Ebsworth diagrams   | 206        |
| 7.7                        | The relationships between standard reduction potentials and some other quantities                         | 208        |
|                            | Factors influencing the magnitudes of standard reduction potentials                                       | 208        |
|                            | Values of $\Delta_f G^\circ$ for aqueous ions   | 209        |
| 7.8                        | Applications of redox reactions to the extraction of elements from their ores                             | 210        |
|                            | Ellingham diagrams  | 210        |
| <b>8 Non-aqueous media</b> |   | <b>214</b> |
| 8.1                        | Introduction  | 214        |
| 8.2                        | Relative permittivity   | 214        |
| 8.3                        | Energetics of ionic salt transfer from water to an organic solvent  | 215        |
| 8.4                        | Acid–base behaviour in non-aqueous solvents   | 216        |
|                            | Strengths of acids and bases  | 216        |
|                            | Levelling and differentiating effects   | 217        |
|                            | ‘Acids’ in acidic solvents  | 217        |
|                            | Acids and bases: a solvent-oriented definition  | 217        |
| 8.5                        | Self-ionizing and non-ionizing non-aqueous solvents   | 217        |
| 8.6                        | Liquid ammonia  | 218        |
|                            | Physical properties   | 218        |
|                            | Self-ionization   | 218        |
|                            | Reactions in liquid $\text{NH}_3$   | 218        |
|                            | Solutions of <i>s</i> -block metals in liquid $\text{NH}_3$   | 219        |
|                            | Redox reactions in liquid $\text{NH}_3$   | 221        |

|             |   |            |
|-------------|---|------------|
| <b>8.7</b>  | <b>Liquid hydrogen fluoride</b>   | <b>221</b> |
|             | Physical properties   | 221        |
|             | Acid–base behaviour in liquid HF  | 221        |
|             | Electrolysis in liquid HF   | 222        |
| <b>8.8</b>  | <b>Sulfuric acid</b>  | <b>222</b> |
|             | Physical properties   | 222        |
|             | Acid–base behaviour in liquid H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>            | 223        |
| <b>8.9</b>  | <b>Fluorosulfonic acid</b>  | <b>223</b> |
|             | Physical properties   | 223        |
|             | Superacids  | 224        |
| <b>8.10</b> | <b>Bromine trifluoride</b>  | <b>224</b> |
|             | Physical properties   | 224        |
|             | Behaviour of fluoride salts and molecular fluorides in BrF <sub>3</sub> | 225        |
|             | Reactions in BrF <sub>3</sub>   | 225        |
| <b>8.11</b> | <b>Dinitrogen tetroxide</b>   | <b>225</b> |
|             | Physical properties   | 225        |
|             | Reactions in N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>                              | 226        |
| <b>8.12</b> | <b>Ionic liquids</b>  | <b>227</b> |
|             | Molten salt solvent systems   | 227        |
|             | Ionic liquids at ambient temperatures                                   | 227        |
|             | Reactions in and applications of molten salt/ionic liquid media         | 229        |
| <b>8.13</b> | <b>Supercritical fluids</b>   | <b>230</b> |
|             | Properties of supercritical fluids and their uses as solvents           | 230        |
|             | Supercritical fluids as media for inorganic chemistry                   | 232        |

## **9 Hydrogen 236**

|            |   |            |
|------------|---|------------|
| <b>9.1</b> | <b>Hydrogen: the simplest atom</b>  | <b>236</b> |
| <b>9.2</b> | <b>The H<sup>+</sup> and H<sup>-</sup> ions</b>   | <b>236</b> |
|            | The hydrogen ion (proton)   | 236        |
|            | The hydride ion   | 237        |
| <b>9.3</b> | <b>Isotopes of hydrogen</b>   | <b>237</b> |
|            | Protium and deuterium   | 237        |
|            | Deuterated compounds  | 237        |
|            | Tritium   | 238        |
| <b>9.4</b> | <b>Dihydrogen</b>   | <b>238</b> |
|            | Occurrence  | 238        |
|            | Physical properties   | 238        |
|            | Synthesis and uses  | 238        |
|            | Reactivity  | 242        |
| <b>9.5</b> | <b>Polar and non-polar E–H bonds</b>  | <b>244</b> |
| <b>9.6</b> | <b>Hydrogen bonding</b>   | <b>244</b> |
|            | The hydrogen bond   | 244        |
|            | Trends in boiling points, melting points and enthalpies of vaporization for <i>p</i> -block binary hydrides | 246        |

|                                      |   |            |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------|
|                                      | Infrared spectroscopy   | 246        |
|                                      | Solid state structures  | 247        |
|                                      | Hydrogen bonding in biological systems                            | 250        |
| <b>9.7</b>                           | <b>Binary hydrides: classification and general properties</b>     | <b>251</b> |
|                                      | Classification  | 251        |
|                                      | Interstitial metal hydrides                                       | 251        |
|                                      | Saline hydrides   | 251        |
|                                      | Molecular hydrides and complexes derived from them                | 253        |
|                                      | Polymeric hydrides  | 254        |
|                                      | Intermediate hydrides   | 255        |
| <b>10 Group 1: the alkali metals</b> |   | <b>257</b> |
| <b>10.1</b>                          | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>257</b> |
| <b>10.2</b>                          | <b>Occurrence, extraction and uses</b>                            | <b>257</b> |
|                                      | Occurrence  | 257        |
|                                      | Extraction  | 257        |
|                                      | Major uses of the alkali metals and their compounds               | 259        |
| <b>10.3</b>                          | <b>Physical properties</b>  | <b>259</b> |
|                                      | General properties  | 259        |
|                                      | Atomic spectra and flame tests                                    | 260        |
|                                      | Radioactive isotopes  | 261        |
|                                      | NMR active nuclei   | 261        |
| <b>10.4</b>                          | <b>The metals</b>   | <b>261</b> |
|                                      | Appearance  | 261        |
|                                      | Reactivity  | 261        |
| <b>10.5</b>                          | <b>Halides</b>  | <b>263</b> |
| <b>10.6</b>                          | <b>Oxides and hydroxides</b>                                      | <b>264</b> |
|                                      | Oxides, peroxides, superoxides, suboxides and ozonides            | 264        |
|                                      | Hydroxides  | 265        |
| <b>10.7</b>                          | <b>Salts of oxoacids: carbonates and hydrogencarbonates</b>       | <b>265</b> |
| <b>10.8</b>                          | <b>Aqueous solution chemistry including macrocyclic complexes</b> | <b>267</b> |
|                                      | Hydrated ions   | 267        |
|                                      | Complex ions  | 268        |
| <b>10.9</b>                          | <b>Non-aqueous coordination chemistry</b>                         | <b>271</b> |
| <b>11 The group 2 metals</b>         |   | <b>275</b> |
| <b>11.1</b>                          | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>275</b> |
| <b>11.2</b>                          | <b>Occurrence, extraction and uses</b>                            | <b>275</b> |
|                                      | Occurrence  | 275        |
|                                      | Extraction  | 276        |
|                                      | Major uses of the group 2 metals and their compounds              | 277        |

|              |   |            |
|--------------|---|------------|
| <b>11.3</b>  | <b>Physical properties</b>  | <b>278</b> |
|              | General properties  | 278        |
|              | Flame tests   | 279        |
|              | Radioactive isotopes  | 279        |
| <b>11.4</b>  | <b>The metals</b>   | <b>279</b> |
|              | Appearance  | 279        |
|              | Reactivity  | 279        |
| <b>11.5</b>  | <b>Halides</b>  | <b>280</b> |
|              | Beryllium halides   | 280        |
|              | Halides of Mg, Ca, Sr and Ba  | 282        |
| <b>11.6</b>  | <b>Oxides and hydroxides</b>  | <b>283</b> |
|              | Oxides and peroxides  | 283        |
|              | Hydroxides  | 285        |
| <b>11.7</b>  | <b>Salts of oxoacids</b>  | <b>286</b> |
| <b>11.8</b>  | <b>Complex ions in aqueous solution</b>   | <b>287</b> |
|              | Aqua species of beryllium   | 287        |
|              | Aqua species of $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ , $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , $\text{Sr}^{2+}$ and $\text{Ba}^{2+}$ | 288        |
|              | Complexes with ligands other than water   | 288        |
| <b>11.9</b>  | <b>Complexes with amido or alkoxy ligands</b>   | <b>288</b> |
| <b>11.10</b> | <b>Diagonal relationships between Li and Mg, and between Be and Al</b>                      | <b>288</b> |
|              | Lithium and magnesium   | 289        |
|              | Beryllium and aluminium   | 290        |

## **12 The group 13 elements 293**

|             |   |            |
|-------------|---|------------|
| <b>12.1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>293</b> |
| <b>12.2</b> | <b>Occurrence, extraction and uses</b>                            | <b>293</b> |
|             | Occurrence  | 293        |
|             | Extraction  | 293        |
|             | Major uses of the group 13 elements and their compounds           | 295        |
| <b>12.3</b> | <b>Physical properties</b>  | <b>296</b> |
|             | Electronic configurations and oxidation states                    | 296        |
|             | NMR active nuclei   | 299        |
| <b>12.4</b> | <b>The elements</b>   | <b>299</b> |
|             | Appearance  | 299        |
|             | Structures of the elements  | 300        |
|             | Reactivity  | 301        |
| <b>12.5</b> | <b>Simple hydrides</b>  | <b>301</b> |
|             | Neutral hydrides  | 301        |
|             | The $[\text{MH}_4]^-$ ions  | 305        |
| <b>12.6</b> | <b>Halides and complex halides</b>                                | <b>307</b> |
|             | Boron halides: $\text{BX}_3$ and $\text{B}_2\text{X}_4$           | 307        |
|             | Al(III), Ga(III), In(III) and Tl(III) halides and their complexes | 309        |
|             | Lower oxidation state Al, Ga, In and Tl halides                   | 311        |

|                                 |   |            |
|---------------------------------|---|------------|
| <b>12.7</b>                     | <b>Oxides, oxoacids, oxoanions and hydroxides</b>   | <b>313</b> |
|                                 | Boron oxides, oxoacids and oxoanions  | 313        |
|                                 | Aluminium oxides, oxoacids, oxoanions and hydroxides                                      | 316        |
|                                 | Oxides of Ga, In and Tl   | 317        |
| <b>12.8</b>                     | <b>Compounds containing nitrogen</b>  | <b>317</b> |
|                                 | Nitrides  | 317        |
|                                 | Ternary boron nitrides  | 318        |
|                                 | Molecular species containing B–N or B–P bonds   | 319        |
|                                 | Molecular species containing group 13 metal–nitrogen bonds                                | 321        |
| <b>12.9</b>                     | <b>Aluminium to thallium: salts of oxoacids, aqueous solution chemistry and complexes</b> | <b>322</b> |
|                                 | Aluminium sulfate and alums   | 322        |
|                                 | Aqua ions   | 322        |
|                                 | Redox reactions in aqueous solution   | 322        |
|                                 | Coordination complexes of the $M^{3+}$ ions   | 323        |
| <b>12.10</b>                    | <b>Metal borides</b>  | <b>324</b> |
| <b>12.11</b>                    | <b>Electron-deficient borane and carbaborane clusters: an introduction</b>                | <b>326</b> |
|                                 | Boron hydrides  | 326        |
| <b>13 The group 14 elements</b> |   | <b>338</b> |
| <b>13.1</b>                     | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>338</b> |
| <b>13.2</b>                     | <b>Occurrence, extraction and uses</b>  | <b>338</b> |
|                                 | Occurrence  | 338        |
|                                 | Extraction and manufacture  | 339        |
|                                 | Uses  | 339        |
| <b>13.3</b>                     | <b>Physical properties</b>  | <b>342</b> |
|                                 | Ionization energies and cation formation  | 342        |
|                                 | Some energetic and bonding considerations   | 343        |
|                                 | NMR active nuclei   | 344        |
|                                 | Mössbauer spectroscopy  | 344        |
| <b>13.4</b>                     | <b>Allotropes of carbon</b>   | <b>345</b> |
|                                 | Graphite and diamond: structure and properties  | 345        |
|                                 | Graphite: intercalation compounds   | 345        |
|                                 | Fullerenes: synthesis and structure   | 348        |
|                                 | Fullerenes: reactivity  | 349        |
|                                 | Carbon nanotubes  | 353        |
| <b>13.5</b>                     | <b>Structural and chemical properties of silicon, germanium, tin and lead</b>             | <b>353</b> |
|                                 | Structures  | 353        |
|                                 | Chemical properties   | 353        |
| <b>13.6</b>                     | <b>Hydrides</b>   | <b>354</b> |
|                                 | Binary hydrides   | 354        |
|                                 | Halohydrides of silicon and germanium   | 356        |
| <b>13.7</b>                     | <b>Carbides, silicides, germides, stannides and plumbides</b>                             | <b>357</b> |
|                                 | Carbides  | 357        |

|              |  |            |
|--------------|--|------------|
|              | Silicides  | 358        |
|              | Germides, stannides and plumbides  | 358        |
| <b>13.8</b>  | <b>Halides and complex halides</b>   | <b>361</b> |
|              | Carbon halides   | 361        |
|              | Silicon halides  | 363        |
|              | Halides of germanium, tin and lead   | 364        |
| <b>13.9</b>  | <b>Oxides, oxoacids and hydroxides</b>   | <b>365</b> |
|              | Oxides and oxoacids of carbon  | 365        |
|              | Silica, silicates and aluminosilicates   | 369        |
|              | Oxides, hydroxides and oxoacids of germanium, tin and lead                         | 373        |
| <b>13.10</b> | <b>Silicones</b>   | <b>376</b> |
| <b>13.11</b> | <b>Sulfides</b>  | <b>377</b> |
| <b>13.12</b> | <b>Cyanogen, silicon nitride and tin nitride</b>                                   | <b>379</b> |
|              | Cyanogen and its derivatives   | 379        |
|              | Silicon nitride  | 380        |
|              | Tin(IV) nitride  | 381        |
| <b>13.13</b> | <b>Aqueous solution chemistry and salts of oxoacids of germanium, tin and lead</b> | <b>381</b> |

## **14 The group 15 elements 385**

|             |   |            |
|-------------|---|------------|
| <b>14.1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>385</b> |
| <b>14.2</b> | <b>Occurrence, extraction and uses</b>                              | <b>386</b> |
|             | Occurrence  | 386        |
|             | Extraction  | 387        |
|             | Uses  | 387        |
| <b>14.3</b> | <b>Physical properties</b>  | <b>389</b> |
|             | Bonding considerations  | 390        |
|             | NMR active nuclei   | 391        |
|             | Radioactive isotopes  | 391        |
| <b>14.4</b> | <b>The elements</b>   | <b>392</b> |
|             | Nitrogen  | 392        |
|             | Phosphorus  | 392        |
|             | Arsenic, antimony and bismuth                                       | 393        |
| <b>14.5</b> | <b>Hydrides</b>   | <b>394</b> |
|             | Trihydrides, $\text{EH}_3$ (E = N, P, As, Sb and Bi)                | 394        |
|             | Hydrides $\text{E}_2\text{H}_4$ (E = N, P, As)                      | 397        |
|             | Chloramine and hydroxylamine  | 398        |
|             | Hydrogen azide and azide salts                                      | 399        |
| <b>14.6</b> | <b>Nitrides, phosphides, arsenides, antimonides and bismuthides</b> | <b>401</b> |
|             | Nitrides  | 401        |
|             | Phosphides  | 402        |
|             | Arsenides, antimonides and bismuthides                              | 402        |

|              |  |            |
|--------------|--|------------|
| <b>14.7</b>  | <b>Halides, oxohalides and complex halides</b>                                     | <b>403</b> |
|              | Nitrogen halides   | 403        |
|              | Oxofluorides and oxochlorides of nitrogen  | 405        |
|              | Phosphorus halides   | 406        |
|              | Phosphoryl trichloride, $\text{POCl}_3$  | 408        |
|              | Arsenic and antimony halides   | 409        |
|              | Bismuth halides  | 411        |
| <b>14.8</b>  | <b>Oxides of nitrogen</b>  | <b>412</b> |
|              | Dinitrogen monoxide, $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  | 412        |
|              | Nitrogen monoxide, $\text{NO}$   | 412        |
|              | Dinitrogen trioxide, $\text{N}_2\text{O}_3$  | 413        |
|              | Dinitrogen tetroxide, $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ , and nitrogen dioxide, $\text{NO}_2$ | 414        |
|              | Dinitrogen pentaoxide, $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$                                      | 415        |
| <b>14.9</b>  | <b>Oxoacids of nitrogen</b>  | <b>415</b> |
|              | Hyponitrous acid, $\text{H}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$                                 | 415        |
|              | Nitrous acid, $\text{HNO}_2$   | 415        |
|              | Nitric acid, $\text{HNO}_3$ , and its derivatives                                  | 416        |
| <b>14.10</b> | <b>Oxides of phosphorus, arsenic, antimony and bismuth</b>                         | <b>417</b> |
|              | Oxides of phosphorus   | 418        |
|              | Oxides of arsenic, antimony and bismuth  | 419        |
| <b>14.11</b> | <b>Oxoacids of phosphorus</b>  | <b>419</b> |
|              | Phosphinic acid, $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$   | 419        |
|              | Phosphonic acid, $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3$   | 420        |
|              | Hypophosphoric acid, $\text{H}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_6$                              | 420        |
|              | Phosphoric acid, $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ , and its derivatives                     | 421        |
| <b>14.12</b> | <b>Oxoacids of arsenic, antimony and bismuth</b>                                   | <b>422</b> |
| <b>14.13</b> | <b>Phosphazenes</b>  | <b>424</b> |
| <b>14.14</b> | <b>Sulfides and selenides</b>  | <b>426</b> |
|              | Sulfides and selenides of phosphorus   | 426        |
|              | Arsenic, antimony and bismuth sulfides   | 428        |
| <b>14.15</b> | <b>Aqueous solution chemistry</b>  | <b>428</b> |
| <b>15</b>    | <b>The group 16 elements</b>   | <b>432</b> |
| <b>15.1</b>  | <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>432</b> |
| <b>15.2</b>  | <b>Occurrence, extraction and uses</b>   | <b>432</b> |
|              | Occurrence   | 432        |
|              | Extraction   | 433        |
|              | Uses   | 433        |
| <b>15.3</b>  | <b>Physical properties and bonding considerations</b>                              | <b>434</b> |
|              | NMR active nuclei and isotopes as tracers  | 437        |
| <b>15.4</b>  | <b>The elements</b>  | <b>437</b> |
|              | Dioxygen   | 437        |
|              | Ozone  | 438        |

|              |  |            |
|--------------|--|------------|
|              | Sulfur: allotropes   | 439        |
|              | Sulfur: reactivity   | 440        |
|              | Selenium and tellurium   | 441        |
| <b>15.5</b>  | <b>Hydrides</b>  | <b>442</b> |
|              | Water, H <sub>2</sub> O  | 442        |
|              | Hydrogen peroxide, H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>   | 442        |
|              | Hydrides H <sub>2</sub> E (E = S, Se, Te)  | 445        |
|              | Polysulfanes   | 445        |
| <b>15.6</b>  | <b>Metal sulfides, polysulfides, polyselenides and polytellurides</b>  | <b>446</b> |
|              | Sulfides   | 446        |
|              | Polysulfides   | 446        |
|              | Polyselenides and polytellurides   | 447        |
| <b>15.7</b>  | <b>Halides, oxohalides and complex halides</b>   | <b>448</b> |
|              | Oxygen fluorides   | 448        |
|              | Sulfur fluorides and oxofluorides  | 448        |
|              | Sulfur chlorides and oxochlorides  | 450        |
|              | Halides of selenium and tellurium  | 451        |
| <b>15.8</b>  | <b>Oxides</b>  | <b>453</b> |
|              | Oxides of sulfur   | 453        |
|              | Oxides of selenium and tellurium   | 456        |
| <b>15.9</b>  | <b>Oxoacids and their salts</b>  | <b>457</b> |
|              | Dithionous acid, H <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>  | 457        |
|              | Sulfurous and disulfurous acids, H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> and H <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> | 457        |
|              | Dithionic acid, H <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>   | 458        |
|              | Sulfuric acid, H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>  | 459        |
|              | Fluoro- and chlorosulfonic acids, HSO <sub>3</sub> F and HSO <sub>3</sub> Cl                                     | 461        |
|              | Polyoxoacids with S–O–S units  | 461        |
|              | Peroxosulfuric acids, H <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> and H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>5</sub>            | 461        |
|              | Thiosulfuric acid, H <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , and polythionates                              | 461        |
|              | Oxoacids of selenium and tellurium   | 462        |
| <b>15.10</b> | <b>Compounds of sulfur and selenium with nitrogen</b>  | <b>462</b> |
|              | Sulfur–nitrogen compounds  | 462        |
|              | Tetraselenium tetranitride   | 464        |
| <b>15.11</b> | <b>Aqueous solution chemistry of sulfur, selenium and tellurium</b>  | <b>464</b> |

## 16 The group 17 elements

468

|             |   |            |
|-------------|---|------------|
| <b>16.1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                   | <b>468</b> |
|             | Fluorine, chlorine, bromine and iodine                | 468        |
|             | Astatine  | 469        |
| <b>16.2</b> | <b>Occurrence, extraction and uses</b>                | <b>469</b> |
|             | Occurrence  | 469        |
|             | Extraction  | 470        |
|             | Uses  | 471        |
| <b>16.3</b> | <b>Physical properties and bonding considerations</b> | <b>471</b> |
|             | NMR active nuclei and isotopes as tracers             | 473        |

|   |   |            |
|---|---|------------|
| 16.4  | The elements  | 474        |
|   | Difluorine  | 474        |
|   | Dichlorine, dibromine and diiodine                      | 475        |
|   | Charge transfer complexes                               | 475        |
|   | Clathrates  | 477        |
| 16.5  | Hydrogen halides  | 477        |
| 16.6  | Metal halides: structures and energetics                | 478        |
| 16.7  | Interhalogen compounds and polyhalogen ions             | 479        |
|   | Interhalogen compounds                                  | 479        |
|   | Bonding in $[XY_2]^-$ ions                              | 482        |
|   | Polyhalogen cations                                     | 482        |
|   | Polyhalide anions                                       | 483        |
| 16.8  | Oxides and oxofluorides of chlorine, bromine and iodine | 483        |
|   | Oxides  | 483        |
|   | Oxofluorides  | 484        |
| 16.9  | Oxoacids and their salts                                | 485        |
|   | Hypofluorous acid, HOF                                  | 485        |
|   | Oxoacids of chlorine, bromine and iodine                | 485        |
| 16.10   | Aqueous solution chemistry                              | 488        |
| <b>17 The group 18 elements</b>   |   | <b>492</b> |
| 17.1  | Introduction  | 492        |
| 17.2  | Occurrence, extraction and uses                         | 493        |
|   | Occurrence  | 493        |
|   | Extraction  | 493        |
|   | Uses  | 493        |
| 17.3  | Physical properties                                     | 494        |
|   | NMR active nuclei                                       | 495        |
| 17.4  | Compounds of xenon                                      | 496        |
|   | Fluorides   | 496        |
|   | Chlorides   | 498        |
|   | Oxides  | 499        |
|   | Oxofluorides  | 499        |
|   | Other compounds of xenon                                | 499        |
| 17.5  | Compounds of krypton and radon                          | 501        |
| <b>18 Organometallic compounds of <i>s</i>- and <i>p</i>-block elements</b> |   | <b>503</b> |
| 18.1  | Introduction  | 503        |
| 18.2  | Group 1: alkali metal organometallics                   | 504        |

|             |  |            |
|-------------|--|------------|
| <b>18.3</b> | <b>Group 2 organometallics</b>                                       | <b>507</b> |
|             | Beryllium  | 507        |
|             | Magnesium  | 509        |
|             | Calcium, strontium and barium  | 510        |
| <b>18.4</b> | <b>Group 13</b>  | <b>511</b> |
|             | Boron  | 511        |
|             | Aluminium  | 511        |
|             | Gallium, indium and thallium   | 514        |
| <b>18.5</b> | <b>Group 14</b>  | <b>518</b> |
|             | Silicon  | 518        |
|             | Germanium  | 520        |
|             | Tin  | 521        |
|             | Lead   | 524        |
|             | Coparallel and tilted C <sub>5</sub> -rings in group 14 metallocenes | 526        |
| <b>18.6</b> | <b>Group 15</b>  | <b>527</b> |
|             | Bonding aspects and E=E bond formation                               | 527        |
|             | Arsenic, antimony and bismuth  | 527        |
| <b>18.7</b> | <b>Group 16</b>  | <b>530</b> |
|             | Selenium and tellurium   | 530        |

## **19 *d*-Block chemistry: general considerations 535**

|             |   |            |
|-------------|---|------------|
| <b>19.1</b> | <b>Topic overview</b>                                   | <b>535</b> |
| <b>19.2</b> | <b>Ground state electronic configurations</b>           | <b>535</b> |
|             | <i>d</i> -Block metals versus transition elements       | 535        |
|             | Electronic configurations                               | 536        |
| <b>19.3</b> | <b>Physical properties</b>                              | <b>536</b> |
| <b>19.4</b> | <b>The reactivity of the metals</b>                     | <b>538</b> |
| <b>19.5</b> | <b>Characteristic properties: a general perspective</b> | <b>538</b> |
|             | Colour  | 538        |
|             | Paramagnetism   | 539        |
|             | Complex formation                                       | 539        |
|             | Variable oxidation states                               | 539        |
| <b>19.6</b> | <b>Electroneutrality principle</b>                      | <b>539</b> |
| <b>19.7</b> | <b>Coordination numbers</b>                             | <b>541</b> |
|             | The Kepert model  | 541        |
|             | Coordination number 2                                   | 543        |
|             | Coordination number 3                                   | 543        |
|             | Coordination number 4                                   | 543        |
|             | Coordination number 5                                   | 544        |
|             | Coordination number 6                                   | 544        |
|             | Coordination number 7                                   | 545        |
|             | Coordination number 8                                   | 546        |
|             | Coordination number 9                                   | 547        |
|             | Coordination numbers of 10 and above                    | 547        |

|  |   |            |
|--|---|------------|
| <b>19.8</b>  | <b>Isomerism in <i>d</i>-block metal complexes</b>                          | <b>547</b> |
|  | Structural isomerism: ionization isomers                                    | 548        |
|  | Structural isomerism: hydration isomers                                     | 548        |
|  | Structural isomerism: coordination isomerism                                | 549        |
|  | Structural isomerism: linkage isomerism                                     | 549        |
|  | Structural isomerism: polymerization isomerism                              | 549        |
|  | Stereoisomerism: geometrical isomers  | 549        |
|  | Stereoisomerism: optical isomers  | 549        |
| <b>20 <i>d</i>-Block chemistry: coordination complexes</b> |   | <b>555</b> |
| <b>20.1</b>  | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>555</b> |
|  | High- and low-spin states   | 555        |
| <b>20.2</b>  | <b>Bonding in <i>d</i>-block metal complexes: valence bond theory</b>       | <b>555</b> |
|  | Hybridization schemes   | 555        |
|  | Applying VB theory  | 556        |
| <b>20.3</b>  | <b>Crystal field theory</b>   | <b>557</b> |
|  | The octahedral crystal field  | 558        |
|  | Crystal field stabilization energy: high- and low-spin octahedral complexes | 560        |
|  | Jahn–Teller distortions   | 561        |
|  | The tetrahedral crystal field   | 562        |
|  | The square planar crystal field   | 562        |
|  | Other crystal fields  | 564        |
|  | Crystal field theory: uses and limitations                                  | 564        |
| <b>20.4</b>  | <b>Molecular orbital theory: octahedral complexes</b>                       | <b>564</b> |
|  | Complexes with <i>no</i> metal–ligand $\pi$ -bonding                        | 564        |
|  | Complexes with metal–ligand $\pi$ -bonding                                  | 566        |
| <b>20.5</b>  | <b>Ligand field theory</b>  | <b>570</b> |
| <b>20.6</b>  | <b>Electronic spectra</b>   | <b>570</b> |
|  | Spectral features   | 570        |
|  | Selection rules   | 571        |
|  | Electronic spectra of octahedral and tetrahedral complexes                  | 574        |
|  | Microstates   | 576        |
|  | Tanabe–Sugano diagrams  | 577        |
| <b>20.7</b>  | <b>Evidence for metal–ligand covalent bonding</b>                           | <b>578</b> |
|  | The nephelauxetic effect  | 578        |
|  | ESR spectroscopy  | 579        |
| <b>20.8</b>  | <b>Magnetic properties</b>  | <b>579</b> |
|  | Magnetic susceptibility and the spin-only formula                           | 579        |
|  | Spin and orbital contributions to the magnetic moment                       | 581        |
|  | The effects of temperature on $\mu_{\text{eff}}$                            | 583        |
|  | Spin crossover  | 584        |
|  | Ferromagnetism, antiferromagnetism and ferrimagnetism                       | 584        |
| <b>20.9</b>  | <b>Thermodynamic aspects: ligand field stabilization energies (LFSE)</b>    | <b>585</b> |
|  | Trends in LFSE  | 585        |
|  | Lattice energies and hydration energies of $M^{n+}$ ions                    | 586        |
|  | Octahedral versus tetrahedral coordination: spinels                         | 587        |

|       |   |     |
|-------|---|-----|
| 20.10 | Thermodynamic aspects: the Irving–Williams series           | 587 |
| 20.11 | Thermodynamic aspects: oxidation states in aqueous solution | 588 |

## **21 *d*-Block metal chemistry: the first row metals 593**

|       |                                  |            |
|-------|----------------------------------|------------|
| 21.1  | Introduction                     | 593        |
| 21.2  | Occurrence, extraction and uses  | 593        |
| 21.3  | Physical properties: an overview | 597        |
| 21.4  | <b>Group 3: scandium</b>         | <b>597</b> |
|       | The metal                        | 597        |
|       | Scandium(III)                    | 598        |
| 21.5  | <b>Group 4: titanium</b>         | <b>598</b> |
|       | The metal                        | 598        |
|       | Titanium(IV)                     | 598        |
|       | Titanium(III)                    | 601        |
|       | Low oxidation states             | 601        |
| 21.6  | <b>Group 5: vanadium</b>         | <b>602</b> |
|       | The metal                        | 602        |
|       | Vanadium(V)                      | 602        |
|       | Vanadium(IV)                     | 604        |
|       | Vanadium(III)                    | 605        |
|       | Vanadium(II)                     | 605        |
| 21.7  | <b>Group 6: chromium</b>         | <b>606</b> |
|       | The metal                        | 606        |
|       | Chromium(VI)                     | 606        |
|       | Chromium(V) and chromium(IV)     | 607        |
|       | Chromium(III)                    | 608        |
|       | Chromium(II)                     | 609        |
|       | Chromium–chromium multiple bonds | 610        |
| 21.8  | <b>Group 7: manganese</b>        | <b>611</b> |
|       | The metal                        | 611        |
|       | Manganese(VII)                   | 612        |
|       | Manganese(VI)                    | 613        |
|       | Manganese(V)                     | 613        |
|       | Manganese(IV)                    | 613        |
|       | Manganese(III)                   | 614        |
|       | Manganese(II)                    | 616        |
| 21.9  | <b>Group 8: iron</b>             | <b>617</b> |
|       | The metal                        | 617        |
|       | Iron(VI), iron(V) and iron(IV)   | 617        |
|       | Iron(III)                        | 618        |
|       | Iron(II)                         | 622        |
| 21.10 | <b>Group 9: cobalt</b>           | <b>624</b> |
|       | The metal                        | 624        |
|       | Cobalt(IV)                       | 624        |

|   |   |            |
|---|---|------------|
|   | Cobalt(III)                                     | 624        |
|   | Cobalt(II)                                      | 627        |
| <b>21.11</b>  | <b>Group 10: nickel</b>                         | <b>630</b> |
|   | The metal                                       | 630        |
|   | Nickel(IV) and nickel(III)                      | 630        |
|   | Nickel(II)                                      | 631        |
|   | Nickel(I)                                       | 634        |
| <b>21.12</b>  | <b>Group 11: copper</b>                         | <b>634</b> |
|   | The metal                                       | 634        |
|   | Copper(IV) and (III)                            | 634        |
|   | Copper(II)                                      | 635        |
|   | Copper(I)                                       | 637        |
| <b>21.13</b>  | <b>Group 12: zinc</b>                           | <b>639</b> |
|   | The metal                                       | 639        |
|   | Zinc(II)  | 640        |
| <b>22 <i>d</i>-Block metal chemistry: the second and third row metals 645</b> |   |            |
| <b>22.1</b>   | <b>Introduction</b>                             | <b>645</b> |
| <b>22.2</b>   | <b>Occurrence, extraction and uses</b>          | <b>645</b> |
| <b>22.3</b>   | <b>Physical properties</b>                      | <b>649</b> |
|   | Effects of the lanthanoid contraction           | 649        |
|   | Coordination numbers                            | 649        |
|   | NMR active nuclei                               | 649        |
| <b>22.4</b>   | <b>Group 3: yttrium</b>                         | <b>651</b> |
|   | The metal                                       | 651        |
|   | Yttrium(III)                                    | 651        |
| <b>22.5</b>   | <b>Group 4: zirconium and hafnium</b>           | <b>652</b> |
|   | The metals                                      | 652        |
|   | Zirconium(IV) and hafnium(IV)                   | 652        |
|   | Lower oxidation states of zirconium and hafnium | 652        |
|   | Zirconium clusters                              | 653        |
| <b>22.6</b>   | <b>Group 5: niobium and tantalum</b>            | <b>654</b> |
|   | The metals                                      | 654        |
|   | Niobium(V) and tantalum(V)                      | 654        |
|   | Niobium(IV) and tantalum(IV)                    | 656        |
|   | Lower oxidation state halides                   | 656        |
| <b>22.7</b>   | <b>Group 6: molybdenum and tungsten</b>         | <b>658</b> |
|   | The metals                                      | 658        |
|   | Molybdenum(VI) and tungsten(VI)                 | 659        |
|   | Molybdenum(V) and tungsten(V)                   | 662        |
|   | Molybdenum(IV) and tungsten(IV)                 | 663        |
|   | Molybdenum(III) and tungsten(III)               | 663        |
|   | Molybdenum(II) and tungsten(II)                 | 665        |
| <b>22.8</b>   | <b>Group 7: technetium and rhenium</b>          | <b>666</b> |
|   | The metals                                      | 666        |

|              |  |            |
|--------------|--|------------|
|              | High oxidation states of technetium and rhenium: M(VII), M(VI) and M(V)  | 667        |
|              | Technetium(IV) and rhenium(IV)   | 669        |
|              | Technetium(III) and rhenium(III)   | 669        |
| <b>22.9</b>  | <b>Group 8: ruthenium and osmium</b>                                     | <b>671</b> |
|              | The metals   | 671        |
|              | High oxidation states of ruthenium and osmium: M(VIII), M(VII) and M(VI) | 671        |
|              | Ruthenium(V), (IV) and osmium(V), (IV)                                   | 673        |
|              | Ruthenium(III) and osmium(III)   | 675        |
|              | Ruthenium(II) and osmium(II)   | 676        |
|              | Mixed-valence ruthenium complexes  | 678        |
| <b>22.10</b> | <b>Group 9: rhodium and iridium</b>                                      | <b>679</b> |
|              | The metals   | 679        |
|              | High oxidation states of rhodium and iridium: M(VI) and M(V)             | 679        |
|              | Rhodium(IV) and iridium (IV)   | 680        |
|              | Rhodium(III) and iridium(III)  | 680        |
|              | Rhodium(II) and iridium(II)  | 682        |
|              | Rhodium(I) and iridium(I)  | 683        |
| <b>22.11</b> | <b>Group 10: palladium and platinum</b>                                  | <b>684</b> |
|              | The metals   | 684        |
|              | The highest oxidation states: M(VI) and M(V)                             | 684        |
|              | Palladium(IV) and platinum(IV)   | 684        |
|              | Palladium(III), platinum(III) and mixed-valence complexes                | 685        |
|              | Palladium(II) and platinum(II)   | 686        |
| <b>22.12</b> | <b>Group 11: silver and gold</b>   | <b>689</b> |
|              | The metals   | 689        |
|              | Gold(V) and silver(V)  | 690        |
|              | Gold(III) and silver(III)  | 690        |
|              | Gold(II) and silver(II)  | 691        |
|              | Gold(I) and silver(I)  | 692        |
|              | Gold(-I) and silver(-I)  | 694        |
| <b>22.13</b> | <b>Group 12: cadmium and mercury</b>                                     | <b>694</b> |
|              | The metals   | 694        |
|              | Cadmium(II)  | 695        |
|              | Mercury(II)  | 695        |
|              | Mercury(I)   | 696        |

## **23 Organometallic compounds of *d*-block elements 700**

|             |   |            |
|-------------|---|------------|
| <b>23.1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                     | <b>700</b> |
|             | Hapticity of a ligand                                   | 700        |
| <b>23.2</b> | <b>Common types of ligand: bonding and spectroscopy</b> | <b>700</b> |
|             | $\sigma$ -Bonded alkyl, aryl and related ligands        | 700        |
|             | Carbonyl ligands  | 701        |
|             | Hydride ligands   | 702        |
|             | Phosphine and related ligands                           | 703        |
|             | $\pi$ -Bonded organic ligands                           | 704        |
|             | Dinitrogen  | 706        |
|             | Dihydrogen  | 707        |
| <b>23.3</b> | <b>The 18-electron rule</b>                             | <b>707</b> |

|           |  |            |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 23.4      | <b>Metal carbonyls: synthesis, physical properties and structure</b>             | 709        |
|           | Synthesis and physical properties  | 710        |
|           | Structures   | 711        |
| 23.5      | <b>The isolobal principle and application of Wade's rules</b>                    | 714        |
| 23.6      | <b>Total valence electron counts in <i>d</i>-block organometallic clusters</b>   | 716        |
|           | Single cage structures   | 717        |
|           | Condensed cages  | 718        |
|           | Limitations of total valence counting schemes                                    | 719        |
| 23.7      | <b>Types of organometallic reactions</b>   | 719        |
|           | Substitution of CO ligands   | 719        |
|           | Oxidative addition   | 719        |
|           | Alkyl and hydrogen migrations  | 720        |
|           | $\beta$ -Hydrogen elimination  | 721        |
|           | $\alpha$ -Hydrogen abstraction   | 721        |
|           | Summary  | 722        |
| 23.8      | <b>Metal carbonyls: selected reactions</b>                                       | 722        |
| 23.9      | <b>Metal carbonyl hydrides and halides</b>                                       | 723        |
| 23.10     | <b>Alkyl, aryl, alkene and alkyne complexes</b>                                  | 724        |
|           | $\sigma$ -Bonded alkyl and aryl ligands  | 724        |
|           | Alkene ligands   | 725        |
|           | Alkyne ligands   | 726        |
| 23.11     | <b>Allyl and buta-1,3-diene complexes</b>  | 727        |
|           | Allyl and related ligands  | 727        |
|           | Buta-1,3-diene and related ligands   | 728        |
| 23.12     | <b>Carbene and carbyne complexes</b>   | 729        |
| 23.13     | <b>Complexes containing <math>\eta^5</math>-cyclopentadienyl ligands</b>         | 730        |
|           | Ferrocene and other metallocenes   | 731        |
|           | $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_4$ and derivatives                   | 732        |
| 23.14     | <b>Complexes containing <math>\eta^6</math>- and <math>\eta^7</math>-ligands</b> | 734        |
|           | $\eta^6$ -Arene ligands  | 734        |
|           | Cycloheptatriene and derived ligands   | 735        |
| 23.15     | <b>Complexes containing the <math>\eta^4</math>-cyclobutadiene ligand</b>        | 737        |
| <b>24</b> | <b>The <i>f</i>-block metals: lanthanoids and actinoids</b>                      | <b>741</b> |
| 24.1      | <b>Introduction</b>  | 741        |
| 24.2      | <b><i>f</i>-Orbitals and oxidation states</b>                                    | 742        |
| 24.3      | <b>Atom and ion sizes</b>  | 743        |
|           | The lanthanoid contraction   | 743        |
|           | Coordination numbers   | 743        |

|           |   |            |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 24.4      | <b>Spectroscopic and magnetic properties</b>  | <b>744</b> |
|           | Electronic spectra and magnetic moments: lanthanoids                                    | 744        |
|           | Luminescence of lanthanoid complexes  | 746        |
|           | Electronic spectra and magnetic moments: actinoids                                      | 746        |
| 24.5      | <b>Sources of the lanthanoids and actinoids</b>   | <b>747</b> |
|           | Occurrence and separation of the lanthanoids  | 747        |
|           | The actinoids   | 748        |
| 24.6      | <b>Lanthanoid metals</b>  | <b>748</b> |
| 24.7      | <b>Inorganic compounds and coordination complexes of the lanthanoids</b>                | <b>749</b> |
|           | Halides   | 749        |
|           | Hydroxides and oxides   | 750        |
|           | Complexes of Ln(III)  | 750        |
| 24.8      | <b>Organometallic complexes of the lanthanoids</b>                                      | <b>751</b> |
|           | $\sigma$ -Bonded complexes  | 751        |
|           | Cyclopentadienyl complexes  | 753        |
|           | Bis(arene) derivatives  | 755        |
|           | Complexes containing the $\eta^8$ -cyclooctatetraenyl ligand                            | 755        |
| 24.9      | <b>The actinoid metals</b>  | <b>755</b> |
| 24.10     | <b>Inorganic compounds and coordination complexes of thorium, uranium and plutonium</b> | <b>756</b> |
|           | Thorium   | 756        |
|           | Uranium   | 757        |
|           | Plutonium   | 758        |
| 24.11     | <b>Organometallic complexes of thorium and uranium</b>                                  | <b>759</b> |
|           | $\sigma$ -Bonded complexes  | 759        |
|           | Cyclopentadienyl derivatives  | 760        |
|           | Complexes containing the $\eta^8$ -cyclooctatetraenyl ligand                            | 761        |
| <b>25</b> | <b><i>d</i>-Block metal complexes: reaction mechanisms</b>                              | <b>764</b> |
| 25.1      | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>764</b> |
| 25.2      | <b>Ligand substitutions: some general points</b>  | <b>764</b> |
|           | Kinetically inert and labile complexes  | 764        |
|           | Stoichiometric equations say nothing about mechanism                                    | 764        |
|           | Types of substitution mechanism   | 765        |
|           | Activation parameters   | 765        |
| 25.3      | <b>Substitution in square planar complexes</b>  | <b>766</b> |
|           | Rate equations, mechanism and the <i>trans</i> -effect                                  | 766        |
|           | Ligand nucleophilicity  | 769        |
| 25.4      | <b>Substitution and racemization in octahedral complexes</b>                            | <b>769</b> |
|           | Water exchange  | 770        |
|           | The Eigen–Wilkins mechanism   | 772        |
|           | Stereochemistry of substitution   | 774        |
|           | Base-catalysed hydrolysis   | 774        |
|           | Isomerization and racemization of octahedral complexes                                  | 776        |

|   |  |            |
|---|--|------------|
| 25.5  | Electron-transfer processes  | 777        |
|   | Inner-sphere mechanism   | 777        |
|   | Outer-sphere mechanism   | 779        |
| <b>26 Homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis</b> |  | <b>786</b> |
| 26.1  | Introduction and definitions                                       | 786        |
| 26.2  | Catalysis: introductory concepts                                   | 786        |
|   | Energy profiles for a reaction: catalysed versus non-catalysed     | 786        |
|   | Catalytic cycles   | 787        |
|   | Choosing a catalyst  | 788        |
| 26.3  | Homogeneous catalysis: alkene (olefin) metathesis                  | 789        |
| 26.4  | Homogeneous catalysis: industrial applications                     | 791        |
|   | Alkene hydrogenation   | 791        |
|   | Monsanto acetic acid synthesis                                     | 793        |
|   | Tennessee–Eastman acetic anhydride process                         | 794        |
|   | Hydroformylation (Oxo-process)                                     | 795        |
|   | Alkene oligomerization   | 797        |
| 26.5  | Homogeneous catalyst development                                   | 797        |
|   | Polymer-supported catalysts  | 797        |
|   | Biphasic catalysis   | 798        |
|   | <i>d</i> -Block organometallic clusters as homogeneous catalysts   | 799        |
| 26.6  | Heterogeneous catalysis: surfaces and interactions with adsorbates | 799        |
| 26.7  | Heterogeneous catalysis: commercial applications                   | 802        |
|   | Alkene polymerization: Ziegler–Natta catalysis                     | 802        |
|   | Fischer–Tropsch carbon chain growth                                | 803        |
|   | Haber process  | 804        |
|   | Production of SO <sub>3</sub> in the Contact process               | 805        |
|   | Catalytic converters   | 805        |
|   | Zeolites as catalysts for organic transformations: uses of ZSM-5   | 806        |
| 26.8  | Heterogeneous catalysis: organometallic cluster models             | 807        |
| <b>27 Some aspects of solid state chemistry</b>   |  | <b>813</b> |
| 27.1  | Introduction   | 813        |
| 27.2  | Defects in solid state lattices                                    | 813        |
|   | Types of defect: stoichiometric and non-stoichiometric compounds   | 813        |
|   | Colour centres (F-centres)   | 814        |
|   | Thermodynamic effects of crystal defects                           | 814        |
| 27.3  | Electrical conductivity in ionic solids                            | 815        |
|   | Sodium and lithium ion conductors                                  | 815        |
|   | <i>d</i> -Block metal(II) oxides                                   | 816        |

|             |  |            |
|-------------|--|------------|
| <b>27.4</b> | <b>Superconductivity</b>                             | <b>817</b> |
|             | Superconductors: early examples and basic theory     | 817        |
|             | High-temperature superconductors                     | 817        |
|             | Superconducting properties of MgB <sub>2</sub>       | 819        |
|             | Applications of superconductors                      | 819        |
| <b>27.5</b> | <b>Ceramic materials: colour pigments</b>            | <b>819</b> |
|             | White pigments (opacifiers)                          | 820        |
|             | Adding colour  | 820        |
| <b>27.6</b> | <b>Chemical vapour deposition (CVD)</b>              | <b>820</b> |
|             | High-purity silicon for semiconductors               | 821        |
|             | $\alpha$ -Boron nitride                              | 821        |
|             | Silicon nitride and carbide                          | 821        |
|             | III–V Semiconductors                                 | 822        |
|             | Metal deposition                                     | 823        |
|             | Ceramic coatings                                     | 824        |
|             | Perovskites and cuprate superconductors              | 824        |
| <b>27.7</b> | <b>Inorganic fibres</b>                              | <b>826</b> |
|             | Boron fibres   | 826        |
|             | Carbon fibres  | 826        |
|             | Silicon carbide fibres                               | 827        |
|             | Alumina fibres                                       | 827        |
| <b>28</b>   | <b>The trace metals of life</b>                      | <b>830</b> |
| <b>28.1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                  | <b>830</b> |
|             | Amino acids, peptides and proteins: some terminology | 830        |
| <b>28.2</b> | <b>Metal storage and transport: Fe, Cu, Zn and V</b> | <b>832</b> |
|             | Iron storage and transport                           | 832        |
|             | Metallothioneins: transporting some toxic metals     | 835        |
| <b>28.3</b> | <b>Dealing with O<sub>2</sub></b>                    | <b>837</b> |
|             | Haemoglobin and myoglobin                            | 837        |
|             | Haemocyanin  | 839        |
|             | Haemerythrin   | 841        |
|             | Cytochromes P-450                                    | 843        |
| <b>28.4</b> | <b>Biological redox processes</b>                    | <b>843</b> |
|             | Blue copper proteins                                 | 844        |
|             | The mitochondrial electron-transfer chain            | 845        |
|             | Iron–sulfur proteins                                 | 847        |
|             | Cytochromes  | 851        |
| <b>28.5</b> | <b>The Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion: Nature's Lewis acid</b>  | <b>854</b> |
|             | Carbonic anhydrase II                                | 854        |
|             | Carboxypeptidase A                                   | 855        |
|             | Carboxypeptidase G2                                  | 858        |
|             | Cobalt-for-zinc ion substitution                     | 859        |

|  |   |            |
|--|---|------------|
| <b>Appendices</b>                          |   | <b>863</b> |
| 1  | Greek letters with pronunciations   | 864        |
| 2  | Abbreviations and symbols for quantities and units  | 865        |
| 3  | Selected character tables   | 869        |
| 4  | The electromagnetic spectrum  | 873        |
| 5  | Naturally occurring isotopes and their abundances   | 875        |
| 6  | Van der Waals, metallic, covalent and ionic radii for the <i>s</i> -, <i>p</i> - and first row <i>d</i> -block elements | 877        |
| 7  | Pauling electronegativity values ( $\chi^{\text{P}}$ ) for selected elements of the periodic table                      | 879        |
| 8  | Ground state electronic configurations of the elements and ionization energies for the first five ionizations           | 880        |
| 9  | Electron affinities   | 883        |
| 10   | Standard enthalpies of atomization ( $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}$ ) of the elements at 298 K                            | 884        |
| 11   | Selected standard reduction potentials (298 K)  | 885        |
| <b>Answers to non-descriptive problems</b> |   | <b>888</b> |
| <b>Index</b>                               |   | <b>905</b> |



## Preface to the second edition

The second edition of *Inorganic Chemistry* is a natural progression from the first edition published in 2001. In this last text, we stated that our aim was to provide a single volume that gives a critical introduction to modern inorganic chemistry. Our approach to inorganic chemistry continues as before: we provide a foundation of physical inorganic principles and theory followed by descriptive chemistry of the elements, and a number of 'special topics' that can, if desired, be used for modular teaching. Boxed material has been used extensively to relate the chemistry described in the text to everyday life, the chemical industry, environmental issues and legislation, and natural resources.

In going from the first to second editions, the most obvious change has been a move from two to full colour. This has given us the opportunity to enhance the presentations of many of the molecular structures and 3D images. In terms of content, the descriptive chemistry has been updated, with many new results from the literature being included. Some exciting advances have taken place in the past two to three years spanning small molecule chemistry (for example, the chemistry of  $[\text{N}_5]^+$ ), solid state chemistry (e.g. the first examples of spinel nitrides) and bioinorganic systems (a landmark discovery is that of a central, 6-coordinate atom, probably nitrogen, at the centre of the FeMo-cofactor in nitrogenase). Other changes to the book have their origins in feedback from people using the text. Chapters 3 and 4 have been modified; in particular, the role of group theory in determining ligand group orbitals and orbital symmetry labels has been more thoroughly explored. However, we do not feel that a book, the prime purpose of which is to bring *chemistry* to a student audience, should evolve into a theoretical text. For this reason, we have refrained from an in-depth treatment of group theory. Throughout the book, we have used the popular 'worked examples' and 'self-study exercises' as a means of helping students to grasp principles and concepts. Many more self-study exercises have been introduced throughout the book, with the aim of making stronger connections between descriptive chemistry and underlying principles. Additional 'overview problems' have been added to the end-of-chapter problem sets; in Chapter 3, a set of new problems has been designed to work in conjunction with rotatable structures on the accompanying website ([www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft](http://www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft)).

Supplementary data accompanying this text include a *Solutions Manual* written by Catherine E. Housecroft. The accompanying website includes features for both students and lecturers and can be accessed from [www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft](http://www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft).

The 3D-molecular structures the book have been drawn using atomic coordinates accessed from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Base and implemented through the ETH in Zürich, or from the Protein Data Bank (<http://www.rcsb.org/pdb>).

We are very grateful to many lecturers who have passed on their comments and criticisms of the first edition of *Inorganic Chemistry*. Some of these remain anonymous to us and can be thanked only as 'the review panel set up by Pearson Education.' In addition to those colleagues whom we acknowledged in the preface to the first edition, we are grateful to Professors Duncan Bruce, Edwin Constable, Ronald Gillespie, Robert Hancock, Laura Hughes, Todd Marder, Christian Reber, David Tudela and Karl Wieghardt, and Drs Andrew Hughes and Mark Thornton-Pett who provided us with a range of thought-provoking comments. We are, of course, indebted to the team at Pearson Education who have supported the writing project and have taken the manuscript and graphics files through to their final form and provided their expertise for the development of the accompanying website. Special thanks go to Bridget Allen,

Kevin Ancient, Melanie Beard, Pauline Gillett, Simon Lake, Mary Lince, Paul Nash, Abigail Woodman and Ros Woodward.

Having another inorganic chemist on-call in the house during the preparation of the book has been more than beneficial: one of us owes much to her husband, Edwin Constable, for his critical comments. His insistence that a PC should replace the long-serving series of Macs has proved a bonus for the production of artwork. Finally, two beloved feline companions have once again taken an active role (not always helpful) in the preparation of this text – Philby and Isis have a unique ability to make sure they are the centre of attention, no matter how many deadlines have to be met.

Catherine E. Housecroft (Basel)  
Alan G. Sharpe (Cambridge)  
March 2004

### Online resources

Visit [www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft](http://www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft) to find valuable online resources

#### Companion Website for students

- Multiple choice questions to help test your learning
- Web-based problems for Chapter 3
- Rotatable 3D structures taken from the book
- Interactive Periodic Table

#### For instructors

- Guide for lecturers
- Rotatable 3D structures taken from the book
- PowerPoint slides

**Also:** The Companion Website provides the following features:

- Search tool to help locate specific items of content
- E-mail results and profile tools to send results of quizzes to instructors
- Online help and support to assist with website usage and troubleshooting

For more information please contact your local Pearson Education sales representative or visit [www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft](http://www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft)



## Preface to the first edition

*Inorganic Chemistry* has developed from the three editions of Alan Sharpe's *Inorganic Chemistry* and builds upon the success of this text. The aim of the two books is the same: to provide a single volume that gives a critical introduction to modern inorganic chemistry. However, in making the transition, the book has undergone a complete overhaul, not only in a complete rewriting of the text, but also in the general format, pedagogical features and illustrations. These changes give *Inorganic Chemistry* a more modern feel while retaining the original characteristic approach to the discussions, in particular of general principles of inorganic chemistry. *Inorganic Chemistry* provides students with numerous fully-worked examples of calculations, extensive end-of-chapter problems, and 'boxed' material relating to chemical and theoretical background, chemical resources, the effects of chemicals on the environment and applications of inorganic chemicals. The book contains chapters on physical inorganic chemistry and descriptive chemistry of the elements. Descriptive chapters build upon the foundations laid in the earlier chapters. The material is presented in a logical order but navigation through the text is aided by comprehensive cross-references. The book is completed by four 'topic' chapters covering inorganic kinetics, catalysis, aspects of the solid state and bioinorganic chemistry. Each chapter in the book ends with a summary and a checklist of new chemical terms. The reading lists contain suggestions both for books and articles in the current literature. Additional information about websites of interest to readers of this book can be accessed via: <http://www.booksites.net/housecroft>

The content of all descriptive chemistry chapters contains up-to-date information and takes into account the results of the latest research; in particular, the chapters on organometallic chemistry of the *s*- and *p*-block and *d*-block elements reflect a surge in research interest in this area of chemistry. Another major development from Alan Sharpe's original text has been to extend the discussion of molecular orbital theory, with an aim not only of introducing the topic but also showing how an objective (and cautious) approach can provide insight into particular bonding features of molecular species. Greater emphasis on the use of multinuclear NMR spectroscopy has been included; case studies introduce  $I > \frac{1}{2}$  nuclei and the observation of satellite peaks and applications of NMR spectroscopy are discussed where appropriate throughout the text. Appendices are included and are a feature of the book; they provide tables of physical data, selected character tables, and a list of abbreviations.

Answers to non-descriptive problems are included in *Inorganic Chemistry*, but a separate *Solutions Manual* has been written by Catherine Housecroft, and this gives detailed answers or essay plans for all end of chapter problems.

Most of the 3D-structural diagrams in the book have been drawn using Chem3D Pro, with coordinates accessed from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Base and implemented through the ETH in Zürich. The protein structures in Chapter 28 have been drawn using Rasmol with data from the Protein Data Bank (<http://www.rcsb.org/pdb>).

Suggestions passed on by readers of Alan Sharpe's *Inorganic Chemistry* have helped us to identify 'holes' and, in particular, we thank Professor Derek Corbridge. We gratefully acknowledge comments made on the manuscript by members of the panel of reviewers (from the UK, the Netherlands and the US) set up by Pearson Education. A number of colleagues have read chapters of the manuscript and their suggestions and criticisms have been invaluable: special thanks go to Professors Steve Chapman, Edwin Constable, Michael Davies and Georg Süß-Fink, and Dr Malcolm Gerloch. We should also like to thank Dr Paul Bowyer for information on sulfur dioxide in wine production, and

Dr Bo Sundman for providing data for the iron phase-diagram. A text of this type cannot become reality without dedicated work from the publisher: from among those at Pearson Education who have seen this project develop from infancy and provided us with support, particular thanks go to Lynn Brandon, Pauline Gillett, Julie Knight, Paul Nash, Alex Seabrook and Ros Woodward, and to Bridget Allen and Kevin Ancient for tireless and dedicated work on the design and artwork.

One of us must express sincere thanks to her husband, Edwin Constable, for endless discussions and critique. Thanks again to two very special feline companions, Philby and Isis, who have sat, slept and played by the Macintosh through every minute of the writing of this edition – they are not always patient, but their love and affection is an integral part of writing.

Catherine E. Housecroft  
Alan G. Sharpe  
June 2000

The publishers are grateful to the following for permission to reproduce copyright material:

Professor B. N. Figgis for Figure 20.20 from Figgis, B. N. (1966) *Introduction to Ligand Fields*, New York: Interscience.

In some instances we have been unable to trace the owners of copyright material, and we would appreciate any information that would enable us to do so.

Key definitions are highlighted in the text.

Self-study exercises allow students to test their understanding of what they have read.

148 Chapter 5 • Structures and energetics of metallic and ionic solids

**Fig. 5.15** Two representations of the unit cell of NaCl: (a) shows a space-filling representation, and (b) shows a 'ball-and-stick' representation which reveals the coordination environments of the ions. The Cl<sup>-</sup> ions are shown in green and the Na<sup>+</sup> ions in purple since both types of ion sit in equivalent environments, a unit cell with Na<sup>+</sup> ions in the corner sites is also valid. There are four types of site in the unit cell: central (not labeled), face, edge and corner positions.

**The rock salt (NaCl) lattice**

In units of formula MX, the coordination numbers of M and X must be equal.

Rock salt (or halite, NaCl) occurs naturally as cubic crystals, which, when pure, are colorless or white. Figure 5.15 shows two representations of the unit cell (see Section 2.7) of NaCl. Figure 5.15a illustrates the way in which the ions occupy the space available; the larger Cl<sup>-</sup> ions (r<sub>Cl<sup>-</sup></sub> = 181 pm) define an fcc arrangement with the Na<sup>+</sup> ions (r<sub>Na<sup>+</sup></sub> = 102 pm) occupying the octahedral holes. This description relates the structure of the rock salt lattice to the close-packing-of-spheres model. Such a description is often employed, but it is not satisfactory for salts such as KCl, while this adopts an NaCl lattice, the K<sup>+</sup> and F<sup>-</sup> ions are almost the same size (r<sub>K<sup>+</sup></sub> = 138, r<sub>F<sup>-</sup></sub> = 133 pm) (see Fig. 5-4). Although Figure 5.15a is relatively realistic, it hides most of the structural details of the unit cell and is difficult to reproduce when drawing the unit cell. The more open representation shown in Figure 5.15b tends to be more useful.

The complete NaCl lattice is built up by placing unit cells next to one another so that ions residing in the corner, edge or face sites (Figure 5.15b) are shared between adjacent unit cells. Bearing this in mind, Figure 5.15b shows that each Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ion is 8-coordinate in the crystal lattice, while within a single unit cell, the octahedral environment is defined completely only for the central Na<sup>+</sup> ion.

Figure 5.15b is not a unique representation of a unit cell of the NaCl lattice. It is equally valid to draw a unit cell with Na<sup>+</sup> ions in the corner sites, such a cell has a Cl<sup>-</sup> ion in the unique central site. This shows that the Na<sup>+</sup> ions are also in an fcc arrangement, and the NaCl lattice could therefore be described in terms of two interpenetrating lattices, one consisting of Na<sup>+</sup> ions and one of Cl<sup>-</sup> ions.

Among the many compounds that crystallize with the NaCl lattice are NaF, NaBr, NaCl, NaCl, halides of Li, K and Rb, CsF, AgF, AgBr, AgCl, AgI, CsCl, CaO, SrO, BaO, MnO, CoO, NiO, MgO, CaS, SrS and BaS.

**Worked example 5.2 Compound stoichiometry from a unit cell**

Show that the structure of the unit cell for sodium chloride (Figure 5.15b) is consistent with the formula NaCl.

In Figure 5.15b, 1/4 Cl<sup>-</sup> ions and 1/2 Na<sup>+</sup> ions are shown. However, all but one of the ions are shared between two or more unit cells.

There are four types of site:

- unique central position (the ion belongs entirely to the unit cell itself);
- face site (the ion is shared between two unit cells);
- edge site (the ion is shared between four unit cells);
- corner site (the ion is shared between eight unit cells).

The total number of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions belonging to the unit cell is calculated as follows:

| Site    | Number of Na <sup>+</sup> | Number of Cl <sup>-</sup> |
|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Central | 1                         | 0                         |
| Face    | 0                         | 6 × 1/2 = 3               |
| Edge    | 12 × 1/4 = 3              | 0                         |
| Corner  | 0                         | 8 × 1/8 = 1               |
| TOTAL   | 4                         | 4                         |

The ratio of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions is 4 : 4 = 1 : 1. This ratio is consistent with the formula NaCl.

Chapter 5 • Ionic lattices 149

**Self-study exercises**

1. Show that the structure of the unit cell for cesium chloride (Figure 5.16) is consistent with the formula CsCl.
2. MgO adopts an NaCl lattice. How many Mg<sup>2+</sup> and O<sup>2-</sup> ions are present per unit cell? [Ans. 4 of each]
3. The unit cell of NaCl (NaCl type lattice) can be drawn with Ag<sup>+</sup> ions at the corners of the cube, or Cl<sup>-</sup> at the corners. Confirm that the number of Ag<sup>+</sup> ions per unit cell remains the same whatever arrangement is considered.

**The cesium chloride (CsCl) lattice**

In the CsCl lattice, each ion is surrounded by eight others of opposite charge. A single unit cell (Figure 5.16) makes the connectivity obvious only for the central ion. However, by extending the lattice, one sees that it is composed of interpenetrating cubes (Figure 5.16b), and the coordination number of each ion is 8. Because the Cl<sup>-</sup> and Cs<sup>+</sup> ions are in the same environments, it is valid to draw a unit cell either with Cl<sup>-</sup> or Cs<sup>+</sup> at the corners of the cube. Note the relationship between the structure of the unit cell and hcp packing.

The CsCl structure is relatively uncommon but is also adopted by CsBr, CsI, TlCl and TlBr. At 298 K, NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and NH<sub>4</sub>Br possess CsCl lattices. (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sup>+</sup> is treated as a spherical ion (Figure 5.17), an approximation that can be made for a number of simple ions in the solid state due to their rotation or lying in random orientations about a fixed point. Above 487 and 411 K respectively, NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and NH<sub>4</sub>Br adopt NaCl lattices.

**The fluorite (CaF<sub>2</sub>) lattice**

In units of formula MX<sub>n</sub>, the coordination number of X must be half that of M.

Calcium fluoride occurs naturally as the mineral fluorite (fluorapatite). Figure 5.18a shows a unit cell of CaF<sub>2</sub>. Each Ca<sup>2+</sup> ion is 8-coordinate and each F<sup>-</sup> ion is 4-coordinate; six of the Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions are shared between two unit cells and the 8-coordinate environment can be appreciated by envisaging two adjacent unit cells. (Exercise: How does the coordination number of 8 for the remaining Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions arise? Other compounds that adopt this lattice type include group 2 metal fluorides, BaF<sub>2</sub>, and the dihalides of the r-block metals.)

**The antifluorite lattice**

If the cation and anion sites in Figure 5.18a are exchanged, the coordination number of the anion becomes twice that of the cation, and it follows that the compound formula is M<sub>2</sub>X. This arrangement corresponds to the antifluorite structure, and is adopted by the group 1 metal oxides and sulfides of type M<sub>2</sub>O and M<sub>2</sub>S, Ca<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is an exception.

**The zinc blende (ZnS) lattice: a diamond network**

Figure 5.18b shows the structure of zinc blende (ZnS). A comparison of this with Figure 5.18a reveals a relationship between the structures of zinc blende and CaF<sub>2</sub>: if going from Figure 5.18a to 5.18b, half of the anions are removed and the ratio of cation/anion changes from 1:2 to 1:1.

An alternative description is that of a diamond-type network. Figure 5.18b gives a representation of the structure of diamond, each C atom is tetrahedrally coordinated and the structure is very rigid. This structure type is also adopted by Si, Ge and α-Sn (grey tin). Figure 5.19b (with atom labels that relate to Figure 5.19a) shows a view of the diamond network that is comparable with the unit cell of zinc blende in Figure 5.18b. In zinc blende, every other site in the diamond-type array is occupied by either a zinc or a sulfur cation. In diamond, all sites are occupied by the same of an apparently simple compound (ZnS) with that of a covalently bonded species should not cause concern. As we have already mentioned, the hard sphere ionic model is a convenient approximation but does not allow for the fact

**Fig. 5.17** The [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> ion can be treated as a sphere in descriptions of solid state lattices, some other ions (e.g. [BF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, [PF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup>) can be treated similarly.

**Fig. 5.16** (a) The unit cell of CsCl. Cl<sup>-</sup> ions are shown in yellow and Cs<sup>+</sup> ions in grey, but the unit cell could also be drawn with the Cl<sup>-</sup> ions in the center site. The unit cell is defined by the yellow lines. (b) One way to describe the CsCl lattice is as two interpenetrating cubes each of Cl<sup>-</sup> and Cs<sup>+</sup> ions.

Worked examples are given throughout the text.

Web icons indicate that a 3D rotatable graphic is available on the companion website (see p. xxxvii).

276 Chapter 11 • The group 2 metals

emerald and apatite. Magnesium and calcium are the eighth and fifth most abundant elements, respectively, in the Earth's crust, and Mg, the third most abundant in the sea. The elements Mg, Ca, Sr and Ba are widely distributed in minerals and as dissolved ions in seawater; some important minerals are dolomite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>·MgCO<sub>3</sub>), magnesite (MgCO<sub>3</sub>), celestine (Mg<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O), carnallite (KCl·MgCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O), CaSO<sub>4</sub> (in the forms of shell, limestone and marl), gypsum (CaSO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O), celestine (SrSO<sub>4</sub>), strontianite (SrCO<sub>3</sub>) and barite (BaSO<sub>4</sub>). The natural abundances of the Sr and Ba are far less than those of Mg and Ca (Figure 11.1).

**Extraction**

Of the group 2 metals, only Mg is manufactured on a large scale (see Box 11.1). Dolomite is thermally decomposed to a mixture of MgO and CaO, and MgO is reduced by electrolysis in Na<sub>2</sub> vessels (equation 11.1); Mg is removed by distillation as shown.

$$2\text{MgO} + \text{CaO} + \text{Fe} \xrightarrow{\text{1874}} 2\text{Mg} + \text{CaSiO}_3 + \text{Fe} \quad (11.1)$$

Extraction of Mg by electrolysis of fused MgCl<sub>2</sub> is also important and is applied to the extraction of the metal from seawater. The first step is precipitation (see Table 11.1).

**RESEARCH, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL**

**Box 11.1 Recycling of materials: magnesium**

Recycling of materials became increasingly important during the last decades of the twentieth century, and continues to have a significant influence on chemical industries. A large fraction of the total Mg consumed in the US from 1960 to 2000, and the increasing trend towards recovering the metal.

**Fig. 11.1** Relative abundances in the Earth's crust of the alkaline earth metals (excluding Ra). The data are plotted on a logarithmic scale. The units of abundance are ppm.

60% of Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> by addition of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> (added later), produced from CaCO<sub>3</sub> (available as various calcareous deposits, see Figure 10C2). Neutralization with hydrochloric acid (equation 11.2) and evaporation of water gives MgCl<sub>2</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O, which, after heating at 990 K, yields the

also (see Figure 11.2), and recycling of Al aims necessarily means recovery of Mg. The graph below shows the variations in total consumption of primary Mg in the US from 1960 to 2000, and the increasing trend towards recovering the metal.

[Data from US Geological Survey]

Chapter 11 • Problems 281

**Problems**

- 11.1 Write down, in order, the names and symbols of the metals in group 2, check your answer by reference to the first page of this chapter. Which metals are classed as alkaline earth metals? (3) Give a general reaction that shows the ground state electronic configuration of each metal.
- 11.2 Using data in Table 6.4, determine the relative solubilities of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> and explain the relevance of your answer to the existence of magnesium from seawater.
- 11.3 Write an equation to show how Mg reacts with N<sub>2</sub> when heated. (3) Suggest how the product reacts with water.
- 11.4 The structure of magnesium carbonate, MgCO<sub>3</sub>, is of the NaCl type, elongated along one axis. (a) Explain how this elongation arises. (b) What do you infer from the fact that there is no similar elongation in NaCl which also crystallizes with a NaCl lattice?
- 11.5 Write balanced equations for the following reactions: (a) the thermal decomposition of [NH<sub>4</sub>][BF<sub>4</sub>]; (b) the reaction between NaCl and BeCl<sub>2</sub>; (c) the dissolution of BeF<sub>2</sub> in water.
- 11.6 (a) Suggest a likely structure for the dimer of BeCl<sub>2</sub>, present in the vapour phase below 1028 K. What hybridization scheme is appropriate for the Be centres? (b) BeCl<sub>2</sub> dissolves in diethyl ether to form monomeric BeCl<sub>2</sub>·2Et<sub>2</sub>O, suggest a structure for this compound and give a description of the bonding.
- 11.7 MgF<sub>2</sub> has a ZnS lattice. (a) Sketch a unit cell of MgF<sub>2</sub>, and (b) confirm the stoichiometry of MgF<sub>2</sub> using the unit cell structure.
- 11.8 Discuss the trends in data in Table 11.4.
- 11.9 How do antiferrous CaCl<sub>2</sub> and CaF<sub>2</sub> function as drying agents? (4) Compare the solid state structures and properties of BeCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub>.
- 11.10 How would you attempt to minimize the following? (a) Δ<sub>f</sub>H<sup>0</sup> for the solid state reaction: MgCl<sub>2</sub> + Mg → 2MgCl

**Table 11.4** Data for problem 11.8.

| Metal, M          | Δ <sub>f</sub> H <sup>0</sup> /kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> |
|-------------------|---|
| MgF <sub>2</sub>  | -1113   |
| MgCl <sub>2</sub> | -642  |
| MgBr <sub>2</sub> | -417  |
| MgI <sub>2</sub>  | -366  |
| Ca                | -1204   |
| CaF <sub>2</sub>  | -791  |
| CaCl <sub>2</sub> | -554  |
| CaBr <sub>2</sub> | -315  |
| Sr                | -1213   |
| Ba                | -1206   |

**Table 11.5** Data for problem 11.14 log K for the formation of the complexes [Mg(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>.

| M <sup>2+</sup> | Na <sup>+</sup> | K <sup>+</sup> | Rb <sup>+</sup> | Mg <sup>2+</sup> | Ca <sup>2+</sup> | Sr <sup>2+</sup> | Ba <sup>2+</sup> |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| log K           | 4.2             | 5.9            | 4.9             | 2.0              | 4.1              | 13.0             | 1.5              |

**Table 11.6** Data for problem 11.14 log K for the formation of the complexes [Mg(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>.

11.11 (a) Write down, in order, the names and symbols of the metals in group 2, check your answer by reference to the first page of this chapter. Which metals are classed as alkaline earth metals? (3) Give a general reaction that shows the ground state electronic configuration of each metal.

11.12 Using data in Table 6.4, determine the relative solubilities of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> and explain the relevance of your answer to the existence of magnesium from seawater.

11.3 Write an equation to show how Mg reacts with N<sub>2</sub> when heated. (3) Suggest how the product reacts with water.

11.4 The structure of magnesium carbonate, MgCO<sub>3</sub>, is of the NaCl type, elongated along one axis. (a) Explain how this elongation arises. (b) What do you infer from the fact that there is no similar elongation in NaCl which also crystallizes with a NaCl lattice?

11.5 Write balanced equations for the following reactions: (a) the thermal decomposition of [NH<sub>4</sub>][BF<sub>4</sub>]; (b) the reaction between NaCl and BeCl<sub>2</sub>; (c) the dissolution of BeF<sub>2</sub> in water.

11.6 (a) Suggest a likely structure for the dimer of BeCl<sub>2</sub>, present in the vapour phase below 1028 K. What hybridization scheme is appropriate for the Be centres? (b) BeCl<sub>2</sub> dissolves in diethyl ether to form monomeric BeCl<sub>2</sub>·2Et<sub>2</sub>O, suggest a structure for this compound and give a description of the bonding.

11.7 MgF<sub>2</sub> has a ZnS lattice. (a) Sketch a unit cell of MgF<sub>2</sub>, and (b) confirm the stoichiometry of MgF<sub>2</sub> using the unit cell structure.

11.8 Discuss the trends in data in Table 11.4.

11.9 How do antiferrous CaCl<sub>2</sub> and CaF<sub>2</sub> function as drying agents? (4) Compare the solid state structures and properties of BeCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub>.

11.10 How would you attempt to minimize the following? (a) Δ<sub>f</sub>H<sup>0</sup> for the solid state reaction: MgCl<sub>2</sub> + Mg → 2MgCl

**Table 11.4** Data for problem 11.8.

**Table 11.5** Data for problem 11.14 log K for the formation of the complexes [Mg(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>.

11.11 (a) Write down, in order, the names and symbols of the metals in group 2, check your answer by reference to the first page of this chapter. Which metals are classed as alkaline earth metals? (3) Give a general reaction that shows the ground state electronic configuration of each metal.

11.12 Using data in Table 6.4, determine the relative solubilities of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> and explain the relevance of your answer to the existence of magnesium from seawater.

11.3 Write an equation to show how Mg reacts with N<sub>2</sub> when heated. (3) Suggest how the product reacts with water.

11.4 The structure of magnesium carbonate, MgCO<sub>3</sub>, is of the NaCl type, elongated along one axis. (a) Explain how this elongation arises. (b) What do you infer from the fact that there is no similar elongation in NaCl which also crystallizes with a NaCl lattice?

11.5 Write balanced equations for the following reactions: (a) the thermal decomposition of [NH<sub>4</sub>][BF<sub>4</sub>]; (b) the reaction between NaCl and BeCl<sub>2</sub>; (c) the dissolution of BeF<sub>2</sub> in water.

11.6 (a) Suggest a likely structure for the dimer of BeCl<sub>2</sub>, present in the vapour phase below 1028 K. What hybridization scheme is appropriate for the Be centres? (b) BeCl<sub>2</sub> dissolves in diethyl ether to form monomeric BeCl<sub>2</sub>·2Et<sub>2</sub>O, suggest a structure for this compound and give a description of the bonding.

11.7 MgF<sub>2</sub> has a ZnS lattice. (a) Sketch a unit cell of MgF<sub>2</sub>, and (b) confirm the stoichiometry of MgF<sub>2</sub> using the unit cell structure.

11.8 Discuss the trends in data in Table 11.4.

11.9 How do antiferrous CaCl<sub>2</sub> and CaF<sub>2</sub> function as drying agents? (4) Compare the solid state structures and properties of BeCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub>.


11.10 How would you attempt to minimize the following? (a) Δ<sub>f</sub>H<sup>0</sup> for the solid state reaction: MgCl<sub>2</sub> + Mg → 2MgCl

**Table 11.4** Data for problem 11.8.

**Table 11.5** Data for problem 11.14 log K for the formation of the complexes [Mg(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>.


Topic boxes relate inorganic chemistry to real-life examples of environmental and biological resources, illustrate applications, and provide information on chemical and theoretical background.

End-of chapter problems, including a set of 'overview' problems, each covering a broad range of material from the chapter.



The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying a page from Pearson Education. The page title is "INORGANIC CHEMISTRY SECOND EDITION" by Catherine E. Housecroft and Alan G. Sharpe. The page is titled "Multiple choice questions" and contains a question about the number of neutrons in an isotope of argon. The question is: "For an isotope of argon ( $Z = 18$ ), the mass number is 40. The number of neutrons in this isotope is:". The options are:  18,  40,  22, and  the same as in any other isotope of argon.

Multiple choice questions with immediate online results



The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying a page from Pearson Education. The page title is "INORGANIC CHEMISTRY SECOND EDITION" by Catherine E. Housecroft and Alan G. Sharpe. The page is titled "Housecroft and Sharpe *Inorganic Chemistry*, second edition" and "Box 1.1(a)". The page features a rotatable ball-and-stick model of a molecule, which is a benzene ring (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>).

Chime-viewable rotatable molecules

# Chapter 1

## Some basic concepts

### TOPICS

- Fundamental particles
- Atomic number, mass number and isotopes
- An overview of quantum theory
- Orbitals of the hydrogen atom and quantum numbers
- The multi-electron atom, the *aufbau* principle and electronic configurations
- The periodic table
- Ionization energies and electron affinities
- Lewis structures
- Valence bond theory
- Fundamentals of molecular orbital theory
- The octet rule
- Electronegativity
- Dipole moments
- MO theory: heteronuclear diatomic molecules
- Isoelectronic molecules
- Molecular shape and the VSEPR model
- Geometrical isomerism

### 1.1 Introduction

#### Inorganic chemistry: it is not an isolated branch of chemistry

If organic chemistry is considered to be the ‘chemistry of carbon’, then inorganic chemistry is the chemistry of all elements except carbon. In its broadest sense, this is true, but of course there are overlaps between branches of chemistry. A topical example is the chemistry of the *fullerenes* (see [Section 13.4](#)) including  $C_{60}$  (see [Figure 13.5](#)) and  $C_{70}$ ; this was the subject of the award of the 1996 Nobel Prize in Chemistry to Professors Sir Harry Kroto, Richard Smalley and Robert Curl. An understanding of such molecules and related species called *nanotubes* involves studies by organic, inorganic and physical chemists as well as by physicists and materials scientists.

Inorganic chemistry is not simply the study of elements and compounds; it is also the study of physical principles. For example, in order to understand why some compounds are soluble in a given solvent and others are not, we apply laws of thermodynamics. If our aim is to propose details of a reaction mechanism, then a knowledge of reaction kinetics is needed. Overlap between physical and inorganic chemistry is also significant in the study of molecular structure. In the solid state, X-ray diffraction methods are routinely used to obtain pictures of the spatial arrangements of atoms in a

molecule or molecular ion. To interpret the behaviour of molecules in solution, we use physical techniques such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy; the equivalence or not of particular nuclei on a spectroscopic timescale may indicate whether a molecule is static or undergoing a dynamic process (see [Section 2.11](#)). In this text, we describe the *results* of such experiments but we will not, in general, discuss underlying theories; several texts which cover experimental details of such techniques are listed at the end of Chapter 1.

#### The aims of Chapter 1

In this chapter, we outline some concepts fundamental to an understanding of inorganic chemistry. We have assumed that readers are to some extent familiar with most of these concepts and our aim is to give a point of reference for review purposes.

### 1.2 Fundamental particles of an atom

An *atom* is the smallest unit quantity of an element that is capable of existence, either alone or in chemical combination with other atoms of the same or another element. The fundamental particles of which atoms are composed are the *proton*, *electron* and *neutron*.

**Table 1.1** Properties of the proton, electron and neutron.

|                                 | Proton                   | Electron                 | Neutron                 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Charge / C                      | $+1.602 \times 10^{-19}$ | $-1.602 \times 10^{-19}$ | 0                       |
| Charge number (relative charge) | 1                        | -1                       | 0                       |
| Rest mass / kg                  | $1.673 \times 10^{-27}$  | $9.109 \times 10^{-31}$  | $1.675 \times 10^{-27}$ |
| Relative mass                   | 1837                     | 1                        | 1839                    |


A neutron and a proton have approximately the same mass and, relative to these, an electron has negligible mass (Table 1.1). The charge on a proton is positive and of equal magnitude, but opposite sign, to that on a negatively charged electron; a neutron has no charge. In an atom of any element, there are equal numbers of protons and electrons and so an atom is neutral. The *nucleus* of an atom consists of protons and (with the exception of *protium*; see [Section 9.3](#)) neutrons, and is positively charged; the nucleus of protium consists of a single proton. The electrons occupy a region of space around the nucleus. Nearly all the mass of an atom is concentrated in the nucleus, but the volume of the nucleus is only a tiny fraction of that of the atom; the radius of the nucleus is about  $10^{-15}$  m while the atom itself is about  $10^5$  times larger than this. It follows that the density of the nucleus is enormous, more than  $10^{12}$  times than of the metal Pb.

Although chemists tend to consider the electron, proton and neutron as the fundamental (or elementary) particles of an atom, particle physicists would disagree, since their research shows the presence of yet smaller particles.

### 1.3 Atomic number, mass number and isotopes

#### Nuclides, atomic number and mass number

A *nuclide* is a particular type of atom and possesses a characteristic *atomic number*,  $Z$ , which is equal to the number of protons in the nucleus; because the atom is electrically neutral,  $Z$  also equals the number of electrons. The *mass number*,  $A$ , of a nuclide is the number of protons *and* neutrons in the nucleus. A shorthand method of showing the atomic number and mass number of a nuclide along with its symbol, E, is:



Atomic number =  $Z$  = number of protons in the nucleus = number of electrons

Mass number =  $A$  = number of protons + number of neutrons

Number of neutrons =  $A - Z$

#### Relative atomic mass

Since the electrons are of minute mass, the mass of an atom essentially depends upon the number of protons and neutrons in the nucleus. As Table 1.1 shows, the mass of a single atom is a very small, non-integral number, and for convenience we adopt a system of *relative atomic masses*. We define the atomic mass unit as 1/12th of the mass of a  ${}^{12}_6\text{C}$  atom so that it has the value  $1.660 \times 10^{-27}$  kg. *Relative atomic masses* ( $A_r$ ) are thus all stated relative to  ${}^{12}_6\text{C} = 12.0000$ . The masses of the proton and neutron can be considered to be  $\approx 1$  u where u is the *atomic mass unit* ( $1 \text{ u} \approx 1.660 \times 10^{-27}$  kg).

#### Isotopes

Nuclides of the same element possess the same number of protons and electrons but may have different mass numbers; the number of protons and electrons defines the element but the number of neutrons may vary. Nuclides of a particular element that differ in the number of neutrons and, therefore, their mass number, are called *isotopes* (see [Appendix 5](#)). Isotopes of some elements occur naturally while others may be produced artificially.

Elements that occur naturally with only one nuclide are *monotopic* and include phosphorus,  ${}^{31}_{15}\text{P}$ , and fluorine,  ${}^{19}_9\text{F}$ . Elements that exist as mixtures of isotopes include C ( ${}^{12}_6\text{C}$  and  ${}^{13}_6\text{C}$ ) and O ( ${}^{16}_8\text{O}$ ,  ${}^{17}_8\text{O}$  and  ${}^{18}_8\text{O}$ ). Since the atomic number is constant for a given element, isotopes are often distinguished only by stating the atomic masses, e.g.  ${}^{12}\text{C}$  and  ${}^{13}\text{C}$ .

#### Worked example 1.1 Relative atomic mass

**Calculate the value of  $A_r$  for naturally occurring chlorine if the distribution of isotopes is 75.77%  ${}^{35}_{17}\text{Cl}$  and 24.23%  ${}^{37}_{17}\text{Cl}$ . Accurate masses for  ${}^{35}\text{Cl}$  and  ${}^{37}\text{Cl}$  are 34.97 and 36.97.**

The relative atomic mass of chlorine is the weighted mean of the mass numbers of the two isotopes:

Relative atomic mass,

$$A_r = \left( \frac{75.77}{100} \times 34.97 \right) + \left( \frac{24.23}{100} \times 36.97 \right) = 35.45$$

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND


## Box 1.1 Isotopes and allotropes

**Do not confuse *isotope* and *allotrope*!** Sulfur exhibits both isotopes and allotropes. Isotopes of sulfur (with percentage naturally occurring abundances) are  $^{32}_{16}\text{S}$  (95.02%),  $^{33}_{16}\text{S}$  (0.75%),  $^{34}_{16}\text{S}$  (4.21%),  $^{36}_{16}\text{S}$  (0.02%).

Allotropes of an element are different structural modifications

of that element. Allotropes of sulfur include cyclic structures, e.g.  $\text{S}_6$  (see below) and  $\text{S}_8$  (Figure 1.1c), and  $\text{S}_x$ -chains of various lengths (polycatenasulfur).

Further examples of isotopes and allotropes appear throughout the book.



## Self-study exercises

1. If  $A_r$  for Cl is 35.45, what is the ratio of  $^{35}\text{Cl}:^{37}\text{Cl}$  present in a sample of Cl atoms containing naturally occurring Cl? [Ans. 3.17:1]
2. Calculate the value of  $A_r$  for naturally occurring Cu if the distribution of isotopes is 69.2%  $^{63}\text{Cu}$  and 30.8%  $^{65}\text{Cu}$ ; accurate masses are 62.93 and 64.93. [Ans. 63.5]
3. Why in question 2 is it adequate to write  $^{63}\text{Cu}$  rather than  $^{63}_{29}\text{Cu}$ ?
4. Calculate  $A_r$  for naturally occurring Mg if the isotope distribution is 78.99%  $^{24}\text{Mg}$ , 10.00%  $^{25}\text{Mg}$  and 11.01%  $^{26}\text{Mg}$ ; accurate masses are 23.99, 24.99 and 25.98. [Ans. 24.31]


Isotopes can be separated by *mass spectrometry* and Figure 1.1a shows the isotopic distribution in naturally occurring Ru. Compare this plot (in which the most abundant

isotope is set to 100) with the values listed in Appendix 5. Figure 1.1b shows a mass spectrometric trace for molecular  $\text{S}_8$ , the structure of which is shown in Figure 1.1c; five peaks are observed due to combinations of the isotopes of sulfur. (See problem 1.3 at the end of this chapter.)

Isotopes of an element have the same atomic number,  $Z$ , but different atomic masses.

## 1.4 Successes in early quantum theory

We saw in Section 1.2 that electrons in an atom occupy a region of space around the nucleus. The importance of electrons in determining the properties of atoms, ions and molecules, including the bonding between or within them, means that we must have an understanding of the



**Fig. 1.1** Mass spectrometric traces for (a) atomic Ru and (b) molecular  $\text{S}_8$ ; the mass:charge ratio is  $m/z$  and in these traces  $z = 1$ . (c) The molecular structure of  $\text{S}_8$ .

electronic structures of each species. No adequate discussion of electronic structure is possible without reference to *quantum theory* and *wave mechanics*. In this and the next few sections, we review some of the crucial concepts. The treatment is mainly qualitative, and for greater detail and more rigorous derivations of mathematical relationships, the references at the end of Chapter 1 should be consulted.

The development of quantum theory took place in two stages. In the older theories (1900–1925), the electron was treated as a particle, and the achievements of greatest significance to inorganic chemistry were the interpretation of atomic spectra and assignment of electronic configurations. In more recent models, the electron is treated as a wave (hence the name *wave mechanics*) and the main successes in chemistry are the elucidation of the basis of stereochemistry and methods for calculating the properties of molecules (exact *only* for species involving light atoms).

Since all the results obtained by using the older quantum theory may also be obtained from wave mechanics, it may seem unnecessary to refer to the former; indeed, sophisticated treatments of theoretical chemistry seldom do. However, most chemists often find it easier and more convenient to consider the electron as a particle rather than a wave.

## Some important successes of classical quantum theory

Historical discussions of the developments of quantum theory are dealt with adequately elsewhere, and so we focus only on some key points of *classical* quantum theory (in which the electron is considered to be a particle).

At low temperatures, the radiation emitted by a hot body is mainly of low energy and occurs in the infrared, but as the temperature increases, the radiation becomes successively dull red, bright red and white. Attempts to account for this observation failed until, in 1901, Planck suggested that energy could be absorbed or emitted only in *quanta* of magnitude  $\Delta E$  related to the frequency of the radiation,  $\nu$ , by equation 1.1. The proportionality constant is  $h$ , the Planck constant ( $h = 6.626 \times 10^{-34}$  J s).

$$\Delta E = h\nu \quad \text{Units: } E \text{ in J; } \nu \text{ in s}^{-1} \text{ or Hz} \quad (1.1)$$

$$c = \lambda\nu \quad \text{Units: } \lambda \text{ in m; } \nu \text{ in s}^{-1} \text{ or Hz} \quad (1.2)$$

The hertz, Hz, is the SI unit of frequency.

Since the frequency of radiation is related to the wavelength,  $\lambda$ , by equation 1.2, in which  $c$  is the speed of light in a vacuum ( $c = 2.998 \times 10^8$  m s<sup>-1</sup>), we can rewrite equation 1.1 in the form of equation 1.3 and relate the energy of radiation to its wavelength.

$$\Delta E = \frac{hc}{\lambda} \quad (1.3)$$

On the basis of this relationship, Planck derived a relative intensity/wavelength/temperature relationship which was in good agreement with experimental data. This derivation is not straightforward and we shall not reproduce it here.


One of the most important applications of early quantum theory was the interpretation of the atomic spectrum of hydrogen on the basis of the Rutherford–Bohr model of the atom. When an electric discharge is passed through a sample of dihydrogen, the H<sub>2</sub> molecules dissociate into atoms, and the electron in a particular *excited* H atom may be *promoted* to one of many high energy levels. These states are transient and the electron falls back to a lower energy state, emitting energy as it does so. The consequence is the observation of *spectral lines* in the emission spectrum of hydrogen; the spectrum (a small part of which is shown in Figure 1.2) consists of groups of discrete lines corresponding to electronic transitions, each of *discrete energy*. As long ago as 1885, Balmer pointed out that the wavelengths of the spectral lines observed in the visible region of the atomic spectrum of hydrogen obeyed equation 1.4, in which  $R$  is the Rydberg constant for hydrogen,  $\bar{\nu}$  is the wavenumber in cm<sup>-1</sup>, and  $n$  is an integer 3, 4, 5... This series of spectral lines is known as the *Balmer series*.

Wavenumber = reciprocal of wavelength; convenient (non-SI) units are ‘reciprocal centimetres’, cm<sup>-1</sup>


$$\bar{\nu} = \frac{1}{\lambda} = R \left( \frac{1}{2^2} - \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \quad (1.4)$$

$$R = \text{Rydberg constant for hydrogen} \\ = 1.097 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^{-1} = 1.097 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

Other series of spectral lines occur in the ultraviolet (Lyman series) and infrared (Paschen, Brackett and Pfund series). All



**Fig. 1.2** Part of the emission spectrum of atomic hydrogen. Groups of lines have particular names, e.g. Balmer and Lyman series.



**Fig. 1.3** Some of the transitions that make up the Lyman and Balmer series in the emission spectrum of atomic hydrogen.

lines in all the series obey the general expression given in equation 1.5 where  $n' > n$ . For the Lyman series,  $n = 1$ , for the Balmer series,  $n = 2$ , and for the Paschen, Brackett and Pfund series,  $n = 3, 4$  and  $5$  respectively. Figure 1.3 shows some of the allowed transitions of the Lyman and Balmer series in the emission spectrum of atomic H. Note the use of the word *allowed*; the transitions must obey *selection rules*, to which we return in Section 20.6.

$$\bar{\nu} = \frac{1}{\lambda} = R \left( \frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{n'^2} \right) \quad (1.5)$$

## Bohr's theory of the atomic spectrum of hydrogen

In 1913, Niels Bohr combined elements of quantum theory and classical physics in a treatment of the hydrogen atom. He stated two postulates for an electron in an atom:

- *Stationary states* exist in which the energy of the electron is constant; such states are characterized by *circular orbits* about the nucleus in which the electron has an angular momentum  $mvr$  given by equation 1.6. The integer,  $n$ , is the *principal quantum number*.

$$mvr = n \left( \frac{h}{2\pi} \right) \quad (1.6)$$

where  $m$  = mass of electron;  $v$  = velocity of electron;  $r$  = radius of the orbit;  $h$  = the Planck constant;  $h/2\pi$  may be written as  $\hbar$ .

- Energy is absorbed or emitted only when an electron moves from one stationary state to another and the energy change is given by equation 1.7 where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the principal quantum numbers referring to the energy levels  $E_{n_1}$  and  $E_{n_2}$  respectively.

$$\Delta E = E_{n_2} - E_{n_1} = h\nu \quad (1.7)$$

If we apply the Bohr model to the H atom, the radius of each allowed circular orbit can be determined from equation 1.8. The origin of this expression lies in the centrifugal force acting on the electron as it moves in its circular orbit; for the orbit to be maintained, the centrifugal force must equal the force of attraction between the negatively charged electron and the positively charged nucleus.

$$r_n = \frac{\epsilon_0 h^2 n^2}{\pi m_e e^2} \quad (1.8)$$

where  $\epsilon_0$  = permittivity of a vacuum

$$= 8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F m}^{-1}$$

$$h = \text{Planck constant} = 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$$

$$n = 1, 2, 3 \dots \text{describing a given orbit}$$

$$m_e = \text{electron rest mass} = 9.109 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg}$$

$$e = \text{charge on an electron (elementary charge)}$$

$$= 1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$$

From equation 1.8, substitution of  $n = 1$  gives a radius for the first orbit of the H atom of  $5.293 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}$ , or

52.93 pm. This value is called the *Bohr radius* of the H atom and is given the symbol  $a_0$ .

An increase in the principal quantum number from  $n = 1$  to  $n = \infty$  has a special significance; it corresponds to the ionization of the atom (equation 1.9) and the ionization energy,  $IE$ , can be determined by combining equations 1.5 and 1.7, as shown in equation 1.10. Values of  $IE$ s are quoted *per mole of atoms*:

One mole of a substance contains the Avogadro number,  $L$ , of particles:

$$L = 6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$$



$$\begin{aligned} IE = E_\infty - E_1 &= \frac{hc}{\lambda} = hcR \left( \frac{1}{1^2} - \frac{1}{\infty^2} \right) & (1.10) \\ &= 2.179 \times 10^{-18} \text{ J} \\ &= 2.179 \times 10^{-18} \times 6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ J mol}^{-1} \\ &= 1.312 \times 10^6 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \\ &= 1312 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Although the SI unit of energy is the joule, ionization energies are often expressed in electron volts (eV) ( $1 \text{ eV} = 96.4853 \approx 96.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ).

Impressive as the success of the Bohr model was when applied to the H atom, extensive modifications were required to cope with species containing more than one electron; we shall not pursue this further here.

## 1.5 An introduction to wave mechanics

### The wave-nature of electrons

The quantum theory of radiation introduced by Max Planck and Albert Einstein implies a particle theory of light, in addition to the wave theory of light required by the phenomena of interference and diffraction. In 1924, Louis de Broglie argued that if light were composed of particles and yet showed wave-like properties, the same should be true of electrons and other particles. This phenomenon is referred to as *wave-particle duality*. The de Broglie relationship (equation 1.11) combines the concepts of classical mechanics with the idea of wave-like properties by showing that a particle with momentum  $mv$  ( $m$  = mass and  $v$  = velocity of the particle) possesses an associated wave of wavelength  $\lambda$ .

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{mv} \quad \text{where } h \text{ is the Planck constant} \quad (1.11)$$

An important physical observation which is a consequence of the de Broglie relationship is that electrons accelerated

to a velocity of  $6 \times 10^6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  (by a potential of 100 V) have an associated wavelength of  $\approx 120 \text{ pm}$  and such electrons are diffracted as they pass through a crystal. This phenomenon is the basis of electron diffraction techniques used to determine structures of chemical compounds (see [Box 1.2](#)).

### The uncertainty principle

If an electron has wave-like properties, there is an important and difficult consequence: it becomes impossible to know exactly both the momentum and position of the electron *at the same instant in time*. This is a statement of Heisenberg's *uncertainty principle*. In order to get around this problem, rather than trying to define its exact position and momentum, we use the *probability of finding the electron* in a given volume of space. The probability of finding an electron at a given point in space is determined from the function  $\psi^2$  where  $\psi$  is a mathematical function which describes the behaviour of an electron-wave;  $\psi$  is the *wavefunction*.

The probability of finding an electron at a given point in space is determined from the function  $\psi^2$  where  $\psi$  is the *wavefunction*.

### The Schrödinger wave equation

Information about the wavefunction is obtained from the Schrödinger wave equation, which can be set up and solved either exactly or approximately; the Schrödinger equation can be solved *exactly only* for a species containing a nucleus and *only one* electron (e.g.  $^1\text{H}$ ,  $^4\text{He}^+$ ), i.e. a *hydrogen-like* system.

A *hydrogen-like atom or ion* contains a nucleus and only one electron.

The Schrödinger wave equation may be represented in several forms and in Box 1.3 we examine its application to the motion of a particle in a one-dimensional box; equation 1.12 gives the form of the Schrödinger wave equation that is appropriate for motion in the  $x$  direction:

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + \frac{8\pi^2m}{h^2}(E - V)\psi = 0 \quad (1.12)$$

where  $m$  = mass,  $E$  = total energy and  $V$  = potential energy of the particle.

Of course, in reality, electrons move in three-dimensional space and an appropriate form of the Schrödinger wave equation is given in equation 1.13.

$$\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial z^2} + \frac{8\pi^2m}{h^2}(E - V)\psi = 0 \quad (1.13)$$


Solving this equation will not concern us, although it is useful to note that it is advantageous to work in spherical polar coordinates (Figure 1.4). When we look at the results obtained from the Schrödinger wave equation, we talk in terms of the *radial and angular parts of the wavefunction*,

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 1.2 Determination of structure: electron diffraction

The diffraction of electrons by molecules illustrates the fact that the electrons behave as both particles and waves. Electrons that have been accelerated through a potential difference of 50 kV possess a wavelength of 5.5 pm and a monochromated (i.e. a single wavelength) electron beam is suitable for diffraction by molecules in the gas phase. The electron diffraction apparatus (maintained under high vacuum) is arranged so that the electron beam interacts with a gas stream emerging from a nozzle. The electric fields of the atomic nuclei in the sample are responsible for most of the electron scattering that is observed.

Electron diffraction studies of gas phase samples are concerned with molecules that are continually in motion, which are, therefore, in random orientations and well separated from one another. The diffraction data therefore mainly provide information about *intramolecular* bond parameters (contrast with the results of X-ray diffraction, see Box 5.5). The initial data relate the scattering angle of the electron beam to intensity. After corrections have been made for *atomic scattering*, *molecular scattering* data are obtained, and from these data it is possible (via Fourier transformation) to obtain interatomic distances between all possible (bonded and non-bonded) pairs of atoms in the gaseous molecule. Converting these distances into a three-dimensional molecular structure is not trivial, particularly for large molecules. As a simple example, consider electron diffraction data for  $\text{BCl}_3$  in the gas phase. The results give bonded distances  $\text{B}-\text{Cl}=174\text{ pm}$  (all bonds of equal length) and non-bonded distances  $\text{Cl}-\text{Cl}=301\text{ pm}$  (three equal distances):




By trigonometry, it is possible to show that each  $\text{Cl}-\text{B}-\text{Cl}$  bond angle,  $\theta$ , is equal to  $120^\circ$  and that  $\text{BCl}_3$  is therefore a planar molecule.

Electron diffraction is not confined to the study of gases. Low energy electrons (10–200 eV) are diffracted from the surface of a solid and the diffraction pattern so obtained provides information about the arrangement of atoms on the surface of the solid sample. This technique is called *low energy electron diffraction* (LEED).

## Further reading

- E.A.V. Ebsworth, D.W.H. Rankin and S. Cradock (1991) *Structural Methods in Inorganic Chemistry*, 2nd edn, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL – A chapter on diffraction methods includes electron diffraction by gases and liquids.
- C. Hammond (2001) *The Basics of Crystallography and Diffraction*, 2nd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – Chapter 11 covers electron diffraction and its applications.



**Fig. 1.4** Definition of the polar coordinates  $(r, \theta, \phi)$  for a point shown here in pink;  $r$  is the radial coordinate and  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  are angular coordinates.  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  are measured in radians (rad). Cartesian axes ( $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ ) are also shown.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 1.3 Particle in a box

The following discussion illustrates the so-called *particle in a one-dimensional box* and illustrates quantization arising from the Schrödinger wave equation.

The *Schrödinger wave equation* for the motion of a particle in one dimension is given by:

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + \frac{8\pi^2m}{h^2}(E - V)\psi = 0$$

where  $m$  is the mass,  $E$  is the total energy and  $V$  is the potential energy of the particle. The derivation of this equation is considered in the set of exercises at the end of Box 1.3. For a given system for which  $V$  and  $m$  are known, we can use the Schrödinger equation to obtain values of  $E$  (the *allowed energies of the particle*) and  $\psi$  (the *wavefunction*). The wavefunction itself has no physical meaning, but  $\psi^2$  is a probability (see main text) and for this to be the case,  $\psi$  must have certain properties:

- $\psi$  must be finite for all values of  $x$ ;
- $\psi$  can only have one value for any value of  $x$ ;
- $\psi$  and  $\frac{d\psi}{dx}$  must vary continuously as  $x$  varies.

Now, consider a particle that is undergoing simple-harmonic wave-like motion in one dimension, i.e. we can fix the direction of wave propagation to be along the  $x$  axis (the choice of  $x$  is arbitrary). Let the motion be further constrained such that the particle cannot go outside the fixed, vertical walls of a box of width  $a$ . There is no force acting on the particle *within* the box and so the potential energy,  $V$ , is zero; if we take  $V = 0$ , we are placing limits on  $x$  such that  $0 \leq x \leq a$ , i.e. the particle cannot move outside the box. The only restriction that we place on the total energy  $E$  is that it must be positive and cannot be infinite. There is one further restriction that we shall simply state: the *boundary condition* for the particle in the box is that  $\psi$  must be zero when  $x = 0$  and  $x = a$ .

Now let us rewrite the Schrödinger equation for the specific case of the particle in the one-dimensional box where  $V = 0$ :

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} = -\frac{8\pi^2mE}{h^2}\psi$$

which may be written in the simpler form:

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} = -k^2\psi \quad \text{where} \quad k^2 = \frac{8\pi^2mE}{h^2}$$

The solution to this (a well-known general equation) is:

$$\psi = A \sin kx + B \cos kx$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are integration constants. When  $x = 0$ ,  $\sin kx = 0$  and  $\cos kx = 1$ ; hence,  $\psi = B$  when  $x = 0$ . However, the boundary condition above stated that  $\psi = 0$  when  $x = 0$ , and this is only true if  $B = 0$ . Also from the boundary condition, we see that  $\psi = 0$  when  $x = a$ , and hence we can rewrite the above equation in the form:

$$\psi = A \sin ka = 0$$

Since the probability,  $\psi^2$ , that the particle will be at points between  $x = 0$  and  $x = a$  cannot be zero (i.e. the particle must be somewhere inside the box),  $A$  cannot be zero and the last equation is only valid if:

$$ka = n\pi$$

where  $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$ ;  $n$  cannot be zero as this would make the probability,  $\psi^2$ , zero meaning that the particle would no longer be in the box.

Combining the last two equations gives:


$$\psi = A \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a}$$

and, from earlier:

$$E = \frac{k^2 h^2}{8\pi^2 m} = \frac{n^2 h^2}{8ma^2}$$

where  $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$ ;  $n$  is the *quantum number* determining the energy of a particle of mass  $m$  confined within a one-dimensional box of width  $a$ . So, the limitations placed on the value of  $\psi$  have led to *quantized energy levels*, the spacing of which is determined by  $m$  and  $a$ .

The resultant motion of the particle is described by a series of standing sine waves, three of which are illustrated below. The wavefunction  $\psi_2$  has a wavelength of  $a$ , while wavefunctions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_3$  possess wavelengths of  $\frac{a}{2}$  and  $\frac{2a}{3}$  respectively. Each of the waves in the diagram has an amplitude of zero at the origin (i.e. at the point  $a = 0$ ); points at which  $\psi = 0$  are called *nodes*. For a given particle of mass  $m$ , the separations of the energy levels vary according to  $n^2$ , i.e. the spacings are not equal.



**Self-study exercises**

Consider a particle that is undergoing simple-harmonic wave-like motion in one dimension, with the wave propagation along the  $x$  axis. The general equation for the wave is:

$$\psi = A \sin \frac{2\pi x}{\lambda}$$

where  $A$  is the amplitude of the wave.

1. If  $\psi = A \sin \frac{2\pi x}{\lambda}$ , find  $\frac{d\psi}{dx}$  and hence show that

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} = -\frac{4\pi^2}{\lambda^2}\psi.$$

2. If the particle in the box is of mass  $m$  and moves with velocity  $v$ , what is its kinetic energy,  $KE$ ? Using the de Broglie equation (1.11), write an expression for  $KE$  in terms of  $m$ ,  $h$  and  $\lambda$ .
3. The equation you derived in part (2) applies only to a particle moving in a space in which the potential energy,  $V$ , is constant, and the particle can be regarded as possessing only kinetic energy,  $KE$ . If the potential energy of the particle does vary, the total energy,  $E = KE + V$ . Using this information and your answers to parts (1) and (2), derive the Schrödinger equation (stated on p. 8) for a particle in a one-dimensional box.

and this is represented in equation 1.14 where  $R(r)$  and  $A(\theta, \phi)$  are radial and angular wavefunctions respectively.<sup>†</sup>

$$\psi_{\text{Cartesian}}(x, y, z) \equiv \psi_{\text{radial}}(r)\psi_{\text{angular}}(\theta, \phi) = R(r)A(\theta, \phi) \quad (1.14)$$

Having solved the wave equation, what are the results?

- The wavefunction  $\psi$  is a solution of the Schrödinger equation and describes the behaviour of an electron in a region of space called the *atomic orbital*.
- We can find energy values that are associated with particular wavefunctions.
- The quantization of energy levels arises naturally from the Schrödinger equation (see **Box 1.3**).

A wavefunction  $\psi$  is a mathematical function that contains detailed information about the behaviour of an electron. An atomic wavefunction  $\psi$  consists of a radial component,  $R(r)$ , and an angular component,  $A(\theta, \phi)$ . The region of space defined by a wavefunction is called an atomic orbital.

**1.6 Atomic orbitals****The quantum numbers  $n$ ,  $l$  and  $m_l$** 

An atomic orbital is usually described in terms of three integral *quantum numbers*. We have already encountered the *principal quantum number*,  $n$ , in the Bohr model of the hydrogen atom. The principal quantum number is a positive integer with values lying between the limits  $1 \leq n < \infty$ ; allowed values arise when the radial part of the wavefunction is solved.

Two more quantum numbers,  $l$  and  $m_l$ , appear when the angular part of the wavefunction is solved. The quantum

number  $l$  is called the *orbital quantum number* and has allowed values of  $0, 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)$ . The value of  $l$  determines the shape of the atomic orbital, and the *orbital angular momentum* of the electron. The value of the *magnetic quantum number*,  $m_l$ , gives information about the directionality of an atomic orbital and has integral values between  $+l$  and  $-l$ .

Each atomic orbital may be uniquely labelled by a set of three quantum numbers:  $n$ ,  $l$  and  $m_l$ .

**Worked example 1.2 Quantum numbers: atomic orbitals**

**Given that the principal quantum number,  $n$ , is 2, write down the allowed values of  $l$  and  $m_l$ , and determine the number of atomic orbitals possible for  $n = 3$ .**

For a given value of  $n$ , the allowed values of  $l$  are  $0, 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)$ , and those of  $m_l$  are  $-l, \dots, 0, \dots, +l$ .

For  $n = 2$ , allowed values of  $l = 0$  or  $1$ .

For  $l = 0$ , the allowed value of  $m_l = 0$ .

For  $l = 1$ , allowed values of  $m_l = -1, 0, +1$

Each set of three quantum numbers defines a particular atomic orbital, and, therefore, for  $n = 2$ , there are four atomic orbitals with the sets of quantum numbers:

$$n = 2, \quad l = 0, \quad m_l = 0$$

$$n = 2, \quad l = 1, \quad m_l = -1$$

$$n = 2, \quad l = 1, \quad m_l = 0$$

$$n = 2, \quad l = 1, \quad m_l = +1$$

**Self-study exercises**

1. If  $m_l$  has values of  $-1, 0, +1$ , write down the corresponding value of  $l$ . [Ans.  $l = 1$ ]
2. If  $l$  has values  $0, 1, 2$  and  $3$ , deduce the corresponding value of  $n$ . [Ans.  $n = 4$ ]

<sup>†</sup> The radial component in equation 1.14 depends on the quantum numbers  $n$  and  $l$ , whereas the angular component depends on  $l$  and  $m_l$ , and the components should really be written as  $R_{n,l}(r)$  and  $A_{l,m_l}(\theta, \phi)$ .

3. For  $n = 1$ , what are the allowed values of  $l$  and  $m_l$ ?  
[Ans.  $l = 0$ ;  $m_l = 0$ ]
4. Complete the following sets of quantum numbers: (a)  $n = 4$ ,  $l = 0$ ,  $m_l = \dots$ ; (b)  $n = 3$ ,  $l = 1$ ,  $m_l = \dots$   
[Ans. (a) 0; (b)  $-1, 0, +1$ ]

The distinction among the *types* of atomic orbital arises from their *shapes* and *symmetries*. The four types of atomic orbital most commonly encountered are the  $s$ ,  $p$ ,  $d$  and  $f$  orbitals, and the corresponding values of  $l$  are 0, 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Each atomic orbital is labelled with values of  $n$  and  $l$ , and hence we speak of  $1s$ ,  $2s$ ,  $2p$ ,  $3s$ ,  $3p$ ,  $3d$ ,  $4s$ ,  $4p$ ,  $4d$ ,  $4f$  etc. orbitals.

For an  $s$  orbital,  $l = 0$ . For a  $p$  orbital,  $l = 1$ .  
For a  $d$  orbital,  $l = 2$ . For an  $f$  orbital,  $l = 3$ .

### Worked example 1.3 Quantum numbers: types of orbital

Using the rules that govern the values of the quantum numbers  $n$  and  $l$ , write down the possible types of atomic orbital for  $n = 1, 2$  and  $3$ .

The allowed values of  $l$  are integers between 0 and  $(n - 1)$ .  
For  $n = 1$ ,  $l = 0$ .  
The only atomic orbital for  $n = 1$  is the  $1s$  orbital.

For  $n = 2$ ,  $l = 0$  or  $1$ .

The allowed atomic orbitals for  $n = 2$  are the  $2s$  and  $2p$  orbitals.

For  $n = 3$ ,  $l = 0, 1$  or  $2$ .

The allowed atomic orbitals for  $n = 3$  are the  $3s$ ,  $3p$  and  $3d$  orbitals.

### Self-study exercises

- Write down the possible types of atomic orbital for  $n = 4$ .  
[Ans.  $4s, 4p, 4d, 4f$ ]
- Which atomic orbital has values of  $n = 4$  and  $l = 2$ ?  
[Ans.  $4d$ ]
- Give the three quantum numbers that describe a  $2s$  atomic orbital.  
[Ans.  $n = 2, l = 0, m_l = 0$ ]
- Which quantum number distinguishes the  $3s$  and  $5s$  atomic orbitals?  
[Ans.  $n$ ]

Degenerate orbitals possess the same energy.

Now consider the consequence on these orbital types of the quantum number  $m_l$ . For an  $s$  orbital,  $l = 0$  and  $m_l$

can only equal 0. This means that for any value of  $n$ , there is only one  $s$  orbital; it is said to be singly degenerate. For a  $p$  orbital,  $l = 1$ , and there are three possible  $m_l$  values:  $+1, 0, -1$ . This means that there are three  $p$  orbitals for a given value of  $n$  when  $n \geq 2$ ; the set of  $p$  orbitals is said to be triply or three-fold degenerate. For a  $d$  orbital,  $l = 2$ , and there are five possible values of  $m_l$ :  $+2, +1, 0, -1, -2$ , meaning that for a given value of  $n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), there are five  $d$  orbitals; the set is said to be five-fold degenerate. As an exercise, you should show that there are seven  $f$  orbitals in a degenerate set for a given value of  $n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ).

For a given value of  $n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) there is one  $s$  atomic orbital.

For a given value of  $n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) there are three  $p$  atomic orbitals.

For a given value of  $n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) there are five  $d$  atomic orbitals.

For a given value of  $n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ) there are seven  $f$  atomic orbitals.

### The radial part of the wavefunction, $R(r)$

The mathematical forms of some of the wave functions for the H atom are listed in Table 1.2. Figure 1.5 shows plots of the radial parts of the wavefunction,  $R(r)$ , against distance,  $r$ , from the nucleus for the  $1s$  and  $2s$  atomic orbitals of the hydrogen atom, and Figure 1.6 shows plots of  $R(r)$  against  $r$  for the  $2p$ ,  $3p$ ,  $4p$  and  $3d$  atomic orbitals; the nucleus is at  $r = 0$ .

From Table 1.2, we see that the radial parts of the wavefunctions decay exponentially as  $r$  increases, but the decay is slower for  $n = 2$  than for  $n = 1$ . This means that the likelihood of the electron being further from the nucleus increases as  $n$  increases. This pattern continues for higher values of  $n$ . The exponential decay can be seen clearly in Figure 1.5a. Several points should be noted from the plots of the radial parts of wavefunctions in Figures 1.5 and 1.6:

- $s$  atomic orbitals have a finite value of  $R(r)$  at the nucleus;
- for all orbitals other than  $s$ ,  $R(r) = 0$  at the nucleus;
- for the  $1s$  orbital,  $R(r)$  is always positive; for the first orbital of other types (i.e.  $2p, 3d, 4f$ ),  $R(r)$  is positive everywhere except at the origin;
- for the second orbital of a given type (i.e.  $2s, 3p, 4d, 5f$ ),  $R(r)$  may be positive or negative but the wavefunction has only one sign change; the point at which  $R(r) = 0$  (not including the origin) is called a radial node;
- for the third orbital of a given type (i.e.  $3s, 4p, 5d, 6f$ ),  $R(r)$  has two sign changes, i.e. it possesses two radial nodes.

$ns$  orbitals have  $(n - 1)$  radial nodes.

$np$  orbitals have  $(n - 2)$  radial nodes.

$nd$  orbitals have  $(n - 3)$  radial nodes.

$nf$  orbitals have  $(n - 4)$  radial nodes.

**Table 1.2** Solutions of the Schrödinger equation for the hydrogen atom which define the 1s, 2s and 2p atomic orbitals. For these forms of the solutions, the distance  $r$  from the nucleus is measured in atomic units.

| Atomic orbital | $n$ | $l$ | $m_l$ | Radial part of the wavefunction, $R(r)^\ddagger$ | Angular part of wavefunction, $A(\theta, \phi)$    |
|----------------|-----|-----|-------|--|--|
| 1s             | 1   | 0   | 0     | $2e^{-r}$  | $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$                            |
| 2s             | 2   | 0   | 0     | $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(2-r)e^{-r/2}$               | $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$                            |
| $2p_x$         | 2   | 1   | +1    | $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}re^{-r/2}$                   | $\frac{\sqrt{3}(\sin\theta\cos\phi)}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$ |
| $2p_z$         | 2   | 1   | 0     | $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}re^{-r/2}$                   | $\frac{\sqrt{3}(\cos\theta)}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$         |
| $2p_y$         | 2   | 1   | -1    | $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}re^{-r/2}$                   | $\frac{\sqrt{3}(\sin\theta\sin\phi)}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$ |

$^\ddagger$  For the 1s atomic orbital, the formula for  $R(r)$  is actually:  $2\left(\frac{Z}{a_0}\right)^{3/2}e^{-Zr/a_0}$

but for the hydrogen atom,  $Z = 1$  and  $a_0 = 1$  atomic unit. Other functions are similarly simplified.

### The radial distribution function, $4\pi r^2 R(r)^2$


Let us now consider how we might represent atomic orbitals in three-dimensional space. We said earlier that a useful description of an electron in an atom is the *probability of finding the electron* in a given volume of space. The function  $\psi^2$  (see [Box 1.4](#)) is proportional to the *probability density* of the electron at a point in space. By considering values of  $\psi^2$  at points around the nucleus, we can define a *surface boundary* which encloses the volume of space in which the electron will spend, say, 95% of its time. This effectively gives us a physical representation of the atomic orbital, since  $\psi^2$  may be described in terms of the radial and angular components  $R(r)^2$  and  $A(\theta, \phi)^2$ .

First consider the radial components. A useful way of depicting the probability density is to plot a *radial distribution*

*function* (equation 1.15) and this allows us to envisage the region in space in which the electron is found.

$$\text{Radial distribution function} = 4\pi r^2 R(r)^2 \quad (1.15)$$

The radial distribution functions for the 1s, 2s and 3s atomic orbitals of hydrogen are shown in Figure 1.7, and Figure 1.8 shows those of the 3s, 3p and 3d orbitals. *Each* function is zero at the nucleus, following from the  $r^2$  term and the fact that at the nucleus  $r = 0$ . Since the function depends on  $R(r)^2$ , it is always positive in contrast to  $R(r)$ , plots for which are shown in Figures 1.5 and 1.6. Each plot of  $4\pi r^2 R(r)^2$  shows at least one maximum value for the function, corresponding to a distance from the nucleus at which the electron has the highest probability of being found. Points at which  $4\pi r^2 R(r)^2 = 0$  (ignoring  $r = 0$ ) correspond to radial nodes where  $R(r) = 0$ .



**Fig. 1.5** Plots of the radial parts of the wavefunction,  $R(r)$ , against distance,  $r$ , from the nucleus for (a) the 1s and (b) the 2s atomic orbitals of the hydrogen atom; the nucleus is at  $r = 0$ . The vertical scales for the two plots are different but the horizontal scales are the same.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Box 1.4 Notation for  $\psi^2$  and its normalization


Although we use  $\psi^2$  in the text, it should strictly be written as  $\psi\psi^*$  where  $\psi^*$  is the complex conjugate of  $\psi$ . In the  $x$ -direction, the probability of finding the electron between the limits  $x$  and  $(x + dx)$  is proportional to  $\psi(x)\psi^*(x) dx$ . In three-dimensional space this is expressed as  $\psi\psi^* d\tau$  in which we are considering the probability of finding the electron in a volume element  $d\tau$ . For just the radial part of the wavefunction, the function is  $R(r)R^*(r)$ .

In all of our mathematical manipulations, we must ensure that the result shows that the electron is *somewhere*

(i.e. it has not vanished!) and this is done by *normalizing* the wavefunction to unity. This means that the probability of finding the electron somewhere in space is taken to be 1. Mathematically, the normalization is represented as follows:

$$\int \psi^2 d\tau = 1 \quad \text{or more correctly} \quad \int \psi\psi^* d\tau = 1$$

and this effectively states that the integral ( $\int$ ) is over all space ( $d\tau$ ) and that the total integral of  $\psi^2$  (or  $\psi\psi^*$ ) must be unity.




**Fig. 1.6** Plots of radial parts of the wavefunction  $R(r)$  against  $r$  for the  $2p$ ,  $3p$ ,  $4p$  and  $3d$  atomic orbitals; the nucleus is at  $r = 0$ .


The angular part of the wavefunction,  $A(\theta, \phi)$ 

Now let us consider the angular parts of the wavefunctions,  $A(\theta, \phi)$ , for different types of atomic orbitals. These are *independent* of the principal quantum number as Table 1.2 illustrates for  $n = 1$  and 2. Moreover, for  $s$  orbitals,  $A(\theta, \phi)$

is independent of the angles  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  and is of a constant value. Thus, an  $s$  orbital is spherically symmetric about the nucleus. We noted above that a set of  $p$  orbitals is triply degenerate; by convention they are given the labels  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$  and  $p_z$ . From Table 1.2, we see that the angular part of the  $p_z$  wavefunction is independent of  $\phi$ ; the orbital can be



**Fig. 1.7** Radial distribution functions,  $4\pi r^2 R(r)^2$ , for the  $1s$ ,  $2s$  and  $3s$  atomic orbitals of the hydrogen atom.



**Fig. 1.8** Radial distribution functions,  $4\pi r^2 R(r)^2$ , for the 3s, 3p and 3d atomic orbitals of the hydrogen atom.

represented as two spheres (touching at the origin)<sup>†</sup>, the centres of which lie on the  $z$  axis. For the  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  orbitals,  $A(\theta, \phi)$  depends on both the angles  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ ; these orbitals are similar to  $p_z$  but are oriented along the  $x$  and  $y$  axes.

Although we must not lose sight of the fact that wavefunctions are mathematical in origin, most chemists find such functions hard to visualize and prefer pictorial representations of orbitals. The boundary surfaces of the  $s$  and three  $p$  atomic orbitals are shown in Figure 1.9. The different colours of the lobes are significant. The boundary surface of an  $s$  orbital has a constant phase, i.e. the amplitude of the wavefunction associated with the boundary surface of the  $s$  orbital has a constant sign. For a  $p$  orbital, there is one phase change with respect to the boundary surface and this occurs at a nodal plane as is shown for the  $p_z$  orbital in Figure 1.9. The amplitude of a wavefunction may be positive or negative; this is shown using + and – signs, or by shading the lobes in different colours as in Figure 1.9.

Just as the function  $4\pi r^2 R(r)^2$  represents the probability of finding an electron at a distance  $r$  from the nucleus, we use a function dependent upon  $A(\theta, \phi)^2$  to represent the probability in terms of  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ . For an  $s$  orbital, squaring  $A(\theta, \phi)$  causes no change in the spherical symmetry, and the surface boundary for the  $s$  atomic orbital shown in Figure 1.10 looks similar to that in Figure 1.9. For the  $p$  orbitals however, going from  $A(\theta, \phi)$  to  $A(\theta, \phi)^2$  has the effect of elongating the lobes as illustrated in Figure 1.10. Squaring  $A(\theta, \phi)$  necessarily means that the signs (+ or –) disappear,

but in practice chemists often indicate the amplitude by a sign or by shading (as in Figure 1.10) because of the importance of the signs of the wavefunctions with respect to their overlap during bond formation (see [Section 1.13](#)).

Finally, Figure 1.11 shows the boundary surfaces for five hydrogen-like  $d$  orbitals. We shall not consider the mathematical forms of these wavefunctions, but merely represent the orbitals in the conventional manner. Each  $d$  orbital possesses two nodal planes and as an exercise you should recognize where these planes lie for each orbital. We consider  $d$  orbitals in more detail in Chapters 19 and 20, and  $f$  orbitals in Chapter 24.

## Orbital energies in a hydrogen-like species

Besides providing information about the wavefunctions, solutions of the Schrödinger equation give orbital energies,  $E$  (energy levels), and equation 1.16 shows the dependence of  $E$  on the principal quantum number for *hydrogen-like species*.


$$E = -\frac{k}{n^2} \quad k = \text{a constant} = 1.312 \times 10^3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (1.16)$$

For each value of  $n$  there is only one energy solution and for *hydrogen-like species*, all atomic orbitals with the same principal quantum number (e.g. 3s, 3p and 3d) are degenerate.


## Size of orbitals

For a given atom, a series of orbitals with different values of  $n$  but the same values of  $l$  and  $m_l$  (e.g. 1s, 2s, 3s, 4s, ...) differ in


<sup>†</sup> In order to emphasize that  $\phi$  is a continuous function we have extended boundary surfaces in representations of orbitals to the nucleus, but for  $p$  orbitals, this is strictly not true if we are considering  $\approx 95\%$  of the electronic charge.



**Fig. 1.9** Boundary surfaces for the angular parts of the  $1s$  and  $2p$  atomic orbitals of the hydrogen atom. The nodal plane shown in grey for the  $2p_z$  atomic orbital lies in the  $xy$  plane.



**Fig. 1.10** Representations of an  $s$  and a set of three degenerate  $p$  atomic orbitals. The lobes of the  $p_x$  orbital are elongated like those of the  $p_y$  and  $p_z$  but are directed along the axis that passes through the plane of the paper.



**Fig. 1.11** Representations of a set of five degenerate  $d$  atomic orbitals.

their relative size (spatial extent). The larger the value of  $n$ , the larger the orbital, although this relationship is not linear. An increase in size also corresponds to an orbital being more *diffuse*.

## The spin quantum number and the magnetic spin quantum number

Before we place electrons into atomic orbitals, we must define two more quantum numbers. In a classical model, an electron is considered to spin about an axis passing through it and to have *spin angular momentum* in addition to orbital angular momentum (see [Box 1.5](#)). The *spin quantum number*,  $s$ , determines the magnitude of the spin angular

momentum of an electron and has a value of  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Since angular momentum is a vector quantity, it must have direction, and this is determined by the *magnetic spin quantum number*,  $m_s$ , which has a value of  $+\frac{1}{2}$  or  $-\frac{1}{2}$ .

Whereas an atomic orbital is defined by a unique set of *three* quantum numbers, an electron in an atomic orbital is defined by a unique set of *four* quantum numbers:  $n$ ,  $l$ ,  $m_l$  and  $m_s$ . As there are only two values of  $m_s$ , an orbital can accommodate only two electrons.

An orbital is fully occupied when it contains two electrons which are *spin-paired*; one electron has a value of  $m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$  and the other,  $m_s = -\frac{1}{2}$ .

### CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

#### Box 1.5 Angular momentum, the inner quantum number, $j$ , and spin-orbit coupling

The value of  $l$  determines not only the shape of an orbital but also the amount of orbital angular momentum associated with an electron in it:

$$\text{Orbital angular momentum} = \left[ \sqrt{l(l+1)} \right] \frac{h}{2\pi}$$

The axis through the nucleus about which the electron (considered classically) can be thought to rotate defines the direction of the orbital angular momentum. The latter gives rise to a magnetic moment whose direction is in the same sense as the angular vector and whose magnitude is proportional to the magnitude of the vector. An electron in an  $s$  orbital ( $l = 0$ ) has no orbital angular momentum, an electron in a  $p$  orbital ( $l = 1$ ) has angular momentum  $\sqrt{2}(h/2\pi)$ , and so on. The orbital angular momentum vector has  $(2l + 1)$  possible directions in space corresponding to the  $(2l + 1)$  possible values of  $m_l$  for a given value of  $l$ .

We are particularly interested in the component of the angular momentum vector along the  $z$  axis; this has a different value for each of the possible orientations that this vector can take up. The actual magnitude of the  $z$  component is given by  $m_l(h/2\pi)$ . Thus, for an electron in a  $d$  orbital ( $l = 2$ ), the orbital angular momentum is  $\sqrt{6}(h/2\pi)$ , and the  $z$  component of this may have values of  $+2(h/2\pi)$ ,  $+(h/2\pi)$ ,  $0$ ,  $-(h/2\pi)$  or  $-2(h/2\pi)$ . The orbitals in a sub-shell of given  $n$  and  $l$ , are, as we have seen, degenerate. If, however, the atom is placed in a magnetic field, this degeneracy is removed. And, if we arbitrarily define the direction of the magnetic field as the  $z$  axis, electrons in the various  $d$  orbitals will interact to different extents with the magnetic field as a consequence of their different values of the  $z$  components of their angular momentum vectors (and, hence, orbital magnetic moment vectors).

An electron also has spin angular momentum which can be regarded as originating in the rotation of the electron about its own axis. The magnitude of this is given by:

$$\text{Spin angular momentum} = \left[ \sqrt{s(s+1)} \right] \frac{h}{2\pi}$$

where  $s$  = spin quantum number (see text). The axis defines the direction of the spin angular momentum vector, but

again it is the orientation of this vector with respect to the  $z$  direction in which we are particularly interested. The  $z$  component is given by  $m_s(h/2\pi)$ ; since  $m_s$  can only equal  $+\frac{1}{2}$  or  $-\frac{1}{2}$ , there are just two possible orientations of the spin angular momentum vector, and these give rise to  $z$  components of magnitude  $+\frac{1}{2}(h/2\pi)$  and  $-\frac{1}{2}(h/2\pi)$ .

For an electron having both orbital and spin angular momentum, the total angular momentum vector is given by:

$$\text{Total angular momentum} = \left[ \sqrt{j(j+1)} \right] \frac{h}{2\pi}$$

where  $j$  is the so-called inner quantum number;  $j$  may have values of  $(l + s)$  or  $(l - s)$ , i.e.  $l + \frac{1}{2}$  or  $l - \frac{1}{2}$ . (When  $l = 0$  and the electron has no orbital angular momentum, the total angular momentum is  $\left[ \sqrt{s(s+1)} \right] \frac{h}{2\pi}$  because  $j = s$ .)

The  $z$  component of the total angular momentum vector is now  $j(h/2\pi)$  and there are  $(2j + 1)$  possible orientations in space.

For an electron in an  $ns$  orbital ( $l = 0$ ),  $j$  can only be  $\frac{1}{2}$ . When the electron is promoted to an  $np$  orbital,  $j$  may be  $\frac{3}{2}$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and the energies corresponding to the different  $j$  values are not quite equal. In the emission spectrum of sodium, for example, transitions from the  $3p_{3/2}$  and  $3p_{1/2}$  levels to the  $3s_{1/2}$  level therefore correspond to slightly different amounts of energy, and this *spin-orbit coupling* is the origin of the doublet structure of the strong yellow line in the spectrum of atomic sodium. The fine structure of many other spectral lines arises in analogous ways, though the number actually observed depends on the difference in energy between states differing only in  $j$  value and on the resolving power of the spectrometer. The difference in energy between levels for which  $\Delta j = 1$  (the spin-orbit coupling constant,  $\lambda$ ) increases with the atomic number of the element involved; e.g. that between the  $np_{3/2}$  and  $np_{1/2}$  levels for Li, Na and Cs is 0.23, 11.4 and  $370 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  respectively.

*For further information: see Box 20.6.*

**Worked example 1.4 Quantum numbers: an electron in an atomic orbital**

Write down two possible sets of quantum numbers that describe an electron in a  $2s$  atomic orbital. What is the physical significance of these unique sets?

The  $2s$  atomic orbital is defined by the set of quantum numbers  $n = 2$ ,  $l = 0$ ,  $m_l = 0$ .

An electron in a  $2s$  atomic orbital may have one of two sets of four quantum numbers:

$$n = 2, \quad l = 0, \quad m_l = 0, \quad m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$$

or

$$n = 2, \quad l = 0, \quad m_l = 0, \quad m_s = -\frac{1}{2}$$

If the orbital were fully occupied with two electrons, one electron would have  $m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$ , and the other electron would have  $m_s = -\frac{1}{2}$ , i.e. the two electrons would be spin-paired.

**Self-study exercises**

1. Write down two possible sets of quantum numbers to describe an electron in a  $3s$  atomic orbital.

$$[\text{Ans. } n = 3, l = 0, m_l = 0, m_s = +\frac{1}{2}; n = 3, l = 0, m_l = 0, m_s = -\frac{1}{2}]$$

2. If an electron has the quantum numbers  $n = 2$ ,  $l = 1$ ,  $m_l = -1$  and  $m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$  which type of atomic orbital is it occupying?

$$[\text{Ans. } 2p]$$

3. An electron has the quantum numbers  $n = 4$ ,  $l = 1$ ,  $m_l = 0$  and  $m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$ . Is the electron in a  $4s$ ,  $4p$  or  $4d$  atomic orbital?

$$[\text{Ans. } 4p]$$

4. Write down a set of quantum numbers that describes an electron in a  $5s$  atomic orbital. How does this set of quantum numbers differ if you are describing the second electron in the same orbital?

$$[\text{Ans. } n = 5, l = 0, m_l = 0, m_s = +\frac{1}{2} \text{ or } -\frac{1}{2}]$$

**The ground state of the hydrogen atom**

So far we have focused on the atomic orbitals of hydrogen and have talked about the probability of finding an electron in different atomic orbitals. The most energetically favourable (stable) state of the H atom is its *ground state* in which the single electron occupies the  $1s$  (lowest energy) atomic orbital. The electron can be promoted to higher energy orbitals (see [Section 1.4](#)) to give *excited states*.

The notation for the ground state electronic configuration of an H atom is  $1s^1$ , signifying that one electron occupies the  $1s$  atomic orbital.

**1.7 Many-electron atoms****The helium atom: two electrons**

The preceding sections have been devoted to hydrogen-like species containing one electron, the energy of which depends only on  $n$  (equation 1.16); the atomic spectra of such species contain only a few lines associated with changes in the value of  $n$  (Figure 1.3). It is *only* for such species that the Schrödinger equation has been solved exactly.

The next simplest atom is He ( $Z = 2$ ), and for its two electrons, three electrostatic interactions must be considered:

- attraction between electron (1) and the nucleus;
- attraction between electron (2) and the nucleus;
- repulsion between electrons (1) and (2).

The *net* interaction will determine the energy of the system.

In the ground state of the He atom, two electrons with  $m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$  and  $-\frac{1}{2}$  occupy the  $1s$  atomic orbital, i.e. the electronic configuration is  $1s^2$ . For all atoms except hydrogen-like species, orbitals of the same principal quantum number but differing  $l$  are *not* degenerate. If one of the  $1s^2$  electrons is promoted to an orbital with  $n = 2$ , the energy of the system depends upon whether the electron goes into a  $2s$  or  $2p$  atomic orbital, because each situation gives rise to different electrostatic interactions involving the two electrons and the nucleus. However, there is no energy distinction among the three different  $2p$  atomic orbitals. If promotion is to an orbital with  $n = 3$ , different amounts of energy are needed depending upon whether  $3s$ ,  $3p$  or  $3d$  orbitals are involved, although there is no energy difference among the three  $3p$  atomic orbitals, or among the five  $3d$  atomic orbitals. The emission spectrum of He arises as the electrons fall back to lower energy states or to the ground state and it follows that the spectrum contains more lines than that of atomic H.

In terms of obtaining wavefunctions and energies for the atomic orbitals of He, it has not been possible to solve the Schrödinger equation exactly and only approximate solutions are available. For atoms containing more than two electrons, it is even more difficult to obtain accurate solutions to the wave equation.

In a *multi-electron atom*, orbitals with the same value of  $n$  but different values of  $l$  are *not* degenerate.

**Ground state electronic configurations: experimental data**

Now consider the ground state electronic configurations of isolated atoms of all the elements (Table 1.3). These are experimental data, and are nearly always obtained by analysing atomic spectra. Most atomic spectra are too complex for discussion here and we take their interpretation on trust.

We have already seen that the ground state electronic configurations of H and He are  $1s^1$  and  $1s^2$  respectively. The  $1s$  atomic orbital is fully occupied in He and its configuration is often written as [He]. In the next two elements, Li and Be, the electrons go into the  $2s$  orbital, and then from B to Ne, the  $2p$  orbitals are occupied to give the electronic configurations  $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^m$  ( $m = 1-6$ ). When  $m = 6$ , the energy level (or *shell*) with  $n = 2$  is fully occupied, and the configuration for Ne can be written as [Ne]. The filling of the  $3s$  and  $3p$  atomic orbitals takes place in an analogous sequence from Na to Ar, the last element in the series having the electronic configuration  $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 2p^6$  or [Ar].

With K and Ca, successive electrons go into the  $4s$  orbital, and Ca has the electronic configuration  $[\text{Ar}]4s^2$ . At this point, the pattern changes. To a first approximation, the 10 electrons for the next 10 elements (Sc to Zn) enter the  $3d$  orbitals, giving Zn the electronic configuration  $4s^2 3d^{10}$ . There are some irregularities (see [Table 1.3](#)) to which we return later. From Ga to Kr, the  $4p$  orbitals are filled, and the electronic configuration for Kr is  $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^{10} 4p^6$  or [Kr].

From Rb to Xe, the general sequence of filling orbitals is the same as that from K to Kr although there are once again irregularities in the distribution of electrons between  $s$  and  $d$  atomic orbitals (see [Table 1.3](#)).

From Cs to Rn, electrons enter  $f$  orbitals for the first time; Cs, Ba and La have configurations analogous to those of Rb, Sr and Y, but after that the configurations change as we begin the sequence of the *lanthanoid* elements (see [Chapter 24](#)).<sup>†</sup> Cerium has the configuration  $[\text{Xe}]4f^1 6s^2 5d^1$  and the filling of the seven  $4f$  orbitals follows until an electronic configuration of  $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^1$  is reached for Lu. [Table 1.3](#) shows that the  $5d$  orbital is not usually occupied for a lanthanoid element. After Lu, successive electrons occupy the remaining  $5d$  orbitals (Hf to Hg) and then the  $6p$  orbitals to Rn which has the configuration  $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^{10} 6p^6$  or [Rn]. [Table 1.3](#) shows some irregularities along the series of  $d$ -block elements.

For the remaining elements in [Table 1.3](#) beginning at francium (Fr), filling of the orbitals follows a similar sequence as that from Cs but the sequence is incomplete and some of the heaviest elements are too unstable for detailed investigations to be possible. The metals from Th to Lr are the *actinoid* elements, and in discussing their chemistry, Ac is generally considered with the actinoids (see [Chapter 24](#)).

A detailed inspection of [Table 1.3](#) makes it obvious that there is no one sequence that represents accurately the occupation of different sets of orbitals with increasing atomic number. The following sequence is *approximately* true for the relative energies (lowest energy first) of orbitals in *neutral atoms*:

$$1s < 2s < 2p < 3s < 3p < 4s < 3d < 4p < 5s < 4d < 5p \\ < 6s < 5d \approx 4f < 6p < 7s < 6d \approx 5f$$

<sup>†</sup> The IUPAC recommends the names lanthanoid and actinoid in preference to lanthanide and actinide; the ending ‘-ide’ usually implies a negatively charged ion.

The energies of different orbitals are close together for high values of  $n$  and their relative energies can change significantly on forming an ion (see [Section 19.2](#)).

## Penetration and shielding

Although it is not possible to calculate the dependence of the energies of orbitals on atomic number with the degree of accuracy that is required to obtain agreement with all the electronic configurations listed in [Table 1.3](#), some useful information can be gained by considering the different *screening effects* that electrons in different atomic orbitals have on one another. [Figure 1.12](#) shows the radial distribution functions for the  $1s$ ,  $2s$  and  $2p$  atomic orbitals of the H atom. (It is a common approximation to assume hydrogen-like wavefunctions for multi-electron atoms.) Although values of  $4\pi r^2 R(r)^2$  for the  $1s$  orbital are much greater than those of the  $2s$  and  $2p$  orbitals at distances relatively close to the nucleus, the values for the  $2s$  and  $2p$  orbitals are still significant. We say that the  $2s$  and  $2p$  atomic orbitals *penetrate* the  $1s$  atomic orbital; calculations show that the  $2s$  atomic orbital is more penetrating than the  $2p$  orbital.

Now let us consider the arrangement of the electrons in Li ( $Z = 3$ ). In the ground state, the  $1s$  atomic orbital is fully occupied and the third electron could occupy either a  $2s$  or  $2p$  orbital. Which arrangement will possess the lower energy? An electron in a  $2s$  or  $2p$  atomic orbital experiences the *effective charge*,  $Z_{\text{eff}}$ , of a nucleus partly *shielded* by the  $1s$  electrons. Since the  $2p$  orbital penetrates the  $1s$  orbital less than a  $2s$  orbital does, a  $2p$  electron is shielded more than a  $2s$  electron. Thus, occupation of the  $2s$  rather than the  $2p$  atomic orbital gives a lower energy system. Although we should consider the energies of the *electrons* in atomic orbitals, it is common practice to think in terms of the orbital energies themselves:  $E(2s) < E(2p)$ . Similar arguments lead to the sequence  $E(3s) < E(3p) < E(3d)$  and  $E(4s) < E(4p) < E(4d) < E(4f)$ . As we move to atoms of higher atomic number, the energy differences between orbitals with the same value of  $n$  become smaller, the validity of assuming hydrogen-like wavefunctions becomes more doubtful, and predictions of ground states become less reliable. The treatment above also ignores electron–electron interactions within the same principal quantum shell.


A set of empirical rules (Slater’s rules) for estimating the effective nuclear charges experienced by electrons in different atomic orbitals is described in [Box 1.6](#).

## 1.8 The periodic table

In 1869 and 1870 respectively, Dmitri Mendeléev and Lothar Meyer stated that the *properties of the elements can be represented as periodic functions of their atomic weights*, and set out their ideas in the form of a *periodic table*. As new elements have been discovered, the original form of

**Table 1.3** Ground state electronic configurations of the elements up to  $Z = 103$ .

| Atomic number | Element | Ground state electronic configuration        | Atomic number | Element | Ground state electronic configuration                |
|---------------|---------|--|---------------|---------|--|
| 1             | H       | $1s^1$                                       | 53            | I       | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2 4d^{10} 5p^5$                       |
| 2             | He      | $1s^2 = [\text{He}]$                         | 54            | Xe      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2 4d^{10} 5p^6 = [\text{Xe}]$         |
| 3             | Li      | $[\text{He}]2s^1$                            | 55            | Cs      | $[\text{Xe}]6s^1$                                    |
| 4             | Be      | $[\text{He}]2s^2$                            | 56            | Ba      | $[\text{Xe}]6s^2$                                    |
| 5             | B       | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^1$                       | 57            | La      | $[\text{Xe}]6s^2 5d^1$                               |
| 6             | C       | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^2$                       | 58            | Ce      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^1 6s^2 5d^1$                          |
| 7             | N       | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^3$                       | 59            | Pr      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^3 6s^2$                               |
| 8             | O       | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^4$                       | 60            | Nd      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^4 6s^2$                               |
| 9             | F       | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^5$                       | 61            | Pm      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^5 6s^2$                               |
| 10            | Ne      | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^6 = [\text{Ne}]$         | 62            | Sm      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^6 6s^2$                               |
| 11            | Na      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^1$                            | 63            | Eu      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^7 6s^2$                               |
| 12            | Mg      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2$                            | 64            | Gd      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^7 6s^2 5d^1$                          |
| 13            | Al      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^1$                       | 65            | Tb      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^9 6s^2$                               |
| 14            | Si      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^2$                       | 66            | Dy      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{10} 6s^2$                            |
| 15            | P       | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^3$                       | 67            | Ho      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{11} 6s^2$                            |
| 16            | S       | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^4$                       | 68            | Er      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{12} 6s^2$                            |
| 17            | Cl      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^5$                       | 69            | Tm      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{13} 6s^2$                            |
| 18            | Ar      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^6 = [\text{Ar}]$         | 70            | Yb      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2$                            |
| 19            | K       | $[\text{Ar}]4s^1$                            | 71            | Lu      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^1$                       |
| 20            | Ca      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2$                            | 72            | Hf      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^2$                       |
| 21            | Sc      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^1$                       | 73            | Ta      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^3$                       |
| 22            | Ti      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^2$                       | 74            | W       | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^4$                       |
| 23            | V       | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^3$                       | 75            | Re      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^5$                       |
| 24            | Cr      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^1 3d^5$                       | 76            | Os      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^6$                       |
| 25            | Mn      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^5$                       | 77            | Ir      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^7$                       |
| 26            | Fe      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^6$                       | 78            | Pt      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^1 5d^9$                       |
| 27            | Co      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^7$                       | 79            | Au      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^1 5d^{10}$                    |
| 28            | Ni      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^8$                       | 80            | Hg      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^{10}$                    |
| 29            | Cu      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^1 3d^{10}$                    | 81            | Tl      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^{10} 6p^1$               |
| 30            | Zn      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^{10}$                    | 82            | Pb      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^{10} 6p^2$               |
| 31            | Ga      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^{10} 4p^1$               | 83            | Bi      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^{10} 6p^3$               |
| 32            | Ge      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^{10} 4p^2$               | 84            | Po      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^{10} 6p^4$               |
| 33            | As      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^{10} 4p^3$               | 85            | At      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^{10} 6p^5$               |
| 34            | Se      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^{10} 4p^4$               | 86            | Rn      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 6s^2 5d^{10} 6p^6 = [\text{Rn}]$ |
| 35            | Br      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^{10} 4p^5$               | 87            | Fr      | $[\text{Rn}]7s^1$                                    |
| 36            | Kr      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^{10} 4p^6 = [\text{Kr}]$ | 88            | Ra      | $[\text{Rn}]7s^2$                                    |
| 37            | Rb      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^1$                            | 89            | Ac      | $[\text{Rn}]6d^1 7s^2$                               |
| 38            | Sr      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2$                            | 90            | Th      | $[\text{Rn}]6d^2 7s^2$                               |
| 39            | Y       | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2 4d^1$                       | 91            | Pa      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^2 7s^2 6d^1$                          |
| 40            | Zr      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2 4d^2$                       | 92            | U       | $[\text{Rn}]5f^3 7s^2 6d^1$                          |
| 41            | Nb      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^1 4d^4$                       | 93            | Np      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^4 7s^2 6d^1$                          |
| 42            | Mo      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^1 4d^5$                       | 94            | Pu      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^6 7s^2$                               |
| 43            | Tc      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2 4d^5$                       | 95            | Am      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^7 7s^2$                               |
| 44            | Ru      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^1 4d^7$                       | 96            | Cm      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^7 7s^2 6d^1$                          |
| 45            | Rh      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^1 4d^8$                       | 97            | Bk      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^9 7s^2$                               |
| 46            | Pd      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^0 4d^{10}$                    | 98            | Cf      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{10} 7s^2$                            |
| 47            | Ag      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^1 4d^{10}$                    | 99            | Es      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{11} 7s^2$                            |
| 48            | Cd      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2 4d^{10}$                    | 100           | Fm      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{12} 7s^2$                            |
| 49            | In      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2 4d^{10} 5p^1$               | 101           | Md      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{13} 7s^2$                            |
| 50            | Sn      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2 4d^{10} 5p^2$               | 102           | No      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{14} 7s^2$                            |
| 51            | Sb      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2 4d^{10} 5p^3$               | 103           | Lr      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{14} 7s^2 6d^1$                       |
| 52            | Te      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2 4d^{10} 5p^4$               |               |         |  |



**Fig. 1.12** Radial distribution functions,  $4\pi r^2 R(r)^2$ , for the  $1s$ ,  $2s$  and  $2p$  atomic orbitals of the hydrogen atom.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 1.6 Effective nuclear charge and Slater's rules

#### Slater's rules

Effective nuclear charges,  $Z_{\text{eff}}$ , experienced by electrons in different atomic orbitals may be estimated using *Slater's rules*. These rules are based on experimental data for electron promotion and ionization energies, and  $Z_{\text{eff}}$  is determined from the equation:

$$Z_{\text{eff}} = Z - S$$

where  $Z$  = nuclear charge,  $Z_{\text{eff}}$  = effective nuclear charge,  $S$  = screening (or shielding) constant.

Values of  $S$  may be estimated as follows:

- Write out the electronic configuration of the element in the following order and groupings: ( $1s$ ), ( $2s, 2p$ ), ( $3s, 3p$ ), ( $3d$ ), ( $4s, 4p$ ), ( $4d$ ), ( $4f$ ), ( $5s, 5p$ ) etc.
- Electrons in any group higher in this sequence than the electron under consideration contribute nothing to  $S$ .
- Consider a particular electron in an  $ns$  or  $np$  orbital:
  - Each of the other electrons in the ( $ns, np$ ) group contributes  $S = 0.35$ .
  - Each of the electrons in the  $(n - 1)$  shell contributes  $S = 0.85$ .
  - Each of the electrons in the  $(n - 2)$  or lower shells contributes  $S = 1.00$ .
- Consider a particular electron in an  $nd$  or  $nf$  orbital:
  - Each of the other electrons in the ( $nd, nf$ ) group contributes  $S = 0.35$ .
  - Each of the electrons in a lower group than the one being considered contributes  $S = 1.00$ .

#### An example of how to apply Slater's rules

Question: Confirm that the experimentally observed electronic configuration of K,  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 4s^1$ , is energetically more stable than the configuration  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 3d^1$ .

For K,  $Z = 19$ .

Applying Slater's rules, the effective nuclear charge experienced by the  $4s$  electron for the configuration  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 4s^1$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{eff}} &= Z - S \\ &= 19 - [(8 \times 0.85) + (10 \times 1.00)] \\ &= 2.20 \end{aligned}$$

The effective nuclear charge experienced by the  $3d$  electron for the configuration  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 3d^1$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{eff}} &= Z - S \\ &= 19 - (18 \times 1.00) \\ &= 1.00 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, an electron in the  $4s$  (rather than the  $3d$ ) atomic orbital is under the influence of a greater effective nuclear charge and in the ground state of potassium, it is the  $4s$  atomic orbital that is occupied.

#### Slater versus Clementi and Raimondi values of $Z_{\text{eff}}$

Slater's rules have been used to estimate ionization energies, ionic radii and electronegativities. More accurate effective nuclear charges have been calculated by Clementi and Raimondi by using *self-consistent field* (SCF) methods, and indicate much higher  $Z_{\text{eff}}$  values for the  $d$  electrons. However, the simplicity of Slater's approach makes this an attractive method for 'back-of-the-envelope' estimations of  $Z_{\text{eff}}$ .

## Self-study exercises

1. Show that Slater's rules give a value of  $Z_{\text{eff}} = 1.95$  for a  $2s$  electron in a Be atom.
2. Show that Slater's rules give a value of  $Z_{\text{eff}} = 5.20$  for a  $2p$  electron of F.
3. Use Slater's rules to estimate values of  $Z_{\text{eff}}$  for (a) a  $4s$  and (b) a  $3d$  electron in a V atom.

[Ans. (a) 3.30; (b) 4.30]

4. Using your answer to question 3, explain why the valence configuration of the ground state of a  $V^+$  ion is likely to be  $3d^34s^1$  rather than  $3d^24s^2$ .

## Further reading

G. Wulfsberg (2000) *Inorganic Chemistry*, University Science Books, Sausalito, CA – Contains a fuller treatment of Slater's rules and illustrates their application, particularly to the assessment of electronegativity.

| s-block elements |          | d-block elements |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |            | p-block elements |          |          |          |          |          |
|------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Group 1          | Group 2  | Group 3          | Group 4   | Group 5   | Group 6   | Group 7   | Group 8   | Group 9   | Group 10  | Group 11  | Group 12   | Group 13         | Group 14 | Group 15 | Group 16 | Group 17 | Group 18 |
| 1<br>H           |          |                  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |            |                  |          |          |          |          | 2<br>He  |
| 3<br>Li          | 4<br>Be  |                  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |            | 5<br>B           | 6<br>C   | 7<br>N   | 8<br>O   | 9<br>F   | 10<br>Ne |
| 11<br>Na         | 12<br>Mg |                  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |            | 13<br>Al         | 14<br>Si | 15<br>P  | 16<br>S  | 17<br>Cl | 18<br>Ar |
| 19<br>K          | 20<br>Ca | 21<br>Sc         | 22<br>Ti  | 23<br>V   | 24<br>Cr  | 25<br>Mn  | 26<br>Fe  | 27<br>Co  | 28<br>Ni  | 29<br>Cu  | 30<br>Zn   | 31<br>Ga         | 32<br>Ge | 33<br>As | 34<br>Se | 35<br>Br | 36<br>Kr |
| 37<br>Rb         | 38<br>Sr | 39<br>Y          | 40<br>Zr  | 41<br>Nb  | 42<br>Mo  | 43<br>Tc  | 44<br>Ru  | 45<br>Rh  | 46<br>Pd  | 47<br>Ag  | 48<br>Cd   | 49<br>In         | 50<br>Sn | 51<br>Sb | 52<br>Te | 53<br>I  | 54<br>Xe |
| 55<br>Cs         | 56<br>Ba | 57–71<br>La–Lu   | 72<br>Hf  | 73<br>Ta  | 74<br>W   | 75<br>Re  | 76<br>Os  | 77<br>Ir  | 78<br>Pt  | 79<br>Au  | 80<br>Hg   | 81<br>Tl         | 82<br>Pb | 83<br>Bi | 84<br>Po | 85<br>At | 86<br>Rn |
| 87<br>Fr         | 88<br>Ra | 89–103<br>Ac–Lr  | 104<br>Rf | 105<br>Db | 106<br>Sg | 107<br>Bh | 108<br>Hs | 109<br>Mt | 110<br>Ds | 111<br>Rg | 112<br>Uub |                  |          |          |          |          |          |

| f-block elements |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |           |           |           |           |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Lanthanoids      | 58<br>Ce | 59<br>Pr | 60<br>Nd | 61<br>Pm | 62<br>Sm | 63<br>Eu | 64<br>Gd | 65<br>Tb | 66<br>Dy | 67<br>Ho | 68<br>Er  | 69<br>Tm  | 70<br>Yb  | 71<br>Lu  |
| Actinoids        | 90<br>Th | 91<br>Pa | 92<br>U  | 93<br>Np | 94<br>Pu | 95<br>Am | 96<br>Cm | 97<br>Bk | 98<br>Cf | 99<br>Es | 100<br>Fm | 101<br>Md | 102<br>No | 103<br>Lr |

**Fig. 1.13** The modern periodic table in which the elements are arranged in numerical order according to the number of protons (and electrons) they possess. The division into *groups* places elements with the same number of valence electrons into vertical columns within the table. Under IUPAC recommendations, the groups are labelled from 1 to 18 (Arabic numbers). The vertical groups of three *d*-block elements are called *triads*. Rows in the periodic table are called *periods*. The first period contains H and He, but the row from Li to Ne is sometimes referred to as the first period. Strictly, the lanthanoids include the 14 elements Ce–Lu, and the actinoids include Th–Lr; however, common usage places La with the lanthanoids, and Ac with the actinoids (see Chapter 24).

the periodic table has been extensively modified, and it is now recognized that *periodicity* is a consequence of the variation in ground state electronic configurations. A modern periodic table (Figure 1.13) emphasizes the blocks of 2, 6, 10 and 14 elements which result from the filling of the *s*, *p*, *d* and *f* atomic orbitals respectively. An exception is He, which, for reasons of its chemistry, is placed in a *group* with Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe and Rn. A more detailed periodic table is given inside the front cover of the book.

The IUPAC (International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry) has produced guidelines<sup>†</sup> for naming blocks and groups of elements in the periodic table. In summary,

- blocks of elements may be designated by use of the letters *s*, *p*, *d* or *f* (Figure 1.13);

<sup>†</sup>IUPAC: *Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry (Recommendations 1990)*, ed. G.J. Leigh, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.

**Table 1.4** IUPAC recommended names for groups of elements in the periodic table.

| Group number | Recommended name        |
|--------------|-------------------------|
| 1            | Alkali metals           |
| 2            | Alkaline earth metals   |
| 15           | Pnictogens <sup>‡</sup> |
| 16           | Chalcogens              |
| 17           | Halogens                |
| 18           | Noble gases             |

<sup>‡</sup> The name pnictogen is likely to be approved by the IUPAC by the end of 2004.

- elements (except H) in groups 1, 2 and 13–18 are called *main group elements*;
- with the exception of group 18, the first two elements of each main group are called *typical elements*;
- elements in groups 3–11 (i.e. those with partially filled *d* orbitals) are called *transition elements*.

Note the distinction between a transition and *d*-block element. Elements in groups 3–12 inclusive are collectively called *d*-block elements, but by the IUPAC rulings, a transition metal is an element, an atom of which possesses an incomplete *d*-shell or which gives rise to a cation with an incomplete *d*-shell. Thus, elements in group 12 are not classed as transition elements. Collective names for some of the groups of elements in the periodic table are given in Table 1.4.

## 1.9 The *aufbau* principle

### Ground state electronic configurations

In the previous two sections, we have considered experimental electronic configurations and have seen that the organization of the elements in the periodic table depends on the number, and arrangement, of electrons that each element possesses. Establishing the ground state electronic configuration of an atom is the key to understanding its chemistry, and we now discuss the *aufbau* principle (*aufbau* means ‘building up’ in German) which is used in conjunction with Hund’s rules and the Pauli exclusion principle to determine electronic ground state configurations:

- Orbitals are filled in order of energy, the lowest energy orbitals being filled first.
- Hund’s first rule (often referred to simply as Hund’s rule): in a set of degenerate orbitals, electrons may not be spin-paired in an orbital until *each* orbital in the set contains one electron; electrons singly occupying orbitals in a degenerate set have parallel spins, i.e. they have the same values of  $m_s$ .
- Pauli exclusion principle: no two electrons in the same atom may have the same set of  $n$ ,  $l$ ,  $m_l$  and  $m_s$  quantum numbers; it follows that each orbital can accommodate

a maximum of two electrons with different  $m_s$  values (different spins = spin-paired).

### Worked example 1.5 Using the *aufbau* principle

**Determine (with reasoning) the ground state electronic configurations of (a) Be ( $Z = 4$ ) and (b) P ( $Z = 15$ ).**

The value of  $Z$  gives the number of electrons to be accommodated in atomic orbitals in the ground state of the atom.

Assume an order of atomic orbitals (lowest energy first) as follows:  $1s < 2s < 2p < 3s < 3p$

(a) Be  $Z = 4$

Two electrons (spin-paired) are accommodated in the lowest energy  $1s$  atomic orbital.

The next two electrons (spin-paired) are accommodated in the  $2s$  atomic orbital.

The ground state electronic configuration of Be is therefore  $1s^2 2s^2$ .

(b) P  $Z = 15$

Two electrons (spin-paired) are accommodated in the lowest energy  $1s$  atomic orbital.

The next two electrons (spin-paired) are accommodated in the  $2s$  atomic orbital.

The next six electrons are accommodated in the three degenerate  $2p$  atomic orbitals, two spin-paired electrons per orbital.

The next two electrons (spin-paired) are accommodated in the  $3s$  atomic orbital.

Three electrons remain and applying Hund’s rule, these singly occupy each of the three degenerate  $3p$  atomic orbitals.

The ground state electronic configuration of P is therefore  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^3$ .

### Self-study exercises

1. Where, in the above argument, is the Pauli exclusion principle applied?
2. Will the three electrons in the P  $3p$  atomic orbitals possess the same or different values of the spin quantum number? [Ans. Same; parallel spins]
3. Show, with reasoning, that the ground state electronic configuration of O ( $Z = 8$ ) is  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^4$ .
4. Determine (with reasoning) how many unpaired electrons are present in a ground state Al atom ( $Z = 13$ ). [Ans. 1]

### Worked example 1.6 The ground state electronic configurations of the noble gases

The atomic numbers of He, Ne, Ar and Kr are 2, 10, 18 and 36 respectively. Write down the ground state

**electronic configurations of these elements and comment upon their similarities or differences.**

Apply the *aufbau* principle using the atomic orbital energy sequence:

$$1s < 2s < 2p < 3s < 3p < 4s < 3d < 4p$$

The ground state electronic configurations are:

|    |          |  |
|----|----------|--|
| He | $Z = 2$  | $1s^2$                                       |
| Ne | $Z = 10$ | $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6$                             |
| Ar | $Z = 18$ | $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6$                   |
| Kr | $Z = 36$ | $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 4s^2 3d^{10} 4p^6$ |

Each element Ne, Ar and Kr has a ground state electronic configuration  $\dots ns^2 np^6$ . Helium is the odd one out, but still possesses a filled quantum level; this is a characteristic property of a noble gas.

**Self-study exercises**

1. Values of  $Z$  for Li, Na, K and Rb are 3, 11, 19 and 37 respectively. Write down their ground state configurations and comment on the result.

[Ans. All are of the form  $[X]ns^1$  where X is a noble gas.]

2. How are the ground state electronic configurations of O, S and Se ( $Z = 8, 16, 34$  respectively) alike? Give another element related in the same way.

[Ans. All are of the form  $[X]ns^2 np^4$  where X is a noble gas; Te or Po]

3. State two elements that have ground state electronic configurations of the general type  $[X]ns^2 np^1$ .


[Ans. Any two elements from group 13]

**Valence and core electrons**

The configuration of the outer or *valence electrons* is of particular significance. These electrons determine the chemical properties of an element. Electrons that occupy lower energy quantum levels are called *core electrons*. The core electrons shield the valence electrons from the nuclear charge, resulting in the valence electrons experiencing only the effective nuclear charge,  $Z_{\text{eff}}$ . For an element of low atomic number, the core and valence electrons are readily recognized by looking at the ground state electronic configuration. That of oxygen is  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^4$ . The core electrons of oxygen are those in the  $1s$  atomic orbital; the six electrons with  $n = 2$  are the valence electrons.

**Diagrammatic representations of electronic configurations**

The notation we have used to represent electronic configurations is convenient and is commonly adopted, but sometimes it is also useful to indicate the relative energies of the electrons. Figure 1.14 gives qualitative energy level diagrams



**Fig. 1.14** Diagrammatic representations of the ground state electronic configurations of O and Si. The complete configurations are shown here, but it is common to simply indicate the valence electrons. For O, this consists of the  $2s$  and  $2p$  levels, and for Si, the  $3s$  and  $3p$  levels.

which describe the ground state electronic configurations of O and Si.

**Worked example 1.7 Quantum numbers for electrons**

Confirm that the configuration shown for oxygen in Figure 1.14 is consistent with each electron possessing a unique set of four quantum numbers.

Each atomic orbital is designated by a unique set of three quantum numbers:

|      |         |         |            |
|------|---------|---------|------------|
| $1s$ | $n = 1$ | $l = 0$ | $m_l = 0$  |
| $2s$ | $n = 2$ | $l = 0$ | $m_l = 0$  |
| $2p$ | $n = 2$ | $l = 1$ | $m_l = -1$ |
|      | $n = 2$ | $l = 1$ | $m_l = 0$  |
|      | $n = 2$ | $l = 1$ | $m_l = +1$ |

If an atomic orbital contains two electrons, they must have opposite spins so that the sets of quantum numbers for the two electrons are different: e.g. in the  $1s$  atomic orbital:

$$\text{one electron has } n = 1 \quad l = 0 \quad m_l = 0 \quad m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$$

$$\text{the other electron has } n = 1 \quad l = 0 \quad m_l = 0 \quad m_s = -\frac{1}{2}$$

[This discussion is extended in Box 20.6.]

**Self-study exercises**

- Show that the electronic configuration  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^1$  for B corresponds to each electron having a unique set of four quantum numbers.
- The ground state of N is  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^3$ . Show that each electron in the  $2p$  level possesses a unique set of four quantum numbers.
- Explain why it is *not* possible for C to possess a ground state electronic configuration of  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^2$  with the  $2p$  electrons having paired spins.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Box 1.7 The relationship between  $\Delta U$  and  $\Delta H$ 

The relationship between the change in internal energy and change in enthalpy of the system for a reaction at a given temperature is given by the equation:

$$\Delta U = \Delta H - P\Delta V$$

where  $P$  is the pressure and  $\Delta V$  is the change in volume. The  $P\Delta V$  term corresponds to the work done, e.g. in expanding the system against the surroundings as a gas is liberated during a reaction. Often in a chemical reaction, the pressure  $P$  corresponds to atmospheric pressure (1 atm = 101 300 Pa, or 1 bar =  $10^5$  Pa).

In general, the work done by or on the system is much smaller than the enthalpy change, making the  $P\Delta V$  term negligible with respect to the values of  $\Delta U$  and  $\Delta H$ . Thus:

$$\Delta U(T\text{ K}) \approx \Delta H(T\text{ K})$$

However, in Section 1.10, we are considering two different temperatures and state that:

$$\Delta U(0\text{ K}) \approx \Delta H(298\text{ K})$$

In order to assess the variation in  $\Delta H$  with temperature, we apply Kirchhoff's equation where  $C_p$  = molar heat capacity at constant pressure:

$$\Delta C_p = \left( \frac{\partial \Delta H}{\partial T} \right)_p$$


the integrated form of which (integrating between the limits of the temperatures 0 and 298 K) is:

$$\int_0^{298} d(\Delta H) = \int_0^{298} \Delta C_p dT$$

Integrating the left-hand side gives:

$$\Delta H(298\text{ K}) - \Delta H(0\text{ K}) = \int_0^{298} \Delta C_p dT$$

Consider the ionization of an atom X:



If X,  $\text{X}^+$  and  $\text{e}^-$  are all ideal monatomic gases, then the value of  $C_p$  for each is  $\frac{5}{2}R$  (where  $R$  is the molar gas constant =  $8.314 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kJ K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ), giving for the reaction a value of  $\Delta C_p$  of  $\frac{5}{2}R$ . Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H(298\text{ K}) - \Delta H(0\text{ K}) &= \int_0^{298} \frac{5}{2}R dT \\ &= \left( \frac{5 \times 8.314 \times 10^{-3}}{2} \right) [T]_0^{298} \\ &= 6.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Inspection of typical values of ionization energies in Appendix 8 shows that a correction of this magnitude is relatively insignificant.

## 1.10 Ionization energies and electron affinities

## Ionization energies

The ionization energy of hydrogen (equations 1.9 and 1.10) was discussed in Section 1.4; since the H atom has only one electron, no additional ionization processes can occur. For multi-electron atoms, successive ionizations are possible.

The first ionization energy,  $IE_1$ , of an atom is the internal energy change at 0 K,  $\Delta U(0\text{ K})$ , associated with the removal of the first valence electron (equation 1.17); the energy change is defined for a *gas phase* process. The units are  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  or electron volts (eV).<sup>†</sup>



It is often necessary to incorporate ionization energies into thermochemical calculations (e.g. Born–Haber or Hess cycles) and it is convenient to define an associated *enthalpy*

<sup>†</sup> An electron volt is a non-SI unit with a value of  $\approx 1.60218 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$ ; to compare eV and  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  units, it is necessary to multiply by the Avogadro number.  $1 \text{ eV} = 96.4853 \approx 96.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .

change,  $\Delta H(298\text{ K})$ . Since the difference between  $\Delta H(298\text{ K})$  and  $\Delta U(0\text{ K})$  is very small (see [Box 1.7](#)), values of *IE* can be used in thermochemical cycles so long as extremely accurate answers are not required.

The first ionization energy ( $IE_1$ ) of a gaseous atom is the internal energy change,  $\Delta U$ , at 0 K associated with the removal of the first valence electron:



For thermochemical cycles, an associated *change in enthalpy*,  $\Delta H$ , at 298 K is used:

$$\Delta H(298\text{ K}) \approx \Delta U(0\text{ K})$$

The second ionization energy,  $IE_2$ , of an atom refers to step 1.18; note that this is equivalent to the first ionization of the ion  $\text{X}^+$ . Equation 1.19 describes the step corresponding to the third ionization energy,  $IE_3$ , of X, and successive ionizations are similarly defined:






Fig. 1.15 The values of the first ionization energies of the elements up to Rn.

Values of ionization energies for the elements are listed in Appendix 8. Figure 1.15 shows the variation in the values of  $IE_1$  as a function of  $Z$ . Several repeating patterns are apparent and some features to note are:

- the high values of  $IE_1$  associated with the noble gases;
- the very low values of  $IE_1$  associated with the group 1 elements;
- the *general* increase in values of  $IE_1$  as a given period is crossed;
- the discontinuity in values of  $IE_1$  on going from an element in group 15 to its neighbour in group 16;
- the decrease in values of  $IE_1$  on going from an element in group 2 or 12 to its neighbour in group 13.
- the rather similar values of  $IE_1$  for a given row of  $d$ -block elements.


Each of these trends can be rationalized in terms of ground state electronic configurations. The noble gases (except for

He) possess  $ns^2np^6$  configurations which are particularly stable (see [Box 1.8](#)) and removal of an electron requires a great deal of energy. The ionization of a group 1 element involves loss of an electron from a singly occupied  $ns$  orbital with the resultant  $X^+$  ion possessing a noble gas configuration. The *general* increase in  $IE_1$  across a given period is a consequence of an increase in  $Z_{\text{eff}}$ . A group 15 element has a ground state electronic configuration  $ns^2np^3$  and the  $np$  level is *half-occupied*. A certain stability (see [Box 1.8](#)) is associated with such configurations and it is more difficult to ionize a group 15 element than its group 16 neighbour. In going from Be (group 2) to B (group 13), there is a marked decrease in  $IE_1$  and this may be attributed to the relative stability of the filled shell  $2s^2$  configuration compared with the  $2s^22p^1$  arrangement; similarly, in going from Zn (group 12) to Ga (group 13), we need to consider the difference between  $4s^23d^{10}$  and  $4s^23d^{10}4p^1$  configurations. Trends among  $IE$  values for  $d$ -block metals are discussed in Section 19.3.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 1.8 Exchange energies

Filled and half-filled shells are often referred to as possessing a 'special stability'. However, this is misleading, and we should really consider the *exchange energy* of a given configuration. This can only be justified by an advanced quantum mechanical treatment but we can summarize the idea as follows. Consider two electrons in *different* orbitals. The repulsion between the electrons if they have anti-parallel spins is greater than if they have parallel spins, e.g. for a  $p^2$  configuration:



The difference in energy between these two configurations is the *exchange energy*,  $K$ , i.e. this is the extra stability that the right-hand configuration has with respect to the left-hand

one. The total exchange energy is expressed in terms of  $K$  (the actual value of  $K$  depends on the atom or ion):

$$\text{Exchange energy} = \sum \frac{N(N-1)}{2} K$$

where  $N$  = number of electrons with parallel spins.

For further discussion, see:

A.B. Blake (1981) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 58, p. 393.

B.J. Duke (1978) *Education in Chemistry*, vol. 15, p. 186.

D.M.P. Mingos (1998) *Essential Trends in Inorganic Chemistry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, p. 14.

## Electron affinities


The first electron affinity ( $EA_1$ ) is *minus* the internal energy change (equation 1.20) for the gain of an electron by a *gaseous* atom (equation 1.21). The second electron affinity of atom Y is defined for process 1.22. Each reaction occurs in the gas phase.

$$EA = -\Delta U(0 \text{ K}) \quad (1.20)$$



As we saw for ionization energies, it is convenient to define an enthalpy change,  $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H$ , associated with each of the reactions 1.21 and 1.22. We approximate  $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H(298 \text{ K})$  to  $\Delta_{\text{EA}}U(0 \text{ K})$ . Selected values of these enthalpy changes are given in Table 1.5.

The first electron affinity,  $EA_1$ , of an atom is *minus* the internal energy change at 0 K associated with the gain of one electron by a gaseous atom:



For thermochemical cycles, an associated *enthalpy change* is used:

$$\Delta_{\text{EA}}H(298 \text{ K}) \approx \Delta_{\text{EA}}U(0 \text{ K}) = -EA$$

**Table 1.5** Approximate *enthalpy changes*  $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H(298 \text{ K})$  associated with the attachment of an electron to an atom or anion.<sup>‡</sup>

| Process  | $\approx \Delta_{\text{EA}}H / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ |
|--|--|
| $\text{H}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{H}^-(\text{g})$      | -73  |
| $\text{Li}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{Li}^-(\text{g})$    | -60  |
| $\text{Na}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{Na}^-(\text{g})$    | -53  |
| $\text{K}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{K}^-(\text{g})$      | -48  |
| $\text{N}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{N}^-(\text{g})$      | $\approx 0$  |
| $\text{P}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{P}^-(\text{g})$      | -72  |
| $\text{O}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{O}^-(\text{g})$      | -141   |
| $\text{O}^-(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{O}^{2-}(\text{g})$ | +798   |
| $\text{S}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{S}^-(\text{g})$      | -201   |
| $\text{S}^-(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{S}^{2-}(\text{g})$ | +640   |
| $\text{F}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{F}^-(\text{g})$      | -328   |
| $\text{Cl}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{Cl}^-(\text{g})$    | -349   |
| $\text{Br}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{Br}^-(\text{g})$    | -325   |
| $\text{I}(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow \text{I}^-(\text{g})$      | -295   |

<sup>‡</sup> Tables of data differ in whether they list values of  $EA$  or  $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H$  and it is essential to note which is being used.

The attachment of an electron to an *atom* is usually exothermic. Two electrostatic forces oppose one another: the repulsion between the valence shell electrons and the additional electron, and the attraction between the nucleus and the incoming electron. In contrast, *repulsive* interactions are dominant when an electron is added to an *anion* and the process is endothermic (Table 1.5).

## Mid-chapter problems

Before continuing with Section 1.11, review the material from the first half of Chapter 1 by working through this set of problems.

- Chromium has four isotopes,  $^{50}_{24}\text{Cr}$ ,  $^{52}_{24}\text{Cr}$ ,  $^{53}_{24}\text{Cr}$  and  $^{54}_{24}\text{Cr}$ . How many electrons, protons and neutrons does each isotope possess?
- 'Arsenic is monatomic.' What does this statement mean? Using Appendix 5, write down three other elements that are monatomic.
- Calculate the corresponding wavelengths of electromagnetic radiation with frequencies of (a)  $3.0 \times 10^{12} \text{ Hz}$ , (b)  $1.0 \times 10^{18} \text{ Hz}$  and (c)  $5.0 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}$ . By referring to Appendix 4, assign each wavelength or frequency to a particular type of radiation (e.g. microwave).
- State which of the following  $n' \rightarrow n$  transitions in the emission spectrum of atomic hydrogen belong to the Balmer, Lyman or Paschen series: (a)  $3 \rightarrow 1$ ; (b)  $3 \rightarrow 2$ ; (c)  $4 \rightarrow 3$ ; (d)  $4 \rightarrow 2$ ; (e)  $5 \rightarrow 1$ .
- Calculate the energy (in kJ per mole of photons) of a spectroscopic transition, the corresponding wavelength of which is 450 nm.
- How is the (a) energy and (b) size of an  $ns$  atomic orbital affected by an increase in  $n$ ?
- Write down a set of quantum numbers that uniquely defines each of the following atomic orbitals: (a)  $6s$ , (b) each of the five  $4d$  orbitals.
- Do the three  $4p$  atomic orbitals possess the same or different values of (a) principal quantum number, (b) the orbital quantum number and (c) the magnetic quantum number? Write down a set of quantum numbers for each  $4p$  atomic orbital to illustrate your answer.
- How many radial nodes does each of the following orbitals possess: (a)  $2s$ ; (b)  $4s$ ; (c)  $3p$ ; (d)  $5d$ ; (e)  $1s$ ; (f)  $4p$ ?
- Comment on differences between plots of  $R(r)$  against  $r$ , and  $4\pi r^2 R(r)^2$  against  $r$  for each of the following atomic orbitals of an H atom: (a)  $1s$ ; (b)  $4s$ ; (c)  $3p$ .



The Lewis structure for  $\text{N}_2$  shows that the N–N bond is composed of three pairs of electrons and is a *triple bond* (structures 1.3 and 1.4). Each N atom has one lone pair of electrons. The Lewis structures 1.5 and 1.6 for  $\text{O}_2$  indicate the presence of a double bond, with each O atom bearing two lone pairs of electrons.




(1.3)



(1.4)



(1.5)



(1.6)

Lewis structures give the connectivity of an atom in a molecule, the bond order and the number of lone pairs and these may be used to derive structures using the valence-shell electron-pair repulsion model (see [Section 1.19](#)).

## 1.12 Homonuclear diatomic molecules: valence bond (VB) theory

### Uses of the term *homonuclear*


The word *homonuclear* is used in two ways:

- A *homonuclear covalent bond* is one formed between two atoms of the same element, e.g. the H–H bond in  $\text{H}_2$ , the O=O bond in  $\text{O}_2$ , and the O–O bond in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (Figure 1.16).
- A *homonuclear molecule* contains one type of element. Homonuclear diatomic molecules include  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{F}_2$ , homonuclear triatomics include  $\text{O}_3$  (ozone) and examples of larger homonuclear molecules are  $\text{P}_4$ ,  $\text{S}_8$  and  $\text{C}_{60}$ .

### Covalent bond distance, covalent radius and van der Waals radius

Three important definitions are needed before we discuss covalent bonding.

The length of a covalent bond (*bond distance*),  $d$ , is the *internuclear separation* and may be determined experimentally by



**Fig. 1.16** The structure of hydrogen peroxide,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ; O atoms are shown in red.

microwave spectroscopy or diffraction methods (X-ray, neutron or electron diffraction). It is convenient to define the covalent radius,  $r_{\text{cov}}$ , of an atom: for an atom X,  $r_{\text{cov}}$  is half of the covalent bond length of a homonuclear X–X *single bond*. Thus,  $r_{\text{cov}}(\text{S})$  can be determined from the solid state structure of  $\text{S}_8$  (Figure 1.1c) determined by X-ray diffraction methods or, better still, by averaging the values of the bond distances of S–S single bonds found for all the allotropes of sulfur.

For an atom X, the value of the single bond *covalent radius*,  $r_{\text{cov}}$ , is half of the internuclear separation in a homonuclear X–X single bond.

The  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -forms of sulfur (orthorhombic and monoclinic sulfur, respectively) both crystallize with  $\text{S}_8$  molecules stacked in a regular arrangement; the packing in the  $\alpha$ -form (density =  $2.07 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) is more efficient than that in the  $\beta$ -form (density =  $1.94 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ). Van der Waals forces operate between the molecules, and half of the distance of closest approach of two sulfur atoms belonging to *different*  $\text{S}_8$  rings is defined as the van der Waals radius,  $r_{\text{v}}$ , of sulfur. The weakness of the bonding is evidenced by the fact that  $\text{S}_8$  vaporizes, retaining the ring structure, without absorbing much energy. The van der Waals radius of an element is necessarily larger than its covalent radius, e.g.  $r_{\text{v}}$  and  $r_{\text{cov}}$  for S are 185 and 103 pm respectively. Van der Waals forces encompass dispersion and dipole–dipole interactions; dispersion forces are discussed in the latter part of Section 5.13 and dipole moments in Section 1.16. Values of  $r_{\text{v}}$  and  $r_{\text{cov}}$  are listed in [Appendix 6](#).

The *van der Waals radius*,  $r_{\text{v}}$ , of an atom X is half of the distance of closest approach of two non-bonded atoms of X.

### The valence bond (VB) model of bonding in $\text{H}_2$

Valence bond theory considers the interactions between separate atoms as they are brought together to form molecules. We begin by considering the formation of  $\text{H}_2$  from two H atoms, the nuclei of which are labelled  $\text{H}_\text{A}$  and  $\text{H}_\text{B}$ , and the electrons of which are 1 and 2, respectively. When the atoms are so far apart that there is no interaction between them, electron 1 is exclusively associated with  $\text{H}_\text{A}$ , while electron 2 resides with nucleus  $\text{H}_\text{B}$ . Let this state be described by a wavefunction  $\psi_1$ .

When the H atoms are close together, we cannot tell which electron is associated with which nucleus since, although we gave them labels, the two nuclei are actually indistinguishable, as are the two electrons. Thus, electron 2 could be with  $\text{H}_\text{A}$  and electron 1 with  $\text{H}_\text{B}$ . Let this be described by the wavefunction  $\psi_2$ .

Equation 1.23 gives an overall description of the covalently bonded  $\text{H}_2$  molecule;  $\psi_{\text{covalent}}$  is a *linear combination* of

wavefunctions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ . The equation contains a *normalization factor*,  $N$  (see [Box 1.4](#)). In the general case where:

$$\psi_{\text{covalent}} = c_1\psi_1 + c_2\psi_2 + c_3\psi_3 + \dots$$

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 + \dots}}$$

$$\psi_{\text{covalent}} = \psi_+ = N(\psi_1 + \psi_2) \quad (1.23)$$

Another linear combination of  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  can be written as shown in equation 1.24.

$$\psi_- = N(\psi_1 - \psi_2) \quad (1.24)$$

In terms of the spins of electrons 1 and 2,  $\psi_+$  corresponds to spin-pairing, and  $\psi_-$  corresponds to parallel spins (non-spin-paired). Calculations of the energies associated with these states as a function of the internuclear separation of  $H_A$  and  $H_B$  show that, while  $\psi_-$  represents a repulsive state (high energy), the energy curve for  $\psi_+$  reaches a minimum value when the internuclear separation,  $d$ , is 87 pm and this corresponds to an H–H bond dissociation energy,  $\Delta U$ , of 303 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. While these are near enough to the experimental values of  $d = 74$  pm and  $\Delta U = 458$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> to suggest that the model has some validity, they are far enough away from them to indicate that the expression for  $\psi_+$  needs refining.

The bond dissociation energy ( $\Delta U$ ) and enthalpy ( $\Delta H$ ) values for  $H_2$  are defined for the process:



Improvements to equation 1.23 can be made by:

- allowing for the fact that each electron screens the other from the nuclei to some extent;
- taking into account the possibility that *both* electrons 1 and 2 may be associated with either  $H_A$  or  $H_B$ , i.e. allowing for the transfer of one electron from one nuclear centre to the other to form a pair of ions  $H_A^+H_B^-$  or  $H_A^-H_B^+$ .

The latter modification is dealt with by writing two additional wavefunctions,  $\psi_3$  and  $\psi_4$  (one for each ionic form), and so equation 1.23 can be rewritten in the form of equation 1.25. The coefficient  $c$  indicates the relative contributions made by the two sets of wavefunctions. For a *homonuclear diatomic* such as  $H_2$ , the situations described by  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are equally probable, as are those described by  $\psi_3$  and  $\psi_4$ .

$$\psi_+ = N[(\psi_1 + \psi_2) + c(\psi_3 + \psi_4)] \quad (1.25)$$


Since the wavefunctions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  arise from an inter-nuclear interaction involving the *sharing* of electrons among nuclei, and  $\psi_3$  and  $\psi_4$  arise from electron *transfer*, we can simplify equation 1.25 to 1.26 in which the overall

wavefunction,  $\psi_{\text{molecule}}$ , is composed of covalent and ionic terms.


$$\psi_{\text{molecule}} = N[\psi_{\text{covalent}} + (c \times \psi_{\text{ionic}})] \quad (1.26)$$

Based on this model of  $H_2$ , calculations with  $c \approx 0.25$  give values of 75 pm for  $d(H-H)$  and 398 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the bond dissociation energy. Modifying equation 1.26 still further leads to a value of  $\Delta U$  very close to the experimental value, but details of the procedure are beyond the scope of this book.<sup>†</sup>

Now consider the physical significance of equations 1.25 and 1.26. The wavefunctions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  represent the structures shown in **1.7** and **1.8**, while  $\psi_3$  and  $\psi_4$  represent the ionic forms **1.9** and **1.10**. The notation  $H_A(1)$  stands for ‘nucleus  $H_A$  with electron (1)’, and so on.



Dihydrogen is described as a *resonance hybrid* of these contributing *resonance* or *canonical structures*. In the case of  $H_2$ , an example of a homonuclear diatomic molecule which is necessarily symmetrical, we simplify the picture to **1.11**. Each of structures **1.11a**, **1.11b** and **1.11c** is a *resonance structure* and the double-headed arrows indicate the *resonance* between them. The contributions made by **1.11b** and **1.11c** are equal. The term ‘resonance hybrid’ is somewhat unfortunate but is too firmly established to be eradicated.



A crucial point about resonance structures is that they *do not exist as separate species*. Rather, they indicate extreme bonding pictures, the combination of which gives a description of the molecule overall. In the case of  $H_2$ , the contribution made by resonance structure **1.11a** is significantly greater than that of **1.11b** or **1.11c**.


Notice that **1.11a** describes the bonding in  $H_2$  in terms of a *localized two-centre two-electron, 2c-2e*, covalent bond. A particular resonance structure will always indicate a localized bonding picture, although the combination of several resonance structures may result in the description of the bonding in the species as a whole as being delocalized (see [Section 4.3](#)).

## The valence bond (VB) model applied to $F_2$ , $O_2$ and $N_2$

Consider the formation of  $F_2$ . The ground state electronic configuration of F is  $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^5$ , and the presence of the single unpaired electron indicates the formation of an F–F

<sup>†</sup> For detailed discussion, see: R. McWeeny (1979) *Coulson's Valence*, 3rd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

single bond. We can write down resonance structures 1.12 to describe the bonding in  $F_2$ , with the expectation that the covalent contribution will predominate.



The formation of  $O_2$  involves the combination of two O atoms with ground state electronic configurations of  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^4$ . Each O atom has two unpaired electrons and so VB theory predicts the formation of an  $O=O$  double bond. Since VB theory works on the premise that electrons are paired wherever possible, the model predicts that  $O_2$  is diamagnetic. One of the notable failures of VB theory is its inability to predict the observed *paramagnetism* of  $O_2$ . As we shall see, molecular orbital theory is fully consistent with  $O_2$  being a diradical. When two N atoms ( $[He]2s^2 2p^3$ ) combine to give  $N_2$ , an  $N\equiv N$  triple bond results. Of the possible resonance structures, the predominant form is covalent and this gives a satisfactory picture of the bonding in  $N_2$ .

In a *diamagnetic* species, all electrons are spin-paired; a diamagnetic substance is repelled by a magnetic field. A *paramagnetic* species contains one or more unpaired electrons; a paramagnetic substance is attracted by a magnetic field.

### 1.13 Homonuclear diatomic molecules: molecular orbital (MO) theory

#### An overview of the MO model

In molecular orbital (MO) theory, we begin by placing the nuclei of a given molecule in their equilibrium positions and then calculate the *molecular orbitals* (i.e. regions of space spread over the entire molecule) that a single electron might occupy. Each MO arises from interactions between orbitals of atomic centres in the molecule, and such interactions are:

- allowed if the *symmetries* of the atomic orbitals are compatible with one another;
- efficient if the region of *overlap* between the two atomic orbitals is significant;
- efficient if the atomic orbitals are relatively close in energy.

An important ground-rule of MO theory is that *the number of MOs that can be formed must equal the number of atomic orbitals of the constituent atoms*.

Each MO has an associated energy and, to derive the electronic ground state of a molecule, the available electrons are placed, according to the *aufbau* principle, in MOs beginning with that of lowest energy. The sum of the individual energies of the electrons in the orbitals (after correction

for electron–electron interactions) gives the total energy of the molecule.

#### Molecular orbital theory applied to the bonding in $H_2$

An approximate description of the MOs in  $H_2$  can be obtained by considering them as *linear combinations of atomic orbitals* (LCAOs). Each of the H atoms has one  $1s$  atomic orbital; let the two associated wavefunctions be  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ . In Section 1.6, we mentioned the importance of the *signs of the wavefunctions* with respect to their overlap during bond formation. The sign of the wavefunction associated with the  $1s$  atomic orbital may be either + or -. Just as transverse waves interfere in a constructive (in-phase) or destructive (out-of-phase) manner, so too do orbitals. Mathematically, we represent the possible combinations of the two  $1s$  atomic orbitals by equations 1.27 and 1.28, where  $N$  and  $N^*$  are the normalization factors. Whereas  $\psi_{MO}$  is an in-phase (*bonding*) interaction,  $\psi_{MO}^*$  is an out-of-phase (*antibonding*) interaction.

$$\psi_{MO(\text{in-phase})} = \psi_{MO} = N[\psi_1 + \psi_2] \quad (1.27)$$

$$\psi_{MO(\text{out-of-phase})} = \psi_{MO}^* = N^*[\psi_1 - \psi_2] \quad (1.28)$$

The values of  $N$  and  $N^*$  are determined using equations 1.29 and 1.30 where  $S$  is the *overlap integral*. This is a measure of the extent to which the regions of space described by the two wavefunctions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  coincide. Although we mentioned earlier that orbital interaction is efficient if the region of overlap between the two atomic orbitals is significant, the numerical value of  $S$  is still much less than unity and is often neglected giving the approximate results shown in equations 1.29 and 1.30.

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+S)}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (1.29)$$

$$N^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-S)}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (1.30)$$

The interaction between the H  $1s$  atomic orbitals on forming  $H_2$  may be represented by the energy level diagram in Figure 1.17. The bonding MO,  $\psi_{MO}$ , is stabilized with respect to the  $1s$  atomic orbitals, while the antibonding MO,  $\psi_{MO}^*$ , is destabilized.<sup>†</sup> Each H atom contributes one electron and, by the *aufbau* principle, the two electrons occupy the lower of the two MOs in the  $H_2$  molecule and are spin-paired (Figure 1.17). It is important to remember that in MO theory *we construct the orbital interaction diagram first and then put in the electrons according to the aufbau principle*.


<sup>†</sup> The difference between the energies of the  $1s$  atomic orbitals and  $\psi_{MO}^*$  is slightly greater than between those of the  $1s$  atomic orbitals and  $\psi_{MO}$ , i.e. an antibonding MO is slightly more antibonding than the corresponding bonding MO is bonding; the origin of this effect is beyond the scope of this book.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 1.9 The parity of MOs for a molecule that possesses a centre of inversion

We consider symmetry in Chapter 3, but it is useful at this point to consider the labels that are commonly used to describe the *parity of a molecular orbital*. A *homonuclear* diatomic molecule (e.g.  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{Cl}_2$ ) possesses a centre of inversion (centre of symmetry), and the parity of an MO describes the way in which the orbital behaves with respect to this centre of inversion.

First find the centre of inversion in the molecule; this is the point through which you can draw an infinite number of straight lines such that each line passes through a pair of similar points, one on each side of the centre of symmetry and at equal distances from it:



The bonding and antibonding MOs in  $\text{H}_2$  are given the symmetry labels  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^*$  (*'sigma'* and *'sigma-star'*) or, more fully,  $\sigma_g(1s)$  and  $\sigma_u^*(1s)$  to indicate their atomic orbital origins and the *parity* of the MOs (see **Box 1.9**). In order to define these labels, consider the pictorial representations of the two MOs. Figure 1.18a shows that when the  $1s$  atomic orbitals interact in phase, the two wavefunctions reinforce each other, especially in the region of space between the nuclei. The two electrons occupying this MO will be found predominantly between the two nuclei, and the build-up of electron density reduces internuclear repulsion. Figure 1.18b illustrates that the out-of-phase interaction results in a *nodal plane between the two H nuclei*. If the antibonding orbital were to be occupied, there would be a zero probability of finding the electrons at any point on the nodal plane. This lack of electron density raises the internuclear repulsion and, as a result, destabilizes the MO.

Now let us return to the  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^*$  labels. An MO has  $\sigma$ -symmetry if it is symmetrical with respect to a line joining the two nuclei; i.e. if you rotate the orbital about the internuclear axis (the axis joining the two nuclear centres marked in Figure 1.18), there is no phase change. A  $\sigma^*$ -orbital must exhibit two properties:

- the  $\sigma$  label means that rotation of the orbital about the internuclear axis generates no phase change, *and*
- the  $*$  label means that there is a nodal plane *between* the nuclei, and this plane is orthogonal to the internuclear axis.

The ground state electronic configuration of  $\text{H}_2$  may be written using the notation  $\sigma_g(1s)^2$ , indicating that two electrons occupy the  $\sigma_g(1s)$  MO.

Now ask the question: 'Does the wavefunction have the same *sign* at the same distance but in opposite directions from the centre of symmetry?'


If the answer is 'yes', then the orbital is labelled  $g$  (from the word *gerade*, German for 'even'). If the answer is 'no', then the orbital is labelled  $u$  (from the word *ungerade*, German for 'odd'). For example, the  $\sigma$ -bonding MO in  $\text{H}_2$  is labelled  $\sigma_g$ , while the antibonding MO is  $\sigma_u^*$ .

Parity labels *only* apply to MOs in molecules that possess a centre of inversion (*centrosymmetric* molecules), e.g. homonuclear  $\text{X}_2$ , octahedral  $\text{EX}_6$  and square planar  $\text{EX}_4$  molecules. Heteronuclear  $\text{XY}$ , or tetrahedral  $\text{EX}_4$  molecules, for example, do not possess a centre of inversion and are called *non-centrosymmetric* species

The orbital interaction diagram shown in Figure 1.17 can be used to predict several properties of the  $\text{H}_2$  molecule. Firstly, the electrons are paired and so we expect  $\text{H}_2$  to be diamagnetic as is found experimentally. Secondly, the formal bond order can be found using equation 1.31; for  $\text{H}_2$  this gives a bond order of one.

$$\text{Bond order} = \frac{1}{2}[(\text{Number of bonding electrons}) - (\text{Number of antibonding electrons})] \quad (1.31)$$

We cannot measure the bond order experimentally but we can make some useful correlations between bond order and the experimentally measurable bond distances and bond dissociation energies or enthalpies. Along a series of species related by electron gain (reduction) or loss (oxidation), inspection of the corresponding MO diagram shows how the bond order may change (assuming that there are no gross changes to the energy levels of the orbitals). For example, the oxidation of  $\text{H}_2$  to  $[\text{H}_2]^+$  (a change brought about by the action of an electric discharge on  $\text{H}_2$  at low pressures) can be considered in terms of the removal of one electron from the bonding MO shown in Figure 1.17. The bond order of  $[\text{H}_2]^+$  is thus (equation 1.31) 0.5, and we would expect the H–H bond to be weaker than in  $\text{H}_2$ . Experimentally, the bond dissociation energy,  $\Delta U$ , for  $\text{H}_2$  is  $458 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and for  $[\text{H}_2]^+$  is  $269 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Similar correlations can be made between bond order and bond length: the lower the bond order, the larger the internuclear separation; the experimentally determined bond lengths of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $[\text{H}_2]^+$  are 74 and 105 pm. While such correlations are useful, they must be treated with caution and *only* used in series of closely related species.



**Fig. 1.17** An orbital interaction diagram for the formation of  $\text{H}_2$  from two hydrogen atoms. By the *aufbau* principle, the two electrons occupy the lowest energy (bonding) molecular orbital.


### The bonding in $\text{He}_2$ , $\text{Li}_2$ and $\text{Be}_2$

Molecular orbital theory can be applied to any homonuclear diatomic molecule, but as more valence atomic orbitals become available, the MO diagram becomes more complex. Treatments of the bonding in  $\text{He}_2$ ,  $\text{Li}_2$  and  $\text{Be}_2$  are similar to that for  $\text{H}_2$ . In practice, He does not form  $\text{He}_2$ , and the construction of an MO diagram for  $\text{He}_2$  is a useful exercise because it rationalizes this observation. Figure 1.19a shows that when the two  $1s$  atomic orbitals of two He atoms interact,  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^*$  MOs are formed as in  $\text{H}_2$ . However, each He atom contributes two electrons, meaning that in  $\text{He}_2$ , both the bonding *and* antibonding MOs are fully occupied. The bond order (equation 1.31) is zero and so the MO picture of  $\text{He}_2$  is consistent with its non-existence.


Using the same notation as for  $\text{H}_2$ , the ground state electronic configuration of  $\text{He}_2$  is  $\sigma_g(1s)^2\sigma_u^*(1s)^2$ .

The ground state electronic configuration of Li ( $Z = 3$ ) is  $1s^22s^1$  and when two Li atoms combine, orbital overlap occurs efficiently between the  $1s$  atomic orbitals and between the  $2s$  atomic orbitals. To a first approximation we can ignore  $1s$ – $2s$  overlap since the  $1s$  and  $2s$  orbital energies are poorly matched. An approximate orbital interaction diagram for the formation of  $\text{Li}_2$  is given in Figure 1.19b. Each Li atom provides three electrons, and the six electrons in  $\text{Li}_2$  occupy the lowest energy MOs to give a ground state electronic configuration of  $\sigma_g(1s)^2\sigma_u^*(1s)^2\sigma_g(2s)^2$ . Effectively, we could ignore the interaction between the core  $1s$  atomic orbitals since the net bonding is determined by the interaction between the valence atomic orbitals, and a simpler, but informative, electronic ground state is  $\sigma_g(2s)^2$ . Figure 1.19b also shows that  $\text{Li}_2$  is predicted to be diamagnetic in keeping with experimental data. By applying equation 1.31, we see that MO theory gives a bond order in  $\text{Li}_2$  of one. Note that the terminology ‘core and valence orbitals’ is equivalent to that for ‘core and valence electrons’ (see [Section 1.9](#)).

Like Li, Be has available  $1s$  and  $2s$  atomic orbitals for bonding; these atomic orbitals constitute the *basis set of orbitals*. An orbital interaction diagram similar to that for  $\text{Li}_2$  (Figure 1.19b) is appropriate. The difference between  $\text{Li}_2$  and  $\text{Be}_2$  is that  $\text{Be}_2$  has two more electrons than  $\text{Li}_2$  and these occupy the  $\sigma^*(2s)$  MO. The predicted bond order in  $\text{Be}_2$  is thus zero. In practice, this prediction is essentially fulfilled, although there is evidence for an extremely unstable  $\text{Be}_2$  species with bond length 245 pm and bond energy  $10 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .



**Fig. 1.18** Schematic representations of (a) the bonding and (b) the antibonding molecular orbitals in the  $\text{H}_2$  molecule. The H nuclei are represented by black dots. The red orbital lobes could equally well be marked with a + sign, and the blue lobes with a – sign to indicate the sign of the wavefunction.



**Fig. 1.19** Orbital interaction diagrams for the formation of (a)  $\text{He}_2$  from two He atoms and (b)  $\text{Li}_2$  from two Li atoms.


A *basis set of orbitals* is composed of those which are available for orbital interactions.

In each of  $\text{Li}_2$  and  $\text{Be}_2$ , it is unnecessary to include the core (1s) atomic orbitals in order to obtain a useful bonding picture. This is true more generally, and throughout this book, MO treatments of bonding focus only on the interactions between the valence orbitals of the atoms concerned.

## The bonding in $\text{F}_2$ and $\text{O}_2$

The valence shell of an F atom contains 2s and 2p atomic orbitals, and the formation of an  $\text{F}_2$  molecule involves 2s–2s and 2p–2p orbital interactions. Before we can construct an MO diagram for the formation of  $\text{F}_2$ , we must consider what types of interactions are possible between p atomic orbitals.

By convention, each p atomic orbital is directed along one of the three Cartesian axes (Figure 1.10), and, in considering the formation of a diatomic  $\text{X}_2$ , it is convenient to fix the positions of the X nuclei on one of the axes. In diagram 1.13, the nuclei are placed on the z axis, but this choice of axis is arbitrary. Defining these positions also defines the relative orientations of the two sets of p orbitals (Figure 1.20).




Figures 1.20a and 1.20b show the in-phase and out-of-phase combinations of two  $2p_z$  atomic orbitals. In terms of the region between the nuclei, the  $p_z$ – $p_z$  interaction is not dissimilar to that of two s atomic orbitals (Figure 1.18) and the symmetries of the resultant MOs are consistent with the  $\sigma_g$  and  $\sigma_u^*$  labels. Thus, the direct interaction of two p atomic orbitals (i.e. when the orbitals lie along a

common axis) leads to  $\sigma_g(2p)$  and  $\sigma_u^*(2p)$  MOs. The  $p_x$  orbitals of the two atoms X can overlap only in a sideways manner, an interaction which has a smaller overlap integral than the direct overlap of the  $p_z$  atomic orbitals. The in-phase and out-of-phase combinations of two  $2p_x$  atomic orbitals are shown in Figures 1.20c and 1.20d. The bonding MO is called a  $\pi$ -orbital (*‘pi-orbital’*), and its antibonding counterpart is a  $\pi^*$ -orbital (*‘pi-star-orbital’*). Note the positions of the nodal planes in each MO. A  $\pi$  molecular orbital is asymmetrical with respect to rotation about the internuclear axis, i.e. if you rotate the orbital about the internuclear axis (the z axis in Figure 1.20), there is a phase change. A  $\pi^*$ -orbital must exhibit two properties:

- the  $\pi$  label means that rotation of the orbital about the internuclear axis generates a phase change, *and*
- the \* label means that there must be a nodal plane *between* the nuclei.

The parity (see [Box 1.9](#)) of a  $\pi$ -orbital is u, and that of a  $\pi^*$ -orbital is g. These labels are the reverse of those for  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^*$ -orbitals, respectively (Figure 1.20). The overlap between two  $p_y$  atomic orbitals generates an MO which has the same symmetry properties as that derived from the combination of the two  $p_x$  atomic orbitals, but the  $\pi_u(p_y)$  MO lies in a plane perpendicular to that of the  $\pi_u(p_x)$  MO. The  $\pi_u(p_x)$  and  $\pi_u(p_y)$  MOs lie at the same energy: they are *degenerate*. The  $\pi_g^*(p_y)$  and  $\pi_g^*(p_x)$  MOs are similarly related.

Now let us return to the formation of  $\text{F}_2$ . The valence orbitals of F are the 2s and 2p, and Figure 1.21 shows a general orbital interaction diagram for the overlap of these orbitals. We may assume to a first approximation that the energy separation of the fluorine 2s and 2p atomic orbitals (the s–p separation) is sufficiently great that only 2s–2s and 2p–2p orbital interactions occur. Notice that the stabilization of the  $\pi_u(2p_x)$  and  $\pi_u(2p_y)$  MOs relative to the 2p atomic orbitals is less than that of the  $\sigma_g(2p_z)$  MO, consistent with the relative




**Fig. 1.20** The overlap of two  $2p$  atomic orbitals for which the atomic nuclei are defined to lie on the  $z$  axis: (a) direct overlap along the  $z$  axis gives a  $\sigma_g(2p_z)$  MO (bonding); (b) the formation of the  $\sigma_u^*(2p_z)$  MO (antibonding); (c) sideways overlap of two  $2p_x$  atomic orbitals gives a  $\pi_u(2p_x)$  MO (bonding); (d) the formation of  $\pi_g^*(2p_x)$  MO (antibonding). Atomic nuclei are marked in black and nodal planes in grey.

efficiencies of orbital overlap discussed above. In  $F_2$  there are 14 electrons to be accommodated and, according to the *aufbau* principle, this gives a ground state electronic configuration of  $\sigma_g(2s)^2 \sigma_u^*(2s)^2 \sigma_g(2p_z)^2 \pi_u(2p_x)^2 \pi_u(2p_y)^2 \pi_g^*(2p_x)^2 \pi_g^*(2p_y)^2$ . The MO picture for  $F_2$  is consistent with its observed diamagnetism. The predicted bond order is 1, in keeping with the result of the VB treatment (see [Section 1.12](#)).


Figure 1.21 can also be used to describe the bonding in  $O_2$ . Each O atom has six valence electrons ( $2s^2 2p^4$ ) and the total of 12 electrons in  $O_2$  gives an electronic ground state of  $\sigma_g(2s)^2 \sigma_u^*(2s)^2 \sigma_g(2p_z)^2 \pi_u(2p_x)^2 \pi_u(2p_y)^2 \pi_g^*(2p_x)^1 \pi_g^*(2p_y)^1$ . This result is one of the triumphs of early MO theory: the model correctly predicts that  $O_2$  possesses two unpaired electrons and is paramagnetic. From equation 1.31, the bond order in  $O_2$  is 2.

### What happens if the $s$ - $p$ separation is small?

A comparison of theoretical with experimental data for  $F_2$  and  $O_2$  indicates that the approximations we have made above are appropriate. However, this is not the case if the  $s$ - $p$  energy difference is relatively small. In going from Li to F, the effective nuclear charge experienced by an electron in a  $2s$  or  $2p$  atomic orbital increases and the orbital energy decreases. This is shown in Figure 1.22; the trend is non-linear and the  $s$ - $p$  separation increases significantly from B to F. The relatively small  $s$ - $p$  separation observed for B and C means that the approximation made when constructing the orbital interaction diagram in Figure 1.21 is no longer valid when we construct similar diagrams for the formation of  $B_2$  and  $C_2$ . Here, *orbital mixing*



**Fig. 1.21** A general orbital interaction diagram for the formation of  $X_2$  in which the valence orbitals of atom X are the  $2s$  and  $2p$ . In constructing this diagram we assume that the  $s$ - $p$  separation is sufficiently large that no orbital mixing occurs. The X nuclei lie on the  $z$  axis.




**Fig. 1.22** In crossing the period from Li to F, the energies of the  $2s$  and  $2p$  atomic orbitals decrease owing to the increased effective nuclear charge.

may occur<sup>†</sup> between orbitals of similar symmetry and energy, with the result that the ordering of the MOs in  $B_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $N_2$  differs from that in  $F_2$  and  $O_2$ . Figure 1.23 compares the energy levels of the MOs and the ground state electronic configurations of the diatomics  $X_2$  for  $X = B, C, N, O$  and  $F$ . Notice the so-called  $\sigma$ - $\pi$  crossover that occurs between  $N_2$  and  $O_2$ .

Since the MO approach is a theoretical model, what experimental evidence is there for this  $\sigma$ - $\pi$  crossover? The actual electronic configurations of molecules are nearly always determined spectroscopically, particularly by

*photoelectron spectroscopy*, a technique in which electrons in different orbitals are distinguished by their ionization energies (see [Box 4.1](#)). Experimental data support the orbital orderings shown in Figure 1.23. Table 1.6 lists experimental bond distances and bond dissociation enthalpies for diatomics of the second period including  $Li_2$  and  $Be_2$ , and also gives their bond orders calculated from MO theory. Since the nuclear charges change along the series, we should not expect all bonds of order 1 to have the same bond dissociation enthalpy. However, the general relationship between the bond order, dissociation enthalpy and distance is unmistakable. Table 1.6 also states whether a given molecule is diamagnetic or paramagnetic. We have already seen that MO theory correctly predicts (as does VB theory) that  $Li_2$  is diamagnetic. Similarly, both the MO and VB

<sup>†</sup> This effect is dealt with in detail but at a relatively simple level in Chapter 3 of C.E. Housecroft and E.C. Constable (2002) *Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Prentice Hall, Harlow.



**Fig. 1.23** Changes in the energy levels of the MOs and the ground state electronic configurations of homonuclear diatomic molecules involving first-row  $p$ -block elements.

models are consistent with the diamagnetism of  $C_2$ ,  $N_2$  and  $F_2$ . The paramagnetism of  $O_2$  is predicted by MO theory as we have already seen, and this result is independent of whether the crossover of the  $\sigma_g(2p)$  and  $\pi_u(2p)$  occurs or not (Figure 1.23). However, the MO model is only consistent with  $B_2$  being paramagnetic if the  $\pi_u(2p)$  level is at a lower energy than the  $\sigma_g(2p)$ ; consider in Figure 1.23 what would happen if the relative orbital energies of the  $\sigma_g(2p)$  and  $\pi_u(2p)$  were reversed.

### Worked example 1.8 Molecular orbital theory: properties of diatomics

**The bond dissociation enthalpies for the nitrogen–nitrogen bond in  $N_2$  and  $[N_2]^-$  are 945 and 765  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively. Account for this difference in terms of MO theory, and**

**state whether  $[N_2]^-$  is expected to be diamagnetic or paramagnetic.**

Each N atom has the ground state configuration of  $[\text{He}]2s^22p^3$ .

An MO diagram for  $N_2$ , assuming only  $2s$ – $2s$  and  $2p$ – $2p$  orbital interactions, can be constructed, the result being as shown in Figure 1.23. From this diagram, the bond order in  $N_2$  is 3.0.

The change from  $N_2$  to  $[N_2]^-$  is a one-electron reduction and, assuming that Figure 1.23 is still applicable, an electron is added to a  $\pi_g^*(2p)$  orbital. The calculated bond order in  $[N_2]^-$  is therefore 2.5.

The lower bond order of  $[N_2]^-$  compared with  $N_2$  is consistent with a lower bond dissociation enthalpy.

The electron in the  $\pi_g^*(2p)$  orbital is unpaired and  $[N_2]^-$  is expected to be paramagnetic.

**Table 1.6** Experimental data and bond orders for homonuclear diatomic molecules  $X_2$  in which X is an atom in the period Li to F.

| Diatomic        | Bond distance / pm | Bond dissociation enthalpy / $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | Bond order | Magnetic properties |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|------------|---------------------|
| $Li_2$          | 267                | 110   | 1          | Diamagnetic         |
| $Be_2^\ddagger$ | –                  | –   | 0          | –                   |
| $B_2$           | 159                | 297   | 1          | Paramagnetic        |
| $C_2$           | 124                | 607   | 2          | Diamagnetic         |
| $N_2$           | 110                | 945   | 3          | Diamagnetic         |
| $O_2$           | 121                | 498   | 2          | Paramagnetic        |
| $F_2$           | 141                | 159   | 1          | Diamagnetic         |

<sup>‡</sup> See text on p. 31.

**Self-study exercises**

- Using Figure 1.23 as a basis, account for the fact that  $[\text{N}_2]^+$  is paramagnetic.
- Using MO theory, rationalize why the N–N bond distance in  $[\text{N}_2]^+$  is longer (112 pm) than in  $\text{N}_2$  (109 pm).  
[Ans. Loss of electron from  $\sigma_g(2p)$  MO]
- Use Figure 1.23 to rationalize why the bond orders in  $[\text{N}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{N}_2]^-$  are both 2.5.
- Classify the changes from (a)  $\text{N}_2$  to  $[\text{N}_2]^+$ , (b) from  $[\text{N}_2]^-$  to  $\text{N}_2$  and (c) from  $[\text{N}_2]^+$  to  $[\text{N}_2]^-$  as one- or two-electron, oxidation or reduction steps.  
[Ans. (a) 1e oxidation; (b) 1e oxidation; (c) 2e reduction]

**1.14 The octet rule**

The ground state electronic configurations in Table 1.3 map out a pattern illustrating that filled quantum levels provide ‘building blocks’ within the electronic configurations of the heavier elements. Worked example 1.6 emphasized that each noble gas is characterized by having a filled quantum level; with the exception of He, this configuration is of the form  $ns^2np^6$ . In the early development of bonding models (see Section 1.11), the *octet rule* was commonly cited as a means of rationalizing the formation of a particular compound (or ion) which involved an *s*- or *p*-block element. However, the concept of the octet rule is rather limited since it is, strictly, only valid for  $n = 2$ . Further, many molecules, especially neutral compounds of boron, simply do not contain enough valence electrons for each atom to be associated with eight electrons. Ions (e.g.  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{O}^{2-}$ ) often exist only in environments in which electrostatic interaction energies compensate for the energies needed to form the ions from atoms.


An atom obeys the *octet rule* when it gains, loses or shares electrons to give an *outer* shell containing eight electrons with the configuration  $ns^2np^6$ .

We have already noted the exception of He, but for  $n \geq 3$ , there is the possibility of apparently *expanding the octet* (see p. 104). Although the octet rule is still useful at an elementary level, we must bear in mind that it is restricted to a relatively small number of elements. Its extension to the *18-electron rule*, which takes into account the filling of *ns*, *np* and *nd* sub-levels, is discussed in Sections 20.4 and 23.3.


**Worked example 1.9 The octet rule and the apparent expansion of the octet**

In which of the following covalent compounds is the central atom obeying the octet rule: (a)  $\text{CH}_4$ ; (b)  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ ; (c)  $\text{ClF}_3$ ?


(a)  $\text{CH}_4$ : A C atom has four valence electrons and forms four covalent bonds by sharing electrons with four H atoms to give an octet. This can be represented by the Lewis structure:



(b)  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ : An S atom has six valence electrons and forms two covalent bonds by sharing electrons with two H atoms to give an octet. The appropriate Lewis structure which shows that S obeys the octet rule in  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  is:



(c)  $\text{ClF}_3$ : A Cl atom has seven valence electrons and should form only one single covalent bond to obtain an octet (e.g. as in  $\text{Cl}_2$ ). In  $\text{ClF}_3$ , the octet appears to be expanded:

**Self-study exercises**

- Show that N in  $\text{NF}_3$  obeys the octet rule.
- Show that Se in  $\text{H}_2\text{Se}$  obeys the octet rule.
- In which of the following molecules is the octet rule apparently violated by the central atom: (a)  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ ; (b) HCN; (c)  $\text{SO}_2$ ; (d)  $\text{CO}_2$ ; (e)  $\text{SO}_3$ ?  
[Ans. (c); (e)]
- Within the series of fluorides  $\text{IF}$ ,  $\text{IF}_3$  and  $\text{IF}_5$ , show that the octet rule appears to be obeyed in only one instance for iodine.

**1.15 Electronegativity values**

In a homonuclear diatomic molecule  $\text{X}_2$ , the electron density in the region between the nuclei is symmetrical; each X nucleus has the same effective nuclear charge. On the other hand, the disposition of electron density in the region between the two nuclei of a *heteronuclear* diatomic molecule  $\text{X}-\text{Y}$  may be asymmetrical. If the effective nuclear charge of Y is greater than that of X, the pair of electrons in the  $\text{X}-\text{Y}$  covalent bond will be drawn towards Y and away from X.

## Pauling electronegativity values, $\chi^{\text{P}}$

In the early 1930s, Linus Pauling established the concept of *electronegativity* which he defined as ‘the power of an atom in a molecule to attract electrons to itself’ (the electron withdrawing power of an atom). The symbol for electronegativity is  $\chi$  but we distinguish between different electronegativity scales by use of a superscript, e.g.  $\chi^{\text{P}}$  for Pauling. Pauling first developed the idea in response to the observation that experimentally determined bond dissociation enthalpy values for heteronuclear bonds were often at variance with those obtained by simple additivity rules. Equation 1.32 shows the relationship between the bond dissociation enthalpy,  $D$ , of the homonuclear diatomic  $\text{X}_2$  and the enthalpy change of atomization,  $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}$ , of X. Effectively, this partitions bond enthalpy into a contribution made by each atom and, in this case, the contributions are equal.

$$\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(\text{X}) = \frac{1}{2} \times D(\text{X}-\text{X}) \quad (1.32)$$

In equation 1.33, we apply the same type of additivity to the bond in the heteronuclear diatomic XY. Estimates obtained for  $D(\text{X}-\text{Y})$  using this method sometimes agree quite well with experimental data (e.g. ClBr and ClI), but often differ significantly (e.g. HF and HCl) as worked example 1.10 shows.

$$D(\text{X}-\text{Y}) = \frac{1}{2} \times [D(\text{X}-\text{X}) + D(\text{Y}-\text{Y})] \quad (1.33)$$

### Worked example 1.10 Bond enthalpy additivity

**Given that  $D(\text{H}-\text{H})$  and  $D(\text{F}-\text{F})$  in  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{F}_2$  are 436 and 158  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ , estimate the bond dissociation enthalpy of HF using a simple additivity rule. Compare the answer with the experimental value of 570  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ .**

Assume that we may transfer the contribution made to  $D(\text{H}-\text{H})$  by an H atom to  $D(\text{H}-\text{F})$ , and similarly for F.

$$\begin{aligned} D(\text{H}-\text{F}) &= \frac{1}{2} \times [D(\text{H}-\text{H}) + D(\text{F}-\text{F})] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \times [436 + 158] \\ &= 297 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, this model is unsatisfactory since it grossly underestimates the value of  $D(\text{H}-\text{F})$  which, experimentally, is found to be 570  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ .

### Self-study exercises

- Given that  $D(\text{H}-\text{H})$ ,  $D(\text{Cl}-\text{Cl})$ ,  $D(\text{Br}-\text{Br})$  and  $D(\text{I}-\text{I})$  in  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{Br}_2$  and  $\text{I}_2$  are 436, 242, 193 and 151  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively, estimate (by the above method) values of  $D(\text{H}-\text{X})$  in HCl, HBr and HI. [Ans. 339; 315; 294  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]
- Compare your answers to question 1 with experimental values of 432, 366 and 298  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  for  $D(\text{H}-\text{X})$  in HCl, HBr and HI.

Within the framework of the VB approach, Pauling suggested that the difference,  $\Delta D$ , between an experimental value of  $D(\text{X}-\text{Y})$  and that obtained using equation 1.33 could be attributed to the ionic contribution to the bond (equation 1.26). The greater the *difference* in electron attracting powers (the *electronegativities*) of atoms X and Y, the greater the contribution made by  $\text{X}^+\text{Y}^-$  (or  $\text{X}^-\text{Y}^+$ ), and the greater the value of  $\Delta D$ . Pauling determined an approximately self-consistent scale of electronegativities,  $\chi^{\text{P}}$ , as follows. He first converted  $\Delta D$  values (obtained from  $D_{\text{experimental}} - D_{\text{calculated}}$ , the calculated value coming from equation 1.33) from units of  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  to eV in order to obtain a numerically small value of  $\Delta D$ . He then arbitrarily related  $\sqrt{\Delta D}$  to the difference in electronegativity values between atoms X and Y (equation 1.34).

$$\Delta\chi = \chi^{\text{P}}(\text{Y}) - \chi^{\text{P}}(\text{X}) = \sqrt{\Delta D} \quad \text{units of } \Delta D = \text{eV} \quad (1.34)$$

Electronegativity,  $\chi^{\text{P}}$ , was defined by Pauling as ‘the power of an atom in a molecule to attract electrons to itself’.

Over the years, the availability of more accurate thermochemical data has allowed Pauling’s initial values of  $\chi^{\text{P}}$  to be more finely tuned. Values listed in Table 1.7 are those in current use. Some intuition is required in deciding whether X or Y has the higher electronegativity value and in order to avoid giving an element a negative value of  $\chi^{\text{P}}$ ,  $\chi^{\text{P}}(\text{H})$  has been taken as 2.2. Although equation 1.34 implies that the units of  $\chi^{\text{P}}$  are  $\text{eV}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , it is not customary to give units to electronegativity values; by virtue of their different definitions, values of  $\chi$  on different electronegativity scales (see below) possess different units.

In Table 1.7, more than one value of  $\chi^{\text{P}}$  is listed for some elements. This follows from the fact that the electron withdrawing power of an element varies with its oxidation state (see [Section 7.1](#)); remember that the Pauling definition of  $\chi^{\text{P}}$  refers to an atom *in a compound*. Electronegativity values also vary with bond order. Thus for C,  $\chi^{\text{P}}$  has the values of 2.5 for a C–C bond, 2.75 for a C=C bond and 3.3 for a C≡C bond. For most purposes, the value of  $\chi^{\text{P}}(\text{C}) = 2.6$  suffices, although the variation underlines the fact that such values must be used with caution.

Following from the original concept of electronegativity, various scales based upon different ground rules have been devised. We focus on two of the more commonly used scales, those of Mulliken and of Allred and Rochow;  $\chi$  values from these scales are *not directly comparable* with Pauling values, although *trends* in the values should be similar (Figure 1.24). Scales may be adjusted so as to be comparable with the Pauling scale.

## Mulliken electronegativity values, $\chi^{\text{M}}$

In one of the simplest approaches to electronegativity, Mulliken took the value of  $\chi^{\text{M}}$  for an atom to be the mean

**Table 1.7** Pauling electronegativity ( $\chi^P$ ) values for the *s*- and *p*-block elements.

| Group 1   | Group 2   |                            | Group 13                       | Group 14                       | Group 15       | Group 16  | Group 17  |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| H<br>2.2  |           |                            |                                |                                |                |           |           |
| Li<br>1.0 | Be<br>1.6 |                            | B<br>2.0                       | C<br>2.6                       | N<br>3.0       | O<br>3.4  | F<br>4.0  |
| Na<br>0.9 | Mg<br>1.3 |                            | Al(III)<br>1.6                 | Si<br>1.9                      | P<br>2.2       | S<br>2.6  | Cl<br>3.2 |
| K<br>0.8  | Ca<br>1.0 | <i>(d</i> -block elements) | Ga(III)<br>1.8                 | Ge(IV)<br>2.0                  | As(III)<br>2.2 | Se<br>2.6 | Br<br>3.0 |
| Rb<br>0.8 | Sr<br>0.9 |                            | In(III)<br>1.8                 | Sn(II)<br>1.8<br>Sn(IV)<br>2.0 | Sb<br>2.1      | Te<br>2.1 | I<br>2.7  |
| Cs<br>0.8 | Ba<br>0.9 |                            | Tl(I)<br>1.6<br>Tl(III)<br>2.0 | Pb(II)<br>1.9<br>Pb(IV)<br>2.3 | Bi<br>2.0      | Po<br>2.0 | At<br>2.2 |

of the values of the first ionization energy,  $IE_1$ , and the first electron affinity,  $EA_1$  (equation 1.35).

$$\chi^M = \frac{IE_1 + EA_1}{2} \quad \text{where } IE_1 \text{ and } EA_1 \text{ are in eV} \quad (1.35)$$

### Allred–Rochow electronegativity values, $\chi^{AR}$

Allred and Rochow chose as a measure of electronegativity of an atom the electrostatic force exerted by the effective nuclear charge  $Z_{\text{eff}}$  (estimated from Slater's rules, see [Box 1.6](#)) on the valence electrons. The latter are assumed to reside at a distance from the nucleus equal to the covalent radius,  $r_{\text{cov}}$ , of the atom. Equation 1.36 gives the method of calculating values of the Allred–Rochow electronegativity,  $\chi^{AR}$ .


$$\chi^{AR} = \left( 3590 \times \frac{Z_{\text{eff}}}{r_{\text{cov}}^2} \right) + 0.744 \quad \text{where } r_{\text{cov}} \text{ is in pm} \quad (1.36)$$

Since, however, Slater's rules are partly empirical and covalent radii are unavailable for some elements, the Allred–Rochow scale is no more rigid or complete than the Pauling one.

### Electronegativity: final remarks

Despite the somewhat dubious scientific basis of the three methods described above, the trends in electronegativities obtained by them are roughly in agreement as Figure 1.24

exemplifies. The most useful of the scales for application in inorganic chemistry is probably the Pauling scale, which, being based empirically on thermochemical data, can reasonably be used to predict similar data. For example, if the electronegativities of two elements X and Y have been derived from the single covalent bond enthalpies of HX, HY,  $X_2$ ,  $Y_2$  and  $H_2$ , we can estimate the bond dissociation enthalpy of the bond in XY with a fair degree of reliability.



**Fig. 1.24** Although electronegativity values for a given element from different scales cannot be expected to be the same, *trends* in values along a series of elements are comparable. This is illustrated with scaled values of  $\chi^P$  (Pauling; red),  $\chi^M$  (Mulliken; green) and  $\chi^{AR}$  (Allred–Rochow; blue) for first row elements from the *p*-block.

### Worked example 1.11 Estimation of a bond dissociation enthalpy from $\chi^P$ values

Using the following data, estimate a value for  $D(\text{Br-F})$ :  
 $D(\text{F-F}) = 158 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$        $D(\text{Br-Br}) = 224 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$   
 $\chi^P(\text{F}) = 4.0$        $\chi^P(\text{Br}) = 3.0$

First, use the values of  $\chi^P$  to find  $\Delta D$ :

$$\sqrt{\Delta D} = \chi^P(\text{F}) - \chi^P(\text{Br}) = 1.0$$

$$\Delta D = 1.0^2 = 1.0$$

This gives the value in eV; convert to  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ :

$$1.0 \text{ eV} \approx 96.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$\Delta D$  is defined as follows:

$$\Delta D = [D(\text{Br-F})_{\text{experimental}}] - \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \times [D(\text{Br-Br}) + D(\text{F-F})] \right\}$$

So an estimate of  $D(\text{Br-F})$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\text{Br-F}) &= \Delta D + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \times [D(\text{Br-Br}) + D(\text{F-F})] \right\} \\ &= 96.5 + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \times [224 + 158] \right\} \\ &= 287.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

[This compares with an experimental value of  $250.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .]

#### Self-study exercises

- Use the following data to estimate the bond dissociation enthalpy of  $\text{BrCl}$ :  $D(\text{Br-Br}) = 224 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ;  $D(\text{Cl-Cl}) = 242 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ;  $\chi^P(\text{Br}) = 3.0$ ;  $\chi^P(\text{Cl}) = 3.2$ .  
 [Ans.  $\approx 237 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ; actual experimental value =  $218 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]
- Use the following data to estimate the bond dissociation enthalpy of  $\text{HF}$ :  $D(\text{H-H}) = 436 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ;  $D(\text{F-F}) = 158 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ;  $\chi^P(\text{H}) = 2.2$ ;  $\chi^P(\text{F}) = 4.0$ .  
 [Ans.  $\approx 610 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ; actual experimental value =  $570 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]
- Estimate the bond dissociation enthalpy of  $\text{ICl}$  given that  $\chi^P(\text{I}) = 2.7$ ,  $\chi^P(\text{Cl}) = 3.2$ , and  $D(\text{I-I})$  and  $D(\text{Cl-Cl}) = 151$  and  $242 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively.  
 [Ans.  $221 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

In this book we avoid the use of the concept of electronegativity as far as possible and base the systemization of descriptive inorganic chemistry on rigidly defined and independently measured thermochemical quantities such as ionization energies, electron affinities, bond dissociation enthalpies, lattice energies and hydration enthalpies. However, some mention of electronegativity values is unavoidable.

## 1.16 Dipole moments

### Polar diatomic molecules

The symmetrical electron distribution in the bond of a homonuclear diatomic renders the bond *non-polar*. In a heteronuclear diatomic, the electron withdrawing powers of the two atoms may be different, and the bonding electrons are drawn closer towards the more electronegative atom. The bond is *polar* and possesses an *electric dipole moment* ( $\mu$ ). Be careful to distinguish between *electric* and *magnetic* dipole moments (see [Section 20.8](#)).

The dipole moment of a diatomic  $\text{XY}$  is given by equation 1.37 where  $d$  is the distance between the point electronic charges (i.e. the internuclear separation),  $e$  is the charge on the electron ( $1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$ ) and  $q$  is point charge. The SI unit of  $\mu$  is the coulomb metre (Cm) but for convenience,  $\mu$  tends to be given in units of debyes (D) where  $1 \text{ D} = 3.336 \times 10^{-30} \text{ Cm}$ .

$$\mu = q \times e \times d \quad (1.37)$$

### Worked example 1.12 Dipole moments

The dipole moment of a gas phase  $\text{HBr}$  molecule is  $0.827 \text{ D}$ . Determine the charge distribution in this diatomic if the bond distance is  $141.5 \text{ pm}$ . ( $1 \text{ D} = 3.336 \times 10^{-30} \text{ Cm}$ )

To find the charge distribution we need to find  $q$  using the expression:

$$\mu = qed$$

Units must be consistent:

$$d = 141.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}$$

$$\mu = 2.779 \times 10^{-30} \text{ Cm}$$

$$q = \frac{\mu}{ed}$$


$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{2.779 \times 10^{-30}}{1.602 \times 10^{-19} \times 141.5 \times 10^{-12}} \\ &= 0.123 \text{ (no units)} \end{aligned}$$

The charge distribution can be written as  $\overset{+0.123}{\text{H}} \text{---} \overset{-0.123}{\text{Br}}$  since we know that Br is more electronegative than H.

#### Self-study exercises

- The bond length in  $\text{HF}$  is  $92 \text{ pm}$ , and the dipole moment is  $1.83 \text{ D}$ . Determine the charge distribution in the molecule.  
 [Ans.  $\overset{+0.41}{\text{H}} \text{---} \overset{-0.41}{\text{F}}$ ]
- The bond length in  $\text{ClF}$  is  $163 \text{ pm}$ . If the charge distribution is  $\overset{+0.11}{\text{Cl}} \text{---} \overset{-0.11}{\text{F}}$ , show that the molecular dipole moment is  $0.86 \text{ D}$ .

In worked example 1.12, the result indicates that the electron distribution in HBr is such that effectively 0.123 electrons have been transferred from H to Br. The partial charge separation in a polar diatomic molecule can be represented by use of the symbols  $\delta^+$  and  $\delta^-$  assigned to the appropriate nuclear centres, and an arrow represents the direction in which the dipole moment acts. By SI convention, the arrow points from the  $\delta^-$  end of the bond to the  $\delta^+$  end, which is contrary to long-established chemical practice. This is shown for HF in structure 1.14. Keep in mind that a dipole moment is a vector quantity.




*A word of caution:* attempts to calculate the degree of ionic character of the bonds in heteronuclear diatomics from their observed dipole moments and the moments calculated on the basis of charge separation neglect the effects of any lone pairs of electrons and are therefore of doubtful validity. The significant effects of lone pairs are illustrated below in Example 3.

## Molecular dipole moments


Polarity is a *molecular property*. For polyatomic species, the net molecular dipole moment depends upon the magnitudes and relative directions of all the bond dipole moments in the molecule. In addition, lone pairs of electrons may contribute significantly to the overall value of  $\mu$ . We consider three examples below, using the Pauling electronegativity values of the atoms involved to give an indication of individual bond polarities. This practice is useful but must be treated with caution as it can lead to spurious results, e.g. when the bond multiplicity is not taken into account when assigning a value of  $\chi^P$ . Experimental values of molecular electric dipole moments are determined by microwave spectroscopy or other spectroscopic methods.

### Example 1: CF<sub>4</sub>




The values of  $\chi^P(\text{C})$  and  $\chi^P(\text{F})$  are 2.6 and 4.0, respectively, indicating that each C–F bond is polar in the sense  $\text{C}^{\delta^+} \text{---} \text{F}^{\delta^-}$ . The CF<sub>4</sub> molecule (1.15) is tetrahedral and the four bond moments (each a vector of equivalent magnitude) oppose and cancel one another. The effects of the F lone pairs also cancel out, and the net result is that CF<sub>4</sub> is non-polar.

### Example 2: H<sub>2</sub>O



For O and H,  $\chi^P = 3.4$  and 2.2, respectively, showing that each O–H bond is polar in the sense  $\text{O}^{\delta^-} \text{---} \text{H}^{\delta^+}$ . Since the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule is non-linear, resolution of the two bond vectors gives a resultant dipole moment which acts in the direction shown in structure 1.16. In addition, the O atom has two lone pairs of electrons which will reinforce the overall moment. The experimental value of  $\mu$  for H<sub>2</sub>O in the gas phase is 1.85 D.

### Example 3: NH<sub>3</sub> and NF<sub>3</sub>




The molecules NH<sub>3</sub> and NF<sub>3</sub> have trigonal pyramidal structures (1.17), and have dipole moments of 1.47 and 0.24 D respectively. This significant difference may be rationalized by considering the bond dipole moments and the effects of the N lone pair. The values of  $\chi^P(\text{N})$  and  $\chi^P(\text{H})$  are 3.0 and 2.2, so each bond is polar in the sense  $\text{N}^{\delta^-} \text{---} \text{H}^{\delta^+}$ . The resultant dipole moment acts in a direction that is reinforced by the lone pair. Ammonia is a polar molecule with N carrying a partial negative charge. In NF<sub>3</sub>, each N–F bond is polar in the sense  $\text{N}^{\delta^+} \text{---} \text{F}^{\delta^-}$  since F is more electronegative ( $\chi^P(\text{F}) = 4.0$ ) than N. The resultant dipole moment *opposes* the effects of the lone pair, rendering the NF<sub>3</sub> molecule far less polar than NH<sub>3</sub>.

Clearly, molecular shape is an important factor in determining whether a molecule is polar or not and the examples below and question 1.31 at the end of the chapter consider this further.


### Worked example 1.13 Molecular dipole moments

Use electronegativity values in Table 1.7 to work out whether or not the following molecule is polar and, if so, in what direction the dipole acts.





First, look up values of  $\chi^P$  from Table 1.7:  $\chi^P(\text{H}) = 2.2$ ,  $\chi^P(\text{C}) = 2.6$ ,  $\chi^P(\text{F}) = 4.0$ . The molecule is therefore polar

with F atoms  $\delta^-$ , and the molecular dipole moment acts as shown below:





### Self-study exercises

1. Use electronegativity values in Table 1.7 to confirm that each of the following molecules is polar. Draw diagrams to show the directions of the molecular dipole moments.



2. Explain why each of the following molecules is non-polar.



## 1.17 MO theory: heteronuclear diatomic molecules

In this section, we return to MO theory and apply it to heteronuclear diatomic molecules. In each of the orbital interaction diagrams constructed in Section 1.13 for *homonuclear* diatomics, the resultant MOs contained *equal* contributions from each atomic orbital involved. This is represented in equation 1.27 for the bonding MO in H<sub>2</sub> by the fact that each of the wavefunctions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  contributes equally to  $\psi_{\text{MO}}$ , and the representations of the MOs in H<sub>2</sub> (Figure 1.18) depict *symmetrical* orbitals. Now we look at representative examples of diatomics in which the MOs may contain


*different* atomic orbital contributions, a scenario that is typical for heteronuclear diatomics.

First, we must consider likely restrictions when we are faced with the possibility of combining different types of atomic orbitals.


### Which orbital interactions should be considered?

At the beginning of Section 1.13 we stated some general requirements that should be met for orbital interactions to take place efficiently. We stated that orbital interactions are allowed if the *symmetries* of the atomic orbitals are compatible with one another. In our approach to the bonding in a diatomic, we made the assumption that only the interactions between *like* atomic orbitals, e.g.  $2s-2s$ ,  $2p_z-2p_z$ , need be considered. Such interactions are *symmetry-allowed*, and in addition, in a *homonuclear* diatomic the energies of like atomic orbitals on the two atoms are exactly matched.

In a heteronuclear diatomic, we often encounter two atoms that have different basis sets of atomic orbitals, or have sets of similar atomic orbitals lying at different energies. For example, in CO, although both C and O possess valence  $2s$  and  $2p$  atomic orbitals, the greater effective nuclear charge of O means that its atomic orbitals lie at a lower energy than those of C. Before we look more closely at some examples of heteronuclear diatomics, let us briefly consider some symmetry-allowed and disallowed orbital interactions. It is important to remember that we are looking at these symmetry properties *with respect to the internuclear axis*. In our earlier discussion of homonuclear diatomics (e.g. Figure 1.21), we ignored the possibility of overlap between the  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  orbitals. Such an interaction between orthogonal  $p$  atomic orbitals (Figure 1.25a) would give a zero overlap integral. Similarly, for nuclei lying on the  $z$  axis, interaction between  $p_x$  and  $p_z$ , or  $p_y$  and  $p_z$ , orbitals gives zero overlap. An interaction between an  $s$  and a  $p$  atomic orbital *may* occur depending upon the orientation of the  $p$  orbital. In Figure 1.25b, overlap would be partly bonding and partly antibonding and the net effect is a *non-bonding* interaction. On the other hand, Figure 1.25c shows an  $s-p$  interaction that *is* allowed by



**Fig. 1.25** Overlap between atomic orbitals is not always allowed by symmetry. Combinations (a) and (b) lead to non-bonding situations but (c) is symmetry-allowed and gives rise to a bonding interaction.



**Fig. 1.26** The relative energies of atomic orbitals of X and Y will dictate whether an interaction (formally allowed by symmetry) will lead to efficient overlap or not. Here, an interaction occurs but the contribution made by  $\psi_Y$  to  $\psi_{MO}$  is greater than that made by  $\psi_X$ , while  $\psi_X$  contributes more than  $\psi_Y$  to the antibonding MO. The diagrams on the right give pictorial representations of the bonding and antibonding MOs.

symmetry. Whether or not this leads to effective overlap depends upon the relative energies of the two atomic orbitals. This is illustrated in Figure 1.26 for a diatomic XY. Let the interaction between  $\psi_X$  and  $\psi_Y$  be symmetry-allowed; the orbital energies are not the same but are close enough that overlap between the orbitals is efficient. The orbital interaction diagram shows that the energy of the bonding MO is closer to  $E(\psi_Y)$  than to  $E(\psi_X)$  and the consequence of this is that the bonding orbital possesses *greater Y than X character*. This is expressed in equation 1.38 in which  $c_2 > c_1$ . For the antibonding MO, the situation is reversed, and  $\psi_X$  contributes more than  $\psi_Y$ ; in equation 1.39,  $c_3 > c_4$ .

$$\psi_{MO} = N[(c_1 \times \psi_X) + (c_2 \times \psi_Y)] \quad (1.38)$$

$$\psi_{MO}^* = N^*[(c_3 \times \psi_X) + (c_4 \times \psi_Y)] \quad (1.39)$$

The energy separation  $\Delta E$  in Figure 1.26 is critical. If it is large, interaction between  $\psi_X$  and  $\psi_Y$  will be inefficient (the overlap integral is very small). In the extreme case, there is no interaction at all and both  $\psi_X$  and  $\psi_Y$  appear in the XY molecule as unperturbed *non-bonding* atomic orbitals. We illustrate this below.

## Hydrogen fluoride


The ground state configurations of H and F are  $1s^1$  and  $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^5$  respectively. Since  $Z_{\text{eff}}(\text{F}) > Z_{\text{eff}}(\text{H})$ , the F  $2s$  and  $2p$  atomic orbital energies are significantly lowered with respect to the H  $1s$  atomic orbital (Figure 1.27).

We now have to consider which atomic orbital interactions are symmetry-allowed and then ask whether the atomic orbitals are sufficiently well energy-matched. First, define the axis set for the orbitals; let the nuclei lie on the  $z$  axis. Overlap between the H  $1s$  and F  $2s$  orbitals is allowed by symmetry, but the energy separation is very large (note the break on the energy axis in Figure 1.27). Overlap between the H  $1s$  and F  $2p_z$  atomic orbitals is also symmetry-allowed and there is a reasonable orbital energy match. As Figure 1.27 shows, an interaction occurs leading to  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^*$  MOs; the  $\sigma$ -orbital has greater F than

H character. Notice that, because HF is *non-centrosymmetric* (see [Box 1.9](#)), the symmetry labels of the orbitals for HF do *not* involve  $g$  and  $u$  labels. The two F  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  atomic orbitals become non-bonding orbitals in HF since no net bonding interaction with the H  $1s$  atomic orbital is possible. Once the orbital interaction diagram has been constructed, the eight valence electrons are accommodated as shown in Figure 1.27, giving a bond order of 1 in HF. The MO picture of HF indicates that the electron density is greater around the F than H nucleus; the model is consistent with a polar H–F bond in the sense  $\text{H}^{\delta+}-\text{F}^{\delta-}$ . [**Exercise:** Sketch pictorial representations of the  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^*$  MOs in HF. **Hint:** Refer to Figure 1.26.]

## Carbon monoxide

In [Chapter 23](#) we discuss the chemistry of compounds containing metal–carbon bonds (*organometallic compounds*) of which *metal carbonyls* of the type  $\text{M}_x(\text{CO})_y$  are one group.



**Fig. 1.27** An orbital interaction diagram for the formation of HF. Only the valence atomic orbitals and electrons are shown. The break in the vertical (energy) axis indicates that the energy of the F  $2s$  atomic orbital is much lower than is actually shown.

In order to investigate the way in which CO bonds to metals, we must appreciate the electronic structure of the carbon monoxide molecule.

Before constructing an orbital interaction diagram for CO, we must take note of the following:

- $Z_{\text{eff}}(\text{O}) > Z_{\text{eff}}(\text{C})$ ;
- the energy of the O  $2s$  atomic orbital is lower than that of the C  $2s$  atomic orbital;
- the  $2p$  level in O is at lower energy than that in C;
- the  $2s$ – $2p$  energy separation in O is greater than that in C (Figure 1.22).

We could generate an approximate orbital interaction diagram by assuming that only  $2s$ – $2s$  and  $2p$ – $2p$  overlap occurs, but, as a consequence of the relative atomic orbital energies, such a picture is too simplistic. Figure 1.28a gives a more accurate MO picture of the electronic structure of CO obtained computationally, although even this is over-simplified. Figure 1.28b illustrates more fully the extent of orbital mixing, but for our discussion, the simplified picture presented in Figure 1.28a suffices. Two of the more important features to notice are:

- The highest occupied MO (HOMO) is  $\sigma$ -bonding and possesses predominantly *carbon* character; occupation of this MO effectively creates an outward-pointing lone pair centred on C.
- A degenerate pair of  $\pi^*(2p)$  MOs make up the lowest unoccupied MOs (LUMOs); each MO possesses more C than O character.

Pictorial representations of the HOMO and one of the LUMOs are given in Figure 1.28; refer to end of chapter problem 1.33.

HOMO = highest occupied molecular orbital.  
LUMO = lowest unoccupied molecular orbital.

## 1.18 Isoelectronic molecules

Two species are *isoelectronic* if they possess the same *total* number of electrons.

If two species contain the same number of electrons they are *isoelectronic*;  $\text{CH}_4$ ,  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  and  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  are isoelectronic, since each contains a total of 10 electrons. Two other series of isoelectronic species are  $\text{N}_2$ , CO and  $[\text{NO}]^+$ , and  $[\text{SiF}_6]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$  and  $\text{SF}_6$ .

The word *isoelectronic* is often used in the context of meaning ‘same number of *valence electrons*’, although strictly such usage should always be qualified; e.g. HF, HCl and HBr are isoelectronic *with respect to their valence electrons*.

The isoelectronic principle is simple but important. Often, species that are isoelectronic possess the same structure and are *isostructural*. However, if the term is used loosely and

only the valence electrons are considered, this expectation may not hold. With respect to their valence electrons,  $\text{CO}_2$  with  $\text{SiO}_2$  are isoelectronic, but whereas  $\text{CO}_2$  is a linear molecule,  $\text{SiO}_2$  possesses an infinite lattice in which Si is 4-coordinate (see [Section 13.9](#)).

## 1.19 Molecular shape and the VSEPR model


### Valence-shell electron-pair repulsion theory

The shapes of molecules containing a central  $p$ -block atom tend to be controlled by the number of electrons in the valence shell of the central atom. The *valence-shell electron-pair repulsion* (VSEPR) theory provides a simple model for predicting the shapes of such species. The model combines original ideas of Sidgwick and Powell with extensions developed by Nyholm and Gillespie, and may be summarized as follows:


- Each valence shell electron pair of the central atom E in a molecule  $\text{EX}_n$  containing E–X single bonds is stereochemically significant, and repulsions between them determine the molecular shape.
- Electron–electron repulsions decrease in the sequence:  
lone pair–lone pair > lone pair–bonding pair > bonding pair–bonding pair.
- Where the central atom E is involved in multiple bond formation to atoms X, electron–electron repulsions decrease in the order:  
triple bond–single bond > double bond–single bond > single bond–single bond.
- Repulsions between the bonding pairs in  $\text{EX}_n$  depend on the difference between the electronegativities of E and X; electron–electron repulsions are less the more the E–X bonding electron density is drawn away from the central atom E.

The VSEPR theory works best for simple halides of the  $p$ -block elements, but may also be applied to species with other substituents. However, the model does *not* take *steric factors* (i.e. the relative sizes of substituents) into account.

In a molecule  $\text{EX}_n$ , there is a minimum energy arrangement for a given number of electron pairs. In  $\text{BeCl}_2$  (Be, group 2), repulsions between the two pairs of electrons in the valence shell of Be are minimized if the Cl–Be–Cl unit is linear. In  $\text{BCl}_3$  (B, group 13), electron–electron repulsions are minimized if a trigonal planar arrangement of electron pairs (and thus Cl atoms) is adopted. The structures in the left-hand column of Figure 1.29 represent the minimum energy structures for  $\text{EX}_n$  molecules for  $n = 2$ –8 and in which there are no lone pairs of electrons associated with E. Table 1.8 gives further representations of these structures, along with their *ideal* bond angles. Ideal bond angles may be expected




(a)



(b)

**Fig. 1.28** (a) A simplified orbital interaction diagram for CO which allows for the effects of some orbital mixing. The labels  $1\sigma$ ,  $2\sigma$  ... rather than  $\sigma(2s)$  ... are used because some orbitals contain both  $s$  and  $p$  character. (b) A more rigorous (but still qualitative) orbital interaction diagram for CO.





**Fig. 1.29** Common shapes for molecules of type  $EX_n$  or ions of type  $[EX_n]^{m+/-}$ . The structures in the left-hand column are 'parent' shapes used in VSEPR theory.

**Table 1.8** 'Parent' shapes for  $EX_n$  molecules ( $n = 2-8$ ).

| Formula $EX_n$ | Coordination number of atom E | Shape                  | Spatial representation | Ideal bond angles ( $\angle X-E-X$ ) / degrees                  |
|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|
| $EX_2$         | 2                             | Linear                 | $X-E-X$                | 180   |
| $EX_3$         | 3                             | Trigonal planar        |                        | 120   |
| $EX_4$         | 4                             | Tetrahedral            |                        | 109.5   |
| $EX_5$         | 5                             | Trigonal bipyramidal   |                        | $\angle X_{ax}-E-X_{eq} = 90$<br>$\angle X_{eq}-E-X_{eq} = 120$ |
| $EX_6$         | 6                             | Octahedral             |                        | $\angle X_1-E-X_2 = 90$   |
| $EX_7$         | 7                             | Pentagonal bipyramidal |                        | $\angle X_{ax}-E-X_{eq} = 90$<br>$\angle X_{eq}-E-X_{eq} = 72$  |
| $EX_8$         | 8                             | Square antiprismatic   |                        | $\angle X_1-E-X_2 = 78$<br>$\angle X_1-E-X_3 = 73$              |

when all the X substituents are identical, but in, for example,  $BF_2Cl$  (**1.18**) some distortion occurs because Cl is larger than F, and the shape is only *approximately* trigonal planar.



The presence of lone pairs is taken into account using the guidelines above and the 'parent structures' in Figure 1.29. In  $H_2O$  (**1.19**), repulsions between the two bonding pairs and two lone pairs of electrons lead to a tetrahedral arrangement but owing to the inequalities between the lone

pair-lone pair, lone pair-bonding pair and bonding pair-bonding pair interactions, distortion from an ideal arrangement arises and this is consistent with the observed  $H-O-H$  bond angle of  $104.5^\circ$ .


### Worked example 1.14 VSEPR theory

**Predict the structures of (a)  $XeF_2$  and (b)  $[XeF_5]^-$ .**

Xe is in group 18 and possesses eight electrons in its valence shell. F is in group 17, has seven valence electrons and forms one covalent single bond. Before applying the VSEPR model, decide which is the central atom in the molecule. In each of  $XeF_2$  and  $[XeF_5]^-$ , Xe is the central atom.


(a)  $\text{XeF}_2$ . Two of the eight valence electrons of the Xe atom are used for bonding (two Xe–F single bonds), and so around the Xe centre there are two bonding pairs of electrons and three lone pairs.

The parent shape is a trigonal bipyramid (Figure 1.29) with the three lone pairs in the equatorial plane to minimize lone pair–lone pair repulsions. The  $\text{XeF}_2$  molecule is therefore linear:



(b)  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$ . The electron from the negative charge is conveniently included within the valence shell of the central atom. Five of the nine valence electrons are used for bonding and around the Xe centre there are five bonding pairs and two lone pairs of electrons.

The parent shape is a pentagonal bipyramid (Figure 1.29) with the two lone pairs opposite to each other to minimize lone pair–lone pair repulsions. The  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$  anion is therefore pentagonal planar:



When structures are determined by diffraction methods, *atom* positions are located. Thus, in terms of a structural descriptor  $\text{XeF}_2$  is linear and  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$  is pentagonal planar. In the diagrams above we show two representations of each species, one with the lone pairs to emphasize the origin of the prediction from the VSEPR model.


### Self-study exercise

Show that VSEPR theory is in agreement with the following molecular shapes:

|                      |                      |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| $\text{BCl}_3$       | trigonal planar      |
| $[\text{IF}_5]^{2-}$ | pentagonal planar    |
| $[\text{NH}_4]^+$    | tetrahedral          |
| $\text{SF}_6$        | octahedral           |
| $\text{XeF}_4$       | square planar        |
| $\text{AsF}_5$       | trigonal bipyramidal |
| $[\text{BBr}_4]^-$   | tetrahedral          |


## Structures derived from a trigonal bipyramid

In this section, we consider the structures of species such as  $\text{ClF}_3$  and  $\text{SF}_4$  which have five electron pairs in the valence shell of the central atom. The experimentally determined structure of  $\text{ClF}_3$  is shown in Figure 1.30, and VSEPR



**Fig. 1.30** (a) The experimentally determined structure of  $\text{ClF}_3$  and (b) the rationalization of this structure using VSEPR theory.

theory can be used to rationalize this T-shaped arrangement. The valence shell of the Cl atom contains three bonding pairs and two lone pairs of electrons. If both lone pairs occupy equatorial sites (see Table 1.8), then a T-shaped  $\text{ClF}_3$  molecule results. The choice of locations for the bonding and lone pairs arises from a consideration of the difference between the  $\text{X}_{\text{ax}}\text{--E--X}_{\text{eq}}$  and  $\text{X}_{\text{eq}}\text{--E--X}_{\text{eq}}$  bond angles (Table 1.8), coupled with the relative magnitudes of lone pair–lone pair, bonding pair–lone pair and bonding pair–bonding pair repulsions. It follows that the chlorine lone pairs in  $\text{ClF}_3$  preferentially occupy the equatorial sites where there is greatest space. The small departure of the F–Cl–F bond angle from the ideal value of  $90^\circ$  (Table 1.8) may be attributed to lone pair–bonding pair repulsion. Figure 1.30 also shows that there is a significant difference between the axial and equatorial Cl–F bond lengths, and this is a trend that is seen in a range of structures of molecules derived from a trigonal bipyramidal arrangement. In  $\text{PF}_5$ , the axial (ax) and equatorial (eq) bond distances are 158 and 153 pm respectively, in  $\text{SF}_4$  (1.20), they are 165 and 155 pm, and in  $\text{BrF}_3$ , they are 181 and 172 pm.<sup>†</sup> Bond distance variation is, however, not restricted to species derived from a trigonal bipyramid. For example, in  $\text{BrF}_5$  (1.21), the Br atom lies a little below the plane containing the equatorial F atoms ( $\angle\text{F}_{\text{ax}}\text{--Br--F}_{\text{eq}} = 84.5^\circ$ ) and the Br– $\text{F}_{\text{ax}}$  and Br– $\text{F}_{\text{eq}}$  bond distances are 168 and 178 pm respectively.



<sup>†</sup> For further discussion of this topic, see: R.J. Gillespie and P.L.A. Popelier (2001) *Chemical Bonding and Molecular Geometry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, Chapter 4.

## Limitations of VSEPR theory

The generalizations of the VSEPR model are useful, but there are limitations to its use. In this section, we give examples that illustrate some problems. The isoelectronic species  $\text{IF}_7$  and  $[\text{TeF}_7]^-$  are predicted by VSEPR theory to be pentagonal bipyramidal and this is observed. However, electron diffraction data for  $\text{IF}_7$  and X-ray diffraction data for  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}][\text{TeF}_7]$  reveal that the equatorial F atoms are not coplanar, a result that cannot be predicted by the VSEPR model. Moreover, in  $\text{IF}_7$ , the  $\text{I-F}_{\text{ax}}$  and  $\text{I-F}_{\text{eq}}$  distances are 179 and 186 pm respectively, and in  $[\text{TeF}_7]^-$ , the  $\text{Te-F}_{\text{ax}}$  bond distance is 179 pm and the  $\text{Te-F}_{\text{eq}}$  distances lie in the range 183 to 190 pm.

Among species in which VSEPR theory appears to fail are  $[\text{SeCl}_6]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{TeCl}_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{BrF}_6]^-$  (see also [Section 15.7](#)). When characterized as alkali metal salts, these anions are found to possess *regular octahedral* structures in the solid state, whereas VSEPR theory suggests shapes based on there being seven electron pairs around the central atom. Although these structures cannot readily be predicted, we can rationalize them in terms of having a *stereochemically inactive* pair of electrons. Stereochemically inactive lone pairs are usually observed for the heaviest members of a periodic group, and the tendency for valence shell *s* electrons to adopt a non-bonding role in a molecule is called the *stereochemical inert pair effect*. Similarly,  $[\text{SbCl}_6]^-$  and  $[\text{SbCl}_6]^{3-}$  both possess regular octahedral structures. Finally, consider  $[\text{XeF}_8]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{IF}_8]^-$  and  $[\text{TeF}_8]^{2-}$ . As expected from VSEPR theory,  $[\text{IF}_8]^-$  and  $[\text{TeF}_8]^{2-}$  are square antiprismatic; this structure is related to the cube but with one face of the cube rotated through  $45^\circ$ . However,  $[\text{XeF}_8]^{2-}$  also adopts this structure, indicating that the lone pair of electrons is stereochemically inactive.

It is important to note that whereas VSEPR theory may be applicable to *p*-block species, it is *not* appropriate for those of the *d*-block (see [Chapters 19–23](#)).

If the presence of a lone pair of electrons influences the shape of a molecule or ion, the lone pair is *stereochemically active*. If it has no effect, the lone pair is *stereochemically inactive*. The tendency for the pair of *valence s* electrons to adopt a non-bonding role in a molecule or ion is termed the *stereochemical inert pair effect*.

## 1.20 Molecular shape: geometrical isomerism


In this section we discuss *geometrical isomerism*. Examples are taken from both *p*- and *d*-block chemistry. Other types of isomerism are described in [Section 19.8](#).

If two species have the same molecular formulae and the same structural framework, but differ in the spatial


arrangement of different atoms or groups about a central atom or a double bond, then the compounds are *geometrical isomers*.

## Square planar species

In a square planar species such as  $[\text{ICl}_4]^-$  or  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$  (**1.22**), the four Cl atoms are equivalent. Similarly, in  $[\text{PtCl}_3(\text{PMe}_3)]^-$  (**1.23**), there is only one possible arrangement of the groups around the square planar Pt(II) centre. (The use of arrows or lines to depict bonds in coordination compounds is discussed in [Section 6.11](#).)




The introduction of two  $\text{PMe}_3$  groups to give  $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{PMe}_3)_2]$  leads to the possibility of two *geometrical isomers*, i.e. two possible spatial arrangements of the groups around the square planar Pt(II) centre. These are shown in structures **1.24** and **1.25** and the names *cis* and *trans* refer to the positioning of the Cl (or  $\text{PMe}_3$ ) groups, adjacent to or opposite one another.




*Square planar* species of the general form  $\text{EX}_2\text{Y}_2$  or  $\text{EX}_2\text{YZ}$  may possess *cis*- and *trans*-isomers.

## Octahedral species


There are two types of geometrical isomerism associated with octahedral species. In  $\text{EX}_2\text{Y}_4$ , the X groups may be mutually *cis* or *trans* as shown for  $[\text{SnF}_4\text{Me}_2]^{2-}$  (**1.26** and **1.27**). In the solid state structure of  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{SnF}_4\text{Me}_2]$ , the anion is present as the *trans*-isomer.





**Fig. 1.31** The origin of the names *fac*- and *mer*-isomers. For clarity, the central atom is not shown.


If an octahedral species has the general formula  $\text{EX}_3\text{Y}_3$ , then the X groups (and also the Y groups) may be arranged so as to define one face of the octahedron or may lie in a plane that also contains the central atom E (Figure 1.31). These geometrical isomers are labelled *fac* (facial) and *mer* (meridional) respectively. In  $[\text{PCl}_4][\text{PCl}_3\text{F}_3]$ , the  $[\text{PCl}_3\text{F}_3]^-$  anion exists as both *mer*- and *fac*-isomers (1.28 and 1.29).



An octahedral species containing two identical groups (e.g. of type  $\text{EX}_2\text{Y}_4$ ) may possess *cis*- and *trans*-arrangements of these groups. An octahedral species containing three identical groups (e.g. of type  $\text{EX}_3\text{Y}_3$ ) may possess *fac*- and *mer*-isomers.


## Trigonal bipyramidal species

In trigonal bipyramidal  $\text{EX}_5$ , there are two types of X atom: axial and equatorial. This leads to the possibility of geometrical isomerism when more than one type of substituent is attached to the central atom. Iron pentacarbonyl,  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ , is trigonal bipyramidal and when one CO is exchanged for  $\text{PPh}_3$ , two geometrical isomers are possible depending on whether the  $\text{PPh}_3$  ligand is axially (1.30) or equatorially (1.31) sited.



For trigonal bipyramidal  $\text{EX}_2\text{Y}_3$ , three geometrical isomers (1.32 to 1.34) are possible depending on the relative

positions of the X atoms. Steric factors may dictate which isomer is preferred for a given species; e.g. in the static structure of  $\text{PCl}_3\text{F}_2$ , the F atoms occupy the two axial sites, and the larger Cl atoms reside in the equatorial plane.




In a trigonal bipyramidal species, geometrical isomerism arises because of the presence of *axial* and *equatorial* sites.

## High coordination numbers

The presence of axial and equatorial sites in a pentagonal bipyramidal molecule leads to geometrical isomerism in a similar manner to that in a trigonal bipyramidal species. In a square antiprismatic molecule  $\text{EX}_8$ , each X atom is identical (Figure 1.29). Once two or more different atoms or groups are present, e.g.  $\text{EX}_6\text{Y}_2$ , geometrical isomers are possible. As an exercise, draw out the four possibilities for square antiprismatic  $\text{EX}_6\text{Y}_2$ .

## Double bonds

In contrast to a single ( $\sigma$ ) bond where free rotation is generally assumed, rotation about a double bond is not a low energy process. The presence of a double bond may therefore lead to geometrical isomerism as is observed for  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$ . Each N atom carries a lone pair as well as forming one N–F single bond and an N=N double bond. Structures 1.35 and 1.36 show the *trans*- and *cis*-isomers<sup>†</sup> respectively of  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$ .



## Further reading

### First-year chemistry: basic principles

C.E. Housecroft and E.C. Constable (2002) *Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Prentice Hall, Harlow – A readable text covering fundamental aspects of inorganic, organic and physical chemistry which gives detailed background of all material that is taken as assumed knowledge in this book. An accompanying multiple-choice test bank and Solutions Manual can be found through [www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft](http://www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft)

<sup>†</sup> In organic chemistry, IUPAC nomenclature uses the prefix (*E*-) for a *trans*-arrangement of groups and (*Z*-) for a *cis*-arrangement, but for inorganic compounds, the terms *trans*- and *cis*- remain in use.

- P. Atkins (2000) *The Elements of Physical Chemistry*, 3rd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An excellent introductory text which covers important areas of physical chemistry.
- P. Atkins and L. Jones (2000) *Chemistry: Molecules, Matter, and Change*, 4th edn, Freeman, New York – This first-year text is suitable for reviewing basic topics.
- S.S. Zumdahl (1998) *Chemical Principles*, 3rd edn, Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston – A useful first-year text for an overview of basic concepts.

### Physical methods

- E.A.V. Ebsworth, D.W.H. Rankin and S. Cradock (1991) *Structural Methods in Inorganic Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford – A readable text which gives details of the important methods by which chemists determine structural details of compounds.
- B.K. Hunter and J.K.M. Sanders (1993) *Modern NMR Spectroscopy: A Guide for Chemists*, 2nd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An excellent text that provides the theory behind most of the NMR spectroscopic techniques that you are likely to need in conjunction with this book.

### Quantum mechanics and bonding

- P. Atkins and J. de Paula (2002) *Atkins' Physical Chemistry*, 7th edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – This text provides a solid and well-tested background in physical chemistry.
- R.J. Gillespie and I. Hargittai (1991) *The VSEPR Model of Molecular Geometry*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston – A text with numerous examples that takes the VSEPR model from basic principles to a quantum mechanical basis.
- R.J. Gillespie and P.L.A. Popelier (2001) *Chemical Bonding and Molecular Geometry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A text that goes from fundamental to modern aspects of the VSEPR and related models of bonding.
- R. McWeeny (1979) *Coulson's Valence*, 3rd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A general treatment of chemical bonding with a detailed mathematical approach.
- M.J. Winter (1994) *Chemical Bonding*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – This text approaches chemical bonding non-mathematically and is aimed at first-year undergraduate students.
- Structure and Bonding* (1987) vol. 66 – A volume containing articles dealing with different aspects of electronegativity.

## Problems

- 1.1** Using the list of naturally occurring isotopes in Appendix 5, determine the number of electrons, protons and neutrons present in an atom of each isotope of (a) Al, (b) Br and (c) Fe, and give appropriate notation to show these data for each isotope.
- 1.2** Hydrogen possesses three isotopes, but tritium ( $^3\text{H}$ ), which is radioactive, occurs as less than 1 in  $10^{17}$  atoms in a sample of natural hydrogen. If the value of  $A_r$  for hydrogen is 1.008, estimate the percentage abundance of protium,  $^1\text{H}$ , and deuterium,  $^2\text{H}$  (or D) present in a sample of natural hydrogen. Point out any assumptions that you make. Explain why your answers are not the same as those quoted in Appendix 5.
- 1.3** (a) By using the data in Appendix 5, account for the isotopic distribution shown in Figure 1.1b. (b) The mass spectrum of  $\text{S}_8$  shows other peaks at lower values of  $m/z$ . By considering the structure of  $\text{S}_8$  shown in Figure 1.1c, suggest the origin of these lower-mass peaks.
- 1.4** Four of the lines in the Balmer series are at 656.28, 486.13, 434.05 and 410.17 nm. Show that these wavelengths are consistent with equation 1.4.
- 1.5** Using the Bohr model, determine the values of the radii of the second and third orbits of the hydrogen atom.
- 1.6** Write down the sets of quantum numbers that define the (a)  $1s$ , (b)  $4s$ , (c)  $5s$  atomic orbitals.
- 1.7** Write down the three sets of quantum numbers that define the three  $3p$  atomic orbitals.
- 1.8** How many atomic orbitals make up the set with  $n = 4$  and  $l = 3$ ? What label is given to this set of orbitals? Write down a set of quantum numbers that defines each orbital in the set.
- 1.9** Which of the following species are hydrogen-like: (a)  $\text{H}^+$ ; (b)  $\text{He}^+$ ; (c)  $\text{He}^-$ ; (d)  $\text{Li}^+$ ; (e)  $\text{Li}^{2+}$ ?
- 1.10** (a) Will a plot of  $R(r)$  for the  $1s$  atomic orbital of  $\text{He}^+$  be identical to that of the H atom (Figure 1.5a)? [Hint: look at Table 1.2.] (b) On the *same axis set*, sketch approximate representations of the function  $4\pi r^2 R(r)^2$  for H and  $\text{He}^+$ .
- 1.11** Using equation 1.16, determine the energies of atomic orbitals of hydrogen with  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $5$ . What can you say about the relative spacings of the energy levels?
- 1.12** Write down (with reasoning) the ground state electronic configurations of (a) Li, (b) F, (c) S, (d) Ca, (e) Ti, (f) Al.
- 1.13** Draw energy level diagrams to show the ground state electronic configurations of only the *valence* electrons in an atom of (a) F, (b) Al and (c) Mg.
- 1.14** (a) Write down an equation that defines the process to which the value of  $IE_4$  of Sn refers. Is this process exothermic or endothermic? (b) To what overall process does a value of  $(IE_1 + IE_2 + IE_3)$  for Al refer?
- 1.15** The first four ionization energies of an atom X are 403, 2633, 3900 and  $5080 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Suggest to what periodic group X belongs and give reasons for your choice.
- 1.16** In Figure 1.15, identify the trends in the first ionization energies of the elements in (a) descending group 1, (b) descending group 13, (c) crossing the first row of the  $d$ -block, (d) crossing the row of elements from B to Ne, (e) going from Xe to Cs, and (f) going from P to S. Rationalize each of the trends you have described.
- 1.17** Figure 1.32 shows the values of  $IE_1$  for the first ten elements. (a) Label each point with the symbol of the





Fig. 1.32 Graph for problem 1.17.

appropriate element. (b) Give detailed reasons for the observed trend in values.

- 1.18 (a) Using the data in Table 1.5, determine a value for  $\Delta H$  for the process:



(b) Comment on the relevance of the sign and magnitude of your answer to part (a) in the light of the fact that many metal oxides with ionic lattices are thermodynamically stable.

- 1.19 Draw Lewis structures to describe the bonding in the following molecules: (a)  $\text{F}_2$ ; (b)  $\text{BF}_3$ ; (c)  $\text{NH}_3$ ; (d)  $\text{H}_2\text{Se}$ ; (e)  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ; (f)  $\text{BeCl}_2$ ; (g)  $\text{SiH}_4$ ; (h)  $\text{PF}_5$ .
- 1.20 Use the Lewis structure model to deduce the type of nitrogen–nitrogen bond present in (a)  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ , (b)  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_4$ , (c)  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$  and (d)  $[\text{N}_2\text{H}_5]^+$ .
- 1.21 Draw out the resonance structures for the  $\text{O}_3$  molecule. What can you conclude about the net bonding picture?
- 1.22 (a) Use VB theory to describe the bonding in the diatomic molecules  $\text{Li}_2$ ,  $\text{B}_2$  and  $\text{C}_2$ . (b) Experimental data show that  $\text{Li}_2$  and  $\text{C}_2$  are diamagnetic whereas  $\text{B}_2$  is paramagnetic. Is the VB model consistent with these facts?
- 1.23 Using VB theory and the Lewis structure model, determine the bond order in (a)  $\text{H}_2$ , (b)  $\text{Na}_2$ , (c)  $\text{S}_2$ , (d)  $\text{N}_2$  and (e)  $\text{Cl}_2$ . Is there any ambiguity with finding the bond orders by this method?
- 1.24 Does VB theory indicate that the diatomic molecule  $\text{He}_2$  is a viable species? Rationalize your answer.
- 1.25 (a) Use MO theory to determine the bond order in each of  $[\text{He}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{He}_2]^{2+}$ . (b) Does the MO picture of the bonding in these ions suggest that they are viable species?
- 1.26 (a) Construct an MO diagram for the formation of  $\text{O}_2$ ; show only the participation of the valence orbitals of the oxygen atoms. (b) Use the diagram to rationalize the following trend in O–O bond distances:  $\text{O}_2$ , 121 pm;  $[\text{O}_2]^+$ , 112 pm;  $[\text{O}_2]^-$ , 134 pm;  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$ , 149 pm. (c) Which of these species are paramagnetic?




Fig. 1.33 The structure of  $\text{SOF}_4$ .

- 1.27 Using the data in Table 1.7, determine which of the following covalent single bonds is polar and (if appropriate) in which direction the dipole moment will act. (a) N–H; (b) F–Br; (c) C–H; (d) P–Cl; (e) N–Br.
- 1.28 Pick out *pairs* of isoelectronic species from the following list; not all species have a ‘partner’:  $\text{HF}$ ;  $\text{CO}_2$ ;  $\text{SO}_2$ ;  $\text{NH}_3$ ;  $\text{PF}_3$ ;  $\text{SF}_4$ ;  $\text{SiF}_4$ ;  $\text{SiCl}_4$ ;  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$ ;  $[\text{NO}_2]^+$ ;  $[\text{OH}]^-$ ;  $[\text{AlCl}_4]^-$ .
- 1.29 Use the VSEPR model to predict the structures of (a)  $\text{H}_2\text{Se}$ , (b)  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$ , (c)  $\text{NF}_3$ , (d)  $\text{SbF}_5$ , (e)  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$ , (f)  $\text{IF}_7$ , (g)  $[\text{I}_3]^-$ , (h)  $[\text{I}_3]^+$ , (i)  $\text{SO}_3$ .
- 1.30 Use VSEPR theory to rationalize the structure of  $\text{SOF}_4$  shown in Figure 1.33. What are the bond orders of (a) each S–F bond and (b) the S–O bond?
- 1.31 Determine the shapes of each of the following molecules and then, using the data in Table 1.7, state whether each is expected to be polar or not: (a)  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ ; (b)  $\text{CO}_2$ ; (c)  $\text{SO}_2$ ; (d)  $\text{BF}_3$ ; (e)  $\text{PF}_5$ ; (f) *cis*- $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$ ; (g) *trans*- $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$ ; (h)  $\text{HCN}$ .
- 1.32 State whether you expect the following species to possess geometrical isomers and, if so, draw their structures and give them distinguishing labels: (a)  $\text{BF}_2\text{Cl}$ ; (b)  $\text{POCl}_3$ ; (c)  $\text{MePF}_4$ ; (d)  $[\text{PF}_2\text{Cl}_4]^-$ .

## Overview problems

- 1.33 (a) Draw resonance structures for  $\text{CO}$ , choosing only those that you think contribute significantly to the bonding. (b) Figure 1.28a shows an MO diagram for  $\text{CO}$ . Two MOs are illustrated by schematic representations. Draw similar diagrams for the remaining six MOs.
- 1.34 (a) On steric grounds, should *cis*- or *trans*- $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  be favoured? (b) Use the VSEPR model to rationalize why  $\text{SNF}_3$  is tetrahedral but  $\text{SF}_4$  is disphenoidal. (c) Suggest why  $\text{KrF}_2$  is a linear rather than bent molecule.
- 1.35 Account for each of the following observations. (a)  $\text{IF}_5$  is a polar molecule. (b) The first ionization energy of K is lower than that of Li. (c)  $\text{BI}_3$  is trigonal planar while  $\text{PI}_3$  is trigonal pyramidal in shape.

**1.36** Suggest reasons for the following observations.

- (a) The second ionization energy of He is higher than the first despite the fact that both electrons are removed from the  $1s$  atomic orbital.
- (b) Heating  $N_2F_2$  at 373 K results in a change from a non-polar to polar molecule.
- (c)  $S_2$  is paramagnetic.

**1.37** Account for each of the following observations.

- (a) The mass spectrum of molecular bromine shows three lines for the parent ion  $Br_2^+$ .
- (b) In the structure of solid bromine, each Br atom has one nearest neighbour at a distance of 227 pm, and several other next nearest neighbours at 331 pm.

- (c) In the salt formed from the reaction of  $Br_2$  and  $SbF_5$ , the Br–Br distance in the  $Br_2^+$  ion is 215 pm, i.e. shorter than in  $Br_2$ .

- 1.38**
- (a) How would Figure 1.9 have to be modified to show boundary surfaces for the  $2s$  and the  $3p$  wavefunctions of a one-electron species?
  - (b) ‘The probability of finding the electron of a ground-state hydrogen atom at a distance  $r$  from the proton is at a maximum when  $r = 52.9$  pm.’ Why is this statement compatible with the maximum in the value of  $R(r)$  at  $r = 0$ ?

# Chapter 2

## Nuclear properties

### TOPICS

- Nuclear binding energy
- Radioactivity
- Artificial isotopes
- Nuclear reactions
- Separation of radioactive isotopes
- Applications of isotopes
- Sources of  $^2\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$
- Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy: applications
- Mössbauer spectroscopy: applications

### 2.1 Introduction

In this chapter we are concerned with *nuclear* properties and reactions involving the nucleus. When they occur naturally, such transformations of the nucleus lead to it being radioactive; transformations may also be brought about artificially and the energy released in nuclear fission reactions is harnessed in the nuclear fuels industry. The techniques of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and Mössbauer spectroscopies owe their existence to properties of particular nuclei.

### 2.2 Nuclear binding energy

#### Mass defect and binding energy

The mass of an atom of  $^1\text{H}$  is exactly equal to the sum of the masses of one proton and one electron. However, the atomic mass of any other atom is *less than* the sum of the masses of the protons, neutrons and electrons present. This *mass defect* is a measure of the *binding energy* of the protons and neutrons in the nucleus, and the loss in mass and liberation of energy are related by Einstein's equation 2.1. Mass defects also apply to ordinary chemical reactions, but in these the loss of mass is extremely small and is generally ignored.

$$\Delta E = \Delta mc^2 \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\Delta E$  = energy liberated,  $\Delta m$  = loss of mass, and  $c$  = speed of light in a vacuum =  $2.998 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ .

Although nuclear binding energies are derived in terms of *atomic* mass, it would be more logical to derive them

from *nuclear* masses since the mass defect is a phenomenon arising from the combination of the particles in the nucleus. However, accurate values of nuclear, as distinct from atomic, masses are known only for elements of low atomic number where it is possible to remove all the electrons in a mass spectrometer.

#### Worked example 2.1 Nuclear binding energy

Assuming that the mass defect originates solely from the interaction of protons and neutrons in the nucleus, estimate the nuclear binding energy of  $^7_3\text{Li}$  given the following data:


Observed atomic mass of  $^7_3\text{Li} = 7.01600 \text{ u}$   
 $1 \text{ u} = 1.66054 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$   
Electron rest mass =  $9.10939 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg}$   
Proton rest mass =  $1.67262 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$   
Neutron rest mass =  $1.67493 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$   
 $c = 2.998 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$

The actual mass of a  $^7_3\text{Li}$  atom

$$\begin{aligned} &= 7.01600 \times 1.66054 \times 10^{-27} \\ &= 1.16503 \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg} \end{aligned}$$

The sum of the masses of the protons, neutrons and electrons in a  $^7_3\text{Li}$  atom

$$\begin{aligned} &= (3 \times 9.10939 \times 10^{-31}) + (3 \times 1.67262 \times 10^{-27}) \\ &\quad + (4 \times 1.67493 \times 10^{-27}) \\ &= 1.17203 \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg} \end{aligned}$$



**Fig. 2.1** Variation in average binding energy per nucleon as a function of mass number. Note that the energy scale is positive, meaning that the nuclei with the highest values of the binding energies release the greatest amount of energy upon formation.

The difference in mass =  $\Delta m$

$$= (1.17203 \times 10^{-26}) - (1.16503 \times 10^{-26})$$

$$= 0.00700 \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg}$$

Nuclear binding energy =  $\Delta E = \Delta mc^2$

$$= (0.00700 \times 10^{-26}) \times (2.998 \times 10^8)^2 \text{ kg m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$$

$$= 6.29160 \times 10^{-12} \text{ J per atom}$$

$$\approx 6.29 \times 10^{-12} \text{ J per atom} \quad (\text{J} = \text{kg m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2})$$

### Self-study exercises

1. Estimate the nuclear binding energy of  ${}^4_2\text{He}$  given that its observed atomic mass is 4.00260 u; other necessary data are given above. [Ans.  $\approx 4.53 \times 10^{-12}$  J per atom]
2. If the nuclear binding energy of an atom of  ${}^9_4\text{Be}$  is  $9.3182 \times 10^{-12}$  J per atom, calculate the atomic mass of  ${}^9_4\text{Be}$ ; other necessary data are given above. [Ans. 9.01218 u]
3. Estimate the nuclear binding energy of  ${}^{16}_8\text{O}$  in J per atom, given that the observed atomic mass is 15.99491 u. Other data you require are given above. [Ans.  $2.045 \times 10^{-11}$  J per atom]

The binding energy of  $6.29 \times 10^{-12}$  J calculated in worked example 2.1 for  ${}^7_3\text{Li}$  is for a single nucleus. This corresponds to  $3.79 \times 10^{12}$  J or  $3.79 \times 10^9$  kJ per mole of nuclei, i.e. a huge amount of energy is liberated when the fundamental particles combine to form a mole of atoms. Its magnitude can readily be appreciated if the value  $3.79 \times 10^9$  kJ mol $^{-1}$

is compared with the heat liberated when one mole of *n*-butane is burnt in  $\text{O}_2$  ( $\Delta_c H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) = -2857$  kJ per mole of butane).

### The average binding energy per nucleon

In comparing the binding energies of different nuclei, it is more useful to consider the average binding energy per *nucleon*, i.e. per particle in the nucleus. For  ${}^7_3\text{Li}$ , this is given in equation 2.2, assuming that the only particles of significance in the nucleus are protons and neutrons.<sup>†</sup>

For  ${}^7_3\text{Li}$ , binding energy per nucleon

$$= \frac{6.29 \times 10^{-12}}{7} = 8.98 \times 10^{-13} \text{ J} \quad (2.2)$$

It is often convenient to quote values of nuclear binding energies in mega electron volts (MeV) as in Figure 2.1, which shows the variation in binding energy per nucleon as a function of mass number. These values represent the energy released per nucleon upon the formation of the nucleus from its fundamental particles, and so the plot in Figure 2.1 can be used to give a measure of the relative stabilities of nuclei with respect to decomposition into those particles. The nucleus with the greatest binding energy is  ${}^{56}_{26}\text{Fe}$  and this is therefore the most stable nucleus. In general, nuclei with mass numbers around 60 have the highest average binding energies per nucleon, and it is these elements (e.g. Fe, Ni) that are believed to constitute the bulk of the Earth's core.

<sup>†</sup> This assumption is valid for this exercise, but other particles (within the realm of the particle physicist) do exist.

Figure 2.1 also shows that nuclei with mass numbers of 4, 12 and 16 have relatively high binding energies per nucleon, implying particular stabilities associated with  ${}^4_2\text{He}$ ,  ${}^{12}_6\text{C}$  and  ${}^{16}_8\text{O}$ . These nuclei tend to be those used as projectiles in the synthesis of the heaviest nuclei (see [Section 2.6](#)). Finally in Figure 2.1, note that the binding energy per nucleon decreases appreciably for mass numbers  $>100$ .

The data in Figure 2.1 are of crucial significance for the application of nuclear reactions as energy sources. A reaction involving nuclei will be exothermic if:

- a heavy nucleus is divided into two nuclei of medium mass (so-called *nuclear fission*, see [Section 2.5](#)), or
- two light nuclei are combined to give one nucleus of medium mass (so-called *nuclear fusion*, see [Section 2.8](#)).

## 2.3 Radioactivity

### Nuclear emissions

Nuclear transformations generally possess very high activation barriers and are usually very slow, but even so spontaneous changes of many heavy nuclides (e.g.  ${}^{238}_{92}\text{U}$  and  ${}^{232}_{90}\text{Th}$ ) have been known since the nineteenth century. When one nuclide decomposes to form a different nuclide, it is said to be *radioactive*. In such nuclear changes, three types of emission were initially recognized by Rutherford:

- $\alpha$ -particles (now known to be helium nuclei,  ${}^4_2\text{He}^{2+}$ );
- $\beta$ -particles (electrons emitted from the nucleus and having high kinetic energies);
- $\gamma$ -radiation (high-energy X-rays).

An example of spontaneous radioactive decay is that of carbon-14, which takes place by loss of a  $\beta$ -particle to give nitrogen-14 (equation 2.3) and this decay is the basis of radiocarbon dating (see [Section 2.9](#)). The emission of a  $\beta$ -particle results in an increase in the atomic number by one and leaves the mass number unchanged.




More recent work has shown that the decay of some nuclei involves the emission of three other types of particle:

- the positron ( $\beta^+$ );
- the neutrino ( $\nu_e$ );
- the antineutrino.

A positron is of equal mass but opposite charge to an electron. A neutrino and antineutrino possess near zero masses, are uncharged and accompany the emission from the nucleus of a positron and an electron respectively. The symbol used for a positron is  $\beta^+$ , and in this book we denote a  $\beta$ -particle in equations by  $\beta^-$  (as in equation 2.3) for clarity.

The energies associated with the emissions of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -particles and  $\gamma$ -radiation are significantly different. An



**Fig. 2.2** A comparison of the penetrating powers of  $\alpha$ -particles,  $\beta$ -particles,  $\gamma$ -radiation and neutrons. Neutrons are especially penetrating and their use in a nuclear reactor calls for concrete-wall shields of  $\geq 2$  m in thickness.


$\alpha$ -particle is emitted with an energy in the range  $\approx (6-16) \times 10^{-13}$  J, and this means that an  $\alpha$ -particle penetrates a few centimetres of air, causing ionization of some molecules. A barrier of a few sheets of paper or a very thin metal foil is sufficient to stop a stream of  $\alpha$ -particles (Figure 2.2). The health risk associated with  $\alpha$ -particles arises from their ingestion. A  $\beta$ -particle is emitted with an energy  $\approx (0.03-5.0) \times 10^{-13}$  J, but since they are much lighter than  $\alpha$ -particles,  $\beta$ -particles travel much faster and have a greater range. The penetrating power of  $\beta$ -particles exceeds that of  $\alpha$ -particles and an aluminium barrier is required to stop them (Figure 2.2). Whereas  $\alpha$ -particles emitted by a particular nucleus usually have the same energy, the energies of  $\beta$ -particles from a particular nuclide show a continuous distribution up to a maximum value. This observation was initially surprising since nuclei have discrete energy levels, and it led to the postulate that another particle of variable energy (the antineutrino) was emitted simultaneously.

$\gamma$ -Radiation has a very short wavelength and very high energy (see [Appendix 4](#)). Its emission often accompanies the loss of  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -particles. This phenomenon arises because the *daughter nuclide* (the product of  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -particle loss) is often in an excited state, and energy in the form of  $\gamma$ -radiation is emitted as the transition from excited to ground state occurs. The energies of  $\gamma$ -radiations are in the same range as those of  $\beta$ -particles, but their penetrating power is far greater; a Pb shield (several centimetres thick) is required to absorb  $\gamma$ -radiation (Figure 2.2).

### Nuclear transformations

Equation 2.3 gave an example of a spontaneous nuclear transformation. Since the loss of a  $\beta$ -particle is accompanied by a one-unit increase in atomic number and a retention in mass number, it effectively converts a neutron into a proton.

Since an  $\alpha$ -particle is a helium nucleus (i.e.  ${}^4_2\text{He}^{2+}$ ), its emission lowers the atomic number by two and the mass number by four. Equation 2.4 illustrates the radioactive decay of uranium-238 to thorium-234. The loss of the  $\alpha$ -particle is accompanied by emission of  $\gamma$ -radiation, but the latter affects neither the atomic nor mass number. The  $\alpha$ -particle in equation 2.4 is shown as *neutral* helium gas;



**Fig. 2.3** The decay series from  $^{238}_{92}\text{U}$  to  $^{206}_{82}\text{Pb}$ . Only the last nuclide in the series,  $^{206}_{82}\text{Pb}$ , is stable with respect to further decay. [Exercise: Three of the nuclides are not labelled. What are their identities?]

as they are emitted,  $\alpha$ -particles readily pick up electrons from the environment.




Many *nuclear reactions*, as opposed to ordinary chemical reactions, change the identity of (*transmute*) the starting element. Steps involving the loss of an  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -particle may be part of a *decay series* (Figure 2.3). The initial nuclide is  $^{238}_{92}\text{U}$  and this spontaneously decays with the loss of an  $\alpha$ -particle to  $^{234}_{90}\text{Th}$ . Once formed,  $^{234}_{90}\text{Th}$  decays by loss of a  $\beta$ -particle to  $^{234}_{91}\text{Pa}$ , which itself loses a  $\beta$ -particle. The decay series continues with successive nuclides losing either an  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -particle until ultimately the stable isotope  $^{206}_{82}\text{Pb}$  is produced. Not every step in the series takes place at the same rate.

## The kinetics of radioactive decay

Radioactive decay of *any* nuclide follows *first order kinetics*. However, the observed kinetics of the decay may be complicated by the decay of the daughter nuclide. In the discussion below, we consider only a *single* decay step.

In a first order process, the rate of the reaction:




at a particular time,  $t$ , depends upon the concentration of the reactant A present at time,  $t$ . Radioactive decay processes are often conveniently considered in terms of the number of nuclei,  $N$ , present and equation 2.5 gives the appropriate rate equation.

$$\text{Rate of decay} = -\frac{dN}{dt} = kN \quad (2.5)$$

where  $t$  = time and  $k$  = first order rate constant.

The integrated form of this rate equation may be written as in equation 2.6, or in the form of equation 2.7 which emphasizes that the decay is exponential.



**Fig. 2.4** Radioactive decay follows first order kinetics and a plot of the number of nuclides against time is an exponential decay curve. The graph shows a decay curve for radon-222, which has a half-life of 3.82 days.

$$\ln N - \ln N_0 = -kt \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\ln = \log_e$ ,  $N$  = number of nuclides at time  $t$  and  $N_0$  = number of nuclides present at  $t = 0$ .

$$\frac{N}{N_0} = e^{-kt} \quad (2.7)$$

From equation 2.6, it follows that a plot of  $\ln N$  against  $t$  is linear, and the rate constant  $k$  is found from the gradient of the line (see [problem 2.5](#) at the end of the chapter).

Figure 2.4 shows the first order decay of  $^{222}_{86}\text{Rn}$ , and the exponential curve is typical of any radioactive decay process. A characteristic feature is that the time taken for the number of nuclides present at time  $t$ ,  $N_t$ , to decrease to half their number,  $\frac{N_t}{2}$ , is constant. This time period is called the *half-life*,  $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , of the nuclide.

The *half-life* of a radioactive nuclide is the time taken for the number of nuclides present at time  $t$ ,  $N_t$ , to fall to half of its value,  $\frac{N_t}{2}$ .

### Worked example 2.2 Radioactive decay

**In Figure 2.4, there are initially 0.045 moles of radon-222 present. Estimate a value for the half-life of  $^{222}_{86}\text{Rn}$ .**

First determine the time taken for the number of moles of  $^{222}_{86}\text{Rn}$  to decrease from 0.045 to half this value (0.0225); this is the *first half-life*. From the graph,  $(t_{\frac{1}{2}})_1 \approx 3.8$  days.

For greater accuracy, you should read off from the graph at least three half-lives and take an average value.

[The actual value of  $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $^{222}_{86}\text{Rn}$  is 3.82 days.]

### Self-study exercises

1. Read off *three* half-lives from Figure 2.4 and show that each is 3.8 days.
2. If  $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $^{222}_{86}\text{Rn}$  is 3.8 days, how long does it take for 0.050 mmol to decay to 0.0062 mmol? [Ans. 11.4 days]

**Table 2.1** The natural radioactive decay series from  ${}^{238}_{92}\text{U}$  to  ${}^{206}_{82}\text{Pb}$  (see Figure 2.3); (yr = year; d = day; min = minute; s = second).

| Nuclide          | Symbol                   | Particle emitted | Half-life              |
|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Uranium-238      | ${}^{238}_{92}\text{U}$  | $\alpha$         | $4.5 \times 10^9$ yr   |
| Thorium-234      | ${}^{234}_{90}\text{Th}$ | $\beta^-$        | 24.1 d                 |
| Protactinium-234 | ${}^{234}_{91}\text{Pa}$ | $\beta^-$        | 1.18 min               |
| Uranium-234      | ${}^{234}_{92}\text{U}$  | $\alpha$         | $2.48 \times 10^5$ yr  |
| Thorium-230      | ${}^{230}_{90}\text{Th}$ | $\alpha$         | $8.0 \times 10^4$ yr   |
| Radium-226       | ${}^{226}_{88}\text{Ra}$ | $\alpha$         | $1.62 \times 10^3$ yr  |
| Radon-222        | ${}^{222}_{86}\text{Rn}$ | $\alpha$         | 3.82 d                 |
| Polonium-218     | ${}^{218}_{84}\text{Po}$ | $\alpha$         | 3.05 min               |
| Lead-214         | ${}^{214}_{82}\text{Pb}$ | $\beta^-$        | 26.8 min               |
| Bismuth-214      | ${}^{214}_{83}\text{Bi}$ | $\beta^-$        | 19.7 min               |
| Polonium-214     | ${}^{214}_{84}\text{Po}$ | $\alpha$         | $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ s |
| Lead-210         | ${}^{210}_{82}\text{Pb}$ | $\beta^-$        | 19.4 yr                |
| Bismuth-210      | ${}^{210}_{83}\text{Bi}$ | $\beta^-$        | 5.0 d                  |
| Polonium-210     | ${}^{210}_{84}\text{Po}$ | $\alpha$         | 138 d                  |
| Lead-206         | ${}^{206}_{82}\text{Pb}$ | none             | Non-radioactive        |

**3. The half-life of  ${}^{222}_{86}\text{Rn}$  is 3.8 days. How many mmol of  ${}^{222}_{86}\text{Rn}$  remain after 15.2 days if the initial quantity is 0.090 mol?**

**[Ans. 5.6 mmol]**

The half-life is related to the rate constant and equation 2.8 is derived from equation 2.6 by substituting values of

$$N = \frac{N_0}{2} \text{ and } t = t_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \ln\left(\frac{N_0}{2}\right) - \ln N_0 &= -\ln 2 = -kt_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ t_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{\ln 2}{k} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.8)$$

The values of half-lives of naturally occurring radioactive nuclides vary enormously, e.g.  $4.5 \times 10^9$  yr for  ${}^{238}_{92}\text{U}$  and  $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$  s for  ${}^{214}_{84}\text{Po}$ . Table 2.1 lists half-life data for nuclides involved in the decay series in Figure 2.3.

The rate of an ordinary chemical reaction depends on temperature (the Arrhenius equation relates the rate constant,  $k$ , to the temperature,  $T$ , in kelvin). However, radioactive decay is *temperature-independent*.


## Units of radioactivity

The SI unit of radioactivity is the becquerel (Bq) and is equal to one nuclear disintegration per second. The unit is named after Henri Becquerel, who discovered the phenomenon of radioactivity in 1896. A non-SI unit also in use is the curie (Ci), where  $1 \text{ Ci} = 3.7 \times 10^{10} \text{ Bq}$ ; the curie is named after Marie Curie, who discovered the elements radium and polonium.

## 2.4 Artificial isotopes

### Bombardment of nuclei by high-energy $\alpha$ -particles and neutrons

The last section described *naturally occurring* radioactive processes. Similar transformations occur when nuclei are bombarded with high-energy neutrons or positively charged particles; the former are particularly effective since, being uncharged, they are not subject to electrostatic repulsion by nuclei. Such nuclear reactions take place with *conservation of atomic number and mass number* and provide a means of generating artificial isotopes. Equation 2.9 shows the reaction that occurs when an Al foil is bombarded with  $\alpha$ -particles which have been given high energies in a *cyclotron* (an accelerating machine). The nuclear transformation may also be written using the notation  ${}^{27}_{13}\text{Al}(\alpha, n){}^{30}_{15}\text{P}$  which has the general form shown in equation 2.10.<sup>†</sup>



The product of reaction 2.9 rapidly decays ( $t_{\frac{1}{2}} = 3.2$  min) according to equation 2.11. The loss of a positron from the nucleus effectively converts a proton into a neutron.




High-energy (or 'fast') neutrons are produced by the *nuclear fission* of  ${}^{235}_{92}\text{U}$  and have energies of  $\approx 1$  MeV (see [Section 2.5](#)). The bombardment of sulfur-32 with fast neutrons (equation 2.12) gives an artificial isotope of phosphorus, but  ${}^{32}_{15}\text{P}$  has a half-life of 14.3 days and decays by  $\beta$ -particle emission (equation 2.13).



### Bombardment of nuclei by 'slow' neutrons

An important process for the production of artificial radioactive isotopes is the  $(n, \gamma)$  reaction which is brought about by the bombardment of nuclei with 'slow' or *thermal neutrons*. The neutrons are formed by fission of  ${}^{235}_{92}\text{U}$  nuclei and their kinetic energy is reduced by elastic collisions with low atomic number nuclei (e.g.  ${}^{12}_6\text{C}$  or  ${}^2_1\text{H}$ ) during passage through graphite or deuterium oxide (heavy water). A thermal neutron has an energy of  $\approx 0.05$  eV. In reaction 2.14, naturally occurring phosphorus-31 (the *target* nucleus) is converted into artificial phosphorus-32.

<sup>†</sup> In *nuclear* equations, we do not keep track of electrons unless they are of a nuclear origin.



**Fig. 2.5** A schematic representation of the collision of a thermal neutron with a heavy nuclide leading to fission into two nuclides of lower mass numbers and the release of (in this case) three neutrons. The fission is accompanied by the release of large amounts of energy. [Redrawn from P. Fenwick (1990) *Reprocessing and the Environment*, Hobsons, Cambridge.]



The production of artificial nuclides has two important consequences:

- the production of artificial isotopes of elements that do not possess naturally occurring radioisotopes;
- the synthesis of the *transuranium elements*, nearly all of which are exclusively man-made.

The *transuranium elements* ( $Z \geq 93$ ) are almost exclusively all man-made. Other man-made elements include technetium (Tc), promethium (Pm), astatine (At) and francium (Fr).



Different nuclei show wide variations in their ability to absorb neutrons, and also in their probabilities of undergoing other nuclear reactions; such probabilities are often expressed as the *cross-section* of a nucleus for a particular nuclear reaction. For example, the nuclides  ${}_{6}^{12}\text{C}$ ,  ${}_{1}^2\text{H}$  and  ${}_{1}^1\text{H}$  have very low cross-sections with respect to the capture of thermal neutrons, but  ${}_{5}^{10}\text{B}$  and  ${}_{48}^{113}\text{Cd}$  possess very high cross-sections.

## 2.5 Nuclear fission


### The fission of uranium-235

From the energy scale in Figure 2.1 it is clear that large amounts of energy are released upon the fission of very heavy nuclei. The action of thermal neutrons on  ${}_{92}^{235}\text{U}$  results in a reaction of the general type shown in equation 2.15 where the fission process is variable; Figure 2.5 shows a schematic representation of the process. Reaction 2.16 gives a typical example; once formed, yttrium-95 and iodine-138

decay by  $\beta$ -particle emission with half-lives of 10.3 min and 6.5 s respectively.



A particular reaction path during nuclear fission is called a *reaction channel*, and the yields of different nuclei in the fission of  ${}_{92}^{235}\text{U}$  indicate that it is more favourable to form two isotopes lying in the approximate mass ranges 100 to 90 and 134 to 144, than two nuclides with masses  $<90$  and  $>144$ , or  $>100$  and  $<134$ . Equation 2.16 illustrates the general point that *the sum of the mass numbers of the two fission products plus the neutrons must equal 236*. The average number of neutrons released per nucleus undergoing fission is  $\approx 2.5$  and the energy liberated ( $2 \times 10^{10} \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  of  ${}_{92}^{235}\text{U}$ ) is about two million times that obtained by burning an equal mass of coal. Since each neutron can initiate another nuclear reaction, a *branching chain reaction* (Figure 2.6) is possible. If this involves a quantity of  ${}_{92}^{235}\text{U}$  larger than a certain *critical mass*, a violent explosion occurs, liberating enormous amounts of energy. This is the principle behind fission-type nuclear




**Fig. 2.6** A representation of a branched chain reaction in which each step of the reaction produces two neutrons, each of which can initiate the fission of a  ${}_{92}^{235}\text{U}$  nuclide. If left uncontrolled, such a chain reaction would lead to a violently explosive situation.

bombs and illustrates that extreme precautions are required when handling  $^{235}_{92}\text{U}$  on an industrial scale.

### Worked example 2.3 Balancing nuclear equations

Identify the second nuclide formed in the fission reaction:



The reaction must proceed with conservation of mass number and of charge. The mass numbers are denoted by the superscripts, and the charges by the subscripts (i.e. the number of protons).

Let the unknown product be  $^Z_A\text{E}$ .

$$Z = 92 - 42 = 50$$

$$A = 235 + 1 - 103 - 2 = 131$$

The value of  $Z$  identifies the element as Sn (see the periodic table inside the front cover of the book).

The nuclide is  $^{131}_{50}\text{Sn}$ .

### Self-study exercises

1. Identify the second nuclide formed in the reaction:




## APPLICATIONS

### Box 2.1 Electricity from nuclear power

Nuclear power is now used in a number of countries as a source of electrical power. The fuel in all commercial nuclear reactors is uranium, but of naturally occurring uranium only 0.7% is  $^{235}_{92}\text{U}$ , the radionuclide required for the fission process. Enrichment of the uranium is usually carried out but, even then,  $^{235}_{92}\text{U}$  constitutes only a few per cent of the

uranium used as the fuel source. Using nuclear power on a commercial basis is a controversial issue; the public is made very aware of the problems involved in disposing of nuclear waste. The chart below shows the world production of nuclear electric power in 2000.



[Data: Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, International Energy Annual 2000.]


## 2. Identify the second nuclide formed in the reaction:



## The production of energy by nuclear fission

Nuclear fission can be successfully harnessed for the production of nuclear energy (see *Box 2.1*). This source of energy does not contribute to atmospheric pollution in the sense that there are no emissions of the gaseous oxides of carbon, nitrogen and sulfur associated with fossil fuels. Disadvantages of nuclear power include the problems of disposing of radioactive isotopes generated as fission products, and the risks involved if a nuclear reactor ‘goes critical’.

The production of energy by nuclear fission in a nuclear reactor must be a controlled process. Neutrons released from the fission of  ${}^{235}_{92}\text{U}$  lose most of their kinetic energy by passage through a *moderator* (graphite or  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ ). They then undergo one of two nuclear reactions. The first is capture by  ${}^{235}_{92}\text{U}$  leading to further fission; the second is capture by  ${}^{238}_{92}\text{U}$  (scheme 2.17). Such isotope production is called *breeding*.



The occurrence of a potentially catastrophic branching chain reaction is prevented by controlling the neutron concentration in the nuclear reactor by inserting boron-containing


## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 2.2 The disaster at Chernobyl

The name of Chernobyl (near Kiev, Ukraine) became known throughout the world on 26 April 1986 when reactor number 4 exploded. The power in the nuclear reactor is estimated to have increased from  $\approx 200$  MW to 3800 MW (MW = megawatt) in 2.5 s, and it took only another 1.5 s for the power to reach  $120\times$  its normal value. Energy well in excess of that required to melt the fuel in the reactor was generated within a mere 20 s. In the ensuing explosion, the reactor lid weighing  $\approx 10^6$  kg was blown off, allowing radioactive material to escape into the atmosphere, where prevailing winds carried it to Scandinavia within a couple of days, and eastwards towards Japan over the following week. The release of radioactive material was exacerbated by graphite fires that started in the reactor and continued to burn for several days. It was about two weeks before the radiation levels from the reactor had been reduced to less dangerous levels.

Estimates of the total radiation released from the Chernobyl disaster vary but it may have been as great as

178 MCi; 1 Ci is roughly equal to the activity of 1 g of radium. Thirty-one people died on the night of the explosion from radiation or burns, and there were 200 known casualties from radiation sickness. In the longer term, Chernobyl has left the world with a number of long-lived radioisotopes distributed in the atmosphere. The main health risks come from  ${}^{131}_{53}\text{I}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 8.02$  days),  ${}^{134}_{55}\text{Cs}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 2.06$  yr) and  ${}^{137}_{55}\text{Cs}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 30.2$  yr). While the half-life of  ${}^{131}_{53}\text{I}$  is much shorter than those of  ${}^{134}_{55}\text{Cs}$  or  ${}^{137}_{55}\text{Cs}$ , it is easily taken up by the thyroid gland and may cause cancer. Exposure to  ${}^{131}_{53}\text{I}$  by people and animals in the few days after the disaster was unavoidable, and the graph below indicates how incidences of thyroid cancer in children in the Ukraine increased following the Chernobyl accident. The final death toll from Chernobyl remains an unknown statistic; one estimate is  $\approx 32\,000$ , while other estimates are lower. In 1995 the World Health Organization (WHO) called for further research into the radiation effects to be carried out.



[Data from: I.A. Likhtarev *et al.* (1995), *Nature*, vol. 375, p. 365.]

## Further reading

C.H. Atwood (1988) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 65, p. 1037 – ‘Chernobyl: What happened?’

I.A. Likhtarev *et al.* (1995) *Nature*, vol. 375, p. 365 – ‘Thyroid cancer in the Ukraine’.

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 2.3 Radioisotopes in medicine


The uses of radioisotopes in medicine are extremely important. Certain elements are readily absorbed by particular organs in a human body, and this is capitalized upon in the use of *radiotracers* (introduced by food or drug intake) to probe the function of human organs. An advantage of the technique is that it is *non-invasive*.

Although the uptake of  $^{131}\text{I}$  by the thyroid gland was a health risk after the Chernobyl disaster (**Box 2.2**), *controlled* uptake has medical applications. If a patient ingests  $^{131}\text{I}$  (e.g. as a solution of  $^{131}\text{I}$ -labelled NaI), the isotope is quickly absorbed by the thyroid gland and the size and state of the gland can be detected by monitoring the radioactivity emitted. For  $^{131}\text{I}$ ,  $t_{1/2} \approx 8$  days, and the dose administered soon decays.

Molybdenum-99 ( $t_{1/2} = 2.8$  days) decays by  $\beta$ -emission to give the metastable radioisotope of technetium,  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 6.0$  h);  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  is usually generated in the form of  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{TcO}_4]^-$ , produced by decay of  $[\text{}^{99}\text{MoO}_4]^{2-}$ . Complexes of  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  (see **Section 22.8** and **Box 22.7**) are used as diagnostic imaging agents in the brain, heart and kidneys.

In addition to using radioisotopes to examine patients, the  $\gamma$ -radiation emitted may be used in cancer treatment. Cobalt

occurs naturally as the non-radioactive isotope  $^{59}\text{Co}$  (100% abundance) but can be converted to  $^{60}\text{Co}$ ;  $^{60}\text{Co}$  is a  $\beta$ -emitter with  $t_{1/2} = 5.27$  yr:



## Further reading

M.F. Hawthorne (1993) *Angewandte Chemie, International Edition in English*, vol. 32, p. 950 – ‘The role of chemistry in the development of boron neutron capture therapy’.


R.C. Elder and K. Tepperman (1994) ‘Metal-based drugs & imaging agents’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 4, p. 2165.

See also Box 2.6: Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); Section 12.9: Figures 12.22b and 12.22c and accompanying discussion; Section 22.8: footnote references for radiopharmaceuticals.

steel, boron carbide or cadmium control rods. The choice of material follows from the high cross-section for neutron capture exhibited by  $^{10}_5\text{B}$  and  $^{113}_{48}\text{Cd}$ .

## Nuclear reprocessing

Eventually, the  $^{235}_{92}\text{U}$  fuel in a nuclear reactor becomes spent, and, rather than being disposed of, it is *reprocessed*. This both recovers uranium and separates  $^{235}_{92}\text{U}$  from the fission products. Short-lived radioactive products are initially allowed to decay while the spent fuel is retained in *pond storage*; after this period, the uranium is converted into the soluble salt  $[\text{UO}_2][\text{NO}_3]_2$  (see **Box 6.3**). In the series of reactions 2.18–2.21, the nitrate is converted into  $\text{UF}_6$ .



At this stage, the  $\text{UF}_6$  contains both  $^{235}_{92}\text{U}$  and  $^{238}_{92}\text{U}$ . Application of Graham’s law of effusion:



$$\text{Rate of effusion} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Molecular mass}}}$$

shows that  $^{235}_{92}\text{UF}_6$  can be separated from  $^{238}_{92}\text{UF}_6$  by subjecting them to a centrifugal force; molecules of the two isotopically labelled compounds move to the outer wall of

their container at different rates. The result is the isolation of  $^{235}_{92}\text{U}$ -enriched  $\text{UF}_6$ . After this process, the hexafluoride is converted back to uranium-235 metal, thereby regenerating fuel for reuse in the nuclear reactor.

## 2.6 Syntheses of transuranium elements

The transuranium elements are shown in Table 2.2 and have all been discovered since 1940. By 1955, the table extended to mendelevium and, by 1997, to meitnerium ( $Z = 109$ ). In mid-2004, the number of elements in the periodic table stood at 112, although the IUPAC has formally to authenticate element 112. In 2003 and 2004, the IUPAC approved the name *darmstadtium* and *roentgenium* for elements 110 and 111, respectively. Element 112 is currently known as ununbium (‘one-one-two’). This method of naming newly discovered elements is used until actual names have been approved by the IUPAC. All of these ‘new’ elements have been produced synthetically (see also **Section 24.5**) by the bombardment of particular heavy nuclides with particles such as neutrons (e.g. equation 2.17) and  $^{12}_6\text{C}^{n+}$  or  $^{18}_8\text{O}^{n+}$  ions (equations 2.22 and 2.23).



The scale on which these transmutations is carried out is *extremely* small, and in some cases has been described as

**Table 2.2** The transuranium elements. The names are those agreed by the IUPAC.

| Z   | Name of element | Symbol |
|-----|-----------------|--------|
| 93  | Neptunium       | Np     |
| 94  | Plutonium       | Pu     |
| 95  | Americium       | Am     |
| 96  | Curium          | Cm     |
| 97  | Berkelium       | Bk     |
| 98  | Californium     | Cf     |
| 99  | Einsteinium     | Es     |
| 100 | Fermium         | Fm     |
| 101 | Mendelevium     | Md     |
| 102 | Nobelium        | No     |
| 103 | Lawrencium      | Lr     |
| 104 | Rutherfordium   | Rf     |
| 105 | Dubnium         | Db     |
| 106 | Seaborgium      | Sg     |
| 107 | Bohrium         | Bh     |
| 108 | Hassium         | Hs     |
| 109 | Meitnerium      | Mt     |
| 110 | Darmstadtium    | Ds     |
| 111 | Roentgenium     | Rg     |
| 112 | Ununbium        | Uub    |

'atom-at-a-time' chemistry. The target materials in equations 2.22 and 2.23 are actinoid elements (see [Chapter 24](#)), which, although artificially prepared, have relatively long half-lives ( $^{249}_{97}\text{Bk}$ ,  $t_{1/2} = 300$  days;  $^{248}_{96}\text{Cm}$ ,  $t_{1/2} = 3.5 \times 10^5$  yr). Studying the product nuclides is extremely difficult, not only because of the tiny quantities of materials involved but also because of their short half-lives ( $^{260}_{103}\text{Lr}$ ,  $t_{1/2} = 3$  min;  $^{261}_{104}\text{Rf}$ ,  $t_{1/2} = 65$  s).

## 2.7 The separation of radioactive isotopes


In forming artificial radioactive isotopes, problems of isolation are often encountered. For example, a product may decay quickly with the result that the initial product is contaminated with the daughter nuclide.

### Chemical separation


The methods used to separate a desired isotope depend on whether or not the starting material and the product are isotopes of the same element (e.g. equation 2.14). If they are not, the problem is essentially one of chemical separation of a small amount of one element from large amounts of one or more others. Methods of separation include volatilization, electrodeposition, solvent extraction, ion-exchange or precipitation on a 'carrier'. For example, in the process  $^{64}_{30}\text{Zn}(n,p)^{64}_{29}\text{Cu}$ , the target (after bombardment with fast neutrons) is dissolved in dilute  $\text{HNO}_3$  and the Cu is deposited electrolytically. This method is successful because of the significant difference between the reduction potentials  $E^\circ(\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu}) = +0.34$  V and  $E^\circ(\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}) = -0.76$  V (see [Chapter 7](#)).

## The Szilard–Chalmers effect

In an  $(n,\gamma)$  reaction, the product (unless it decays rapidly) is an isotope of the target element. Since isotopes of an element have identical *chemical* properties, chemical separation methods cannot be applied. Instead, use is made of the *Szilard–Chalmers effect*: if the nuclear reaction is accompanied by homolytic bond cleavage (brought about by the  $\gamma$ -radiation emitted in the reaction), radicals of the product isotope are scavenged and thereby separated from the target isotope. An example is the formation of  $^{128}_{53}\text{I}$  from naturally occurring  $^{127}_{53}\text{I}$ . The target isotope is used in the form of ethyl iodide and is subjected to thermal neutron bombardment. A significant amount of the  $^{128}_{53}\text{I}$  formed is liberated as atomic iodine-128 and these atoms (radicals) either combine with each other to form  $^{128}_{53}\text{I}_2$  or react with added  $^{127}_{53}\text{I}_2$  in an exchange reaction to give  $^{127}_{53}\text{I}^{128}_{53}\text{I}$ . Molecular iodine (present in aqueous solution in the presence of iodide ion as  $[\text{I}_3]^-$ , see [Section 16.7](#)) can be separated from ethyl iodide by reduction with aqueous sodium sulfite (equation 2.24).




For this method to be useful, there must be no rapid exchange reaction between target and product (equation 2.25) and hence an alkyl halide rather than an alkali metal halide is chosen for the irradiation.



## 2.8 Nuclear fusion

Figure 2.1 showed that the fusion of two nuclei of low mass liberates immense amounts of energy. An example is the formation of helium-4 from deuterium and tritium (equation 2.26).



Deuterium      Tritium

Compared with fission reactions, nuclear fusion has the advantage that large quantities of radioactive products are not formed. However, the activation energies for fusion reactions are very high and, up to the present time, it has been possible to overcome the barrier only by supplying the energy from a *fission* reaction to drive a *fusion* reaction. This is the principle behind the hydrogen or thermonuclear bomb; tritium is expensive and inconvenient ( $t_{1/2}$  is only 12 yr), but can be prepared from lithium deuteride enriched in  $^6_3\text{Li}$ . A fusion explosion generated by compression of a few kilograms of plutonium brings about reactions such as 2.26–2.29.





Fusion reactions are believed to take place in the Sun and start at temperatures above  $10^7$  K; reactions 2.30–2.32 have been suggested as the chief source of the Sun's energy.



## 2.9 Applications of isotopes

The applications of isotopes, both radioactive and stable, are now so numerous that the examples in this section are necessarily selective. Many applications involve the use of isotopes as 'tracers' in which all isotopes of an element are regarded as being chemically equivalent. Some uses (such as the observation of the kinetic isotope effect or shifts in infrared spectroscopic absorptions) depend on the small, but significant, differences in properties between isotopes of a given element.

### Infrared (IR) spectroscopy

When the hydrogen atom in an X–H bond is exchanged for deuterium (see [Section 9.3](#)), the *reduced mass* of the pair of bonded atoms changes and shifts the position of the absorption in the IR spectrum due to the X–H stretching mode. Shifts of this kind can be used to confirm assignments in IR spectra. For example, N–H, O–H and C–H bonds all absorb around  $3000\text{--}3600\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , but if a compound is shaken with  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ ,<sup>†</sup> usually only the OH and NH groups undergo rapid *deuterium exchange reactions* (equation 2.33); H attached directly to C exchanges extremely slowly except in cases where it is acidic (e.g. a terminal alkyne).



By observing which IR spectroscopic bands shift (and by how much), it is possible to confirm the assignment of an N–H, O–H or C–H absorption.

#### Worked example 2.4 The effects of deuteration on $\bar{\nu}_{\text{O-H}}$ in an IR spectrum

**An absorption at  $3650\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the IR spectrum of a compound X has been assigned to an O–H stretching mode. To what**

<sup>†</sup> Up until this point in this chapter, we have used the full notation for isotopes, e.g.  ${}^2_1\text{H}$ , but for the most part in this book, we shall adopt the less rigorous, but nonetheless unambiguous, notation showing only the mass number, e.g.  ${}^2\text{H}$ . In addition, we introduce the label D for deuterium.

**wavenumber is this band expected to shift upon deuteration? What assumption have you made in the calculation?**

The O–H vibrational wavenumber,  $\bar{\nu}$ , is related to the reduced mass ( $\mu$ ) by the equation:

$$\bar{\nu}_{\text{O-H}} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{\text{O-H}}}}$$

where the reduced mass is given by the equation:

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2}$$

in which  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are the masses of the O and H atoms in kg.

For the comparison of the O–H and O–D vibrational wavenumbers, we can write:

$$\frac{\bar{\nu}_{\text{O-D}}}{\bar{\nu}_{\text{O-H}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{\text{O-H}}}{\mu_{\text{O-D}}}}$$

and since we are now dealing with a *ratio*, it is not necessary to convert the atomic masses to kg. The relative atomic masses of O, H and D are, approximately, 16, 1 and 2, respectively. The reduced masses of O–H and O–D bonds are found as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\mu_{\text{O-H}}} = \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} = \frac{1}{16} + 1 = 1.0625 \quad \mu_{\text{O-H}} = 0.9412$$

$$\frac{1}{\mu_{\text{O-D}}} = \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2} = 0.5625 \quad \mu_{\text{O-D}} = 1.7778$$

The vibrational wavenumber of the O–D bond is therefore:

$$\bar{\nu}_{\text{O-D}} = \bar{\nu}_{\text{O-H}} \times \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{\text{O-H}}}{\mu_{\text{O-D}}}} = 3650 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.9412}{1.7778}} = 2656\text{ cm}^{-1}$$

The calculation makes the assumption that the force constants of O–H and O–D bonds are the same. The full equation relating  $\bar{\nu}$  to  $\mu$  is:

$$\bar{\nu} = \frac{1}{2\pi c} \sqrt{\frac{k}{\mu}}$$

where  $c$  is the speed of light in a vacuum, and  $k$  is the force constant of the bond.


#### Self-study exercises

1. An absorption at  $3337\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the vibrational spectrum of  $\text{NH}_3$  shifts to  $x\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in  $\text{ND}_3$ . Determine  $x$ . [Ans.  $2437\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ]
2. An absorption at  $3161\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in an IR spectrum is assigned to a C–H stretching mode. At what wavenumber will this band appear upon deuteration? [Ans.  $2320\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ]
3. An absorption in the IR spectrum of a compound containing an X–H bond shifts from  $3657$  to  $2661\text{ cm}^{-1}$  upon deuteration. Show that X is likely to be O rather than C. What assumption have you made in the calculation?

Spectroscopic studies of isotopically substituted molecules do not always involve special synthetic chemistry. For many elements, natural isotopic abundances ensure that ordinary compounds contain several species. For example, in  $\text{GeH}_3\text{Cl}$ , naturally occurring isotopes of Cl ( $^{35}\text{Cl}$  and  $^{37}\text{Cl}$ ) and Ge ( $^{70}\text{Ge}$ ,  $^{72}\text{Ge}$ ,  $^{74}\text{Ge}$  and  $^{76}\text{Ge}$ ) are all present in proportions sufficient to give rise to observable pure rotational spectra of  $^{70}\text{GeH}_3^{35}\text{Cl}$ ,  $^{70}\text{GeH}_3^{37}\text{Cl}$  etc. Where special syntheses are required, they must be designed so as to make the best possible use of the isotope to be incorporated, e.g. deuterated ammonia,  $\text{ND}_3$ , would not be prepared by exchange between  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ , since a large proportion of the deuterium would be wasted by conversion to  $\text{HOD}$ . A better method is the reaction between  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{Mg}_3\text{N}_2$ .

## Kinetic isotope effects

Isotopic labelling may be used to probe the mechanism of a reaction. Consider the case where the rate-determining step of a reaction involves breaking a particular C–H bond. Labelling the compound with deuterium (not always a trivial matter experimentally!) at that site will mean that a C–D rather than a C–H bond is broken. The bond dissociation energy of a C–D bond is higher than that of a C–H bond because the zero point energy is lowered when the reduced mass,  $\mu$ , of a bond is increased, i.e.  $\mu(\text{C–D}) > \mu(\text{C–H})$  (Figure 2.7). Since it requires more energy to break a C–D than a C–H bond, the rate-determining step should proceed more slowly for the deuterated compound. This observation is known as the *kinetic isotope effect* and is quantified by comparing the rate constants,  $k_{\text{H}}$  and  $k_{\text{D}}$ , for the reactions involving the non-deuterated and deuterated compounds respectively. If the value of the ratio  $k_{\text{H}}:k_{\text{D}} > 1$ , then a kinetic isotope effect has been observed.



**Fig. 2.7** The zero point energy (corresponding to the lowest vibrational state) of a C–D bond is lower than that of a C–H bond and this results in the bond dissociation enthalpy,  $D$ , of the C–D bond being greater than that of the C–H bond.

The *zero point energy* of a molecule corresponds to the energy of its lowest vibrational level (vibrational ground state).

## Radiocarbon dating

Radiocarbon dating is a technique used widely by archaeologists to date articles composed of organic material (e.g. wood), and the importance of the method was recognized in 1960 by the award of the Nobel Prize in Chemistry to its developer, W.F. Libby. The method relies on the fact that one isotope of carbon,  $^{14}\text{C}$ , is radioactive ( $t_{1/2} = 5730$  yr) and decays according to equation 2.34.



In a *living* plant, the ratio of  $^{14}\text{C}:^{12}\text{C}$  is constant. Although carbon-14 decays, it is re-formed at the same rate by collisions between high-energy neutrons and atmospheric nitrogen-14 (equation 2.35).



The process of photosynthesis in living plants ensures that the uptake of carbon-14 (and carbon-12 and carbon-13) in the form of  $\text{CO}_2$  is continuous. Once a plant dies, no further  $^{14}\text{C}$  enters the system and the carbon-14 present decays, with the result that the  $^{14}\text{C}:^{12}\text{C}$  ratio gradually changes with time. Provided that we assume that the  $^{14}\text{C}:^{12}\text{C}$  ratio in living species has not altered over an archaeological timescale, then it is possible to date an artifact by measuring the  $^{14}\text{C}:^{12}\text{C}$  ratio. Unfortunately, this ratio *has* altered, but corrections may be made by using information gained from extremely old, but still living, trees such as the American bristlecone pine which grows in the mountains of eastern California.<sup>†</sup>

### Worked example 2.5 Radiocarbon dating

**The  $\beta$ -activity of 1 g of carbon from the wood of a recently felled tree is 0.26 Bq. If the activity of 1 g of carbon isolated from the wood of an Egyptian mummy case is 0.16 Bq under the same conditions, estimate the age of the mummy case. ( $^{14}\text{C}$ :  $t_{1/2} = 5730$  yr.)**

First, use the half-life to determine the rate constant for the decay of  $^{14}\text{C}$ . From equation 2.8:

$$k = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} = \frac{\ln 2}{5730} = 1.210 \times 10^{-4} \text{ yr}^{-1}$$

The integrated rate equation (equation 2.6) for radioactive decay is:

$$\ln N - \ln N_0 = -kt$$

or

<sup>†</sup> For further details, see: I. Robertson and J. Waterhouse (1998) *Chemistry in Britain*, vol. 34, January issue, p. 27 – ‘Trees of knowledge’.

$$\ln\left(\frac{N}{N_0}\right) = -kt$$

in which  $N$  is the activity at time  $t$  and  $N_0$  is the activity at  $t = 0$ . The activity of the recently felled tree corresponds to  $t = 0$ . It is not necessary to convert the units of  $k$  to  $\text{s}^{-1}$  (to be consistent with Bq) because units of Bq cancel in the ratio of  $\frac{N}{N_0}$ .

$$\ln\left(\frac{0.16}{0.26}\right) = -1.210 \times 10^{-4} \times t$$

$$t = 4010 \text{ yr}$$

### Self-study exercises

**1. The  $\beta$ -activity of 0.9 g of C from the wood of a present-day tree is 0.25 Bq. If the activity of 0.9 g of carbon isolated from the wood of an ancient artifact is 0.19 Bq under the same conditions, estimate the age of the artifact.**

[Ans. 2268 yr]

**2. The  $\beta$ -activity of 1 g of C from recently felled timber is 0.26 Bq. An ancient artifact is thought to be 3500 years old. What  $\beta$ -activity from a 1 g sample would confirm this age?**

[Ans. 0.17 Bq]

## Analytical applications

The use of radioisotopes in analysis (see also [Section 16.3](#)) includes determinations of solubilities of sparingly soluble salts and vapour pressures of rather involatile substances, and investigations of solid solution formation and adsorption of precipitates.


As an example, we consider the measurement of the solubility of strontium sulfate which, at 298 K, is  $0.11 \text{ g dm}^{-3}$ . Naturally occurring strontium contains four isotopes, none of which is radioactive. The radioisotope  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 28.1 \text{ yr}$ ) is produced from the fission of  $^{235}\text{U}$  and is commercially available. A uniform mixture of  $^{90}\text{SrSO}_4$  and the inactive salt  $\text{SrSO}_4$  is prepared and the radioactivity of the combined sample is measured; this gives a standard value for the activity per gram of sample. A saturated aqueous solution is then prepared using the same uniform mixture, and is evaporated to dryness. The activity of the residue is measured and the amount of solid material can be accurately determined using this and the standard data. This method is called *isotope dilution analysis* (see [problem 2.16](#)).

## 2.10 Sources of $^2\text{H}$ and $^{13}\text{C}$

In the laboratory,  $^2\text{H}$  (D) and  $^{13}\text{C}$  are commonly encountered even though both occur naturally only in low abundance (0.015 and 1.1% respectively).

## Deuterium: electrolytic separation of isotopes

Solvents for nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, enriched in deuterium to an extent of  $\geq 99\%$ , are commercially available. The separation of deuterium from naturally occurring hydrogen is achieved electrolytically with the isotope in the form of  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ . When an aqueous solution of NaOH (natural isotopic abundances) is electrolysed (equation 2.36) using an Ni electrode, the separation factor defined in equation 2.37 is  $\approx 6$ . The choice of electrode is critical to the optimization of this value.




$$\text{Separation factor} = \frac{\left(\frac{\text{H}}{\text{D}}\right)_{\text{gas}}}{\left(\frac{\text{H}}{\text{D}}\right)_{\text{solution}}} \quad (2.37)$$


The electrolysis is continued until  $\approx 90\%$  of the liquid has been converted into  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2$ ; most of the residual liquid is then neutralized with  $\text{CO}_2$ , and the water distilled and added to the remaining electrolyte. This process is repeated to give  $\leq 99.9\%$   $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ . In the later stages of the separation, the gas evolved at the cathode is burned to yield partially enriched deuterium oxide that can be electrolysed further. Cheap electrical power is, of course, essential for the economic concentration of  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  by this method.

## Carbon-13: chemical enrichment

Carbon-13 enriched compounds such as  $^{13}\text{CO}$ ,  $\text{H}^{13}\text{CN}$ ,  $[\text{H}^{13}\text{CN}]^-$  or  $^{13}\text{CO}_2$  are prepared by various methods and we focus upon methods involving chemical equilibria in which the label is transferred from one species to another.



For the isotope exchange reaction 2.38, the equilibrium constant,  $K$ , is 1.026 (298 K). The fact that  $K$  is not unity arises from a small difference in the standard Gibbs energy between reactants and products, which follows from differences in zero point energies (Figure 2.7). For equilibrium 2.38, products are favoured (albeit slightly) over reactants. As the system involves two phases, it is particularly suitable for isotopic enrichment, with the  $^{13}\text{C}$  label moving from one phase to the other, and can readily be made the basis of a multi-stage process. Equilibrium 2.39 shows a further example, although here, a catalyst is required.



## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 2.4 NMR spectroscopy: a factual résumé

## NMR active nuclei and isotope abundance

Many nuclei possess a property described as spin. The nuclear spin (nuclear angular momentum) is quantized and is described by the spin quantum number  $I$  which can have values of  $0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}$  etc. If the value of  $I$  for a nucleus is zero, the nucleus is *NMR inactive*, e.g.  $^{12}\text{C}$ . For both  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$  and these nuclei are *NMR active*. In this book, we encounter other NMR active nuclei with different (non-zero) values of  $I$ . In the absence of an applied magnetic field, the different nuclear spin states of a nucleus are degenerate. However, when a magnetic field is applied, they are split (become non-degenerate) and this allows nuclear spin transitions to occur when radiofrequency (RF) radiation is absorbed.

When a  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of a hydrogen-containing compound is recorded, virtually all the H atoms in the sample contribute to the observed spectrum; in a naturally occurring hydrogen sample, the abundance of  $^1\text{H}$  is 99.985%. The fact that only 1% of naturally occurring carbon is  $^{13}\text{C}$  means that if a  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of a carbon-containing compound is recorded, only 1% of the carbon atoms present are observed. This has important ramifications in regard of  $^1\text{H}$ – $^{13}\text{C}$  coupling as we see below.

## Resonance frequencies and chemical shifts

A particular nucleus (e.g.  $^1\text{H}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $^{31}\text{P}$ ) absorbs characteristic radiofrequencies, i.e. it *resonates* at a characteristic frequency. If an NMR spectrometer is tuned to a particular resonance frequency, *only* a selected NMR active nucleus is observed. For example, only  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei are observed if a 400 MHz spectrometer is tuned to 400 MHz, but if the same spectrometer is retuned to 162 MHz, only  $^{31}\text{P}$  nuclei are observed. This is analogous to tuning a radio and receiving only one station at a time.

In a  $^1\text{H}$  NMR experiment, protons in different chemical environments resonate at different frequencies. The same is true of, for example, non-equivalent  $^{13}\text{C}$  nuclei in a  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR experiment, or non-equivalent  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei in a  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectroscopic experiment, and so on. Each signal in an NMR spectrum is denoted by a *chemical shift value*,  $\delta$ , a value that is given relative to the signal observed for a specified reference compound (see below). The  $\delta$  value is given by the equation:

$$\delta = \frac{(\nu - \nu_0) \times 10^6}{\nu_0} = \frac{\Delta\nu \times 10^6}{\nu_0}$$

where  $\Delta\nu$  is the frequency difference, in Hz, between the signal of interest and some defined reference frequency ( $\nu_0$ ). The multiplier of  $10^6$  is included so that values of  $\delta$  are of convenient magnitudes. The chemical shift refers to a frequency difference in *parts per million* (ppm) and chemical shifts may be reported as ppm or  $\delta$  values, this being the same *numerical* value for a given signal.

The standard reference (for which  $\delta$  is defined as 0) for both  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopies is tetramethylsilane,

$\text{SiMe}_4$  (TMS); see also Table 2.3. When the NMR spectrum of a compound is recorded, signals due to particular nuclei are said to be *shifted* with respect to the standard reference signal. A shift to positive  $\delta$  is ‘shifted to higher frequency’ and a shift to negative  $\delta$  is ‘shifted to lower frequency’. Older terminology which may still be encountered relates a positive  $\delta$  value to a ‘downfield shift’ and a negative  $\delta$  value to an ‘upfield shift’.


## Solvents for solution studies

Samples for solution NMR spectroscopy are generally prepared using *deuterated solvents*. One reason for this is that, were non-deuterated solvents to be used (e.g.  $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$  in place of  $\text{CD}_3\text{Cl}$ ) for a  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopic experiment, the signals due to the solvent would ‘swamp’ those due to the sample. Deuterated solvents are commercially available, typically with  $>99.5\%$   $^2\text{H}$  label incorporated. The remaining unlabelled compound provides a useful *internal reference* signal in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of the sample under study.

## Integration of signals and signal broadening

Under normal conditions of measuring  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra, the ratio of the peak areas (*integrals*) of the signals in the spectrum is proportional to the number of nuclei giving rise to the signals. For example, in a  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{HC}\equiv\text{CCH}_3$ , two signals with relative integrals 1:3 are observed. However, the integration of signals must be treated with caution since the peak integral is dependent upon the *relaxation time* of the nucleus in question, i.e. the time taken for the nucleus to relax from an excited to ground state during the NMR spectroscopic experiment. (Further details of this phenomenon may be found in references cited at the end of this chapter.) One particular problem is the relative integrals of signals in a  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum.

In some cases, signals may be broadened and this can affect the measurement of the relative integrals of signals. For example, signals arising from protons attached to N are broadened due to *quadrupolar relaxation* by  $^{14}\text{N}$  ( $I = 1$ ). Exchange with solvent protons also causes broadening, e.g.:

Homonuclear spin–spin coupling:  $^1\text{H}$ – $^1\text{H}$ 

A  $^1\text{H}$  nucleus ( $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) may be in one of two spin states ( $m_I = +\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $m_I = -\frac{1}{2}$ ) and the energy difference between the spin states depends on the applied magnetic field of the NMR spectrometer. Consider a system in which there are two magnetically non-equivalent  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei,  $\text{H}_\text{A}$  and  $\text{H}_\text{B}$ . There are two possible situations:

- The local magnetic field generated by the spin of  $\text{H}_\text{A}$  is *not* detected by  $\text{H}_\text{B}$ ; the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum consists of two

resonances, each a *singlet* because there is *no coupling* between the two  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei.


- $\text{H}_\text{A}$  is affected by the magnetic fields associated with  $\text{H}_\text{B}$ ; the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR signal for  $\text{H}_\text{A}$  is *split into two equal lines* depending on which of the two spin states of  $\text{H}_\text{B}$  (equal probabilities) it ‘sees’. Similarly, the signal for  $\text{H}_\text{B}$  is composed of two equal lines. Protons  $\text{H}_\text{A}$  and  $\text{H}_\text{B}$  *couple* with each other and the spectrum consists of two *doublets*.

The separation between the two lines in each of the doublets described above must be equal, and this splitting is called the *coupling constant*,  $J$ , and is measured in hertz (Hz). In general, coupling to one proton gives a doublet, to two equivalent protons gives a triplet, to three equivalent protons gives a quartet, and so on. The relative intensities of the lines in the *multiplet* are given by a binomial distribution, readily determined using a Pascal’s triangle:

|  |  |   |   |   |           |           |           |
|--|--|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|  |  | 1 |   |   | ← singlet |           |           |
|  |  | 1 | 1 |   | ← doublet |           |           |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | ← triplet |           |           |
|  |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1         | ← quartet |           |
|  |  | 1 | 4 | 6 | 4         | 1         | ← quintet |

### Self-study exercise

The 100 MHz  $^1\text{H}$  spectrum of butanone is shown below, and consists of a quartet, a singlet and a triplet. The coupling



constants  $J$  for the triplet and quartet are equal. Account for the observed spectrum.

### Heteronuclear spin–spin coupling: $^{13}\text{C}-^1\text{H}$

Each of the nuclei  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  has a magnetic spin quantum number  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ , and when  $^{13}\text{C}$  and  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei are in close proximity, they can couple. However, in molecules containing a natural isotopic distribution of carbon atoms, only 1% are  $^{13}\text{C}$  nuclei. From a statistical consideration, it follows that in a  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of, for example, acetone,  $^{13}\text{C}-^1\text{H}$  coupling is *not* observed, although it *is* observed in the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of the *same* sample. The  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of acetone exhibits a singlet due to the  $\text{C}=\text{O}$  carbon atom, and a quartet due to the two equivalent methyl  $^{13}\text{C}$  nuclei.

### Self-study exercise

Why do you not observe  $^{13}\text{C}-^{13}\text{C}$  coupling in the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of acetone?

## 2.11 Multinuclear NMR spectroscopy in inorganic chemistry

In this section, we introduce the applications of NMR spectroscopy to inorganic systems, not only to determine the numbers and environments of particular nuclei, but also to investigate (usually in solution) the dynamic

behaviour of molecular species. A detailed description of the technique of NMR spectroscopy is beyond the scope of this book, but appropriate references are listed at the end of the chapter. In the discussion that follows, we assume that readers are already familiar with the concepts of  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopies, including homonuclear  $^1\text{H}-^1\text{H}$  and heteronuclear  $^{13}\text{C}-^1\text{H}$  spin–spin coupling. A factual summary is given in Box 2.4.

## Which nuclei are suitable for NMR spectroscopic studies?

A wide range of nuclei may be observed by NMR spectroscopy, but the inherent properties of some nuclei (e.g. a large quadrupole moment) may make their observation difficult. The main criterion is that the nucleus possesses a value of the nuclear spin quantum number  $I \geq \frac{1}{2}$  (Table 2.3). Secondly, it is advantageous (but not essential) for the nucleus to occur in significant abundance. Carbon-13 is an example of a low abundant isotope which is, nevertheless, extensively used for NMR spectroscopy; isotopic enrichment may be used to improve signal:noise ratios. A third requirement is that the nucleus possesses a relatively short *spin-relaxation time* ( $\tau_1$ ); this property depends not only on the nucleus itself but also on its molecular environment. Some elements exhibit more than one NMR active nucleus and the choice for experimental observation may depend upon the relative inherent values of  $\tau_1$ . For example,  $^6\text{Li}$  and  $^7\text{Li}$  are NMR active, but whereas  $\tau_1$  values for  $^7\text{Li}$  are typically  $<3$  s, those for  $^6\text{Li}$  lie in the range  $\approx 10$ – $80$  s;  $^7\text{Li}$  is thus more appropriate

for NMR spectroscopic observation and this choice is also favoured by the fact that  $^7\text{Li}$  is more abundant (92.5%) than  $^6\text{Li}$ . Another nuclear property that may militate against easy observation is the *quadrupole moment* arising from a non-spherical charge distribution of the nucleus and which is associated with values of  $I > \frac{1}{2}$ . Although the possession of a quadrupole moment leads to short values of  $\tau_1$ , it generally causes the signals in the NMR spectrum to be broad (e.g.  $^{11}\text{B}$ ). Signal broadening is also seen in the spectra of nuclei *attached* to nuclei with quadrupole moments, e.g. the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of protons attached to  $^{11}\text{B}$ .

## Chemical shift ranges

The range of chemical shifts over which NMR spectroscopic signals appear is dependent on the nucleus. The most commonly observed nucleus is  $^1\text{H}$  and, in organic compounds, a *spectral window* from  $\delta + 15$  to 0 usually encompasses most signals. In inorganic compounds, the window may have to be widened if, for example,  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei attached to metal centres are to be observed, or if

**Table 2.3** Properties of selected NMR active nuclei. A complete list is available from *WebElements* on the World Wide Web at: <http://www.webelements.com/>

| Nucleus           | Natural abundance / % | $I$           | Frequency of observation / MHz<br>(referred to $^1\text{H}$ at 100 MHz) <sup>‡</sup> | Chemical shift reference<br>( $\delta = 0$ ) <sup>*</sup> |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--|---|
| $^1\text{H}$      | >99.9                 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 100  | $\text{SiMe}_4$   |
| $^2\text{H}$      | 0.015                 | 1             | 15.35  | $\text{SiMe}_4$   |
| $^7\text{Li}$     | 92.5                  | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 38.9   | $\text{LiCl}$ (1 M in $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ )              |
| $^{11}\text{B}$   | 80.1                  | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 32.1   | $\text{F}_3\text{B}\cdot\text{OEt}_2$                     |
| $^{13}\text{C}$   | 1.1                   | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 25.1   | $\text{SiMe}_4$   |
| $^{17}\text{O}$   | 0.04                  | $\frac{5}{2}$ | 13.5   | $\text{H}_2\text{O}$                                      |
| $^{19}\text{F}$   | 100                   | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 94.0   | $\text{CFCl}_3$   |
| $^{23}\text{Na}$  | 100                   | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 26.45  | $\text{NaCl}$ (1 M in $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ )              |
| $^{27}\text{Al}$  | 100                   | $\frac{5}{2}$ | 26.1   | $[\text{Al}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$                  |
| $^{29}\text{Si}$  | 4.7                   | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 19.9   | $\text{SiMe}_4$   |
| $^{31}\text{P}$   | 100                   | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 40.5   | $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ (85%, aq)                         |
| $^{77}\text{Se}$  | 7.6                   | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 19.1   | $\text{SeMe}_2$   |
| $^{103}\text{Rh}$ | 100                   | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3.2  | Rh (metal)  |
| $^{117}\text{Sn}$ | 7.7                   | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 35.6   | $\text{SnMe}_4$   |
| $^{119}\text{Sn}$ | 8.6                   | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 37.3   | $\text{SnMe}_4$   |
| $^{129}\text{Xe}$ | 26.4                  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 27.7   | $\text{XeOF}_4$   |
| $^{183}\text{W}$  | 14.3                  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 4.2  | $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4$ (in $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ )       |
| $^{195}\text{Pt}$ | 33.8                  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 21.5   | $\text{Na}_2[\text{PtCl}_6]$                              |
| $^{199}\text{Hg}$ | 16.8                  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 17.9   | $\text{HgMe}_2$   |

<sup>‡</sup> The operating frequency of an instrument is defined by the field of the magnet and is designated by the frequency at which the  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei of  $\text{SiMe}_4$  resonate.

<sup>\*</sup> It is important to quote the reference when reporting NMR spectra since alternative references may be used.


## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Box 2.5 Paramagnetically shifted  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra

The presence of a paramagnetic centre (i.e. a centre with one or more unpaired electrons) in a compound has significant consequences on the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of the compound. Firstly, the *local magnetic field* at each  $^1\text{H}$  nucleus is affected. The energy difference between nuclear spin states – a consequence of applying an external magnetic field in an NMR experiment – arises from the interaction of the magnetic fields of the spinning nuclei with the applied field. However, the local field experienced by the nuclei is not the same as the applied field because electron pairs in the vicinity of the  $^1\text{H}$  nucleus generate small local magnetic fields. The local magnetic field is the sum of the applied and all the smaller fields. The latter depend on the chemical environment of the  $^1\text{H}$  nucleus. Typically, the differences in local magnetic fields for protons in different environments are small and, as a consequence, the chemical shift range over which the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR signals occur is not large. In a paramagnetic compound, there is an additional factor: a large, local magnetic field arising from the unpaired

electron or electrons on the paramagnetic centre. This contributes to the energy difference between nuclear spin states, and as a consequence, the chemical shift range for the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR signals is much larger than in a diamagnetic compound. The second effect that is observed in  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of paramagnetic compounds is a broadening of the signals. This effect has its origins in a significant shortening of the excited state lifetime, i.e. the relaxation time (see **Box 2.4**) is very short. In some cases, the broadening is so great that no well-resolved signals are observed.

An example of a paramagnetic centre is a  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  ion which, in an octahedral complex, has one or three unpaired electrons (see **Chapter 20**). The figure below shows the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of the  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  complex  $[\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$  (phen = 1,10-phenanthroline), the structure of which is shown below. There are four different aromatic proton environments in the complex, and the chemical shifts of the signals assigned to these  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei fall in the range  $\delta + 110$  to  $+ 15$ .



[Barbara Brisig is acknowledged for recording the spectrum shown above.]

## Further reading


I. Bertini and C. Luchinat (1996) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 150 – ‘NMR of paramagnetic substances.’

signals are *paramagnetically shifted* (see **Box 2.5**). The chemical shift range for  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra is typically  $\delta + 250$  to  $-50$ , for  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectra,  $\approx \delta + 300$  to  $-300$ , and for  $^{77}\text{Se}$  NMR spectra  $\approx \delta + 2000$  to  $-1000$ . Figure 2.8 illustrates the change in chemical shift for the  $^{31}\text{P}$  nucleus on going from triphenylphosphine to the corresponding oxide. Such a shift to higher frequency is typical when a tertiary phosphine ( $\text{R}_3\text{P}$ ) is oxidized, and also tends to occur when a phosphine ligand coordinates to a *d*-block metal centre.

## Spin–spin coupling

The number and spins of the *attached nuclei* determine the *multiplicity* (number of lines) and pattern of the NMR spectroscopic signal of the observed nucleus. The coupling constant between nuclei X and Y is denoted as  $J_{\text{XY}}$  and is measured in Hz.

In general the multiplicity of an NMR spectroscopic signal can be determined using equation 2.40 where the nucleus



**Fig. 2.8** The 162 MHz  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectra of  $\text{PPh}_3$  and  $\text{O}=\text{PPh}_3$ . A shift to more positive  $\delta$  (higher frequency) generally accompanies the oxidation of a tertiary phosphine and recording the  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum of a phosphine before use in the laboratory is an easy way of checking the purity of phosphines which are readily oxidized in air.


being observed is coupling (see [Box 2.4](#)) to  $n$  equivalent nuclei with quantum number  $I$ .

$$\text{Multiplicity (number of lines)} = 2nI + 1 \quad (2.40)$$


**Case study 1:  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$**

The  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum of a salt containing the octahedral  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$  ion exhibits a binomial septet (Figure 2.9)

consistent with six equivalent  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei ( $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) attached to the central  $^{31}\text{P}$  centre. The large value of  $J_{\text{PF}}$  708 Hz is typical of  $^{31}\text{P}$ - $^{19}\text{F}$  coupling constants for *directly attached* nuclei; the magnitudes of coupling constants usually diminish with nuclear separation, but a consequence of large values for directly attached nuclei is that *long range couplings* may be observed (see [Case study 2](#)).




**Fig. 2.9** The 162 MHz  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum of a salt of  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$  consists of a binomial septet. The value of  $J_{\text{PF}}$  can be measured between any pair of adjacent lines in the signal.



**Fig. 2.10** The 128 MHz  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of a solution of  $\text{NaBH}_4$  in  $\text{CD}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CD}_3$ . The value of  $J_{\text{BH}}$  can be measured between any pair of adjacent lines in the signal.

**Case study 2:  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{P}(\text{Ph})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2$**



Structure **2.1** shows that  $\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{P}(\text{Ph})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2$  contains two phosphorus environments, labelled a and b; the  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum exhibits two signals with an integral ratio of 1:2. For directly attached inequivalent phosphorus atoms, values of  $J_{\text{PP}}$  are typically 450–600 Hz; in compound **2.1**, *long range coupling* between non-equivalent  $^{31}\text{P}$  nuclei is observed. The signals due to atoms  $\text{P}_b$  and  $\text{P}_a$  are a triplet and doublet respectively; values of  $J_{\text{PP}}$  (29 Hz) measured from the two signals are necessarily equal. Additionally, coupling between the  $^{31}\text{P}$  and closest  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei may be observed. Two types of heteronuclear NMR spectra are routinely recorded: one in which coupling to protons is observed and one in which protons are instrumentally decoupled from the observed nucleus.

The notation  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  means proton-decoupled  $^{31}\text{P}$ ; corresponding notations are used for other proton-decoupling.


**Case study 3:  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$**

The  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{Na}[\text{BH}_4]$  is shown in Figure 2.10. The 1:4:6:4:1 pattern of signal integrals corresponds to the binomial quintet expected for four equivalent  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei coupling to  $^{11}\text{B}$ . Although  $I = \frac{3}{2}$  for  $^{11}\text{B}$ , it is the  $I = \frac{1}{2}$  of

the attached protons that determines the nature of the signal in the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$ .

**Case study 4:  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{PhMe}_2\text{P}\cdot\text{BH}_3$**

Figure 2.11 shows the structure of the adduct  $\text{PhMe}_2\text{P}\cdot\text{BH}_3$  and its  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectrum. The signal is a four-line multiplet (but *not* a binomial quartet) and arises primarily from coupling between  $^{31}\text{P}$  and  $^{11}\text{B}$  nuclei. For  $^{11}\text{B}$ ,  $I = \frac{3}{2}$ ; this means there are four spin states with values  $+\frac{3}{2}$ ,  $+\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-\frac{1}{2}$  and  $-\frac{3}{2}$ . There is an *equal probability* that the  $^{31}\text{P}$  nucleus will ‘see’ the  $^{11}\text{B}$  nucleus in each of the four spin states, and



**Fig. 2.11** The 162 MHz  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectrum of the adduct  $\text{PhMe}_2\text{P}\cdot\text{BH}_3$ . The four-line pattern is *not* a binomial quartet but an approximate 1:1:1:1 multiplet.

this gives rise to the  $^{31}\text{P}$  signal being split into four equal intensity lines: a 1:1:1:1 multiplet. The observed signal is complicated by the fact that the  $^{11}\text{B}$  has an 80% abundance and the second isotope,  $^{10}\text{B}$ , is also NMR active ( $I = 3$ ). It too couples to the  $^{31}\text{P}$  nucleus, giving a seven-line multiplet (1:1:1:1:1:1:1), but the value of  $J_{^{31}\text{P}^{10}\text{B}}$  is smaller than  $J_{^{31}\text{P}^{11}\text{B}}$ . The result is two overlapping signals, but the dominant feature is the 1:1:1:1 multiplet, the signal shape of which is affected by both the underlying seven-line multiplet and relaxation effects.


#### Case study 5: $^{19}\text{F}$ NMR spectrum of $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$

The planar  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$  ion contains five equivalent F atoms (see [worked example 1.14](#)). Both the  $^{19}\text{F}$  and  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  nuclei are NMR active:  $^{19}\text{F}$ ,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ , 100% abundance;  $^{129}\text{Xe}$ ,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ , 26.4%. The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$  is shown in Figure 2.12. The equivalence of the  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei gives rise to one signal; 26.4% of the F centres are attached to  $^{129}\text{Xe}$ , while the remainder are bonded to other Xe nuclei. The spectrum can be interpreted in terms of a singlet (the central line) due to 73.6% of the  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei plus an overlapping doublet due to the 26.4% of the  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei that couple to  $^{129}\text{Xe}$ . The centre of the doublet coincides with the position of the singlet because *all* the  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei resonate at the same frequency. The two side peaks in Figure 2.12 are called *satellite peaks*.


### Stereochemically non-rigid species

The NMR spectroscopic examples discussed so far have assumed that, with the exception of free rotation about single bonds, the molecule or ion is static in solution. For the majority of organic and inorganic species, this assumption is valid, but the possibility of *stereochemical non-rigidity*


(fluxionality) on the NMR spectroscopic timescale must be considered. Five-coordinate species such as  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ , **2.2**,  $\text{PF}_5$ , **2.3**, and  $\text{BrF}_5$ , **2.4**, constitute one group of compounds for which the activation barrier for dynamic behaviour in solution is relatively low, and exchange of substituents is facile.



The inclusion of the qualifier ‘on the NMR spectroscopic timescale’ is important. The timescale of the NMR spectroscopic technique ( $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-5}$  s, depending on the observed nucleus) is relatively long, and is significantly longer than that of IR spectroscopy;  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$  appears static on the IR spectroscopic timescale, but dynamic within the timescale of a  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopic experiment. Lowering the temperature slows down the dynamic behaviour, and *may* make it slower than the spectroscopic timescale. However, some fluxional processes have very low energy barriers; even at 103 K, the axial and equatorial CO groups in  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$  exchange positions and the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum consists of one signal corresponding to the average  $^{13}\text{C}$  environment. On the other hand, the room temperature solution  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{BrF}_5$  exhibits a doublet and a binomial quintet (due to  $^{19}\text{F}$ - $^{19}\text{F}$  coupling) with relative integrals of 4:1, and this is consistent with structure **2.4**. Above 450 K, one signal is observed, indicating that the five F atoms are equivalent on the NMR timescale, i.e. the  $\text{BrF}_5$  molecule is



**Fig. 2.12** The 376 MHz  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$ , simulated using literature parameters. The isotopic abundance of  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  is 26.4%; the centre of the doublet coincides with the position of the singlet. (K.O. Christie *et al.* (1991) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 113, p. 3351.)



**Fig. 2.13** Berry pseudo-rotation interconverts one trigonal bipyramidal structure into another via a square-based pyramidal intermediate. The numbering scheme illustrates that axial and equatorial sites in the trigonal bipyramid are interchanged.


fluxional. On going from the low to high temperature limit, the two signals *coalesce* to give a single resonance.

The usual dynamic process in which 5-coordinate species are involved in solution is *Berry pseudo-rotation*.<sup>†</sup> Although ligand–ligand repulsions are minimized in a trigonal bipyramidal arrangement, only a small amount of energy is needed to convert it into a square-based pyramid. The interconversion involves small perturbations of the bond angles subtended at the central atom, and continued repetition of the process results in each substituent ‘visiting’ both equatorial and axial sites in the trigonal bipyramidal structure (Figure 2.13).

### Exchange processes in solution

A number of hydrated cations in aqueous solution undergo exchange with the solvent at rates slow enough to be observed on the NMR spectroscopic timescale by using <sup>17</sup>O isotopic labelling; <sup>17</sup>O has  $I = \frac{5}{2}$ , while both <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O are NMR inactive. Different chemical shifts are observed for the <sup>17</sup>O nuclei in bulk and coordinated water, and from the signal intensity ratios, hydration numbers can be obtained. For example, Al<sup>3+</sup> has been shown to be present as [Al(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>.

Reactions such as that in equation 2.41 are known as *redistribution reactions*.



A *redistribution reaction* is one in which substituents exchange between species but the types and numbers of each type of bond remain the same.

The position of equilibrium can be followed by using <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy, since each of the four species has a

<sup>†</sup> A discussion that goes beyond Berry pseudo-rotation and considers the ‘lever mechanism’ in SF<sub>4</sub> (based on a trigonal bipyramidal structure with an equatorial site occupied by a lone pair of electrons) and related species is: M. Mauksch and P. von R. Schleyer (2001) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 40, p. 1756.

characteristic chemical shift. Rate data are obtained by following the variation in relative signal integrals with time, and equilibrium constants (and hence values of  $\Delta G^\circ$  since  $\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K$ ) can be found from the relative signal integrals when no further change takes place (i.e. equilibrium has been established); by determining  $\Delta G^\circ$  at different temperatures, values of  $\Delta H^\circ$  and  $\Delta S^\circ$  can be found using equations 2.42 and 2.43.

$$\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ \quad (2.42)$$

$$\frac{d \ln K}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT^2} \quad (2.43)$$

Values of  $\Delta H^\circ$  for these types of reactions are almost zero, the redistribution of the groups being driven by an increase in the entropy of the system.

## 2.12 Mössbauer spectroscopy in inorganic chemistry

Mössbauer spectroscopy is by no means as widely used as NMR spectroscopy, and its brief coverage here reflects this.

### The technique of Mössbauer spectroscopy


The *Mössbauer effect* is the emission and resonant absorption of nuclear  $\gamma$ -rays studied under conditions such that the nuclei have negligible recoil velocities when  $\gamma$ -rays are emitted or absorbed. This is only achieved by working with *solid samples* in which the nuclei are held rigidly in a crystal lattice. The energy, and thus the frequency of the  $\gamma$ -radiation involved, corresponds to the transition between the ground state and the short-lived excited state of the nuclide concerned. Table 2.4 lists properties of several nuclei which can be observed using Mössbauer spectroscopy.

We illustrate the study of the Mössbauer effect by reference to <sup>57</sup>Fe spectroscopy. The basic apparatus includes

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 2.6 Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a clinical technique to obtain an image of, for example, a human organ or tumour. The image is generated from information obtained from the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopic signals of water. The signal intensity depends upon the proton relaxation times and the concentration of water. The relaxation times can be altered, and the image enhanced, by using *MRI contrast agents*. Coordination complexes containing paramagnetic  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  or  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  are potentially suitable as contrast agents, and of these, complexes containing the  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  ion have so far proved to be especially useful. To minimize toxic side-effects in patients,  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  must be introduced in the form of a complex that will not dissociate in the body, and chelating ligands are particularly suitable (see *Chapter 6* for a discussion of stability constants). Excretion is also an important consideration; complexes must not remain in the body any longer than is necessary. One of the successful ligands in use is derived from  $\text{H}_5\text{DTPA}$ ; after the intravenous injection to introduce  $[\text{Gd}(\text{DTPA})]^{2-}$ , clearance through the kidneys takes about 30 min.



If an image of a certain organ is required, it is important to find a contrast agent that *targets* that organ, e.g. gadolinium(III) complexes are used to target the liver.

Dependence upon the observation of proton signals in some organs (e.g. lungs) presents problems with respect to MRI. The use of  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  magnetic imaging has been tested as a means of overcoming some of the difficulties associated with proton observation. Under the right conditions, gaseous  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  taken into mouse lungs allows excellent images to be observed.

## Further reading

- M.S. Albert, G.D. Cates, B. Driehuys, W. Happer, B. Saam, C.S. Springer and A. Wishnia (1994) *Nature*, vol. 370, p. 199 – ‘Biological magnetic resonance imaging using laser-polarized  $^{129}\text{Xe}$ ’.
- P. Caravan, J.J. Ellison, T.J. McMurry and R.B. Lauffer (1999) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 99, p. 2293 – ‘Gadolinium(III) chelates as MRI contrast agents; structure, dynamics and applications’.
- J.F. Desreux and V. Jacques (1995) in *Handbook of Metal–Ligand Interactions in Biological Fluids*, ed. G. Berthon, vol. 2, p. 1109, Dekker, New York – ‘Role of metal–ligand interactions in the design of MRI contrast agents’.
- S.H. Koenig and R.D. Brown (1995) in *Handbook of Metal–Ligand Interactions in Biological Fluids*, ed. G. Berthon, vol. 2, p. 1093, Dekker, New York – ‘Relaxivity of MRI magnetic contrast agents. Concepts and principles’.
- R.A. Moats, S.E. Fraser and T.J. Meade (1997) *Angewandte Chemie, International Edition in English*, vol. 36, p. 726 – ‘A “smart” magnetic resonance imaging agent that reports on specific enzymic activity’.
- S. Zhang, P. Winter, K. Wu and A.D. Sherry (2001) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 123, p. 1517 – ‘A novel europium(III)-based MRI contrast agent’.

a radioactive source, a solid absorber with the  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ -containing sample and a  $\gamma$ -ray detector. For  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  samples, the radioactive source is  $^{57}\text{Co}$  and is incorporated into stainless steel; the  $^{57}\text{Co}$  source decays by capture of an extra-nuclear electron to give the excited state of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  which emits  $\gamma$ -radiation as it decays to its ground state. If

$^{57}\text{Fe}$  is present in the same form in both source and absorber, resonant absorption occurs and no radiation is transmitted. However, if the  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  in the source and absorber is present in two different forms, absorption does *not* occur and  $\gamma$ -radiation reaches the detector. Moving the source at different velocities towards or away from the  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  absorber has the

**Table 2.4** Properties of selected nuclei observed by Mössbauer spectroscopy. The radioisotope source provides the  $\gamma$ -radiation required for the Mössbauer effect.

| Nucleus observed  | Natural abundance / % | Ground spin state | Excited spin state | Radioisotope source <sup>‡</sup> |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| $^{57}\text{Fe}$  | 2.2                   | $\frac{1}{2}$     | $\frac{3}{2}$      | $^{57}\text{Co}$                 |
| $^{119}\text{Sn}$ | 8.6                   | $\frac{1}{2}$     | $\frac{3}{2}$      | $^{119\text{m}}\text{Sn}$        |
| $^{99}\text{Ru}$  | 12.7                  | $\frac{3}{2}$     | $\frac{5}{2}$      | $^{99}\text{Rh}$                 |
| $^{197}\text{Au}$ | 100                   | $\frac{3}{2}$     | $\frac{1}{2}$      | $^{197\text{m}}\text{Pt}$        |

<sup>‡</sup> m = metastable

effect of varying the energy of the  $\gamma$ -radiation (i.e. by the Doppler effect). The velocity of movement required to bring about maximum absorption relative to stainless steel (defined as an arbitrary zero for iron) is called the *isomer shift* of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  in the sample, with units of  $\text{mm s}^{-1}$ .


### What can isomer shift data tell us?

The isomer shift gives a measure of the electron density on the  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  centre, and isomer shift values can be used to determine the oxidation state of the Fe atom. Similarly, in  $^{197}\text{Au}$  Mössbauer spectroscopy, isomer shifts can be used to distinguish between Au(I) and Au(III). Three specific examples are chosen here from iron chemistry.

The cation  $[\text{Fe}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{NO})]^{2+}$  has presented chemists with an ambiguity in terms of the description of the bonding which has, in some instances, been described in terms of an  $[\text{NO}]^+$  unit bound to an Fe(I) centre. Results of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  Mössbauer spectroscopy have revealed that the correct description is that of an  $[\text{NO}]^-$  ligand bound to an Fe(III) centre.

The formal oxidation states of the iron centres in  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  are +2 and +3; however, the closeness of the isomer shifts for these species suggests that the actual oxidation states are similar and this may be interpreted in terms of the extra electron in  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  being delocalized on the cyano ligands rather than the iron centre.

Differences in isomer shifts can be used to distinguish between different iron environments in the same molecule: the existence of two signals in the Mössbauer spectrum of  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  provided the first evidence for the presence of two types of iron atom in the solid state structure (Figure 2.14), a fact that has been confirmed by X-ray diffraction methods.



**Fig. 2.14** The solid state structure of  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  as determined by X-ray diffraction methods. The molecule contains two Fe environments by virtue of the arrangement of the CO groups. Colour code: Fe, green; C, grey; O, red.

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter. Do you know what they mean?

- neutron
- proton
- nucleon
- nuclide
- mass number
- mass defect
- binding energy
- radioactive decay
- first order rate equation
- first order rate constant
- half-life
- $\alpha$ -particle
- $\beta$ -particle ( $\beta^-$ )
- $\gamma$ -radiation
- positron ( $\beta^+$ )
- neutrino ( $\nu_e$ )
- antineutrino
- transmutation of an element
- nuclear fission
- nuclear fusion
- slow (thermal) neutron
- fast neutron
- transuranium element
- isotopic enrichment
- zero point energy
- isotope exchange reaction
- kinetic isotope effect
- spectroscopic timescale
- nuclear spin quantum number,  $I$
- chemical shift (in NMR spectroscopy)
- spin-spin coupling (in NMR spectroscopy)
- proton-decoupled NMR spectrum
- multiplicity of an NMR spectroscopic signal
- satellite peaks (in an NMR spectrum)
- stereochemically non-rigid
- fluxionality
- Berry pseudo-rotation
- redistribution reaction
- Mössbauer effect
- isomer shift (in Mössbauer spectroscopy)

## Further reading

### Basic reaction kinetics

C.E. Housecroft and E.C. Constable (2002) *Chemistry*, Prentice Hall, Harlow – Chapter 14 covers first order reaction kinetics with worked examples, and includes mathematical background for the integration of rate equations.

**Nuclear chemistry**

- G.R. Choppin, J.-O. Liljenzin and J. Rydberg (1995) *Radiochemistry and Nuclear Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – An excellent general account of both the subjects and their chemical and practical applications.
- G. Friedlander, J.W. Kennedy, E.S. Macias and J.M. Miller (1981) *Nuclear and Radiochemistry*, 3rd edn, Wiley, New York – A general textbook of radiochemistry and its applications.
- J. Godfrey, R. McLachlan and C.H. Atwood (1991) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 68, p. 819 – An article entitled ‘Nuclear reactions versus inorganic reactions’ provides a useful comparative survey and includes a résumé of the kinetics of radioactive decay.
- N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – Chapter 1 gives an account of the origins of the elements and of nuclear processes.
- D.C. Hoffmann (1994) *Chemical & Engineering News*, 2 May issue, p. 24 – An article entitled ‘The heaviest elements’ which gives a good feeling for the problems and fascination involved in working with the transuranium elements.
- D.C. Hoffmann and G.R. Choppin (1986) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 63, p. 1059 – A discussion of high-level nuclear waste.
- D.C. Hoffmann and D.M. Lee (1999) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 76, p. 331 – An excellent article that covers the development and future prospects of ‘atom-at-a-time’ chemistry.

**NMR and Mössbauer spectroscopies**

- C. Brevard and P. Granger (1981) *Handbook of High Resolution Multinuclear NMR*, Wiley-Interscience, New York – A reference book listing nuclear properties, standard references, typical chemical shift ranges and coupling constants.
- C.E. Housecroft (1994) *Boranes and Metallaboranes: Structure, Bonding and Reactivity*, 2nd edn, Ellis Horwood, Hemel Hempstead – Chapter 2 includes an account of the interpretation of  $^{11}\text{B}$  and  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of boranes and their derivatives.
- B.K. Hunter and J.K.M. Sanders (1993) *Modern NMR Spectroscopy: A Guide for Chemists*, 2nd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An excellent, detailed and readable text.
- A.G. Maddock (1997) *Mössbauer Spectroscopy: Principles and Applications*, Horwood Publishing, Chichester – A comprehensive account of the technique and its applications.
- R.V. Parish (1990), *NMR, NQR, EPR and Mössbauer Spectroscopy in Inorganic Chemistry*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester – A text dealing with the theory, applications and interpretation of spectra; includes end-of-chapter problems.
- J.K.M. Sanders, E.C. Constable, B.K. Hunter and C.M. Pearce (1993) *Modern NMR Spectroscopy: A Workbook of Chemical Problems*, 2nd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An invaluable collection of NMR spectroscopic problem-solving exercises.

**Problems**

- 2.1** For each of the following isotopes, state the number of neutrons, protons and electrons present: (a)  $^{19}\text{F}$ ; (b)  $^{59}\text{Co}$ ; (c)  $^{235}_{92}\text{U}$ .
- 2.2** What do you understand by the terms: (a) atomic number; (b) mass number; (c) mass defect; (d) binding energy per nucleon?
- 2.3** Using the data in Appendix 5, plot a representation of the mass spectrum of naturally occurring atomic Ba.
- 2.4** Radium-224 is radioactive and decays by emitting an  $\alpha$ -particle. (a) Write an equation for this process. (b) The decay of radium-224 produces helium gas. Rutherford and Geiger determined that  $\alpha$ -particles were emitted from  $^{224}_{88}\text{Ra}$  at a rate of  $7.65 \times 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ , and that this corresponded to a rate of helium production of  $2.90 \times 10^{-10} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 273 K, 1 bar. If 1 mole of helium occupies  $22.7 \text{ dm}^3$  (273 K, 1 bar), estimate a value for the Avogadro constant.
- 2.5** Use the following data to determine the half-life of  $^{218}_{84}\text{Po}$  and the rate constant for the decay of  $^{218}_{84}\text{Po}$ .

| Time / s                     | 0     | 200   | 400   | 600   | 800   | 1000  |
|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Moles $^{218}_{84}\text{Po}$ | 0.250 | 0.110 | 0.057 | 0.025 | 0.012 | 0.005 |

- 2.6** The half-life of strontium-90 is 29.1 years. Determine the rate constant for the decay of strontium-90 in units of  $\text{s}^{-1}$ . [The SI unit of time is the second.]
- 2.7** Complete the following table, which refers to possible nuclear reactions of a nuclide:

| Reaction type           | Change in number of protons | Change in number of neutrons | Change in mass number | Is a new element formed? |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| $\alpha$ -particle loss |                             |                              |                       |                          |
| $\beta$ -particle loss  |                             |                              |                       |                          |
| Positron loss           |                             |                              |                       |                          |
| (n, $\gamma$ ) reaction |                             |                              |                       |                          |


- 2.8** For each step in Figure 2.3, identify the particle emitted.
- 2.9** Interpret the following notational forms of nuclear reactions: (a)  $^{58}_{26}\text{Fe}(2n,\beta)^{60}_{27}\text{Co}$ ; (b)  $^{55}_{25}\text{Mn}(n,\gamma)^{56}_{25}\text{Mn}$ ; (c)  $^{32}_{16}\text{S}(n,p)^{32}_{15}\text{P}$ ; (d)  $^{23}_{11}\text{Na}(\gamma,3n)^{20}_{11}\text{Na}$ .
- 2.10** Identify the second fission product in the following reactions:
- (a)  $^{235}_{92}\text{U} + ^1_0\text{n} \rightarrow ^{142}_{56}\text{Ba} + ? + 2^1_0\text{n}$
- (b)  $^{235}_{92}\text{U} + ^1_0\text{n} \rightarrow ^{137}_{52}\text{Te} + ? + 2^1_0\text{n}$

- 2.11** In each of the following reactions, are the incoming neutrons 'fast' or 'slow'? Give reasons for your choices.
- (a)  ${}^{14}_7\text{N} + {}^1_0\text{n} \rightarrow {}^{14}_6\text{C} + {}^1_1\text{H}$
- (b)  ${}^{238}_{92}\text{U} + {}^1_0\text{n} \rightarrow {}^{239}_{92}\text{U} + \gamma$
- (c)  ${}^{235}_{92}\text{U} + {}^1_0\text{n} \rightarrow {}^{85}_{34}\text{Se} + {}^{148}_{58}\text{Ce} + 3{}^1_0\text{n}$
- 2.12** Determine the half-life of Bk given that a plot of  $\ln N$  against  $t$  is linear with a gradient of  $-0.0023 \text{ day}^{-1}$  where  $N$  is the number of nuclides present at time  $t$ .
- 2.13** The IR spectrum of naturally occurring CO shows an absorption at  $2170 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  assigned to the vibrational mode of the molecule. If the sample is enriched in  ${}^{13}\text{C}$ , what change do you expect to see when the IR spectrum is re-recorded?
- 2.14** If the oxide  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$  is dissolved in an aqueous solution of sodium carbonate, compound **A** of formula  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_3$  may be crystallized from solution. The IR spectrum of **A** contains a band at  $2300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The corresponding band in the IR spectrum of **B** (obtained by an analogous method from  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  dissolved in  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ ) is at  $1630 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . On recrystallization of **A** from  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ , however, its IR spectrum is not affected. Discuss the interpretation of these observations.
- 2.15** Why is the method of isotope dilution analysis used to determine the solubility of sparingly soluble salts rather than a method depending upon mass determination?
- 2.16** A small amount of the radioactive isotope  ${}^{212}_{82}\text{Pb}$  was mixed with a quantity of a non-radioactive lead salt containing  $0.0100 \text{ g}$  lead ( $A_r = 207$ ). The whole sample was dissolved

in aqueous solution and lead(II) chromate ( $\text{PbCrO}_4$ ) was precipitated by the addition of a soluble chromate salt. Evaporation of  $10 \text{ cm}^3$  of the supernatant liquid gave a residue having a radioactivity of  $4.17 \times 10^{-5}$  that of the original quantity of  ${}^{212}_{82}\text{Pb}$ . Calculate the solubility of lead(II) chromate in  $\text{mol dm}^{-3}$ .


*In questions 2.17 to 2.27, refer to Table 2.3 for isotopic abundances where needed.*

- 2.17** Why is a coupling constant measured in Hz and is not recorded as a chemical shift difference?
- 2.18** Long range couplings are often observed between  ${}^{31}\text{P}$  and  ${}^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei, between  ${}^{31}\text{P}$  and  ${}^1\text{H}$  nuclei, but not between remote non-equivalent  ${}^1\text{H}$  nuclei. What does this tell you about the relative magnitudes of values of  $J_{\text{PF}}$ ,  $J_{\text{PH}}$  and  $J_{\text{HH}}$  for the respective pairs of nuclei when they are directly attached?
- 2.19** Rationalize the fact that the  ${}^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$  consists of two binomial quartets with coupling constants of 44 and 284 Hz respectively.
- 2.20** How might you use  ${}^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectroscopy to distinguish between  $\text{Ph}_2\text{PH}$  and  $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}$ ?
- 2.21** The  ${}^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{PMe}_3$  consists of a binomial decet ( $J$  2.7 Hz). (a) Account for this observation. (b) Predict the nature of the  ${}^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{PMe}_3$ .
- 2.22** The  ${}^{29}\text{Si}$  NMR spectrum of compound **2.5** shows a triplet with a coupling constant of 194 Hz. (a) Rationalize these data and (b) predict the nature of the signal in the  ${}^1\text{H}$




**Fig. 2.15** Figure for problem 2.23.

NMR spectrum of **2.5** that is assigned to the silicon-bound protons. [ $^{29}\text{Si}$ : 4.7% abundant;  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ]



(2.5)

- 2.23** Figure 2.15 shows the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectra of (a)  $\text{THF}\cdot\text{BH}_3$  (**2.6**) and (b)  $\text{PhMe}_2\text{P}\cdot\text{BH}_3$ . Interpret the observed coupling patterns and mark on the figure where you would measure relevant coupling constants.




(2.6)

- 2.24** (a) Predict the structure of  $\text{SF}_4$  using the VSEPR model. (b) Account for the fact that at 298 K and in solution the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{SF}_4$  exhibits a singlet but that at 175 K, two equal-intensity triplets are observed.
- 2.25** The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of each of the following molecules exhibits one signal. For which species is this observation consistent with a static molecular structure as predicted by VSEPR theory: (a)  $\text{SiF}_4$ ; (b)  $\text{PF}_5$ ; (c)  $\text{SF}_6$ ; (d)  $\text{SOF}_2$ ; (e)  $\text{CF}_4$ ?

- 2.26** Outline the mechanism of Berry pseudo-rotation, giving two examples of molecules that undergo this process.
- 2.27** Is it correct to interpret the phrase 'static solution structure' as meaning necessarily rigid? Use the following molecules to exemplify your answer:  $\text{PMe}_3$ ;  $\text{OPMe}_3$ ;  $\text{PPh}_3$ ;  $\text{SiMe}_4$ .


### Further problems on NMR spectroscopy

- 2.28** Account for the fact that the  $^{29}\text{Si}$  NMR spectrum of a mixture of  $\text{SiCl}_4$  and  $\text{SiBr}_4$  that has been standing for 40 h contains five singlets which include those assigned to  $\text{SiCl}_4$  ( $\delta -19$ ) and  $\text{SiBr}_4$  ( $\delta -90$ ).
- 2.29** The structure of  $[\text{P}_5\text{Br}_2]^+$  is shown in diagram **2.7**. Account for the fact that the  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum of this cation at 203 K consists of a doublet of triplets ( $J$  321 Hz, 149 Hz), a triplet of triplets ( $J$  321 Hz, 26 Hz) and a triplet of doublets ( $J$  149 Hz, 26 Hz).




(2.7)

- 2.30** Tungsten hexacarbonyl (**2.8**) contains six equivalent CO ligands. With reference to Table 2.3, suggest what you would expect to observe in the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of a  $^{13}\text{C}$ -enriched sample of  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_6$ .



(2.8)

- 2.31** The compounds  $\text{Se}_n\text{S}_{8-n}$  with  $n = 1-5$  are structurally similar to  $\text{S}_8$ . Structure **2.9** shows a representation of the  $\text{S}_8$  ring (it is actually non-planar) and the atom numbering scheme; all the S atoms are equivalent. Using this as a guide, draw the structures of  $\text{SeS}_7$ ,  $1,2\text{-Se}_2\text{S}_6$ ,  $1,3\text{-Se}_2\text{S}_6$ ,  $1,2,3\text{-Se}_3\text{S}_5$ ,  $1,2,4\text{-Se}_3\text{S}_5$ ,  $1,2,5\text{-Se}_3\text{S}_5$  and  $1,2,3,4\text{-Se}_4\text{S}_4$ . How many signals would you expect to observe in the  $^{77}\text{Se}$  ( $I = \frac{1}{2}$ , 7.6%) NMR spectrum of each compound?



(2.9)

- 2.32** Explain why the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{BFCl}_2$  consists of a 1:1:1:1 quartet. What would you expect to observe in the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{BF}_2\text{Cl}$ ? Data for the spin-active nuclei in these compounds are given in Table 2.3.
- 2.33** Rationalize the fact that at 173 K,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy shows that  $\text{SbMe}_5$  possesses only one type of Me group.
- 2.34** MeCN solutions of  $\text{NbCl}_5$  and HF contain a mixture of octahedral  $[\text{NbF}_6]^-$ ,  $[\text{NbF}_5\text{Cl}]^-$ ,  $[\text{NbF}_4\text{Cl}_2]^-$ ,  $[\text{NbF}_3\text{Cl}_3]^-$  and  $[\text{NbF}_2\text{Cl}_4]^-$ . Predict the number and coupling patterns of the signals in the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of each separate component in this mixture, taking into account possible isomers. (Assume static structures and no coupling to  $^{93}\text{Nb}$ .)

# Chapter 3



## An introduction to molecular symmetry

### TOPICS

- Symmetry operators and symmetry elements
- Point groups
- An introduction to character tables
- Infrared spectroscopy
- Chiral molecules

### 3.1 Introduction

Within chemistry, symmetry is important both at a molecular level and within crystalline systems, and an understanding of symmetry is essential in discussions of molecular spectroscopy and calculations of molecular properties. A discussion of *crystal symmetry* is not appropriate in this book, and we introduce only *molecular symmetry*. For qualitative purposes, it is sufficient to refer to the shape of a molecule using terms such as tetrahedral, octahedral or square planar. However, the common use of these descriptors is not always precise, e.g. consider the structures of  $\text{BF}_3$ , **3.1**, and  $\text{BF}_2\text{H}$ , **3.2**, both of which are planar. A molecule of  $\text{BF}_3$  is correctly described as being trigonal planar, since its symmetry properties are fully consistent with this description; all the F–B–F bond angles are  $120^\circ$  and the B–F bond distances are all identical (131 pm). It is correct to say that the boron centre in  $\text{BF}_2\text{H}$ , **3.2**, is in a *pseudo-trigonal planar* environment but the molecular symmetry properties are not the same as those of  $\text{BF}_3$ . The F–B–F bond angle in  $\text{BF}_2\text{H}$  is smaller than the two H–B–F angles, and the B–H bond is shorter (119 pm) than the B–F bonds (131 pm).



The descriptor *symmetrical* implies that a species possesses a number of indistinguishable configurations. When structure **3.1** is rotated in the plane of the paper through  $120^\circ$ ,

the resulting structure is indistinguishable from the first; another  $120^\circ$  rotation results in a third indistinguishable molecular orientation (Figure 3.1). This is *not* true if we carry out the same rotational operations on  $\text{BF}_2\text{H}$ .


*Group theory* is the mathematical treatment of symmetry. In this chapter, we introduce the fundamental language of group theory (*symmetry operator*, *symmetry element*, *point group* and *character table*). The chapter does not set out to give a comprehensive survey of molecular symmetry, but rather to introduce some common terminology and its meaning. We include in this chapter an introduction to the vibrational spectra of simple inorganic molecules, with an emphasis on using this technique to distinguish between possible structures for  $\text{XY}_2$ ,  $\text{XY}_3$  and  $\text{XY}_4$  molecules. Complete normal coordinate analysis of such species is beyond the remit of this book.

### 3.2 Symmetry operations and symmetry elements

In Figure 3.1, we applied  $120^\circ$  rotations to  $\text{BF}_3$  and saw that each rotation generated a representation of the molecule that was indistinguishable from the first. Each rotation is an example of a *symmetry operation*.

A *symmetry operation* is an operation performed on an object which leaves it in a configuration that is indistinguishable from, and superimposable on, the original configuration.

The rotations described in Figure 3.1 were performed about an axis perpendicular to the plane of the paper and



**Fig. 3.1** Rotation of the trigonal planar  $\text{BF}_3$  molecule through  $120^\circ$  generates a representation of the structure that is indistinguishable from the first; one F atom is marked in red simply as a label. A second  $120^\circ$  rotation gives another indistinguishable structural representation.

passing through the boron atom; the axis is an example of a *symmetry element*.

A symmetry operation is carried out with respect to points, lines or planes, the latter being the *symmetry elements*.

## Rotation about an $n$ -fold axis of symmetry


The symmetry operation of rotation about an  $n$ -fold axis (the symmetry element) is denoted by the symbol  $C_n$ , in which the angle of rotation is  $\frac{360^\circ}{n}$ ;  $n$  is an integer, e.g. 2, 3 or 4. Applying this notation to the  $\text{BF}_3$  molecule in Figure 3.1 gives a value of  $n = 3$  (equation 3.1), and therefore we say that the  $\text{BF}_3$  molecule contains a  $C_3$  rotation axis; in this case, the axis lies perpendicular to the plane containing the molecule.

$$\text{Angle of rotation} = 120^\circ = \frac{360^\circ}{n} \quad (3.1)$$

In addition,  $\text{BF}_3$  also contains three 2-fold ( $C_2$ ) rotation axes, each coincident with a B–F bond as shown in Figure 3.2.

If a molecule possesses more than one type of  $n$ -axis, the axis of highest value of  $n$  is called the *principal axis*; it is the axis of *highest molecular symmetry*. For example, in  $\text{BF}_3$ , the  $C_3$  axis is the principal axis.

In some molecules, rotation axes of lower orders than the principal axis may be coincident with the principal axis. For



**Fig. 3.2** The 3-fold ( $C_3$ ) and three 2-fold ( $C_2$ ) axes of symmetry possessed by the trigonal planar  $\text{BF}_3$  molecule.

example, in square planar  $\text{XeF}_4$ , the principal axis is a  $C_4$  axis but this also coincides with a  $C_2$  axis (see Figure 3.4).

Where a molecule contains more than one type of  $C_n$  axis, they are distinguished by using prime marks, e.g.  $C_2$ ,  $C_2'$  and  $C_2''$ . We return to this in the discussion of  $\text{XeF}_4$  (see Figure 3.4).

### Self-study exercises


- Each of the following contains a 6-membered ring: benzene, borazine (see Figure 12.19), pyridine and  $\text{S}_6$  (see Box 1.1). Explain why only benzene contains a 6-fold principal rotation axis.
- Among the following, why does only  $\text{XeF}_4$  contain a 4-fold principal rotation axis:  $\text{CF}_4$ ,  $\text{SF}_4$ ,  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  and  $\text{XeF}_4$ ?
- Draw the structure of  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$ . On the diagram, mark the  $C_5$  axis. The molecule contains five  $C_2$  axes. Where are these axes? [Ans. for structure, see worked example 1.14]
- Look at the structure of  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$  in Figure 12.23b. Where is the  $C_4$  axis in this molecule?

## Reflection through a plane of symmetry (mirror plane)

If reflection of all parts of a molecule through a plane produces an indistinguishable configuration, the plane is a *plane of symmetry*; the symmetry operation is one of reflection and the symmetry element is the mirror plane (denoted by  $\sigma$ ). For  $\text{BF}_3$ , the plane containing the molecular framework (the yellow plane shown in Figure 3.2) is a *mirror plane*. In this case, the plane lies perpendicular to the vertical principal axis and is denoted by the symbol  $\sigma_h$ .

The framework of atoms in a linear, bent or planar molecule can always be drawn in a plane, but this plane can be labelled  $\sigma_h$  only if the molecule possesses a  $C_n$  axis perpendicular to the plane. If the plane contains the principal axis, it is labelled  $\sigma_v$ . Consider the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule. This possesses a  $C_2$  axis (Figure 3.3) but it also contains two mirror planes, one containing the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  framework, and one perpendicular to it. Each plane contains the principal axis of rotation and so may be denoted as  $\sigma_v$  but in order to distinguish between them, we use the notations  $\sigma_v$  and  $\sigma_v'$ . The  $\sigma_v$  label refers to the plane that bisects the H–O–H bond angle and the  $\sigma_v'$  label refers to the plane in which the molecule lies.

A special type of  $\sigma$  plane which contains the principal rotation axis, but which bisects the angle between two




**Fig. 3.3** The  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule possesses one  $C_2$  axis and two mirror planes. (a) The  $C_2$  axis and the plane of symmetry that contains the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule. (b) The  $C_2$  axis and the plane of symmetry that is perpendicular to the plane of the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule. (c) Planes of symmetry in a molecule are often shown together on one diagram; this representation for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  combines diagrams (a) and (b).

adjacent 2-fold axes, is labelled  $\sigma_d$ . A square planar molecule such as  $\text{XeF}_4$  provides an example. Figure 3.4a shows that  $\text{XeF}_4$  contains a  $C_4$  axis (the principal axis) and perpendicular to this is the  $\sigma_h$  plane in which the molecule lies. Coincident with the  $C_4$  axis is a  $C_2$  axis. Within the plane of the molecule, there are two sets of  $C_2$  axes. One type (the  $C_2'$  axis) coincides with F–Xe–F bonds, while the second type (the  $C_2''$  axis) bisects the F–Xe–F  $90^\circ$  angle (Figure 3.4). We can now define two sets of mirror planes: one type ( $\sigma_v$ ) contains the principal axis and a  $C_2'$  axis (Figure 3.4b), while the second type ( $\sigma_d$ ) contains the principal axis and a  $C_2''$  axis (Figure 3.4c). Each  $\sigma_d$  plane bisects the angle between two  $C_2'$  axes.

In the notation for planes of symmetry,  $\sigma$ , the subscripts h, v and d stand for horizontal, vertical and dihedral respectively.


### Self-study exercises

- $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  is planar (Figure 14.14). Show that it possesses three planes of symmetry.




**Fig. 3.4** The square planar molecule  $\text{XeF}_4$ . (a) One  $C_2$  axis coincides with the principal ( $C_4$ ) axis; the molecule lies in a  $\sigma_h$  plane which contains two  $C_2'$  and two  $C_2''$  axes. (b) Each of the two  $\sigma_v$  planes contains the  $C_4$  axis and one  $C_2'$  axis. (c) Each of the two  $\sigma_d$  planes contains the  $C_4$  axis and one  $C_2''$  axis.

2.  $B_2Br_4$  has the following staggered structure:



Show that  $B_2Br_4$  has one less plane of symmetry than  $B_2F_4$  which is planar.

3.  $Ga_2H_6$  has the following structure in the gas phase:



Show that it possesses three planes of symmetry.


4. Show that the planes of symmetry in benzene are one  $\sigma_h$ , three  $\sigma_v$  and three  $\sigma_d$ .

## Reflection through a centre of symmetry (inversion centre)


If reflection of *all* parts of a molecule through the centre of the molecule produces an indistinguishable configuration, the centre is a *centre of symmetry*, also called a *centre of inversion* (see also [Box 1.9](#)); it is designated by the symbol  $i$ . Each of the molecules  $CO_2$  (3.3), *trans*- $N_2F_2$  (see [worked example 3.1](#)),  $SF_6$  (3.4) and benzene (3.5) possesses a centre of symmetry, but  $H_2S$  (3.6), *cis*- $N_2F_2$  (3.7) and  $SiH_4$  (3.8) do not.




(3.3)




(3.4)




(3.5)



(3.6)



(3.7)



(3.8)

## Self-study exercises

1. Draw the structures of each of the following species and confirm that each possesses a centre of symmetry:  $CS_2$ ,  $[PF_6]^-$ ,  $XeF_4$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $[ICl_2]^-$ .
2.  $[PtCl_4]^{2-}$  has a centre of symmetry, but  $[CoCl_4]^{2-}$  does not. One is square planar and the other is tetrahedral. Which is which?
3. Why does  $CO_2$  possess an inversion centre, but  $NO_2$  does not?
4.  $CS_2$  and  $HCN$  are both linear. Explain why  $CS_2$  possesses a centre of symmetry whereas  $HCN$  does not.

## Rotation about an axis, followed by reflection through a plane perpendicular to this axis


If rotation through  $\frac{360^\circ}{n}$  about an axis, followed by reflection through a plane perpendicular to that axis, yields an indistinguishable configuration, the axis is an  $n$ -fold rotation–reflection axis, also called an  $n$ -fold *improper rotation axis*. It is denoted by the symbol  $S_n$ . Tetrahedral species of the type  $XY_4$  (all Y groups must be equivalent) possess three  $S_4$  axes, and the operation of one  $S_4$  rotation–reflection in the  $CH_4$  molecule is illustrated in Figure 3.5.

## Self-study exercises

1. Explain why  $BF_3$  possesses an  $S_3$  axis, but  $NF_3$  does not.
2.  $C_2H_6$  in a staggered conformation possesses an  $S_6$  axis. Show that this axis lies along the C–C bond.
3. Figure 3.5 shows one of the  $S_4$  axes in  $CH_4$ . On going from  $CH_4$  to  $CH_2Cl_2$ , are the  $S_4$  axes retained?

## Identity operator

*All* objects can be operated upon by the identity operator  $E$ . This is the simplest operator (although it may not be easy to appreciate why we identify such an operator!) and effectively identifies the molecular configuration. The operator  $E$  leaves the molecule unchanged.




**Fig. 3.5** An improper rotation (or rotation–reflection),  $S_n$ , involves rotation about  $\frac{360^\circ}{n}$  followed by reflection through a plane that is perpendicular to the rotation axis. The diagram illustrates the operation about one of the  $S_4$  axes in  $\text{CH}_4$ ; three  $S_4$  operations are possible for the  $\text{CH}_4$  molecule. [*Exercise*: where are the three rotation axes for the three  $S_4$  operations in  $\text{CH}_4$ ?]


### Worked example 3.1 Symmetry properties of *cis*- and *trans*- $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$

How do the rotation axes and planes of symmetry in *cis*- and *trans*- $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$  differ?


First draw the structures of *cis*- and *trans*- $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$ ; both are planar molecules.



1. The identity operator  $E$  applies to each isomer.
2. Each isomer possesses a plane of symmetry which contains the molecular framework. However, their labels differ (see point 5 below).
3. The *cis*-isomer contains a  $C_2$  axis which lies in the plane of the molecule, but the *trans*-isomer contains a  $C_2$  axis which bisects the N–N bond and is perpendicular to the plane of the molecule.



4. The *cis*- (but not the *trans*-) isomer contains a mirror plane,  $\sigma_v$ , lying perpendicular to the plane of the molecule and bisecting the N–N bond:



5. The consequence of the different types of  $C_2$  axes, and the presence of the  $\sigma_v$  plane in the *cis*-isomer, is that the symmetry planes containing the *cis*- and *trans*- $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$  molecular frameworks are labelled  $\sigma_v$ ' and  $\sigma_h$  respectively.

### Self-study exercises

1. How do the rotation axes and planes of symmetry in *Z*- and *E*- $\text{CFH}=\text{CFH}$  differ?
2. How many planes of symmetry do (a)  $\text{F}_2\text{C}=\text{O}$ , (b)  $\text{ClFC}=\text{O}$  and (c)  $[\text{HCO}_2]^-$  possess? [Ans. (a) 2; (b) 1; (c) 2]


### Worked example 3.2 Symmetry operations in $\text{NH}_3$

The symmetry operators for  $\text{NH}_3$  are  $E$ ,  $C_3$  and  $3\sigma_v$ . (a) Draw the structure of  $\text{NH}_3$ . (b) What is the meaning of the  $E$  operator? (c) Draw a diagram to show the rotation and reflection symmetry operations.

- (a) The molecule is trigonal pyramidal.



- (b) The  $E$  operator is the identity operator and it leaves the molecule unchanged.
- (c) The  $C_3$  axis passes through the N atom, perpendicular to a plane containing the three H atoms. Each  $\sigma_v$  plane contains one N–H bond and bisects the opposite H–N–H bond angle.



### Self-study exercises


1. What symmetry operators are lost in going from  $\text{NH}_3$  to  $\text{NH}_2\text{Cl}$ ? [Ans.  $C_3$ ; two  $\sigma_v$ ]
2. Compare the symmetry operators possessed by  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{NH}_2\text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{NHCl}_2$  and  $\text{NCl}_3$ .
3. Draw a diagram to show the symmetry operators of  $\text{NClF}_2$ . [Ans. Show one  $\sigma_v$ ; only other operator is  $E$ ]

### Worked example 3.3 Trigonal planar $\text{BCl}_3$ versus trigonal pyramidal $\text{PCl}_3$


What symmetry elements do  $\text{BCl}_3$  and  $\text{PCl}_3$  (a) have in common and (b) not have in common?

$\text{PCl}_3$  is trigonal pyramidal (use VSEPR theory) and so possesses the same symmetry elements as  $\text{NH}_3$  in worked example 3.2. These are  $E$ ,  $C_3$  and  $3\sigma_v$ .

$\text{BCl}_3$  is trigonal planar (use VSEPR) and possesses all the above symmetry elements:



In addition,  $\text{BCl}_3$  contains a  $\sigma_h$  plane and three  $C_2$  axes (see Figure 3.2).



Rotation through  $120^\circ$  about the  $C_3$  axis, followed by reflection through the plane perpendicular to this axis (the  $\sigma_h$  plane), generates a molecular configuration indistinguishable from the first – this is an improper rotation  $S_3$ .

### Conclusion:

The symmetry elements that  $\text{BCl}_3$  and  $\text{PCl}_3$  have in common are  $E$ ,  $C_3$  and  $3\sigma_v$ .

The symmetry elements possessed by  $\text{BCl}_3$  but not by  $\text{PCl}_3$  are  $\sigma_h$ ,  $3C_2$  and  $S_3$ .

### Self-study exercises


1. Show that  $\text{BF}_3$  and  $\text{F}_2\text{C}=\text{O}$  have the following symmetry elements in common:  $E$ , two mirror planes, one  $C_2$ .
2. How do the symmetry elements of  $\text{ClF}_3$  and  $\text{BF}_3$  differ? [Ans:  $\text{BF}_3$ , as for  $\text{BCl}_3$  above;  $\text{ClF}_3$ ,  $E$ ,  $\sigma_v'$ ,  $\sigma_v$ ,  $C_2$ ]

## 3.3 Successive operations

As we have seen in Section 3.2, a particular symbol is used to denote a specific symmetry operation. To say that  $\text{NH}_3$  possesses a  $C_3$  axis tells us that we can rotate the molecule through  $120^\circ$  and end up with a molecular configuration that is indistinguishable from the first. However, it takes three such operations to give a configuration of the  $\text{NH}_3$  molecule that *exactly* coincides with the first. The three separate  $120^\circ$  rotations are identified by using the notation in Figure 3.6. We cannot *actually* distinguish between the three H atoms, but for clarity they are labelled H(1), H(2) and H(3) in the figure. Since the third rotation,  $C_3^3$ , returns the  $\text{NH}_3$  molecule to its initial configuration, we can write equation 3.2, or, in general, equation 3.3.

$$C_3^3 = E \quad (3.2)$$

$$C_n^n = E \quad (3.3)$$



**Fig. 3.6** Successive  $C_3$  rotations in  $\text{NH}_3$  are distinguished using the notation  $C_3$ ,  $C_3^2$  and  $C_3^3$ . The effect of the last operation is the same as that of the identity operator acting on  $\text{NH}_3$  in the initial configuration.

Similar statements can be written to show the combined effects of successive operations. For example, in planar  $\text{BCl}_3$ , the  $S_3$  improper axis of rotation corresponds to rotation about the  $C_3$  axis followed by reflection through the  $\sigma_h$  plane. This can be written in the form of equation 3.4.

$$S_3 = C_3 \times \sigma_h \quad (3.4)$$

### Self-study exercises

- $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$  is square planar; to what rotational operation is  $C_4^2$  equivalent?**
- Draw a diagram to illustrate what the notation  $C_6^4$  means with respect to rotational operations in benzene.**

## 3.4 Point groups

The number and nature of the symmetry elements of a given molecule are conveniently denoted by its *point group*, and give rise to labels such as  $C_2$ ,  $C_{3v}$ ,  $D_{3h}$ ,  $D_{2d}$ ,  $T_d$ ,  $O_h$  or  $I_h$ . These point groups belong to the classes of  $C$  groups,  $D$  groups and special groups, the latter containing groups that possess special symmetries, i.e. tetrahedral, octahedral and icosahedral.

To describe the symmetry of a molecule in terms of one symmetry element (e.g. a rotation axis) provides information only about this property. Each of  $\text{BF}_3$  and  $\text{NH}_3$  possesses a 3-fold axis of symmetry, but their structures and overall symmetries are different;  $\text{BF}_3$  is trigonal planar and  $\text{NH}_3$  is trigonal pyramidal. On the other hand, if we describe the symmetries of these molecules in terms of their respective point groups ( $D_{3h}$  and  $C_{3v}$ ), we are providing information about *all* their symmetry elements.


Before we look at some representative point groups, we emphasize that it is not essential to memorize the symmetry elements of a particular point group. These are listed in

*character tables* (see [Sections 3.5](#) and [4.4](#)) which are widely available.

Table 3.1 summarizes the most important classes of point group and gives their characteristic types of symmetry elements;  $E$  is, of course, common to every group. Some particular features of significance are given below.

### $C_1$ point group

Molecules that appear to have no symmetry at all, e.g. **3.9**, must possess the symmetry element  $E$  and effectively possess at least one  $C_1$  axis of rotation. They therefore belong to the  $C_1$  point group, although since  $C_1 = E$ , the rotational symmetry operation is ignored when we list the symmetry elements of this point group.



(3.9)

### $C_{\infty v}$ point group

$C_{\infty}$  signifies the presence of an  $\infty$ -fold axis of rotation, i.e. that possessed by a linear molecule (Figure 3.7); for the molecular species to belong to the  $C_{\infty v}$  point group, it must also possess an infinite number of  $\sigma_v$  planes but *no*  $\sigma_h$  plane or inversion centre. These criteria are met by asymmetrical diatomics such as  $\text{HF}$ ,  $\text{CO}$  and  $[\text{CN}]^-$  (Figure 3.7a), and linear polyatomics (throughout this book, polyatomic is used to mean a species containing three or more atoms) that do not possess a centre of symmetry, e.g.  $\text{OCS}$  and  $\text{HCN}$ .

### $D_{\infty h}$ point group

Symmetrical diatomics (e.g.  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$ ) and linear polyatomics that contain a centre of symmetry (e.g.  $[\text{N}_3]^-$ ,

**Table 3.1** Characteristic symmetry elements of some important classes of point groups. The characteristic symmetry elements of the  $T_d$ ,  $O_h$  and  $I_h$  are omitted because the point groups are readily identified (see [Figure 3.8](#)). No distinction is made in this table between  $\sigma_v$  and  $\sigma_d$  planes of symmetry. For *complete* lists of symmetry elements, character tables should be consulted.

| Point group | Characteristic symmetry elements   | Comments  |
|-------------|--|---|
| $C_s$       | $E$ , one $\sigma$ plane   |   |
| $C_i$       | $E$ , inversion centre   |   |
| $C_n$       | $E$ , one (principal) $n$ -fold axis   |   |
| $C_{nv}$    | $E$ , one (principal) $n$ -fold axis, $n$ $\sigma_v$ planes  |   |
| $C_{nh}$    | $E$ , one (principal) $n$ -fold axis, one $\sigma_h$ plane, one $S_n$ -fold axis which is coincident with the $C_n$ axis | The $S_n$ axis necessarily follows from the $C_n$ axis and $\sigma_h$ plane. For $n = 2, 4$ or $6$ , there is also an inversion centre. |
| $D_{nh}$    | $E$ , one (principal) $n$ -fold axis, $n$ $C_2$ axes, one $\sigma_h$ plane, $n$ $\sigma_v$ planes, one $S_n$ -fold axis  | The $S_n$ axis necessarily follows from the $C_n$ axis and $\sigma_h$ plane. For $n = 2, 4$ or $6$ , there is also an inversion centre. |
| $D_{nd}$    | $E$ , one (principal) $n$ -fold axis, $n$ $C_2$ axes, $n$ $\sigma_v$ planes, one $S_{2n}$ -fold axis                     | For $n = 3$ or $5$ , there is also an inversion centre.   |
| $T_d$       |  | Tetrahedral   |
| $O_h$       |  | Octahedral  |
| $I_h$       |  | Icosahedral   |

$\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{HC}\equiv\text{CH}$ ) possess a  $\sigma_h$  plane in addition to a  $C_\infty$  axis and an infinite number of  $\sigma_v$  planes (Figure 3.7). These species belong to the  $D_{\infty h}$  point group.


### $T_d$ , $O_h$ or $I_h$ point groups

Molecular species that belong to the  $T_d$ ,  $O_h$  or  $I_h$  point groups (Figure 3.8) possess many symmetry elements, although it is seldom necessary to identify them all before the appropriate point group can be assigned. Species with tetrahedral symmetry include  $\text{SiF}_4$ ,  $[\text{ClO}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{CoCl}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$ ,  $\text{P}_4$  (Figure 3.9a) and  $\text{B}_4\text{Cl}_4$  (Figure 3.9b). Those with octahedral symmetry include  $\text{SF}_6$ ,  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$ ,  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_6$  (Figure 3.9c) and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ . There is no centre of symmetry in a tetrahedron but there is one in an octahedron, and this distinction has consequences with regard to the

observed electronic spectra of tetrahedral and octahedral metal complexes (see [Section 20.6](#)). Members of the icosahedral point group are uncommon, e.g.  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}]^{2-}$  (Figure 3.9d).

### Determining the point group of a molecule or molecular ion

The application of a *systematic* approach to the assignment of a point group is essential, otherwise there is the risk that symmetry elements will be missed with the consequence that an incorrect assignment is made. Figure 3.10 shows a procedure that may be adopted; some of the less common point groups (e.g.  $S_n$ ,  $T$ ,  $O$ ) are omitted from the scheme. Notice that it is *not* necessary to find all the symmetry elements (e.g. improper axes) in order to determine the point group.



**Fig. 3.7** Linear molecular species can be classified according to whether they possess a centre of symmetry (inversion centre) or not. All linear species possess a  $C_\infty$  axis of rotation and an infinite number of  $\sigma_v$  planes; in (a), two such planes are shown and these planes are omitted from (b) for clarity. Diagram (a) shows an asymmetrical diatomic belonging to the point group  $C_{\infty v}$ , and (b) shows a symmetrical diatomic belonging to the point group  $D_{\infty h}$ .




**Fig. 3.8** The tetrahedron ( $T_d$  symmetry), octahedron ( $O_h$  symmetry) and icosahedron ( $I_h$  symmetry) possess four, six and twelve vertices respectively, and four, eight and twenty equilateral-triangular faces respectively.

We illustrate the application of Figure 3.10 with reference to four worked examples, with an additional example in Section 3.8. Before assigning a point group to a molecule, its structure must be determined by, for example, microwave spectroscopy, or X-ray, electron or neutron diffraction methods.

#### Worked example 3.4 Point group assignments: 1

##### Determine the point group of *trans*- $N_2F_2$ .

First draw the structure.



Apply the strategy shown in Figure 3.10:

#### START $\Rightarrow$


|  |  |
|--|--|
| Is the molecule linear?  | No   |
| Does <i>trans</i> - $N_2F_2$ have $T_d$ , $O_h$ or $I_h$ symmetry? | No   |
| Is there a $C_n$ axis?   | Yes; a $C_2$ axis perpendicular to the plane of the paper and passing through the midpoint of the N–N bond |
| Are there two $C_2$ axes perpendicular to the principal axis?      | No   |
| Is there a $\sigma_h$ plane (perpendicular to the principal axis)? | Yes  |

$\Rightarrow$  STOP

The point group is  $C_{2h}$ .

#### Self-study exercises

1. Show that the point group of *cis*- $N_2F_2$  is  $C_{2v}$ .
2. Show that the point group of *E*- $CHCl=CHCl$  is  $C_{2h}$ .




**Fig. 3.9** The molecular structures of (a)  $P_4$ , (b)  $B_4Cl_4$  (the B atoms are shown in blue), (c)  $[W(CO)_6]$  (the W atom is shown in yellow and the C atoms in grey) and (d)  $[B_{12}H_{12}]^{2-}$  (the B atoms are shown in blue).

#### Worked example 3.5 Point group assignments: 2

##### Determine the point group of $PF_5$ .

First, draw the structure.




In the trigonal bipyramidal arrangement, the three equatorial F atoms are equivalent, and the two axial F atoms are equivalent.

Apply the strategy shown in Figure 3.10:

#### START $\Rightarrow$

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Is the molecule linear?   | No   |
| Does $PF_5$ have $T_d$ , $O_h$ or $I_h$ symmetry?               | No   |
| Is there a $C_n$ axis?  | Yes; a $C_3$ axis containing the P and two axial F atoms |
| Are there three $C_2$ axes perpendicular to the principal axis? | Yes; each lies along a P–F <sub>eq</sub> bond            |



**Fig. 3.10** Scheme for assigning point groups of molecules and molecular ions. Apart from the cases of  $n = 1$  or  $\infty$ ,  $n$  most commonly has values of 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

Is there a  $\sigma_h$  plane (perpendicular to the principal axis)?

Yes; it contains the P and three  $F_{\text{eq}}$  atoms.

⇒ STOP

The point group is  $D_{3h}$ .


### Self-study exercises

1. Show that  $\text{BF}_3$  belongs to the  $D_{3h}$  point group.
2. Show that  $\text{OF}_2$  belongs to the  $C_{2v}$  point group.

### Worked example 3.6 Point group assignments: 3

To what point group does  $\text{POCl}_3$  belong?

The structure of  $\text{POCl}_3$  is:



Apply the strategy shown in Figure 3.10:

START ⇒

Is the molecule linear?

No

Does  $\text{POCl}_3$  have  $T_d$ ,  $O_h$  or  $I_h$  symmetry?

No (remember that although this molecule is loosely considered as being tetrahedral in shape, it does *not* possess tetrahedral symmetry)

Is there a  $C_n$  axis?

Yes; a  $C_3$  axis running along the O–P bond

Are there 3  $C_2$  axes perpendicular to the principal axis?

No

Is there a  $\sigma_h$  plane (perpendicular to the principal axis)?

No

Are there  $n$   $\sigma_v$  planes (containing the principal axis)?

Yes; each contains the one Cl and the O and P atoms

⇒ STOP

The point group is  $C_{3v}$ .


## Self-study exercises

1. Show that  $\text{CHCl}_3$  possesses  $C_{3v}$  symmetry, but that  $\text{CCl}_4$  belongs to the  $T_d$  point group.

2. Assign point groups to (a)  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  and (b)  $\text{NH}_3$ .  
[Ans. (a)  $T_d$ ; (b)  $C_{3v}$ ]

## Worked example 3.7 Point group assignments: 4

Three projections of the cyclic structure of  $\text{S}_8$  are shown below; all S–S bond distances are equivalent, as are all S–S–S bond angles. To what point group does  $\text{S}_8$  belong?



Follow the scheme in Figure 3.10:

START  $\Rightarrow$ 

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Is the molecule linear?  | No   |
| Does $\text{S}_8$ have $T_d$ , $O_h$ or $I_h$ symmetry?            | No   |
| Is there a $C_n$ axis?   | Yes; a $C_4$ axis running through the centre of the ring; perpendicular to the plane of the paper in diagram (a) |
| Are there 4 $C_2$ axes perpendicular to the principal axis?        | Yes; these are most easily seen from diagram (c)   |
| Is there a $\sigma_h$ plane (perpendicular to the principal axis)? | No   |
| Are there $n$ $\sigma_d$ planes (containing the principal axis)?   | Yes; these are most easily seen from diagrams (a) and (c)  |

$\Rightarrow$  STOP

The point group is  $D_{4d}$ .

## Self-study exercises

1. Copy diagram (a) above. Show on the figure where the  $C_4$  axis and the four  $C_2$  axes lie.

2.  $\text{S}_6$  has the chair conformation shown in Box 1.1. Confirm that this molecule contains a centre of inversion.

## 3.5 Character tables: an introduction

While Figure 3.10 provides a point group assignment using certain diagnostic symmetry elements, it may be necessary to establish whether any additional symmetry elements are exhibited by a molecule in a given point group.

Each point group has an associated *character table*, and that for the  $C_{2v}$  point group is shown in Table 3.2. The point group is indicated at the top left-hand corner and the symmetry elements possessed by a member of the point group are given across the top row of the character table. The  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule has  $C_{2v}$  symmetry and when we looked at the symmetry elements of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in Figure 3.3, we labelled the two perpendicular planes. In the character table, taking the  $z$  axis as coincident with the principal axis, the  $\sigma_v$  and  $\sigma_v'$  planes are defined as lying in the  $xz$  and  $yz$  planes, respectively. Placing the molecular framework in a convenient orientation with respect to a Cartesian set of axes has many advantages, one of which is that the atomic orbitals on the central atom point in convenient directions. We return to this in Chapter 4.

Table 3.3 shows the character table for the  $C_{3v}$  point group. The  $\text{NH}_3$  molecule possesses  $C_{3v}$  symmetry, and worked example 3.2 illustrated the principal axis of rotation and planes of symmetry in  $\text{NH}_3$ . In the character table, the presence of three  $\sigma_v$  planes in  $\text{NH}_3$  is represented by the notation ' $3\sigma_v$ ' in the top line of the table. The notation ' $2C_3$ ' summarizes the two operations  $C_3^1$  and  $C_3^2$ . The operation  $C_3^3$  is equivalent to the identity operator,  $E$ , and so is not specified again.

Figure 3.4 showed the proper axes of rotation and planes of symmetry in the square planar molecule  $\text{XeF}_4$ . This has  $D_{4h}$  symmetry. The  $D_{4h}$  character table is given in [Appendix 3](#), and the top row of the character table that summarizes the symmetry operations for this point group is as follows:

|          |     |        |       |         |          |     |        |            |             |             |
|----------|-----|--------|-------|---------|----------|-----|--------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| $D_{4h}$ | $E$ | $2C_4$ | $C_2$ | $2C_2'$ | $2C_2''$ | $i$ | $2S_4$ | $\sigma_h$ | $2\sigma_v$ | $2\sigma_d$ |
|----------|-----|--------|-------|---------|----------|-----|--------|------------|-------------|-------------|

**Table 3.2** The character table for the  $C_{2v}$  point group. For more character tables, see [Appendix 3](#).

|          |     |       |                |                 |                     |
|----------|-----|-------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| $C_{2v}$ | $E$ | $C_2$ | $\sigma_v(xz)$ | $\sigma_v'(yz)$ |                     |
| $A_1$    | 1   | 1     | 1              | 1               | $z$ $x^2, y^2, z^2$ |
| $A_2$    | 1   | 1     | -1             | -1              | $R_z$ $xy$          |
| $B_1$    | 1   | -1    | 1              | -1              | $x, R_y$ $x, xz$    |
| $B_2$    | 1   | -1    | -1             | 1               | $y, R_x$ $y, yz$    |

**Table 3.3** The character table for the  $C_{3v}$  point group. For more character tables, see [Appendix 3](#).

| $C_{3v}$ | $E$ | $2C_3$ | $3\sigma_v$ |  |
|----------|-----|--------|-------------|--|
| $A_1$    | 1   | 1      | 1           | $z$ $x^2 + y^2, z^2$                         |
| $A_2$    | 1   | 1      | -1          | $R_z$  |
| $E$      | 2   | -1     | 0           | $(x, y) (R_x, R_y) (x^2 - y^2, xy) (xz, yz)$ |

In Figure 3.4 we showed that a  $C_2$  axis is coincident with the  $C_4$  axis in  $\text{XeF}_4$ . The  $C_2$  operation is equivalent to  $C_4^2$ . The character table summarizes this information by stating ‘ $2C_4 C_2$ ’, referring to  $C_4^1$  and  $C_4^3$ , and  $C_4^2 = C_2$ . The operation  $C_4^4$  is taken care of in the identity operator  $E$ . The two sets of  $C_2$  axes that we showed in Figure 3.4 and labelled as  $C_2'$  and  $C_2''$  are apparent in the character table, as are the  $\sigma_h$ , two  $\sigma_v$  and two  $\sigma_d$  planes of symmetry. The symmetry operations that we did not show in Figure 3.4 but that are included in the character table are the centre of symmetry,  $i$ , (which is located on the Xe atom in  $\text{XeF}_4$ ), and the  $S_4$  axes. Each  $S_4$  operation can be represented as  $(C_4 \times \sigma_h)$ .

The left-hand column in a character table gives a list of *symmetry labels*. These are used in conjunction with the numbers, or *characters*, from the main part of the table to label the symmetry properties of, for example, molecular orbitals or modes of molecular vibrations. As we shall see in Chapter 4, although the symmetry labels in the character tables are upper case (e.g.  $A_1, E, T_{2g}$ ), the corresponding symmetry labels for orbitals are lower case (e.g.  $a_1, e, t_{2g}$ ). In Chapter 4, we use character tables to label the symmetries of orbitals, and to understand what orbital symmetries are allowed for a molecule possessing a particular symmetry.

Appendix 3 gives character tables for the most commonly encountered point groups, and each table has the same format as those in Tables 3.2 and 3.3.

### 3.6 Why do we need to recognize symmetry elements?

So far in this chapter, we have described the possible symmetry elements that a molecule might possess and, on the basis of these symmetry properties, we have illustrated how a molecular species can be assigned to a particular point group. Now we address some of the reasons why the recognition of symmetry elements in a molecule is important to the inorganic chemist.

Most of the applications of symmetry fall into one of the following categories:

- constructing molecular and hybrid orbitals (see [Chapter 4](#));
- interpreting spectroscopic (e.g. vibrational and electronic) properties;
- determining whether a molecular species is chiral.

The next two sections deal briefly with the consequences of symmetry on observed bands in infrared spectra and with the relationship between molecular symmetry and chirality. In [Chapter 20](#), we consider the electronic spectra of octahedral and tetrahedral  $d$ -block metal complexes and discuss the effects that molecular symmetry has on electronic spectroscopic properties.

### 3.7 Infrared spectroscopy

The discussion that follows is necessarily selective and is pitched at a simplistic level. Although in this section we derive the number of vibrational modes for some simple molecules, for more complicated species it is necessary to use character tables. The reading list at the end of the chapter gives sources of detailed discussions of the relationship between group theory and normal modes of vibration.

Infrared (IR) and Raman (see [Box 3.1](#)) spectroscopies are branches of *vibrational spectroscopy* and the former technique is the more widely available of the two in student teaching laboratories.

#### How many vibrational modes are there for a given molecular species?

Vibrational spectroscopy is concerned with the observation of the *degrees of vibrational freedom*, the number of which can be determined as follows. The motion of a molecule containing  $n$  atoms can conveniently be described in terms of the three Cartesian axes; the molecule has  $3n$  *degrees of freedom* which together describe the *translational, vibrational and rotational* motions of the molecule.

The translational motion of a molecule (i.e. movement through space) can be described in terms of three degrees of freedom relating to the three Cartesian axes. If there are  $3n$  degrees of freedom in total and three degrees of freedom for translational motion, it follows that there must be  $(3n - 3)$  degrees of freedom for rotational and vibrational motion. For a *non-linear molecule* there are three degrees of rotational freedom, but for a *linear molecule*, there are two degrees of rotational freedom. This difference arises because there is no rotation about the molecular axis in a linear molecule. Having taken account of translational and rotational motion, the number of degrees of vibrational freedom can be determined (equations 3.5 and 3.6).

$$\text{Number of degrees of vibrational freedom for a non-linear molecule} = 3n - 6 \quad (3.5)$$

$$\text{Number of degrees of vibrational freedom for a linear molecule} = 3n - 5 \quad (3.6)$$

For example, from equation 3.6, the linear  $\text{CO}_2$  molecule has four *normal modes of vibration* and these are shown in Figure 3.11. Two of the modes are *degenerate*; i.e. they possess the

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 3.1 Raman spectroscopy

Infrared and Raman spectroscopies are both concerned with the study of molecular vibrations, and while IR spectroscopy is used routinely in the practical laboratory, Raman spectroscopy is a more specialized technique. When radiation of a particular frequency,  $\nu$  (usually from a laser source), falls on a molecule, some radiation is scattered. The scattered radiation is of two types:

- Rayleigh scattering involves radiation of frequency,  $\nu_0$ , equal to that of the incident radiation, and
- Raman scattering involves radiation of frequencies  $\nu_0 \pm \nu$  where  $\nu$  is a fundamental frequency of a vibrational mode of the molecule.

The selection rules for Raman and IR active vibrations are different. A vibrational mode is Raman active if the polarizability of the molecule changes during the vibration. Changes in polarizability (for Raman spectra) are not as easy to visualize as changes in electric dipole moments (for IR spectra) and in most cases it is necessary to use group theory to determine whether or not a mode will be Raman active.

A combination of IR and Raman spectroscopic data is often of great use. Molecules with a centre of symmetry are

subject to the *rule of mutual exclusion* which states that in such a molecule, a vibrational mode which is IR active is Raman inactive, and vice versa. Thus, for a molecule with an inversion centre, a ‘missing’ absorption in the IR spectrum may be observed in the Raman spectrum. However, the presence of symmetry elements other than the inversion centre does result in some exceptions to the rule of mutual exclusion and it must be applied with caution. We exemplify the rule with reference to  $\text{CO}_2$  (Figure 3.11). The two vibrational modes which are asymmetric with respect to the inversion centre (i.e. the carbon atom) are IR active and Raman inactive, while the symmetric stretch is IR inactive but Raman active. Thus, the value of  $1333\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for this latter vibration can be confirmed from a Raman spectrum.

For more detailed accounts of the Raman effect, see: E.A.V. Ebsworth, D.W.H. Rankin and S. Cradock (1991) *Structural Methods in Inorganic Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, Chapter 5. K. Nakamoto (1997) *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds*, 5th edn, Wiley, New York.

same energy and could be represented in a single diagram with the understanding that one vibration occurs in the plane of the paper and another, identical in energy, takes place in a plane perpendicular to the first.

## Self-study exercises

1. Using VSEPR theory to help you, draw the structures of  $\text{CF}_4$ ,  $\text{XeF}_4$  and  $\text{SF}_4$ . Assign a point group to each molecule. Show that the number of degrees of vibrational freedom is independent of the molecular symmetry. [Ans.  $T_d$ ;  $D_{4h}$ ;  $C_{2v}$ ]

2. Why do  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  have a different number of degrees of vibrational freedom?


3. How many degrees of vibrational freedom do each of the following possess:  $\text{SiCl}_4$ ,  $\text{BrF}_3$ ,  $\text{POCl}_3$ ? [Ans. 9; 6; 9]

## Selection rule for an infrared active mode of vibration


One of the important consequences of precisely denoting molecular symmetry is seen in infrared spectroscopy. An IR spectrum records the frequency of a molecular vibration, but not all modes of vibration of a particular molecule give rise to observable absorption bands in the IR spectrum. This is because the following *selection rule* must be obeyed: for a vibrational mode to be IR active, it must give rise to a change in the molecular dipole moment (see Section 1.16).

For a mode of vibration to be infrared (IR) active, it must give rise to a change in the molecular electric dipole moment.

In the discussions of IR spectroscopy that follow, we are concerned only with *fundamental* absorptions, these being the dominant features of IR spectra.



**Fig. 3.11** The vibrational modes of  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $D_{\infty h}$ ); in each mode of vibration, the carbon atom remains stationary. Vibrations (a) and (b) are stretching modes. Bending mode (c) occurs in the plane of the paper, while bend (d) occurs in a plane perpendicular to that of the paper; the + signs designate motion towards the reader. The two bending modes require the same amount of energy and are therefore *degenerate*.



**Fig. 3.12** The vibrational modes of  $\text{SO}_2$  ( $C_{2v}$ ).

The transition from the vibrational ground state to the first excited state is the *fundamental* transition.

### Linear ( $D_{\infty h}$ or $C_{\infty v}$ ) and bent ( $C_{2v}$ ) triatomic molecules

We can readily illustrate the effect of molecular symmetry on molecular dipole moments, and thus on infrared active modes of vibration, by considering the linear molecule  $\text{CO}_2$ . The two C–O bond distances are equal (116 pm) and the molecule is readily identified as being ‘symmetrical’; strictly,  $\text{CO}_2$  possesses  $D_{\infty h}$  symmetry. As a consequence of its symmetry,  $\text{CO}_2$  is non-polar. Although both the asymmetric stretch and the bend (Figure 3.11) give rise to a change in dipole moment (generated transiently as the vibration occurs), the symmetric stretch does not. Thus, only two fundamental absorptions are observed in the IR spectrum of  $\text{CO}_2$ .

Now consider  $\text{SO}_2$  which is a bent molecule ( $C_{2v}$ ). Figure 3.12 shows the three normal modes of vibration; *all* give rise to a change in molecular dipole moment and are therefore IR active. A comparison of these results for  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  illustrates that vibrational spectroscopy can be used to determine whether an  $\text{X}_3$  or  $\text{XY}_2$  species is linear or bent.

Linear molecules of the general type  $\text{XYZ}$  (e.g.  $\text{OCS}$  or  $\text{HCN}$ ) possess  $C_{\infty v}$  symmetry and their IR spectra are expected to show three absorptions; the symmetric stretching, asymmetric stretching and bending modes are all IR active. In a linear molecule  $\text{XYZ}$ , provided that the atomic masses of X and Z are significantly different, the absorptions observed in the IR spectrum can be assigned to the X–Y stretch, the Y–Z stretch and the XYZ bend. The reason that the stretching modes can be assigned to individual bond vibrations rather than to a vibration involving the whole molecule is that each of the symmetric and asymmetric stretches is dominated by the stretching of one of the two bonds. For example, absorptions at  $3311$ ,  $2097$  and  $712\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the IR spectrum of  $\text{HCN}$  are assigned to the H–C stretch, the  $\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$  stretch and the  $\text{HCN}$  bend, respectively.

A stretching mode is designated by the symbol  $\nu$ , while a deformation (bending) is denoted by  $\delta$ .  
For example,  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  stands for the stretch of a C–O bond.


### Worked example 3.8 Infrared spectra of triatomic molecules

The IR spectrum of  $\text{SnCl}_2$  exhibits absorptions at  $352$ ,  $334$  and  $120\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . What shape do these data suggest for the molecule, and is this result consistent with VSEPR theory?

For linear  $\text{SnCl}_2$  ( $D_{\infty h}$ ), the asymmetric stretch and the bend are IR active, but the symmetric stretch is IR inactive (no change in molecular dipole moment).

For bent  $\text{SnCl}_2$ ,  $C_{2v}$ , the symmetric stretching, asymmetric stretching and scissoring modes are all IR active.

The data therefore suggest that  $\text{SnCl}_2$  is bent, and this is consistent with the VSEPR model since there is a lone pair in addition to two bonding pairs of electrons:




#### Self-study exercises

- The vibrational modes of  $\text{XeF}_2$  are at  $555$ ,  $515$  and  $213\text{ cm}^{-1}$  but only two are IR active. Explain why this is consistent with  $\text{XeF}_2$  having a linear structure.
- How many IR active vibrational modes does  $\text{CS}_2$  possess, and why? Hint:  $\text{CS}_2$  is isostructural with  $\text{CO}_2$ .
- The IR spectrum of  $\text{SF}_2$  has absorptions at  $838$ ,  $813$  and  $357\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Explain why these data are consistent with  $\text{SF}_2$  belonging to the  $C_{2v}$  rather than  $D_{\infty h}$  point group.
- To what point group does  $\text{F}_2\text{O}$  belong? Explain why the vibrational modes at  $928$ ,  $831$  and  $461\text{ cm}^{-1}$  are all IR active.

[Ans.  $C_{2v}$ ]

### $\text{XY}_3$ molecules with $D_{3h}$ or $C_{3v}$ symmetry

A molecule of the type  $\text{XY}_3$  with  $D_{3h}$  symmetry undergoes the normal modes of vibration shown in Figure 3.13. The symmetric stretch is not accompanied by a change in molecular dipole moment and is *not* IR active. The remaining three normal modes are IR active and so molecules such as  $\text{SO}_3$ ,  $\text{BF}_3$  and  $\text{BCl}_3$  exhibit three absorptions in




**Fig. 3.13** The vibrational modes of  $\text{SO}_3$  ( $D_{3h}$ ); only three are IR active. The + and - notation is used to show the 'up' and 'down' motion of the atoms during the mode of vibration. [Exercise: Two of the modes are labelled as being degenerate: why is this?]

their IR spectra. The IR spectra of anions such as  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  and  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$  may also be recorded, but the counterion may also give rise to IR spectroscopic bands. Therefore, simple salts such as those of the alkali metals are chosen because they give spectra in which the bands can be assigned to the anion.

A set of normal modes of vibration similar to those shown in Figure 3.13 can also be drawn for a  $C_{3v}$   $\text{XY}_3$  species such as  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{PCl}_3$ ,  $\text{AsF}_3$ ,  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$  or  $[\text{SO}_3]^{2-}$ . Each mode is IR active and so we expect to observe four absorptions in the IR spectrum of such a species.

Differences in the IR spectra of  $\text{XY}_3$  molecules possessing  $C_{3v}$  or  $D_{3h}$  symmetry is a method of distinguishing between these structures. Further,  $\text{XY}_3$  molecules with T-shaped structures (e.g.  $\text{ClF}_3$ ) belong to the  $C_{2v}$  point group, and vibrational spectroscopy may be used to distinguish their structures from those of  $C_{3v}$  or  $D_{3h}$   $\text{XY}_3$  species.



See also Figure 1.30

(3.10)

For the  $C_{2v}$  molecules  $\text{ClF}_3$  (3.10) or  $\text{BrF}_3$ , there are six normal modes of vibration, approximately described as equatorial stretch, symmetric axial stretch, asymmetric axial stretch and three deformation modes. All six modes are IR active.

### Self-study exercises

- The IR spectrum of  $\text{BF}_3$  shows absorptions at 480, 691 and 1449  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . Use these data to decide whether  $\text{BF}_3$  has  $C_{3v}$  or  $D_{3h}$  symmetry. [Ans.  $D_{3h}$ ]

- In the IR spectrum of  $\text{NF}_3$ , there are four absorptions. Why is this consistent with  $\text{NF}_3$  belonging to the  $C_{3v}$  rather than  $D_{3h}$  point group?
- The IR spectrum of  $\text{BrF}_3$  in an argon matrix shows six absorptions. Explain why this observation confirms that  $\text{BrF}_3$  cannot have  $C_{3v}$  symmetry.


### $\text{XY}_4$ molecules with $T_d$ or $D_{4h}$ symmetry

An  $\text{XY}_4$  molecule with  $T_d$  symmetry has nine normal modes of vibration (Figure 3.14) but only six of them are IR active. The IR spectra of species such as  $\text{CCl}_4$ ,  $\text{TiCl}_4$ ,  $\text{OsO}_4$ ,  $[\text{ClO}_4]^-$  and  $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$  exhibit *two* absorptions because of degeneracies.

There are nine normal modes of vibration for a square planar ( $D_{4h}$ )  $\text{XY}_4$  molecule but, as can be seen from Figure 3.15, there are only three IR absorptions. Among compounds of the *p*-block elements,  $D_{4h}$   $\text{XY}_4$  structures are rare; the observation of absorptions at 586, 291 and 161  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  in the IR spectrum of  $\text{XeF}_4$  is consistent with the structure predicted by VSEPR theory.

### Self-study exercises

- The IR spectrum of gaseous  $\text{ZrI}_4$  shows absorptions at 55 and 254  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . Explain why this observation is consistent with molecules of  $\text{ZrI}_4$  having  $T_d$  symmetry.
- The  $[\text{PdCl}_4]^{2-}$  ion gives rise to three absorptions in its IR spectrum (150, 321 and 161  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ). Rationalize why this provides evidence for a  $D_{4h}$  rather than  $T_d$  structure.
- $\text{SiH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  is described as having a tetrahedral structure;  $\text{SiH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  has eight IR-active vibrations. Comment on these statements.




**Fig. 3.14** The vibrational modes of  $\text{CH}_4$  ( $T_d$ ), only two of which are IR active.

### Observing IR spectroscopic absorptions: practical problems


We have just described how to establish the number of vibrational degrees of freedom for a simple molecule with  $n$  atoms, how to deduce the total number of normal modes of vibration, and so determine the number of absorptions expected in its IR spectrum. Our premise for using IR spectroscopy to distinguish between, for example, an  $\text{XY}_3$  molecule having  $C_{3v}$  or  $D_{3h}$  symmetry, depends upon being able to observe *all* the expected absorptions. However, a ‘normal’ laboratory IR spectrometer only spans the range between  $4000$  and  $200\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and so if the vibration in question absorbs outside this range, the corresponding band will remain unobserved. An example is  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$  (Figure

3.15) where two of the three IR active vibrational modes are below  $200\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; a specialized far-infrared spectrometer may be used to observe such absorptions.

Samples for IR spectroscopy are often prepared in cells with optical windows which themselves absorb within the  $4000$  and  $200\text{ cm}^{-1}$  range; common materials are NaCl and KBr and these materials ‘cut off’ at  $650$  and  $385\text{ cm}^{-1}$  respectively with the effect that absorptions (due to the sample) below these values are masked by the absorption due to the optical window. ‘Solution cells’ are used, not only for neat liquid samples but for solutions of the sample in a suitable solvent. This adds a further problem, since absorptions due to the solvent may mask those of the sample; in regions of strong solvent absorption, the transmitted radiation is essentially zero and so no absorptions at frequencies due to the sample may be detected.



**Fig. 3.15** The vibrational modes of  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$  ( $D_{4h}$ ); only the three modes (two of which are degenerate) shown in the lower row are IR active. The + and – notation is used to show the ‘up’ and ‘down’ motion of the atoms during the mode of vibration.




**Fig. 3.16** A pair of enantiomers consists of two molecular species which are mirror images of each other and are non-superposable. (a) Helical  $\text{Se}_\infty$  has either a right- or left-handedness. (b) The six-coordinate complex  $[\text{Cr}(\text{acac})_3]$  contains three identical didentate, chelating ligands; the labels  $\Lambda$  and  $\Delta$  describe the absolute configuration of the molecule (see [Box 3.2](#)).

### 3.8 Chiral molecules

A molecule is chiral if it is non-superposable on its mirror image.<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup> This definition is taken from *Basic Terminology of Stereochemistry: IUPAC Recommendations 1996* (1996) *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, vol. 68, p. 2193.

Helical chains such as  $\text{Se}_\infty$  (Figure 3.16a) may be right- or left-handed and are chiral. Six-coordinate complexes such as  $[\text{Cr}(\text{acac})_3]$  ( $[\text{acac}]^-$ , see [Table 6.7](#)) in which there are three didentate chelating ligands also possess non-superposable mirror images (Figure 3.16b). Chiral molecules can rotate the plane of plane-polarized light (Figure 3.17). This property is known as *optical activity* and the two mirror images are known as *optical isomers* or *enantiomers*. Enantiomers rotate the light to equal extents, but in opposite directions, the dextrorotatory (*d*) enantiomer to the right and the laevorotatory (*l*) to the left (see [Box 3.2](#)). The



**Fig. 3.17** One enantiomer of a chiral compound rotates the plane of polarized light through a characteristic angle,  $\alpha^\circ$ ; the instrument used to measure this rotation is called a polarimeter. The direction indicated (a clockwise rotation as we view the light as it emerges from the polarimeter) is designated as  $+\alpha^\circ$ . The other enantiomer of the same compound would rotate the plane of polarized light through an angle  $-\alpha^\circ$ .

amount of rotation *and* its sign depend upon the wavelength of the incident light. At this point, we note that the observation of optical activity depends upon *chemical* properties of the chiral molecule; if the two enantiomers interconvert rapidly to give an equilibrium mixture containing equal amounts of the two forms, no overall rotation occurs. A mixture of equal amounts of two enantiomers is called a *racemate* or *racemic mixture*. Chiral complexes and the separation of enantiomers are discussed further in [Section 19.8](#).

The rotation,  $\alpha$ , may be measured in an instrument called a *polarimeter* (Figure 3.17). In practice, the amount of rotation depends upon the wavelength of the light, temperature and the concentration of compound present in solution. The *specific rotation*,  $[\alpha]$ , for a chiral compound in solution is given by equation 3.7. Light of a single frequency is used for specific rotation measurements and a common choice is the *sodium D-line* in the emission spectrum of atomic sodium; the specific rotation at this wavelength is denoted as  $[\alpha]_D$ .

$$[\alpha] = \frac{\alpha}{c \times \ell} \quad (3.7)$$

in which  $\alpha$  = observed rotation,  $\ell$  = path length of solution in the polarimeter (in dm) and  $c$  = concentration (in  $\text{g cm}^{-3}$ ).

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 3.2 Nomenclature of chiral compounds

The nomenclature of chiral compounds is complicated. Historically, compounds were described in terms of the sign of the rotation of plane-polarized light; the rotation was denoted (+) or *d* for dextrorotatory, and (−) or *l* for laevorotatory. The sign and magnitude of rotation are often dependent on the wavelength of light and this was incorporated in the descriptor:  $(-)_589$  or  $(-)_D$  (where D stands for the sodium D-line at a wavelength of 589 nm). Whilst this system is useful provided that the wavelength is specified, it is purely defined in terms of an observable (the rotation); there is *no direct relationship* with the absolute configuration of the molecule.

This problem was first addressed in organic chemistry where a chosen reference compound, glyceraldehyde, was arbitrarily assigned, one absolute configuration to the (+) and the other to the (−) enantiomer. The (+) form was assigned a *D* absolute configuration and the (−) form, an *L* configuration. Chemical transformations between organic molecules then allowed the assignment of *D* or *L* absolute configurations to be related to the arbitrarily assigned glyceraldehyde configuration. A consequence is that, for many organic molecules, the (−) enantiomer may possess a *D* (not an *L*) configuration! Additionally, it is not always easy to relate a *D* or *L* configuration of a highly complicated organic molecule back to the configuration of glyceraldehyde. As a matter of interest, the original arbitrarily assigned configuration to (+)-(*D*) glyceraldehyde has been shown to be correct by anomalous dispersion X-ray experiments.

In order to describe the absolute configuration of an organic molecule, the Cahn–Ingold–Prelog system was introduced. The descriptors *R* and *S* refer to the *absolute* arrangement of the groups about a centre. A complete description of a molecule will include both the sign of the rotation and the absolute configuration, e.g.  $(+)_589$ -(*R*).

Unfortunately, the Cahn–Ingold–Prelog rules are not directly applicable to most inorganic systems. For example, the three chelating ligands in  $[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  ( $\text{en} = \text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2$ ) are identical and ‘priorities’ (an integral part of the Cahn–Ingold–Prelog rules) cannot be assigned to individual nitrogen-donor atoms. Descriptions based upon the observable rotation are, of course, useful, for example,  $(+)_589$ - $[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  and  $(-)_589$ - $[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ . However, these convey no information about the absolute configurations of the complexes.

A number of schemes have been introduced to describe the configurations of such compounds, the most useful of which is the IUPAC recommended  $\Lambda$  and  $\Delta$  system. This is exemplified in Figure 3.16b with the structures of the enantiomers of  $[\text{Cr}(\text{acac})_3]$ .

*For further discussion:* see [Box 19.2](#) and [Section 19.8](#).

### Further reading

*Basic Terminology of Stereochemistry: IUPAC Recommendations 1996* (1996) *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, vol. 68, p. 2193.

The importance of chirality is clearly seen in, for example, dramatic differences in the activities of different enantiomers of chiral drugs.<sup>†</sup>


A helical chain such as  $\text{Se}_\infty$  is easy to recognize, but it is not always such a facile task to identify a chiral compound by attempting to convince oneself that it is, or is not, non-superposable on its mirror image. Symmetry considerations come to our aid: a chiral molecular species must lack an improper ( $S_n$ ) axis of symmetry.

A chiral molecule lacks an improper ( $S_n$ ) axis of symmetry.

Another commonly used criterion for identifying a chiral species is the lack of an inversion centre,  $i$ , and plane of symmetry,  $\sigma$ . However, both of these properties are compatible with the criterion given above, since we can rewrite the symmetry operations  $i$  and  $\sigma$  in terms of the improper rotations  $S_2$  and  $S_1$  respectively. (See [problem 3.25](#) at the end of the chapter.) However, a word of caution: there are a few species that are non-chiral (achiral) despite lacking an inversion centre,  $i$ , and plane of symmetry,  $\sigma$ .

### Worked example 3.9 Chiral species

The oxalate ligand,  $[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$ , is a didentate ligand and the structure of the complex ion  $[\text{Fe}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$  is shown below. The view in the right-hand diagram is along one O–Fe–O axis. Confirm that the point group to which the ion belongs is  $D_3$  and that members of this point group are chiral.



Using the scheme in Figure 3.10:

#### START $\Rightarrow$

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Is the molecular ion linear?                  | No  |
| Does it have $T_d$ , $O_h$ or $I_h$ symmetry? | No  |
| Is there a $C_n$ axis?                        | Yes; a $C_3$ axis; perpendicular to the plane of the paper in diagram (a) |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Are there 3 $C_2$ axes perpendicular to the principal axis?        | Yes; one runs vertically through the Fe centre in diagram (b) |
| Is there a $\sigma_h$ plane (perpendicular to the principal axis)? | No  |
| Are there $n$ $\sigma_d$ planes (containing the principal axis)?   | No  |

$\Rightarrow$  STOP

The point group is  $D_3$ .

No centre of symmetry or planes of symmetry have been identified and this alone is sufficient to confirm that molecular species in the  $D_3$  point group are chiral.

#### Self-study exercise

By referring to the character table (Appendix 3) for the  $D_3$  point group, confirm that the symmetry elements of the  $D_3$  point group do not include  $i$ ,  $\sigma$  or  $S_n$  axis.

## Glossary

The following terms have been introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- symmetry element
- symmetry operator
- identity operator ( $E$ )
- rotation axis ( $C_n$ )
- plane of reflection ( $\sigma_h$ ,  $\sigma_v$  or  $\sigma_d$ )
- centre of symmetry or inversion centre ( $i$ )
- improper rotation axis ( $S_n$ )
- point group
- translational degrees of freedom
- rotational degrees of freedom
- vibrational degrees of freedom
- normal mode of vibration
- degenerate modes of vibration
- selection rule (for an IR-active mode)
- fundamental absorption
- chiral species
- enantiomer (optical isomer)
- racemic mixture
- specific rotation

## Further reading

### Symmetry and group theory

P.W. Atkins, M.S. Child and C.S.G. Phillips (1970) *Tables for Group Theory*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A set of

<sup>†</sup> A relevant article is: E. Thall (1996) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 73, p. 481 – ‘When drug molecules look in the mirror’.

character tables with useful additional notes and symmetry diagrams.

- R.L. Carter (1998) *Molecular Symmetry and Group Theory*, Wiley, New York – An introduction to molecular symmetry and group theory as applied to chemical problems including vibrational spectroscopy.
- F.A. Cotton (1990) *Chemical Applications of Group Theory*, 3rd edn, Wiley, New York – A more mathematical treatment of symmetry and its importance in chemistry.
- G. Davidson (1991) *Group Theory for Chemists*, Macmillan, London – An excellent introduction to group theory with examples and exercises.
- J.E. Huheey, E.A. Keiter and R.L. Keiter (1993) *Inorganic Chemistry: Principles of Structure and Reactivity*, 4th edn, Harper Collins, New York – Chapter 3 provides a useful, and readable, introduction to symmetry and group theory.
- S.F.A. Kettle (1985) *Symmetry and Structure*, Wiley, Chichester – A detailed, but readable, account of symmetry and group theory.
- J.S. Ogden (2001) *Introduction to Molecular Symmetry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An Oxford Chemistry Primer that provides a concise introduction to group theory and its applications.
- A. Rodger and P.M. Rodger (1995) *Molecular Geometry*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – A useful, clear text for student use.

D.F. Shriver and P.W. Atkins (1999) *Inorganic Chemistry*, 3rd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – Contains a clear and concise introduction to symmetry and symmetry-related topics.

A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A definitive work on structural inorganic chemistry; Chapter 2 gives a concise introduction to crystal symmetry.

### Infrared spectroscopy

E.A.V. Ebsworth, D.W.H. Rankin and S. Cradock (1991) *Structural Methods in Inorganic Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford – Chapter 5 deals with vibrational spectroscopy in detail.


S.F.A. Kettle (1985) *Symmetry and Structure*, Wiley, Chichester – Chapter 9 deals with the relationship between molecular symmetry and molecular vibrations.

K. Nakamoto (1997) *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds*, 5th edn, Wiley, New York – Part A: Theory and Applications in Inorganic Chemistry – An invaluable reference book for all practising experimental inorganic chemists, and including details of normal coordinate analysis.

## Problems

Some of these questions require the use of Figure 3.10

- 3.1 Give the structures of the following molecules: (a)  $\text{BCl}_3$ ; (b)  $\text{SO}_2$ ; (c)  $\text{PBr}_3$ ; (d)  $\text{CS}_2$ ; (e)  $\text{CHF}_3$ . Which molecules are polar?
- 3.2 In group theory, what is meant by the symbols (a)  $E$ , (b)  $\sigma$ , (c)  $C_n$  and (d)  $S_n$ ? What is the distinction between planes labelled  $\sigma_h$ ,  $\sigma_v$ ,  $\sigma_v'$  and  $\sigma_d$ ?
- 3.3 For each of the following two-dimensional shapes, determine the highest order rotation axis of symmetry.



- 3.4 Draw the structure of  $\text{SO}_2$  and identify its symmetry properties.
- 3.5 The structure of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  was shown in Figure 1.16. Apart from the operator  $E$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  possesses only one other symmetry operator. What is it?
- 3.6 By drawing appropriate diagrams, illustrate the fact that  $\text{BF}_3$  possesses a 3-fold axis, three 2-fold axes, and four

planes of symmetry. Give appropriate labels to these symmetry elements.

- 3.7 Using the answer to problem 3.6 to help you, deduce which symmetry elements are lost on going from (a)  $\text{BF}_3$  to  $\text{BClF}_2$  and (b)  $\text{BClF}_2$  to  $\text{BBrClF}$ . (c) Which symmetry element (apart from  $E$ ) is common to all three molecules?
- 3.8 Which of the following molecules or ions contain (a) a  $C_3$  axis but no  $\sigma_h$  plane, and (b) a  $C_3$  axis and a  $\sigma_h$  plane:  $\text{NH}_3$ ;  $\text{SO}_3$ ;  $\text{PBr}_3$ ;  $\text{AlCl}_3$ ;  $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$ ;  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ ?
- 3.9 Which of the following molecules contains a  $C_4$  axis and a  $\sigma_h$  plane:  $\text{CCl}_4$ ;  $[\text{ICl}_4]^-$ ;  $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$ ;  $\text{SiF}_4$ ;  $\text{XeF}_4$ ?
- 3.10 How many mirror planes do each of the following molecules contain: (a)  $\text{SF}_4$ ; (b)  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ ; (c)  $\text{SF}_6$ ; (d)  $\text{SOF}_4$ ; (e)  $\text{SO}_2$ ; (f)  $\text{SO}_3$ ?
- 3.11 (a) What structure would you expect  $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$  to possess? (b) Draw the structure of the conformer most favoured in terms of steric energy. (c) Does this conformer possess an inversion centre? (d) Draw the structure of the conformer least favoured in terms of steric energy. (e) Does this conformer possess an inversion centre?
- 3.12 Which of the following species contain inversion centres? (a)  $\text{BF}_3$ ; (b)  $\text{SiF}_4$ ; (c)  $\text{XeF}_4$ ; (d)  $\text{PF}_5$ ; (e)  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$ ; (f)  $\text{SF}_6$ ; (g)  $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4$ ; (h)  $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{C}=\text{CH}_2$ .
- 3.13 Explain what is meant by an  $\infty$ -fold axis of rotation.
- 3.14 To which point group does  $\text{NF}_3$  belong?

- 3.15** The point group of  $[\text{AuCl}_2]^-$  is  $D_{\infty h}$ . What shape is this ion?
- 3.16** Determine the point group of  $\text{SF}_5\text{Cl}$ .
- 3.17** The point group of  $\text{BrF}_3$  is  $C_{2v}$ . Draw the structure of  $\text{BrF}_3$  and compare your answer with the predictions of VSEPR theory.
- 3.18** In worked example 1.14, we predicted the structure of the  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$  ion. Confirm that this structure is consistent with  $D_{5h}$  symmetry.
- 3.19** Assign a point group to each member in the series (a)  $\text{CCl}_4$ , (b)  $\text{CCl}_3\text{F}$ , (c)  $\text{CCl}_2\text{F}_2$ , (d)  $\text{CClF}_3$  and (e)  $\text{CF}_4$ .
- 3.20** (a) Deduce the point group of  $\text{SF}_4$ . (b) Is  $\text{SOF}_4$  in the same point group?
- 3.21** Which of the following point groups possesses the highest number of symmetry elements: (a)  $O_h$ ; (b)  $T_d$ ; (c)  $I_h$ ?
- 3.22** Determine the number of degrees of vibrational freedom for each of the following: (a)  $\text{SO}_2$ ; (b)  $\text{SiH}_4$ ; (c)  $\text{HCN}$ ; (d)  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; (e)  $\text{BF}_3$ .
- 3.23** How many normal modes of vibration are IR active for (a)  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , (b)  $\text{SiF}_4$ , (c)  $\text{PCl}_3$ , (d)  $\text{AlCl}_3$ , (e)  $\text{CS}_2$  and (f)  $\text{HCN}$ ?
- 3.24** Explain what is meant by the terms (a) chiral; (b) enantiomer; (c) helical chain.
- 3.25** Confirm that the symmetry operation of (a) inversion is equivalent to an  $S_2$  improper rotation, and (b) reflection through a plane is equivalent to an  $S_1$  improper rotation.
- (c) Locate three  $\sigma_v$  planes in  $\text{PF}_5$ . (d) To what point group does  $\text{PF}_5$  belong?
- 3.27** Open the structure file for problem 3.27 which shows the structure of  $\text{NH}_2\text{Cl}$ . (a) How many planes of symmetry does  $\text{NH}_2\text{Cl}$  possess? (b) Does  $\text{NH}_2\text{Cl}$  possess any axes of rotation? (c) Confirm that  $\text{NH}_2\text{Cl}$  belongs to the  $C_s$  point group. (d) Detail what is meant by the statement: 'On going from  $\text{NH}_3$  to  $\text{NH}_2\text{Cl}$ , the symmetry is lowered'.
- 3.28** Open the structure file for problem 3.28: this shows the structure of  $\text{OsO}_4$ , which has  $T_d$  symmetry. (a) Orientate the molecule so that you are looking down an O–Os bond, O atom towards you. What rotation axis runs along this bond? (b) The character table for the  $T_d$  point group shows the notation ' $8C_3$ '. What does this mean? By manipulating the structure, perform the corresponding symmetry operations on  $\text{OsO}_4$ .
- 3.29** Open the structure file for problem 3.29: this shows the structure of  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  where en stands for the didentate ligand  $\text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2$ ; the H atoms are omitted from the structure. The complex  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  is generally described as being octahedral. Look at the character table for the  $O_h$  point group. Why does  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  not possess  $O_h$  symmetry? What does this tell you about the use of the word 'octahedral' when used a description of a complex such as  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ ?
- 3.30** Open the structure file for problem 3.30: this shows the structure of  $\text{C}_2\text{Cl}_6$  in the preferred staggered conformation. (a) Orientate the structure so you are looking along the C–C bond. You should be able to see six Cl atoms forming an apparent hexagon around two superimposed C atoms. Why is the principal axis a  $C_3$  axis and not a  $C_6$  axis? (b) Explain why an  $S_6$  axis is coincident with the  $C_3$  axis. (c) By referring to the appropriate character table in *Appendix 3*, confirm that  $\text{C}_2\text{Cl}_6$  has  $D_{3d}$  symmetry.
- 3.31** Open the structure file for problem 3.31: this shows the structure of  $\alpha\text{-P}_4\text{S}_3$ . (a) Orientate the structure so that the unique P atom is closest to you and the  $\text{P}_3$  triangle coincides with the plane of the screen. You are looking down the principal axis of  $\alpha\text{-P}_4\text{S}_3$ . What type of axis is it? (b) Show that the molecule does not have any other axes of rotation. (c) How many planes of symmetry does the molecule possess? Are they  $\sigma_v$ ,  $\sigma_h$  or  $\sigma_d$  planes? (d) Confirm that  $\alpha\text{-P}_4\text{S}_3$  belongs to the  $C_{3v}$  point group.

## Web-based problems



These problems are designed to introduce you to the website that accompanies this book. Visit the website: [www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft](http://www.pearsoned.co.uk/housecroft)

and then navigate to the Student Resources site for Chapter 3 of the 2nd edition of *Inorganic Chemistry* by Housecroft and Sharpe.

- 3.26** Open the structure file for problem 3.26: this is the structure of  $\text{PF}_5$ . (a) Orientate the structure so that you are looking down the  $C_3$  axis. Where is the  $\sigma_h$  plane with respect to this axis? (b) Locate three  $C_2$  axes in  $\text{PF}_5$ .

# Chapter 4

## Bonding in polyatomic molecules

### TOPICS

- Hybridization of atomic orbitals
- Molecular orbital theory: ligand group orbitals
- Delocalized bonding
- Partial molecular orbital treatments

### 4.1 Introduction

In Chapter 1, we considered three approaches to the bonding in diatomic molecules:

- Lewis structures;
- valence bond (VB) theory;
- molecular orbital (MO) theory.

In this chapter we extend the discussion to polyatomic molecules (i.e. those containing three or more atoms). Within the valence bond model, treatment of a molecule  $XY_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) raises the question of compatibility (or not) between the positions of the Y atoms and the directionalities of the atomic orbitals on the central atom X. Although an  $s$  atomic orbital is spherically symmetric, other atomic orbitals possess directional properties (see [Section 1.6](#)). Consider  $H_2O$ : Figure 4.1 illustrates that, if the atoms of the  $H_2O$  molecule lie in (for example) the  $yz$  plane, the directionalities of the  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  atomic orbital of oxygen are not compatible with the directionalities of the two O–H bonds. Although we could define the  $z$  axis to coincide with one O–H bond, the  $y$  axis could not (at the same time) coincide with the other O–H bond. Hence, there is a problem in trying to derive a localized bonding scheme in terms of an atomic orbital basis set (see [Section 1.13](#)). In the next section we describe a bonding model within valence bond (VB) theory that overcomes this problem. After we have considered how VB theory views the bonding in a range of  $XY_n$  species, we move on to the problems of applying molecular orbital theory to polyatomic species.

A *polyatomic species* contains three or more atoms.

### 4.2 Valence bond theory: hybridization of atomic orbitals

#### What is orbital hybridization?


The word ‘hybridization’ means ‘mixing’ and when used in the context of atomic orbitals, it describes a way of deriving *spatially directed orbitals* which may be used within VB theory. Like all bonding theories, *orbital hybridization is a model*, and should *not* be taken to be a real phenomenon.

Hybrid orbitals may be formed by mixing the characters of atomic orbitals that are close in energy. The character of a hybrid orbital depends on the atomic orbitals involved and their percentage contributions. The labels given to hybrid orbitals reflect the contributing atomic orbitals, e.g. an  $sp$  hybrid possesses equal amounts of  $s$  and  $p$  orbital character.

*Hybrid orbitals* are generated by mixing the characters of atomic orbitals.

The reason for creating a set of hybrid orbitals is to produce a convenient bonding scheme for a particular molecular species. An individual hybrid orbital points along a given internuclear axis within the framework of the molecule under consideration, and use of a set of hybrid orbitals provides a bonding picture in terms of *localized  $\sigma$ -bonds*. In working through the rest of this section, notice that each hybridization scheme for an atom X in a molecule  $XY_n$  is appropriate only for a particular shape, the shape being defined by the number of attached groups and any lone pairs.

A set of *hybrid orbitals* provides a bonding picture for a molecule in terms of *localized  $\sigma$ -bonds*.




**Fig. 4.1** A comparison of the shape of the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule (the framework of which is taken as lying in the *yz* plane) with the spatial properties of the *2s*, *2p<sub>y</sub>*, and *2p<sub>z</sub>* atomic orbitals of oxygen.

### *sp* Hybridization: a scheme for linear species


The notation *sp* means that one *s* atomic orbital and one *p* atomic orbital mix to form a set of two hybrid orbitals with different directional properties.

One possible combination of a *2s* atomic orbital and *2p<sub>x</sub>* atomic orbital is shown in Figure 4.2a. In the figure, the colour of the orbital lobe corresponds to a particular phase (see [Section 1.6](#)) and the addition of the *2s* component reinforces one lobe of the *2p<sub>x</sub>* atomic orbital but diminishes the other. Equation 4.1 represents the combination mathematically. The wavefunction  $\psi_{sp\text{ hybrid}}$  describes a normalized (see [Section 1.12](#)) *sp* hybrid orbital which possesses 50% *s* and 50% *p* character. Although equation 4.1 and Figure 4.2a refer to the combination of *2s* and *2p<sub>x</sub>* atomic orbitals, this could just as well be *2s* with *2p<sub>y</sub>* or *2p<sub>z</sub>*, or *3s* with *3p<sub>x</sub>*, and so on.

$$\psi_{sp\text{ hybrid}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_{2s} + \psi_{2p_x}) \quad (4.1)$$



**Fig. 4.3** Scheme to show the formation of the *sp* hybridized valence state of a beryllium atom from its ground state. This is a formalism and is not a ‘real’ observation, e.g. the valence state *cannot* be observed by spectroscopic techniques. The choice of using the *2p<sub>x</sub>* orbital for hybridization is arbitrary.




**Fig. 4.2** The formation of two *sp* hybrid orbitals from one *2s* atomic orbital and one *2p* atomic orbital.

Now comes an important general rule: *if we begin with *n* atomic orbitals, we must end up with *n* orbitals after hybridization.* Figure 4.2b and equation 4.2 show the second possibility for the combination of a *2s* and a *2p<sub>x</sub>* atomic orbital. The sign change for the combination changes the phase of the *2p<sub>x</sub>* orbital and so the resultant hybrid points in the opposite direction to the one shown in Figure 4.2a. (Remember that *p* atomic orbitals have vector properties.)

$$\psi_{sp\text{ hybrid}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_{2s} - \psi_{2p_x}) \quad (4.2)$$

Equations 4.1 and 4.2 represent two wavefunctions which are equivalent in every respect *except for their directionalities* with respect to the *x* axis. Although the orbital energies of the initial *2s* and *2p<sub>x</sub>* atomic orbitals were different, mixing leads to two hybrid orbitals of equal energy.

The model of *sp* hybridization can be used to describe the  $\sigma$ -bonding in a linear molecule such as BeCl<sub>2</sub> in which the Be–Cl bonds are of equal length. The ground state electronic configuration of Be is [He]2s<sup>2</sup> and the valence shell contains the *2s* atomic orbital and three *2p* atomic orbitals (Figure 4.3). If we use two of these atomic orbitals, treating them separately, to form two localized Be–Cl bonds, we cannot rationalize the bond equivalence. However, if we take the



**Fig. 4.4** The formation of three  $sp^2$  hybrid orbitals from one  $2s$  atomic orbital and two  $2p$  atomic orbitals. The choice of  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  is arbitrary. (If we started with  $2p_x$  and  $2p_z$  atomic orbitals, the hybrids would lie in the  $xz$  plane; using the  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  atomic orbitals gives hybrid orbitals in the  $yz$  plane.) The directionalities of the hybrid orbitals follow from the relative contributions of the atomic orbitals (see equations 4.3–4.5).

$2s$  atomic orbital and one  $2p$  atomic orbital, mix their characters to form  $sp$  hybrids, and use one hybrid orbital to form one Be–Cl interaction and the other hybrid orbital for the second interaction, then the equivalence of the Be–Cl interactions is a natural consequence of the bonding picture. Effectively, we are representing the valence state of Be in a linear molecule as consisting of two degenerate  $sp$  hybrids, each containing one electron; this is represented by the notation  $(sp)^2$ . Figure 4.3 represents the change from the ground state electronic configuration of Be to an  $sp$  valence state. This is a *theoretical state* which can be used to describe  $\sigma$ -bonding in a linear molecule.

### $sp^2$ Hybridization: a scheme for trigonal planar species

The notation  $sp^2$  means that one  $s$  and two  $p$  atomic orbitals mix to form a set of three hybrid orbitals with different directional properties.

Let us consider the combination of  $2s$ ,  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  atomic orbitals. The final hybrid orbitals must be equivalent in every way except for their directional properties;  $sp^2$  hybrids must contain the same amount of  $s$  character as each other and the same amount of  $p$  character as one another. We begin by giving one-third of the  $2s$  character to each  $sp^2$  hybrid orbital. The remaining two-thirds of each hybrid orbital consists of  $2p$  character, and the normalized wavefunctions are given in equations 4.3 to 4.5.


$$\psi_{sp^2 \text{ hybrid}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\psi_{2s} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\psi_{2p_x} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\psi_{sp^2 \text{ hybrid}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\psi_{2s} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\psi_{2p_x} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_{2p_y} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\psi_{sp^2 \text{ hybrid}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\psi_{2s} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\psi_{2p_x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_{2p_y} \quad (4.5)$$

Figure 4.4 gives a pictorial representation of the way in which the three  $sp^2$  hybrid orbitals are constructed. Remember that a change in sign for the atomic wavefunction means a change in phase. The resultant directions of the lower two hybrid orbitals in Figure 4.4 are determined by resolving the vectors associated with the  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  atomic orbitals.

The model of  $sp^2$  hybridization can be used to describe the  $\sigma$ -bonding in trigonal planar molecules such as  $\text{BH}_3$ . The valence state of the B atom is  $(sp^2)^3$  (i.e. three  $sp^2$  hybrid orbitals, each with one electron) and the equivalence of the B–H interactions follows by considering that each interaction is formed by the overlap of one B  $sp^2$  hybrid orbital with the  $1s$  atomic orbital of an H atom (Figure 4.5). Each H atom contributes one electron to the bonding scheme and, so, each B–H  $\sigma$ -bond is a localized 2c-2e interaction (see [Section 1.12](#)). A diagram similar to that shown in Figure 4.3 can be constructed to show the formation of a valence state for the trigonal planar B atom.



**Fig. 4.5** The bonding in trigonal planar  $\text{BH}_3$  can be conveniently described in terms of the interactions between a set of  $sp^2$  hybrid orbitals centred on the B atom and three H  $1s$  atomic orbitals. Three pairs of electrons are available (three electrons from B and one from each H) to give three  $2c-2e$   $\sigma$ -bonds.

### $sp^3$ Hybridization: a scheme for tetrahedral and related species

The notation  $sp^3$  means that one  $s$  and three  $p$  atomic orbitals mix to form a set of four hybrid orbitals with different directional properties.

A similar scheme to those described above can be derived to generate four  $sp^3$  hybrid orbitals from one  $2s$  and three  $2p$  atomic orbitals. The  $sp^3$  hybrid orbitals are described by the normalized wavefunctions in equations 4.6–4.9 and are shown pictorially in Figure 4.6a. Each  $sp^3$  hybrid orbital possesses 25%  $s$  character and 75%  $p$  character, and the set of four equivalent orbitals defines a tetrahedral framework.

$$\psi_{sp^3 \text{ hybrid}} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{2s} + \psi_{2p_x} + \psi_{2p_y} + \psi_{2p_z}) \quad (4.6)$$

$$\psi_{sp^3 \text{ hybrid}} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{2s} + \psi_{2p_x} - \psi_{2p_y} - \psi_{2p_z}) \quad (4.7)$$


$$\psi_{sp^3 \text{ hybrid}} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{2s} - \psi_{2p_x} + \psi_{2p_y} - \psi_{2p_z}) \quad (4.8)$$

$$\psi_{sp^3 \text{ hybrid}} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{2s} - \psi_{2p_x} - \psi_{2p_y} + \psi_{2p_z}) \quad (4.9)$$

In Figure 4.6b we illustrate how the tetrahedral structure of  $\text{CH}_4$  relates to a cubic framework. This relationship is important because it allows us to describe a tetrahedron in terms of a Cartesian axis set. Within valence bond theory, the bonding in  $\text{CH}_4$  can conveniently be described in terms of an  $sp^3$  valence state for C, i.e. four degenerate orbitals, each containing one electron. Each hybrid orbital overlaps with the  $1s$  atomic orbital of one H atom to generate one of four equivalent, localized  $2c-2e$  C–H  $\sigma$ -interactions.

#### Worked example 4.1 Hybridization scheme for the nitrogen atom in $\text{NH}_3$


Use VSEPR theory to account for the structure of  $\text{NH}_3$ , and suggest an appropriate hybridization scheme for the N atom.




**Fig. 4.6** (a) The directions of the orbitals that make up a set of four  $sp^3$  hybrid orbitals correspond to a tetrahedral array. (b) The relationship between a tetrahedron and a cube; in  $\text{CH}_4$ , the four H atoms occupy alternate corners of a cube, and the cube is easily related to a Cartesian axis set.

The ground state electronic configuration of N is  $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^3$ . Three of the five valence electrons are used to form three N–H single bonds, leaving one lone pair.

The structure is trigonal pyramidal, derived from a tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs:




The N atom has four valence atomic orbitals:  $2s$ ,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$ . An  $sp^3$  hybridization scheme gives a tetrahedral arrangement of hybrid orbitals, appropriate for accommodating the four pairs of electrons:



#### Self-study exercises

- Use VSEPR theory to account for the structure of  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$ .



**Fig. 4.7** A schematic representation of  $sp^3d$  hybridization. (a) A combination of  $s$ ,  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$ ,  $p_z$  and  $d_{z^2}$  atomic orbitals gives a set of five  $sp^3d$  hybrid orbitals corresponding to a trigonal bipyramidal arrangement; the axial  $sp^3d$  hybrid orbitals are directed along the  $z$  axis. (b) A combination of  $s$ ,  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$ ,  $p_z$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  atomic orbitals gives a set of five  $sp^3d$  hybrid orbitals corresponding to a square-based pyramidal arrangement; the axial  $sp^3d$  hybrid orbital is directed along the  $z$  axis.

2. Rationalize why  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is bent but  $\text{XeF}_2$  is linear.

3. Give a suitable hybridization scheme for the central atom in each of the following: (a)  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$ ; (b)  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ ; (c)  $\text{BBR}_3$ ; (d)  $\text{NF}_3$ ; (e)  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$ .

[Ans. (a)  $sp^3$ ; (b)  $sp^3$ ; (c)  $sp^2$ ; (d)  $sp^3$ ; (e)  $sp^3$ ]

## Other hybridization schemes

For molecular species with other than linear, trigonal planar or tetrahedral-based structures, it is usual to involve  $d$  orbitals within valence bond theory. We shall see later that this is not necessarily the case within molecular orbital theory. We shall also see in [Chapters 14](#) and [15](#) that the bonding in so-called *hypervalent compounds* such as  $\text{PF}_5$  and  $\text{SF}_6$ , can be described without invoking the use of  $d$ -orbitals. One should therefore be cautious about using  $sp^n d^m$  hybridization schemes in compounds of  $p$ -block elements with apparently expanded octets around the central atom. *Real* molecules do not have to conform to simple theories of valence, nor must they conform to the  $sp^n d^m$  schemes that we consider in this book. Nevertheless, it is convenient to visualize the bonding in molecules in terms of a range of simple hybridization schemes.

The mixing of  $s$ ,  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$ ,  $p_z$  and  $d_{z^2}$  atomic orbitals gives a set of five  $sp^3d$  hybrid orbitals, the mutual orientations of which correspond to a trigonal bipyramidal arrangement (Figure 4.7a). The five  $sp^3d$  hybrid orbitals are *not* equivalent and divide into sets of two axial and three equatorial orbitals; the axial orbital lobes lie along the  $z$  axis.<sup>†</sup> The model of  $sp^3d$  hybridization can be used to

describe the  $\sigma$ -bonding in 5-coordinate species such as  $[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_5]^{3-}$  (see [Section 21.11](#)).


The  $\sigma$ -bonding framework in a square-pyramidal species may also be described in terms of an  $sp^3d$  hybridization scheme. The change in spatial disposition of the five hybrid orbitals from trigonal bipyramidal to square-based pyramidal is a consequence of the participation of a different  $d$  orbital. Hybridization of  $s$ ,  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$ ,  $p_z$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  atomic orbitals generates a set of five  $sp^3d$  hybrid orbitals (Figure 4.7b).

Hybridization of  $s$ ,  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$ ,  $p_z$ ,  $d_{z^2}$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  atomic orbitals gives six  $sp^3d^2$  hybrid orbitals corresponding to an octahedral arrangement. The bonding in  $\text{MoF}_6$  can be described in terms of  $sp^3d^2$  hybridization of the central atom. If we remove the  $z$ -components from this set (i.e.  $p_z$  and  $d_{z^2}$ ) and hybridize only the  $s$ ,  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  atomic orbitals, the resultant set of four  $sp^2d$  hybrid orbitals corresponds to a square planar arrangement, e.g.  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$ .

Each set of hybrid orbitals is associated with a particular shape, although this may not coincide with the molecular shape if lone pairs also have to be accommodated:

- $sp$  linear
- $sp^2$  trigonal planar
- $sp^3$  tetrahedral
- $sp^3d$  ( $d_{z^2}$ ) trigonal bipyramidal
- $sp^3d$  ( $d_{x^2-y^2}$ ) square-based pyramidal
- $sp^3d^2$  octahedral
- $sp^2d$  square planar

<sup>†</sup>Choice of coincidence between the  $z$  axis and the axial lobes is convenient and tends to be conventional.




**Fig. 4.8** (a) Ethene is a planar molecule with H–C–H and C–C–H bond angles close to  $120^\circ$ . (b) An  $sp^2$  hybridization scheme is appropriate to describe the  $\sigma$ -bonding framework. (c) This leaves a  $2p$  atomic orbital on each C atom; overlap between them gives a C–C  $\pi$ -interaction.

### 4.3 Valence bond theory: multiple bonding in polyatomic molecules


In the previous section, we emphasized that hybridization of some or all of the valence atomic orbitals of the central atom in an  $XY_n$  species provided a scheme for describing the X–Y  $\sigma$ -bonding. In, for example, the formation of  $sp$ ,  $sp^2$  and  $sp^3d$  hybrid orbitals, some  $p$  or  $d$  atomic orbitals remain unhybridized and, if appropriate, may participate in the formation of  $\pi$ -bonds. In this section we use the examples of  $C_2H_4$ , HCN and  $BF_3$  to illustrate how multiple bonds in polyatomic molecules are treated within VB theory. Before considering the bonding in any molecule, the ground state electronic configurations of the atoms involved should be noted.

#### $C_2H_4$



Ethene,  $C_2H_4$ , is a planar molecule (Figure 4.8a) with C–C–H and H–C–H bond angles of  $121.3^\circ$  and  $117.4^\circ$  respectively. Thus, each C centre is approximately trigonal planar and the  $\sigma$ -bonding framework within  $C_2H_4$  can be described in terms of an  $sp^2$  hybridization scheme (Figure 4.8b). The three  $\sigma$ -interactions per C atom use three of the

four valence electrons, leaving one electron occupying the unhybridized  $2p$  atomic orbital. The interaction between the two  $2p$  atomic orbitals (Figure 4.8c) and the pairing of the two electrons in these atomic orbitals generates a C–C  $\pi$ -interaction. The bond order of the C–C bond in  $C_2H_4$  is therefore 2, in keeping with Lewis structure 4.1. The  $\pi$ -component of the overall carbon–carbon bond is weaker than the  $\sigma$ -component and hence a C=C double bond, though stronger than a C–C single bond, is not twice as strong; the C–C bond enthalpy terms in  $C_2H_4$  and  $C_2H_6$  are 598 and 346  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively.



#### HCN








Figure 4.9a shows the linear HCN molecule, a Lewis structure (4.2) for which indicates the presence of an H–C single bond, a C≡N triple bond, and a lone pair of electrons



**Fig. 4.9** (a) The linear structure of HCN; colour code: C, grey; N, blue. (b) An  $sp$  hybridization scheme for C and N can be used to describe the  $\sigma$ -bonding in HCN. (c) The  $\pi$ -character in the C–N bond arises from  $2p$ – $2p$  overlap.




on N. An  $sp$  hybridization scheme is appropriate for both C and N; it is consistent with the linear arrangement of atoms around C and with the placement of the lone pair on N as far away as possible from the bonding electrons. Figure 4.9b shows the  $\sigma$ -bonding framework in HCN (each region of orbital overlap is occupied by a pair of electrons) and the outward-pointing  $sp$  hybrid on N that accommodates the lone pair. If we arbitrarily define the HCN axis as the  $z$



**Fig. 4.10** (a)  $\text{BF}_3$  possesses a trigonal planar structure. (b)  $2p-2p$  overlap between B and F leads to the formation of a  $\pi$ -interaction. (c) Boron–fluorine double bond character is also deduced by considering the resonance structures for  $\text{BF}_3$ ; only those forms that contribute significantly are shown.

axis, then after the formation of the  $\sigma$ -interactions, a  $2p_x$  and a  $2p_y$  atomic orbital remain on each of the C and N atoms. Each atomic orbital contains one electron. Overlap between the two  $2p_x$  and between the two  $2p_y$  orbitals leads to two  $\pi$ -interactions (Figure 4.9c). The overall C–N bond order is 3, consistent with Lewis structure 4.2.

### $\text{BF}_3$



Boron trifluoride (Figure 4.10a) is trigonal planar ( $D_{3h}$ );  $sp^2$  hybridization is appropriate for the B atom. Each of the three B–F  $\sigma$ -interactions arises by overlap of an  $sp^2$  hybrid on the B atom with, for example, an  $sp^2$  orbital on the F atom. After the formation of the  $\sigma$ -bonding framework, the B atom is left with an *unoccupied*  $2p$  atomic orbital lying perpendicular to the plane containing the  $\text{BF}_3$  molecule. As Figure 4.10b shows, this is ideally set up for interaction with a *filled*  $2p$  atomic orbital on one of the F atoms to give a localized B–F  $\pi$ -interaction. Notice that the two electrons occupying this  $\pi$ -bonding orbital both originate from the F atom. This picture of the bonding in  $\text{BF}_3$  is analogous to one of the resonance forms shown in pink in Figure 4.10c; all three resonance forms (see [Section 1.12](#)) are needed to account for the experimental observation that all three B–F bonds are of equal length (131 pm).

### Worked example 4.2 Valence bond treatment of the bonding in $[\text{NO}_3]^-$

(a) The  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  ion has  $D_{3h}$  symmetry. What does this tell you about its structure? (b) Draw a set of resonance structures (focusing only on those that contribute significantly) for the nitrate ion. (c) Use an appropriate hybridization scheme to describe the bonding in  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ .


(a) If  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  has  $D_{3h}$  symmetry, it must be planar, possess O–N–O bond angles of  $120^\circ$ , and have equal N–O bond distances.

(b) First, write down the electronic configurations for N ( $Z = 7$ ) and O ( $Z = 8$ ).




There is an additional electron from the negative charge giving a total of 24 valence electrons.

Both N and O are expected to obey the octet rule and so the most important resonance forms are expected to be:




(c) Using a hybridization scheme, we should end up with a bonding picture that corresponds to that depicted by the resonance structures.

An  $sp^2$  hybridized nitrogen centre is consistent with the trigonal planar shape of  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ . Allow the hybrid orbitals to overlap with suitable orbitals from oxygen; a choice of  $sp^2$  hybridization on the O atom provides suitable orbitals to accommodate the oxygen lone pairs. Occupation of each bonding orbital by a pair of electrons gives three equivalent N–O  $\sigma$ -bonds:



Of the 24 valence electrons, 18 are accommodated either in  $\sigma$ -bonds or as oxygen lone pairs.

The next step is to consider multiple bonding character. Each N and O atom has an unused  $2p$  atomic orbital lying perpendicular to the plane of the molecule. Overlap between the  $2p$  atomic orbital on nitrogen with one of those on an oxygen atom gives rise to *one* localized  $\pi$ -bond. The six remaining valence electrons are allocated as follows:



One pair of electrons for N–O  $\pi$ -bond formation

The combination of the  $\sigma$ - and  $\pi$ -bonding pictures gives one nitrogen–oxygen double bond and two single bonds. Three such schemes must be drawn (with the  $\pi$ -character in one of each of the N–O bonds) in order that the overall scheme is in keeping with the observed  $D_{3h}$  symmetry of  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ .

### Self-study exercises

1. Why are resonance structures containing two N=O double bonds not included in the set shown above for  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ ?
2. Use an appropriate hybridization scheme to describe the bonding in  $[\text{BO}_3]^{3-}$ .

## 4.4 Molecular orbital theory: the ligand group orbital approach and application to triatomic molecules

Despite its successes, the application of valence bond theory to the bonding in polyatomic molecules leads to conceptual difficulties. The method dictates that bonds are localized and, as a consequence, sets of resonance structures and bonding pictures involving hybridization schemes become rather tedious to establish, even for relatively small molecules (e.g. see [Figure 4.10c](#)). We therefore turn our attention to molecular orbital (MO) theory.


### Molecular orbital diagrams: moving from a diatomic to polyatomic species

As part of our treatment of the bonding in diatomics in Section 1.13, we constructed MO diagrams such as Figures 1.21, 1.27 and 1.28. In each diagram, the atomic orbitals of the two atoms were represented on the right- and left-hand sides of the diagram with the MOs in the middle. Correlation lines connecting the atomic and molecular orbitals were constructed to produce a readily interpretable diagram.


Now consider the situation for a triatomic molecule such as  $\text{CO}_2$ . The molecular orbitals contain contributions from the atomic orbitals of three atoms, and we are presented with a problem of trying to draw an MO diagram involving four sets of orbitals (three sets of atomic orbitals and one of molecular orbitals). A description of the bonding in  $\text{CF}_4$  involves five sets of atomic orbitals and one set of molecular orbitals, i.e. a six-component problem. Similarly,  $\text{SF}_6$  is an eight-component problem. It is obvious that such MO diagrams are complicated and, probably, difficult to both construct and interpret. In order to overcome this difficulty, it is common to resolve the MO description of a polyatomic molecule into a three-component problem, a method known as the *ligand group orbital (LGO) approach*.

### MO approach to the bonding in linear $\text{XH}_2$ : symmetry matching by inspection

Initially, we illustrate the ligand group orbital approach by considering the bonding in a linear triatomic  $\text{XH}_2$  in which the valence orbitals of X are the  $2s$  and  $2p$  atomic orbitals. Let us orient the H–X–H framework so that it coincides with the  $z$  axis as shown in Figure 4.11. Consider the two  $1s$  atomic orbitals of the two H atoms. Each  $1s$  atomic orbital has two possible phases and, when the *two*  $1s$  orbitals are taken as a group, there are two possible phase combinations. These are called *ligand group orbitals (LGOs)* and are shown at the right-hand side of Figure



**Fig. 4.11** Application of the ligand group orbital (LGO) approach to construct a qualitative MO diagram for the formation of a linear  $\text{XH}_2$  molecule from the interactions of the valence orbitals of X ( $2s$  and  $2p$  atomic orbitals) and an  $\text{H} \cdots \text{H}$  fragment. For clarity, the lines marking the  $2p$  orbital energies are drawn apart, although these atomic orbitals are actually degenerate.



**Fig. 4.12** The lower diagrams are schematic representations of the MOs in linear  $\text{XH}_2$ . The wavefunction labels correspond to those in Figure 4.11. The upper diagrams are more realistic representations of the MOs and have been generated computationally using Spartan '04, ©Wavefunction Inc. 2003.

4.11.<sup>†</sup> Effectively, we are *transforming* the description of the bonding in  $\text{XH}_2$  from one in which the basis sets are the atomic orbitals of atoms X and H, into one in which the basis sets are the atomic orbitals of atom X and the ligand group orbitals of an  $\text{H} \cdots \text{H}$  fragment. This is a valuable approach for polyatomic molecules.

The number of ligand group orbitals formed = the number of atomic orbitals used.

<sup>†</sup> In Figure 4.11, the energies of the two ligand group orbitals are close together because the H nuclei are far apart; compare this with the situation in the  $\text{H}_2$  molecule (Figure 1.18). Similarly, in Figure 4.17, the LGOs for the  $\text{H}_3$  fragment form two sets (all in-phase, and the degenerate pair of orbitals) but their respective energies are close because of the large  $\text{H} \cdots \text{H}$  separations.

In constructing an MO diagram for  $\text{XH}_2$  (Figure 4.11), we consider the interactions of the valence atomic orbitals of X with the ligand group orbitals of the  $\text{H} \cdots \text{H}$  fragment. Ligand group orbital LGO(1) has the correct symmetry to interact with the  $2s$  atomic orbital of X, giving an MO with  $\text{H}-\text{X}-\text{H}$   $\sigma$ -bonding character. The symmetry of LGO(2) is matched to that of the  $2p_z$  atomic orbital of X. The resultant bonding MOs and their antibonding counterparts are shown in Figure 4.12, and the MO diagram in Figure 4.11 shows the corresponding orbital interactions. The  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  atomic orbitals of X become non-bonding orbitals in  $\text{XH}_2$ . The final step in the construction of the MO diagram is to place the available electrons in the MOs according to the *aufbau* principle (see [Section 1.9](#)). An important result of the MO treatment of the bonding in

$\text{XH}_2$  is that the  $\sigma$ -bonding character in orbitals  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  is spread over all three atoms, indicating that the bonding character is *delocalized* over the H–X–H framework. Delocalized bonding is a general result within MO theory.

### MO approach to bonding in linear $\text{XH}_2$ : working from molecular symmetry

The method shown above for generating a bonding description for linear  $\text{XH}_2$  cannot easily be extended to larger molecules. A more rigorous method is to start by identifying the point group of linear  $\text{XH}_2$  as  $D_{\infty h}$  (Figure 4.13a). The  $D_{\infty h}$  character table is used to assign symmetries to the orbitals on atom X, and to the ligand group orbitals. The MO diagram is then constructed by allowing interactions between orbitals of the same symmetry. *Only ligand group orbitals that can be classified within the point group of the whole molecule are allowed.*

Unfortunately, although a linear  $\text{XH}_2$  molecule is structurally simple, the  $D_{\infty h}$  character table is not. This, therefore, makes a poor first example of the use of group theory in orbital analysis. We can, however, draw an analogy between the symmetries of orbitals in linear  $\text{XH}_2$  and those in homonuclear diatomics (also  $D_{\infty h}$ ). Figure 4.13b is a repeat of Figure 4.11, but this time the symmetries of the orbitals on atom X and the two ligand group orbitals are given. Compare these symmetry labels with those in Figures 1.19 and 1.20. The construction of the MO diagram in Figure 4.13b follows by allowing interactions (bonding or antibonding) between orbitals on atom X and ligand group orbitals with the same symmetry labels.


### A bent triatomic: $\text{H}_2\text{O}$

The  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule has  $C_{2v}$  symmetry (Figure 3.3) and we now show how to use this information to develop an MO picture of the bonding in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Part of the  $C_{2v}$  character table is shown below:


| $C_{2v}$ | $E$ | $C_2$ | $\sigma_v(xz)$ | $\sigma_v'(yz)$ |
|----------|-----|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| $A_1$    | 1   | 1     | 1              | 1               |
| $A_2$    | 1   | 1     | -1             | -1              |
| $B_1$    | 1   | -1    | 1              | -1              |
| $B_2$    | 1   | -1    | -1             | 1               |

The inclusion of the  $xz$  and  $yz$  terms in the last two columns of the character table specifies that the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule is taken to lie in the  $yz$  plane, i.e. the  $z$  axis coincides with the principal axis (Figure 4.14). The character table has several important features.

- The labels in the first column (under the point group symbol) tell us the symmetry types of orbitals that are permitted within the specified point group.
- The numbers in the column headed  $E$  (the identity operator) indicate the degeneracy of each type of orbital; in the  $C_{2v}$  point group, all orbitals have a degeneracy of 1, i.e. they are non-degenerate.
- Each row of numbers following a given symmetry label indicates how a particular orbital behaves when operated upon by each symmetry operation. A number 1 means that the orbital is unchanged by the operation, a  $-1$  means the orbital changes sign, and a 0 means that the orbital changes in some other way.




**Fig. 4.13** (a) A linear  $\text{XH}_2$  molecule belongs to the  $D_{\infty h}$  point group. Some of the symmetry operations are shown; the X atom lies on a centre of symmetry (inversion centre). (b) A qualitative MO diagram for the formation of linear  $\text{XH}_2$  from atom X and two H atoms.



**Fig. 4.14** The  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule possesses a  $C_2$  axis and two  $\sigma_v$  planes and belongs to the  $C_{2v}$  point group.

To illustrate its use, let us consider the  $2s$  atomic orbital of the O atom *in water*:



Apply each symmetry operation of the  $C_{2v}$  point group in turn. Applying the  $E$  operator leaves the  $2s$  atomic orbital unchanged; rotation about the  $C_2$  axis leaves the atomic orbital unchanged; reflections through the  $\sigma_v$  and  $\sigma_v'$  planes leave the  $2s$  atomic orbital unchanged. These results correspond to the following row of characters:

| $E$ | $C_2$ | $\sigma_v(xz)$ | $\sigma_v'(yz)$ |
|-----|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1   | 1     | 1              | 1               |

and this matches those for the symmetry type  $A_1$  in the  $C_{2v}$  character table. We therefore label the  $2s$  atomic orbital on the oxygen atom *in water* as an  $a_1$  orbital. (Lower case letters are used for the orbital label, but upper case for the symmetry type in the character table.) The same test is now carried out on each atomic orbital of the O atom. The oxygen  $2p_x$  orbital is left unchanged by the  $E$  operator and by reflection through the  $\sigma_v(xz)$  plane. Each of rotation about the  $C_2$  axis and reflection through the  $\sigma_v'(yz)$  plane inverts the phase of the  $2p_x$  orbital. This is summarized as follows:

| $E$ | $C_2$ | $\sigma_v(xz)$ | $\sigma_v'(yz)$ |
|-----|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1   | -1    | 1              | -1              |

This matches the row of characters for symmetry type  $B_1$  in the  $C_{2v}$  character table, and the  $2p_x$  orbital therefore possesses  $b_1$  symmetry. The  $2p_y$  orbital is left unchanged by the  $E$  operator and by reflection through the  $\sigma_v'(yz)$  plane, but rotation about the  $C_2$  axis and reflection through the

$\sigma_v(xz)$  plane each inverts the phase of the orbital. This is summarized by the row of characters:

| $E$ | $C_2$ | $\sigma_v(xz)$ | $\sigma_v'(yz)$ |
|-----|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1   | -1    | -1             | 1               |


This corresponds to symmetry type  $B_2$  in the  $C_{2v}$  character table, and the  $2p_y$  orbital is labelled  $b_2$ . The  $2p_z$  orbital is left unchanged by the  $E$  operator, by reflection through either of the  $\sigma_v(xz)$  and  $\sigma_v'(yz)$  planes, and by rotation about the  $C_2$  axis. Like the  $2s$  orbital, the  $2p_z$  orbital therefore has  $a_1$  symmetry.

The next step is to work out the nature of the H–H ligand group orbitals that are allowed within the  $C_{2v}$  point group. Since we start with *two* H  $1s$  orbitals, only *two* LGOs can be constructed. The symmetries of these LGOs are deduced as follows. By looking at Figure 4.14, you can see what happens to each of the two H  $1s$  orbitals when each symmetry operation is performed: both  $1s$  orbitals are left unchanged by the  $E$  operator and by reflection through the  $\sigma_v'(yz)$  plane, but both are affected by rotation about the  $C_2$  axis and by reflection through the  $\sigma_v(xz)$  plane. This information is summarized in the following row of characters:

| $E$ | $C_2$ | $\sigma_v(xz)$ | $\sigma_v'(yz)$ |
|-----|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| 2   | 0     | 0              | 2               |

in which a ‘2’ shows that ‘two orbitals are unchanged by the operation’, and a ‘0’ means that ‘no orbitals are unchanged by the operation’. Next, we note two facts: (i) we can construct only *two* ligand group orbitals, and (ii) the symmetry of each LGO must correspond to one of the symmetry types in the character table. We now compare the row of characters above with the *sums of two rows of characters* in the  $C_{2v}$  character table. A match is found with the sum of the characters for the  $A_1$  and  $B_2$  representations. As a result, we can deduce that the two LGOs must possess  $a_1$  and  $b_2$  symmetries, respectively. In this case, it is relatively straightforward to use the  $a_1$  and  $b_2$  symmetry labels to sketch the LGOs shown in Figure 4.15, i.e. the  $a_1$  orbital corresponds to an in-phase combination of H  $1s$  orbitals, while the  $b_2$  orbital is the out-of-phase combination of H  $1s$  orbitals. However, once their symmetries are known, the rigorous method of determining the nature of the orbitals is as follows.

In Figure 4.14, let the two H  $1s$  orbitals be designated as  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ . We now look at the effect of each symmetry operation of the  $C_{2v}$  point group on  $\psi_1$ . The  $E$  operator and reflection through the  $\sigma_v'(yz)$  plane (Figure 4.14) leave  $\psi_1$  unchanged, but a  $C_2$  rotation and reflection through the  $\sigma_v(xz)$  plane each transforms  $\psi_1$  into  $\psi_2$ . The results are



**Fig. 4.15** A qualitative MO diagram for the formation of H<sub>2</sub>O using the ligand group orbital approach. The two H atoms in the H<sub>2</sub> fragment are out of bonding range with each other, their positions being analogous to those in H<sub>2</sub>O. For clarity, the lines marking the oxygen 2*p* orbital energies are drawn apart, despite their being degenerate. Representations of the occupied MOs are shown at the right-hand side of the figure. For the *a*<sub>1</sub> and *b*<sub>2</sub> MOs, the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule is in the plane of the paper; for the *b*<sub>1</sub> MO, the plane containing the molecule is perpendicular to the plane of the paper.

written down as a row of characters:

| <i>E</i> | <i>C</i> <sub>2</sub> | $\sigma_v(xz)$ | $\sigma_v'(yz)$ |
|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| $\psi_1$ | $\psi_2$              | $\psi_2$       | $\psi_1$        |

To determine the composition of the *a*<sub>1</sub> LGO of the H--H fragment in H<sub>2</sub>O, we multiply each character in the above row by the corresponding character for the *A*<sub>1</sub> representation in the *C*<sub>2v</sub> character table, i.e.

| <i>C</i> <sub>2v</sub> | <i>E</i> | <i>C</i> <sub>2</sub> | $\sigma_v(xz)$ | $\sigma_v'(yz)$ |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <i>A</i> <sub>1</sub>  | 1        | 1                     | 1              | 1               |

The result of the multiplication is shown in equation 4.10 and gives the unnormalized wavefunction for the *a*<sub>1</sub> orbital.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a_1) &= (1 \times \psi_1) + (1 \times \psi_2) + (1 \times \psi_2) + (1 \times \psi_1) \\ &= 2\psi_1 + 2\psi_2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

This can be simplified by dividing by 2 and, after normalization (see Section 1.12), gives the final equation for the wavefunction (equation 4.11).

$$\psi(a_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 + \psi_2) \quad \textit{in-phase combination} \quad (4.11)$$

Similarly, by using the *B*<sub>2</sub> representation in the *C*<sub>2v</sub> character table, we can write down equation 4.12. Equation 4.13 gives the equation for the normalized wavefunction.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(b_2) &= (1 \times \psi_1) - (1 \times \psi_2) - (1 \times \psi_2) + (1 \times \psi_1) \\ &= 2\psi_1 - 2\psi_2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

$$\psi(b_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 - \psi_2) \quad \textit{out-of-phase combination} \quad (4.13)$$

The MO diagram shown in Figure 4.15 is constructed as follows. Each of the 2*s* and 2*p*<sub>z</sub> orbitals of the O atom possesses the correct symmetry (*a*<sub>1</sub>) to interact with the *a*<sub>1</sub> orbital of the H--H fragment. These orbital interactions must lead to *three* MOs: two bonding MOs with *a*<sub>1</sub> symmetry and one antibonding (*a*<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>) MO. On symmetry grounds, the lower energy *a*<sub>1</sub> MO could also include 2*p*<sub>z</sub> character, but 2*s* character dominates because of the energy separation of the 2*s* and 2*p*<sub>z</sub> atomic orbitals. The interaction between the 2*p*<sub>y</sub> atomic orbital and the LGO with *b*<sub>2</sub> symmetry leads to two MOs which possess H–O–H bonding and antibonding

character respectively. The oxygen  $2p_x$  orbital has  $b_1$  symmetry and there is no symmetry match with a ligand group orbital. Thus, the oxygen  $2p_x$  orbital is non-bonding in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

The eight valence electrons in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  occupy the MOs according to the *aufbau* principle, and this gives rise to two occupied H–O–H bonding MOs and two occupied MOs with mainly oxygen character. (To appreciate this fully, see end of chapter [problem 4.12](#).) Although this bonding model for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is approximate, it is *qualitatively* adequate for most descriptive purposes.

#### 4.5 Molecular orbital theory applied to the polyatomic molecules $\text{BH}_3$ , $\text{NH}_3$ and $\text{CH}_4$

We begin this section by considering the bonding in  $\text{BH}_3$  and  $\text{NH}_3$ . The bonding in both molecules involves  $\sigma$ -interactions, but whereas  $\text{BH}_3$  has  $D_{3h}$  symmetry,  $\text{NH}_3$  belongs to the  $C_{3v}$  point group.

#### $\text{BH}_3$


The existence of  $\text{BH}_3$  in the gas phase has been established even though the molecule readily dimerizes; the bonding in  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  is described in [Section 4.7](#). The  $\text{BH}_3$  molecule belongs to the  $D_{3h}$  point group. By considering the orbital interactions between the atomic orbitals of the B atom and the LGOs of an appropriate  $\text{H}_3$  fragment, we can establish a molecular bonding scheme. We begin by choosing an appropriate axis set; the  $z$  axis coincides with the  $C_3$  axis of  $\text{BH}_3$  and all of the atoms lie in the  $xy$  plane. Part of the  $D_{3h}$  character table is shown in Table 4.1. By using the same approach as we did for the orbitals of the O atom in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , we can assign symmetry labels to the orbitals of the B atom in  $\text{BH}_3$ :

- the  $2s$  orbital has  $a_1'$  symmetry;
- the  $2p_z$  orbital has  $a_2''$  symmetry;
- the  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  orbitals are degenerate and the orbital set has  $e'$  symmetry.

We now consider the nature of the three ligand group orbitals that are formed from linear combinations of the

**Table 4.1** Part of the  $D_{3h}$  character table; the complete table is given in Appendix 3.

| $D_{3h}$ | $E$ | $2C_3$ | $3C_2$ | $\sigma_h$ | $2S_3$ | $3\sigma_v$ |
|----------|-----|--------|--------|------------|--------|-------------|
| $A_1'$   | 1   | 1      | 1      | 1          | 1      | 1           |
| $A_2'$   | 1   | 1      | -1     | 1          | 1      | -1          |
| $E'$     | 2   | -1     | 0      | 2          | -1     | 0           |
| $A_1''$  | 1   | 1      | 1      | -1         | -1     | -1          |
| $A_2''$  | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1         | -1     | 1           |
| $E''$    | 2   | -1     | 0      | -2         | 1      | 0           |



**Fig. 4.16** The  $\text{BH}_3$  molecule has  $D_{3h}$  symmetry.


three H  $1s$  orbitals. By referring to the  $\text{H}_3$ -fragment in  $\text{BH}_3$ , we work out how many H  $1s$  orbitals are left *unchanged* by each symmetry operation in the  $D_{3h}$  point group (Figure 4.16). The result is represented by the following row of characters:

| $E$ | $C_3$ | $C_2$ | $\sigma_h$ | $S_3$ | $\sigma_v$ |
|-----|-------|-------|------------|-------|------------|
| 3   | 0     | 1     | 3          | 0     | 1          |

This same row of characters can be obtained by summing the rows of characters for the  $A_1'$  and  $E'$  representations in the  $D_{3h}$  character table. Thus, the three LGOs have  $a_1'$  and  $e'$  symmetries; recall that the  $e$  label designates a doubly degenerate set of orbitals. We must now determine the wavefunction for each LGO. Let the three H  $1s$  orbitals in the  $\text{H}_3$  fragment in  $\text{BH}_3$  be  $\psi_1$ ,  $\psi_2$  and  $\psi_3$ . The next step is to see how  $\psi_1$  is affected by each symmetry operation of the  $D_{3h}$  point group (Figure 4.16). For example, the  $C_3$  operation transforms  $\psi_1$  into  $\psi_2$ , the  $C_3^2$  operation transforms  $\psi_1$  into  $\psi_3$ , and the three  $C_2$  operations, respectively, leave  $\psi_1$  unchanged, transform  $\psi_1$  into  $\psi_2$ , and transform  $\psi_1$  into  $\psi_3$ . The following row of characters gives the complete result:

| $E$      | $C_3$    | $C_3^2$  | $C_2(1)$      | $C_2(2)$      | $C_2(3)$      | $\sigma_h$ | $S_3$    |
|----------|----------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|----------|
| $\psi_1$ | $\psi_2$ | $\psi_3$ | $\psi_1$      | $\psi_3$      | $\psi_2$      | $\psi_1$   | $\psi_2$ |
|          |          | $S_3^2$  | $\sigma_v(1)$ | $\sigma_v(2)$ | $\sigma_v(2)$ |            |          |
|          |          | $\psi_3$ | $\psi_1$      | $\psi_3$      | $\psi_2$      |            |          |

The unnormalized wavefunction (equation 4.14) for the  $a_1'$  ligand group orbital is found by multiplying each character in the above row by the corresponding character for the  $A_1'$  representation in the  $D_{3h}$  character table. After simplification (dividing by 4) and normalizing, the wavefunction can be written as equation 4.15, and can be described



**Fig. 4.17** A qualitative MO diagram for the formation of BH<sub>3</sub> using the ligand group orbital approach. The three H atoms in the H<sub>3</sub> fragment are out of bonding range with each other, their positions being analogous to those in the BH<sub>3</sub> molecule. Orbitals LGO(2) and LGO(3) form a degenerate pair (*e'* symmetry), although for clarity, the lines marking their orbital energies are drawn apart; similarly for the three *2p* atomic orbitals of boron. [*Exercise*: where do the nodal planes lie in LGO(2) and LGO(3)?]

schematically as the in-phase combination of *1s* orbitals shown as LGO(1) in Figure 4.17.

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(a_1') &= \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_1 + \psi_3 + \psi_2 + \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 \\ &\quad + \psi_1 + \psi_3 + \psi_2 \\ &= 4\psi_1 + 4\psi_2 + 4\psi_3\end{aligned}\quad (4.14)$$

$$\psi(a_1') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3)\quad (4.15)$$

A similar procedure can be used to deduce that equation 4.16 describes the normalized wavefunction for one of the degenerate *e'* orbitals. Schematically, this is represented as LGO(2) in Figure 4.17; the orbital contains one nodal plane.

$$\psi(e')_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2\psi_1 - \psi_2 - \psi_3)\quad (4.16)$$

Each *e'* orbital must contain a nodal plane, and the planes in the two orbitals are orthogonal to one another. Thus, we can write equation 4.17 to describe the second *e'* orbital; the nodal plane passes through atom H(1) and the *1s* orbital on this atom makes *no contribution* to the LGO. This is represented as LGO(3) in Figure 4.17.

$$\psi(e')_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_2 - \psi_3)\quad (4.17)$$


The MO diagram for BH<sub>3</sub> can now be constructed by allowing orbitals of the same symmetry to interact. The *2p<sub>z</sub>*

orbital on the B atom has *a<sub>2</sub>''* symmetry and no symmetry match can be found with an LGO of the H<sub>3</sub> fragment. Thus, the *2p<sub>z</sub>* orbital is non-bonding in BH<sub>3</sub>. The MO approach describes the bonding in BH<sub>3</sub> in terms of three MOs of *a<sub>1</sub>'* and *e'* symmetries. The *a<sub>1</sub>'* orbital possesses  $\sigma$ -bonding character which is *delocalized over all four atoms*. The *e'* orbitals also exhibit delocalized character, and the bonding in BH<sub>3</sub> is described by considering a *combination of all three bonding MOs*.

### NH<sub>3</sub>

The NH<sub>3</sub> molecule has *C<sub>3v</sub>* symmetry (Figure 4.18) and a bonding scheme can be derived by considering the interaction between the atomic orbitals of the N atom and the ligand group orbitals of an appropriate H<sub>3</sub> fragment. An appropriate axis set has the *z* axis coincident with the *C<sub>3</sub>* axis of NH<sub>3</sub> (see [worked example 3.2](#)); the *x* and *y* axes are directed as shown in Figure 4.19. Table 4.2 shows part of the *C<sub>3v</sub>* character table. By seeing how each symmetry operation affects each orbital of the N atom in NH<sub>3</sub>, the orbital symmetries are assigned as follows:

- each of the *2s* and *2p<sub>z</sub>* orbitals has *a<sub>1</sub>'* symmetry;
- the *2p<sub>x</sub>* and *2p<sub>y</sub>* orbitals are degenerate and the orbital set has *e* symmetry.



**Fig. 4.18** The  $\text{NH}_3$  molecule has  $C_{3v}$  symmetry.

To determine the nature of the ligand group orbitals, we consider how many H  $1s$  orbitals are left *unchanged* by each symmetry operation in the  $C_{3v}$  point group (Figure 4.18). The result is represented by the row of characters:

| $E$ | $C_3$ | $\sigma_v$ |
|-----|-------|------------|
| 3   | 0     | 1          |

It follows that the three ligand group orbitals have  $a_1$  and  $e$  symmetries. Although the symmetry labels of the LGOs of

**Table 4.2** Part of the  $C_{3v}$  character table; the complete table is given in Appendix 3.


| $C_{3v}$ | $E$ | $2C_3$ | $3\sigma_v$ |
|----------|-----|--------|-------------|
| $A_1$    | 1   | 1      | 1           |
| $A_2$    | 1   | 1      | -1          |
| $E$      | 2   | -1     | 0           |

the  $\text{H}_3$  fragments in  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{BH}_3$  differ because the molecules belong to different point groups, the normalized wavefunctions for the LGOs are the same (equations 4.15–4.17). Schematic representations of the LGOs are shown in Figure 4.19.

### Self-study exercises

1. Give a full explanation of how one derives the symmetries of the LGOs of the  $\text{H}_3$  fragment in  $\text{NH}_3$ .
2. By following the same procedure as we did for  $\text{BH}_3$ , derive equations for the normalized wavefunctions that describe the LGOs shown schematically in Figure 4.19.

The qualitative MO diagram shown in Figure 4.19 is constructed by allowing interactions between orbitals of the same symmetries. Because the nitrogen  $2s$  and  $2p_z$



**Fig. 4.19** A qualitative MO diagram for the formation of  $\text{NH}_3$  using the ligand group orbital approach. For clarity, the lines marking degenerate orbital energies are drawn apart. The diagrams on the right-hand side show representations of three of the occupied MOs; the orientation of the  $\text{NH}_3$  molecule in each diagram is the same as in the structure at the bottom of the figure.

orbitals have  $a_1$  symmetry, they can both interact with the  $a_1$  LGO. This leads to three  $a_1$  MOs. On symmetry grounds, the lowest-lying  $a_1$  MO could also contain N  $2p_z$  character, but the energy separation of the  $2s$  and  $2p$  atomic orbitals is such that  $2s$  character predominates. This is analogous to the case for H<sub>2</sub>O described earlier. After constructing the MO diagram, the eight valence electrons are placed in the MOs according to the *aufbau* principle. The characters of three of the occupied orbitals are shown at the right-hand side of Figure 4.19. The lowest energy orbital ( $a_1$ ) has delocalized N–H bonding character. The highest occupied MO (HOMO) has some N–H bonding character, but retains an outward-pointing orbital lobe; this  $a_1$  MO is essentially the nitrogen lone pair.

### Self-study exercise

List differences between the MO diagrams for BH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> shown in Figures 4.17 and 4.19. Explain why these differences occur. In particular, explain why the  $2p_z$  orbital on the central atom is non-bonding in BH<sub>3</sub>, but can interact with the LGOs of the H<sub>3</sub> fragment in NH<sub>3</sub>.

## CH<sub>4</sub>

The CH<sub>4</sub> molecule has  $T_d$  symmetry. The relationship between a tetrahedron and cube that we illustrated in Figure 4.6 is seen formally by the fact that the  $T_d$  point group belongs to the *cubic point group* family. This family includes the  $T_d$  and  $O_h$  point groups. Table 4.3 shows part

**Table 4.3** Part of the  $T_d$  character table; the complete table is given in Appendix 3.

| $T_d$ | $E$ | $8C_3$ | $3C_2$ | $6S_4$ | $6\sigma_d$ |
|-------|-----|--------|--------|--------|-------------|
| $A_1$ | 1   | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1           |
| $A_2$ | 1   | 1      | 1      | -1     | -1          |
| $E$   | 2   | -1     | 2      | 0      | 0           |
| $T_1$ | 3   | 0      | -1     | 1      | -1          |
| $T_2$ | 3   | 0      | -1     | -1     | 1           |


of the  $T_d$  character table. The  $C_3$  axes in CH<sub>4</sub> coincide with the C–H bonds, and the  $C_2$  and  $S_4$  axes coincide with the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  axes defined in Figure 4.6. Under  $T_d$  symmetry, the orbitals of the C atom in CH<sub>4</sub> (Figure 4.20a) are classified as follows:

- the  $2s$  orbital has  $a_1$  symmetry;
- the  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  orbitals are degenerate and the orbital set has  $t_2$  symmetry.

In order to construct the LGOs of the H<sub>4</sub> fragment in CH<sub>4</sub>, we begin by working out the number of H  $1s$  orbitals left *unchanged* by each symmetry operation of the  $T_d$  point group. The result is summarized in the row of characters:

| $E$ | $C_3$ | $C_2$ | $S_4$ | $\sigma_d$ |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|------------|
| 4   | 1     | 0     | 0     | 2          |

This same row of characters results by summing the rows of characters for the  $A_1$  and  $T_2$  representations in the  $T_d$



**Fig. 4.20** The ligand group orbital approach to the bonding in CH<sub>4</sub>. (a) The  $2s$ ,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  atomic orbitals of carbon. (b) The four hydrogen  $1s$  atomic orbitals combine to generate four ligand group orbitals (LGOs).

character table (Table 4.3). The four ligand group orbitals therefore have  $a_1$  and  $t_2$  symmetries; the  $t$  label designates a triply degenerate set of orbitals. Normalized wavefunctions for these LGOs are given by equations 4.18–4.21.

$$\psi(a_1) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4) \quad (4.18)$$

$$\psi(t_2)_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 - \psi_2 + \psi_3 - \psi_4) \quad (4.19)$$


$$\psi(t_2)_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 + \psi_2 - \psi_3 - \psi_4) \quad (4.20)$$

$$\psi(t_2)_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 - \psi_2 - \psi_3 + \psi_4) \quad (4.21)$$

These four LGOs are shown schematically in Figure 4.20b. By comparing Figures 4.20a and 4.20b, the symmetries of the four ligand group orbitals can be readily matched to those of the  $2s$ ,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  atomic orbitals of the C atom. This allows us to construct a qualitative MO diagram (Figure 4.21) in which the interactions between the carbon atomic orbitals and the ligand group orbitals of the  $\text{H}_4$  fragment lead to four MOs with delocalized  $\sigma$ -bonding character and four antibonding MOs.

## A comparison of the MO and VB bonding models

When we considered how valence bond theory can be used to describe the bonding in  $\text{BH}_3$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{NH}_3$ , we used appropriate hybridization schemes such that bonds known to be structurally equivalent would be equivalent in the bonding scheme. One hybrid orbital contributed to each *localized* X–H (X = B, C or N) bond. On the other hand, the results of MO theory indicate that the bonding character is *delocalized*. Moreover, in each of  $\text{BH}_3$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{CH}_4$ , there are two different *types* of bonding MO: a unique MO involving the  $2s$  atomic orbital of the central atom, and a degenerate set of two (in  $\text{BH}_3$  and  $\text{NH}_3$ ) or three (in  $\text{CH}_4$ ) MOs involving the  $2p$  atomic orbitals of the central



**Fig. 4.21** A qualitative MO diagram for the formation of  $\text{CH}_4$  from the orbital basis set shown in Figure 4.20.

atom. Evidence for these orderings of MOs comes from photoelectron spectroscopy (see [Box 4.1](#)). How can the results of MO theory account for the experimentally observed equivalence of the X–H bonds in a given molecule?

As we have already mentioned, it is essential to understand that, in MO theory, the bonding in a molecule is described by combining the characters of *all* the occupied MOs with bonding character. Take  $\text{CH}_4$  as an example. The  $a_1$  orbital (Figure 4.21) is spherically symmetric and provides equal bonding character in all four C–H interactions. The  $t_2$  orbitals must be considered as a set and not as individual

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 4.1 Photoelectron spectroscopy (PES)

The energies of *occupied* atomic orbitals and molecular orbitals can be studied by *photoelectron spectroscopy* (PES). In a PES experiment, an atom or molecule is irradiated with electromagnetic radiation of energy  $E$ , causing electrons to be ejected from the system. Each electron possesses a characteristic *binding energy* and must absorb an amount of energy equal to, or in excess of, this binding energy if it is to be ejected. The energy of an ejected electron is that in excess of the binding energy assuming that  $E$  is greater than the binding energy.

Excess energy of electron =  $E - (\text{binding energy of electron})$

Since the excess energy can be measured and  $E$  is known, the binding energy can be determined. *Koopmans' theorem*

relates the binding energy of the electron to the energy of the atomic or molecular orbital in which it resides. This relationship allows photoelectron spectroscopy to be used to estimate the energies of occupied orbitals, and, thus, obtain information about the ordering of orbitals in a particular atomic or molecular species.

#### Further reading

R.L. DeKock and H.B. Gray (1980) *Chemical Structure and Bonding*, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park – This includes a more detailed discussion of the application of PES.

orbitals. Taken together, this set of orbitals provides a picture of four equivalent C–H bonding interactions and, therefore, the overall picture is one of C–H bond equivalence.

## 4.6 Molecular orbital theory: bonding analyses soon become complicated

In this section, we consider the bonding in  $\text{BF}_3$  using the ligand group orbital approach. Although  $\text{BF}_3$  is a fairly simple molecule, the following discussion demonstrates the complexity of the treatment when the atomic orbital basis set of each atom contains both  $s$  and  $p$  orbitals. The  $\text{BF}_3$  molecule has  $D_{3h}$  symmetry. The  $z$ -axis is defined to coincide with the  $C_3$  axis and the  $\text{BF}_3$  molecule lies in the  $xy$  plane (Figure 4.22). Just as in  $\text{BH}_3$ , the atomic orbitals of the B atom in  $\text{BF}_3$  are assigned the following symmetries:


- the  $2s$  orbital has  $a_1'$  symmetry;
- the  $2p_z$  orbital has  $a_2''$  symmetry;
- the  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  orbitals are degenerate and the orbital set has  $e'$  symmetry.

Ligand group orbitals involving the F  $2s$  orbitals in  $\text{BF}_3$  and having  $a_1'$  and  $e'$  symmetries can be derived in the same way as those for the  $\text{H}_3$  fragment in  $\text{BH}_3$ . These are shown as LGO(1)–LGO(3) in Figure 4.22. The  $p$  orbitals on the F atoms can be partitioned into two types: those

lying in the plane of the molecule ( $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$ ) and those perpendicular to the plane ( $2p_z$ ). Ligand group orbitals can be formed from combinations of  $2p_z$  orbitals, and from combinations of the in-plane  $2p$  orbitals. Let us first consider the  $2p_z$  orbitals. The procedure for deriving the wavefunctions that describe the LGOs allowed within the  $D_{3h}$  point group is the same as we have used before, but there is one important difference: when we consider how a  $2p_z$  orbital is changed by a symmetry operation, we must look not only for the orbital being transformed to another position, but also for a change in phase. For example, if a  $p_z$  orbital is perpendicular to a  $\sigma_h$  plane, reflection through the plane will change its phase, but its position remains the same. This is exemplified when we work out how many F  $2p_z$  orbitals are unchanged by each symmetry operation in the  $D_{3h}$  point group. The following row of characters summarizes the result; a negative sign means that the orbital is unmoved, but its phase has changed:

| $E$ | $C_3$ | $C_2$ | $\sigma_h$ | $S_3$ | $\sigma_v$ |
|-----|-------|-------|------------|-------|------------|
| 3   | 0     | -1    | -3         | 0     | 1          |

This row of characters is also produced by summing the rows of characters for the  $A_2''$  and  $E''$  representations in the  $D_{3h}$  character table (Table 4.1), and therefore the LGOs are of  $a_2''$  and  $e''$  symmetries. By considering the effects of every operation on one of the F  $2p_z$  orbitals in the  $\text{F}_3$  fragment, we can (as before) arrive at an equation



**Fig. 4.22** Schematic representations of the ligand group orbitals (LGOs) for a  $D_{3h}$   $\text{F}_3$  fragment, the geometry of which is analogous to that in  $\text{BF}_3$  (the position of the B atom is marked by the dot in the top left-hand diagram); the  $\text{F}_3$  triangle lies in the  $xy$  plane. Orbitals LGO(5), LGO(8) and LGO(9) contain contributions from the  $2p_z$  atomic orbitals, directed perpendicular to the  $\text{F}_3$  triangle. The relative sizes of the lobes in each diagram *approximately* represent the relative contributions made by the fluorine atomic orbitals to each ligand group orbital.

for the unnormalized wavefunction of each LGO. Let the three F  $2p_z$  orbitals be  $\psi_1$ ,  $\psi_2$  and  $\psi_3$ . We now generate the following row of characters, including a negative sign whenever the operation produces a change of orbital phase:

| $E$      | $C_3$    | $C_3^2$  | $C_2(1)$  | $C_2(2)$      | $C_2(3)$      | $\sigma_h$    | $S_3$     |
|----------|----------|----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| $\psi_1$ | $\psi_2$ | $\psi_3$ | $-\psi_1$ | $-\psi_3$     | $-\psi_2$     | $-\psi_1$     | $-\psi_2$ |
| <hr/>    |          |          |           |               |               |               |           |
|          |          |          | $S_3^2$   | $\sigma_v(1)$ | $\sigma_v(2)$ | $\sigma_v(2)$ |           |
|          |          |          | $-\psi_3$ | $\psi_1$      | $\psi_3$      | $\psi_2$      |           |

Multiplying each character in this row by the corresponding character in the row for the  $A_2''$  representation in the  $D_{3h}$  character table (Table 4.1) gives the unnormalized form of the wavefunction for the  $a_2''$  LGO (equation 4.22). Simplification and normalization gives equation 4.23. The  $a_2''$  LGO can thus be described as an in-phase combination of  $2p_z$  orbitals and is shown schematically in Figure 4.22 as LGO(5).

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a_2'') &= \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_1 + \psi_3 + \psi_2 + \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 \\ &\quad + \psi_1 + \psi_3 + \psi_2 \\ &= 4\psi_1 + 4\psi_2 + 4\psi_3 \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

$$\psi(a_2'') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3) \quad (4.23)$$

Similarly, equations 4.24 and 4.25 can be derived for the  $e''$  orbitals; these are represented in Figure 4.22 as LGO(8) and LGO(9).


$$\psi(e'')_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2\psi_1 - \psi_2 - \psi_3) \quad (4.24)$$

$$\psi(e'')_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_2 - \psi_3) \quad (4.25)$$

The same procedure can be used to derive the fact that the in-plane F  $2p$  orbitals combine to give two LGOs with  $a_1'$  and  $a_2'$  symmetries respectively, and two sets of  $e'$  LGOs. These are shown schematically in Figure 4.22 as LGOs (4), (6), (7), (10), (11) and (12).

We are now in a position to construct a qualitative MO diagram to describe the bonding in  $\text{BF}_3$ . The symmetries of the B orbitals under  $D_{3h}$  symmetry are given at the left side of Figure 4.23, and those of the LGOs are shown in Figure 4.22. The problem is best tackled in three steps:

- look for orbital interactions that give rise to  $\sigma$ -MOs;
- look for orbital interactions that give rise to  $\pi$ -orbitals;



**Fig. 4.23** A qualitative MO diagram for the formation of  $\text{BF}_3$ ; the ligand group orbitals (LGOs) are shown in Figure 4.22. The light grey rectangle in the stack of MOs in  $\text{BF}_3$  represents a group of eight non-bonding MOs. The diagram is an oversimplification of the bonding in  $\text{BF}_3$ , but is sufficiently detailed to account for the B–F bonds possessing partial  $\pi$ -character. The characters of three of the occupied B–F bonding MOs are shown at the right-hand side of the figure; the orientation of the  $\text{BF}_3$  molecule in each diagram is same as in the structure at the bottom of the figure.

- look for any orbital that has a symmetry that precludes orbital interactions between fragments.

The  $\sigma$ -bonding in  $\text{BF}_3$  evolves from interactions involving the fragment  $a_1'$  and  $e'$  orbitals. Inspection of Figure 4.22 reveals that there are two  $\text{F}_3$ -fragment LGOs with  $a_1'$  symmetry, and three sets of  $e'$  orbitals. The extent of mixing between fragment orbitals of the same symmetry depends on their relative energies, and is impossible to predict with any degree of reliability. At the simplest level, we can assume a  $\sigma$ -bonding picture that mimics that in  $\text{BH}_3$  (Figure 4.17). This picture involves LGO(1) in the formation of the  $a_1'$  and  $a_1'^*$  MOs labelled in Figure 4.23, but leaves LGO(4) as a non-bonding orbital. This model can be fine-tuned by allowing some of the character of LGO(4) to be mixed into the  $a_1'$  and  $a_1'^*$  MOs with B–F bonding or antibonding character. In order to ‘balance the books’, some character from LGO(1) must then end up in the non-bonding  $a_1'$  orbital. Similarly, we could allow contributions from the fragment  $e'$  MOs containing F  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  character to mix into the  $e'$  and  $e'^*$  MOs with B–F bonding or antibonding character. In the simplest bonding picture, these MOs contain F  $2s$  character, and LGOs(6), (7), (10) and (11) become non-bonding MOs in  $\text{BF}_3$ . Assessing the extent of orbital mixing is difficult, if not impossible, at a qualitative level. It is best unravelled by computational programs (many of which are available for use on a PC) which run at a variety of levels of sophistication.

The  $a_2''$  symmetry of the B  $2p_z$  orbital matches that of LGO(5) and an in-phase orbital interaction gives rise to an MO that has  $\pi$ -bonding character delocalized over all three B–F interactions.

The only orbitals on the  $\text{F}_3$  fragment for which there is no symmetry match on the B atom comprise the  $e''$  set. These orbitals are carried across into  $\text{BF}_3$  as non-bonding MOs.

The overall bonding picture for  $\text{BF}_3$  is summarized in Figure 4.23. There are four bonding MOs, four antibonding MOs and eight non-bonding MOs. The B atom provides three electrons and each F atom, seven electrons, giving a total of 12 electron pairs to occupy the 12 bonding and non-bonding MOs shown in Figure 4.23. This is a simple picture of the bonding which does not allow for orbital mixing. However, it provides a description that includes partial  $\pi$ -character in each B–F bond, and is therefore consistent with the VB treatment that we discussed in Section 4.3.

### Self-study exercises


1. Based on symmetry arguments, why does the  $2p_z$  orbital on boron remain non-bonding in  $\text{BH}_3$  but is involved in a bonding interaction in  $\text{BF}_3$ ?
2. Explain why LGO(4) in Figure 4.22 can become involved in B–F bonding in  $\text{BF}_3$ , but is treated as a non-bonding MO in Figure 4.23.

## 4.7 Molecular orbital theory: learning to use the theory objectively


The aim of this section is not to establish complete bonding pictures for molecules using MO theory, but rather to develop an objective way of using the MO model to rationalize particular features about a molecule. This often involves drawing a *partial MO diagram* for the molecule in question. In each example below, the reader should consider the implications of this partial treatment: it can be dangerous because bonding features, other than those upon which one is focusing, are ignored. However, with care and practice, the use of partial MO treatments is extremely valuable as a method of understanding structural and chemical properties in terms of bonding and we shall make use of it later in the book.

### $\pi$ -Bonding in $\text{CO}_2$

The aim in this section is to develop an MO description of the  $\pi$ -bonding in  $\text{CO}_2$ . Before beginning, we must consider what valence orbitals are unused after  $\sigma$ -bonding. The  $\text{CO}_2$  molecule belongs to the  $D_{\infty h}$  point group; the  $z$  axis is defined to coincide with the  $C_{\infty}$  axis (structure 4.3). The  $\sigma$ -bonding in an  $\text{XH}_2$  molecule was described in Figure 4.13. A similar picture can be developed for the  $\sigma$ -bonding in  $\text{CO}_2$ , with the difference that the H  $1s$  orbitals in  $\text{XH}_2$  are replaced by O  $2s$  and  $2p_z$  orbitals in  $\text{CO}_2$ . Their overlap with the C  $2s$  and  $2p_z$  orbitals leads to the formation of six MOs with  $\sigma_g$  or  $\sigma_u$  symmetry, four occupied and two unoccupied.



After the formation of C–O  $\sigma$ -interactions, the orbitals remaining are the C and O  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  orbitals. We now use the ligand group orbital approach to describe the  $\pi$ -bonding in terms of the interactions between the C  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  orbitals and the LGOs (derived from O  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  orbitals) of an O–O fragment. The LGOs are shown in Figure 4.24. An in-phase combination of  $2p$  orbitals is non-centrosymmetric and has  $\pi_u$  symmetry, while an out-of-phase combination is centrosymmetric and has  $\pi_g$  symmetry. Only the  $\pi_u$  LGOs have the correct symmetry to interact with the C  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  orbitals, leaving the  $\pi_g$  LGOs as non-bonding MOs in  $\text{CO}_2$ . After filling the lower-lying  $\sigma$ -bonding MOs, there are eight electrons left. These occupy the  $\pi_u$  and  $\pi_g$  MOs (Figure 4.24). The characters of one  $\pi_u$  MO and one  $\pi_g$  MO are shown at the top of Figure 4.24; for each degenerate set of MOs, the character of the second  $\pi_u$  MO is the same as the first but is orthogonal to it. Each  $\pi_u$  MO has delocalized O–C–O  $\pi$ -bonding character, and the net result of having both  $\pi_u$  orbitals occupied is a  $\pi$ -bond order of 1 per C–O interaction.




**Fig. 4.24** A partial MO diagram that illustrates the formation of delocalized C–O  $\pi$ -bonds using the ligand group orbital approach. The  $\text{CO}_2$  molecule is defined as lying on the  $z$  axis. The characters of the  $\pi_g$  and  $\pi_u$  MOs are shown in the diagrams at the top of the figure.

### Self-study exercise

Work out a qualitative MO description for the  $\sigma$ -bonding in  $\text{CO}_2$  and show that this picture is consistent with leaving eight electrons to occupy the  $\pi$ -type MOs shown in Figure 4.24.

### $[\text{NO}_3]^-$

In worked example 4.2, we considered the bonding in  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  using a VB approach. Three resonance structures (one of which is 4.4) are needed to account for the equivalence of the N–O bonds, in which the net bond order per N–O bond is 1.33. Molecular orbital theory allows us to represent the N–O  $\pi$ -system in terms of delocalized interactions.




The  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  ion has  $D_{3h}$  symmetry and the  $z$  axis is defined to coincide with the  $C_3$  axis. The valence orbitals of each N and O atom are  $2s$  and  $2p$  orbitals. The  $\pi$ -bonding in  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  can be described in terms of the interactions of the N  $2p_z$  orbital with appropriate LGOs of the  $\text{O}_3$  fragment. Under  $D_{3h}$  symmetry, the N  $2p_z$  orbital has  $a_2''$  symmetry (see Table 4.1). The LGOs that can be constructed from O  $2p_z$  orbitals are shown in Figure 4.25 along with their symmetries; the method of derivation is identical to that for the corresponding LGOs for the  $\text{F}_3$  fragment in  $\text{BF}_3$

(equations 4.23–4.25). The partial MO diagram shown in Figure 4.25 can be constructed by symmetry-matching of the orbitals. The MOs that result have  $\pi$ -bonding ( $a_2''$ ), non-bonding ( $e''$ ) and  $\pi$ -antibonding ( $a_2''^*$ ) character; the  $a_2''$  and  $a_2''^*$  MOs are illustrated at the right-hand side of Figure 4.25. Six electrons occupy the  $a_2''$  and  $e''$  MOs. This number of electrons can be deduced by considering that of the 24 valence electrons in  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ , six occupy  $\sigma$ -bonding MOs, 12 occupy oxygen-centred MOs with essentially non-bonding character, leaving six electrons for the  $\pi$ -type MOs (see problem 4.18 at the end of chapter).

Molecular orbital theory therefore gives a picture of  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  in which there is *one* occupied MO with  $\pi$ -character and this is delocalized over all four atoms giving an N–O  $\pi$ -bond order of  $\frac{1}{3}$ . This is in agreement with the valence bond picture, but it is perhaps easier to visualize the delocalized bonding scheme than the resonance between three contributing forms of the type of structure 4.4. The bonding in the isoelectronic species  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{BO}_3]^{3-}$  (both  $D_{3h}$ ) can be treated in a similar manner.


### $\text{SF}_6$

Sulfur hexafluoride (4.5) provides an example of a so-called *hypervalent* molecule, i.e. one in which the central atom *appears* to expand its octet of valence electrons. However, a valence bond picture of the bonding in  $\text{SF}_6$  involving resonance structures such as 4.6 shows that the S atom obeys the octet rule. A set of resonance structures is needed to rationalize the observed equivalence of the six S–F bonds. Other examples of ‘hypervalent’ species of the  $p$ -block elements are  $\text{PF}_5$ ,  $\text{POCl}_3$ ,  $\text{AsF}_5$  and  $[\text{SeCl}_6]^{2-}$ . The



**Fig. 4.25** A qualitative, partial MO diagram to illustrate the formation of a delocalized  $\pi$ -system in  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ ; a ligand group orbital approach is used. The characters of the  $a_2''$  and  $a_2''^*$  MOs are shown in the diagrams at the right-hand side of the figure.

bonding in each compound can be described within VB theory by a set of resonance structures in which the octet rule is obeyed for each atom (see [Sections 14.3](#) and [15.3](#)).



**Fig. 4.26** (a) An octahedron can be inscribed in a cube; each vertex of the octahedron lies in the middle of a face of the cube. (b) The diagram shows one of each type of rotation axis of an octahedron. An inversion centre lies at the centre of the octahedron. [*Exercise*: Work out where the  $\sigma_h$  and  $\sigma_d$  planes lie; see Table 4.4.]



The  $\text{SF}_6$  molecule, **4.5**, belongs to the  $O_h$  point group, which is one of the cubic point groups. The relationship between the octahedron and cube is shown in Figure 4.26a; the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  axes for the octahedron are defined as being parallel to the edges of the cube. In an octahedral molecule such as  $\text{SF}_6$ , this means that the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  axes coincide with the S–F bonds. Table 4.4 gives part of the  $O_h$  character table, and the positions of the rotation axes are shown in Figure 4.26b. The  $\text{SF}_6$  molecule is centrosymmetric, the S atom being on an inversion centre. Using the  $O_h$  character table, the valence orbitals of the S atom in  $\text{SF}_6$  can be classified as follows:

- the  $3s$  orbital has  $a_{1g}$  symmetry;
- the  $3p_x$ ,  $3p_y$  and  $3p_z$  orbitals are degenerate and the orbital set has  $t_{1u}$  symmetry.

**Table 4.4** Part of the  $O_h$  character table; the complete table is given in Appendix 3.

| $O_h$    | $E$ | $8C_3$ | $6C_2$ | $6C_4$ | $3C_2$<br>( $= C_4^2$ ) | $i$ | $6S_4$ | $8S_6$ | $3\sigma_h$ | $6\sigma_d$ |
|----------|-----|--------|--------|--------|-------------------------|-----|--------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| $A_{1g}$ | 1   | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1                       | 1   | 1      | 1      | 1           | 1           |
| $A_{2g}$ | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1     | 1                       | 1   | -1     | 1      | 1           | -1          |
| $E_g$    | 2   | -1     | 0      | 0      | 2                       | 2   | 0      | -1     | 2           | 0           |
| $T_{1g}$ | 3   | 0      | -1     | 1      | -1                      | 3   | 1      | 0      | -1          | -1          |
| $T_{2g}$ | 3   | 0      | 1      | -1     | -1                      | 3   | -1     | 0      | -1          | 1           |
| $A_{1u}$ | 1   | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1                       | -1  | -1     | -1     | -1          | -1          |
| $A_{2u}$ | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1     | 1                       | -1  | 1      | -1     | -1          | 1           |
| $E_u$    | 2   | -1     | 0      | 0      | 2                       | -2  | 0      | 1      | -2          | 0           |
| $T_{1u}$ | 3   | 0      | -1     | 1      | -1                      | -3  | -1     | 0      | 1           | 1           |
| $T_{2u}$ | 3   | 0      | 1      | -1     | -1                      | -3  | 1      | 0      | 1           | -1          |

Ligand group orbitals for the  $F_6$  fragment in  $SF_6$  can be constructed from the F  $2s$  and  $2p$  orbitals. For a qualitative picture of the bonding, we can assume that the  $s$ - $p$  separation for fluorine is relatively large (see Section 1.13) and, as a consequence, there is negligible  $s$ - $p$  mixing. Separate sets of LGOs can therefore be formed from the F  $2s$  orbitals and from the F  $2p$  orbitals. Furthermore, the  $2p$  orbitals fall into two classes: those that point towards the S atom (radial orbitals, diagram 4.7) and those that are tangential to the octahedron (diagram 4.8).




The S-F  $\sigma$ -bonds involve the radial  $2p$  orbitals, and therefore the partial MO diagram that we construct for  $SF_6$  focuses only on these fluorine orbitals. The wavefunctions that describe the LGOs for the  $F_6$  fragment in  $SF_6$  are derived as follows. We first work out how many of the six radial  $2p$  orbitals are unchanged under each  $O_h$  symmetry operation. The following row of characters gives the result:

| $E$ | $8C_3$ | $6C_2$ | $6C_4$ | $3C_2$<br>( $= C_4^2$ ) | $i$ | $6S_4$ | $8S_6$ | $3\sigma_h$ | $6\sigma_d$ |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|-------------------------|-----|--------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| 6   | 0      | 0      | 2      | 2                       | 0   | 0      | 0      | 4           | 2           |

This same row of characters can be obtained by summing the characters for the  $A_{1g}$ ,  $T_{1u}$  and  $E_g$  representations in the  $O_h$  character table (Table 4.4). Therefore, the LGOs have  $a_{1g}$ ,  $t_{1u}$  and  $e_g$  symmetries.

It is now helpful to introduce the concept of a *local axis set*. When the LGOs for a  $Y_n$  group in an  $XY_n$  molecule

involve orbitals other than spherically symmetric  $s$  orbitals, it is often useful to define the axis set on each Y atom so that the  $z$  axis points towards X. Diagram 4.9 illustrates this for the  $F_6$  fragment.



Thus, the six radial  $3p$  orbitals that constitute the basis set for the LGOs of the  $F_6$  fragment in  $SF_6$  can be taken to be six  $3p_z$  orbitals. Let these be labelled  $\psi_1$ - $\psi_6$  (numbering as in 4.9). By using the same method as in previous examples in this chapter, we can derive the wavefunctions for the  $a_{1g}$ ,  $t_{1u}$  and  $e_g$  LGOs (equations 4.26-4.31). These LGOs are represented schematically in Figure 4.27.

$$\psi(a_{1g}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 + \psi_4 + \psi_5 + \psi_6) \quad (4.26)$$

$$\psi(t_{1u})_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 - \psi_6) \quad (4.27)$$

$$\psi(t_{1u})_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_2 - \psi_4) \quad (4.28)$$


$$\psi(t_{1u})_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_3 - \psi_5) \quad (4.29)$$

$$\psi(e_g)_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}(2\psi_1 - \psi_2 - \psi_3 - \psi_4 - \psi_5 + 2\psi_6) \quad (4.30)$$

$$\psi(e_g)_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_2 - \psi_3 + \psi_4 - \psi_5) \quad (4.31)$$

The partial MO diagram in Figure 4.28 is constructed by matching the symmetries of the S valence orbitals and the LGOs of the  $F_6$  fragment. Orbital interactions occur between the  $a_{1g}$  orbitals and between the  $t_{1u}$  orbitals, but the  $e_g$  set on the  $F_6$  fragment is non-bonding in  $SF_6$ .

There are 48 valence electrons in  $SF_6$ . These occupy the  $a_{1g}$ ,  $t_{1u}$  and  $e_g$  MOs shown in Figure 4.28, in addition to 18




**Fig. 4.27** Ligand group orbitals for the  $F_6$  fragment in  $SF_6$  ( $O_h$ ). These orbitals only include contributions from the radial  $2p$  orbitals on fluorine (see text).

MOs that possess mainly fluorine character. The qualitative MO picture of the bonding in  $SF_6$  that we have developed is therefore consistent with six equivalent S–F bonds. Based on Figure 4.28, the S–F bond order is  $2/3$  because there are four bonding pairs of electrons for six S–F interactions.

### Three-centre two-electron interactions

We have already described several examples of bonding pictures that involve the delocalization of electrons. In cases such as  $BF_3$  and  $SF_6$ , this leads to fractional bond orders. We now consider two linear  $XY_2$  species in which there is only one occupied MO with Y–X–Y bonding character. This leads to the formation of a three-centre two-electron ( $3c-2e$ ) bonding interaction.



**Fig. 4.28** Qualitative, partial MO diagram for the formation of  $SF_6$  using the ligand group orbital approach with a basis set for sulfur that is composed of the  $3s$  and  $3p$  atomic orbitals.

In a  $3c-2e$  bonding interaction, two electrons occupy a bonding MO which is delocalized over three atomic centres.

The  $[HF_2]^-$  ion (see Figure 9.8) has  $D_{\infty h}$  symmetry and the  $z$  axis coincides with the  $C_{\infty}$  axis. The bonding in  $[HF_2]^-$  can be described in terms of the interactions of the H  $1s$  orbital ( $\sigma_g$  symmetry) with the LGOs of an F–F fragment. If we assume a relatively large  $s-p$  separation for fluorine, then sets of LGOs can be constructed as follows:


- LGOs formed by combinations of the F  $2s$  orbitals;
- LGOs formed by combinations of the F  $2p_z$  orbitals;
- LGOs formed by combinations of the F  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  orbitals.

The method of deriving the wavefunctions that describe these LGOs is as before, and the results are summarized schematically at the right-hand side of Figure 4.29. Although the H  $1s$  orbital is of the correct symmetry to interact with either of the F–F  $\sigma_g$  LGOs, there is a poor energy match between the H  $1s$  orbital and F–F  $2s-2s$  combination. Thus, the qualitative MO diagram in Figure 4.29 shows the H  $1s$  orbital interacting only with the higher-lying  $\sigma_g$  LGO giving rise to  $\sigma_g$  and  $\sigma_g^*$  MOs, the character of which is shown in the diagrams at the top of Figure 4.29. All other MOs have non-bonding character. Of the nine MOs, eight are fully occupied. Since there is only one MO that has H–F bonding character, the bonding in  $[HF_2]^-$  can be described in terms of a three-centre two-electron interaction. The formal bond order for each H–F ‘bond’ is  $1/2$ .

### Self-study exercise

How many nodal planes does each of the  $\sigma_g$  and  $\sigma_g^*$  MOs shown at the top of Figure 4.29 possess? Where do these lie in relation to the H and F nuclei? From your answers, confirm that the  $\sigma_g$  MO contains delocalized F–H–F bonding character, and that the  $\sigma_g^*$  MO has H–F antibonding character.

The second example of a linear triatomic with a  $3c-2e$  bonding interaction is  $XeF_2$  ( $D_{\infty h}$ ). The bonding is commonly



**Fig. 4.29** A qualitative MO diagram for the formation of  $[\text{HF}_2]^-$  using a ligand group orbital approach. The characters of the  $\sigma_g$  and  $\sigma_g^*$  MOs are shown at the top of the figure.

described in terms of the partial MO diagram shown in Figure 4.30. The Xe  $5p_z$  orbital ( $\sigma_u$  symmetry) interacts with the combination of F  $2p_z$  orbitals that has  $\sigma_u$  symmetry, giving rise to  $\sigma_u$  and  $\sigma_u^*$  MOs. The combination of F  $2p_z$  orbitals with  $\sigma_g$  symmetry becomes a non-bonding MO in  $\text{XeF}_2$ . There are 22 valence electrons in  $\text{XeF}_2$  and all MOs except one (the  $\sigma_u^*$  MO) are occupied. The partial MO diagram in Figure 4.30 shows only those MOs derived from  $p_z$  orbitals on Xe and F. There is only one MO that has Xe–F bonding character and therefore the bonding in  $\text{XeF}_2$  can be described in terms of a 3c-2e interaction.<sup>†</sup>

Three-centre two-electron interactions are not restricted to triatomic molecules, as we illustrate in the next section with a bonding analysis of  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$ .

### A more advanced problem: $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$

Two common features of boron hydrides (see [Sections 12.5](#) and [12.11](#)) are that the B atoms are usually attached to more than three atoms and that *bridging* H atoms are often present. Although a valence bond model has been developed


by Lipscomb to deal with the problems of generating localized bonding schemes in boron hydrides,<sup>†</sup> the bonding in these compounds is not readily described in terms of VB theory. The structure of  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  ( $D_{2h}$  symmetry) is shown in Figure 4.31. Features of particular interest are that:

- despite having only one valence electron, each *bridging* H atom is attached to *two* B atoms;
- despite having only three valence electrons, each B atom is attached to four H atoms;
- the B–H bond distances are not all the same and suggest two types of B–H bonding interaction.

Often,  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  is described as being *electron deficient*; it is a dimer of  $\text{BH}_3$  and possesses 12 valence electrons. The formation of the B–H–B bridges can be envisaged as in structure [4.10](#). Whereas each terminal B–H interaction is taken to be a localized 2c-2e bond, each bridging unit is considered as a 3c-2e bonding interaction. Each *half* of the 3c-2e interaction is expected to be weaker than a terminal 2c-2e bond and this is consistent with the observed bond distances in Figure


<sup>†</sup> In the chemical literature, the bonding in  $\text{XeF}_2$  is sometimes referred to as a 3c-4e interaction. Since two of the electrons occupy a non-bonding MO, we consider that a 3c-2e interaction description is more meaningful.

<sup>†</sup>For detailed discussion of the VB model (called *styx* rules) see: W.N. Lipscomb (1963) *Boron Hydrides*, Benjamin, New York; a summary of *styx* rules and further discussion of the use of MO theory for boron hydrides are given in: C.E. Housecroft (1994) *Boranes and Metallaboranes: Structure, Bonding and Reactivity*, 2nd edn, Ellis Horwood, Chichester.



**Fig. 4.30** A qualitative MO diagram for the formation of XeF<sub>2</sub> using a ligand group orbital approach and illustrating the 3c-2e bonding interaction.

4.31. Bonding pictures for B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> which assume either *sp*<sup>3</sup> or *sp*<sup>2</sup> hybridized B centres are frequently adopted, but this approach is not entirely satisfactory.



(4.10)

Although the molecular orbital treatment given below is an oversimplification, it still provides valuable insight into the distribution of electron density in B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. Using the ligand group orbital approach, we can consider the interactions between the *pair* of bridging H atoms and the residual B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> fragment (Figure 4.32a).


The B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> molecule has *D*<sub>2h</sub> symmetry, and the *D*<sub>2h</sub> character table is given in Table 4.5. The *x*, *y* and *z* axes are defined in Figure 4.32a. The molecule is centrosymmetric, with the centre of symmetry lying midway between the two B atoms. In order to describe the bonding in terms of the interactions of the orbitals of the B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and H--H

fragments (Figure 4.32a), we must determine the symmetries of the allowed LGOs. First, we consider the H--H fragment and work out how many H 1s orbitals are left unchanged by each symmetry operation in the *D*<sub>2h</sub> point group. The result is as follows:


| <i>E</i> | <i>C</i> <sub>2</sub> ( <i>z</i> ) | <i>C</i> <sub>2</sub> ( <i>y</i> ) | <i>C</i> <sub>2</sub> ( <i>x</i> ) | <i>i</i> | σ( <i>xy</i> ) | σ( <i>xz</i> ) | σ( <i>yz</i> ) |
|----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 2        | 0                                  | 0                                  | 2                                  | 0        | 2              | 2              | 0              |

This row of characters is produced by adding the rows of characters for the *A<sub>g</sub>* and *B<sub>3u</sub>* representations in the *D*<sub>2h</sub> character table. Therefore, the LGOs for the H--H fragment have *a<sub>g</sub>* and *b<sub>3u</sub>* symmetries. Now let the two H 1s orbitals be labelled *ψ*<sub>1</sub> and *ψ*<sub>2</sub>. The wavefunctions for these LGOs are found by considering how *ψ*<sub>1</sub> is affected by each symmetry operation of the *D*<sub>2h</sub> point group. The following row of characters gives the result:

| <i>E</i>              | <i>C</i> <sub>2</sub> ( <i>z</i> ) | <i>C</i> <sub>2</sub> ( <i>y</i> ) | <i>C</i> <sub>2</sub> ( <i>x</i> ) | <i>i</i>              | σ( <i>xy</i> )        | σ( <i>xz</i> )        | σ( <i>yz</i> )        |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <i>ψ</i> <sub>1</sub> | <i>ψ</i> <sub>2</sub>              | <i>ψ</i> <sub>2</sub>              | <i>ψ</i> <sub>1</sub>              | <i>ψ</i> <sub>2</sub> | <i>ψ</i> <sub>1</sub> | <i>ψ</i> <sub>1</sub> | <i>ψ</i> <sub>2</sub> |



**Fig. 4.31** The structure of B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> determined by electron diffraction.



**Fig. 4.32** (a) The structure of  $B_2H_6$  can be broken down into  $H_2B---BH_2$  and  $H---H$  fragments. (b) The ligand group orbitals (LGOs) for the  $H---H$  fragment. (c) The six lowest energy LGOs for the  $B_2H_4$  unit; the nodal plane in the  $b_{2u}$  orbital is shown.

Multiplying each character in the row by the corresponding character in the  $A_g$  or  $B_{3u}$  representations in the  $D_{2h}$  character table gives the unnormalized wavefunctions for the LGOs. The normalized wavefunctions are represented by

equations 4.32 and 4.33, and the LGOs are drawn schematically in Figure 4.32b.


$$\psi(a_g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 + \psi_2) \quad (4.32)$$

$$\psi(b_{3u}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 - \psi_2) \quad (4.33)$$

**Table 4.5** Part of the  $D_{2h}$  character table; the complete table is given in Appendix 3.

| $D_{2h}$ | $E$ | $C_2(z)$ | $C_2(y)$ | $C_2(x)$ | $i$ | $\sigma(xy)$ | $\sigma(xz)$ | $\sigma(yz)$ |
|----------|-----|----------|----------|----------|-----|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| $A_g$    | 1   | 1        | 1        | 1        | 1   | 1            | 1            | 1            |
| $B_{1g}$ | 1   | 1        | -1       | -1       | 1   | 1            | -1           | -1           |
| $B_{2g}$ | 1   | -1       | 1        | -1       | 1   | -1           | 1            | -1           |
| $B_{3g}$ | 1   | -1       | -1       | 1        | 1   | -1           | -1           | 1            |
| $A_u$    | 1   | 1        | 1        | 1        | -1  | -1           | -1           | -1           |
| $B_{1u}$ | 1   | 1        | -1       | -1       | -1  | -1           | 1            | 1            |
| $B_{2u}$ | 1   | -1       | 1        | -1       | -1  | 1            | -1           | 1            |
| $B_{3u}$ | 1   | -1       | -1       | 1        | -1  | 1            | 1            | -1           |

The same procedure can be used to determine the LGOs of the  $B_2H_4$  fragment. Since the basis set comprises four orbitals per B atom and one orbital per H atom, there are 12 LGOs in total. Figure 4.32c shows representations of the six lowest energy LGOs. The higher energy orbitals possess antibonding B-H or B---B character. Of those LGOs drawn in Figure 4.32c, three have symmetries that match those of the LGOs of the  $H---H$  fragment. In addition to symmetry-matching, we must also look for a good energy match. Of the two  $a_g$  LGOs shown in Figure 4.32c, the one



**Fig. 4.33** A qualitative, partial MO diagram showing the formation of the B–H–B bridging interactions. The B–H and B–H–B bonding character of the  $a_g$  MO, and the B–H–B bonding character of the  $b_{3u}$  MO are shown in the diagrams on the right-hand side; the orientation of the molecule is the same as in the structure at the bottom of the figure.

with the lower energy is composed of B  $2s$  and H  $1s$  character. Although difficult to assess with certainty at a qualitative level, it is reasonable to assume that the energy of this  $a_g$  LGO is not well matched to that of the H---H fragment.

We now have the necessary information to construct a qualitative, partial MO diagram for  $B_2H_6$ . The diagram in Figure 4.33 focuses on the orbital interactions that lead to the formation of B–H–B bridging interactions.

Consideration of the number of valence electrons available leads us to deduce that both the bonding MOs will be occupied. An important conclusion of the MO model is that the boron–hydrogen bridge character is delocalized over all *four* atoms of the bridging unit in  $B_2H_6$ . Since there are two such bonding MOs containing four electrons, this result is consistent with the 3c-2e B–H–B model that we described earlier.

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- orbital hybridization
- $sp$ ,  $sp^2$ ,  $sp^3$ ,  $sp^3d$ ,  $sp^2d$  and  $sp^3d^2$  hybridization

- ligand group orbital (LGO) approach
- basis set of orbitals
- delocalized bonding interaction
- symmetry matching of orbitals
- energy matching of orbitals
- 3c-2e bonding interaction

## Further reading

- J. Barrett (1991) *Understanding Inorganic Chemistry: The Underlying Physical Principles*, Ellis Horwood (Simon & Schuster), New York – Chapters 2 and 4 give a readable introduction to group theory and bonding in polyatomic molecules.
- J.K. Burdett (1997) *Chemical Bonds, A Dialog*, Wiley, New York – An original résumé of modern valence theory presented in the form of a 19th century style dialogue between teacher and pupil.
- F.A. Cotton (1990) *Chemical Applications of Group Theory*, 3rd edn, Wiley, New York – An excellent text that includes the applications of group theory in bonding analyses.
- G. Davidson (1991) *Group Theory for Chemists*, Macmillan, London – Chapter 10 provides a useful discussion and also illustrates the use of group theory.
- R.L. DeKock and H.B. Gray (1980) *Chemical Structure and Bonding*, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park – A readable

text, treating VB and MO theories and giving examples of the relationship between photoelectron spectra and MO energy levels.

H.B. Gray (1994) *Chemical Bonds*, University Science Books, California – An introduction to atomic and molecular structure with numerous illustrations.

S.F.A. Kettle (1985) *Symmetry and Structure*, Wiley, Chichester – An advanced discussion which includes carefully explained applications of group theory.

L. Pauling (1960) *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, 3rd edn, Cornell University Press, Ithaca – A classic book dealing with covalent, metallic and hydrogen bonding from the viewpoint of VB theory.

M.J. Winter (1994) *Chemical Bonding*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – Chapters 5 and 6 give a basic introduction to hybridization and MO theory in polyatomics.

## Problems


- 4.1** (a) State what is meant by the *hybridization of atomic orbitals*. (b) Why does VB theory sometimes use hybrid orbital rather than atomic orbital basis sets? (c) Show that equations 4.1 and 4.2 correspond to normalized wavefunctions.
- 4.2** Figure 4.4 shows the formation of three  $sp^2$  hybrid orbitals (see *equations 4.3–4.5*). (a) Confirm that the directionalities of the three hybrids are as specified in the figure. (b) Show that equations 4.3 and 4.5 correspond to normalized wavefunctions.
- 4.3** Use the information given in Figure 4.6b and equations 4.6 to 4.9 to reproduce the directionalities of the four  $sp^3$  hybrid orbitals shown in Figure 4.6a.
- 4.4** (a) Derive a set of diagrams similar to those in Figures 4.2 and 4.4 to describe the formation of  $sp^2d$  hybrid orbitals. (b) What is the percentage character of each  $sp^2d$  hybrid orbital in terms of the constituent atomic orbitals?
- 4.5** Suggest an appropriate hybridization scheme for each central atom: (a)  $\text{SiF}_4$ ; (b)  $[\text{PdCl}_4]^{2-}$ ; (c)  $\text{NF}_3$ ; (d)  $\text{F}_2\text{O}$ ; (e)  $[\text{CoH}_5]^{4-}$ ; (f)  $[\text{FeH}_6]^{4-}$ ; (g)  $\text{CS}_2$ ; (h)  $\text{BF}_3$ .
- 4.6** (a) The structures of *cis*- and *trans*- $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$  were shown in worked example 3.1. Give an appropriate hybridization scheme for the N atoms in each isomer. (b) What hybridization scheme is appropriate for the O atoms in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (Figure 1.16)?
- 4.7** (a)  $\text{PF}_5$  has  $D_{3h}$  symmetry. What is its structure? (b) Suggest an appropriate bonding scheme for  $\text{PF}_5$  within VB theory, giving appropriate resonance structures.
- 4.8** (a) Draw the structure of  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$ . (b) If all the C–O bond distances are equal, write a set of resonance structures to describe the bonding in  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$ . (c) Describe the bonding in  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$  in terms of a hybridization scheme and compare the result with that obtained in part (b).
- 4.9** (a) Is  $\text{CO}_2$  linear or bent? (b) What hybridization is appropriate for the C atom? (c) Outline a bonding scheme for  $\text{CO}_2$  using the hybridization scheme you have suggested. (d) What C–O bond order does your scheme imply? (e) Draw a Lewis structure for  $\text{CO}_2$ . Is this structure consistent with the results you obtained in parts (c) and (d)?
- 4.10** What is meant by a *ligand group orbital*?
- 4.11** VB and MO approaches to the bonding in linear  $\text{XH}_2$  (X has  $2s$  and  $2p$  valence atomic orbitals) give pictures in which the X–H bonding is localized and delocalized respectively. Explain how this difference arises.
- 4.12** Table 4.6 gives the results of a Fenske–Hall self-consistent field (SCF) quantum chemical calculation for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  using an orbital basis set of the atomic orbitals of O and the LGOs of an H–H fragment. The axis set is as defined in Figure 4.15. (a) Use the data to construct pictorial representations of the MOs of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and confirm that

**Table 4.6** Results of a self-consistent field quantum chemical calculation for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  using an orbital basis set of the atomic orbitals of the O atom and the ligand group orbitals of an H–H fragment. The axis set is defined in Figure 4.15.

| Atomic orbital or ligand group orbital | Percentage character of MOs with the sign of the eigenvector given in parentheses |          |          |          |          |          |
|--|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|  | $\psi_1$  | $\psi_2$ | $\psi_3$ | $\psi_4$ | $\psi_5$ | $\psi_6$ |
| O $2s$                                 | 71 (+)  | 0        | 7 (–)    | 0        | 0        | 22 (–)   |
| O $2p_x$                               | 0   | 0        | 0        | 100 (+)  | 0        | 0        |
| O $2p_y$                               | 0   | 59 (+)   | 0        | 0        | 41 (–)   | 0        |
| O $2p_z$                               | 0   | 0        | 85 (–)   | 0        | 0        | 15 (+)   |
| H–H LGO(1)                             | 29 (+)  | 0        | 8 (+)    | 0        | 0        | 63 (+)   |
| H–H LGO(2)                             | 0   | 41 (–)   | 0        | 0        | 59 (–)   | 0        |

Figure 4.15 is consistent with the results of the calculation. (b) How does MO theory account for the presence of lone pairs in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ?

- 4.13** Refer to Figure 4.17 and the accompanying discussion. (a) Why does the B  $2p_z$  atomic orbital become a non-bonding MO in  $\text{BH}_3$ ? (b) Draw schematic representations of each bonding and antibonding MO in  $\text{BH}_3$ .
- 4.14** The diagrams at the right-hand side of Figure 4.19 show three of the MOs in  $\text{NH}_3$ . Sketch representations of the other four MOs.
- 4.15** Use a ligand group orbital approach to describe the bonding in  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$ . Draw schematic representations of each of the bonding MOs.
- 4.16** The I–I bond distance in  $\text{I}_2$  (gas phase) is 267 pm, in the  $[\text{I}_3]^+$  ion is 268 pm, and in  $[\text{I}_3]^-$  is 290 pm (for the  $[\text{AsPh}_4]^+$  salt). (a) Draw Lewis structures for these species. Do these representations account for the variation in bond distance? (b) Use MO theory to describe the bonding and deduce the I–I bond order in each species. Are your results consistent with the structural data?
- 4.17** (a)  $\text{BCl}_3$  has  $D_{3h}$  symmetry. Draw the structure of  $\text{BCl}_3$  and give values for the bond angles.  $\text{NCl}_3$  has  $C_{3v}$  symmetry. Is it possible to state the bond angles from this information? (b) Derive the symmetry labels for the atomic orbitals on B in  $\text{BCl}_3$  and on N in  $\text{NCl}_3$ .
- 4.18** Using Figures 4.22, 4.23 and 4.25 to help you, compare the MO pictures of the bonding in  $\text{BF}_3$  and  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ . What approximations have you made in your bonding analyses?
- 4.19** By considering the structures of the following molecules, confirm that the point group assignments are correct: (a)  $\text{BH}_3$ ,  $D_{3h}$ ; (b)  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $C_{3v}$ ; (c)  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$ ,  $D_{2h}$ . [Hint: use Figure 3.10.]
- 4.20** In the description of the bonding of  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$ , we draw the conclusion that the two bonding MOs in Figure 4.33 have B–H bonding character delocalized over the four bridge atoms. (a) What other character do these MOs possess? (b) Does your answer to (a) alter the conclusion that this approximate MO description is consistent with the valence bond idea of there being two 3c-2e bridge bonds?
- 4.21** In  $[\text{B}_2\text{H}_7]^-$  (4.11), each B atom is *approximately* tetrahedral. (a) How many valence electrons are present in




(4.11)


the anion? (b) Assume that each B atom is  $sp^3$  hybridized. After localization of the three terminal B–H bonds per B, what B-centred orbital remains for use in the bridging interaction? (c) Following from your answer to part (b), construct an approximate orbital diagram to show the formation of  $[\text{B}_2\text{H}_7]^-$  from two  $\text{BH}_3$  units and  $\text{H}^-$ . What does this approach tell you about the nature of the B–H–B bridge?

## Overview problems

- 4.22** (a) What hybridization scheme would be appropriate for the Si atom in  $\text{SiH}_4$ ? (b) To which point group does  $\text{SiH}_4$  belong? (c) Sketch a qualitative MO diagram for the formation of  $\text{SiH}_4$  from Si and an  $\text{H}_4$ -fragment. Label all orbitals with appropriate symmetry labels.
- 4.23** Cyclobutadiene,  $\text{C}_4\text{H}_4$ , is unstable but can be stabilized in complexes such as  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$ . In such complexes,  $\text{C}_4\text{H}_4$  is planar and has equal C–C bond lengths:



- (a) After the formation of C–H and C–C  $\sigma$ -bonds in  $\text{C}_4\text{H}_4$ , what orbitals are available for  $\pi$ -bonding? (b) Assuming  $D_{4h}$  symmetry for  $\text{C}_4\text{H}_4$ , derive the symmetries of the four  $\pi$ -MOs. Derive equations for the normalized wavefunctions that describe these MOs, and sketch representations of the four orbitals.
- 4.24** (a) Draw a set of resonance structures for the hypothetical molecule  $\text{PH}_5$ , ensuring that P obeys the octet rule in each structure. Assume a structure analogous to that of  $\text{PF}_5$ . (b) To what point group does  $\text{PF}_5$  belong? (c) Using  $\text{PH}_5$  as a model compound, use a ligand group orbital approach to describe the bonding in  $\text{PH}_5$ . Show clearly how you derive the symmetries of both the P atomic orbitals, and the LGOs of the  $\text{H}_5$  fragment.
- 4.25** What hybridization scheme would be appropriate for the C atom in  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$ ? Draw resonance structures to describe the bonding in  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$ . Figure 4.34 shows representations of three MOs of  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$ . The MOs in diagrams (a) and (b) in Figure 4.34 are occupied; the MO in diagram (c) is unoccupied. Comment on the characters of these MOs and assign a symmetry label to each orbital.



**Fig. 4.34** Figure for problem 4.25.

**4.26** The hydrido complex  $[\text{FeH}_6]^{4-}$  has  $O_h$  symmetry. The bonding in  $[\text{FeH}_6]^{4-}$  can be described in terms of the interactions between the atomic orbitals of Fe and the LGOs of the  $\text{H}_6$ -fragment.

- Derive the six LGOs of the  $\text{H}_6$  fragment, showing clearly how you determine their symmetries.
- The basis set for the Fe atom consists of valence  $3d$  (see [Figure 1.11](#)),  $4s$  and  $4p$  orbitals. Determine the symmetries of these orbitals under  $O_h$  symmetry.
- Construct an MO diagram for the formation of  $[\text{FeH}_6]^{4-}$  from Fe and the  $\text{H}_6$ -fragment, showing which MOs are occupied. Comment on the characters of the MOs. How does this bonding picture differ from that described for  $\text{SF}_6$  in [Figure 4.28](#)?

# Chapter 5

## Structures and energetics of metallic and ionic solids

### TOPICS

- Packing of spheres
- Applications of the packing-of-spheres model
- Polymorphism
- Alloys and intermetallic compounds
- Band theory
- Semiconductors
- Sizes of ions
- Ionic lattices
- Lattice energy
- Born–Haber cycle
- Applications of lattice energies
- Defects in solid state lattices

### 5.1 Introduction

In the solid state, both metallic and ionic compounds possess ordered arrays of atoms or ions and form crystalline materials with *lattice* structures. Studies of their structures may conveniently be considered as related topics because both are concerned with the packing of *spherical* atoms or ions. However, differences in *bonding* result in quite distinct properties for metallic and ionic solids. In metals, the bonding is essentially covalent. The bonding electrons are delocalized over the whole crystal, giving rise to the high electrical conductivity that is characteristic of metals. Ionic bonding in the solid state arises from electrostatic interactions between charged species (ions), e.g.  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  in rock salt. Ionic solids are *insulators*.

An *anion* is a negatively charged ion and a *cation* is a positively charged ion.

Although metallic and ionic solids have three-dimensional structures, it does *not* follow that three-dimensional structures are necessarily metallic or ionic. Diamond, for example, is a non-metal (see [Sections 5.11](#) and [5.12](#)). In [Sections 1.12](#) and [1.15](#), we considered the inclusion of ionic contributions to ‘covalent’ bonding pictures. Later in this chapter we shall discuss how including some covalent character in a predominantly ionic model comes closer to reality for some so-called ‘ionic’ compounds.


### 5.2 Packing of spheres

Many readers will be familiar with descriptions of metal lattices based upon the packing of spherical atoms, and in this section we provide a résumé of common types of packing, and introduce the terms *unit cell* and *interstitial hole*.

#### Cubic and hexagonal close-packing

Let us place a number of equal-sized spheres in a rectangular box, with the restriction that there must be a *regular arrangement* of spheres. Figure 5.1 shows the most efficient way in which to cover the floor of the box. Such an arrangement is *close-packed*, and spheres that are not on the edges of the assembly are in contact with six other spheres within the layer. A motif of hexagons is produced within the assembly. Figure 5.2a shows part of the same close-packed arrangement of spheres; hollows lie between the spheres and we can build a second layer of spheres upon the first by placing spheres in these hollows. However, if we arrange the spheres in the second layer so that close-packing is again achieved, it is possible to occupy only every other hollow. This is shown on going from Figure 5.2a to 5.2b.

Now consider the hollows that are visible in layer B in Figure 5.2b. There are *two distinct types of hollows*. Of the four hollows between the grey spheres in layer B, one lies over a red sphere in layer A, and three lie over hollows in layer A. The consequence of this is that when a third layer of spheres is constructed, two different close-packed arrangements are possible as shown in Figures 5.2c and 5.2d. The arrangements shown can, of course, be extended sideways, and the sequences of layers can be repeated such that the



**Fig. 5.1** Part of one layer of a close-packed arrangement of equal-sized spheres. It contains hexagonal motifs.

fourth layer of spheres is equivalent to the first, and so on. The two close-packed arrangements are distinguished in that one contains *two repeating layers*, ABABAB..., while the second contains *three repeating layers*, ABCABC... (Figures 5.2d and 5.2c respectively).


*Close-packing of spheres* results in the most efficient use of the space available; 74% of the space is occupied by the spheres.

The ABABAB... and ABCABC... packing arrangements are called *hexagonal close-packing* (hcp) and *cubic close-packing* (ccp), respectively. In each structure, any given sphere is surrounded by (and touches) 12 other spheres and is said to have 12 *nearest neighbours*, to have a *coordination number* of 12, or to be *12-coordinate*. Figure 5.3 shows representations of the ABABAB... and ABCABC... arrangements which illustrate how this coordination number arises; in these diagrams, ‘ball-and-stick’ representations of the lattice are used to allow the connectivities to be seen. This type of representation is commonly used *but does not imply* that the spheres do not touch one another.


### The unit cell: hexagonal and cubic close-packing

A *unit cell* is a fundamental concept in solid state chemistry, and is the smallest repeating unit of the structure which carries *all* the information necessary to construct *unambiguously* an infinite lattice.


The smallest repeating unit in a solid state lattice is a *unit cell*.



**Fig. 5.2** (a) One layer (layer A) of close-packed spheres contains hollows that exhibit a regular pattern. (b) A second layer (layer B) of close-packed spheres can be formed by occupying every other hollow in layer A. In layer B, there are two types of hollow; one lies over a sphere in layer A, and three lie over hollows in layer A. By stacking spheres over these different types of hollow, two different third layers of spheres can be produced. The blue spheres in diagram (c) form a new layer C; this gives an ABC sequence of layers. Diagram (d) shows that the second possible third layer replicates layer A; this gives an ABA sequence.



 **Fig. 5.3** In both the (a) ABA and (b) ABC close-packed arrangements, the coordination number of each atom is 12.




 **Fig. 5.4** Unit cells of (a) a cubic close-packed (face-centred cubic) lattice and (b) a hexagonal close-packed lattice.


The unit cells in Figure 5.4 characterize cubic (ccp) and hexagonal close-packing (hcp). Whereas these respective descriptors are not obviously associated with the packing sequences shown in Figures 5.2 and 5.3, their origins are clear in the unit cell diagrams. Cubic close-packing is also called *face-centred cubic* (fcc) packing, and this name clearly reflects the nature of the unit cell shown in Figure 5.4a. The relationship between the ABABAB... sequence and the hcp unit cell is easily recognized; the latter consists of parts of three ABA layers. However, it is harder to see the ABCABC... sequence within the ccp unit cell since the close-packed layers are not parallel to the base of the unit cell but instead lie along the body-diagonal of the cube.

### Interstitial holes: hexagonal and cubic close-packing

Close-packed structures contain *octahedral* and *tetrahedral holes* (or *sites*). Figure 5.5 shows representations of two



**Fig. 5.5** Two layers of close-packed atoms shown (a) with the spheres touching, and (b) with the sizes of the spheres reduced so that connectivity lines are visible. In (b), the tetrahedral and octahedral holes are indicated.



 **Fig. 5.6** Unit cells of (a) a simple cubic lattice and (b) a body-centred cubic lattice.

layers of close-packed spheres: Figure 5.5a is a ‘space-filling’ representation, while in Figure 5.5b, the sizes of the spheres have been reduced so that connectivity lines can be shown (a ‘ball-and-stick’ diagram). This illustrates that the spheres lie at the corners of either tetrahedra or octahedra; conversely, the spheres pack such that there are octahedral and tetrahedral holes between them. There is one octahedral hole per sphere, and there are twice as many tetrahedral as octahedral holes in a close-packed array; the octahedral holes are larger than the tetrahedral sites. Whereas a tetrahedral hole can accommodate a sphere of radius  $\leq 0.23$  times that of the close-packed spheres, a sphere of radius 0.41 times that of the close-packed spheres fits into an octahedral hole.

### Non-close-packing: simple cubic and body-centred cubic arrays

Spheres are not always packed as efficiently as in close-packed arrangements; ordered arrays can be constructed in which the space occupied by the spheres is less than the 74% found for a close-packed arrangement.

If spheres are placed so as to define a network of cubic frameworks, the unit cell is a simple cube (Figure 5.6a). In the extended lattice, each sphere has a coordination number of 6. The hole within each cubic unit is not large enough to accommodate a sphere equal in size to those in the array, but if the eight spheres in the cubic cell are pulled apart slightly, another sphere is able to fit inside the hole. The result is the *body-centred cubic* (bcc) arrangement (Figure 5.6b). The coordination number of each sphere in a bcc lattice is 8.

## 5.3 The packing-of-spheres model applied to the structures of elements

In Section 5.2, we considered some of the ways in which *hard spheres* may pack together to give ordered arrays. Although the idea of hard, spherical atoms is at odds with modern

quantum theory, the packing-of-spheres model is extremely useful for depicting many solid state structures. The model is applicable to the group 18 elements because they are monatomic, to metals, and to  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{F}_2$  because these diatomic molecules are freely rotating in the solid state and so can be regarded as spherical entities.

### Group 18 elements in the solid state

The group 18 elements are the ‘noble gases’ (see [Chapter 17](#)), and Table 5.1 lists selected physical data for these elements. Each element (with the exception of helium, see footnote in Table 5.1) solidifies only at low temperatures. The enthalpy changes accompanying the fusion processes are very small, consistent with the fact that only weak van der Waals forces operate between the atoms in the solid state. In the crystalline solid, ccp structures are adopted by each of solid Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe.

### $\text{H}_2$ and $\text{F}_2$ in the solid state

The liquefaction of gaseous  $\text{H}_2$  occurs at  $20.4\text{ K}^\dagger$  and solidification at  $14.0\text{ K}$ . However, even in the solid state,  $\text{H}_2$  molecules have sufficient energy to rotate about a fixed lattice point and consequently the space occupied by each diatomic can be represented by a sphere. In the solid state, these spheres adopt an hcp arrangement.

Difluorine solidifies at  $53\text{ K}$ , and on cooling to  $45\text{ K}$ , a phase change occurs to give a distorted close-packed structure. This description is applicable because, like  $\text{H}_2$ , each  $\text{F}_2$  molecule rotates freely about a fixed lattice-point. (The second phase above  $45\text{ K}$  has a more complicated structure.)

The application of the packing-of-spheres model to the crystalline structures of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{F}_2$  is *only* valid because they contain freely rotating molecules. Other diatomics such as the heavier halogens do not behave in this manner (see [Section 16.4](#)).

### Metallic elements in the solid state

With the exception of Hg, all metals are solid at  $298\text{ K}$ ; the statement ‘solid at room temperature’ is ambiguous because the low melting points of Cs ( $301\text{ K}$ ) and Ga ( $303\text{ K}$ ) mean that in some countries, these metals are liquids. Table 5.2 shows that most metals crystallize with ccp, hcp or bcc lattices. However, many metals are *polymorphic* and exhibit more than one structure depending upon the conditions of temperature and/or pressure; we return to this later.

On the basis of the hard sphere model, close-packing represents the most efficient use of space with a common packing efficiency of 74%. The bcc structure is not much less efficient in packing terms, for although there are only eight nearest neighbours, each at a distance  $x$  (compared

<sup>†</sup> All phase changes mentioned in this chapter are at atmospheric pressure, unless otherwise stated.

**Table 5.1** Selected physical data for the group 18 elements.

| Element | Melting point / K | $\Delta_{\text{fus}}H(\text{mp}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | Boiling point / K | $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H(\text{bp}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | Van der Waals radius ( $r_v$ ) / pm |
|---------|-------------------|--|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Helium  | ‡                 | –  | 4.2               | 0.08   | 99                                  |
| Neon    | 24.5              | 0.34   | 27                | 1.71   | 160                                 |
| Argon   | 84                | 1.12   | 87                | 6.43   | 191                                 |
| Krypton | 116               | 1.37   | 120               | 9.08   | 197                                 |
| Xenon   | 161               | 1.81   | 165               | 12.62  | 214                                 |
| Radon   | 202               | –  | 211               | 18   | –                                   |

‡Helium cannot be solidified under atmospheric pressure, the pressure condition for which all other phase changes in the table are considered.

with twelve in the close-packed lattices), there are six more neighbours at distances of  $1.15x$ , leading to a packing efficiency of 68%.

Among the few metals that adopt structures other than ccp, hcp or bcc lattices are those in group 12. The structures of Zn and Cd are based upon hcp lattices but distortion leads

to each atom having only six nearest neighbours (within the same layer of atoms) and six others at a greater distance. Mercury adopts a distorted simple cubic lattice, with the distortion leading to a coordination number of 6. Manganese stands out among the  $d$ -block metals as having an unusual structure; the atoms are arranged in a complex cubic lattice

**Table 5.2** Structures (at 298 K), melting points (K) and values of the standard enthalpies of atomization of the metallic elements.

◆ = hcp; ⊕ = ccp (fcc); ● = bcc

|      |   |
|------|---|
| Be   | ← Metal lattice type  |
| ◆    | ← Melting point (K)   |
| 1560 | ← Standard enthalpy of atomization ( $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) |
| 324  | ← Metallic radius for 12-coordinate atom (pm)               |
| 112  |   |

| 1                            | 2                             | 3                             | 4                             | 5                             | 6                             | 7                                    | 8                             | 9                             | 10                            | 11                            | 12                                  | 13                                  | 14                                  | 15                           |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Li<br>●<br>454<br>161<br>157 | Be<br>◆<br>1560<br>324<br>112 |                               |                               |                               |                               |                                      |                               |                               |                               |                               |                                     |                                     |                                     |                              |
| Na<br>●<br>371<br>108<br>191 | Mg<br>◆<br>923<br>146<br>160  |                               |                               |                               |                               |                                      |                               |                               |                               |                               |                                     | Al<br>⊕<br>933<br>330<br>143        |                                     |                              |
| K<br>●<br>337<br>90<br>235   | Ca<br>◆<br>1115<br>178<br>197 | Sc<br>◆<br>1814<br>378<br>164 | Ti<br>◆<br>1941<br>470<br>147 | V<br>●<br>2183<br>514<br>135  | Cr<br>●<br>2180<br>397<br>129 | Mn<br>see text<br>1519<br>283<br>137 | Fe<br>●<br>1811<br>418<br>126 | Co<br>◆<br>1768<br>428<br>125 | Ni<br>⊕<br>1728<br>430<br>125 | Cu<br>⊕<br>1358<br>338<br>128 | Zn<br>see text<br>693<br>130<br>137 | Ga<br>see text<br>303<br>277<br>153 |                                     |                              |
| Rb<br>●<br>312<br>82<br>250  | Sr<br>◆<br>1050<br>164<br>215 | Y<br>◆<br>1799<br>423<br>182  | Zr<br>◆<br>2128<br>609<br>160 | Nb<br>●<br>2750<br>721<br>147 | Mo<br>●<br>2896<br>658<br>140 | Tc<br>◆<br>2430<br>677<br>135        | Ru<br>◆<br>2607<br>651<br>134 | Rh<br>⊕<br>2237<br>556<br>134 | Pd<br>⊕<br>1828<br>377<br>137 | Ag<br>⊕<br>1235<br>285<br>144 | Cd<br>see text<br>594<br>112<br>152 | In<br>see text<br>430<br>243<br>167 | Sn<br>see text<br>505<br>302<br>158 |                              |
| Cs<br>●<br>301<br>78<br>272  | Ba<br>●<br>1000<br>178<br>224 | La<br>◆<br>1193<br>423<br>188 | Hf<br>◆<br>2506<br>619<br>159 | Ta<br>●<br>3290<br>782<br>147 | W<br>●<br>3695<br>850<br>141  | Re<br>◆<br>3459<br>774<br>137        | Os<br>◆<br>3306<br>787<br>135 | Ir<br>⊕<br>2719<br>669<br>136 | Pt<br>⊕<br>2041<br>566<br>139 | Au<br>⊕<br>1337<br>368<br>144 | Hg<br>see text<br>234<br>61<br>155  | Tl<br>◆<br>577<br>182<br>171        | Pb<br>⊕<br>600<br>195<br>175        | Bi<br>†<br>544<br>210<br>182 |

†See Figure 14.3c and associated text.

such that there are four environments with coordination numbers of 12, 13 or 16. Atypical structures are also exhibited by most of the *p*-block metals. In group 13, Al and Tl adopt ccp and hcp lattices respectively, but Ga (the  $\alpha$ -form) and In adopt quite different structures. Atoms of Ga are organized so that there is only one nearest neighbour (at 249 pm), with six next-nearest neighbours lying at distances within the range 270 and 279 pm, i.e. there is a tendency for the atoms to pair together. Indium forms a distorted ccp lattice, and the twelve near neighbours separate into two groups, four at 325 pm and eight at 338 pm.<sup>†</sup> In group 14, Pb adopts a ccp structure, but in white Sn (the stable allotrope at 298 K), each atom possesses a coordination number of only 6 (grey Sn, see Section 5.4). Metals with coordination numbers of less than 8 are among those that are the most volatile.

## 5.4 Polymorphism in metals

### Polymorphism: phase changes in the solid state

It is generally convenient to consider the structures of metals in terms of the observed lattice type at 298 K and atmospheric pressure,<sup>‡</sup> but these data do not tell the whole story. When subjected to changes in temperature and/or pressure, the structure of a metal may change; each form of the metal is a particular *polymorph*. For example, scandium undergoes a reversible transition from an hcp lattice ( $\alpha$ -Sc) to a bcc lattice ( $\beta$ -Sc) at 1610 K. Some metals undergo more than one change: at atmospheric pressure, Mn undergoes transitions from the  $\alpha$ - to  $\beta$ -form at 983 K, from the  $\beta$ - to  $\gamma$ -form at 1352 K, and from  $\gamma$ - to  $\sigma$ -Mn at 1416 K. Although  $\alpha$ -Mn adopts a complex lattice (see above), the  $\beta$ -polymorph has a somewhat simpler structure containing two 12-coordinate Mn environments, the  $\gamma$ -form possesses a distorted ccp structure, and the  $\sigma$ -polymorph adopts a bcc lattice. Phases that form at high temperatures may be *quenched* to lower temperatures (i.e. rapidly cooled with retention of structure), allowing the structure to be determined at ambient temperatures. Thermochemical data show that there is usually very little difference in energy between different polymorphs of an element.

<sup>†</sup> For more detailed discussions of the origin of the distorted ccp structure of indium and an overall view of the structures of the group 13 metals, see: U. Häussermann *et al.* (1999) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition, in English*, vol. 38, p. 2017; U. Häussermann *et al.* (2000) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition, in English*, vol. 39, p. 1246.

<sup>‡</sup> Although we often refer to ‘atmospheric pressure’, a pressure of 1 bar ( $1.00 \times 10^5$  Pa) has been defined by the IUPAC as the *standard pressure*. Until 1982, the standard pressure was 1 atmosphere (1 atm = 101 300 Pa) and this pressure remains in use in some tables of physical data.




Fig. 5.7 A pressure–temperature phase diagram for iron.

If a substance exists in more than one crystalline form, it is *polymorphic*.

An interesting example of polymorphism is observed for tin. At 298 K and 1 bar pressure,  $\beta$ -Sn (white tin) is the thermodynamically stable polymorph but lowering the temperature to 286 K results in a slow transition to  $\alpha$ -Sn (grey tin). The  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$  transition is accompanied by a change in coordination number from 6 to 4, and  $\alpha$ -Sn adopts a diamond-type lattice (see Figure 5.19). The density of Sn decreases from 7.31 to 5.75 g cm<sup>-3</sup> during the  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$  transition, whereas it is more usual for there to be an increase in density in going from a higher to lower temperature polymorph.

### Phase diagrams

In order to appreciate the effects on an element of changing the temperature and pressure, a *phase diagram* must be consulted. Figure 5.7 shows the phase diagram for Fe; each line on the diagram is a *phase boundary* and crossing a boundary (i.e. changing the phase of the metal) requires a change of temperature and/or pressure. For example, at 298 K and 1 bar pressure, Fe has a bcc structure ( $\alpha$ -Fe). Raising the temperature to 1185 K (still at 1 bar) results in a transition to  $\gamma$ -Fe with an fcc structure. A transition from  $\alpha$ - to  $\gamma$ -Fe also occurs by increasing the pressure on Fe maintained at, e.g., 800 K.

## 5.5 Metallic radii

The *metallic radius*,  $r_{\text{metal}}$ , is defined as half of the distance between the nearest-neighbour atoms in a solid state metallic lattice. However, structural data for different polymorphs of the same metal indicate that  $r_{\text{metal}}$  varies with the coordination number. For example, the ratio of the interatomic

distances (and, therefore, of  $r_{\text{metal}}$ ) in a bcc polymorph to those in close-packed forms of the same metal is 0.97:1.00, corresponding to a change in coordination number from 8 to 12. If the coordination number decreases further,  $r_{\text{metal}}$  also decreases:

|                     |      |      |      |      |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Coordination number | 12   | 8    | 6    | 4    |
| Relative radius     | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.88 |

The *metallic radius* is half of the distance between the *nearest-neighbour* atoms in a solid state metal lattice, and is dependent upon coordination number.

The values of  $r_{\text{metal}}$  listed in Table 5.2 refer to 12-coordinate metal centres; since not all metals actually adopt structures with 12-coordinate atoms, some values of  $r_{\text{metal}}$  have been estimated. The need for a *consistent* set of data is obvious if one is to make meaningful comparisons within a periodic sequence of elements. Values of  $r_{\text{metal}}$  (Table 5.2) increase down each of groups 1, 2, 13 and 14. In each of the triads of the *d*-block elements,  $r_{\text{metal}}$  generally increases on going from the first to second row element, but there is little change on going from the second to third row metal. This latter observation is due to the presence of a filled *4f* level, and the so-called *lanthanoid contraction* (see [Sections 22.3](#) and [24.3](#)).

### Worked example 5.1 Metallic radii

Use values of  $r_{\text{metal}}$  in Table 5.2 to deduce an appropriate value for the metallic radius (a)  $r_{\text{K}}$  in metallic K at 298 K and 1 bar pressure, and (b)  $r_{\text{Sn}}$  in  $\alpha$ -Sn. Is the answer for part (b) consistent with the observed interatomic distance in  $\alpha$ -Sn of 280 pm?

The values of  $r_{\text{metal}}$  in Table 5.2 refer to 12-coordinate metal atoms, and values of K and Sn are 235 and 158 pm respectively.

(a) The structure of K at 298 K and 1 bar pressure is bcc, and the coordination number of each K atom is 8. From the relative radii listed in the text:

$$\frac{r_{12\text{-coordinate}}}{r_{8\text{-coordinate}}} = \frac{1}{0.97}$$

The appropriate radius for a K atom in a bcc lattice is:

$$r_{8\text{-coordinate}} = 0.97 \times (r_{12\text{-coordinate}}) = 0.97 \times 235 = 228 \text{ pm}$$

(b) In  $\alpha$ -Sn, each Sn atom is 4-coordinate. From the relative radii listed in the text:

$$\frac{r_{12\text{-coordinate}}}{r_{4\text{-coordinate}}} = \frac{1}{0.88}$$

The radius for a Sn atom in  $\alpha$ -Sn is estimated from:

$$r_{4\text{-coordinate}} = 0.88 \times (r_{12\text{-coordinate}}) = 0.88 \times 158 = 139 \text{ pm}$$

The interatomic distance is twice the value of  $r_{\text{metal}}$ , and so the calculated value of the Sn–Sn distance of 278 pm is in good agreement with the observed value of 280 pm.


### Self-study exercises

Use data in Table 5.2.


1. Estimate a value for the metallic radius,  $r_{\text{Na}}$ , in metallic Na (298 K, 1 bar). [Ans. 185 pm]
2. The internuclear separation of two Na atoms in the metal (298 K, 1 bar) is 372 pm. Estimate a value of  $r_{\text{metal}}$  appropriate for 12-coordination. [Ans. 192 pm]

## 5.6 Melting points and standard enthalpies of atomization of metals

The melting points of the metallic elements are given in Table 5.2 and periodic trends are easily observed. The metals with the lowest melting points are in groups 1, 12, 13 (with the exception of Al), 14 and 15. These metals are, in general, those that do *not* adopt close-packed structures in the solid state. The particularly low melting points of the alkali metals (and correspondingly low values of the standard enthalpies of fusion which range from  $3.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for Li to  $2.1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for Cs) often give rise to interesting practical observations. For example, when a piece of potassium is dropped on to water, exothermic reaction 5.1 occurs, providing enough heat energy to melt the unreacted metal; the molten potassium continues to react vigorously.



Values of the standard enthalpies of atomization,  $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}$  (298 K), (or sublimation) in Table 5.2 refer to the processes defined in equation 5.2, and correspond to the destruction of the metallic lattice. Mercury is an exception, since at 298 K it is a liquid.



Those metals with the lowest values of  $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}$  (298 K) are again those with other than close-packed structures. Since  $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}$  appears in thermochemical cycles such as the Born–Haber cycle (see [Section 5.14](#)), it is clear that  $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}$  is important in accounting for the reactivity patterns of these metals.


In general, there appears to be a rough correlation between values of  $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}$  (298 K) and the number of unpaired electrons. In any long period (K to Ga, Rb to Sn, and Cs to Bi in Table 5.2), the maximum values are reached in the middle of the *d*-block (with the exception of Mn which has the atypical structure described in [Section 5.3](#)).

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 5.1 Iron and steel production and recycling

The major raw materials for the commercial production of Fe are haematite ( $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ), magnetite ( $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ) and siderite ( $\text{FeCO}_3$ ) (see also *Section 21.2*). The extraction of iron is

carried out on an enormous scale to meet the consumer demands for both iron and steel. In 2001, China, Japan, the US and Russia led the world in the production of steel:



[Source of data: [www.worldsteel.org](http://www.worldsteel.org)]

The industrial manufacturing processes for iron and steel can be summarized as follows. Iron ore is mixed with limestone ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) and coke in a blast furnace in which temperatures vary from  $\approx 750$  to  $2250$  K. Carbon is converted to CO in the highest temperature zone, but both C and CO may reduce the iron ore:



The function of the limestone is to remove impurities and the product of these reactions is *slag*, which contains, for example, calcium silicate. Molten Fe from the furnace is collected and cooled in salt-moulds as *pig iron*, which contains 2–4% C plus small amounts of P, Si, S and Mn. After remelting and moulding, the product is *cast iron*; this is brittle and its exact nature depends upon the relative amounts of secondary elements. A high Si content results in the C being in the form of graphite, and the cast iron so formed is called *grey cast iron*. On the other hand, *white cast iron* forms when the Si content is low and carbon is present within the iron–carbon phase *cementite*,  $\text{Fe}_3\text{C}$ .

The *puddling process* is used to convert cast iron to wrought iron; oxidation of C, S and other impurities leaves wrought iron with  $<0.2\%$  C content. Unlike cast iron, wrought iron is tough and malleable and is readily worked; its applications, in wrought iron railings and window and door grills, are widespread.

Iron can be converted into steel by the Bessemer, Siemens electric arc or basic oxygen processes. The Bessemer process was the first to be patented, but the Siemens electric arc and basic oxygen processes are used in modern steel production. In the basic oxygen process,  $\text{O}_2$  oxidizes the carbon in pig iron, reducing its content to the levels required for commercial steel (see main text). In the US, the basic oxygen process uses  $\geq 25\%$  recycled steel and produces steel suitable for, for example, vehicle bodies. The electric arc process is used to produce steel for large steel structures (e.g. bridge girders) and almost 100% of such steel is recycled.

### Recycling

Considering the period over which steel has been commercially produced, steel recycling has become important only relatively recently. In the US, the Steel Recycling Institute encourages


steel-can recycling, a process that has grown from 15% of cans being reclaimed in 1988, to nearly 60% in 2000; this corresponded to 18 billion cans in 2000. Recycled steel can originate from steel cans, household appliances, vehicles and construction materials. In 2000 in the US, the steel from 14 million cars was recycled. Overall, each year between 1988 and 2000 has seen 64–69% of steel recycled in the US.

## 5.7 Alloys and intermetallic compounds

The physical properties of many metals render them unsuitable for fabrication and engineering purposes. By combining two or more metals, or metals with non-metals, one can form *alloys* with enhanced properties such as strength, malleability, ductility, hardness or resistance to corrosion. For example, adding Sn to Pb gives Pb-based alloys with applications as solders; by varying the Pb:Sn ratio, the melting point of the solder can be modified and tailored to the needs of particular applications.

An *alloy* is an intimate mixture or, in some cases, a compound of two or more metals, or metals and non-metals; alloying changes the physical properties and resistance to corrosion, heat etc. of the material.

Alloys are manufactured by combining the component elements in the molten state followed by cooling. If the melt is quenched (cooled rapidly), the distribution of the two types of metal atoms in the *solid solution* will be random; the element in excess is termed the solvent, and the minor component is the solute. Slow cooling may result in a more ordered distribution of the solute atoms.



 **Fig. 5.8** In a substitutional alloy, some of the atom sites in the host lattice (shown in grey) are occupied by solute atoms (shown in red).

### Further reading

N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997), *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, p. 1072.

F.J. Berry (1993) 'Industrial chemistry of iron and its compounds' in *Chemistry of Iron*, ed. J. Silver, Blackie, Glasgow.

The subject of alloys is not simple, and we shall introduce it only by considering the classes of substitutional and interstitial alloys, and intermetallic compounds.

### Substitutional alloys

In a substitutional alloy, atoms of the solute occupy sites in the lattice of the solvent metal (Figure 5.8). To maintain the original lattice structure of the host metal, atoms of both components should be of a similar size. The solute atoms must also tolerate the same coordination environment as atoms in the host lattice. An example of a substitutional alloy is sterling silver (used for silver cutlery and jewellery) which contains 92.5% Ag and 7.5% Cu; elemental Ag and Cu both adopt ccp lattices and  $r_{\text{metal}}(\text{Ag}) \approx r_{\text{metal}}(\text{Cu})$  (Table 5.2).

### Interstitial alloys

A close-packed lattice contains tetrahedral *and* octahedral interstitial holes (see Figure 5.5). Assuming a hard-sphere model for the atomic lattice,<sup>†</sup> one can calculate that an atom of radius 0.41 times that of the atoms in the close-packed array can occupy an octahedral hole, while significantly smaller atoms may be accommodated in tetrahedral sites.

We illustrate interstitial alloys by discussing *carbon steels* in which C atoms occupy a small proportion of the octahedral holes in an Fe lattice.  $\alpha$ -Iron possesses a bcc structure at 298 K (1 bar pressure), and a transition to  $\gamma$ -Fe (ccp) occurs at 1185 K; over the range 1674 to 1803 K,  $\alpha$ -Fe is again observed (Figure 5.7). Carbon steels are extremely important industrially (see Box 5.1), and there are three basic types designated by their carbon content. *Low carbon steel* contains between 0.03 and 0.25% carbon and is used for steel sheeting, e.g. in the motor vehicle industry and in the manufacture of steel containers. *Medium carbon steel* contains 0.25–0.70% C, and is suited for uses such as bolts, screws, machine parts, connecting rods and railings. The strongest of the carbon steels, *high carbon steel*, contains 0.8–1.5% C and finds applications in a variety of cutting and drilling tools. The corrosion of carbon steels is a disadvantage of the material, but coatings can be applied to inhibit such action. *Galvanized steel* possesses a Zn coating;

<sup>†</sup> It is important not to lose sight of the fact that the hard-sphere model is approximate and conflicts with the wave-mechanical view of the atom.

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 5.2 Stainless steel: corrosion resistance by adding chromium

Stainless steels are examples of *alloy steels*, i.e. ones that contain a *d*-block metal in addition to carbon. Stainless steels have a significant content of the alloy metal and are of high commercial value because of their high resistance to corrosion. All contain a minimum of 10.5% (by mass) of chromium and the resistance to corrosion arises from the formation of a thin layer of  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$  ( $\approx 13\,000$  pm thick) over the surface of the steel. The oxide layer passivates (see *Section 9.4*) the steel and is self-repairing, i.e. if some of the oxide coating is scratched off, further oxidation of the chromium in the steel necessarily repairs the ‘wound’. A further property that makes stainless steels commercially important is that they can be polished to satin or mirror finishes and this is easily appreciated in the ranges of stainless steel cutlery available to the consumer.

There are four main classes of stainless steel (austenitic, ferritic, ferritic-austenitic (duplex) and martensitic), and within these, a variety of different grades. The names ferritic and austenitic follow from their structures: ferrite ( $\beta$ -Fe) and austenite ( $\gamma$ -Fe) lattices hosting the alloying elements. The presence of Cr promotes the formation of the ferrite structure, while the austenite lattice forms when Ni is introduced. While ferritic and martensitic stainless steels are magnetic, austenitic stainless steel is non-magnetic. Further additives to some stainless steels are molybdenum (which improves corrosion resistance) and nitrogen (which adds strength and improves corrosion resistance).

Ferritic stainless steels commonly contain 17% Cr and  $\leq 0.12\%$  C. Such steels are used in household appliances (e.g. washing machines and dishwashers) and in vehicle trim. Increasing the carbon content of ferritic stainless steels results in the formation of martensitic stainless

steels (which usually contain 11–13% Cr). These steels are strong, hard and can be sharpened, and are used to make knives and other blades. Austenitic stainless steels contain  $\geq 7\%$  nickel (the most common grade contains 18% Cr, 9% Ni and  $\leq 0.08\%$  C) and are ductile, making them suitable for use in the manufacture of forks and spoons. The toughness and ease of welding of austenitic stainless steels lead to their widespread use in the manufacturing industry. In the home, austenitic stainless steels are used in food processors and kitchen sinks. A combination of ferritic and austenitic stainless steels leads to the duplex stainless steels (22% Cr, 5% Ni, 3% Mo, 0.15% N,  $\leq 0.03\%$  C) with properties that make them suitable for use in, for example, hot-water tanks. Further modifications to the main classes of stainless steel lead to additional grades for specialized applications.

Stainless steels appear in every facet of our lives, from consumer goods (especially in the kitchen, where cleanliness and corrosion-resistance are essential) to industrial storage tanks, chemical plant components, vehicle parts including exhaust pipes and catalytic converters (see *Section 26.6*), and a wide range of industrial corrosion-resistant components. Building projects also make wide use of stainless steels, both in construction and in external decorative parts.

#### Further reading

Web-based site: [www.worldstainless.org](http://www.worldstainless.org)

*Related information:* **Box 21.1** Chromium: resources and recycling.

Zn has a low mechanical strength but a high resistance to corrosion and combined with the high mechanical strength of the steel, galvanized steel meets the demands of many industrial applications. If the Zn coating is scratched revealing the Fe beneath, it is the Zn that oxidizes in preference to the Fe; the scratched Zn coating behaves as a *sacrificial anode* (see *Box 7.3*).

An alternative method of enhancing the properties of steel is to alloy it with another metal, M; this combines both interstitial and substitutional alloy structures, with C occupying holes in the Fe lattice, and M occupying lattice sites. *Stainless steel* is an example of an *alloy steel* and is discussed further in *Box 5.2*. For high-wear resistance (e.g. in rail and tram tracks), Mn is alloyed with steel. Other alloy steels contain Ti, V, Co or W, and each solute metal confers specific properties on the finished product. Specific steels are described in *Sections 21.2* and *22.2*.

## Intermetallic compounds

When melts of some metal mixtures solidify, the alloy formed may possess a definite lattice type that is different from those of the pure metals. Such systems are classified as *intermetallic compounds*, e.g.  $\beta$ -brass, CuZn. At 298 K, Cu has a ccp lattice and Zn has a structure related to an hcp array, but  $\beta$ -brass adopts a bcc structure. The relative proportions of the two metals are crucial to the alloy being described as an intermetallic compound. Alloys labelled ‘brass’ may have variable compositions, and the  $\alpha$ -phase is a substitutional alloy possessing the ccp structure of Cu with Zn functioning as the solute.  $\beta$ -Brass exists with Cu:Zn stoichiometries around 1:1, but increasing the percentage of Zn leads to a phase transition to  $\gamma$ -brass (sometimes written as  $\text{Cu}_5\text{Zn}_8$ , although the composition is not fixed), followed by a transition to

$\epsilon$ -brass which has an approximate stoichiometry of 1:3.<sup>†</sup>

## 5.8 Bonding in metals and semiconductors

If we consider the various structure types adopted by metals and then try to provide a model for localized metal–metal bonding, we run into a problem: there are not enough valence shell orbitals or electrons for each metal atom to form two-centre two-electron bonds with all its neighbours. For example, an alkali metal has eight near-neighbours (Table 5.2), but only one valence electron. We must therefore use a bonding model with multi-centre orbitals (see [Sections 4.4–4.7](#)). Further, the fact that metals are good electrical conductors means that the multi-centre orbitals must spread over the whole metal crystal so that we can account for the electron mobility. Several bonding theories have been described, and *band theory* is the most general. Before discussing band theory, we review *electrical conductivity* and *resistivity*.

### Electrical conductivity and resistivity

An *electrical conductor* offers a low resistance (measured in ohms,  $\Omega$ ) to the flow of an electrical current (measured in amperes, A).


The electrical resistivity of a substance measures its resistance to an electrical current (equation 5.3); for a wire of uniform cross-section, the resistivity ( $\rho$ ) is given units of ohm metre ( $\Omega\text{m}$ ).

$$\text{Resistance (in } \Omega) = \frac{\text{resistivity (in } \Omega\text{m}) \times \text{length of wire (in m)}}{\text{cross-sectional area of wire (in m}^2\text{)}}$$

$$R = \frac{\rho \times l}{a} \quad (5.3)$$

Figure 5.9 shows the variation in resistivity of three metals with temperature. In each case,  $\rho$  increases with temperature, and the electrical conductivity (which is the inverse of the resistance) decreases as the temperature is raised. This property distinguishes a metal from a *semiconductor*, which is a material in which the electrical conductivity increases as the temperature increases (Figure 5.10).

The *electrical conductivity* of a metal decreases with temperature; that of a semiconductor increases with temperature.




**Fig. 5.9** A metal is characterized by the fact that its *electrical resistivity increases* as the temperature increases, i.e. its *electrical conductivity decreases* as the temperature increases.

### Band theory of metals and insulators


The fundamental concept of band theory is to consider the energies of the molecular orbitals in an assembly of metal atoms. An MO diagram describing the bonding in a metallic solid is characterized by having groups of MOs (i.e. *bands*) which are very close in energy. We can readily see how bands arise by constructing an approximate MO diagram for lithium metal,  $\text{Li}_n$ .

The valence orbital of an Li atom is the  $2s$  atomic orbital, and Figure 5.11 shows schematic MO diagrams for the formation of species incorporating different numbers of Li atoms (see [Section 1.13](#)). If two Li atoms combine, the overlap of the two  $2s$  atomic orbitals leads to the formation of two MOs; if three Li atoms combine, three MOs are formed, and so on. For  $n$  Li atoms, there are  $n$  MOs, but because the  $2s$  atomic orbitals possess the same energy, the energies of the resultant MOs are very close together and so are termed a *band* of orbitals. Now, let us apply the *aufbau* principle and consider the occupation of the MOs in Figure 5.11. Each Li atom contributes one electron; in  $\text{Li}_2$ , this leads to the lowest MO being filled, and in  $\text{Li}_3$ , the lowest MO is fully occupied and the next MO is half-filled. In  $\text{Li}_n$ , the band must be half-occupied. Since the band of MOs in  $\text{Li}_n$  contains contributions from all the Li atoms, the model provides a delocalized picture of the bonding in the metal. Moreover, because the energies of the MOs within the band are very close together and not



**Fig. 5.10** A semiconductor, such as germanium, is characterized by the fact that its electrical resistivity *decreases* as the temperature increases; thus, its electrical conductivity *increases* as the temperature increases.

<sup>†</sup> The variation of phases with temperature and Cu:Zn stoichiometry is more complex than this description implies; see N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, p. 1178.




**Fig. 5.11** The interaction of two  $2s$  atomic orbitals in  $\text{Li}_2$  leads to the formation of two MOs. With three Li atoms, three MOs are formed, and so on. For  $\text{Li}_n$ , there are  $n$  molecular orbitals, but because the  $2s$  atomic orbitals are all of the same energy, the energies of the MOs are very close together and constitute a *band* of orbitals.

all the MOs are populated in the ground state, electrons can move into vacant MOs *within the band* under the influence of an electric field. Because of the delocalization, we can readily rationalize the movement of electrons from one Li atom to another, and understand why electrical conductivity results. This model indicates that electrical conductivity is a characteristic property of *partially filled bands* of MOs. In theory, no resistance should oppose the flow of a current if the nuclei are arranged at the points of a perfectly ordered lattice, and the increased *thermal population* of higher energy levels within the band at higher temperatures might be expected to lead to an increase in the electrical conductivity. In practice, however, thermal vibrations of the nuclei produce electrical resistance and this effect is sufficiently enhanced at higher temperatures so as to result in a *decrease* in the conductivity of the metal as the temperature increases.

A *band* is a group of MOs, the energy differences between which are so small that the system behaves as if a continuous, non-quantized variation of energy within the band is possible.

The model just described for Li is oversimplified; bands are also formed by the overlap of higher energy (unoccupied) atomic orbitals, and the  $2p$  band actually overlaps with the  $2s$  band to some extent since the  $s$ - $p$  separation in atomic Li is relatively small. This is also true for Be and, of course, this is of great significance since the ground state electronic configuration of Be is  $[\text{He}]2s^2$ ; were the energy separation of the  $2s$  and  $2p$  bands in Be large, the  $2s$  band would be fully occupied and Be would be an insulator. In reality, the  $2s$  and  $2p$  bands overlap, and generate, in effect, a single, partially occupied band, thereby giving Be its metallic character. Figure 5.12a–c illustrates that:



**Fig. 5.12** The relative energies of occupied and empty bands in (a) an insulator, (b) a metal in which the lower band is only *partially* occupied, (c) a metal in which the occupied and empty bands overlap, and (d) a semiconductor.

- a fully occupied band separated from the next (empty) band by a large energy separation (the *band gap*) leads to the material being an insulator;
- a partially occupied band leads to the material being metallic;
- metallic character is also consistent with the overlap of an occupied and a vacant band.

A *band gap* occurs when there is a significant energy difference between two bands.

## The Fermi level

The energy level of the highest occupied orbital in a metal at absolute zero is called the *Fermi level*. At this temperature, the electronic configuration predicted by the *aufbau* principle applies and so, in Li for example, the Fermi level lies exactly at the centre of the half-filled band. For other metals, the Fermi level lies at or near the centre of the band. At temperatures above 0 K, electrons thermally populate MOs just above the Fermi level, and some energy levels just below it remain unoccupied. In the case of a metal, the thermal populations of different energy states cannot be described in terms of a Boltzmann distribution, but are instead given by the *Fermi–Dirac distribution*.<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup> For a mathematical treatment of Fermi–Dirac statistics, see Appendix 17 in M. Ladd (1994) *Chemical Bonding in Solids and Fluids*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester.

## Band theory of semiconductors

Figure 5.12d illustrates a situation in which a fully occupied band is separated from an unoccupied band by a *small band gap*. This property characterizes a *semiconductor*. In this case, electrical conductivity depends upon there being sufficient energy available for thermal population of the upper band, and it follows that the conductivity increases as the temperature is raised. In the next section, we look more closely at the types and properties of semiconductors.

### 5.9 Semiconductors

#### Intrinsic semiconductors

In the macromolecular structures of diamond, silicon, germanium and  $\alpha$ -tin, each atom is tetrahedrally sited (see [Figure 5.19](#)). An atom of each element provides four valence orbitals and four valence electrons, and, in the bulk element, this leads to the formation of a fully occupied band and an unoccupied band lying at higher energy. The corresponding band gap can be measured spectroscopically since it is equal to the energy needed to promote an electron across the energy gap. For C, Si, Ge and  $\alpha$ -Sn, the band gaps are 520, 106, 64 and  $8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively. The variation down

group 14 leads to C being an insulator, while for  $\alpha$ -Sn, the band structure approaches that of a single, partially occupied band and this allotrope of Sn tends towards being metallic.

Each of Si, Ge and  $\alpha$ -Sn is classed as an *intrinsic semiconductor*, the extent of occupation of the upper band increasing with increasing temperature. Electrons present in the upper *conduction* band act as charge carriers and result in the semiconductor being able to conduct electricity. Additionally, the removal of electrons from the lower *valence* band creates *positive holes* into which electrons can move, again leading to the ability to conduct charge.

A *charge carrier* in a *semiconductor* is either a positive hole or an electron that is able to conduct electricity.

#### Extrinsic (n- and p-type) semiconductors

The semiconducting properties of Si and Ge can be enhanced by *doping* these elements with atoms of a group 13 or group 15 element. Doping involves the introduction of only a minutely small proportion of dopant atoms, less than  $1$  in  $10^6$ , and extremely pure Si or Ge must first be produced. The reduction of  $\text{SiO}_2$  in an electric furnace gives Si, and the Czochralski process (see [Box 5.3](#)) is used to draw single crystals of Si from the melt. We describe how dopants are introduced into semiconductors in [Section 27.6](#).

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 5.3 The production of pure silicon for semiconductors

Semiconductors demand the use of silicon of extreme purity. The native element does not occur naturally and silica ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ) and silicate minerals are its principal sources. Silicon can be extracted from silica by reduction with carbon in an electric furnace, but the product is far too impure for the semiconductor industry. A number of purification methods are used, but of these, two are important for producing single crystals of Si.

#### Zone melting

Beginning with a polycrystalline Si rod, a small zone (which lies perpendicular to the direction of the rod) is melted. The focus-point of the zone is gradually moved along the length of the rod; under carefully controlled conditions, cooling, which takes place behind the melt-zone, produces single crystals while impurities migrate along the rod with the molten material. Since the first experiments in the 1950s to develop this technique, the method has been adapted commercially and involves many passes of the melt-zone along the silicon rod before crystals suitable for use in semiconductors are obtained.

#### The Czochralski process

The principle of the Czochralski process is to draw single crystals of Si from the molten element. The thermal


decomposition of ultra-pure  $\text{SiHCl}_3$  is first used to obtain Si of high purity, and the polycrystalline or powdered element is then placed in a crucible, surrounded by a heating device. Controlled drawing conditions permit single crystals ( $\approx 2\text{--}3$  cm in diameter) to be drawn from the Si melt; the drawing-wire attached to the crystal being grown is rotated in a direction countering the rotation of the crucible; the conditions aim to provide a uniform distribution within the crystal of any remaining impurities. The crucible material is obviously critical; for example, if quartz is used, O atoms may be introduced into the Si crystals.

#### Further reading

Gmelin Handbook of Inorganic Chemistry (1984), *Silicon* Part A1 'History', System number 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 51.

T.J. Trentler, K.M. Hickman, S.C. Goel, A.M. Viano, P.C. Gibbons and W.E. Buhro (1995) *Science*, vol. 270, p. 1791.

See also: Section 13.6 (hydrides of group 14 elements) and Section 27.6 (chemical vapour deposition).



**Fig. 5.13** (a) In a p-type semiconductor (e.g. Ga-doped Si), electrical conductivity arises from thermal population of an acceptor level which leaves vacancies (positive holes) in the lower band. (b) In an n-type semiconductor (e.g. As-doped Si), a donor level is close in energy to the conduction band.

*Extrinsic semiconductors* contain dopants; a *dopant* is an impurity introduced into a semiconductor in minute amounts to enhance its electrical conductivity.

In Ga-doped Si, the substitution of a Ga (group 13) for a Si (group 14) atom in the bulk solid produces an electron-deficient site. This introduces a discrete, unoccupied level into the band structure (Figure 5.13a). The band gap that separates this level from the lower-lying occupied band is small ( $\approx 10 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) and thermal population of the *acceptor level* is possible. The acceptor levels remain discrete if the concentration of Ga atoms is low, and in these circumstances the electrons in them do not contribute directly to the electrical conductance of the semiconductor. However, the positive holes left behind in the valence band act as charge carriers; one can think either in terms of an electron moving into the hole, thereby leaving another hole into which another electron can move and so on, or in terms of the movement of positive holes (in the opposite direction to the electron migration). This gives rise to a *p-type* (*p* stands for positive) semiconductor. Other group 13 dopants for Si are B and Al.

In As-doped Si, replacing an Si (group 14) by an As (group 15) atom introduces an electron-rich site. The extra electrons occupy a discrete level below the conduction band (Figure 5.13b), and, because of the small band gap ( $\approx 10 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ), electrons from the *donor level* can thermally populate the conduction band where they are free to move. Electrical conduction can be described in terms of the movement of negatively charged electrons and this generates an *n-type* (*n* stands for negative) semiconductor. Phosphorus atoms can similarly be used as dopants in silicon.

The n- and p-type semiconductors are *extrinsic semiconductors*, and their precise properties are controlled by the choice and concentration of dopant. Semiconductors are discussed further in [Section 27.6](#).

## 5.10 Sizes of ions

Before we embark upon a discussion of the structures of ionic solids, we must say something about the sizes of ions, and define the term *ionic radius*. The process of ionization (e.g. equation 5.4) results in a contraction of the species owing to an increase in the effective nuclear charge. Similarly, when an atom gains an electron (e.g. equation 5.5), the imbalance between the number of protons and electrons causes the anion to be larger than the original atom.




### Ionic radii

Although from a wave-mechanical viewpoint, the radius of an individual ion has no precise physical significance, for purposes of descriptive crystallography, it is convenient to have a compilation of values obtained by partitioning measured interatomic distances in ‘ionic’ compounds. Values of the *ionic radius* ( $r_{\text{ion}}$ ) may be derived from X-ray diffraction data. However, experimental data only give the *internuclear distance* and we generally take this to be the sum of the ionic radii of the cation and anion (equation 5.6).

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Internuclear distance between} \\ \text{a cation and the closest anion} \\ \text{in a lattice} \end{array} = r_{\text{cation}} + r_{\text{anion}} \quad (5.6)$$

Equation 5.6 assumes a hard sphere model for the ions, with ions of opposite charge touching one another in the crystal lattice. Use of such an approximation means that the assignment of individual radii is somewhat arbitrary. Among many approaches to this problem we mention three.

Landé assumed that in the solid state structures of the lithium halides, LiX, the anions were in contact with one another (see diagram 5.1 and [Figure 5.15a](#) with the accompanying discussion). Landé took half of each anion–anion distance to be the radius of that anion, and then obtained  $r_{\text{Li}^+}$  by substituting into equation 5.6 values of  $r_{\text{X}^-}$  and the measured internuclear Li–X distances.



Pauling considered a series of alkali metal halides, each member of which contained isoelectronic ions (NaF, KCl, RbBr, CsI). In order to partition the ionic radii, he assumed

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 5.4 Radius ratio rules

The structures of many ionic crystals can be rationalized to a first approximation by considering the relative sizes and relative numbers of the ions present. For monatomic ions, cations are usually smaller than anions (see Appendix 6), although examples such as KF and CsF show that this is not always true. The radius ratio  $\frac{r_+}{r_-}$  can be used to make a first guess at the likely coordination number and geometry around the cation using a set of simple rules:

| Value of $\frac{r_+}{r_-}$ | Predicted coordination number of cation | Predicted coordination geometry of cation |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| <0.15                      | 2                                       | Linear                                    |
| 0.15–0.22                  | 3                                       | Trigonal planar                           |
| 0.22–0.41                  | 4                                       | Tetrahedral                               |
| 0.41–0.73                  | 6                                       | Octahedral                                |
| >0.73                      | 8                                       | Cubic                                     |

For a given compound stoichiometry, predictions about the coordination type of the cation necessarily make predictions about the coordination type of the anion. Use of radius ratios meets with some success, but there are many limitations. We can exemplify this by looking at the group 1 halides. The

ionic radii are as follows:

| Cation     | Li <sup>+</sup> | Na <sup>+</sup> | K <sup>+</sup>  | Rb <sup>+</sup> | Cs <sup>+</sup> |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $r_+$ / pm | 76              | 102             | 138             | 149             | 170             |
| Anion      | F <sup>-</sup>  | Cl <sup>-</sup> | Br <sup>-</sup> | I <sup>-</sup>  |                 |
| $r_-$ / pm | 133             | 181             | 196             | 220             |                 |

For LiF, the radius ratio is 0.57 and so an octahedral coordination around the Li<sup>+</sup> cation is predicted; for LiF, this corresponds to an NaCl type lattice, in agreement with the observed structure. In fact each of the group 1 halides (except CsCl, CsBr and CsI) at 298 K and 1 bar pressure adopts the NaCl type structure; CsCl, CsBr and CsI adopt the CsCl type lattice. Radius ratio rules predict the correct structures in only some cases; they predict tetrahedral coordination for the cations in LiBr and LiI, and cubic coordination in NaF, KF, KCl, RbF, RbCl, RbBr and CsF (in addition to CsCl, CsBr and CsI). Radius ratio rules give only one prediction for any one ionic crystal, and some compounds undergo phase changes under the influence of temperature and pressure, e.g. when CsCl is sublimed onto an amorphous surface, it crystallizes with the NaCl structure and, under high-pressure conditions, RbCl adopts a CsCl type lattice.

that the radius of each ion was inversely proportional to its actual nuclear charge less an amount due to screening effects. The latter were estimated using Slater's rules (see Box 1.6).

Goldschmidt and, more recently, Shannon and Prewitt, concentrated on the analysis of experimental data (mostly fluorides and oxides) with the aim of obtaining a set of ionic radii which, when combined in pairs (equation 5.6), reproduced the observed internuclear distances. In view of the approximate nature of the concept of the ionic radius, no great importance should be attached to small differences in quoted values so long as self-consistency is maintained in any one set of data. Further, some dependence of ionic size on coordination number is expected if we consider the different electrostatic interactions that a particular ion experiences in differing environments in an ionic crystal;  $r_{\text{ion}}$  for a given ion increases slightly with an increase in coordination number.


Values of ionic radii for selected ions are listed in Appendix 6. Ionic radii are sometimes quoted for species such as Si<sup>4+</sup> and Cl<sup>7+</sup>, but such data are highly artificial. The sums of the appropriate ionization energies of Si and Cl (9950 and 39 500 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> respectively) make it inconceivable that such ions exist in stable species. Nonetheless, a value for the radius of 'Cl<sup>7+</sup>' can be calculated by subtracting

$r_{\text{O}^{2-}}$  from the Cl–O internuclear distance in [ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>.

We should mention that in the few cases in which the variation in electron density in a crystal has been accurately determined (e.g. NaCl), the minimum electron density does not in fact occur at distances from the nuclei indicated by the ionic radii in general use; e.g. in LiF and NaCl, the minima are found at 92 and 118 pm from the nucleus of the cation, whereas tabulated values of  $r_{\text{Li}^+}$  and  $r_{\text{Na}^+}$  are 76 and 102 pm, respectively. Such data make it clear that discussing lattice structures in terms of the ratio of the ionic radii is, at best, only a rough guide. For this reason, we restrict our discussion of radius ratio rules to that in Box 5.4.

## Periodic trends in ionic radii

Figure 5.14 illustrates trends in ionic radii on descending representative groups and on crossing the first row of the *d*-block. In each case,  $r_{\text{ion}}$  corresponds to that of a 6-coordinate ion. The cation size increases on descending groups 1 and 2, as does the anion size on descending group 17. Figure 5.14 also allows comparisons of the relative sizes of cations and anions in alkali metal and alkaline earth metal halide salts (see Section 5.11).



**Fig. 5.14** Trends in ionic radii,  $r_{\text{ion}}$ , within the metal ions of groups 1 and 2, the anions of group 17, and metal ions from the first row of the  $d$ -block.

The right-hand side of Figure 5.14 illustrates the small variation in size for  $M^{3+}$  and  $M^{2+}$  ions of the  $d$ -block metals. As expected, the decrease in nuclear charge in going from  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  to  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ , and from  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  to  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ , causes an increase in  $r_{\text{ion}}$ .

## 5.11 Ionic lattices

In this section we describe some common structure types adopted by ionic compounds of general formulae  $\text{MX}$ ,  $\text{MX}_2$  or  $\text{M}_2\text{X}$ , as well as that of the mineral *perovskite*,  $\text{CaTiO}_3$ . Such structures are usually determined by X-ray diffraction methods (see [Box 5.5](#)). Different ions scatter

X-rays to differing extents depending on the total number of electrons in the ion and, consequently, different types of ions can generally be distinguished from one another. Use of X-ray diffraction methods does have some limitations. Firstly, the location of light atoms (e.g. H) in the presence of much heavier atoms is difficult and, sometimes, impossible. Neutron diffraction (in which neutrons are diffracted by *nuclei*) may be used as a complementary technique. Secondly, X-ray diffraction is seldom able to identify the state of ionization of the species present; only for a few substances (e.g. NaCl) has the electron density distribution been determined with sufficient accuracy for this purpose.

Throughout our discussion, we refer to ‘ionic’ lattices, suggesting the presence of discrete ions. Although a *spherical*

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 5.5 Determination of structure: X-ray diffraction


The method of X-ray diffraction is widely used for the determination of the structures of molecular solids (i.e. solids composed of discrete molecules) and of non-molecular solids (e.g. ionic materials). As the technique has been developed, its range of applications has expanded to include polymers, proteins and other macromolecules. The reason that X-rays are chosen for these experiments is that the wavelength ( $\approx 10^{-10}$  m) is of the same order of magnitude as the internuclear distances in molecules or non-molecular solids. As a consequence of this, diffraction is observed when X-rays interact with an array of atoms in a solid (see below).

The most commonly used X-ray diffraction methods involve the use of single crystals, but *powder diffraction* techniques are also used, especially for investigating solids with infinite lattice structures. An X-ray diffractometer typically consists of an X-ray source, a mounting for the crystal, turntables to allow variation in the angles

of the incident X-ray beam and crystal face, and an X-ray detector. The source provides *monochromatic radiation*, i.e. X-rays of a single wavelength. The detector detects X-rays that are scattered (reflected) from the crystal. The recent introduction of diffractometers incorporating *area detectors* has made the process of data collection much faster.

X-rays are scattered by *electrons* surrounding the nuclei. Because the *scattering power* of an atom depends on the number of electrons, it is difficult (often impossible) to locate H atoms in the presence of heavy atoms.

In the diagram on the next page, an ordered array of atoms is represented simply by black dots. Consider the two waves of incident radiation (angle of incidence =  $\theta$ ) to be *in-phase*. Let one wave be reflected from an atom in the first lattice plane and the second wave be reflected by an atom in the second lattice plane as shown in the diagram. The two *scattered waves* will only be in-phase



if the additional distance travelled by the second wave is equal to a multiple of the wavelength, i.e.  $n\lambda$ . If the lattice spacing (i.e. the distance between the planes of atoms in the crystal) is  $d$ , then by simple trigonometry, we can see from the diagram above that:

Additional distance travelled by the second wave

$$= 2d \sin \theta$$

For the two waves (originally in-phase) to remain in-phase as they are scattered:

$$2d \sin \theta = n\lambda$$

This relationship between the wavelength,  $\lambda$ , of incident X-ray radiation and the lattice spacings,  $d$ , of the crystal is *Bragg's equation* and is the basis for the technique of X-ray diffraction. Scattering data are collected over a range of  $\theta$  values and for a range of crystal orientations. The methods of solving a crystal structure from the reflection data are beyond the scope of this text but the further reading below gives useful sources of more detailed discussions.

For compounds consisting of discrete molecules, the results of a structural determination are usually discussed either in terms of the molecular structure (atomic coordinates, bond distances, bond angles and torsion angles) or the packing of the molecules in the lattice and associated

intermolecular interactions. The temperature of the X-ray data collection is an important point to consider since atoms in molecules are subject to *thermal motion* (*vibrations*) and accurate bond distances and angles can only be obtained if the thermal motions are minimized. Low-temperature structure determinations are now a routine part of the X-ray diffraction technique.

Many of the structural figures in this book have been drawn using *atomic coordinates* determined from X-ray diffraction experiments (see the individual figure captions). Databases such as the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre are invaluable sources of structural information (see the reference by A.G. Orpen below).


#### Further reading

- P. Atkins and J. de Paula (2002) *Atkins' Physical Chemistry*, 7th edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford, Chapter 23.
- W. Clegg (1998) *Crystal Structure Determination*, OUP Primer Series, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- C. Hammond (2001) *The Basics of Crystallography and Diffraction*, 2nd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- J.A.K. Howard and L. Aslanov (1994) 'Diffraction Methods in Inorganic Chemistry' in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 2, p. 995.
- A.G. Orpen (2002) *Acta Crystallographica*, vol. 58B, p. 398.

*ion model* is used to describe the structures, we shall see in Section 5.13 that this picture is unsatisfactory for some compounds in which covalent contributions to the bonding are significant. Useful as the hard sphere model is in acquiring a basic grasp of common crystal structure types, it must be clearly understood that it is at odds with modern quantum theory. As we saw in Chapter 1, the wavefunction of an electron does not suddenly drop to zero with increasing

distance from the nucleus, and in a close-packed or any other crystal, there is a finite electron density everywhere. Thus *all treatments of the solid state based upon the hard sphere model are approximations*.

Each structure type is designated by the name of one of the compounds crystallizing with that structure, and phrases such as 'CaO adopts an NaCl structure' are commonly found in the chemical literature.



**Fig. 5.15** Two representations of the unit cell of NaCl: (a) shows a space-filling representation, and (b) shows a ‘ball-and-stick’ representation which reveals the coordination environments of the ions. The  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions are shown in green and the  $\text{Na}^+$  ions in purple; since both types of ion are in equivalent environments, a unit cell with  $\text{Na}^+$  ions in the corner sites is also valid. There are four types of site in the unit cell: central (not labelled), face, edge and corner positions.

## The rock salt (NaCl) lattice

In salts of formula MX, the coordination numbers of M and X must be *equal*.

Rock salt (or halite, NaCl) occurs naturally as cubic crystals, which, when pure, are colourless or white. Figure 5.15 shows two representations of the unit cell (see [Section 5.2](#)) of NaCl. Figure 5.15a illustrates the way in which the ions occupy the space available; the larger  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions ( $r_{\text{Cl}^-} = 181 \text{ pm}$ ) define an fcc arrangement with the  $\text{Na}^+$  ions ( $r_{\text{Na}^+} = 102 \text{ pm}$ ) occupying the octahedral holes. This description relates the structure of the ionic lattice to the close-packing-of-spheres model. Such a description is often employed, but is not satisfactory for salts such as KF; while this adopts an NaCl lattice, the  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{F}^-$  ions are almost the same size ( $r_{\text{K}^+} = 138$ ,  $r_{\text{F}^-} = 133 \text{ pm}$ ) (see [Box 5.4](#)). Although Figure 5.15a is relatively realistic, it hides most of the structural details of the unit cell and is difficult to reproduce when drawing the unit cell. The more open representation shown in Figure 5.15b tends to be more useful.

The complete NaCl lattice is built up by placing unit cells next to one another so that ions residing in the corner, edge or face sites (Figure 5.15b) are *shared* between adjacent unit cells. Bearing this in mind, Figure 5.15b shows that *each*  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  ion is 6-coordinate in the crystal lattice, while within a single unit cell, the octahedral environment is defined completely only for the central  $\text{Na}^+$  ion.

Figure 5.15b is not a unique representation of a unit cell of the NaCl lattice. It is equally valid to draw a unit cell with  $\text{Na}^+$  ions in the corner sites; such a cell has a  $\text{Cl}^-$  ion in the unique central site. This shows that the  $\text{Na}^+$  ions are also in an fcc arrangement, and the NaCl lattice could therefore be described in terms of two interpenetrating fcc lattices, one consisting of  $\text{Na}^+$  ions and one of  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions.

Among the many compounds that crystallize with the NaCl lattice are NaF, NaBr, NaI, NaH, halides of Li, K and Rb, CsF, AgF, AgCl, AgBr, MgO, CaO, SrO, BaO, MnO, CoO, NiO, MgS, CaS, SrS and BaS.

### Worked example 5.2 Compound stoichiometry from a unit cell

Show that the structure of the unit cell for sodium chloride (Figure 5.15b) is consistent with the formula NaCl.

In Figure 5.15b, 14  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions and 13  $\text{Na}^+$  ions are shown. However, all but one of the ions are shared between two or more unit cells.

There are four types of site:

- unique central position (the ion belongs entirely to the unit cell shown);
- face site (the ion is shared between two unit cells);
- edge sites (the ion is shared between four unit cells);
- corner site (the ion is shared between eight unit cells).

The total number of  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions belonging to the unit cell is calculated as follows:

| Site    | Number of $\text{Na}^+$       | Number of $\text{Cl}^-$      |
|---------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Central | 1                             | 0                            |
| Face    | 0                             | $(6 \times \frac{1}{2}) = 3$ |
| Edge    | $(12 \times \frac{1}{4}) = 3$ | 0                            |
| Corner  | 0                             | $(8 \times \frac{1}{8}) = 1$ |
| TOTAL   | 4                             | 4                            |

The ratio of  $\text{Na}^+ : \text{Cl}^-$  ions is  $4 : 4 = 1 : 1$

This ratio is consistent with the formula NaCl.

## Self-study exercises

1. Show that the structure of the unit cell for caesium chloride (Figure 5.16) is consistent with the formula CsCl.
2. MgO adopts an NaCl lattice. How many  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ions are present per unit cell? [Ans. 4 of each]
3. The unit cell of AgCl (NaCl type lattice) can be drawn with  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions at the corners of the cell, or  $\text{Cl}^-$  at the corners. Confirm that the number of  $\text{Ag}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions per unit cell remains the same whichever arrangement is considered.

## The caesium chloride (CsCl) lattice


In the CsCl lattice, each ion is surrounded by eight others of opposite charge. A single unit cell (Figure 5.16a) makes the connectivity obvious only for the central ion. However, by extending the lattice, one sees that it is constructed of interpenetrating cubes (Figure 5.16b), and the coordination number of each ion is seen. Because the  $\text{Cs}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions are in the same environments, it is valid to draw a unit cell either with  $\text{Cs}^+$  or  $\text{Cl}^-$  at the corners of the cube. Note the relationship between the structure of the unit cell and bcc packing.

The CsCl structure is relatively uncommon but is also adopted by CsBr, CsI, TlCl and TlBr. At 298 K,  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{Br}$  possess CsCl lattices;  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  is treated as a spherical ion (Figure 5.17), an approximation that can be made for a number of simple ions in the solid state due to their rotating or lying in random orientations about a fixed point. Above 457 and 411 K respectively,  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{Br}$  adopt NaCl lattices.


The fluorite ( $\text{CaF}_2$ ) lattice

In salts of formula  $\text{MX}_2$ , the coordination number of X must be *half* that of M.

Calcium fluoride occurs naturally as the mineral *fluorite* (fluorspar). Figure 5.18a shows a unit cell of  $\text{CaF}_2$ . Each



**Fig. 5.16** (a) The unit cell of CsCl;  $\text{Cs}^+$  ions are shown in yellow and  $\text{Cl}^-$  in green, but the unit cell could also be drawn with the  $\text{Cs}^+$  ion in the central site. The unit cell is defined by the yellow lines. (b) One way to describe the CsCl lattice is in terms of interpenetrating cubic units of  $\text{Cs}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions.



**Fig. 5.17** The  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  ion can be treated as a sphere in descriptions of solid state lattices; some other ions (e.g.  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$ ) can be treated similarly.

cation is 8-coordinate and each anion 4-coordinate; six of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions are shared between two unit cells and the 8-coordinate environment can be appreciated by envisaging two adjacent unit cells. (*Exercise*: How does the coordination number of 8 for the remaining  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions arise?) Other compounds that adopt this lattice type include group 2 metal fluorides,  $\text{BaCl}_2$ , and the dioxides of the *f*-block metals.


## The antifluorite lattice

If the cation and anion sites in Figure 5.18a are exchanged, the coordination number of the anion becomes *twice* that of the cation, and it follows that the compound formula is  $\text{M}_2\text{X}$ . This arrangement corresponds to the antifluorite structure, and is adopted by the group 1 metal oxides and sulfides of type  $\text{M}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{M}_2\text{S}$ ;  $\text{Cs}_2\text{O}$  is an exception.

The zinc blende ( $\text{ZnS}$ ) lattice: a diamond-type network

Figure 5.18b shows the structure of zinc blende ( $\text{ZnS}$ ). A comparison of this with Figure 5.18a reveals a relationship between the structures of zinc blende and  $\text{CaF}_2$ ; in going from Figure 5.18a to 5.18b, half of the anions are removed and the ratio of cation:anion changes from 1:2 to 1:1.

An alternative description is that of a *diamond-type network*. Figure 5.19a gives a representation of the structure of diamond; each C atom is tetrahedrally sited and the structure is very rigid. This structure type is also adopted by Si, Ge and  $\alpha$ -Sn (grey tin). Figure 5.19b (with atom labels that relate it to Figure 5.19a) shows a view of the diamond network that is comparable with the unit cell of zinc blende in Figure 5.18b. In zinc blende, every other site in the diamond-type array is occupied by either a zinc or a sulfur centre. The fact that we are comparing the structure of an apparently ionic compound ( $\text{ZnS}$ ) with that of a covalently bonded species should not cause concern. As we have already mentioned, the hard sphere ionic model is a convenient approximation but does not allow for the fact



**Fig. 5.18** (a) The unit cell of  $\text{CaF}_2$ ; the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions are shown in red and the  $\text{F}^-$  ions in green. (b) The unit cell of zinc blende ( $\text{ZnS}$ ); the zinc centres are shown in grey and the sulfur centres in yellow. Both sites are equivalent, and the unit cell could be drawn with the  $\text{S}^{2-}$  ions in the grey sites.


that the bonding in many compounds such as  $\text{ZnS}$  is neither wholly ionic nor wholly covalent.

At 1296 K, zinc blende undergoes a transition to wurtzite, the structure of which we consider later; zinc blende and wurtzite are *polymorphs* (see [Section 5.4](#)). Zinc(II) sulfide occurs naturally both as zinc blende (also called *sphalerite*) and wurtzite, although the former is more abundant and is the major ore for Zn production. Although zinc blende is thermodynamically favoured at 298 K by  $13 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , the transition from wurtzite to zinc blende is *extremely* slow, allowing both minerals to exist in nature. This scenario resembles that of the diamond  $\rightarrow$  graphite transition (see [Chapter 13](#) and [Box 13.5](#)), graphite being thermodynamically favoured at 298 K. If the latter transition were


*not* infinitesimally slow, diamonds would lose their place in the world gemstone market!

### The $\beta$ -cristobalite ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ) lattice

Before discussing the structure of wurtzite, we consider  $\beta$ -cristobalite, the structure of which is related to that of the diamond-type network.  $\beta$ -Cristobalite is one of several forms of  $\text{SiO}_2$  (see [Figure 13.18](#)). Figure 5.19c shows the unit cell of the  $\beta$ -cristobalite lattice; comparison with Figure 5.19b shows that it is related to the structure of Si by placing an O atom between adjacent Si atoms. The idealized structure shown in Figure 5.19c has an Si–O–Si bond angle of  $180^\circ$  whereas in practice this angle is  $147^\circ$  (almost the same as in



**Fig. 5.19** (a) A typical representation of the diamond lattice. (b) Reorientation of the network shown in (a) provides a representation that can be compared with the unit cell of zinc blende (Figure 5.18b); the atom labels correspond to those in diagram (a). This lattice is also adopted by Si, Ge and  $\alpha$ -Sn. (c) The unit cell of  $\beta$ -cristobalite,  $\text{SiO}_2$ ; colour code: Si, purple; O, red.



**Fig. 5.20** Three unit cells of wurtzite (a second polymorph of ZnS) define a hexagonal prism; the  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  ions are shown in grey and the  $\text{S}^{2-}$  ions in yellow. Both ions are tetrahedrally sited and an alternative unit cell could be drawn by interchanging the ion positions.

$(\text{SiH}_3)_2\text{O}$ ,  $\angle\text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{Si} = 144^\circ$ , indicating that the bonding in  $\text{SiO}_2$  is *not* purely electrostatic.

### The wurtzite ( $\text{ZnS}$ ) structure

Wurtzite is a second polymorph of ZnS; in contrast to the cubic symmetry of zinc blende, wurtzite has hexagonal symmetry. In the three unit cells shown in Figure 5.20, the 12 ions in corner sites define a hexagonal prism. Each of the zinc and sulfur centres is tetrahedrally sited, and a unit cell in which  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and  $\text{S}^{2-}$  are interchanged with respect to Figure 5.20 is equally valid.


### The rutile ( $\text{TiO}_2$ ) structure

The mineral rutile occurs in granite rocks and is an important industrial source of  $\text{TiO}_2$  (see [Box 21.3](#)). Figure 5.21 shows the unit cell of rutile. The coordination numbers of titanium and oxygen are 6 (octahedral) and 3 (trigonal planar) respectively, consistent with the 1:2 stoichiometry of rutile. Two of the  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ions shown in Figure 5.21 reside fully within the unit cell, while the other four are in face-sharing positions.

The rutile lattice is adopted by  $\text{SnO}_2$  (*cassiterite*, the most important tin-bearing mineral),  $\text{MnO}_2$  (*pyrolusite*) and  $\text{PbO}_2$ .

### The $\text{CdI}_2$ and $\text{CdCl}_2$ lattices: layer structures

Many compounds of formula  $\text{MX}_2$  crystallize in so-called *layer structures*, a typical one being  $\text{CdI}_2$  which has hexagonal symmetry. This lattice can be described in terms of  $\text{I}^-$  ions arranged in an hcp array with  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  ions occupying the octahedral holes in every other layer (Figure 5.22, in which the hcp array is denoted by the ABAB layers). Extending the lattice infinitely gives a structure which can be described in terms of ‘stacked sandwiches’, each ‘sandwich’ consisting of a layer of  $\text{I}^-$  ions, a parallel layer of  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$




**Fig. 5.21** The unit cell of rutile (one polymorph of  $\text{TiO}_2$ ); the titanium centres are shown in grey and the oxygen centres in red.

ions, and another parallel layer of  $\text{I}^-$  ions; each ‘sandwich’ is electrically neutral. Only weak van der Waals forces operate between the ‘sandwiches’ (the central gap between the layers in Figure 5.22) and this leads to  $\text{CdI}_2$  crystals exhibiting pronounced cleavage planes parallel to the layers.


If a crystal breaks along a plane related to the lattice structure, the plane is called a *cleavage plane*.

Other compounds crystallizing with a  $\text{CdI}_2$  lattice include  $\text{MgBr}_2$ ,  $\text{MgI}_2$ ,  $\text{CaI}_2$ , iodides of many *d*-block metals, and many metal hydroxides including  $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$  (the mineral *brucite*) in which the  $[\text{OH}]^-$  ions are treated as spheres for the purposes of structural description.

The  $\text{CdCl}_2$  lattice is related to the  $\text{CdI}_2$  layer-structure but with the  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions in a *cubic* close-packed arrangement. Examples of compounds adopting this structure are  $\text{FeCl}_2$  and  $\text{CoCl}_2$ . Other layer structures include *talc* and *mica* (see [Section 13.9](#)).



**Fig. 5.22** Parts of two layers of the  $\text{CdI}_2$  lattice;  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  ions are shown in pale grey and  $\text{I}^-$  ions in gold. The  $\text{I}^-$  ions are arranged in an hcp array.



**Fig. 5.23** (a) One representation of a unit cell of perovskite ( $\text{CaTiO}_3$ ); (b) the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ion is 12-coordinate with respect to the  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ions. Colour code: Ca, purple; O, red; Ti, pale grey.

## The perovskite ( $\text{CaTiO}_3$ ) lattice: a double oxide

Perovskite is an example of a *double oxide*; it does not, as the formula might imply, contain  $[\text{TiO}_3]^{2-}$  ions, but is a mixed Ca(II) and Ti(IV) oxide. Figure 5.23a shows one representation of a unit cell of perovskite (see [problem 5.13](#) at the end of the chapter). The cell is cubic, with Ti(IV) centres at the corners of the cube, and  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ions in the 12 edge sites. The 12-coordinate  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ion lies at the centre of the unit cell. Each Ti(IV) centre is 6-coordinate, and this can be appreciated by considering the assembly of adjacent unit cells in the crystal lattice.

Many double oxides or fluorides such as  $\text{BaTiO}_3$ ,  $\text{SrFeO}_3$ ,  $\text{NaNbO}_3$ ,  $\text{KMgF}_3$  and  $\text{KZnF}_3$  crystallize with a perovskite lattice. Deformations of the lattice may be caused as a consequence of the relative sizes of the ions, e.g. in  $\text{BaTiO}_3$ , the  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  ion is relatively large ( $r_{\text{Ba}^{2+}} = 142 \text{ pm}$  compared with  $r_{\text{Ca}^{2+}} = 100 \text{ pm}$ ) and causes a displacement of each Ti(IV) centre such that there is one short Ti–O contact. This leads to  $\text{BaTiO}_3$  possessing *ferroelectric* properties (see [Section 27.6](#)).

The structures of some high-temperature superconductors are also related to that of perovskite. Another mixed oxide lattice is that of *spinel*,  $\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4$  (see [Box 12.6](#)).

## 5.12 Crystal structures of semiconductors


This section draws attention to some of the common structure types adopted by semiconductors. The diamond-type network (often referred to an *adamantine solid* structure) is adopted by Si and Ge; the addition of dopants occurs without structural change. Related to this network is the zinc blende lattice and among compounds adopting this structure are GaAs, InAs, GaP, ZnSe, ZnTe, CdS, CdSe,

CdTe, HgS, HgSe and HgTe. Each binary compound (including zinc blende) is an intrinsic semiconductor. The wurtzite lattice is also important in semiconducting materials; ZnO, CdSe and InN are examples of compounds adopting this structure.

## 5.13 Lattice energy: estimates from an electrostatic model

The *lattice energy*,  $\Delta U(0 \text{ K})$ , of an ionic compound is the change in internal energy that accompanies the formation of one mole of the solid from its constituent gas-phase ions at 0 K.

For a salt  $\text{MX}_n$ , equation 5.7 defines the reaction, the energy change for which corresponds to the lattice energy.



The lattice energy can be *estimated* by assuming an electrostatic model for the solid state ionic lattice; the ions are considered to be point charges. Later in this chapter, we consider to what extent this approximation is true.

### Coulombic attraction within an isolated ion-pair

Before we consider an ionic lattice, let us review the appropriate equation for the change in internal energy when two oppositely charged ions  $\text{M}^{z+}$  and  $\text{X}^{z-}$  are brought together from infinite separation to form the *isolated ion-pair*, MX (equation 5.8).



Let the ions carry charges of  $z_+e$  and  $z_-e$  where  $e$  is the electronic charge and  $z_+$  and  $z_-$  are integers. The ions attract each other, and energy is released as the ion-pair is formed.

The change in internal energy can be estimated from equation 5.9 by considering the Coulombic attraction between the ions. For an isolated ion-pair:

$$\Delta U = - \left( \frac{|z_+||z_-|e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \right) \quad (5.9)$$

where  $\Delta U$  = change in internal energy (unit = joules);  $|z_+|$  = modulus<sup>†</sup> of the positive charge (for  $K^+$ ,  $|z_+| = 1$ ; for  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $|z_+| = 2$ );  $|z_-|$  = modulus<sup>†</sup> of the negative charge (for  $F^-$ ,  $|z_-| = 1$ ; for  $O^{2-}$ ,  $|z_-| = 2$ );  $e$  = charge on the electron =  $1.602 \times 10^{-19}$  C;  $\epsilon_0$  = permittivity of a vacuum =  $8.854 \times 10^{-12}$  F m<sup>-1</sup>;  $r$  = internuclear distance between the ions (units = m).

## Coulombic interactions in an ionic lattice

Now consider a salt MX which has an NaCl lattice. A study of the coordination geometry in Figure 5.15 (remembering that the lattice extends indefinitely) shows that each  $M^{z+}$  ion is surrounded by:

- 6  $X^{z-}$  ions, each at a distance  $r$
- 12  $M^{z+}$  ions, each at a distance  $\sqrt{2}r$
- 8  $X^{z-}$  ions, each at a distance  $\sqrt{3}r$
- 6  $M^{z+}$  ions, each at a distance  $\sqrt{4}r = 2r$

and so on.

The change in Coulombic energy when an  $M^{z+}$  ion is brought from infinity to its position in the lattice is given by equation 5.10.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta U &= - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[ \left( \frac{6}{r} |z_+||z_-| \right) - \left( \frac{12}{\sqrt{2}r} |z_+|^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}r} |z_+||z_-| \right) - \left( \frac{6}{\sqrt{4}r} |z_+|^2 \right) \dots \right] \\ &= - \frac{|z_+||z_-|e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \left[ 6 - \left( \frac{12|z_+|}{\sqrt{2}|z_-|} \right) + \left( \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left( 3 \frac{|z_+|}{|z_-|} \right) \dots \right] \quad (5.10) \end{aligned}$$

The ratio of the charges on the ions,  $\frac{|z_+|}{|z_-|}$ , is constant for a given type of structure (e.g. 1 for NaCl) and so the series in square brackets in equation 5.10 (which slowly converges and may be summed algebraically) is a function only of the crystal geometry. Similar series can be written for other crystal lattices, but for a particular structure type, the series is independent of  $|z_+|$ ,  $|z_-|$  and  $r$ . Erwin Madelung first evaluated such series in 1918, and the values appropriate for various lattice types are *Madelung constants*,  $A$  (see [Table 5.4](#)). Equation 5.10 can therefore be written in the more simple form of equation 5.11, in which the lattice energy is estimated in joules *per mole* of compound.

<sup>†</sup> The modulus of a real number is its *positive* value, e.g.  $|z_+|$  and  $|z_-|$  are both positive.

**Table 5.3** Values of the Born exponent,  $n$ , given for an ionic compound MX in terms of the electronic configuration of the ions  $[M^+][X^-]$ . The value of  $n$  for an ionic compound is determined by averaging the component values, e.g. for MgO,  $n = 7$ ; for LiCl,  $n = \frac{5+9}{2} = 7$ .

| Electronic configuration of the ions in an ionic compound MX | Examples of ions                   | $n$ (no units) |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------|
| [He][He]   | $H^-, Li^+$                        | 5              |
| [Ne][Ne]   | $F^-, O^{2-}, Na^+, Mg^{2+}$       | 7              |
| [Ar][Ar], or $[3d^{10}][Ar]$                                 | $Cl^-, S^{2-}, K^+, Ca^{2+}, Cu^+$ | 9              |
| [Kr][Kr] or $[4d^{10}][Kr]$                                  | $Br^-, Rb^+, Sr^{2+}, Ag^+$        | 10             |
| [Xe][Xe] or $[5d^{10}][Xe]$                                  | $I^-, Cs^+, Ba^{2+}, Au^+$         | 12             |

$$\Delta U = - \frac{LA|z_+||z_-|e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \quad (5.11)$$

where  $L$  = Avogadro number =  $6.022 \times 10^{23}$  mol<sup>-1</sup>, and  $A$  = Madelung constant (no units).

Although we have derived this expression by considering the ions that surround  $M^{z+}$ , the same equation results by starting from a central  $X^{z-}$  ion.

## Born forces

Coulombic interactions are not the only forces operating in a real ionic lattice. The ions have finite size, and electron–electron and nucleus–nucleus repulsions also arise; these are *Born forces*. Equation 5.12 gives the simplest expression for the increase in repulsive energy upon assembling the lattice from gaseous ions.

$$\Delta U = \frac{LB}{r^n} \quad (5.12)$$

where  $B$  = repulsion coefficient, and  $n$  = Born exponent.

Values of the Born exponent (Table 5.3) can be evaluated from compressibility data and depend on the electronic configurations of the ions involved; effectively, this says that  $n$  shows a dependence on the sizes of the ions.

### Worked example 5.3 Born exponents

Using the values given in Table 5.3, determine an appropriate Born exponent for BaO.

$Ba^{2+}$  is isoelectronic with Xe, and so  $n = 12$

$O^{2-}$  is isoelectronic with Ne, and  $n = 7$

The value of  $n$  for BaO =  $\frac{12+7}{2} = 9.5$

**Self-study exercises**

Use data in Table 5.3.

1. Calculate an appropriate Born exponent for NaF. [Ans. 7]

2. Calculate an appropriate Born exponent for AgF. [Ans. 8.5]

3. What is the change in the Born exponent in going from BaO to SrO? [Ans. -1]

**The Born–Landé equation**

In order to write an expression for the lattice energy that takes into account both the Coulombic and Born interactions in an ionic lattice, we combine equations 5.11 and 5.12 to give equation 5.13.

$$\Delta U(0\text{K}) = -\frac{LA|z_+||z_-|e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} + \frac{LB}{r^n} \quad (5.13)$$

We evaluate  $B$  in terms of the other components of the equation by making use of the fact that at the equilibrium separation where  $r = r_0$ , the differential  $\frac{d\Delta U}{dr} = 0$ . Differentiating with respect to  $r$  gives equation 5.14, and rearranging gives an expression for  $B$  (equation 5.15).

$$0 = \frac{LA|z_+||z_-|e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_0^2} - \frac{nLB}{r_0^{n+1}} \quad (5.14)$$

$$B = \frac{A|z_+||z_-|e^2 r_0^{n-1}}{4\pi\epsilon_0 n} \quad (5.15)$$

Combining equations 5.13 and 5.15 gives an expression for the lattice energy that is based on an electrostatic model and takes into account Coulombic attractions, Coulombic repulsions and Born repulsions between ions in the crystal lattice. Equation 5.16 is the *Born–Landé equation*.

$$\Delta U(0\text{K}) = -\frac{LA|z_+||z_-|e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (5.16)$$

Because of its simplicity, the Born–Landé expression is the one that chemists tend to use; many chemical problems involve the use of estimated lattice energies, e.g. for hypothetical compounds. Often lattice energies are incorporated into thermochemical cycles, and so an associated *enthalpy* change is needed (see [Section 5.14](#)).

**Madelung constants**

Values of Madelung constants for selected lattices are given in Table 5.4. Remembering that these values are derived by considering the coordination environments (near and far neighbours) of ions in the crystal lattice, it may seem surprising that, for example, the values for the NaCl and CsCl lattices (Figures 5.15 and 5.16) are similar. This is simply a consequence of the infinite nature of the structures: although the first (attractive) term in the algebraic series for

**Table 5.4** Madelung constants,  $A$ , for selected lattice types. Values of  $A$  are numerical and have no units.

| Lattice type                       | $A$                |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Sodium chloride (NaCl)             | 1.7476             |
| Caesium chloride (CsCl)            | 1.7627             |
| Wurtzite ( $\alpha$ -ZnS)          | 1.6413             |
| Zinc blende ( $\beta$ -ZnS)        | 1.6381             |
| Fluorite (CaF <sub>2</sub> )       | 2.5194             |
| Rutile (TiO <sub>2</sub> )         | 2.408 <sup>a</sup> |
| Cadmium iodide (CdI <sub>2</sub> ) | 2.355 <sup>a</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>For these structures, the value depends slightly on the lattice parameters for the unit cell.

$A$  is greater by a factor of  $\frac{8}{6}$  for the CsCl lattice, the second (repulsive) term is also greater, and so on.

Table 5.4 shows that Madelung constants for MX<sub>2</sub> structures are  $\approx 50\%$  higher than those for MX lattices. We return to this difference in Section 5.16.

**Worked example 5.4 Use of the Born–Landé equation**

**Sodium fluoride adopts the NaCl type lattice. Estimate the lattice energy of NaF using an electrostatic model.**

**Data required:**

$L = 6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$   $A = 1.7476$   $e = 1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$   
 $\epsilon_0 = 8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F m}^{-1}$  **Born exponent for NaF = 7**  
**Internuclear Na–F distance = 231 pm**

The change in internal energy (the lattice energy) is given by the Born–Landé equation:

$$\Delta U(0\text{K}) = -\frac{LA|z_+||z_-|e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$r$  must be in m: 231 pm =  $2.31 \times 10^{-10}$  m

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta U_0 &= -\left( \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \times 1.7476 \times 1}{4 \times 3.142 \times 8.854 \times 10^{-12} \times 2.31 \times 10^{-10}} \times 1 \times (1.602 \times 10^{-19})^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{7}\right) \\ &= -900\,624 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \\ &\approx -901 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

**Self-study exercises**

1. Show that the worked example above is dimensionally correct given that C, F and J in SI base units are: C = A s; F = m<sup>-2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>4</sup> A<sup>2</sup>; J = kg m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-2</sup>.

2. Estimate the lattice energy of KF (NaCl lattice) using an electrostatic model; the K–F internuclear separation is 266 pm.

[Ans. -798 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>]

3. By assuming an electrostatic model, estimate the lattice energy of MgO (NaCl lattice); values of  $r_{\text{ion}}$  are listed in Appendix 6. [Ans.  $-3926 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

## Refinements to the Born–Landé equation

Lattice energies obtained from the Born–Landé equation are *approximate*, and for more accurate evaluations of their values, several improvements to the equation can be made.

The most important of these arises by replacing the  $\frac{1}{r^n}$  term in equation 5.12 by  $e^{-\frac{r}{\rho}}$ , a change reflecting the fact that wavefunctions show an exponential dependence on  $r$ ;  $\rho$  is a constant that can be expressed in terms of the compressibility of the crystal. This refinement results in the lattice energy being given by the *Born–Mayer equation* (equation 5.17).

$$\Delta U(0 \text{ K}) = -\frac{LA|z_+||z_-|e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_0} \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{r_0}\right) \quad (5.17)$$

The constant  $\rho$  has a value of 35 pm for all alkali metal halides. Note that  $r_0$  appears in the Born repulsive term (compare equations 5.16 and 5.17).

Further refinements in lattice energy calculations include the introduction of terms for the *dispersion energy* and the *zero-point energy* (see [Section 2.9](#)). Dispersion forces<sup>†</sup> arise from momentary fluctuations in electron density which produce temporary dipole moments that, in turn, induce dipole moments in neighbouring species. Dispersion forces are also referred to as *induced-dipole–induced-dipole interactions*. They are non-directional and give rise to a dispersion energy that is related to the internuclear separation,  $r$ , and the *polarizability*,  $\alpha$ , of the atom (or molecule) according to equation 5.18.

$$\text{Dispersion energy} \propto \frac{\alpha}{r^6} \quad (5.18)$$

The polarizability of a species is a measure of the degree to which it may be distorted, e.g. by the electric field due to an adjacent atom or ion. In the hard sphere model of ions in lattices, we assume that there is no polarization of the ions. This is a gross approximation. The polarizability increases rapidly with an increase in atomic size, and large ions (or atoms or molecules) give rise to relatively large induced dipoles and, thus, significant dispersion forces. Values of  $\alpha$  can be obtained from measurements of the relative permittivity (*dielectric constant*, see [Section 8.2](#)) or the refractive index of the substance in question.

In NaCl, the contributions to the total lattice energy ( $-766 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) made by electrostatic attractions, electrostatic and Born repulsions, dispersion energy and zero-point energy are  $-860$ ,  $+99$ ,  $-12$  and  $+7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively. In fact, the error introduced by neglecting the last two terms (which always tend to compensate each other) is very small.

<sup>†</sup> Dispersion forces are also known as London dispersion forces.

## Overview

Lattice energies derived using the electrostatic model are often referred to as ‘calculated’ values to distinguish them from values obtained using thermochemical cycles. It should, however, be appreciated that values of  $r_0$  obtained from X-ray diffraction studies are *experimental* quantities and may conceal departures from ideal ionic behaviour. In addition, the actual charges on ions may well be less than their formal charges. Nevertheless, the concept of lattice energy is of immense importance in inorganic chemistry.

## 5.14 Lattice energy: the Born–Haber cycle


By considering the *definition* of lattice energy, it is easy to see why these quantities are not measured directly. However, an associated *lattice enthalpy* of a salt can be related to several other quantities by a thermochemical cycle called the *Born–Haber cycle*. If the anion in the salt is a halide, then all the other quantities in the cycle have been determined independently; the reason for this statement will become clearer when we look at applications of lattice energies in Section 5.16.

Let us consider a general metal halide  $\text{MX}_n$ . Figure 5.24 shows a thermochemical cycle describing the formation of crystalline  $\text{MX}_n$  from its constituent elements in their standard states. The quantity  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ(298 \text{ K})$  is the enthalpy change that accompanies the formation of the crystalline salt from the gaseous ions under standard conditions. The same approximation is made as for ionization energies and electron affinities (see [Section 1.10](#)), i.e.  $\Delta U(0 \text{ K}) \approx \Delta H(298 \text{ K})$ ; relatively little error is introduced by using this approximation. A value of  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ$  can be determined using equation 5.19 (by application of Hess’s Law of constant heat summation) and represents an *experimental value* since it is derived from experimentally determined data.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{f}}H^\circ(\text{MX}_{n,\text{s}}) &= \Delta_{\text{a}}H^\circ(\text{M},\text{s}) + \frac{n}{2}D(\text{X}_2,\text{g}) \\ &\quad + \Sigma IE(\text{M},\text{g}) + n\Delta_{\text{EA}}H(\text{X},\text{g}) \\ &\quad + \Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ(\text{MX}_{n,\text{s}}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

Rearranging this expression and introducing the approximation that the lattice energy  $\Delta U(0 \text{ K}) \approx \Delta_{\text{lattice}}H(298 \text{ K})$  gives equation 5.20. All the quantities on the right-hand side of the equation are obtained from standard tables of data. (Enthalpies of atomization: see [Appendix 10](#); ionization energies: see [Appendix 8](#); electron affinities: see [Appendix 9](#).)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta U(0 \text{ K}) &\approx \Delta_{\text{f}}H^\circ(\text{MX}_{n,\text{s}}) - \Delta_{\text{a}}H^\circ(\text{M},\text{s}) \\ &\quad - \frac{n}{2}D(\text{X}_2,\text{g}) - \Sigma IE(\text{M},\text{g}) - n\Delta_{\text{EA}}H(\text{X},\text{g}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$




- $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(\text{M,s})$  = Enthalpy of atomization of metal M  
 $D(\text{X}_2,\text{g})$  = Dissociation enthalpy of  $\text{X}_2 = 2 \times$  Enthalpy of atomization of X  
 $\Sigma IE(\text{M,g})$  = Sum of the ionization energies for the processes  $\text{M(g)} \rightarrow \text{M}^{+}(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{M}^{2+}(\text{g}) \dots \rightarrow \text{M}^{n+}(\text{g})$   
 $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H(\text{X,g})$  = Enthalpy change associated with the attachment of an electron  
 $\Delta_{\text{f}}H^{\circ}(\text{MX}_n,\text{s})$  = Standard enthalpy of formation  
 $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^{\circ}(\text{MX}_n,\text{s})$  = Lattice enthalpy change (see text)

**Fig. 5.24** A Born–Haber thermochemical cycle for the formation of a salt  $\text{MX}_n$ . This gives an *enthalpy change* associated with the formation of the ionic lattice  $\text{MX}_n$ .

### Worked example 5.5 Application of the Born–Haber cycle

Given that the standard enthalpy of formation at 298 K of  $\text{CaF}_2$  is  $-1228 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , determine the lattice energy for  $\text{CaF}_2$  using appropriate data from the Appendices.

First, construct an appropriate thermochemical cycle:



Values that need to be found in the Appendices are:

- Appendix 10:  $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(\text{Ca,s}) = 178 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$   
 $D(\text{F}_2,\text{g}) = 2\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(\text{F,g}) = 158 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$   
 Appendix 8:  $IE_1(\text{Ca,g}) = 590$ ;  $IE_2(\text{Ca,g}) = 1145 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$   
 Appendix 9:  $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H(\text{F,g}) = -328 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$

Use of Hess's Law gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta U(0 \text{ K}) &\approx \Delta_{\text{f}}H^{\circ}(\text{CaF}_2,\text{s}) - \Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(\text{Ca,s}) \\
 &\quad - D(\text{F}_2,\text{g}) - \Sigma IE(\text{Ca,g}) - 2\Delta_{\text{EA}}H(\text{F,g}) \\
 &\approx -1228 - 178 - 158 - 590 - 1145 + 2(328)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta U(0 \text{ K}) \approx -2643 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

### Self-study exercises

Use data from the Appendices.

1. If  $\Delta_{\text{f}}H^{\circ}(298 \text{ K})$  for  $\text{CaCl}_2 = -795 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , determine its lattice energy. [Ans.  $-2252 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

2. If the lattice energy of  $\text{CsF} = -744 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , determine  $\Delta_{\text{f}}H^{\circ}(298 \text{ K})$  for the compound. [Ans.  $-539 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]
3. If  $\Delta_{\text{f}}H^{\circ}(298 \text{ K})$  for  $\text{MgCl}_2 = -641 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , calculate the lattice energy of  $\text{MgCl}_2$ . [Ans.  $-2520 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

### 5.15 Lattice energy: 'calculated' versus 'experimental' values

If we take  $\text{NaCl}$  as a typical example,  $\Delta U(0 \text{ K})$  determined by using a Born–Haber cycle is  $-783 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The value calculated (using an experimental value of  $r_0$  from X-ray diffraction data) from the Born–Mayer equation is  $-761 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ; a more refined calculation, the basis of which was outlined in Section 5.13, gives  $-768 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . This level of agreement is observed for all the alkali metal halides (including those of Li), and for the group 2 metal fluorides. While this is not rigid proof that all these compounds are wholly ionic, the close agreement does support our use of the electrostatic model as a basis for discussing the thermochemistry of these compounds.

For compounds with layer structures, the situation is different. There is a significant difference between the calculated ( $-1986 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) and experimental ( $-2435 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) values of  $\Delta U(0 \text{ K})$  for  $\text{CdI}_2$ , indicating that the electrostatic model is unsatisfactory; we noted earlier that in the  $\text{CdI}_2$  lattice (Figure 5.22), van der Waals forces operate between layers of adjacent  $\text{I}^{-}$  centres. The electrostatic model is similarly found to be unsatisfactory for  $\text{Cu(I)}$  halides (zinc blende lattice) and for  $\text{AgI}$  (wurtzite lattice). For the  $\text{Ag(I)}$  halides, the discrepancy between  $\Delta U(0 \text{ K})_{\text{calculated}}$  and  $\Delta U(0 \text{ K})_{\text{experimental}}$  follows the sequence  $\text{AgF} < \text{AgCl} < \text{AgBr} < \text{AgI}$ . Contributions due to covalent character in the lattice are significant for the larger halides, and are the origin of the decreasing

solubility of the Ag(I) halides in water on going from AgF to AgI (see [Section 6.9](#)).

## 5.16 Applications of lattice energies

We now consider some typical applications of lattice energies; further examples are given in later chapters.

### Estimation of electron affinities

The availability of laser photodetachment techniques has permitted more accurate experimental determinations of electron affinities. Even so, tables of electron affinities list some calculated values, in particular for the formation of multiply charged ions. One method of estimation uses the Born–Haber cycle, with a value for the lattice energy derived using an electrostatic model. Compounds for which this is valid are limited (see [Section 5.15](#)).

Consider the estimation of  $\Sigma\{\Delta_{\text{EA}}H^\circ(298\text{ K})\}$  for the process 5.21.



We can apply the Born–Haber cycle to a metal oxide having a lattice type of known Madelung constant, and for which an electrostatic model is a reasonably valid approximation. Magnesium(II) oxide fits these criteria: it has an NaCl lattice,  $r_0$  has been accurately determined by X-ray diffraction methods, and compressibility data are available; an electrostatic model gives  $\Delta U(0\text{ K}) = -3975\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . All other quantities in the appropriate Born–Haber cycle are independently measurable and a value for  $\Sigma\{\Delta_{\text{EA}}H^\circ(298\text{ K})\}$  for reaction 5.21 can be evaluated. A series of similar values for  $\Sigma\{\Delta_{\text{EA}}H^\circ(298\text{ K})\}$  for reaction 5.21 can be obtained using different group 2 metal oxides.


The attachment of two electrons to an O atom can be considered in terms of the consecutive processes in scheme 5.22, and accepted values for the associated enthalpy changes for the two steps are  $-141$  and  $+798\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .



The second step is highly *endothermic*. It appears that the only reason the  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ion exists is the high lattice energies of oxide salts, e.g.  $\Delta U(0\text{ K})$  for  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{MgO}$  and  $\text{CaO}$  are  $-2481$ ,  $-2238$ ,  $-3795$  and  $-3414\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .

### Fluoride affinities

Fluoride acceptors such as  $\text{BF}_3$ ,  $\text{AsF}_5$  and  $\text{SbF}_5$  readily form the anions  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  and  $[\text{SbF}_6]^-$  respectively, and the  $\text{F}^-$  affinity for each acceptor can be determined using a thermochemical cycle such as that in scheme 5.23.




The high-temperature form of  $\text{KBF}_4$  crystallizes with a CsCl lattice and we can estimate the lattice energy using an electrostatic model, assuming that the  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  ion can be treated as a sphere (see [Figure 5.17](#)). The lattice energy of KF is known, and  $\Delta H^\circ_1$  can be determined from the temperature variation of the dissociation pressure of solid  $\text{KBF}_4$ . Use of Hess's Law allows  $\Delta H^\circ_2$  to be determined; this value ( $-360\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) corresponds to the enthalpy change associated with the attachment of  $\text{F}^-$  to  $\text{BF}_3$ .

### Estimation of standard enthalpies of formation and disproportionation


For well-established ionic compounds, it is seldom the case that the lattice energy is known while the standard enthalpy of formation is not. However, in theoretical studies of hypothetical compounds, one may wish to estimate a value of  $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K})$  using a Born–Haber cycle incorporating a calculated value of the lattice energy. The earliest example of this method addressed the question of whether it was conceivable that neon might form a salt  $\text{Ne}^+\text{Cl}^-$ . On the basis that the size of the  $\text{Ne}^+$  ion would be similar to that of  $\text{Na}^+$ , and that  $\text{NeCl}$  would possess an NaCl lattice, the lattice energy of  $\text{NeCl}$  was estimated to be  $\approx -840\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . This leads to a value of  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{NeCl}, \text{s}) \approx +1010\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , the very high first ionization energy of Ne ( $2081\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) being responsible for making the process so highly endothermic and unlikely to occur in practice.

Much later, lattice energy considerations pointed towards the feasibility of preparing the first compound of a noble gas; the first ionization energies of Xe and  $\text{O}_2$  are similar, and the discovery that  $\text{O}_2$  reacted with  $\text{PtF}_6$  to give  $[\text{O}_2]^+[\text{PtF}_6]^-$  led to the suggestion that Xe (see [Chapter 17](#)) might also react with  $\text{PtF}_6$ . The trend in first ionization energies on descending group 18 is shown in [Figure 5.25](#); although radon is the easiest to ionize, it is highly radioactive and xenon is more readily handled in the laboratory. The reaction between Xe and  $\text{PtF}_6$  was successful, although the exact nature of the product 'Xe[PtF<sub>6</sub>]' remains uncertain, even though it is over 40 years since the reaction was first studied by Neil Bartlett.

A further example considers the possible formation of  $\text{CaF}$  (in contrast to the more usual  $\text{CaF}_2$ ). Here, a simple Born–Haber cycle is not helpful since  $\text{CaF}$  is not thermodynamically unstable with respect to decomposition into its *constituent elements*, but is unstable with respect to *disproportionation* (equation 5.24).




**Fig. 5.25** The trend in the values of the first ionization energies of the noble gases (group 18).



A species *disproportionates* if it undergoes simultaneous oxidation and reduction.

The thermochemical cycle to be considered is given in equation 5.25, in which the values of  $\Delta_a H^\circ(\text{Ca},\text{s})$  ( $178 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) and the difference between  $IE_1$  and  $IE_2$  for Ca ( $-555 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) are significantly smaller in magnitude than the lattice energy of  $\text{CaF}_2$  ( $-2610 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ).



The magnitude and sign of the enthalpy change,  $\Delta H^\circ$ , for the disproportionation reaction therefore depend largely on the balance between the lattice energy of  $\text{CaF}_2$  and twice the lattice energy of  $\text{CaF}$ . The value of  $\Delta U(0 \text{ K})$  for  $\text{CaF}_2$  will significantly exceed that of  $\text{CaF}$  because:

- $|z_+|$  for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is twice that of  $\text{Ca}^+$ ;
- $r_0$  for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is smaller than that of  $\text{Ca}^+$ ;
- Madelung constants for  $\text{MX}_2$  structures are  $\approx 1.5$  times those of  $\text{MX}$  lattices (see [Table 5.4](#)).

The net result is that  $\Delta H^\circ$  for the disproportionation reaction shown in equation 5.25 is negative.

## The Kapustinskii equation

A problem in estimating the lattice energy of a hypothetical compound is deciding what lattice type to assume. Attempts have been made to use the fact that Madelung constants for  $\text{MX}$  and  $\text{MX}_2$  lattice types ([Table 5.4](#)) are in an approximate ratio of 2:3. In 1956, Kapustinskii derived what has become the best known *general* expression for estimating lattice energies, and one form of this is given in equation 5.26.

$$\Delta U(0 \text{ K}) = -\frac{(1.07 \times 10^5)v|z_+||z_-|}{r_+ + r_-} \quad (5.26)$$

where  $v$  = number of ions in the formula of the salt (e.g. 2 for  $\text{NaCl}$ , 3 for  $\text{CaF}_2$ );  $r_+$  and  $r_-$  = radius for 6-coordinate cation and anion, respectively, in pm.

This expression has its origins in the Born–Landé equation, with a value of 9 for the Born exponent (the value for  $\text{NaCl}$ ) and half the value of the Madelung constant for  $\text{NaCl}$ ; the inclusion of the factor  $v$  shows why *half* of  $A$  is included. Although the Kapustinskii equation is useful, it is a gross *approximation* and values obtained in this way must be treated with caution.

## 5.17 Defects in solid state lattices: an introduction


So far in this chapter, we have assumed implicitly that all the pure substances considered have ideal lattices in which every site is occupied by the correct type of atom or ion. This state appertains only at 0 K, and above this temperature, *lattice defects* are always present; the energy required to create a defect is more than compensated for by the resulting increase in entropy of the structure. There are various types of lattice defects, but we shall introduce only the *Schottky* and *Frenkel defects*. Solid state defects are discussed further in [Chapter 27](#). Spinel and defect spinels are introduced in [Box 12.6](#).

### Schottky defect

A Schottky defect consists of an atom or ion vacancy in a crystal lattice, but the stoichiometry of a compound (and thus electrical neutrality) must be retained. In a metal lattice, a vacant atom site may be present. Examples of Schottky defects in ionic lattices are a vacant cation *and* a vacant anion site in an  $\text{MX}$  salt, or a vacant cation *and* two vacant anion sites in an  $\text{MX}_2$  salt. Figure 5.26 illustrates a Schottky defect in an  $\text{NaCl}$  lattice; holes are present (Figure 5.26b) where ions are expected on the basis of the ideal lattice (Figure 5.26a).

### Frenkel defect

In a Frenkel defect, an atom or ion occupies a normally vacant site, leaving its ‘own’ lattice site vacant. Figure 5.27




**Fig. 5.26** (a) Part of one face of an ideal NaCl lattice; compare this with Figure 5.15. (b) A Schottky defect involves vacant cation and anion sites; equal numbers of cations and anions must be absent to maintain electrical neutrality. Colour code: Na, purple; Cl, green.

illustrates this for AgBr, which adopts an NaCl lattice. In Figure 5.27a, the central  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion is in an octahedral hole with respect to the fcc arrangement of  $\text{Br}^-$  ions. Migration of the  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion to one of the previously unoccupied tetrahedral holes (Figure 5.27b) generates a Frenkel defect in the lattice. This type of defect is possible if there is a relatively large difference in size between cation and anion; in AgBr, the cation must be accommodated in a tetrahedral hole which is significantly smaller than the octahedral site. More generally, Frenkel defects are observed in lattices which are relatively open and in which the coordination number is low.

### Experimental observation of Schottky and Frenkel defects

There are several methods that may be used to study the occurrence of Schottky and Frenkel defects in stoichiometric crystals, but the simplest, in principle, is to measure the



**Fig. 5.27** Silver bromide adopts an NaCl lattice. (a) An ideal lattice can be described in terms of  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions occupying octahedral holes in a cubic close-packed array of bromide ions. (b) A Frenkel defect in AgBr involves the migration of  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions into tetrahedral holes; in the diagram, one  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion occupies a tetrahedral hole which was originally vacant in (a), leaving the central octahedral hole empty. Colour code: Ag, pale grey; Br, gold.

density of the crystal extremely accurately. Low concentrations of Schottky defects lead to the observed density of a crystal being lower than that calculated from X-ray diffraction and data based on the size and structure of the unit cell. On the other hand, since the Frenkel defect does not involve a change in the number of atoms or ions present, no such density differences will be observed.

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- close-packing (of spheres or atoms)
- cubic close-packed (ccp) lattice
- hexagonal close-packed (hcp) lattice
- face-centred cubic (fcc) lattice
- simple cubic lattice
- body-centred cubic (bcc) lattice
- coordination number (in a lattice)
- unit cell
- interstitial hole
- polymorph
- phase diagram
- metallic radius
- alloy
- electrical resistivity
- band theory
- band gap
- insulator
- semiconductor
- intrinsic and extrinsic semiconductors
- n- and p-type semiconductors
- doping (a semiconductor)
- ionic radius
- NaCl lattice
- CsCl lattice
- $\text{CaF}_2$  (fluorite) lattice
- Antifluorite lattice
- Zinc blende lattice
- Diamond network
- Wurtzite lattice
- $\beta$ -Cristobalite lattice
- $\text{TiO}_2$  (rutile) lattice
- $\text{CdI}_2$  and  $\text{CdCl}_2$  (layer) lattices
- Perovskite lattice
- Lattice energy
- Born–Landé equation
- Madelung constant
- Born exponent
- Born–Haber cycle
- Disproportionation
- Kapustinskii equation
- Schottky defect
- Frenkel defect

## Further reading

### Packing of spheres and structures of ionic lattices

C.E. Housecroft and E.C. Constable (2002) *Chemistry*, Prentice Hall, Harlow – Chapters 7 and 8 give detailed accounts at an introductory level.

A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – Chapters 4 and 6 present careful descriptions, ranging from basic to more advanced material.

*Dictionary of Inorganic Compounds* (1992), Chapman and Hall, London – The introduction to Vol. 4 gives a useful summary of structure types.

### Structure determination

See [Box 5.5 Further reading](#).

### Alloys

B.C. Giessen (1994) in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, Vol. 1, p. 90 – A detailed overview of alloys with further references.

A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – Chapter 29 provides excellent coverage of metal and alloy lattice types.

### Semiconductors

M. Hammonds (1998) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 219 – ‘Getting power from the sun’ illustrates the application of the semiconducting properties of Si.

C.E. Stanton, S.T. Nguyen, J.M. Kesselman, P.E. Laaibinis and N.S. Lewis (1994) in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 7, p. 3725 – An up-to-date general survey of semiconductors which defines pertinent terminology and gives pointers for further reading.

J. Wolfe (1998) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 224 – ‘Capitalising on the sun’ describes the applications of Si and other materials in solar cells.

### Solid state: for more general information

A.K. Cheetham and P. Day (1992) *Solid State Chemistry*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.

M. Ladd (1994) *Chemical Bonding in Solids and Fluids*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester.

M. Ladd (1999) *Crystal Structures: Lattices and Solids in Stereoview*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester.

L. Smart and E. Moore (1992) *Solid State Chemistry: An Introduction*, Chapman and Hall, London.

A.R. West (1999) *Basic Solid State Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.

## Problems


- 5.1** Outline the similarities and differences between cubic and hexagonal close-packed arrangements of spheres, paying particular attention to (a) coordination numbers, (b) interstitial holes and (c) unit cells.
- 5.2** State the coordination number of a sphere in each of the following arrangements: (a) ccp; (b) hcp; (c) bcc; (d) fcc; (e) simple cubic.
- 5.3** (a) Lithium metal undergoes a phase change at 80 K (1 bar pressure) from the  $\alpha$ - to  $\beta$ -form; one form is bcc and the other is a close-packed lattice. Suggest, with reasons, which form is which. What name is given to this type of structural change? (b) Suggest why tin buttons on nineteenth-century military uniforms crumbled in exceptionally cold winters.
- 5.4** Refer to Table 5.2. (a) Write an equation for the process for which the standard enthalpy of atomization of cobalt is defined. (b) Suggest reasons for the trend in standard enthalpies of atomization on descending group 1. (c) Outline possible reasons for the trend in values of  $\Delta_a H^\circ$  on going from Cs to Bi.
- 5.5** ‘Titanium dissolves nitrogen to give a solid solution of composition  $\text{TiN}_{0.2}$ ; the metal lattice defines an hcp arrangement.’ Explain what is meant by this statement, and suggest whether, on the basis of this evidence,  $\text{TiN}_{0.2}$  is likely to be an interstitial or substitutional alloy. Relevant data may be found in Appendix 6 and Table 5.2.
- 5.6** What do you understand by the ‘band theory of metals’?
- 5.7** (a) Draw a representation of the structure of diamond and give a description of the bonding. (b) Is the same picture of the bonding appropriate for silicon, which is isostructural with diamond? If not, suggest an alternative picture of the bonding.
- 5.8** (a) Give a definition of electrical resistivity and state how it is related to electrical conductivity. (b) At 273–290 K, the electrical resistivities of diamond, Si, Ge and  $\alpha$ -Sn are approximately  $1 \times 10^{11}$ ,  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ , 0.46 and  $11 \times 10^{-8} \Omega \text{ m}$ . Rationalize this trend in values. (c) How does the change in electrical resistivity with temperature vary for a typical metal and for a semiconductor?
- 5.9** Distinguish between an intrinsic and extrinsic semiconductor, giving examples of materials that fall into these classes, and further classifying the types of extrinsic semiconductors.
- 5.10** The metallic, covalent and ionic radii of Al are 143, 130 and 54 pm respectively; the value of  $r_{\text{ion}}$  is for a 6-coordinate ion. (a) How is each of these quantities defined? (b) Suggest reasons for the trend in values.
- 5.11** With reference to the NaCl, CsCl and  $\text{TiO}_2$  lattice types, explain what is meant by (a) coordination number, (b) unit cell, (c) ion sharing between unit cells, and (d) determination of the formula of an ionic salt from the unit cell.
- 5.12** Determine the number of formula units of (a)  $\text{CaF}_2$  in a unit cell of fluorite, and (b)  $\text{TiO}_2$  in a unit cell of rutile.
- 5.13** (a) Confirm that the unit cell for perovskite shown in Figure 5.23a is consistent with the stoichiometry  $\text{CaTiO}_3$ .

(b) A second unit cell can be drawn for perovskite; this has Ti(IV) at the centre of a cubic cell; Ti(IV) is in an octahedral environment with respect to the  $O^{2-}$  ions. In what sites must the  $Ca^{2+}$  lie in order that the unit cell depicts the correct compound stoichiometry? Draw a diagram to illustrate this unit cell.

**5.14** (a) Give a definition of lattice energy. Does your definition mean that the associated enthalpy of reaction will be positive or negative? (b) Use the Born–Landé equation to calculate a value for the lattice energy of KBr, for which  $r_0 = 328$  pm. KBr adopts an NaCl lattice; other data may be found in Tables 5.3 and 5.4.

**5.15** Using data from the Appendices and the fact that  $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K}) = -859\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , calculate a value for the lattice energy of  $BaCl_2$ . Outline any assumptions that you have made.

**5.16** (a) Given that  $\Delta U(0\text{ K})$  and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K})$  for MgO are  $-3795$  and  $-602\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively, derive a value for  $\Delta_{EA} H^\circ(298\text{ K})$  for the reaction:



Other data may be found in the Appendices. (b) Compare the calculated value with that obtained using electron affinity data from Appendix 9, and suggest reasons for any differences.

**5.17** Discuss the interpretation of the following:




(a)  $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K})$  becomes less negative along the series LiF, NaF, KF, RbF, CsF, but more negative along the series LiI, NaI, KI, RbI, CsI.

(b) The thermal stability of the isomorphous sulfates of Ca, Sr and Ba with respect to decomposition into the metal oxide (MO) and  $SO_3$  increases in the sequence  $CaSO_4 < SrSO_4 < BaSO_4$ .

**5.18** Data from Tables 5.3 and 5.4 are needed for this question.

(a) Estimate the lattice energy of CsCl if the Cs–Cl internuclear distance is 356.6 pm. (b) Now consider a polymorph of CsCl that crystallizes with an NaCl lattice; estimate its lattice energy given that the Cs–Cl distance is 347.4 pm. (c) What conclusions can you draw from your answers to parts (a) and (b)?

**5.19** Which of the following processes are expected to be exothermic? Give reasons for your answers.



## Overview problems

**5.20** Give explanations for the following observations.

- Raising the temperature of a sample of  $\alpha$ -Fe from 298 K to 1200 K (at 1 bar pressure) results in a change of coordination number of each Fe atom from 8 to 12.
- Although a non-metal, graphite is often used as an electrode material.
- The semiconducting properties of silicon are improved by adding minute amounts of boron.

**5.21**  $ReO_3$  is a structure-prototype. Each Re(VI) centre is octahedrally sited with respect to the  $O^{2-}$  centres. The unit cell can be described in terms of a cubic array of Re(VI) centres, with each  $O^{2-}$  centre at the centre of each edge of the unit cell. Draw a representation of the unit cell and use your diagram to confirm the stoichiometry of the compound.

**5.22** Suggest an explanation for each of the following observations.

- The Cr and Ni content of stainless steels used to make knife blades is different from that used in the manufacture of spoons.
- There is a poor match between experimental and calculated (Born–Landé) values of the lattice energy for AgI, but a good match for NaI.
- $ThI_2$  has been formulated as the Th(IV) compound  $Th^{4+}(I^-)_2(e^-)_2$ . Comment on why this is consistent with the observation of  $ThI_2$  having a low electrical resistivity.

**5.23** The first list below contains words or phrases, each of which has a ‘partner’ in the second list, e.g. ‘sodium’ in the first list can be matched with ‘metal’ in the second list. Match the ‘partners’; there is only one match for each pair of words or phrases.

### List 1

Sodium  
Cadmium iodide  
Octahedral site  
Gallium-doped silicon  
Sodium sulfide  
Perovskite  
Calcium fluoride  
Gallium arsenide  
Wurtzite and zinc blende  
Tin(IV) oxide

### List 2

Antifluorite structure  
Extrinsic semiconductor  
Double oxide  
Polymorphs  
Fluorite structure  
Metal  
Intrinsic semiconductor  
Layered structure  
6-Coordinate  
Cassiterite

# Chapter 6

## Acids, bases and ions in aqueous solution

### TOPICS

- Properties of water
- Molarity, molality, standard state and activity
- Brønsted acids and bases
- Energetics of acid dissociation
- Aquated cations
- Amphoteric behaviour
- Coordination complexes: an introduction
- Solubility product constants
- Solubilities of ionic salts
- Common-ion effect
- Formation of coordination complexes
- Stability constants

### 6.1 Introduction

The importance of water as a medium for inorganic reactions stems not only from the fact that it is far more readily available than any other solvent, but also because of the abundance of accurate physicochemical data for aqueous solutions compared with the relative scarcity of such data for solutions in non-aqueous solvents. This chapter is concerned mainly with *equilibria* and we begin by reviewing calculations involving acid–base equilibrium constants.

Liquid water is approximately 55 molar  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , a fact commonly overlooked in the study of classical physical chemistry where, by convention, we take the *activity* (see [Section 6.3](#)) (and hence, the approximate concentration) of water to be unity.<sup>†</sup>

#### Worked example 6.1 Molarity of water

Show that pure water is approximately 55 molar.

Density of water =  $1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$

Thus,  $1000 \text{ cm}^3$  (or  $1 \text{ dm}^3$ ) has a mass of 1000 g

For  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $M_r = 18$

Number of moles in 1000 g =  $\frac{1000}{18} = 55.5 =$  number of moles per  $\text{dm}^3$

Therefore, the concentration of pure water  $\approx 55 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .

<sup>†</sup>The use of [ ] for concentration should not be confused with the use of [ ] to show the presence of an ion. For example,  $[\text{OH}^-]$  means 'hydroxide ion', but  $[\text{OH}^-]$  means 'the concentration of hydroxide ions'.

### Self-study exercises


1. How many moles of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  are there per 100 g of pure water?  
[Ans. 5.55 moles]
2. Show that 99.9% deuterated water is  $\approx 50$  molar.

### 6.2 Properties of water

#### Structure and hydrogen bonding


At atmospheric pressure, solid  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  can adopt one of two polymorphs, depending upon the conditions of crystallization. At higher pressures, five polymorphs exist which differ in their arrangement of the oxygen atoms in the crystal lattice. We shall be concerned here only with the normal form of ice.

The structure of ice has been accurately determined using neutron diffraction techniques; X-ray diffraction is not suitable for accurately locating the H atoms (see the beginning of [Section 5.11](#)). Ice possesses an infinite lattice (Figure 6.1). The key to making the structure rigid is *intermolecular hydrogen bonding* (see also [Section 9.6](#)). The hydrogen-bonded network may be described in terms of a wurtzite lattice (see [Figure 5.20](#)) in which the O atoms occupy the sites of *both* the Zn and S centres; this places each O atom in a tetrahedral environment with respect to other O atoms. Each O atom is involved in four hydrogen bonds, through the use of two lone pairs and two H atoms (Figure 6.1). The hydrogen bonds are asymmetrical (O–H distances = 101 pm and 175 pm) and non-linear; each H atom lies slightly off the  $\text{O}\cdots\text{O}$  line, so that the intramolecular H–O–H bond



**Fig. 6.1** Part of the structure of ice; it consists of a three-dimensional network of hydrogen-bonded  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules. (Based on: L. Pauling (1960) *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca.)

angle is  $105^\circ$ . The wurtzite lattice is very open, and as a result, ice has a relatively low density ( $0.92 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ). On melting ( $273 \text{ K}$ ), the lattice partly collapses, allowing some of the lattice cavities to be occupied by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules. Consequently, the density increases, reaching a maximum at  $277 \text{ K}$ ; between  $277$  and  $373 \text{ K}$ , thermal expansion is the dominant effect, causing the density to decrease (Figure 6.2). Even at the boiling point ( $373 \text{ K}$ ), much of the hydrogen bonding remains and is responsible for water having high values of the enthalpy and entropy of vaporization (Table 6.1 and see Section 9.6). The strength of a hydrogen bond in ice or water is  $\approx 25 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , and within the bulk liquid, intermolecular bonds are continually being formed and broken (thus transferring a proton between species) and the lifetime of a given  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule is only  $\approx 10^{-12} \text{ s}$ . Water clusters such as  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{10}$  with ice-like arrangements of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules have been structurally characterized in



**Fig. 6.2** The variation in the value of the density of water between  $283$  and  $373 \text{ K}$ .

**Table 6.1** Selected physical properties of water.

| Property  | Value  |
|---|--------|
| Melting point / K   | 273.00 |
| Boiling point / K   | 373.00 |
| Enthalpy of fusion, $\Delta_{\text{fus}}H^\circ(273 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                    | 6.01   |
| Enthalpy of vaporization, $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ(373 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$              | 40.65  |
| Entropy of vaporization, $\Delta_{\text{vap}}S^\circ(373 \text{ K}) / \text{J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ | 109    |
| Relative permittivity (at $298 \text{ K}$ )   | 78.39  |
| Dipole moment, $\mu / \text{debye}$   | 1.84   |

some compounds in the solid state.<sup>†</sup>

When water acts as a solvent, hydrogen bonds between water molecules are destroyed as water–solute interactions form; the latter may be ion–dipole interactions (e.g. when  $\text{NaCl}$  dissolves) or new hydrogen bonds (e.g. when  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{MeOH}$  mix).

## The self-ionization of water

Water itself is ionized to a very small extent (equation 6.1) and the value of the self-ionization constant,  $K_w$  (equation 6.2), shows that the equilibrium lies well to the left-hand side. The self-ionization in equation 6.1 is also called autoprotolysis.



$$K_w = [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+][\text{OH}^-] = 1.00 \times 10^{-14} \quad (\text{at } 298 \text{ K}) \quad (6.2)$$

Although we use concentrations in equation 6.2, this is an approximation, and we return to this in Section 6.3.


In aqueous solution, protons are solvated and so it is more correct to write  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+(\text{aq})$  than  $\text{H}^+(\text{aq})$ . Even this is oversimplified because the oxonium ion is further hydrated and species such as  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  (see Figure 9.1),  $[\text{H}_7\text{O}_3]^+$  and  $[\text{H}_9\text{O}_4]^+$  are also present.

If a pure liquid partially dissociates into ions, it is *self-ionizing*.

## Water as a Brønsted acid or base

A *Brønsted acid* can act as a proton donor, and a *Brønsted base* can function as a proton acceptor.

Equilibrium 6.1 illustrates that water can function as both a Brønsted acid and a Brønsted base. In the presence of other Brønsted acids or bases, the role of water depends on the relative strengths of the various species in solution. When  $\text{HCl}$  is bubbled into water, the gas dissolves and equilibrium 6.3 is established.



<sup>†</sup> See: L.J. Barbour *et al.* (2000) *Chemical Communications*, p. 859.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND


Box 6.1 The equilibrium constants  $K_a$ ,  $K_b$  and  $K_w$ 

In dealing with acid–base equilibria in aqueous solution, three equilibrium constants are of special significance:

- $K_a$  is the acid dissociation constant.
- $K_b$  is the base dissociation constant.
- $K_w$  is the self-ionization constant of water.

Essential equations relating to acid–base equilibria are listed below. Expressions involving concentrations are approximations, since we should strictly be using activities (see main text). Moreover, for a weak acid, HA, we *assume* that the concentration in aqueous solution of the dissociated acid *at equilibrium* is negligible with respect to the concentration of acid present initially; similarly for a weak base.

For a general weak acid HA in aqueous solution:



$$K_a = \frac{[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+][\text{A}^-]}{[\text{HA}][\text{H}_2\text{O}]} = \frac{[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+][\text{A}^-]}{[\text{HA}]}$$

By convention,  $[\text{H}_2\text{O}] = 1$ ; strictly, the *activity* of the solvent  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is 1 (see **Section 6.3**).

For a general weak base B in aqueous solution:



$$K_b = \frac{[\text{BH}^+][\text{OH}^-]}{[\text{B}][\text{H}_2\text{O}]} = \frac{[\text{BH}^+][\text{OH}^-]}{[\text{B}]}$$

$$\text{p}K_a = -\log K_a \quad K_a = 10^{-\text{p}K_a}$$

$$\text{p}K_b = -\log K_b \quad K_b = 10^{-\text{p}K_b}$$

$$K_w = [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+][\text{OH}^-] = 1.00 \times 10^{-14}$$


$$\text{p}K_w = -\log K_w = 14.00$$

$$K_w = K_a \times K_b$$

$$\text{pH} = -\log [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$$

**Review example 1:** Calculate the pH of aqueous 0.020 M acetic acid ( $K_a = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ )

The equilibrium in aqueous solution is:



and  $K_a$  is given by:

$$K_a = \frac{[\text{MeCO}_2^-][\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}{[\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}][\text{H}_2\text{O}]} = \frac{[\text{MeCO}_2^-][\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}{[\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}]}$$

since  $[\text{H}_2\text{O}]$  is taken to be unity where we are dealing with *equilibrium concentrations*.

Hydrogen chloride is a much stronger acid than water. This means that HCl will donate a proton to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and equilibrium 6.3 lies well over to the right-hand side, so much so that hydrochloric acid is regarded as being fully dissociated, i.e. it is a *strong acid*. Water accepts a proton to form  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$ , and thus behaves as a Brønsted base. In

Since  $[\text{MeCO}_2^-] = [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$

$$K_a = \frac{[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]^2}{[\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}]}$$

$$[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] = \sqrt{K_a \times [\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}]}$$

The *initial* concentration of  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$  is  $0.020 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , and since the degree of dissociation is very small, the *equilibrium* concentration of  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H} \approx 0.020 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .

$$[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] = \sqrt{1.7 \times 10^{-5} \times 0.020}$$

$$[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] = 5.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$$

The pH value can now be determined:

$$\text{pH} = -\log [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$$

$$= -\log (5.8 \times 10^{-4})$$

$$= 3.2$$

**Review example 2:** Find the concentration of  $[\text{OH}]^-$  present in a  $5.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  solution of  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$

At a concentration of  $5.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  is *fully* ionized, with two moles of  $[\text{OH}]^-$  provided by each mole of  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ .

$$[\text{OH}^-] = 2 \times 5.0 \times 10^{-5} = 1.00 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$$

To find the pH, we need to find  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$ :

$$K_w = [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+][\text{OH}^-] = 1.00 \times 10^{-14} \text{ (at 298 K)}$$

$$[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] = \frac{1.00 \times 10^{-14}}{1.00 \times 10^{-4}} = 1.00 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$$

$$\text{pH} = -\log [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] = 10.0$$

**Review example 3:** The value of  $K_a$  for HCN is  $4.0 \times 10^{-10}$ . What is the value of  $\text{p}K_b$  for  $[\text{CN}]^-$ ?

$K_a$  for HCN and  $K_b$  for  $[\text{CN}]^-$  are related by the expression:

$$K_a \times K_b = K_w = 1.00 \times 10^{-14} \text{ (at 298 K)}$$


$$K_b = \frac{K_w}{K_a} = \frac{1.00 \times 10^{-14}}{4.0 \times 10^{-10}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$\text{p}K_b = -\log K_b = 4.6$$

the reverse direction,  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$  acts as a *weak acid* and  $\text{Cl}^-$  as a *weak base*; they are, respectively, the *conjugate acid* and *conjugate base* of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and HCl.

In an aqueous solution of  $\text{NH}_3$ , water behaves as a Brønsted acid, donating  $\text{H}^+$  (equation 6.4). In equation 6.4,  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  is the conjugate acid of  $\text{NH}_3$ , while  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$


is the conjugate acid of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Conversely,  $\text{NH}_3$  is the conjugate base of  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$ , and  $[\text{OH}]^-$  is the conjugate base of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .



Equation 6.5 gives the value of  $K$  for equilibrium 6.4 and shows that  $\text{NH}_3$  acts as a *weak base* in aqueous solution. This is explored further in worked example 6.2.

$$K = \frac{[\text{NH}_4^+][\text{OH}^-]}{[\text{NH}_3]} = 1.8 \times 10^{-5} \quad (\text{at } 298 \text{ K}) \quad (6.5)$$




Conjugate acids and bases are related as follows:



### Worked example 6.2 Manipulating equilibrium constant data

Using the values  $K_a$  for  $[\text{NH}_4]^+ = 5.6 \times 10^{-10}$  and  $K_w = 1.00 \times 10^{-14}$ , determine a value of  $K$  for equilibrium 6.4.

First, write down the equilibria to which the data in the question refer:



Now write down expressions for each  $K$ :

$$K_a = 5.6 \times 10^{-10} = \frac{[\text{NH}_3][\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}{[\text{NH}_4^+]} \quad (1)$$

$$K_w = 1.00 \times 10^{-14} = [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+][\text{OH}^-] \quad (2)$$

$$K = \frac{[\text{NH}_4^+][\text{OH}^-]}{[\text{NH}_3]} \quad (3)$$

The right-hand side of equation (3) can be written in terms of the right-hand sides of equations (1) and (2):

$$\frac{[\text{NH}_4^+][\text{OH}^-]}{[\text{NH}_3]} = \frac{[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+][\text{OH}^-]}{\left(\frac{[\text{NH}_3][\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}{[\text{NH}_4^+]}\right)}$$

Substituting in the values of  $K_a$  and  $K_w$  gives:

$$\frac{[\text{NH}_4^+][\text{OH}^-]}{[\text{NH}_3]} = \frac{1.00 \times 10^{-14}}{5.6 \times 10^{-10}} = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$$

This value agrees with that quoted in the text (equation 6.5).

### Self-study exercises

These exercises all refer to the equilibria in the worked example.

1. Confirm that  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  is a stronger acid in aqueous solution than  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .
2. Confirm that  $\text{NH}_3$  acts as a base in aqueous solution.
3. For each equilibrium, write down the conjugate acid–base pairs. (*Hint: In the second equilibrium,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  acts as both an acid and a base.*)

## 6.3 Definitions and units in aqueous solution

In this section, we discuss the conventions and units generally used in the study of aqueous solutions. In some respects, these are *not* the same as those used in many other branches of chemistry. At the level of working within this text and, often, in the practical laboratory, certain approximations can be made, but it is crucial to understand their limitations.

### Molarity and molality

A one molar aqueous solution (1 M or  $1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) contains one mole of solute dissolved in a sufficient volume of water to give  $1 \text{ dm}^3$  of solution. In contrast, if one mole of solute is dissolved in 1 kg of water, the solution is said to be one molal ( $1 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ ).

### Standard state

We are already used to the concept of standard state in respect of pure solids, liquids and gases. The standard state of a liquid or solid substance, whether pure or in a mixture, or for a solvent is taken as the state of the pure substance at 298 K and 1 bar pressure (1 bar =  $1.00 \times 10^5 \text{ Pa}$ ); the standard state of a gas is that of the pure gas at 298 K, 1 bar pressure and exhibiting ideal gas behaviour.

For a *solute in a solution*, the definition of its standard state is referred to a situation of *infinite dilution*: it is the state (a hypothetical one) at standard molality ( $m^\circ$ ), 1 bar pressure, and exhibiting infinitely diluted solution behaviour. In the standard state, interactions between solute molecules or ions are negligible.

### Activity

When the concentration of a solute is greater than about  $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , interactions between the solute molecules or ions are significant, and the *effective* and real concentrations are no longer equal. It becomes necessary to define a new quantity called the *activity*, which is a measure of concentration but takes into account the interactions between the

solution species. The *relative activity*,  $a_i$ , of a component  $i$  is dimensionless and is defined by equation 6.6 where  $\mu_i$  is the chemical potential of component  $i$ ,  $\mu_i^\circ$  is the standard chemical potential of  $i$ ,  $R$  is the molar gas constant, and  $T$  is the temperature in kelvin.<sup>†</sup>

$$\mu_i = \mu_i^\circ + RT \ln a_i \quad (6.6)$$

The *activity* of any pure substance in its standard state is defined to be unity.

The relative activity of a solute is related to its *molality* by equation 6.7 where  $\gamma_i$  is the activity coefficient of the solute, and  $m_i$  and  $m_i^\circ$  are the molality and standard state molality, respectively. Since the latter is defined as being unity, equation 6.7 reduces to equation 6.8.

$$a_i = \frac{\gamma_i m_i}{m_i^\circ} \quad (6.7)$$

$$a_i = \gamma_i m_i \quad (6.8)$$

Although all thermodynamic expressions dealing with aqueous solutions should strictly be expressed in terms of activities, inorganic chemists, and students in particular, may be dealing with situations in which two criteria are true:

- problem solving involving very dilute solutions ( $\leq 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ );
- very accurate answers are not required.

If these criteria hold, then we can approximate the activity of the solute to its concentration, the latter being measured, most often, in *molarity*. We use this approximation throughout the book, but it is crucial to keep in mind the limitations of this approach.



## 6.4 Some Brønsted acids and bases

The larger the value of  $K_a$ , the stronger the acid.  
The smaller the value of  $pK_a$ , the stronger the acid.  
The larger the value of  $K_b$ , the stronger the base.  
The smaller the value of  $pK_b$ , the stronger the base.


### Carboxylic acids: examples of mono-, di- and polybasic acids

In organic compounds, acidity is quite often associated with the presence of a carboxylic acid group ( $\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ ) and it is relatively easy to determine the number of *ionizable hydrogen*



atoms in the system. Acetic acid,<sup>‡</sup> **6.1**, is a *monobasic acid* since it can donate only one proton. Ethanedioic acid (oxalic acid), **6.2**, can donate two protons and so is a  *dibasic acid*. The *tetrabasic acid*, **6.3**, and the anions derived from it are commonly encountered in coordination chemistry; the trivial name for this acid is *N,N,N',N'*-ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid (see [Table 6.7](#)) and is generally abbreviated to  $\text{H}_4\text{EDTA}$ .



Equilibrium 6.9 describes the dissociation of  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$  in aqueous solution; it is a weak acid with  $K_a = 1.75 \times 10^{-5}$  at 298 K.



Acids **6.2** and **6.3** undergo *stepwise dissociation* in aqueous solution, and equations 6.10 and 6.11 describe the steps for oxalic acid.



Each dissociation step has an associated equilibrium constant (acid dissociation constant), and it is general for polybasic acids that  $K_a(1) > K_a(2)$ , and so on; it is more difficult to remove  $\text{H}^+$  from an anion than from a neutral species. Values of equilibrium constants may be temperature-dependent, and the inclusion of the temperature to which


<sup>†</sup> For further discussion, see: P. Atkins and J. de Paula (2002) *Atkins' Physical Chemistry*, 7th edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford, p. 182.

<sup>‡</sup> The systematic name for  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$  is ethanoic acid, but acetic acid is the IUPAC-accepted trivial name.

the stated value applies is important. In general, quoted values usually refer to 293 or 298 K. In this book, unless otherwise stated, values of  $K_a$  refer to 298 K.

## Inorganic acids

In inorganic chemistry, *hydrogen halides* and *oxoacids* are of particular significance in terms of acidic behaviour in aqueous solution. Each of the hydrogen halides is monobasic (equation 6.12) and for  $X = \text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{Br}$  and  $\text{I}$ , the equilibrium lies far to the right-hand side, making these strong acids. In each case,  $K_a > 1$ ; note that this means that the  $\text{p}K_a$  values are negative ( $\text{p}K_a \text{HCl} \approx -7$ ;  $\text{HBr} \approx -9$ ;  $\text{HI} \approx -11$ ) since  $\text{p}K_a = -\log K_a$ . In many instances, equation 6.12 for  $X = \text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{Br}$  or  $\text{I}$  is written showing only the forward reaction, thereby emphasizing strong acid behaviour. Hydrogen fluoride on the other hand is a weak acid ( $\text{p}K_a = 3.45$ ).






The IUPAC definition of an *oxoacid* is ‘a compound which contains oxygen, at least one other element, at least one hydrogen bound to oxygen, and which produces a conjugate base by proton loss.’

Examples of oxoacids include hypochlorous acid ( $\text{HOCl}$ ), perchloric acid ( $\text{HClO}_4$ ), nitric acid ( $\text{HNO}_3$ ), sulfuric acid ( $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) and phosphoric acid ( $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ ). Many well-recognized common names exist for oxoacids, and the IUPAC has recommended that such names be retained. In this book, we follow this recommendation, although in Box 6.2 we introduce systematic nomenclature.

A wide variety of oxoacids exists and later chapters introduce many of them. Note that:



- oxoacids may be mono-, di- or polybasic;
- not all the hydrogen atoms in an oxoacid are necessarily ionizable.

Nitric acid, nitrous acid and hypochlorous acid are examples of monobasic acids;  $\text{HNO}_3$  is essentially fully ionized in aqueous solution (equation 6.13), but  $\text{HNO}_2$  and  $\text{HOCl}$  behave as weak acids (equations 6.14 and 6.15).





Sulfuric acid is dibasic; in aqueous solution, the first dissociation step lies well over to the right-hand side (equation 6.16),


but  $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$  is a weaker acid (equation 6.17). Two series of salts can be isolated, e.g. sodium hydrogensulfate(1–) ( $\text{NaHSO}_4$ ) and sodium sulfate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ).




Tables of data and the existence of crystalline salts can sometimes be misleading, as is the case for ‘sulfurous acid’. It is *not* possible to isolate pure  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_3$ , even though we often refer to ‘sulfurous acid’ and values of acid dissociation constants are available (equations 6.18 and 6.19).




An aqueous solution of ‘sulfurous acid’ can be prepared by dissolving  $\text{SO}_2$  in water (equation 6.20), but the equilibrium constant indicates that such solutions contain mainly dissolved  $\text{SO}_2$ . A similar situation arises for ‘carbonic acid’,  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$  (see [Section 13.9](#)).



In the oxoacids above, *each* hydrogen atom is attached to oxygen in the free acid, and the number of H atoms corresponds to the basicity of the acid. However, this is not always the case: e.g. although phosphinic acid has the formula  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$ , there is only one O–H bond (structure 6.4) and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$  is *monobasic* (equation 6.21). Further examples of this type are given in [Section 14.11](#).



(6.4)



## Inorganic bases: hydroxides

Many inorganic bases are hydroxides, and the term *alkali* is commonly used. The group 1 hydroxides  $\text{NaOH}$ ,  $\text{KOH}$ ,  $\text{RbOH}$  and  $\text{CsOH}$  are strong bases, being essentially fully ionized in aqueous solution;  $\text{LiOH}$  is weaker ( $\text{p}K_b = 0.2$ ).

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 6.2 Oxoacid nomenclature recommended by the IUPAC

Although a systematic method exists for naming oxoacids, many such laboratory reagents are well recognized by their common names (e.g. sulfuric acid, nitric acid, nitrous acid). A problem with many common names is that they often hide structural information and, most importantly, do not indicate the number of ionizable hydrogen atoms. Where large families of acids exist, e.g. the sulfur oxoacids, systematic names are usually more helpful than traditional ones.

Hydrogen nomenclature (for *p*-block oxoacids)

Systematic *hydrogen nomenclature* considers the oxoacid as a hydrogen salt of the corresponding conjugate base. In this way, the number of ionizable hydrogen atoms is immediately obvious. Furthermore, structural information about the

conjugate base(s) is built into the name. As an example, consider nitric acid ( $\text{HNO}_3$ ), the conjugate base of which is the nitrate ion  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ . The systematic name for  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  is trioxonitrate(1−). This name carries sufficient information for us to draw the structure of the anion. Within the systematic nomenclature, *every* anion derived from an oxoacid has the suffix ‘-ate’, in contrast to traditional usage of ‘-ate’ or ‘-ite’. The charge of the anion is included in parentheses at the end of the name. The name of the parent oxoacid now follows by adding the word ‘hydrogen’ before the anion name:  $\text{HNO}_3$  is hydrogen trioxonitrate(1−). In simple cases, the charge can be omitted since it adds no additional information. It is also acceptable to replace the charge by the oxidation state (as an upper case Roman numeral) of the central atom, e.g. trioxonitrate(V). Further examples are:

| Formula                 | Traditional name                          | Hydrogen nomenclature   |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ | Sulfuric acid                             | Dihydrogen tetraoxosulfate(2−)<br>Dihydrogen tetraoxosulfate(VI)            |
| $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ | Phosphoric acid<br>(Orthophosphoric acid) | Trihydrogen tetraoxophosphate(3−)<br>Trihydrogen tetraoxophosphate(V)       |
| $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$ | Phosphinic acid<br>(Hypophosphorous acid) | Hydrogen dihydridodioxophosphate(1−)<br>Hydrogen dihydridodioxophosphate(I) |

Acid nomenclature (*p*-block and *d*-block oxoacids)

This is an alternative method of nomenclature, but one that is less easily interpretable in terms of structure than the hydrogen nomenclature. The name consists of two words, the second always being ‘acid’. The name gives the number of oxygen atoms attached to the central atom, the identity of the central atom and (usually) the oxidation state of the central atom. The first word in the name always ends in ‘-ic’, in contrast to traditional usage of ‘-ic’ or ‘-ous’. Selected examples are listed in the table:


| Formula                 | Traditional name | Hydrogen nomenclature     |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| $\text{HNO}_3$          | Nitric acid      | Trioxonitric(V) acid      |
| $\text{HNO}_2$          | Nitrous acid     | Dioxonitric(III) acid     |
| $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ | Sulfuric acid    | Tetraoxosulfuric(VI) acid |
| $\text{HClO}_4$         | Perchloric acid  | Tetraoxochloric(VII) acid |

For detailed rules, see: *IUPAC: Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry (Recommendations 1990)*, ed. G.J. Leigh, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, p. 122 and p. 248.

## Inorganic bases: nitrogen bases

The term ‘nitrogen bases’ tends to suggest ammonia and organic amines ( $\text{RNH}_2$ ), but there are a number of important inorganic nitrogen bases related to  $\text{NH}_3$ . Ammonia dissolves in water, and functions as a weak base, accepting  $\text{H}^+$  to form the ammonium ion (equation 6.4). Although solutions of  $\text{NH}_3$  in water are often referred to as ammonium hydroxide, it is not possible to isolate solid samples of ‘ $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ ’. Confusion may arise from tables of data for the dissociation constants for bases; some tables quote  $K_b$  or  $\text{p}K_b$ , while others list values of  $K_a$  or  $\text{p}K_a$ . For the relationship between  $K_a$  and  $K_b$ , see [Box 6.1](#). Thus, a value of  $\text{p}K_a$  for ‘ammonia’ of 9.25 is really that of the ammonium ion

and refers to equilibrium 6.22, while a value of  $\text{p}K_b$  of 4.75 refers to equilibrium 6.4.

Worked example 6.3 Relationship between  $\text{p}K_a$  and  $\text{p}K_b$  for a weak base


The degree of dissociation of  $\text{NH}_3$  in aqueous solution can be described in terms of a value of either  $K_a$  or  $K_b$ . Deduce a relationship between the values of  $\text{p}K_a$  and  $\text{p}K_b$ .

$K_b$  refers to the equilibrium:



$$K_b = \frac{[\text{NH}_4^+][\text{OH}^-]}{[\text{NH}_3]}$$

$K_a$  refers to the equilibrium:



$$K_a = \frac{[\text{NH}_3][\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}{[\text{NH}_4^+]}$$

Combining the two expressions gives:

$$\frac{[\text{NH}_4^+]}{[\text{NH}_3]} = \frac{K_b}{[\text{OH}^-]} = \frac{[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}{K_a}$$

$$K_b \times K_a = [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+][\text{OH}^-]$$

The right-hand side product is equal to the self-dissociation constant for water,  $K_w$ :

$$K_b \times K_a = K_w = 1.00 \times 10^{-14}$$

and so:

$$\text{p}K_b + \text{p}K_a = \text{p}K_w = 14.00$$


### Self-study exercises

1. If  $\text{p}K_a$  for the conjugate acid of  $\text{PhNH}_2$  is 4.63, what is  $\text{p}K_b$  for  $\text{PhNH}_2$ ? To what equilibria do  $K_a$  and  $K_b$  refer?

[Ans. 9.37; work out by analogy to those for  $\text{NH}_3$  above]


2. For  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ ,  $\text{p}K_b = 6.05$ . What is  $K_b$ ? [Ans.  $8.91 \times 10^{-7}$ ]

3.  $\text{p}K_a$  for the pyridinium ion is 5.25. Calculate the  $K_b$  value of pyridine.



[Ans.  $1.78 \times 10^{-9}$ ]

Hydrazine,  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ , **6.5**, is a weak Brønsted base ( $\text{p}K_b = 6.05$ ), weaker than  $\text{NH}_3$ ; it reacts with strong acids to give hydrazinium salts (equation 6.23).





The value of  $\text{p}K_b$  for hydroxylamine,  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ , is 8.04, showing it to be a weaker base than either  $\text{NH}_3$  or  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ .

## 6.5 The energetics of acid dissociation in aqueous solution

### Hydrogen halides

The strengths of different acids in aqueous solutions tend to be discussed in elementary textbooks on a qualitative basis. In the case of the hydrogen halides, an exact treatment in terms of independently measurable thermodynamic quantities is *almost* possible. Consider the dissociation of  $\text{HX}$  ( $X$  is F, Cl, Br or I) in aqueous solution (equilibrium 6.24 or 6.25):




The factors that influence the degree of dissociation are summarized in Figure 6.3. Equation 6.26 relates  $K_a$  for the dissociation of  $\text{HX}$  in aqueous solution to  $\Delta G^\circ$ , and the latter depends on changes in both enthalpy and entropy (equation 6.27).

$$\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K \quad (6.26)$$


$$\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ \quad (6.27)$$

A Hess cycle relates  $\Delta H^\circ$  for each of steps (1) to (6) in Figure 6.3 to that of the solution dissociation step. In Figure 6.3, step (2) is the cleavage of the  $\text{H}-\text{X}$  bond for the gas-phase molecule. Steps (3) and (5) are the ionization of the gaseous  $\text{H}$  atom and the hydration of the gaseous  $\text{H}^+$  ion, respectively. These two steps are common to all four hydrogen halides. Step (4) is the attachment of an electron to the gaseous  $\text{X}$  atom, and the associated enthalpy change is  $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H$  (see [Appendix 9](#)). Step (6) is the hydration of gaseous  $\text{X}^-$ .

Step (1) causes some experimental difficulty. It is the reverse of the dissolution of gaseous  $\text{HX}$  in water to form solvated *undissociated*  $\text{HX}$ . Since  $\text{HCl}$ ,  $\text{HBr}$  and  $\text{HI}$  are



**Fig. 6.3** The energetics of the dissociation of a hydrogen halide,  $\text{HX}$  ( $X = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$  or  $\text{I}$ ), in aqueous solution can be considered in terms of a cycle of steps. The significance of each step is discussed in the text.



**Fig. 6.4** Trends in the values of  $\Delta H^\circ$  for steps (1), (2), (4) and (6) defined in Figure 6.3. [Data: W.E. Dasent (1984) *Inorganic Energetics*, 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, and references cited therein.]

essentially fully dissociated in aqueous solution, measurement of enthalpy or entropy changes for step (1) must be estimated from somewhat unsatisfactory comparisons with noble gases and methyl halides. For HF, which is a weak acid in dilute aqueous solution, it might appear that values of  $\Delta H^\circ$  and  $\Delta S^\circ$  for step (1) could be obtained directly. However, IR spectroscopic data indicate that the species present in solution is the strongly hydrogen-bonded ion-pair  $F^- \cdots HOH_2^+$ .

We shall focus mainly on the conclusions drawn from calculations using the cycle in Figure 6.3.<sup>†</sup> Firstly, consider the change in enthalpy for the dissociation of  $HX(aq)$ . Since values of  $\Delta H^\circ$  for each of steps (3) and (5) are independent of the halide, it is the sum of the values of  $\Delta H^\circ$  for steps (1), (2), (4) and (6) that determines the trend in the values of  $\Delta H^\circ$  for reaction 6.25. Figure 6.4 summarizes the data and illustrates why there is, in fact, rather little difference between the values of the overall enthalpy change for reaction 6.25 for each of the hydrogen halides. Each reaction is exothermic, with  $\Delta H^\circ$  values in the order  $HF < HCl < HBr \approx HI$ . If we now consider the  $T\Delta S^\circ$  term for reaction 6.25 for each halide, the effect of its inclusion is rather dramatic, and leads to  $\Delta G^\circ$  for reaction 6.25 for  $X = F$  being positive while values of  $\Delta G^\circ$  for HCl, HBr and HI are negative (Table 6.2). Calculated values of  $pK_a$  can now be obtained using equation 6.26 and are listed in Table 6.2. For comparison, the *experimental* value of  $pK_a$  for HF is 3.45. Of great significance is that  $pK_a$  for HF is positive compared with negative values for HCl, HBr and HI. The enthalpy of dissolution of HF ( $-\Delta H^\circ$  for step(1)) is larger than those for the other hydrogen halides:  $-48 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for HF compared with  $-18$ ,  $-21$  and  $-23 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for HCl, HBr and HI, respectively. This, along with the much stronger bond in HF, outweighs the more negative enthalpy of hydration of  $F^-$ , making  $\Delta H^\circ$  for the dissociation process much less negative for HF than any of the other halides

<sup>†</sup> For a fuller discussion, see: W.E. Dasent (1984) *Inorganic Energetics*, 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Chapter 5.




**Table 6.2** Thermodynamic data and calculated values of  $pK_a$  for the dissociation of the hydrogen halides in aqueous solution. The values of  $\Delta H^\circ$ ,  $T\Delta S^\circ$ ,  $\Delta G^\circ$  and  $pK_a$  refer to the dissociation process shown in Figure 6.3. For steps (3) and (5) in Figure 6.3, the values of  $\Delta H^\circ$  are 1312 and  $-1091 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively.

|  | HF  | HCl  | HBr   | HI    |
|--|-----|------|-------|-------|
| $\Delta H^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  | -22 | -63  | -71   | -68   |
| $T\Delta S^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | -30 | -10  | -4    | +3    |
| $\Delta G^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  | +8  | -53  | -67   | -71   |
| Calculated $pK_a$                      | 1.4 | -9.3 | -11.7 | -12.4 |

(Table 6.2). Entropy effects, although smaller, contribute in the same direction. It is easy to see that an explanation of the relative acid strengths of the hydrogen halides is not a trivial exercise. Moreover, electronegativity does *not* enter into the discussion: one must exercise care because it is all too easy to conclude from electronegativity (see Table 1.7) that HF is expected to be the strongest acid in the series.

## H<sub>2</sub>S, H<sub>2</sub>Se and H<sub>2</sub>Te

Similar cycles to that in Figure 6.3 can be constructed for H<sub>2</sub>S, H<sub>2</sub>Se and H<sub>2</sub>Te, allowing values of  $K_a$  to be estimated. Equations 6.28 to 6.30 give the first dissociation steps.



Although the explanation of the trend in values is not simple, and some data must be estimated (rather than being experimentally determined), it is apparent that the decrease in the X–H bond strength with the increasing atomic number of X plays an important role in accounting for what is often thought to be a puzzling observation: as group 16 is descended and X becomes more metallic, its hydride becomes more acidic.

## 6.6 Trends within a series of oxoacids $EO_n(OH)_m$

For some elements with varying oxidation states, series of oxoacids with different numbers of oxygen atoms may exist (Table 6.3). There is no adequate thermodynamic treatment for rationalizing the observed trends within a series, but there are certain empirical methods for estimating  $K_a$ . The best known of these is Bell's rule (equation 6.31) which

**Table 6.3** Examples of series of oxoacids  $\text{EO}_n(\text{OH})_m$  for an element E; not all experimentally determined values of  $\text{p}K_a$  are known to the same degree of accuracy.

| Formula of acid         | $\text{EO}_n(\text{OH})_m$ notation | Oxidation state of E | $\text{p}K_a(1)$ | $\text{p}K_a(1)$ estimated by using Bell's rule |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|
| $\text{HNO}_2$          | $\text{N}(\text{O})(\text{OH})$     | +3                   | 3.37             | 3   |
| $\text{HNO}_3$          | $\text{N}(\text{O})_2(\text{OH})$   | +5                   | -1.64            | -2  |
| $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_3$ | $\text{S}(\text{O})(\text{OH})_2$   | +4                   | 1.82             | 3   |
| $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ | $\text{S}(\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_2$ | +6                   | $\approx -3$     | -2  |
| $\text{HOCl}$           | $\text{Cl}(\text{OH})$              | +1                   | 7.53             | 8   |
| $\text{HClO}_2$         | $\text{Cl}(\text{O})(\text{OH})$    | +3                   | 2.0              | 3   |
| $\text{HClO}_3$         | $\text{Cl}(\text{O})_2(\text{OH})$  | +5                   | -1.0             | -2  |
| $\text{HClO}_4$         | $\text{Cl}(\text{O})_3(\text{OH})$  | +7                   | $\approx -8$     | -7  |

relates the first acid dissociation constant to the number of 'hydrogen-free' O atoms in an acid of formula  $\text{EO}_n(\text{OH})_m$ .

$$\text{p}K_a \approx 8 - 5n \quad (6.31)$$

Table 6.3 illustrates some comparisons between experimentally determined values of  $\text{p}K_a$  and those estimated from Bell's rule. Of course, this empirical approach does not take into account the effects of changing element E.

It is often the case (experimentally) that successive values of  $\text{p}K_a$  for members of a series  $\text{EO}_n(\text{OH})_m$  differ by about 4 or 5. The increase in acid strength with increase in the number of O atoms attached to atom E is generally attributed to the greater possibility in the conjugate base of delocalization of negative charge onto the O atoms.

## 6.7 Aquated cations: formation and acidic properties

### Water as a Lewis base


Although in this chapter we are mainly concerned with *Bronsted* acids and bases, it is important not to lose sight of the definition of *Lewis* acids and bases, and relevant to this chapter is the fact that water functions as a Lewis base when it acts as a solvent.

A *Lewis acid* is an electron acceptor, and a *Lewis base* is an electron donor.


When a metal salt dissolves in water, the cation and anion are hydrated; we discuss the energetics of this process in Section 6.9, but for now, we consider the interactions between the individual ions (freed from their ionic lattice on dissolution) and the solvent molecules. Consider the dissolution of  $\text{NaCl}$ . Figure 6.5a shows a schematic representation of the formation of the inner hydration shell around  $\text{Na}^+$ . The  $\text{O} \cdots \text{Na}$  interaction can be described in terms of an *ion-dipole interaction*, while the solvation of the anion can be described in terms of the formation of hydrogen bonds between  $\text{Cl}^-$  and H atoms of surrounding  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules.

*Hydration* is the specific case of solvation when the solvent is water.


Figure 6.5b shows another representation of a hexaaqua ion. Each O atom donates a pair of electrons to the metal  $\text{M}^{n+}$  ion, and each  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule acts as a Lewis base while the metal ion functions as a Lewis acid. We are implying that the  $\text{M}-\text{O}$  interaction is essentially covalent, in contrast to the case for  $\text{Na}^+$  in Figure 6.5a. In practice, the character of the metal  $\cdots$  oxygen interaction varies with the nature of the metal ion and relevant to this is the electroneutrality principle (see [Section 19.6](#)).



The configurations **6.6** and **6.7** have been established in the first hydration shell for *dilute* solutions of  $\text{LiCl}$  and  $\text{NaCl}$  by detailed neutron diffraction studies. In concentrated solutions, the plane of the water molecule in **6.6** makes an angle of up to  $50^\circ$  with the  $\text{M}^+ \cdots \text{O}$  axis (Figure 6.6) implying interaction of the cation with a lone pair of electrons rather than an ion-dipole interaction.



**Fig. 6.5** (a) The first hydration shell of an  $\text{Na}^+$  ion; ion-dipole interactions operate between the  $\text{Na}^+$  ion and the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules. (b) If the metal-oxygen bond possesses significant covalent character, the first hydration shell can be reasonably represented showing oxygen-to-metal ion coordinate bonds; however, there is also an ionic contribution to the bonding interaction.



**Fig. 6.6** If the plane of each water molecule in  $[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{n+}$  makes an angle of  $\approx 50^\circ$  with the  $\text{M}^+ \cdots \text{O}$  axis, it suggests that the metal–oxygen interaction involves the use of an oxygen lone pair.

For both the cations and anion in NaCl and LiCl, there are six  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules in the primary hydration shell (Figure 6.5). Spectroscopic studies suggest that the hydration of other halide ions is similar to that of  $\text{Cl}^-$ , but for more complex anions, very few data are available. For a limited number of hydrated cations, tracer methods and electronic and NMR spectroscopies provide reliable information about coordination number and stoichiometry.


## Aquated cations as Brønsted acids

In the aqueous chemistry of cations, *hydrolysis* refers to the reversible loss of  $\text{H}^+$  from an aqua species. The term hydrolysis is, however, also used in a wider context, e.g. the reaction:



is a hydrolysis process.

Aquated cations can act as Brønsted acids by loss of  $\text{H}^+$  from a coordinated water molecule (equation 6.32).






The position of the equilibrium (and thus, the strength of the acid) depends on the degree to which the O–H bonds are *polarized*, and this is affected by the charge density of the cation (equation 6.33).

$$\text{Charge density of an ion} = \frac{\text{charge on the ion}}{\text{surface area of the ion}} \quad (6.33)$$



$$\text{Surface area of sphere} = 4\pi r^2$$

When  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  coordinates to  $\text{M}^{n+}$ , charge is withdrawn towards the metal centre, leaving the H atoms more  $\delta^+$  (structure 6.8) than in bulk water. Small cations such as  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Al}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  possess high charge densities, and in the corresponding hydrated ions, the H atoms carry significant positive charge. The  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values for  $[\text{Al}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  and  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  (equations 6.34 and 6.35) illustrate the effect when the charge on the ion is high.




It is instructive to compare acid strengths of hexaaqua ions with other acids. The  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values of  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$  (equation 6.9) and  $\text{HOCl}$  (equation 6.15) are similar to that of  $[\text{Al}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , while  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  for  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  is close to that of  $\text{HNO}_2$  (equation 6.14).

The characteristic colour of the  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ion is purple, but aqueous solutions appear yellow due to the formation of the hydroxo species  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{OH})]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\text{OH})_2]^{+}$  (equations 6.36 and 6.37); see also [structure 21.31](#) in Chapter 21 and accompanying discussion.




The facile dissociation of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  means that its aqueous solutions must be stabilized by the addition of acid, which (by Le Chatelier's principle) drives equilibrium 6.36 to the left-hand side.

Proton loss is, in some cases, accompanied by the formation of dinuclear or polynuclear species in aqueous solution. For example, after the dissociation of  $\text{H}^+$  from  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , the product undergoes an intermolecular condensation (equation 6.38). The resulting dichromium species (Figure 6.7) contains *bridging*<sup>†</sup> hydroxy groups.




A similar reaction occurs in the corresponding V(III) system. On going from V(III) to V(IV), the charge density on the vanadium centre is sufficient to permit the dissociation of two protons from *one* coordinated  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and the blue oxovanadium(IV) or vanadyl ion, **6.9**, is formed. It is common

<sup>†</sup> The prefix  $\mu$  means that the specified group is in a *bridging* position;  $\mu_3$  means a bridge between three atoms etc.



**Fig. 6.7** (a) A schematic representation of the structure of the dinuclear cation  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-OH})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8]^{4+}$ . (b) The structure (X-ray diffraction) of this cation as determined for the salt  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-OH})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8][2,4,6\text{-Me}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2\text{SO}_3]_4 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [L. Spiccia *et al.* (1987) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 26, p. 474]. Colour code: Cr, yellow; O, red; H, white.

for this cation to be written simply as  $[\text{VO}]^{2+}$ , even though this is not a ‘naked’ vanadium oxo species.





## 6.8 Amphoteric oxides and hydroxides


### Amphoteric behaviour

If an oxide or hydroxide is able to act as either an acid or a base, it is said to be *amphoteric*.


Some oxides and hydroxides are able to react with both acids and bases, thereby functioning as both bases and acids, respectively. Water is probably the most common example, but in this section we consider the *amphoteric* nature of metal oxides and hydroxides. Aluminium oxide,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , reacts with acids (equation 6.39) and with hydroxide ion (equation 6.40).



The hexaaqua ion, **6.10**, may be isolated as, for example, the sulfate salt after reaction with  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . The ion  $[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4]^-$ , **6.11**, can be isolated as, for example, the  $\text{Na}^+$  salt if the source of hydroxide is  $\text{NaOH}$ .



Similarly, aluminium hydroxide is amphoteric (equations 6.41 and 6.42).



### Periodic trends in amphoteric properties

As we discuss in later chapters, the character of the oxides of the elements across a row of the periodic table (*s*- and *p*-blocks) changes from basic to acidic, consistent with a change from metallic to non-metallic character of the element. Elements that lie close to the so-called ‘diagonal line’ (Figure 6.8) possess amphoteric oxides and hydroxides; in group 2,  $\text{Be}(\text{OH})_2$  and  $\text{BeO}$  are amphoteric, but  $\text{M}(\text{OH})_2$  and  $\text{MO}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Mg}, \text{Ca}, \text{Sr}$  or  $\text{Ba}$ ) are basic. Among the oxides of the *p*-block,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{GeO}$ ,  $\text{GeO}_2$ ,  $\text{SnO}$ ,  $\text{SnO}_2$ ,  $\text{PbO}$ ,  $\text{PbO}_2$ ,  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  are amphoteric. Within group 13,  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  is more acidic than  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , whereas  $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$  is more *basic* than either  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  or  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ ; for most of its chemistry,  $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$  can be regarded as

| Group 1 | Group 2 |                 | Group 13 | Group 14 | Group 15 | Group 16 | Group 17 | Group 18 |
|---------|---------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Li      | Be      |                 | B        | C        | N        | O        | F        | Ne       |
| Na      | Mg      |                 | Al       | Si       | P        | S        | Cl       | Ar       |
| K       | Ca      | <i>d</i> -block | Ga       | Ge       | As       | Se       | Br       | Kr       |
| Rb      | Sr      |                 | In       | Sn       | Sb       | Te       | I        | Xe       |
| Cs      | Ba      |                 | Tl       | Pb       | Bi       | Po       | At       | Rn       |

= Non-metallic elements  = Metallic elements

**Fig. 6.8** The so-called ‘diagonal line’ divides metals from non-metals, although some elements that lie next to the line (e.g. Si) are semi-metals.

having a basic rather than amphoteric nature. In group 14, both the metal(II) and metal(IV) oxides of Ge, Sn and Pb are amphoteric; in group 15, only the lower oxidation state oxides exhibit amphoteric behaviour, with the  $M_2O_5$  oxides being acidic. For the oxides  $M_2O_3$ , basic character predominates as the group is descended:  $As_2O_3 < Sb_2O_3 < Bi_2O_3$ .

## 6.9 Solubilities of ionic salts


### Solubility and saturated solutions

When an ionic solid, MX, is added to water, equilibrium 6.43 is established (if the ions formed are singly charged). When equilibrium is reached, the solution is *saturated*.



The *solubility* of the solid at a specified temperature is the mass of solid that dissolves when equilibrium is reached in the presence of an excess of solid, divided by the mass of the solvent. Solubilities of salts may be expressed in terms of mass of solid (in g) per kg of solvent or in moles of solute per kg of solvent. Often, tables of data list solubility data in terms of g of solute per 100 g of solvent, a convenient order of magnitude for laboratory work. The inclusion of temperature is vital, since solubility may depend significantly on temperature as is illustrated for KI and  $NaNO_3$  in Figure 6.9. In contrast, Figure 6.9 shows that between 273 and 373 K, the solubility of NaCl is virtually constant.

Tabulated values of *solubilities of ionic salts* refer to the maximum amount of solid that will dissolve in a given mass of water to give a saturated solution.




**Fig. 6.9** The temperature-dependence of the solubilities in water of potassium iodide and sodium nitrate. The solubility of sodium chloride is essentially temperature independent in the range 273–373 K.

For very dilute solutions at 298 K, the numerical value of a concentration in  $\text{mol kg}^{-1}$  is equal to that in  $\text{mol dm}^{-3}$ , and the solubilities of sparingly soluble salts (see below) are generally expressed in  $\text{mol dm}^{-3}$ .

### Sparingly soluble salts and solubility products

If the solubility of an ionic salt is extremely small (i.e. a saturated solution contains very few ions), the salt is said to be *sparingly soluble*. Such salts may include some that we might loosely refer to as being ‘insoluble’, for example AgCl and  $BaSO_4$ . Equation 6.44 shows the equilibrium that is established in aqueous solution when  $CaF_2$  dissolves.



An expression for the equilibrium constant should strictly be given in terms of the activities (see [Section 6.3](#)) of the species involved, but since we are dealing with very dilute solutions, we may express  $K$  in terms of concentrations (equation 6.45).

$$K = \frac{[Ca^{2+}][F^-]^2}{[CaF_2]} \quad (6.45)$$

The activity of any solid is, *by convention*, unity. The equilibrium constant is thereby given in terms of the *equilibrium concentrations* of the dissolved ions and is referred to as the *solubility product*, or *solubility constant*,  $K_{sp}$  (equation 6.46).

$$K_{sp} = [Ca^{2+}][F^-]^2 \quad (6.46)$$

Values of  $K_{sp}$  for a range of sparingly soluble salts are listed in Table 6.4.


**Table 6.4** Values of  $K_{sp}$  (298 K) for selected sparingly soluble salts.

| Compound            | Formula        | $K_{sp}$ (298 K)       |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Barium sulfate      | $BaSO_4$       | $1.07 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Calcium carbonate   | $CaCO_3$       | $4.96 \times 10^{-9}$  |
| Calcium hydroxide   | $Ca(OH)_2$     | $4.68 \times 10^{-6}$  |
| Calcium phosphate   | $Ca_3(PO_4)_2$ | $2.07 \times 10^{-33}$ |
| Iron(II) hydroxide  | $Fe(OH)_2$     | $4.87 \times 10^{-17}$ |
| Iron(II) sulfide    | $FeS$          | $6.00 \times 10^{-19}$ |
| Iron(III) hydroxide | $Fe(OH)_3$     | $2.64 \times 10^{-39}$ |
| Lead(II) iodide     | $PbI_2$        | $8.49 \times 10^{-9}$  |
| Lead(II) sulfide    | $PbS$          | $3.00 \times 10^{-28}$ |
| Magnesium carbonate | $MgCO_3$       | $6.82 \times 10^{-6}$  |
| Magnesium hydroxide | $Mg(OH)_2$     | $5.61 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| Silver(I) chloride  | $AgCl$         | $1.77 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Silver(I) bromide   | $AgBr$         | $5.35 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| Silver(I) iodide    | $AgI$          | $8.51 \times 10^{-17}$ |
| Silver(I) chromate  | $Ag_2CrO_4$    | $1.12 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| Silver(I) sulfate   | $Ag_2SO_4$     | $1.20 \times 10^{-5}$  |

**Worked example 6.4 Solubility product**

The solubility product for  $\text{PbI}_2$  is  $8.49 \times 10^{-9}$  (298 K). Calculate the solubility of  $\text{PbI}_2$  in g per 100 g of water.

The equilibrium for the dissolution of lead(II) iodide is:



$$K_{\text{sp}} = [\text{Pb}^{2+}][\text{I}^{-}]^2$$

One mole of  $\text{PbI}_2$  dissolves to give one mole of  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$  and two moles of  $\text{I}^{-}$ , and the solubility of  $\text{PbI}_2$  (in  $\text{mol dm}^{-3}$ ) equals the concentration of aqueous  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ . Since  $[\text{I}^{-}] = 2[\text{Pb}^{2+}]$ , we can rewrite the expression for  $K_{\text{sp}}$ , and thus find  $[\text{Pb}^{2+}]$ :

$$K_{\text{sp}} = 4[\text{Pb}^{2+}]^3$$

$$8.49 \times 10^{-9} = 4[\text{Pb}^{2+}]^3$$

$$[\text{Pb}^{2+}] = \sqrt[3]{2.12 \times 10^{-9}} = 1.28 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$$

The solubility of  $\text{PbI}_2$  is thus  $1.28 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  at 298 K.

Converting to g per 100 g of water:

$$M_{\text{r}} \text{PbI}_2 = 461$$

Solubility of  $\text{PbI}_2$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (1.28 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}) \times (461 \text{ g mol}^{-1}) \\ &= 0.590 \text{ g dm}^{-3} \end{aligned}$$

1.00  $\text{dm}^3$  of water has a mass of 1.00 kg (at 298 K)

Solubility of  $\text{PbI}_2 = 0.590 \text{ g per kg of water}$

$$= 0.0590 \text{ g per 100 g of water at 298 K}$$

**Self-study exercises**

1. The solubility product for  $\text{Ag}_2\text{SO}_4$  is  $1.20 \times 10^{-5}$  (298 K). What is the solubility of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{SO}_4$  in (a)  $\text{mol dm}^{-3}$ , and (b) g per 100 g of water?

[Ans. (a)  $1.44 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; (b) 0.45 g per 100 g]

2. If the solubility of  $\text{AgI}$  is  $2.17 \times 10^{-6} \text{ g dm}^{-3}$ , calculate  $K_{\text{sp}}$ .

[Ans.  $8.50 \times 10^{-17}$ ]


3. The value of  $K_{\text{sp}}$  for lithium carbonate is  $8.15 \times 10^{-4}$  (298 K). Calculate the solubility of  $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$  in (a)  $\text{mol dm}^{-3}$  and (b) g per 100 g of water.

[Ans. (a)  $5.88 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; (b) 0.434 g per 100 g]

4. The solubility of iron(II) hydroxide in water is  $2.30 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  at 298 K. Determine the equilibrium constant for the process:

**The energetics of the dissolution of an ionic salt:  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ}$** 

We can consider the equilibrium between a solid salt  $\text{MX}$  and its ions in saturated aqueous solution in terms of the thermodynamic cycle in equation 6.47.



where  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}G^{\circ}$  = standard Gibbs energy change accompanying the formation of the ionic lattice from gaseous ions;  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^{\circ}$  = standard Gibbs energy change accompanying the hydration of the gaseous ions; and  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ}$  = standard Gibbs energy change accompanying the dissolution of the ionic salt.

In this cycle,  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ}$  is related by equation 6.48 to the equilibrium constant,  $K$ , for the dissolution process; for a sparingly soluble salt, the equilibrium constant is  $K_{\text{sp}}$ .

$$\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ} = -RT \ln K \quad (6.48)$$

In principle, it is possible to use Gibbs energy data to calculate values of  $K$  and this is particularly valuable for accessing values of  $K_{\text{sp}}$ . However, there are two difficulties with determining values of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ}$  using cycle 6.47. First,  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ}$  is a small difference between two much larger quantities (equation 6.49), neither of which is usually accurately known. The situation is made worse by the exponential relationship between  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ}$  and  $K$ . Second, hydration energies are not very accessible quantities, as we shall discuss later on.

$$\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ} = \Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^{\circ} - \Delta_{\text{lattice}}G^{\circ} \quad (6.49)$$

An alternative method of accessing values of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ}$  is by using equation 6.50, which relates the energies of formation for the species involved to the energy change for the dissolution of  $\text{MX}(\text{s})$  (reaction 6.43).

$$\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ} = \Delta_{\text{f}}G^{\circ}(\text{M}^{+},\text{aq}) + \Delta_{\text{f}}G^{\circ}(\text{X}^{-},\text{aq}) - \Delta_{\text{f}}G^{\circ}(\text{MX},\text{s}) \quad (6.50)$$

Values of  $\Delta_{\text{f}}G^{\circ}(\text{M}^{+},\text{aq})$  and  $\Delta_{\text{f}}G^{\circ}(\text{X}^{-},\text{aq})$  can often be determined from standard reduction potentials (see [Appendix 11](#)) using equation 6.51, and tables giving values of  $\Delta_{\text{f}}G^{\circ}(\text{MX},\text{s})$  for a wide range of salts are readily available. Equation 6.51 and its uses are discussed in detail in [Chapter 7](#), and worked example 7.9 is especially relevant.

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -zFE^{\circ} \quad (6.51)$$

where  $F$  = Faraday constant =  $96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1}$ .

The magnitude of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ}$  depends upon the balance between the corresponding  $T\Delta_{\text{sol}}S^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^{\circ}$  terms (equation 6.52).

$$\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ} = \Delta_{\text{sol}}H^{\circ} - T\Delta_{\text{sol}}S^{\circ} \quad (6.52)$$

Thermochemical experiments (i.e. measuring the heat evolved or taken in during dissolution of an ionic salt) provide a method of determining values of the enthalpy change,  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^{\circ}$ . If  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ}$  has been determined, then  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}S^{\circ}$  can be derived using equation 6.52. Observed trends

**Table 6.5** Solubilities and values of the changes in Gibbs energy, enthalpy and entropy of solution at 298 K for the halides of sodium and silver; the entropy change is given in the form of a  $T\Delta_{\text{sol}}S^\circ$  term ( $T = 298 \text{ K}$ ). Hydrate formation by solid NaBr, NaI and AgF has been neglected in the calculation of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ$  for these compounds.

| Compound | Solubility / g per 100 g of water at 298 K | Solubility / mol per 100 g of water at 298 K | $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $T\Delta_{\text{sol}}S^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ |
|----------|--|--|---|---|--|
| NaF      | 4.2  | 0.10   | +7.9  | +0.9  | -7.0   |
| NaCl     | 36   | 0.62   | -8.6  | +3.9  | +12.5  |
| NaBr     | 91   | 0.88   | -17.7   | -0.6  | +17.1  |
| NaI      | 184  | 1.23   | -31.1   | -7.6  | +23.5  |
| AgF      | 182  | 1.43   | -14.4   | -20.3   | -5.9   |
| AgCl     | $8.9 \times 10^{-5}$                       | $6.2 \times 10^{-7}$                         | +55.6   | +65.4   | +9.8   |
| AgBr     | $8.4 \times 10^{-6}$                       | $4.5 \times 10^{-8}$                         | +70.2   | +84.4   | +14.2  |
| AgI      | $2.8 \times 10^{-7}$                       | $1.2 \times 10^{-9}$                         | +91.7   | +112.3  | +20.6  |

in the values of these thermodynamic parameters are not easily discussed, since a wide variety of factors contribute to the signs and magnitudes of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}S^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ$ , and hence to  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ$  and the actual solubility of a given salt. Table 6.5 lists relevant data for sodium and silver halides. The increase in solubility on going from NaF to NaBr corresponds to a progressively more negative value for  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ$ , and the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ$  and  $T\Delta_{\text{sol}}S^\circ$  terms *both* contribute to this trend. In contrast, the silver halides show the opposite behaviour, with the solubility in aqueous solution following the sequence  $\text{AgF} > \text{AgCl} > \text{AgBr} > \text{AgI}$ . While the values of the  $T\Delta_{\text{sol}}S^\circ$  term become more positive on going from AgF to AgI (i.e. the same trend as for the sodium halides), the  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ$  term also becomes more positive. Combined in equation 6.52, these lead to values of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ$  for AgF, AgCl, AgBr and AgI that become increasingly positive (Table 6.5). The origin of this result lies in the non-electrostatic contribution to the lattice energy, which progressively stabilizes the solid with respect to aqueous ions on going from AgF to AgI (see Section 5.15). Even from a consideration of only two sets of metal halides, it is clear that providing general explanations for the observed trends in the solubilities of ionic salts is not possible.

## The energetics of the dissolution of an ionic salt: hydration of ions

We have already seen (equation 6.47) that the energy change accompanying the hydration of an ionic salt contributes towards the solubility of the salt, and we have also mentioned that values of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  and the corresponding enthalpy and entropy changes are not readily accessible quantities. In this section, we look more closely at  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$ ; equation 6.53 gives the general hydration processes to which these quantities refer.



The primary problem is that individual ions cannot be studied in isolation, and experimental measurements of

$\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  are restricted to those involving pairs of ions that do not interact. Even then, the problem is non-trivial.

In principle, the value of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  (in  $\text{J mol}^{-1}$ ) for an ion of charge  $ze$  and radius  $r_{\text{ion}}$  (in m) can be calculated on the basis of electrostatics using equation 6.54.

$$\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ = -\frac{Lz^2e^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0r_{\text{ion}}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon_r}\right) \quad (6.54)$$

where  $L = \text{Avogadro number} = 6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ;  $e = \text{charge on the electron} = 1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$ ;  $\epsilon_0 = \text{permittivity of a vacuum} = 8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F m}^{-1}$ ; and  $\epsilon_r = \text{relative permittivity of the water (dielectric constant)} = 78.7$ .

In practice, this expression gives unsatisfactory results since the relative permittivity (see Section 8.2) of bulk water is not valid close to the ion, and available values of  $r_{\text{ion}}$  refer to ionic lattices rather than hydrated ions.

The simplest way of obtaining thermodynamic functions of hydration for individual ions rests on the assumption that very large ions such as  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}]^+$  and  $[\text{BPh}_4]^-$  have the same values of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  etc. From data for salts containing appropriate cation–anion pairs (e.g.  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}][\text{BPh}_4]$ ,  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}]\text{Cl}$  and  $\text{K}[\text{BPh}_4]$ ), data for the individual ions can be derived (e.g.  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$ ). However, direct *experimental* measurements involving  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}][\text{BPh}_4]$  are not feasible because of the low solubility of this salt in water. Hence, data for this compound come from theory.

An alternative method for obtaining thermodynamic functions of hydration is based upon an arbitrary assignment of a value of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ(\text{H}^+, \text{g}) = 0$ . From this starting point, and using values of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  for a range of ionic salts and the hydrogen halides, a self-consistent set of *relative* hydration enthalpies can be obtained. More sophisticated methods are based upon the estimation of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ(\text{H}^+, \text{g}) = -1019 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , and Table 6.6 lists corresponding absolute values of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  for a range of ions.

Values of hydration entropies,  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$ , can be derived by assigning (by convention) a value of zero for the absolute entropy,  $S^\circ$ , of gaseous  $\text{H}^+$ . Table 6.6 lists values of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$  for selected ions, and the corresponding values of

**Table 6.6** Absolute values of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  (at 298 K), and ionic radii for selected ions.

| Ion              | $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ / \text{JK}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1}$ | $T\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$<br>(for $T = 298 \text{ K}$ ) | $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $r_{\text{ion}} / \text{pm}^\ddagger$ |
|------------------|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| H <sup>+</sup>   | -1091   | -130  | -39  | -1052   | —                                     |
| Li <sup>+</sup>  | -519  | -140  | -42  | -477  | 76                                    |
| Na <sup>+</sup>  | -404  | -110  | -33  | -371  | 102                                   |
| K <sup>+</sup>   | -321  | -70   | -21  | -300  | 138                                   |
| Rb <sup>+</sup>  | -296  | -70   | -21  | -275  | 149                                   |
| Cs <sup>+</sup>  | -271  | -60   | -18  | -253  | 170                                   |
| Mg <sup>2+</sup> | -1931   | -320  | -95  | -1836   | 72                                    |
| Ca <sup>2+</sup> | -1586   | -230  | -69  | -1517   | 100                                   |
| Sr <sup>2+</sup> | -1456   | -220  | -66  | -1390   | 126                                   |
| Ba <sup>2+</sup> | -1316   | -200  | -60  | -1256   | 142                                   |
| Al <sup>3+</sup> | -4691   | -530  | -158   | -4533   | 54                                    |
| La <sup>3+</sup> | -3291   | -430  | -128   | -3163   | 105                                   |
| F <sup>-</sup>   | -504  | -150  | -45  | -459  | 133                                   |
| Cl <sup>-</sup>  | -361  | -90   | -27  | -334  | 181                                   |
| Br <sup>-</sup>  | -330  | -70   | -21  | -309  | 196                                   |
| I <sup>-</sup>   | -285  | -50   | -15  | -270  | 220                                   |

<sup>‡</sup>Values of  $r_{\text{ion}}$  refer to a coordination number of 6 in the solid state.

$\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  are obtained by substitution of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  into equation 6.52 ( $T = 298 \text{ K}$ ). Inspection of Table 6.6 reveals several points of interest:

- Highly charged ions have more negative values of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$  than singly charged ions. The more negative enthalpy term is rationalized in terms of simple electrostatic attraction, and the more negative  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$  values can be considered in terms of highly charged ions imposing more order on H<sub>2</sub>O molecules in the environment of the ion.
- For ions of a given charge,  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$  show some dependence on ion size (i.e.  $r_{\text{ion}}$ ); smaller ions possess more negative values of both  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$ .
- The variation in  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  outweighs that in  $T\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$ , and as a result, the most negative values of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  arise for small ions (comparing those with a constant charge), and for highly charged ions (comparing those of similar size).
- For monatomic ions of about the same size, (e.g. K<sup>+</sup> and F<sup>-</sup>), anions are more strongly hydrated than cations (more negative  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$ ).

## Solubilities: some concluding remarks

Let us now return to equation 6.47, and relate the observed solubility of a salt to the magnitude of the difference between  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}G^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  (equation 6.49), and in particular to the sizes of the ions involved.

First, we reiterate that  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ$  is generally a *relatively* small value, being the difference between two much larger values ( $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}G^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$ ). Moreover, as Table 6.5 illustrates,  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ$  can be either positive or negative, whereas  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}G^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  are always negative values (provided they are defined as in equation 6.47).

As we saw in Table 6.6, of the two terms  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  and  $T\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$ , the dominant factor in determining the magnitude of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  is  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$ . Similarly, for  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}G^\circ$ , the dominant factor is  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ$ . Thus, in considering the relationship between the solubility of a salt and the sizes of the component ions, we turn our attention to the relationships between  $r_{\text{ion}}$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ$  given in equations 6.55 and 6.56. The actual *values* of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ$  (defined for the processes given in equation 6.47) are always negative.

$$\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ \propto \frac{1}{r_+ + r_-} \quad (6.55)$$

$$\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ \propto \frac{1}{r_+} + \frac{1}{r_-} \quad (6.56)$$

$r_+$  = radius of cation;  $r_-$  = radius of anion.

Now consider the application of these two expressions to a series of salts of similar lattice type. For a series of MX salts where X<sup>-</sup> is constant and M<sup>+</sup> varies, if  $r_- \gg r_+$ , equation 6.55 shows that there will be little variation in  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ$ . However, upon dissolution, if  $r_- \gg r_+$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$ (cation) will be much more negative than  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$ (anion) for all values of  $r_+$ . Thus,  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  will be roughly proportional to  $\frac{1}{r_+}$ . Thus, along a series of related salts with increasing  $r_+$ , but with  $r_- \gg r_+$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ$  will remain nearly constant while  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  becomes *less* negative. Hence,  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ$  (and thus  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ$ ) will become less negative (equation 6.57) and solubility will decrease.

$$\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ = \Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ - \Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ \quad (6.57)$$

Such a series is exemplified by the alkali metal hexachloroplatinates; the hydrated sodium salt has a very high solubility, while the solubilities of K<sub>2</sub>[PtCl<sub>6</sub>], Rb<sub>2</sub>[PtCl<sub>6</sub>] and Cs<sub>2</sub>[PtCl<sub>6</sub>] are  $2.30 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $2.44 \times 10^{-4}$  and

$1.04 \times 10^{-4}$  mol per 100 g of water (at 293 K). A similar trend is observed for alkali metal hexafluorophosphates ( $\text{MPF}_6$ ).


Although the above, and similar, arguments are qualitative, they provide a helpful means of assessing the pattern in solubilities for series of *ionic* salts; we stress ‘ionic’ because equations 6.55 and 6.56 assume an electrostatic model. Our discussions in *Section 5.15* and earlier in this section indicated how partial covalent character in silver halides affects solubility trends.

## 6.10 Common-ion effect

So far, we have discussed aqueous solutions containing a single, dissolved ionic salt, MX. Now we consider the effect of adding a second salt which has one of its ions in common with the first salt.

If a salt MX is added to an aqueous solution containing the solute MY (the ion  $\text{M}^{n+}$  is common to both salts), the presence of the dissolved  $\text{M}^{n+}$  ions suppresses the dissolution of MX compared with that in pure water; this is the *common-ion effect*.

The origin of the common-ion effect is seen by applying Le Chatelier’s principle. In equation 6.58, the presence of  $\text{Cl}^-$  in solution (from a soluble salt such as KCl) will suppress the dissolution of AgCl, i.e. additional  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions will shift the equilibrium to the left-hand side.




The effect is analogous to that of mixing a weak acid with the salt of that acid (e.g. acetic acid and sodium acetate) to form a buffer solution.

### Worked example 6.5 The common-ion effect

**The value of  $K_{\text{sp}}$  for AgCl is  $1.77 \times 10^{-10}$  (at 298 K). Compare the solubility of AgCl in water and in  $0.0100 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  hydrochloric acid.**

First, determine the solubility of AgCl in water.



$$K_{\text{sp}} = [\text{Ag}^+][\text{Cl}^-] = 1.77 \times 10^{-10}$$

Since the concentrations of  $[\text{Ag}^+]$  and  $[\text{Cl}^-]$  in aqueous solution are equal, we can write:


$$[\text{Ag}^+]^2 = 1.77 \times 10^{-10}$$

$$[\text{Ag}^+] = 1.33 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$$

The solubility of AgCl is therefore  $1.33 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .

Now consider the solubility of AgCl in  $0.0100 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  HCl aqueous solution.

HCl is essentially fully dissociated and thus,  $[\text{Cl}^-] = 0.0100 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .



|   |     |                |
|---|-----|----------------|
| Initial aqueous ion concentrations / $\text{mol dm}^{-3}$ : | 0   | 0.0100         |
| Equilibrium concentrations / $\text{mol dm}^{-3}$ :         | $x$ | $(0.0100 + x)$ |

$$K_{\text{sp}} = 1.77 \times 10^{-10} = [\text{Ag}^+][\text{Cl}^-]$$

$$1.77 \times 10^{-10} = x(0.0100 + x)$$

Since  $x$  is obviously much less than 0.01, we can make the approximation that  $0.0100 + x \approx 0.0100$ .

$$1.77 \times 10^{-10} \approx 0.0100x$$

$$x \approx 1.77 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$$

The solubility of AgCl is therefore  $1.77 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .

Conclusion: the solubility of AgCl is  $\approx 1000$  times less in  $0.0100 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  aqueous HCl solution than in water.

### Self-study exercises

**$K_{\text{sp}}$  data: AgCl,  $1.77 \times 10^{-10}$ ; BaSO<sub>4</sub>,  $1.07 \times 10^{-10}$  (298 K).**

1. How much more soluble is AgCl in water than in  $5.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  aqueous HCl at 298 K?  
[Ans.  $\approx 375$  times]
2. What is the solubility of AgCl in  $0.0200 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  aqueous KCl?  
[Ans.  $8.85 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ]
3. What is the solubility of BaSO<sub>4</sub> (at 298 K) in (a) water and (b) in  $0.0150 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.  
[Ans. (a)  $1.03 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; (b)  $7.13 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ]

Worked example 6.5 illustrates the use of the common-ion effect in gravimetric analysis; AgCl is always precipitated from a solution containing a slight excess of a common ion,  $\text{Cl}^-$  or  $\text{Ag}^+$ , in the determination of silver or chloride respectively.

*Gravimetric analysis* is a quantitative technique in which the material under study is isolated as a precipitate.

## 6.11 Coordination complexes: an introduction

### Definitions and terminology

In this section we introduce some general principles concerning the coordination of *ligands* to ions in aqueous solution. These definitions and principles will be used again when we discuss complex formation in detail later in the book. The


word *ligand* is derived from the Latin verb ‘*ligare*’ meaning ‘to bind’.

In a *coordination complex*, a central atom or ion is coordinated by one or more molecules or ions (*ligands*) which act as Lewis bases, forming *coordinate bonds* with the central atom or ion; the latter acts as a Lewis acid. Atoms in the ligands that are directly bonded to the central atom or ion are *donor atoms*.


Examples of coordination complexes include those involving *d*-block metal ions (e.g.  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ , **6.12**) and species with a central *p*-block element (e.g.  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$ , **6.13**, and  $\text{H}_3\text{B}\cdot\text{THF}$ , **6.14**) (THF = tetrahydrofuran) although **6.14** is unstable with respect to hydrolysis in aqueous solution. Equations 6.59–6.61 show the formation of these coordination complexes.

In a complex:


- a *line* is used to denote the interaction between an *anionic* ligand and the acceptor;
- an *arrow* is used to show the donation of an electron pair from a *neutral* ligand to an acceptor.




(6.12)



(6.13)




(6.14)



When a Lewis base donates a pair of electrons to a Lewis acid, a *coordinate bond* is formed and the resulting species is an *adduct*. The centred dot in, for example,  $\text{H}_3\text{B}\cdot\text{THF}$  indicates the formation of an adduct.

In  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$ , the B–F bond formed in reaction 6.60 is *identical* to the other three B–F bonds; all are 2c–2e covalent bonds. In structures **6.12–6.14**, the coordinate bond between the central atom or ion and a *neutral ligand* is denoted by


an *arrow*, but if the ligand is *anionic*, the coordinate bond is indicated by a *line*. This convention is sometimes ignored, for example, when the stereochemistry of the coordination complex is illustrated; compare **6.12** with **6.15** which shows the octahedral environment of the Co(II) centre.



(6.15)

## Investigating coordination complex formation


The formation of complexes in aqueous solution may be studied by a number of methods, of which testing the modifications of chemical properties is only one, and a somewhat unreliable one at that. *All* reactions are equilibria, and chemical tests are often only investigations of *relative* values of equilibrium constants. For example, in an aqueous solution of an  $\text{Ag}^+$  salt saturated with  $\text{NH}_3$ , nearly all the  $\text{Ag}^+$  is present as the complex  $[\text{Ag}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$  (equation 6.62).



On adding a chloride-containing solution, *no*  $\text{AgCl}$  precipitate is observed; however, the addition of an iodide-containing solution results in the precipitation of silver iodide. These observations can be rationalized as follows:  $\text{AgI}$  ( $K_{\text{sp}} = 8.51 \times 10^{-17}$ ) is much less soluble in aqueous solution than  $\text{AgCl}$  ( $K_{\text{sp}} = 1.77 \times 10^{-10}$ ). The fact that no  $\text{AgCl}$  is precipitated means that the equilibrium constant for reaction 6.62 is sufficiently large that the  $\text{AgCl}$  formed is soluble in the solution (i.e. very little uncomplexed  $\text{Ag}^+$  is available for combination with  $\text{Cl}^-$ ). On the other hand, the solubility of  $\text{AgI}$  is so low that even the formation of a small amount produces a precipitate.



Physical methods (e.g. electronic and vibrational spectroscopic, solubility or conductivity measurements) provide more reliable information and, in some cases, allow the determination of equilibrium constants for complex formation.

Neutral complexes are usually only sparingly soluble in water, but are often readily soluble in organic solvents. For example, the red complex  $[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$  (Figure 6.10) (Hacac is the abbreviation for acetylacetonone, the systematic name for which is pentane-2,4-dione) can be extracted from aqueous solution into benzene or chloroform, and the formation of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$  is used as a means of extracting Fe(III) from aqueous solution. Pentane-2,4-dione is a  *$\beta$ -diketone* and deprotonation gives  $[\text{acac}]^-$ , a  *$\beta$ -diketonate* (equation 6.63).



**Fig. 6.10** (a) The structure of Hacac (see [Table 6.7](#)); (b) Fe(III) forms an octahedral complex with  $[\text{acac}]^-$ ; (c) the structure of the coordination complex  $[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$ , determined by X-ray diffraction [J. Iball *et al.* (1967) *Acta Crystallogr.*, vol. 23, p. 239]; colour code: Fe, green; C, grey; O, red.

The formation of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$  in aqueous solution involves equilibria 6.63 and 6.64.









The amount of complex formed depends on the pH of the solution. If the pH is too low,  $\text{H}^+$  ions compete with  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  ions for the ligand (i.e. the back reaction 6.63 competes with the forward reaction 6.64). If the pH is too high, Fe(III) is precipitated as  $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$  for which  $K_{\text{sp}} = 2.64 \times 10^{-39}$ . Thus, there is an optimum pH for the extraction of Fe(III) from aqueous media using Hacac and a given organic solvent (e.g.  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ). Although we have defined ligands as being *Lewis* bases, most are also Brønsted bases, and accurate pH control is of great importance in studies of complex formation. Solvent extraction is important in the analytical and industrial separation of many metals (see [Box 6.3](#)).

*Solvent extraction* involves the extraction of a substance using a suitable solvent; in a two-phase solvent system, the solute is extracted from one solvent into another, the extracting solvent being chosen so that impurities remain in the original solvent.

## 6.12 Stability constants of coordination complexes

As we saw earlier, metal ions in aqueous solution are hydrated; the aqua species may be denoted as  $\text{M}^{z+}(\text{aq})$  where this often represents the hexaqua ion  $[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{z+}$ . Now consider the addition of a neutral ligand L to the solution, and the formation of a series of complexes  $[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{L}]^{z+}$ ,  $[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4\text{L}_2]^{z+}$  ...  $[\text{ML}_6]^{z+}$ . Equilibria 6.65–6.70 show the stepwise displacements of coordinated  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  by L.



The equilibrium constant,  $K_1$ , for reaction 6.65 is given by equation 6.71;  $[\text{H}_2\text{O}]$  (strictly, the *activity* of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) is unity


## APPLICATIONS

## Box 6.3 The use of solvent extraction in nuclear reprocessing

In Section 2.5, we discussed the production of energy by nuclear fission, and the reprocessing of nuclear fuels. We described how short-lived radioactive products decay during pond storage, and how uranium is converted into  $[\text{UO}_2][\text{NO}_3]_2$  and, finally,  $\text{UF}_6$ . One of the complicating factors in this process is that the fuel to be reprocessed contains plutonium and fission products in addition to uranium. Two different solvent extraction processes are needed to effect separation.

## Stage 1: separation of the fission products from plutonium and uranium nitrates

The mixture to be separated contains  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$  and  $\text{Pu(IV)}$  nitrates, as well as metal ions such as  $^{90}_{38}\text{Sr}^{2+}$ . Kerosene is added to the aqueous solution of metal salts, giving a *two-phase* system (i.e. these solvents are immiscible). Tributyl phosphate (TBP, a phosphate ester) is added to form complexes with the uranium-containing and plutonium ions, extracting them into the kerosene layer. The fission products remain in the aqueous solution, and separation of the solvent layers thus achieves separation of the fission products from Pu- and U-containing species. Repeated extractions from the aqueous layer by the same process increases the efficiency of the separation.



## Stage 2: separation of plutonium and uranium nitrates


The kerosene fraction is now subjected to a second solvent extraction. Addition of iron(II) sulfamate,  $\text{Fe}(\text{NH}_2\text{SO}_3)_2$ , and shaking of the kerosene fraction with water, results in the formation of plutonium(III) nitrate which is partitioned into the aqueous layer.  $[\text{UO}_2][\text{NO}_3]_2$  resists reduction, is complexed by TBP and remains in the organic layer. Separation of the two solvent fractions thus separates the uranium and plutonium salts; repeated extractions result in a highly efficient separation. The extraction of  $[\text{UO}_2][\text{NO}_3]_2$  from kerosene back into an aqueous phase can be achieved by adding nitric acid; under these conditions, the uranium–TBP complex dissociates and  $[\text{UO}_2][\text{NO}_3]_2$  returns to the aqueous layer.

(see [Section 6.3](#)) and does not appear in the expression for  $K$ .

$$K_1 = \frac{[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{L}^{z+}]}{[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{z+}][\text{L}]} \quad (6.71)$$

In the formation of a complex  $[\text{ML}_6]^{z+}$  from  $[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{z+}$ , each displacement of a coordinated water molecule by ligand L has a characteristic *stepwise stability constant*,  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$ ,  $K_4$ ,  $K_5$  or  $K_6$ .

Alternatively, we may consider the overall formation of  $[\text{ML}_6]^{z+}$  (equation 6.72); in order to distinguish stepwise and overall stability constants, the symbol  $\beta$  is generally used for the latter. Equation 6.73 gives an expression for  $\beta_6$  for  $[\text{ML}_6]^{z+}$ . We must refer to  $\beta_6$  and not just  $\beta$ , because overall stability constants for the products of each of reactions 6.65–6.70 can also be defined (see [problem 6.25](#) at the end of the chapter).



$$\beta_6 = \frac{[\text{ML}_6^{z+}]}{[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{z+}][\text{L}]^6} \quad (6.73)$$

Values of  $K$  and  $\beta$  are related. For equilibrium 6.72,  $\beta_6$  can be expressed in terms of the six stepwise stability constants according to equations 6.74.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \beta_6 &= K_1 \times K_2 \times K_3 \times K_4 \times K_5 \times K_6 \\ \text{or} \\ \log \beta_6 &= \log K_1 + \log K_2 + \log K_3 \\ &\quad + \log K_4 + \log K_5 + \log K_6 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.74)$$

## Self-study exercise

Write expressions for each of  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$ ,  $K_4$ ,  $K_5$  and  $K_6$  for equilibria 6.65–6.70, and then show that  $\beta_6 = K_1 \times K_2 \times K_3 \times K_4 \times K_5 \times K_6$ .

For the formation of a complex  $[\text{ML}_n]^{z+}$  from  $[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_m]^{z+}$  and ligand L, the overall stability constant  $\beta_n$  is given by the expression:

$$\beta_n = \frac{[\text{ML}_n^{z+}]}{[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_m^{z+}][\text{L}]^n}$$

### Worked example 6.6 Formation of $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{6-x}(\text{NH}_3)_x]^{2+}$

Results of a pH study using a glass electrode (in 2 M  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  aqueous solution) give values of the stepwise stability constants (at 303 K) of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{6-x}(\text{NH}_3)_x]^{2+}$  ( $x = 1-6$ ) as:  $\log K_1 = 2.79$ ;  $\log K_2 = 2.26$ ;  $\log K_3 = 1.69$ ;  $\log K_4 = 1.25$ ;  $\log K_5 = 0.74$ ;  $\log K_6 = 0.03$ . Calculate (a)  $\beta_6$  for  $[\text{Ni}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  and (b)  $\Delta G^\circ_1(303 \text{ K})$ . (c) If the value of  $\Delta H^\circ_1(303 \text{ K}) = -16.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , calculate  $\Delta S^\circ_1(303 \text{ K})$  ( $R = 8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ )

$$(a) \quad \beta_6 = K_1 \times K_2 \times K_3 \times K_4 \times K_5 \times K_6$$

$$\log \beta_6 = \log K_1 + \log K_2 + \log K_3$$

$$+ \log K_4 + \log K_5 + \log K_6$$

$$\log \beta_6 = 2.79 + 2.26 + 1.69 + 1.25 + 0.74 + 0.03$$

$$= 8.76$$

$$\beta_6 = 5.75 \times 10^8$$

(b)  $\Delta G^\circ_1(303 \text{ K})$  refers to the stepwise formation of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{NH}_3)]^{2+}$ .

$$\Delta G^\circ_1(303 \text{ K}) = -RT \ln K_1$$

$$= -(8.314 \times 10^{-3} \times 303) \ln 10^{2.79}$$

$$= -16.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$(c) \quad \Delta G^\circ_1 = \Delta H^\circ_1 - T\Delta S^\circ_1$$

$$\Delta S^\circ_1 = \frac{\Delta H^\circ_1 - \Delta G^\circ_1}{T}$$

$$\Delta S^\circ_1(303 \text{ K}) = \frac{-16.8 - (-16.2)}{303}$$

$$= -1.98 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kJ K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$= -1.98 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

### Self-study exercises

These questions refer to  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{6-x}(\text{NH}_3)_x]^{2+}$  ( $x = 1-6$ ), with data quoted at 303 K.

1. Determine  $\Delta G^\circ_2(303 \text{ K})$  if  $\log K_2 = 2.26$ .  
[Ans.  $-13.1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

2. If  $\Delta S^\circ_1(303 \text{ K}) = -1.98 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ , confirm that  $\Delta H^\circ_1(303 \text{ K}) = -16.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , given that  $\log K_1 = 2.79$ .

3. Given the values  $\log K_1 = 2.79$ ,  $\log K_2 = 2.26$  and  $\log K_3 = 1.69$ , use the appropriate value to determine  $\Delta G^\circ_3(303 \text{ K})$  for the equilibrium:



[Ans.  $-9.80 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

## Determination of stability constants

For a given aqueous solution containing known concentrations of a metal ion  $\text{M}^{z+}$  and ligand L, it may have been found that only *one* coordination complex of known formula is present in solution. If this is the case, then the stability constant for this complex can be obtained directly from a determination of the concentration of uncomplexed  $\text{M}^{z+}$ , L or complexed  $\text{M}^{z+}$  in that solution. Such determinations can be made by polarographic or potentiometric measurements (if a suitable reversible electrode exists), by pH measurements (if the ligand is the conjugate base of a weak acid), or by ion-exchange, spectrophotometric (i.e. observation of electronic spectra and use of the Beer–Lambert Law), NMR spectroscopic or distribution methods.


## Trends in stepwise stability constants

Figure 6.11 shows that for the formation of the complex ions  $[\text{Al}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{6-x}\text{F}_x]^{(3-x)+}$  ( $x = 1-6$ ), the stepwise stability constants become smaller as more  $\text{F}^-$  ligands are introduced; a similar trend is also observed in the formation of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{6-x}(\text{NH}_3)_x]^{2+}$  ( $x = 1-6$ ) in worked example 6.6. This decrease in values of  $K$  is typical of many systems; however, the trend is not always as smooth as in Figure 6.11. (Stability constants are discussed further in [Section 20.10](#).)

## Thermodynamic considerations of complex formation: an introduction


A detailed discussion of the thermodynamics of complex formation in aqueous solution lies beyond the scope of this book, but we discuss briefly entropy changes that accompany the formation of coordination compounds in solution, and the so-called *chelate effect*. In [Chapter 20](#), we look further at the thermodynamics of complex formation.

We saw in Section 6.9 that highly charged ions have more negative values of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}S^\circ$  than singly charged ions, and this can be viewed in terms of the highly charged ions imposing more order on  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules in the environment of the



**Fig. 6.11** Stepwise stability constants for the formation of  $[\text{Al}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{6-x}\text{F}_x]^{(3-x)+}$  ( $x = 1-6$ ).

ion. When complex formation occurs between highly charged cations and anions, with a resulting partial or total cancellation of charges, the changes in enthalpy for these processes are significantly *negative*. However, the accompanying changes in entropy are significantly *positive* because less order is imposed on the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules around the complex ion than around the uncomplexed, metal cations and anionic ligands. The corresponding values of  $\Delta G^\circ$  are, therefore, substantially negative indicating that very stable complexes are formed. For example,  $\Delta S^\circ(298\text{ K})$  for reaction 6.75 is  $+117\text{ J K}^{-1}\text{ mol}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta G^\circ(298\text{ K})$  is  $-60.5\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ; the ligand in equation 6.75 is  $[\text{EDTA}]^{4-}$ .<sup>†</sup>




Another source of increase in entropy is important: when we are dealing with *comparable* uncharged ligands (e.g.  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2$ ), *polydentate* ligands form more stable complexes than *monodentate* ones.


The number of donor atoms through which a ligand coordinates to a metal ion is defined as the *denticity* of the ligand; a monodentate ligand possesses one donor atom (e.g.  $\text{NH}_3$ ), a didentate<sup>‡</sup> ligand two (e.g.  $[\text{acac}]^-$ ) and so on. In general, a ligand with more than one donor atom is termed polydentate.

Coordination of a polydentate ligand to an ion leads to the formation of a *chelate ring*, and five such rings can be seen in  $[\text{Ca}(\text{EDTA})]^{2-}$  in equation 6.75. The word *chelate* is derived from the Greek for a crab's claw. Table 6.7 lists some common ligands; en,  $[\text{ox}]^{2-}$  and bpy form 5-membered chelate rings on coordination to a metal ion, whereas coordination of  $[\text{acac}]^-$  gives a 6-membered ring (Figure 6.10). Both 5- and 6-membered chelate rings are common in metal complexes. Each ring is characterized by a *bite angle*, i.e. the X–M–Y angle where X and Y are the two

donor atoms of the chelating ligand (structure 6.16). Ring-strain causes the formation of 3- and 4-membered rings to be relatively unfavourable.



The 6-membered ring formed when  $[\text{acac}]^-$  chelates to a metal ion (Figure 6.10) is planar and is stabilized by delocalized  $\pi$ -bonding. Ligands such as bpy and  $[\text{ox}]^{2-}$  also produce planar chelate rings upon interaction with a metal centre. A saturated diamine such as en (6.17) is more flexible and adopts a puckered ring as is shown in Figure 6.12 for a general  $[\text{M}(\text{en})_3]^{n+}$  complex. Adding one more carbon atom to the backbone of the ligand en gives 1,3-propanediamine (pn, 6.18).



For flexible, saturated *N*-donor ligands of this type, experimental data reveal that small metal ions favour ligands that form 6-membered chelate rings, whereas larger metal ions favour ligands that give 5-membered chelate rings. A general conclusion that '5-membered rings are more stable than 6-membered chelate rings' is often cited in textbooks. However, this statement needs to be qualified, taking into account the size of the metal ion. The enhanced complex stability observed when a small metal ion resides within a 6-membered rather than a 5-membered chelate ring (the ligand being a saturated one such as a diamine) has been explained in terms of a model in which the metal ion replaces an  $sp^3$  hybridized C atom in cyclohexane. For this replacement to be optimized, the bite angle (6.16) should be close to  $109.5^\circ$  (i.e. the angle for a tetrahedral C atom), and the M–N bond length should be 160 pm. When diamines coordinate to larger metal ions (e.g.  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ ), the most stable complexes tend to be those involving ligands that form 5-membered chelate rings. The ideal parameters are a bite angle of  $69^\circ$  and an M–N bond length of 250 pm.\*

We now compare the stability of complexes formed between a given metal ion and related monodentate and didentate ligands, and address the so-called *chelate effect*. In order to make meaningful comparisons, it is important to choose appropriate ligands. An  $\text{NH}_3$  molecule is an

<sup>†</sup> In the *solid state*, the complex formed between  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $[\text{EDTA}]^{4-}$  is cation-dependent and is 7- or 8-coordinate; the additional coordination sites are occupied by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and similarly in  $[\text{Mg}(\text{EDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2-}$ .

<sup>‡</sup> The use of 'didentate' is currently recommended by the IUPAC in place of the previously recommended 'bidentate'.


\* For more detailed discussion, see: R.D. Hancock (1992) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 69, p. 615 – 'Chelate ring size and metal ion selection'.

**Table 6.7** Names and structures of selected ligands.

| Name of ligand                                     | Abbreviation (if any) | Denticity    | Structure with donor atoms marked in red |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| Water  |                       | Monodentate  |  |
| Ammonia  |                       | Monodentate  |  |
| Tetrahydrofuran                                    | THF                   | Monodentate  |  |
| Pyridine   | py                    | Monodentate  |  |
| 1,2-Ethanediamine <sup>‡</sup>                     | en                    | Didentate    |  |
| Dimethylsulfoxide                                  | DMSO                  | Monodentate  |  |
| Acetylacetonate ion                                | [acac] <sup>-</sup>   | Didentate    |  |
| Oxalate or ethanedioate ion                        | [ox] <sup>2-</sup>    | Didentate    |  |
| 2,2'-Bipyridine                                    | bpy or bipy           | Didentate    |  |
| 1,10-Phenanthroline                                | phen                  | Didentate    |  |
| 1,4,7-Triazaheptane <sup>‡</sup>                   | dien                  | Tridentate   |  |
| 1,4,7,10-Tetraazadecane <sup>‡</sup>               | trien                 | Tetradentate |  |
| <i>N,N,N',N'</i> -Ethylenediaminetetraacetate ion* | [EDTA] <sup>4-</sup>  | Hexadentate  | See equation 6.75                        |




<sup>‡</sup>The older names (still in use) for 1,2-ethanediamine, 1,4,7-triazaheptane and 1,4,7,10-tetraazadecane are ethylenediamine, diethylenetriamine and triethylenetetramine.

\*Although not systematic by the IUPAC rules, this is the commonly accepted name for this anion.



**Fig. 6.12** This modelled structure of a complex  $[M(en)_3]^{n+}$  illustrates that the ligand en coordinates to give a puckered chelate ring. Colour code: M, green; N, blue; C, grey.

approximate (but not perfect) model for half of the ligand en. Equations 6.76–6.78 show equilibria for the displacement of pairs of  $\text{NH}_3$  ligands in  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{6-2n}(\text{NH}_3)_{2n}]^{2+}$  ( $n = 1, 2$  or 3) by en ligands. The  $\log K$  and  $\Delta G^\circ$  values refer to the equilibria at 298 K.




For each ligand displacement,  $\Delta G^\circ$  is negative and these data (or the values of  $\log K$ ) illustrate that the formation of each chelated complex is thermodynamically more favourable than the formation of the corresponding ammine complex. This phenomenon is called the *chelate effect* and is a general observation.

For a given metal ion, the thermodynamic stability of a chelated complex involving didentate or polydentate ligands is greater than that of a complex containing a corresponding number of comparable monodentate ligands. This is called the *chelate effect*.


The value of  $\Delta G^\circ$  for a reaction such as 6.78 gives a measure of the chelate effect and from the equation

$$\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ$$

we can see that the relative signs and magnitudes of the contributing  $\Delta H^\circ$  and  $T\Delta S^\circ$  terms are critical.<sup>†</sup> For reaction 6.78 at 298 K,  $\Delta H^\circ = -16.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta S^\circ = +121 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; the  $T\Delta S^\circ$  term is  $+36.1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Thus, both the negative  $\Delta H^\circ$  and positive  $T\Delta S^\circ$  terms contribute to the overall negative value of  $\Delta G^\circ$ . In this particular case, the  $T\Delta S^\circ$  term is larger than the  $\Delta H^\circ$  term. However, the mutual reinforcement of these two terms is *not* a general observation as the following examples illustrate. For reaction 6.79,  $\Delta G^\circ(298 \text{ K}) = -8.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . This favourable energy term arises from entropy and enthalpy contributions of  $T\Delta S^\circ = -8.8 \text{ kJ K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta H^\circ = -17.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , i.e. a favourable enthalpy term that more than compensates for the unfavourable entropy term.



where



In reaction 6.80, the enthalpy term is unfavourable, but is outweighed by a very favourable entropy term: at 298 K,  $\Delta H^\circ = +13.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta S^\circ = +218 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ,  $T\Delta S^\circ = +65.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta G = -51.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .





In order to examine the origins of the enthalpy and entropy contributions, we again consider reaction 6.78. It has been suggested that the enthalpy contribution to the chelate effect arises from several effects:


- a reduction in the electrostatic repulsion between the  $\delta^-$  donor atoms (or negatively charged donor atoms in the case of some ligands) on going from two monodentate ligands to one didentate ligand;
- desolvation effects involving the disruption of ligand- $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  hydrogen-bonded interactions upon complex formation – such hydrogen-bonded interactions will be greater for, for example,  $\text{NH}_3$  than for en;
- an inductive effect of the  $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$  bridges in didentate or polydentate ligands which increases the donor strength of the ligand with respect to a corresponding monodentate ligand, e.g. en versus  $\text{NH}_3$ .

The entropy contribution to the chelate effect is easier to visualize. In equations 6.81 and 6.82, two comparable reactions are shown.

<sup>†</sup> For more in-depth discussions of the chelate and macrocyclic effects, see: M. Gerloch and E.C. Constable (1994) *Transition Metal Chemistry: The Valence Shell in d-Block Chemistry*, VCH, Weinheim (Chapter 8); J. Burgess (1999) *Ions in Solution: Basic Principles of Chemical Interaction*, 2nd edn, Horwood Publishing, Westergate; L.F. Lindoy (1989) *The Chemistry of Macrocyclic Ligand Complexes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (Chapter 6); A.E. Martell, R.D. Hancock and R.J. Motekaitis (1994) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 133, p. 39.




In reaction 6.81, monodentate ligands are involved on both sides of the equation, and there is no change in the number of molecules or complex ions on going from reactants to products. However, in reaction 6.82 which involves didentate ligands replacing monodentate ligands, the number of species in solution increases on going from reactants to products and there is a corresponding increase in entropy ( $\Delta S$  is positive). Another way of looking at the entropy effect is illustrated in diagram 6.19. In forming a chelate ring, the probability of the metal ion attaching to the second donor atom is high because the ligand is already anchored to the metal centre. In contrast, the probability of the metal ion associating with a second monodentate ligand is much lower.




Entropy effects associated with desolvation of the ligands prior to complex formation also play a role.

So far, we have considered only the coordination of monodentate or acyclic polydentate ligands. A wealth of coordination chemistry involves *macrocyclic ligands* (see [Section 10.8](#)), which include the family of crown ethers (for example, 18-crown-6, **6.20**, and benzo-12-crown-4, **6.21**), and the encapsulating *cryptand ligands* (see [Figure 10.7](#)).




(6.20)




(6.21)

Complex stability is enhanced when a macrocyclic ligand replaces a comparable acyclic (open-chain) ligand. For

example, values of  $\log K_1$  for complexes **6.22** and **6.23** are 23.9 and 28.0 respectively, revealing the far greater thermodynamic stability of the macrocyclic complex.



(6.22)



(6.23)

It is not easy to generalize about the origins of the macrocyclic effect. In considering comparable open- and closed-chain complexes such as **6.22** and **6.23**, entropy factors tend, in most cases, to favour the formation of the macrocyclic complex. However, the enthalpy term does not always favour the macrocyclic complex, although the value of  $\Delta G^\circ$  (i.e. the ultimate arbiter) always favours the formation of the macrocycle. We shall consider the formation of macrocyclic compounds further in [Chapter 10](#).

### 6.13 Factors affecting the stabilities of complexes containing only monodentate ligands

Although there is no single generalization relating values of stability constants of complexes of *different cations* with the *same ligand*, a number of useful correlations exist, and in this section we explore some of the most important of them.

#### Ionic size and charge

The stabilities of complexes of the non-*d*-block metal ions of a given charge normally decrease with increasing cation size (the 'size' of the ion is in a crystallographic sense). Thus, for a complex with a given ligand, L, the order of stability is  $\text{Ca}^{2+} > \text{Sr}^{2+} > \text{Ba}^{2+}$ . Similar behaviour is found for the lanthanoid  $\text{M}^{3+}$  ions.

For ions of similar size, the stability of a complex with a specified ligand increases substantially as the ionic charge increases, e.g.  $\text{Li}^+ < \text{Mg}^{2+} < \text{Al}^{3+}$ .

For a metal with two (or more) oxidation states, the more highly charged ion is the smaller; the effects of size and charge reinforce each other, leading to greater stability for complexes involving the higher oxidation state metal ion.

## Hard and soft metal centres and ligands

When we consider the acceptor properties of metal ions towards ligands (i.e. Lewis acid–Lewis base interactions), two classes of metal ion can be identified, although the distinction between them is not clear-cut. Consider equilibria 6.83 and 6.84.






Table 6.8 gives stability constants for the complexes  $[\text{FeX}]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{HgX}]^{+}$  for different halide ions; while the stabilities of the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  complexes *decrease* in the order  $\text{F}^{-} > \text{Cl}^{-} > \text{Br}^{-}$ , those of the  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  complexes *increase* in the order  $\text{F}^{-} < \text{Cl}^{-} < \text{Br}^{-} < \text{I}^{-}$ . More generally, in examinations of stability constants by Ahrland, Chatt and Davies, and by Schwarzenbach, the same sequence as for  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  was observed for the lighter *s*- and *p*-block cations, other early *d*-block metal cations, and lanthanoid and actinoid metal cations. These cations were collectively termed *class (a) cations*. The same sequence as for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  complexes was observed for halide complexes of the later *d*-block metal ions, tellurium, polonium and thallium. These ions were collectively called *class (b) cations*. Similar patterns were found for other donor atoms: ligands with *O*- and *N*-donors form more stable complexes with class (a) cations, while those with *S*- and *P*-donors form more stable complexes with class (b) cations.

In an important development of these generalizations by Pearson, cations (Lewis acids) and ligands (Lewis bases) were classed as being either ‘hard’ or ‘soft’. The *principle of hard and soft acids and bases* (HSAB) is used to rationalize observed patterns in complex stability. In aqueous solution, complexes formed between *class (a)*, or *hard, metal ions* and ligands containing particular donor atoms exhibit trends in stabilities as follows:



In contrast, trends in stabilities for complexes formed between *class (b)*, or *soft, metal ions* and ligands containing these donor atoms are:




Table 6.8 illustrated these trends for halide ions with  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  (a hard metal ion) and  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  (a soft metal ion):



Similarly, ligands with hard *N*- or *O*-donor atoms form more stable complexes with light *s*- and *p*-block metal cations (e.g.  $\text{Na}^{+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Al}^{3+}$ ), early *d*-block metal cations (e.g.  $\text{Sc}^{3+}$ ,

**Table 6.8** Stability constants for the formation of  $\text{Fe}(\text{III})$  and  $\text{Hg}(\text{II})$  halides  $[\text{FeX}]^{2+}(\text{aq})$  and  $[\text{HgX}]^{+}(\text{aq})$ ; see equations 6.83 and 6.84.

| Metal ion                   | log $K_1$ |        |        |       |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|
|                             | X = F     | X = Cl | X = Br | X = I |
| $\text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{aq})$ | 6.0       | 1.4    | 0.5    | –     |
| $\text{Hg}^{2+}(\text{aq})$ | 1.0       | 6.7    | 8.9    | 12.9  |

$\text{Cr}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ) and *f*-block metal ions (e.g.  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Th}^{4+}$ ). On the other hand, ligands with soft *P*- or *S*-donors show a preference for heavier *p*-block metal ions (e.g.  $\text{Tl}^{3+}$ ) and later *d*-block metal ions (e.g.  $\text{Cu}^{+}$ ,  $\text{Ag}^{+}$ ,  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ).

Pearson’s classification of hard and soft acids comes from a consideration of a series of donor atoms placed in order of electronegativity:



A hard acid is one that forms the most stable complexes with ligands containing donor atoms from the left-hand end of the series. The reverse is true for a soft acid. This classification gives rise to the hard and soft acids listed in Table 6.9. A number of metal ions are classed as ‘borderline’ because they do not show preferences for ligands with particular donor atoms.

The terms ‘hard’ and ‘soft’ acids arise from a description of the polarizabilities (see [Section 5.13](#)) of the metal ions. Hard acids (Table 6.9) are typically either small monocations with a relatively high charge density or are highly charged, again with a high charge density. These ions are not very polarizable and show a preference for donor atoms that are also not very polarizable, e.g.  $\text{F}^{-}$ . Such ligands are called *hard bases*. Soft acids tend to be large monocations with a low charge density, e.g.  $\text{Ag}^{+}$ , and are very polarizable. They prefer to form coordinate bonds with donor atoms that are also highly polarizable, e.g.  $\text{I}^{-}$ . Such ligands are called *soft bases*. Table 6.9 lists a range of hard and soft ligands. Note the relationships between the classifications of the ligands and the relative electronegativities of the donor atoms in the series above.


*Hard acids* (hard metal cations) form more stable complexes with *hard bases* (hard ligands), while *soft acids* (soft metal cations) show a preference for *soft bases* (soft ligands).

The HSAB principle is qualitatively useful, but lacks a satisfactory quantitative basis. Pearson has pointed out that the hard–hard or soft–soft matching of acid and base represents a stabilization that is *additional* to other factors that contribute to the strength of the bonds between donor and acceptor. These factors include the sizes of the cation and donor atom, their charges, their electronegativities and the orbital overlap between them. There is another problem. Complex formation usually involves ligand substitution. In

**Table 6.9** Selected hard and soft metal centres (Lewis acids) and ligands (Lewis bases) and those that exhibit intermediate behaviour. Ligand abbreviations are defined in Table 6.7; R = alkyl and Ar = aryl.

|                 | Ligands (Lewis bases)  | Metal centres (Lewis acids)   |
|-----------------|--|---|
| Hard; class (a) | F <sup>-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> , H <sub>2</sub> O, ROH, R <sub>2</sub> O, [OH] <sup>-</sup> , [RO] <sup>-</sup> , [RCO <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> , [CO <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> , [NO <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> , [PO <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup> , [SO <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> , [ClO <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> , [ox] <sup>2-</sup> , NH <sub>3</sub> , RNH <sub>2</sub> | Li <sup>+</sup> , Na <sup>+</sup> , K <sup>+</sup> , Rb <sup>+</sup> , Be <sup>2+</sup> , Mg <sup>2+</sup> , Ca <sup>2+</sup> , Sr <sup>2+</sup> , Sn <sup>2+</sup> , Mn <sup>2+</sup> , Zn <sup>2+</sup> , Al <sup>3+</sup> , Ga <sup>3+</sup> , In <sup>3+</sup> , Sc <sup>3+</sup> , Cr <sup>3+</sup> , Fe <sup>3+</sup> , Co <sup>3+</sup> , Y <sup>3+</sup> , Th <sup>4+</sup> , Pu <sup>4+</sup> , Ti <sup>4+</sup> , Zr <sup>4+</sup> , [VO] <sup>2+</sup> , [VO <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>+</sup> |
| Soft; class (b) | I <sup>-</sup> , H <sup>-</sup> , R <sup>-</sup> , [CN] <sup>-</sup> (C-bound), CO (C-bound), RNC, RSH, R <sub>2</sub> S, [RS] <sup>-</sup> , [SCN] <sup>-</sup> (S-bound), R <sub>3</sub> P, R <sub>3</sub> As, R <sub>3</sub> Sb, alkenes, arenes  | Zero oxidation state metal centres, Tl <sup>+</sup> , Cu <sup>+</sup> , Ag <sup>+</sup> , Au <sup>+</sup> , [Hg <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>2+</sup> , Hg <sup>2+</sup> , Cd <sup>2+</sup> , Pd <sup>2+</sup> , Pt <sup>2+</sup> , Tl <sup>3+</sup>   |
| Intermediate    | Br <sup>-</sup> , [N <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> , py, [SCN] <sup>-</sup> (N-bound), ArNH <sub>2</sub> , [NO <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> , [SO <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup>  | Pb <sup>2+</sup> , Fe <sup>2+</sup> , Co <sup>2+</sup> , Ni <sup>2+</sup> , Cu <sup>2+</sup> , Os <sup>2+</sup> , Ru <sup>3+</sup> , Rh <sup>3+</sup> , Ir <sup>3+</sup>  |

aqueous solution, for example, ligands displace H<sub>2</sub>O and this is a *competitive* rather than simple combination reaction (equilibrium 6.85).



Suppose M<sup>2+</sup> is a hard acid. It is already associated with hard H<sub>2</sub>O ligands, i.e. there is a favourable hard–hard interaction. If L is a soft base, ligand substitution will not be favourable. If L is a hard base, there are several competing interactions to consider:

- aquated L possesses hard–hard L–OH<sub>2</sub> interactions;
- aquated M<sup>2+</sup> possesses hard–hard M<sup>2+</sup>–OH<sub>2</sub> interactions;
- the product complex will possess hard–hard M<sup>2+</sup>–L interactions.

Overall, it is observed that such reactions lead to only moderately stable complexes, and values of ΔH° for complex formation are close to zero.

Now consider the case where M<sup>2+</sup> in equation 6.85 is a soft acid. The competing interactions will be:

- aquated L possesses hard–hard L–OH<sub>2</sub> interactions;
- aquated M<sup>2+</sup> possesses soft–hard M<sup>2+</sup>–OH<sub>2</sub> interactions;
- the product complex will possess soft–soft M<sup>2+</sup>–L interactions.

In this case, experimental data indicate that stable complexes are formed with values of ΔH° for complex formation being large and negative.

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- self-ionization
- self-ionization constant of water, K<sub>w</sub>
- Brønsted acid

- Brønsted base
- conjugate acid and base pair
- molality (as distinct from molarity)
- standard state of a solute in solution
- activity
- acid dissociation constant, K<sub>a</sub>
- base dissociation constant, K<sub>b</sub>
- mono-, di- and polybasic acids
- stepwise dissociation (of an acid or base)
- Bell's rule
- Lewis base
- Lewis acid
- ion–dipole interaction
- hydration shell (of an ion)
- hexaaqua ion
- hydrolysis (of a hydrated cation)
- use of the prefix μ, μ<sub>3</sub> . . .
- polarization of a bond
- charge density of an ion
- amphoteric
- 'diagonal line' in the periodic table
- saturated solution
- solubility (of an ionic solid)
- sparingly soluble
- solubility product
- standard enthalpy (or Gibbs energy, or entropy) of hydration
- standard enthalpy (or Gibbs energy, or entropy) of solution
- common-ion effect
- gravimetric analysis
- solvent extraction
- stepwise stability constant (of a complex)
- overall stability constant (of a complex)
- ligand
- denticity (of a ligand)
- chelate
- chelate effect
- macrocyclic effect
- hard and soft cations (acids) and ligands (bases)

You should be able to give equations to relate the following quantities:

- pH and  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$
- $K_a$  and  $\text{p}K_a$
- $\text{p}K_a$  and  $\text{p}K_b$
- $K_a$  and  $K_b$
- $\Delta G^\circ$  and  $K$
- $\Delta G^\circ$ ,  $\Delta H^\circ$  and  $\Delta S^\circ$

## Further reading

### $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ : structure

- A.F. Goncharov, V.V. Struzhkin, M.S. Somayazulu, R.J. Hemley and H.K. Mao (1996) *Science*, vol. 273, p. 218 – An article entitled ‘Compression of ice at 210 gigapascals: Infrared evidence for a symmetric hydrogen-bonded phase’.
- A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – Chapter 15 includes a description of the various polymorphs of ice and illustrates the phase diagram of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .
- R. Ludwig (2001) *Angewandte Chemie, International Edition in English*, vol. 40, p. 1808 – A review of recent work on the structures of ice and water.

### Acid–base equilibria: review material

- C.E. Housecroft and E.C. Constable (2002) *Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Prentice Hall, Harlow – Chapter 15 includes acid–base equilibria in aqueous solutions, and reviews calculations involving pH,  $\text{p}K_a$  and  $\text{p}K_b$ .

### Ions in aqueous solution

- J. Burgess (1978) *Metal Ions in Solution*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester – A thorough treatment of most aspects of metal ions in both aqueous and non-aqueous media.
- J. Burgess (1999) *Ions in Solution: Basic Principles of Chemical Interaction*, 2nd edn, Horwood Publishing, Westergate – A

very readable introduction to the chemistry of ions in aqueous solution.

- W.E. Dasent (1984) *Inorganic Energetics*, 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge – Chapter 5 discusses in detail the energetics of salt dissolution in aqueous solution.
- D.A. Johnson (1982) *Some Thermodynamic Aspects of Inorganic Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge – Contains a useful discussion of the solubility of ionic salts in aqueous solution.
- Y. Marcus (1985) *Ion Solvation*, Wiley, New York – A detailed and thorough account of this subject.
- A.G. Sharpe (1990) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 67, p. 309 – A short review of the solvation of halide ions and its chemical significance.
- E.B. Smith (1982) *Basic Chemical Thermodynamics*, 3rd edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – Chapter 7 introduces the concept of activity in a very understandable fashion.

### Stability constants




- H.M.N.H. Irving (1994) ‘Stability constants and their determination’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 7, p. 3907 – A good account of stability constants at a level somewhat above the coverage in this book.
- A.E. Martell and R.J. Motekaitis (1988) *Determination and Use of Stability Constants*, VCH, New York – A detailed account of the experimental methods for the determination of stability constants, and an overview of their applications.
- Chemical Society Special Publication no. 17 (1964) *Stability Constants*, and Special Publication no. 25 (1971) *Stability Constants Supplement No. 1* – A useful source of data, but the uncritical inclusion of data from many different sources means the user must be cautious.

### Hardness and softness

- R.G. Pearson (1997) *Chemical Hardness*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – By the originator of the theory of chemical hardness, this book provides an account of its applications in chemistry.
- R.D. Hancock and A.E. Martell (1995) *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 42, p. 89 – A discussion of the implications of HSAB for metal ions in biology.

## Problems

- 6.1** The values of  $\text{p}K_a(1)$  and  $\text{p}K_a(2)$  for chromic acid ( $\text{H}_2\text{CrO}_4$ ) are 0.74 and 6.49 respectively. (a) Determine values of  $K_a$  for each dissociation step. (b) Write equations to represent the dissociation steps of chromic acid in aqueous solution.
- 6.2** Four  $\text{p}K_a$  values (1.0, 2.0, 7.0, 9.0) are tabulated for the acid  $\text{H}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$ . Write equations to show the dissociation steps in aqueous solution and assign, with reasoning, a  $\text{p}K_a$  value to each step.
- 6.3** The values of  $\text{p}K_a$  for  $\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$  and  $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$  are 4.75 and 0.23, both of which are very nearly independent of temperature. Suggest reasons for this difference.
- 6.4** (a) To what equilibria do the values of  $\text{p}K_a(1) = 10.71$  and  $\text{p}K_a(2) = 7.56$  for the conjugate acid of  $\text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2$  refer? (b) Calculate the corresponding values of  $\text{p}K_b$  and write equations to show the equilibria to which these values refer.
- 6.5** (a) Write equations to show how you expect compounds **6.24** to **6.28** to dissociate in aqueous solution. (b) Suggest how compound **6.29** will react with NaOH in aqueous solution. What salts would it be possible to isolate?



- 6.6** In aqueous solution, boric acid behaves as a weak acid ( $\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 9.1$ ) and the following equilibrium is established:
- $$\text{B}(\text{OH})_3(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) \rightleftharpoons [\text{B}(\text{OH})_4]^{-}(\text{aq}) + [\text{H}_3\text{O}]^{+}(\text{aq})$$
- (a) Draw the structures of  $\text{B}(\text{OH})_3$  and  $[\text{B}(\text{OH})_4]^{-}$ . (b) How would you classify the acidic behaviour of  $\text{B}(\text{OH})_3$ ? (c) The formula of boric acid may also be written as  $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$ ; compare the acidic behaviour of this acid with that of  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3$ .
- 6.7** When  $\text{NaCN}$  dissolves in water, the resulting solution is basic. Account for this observation given that  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  for  $\text{HCN}$  is 9.31.
- 6.8** Write equations to illustrate the amphoteric behaviour of  $[\text{HCO}_3]^{-}$  in aqueous solution.
- 6.9** Which of the following oxides are likely to be acidic, basic or amphoteric in aqueous solution: (a)  $\text{MgO}$ ; (b)  $\text{SnO}$ ; (c)  $\text{CO}_2$ ; (d)  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ ; (e)  $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3$ ; (f)  $\text{SO}_2$ ; (g)  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ; (h)  $\text{BeO}$ ?
- 6.10** Explain what is meant by the terms (a) saturated solution; (b) solubility; (c) sparingly soluble salt; (d) solubility product (solubility constant).
- 6.11** Write down expressions for  $K_{\text{sp}}$  for the following ionic salts: (a)  $\text{AgCl}$ ; (b)  $\text{CaCO}_3$ ; (c)  $\text{CaF}_2$ .
- 6.12** Using your answers to problem 6.11, write down expressions for the solubility (in  $\text{mol dm}^{-3}$ ) of (a)  $\text{AgCl}$ , (b)  $\text{CaCO}_3$  and (c)  $\text{CaF}_2$  in terms of  $K_{\text{sp}}$ .
- 6.13** Calculate the solubility of  $\text{BaSO}_4$  at 298 K in g per 100 g of water given that  $K_{\text{sp}} = 1.07 \times 10^{-10}$ .
- 6.14** Outline the changes that occur (a) to the salt, and (b) to the water molecules, when solid  $\text{NaF}$  dissolves in water. How do these changes affect (qualitatively) the entropy of the system?
- 6.15** (a) Using standard reduction potentials from Appendix 11, determine values of  $\Delta_{\text{r}}G^{\circ}(\text{K}^{+}, \text{aq})$  and  $\Delta_{\text{r}}G^{\circ}(\text{F}^{-}, \text{aq})$ . (b) Hence, find  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ}(\text{KF}, \text{s})$  at 298 K, if  $\Delta_{\text{r}}G^{\circ}(\text{KF}, \text{s}) = -537.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . (c) What does the value for  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^{\circ}(\text{KF}, \text{s})$  imply about the solubility of  $\text{KF}$  in water?
- 6.16** Using data from Appendix 11, and the value for the standard Gibbs energy of formation for  $\text{PbS}$  of  $-99 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , determine a value for  $K_{\text{sp}}$  for this salt.
- 6.17** (a) Discuss the factors that contribute towards  $\text{KCl}$  being a readily soluble salt (35 g per 100 g  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  at 298 K). (b) Develop your answer to part (a) by using the following data:  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^{\circ}(\text{K}^{+}, \text{g}) = -330 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ;  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^{\circ}(\text{Cl}^{-}, \text{g}) = -370 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ;  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^{\circ}(\text{KCl}, \text{s}) = -715 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .
- 6.18** Potassium chromate is used as an indicator in titrations for the determination of chloride ion. At the end point of a titration of an aqueous solution of a metal chloride salt (e.g.  $\text{NaCl}$ ) against silver nitrate solution in the presence of potassium chromate, red  $\text{Ag}_2\text{CrO}_4$  precipitates. Give equations for the pertinent reactions occurring during the titration, and, using relevant data from Table 6.4, explain how the indicator works.
- 6.19** The formation of a buffer solution is an example of the common-ion effect. Explain how a buffer works with reference to a solution containing acetic acid and sodium acetate.
- 6.20** Calculate the solubility (in g per 100 g  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) of  $\text{AgBr}$  ( $K_{\text{sp}} = 5.35 \times 10^{-13}$ ) (a) in aqueous solution and (b) in 0.5 M  $\text{KBr}$  solution.
- 6.21** Discuss the interpretation of the observation that magnesium oxide is more soluble in aqueous magnesium chloride than in pure water.
- 6.22** Soda-water is made by saturating  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with  $\text{CO}_2$ . If one titrates soda-water with alkali using phenolphthalein as indicator, one obtains a fading end-point. What does this suggest?
- 6.23** What explanation can you offer for the decrease in solubility of the alkaline earth metal sulfates in the sequence  $\text{CaSO}_4 > \text{SrSO}_4 > \text{BaSO}_4$ ?
- 6.24** Construct a thermochemical cycle for the decomposition of the phosphonium halides according to the equation:
- $$\text{PH}_4\text{X}(\text{s}) \rightleftharpoons \text{PH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{HX}(\text{g})$$
- and use it to account for the fact that the most stable phosphonium halide is the iodide.
- 6.25** (a) Give expressions to define the stepwise stability constants for equilibria 6.66 and 6.68. (b) For each of the complex ions formed in steps 6.66 and 6.68, give expressions to define the overall stability constants,  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_4$ .
- 6.26** A pH study using a glass electrode at 303 K for complex formation between  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  ions and  $[\text{acac}]^{-}$  (Table 6.7) in aqueous solution gives values of  $\log K_1$ ,  $\log K_2$  and  $\log K_3$  as 8.6, 7.9 and 5.8. (a) To what equilibria do these values refer? (b) Determine values for  $\Delta G^{\circ}_1(303 \text{ K})$ ,

$\Delta G_2^\circ(303\text{ K})$  and  $\Delta G_3^\circ(303\text{ K})$  and comment on the relative ease with which successive ligand displacement reactions occur.


- 6.27 How many chelate rings are present in each of the following complexes? Assume that all the donor atoms are involved in coordination. (a)  $[\text{Cu}(\text{trien})]^{2+}$ ; (b)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ ; (c)  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ ; (d)  $[\text{Co}(\text{dien})_2]^{3+}$ ; (e)  $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})]^+$ .

## Overview problems

- 6.28 Comment on the following observations.

(a) In its complexes, Co(III) forms strong bonds to *O*- and *N*-donor ligands, moderately strong bonds to *P*-donor ligands, but only weak bonds to *As*-donor ligands.

(b) The values of  $\log K$  for the reaction:



are 0.7 for  $\text{X} = \text{F}$ ,  $-0.2$  for  $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$ ,  $-0.6$  for  $\text{X} = \text{Br}$ , and  $-1.3$  for  $\text{X} = \text{I}$ .


(c) Phosphine adducts of Cr(III) halides can be prepared, but crystallographic studies reveal very long Cr–P bonds (e.g. 247 pm).

- 6.29 Suggest reasons for the following observations.

(a) Although Pd(II) complexes with monodentate *O*-donor ligands are not as plentiful as those with *P*-, *S*- and *As*-donor ligands, Pd(II) forms many stable complexes with didentate *O,O'*-donor ligands.


(b) EDTA<sup>4-</sup> forms very stable complexes with first row *d*-block metal ions  $\text{M}^{2+}$  (e.g.  $\log K = 18.62$  for the complex with  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ); where the  $\text{M}^{3+}$  ion is accessible, complexes between  $\text{M}^{3+}$  and EDTA<sup>4-</sup> are more stable than between the corresponding  $\text{M}^{2+}$  and EDTA<sup>4-</sup> (e.g.  $\log K$  for the complex with  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$  is 13.6, and for  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  is 23.4).

- 6.30 (a) Explain why water is described as being *amphoteric*.  
(b) Draw the structures of the conjugate acid of each of the following:




- (c) The value of  $K_{\text{sp}}$ (298 K) for  $\text{Ag}_2\text{CrO}_4$  is  $1.12 \times 10^{-12}$ . What mass of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{CrO}_4$  dissolves in 100 g of water?

- 6.31 (a) Comment on the fact that, of the group 1 cations,  $\text{Li}^+$  is the most strongly solvated in aqueous solution, even though the first coordination shell only contains four  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules compared with six for each of the later members of the group.  
(b) Suggest how ligand **6.30** coordinates to  $\text{Ru}^{2+}$  in the 6-coordinate complex  $[\text{Ru}(\mathbf{6.30})_2]^{2+}$ . How many chelate rings are formed in the complex?




(6.30)

- (c) For  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$ , the stability constant  $K \approx 10^{39}$  at 298 K. Write an equation that describes the process to which this constant refers, and calculate  $\Delta G^\circ(298\text{ K})$  for the process. Comment on the magnitude of the value you obtain. This cyanide complex is used in the extraction of gold from its ore using the reactions:



What processes are taking place in this extraction process?

- 6.32 *Iron overload* is a medical condition where the body cannot cope with abnormally high levels of iron in the system. *Chelation therapy* by administering desferrioxamine, **6.31**, is used to treat the problem. Suggest the origin of the name *chelation therapy*. What form should the iron be in for the therapy to be most effective? Suggest how the therapy works using compound **6.31**; donor sites in the ligand are marked with red arrows and the OH groups can be deprotonated.



(6.31)

# Chapter 7

## Reduction and oxidation

### TOPICS

- Redox reactions and oxidation states (an overview)
- Reduction potentials and Gibbs energy
- Disproportionation
- Potential diagrams
- Frost–Ebsworth diagrams
- The effect of complex formation or precipitation on  $M^{z+}/M$  reduction potentials
- Applications of redox reactions to industrial processes

### 7.1 Introduction

This chapter is concerned with equilibria involving oxidation and reduction processes. Firstly, we review concepts that will be familiar to most readers: definitions of oxidation and reduction, and the use of oxidation states (oxidation numbers).

#### Oxidation and reduction

The terms oxidation and reduction are applied in a number of different ways, and one must be prepared to be versatile in their uses.

*Oxidation* refers to gaining oxygen, losing hydrogen or losing one or more electrons. *Reduction* refers to losing oxygen, gaining hydrogen or gaining one or more electrons.

Oxidation and reduction steps complement one another, e.g. in reaction 7.1, magnesium is oxidized, while oxygen is reduced. Magnesium acts as the *reducing agent* or *reductant*, while  $O_2$  acts as the *oxidizing agent* or *oxidant*.



This reaction could be written in terms of the two half-reactions 7.2 and 7.3, but it is important to remember that neither reaction occurs in isolation.



*Redox* is an abbreviation for reduction–oxidation.

In an *electrolytic cell*, the passage of an electrical current initiates a redox reaction, e.g. in the Downs process (see [Section 8.12](#) and [Figure 10.1](#)) for the manufacture of Na and  $\text{Cl}_2$  (equation 7.4).



In a *galvanic cell*, a spontaneous redox reaction occurs and generates an electrical current (see [Section 7.2](#)).


Many reactions are more complicated than those shown above, and interpreting them in terms of oxidation and reduction steps requires care. The assignment of oxidation states (or oxidation numbers) facilitates this process.

#### Oxidation states

Oxidation states can be assigned to each atom of an element in a compound but *are a formalism*. We assume that readers of this book are already familiar with this concept, but practice is given in problems 7.1 and 7.2 at the end of the chapter. The oxidation state of an *element* is taken to be zero, irrespective of whether the element exists as atoms (e.g. Ne), molecules (e.g.  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{P}_4$ ) or an infinite lattice (e.g. Si). In addition, in the assignment of oxidation states to elements in a compound, any *homonuclear bond* is ignored. For example, in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , [7.1](#), the oxidation state of each O atom is  $-1$ .




(7.1)



**Fig. 7.1** A representation of the Daniell cell. In the left-hand cell,  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions are reduced to copper metal, and in the right-hand cell, zinc metal is oxidized to  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  ions. The cell diagram is written as:  $\text{Zn(s)}|\text{Zn}^{2+}(\text{aq})|\text{Cu}^{2+}(\text{aq})|\text{Cu(s)}$ .

An *oxidation* process is accompanied by an increase in the oxidation state of the element involved; conversely, a decrease in the oxidation state corresponds to a *reduction* step.

In reaction 7.5, the oxidation state of Cl in HCl is  $-1$ , and in  $\text{Cl}_2$  is  $0$ ; the change indicates an *oxidation* step. In  $\text{KMnO}_4$ , the oxidation state of Mn is  $+7$ , while in  $\text{MnCl}_2$  it is  $+2$ , i.e.  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  is *reduced* to  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ .



The *net change* in oxidation states involved in the oxidation and reduction steps in a given reaction *must balance*. In reaction 7.5:

- the net change in oxidation state for Mn =  $2 \times (-5) = -10$ ;
- the net change in oxidation state for Cl =  $10 \times (+1) = +10$ .

Although in some formulae, fractional oxidation states might be suggested, the IUPAC<sup>†</sup> recommends that such usage be avoided; e.g. in  $[\text{O}_2]^-$ , it is preferable to consider the group as a whole than to assign an oxidation state of  $-\frac{1}{2}$  to each O atom.

The *net change in oxidation states* for the oxidation and reduction steps in a given reaction must balance.

<sup>†</sup> IUPAC: *Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry (Recommendations 1990)*, ed. G.J. Leigh, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, p. 47.

## Stock nomenclature

Although we write the oxidation state of Mn in  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  as  $+7$ , this must not be taken to imply the presence of an  $\text{Mn}^{7+}$  ion (which, on electrostatic grounds, would be extremely unlikely). *Stock nomenclature* uses Roman numerals to indicate oxidation state, e.g.:

|  |                          |
|--|--------------------------|
| $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$                       | tetraoxomanganate(VII)   |
| $[\text{IO}_4]^-$                        | tetraoxoiodate(VII)      |
| $[\text{BrO}_3]^-$                       | trioxobromate(V)         |
| $[\text{ClF}_4]^-$                       | tetrafluorochlorate(III) |
| $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ | hexaaquacobalt(II)       |

This gives the oxidation state of the central atom without implying the presence of discrete, highly charged ions.

## 7.2 Standard reduction potentials, $E^\circ$ , and relationships between $E^\circ$ , $\Delta G^\circ$ and $K$

### Half-cells and galvanic cells

One type of simple electrochemical *half-cell* consists of a metal strip dipping into a solution of its ions, e.g. a Cu strip immersed in an aqueous solution of a Cu(II) salt. No chemical reaction occurs in such a half-cell, although an equation describing the half-cell refers (by convention) to the appropriate *reduction* process (equation 7.6). The reaction is written as an *equilibrium*.




When two such half-cells are combined in an electrical circuit, a redox reaction occurs *if* there is a potential difference between the half-cells. This is illustrated in Figure 7.1 by the

Daniell cell, in which a  $\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu}$  half-cell (equation 7.6) is combined with a  $\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}$  half-cell (equation 7.7).



The two solutions in the Daniell cell are connected by a *salt-bridge* (e.g. gelatine containing aqueous  $\text{KCl}$  or  $\text{KNO}_3$ ), which allows the passage of ions between the half-cells without allowing the  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  and  $\text{Zn}(\text{II})$  solutions to mix too quickly. When the Daniell cell is assembled, redox reaction 7.8 occurs *spontaneously*.



The Daniell cell is an example of a *galvanic cell*; in this type of electrochemical cell, *electrical work* is done by the system. The potential difference,  $E_{\text{cell}}$ , between the two half-cells can be measured (in volts, V) on a voltmeter in the circuit (Figure 7.1) and the value of  $E_{\text{cell}}$  is related to the change in Gibbs energy for the cell reaction. Equation 7.9 gives this relationship under standard conditions, where  $E^{\circ}_{\text{cell}}$  is the *standard cell potential*.

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -zFE^{\circ}_{\text{cell}} \quad (7.9)$$

where  $F$  = Faraday constant =  $96\,485\text{ C mol}^{-1}$ ;  $z$  = number of moles of electrons transferred *per mole of reaction*;  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  is in  $\text{J mol}^{-1}$ ;  $E^{\circ}_{\text{cell}}$  is in volts.

Standard conditions for an electrochemical cell are defined as follows:

- unit activity for *each* component in the cell (for *dilute* solutions, activity is approximated to concentration, see [Section 6.3](#));
- the pressure of any gaseous component is 1 bar ( $10^5\text{ Pa}$ );<sup>†</sup>
- a solid component is in its standard state;
- the temperature is 298 K.

The equilibrium constant,  $K$ , for the cell reaction is related to  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  by equation 7.10, and to  $E^{\circ}_{\text{cell}}$  by equation 7.11.

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -RT \ln K \quad (7.10)$$

$$\ln K = \frac{zFE^{\circ}_{\text{cell}}}{RT} \quad (7.11)$$

where  $R = 8.314\text{ J K}^{-1}\text{ mol}^{-1}$ .

For a cell reaction that is thermodynamically favourable:


- $E^{\circ}_{\text{cell}}$  is positive;
- $\Delta G^{\circ}$  is negative;
- $K > 1$ .

For  $z = 1$ , a value of  $E^{\circ}_{\text{cell}} = 0.6\text{ V}$  corresponds to a value of  $\Delta G^{\circ} \approx -60\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and  $K \approx 10^{10}$  at 298 K, i.e. this indicates a thermodynamically favourable cell reaction, one that will tend towards completion.

<sup>†</sup> The standard pressure is given in some tables of data as 1 atm (101 300 Pa), but at the level of accuracy of most tables, this makes no difference to the values of  $E^{\circ}$ .

### Worked example 7.1 The Daniell cell

The standard cell potential (at 298 K) for the Daniell cell is 1.10 V. Calculate the corresponding values of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  and  $K$  and comment on the thermodynamic viability of the cell reaction:



The equation needed is:

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -zFE^{\circ}_{\text{cell}}$$

and  $z$  is 2 for the cell reaction:



$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G^{\circ} &= -zFE^{\circ}_{\text{cell}} \\ &= -2 \times 96\,485 \times 1.10 \\ &= -212\,267\text{ J per mole of reaction} \\ &\approx -212\text{ kJ per mole of reaction} \end{aligned}$$

$$\ln K = -\frac{\Delta G^{\circ}}{RT} = -\frac{-212}{298 \times 8.314 \times 10^{-3}}$$

$$\ln K = 85.6$$

$$K = 1.45 \times 10^{37}$$

The large negative value of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  and a value of  $K$  which is  $\gg 1$  correspond to a thermodynamically favourable reaction, one which virtually goes to completion.

### Self-study exercises

1. For the Daniell cell,  $\log K = 37.2$ . Calculate  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for the cell. [Ans:  $-212\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]
2. The value of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for the Daniell cell is  $-212\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Calculate  $E^{\circ}_{\text{cell}}$ . [Ans: 1.10 V]
3. At 298 K,  $E^{\circ}_{\text{cell}}$  for the Daniell cell is 1.10 V. Determine the equilibrium ratio  $[\text{Cu}^{2+}]/[\text{Zn}^{2+}]$ . [Ans:  $6.9 \times 10^{-38}$ ]

It is possible to obtain values for  $E^{\circ}_{\text{cell}}$  *experimentally*, although it is usual in the laboratory to work with solutions of concentrations  $< 1\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , and thus measure values of  $E_{\text{cell}}$  (rather than *standard* cell potentials). Such values are dependent on solution concentration (strictly, activity), and  $E_{\text{cell}}$  and  $E^{\circ}_{\text{cell}}$  are related by the Nernst equation (see [equation 7.21](#)).<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>‡</sup> For an introduction to galvanic cells and the Nernst equation see: C.E. Housecroft and E.C. Constable (2002) *Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Prentice Hall, Harlow, Chapter 17; for a more detailed discussion, see: P. Atkins and J. de Paula (2002) *Atkins' Physical Chemistry*, 7th edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford, Chapter 10.

It is also possible to *calculate*  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$  (and the corresponding value of  $\Delta G^\circ$ ) using values of *standard reduction potentials* for half-cells, and this is the more routine method of evaluating the thermodynamic viability of redox reactions.

## Defining and using standard reduction potentials, $E^\circ$


Tabulated values of *standard reduction potentials*,  $E^\circ$ , refer to single electrodes. For example, for the half-cell reaction 7.6, the value of  $E^\circ_{\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu}} = +0.34 \text{ V}$ . However, it is impossible to measure the potential of an individual electrode and the universal practice is to express all such potentials relative to that of the *standard hydrogen electrode*. The latter consists of a platinum wire immersed in a solution of  $\text{H}^+$  ions at a concentration of  $1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  (strictly, unit activity) in equilibrium with  $\text{H}_2$  at 1 bar pressure (equation 7.12). This electrode is taken to have a standard reduction potential  $E^\circ = 0 \text{ V}$  at all temperatures.



Having defined this half-cell, it is now possible to combine it with another half-cell, measure  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$ , and, thus, to find  $E^\circ$  for the second half-cell. In order to obtain the correct sign (by convention) for the half-cell, equation 7.13 must be applied.

$$E^\circ_{\text{cell}} = [E^\circ_{\text{reduction process}}] - [E^\circ_{\text{oxidation process}}] \quad (7.13)$$

For example, if Zn metal is placed into dilute acid,  $\text{H}_2$  is evolved. Thus, when the standard hydrogen electrode is connected in a galvanic cell with a  $\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}$  electrode, reaction 7.14 is the spontaneous cell process.



The oxidation process is Zn going to  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , and the reduction process involves  $\text{H}^+$  ions being converted to  $\text{H}_2$ . For this cell, the *measured* value of  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$  is  $0.76 \text{ V}$ , and, thus,  $E^\circ_{\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}} = -0.76 \text{ V}$  (equation 7.15). Note that no sign need be included with  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$  because it is always positive for the spontaneous reaction.

$$E^\circ_{\text{cell}} = E^\circ_{2\text{H}^+/\text{H}_2} - E^\circ_{\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}}$$

$$0.76 = 0 - E^\circ_{\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}}$$

$$E^\circ_{\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}} = -0.76 \text{ V} \quad (7.15)$$

Selected values of standard reduction potentials are listed in Table 7.1 (see also [Appendix 11](#)). Most of these values have been obtained directly from potential difference measurements, but a few values have been calculated from data obtained by calorimetric methods. This latter technique is for systems that cannot be investigated in aqueous media because of solvent decomposition (e.g.  $\text{F}_2/2\text{F}^-$ ) or for which equilibrium is established only very slowly, such that the electrode is non-reversible (e.g.  $\text{O}_2, 4\text{H}^+/2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ). Table

7.1 is organized such that the half-cell with the most positive  $E^\circ$  is at the bottom of the table. The most powerful *oxidizing agent* among the oxidized species in Table 7.1 is  $\text{F}_2$ , i.e.  $\text{F}_2$  is readily reduced to  $\text{F}^-$  ions. Conversely, at the top of the table, Li is the most powerful *reducing agent*, i.e. Li is readily oxidized to  $\text{Li}^+$ .

The calculated value  $E^\circ = +1.23 \text{ V}$  for the  $\text{O}_2, 4\text{H}^+/2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  electrode implies that electrolysis of water using this applied potential difference at pH 0 should be possible. Even with a platinum electrode, however, no  $\text{O}_2$  is produced. The minimum potential for  $\text{O}_2$  evolution to occur is about  $1.8 \text{ V}$ . The excess potential required ( $\approx 0.6 \text{ V}$ ) is the *overpotential* of  $\text{O}_2$  on platinum. For electrolytic production of  $\text{H}_2$  at a Pt electrode, there is no overpotential. For other metals as electrodes, overpotentials are observed, e.g.  $0.8 \text{ V}$  for Hg. In general, the overpotential depends on the gas evolved, the electrode material and the current density. It may be thought of as the activation energy for conversion of the species discharged at the electrode into that liberated from the electrolytic cell, and an example is given in [worked example 16.3](#). Some metals do not liberate  $\text{H}_2$  from water or acids because of the overpotential of  $\text{H}_2$  on them.


### Worked example 7.2 Using standard reduction potentials to calculate $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$

The following two half-reactions correspond to two half-cells that are combined to form an electrochemical cell:




(a) What is the spontaneous cell reaction? (b) Calculate  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$ .

(a) First, look up values of  $E^\circ$  for the half-reactions.



The relative values show that, in aqueous solution under standard conditions,  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  is a more powerful oxidizing agent than  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ . The spontaneous cell reaction is therefore:



(b) The cell potential difference is the difference between the standard reduction potentials of the two half-cells:

$$\begin{aligned} E^\circ_{\text{cell}} &= [E^\circ_{\text{reduction process}}] - [E^\circ_{\text{oxidation process}}] \\ &= (+1.51) - (+0.77) \\ &= 0.74 \text{ V} \end{aligned}$$

**Table 7.1** Selected standard reduction potentials (at 298 K); further data are listed in Appendix 11. The concentration of each substance in aqueous solution is  $1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  and the pressure of a gaseous component is 1 bar ( $10^5 \text{ Pa}$ ). Note that where the half-cell contains  $[\text{OH}^-]$ , the value of  $E^\circ$  refers to  $[\text{OH}^-] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , and the notation  $E^\circ_{[\text{OH}^-]=1}$  should be used (see [Box 7.1](#)).

| Reduction half-equation   | $E^\circ$ or $E^\circ_{[\text{OH}^-]=1} / \text{V}$ |
|---|---|
| $\text{Li}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Li}(\text{s})$  | -3.04   |
| $\text{K}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{K}(\text{s})$  | -2.93   |
| $\text{Ca}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca}(\text{s})$  | -2.87   |
| $\text{Na}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Na}(\text{s})$  | -2.71   |
| $\text{Mg}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mg}(\text{s})$  | -2.37   |
| $\text{Al}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Al}(\text{s})$  | -1.66   |
| $\text{Mn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}(\text{s})$  | -1.19   |
| $\text{Zn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}(\text{s})$  | -0.76   |
| $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}(\text{s})$  | -0.44   |
| $\text{Cr}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cr}^{2+}(\text{aq})$   | -0.41   |
| $\text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}(\text{s})$  | -0.04   |
| $2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}, 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2(\text{g}, 1 \text{ bar})$  | 0   |
| $\text{Cu}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cu}^+(\text{aq})$  | +0.15   |
| $\text{AgCl}(\text{s}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ag}(\text{s}) + \text{Cl}^-(\text{aq})$  | +0.22   |
| $\text{Cu}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cu}(\text{s})$  | +0.34   |
| $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}(\text{aq})$   | +0.36   |
| $\text{O}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 4\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 4[\text{OH}^-](\text{aq})$   | +0.40   |
| $\text{I}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{I}^-(\text{aq})$   | +0.54   |
| $\text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq})$   | +0.77   |
| $\text{Ag}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ag}(\text{s})$  | +0.80   |
| $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}(\text{aq})^\ddagger$                                  | +1.03   |
| $\text{Br}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Br}^-(\text{aq})$   | +1.09   |
| $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}(\text{aq})^\ddagger$                                | +1.12   |
| $\text{O}_2(\text{g}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 4\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$  | +1.23   |
| $[\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 14\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 6\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Cr}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 7\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ | +1.33   |
| $\text{Cl}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Cl}^-(\text{aq})$   | +1.36   |
| $[\text{MnO}_4]^- (\text{aq}) + 8\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 5\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$              | +1.51   |
| $\text{Co}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Co}^{2+}(\text{aq})$   | +1.92   |
| $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_8]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}(\text{aq})$  | +2.01   |
| $\text{F}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{F}^-(\text{aq})$   | +2.87   |

$^\ddagger$ bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine; phen = 1,10-phenanthroline (see [Table 6.7](#))

### Self-study exercises

For these exercises, refer to Appendix 11 for data.

1. The following two half-cells are combined:



Calculate  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$ , and state whether the spontaneous reaction reduces  $\text{Ag}^+$ , or oxidizes  $\text{Ag}$ . [Ans. 1.56 V]

2. For the following cell reaction,



write down the two half-cells, and hence determine  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$ . [Ans. 0.46 V]

3. What is the spontaneous reaction if the following two half-cells are combined?



Determine a value of  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$  for the overall reaction.

[Ans. 0.97 V]

4. Write down the two half-cell reactions that combine to give the following overall reaction:




Calculate a value of  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$  for this reaction. [Ans. 2.37 V]

Although a positive value of  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$  indicates a spontaneous process, it is more revealing to consider the corresponding value of  $\Delta G^\circ$  (equation 7.9). The latter takes into account the number of electrons transferred during the reaction, as well as the magnitude and sign of the cell potential. For


## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 7.1 Notation for standard reduction potentials

In an electrochemical cell under standard conditions, the concentration of each substance in aqueous solution is  $1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ . Thus, in Table 7.1, each half-cell listed contains the specified solution species at a concentration of  $1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ . This leads to the reduction of  $\text{O}_2$  being represented by two half-reactions, depending upon the cell conditions:



$$E^\circ = +1.23 \text{ V when } [\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}, \text{ i.e. pH} = 0$$





$$E^\circ = +0.40 \text{ V when } [\text{OH}^-] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}, \text{ i.e. pH} = 14$$

Similar situations arise for other species in which the value of the electrode potential is pH-dependent. For clarity, therefore, we have adopted the following notation: for half-cells for which the electrode potential is pH-dependent,  $E^\circ$  refers to  $[\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  (pH = 0); for other pH values, the concentration of  $[\text{H}^+]$  or  $[\text{OH}^-]$  is specifically stated, for example,  $E_{[\text{H}^+]=0.1}$  or  $E_{[\text{OH}^-]=0.05}$ . For the case of  $[\text{OH}^-] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , this refers to standard conditions, and the notation used is  $E^\circ_{[\text{OH}^-]=1}$ .

example, to investigate the reaction between Fe and aqueous  $\text{Cl}_2$ , we consider redox couples 7.16–7.18.



These data indicate that either reaction 7.19 or 7.20 may occur.



The value of  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$  is positive for both reactions, and from their relative magnitudes, it might be thought that reaction 7.19 is favoured over reaction 7.20. **Caution is needed:** the true state of affairs is evident only by comparing values of  $\Delta G^\circ$ . For reaction 7.19 (where  $z = 2$ ),  $\Delta G^\circ = -347 \text{ kJ}$  per mole of reaction, while for reaction 7.20 ( $z = 6$ ),  $\Delta G^\circ = -810 \text{ kJ}$  per mole of reaction. *Per mole of Fe*, the values of  $\Delta G^\circ$  are  $-347$  and  $-405 \text{ kJ}$ , revealing that reaction 7.20 is thermodynamically favoured over reaction 7.19. This example shows how important it is to consider changes in Gibbs energy, rather than simply the cell potentials.

### Dependence of reduction potentials on cell conditions

The discussion above centred on *standard* reduction potentials (see [Box 7.1](#)). However, laboratory experiments seldom occur under standard cell conditions, and a change in conditions can cause a significant change in the ability of a reagent to act as a reducing or oxidizing agent.

Consider a  $\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}$  half-cell (at 298 K) in which  $[\text{Zn}^{2+}] = 0.10 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , i.e. *non-standard* conditions. The Nernst

equation (equation 7.21) shows how the reduction potential varies with the concentrations of the species present.

$$E = E^\circ - \left\{ \frac{RT}{zF} \times \left( \ln \frac{[\text{reduced form}]}{[\text{oxidized form}]} \right) \right\} \quad (7.21)^\dagger$$


#### Nernst equation

where  $R$  = molar gas constant =  $8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ;  $T$  = temperature in K;  $F$  = Faraday constant =  $96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1}$ ;  $z$  = number of electrons transferred.

Application of the Nernst equation to the  $\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}$  half-cell ( $E^\circ = -0.76 \text{ V}$ ) gives  $E = -0.79 \text{ V}$  for  $[\text{Zn}^{2+}] = 0.10 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  (equation 7.22); the concentration (strictly, activity) of Zn metal is taken to be unity. The more negative value of  $E$ , corresponding to a more positive value of  $\Delta G$ , signifies that it is more difficult to reduce  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  at the lower concentration.

$$\begin{aligned} E &= E^\circ - \left\{ \frac{RT}{zF} \times \left( \ln \frac{[\text{Zn}]}{[\text{Zn}^{2+}]} \right) \right\} \\ &= -0.76 - \left\{ \frac{8.314 \times 298}{2 \times 96485} \times \left( \ln \frac{1}{0.10} \right) \right\} \\ &= -0.79 \text{ V} \end{aligned} \quad (7.22)$$

Now consider the effect of pH ( $\text{pH} = -\log [\text{H}^+]$ ) on the oxidizing ability of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  in aqueous solution at 298 K. The crucial factor is that half-reaction 7.23 contains  $\text{H}^+$  ions.



<sup>†</sup> The Nernst equation can also be written in the form:

$$E = E^\circ - \left\{ \frac{RT}{zF} \times \ln Q \right\}$$

where  $Q$  (the quotient in equation 7.21) is the *reaction quotient*.

By applying the Nernst equation, we write equation 7.24, remembering that the concentration (strictly, activity) of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is, by convention, unity.

$$E = 1.51 - \left\{ \frac{8.314 \times 298}{5 \times 96485} \times \left( \ln \frac{[\text{Mn}^{2+}]}{[\text{MnO}_4^-][\text{H}^+]^8} \right) \right\} \quad (7.24)$$

In equation 7.24,  $E = E^\circ$  when  $[\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , and  $[\text{Mn}^{2+}] = [\text{MnO}_4^-] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ . As  $[\text{H}^+]$  increases (i.e. the pH of the solution is lowered), the value of  $E$  becomes more positive. The fact that the oxidizing power of  $[\text{MnO}_4^-]$  is lower in dilute acid than in concentrated acid explains why, for example,  $[\text{MnO}_4^-]$  will not oxidize  $\text{Cl}^-$  in neutral solution, but liberates  $\text{Cl}_2$  from concentrated  $\text{HCl}$ .

### Worked example 7.3 pH dependence of a reduction potential

Given that  $E^\circ$  for:



is  $+1.51 \text{ V}$ , calculate the reduction potential,  $E$ , in a solution of pH 2.5 and in which the ratio  $[\text{Mn}^{2+}]:[\text{MnO}_4^-] = 1:100$ .

First, determine  $[\text{H}^+]$  in a solution of pH 2.5:

$$\text{pH} = -\log[\text{H}^+]$$

$$[\text{H}^+] = 10^{-\text{pH}} = 10^{-2.5} = 3.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$$

Now apply the Nernst equation:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= E^\circ - \left\{ \frac{RT}{zF} \times \left( \ln \frac{[\text{Mn}^{2+}]}{[\text{MnO}_4^-][\text{H}^+]^8} \right) \right\} \\ &= +1.51 - \left\{ \frac{8.314 \times 298}{5 \times 96485} \times \left( \ln \frac{1}{100 \times (3.2 \times 10^{-3})^8} \right) \right\} \\ &= +1.30 \text{ V} \end{aligned}$$

### Self-study exercises

These questions all refer to the redox couple in the worked example.

1. Show that  $E = +1.25 \text{ V}$  when  $\text{pH} = 3.0$  and the ratio  $[\text{Mn}^{2+}]:[\text{MnO}_4^-] = 1:100$ .

2. For a ratio  $[\text{Mn}^{2+}]:[\text{MnO}_4^-] = 1000:1$ , what must the pH of the solution be to give a value of  $E = +1.45 \text{ V}$ ?


[Ans. 0.26]

3. For a ratio  $[\text{Mn}^{2+}]:[\text{MnO}_4^-] = 1:100$ , determine  $E$  in a solution of pH 1.8.



[Ans. 1.36 V]

The potentials for the reduction of water ( $[\text{H}^+] = 10^{-7} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) to  $\text{H}_2$ , and for the reduction of  $\text{O}_2$  to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (the reverse of the oxidation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to  $\text{O}_2$ ) are of particular

significance in aqueous solution chemistry. They provide general guidance (subject to the limitations of thermodynamic versus kinetic control) concerning the nature of chemical species that can exist under aqueous conditions. For reduction process 7.25,  $E^\circ = 0 \text{ V}$  (by definition).



If the pressure of  $\text{H}_2$  is maintained at 1 bar, application of the Nernst equation (equation 7.21) allows us to calculate  $E$  over a range of values of  $[\text{H}^+]$ . For neutral water (pH 7),  $E_{[\text{H}^+] = 10^{-7}} = -0.41 \text{ V}$ , and at pH 14,  $E_{[\text{OH}^-] = 1} = -0.83 \text{ V}$ . Whether or not the water (pH 7) or molar aqueous alkali (pH 14) is reduced by a species present in solution depends upon the reduction potential of that species relative to that of the  $2\text{H}^+/\text{H}_2$  couple. Bear in mind that we might be considering the reduction of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to  $\text{H}_2$  as a *competitive* process which could occur in preference to the desired reduction. The potential of  $-0.83 \text{ V}$  for the  $2\text{H}^+/\text{H}_2$  electrode in molar alkali is of limited importance in isolation. Many  $\text{M}^{2+}/\text{M}$  systems that should reduce water under these conditions are prevented from doing so by the formation of a coating of hydroxide or hydrated oxide. Others, which are less powerfully reducing, bring about reduction because they are modified by complex formation. An example is the formation of  $[\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$  in alkaline solution (equation 7.26). The value of  $E^\circ = -0.76 \text{ V}$  for the  $\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}$  half-cell (Table 7.1) applies *only* to *hydrated*  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  ions. When they are in the form of the stable hydroxo complex  $[\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$ ,  $E^\circ_{[\text{OH}^-] = 1} = -1.20 \text{ V}$  (equation 7.27).



Now consider the reduction of  $\text{O}_2$  to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , or the oxidation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to  $\text{O}_2$ , by a species present in the cell. Equation 7.28 gives the relevant half-reaction.



For a 1 bar pressure of  $\text{O}_2$  at 298 K, applying the Nernst equation shows that the half-cell potential becomes  $+0.82 \text{ V}$  in neutral water, and  $+0.40 \text{ V}$  in molar aqueous alkali. So, from a thermodynamic standpoint,  $\text{O}_2$  in the presence of water should oxidize any system with a reduction potential less positive than  $+1.23 \text{ V}$  at pH 0 (i.e.  $[\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ),  $+0.82 \text{ V}$  at pH 7 and  $+0.40 \text{ V}$  at pH 14. Conversely, any system with a half-cell potential more positive than  $+1.23 \text{ V}$  should, at pH 0, oxidize water to  $\text{O}_2$ , and so on.

We cannot emphasize enough that care has to be taken when considering such processes. Just as the half-cell potentials of the reduction processes considered above vary with experimental conditions, so too do the reduction potentials of other electrodes. It is essential to bear this in mind when using tables of  $E^\circ$  values which are *only* appropriate under *standard conditions*.


**Worked example 7.4 Oxidation of  $Cr^{2+}$  ions in  $O_2$ -free, acidic, aqueous solution**

**Explain why an acidic, aqueous solution of  $Cr^{2+}$  ions liberates  $H_2$  from solution (assume standard conditions). What will be the effect of raising the pH of the solution?**

First, write down the half-reactions that are relevant to the question:



The following redox reaction will occur:



In order to check its thermodynamic feasibility, calculate  $\Delta G^\circ$ .

$$E^\circ_{\text{cell}} = 0 - (-0.41) = 0.41 \text{ V}$$

At 298 K:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G^\circ &= -zFE^\circ_{\text{cell}} \\ &= -(2 \times 96485 \times 0.41) \\ &= -79.1 \times 10^3 \text{ J per mole of reaction} \\ &= -79.1 \text{ kJ per mole of reaction} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the reaction is thermodynamically favourable, indicating that aqueous  $Cr^{2+}$  ions are not stable in acidic (1 M), aqueous solution. [Note: In fact, this reaction is affected by kinetic factors and is quite slow.]

Raising the pH of the solution lowers the concentration of  $H^+$  ions. Let us (arbitrarily) consider a value of pH 3.0 with the ratio  $[Cr^{3+}]:[Cr^{2+}]$  remaining equal to 1. The  $2H^+/H_2$  electrode now has a new reduction potential.

$$\begin{aligned} E &= E^\circ - \left\{ \frac{RT}{zF} \times \left( \ln \frac{1}{[H^+]^2} \right) \right\} \\ &= 0 - \left\{ \frac{8.314 \times 298}{2 \times 96485} \times \left( \ln \frac{1}{(1 \times 10^{-3})^2} \right) \right\} \\ &= -0.18 \text{ V} \end{aligned}$$

Now we must consider the following combination of half-cells, taking  $Cr^{3+}/Cr^{2+}$  still to be under standard conditions:



$$E_{\text{cell}} = (-0.18) - (-0.41) = 0.23 \text{ V}$$

At 298 K:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G &= -zFE_{\text{cell}} \\ &= -(2 \times 96485 \times 0.23) \\ &= -44.4 \times 10^3 \text{ J per mole of reaction} \\ &= -44.4 \text{ kJ per mole of reaction} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, although the reaction still has a negative value of  $\Delta G$ , the increase in pH has made the oxidation of  $Cr^{2+}$  less thermodynamically favourable.


[Note: pH plays another important role: at pH values only a few units above zero, precipitation of hydroxides (particularly of  $Cr^{3+}$ ) will occur.]

**Self-study exercises**

1. Calculate  $E$  for the reduction of  $H^+$  to  $H_2$  at pH 2.0. Why is this not  $E^\circ$ ? [Ans.  $-0.12 \text{ V}$ ]

2. For the half-cell:  $O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightleftharpoons 2H_2O$   $E^\circ = +1.23 \text{ V}$ . Derive a relationship to show how  $E$  depends on pH at 298 K and  $P(O_2) = 1 \text{ bar}$ . Hence show that at pH 14,  $E = +0.41 \text{ V}$ .

3. Calculate  $\Delta G(298 \text{ K})$  for the reaction:



in a solution at pH 2.5 and in which  $[Cr^{2+}] = [Cr^{3+}] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ . ( $E^\circ_{Cr^{3+}/Cr^{2+}} = -0.41 \text{ V}$ .) [Ans.  $-50.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

**7.3 The effect of complex formation or precipitation on  $M^{z+}/M$  reduction potentials**

In the previous section, we saw that, in the presence of  $[OH]^-$ , the potential for the reduction of  $Zn^{2+}$  to  $Zn$  is significantly different from that of hydrated  $Zn^{2+}$ . In this section, we extend this discussion, and discuss how metal ions can be stabilized with respect to reduction by the formation of a precipitate or coordination complex.

**Half-cells involving silver halides**

Under standard conditions,  $Ag^+$  ions are reduced to  $Ag$  (equation 7.29), but if the concentration of  $Ag^+$  is lowered, application of the Nernst equation shows that the reduction potential becomes less positive (i.e.  $\Delta G$  is less negative). Consequently, reduction of  $Ag^+$  to  $Ag$  becomes less easy. In other words,  $Ag^+$  has been stabilized with respect to reduction (see [problem 7.10](#) at the end of the chapter).




In practice, a lower concentration of  $Ag^+$  ions can be achieved by dilution of the aqueous solution, but it can also be brought about by removal of  $Ag^+$  ions from solution by the formation of a stable complex or by precipitation of a sparingly soluble salt (see [Section 6.9](#)). Consider the formation of  $AgCl$  (equation 7.30) for which  $K_{sp} = 1.77 \times 10^{-10}$ .  $\Delta G^\circ$  can be found using equation 7.10.

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 7.2 Reference electrodes

Equation 7.31 shows the reduction reaction that occurs in the *silver chloride/silver electrode*, which is written in the form  $\text{Cl}^-(\text{aq})|\text{AgCl}|\text{Ag}$  (each vertical bar denotes a phase boundary). This is an example of a half-cell which is constructed by coating a wire of metal M with a solid salt (MX) and immersing this electrode in an aqueous solution containing  $\text{X}^-$  ions;  $[\text{X}^-]$  at unit activity  $\approx 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  for the standard electrode.




This electrode ( $E^\circ = +0.222 \text{ V}$ ) is used as a reference electrode, being much more convenient to handle in the laboratory than the standard hydrogen electrode; an electrode that requires a cylinder of  $\text{H}_2$  at 1 bar pressure is not ideal for routine experimental work! Other reduction

potentials may be quoted *with respect to the silver chloride/silver electrode*, and this effectively gives a scale of relative values on which the standard reduction potential for the reference electrode is set to 0 V.

Another reference electrode which is constructed in a similar manner is the *calomel electrode*,  $2\text{Cl}^-(\text{aq})|\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_2|2\text{Hg}$ . The half-cell reaction is:




The  $E^\circ$  value refers to standard conditions. If the calomel electrode is constructed using 1 M KCl solution, the cell potential,  $E$ , is +0.273 V at 298 K. In a *saturated calomel electrode (SCE)*, the  $\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{Hg}$  couple is in contact with a saturated aqueous solution of KCl and for this cell at 298 K,  $E = +0.242 \text{ V}$ . Reduction potentials that are measured ‘with respect to SCE = 0 V’ are therefore on a relative scale with this reference electrode set to 0 V. Values can be corrected so as to be with respect to the standard hydrogen electrode by adding 0.242 V. For example  $E^\circ_{\text{Ag}^+/\text{Ag}} = +0.568 \text{ V}$  with respect to the SCE, or  $E^\circ_{\text{Ag}^+/\text{Ag}} = +0.800 \text{ V}$  with respect to the standard hydrogen electrode. Clearly, the design of the saturated calomel electrode is not as straightforward as that of the  $\text{Cl}^-(\text{aq})|\text{AgCl}|\text{Ag}$  electrode. Mercury is a liquid at 298 K, and contact into an electrical circuit is made by means of a Pt wire which dips into the liquid Hg, itself surrounded by a coating of Hg(I) chloride (calomel). To ensure that the aqueous KCl solution remains saturated, excess KCl crystals are present.



$$\Delta G^\circ = +55.6 \text{ kJ per mole of AgCl}$$

Reduction of Ag(I) when it is in the form of solid AgCl occurs according to reaction 7.31, and the relationship between equilibria 7.29–7.31 allows us to find, by difference,  $\Delta G^\circ$  for reaction 7.31. This leads to a value of  $E^\circ = +0.22 \text{ V}$  for this half-cell (see [Box 7.2](#)).





$$\Delta G^\circ = -21.6 \text{ kJ per mole of AgCl}$$

The difference in values of  $E^\circ$  for half-reactions 7.29 and 7.31 indicates that it is less easy to reduce Ag(I) in the form of solid AgCl than as hydrated  $\text{Ag}^+$ .

Silver iodide ( $K_{\text{sp}} = 8.51 \times 10^{-17}$ ) is less soluble than AgCl in aqueous solution, and so reduction of Ag(I) in the form of solid AgI is thermodynamically less favourable than reduction of AgCl (see [problem 7.11](#) at the end of the chapter). However, AgI is much more soluble in aqueous KI than AgCl is in aqueous KCl solution. The species present in the iodide solution is the complex  $[\text{AgI}_3]^{2-}$ , the overall stability constant (see [Section 6.12](#)) for which is  $\approx 10^{14}$  (equation 7.32). Following a similar procedure to that detailed above, we can use this value to determine that the


half-cell corresponding to reduction process 7.33 has a value of  $E^\circ = -0.03 \text{ V}$ .



Again, Ag(I) has been stabilized with respect to reduction, but this time to a greater extent: the value of  $E^\circ$  indicates that Ag in the presence of  $[\text{AgI}_3]^{2-}$  and  $\text{I}^-$  (both  $1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) is as powerful a reducing agent as  $\text{H}_2$  in the presence of  $\text{H}^+$  (under standard conditions).

### Modifying the relative stabilities of different oxidation states of a metal




Just as we can ‘tune’ the reducing power of Ag by manipulation of the solution species or precipitates present, we can also alter the relative stabilities of two oxidation states of a metal, both of which are subject to removal by precipitation or complexation. As an example, consider the  $\text{Mn}^{3+}/\text{Mn}^{2+}$  couple, for which equation 7.34 is appropriate for aqua species.



## APPLICATIONS

## Box 7.3 Undersea steel structures: sacrificial anodes and cathodic protection

In Chapter 5, we discussed structural and manufacturing aspects of steel, and the fact that galvanized steel possesses a protective Zn coating. Uses of Zn-coated steel include ships' hulls, undersea pipelines and oil-rigs, i.e. structures that are in contact with seawater. In the presence of  $H_2O$ ,  $O_2$  and an electrolyte (e.g. seawater), steel is subject to corrosion. There is always the possibility that coated steel will be scratched, and that this surface imperfection will permit rusting of the iron beneath it to occur. The Zn coating, however, behaves as a *sacrificial anode*. The actual process of corrosion is not simple, but can be summarized as follows:




In the absence of Zn, Fe is oxidized and is precipitated in the form of  $Fe(OH)_2$ . If sufficient  $O_2$  is available, further oxidation results in the formation of the familiar

red-brown ('rust-coloured')  $Fe_2O_3 \cdot H_2O$  (see *Section 21.9*). For Zn-coated steel, a scratch in the Zn surface means that the oxidation of Zn or Fe is a competitive process. Determination of  $\Delta G^\circ$  for the possible redox processes shows that oxidation of Zn is thermodynamically more favourable than that of Fe, and so the corrosion (rusting) of the steel is inhibited. Furthermore, the  $Zn^{2+}$  ions precipitate as  $Zn(OH)_2$  ( $K_{sp} = 7 \times 10^{-17}$ ), forming a deposit around the scratched area providing further protection for the steel.

While the anodic oxidation of the zinc coating gives some protection to steel structures, the problems arising from the rusting of steel which is in prolonged contact with seawater are serious. A successful protective measure is to attach metal blocks to, for example, undersea pipelines, the metal being chosen so as to function as an anode in an electrochemical cell in which the seawater is the electrolyte and the Fe of the pipeline is forced to be the cathode. This method of protection (known as *cathodic protection*) is somewhat different from a zinc coating acting as a sacrificial anode. The metal blocks are typically of Mg or Zn, and gradually corrode as anodic oxidation occurs; the iron is never able to function as an anode (and so will not corrode), provided that the metal blocks are regularly renewed.





In alkaline solution, both metal ions are precipitated, but Mn(III) much more completely than Mn(II) since values of  $K_{sp}$  for  $Mn(OH)_3$  and  $Mn(OH)_2$  are  $\approx 10^{-36}$  and  $\approx 2 \times 10^{-13}$ , respectively. Precipitation has the effect of significantly changing the half-cell potential for the reduction of Mn(III). In solutions in which  $[OH^-] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , Mn(III) is stabilized with respect to reduction to Mn(II) as the value of  $E_{[OH^-]=1}^\circ$  for equation 7.35 illustrates.



## Worked example 7.5 Oxidation of Mn(II) to Mn(III)


Using data from equations 7.34 and 7.35, and from Table 7.1, explain why Mn(II) is not oxidized by  $O_2$  in solutions at pH 0, but is oxidized by  $O_2$  in solutions in which  $[OH^-] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .

First, find the half-equations that are relevant to the question; note that pH 0 corresponds to standard conditions in which  $[H^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .



From this table of reduction potentials (arranged with the most positive value at the bottom of the table), we can see that  $Mn^{3+}(aq)$  is the most powerful oxidizing agent of the species listed. Thus, under acidic conditions (pH 0),  $O_2$  cannot oxidize  $Mn^{2+}(aq)$ .

In alkaline medium with  $[OH^-] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $O_2$  is able to oxidize  $Mn(OH)_2$ :



$$E_{\text{cell}}^\circ = 0.40 - 0.18 \\ = 0.22 \text{ V}$$

$$\Delta G^\circ = -zFE_{\text{cell}}^\circ \\ = -(4 \times 96485 \times 0.22) \\ = -84907 \text{ J per mole of reaction} \\ \approx -85 \text{ kJ per mole of reaction}$$

or

$$\approx -21 \text{ kJ per mole of } Mn(OH)_2$$

The large negative value of  $\Delta G^\circ$  indicates that the oxidation of  $\text{Mn}(\text{OH})_2$  is thermodynamically favoured.

### Self-study exercises

1. Why is the notation  $E^\circ_{[\text{OH}^-]=1}$  used rather than  $E^\circ$  for the first two equilibria in the list above? [Ans. See Box 7.1]

2. For the reaction:



with  $[\text{OH}^-] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $\Delta G^\circ = -21.2 \text{ kJ per mole of Mn}(\text{OH})_2$ . Find  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$  for the reaction shown in the equation.

[Ans. 0.22 V]

3. Calculate  $\Delta G^\circ(298 \text{ K})$  per mole of  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  for the reaction:




[Ans.  $-30 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

4. Using the data from the worked example, comment briefly on the pH dependence of the stability of Mn(II) in aqueous solution.


Most *d*-block metals resemble Mn in that higher oxidation states are more stable (with respect to reduction) in alkaline rather than acidic solutions. This follows from the fact that the hydroxide of the metal in its higher oxidation state is much less soluble than the hydroxide of the metal in its lower oxidation state.

Analogous principles apply when metal ions in different oxidation states form complexes with the same ligand; usually, the metal ion in the higher oxidation state is stabilized to a greater extent than that in the lower oxidation state. Equations 7.36 and 7.37 show the reduction of hexaaqua and hexaammine complexes of Co(III); remember that  $\text{M}^{z+}(\text{aq})$  represents  $[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n]^{z+}(\text{aq})$  (see Section 6.12).



It follows from these data that the overall formation constant for  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  is  $\approx 10^{30}$  times greater than that for  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  as is shown below:

Let  $\beta_6$  be the formation constant for  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  and  $\beta_6'$  be the formation constant for  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ . A thermochemical cycle can be set up to relate  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , where  $\Delta G^\circ_1$  and  $\Delta G^\circ_2$  refer to complex formation, and  $\Delta G^\circ_3$  and  $\Delta G^\circ_4$  refer to redox reactions.



From the reduction potentials given in equations 7.36 and 7.37:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G^\circ_3 &= -zFE^\circ \\ &= -(1 \times 96485 \times 1.92 \times 10^{-3}) \\ &= -185 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G^\circ_4 &= -zFE^\circ \\ &= -(1 \times 96485 \times 0.11 \times 10^{-3}) \\ &= -11 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

By Hess's Law:

$$\Delta G^\circ_1 + \Delta G^\circ_4 = \Delta G^\circ_2 + \Delta G^\circ_3$$

$$\Delta G^\circ_1 - 11 = \Delta G^\circ_2 - 185$$

$$\Delta G^\circ_1 - \Delta G^\circ_2 = -174 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$-RT \ln \beta_6 - (-RT \ln \beta_6') = -174$$


$$-\ln \beta_6 + \ln \beta_6' = -\frac{174}{RT}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\ln \frac{\beta_6}{\beta_6'} &= -\frac{174}{RT} = -\frac{174}{8.314 \times 10^{-3} \times 298} \\ &= -70.2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\ln \frac{\beta_6}{\beta_6'} = 70.2$$

$$\frac{\beta_6}{\beta_6'} = e^{70.2} = 3.1 \times 10^{30}$$

A similar comparison can be made for the reduction of the hexaaqua ion of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and the cyano complex (equations 7.38 and 7.39), and leads to the conclusion that the overall formation constant for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  is  $\approx 10^7$  times greater than that of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  (see problem 7.13 at the end of the chapter).







Some organic ligands, notably 1,10-phenanthroline and 2,2'-bipyridine (Table 6.7), stabilize the lower of two oxidation states of a metal. This is apparent from the values of  $E^\circ$  for the appropriate half-reactions in Table 7.1. The observation is associated with the ability of the phen and bpy ligands to accept electrons.† Iron(II) complexes of bpy and phen are used as indicators in redox reactions. For example, in a redox titration of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  with powerful oxidizing agents, all  $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq})$  species are oxidized before  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  or  $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$ . The associated colour changes are red to pale blue for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  to  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$ , and orange-red to blue for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$  to  $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+}$ .

† For a full discussion, see: M. Gerloch and E.C. Constable (1994) *Transition Metal Chemistry: The Valence Shell in d-Block Chemistry*, VCH, Weinheim, p. 176–178.

## 7.4 Disproportionation reactions

### Disproportionation

Some redox reactions involve *disproportionation* (see [Section 5.16](#)), e.g. reactions 7.40 and 7.41.






Reaction 7.40 takes place when  $\text{Cu}_2\text{SO}_4$  (prepared by reacting  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  and dimethyl sulfate) is added to water, while reaction 7.41 occurs when acid is added to a solution of  $\text{K}_2\text{MnO}_4$ . Equilibrium constants for such disproportionation reactions can be calculated from reduction potentials as in worked example 7.6.

#### Worked example 7.6 Disproportionation of copper(I)

Using appropriate data from [Table 7.1](#), determine  $K$  (at 298 K) for the equilibrium:



Three redox couples in [Table 7.1](#) involve Cu(I), Cu(II) and Cu metal:



The disproportionation of Cu(I) is the result of combining half-reactions (1) and (3). Thus:

$$E^\circ_{\text{cell}} = 0.52 - 0.15 \\ = 0.37 \text{ V}$$

$$\Delta G^\circ = -zFE^\circ_{\text{cell}} \\ = -(1 \times 96485 \times 0.37 \times 10^{-3}) \\ = -35.7 \text{ kJ per mole of reaction}$$

$$\ln K = -\frac{\Delta G^\circ}{RT} \\ = \frac{35.7}{8.314 \times 10^{-3} \times 298}$$

$$K = 1.81 \times 10^6$$

The value indicates that disproportionation is thermodynamically favourable.

#### Self-study exercises





- For the disproportionation of Cu(I) to Cu and Cu(II),  $K(298 \text{ K}) = 1.81 \times 10^6$ . Calculate  $\Delta G^\circ$  for the reaction, per mole of Cu(I). [Ans.  $-17.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]
- By considering redox couples in [Appendix 11](#) which contain  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  and Cr metal, confirm that  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$  will *not* disproportionate into Cr and  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ .
- Using data from [Appendix 11](#), show that  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is unstable with respect to disproportionation into  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Calculate  $\Delta G^\circ(298 \text{ K})$  for the disproportionation of 1 mole of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ . [Ans.  $-104 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

### Stabilizing species against disproportionation


Species that are unstable with respect to disproportionation, such as  $\text{Cu}^+$  in aqueous solution, may be stabilized under appropriate conditions. For example,  $\text{Cu}^+$  can be stabilized by precipitation as a sparingly soluble salt such as  $\text{CuCl}$  ( $K_{\text{sp}} = 1.72 \times 10^{-7}$ ) or by the formation in solution of a complex ion such as  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})_4]^{3-}$ . In the case of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{2-}$  (equation 7.41), all that is necessary is to make the solution alkaline so as to remove the  $\text{H}^+$  ions involved in bringing about the disproportionation.

## 7.5 Potential diagrams

For an element exhibiting several different oxidation states in aqueous solution, we must consider a number of different half-reactions in order to obtain a clear picture of its solution chemistry. Consider manganese as an example; aqueous solution species may contain manganese in oxidation states ranging from Mn(II) to Mn(VII), and equations 7.42–7.46 give half-reactions for which standard reduction potentials can be determined experimentally.



These potentials may be used to derive values of  $E^\circ$  for other half-reactions such as 7.47, care being taken to remember that different numbers of electrons are involved in different reduction steps and, thus, to calculate  $E^\circ$  by first finding the corresponding value of  $\Delta G^\circ$ .




### Self-study exercise

Confirm that the value of  $E^\circ$  for half-equation 7.47 can be obtained from  $E^\circ$  values for half-reactions 7.44 and 7.45, but that the method of working must involve determination of  $\Delta G^\circ$  values for the reactions.

Standard reduction potentials are often tabulated as in Appendix 11, but it is also useful to present data in the form of a *potential diagram* (also known as Latimer diagrams) or Frost–Ebsworth diagram (see Section 7.6).

Figure 7.2 gives potential diagrams for Mn under conditions of  $[\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  (pH 0) and  $[\text{OH}^-] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  (pH 14). Reading from left to right, species are arranged in order of decreasing oxidation state of Mn. The  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  ion (usually in the form of  $\text{KMnO}_4$ ) is a common oxidizing agent, and equations 7.45 or 7.47 are the half-reactions that one would usually consider appropriate in acidic solution. The potential diagram (acidic solution) shows an intermediate Mn(VI) species between  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  and  $\text{MnO}_2$ . However, values of  $E^\circ$  show that the  $[\text{HMnO}_4]^-/\text{MnO}_2$  couple is a more powerful oxidant (more negative  $\Delta G^\circ$ ) than the  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-/[\text{HMnO}_4]^-$  couple. This means that  $[\text{HMnO}_4]^-$  will not accumulate during the reduction of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  to  $\text{MnO}_2$ . An alternative way of considering the instability of  $[\text{HMnO}_4]^-$  in aqueous solution at pH 0 is to



note from the potential diagram that  $[\text{HMnO}_4]^-$  is unstable with respect to disproportionation (equation 7.48).




This conclusion can be reached as follows. Extract from the complete potential diagram in Figure 7.2 the parts relevant to reduction and oxidation of  $[\text{HMnO}_4]^-$  in acidic solution:




This diagram corresponds to the two half-reactions:



Combining these two half-cells gives reaction 7.48 for which  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}} = 1.20 \text{ V}$  and  $\Delta G^\circ (298 \text{ K}) = -231 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . This indicates that reaction 7.48 is spontaneous. Similarly, at pH 0,  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  is unstable with respect to disproportionation to  $\text{MnO}_2$  and  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  (equation 7.49).



We saw in Section 7.2 that the value of the reduction potential for a half-reaction depends on cell conditions, and where the half-reaction involves  $\text{H}^+$  or  $[\text{OH}]^-$  ions, the reduction potential varies with pH. Moreover, the extent of variation depends on the number of moles of  $\text{H}^+$  or  $[\text{OH}]^-$  per mole of reaction. It follows that the potential diagrams in Figure 7.2 are appropriate *only* at the stated pH values; a new potential diagram is needed for every value of pH, and, therefore, *caution is needed* when using these diagrams.



**Fig. 7.2** Potential diagrams (Latimer diagrams) for manganese in aqueous solution at pH 0 (i.e.  $[\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ), and in aqueous solution at pH 14. For such diagrams, it is essential to specify the pH, and the reason is obvious from comparing the two diagrams.



For  $\text{Mn}^{3+}(\text{aq})$ , relative to  $\text{Mn}(0)$ :

$$-\frac{\Delta G^\circ}{F} = -(-1.54 + 2.38) = -0.84 \text{ V}$$

- For Mn(IV), the relevant species is  $\text{MnO}_2(\text{s})$ .  $E^\circ$  for the  $\text{MnO}_2/\text{Mn}^{3+}$  couple is  $+0.95 \text{ V}$ . For the reduction of  $\text{MnO}_2(\text{s})$  to  $\text{Mn}^{3+}(\text{aq})$ :

$$\Delta G^\circ = -zFE^\circ = -1 \times F \times 0.95 = -0.95F$$

For  $\text{MnO}_2(\text{s})$ , relative to  $\text{Mn}(0)$ :

$$-\frac{\Delta G^\circ}{F} = -(-0.95 - 1.54 + 2.38) = +0.11 \text{ V}$$

Similarly, values of  $-\Delta G^\circ/F$  for  $[\text{HMnO}_4]^-$  and  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  can be shown to be  $+4.31$  and  $5.21 \text{ V}$ , respectively.



When negative oxidation states are involved, care must be taken in plotting appropriate values of  $-\Delta G^\circ/F$ . All points on a Frost–Ebsworth diagram refer to stability with respect to  $-\Delta G^\circ/F = 0$  for the zero oxidation state of the element. Thus, for example, starting from  $E^\circ = +1.09 \text{ V}$  for the  $\frac{1}{2}\text{Br}_2/\text{Br}^-$  couple, a value of  $-\Delta G^\circ/F = +1.09 \text{ V}$  is calculated for the reduction of  $\frac{1}{2}\text{Br}_2$  to  $\text{Br}^-$ . For a Frost–Ebsworth diagram, we require a value of  $-\Delta G^\circ/F$  that corresponds to the process  $\text{Br}^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\text{Br}_2 + \text{e}^-$  and therefore the appropriate value of  $-\Delta G^\circ/F$  is  $-1.09 \text{ V}$ . This concept is further explored in [problem 7.22](#) at the end of the chapter.

## Interpretation of Frost–Ebsworth diagrams

Before looking at Figure 7.3a in detail, we must note some general points about Frost–Ebsworth diagrams. Firstly, Figure 7.3a and similar diagrams in this book *specifically refer to aqueous solution at pH 0*. For other conditions such as alkaline solution, a new diagram must be constructed for *each* pH value using relevant reduction potentials. Secondly, in Frost–Ebsworth diagrams in this text, the oxidation states are arranged in *increasing* order from left to right. However, some textbooks plot Frost–Ebsworth diagrams in the opposite direction and you should exercise caution when comparing diagrams from a range of data sources. Thirdly, it is usual to connect neighbouring points so that the Frost–Ebsworth diagram appears as a plot made up of linear sections. However, each point represents a chemical species and one can consider the relationship between *any pair* of points, not just neighbouring species. Finally, a Frost–Ebsworth plot provides information about the relative *thermodynamic* stabilities of various species; it says nothing about their kinetic stability.

Now let us use Figure 7.3a to investigate the relative thermodynamic stabilities of different manganese-containing species in aqueous solution with  $[\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .


- The lowest point in Figure 7.3a represents the most stable oxidation state of Mn in aqueous solution at pH 0, i.e. Mn(II).
- A move *downwards* on the plot represents a thermodynamically favoured process, e.g. at pH 0,  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  is



**Fig. 7.3** The Frost–Ebsworth diagram for manganese in aqueous solution at pH 0, i.e.  $[\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .

thermodynamically unstable with respect to all other species in Figure 7.3a.

- A species towards the top-right of the diagram is oxidizing, e.g.  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  is a strong oxidizing agent, stronger than  $[\text{HMnO}_4]^-$ .
- From the gradient of any line drawn between two points on the plot,  $E^\circ$  for the corresponding redox couple can be found. For example, the line between the points for  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Mn}(0)$  corresponds to the reduction process:



and  $E^\circ$  for this half-reaction is found as follows:

$$E^\circ = \frac{\text{Gradient of line}}{\text{Number of electrons transferred}} = \frac{-2.38}{2} = -1.19 \text{ V}$$

A *positive gradient* between two points indicates that  $E^\circ$  for the corresponding reduction process is positive, and


a *negative gradient* indicates that  $E^\circ$  for the reduction process is negative.

- Any state represented on a ‘convex’ point is thermodynamically unstable with respect to *disproportionation*. This is illustrated in Figure 7.3b where we focus on  $[\text{HMnO}_4]^-$ . It lies *above* a line drawn between two species with higher and lower oxidation states, namely  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  and  $\text{MnO}_2$  respectively.  $[\text{HMnO}_4]^-$  is unstable with respect to these species, as the reaction in Figure 7.3b shows. In Figure 7.3a,  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  also lies on a ‘convex’ point and is unstable with respect to Mn(IV) and Mn(II) (equation 7.49).
- Any state represented on a ‘concave’ point is thermodynamically stable with respect to disproportionation, e.g.  $\text{MnO}_2$  does not disproportionate.


Figure 7.4a shows a Frost diagram for chromium in aqueous solution at pH 0. Inspection of the diagram leads to the following conclusions about chromium species *under these solution conditions*:

- $E^\circ_{[\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7]^{2-}/\text{Cr}^{3+}}$  has a positive value, while  $E^\circ_{\text{Cr}^{3+}/\text{Cr}^{2+}}$  and  $E^\circ_{\text{Cr}^{3+}/\text{Cr}}$  are both negative;
- $[\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7]^{2-}$  is a powerful oxidizing agent and is reduced to  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ ;
- $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  is the most thermodynamically stable state;
- no species in the diagram shows a tendency towards disproportionation;
- $\text{Cr}^{2+}$  is reducing and is oxidized to  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ .


Figures 7.4b and 7.4c show potential diagrams for phosphorus and nitrogen in aqueous solution with  $[\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,



(a)



(b)



(c)

**Fig. 7.4** Frost–Ebsworth diagrams in aqueous solution at pH 0, i.e.  $[\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , for (a) chromium, (b) phosphorus and (c) nitrogen.

and these diagrams are the subject of worked example 7.8. We shall make more use of potential (Latimer) diagrams than Frost–Ebsworth diagrams in later chapters in this book, but the latter can readily be constructed from data given in a potential diagram (see [problem 7.22](#) at the end of the chapter).

### Worked example 7.8 Using Frost–Ebsworth diagrams

Use Figure 7.4b to say something about the relative stabilities of the different oxidation states of phosphorus in aqueous media at pH 0.

Initial analysis of the diagram leads to the following conclusions:


- the most thermodynamically stable state is  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  containing P(V);
- $\text{PH}_3$ , i.e. P(–III), is the least thermodynamically stable state;
- in aqueous solution at pH 0,  $\text{P}_4$  will disproportionate to  $\text{PH}_3$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$  (but see below);
- $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3$  is stable with respect to disproportionation;

By drawing lines between the points for  $\text{PH}_3$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3$ , and between  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ , you can see that  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$  is unstable with respect to disproportionation, either to  $\text{PH}_3$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3$ , or to  $\text{PH}_3$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ . This illustrates the fact that you should look beyond the lines that are already represented in a given Frost–Ebsworth diagram.

### Self-study exercises

Use Figures 7.4b and 7.4c to answer these questions; both diagrams refer to the same aqueous solution conditions.

1. On going from N to P, how does the thermodynamic stability of the +5 oxidation state alter?
2. What do the diagrams tell you about the thermodynamic stability of  $\text{N}_2$  and of  $\text{P}_4$  with respect to other N- or P-containing species?
3. Estimate values for  $E^\circ_{\text{N}_2/[\text{NH}_3\text{OH}]^+}$  and  $E^\circ_{[\text{NH}_3\text{OH}]^+/\text{[N}_2\text{H}_5]^+}$  and comment on the thermodynamic stability of  $[\text{NH}_3\text{OH}]^+$  in aqueous solution at pH 0.  
[Ans.  $\approx -1.8$  and  $+1.4$  V respectively]



**Fig. 7.5** The half-reaction for the reduction of  $\text{M}^{z+}$  ions to M, or  $\text{H}^+$  to  $\frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2$ , can be considered in terms of three contributing steps for which thermodynamic data may be determined independently.

4. Which of the following species will tend to disproportionate:  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , NO,  $\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{HNO}_2$ ?

[Ans.  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , NO,  $\text{HNO}_2$ ]

5. From Figure 7.4c, estimate  $\Delta G^\circ(298\text{ K})$  for the reduction process:





[Ans.  $\approx -480 \pm 10\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

## 7.7 The relationships between standard reduction potentials and some other quantities

### Factors influencing the magnitudes of standard reduction potentials

In this section, we first consider factors that influence the magnitude of  $E^\circ$  for the  $\text{Na}^+/\text{Na}$  and  $\text{Ag}^+/\text{Ag}$  couples, by correlating these values with those of other, independently determined thermodynamic quantities. This comparison allows us to investigate the reasons why, in aqueous media, Na is so much more reactive than Ag, and gives an example that can be extended to other pairs or families of species.

Whereas the standard reduction potential for half-reaction 7.51 is readily measurable in aqueous solution (see [Section 7.2](#)), that for half-reaction 7.50 must be determined by a rather elaborate set of experiments involving Na amalgam electrodes (amalgams, see [Box 22.3](#)).



We can represent the general half-equation for  $\text{M}^+$  reduction as taking place in steps as shown in Figure 7.5. Since all standard reduction potentials are measured with respect to the standard hydrogen electrode (for which, by convention,  $\Delta H^\circ$ ,  $\Delta G^\circ$  and  $\Delta S^\circ$  are all zero), we must also consider the second thermodynamic cycle (involving absolute values) in Figure 7.5. Table 7.2 lists values of  $\Delta H^\circ$  for steps in the cycles defined in Figure 7.5; in an exact treatment, we ought to consider values of  $\Delta G^\circ$ , but to a first approximation, we can ignore entropy changes (which largely cancel one another out in this case). From the

**Table 7.2** Factors determining the magnitude of the standard reduction potentials for the Na<sup>+</sup>/Na and Ag<sup>+</sup>/Ag couples in aqueous solution (pH 0); steps (1), (2) and (3) are defined in Figure 7.5.

| Redox couple                    | $\Delta H^\circ$ for step (1)<br>/kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> | $\Delta H^\circ$ for step (2)<br>/kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> | $\Delta H^\circ$ for step (3)<br>/kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> | Overall $\Delta H^\circ$<br>/kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> | Calculated $E^\circ$<br>/V ‡ |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|---|------------------------------|
| Na <sup>+</sup> /Na             | 404  | -492   | -108   | -196  | -2.52                        |
| H <sup>+</sup> /½H <sub>2</sub> | 1091   | -1312  | -218   | -439  | 0                            |
| Ag <sup>+</sup> /Ag             | 480  | -733   | -285   | -538  | +1.03                        |

‡Values of  $E^\circ$  are found by dividing by  $-zF$  ( $z = 1$ ), and scaling to give  $E^\circ(\text{H}^+/\frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2) = 0\text{ V}$ .

thermodynamic data, we derive calculated values of  $E^\circ$  and these are given in the right-hand column of Table 7.2. There is good agreement between these values and the experimental ones for half-reactions 7.50 and 7.51. The enthalpy changes for steps (2) and (3) are both negative, and this is a general result for all elements. The *sign* of  $E^\circ$  is determined by the extent to which  $\Delta H^\circ(1)$  offsets  $[\Delta H^\circ(2) + \Delta H^\circ(3)]$ .

Similar analyses for other metals can be carried out. For example, Cu and Zn are adjacent *d*-block metals, and it is interesting to investigate factors that contribute to the difference between  $E^\circ$  values for the Cu<sup>2+</sup>/Cu and Zn<sup>2+</sup>/Zn redox couples, and thus reveal how a balance of thermodynamic factors governs the spontaneous reaction that occurs in the Daniell cell (reaction 7.8). Table 7.3 lists relevant thermodynamic data; it is apparent that the crucial factor in making  $E^\circ_{\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu}}$  significantly more positive than  $E^\circ_{\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn}}$  is the greater enthalpy of atomization of Cu compared with that of Zn. Thus, what is often regarded as a purely ‘physical’ property plays a very important role in influencing chemical behaviour. Finally, if we were to consider factors influencing values of  $E^\circ$  for half-reaction 7.52, we would find that the variation in hydration enthalpies plays an important part (oxidizing power of halogens, see [Section 16.4](#)).



### Values of $\Delta_f G^\circ$ for aqueous ions

In Section 6.9, we saw that the standard free energies of formation of aqueous ions can often be determined from  $E^\circ$  values. Worked example 7.9 provides an illustration of the use of reduction potential data in a calculation of a standard Gibbs energy of solution of an ionic salt.

**Table 7.3** Factors determining the magnitude of the standard reduction potentials for the Cu<sup>2+</sup>/Cu and Zn<sup>2+</sup>/Zn couples in aqueous solution (pH 0); steps (1), (2) and (3) are defined in Figure 7.5.


| Redox couple                    | $\Delta H^\circ$ for step (1)<br>/kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> | $\Delta H^\circ$ for step (2)<br>/kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> | $\Delta H^\circ$ for step (3)<br>/kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> | Overall $\Delta H^\circ$<br>/kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> | Calculated $E^\circ$<br>/V ‡ |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|---|------------------------------|
| Zn <sup>2+</sup> /Zn            | 2047   | -2644  | -130   | -727  | -0.78                        |
| H <sup>+</sup> /½H <sub>2</sub> | 1091   | -1312  | -218   | -439  | 0                            |
| Cu <sup>2+</sup> /Cu            | 2099   | -2702  | -338   | -941  | +0.33                        |

‡Values of  $E^\circ$  are found by dividing by  $-zF$ , and scaling to give  $E^\circ(\text{H}^+/\frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2) = 0\text{ V}$ .

### Worked example 7.9 Determination of $\Delta_{\text{sol}} G^\circ$ for an ionic salt

Calculate the value of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} G^\circ(298\text{ K})$  for NaBr given that  $\Delta_f G^\circ(\text{NaBr}, \text{s})$  is  $-349.0\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . ( $F = 96\,485\text{ C mol}^{-1}$ .)



The process to be considered is:



and the equation needed is:

$$\Delta_{\text{sol}} G^\circ = \Delta_f G^\circ(\text{Na}^+, \text{aq}) + \Delta_f G^\circ(\text{Br}^-, \text{aq}) - \Delta_f G^\circ(\text{NaBr}, \text{s})$$

To find  $\Delta_f G^\circ(\text{Na}^+, \text{aq})$  and  $\Delta_f G^\circ(\text{Br}^-, \text{aq})$ , we need (from Appendix 11) the standard reduction potentials for the processes:



Now determine  $\Delta_f G^\circ$  for each aqueous ion, remembering that the standard reduction potential refers to the reverse of the formation of Na<sup>+</sup>(aq):

$$\Delta G^\circ = -zFE^\circ$$

$$-\Delta_f G^\circ(\text{Na}^+, \text{aq}) = -\frac{96\,485 \times (-2.71)}{1000} = 261.5\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\Delta_f G^\circ(\text{Br}^-, \text{aq}) = -\frac{96\,485 \times 1.09}{1000} = -105.2\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{sol}} G^\circ &= \Delta_f G^\circ(\text{Na}^+, \text{aq}) + \Delta_f G^\circ(\text{Br}^-, \text{aq}) - \Delta_f G^\circ(\text{NaBr}, \text{s}) \\ &= -261.5 + (-105.2) - (-349.0) \\ &= -17.7\text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

## Self-study exercises

See Appendix 11 for values of  $E^\circ$ .

1. Calculate the value of  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ(298\text{ K})$  for NaCl given that  $\Delta_fG^\circ(\text{NaCl}, \text{s})$  is  $-384.0\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . [Ans.  $-8.7\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

2.  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ(298\text{ K})$  for NaF =  $+7.9\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Determine  $\Delta_fG^\circ(\text{NaF}, \text{s})$  at 298 K. [Ans.  $-546.3\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

3. Given that  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G^\circ(298\text{ K})$  for KI is  $-9.9\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , calculate  $\Delta_fG^\circ(\text{KI}, \text{s})$  at 298 K. [Ans.  $-324.9\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

## 7.8 Applications of redox reactions to the extraction of elements from their ores

The Earth's environment is an oxidizing one and, in nature, many elements occur as oxides, sulfides or other compounds in which the element is in an oxidized form, e.g. tin occurs as *cassiterite* ( $\text{SnO}_2$ ), and lead as *galena* ( $\text{PbS}$ ). The extraction of these elements from their ores depends on redox chemistry. Heating cassiterite with carbon reduces Sn(IV) to Sn(0) (equation 7.53), and Pb is extracted from galena by reaction sequence 7.54.



Examples of this type are numerous, and similar extraction processes are described in [Box 5.1](#) and [Chapters 21](#) and [22](#).

### Ellingham diagrams

The choice of a reducing agent and the conditions for a particular extraction process can be assessed by using an *Ellingham diagram* such as that in Figure 7.6. This illustrates how  $\Delta_fG^\circ$  for a range of metal oxides and CO varies with temperature. In order that values are mutually comparable,  $\Delta_fG^\circ$  refers to the Gibbs energy of formation *per half-mole of O<sub>2</sub>*.<sup>†</sup> Thus for SrO,  $\Delta_fG^\circ$  refers to reaction 7.55, and for  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  it corresponds to reaction 7.56.




In Figure 7.6, each plot is either linear (e.g. NiO) or has two linear sections (e.g. ZnO); for the latter, there is a change in gradient at the melting point of the metal.

Three general results arise from Figure 7.6:

- as the temperature increases, each *metal* oxide becomes *less* thermodynamically stable (less negative  $\Delta_fG^\circ$ );

<sup>†</sup> Other data could have been plotted, e.g. values of  $\Delta_fG^\circ$  per mole of O<sub>2</sub>. *Consistency* is the keyword!



**Fig. 7.6** An Ellingham diagram showing how the standard free energies of formation,  $\Delta_fG^\circ$ , of several metal oxides and carbon monoxide (the red line) vary with temperature. Values of  $\Delta_fG^\circ$  refer to formation reactions involving a half-mole of O<sub>2</sub>:  $\text{M} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{MO}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}\text{M} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\text{MO}_2$ , or  $\frac{2}{3}\text{M} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}\text{M}_2\text{O}_3$ . The points marked  $\blacklozenge$  and  $\diamond$  are the melting and boiling points, respectively, of the elemental metal.

- CO becomes *more* thermodynamically stable at higher temperatures (more negative  $\Delta_fG^\circ$ );
- the *relative* stabilities of the oxides at any given temperature can be seen directly from an Ellingham diagram.

The third point indicates how an Ellingham diagram can be applied. For example, at 1000 K, CO is more thermodynamically stable than  $\text{SnO}_2$ , and carbon can be used at 1000 K to reduce  $\text{SnO}_2$  (equation 7.53). On the other hand, reduction of FeO by carbon occurs at  $T > 1000\text{ K}$ .

The second point has a very important consequence: among the metal oxides in Figure 7.6, the extraction of *any* of the metals from their respective oxides could involve carbon as the reducing agent. In fact at  $T > 1800\text{ K}$ , a greater range of metal oxides than in Figure 7.6 may be reduced by carbon. However, on an industrial scale, this method of obtaining a metal from its oxide is often not commercially viable. Alternative methods of extracting metals from their ores are described in later chapters in the book.

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- oxidation

- reduction
- oxidation state (oxidation number)
- half-reaction (half-equation)
- electrolytic cell
- galvanic cell
- standard conditions for a half-cell
- standard hydrogen electrode
- standard reduction potential,  $E^\circ$
- standard cell potential,  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$
- overpotential
- Nernst equation
- potential diagram (Latimer diagram)
- Frost–Ebsworth diagram
- Ellingham diagram

### Important thermodynamic equations

$$E^\circ_{\text{cell}} = [E^\circ_{\text{reduction process}}] - [E^\circ_{\text{oxidation process}}]$$

$$\Delta G^\circ = -zFE^\circ_{\text{cell}}$$

$$\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K$$

$$E = E^\circ - \left\{ \frac{RT}{zF} \times \left( \ln \frac{[\text{reduced form}]}{[\text{oxidized form}]} \right) \right\}$$

(Nernst equation)


### Further reading

- A.J. Bard, R. Parsons and J. Jordan (1985) *Standard Potentials in Aqueous Solution*, Marcel Dekker, New York – A critical compilation of values, the successor to Latimer's famous treatment of this subject.
- J. Burgess (1978) *Metal Ions in Solution*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester and Halsted Press, New York – A thorough treatment of most aspects of metal ions in both aqueous and non-aqueous solutions.
- J. Burgess (1999) *Ions in Solution: Basic Principles of Chemical Interaction*, 2nd edn, Horwood Publishing, Westergate – An excellent introduction to the properties of ions in aqueous solutions including treatment of the thermodynamics of redox reactions.
- R.G. Compton and G.H.W. Sanders (1996) *Electrode Potentials*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A useful introduction to electrochemical equilibria and electrochemical principles.
- D.A. Johnson (1982) *Some Thermodynamic Aspects of Inorganic Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge – Contains a very useful discussion of solubility and redox potentials.
- W.L. Jolly (1991) *Modern Inorganic Chemistry*, 2nd edn, McGraw-Hill, New York – Contains a treatment of redox potentials which complements that given in this chapter by discussing some systems involving non-metals.

### Problems

- 7.1** Give the oxidation state of each element in the following compounds and ions; Pauling electronegativity values in Appendix 7 may be useful: (a) CaO; (b) H<sub>2</sub>O; (c) HF; (d) FeCl<sub>2</sub>; (e) XeF<sub>6</sub>; (f) OsO<sub>4</sub>; (g) Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>; (h) [PO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>; (i) [PdCl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>; (j) [ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>; (k) [Cr(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>.
- 7.2** What oxidation state change does each *metal* undergo in the following reactions or half-reactions?
- (a) [Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> + 14H<sup>+</sup> + 6e<sup>-</sup> → 2Cr<sup>3+</sup> + 7H<sub>2</sub>O
- (b) 2K + 2H<sub>2</sub>O → 2KOH + H<sub>2</sub>
- (c) Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + 2Al  $\xrightarrow{\Delta}$  2Fe + Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>
- (d) [MnO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> + 2H<sub>2</sub>O + 3e<sup>-</sup> → MnO<sub>2</sub> + 4[OH]<sup>-</sup>
- 7.3** Which of the following reactions are redox reactions? In those that are, identify the oxidation and reduction processes.
- (a) N<sub>2</sub> + 3Mg  $\xrightarrow{\Delta}$  Mg<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>
- (b) N<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>2</sub> → 2NO
- (c) 2NO<sub>2</sub> → N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>
- (d) SbF<sub>3</sub> + F<sub>2</sub> → SbF<sub>5</sub>
- (e) 6HCl + As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> → 2AsCl<sub>3</sub> + 3H<sub>2</sub>O
- (f) 2CO + O<sub>2</sub> → 2CO<sub>2</sub>
- (g) MnO<sub>2</sub> + 4HCl → MnCl<sub>2</sub> + Cl<sub>2</sub> + 2H<sub>2</sub>O
- (h) [Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> + 2[OH]<sup>-</sup> ⇌ 2[CrO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> + H<sub>2</sub>O
- 7.4** In each redox reaction in problem 7.3, confirm that the net increases and decreases in oxidation states balance each other.
- 7.5** Using data from Table 7.1, write down the spontaneous cell process, and calculate  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$  and  $\Delta G^\circ$  for the following combinations of half-cells:
- (a) Ag<sup>+</sup>(aq) + e<sup>-</sup> ⇌ Ag(s)      with Zn<sup>2+</sup>(aq) + 2e<sup>-</sup> ⇌ Zn(s)
- (b) Br<sub>2</sub>(aq) + 2e<sup>-</sup> ⇌ 2Br<sup>-</sup>(aq)      with Cl<sub>2</sub>(aq) + 2e<sup>-</sup> ⇌ 2Cl<sup>-</sup>(aq)
- (c) [Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>(aq) + 14H<sup>+</sup>(aq) + 6e<sup>-</sup> ⇌ 2Cr<sup>3+</sup>(aq) + 7H<sub>2</sub>O(l)      with Fe<sup>3+</sup>(aq) + e<sup>-</sup> ⇌ Fe<sup>2+</sup>(aq)
- 7.6** Use the data in Appendix 11 to rationalize *quantitatively* why:
- (a) Mg liberates H<sub>2</sub> from dilute HCl, but Cu does not;
- (b) Br<sub>2</sub> liberates I<sub>2</sub> from aqueous KI solution, but does not liberate Cl<sub>2</sub> from aqueous KCl solution;
- (c) the role of Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions as an oxidizing agent is influenced by the presence of certain ligands in solution;
- (d) a method of growing Ag crystals is to immerse a zinc foil in an aqueous solution of AgNO<sub>3</sub>.

7.7 Consider the half-reaction:



If the ratio of concentrations of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^- : \text{Mn}^{2+}$  is 100:1, determine  $E$  at pH values of (a) 0.5; (b) 2.0; and (c) 3.5 ( $T = 298 \text{ K}$ ). Over this pH range, how does the ability of permanganate(VII) (when being reduced to  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ) to oxidize aqueous chloride, bromide or iodide ions change?


7.8 (a) Using appropriate data from Appendix 11, determine  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$  for the disproportionation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ . (b) Calculate  $\Delta G^\circ$  for this process. (c) Comment on the fact that  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  can be stored without significant decomposition, unless, for example, traces of  $\text{MnO}_2$ ,  $[\text{OH}]^-$  or iron metal are added.

7.9 Use the following experimental data to determine  $E^\circ_{\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu}}$ , and comment on the need (or not) to make use of *all* the data given.

|                                       |       |       |       |       |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $[\text{Cu}^{2+}]/\text{mol dm}^{-3}$ | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.050 |
| $E/\text{V}$                          | 0.252 | 0.272 | 0.281 | 0.302 |

7.10 (a) Calculate  $E_{\text{Ag}^+/\text{Ag}}$  for a half-cell in which the concentration of silver(I) ions is  $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  ( $T = 298 \text{ K}$ ). (b) Are silver(I) ions more or less easily reduced by zinc in this solution than under standard conditions? Quantify your answer in thermodynamic terms.


7.11 Given that  $K_{\text{sp}}$  for  $\text{AgI}$  is  $8.51 \times 10^{-17}$ , and  $E^\circ_{\text{Ag}^+/\text{Ag}} = +0.80 \text{ V}$ , calculate  $E^\circ$  for the reduction step:



and hence confirm the statement in Section 7.3 that reduction of silver(I) when in the form of solid  $\text{AgI}$  is thermodynamically less favourable than reduction of  $\text{AgCl}$ .


7.12 Using data from Table 7.1 and from Section 7.3, explain why  $\text{H}_2$  is evolved when powdered  $\text{Ag}$  is heated with a concentrated solution of  $\text{HI}$ .

7.13 Calculate the overall formation constant for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ , given that the overall formation constant for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  is  $\approx 10^{35}$ , and that:




7.14 Using data in Appendix 11, determine which of the following species is thermodynamically unstable with respect to disproportionation (and under what conditions) in aqueous solution: (a)  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ; (b)  $\text{Sn}^{2+}$ ; (c)  $[\text{ClO}_3]^-$ .

7.15 Determine  $\Delta G^\circ$  (298 K) for the reaction:



given the following data:




What does the value of  $\Delta G^\circ$  tell you about the tendency of precipitated  $\text{CuCl}$  to disproportionate?

7.16 Using appropriate data from equations 7.42 to 7.46, confirm the value of  $E^\circ$  given for equation 7.47.

7.17 Write balanced half-equations corresponding to the steps shown in the potential diagrams in Figure 7.2.


7.18 (a) Use data from [Appendix 11](#) to construct a potential diagram showing the redox chemistry of vanadium in aqueous solution at pH 0. (b) Use your diagram to establish whether any vanadium species is unstable with respect to disproportionation.

7.19 The following potential diagram summarizes the results of electrochemical studies of the aqueous solution (pH 0) chemistry of uranium:



Use the information to deduce as much as possible about the chemistry of uranium under these conditions.

7.20 The following potential diagram is part of that illustrating the redox chemistry of chlorine in aqueous solution at pH 0. (a) Calculate the value of  $E^\circ$  for the reduction of  $[\text{ClO}_3]^-$  to  $\text{HClO}_2$ . (b) Justify why, *in this case*, the value of  $E^\circ$  can simply be taken to be the mean of +1.15 and +1.28 V.



7.21 By constructing thermodynamic cycles analogous to those shown in Figure 7.5, discuss the factors that contribute to the trend in values of  $E^\circ$  for the group 1 metals Li to Cs. [ $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$ : see [Table 6.6](#).  $IE$  and  $\Delta_{\text{atom}}H^\circ$ : see [Appendices 8 and 10](#).]

7.22 Use the data in the potential diagram shown in Figure 7.7 to construct a Frost–Ebsworth diagram for chlorine. Hence show that  $\text{Cl}^-$  is the most thermodynamically favoured species of those in the diagram. Which species in the diagram is (a) the best oxidizing agent and (b) the best reducing agent?



Fig. 7.7 Potential diagram (Latimer diagram) for chlorine in aqueous solution at pH 0, i.e.  $[\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .



# Chapter 8


## Non-aqueous media

### TOPICS


- Relative permittivity
- Acid–base behaviour in non-aqueous solvents
- Liquid ammonia
- Liquid hydrogen fluoride
- Sulfuric acid
- Fluorosulfonic acid
- Bromine trifluoride
- Dinitrogen tetroxide
- Ionic liquids
- Supercritical fluids

### 8.1 Introduction

Although many inorganic reactions take place in aqueous solution, water is not always a suitable solvent; some reagents may react with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (e.g. the alkali metals) and non-polar molecules are insoluble in water. This chapter discusses *non-aqueous solvents*. The use of solvents other than water is commonplace for the organic chemist, and such compounds include dichloromethane, hexane, toluene and ethers such as diethyl ether, **8.1**, tetrahydrofuran, **8.2**, and diglyme, **8.3**.



These solvents are of significant use to the inorganic chemist, but also available are more exotic solvents such as liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , liquid  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{BrF}_3$  and liquid salts such as  $[\text{pyBu}][\text{AlCl}_4]$ , **8.4**.



We can conveniently place non-aqueous solvents into the following categories:

- protic solvents (e.g.  $\text{HF}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{MeOH}$ );
- aprotic solvents (e.g.  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ ,  $\text{BrF}_3$ );
- coordinating solvents (e.g.  $\text{MeCN}$ ,  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{Me}_2\text{CO}$ ).


A *protic solvent* undergoes *self-ionization* (see **Section 6.2**) to provide protons which are solvated. If it undergoes self-ionization, an *aprotic solvent* does so without the formation of protons.

As we discuss the properties and uses of some non-aqueous solvents, we must keep in mind that the extent to which non-aqueous solvents can be used is limited by the fact that many are highly reactive.

Quantitative data are scarce for non-aqueous media, and, in solvents of relative permittivity lower than that of water, data are difficult to interpret because of ion-association. Although we shall make some general observations in this chapter, no integrated treatment of inorganic chemistry in non-aqueous solvents is yet possible, and much of our discussion centres on the properties and uses of selected individual solvents.

### 8.2 Relative permittivity

Before beginning a discussion of non-aqueous solvents, we must define the *relative permittivity*, also referred to as the *dielectric constant*, of a substance. In a vacuum, the Coulombic potential energy of a system of two unit electronic charges is given by equation 8.1 where  $\epsilon_0$  is the (absolute)



**Fig. 8.1** Variation in the relative permittivity (dielectric constant) of water as a function of temperature.

permittivity of a vacuum ( $8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F m}^{-1}$ ),  $e$  is the charge on the electron ( $1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$ ) and  $r$  is the separation (in metres) between the point charges.

$$\text{Coulombic potential energy} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \quad (8.1)$$

If a material is placed between the charges, the force is reduced by an amount that depends upon the *relative permittivity* of the material. The new Coulombic potential energy is given by equation 8.2 where  $\epsilon_r$  is the relative permittivity of the material. Since it is a *relative* quantity,  $\epsilon_r$  is dimensionless.

$$\text{Coulombic potential energy} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_r r} \quad (8.2)$$

For example, at 298 K,  $\epsilon_r$  of water (the dielectric constant) is 78.7, but as Figure 8.1 shows,  $\epsilon_r$  varies with temperature. A value of 78.7 can be considered to be a ‘high’ value and from equation 8.2, we see that in aqueous solution, the force between two point charges (or two ions) is considerably reduced compared with that in a vacuum. Thus we can consider a dilute aqueous solution of a salt to contain well-separated, non-interacting ions.

Table 8.1 lists dielectric constants for water and a range of common organic solvents. The *absolute* permittivity

of a solvent is found using equation 8.3, but it is usual to discuss solvent properties in terms of the relative values.

$$\text{Absolute permittivity of a material} = \epsilon_0\epsilon_r \quad (8.3)$$

Table 8.1 also gives the dipole moment of each solvent. In general, the trend in values of dipole moments ( $\mu$ ) follows that in values of the relative permittivities for solvents having related structures. Ion–solvent interactions are favoured (e.g. to facilitate the dissolution of an ionic salt) by using a solvent with a large dipole moment, but for maximum effect, the solvent molecule should also be small, and both ends of it should be able to interact with the ions in the same way that water interacts with cations through the oxygen atoms (see [Figure 6.5](#)) and with anions through the hydrogen atoms. Thus, ammonia ( $\epsilon_r = 25.0$ ,  $\mu = 1.47 \text{ D}$ ) is a better solvent (see [Section 8.6](#)) for ionic salts than dimethylsulfoxide or nitromethane, even though these have  $\epsilon_r$  values of 46.7 and 35.9, and dipole moments of 3.96 and 3.46 D, respectively.

### 8.3 Energetics of ionic salt transfer from water to an organic solvent


In this section, we consider the changes in enthalpy and Gibbs energy that accompany the transfer of simple ions from water to some organic solvents of high relative permittivity. These data provide us with an idea of the *relative* abilities of water and these organic liquids to act as solvents with regard to the ions considered. Since most organic liquids are soluble in water to some extent, or are completely miscible with water, thermodynamic data for the dissolution of salts are usually obtained by considering the two solvents separately; data for the transfer of ions ( $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}G^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}H^\circ$ ) can be derived from the differences between the values corresponding to the dissolution processes in the two solvents. Our discussion centres on four organic solvents: methanol ([8.5](#)), formamide ([8.6](#)), *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF, [8.7](#))

**Table 8.1** Relative permittivity (dielectric constant) values at 298 K (unless otherwise stated) for selected organic solvents.

| Solvent                             | Formula <sup>‡</sup>   | Relative permittivity, $\epsilon_r$ | Dipole moment, $\mu$ / debye |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Formamide                           | HC(O)NH <sub>2</sub>   | 109 (293 K)                         | 3.73                         |
| Water                               | H <sub>2</sub> O   | 78.7                                | 1.85                         |
| Acetonitrile                        | MeCN   | 37.5 (293 K)                        | 3.92                         |
| <i>N,N</i> -Dimethylformamide (DMF) | HC(O)NMe <sub>2</sub>  | 36.7                                | 3.86                         |
| Nitromethane                        | MeNO <sub>2</sub>  | 35.9 (303 K)                        | 3.46                         |
| Methanol                            | MeOH   | 32.7                                | 1.70                         |
| Ethanol                             | EtOH   | 24.3                                | 1.69                         |
| Dichloromethane                     | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>                                  | 9.1 (293 K)                         | 1.60                         |
| Tetrahydrofuran                     | C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> O (structure <a href="#">8.2</a> ) | 7.6                                 | 1.75                         |
| Diethyl ether                       | Et <sub>2</sub> O  | 4.3 (293 K)                         | 1.15                         |
| Benzene                             | C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>                                    | 2.3                                 | 0                            |

<sup>‡</sup> Me = methyl; Et = ethyl.


and acetonitrile (8.8), relative permittivities and dipole moments for which are listed in Table 8.1.



In an analogous approach to that discussed in Section 6.9, we can make the assumption that very large ions such as  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}]^+$  and  $[\text{BPh}_4]^-$  have the same values of  $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}G^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}H^\circ$ . By considering a series of  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}]\text{X}$  and  $\text{M}[\text{BPh}_4]$  salts (in conjunction with  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}][\text{BPh}_4]$ ), it is possible to obtain the thermodynamic data given in Table 8.2, where  $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}G^\circ$  refer to the transfer of the specified ion from water to the organic solvent. A positive value of  $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}G^\circ$  indicates an unfavourable transfer, while a negative value corresponds to a favourable process.

The data in Table 8.2 show that the large, non-polar  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}]^+$  and  $[\text{BPh}_4]^-$  ions are more solvated in each organic solvent than in water; enthalpy and entropy effects both contribute in the same direction. Alkali metal ions exhibit no simple pattern of behaviour, although in each solvent, values of  $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}G^\circ$  are less positive for the alkali metal ions than for the halide ions. For the halide ions, transfer from water to the organic media is thermodynamically unfavourable, but we can go further than this generalization. Methanol and formamide are capable of forming hydrogen bonds between the H atoms of the OH or  $\text{NH}_2$  groups and the halide ions in solution; MeCN and DMF do not possess this capability. Not only are the values of  $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}G^\circ$  for the halide ion significantly more positive for MeCN and DMF than for MeOH and formamide, but the variation in values among the halide ions is much greater. We may conclude that halide ions (and  $\text{F}^-$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  in particular) are much less strongly solvated in solvents in which hydrogen bonding is not

possible than in those in which hydrogen-bonded interactions can form (this, of course, includes water). This difference is the origin of the solvent dependence of reactions involving halide ions. A well-known example is the bimolecular reaction 8.4, for which the rate increases from  $\text{X} = \text{F}$  to  $\text{I}$  in aqueous solution, but decreases in *N,N*-dimethylformamide.




Fluoride ion in solvents with which it is not able to form hydrogen bonds is sometimes described as ‘naked’, but this term is misleading; in DMF, it still has a Gibbs energy of solvation of about  $-400 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  ( $\approx 60 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  less negative than in water) and so is still very much less reactive than in the gas phase.


## 8.4 Acid–base behaviour in non-aqueous solvents

### Strengths of acids and bases

When we dealt with acid–base behaviour in aqueous solution in Chapter 6, we saw that the strength of an acid  $\text{HX}$  (equation 8.5) depended upon the relative proton donor abilities of  $\text{HX}$  and  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$ .



Similarly, the strength of a base,  $\text{B}$ , in aqueous solution depends upon the relative proton accepting abilities of  $\text{B}$  and  $[\text{OH}]^-$  (equation 8.6).



Tabulated values of  $K_a$  (or  $K_b$ ) generally refer to the ionizations of acids in *aqueous solution*, and in stating that ‘ $\text{HCl}$  is a strong acid’, we assume an aqueous medium. However, if  $\text{HCl}$  is dissolved in acetic acid, the extent of ionization is far less than in water and  $\text{HCl}$  behaves as a weak acid.

**Table 8.2** Values of  $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}G^\circ$  for the transfer of ions from water to an organic solvent.

| Ion   | Methanol  |   | Formamide   |   | <i>N,N</i> -Dimethylformamide                               |   | Acetonitrile  |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|   | $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}H^\circ$<br>/ $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta_{\text{transfer}}G^\circ$<br>/ $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ |
| $\text{F}^-$                                    | 12  | 20  | 20  | 25  | –   | $\approx 60$  | –   | 71  |
| $\text{Cl}^-$                                   | 8   | 13  | 4   | 14  | 18  | 48  | 19  | 42  |
| $\text{Br}^-$                                   | 4   | 11  | –1  | 11  | 1   | 36  | 8   | 31  |
| $\text{I}^-$                                    | –2  | 7   | –7  | 7   | –15   | 20  | –8  | 17  |
| $\text{Li}^+$                                   | –22   | 4   | –6  | –10   | –25   | –10   | –   | 25  |
| $\text{Na}^+$                                   | –20   | 8   | –16   | –8  | –32   | –10   | –13   | 15  |
| $\text{K}^+$                                    | –19   | 10  | –18   | –4  | –36   | –10   | –23   | 8   |
| $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}]^+$ , $[\text{BPh}_4]^-$ | –2  | –23   | –1  | –24   | –17   | –38   | –10   | –33   |


## Levelling and differentiating effects

Non-aqueous solvents that are good proton acceptors (e.g.  $\text{NH}_3$ ) encourage acids to ionize in them; thus, in a *basic solvent*, all acids are strong. The solvent is said to exhibit a *levelling effect* on the acid, since the strength of the dissolved acid cannot exceed that of the protonated solvent. For example, in aqueous solution, no acidic species can exist that is a stronger acid than  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$ . In an acidic solvent (e.g.  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ), ionization of bases is facilitated; most acids are relatively weak under these conditions, and some even ionize as bases.

We noted above that  $\text{HCl}$ , when dissolved in acetic acid, behaves as a weak acid. Hydrogen bromide and iodide behave similarly but the *extent of ionization* of the three hydrogen halides varies along the series:  $\text{HI} > \text{HBr} > \text{HCl}$ . This contrasts with the fact that all three compounds are classed as strong acids (i.e. fully ionized) in aqueous solution. Thus, acetic acid exerts a *differentiating effect* on the acidic behaviour of  $\text{HCl}$ ,  $\text{HBr}$  and  $\text{HI}$ , whereas water does not.

## 'Acids' in acidic solvents

The effects of dissolving 'acids' in acidic non-aqueous solvents can be dramatic. When dissolved in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{HClO}_4$  (for which  $\text{p}K_a$  in aqueous solution is  $-8$ ) is practically non-ionized and  $\text{HNO}_3$  ionizes according to equation 8.7.



Reaction 8.7 can be regarded as the summation of equilibria 8.8–8.10, and it is the presence of the nitryl ion,<sup>†</sup>  $[\text{NO}_2]^+$ , that is responsible for the use of an  $\text{HNO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  mixture in the nitration of aromatic compounds.



These examples signify caution: *just because we name a compound an 'acid', it may not behave as one in non-aqueous media*. Later we consider superacid media in which even hydrocarbons may be protonated (see [Section 8.9](#)).

## Acids and bases: a solvent-oriented definition

A Brønsted acid is a proton donor, and a Brønsted base accepts protons. In aqueous solution,  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$  is formed and in bulk water, self-ionization corresponds to the transfer of a proton from one solvent molecule to another (equation 8.11) illustrating amphoteric behaviour (see [Section 6.8](#)).



In liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  (see [Section 8.6](#)), proton transfer leads to the formation of  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  (equation 8.12), and, in a liquid ammonia solution, an acid may be described as a substance

that produces  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  ions, while a base produces  $[\text{NH}_2]^-$  ions.



This solvent-oriented definition can be widened to include behaviour in any solvent which undergoes self-ionization.

In a *self-ionizing solvent*, an acid is a substance that produces the cation characteristic of the solvent, and a base is a substance that produces the anion characteristic of the solvent.

Liquid dinitrogen tetroxide,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ , undergoes the self-ionization shown in equation 8.13. In this medium, nitrosyl salts such as  $[\text{NO}][\text{ClO}_4]$  behave as acids, and metal nitrates (e.g.  $\text{NaNO}_3$ ) behave as bases.



In some ways, this acid–base terminology is unfortunate, since there are other, more common descriptors (e.g. Brønsted, Lewis, hard and soft). However, the terminology has been helpful in suggesting lines of research for the study of non-aqueous systems, and its use will probably continue.

## 8.5 Self-ionizing and non-ionizing non-aqueous solvents

In the sections that follow, we shall consider selected inorganic non-aqueous solvents in some detail. The solvents chosen for discussion are all self-ionizing and can be divided into two categories:

- proton containing ( $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{HF}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{HOSO}_2\text{F}$ );
- aprotic ( $\text{BrF}_3$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ ).

One notable exception to the solvents we shall study is liquid  $\text{SO}_2$ . The solvent-based definition of acids and bases described above was first put forward for  $\text{SO}_2$ , for which the self-ionization process 8.14 was proposed.



Unlike other self-ionization equilibria that we shall discuss, reaction 8.14 requires the separation of doubly charged ions, and on these grounds alone, the establishment of this equilibrium must be considered improbable. Its viability is also questioned by the fact that thionyl chloride,  $\text{SOCl}_2$  (the only reported acid in the solvent), does *not* exchange  $^{35}\text{S}$  or  $^{18}\text{O}$  with the liquid  $\text{SO}_2$  solvent. Selected properties of  $\text{SO}_2$  are given in Table 8.3, and its liquid range is compared with those of other solvents in Figure 8.2. Liquid  $\text{SO}_2$  is an effective, inert solvent for both organic compounds (e.g. amines, alcohols, carboxylic acids, esters) and covalent inorganic compounds (e.g.  $\text{Br}_2$ ,  $\text{CS}_2$ ,  $\text{PCl}_3$ ,

<sup>†</sup> The nitryl ion is also called the nitronium ion.

**Table 8.3** Selected physical properties of sulfur dioxide, SO<sub>2</sub>.

| Property / units                       | Value                   |
|--|-------------------------|
| Melting point / K                      | 200.3                   |
| Boiling point / K                      | 263.0                   |
| Density of liquid / g cm <sup>-3</sup> | 1.43                    |
| Dipole moment / D                      | 1.63                    |
| Relative permittivity                  | 17.6 (at boiling point) |

SOCl<sub>2</sub>, POCl<sub>3</sub>) and is quite a good ionizing medium for such compounds as Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl (giving [Ph<sub>3</sub>C]<sup>+</sup>). It is also used for the syntheses of some group 16 and 17 cationic species. For example, [I<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> and [I<sub>5</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (equation 8.15) have been isolated as the [AsF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> salts from the reactions of AsF<sub>5</sub> and I<sub>2</sub> in liquid SO<sub>2</sub>, the product depending on the molar ratio of the reactants. Reactions of selenium with AsF<sub>5</sub> (at 350 K) or SbF<sub>5</sub> (at 250 K) in liquid SO<sub>2</sub> have yielded the salts [Se<sub>4</sub>][AsF<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub> and [Se<sub>8</sub>][SbF<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub> respectively.



In addition to the examples given in this chapter, important applications of non-aqueous solvents include the separation of uranium and plutonium in nuclear technology (see [Box 6.3](#)), and the analytical separation of many metals. Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> is a non-aqueous solvent for which applications are rapidly increasing in number, and we discuss this solvent and other supercritical fluids in [Section 8.13](#).

## 8.6 Liquid ammonia


Liquid ammonia has been widely studied, and in this section we discuss its properties and the types of reactions that occur in it, making comparisons between liquid ammonia and water.

### Physical properties

Selected properties of NH<sub>3</sub> are listed in Table 8.4 and are compared with those of water; it has a liquid range of 44.3 K (Figure 8.2). The lower boiling point than that of water suggests that hydrogen bonding in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> is less

**Table 8.4** Selected physical properties of NH<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O.

| Property / units                       | NH <sub>3</sub>         | H <sub>2</sub> O        |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Melting point / K                      | 195.3                   | 273.0                   |
| Boiling point / K                      | 239.6                   | 373.0                   |
| Density of liquid / g cm <sup>-3</sup> | 0.77                    | 1.00                    |
| Dipole moment / D                      | 1.47                    | 1.85                    |
| Relative permittivity                  | 25.0                    | 78.7                    |
|  | (at melting point)      | (at 298 K)              |
| Self-ionization constant               | 5.1 × 10 <sup>-27</sup> | 1.0 × 10 <sup>-14</sup> |

**Fig. 8.2** Liquid ranges for water and selected non-aqueous solvents.

extensive than in liquid H<sub>2</sub>O, and this is further illustrated by the values of  $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ$  (23.3 and 40.7 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for NH<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O respectively). This is consistent with the presence of one lone pair on the nitrogen atom in NH<sub>3</sub> compared with two on the oxygen atom in H<sub>2</sub>O.

The relative permittivity of NH<sub>3</sub> is considerably less than that of H<sub>2</sub>O and, as a consequence, the ability of liquid NH<sub>3</sub> to dissolve ionic compounds is generally significantly less than that of water. Exceptions include [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> salts, iodides and nitrates which are usually readily soluble. For example, AgI, which is sparingly soluble in water, dissolves easily in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> (solubility = 206.8 g per 100 g of NH<sub>3</sub>), a fact that indicates that both the Ag<sup>+</sup> and I<sup>-</sup> ions interact strongly with the solvent; Ag<sup>+</sup> forms an ammine complex (see [Section 22.12](#)). Changes in solubility patterns in going from water to liquid NH<sub>3</sub> lead to some interesting precipitation reactions in NH<sub>3</sub>. Whereas in aqueous solution, BaCl<sub>2</sub> reacts with AgNO<sub>3</sub> to precipitate AgCl, in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>, AgCl and Ba(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> react to precipitate BaCl<sub>2</sub>. Most chlorides (and almost all fluorides) are practically insoluble in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>. Molecular organic compounds are generally more soluble in NH<sub>3</sub> than in H<sub>2</sub>O.

### Self-ionization


As we have already mentioned, liquid NH<sub>3</sub> undergoes self-ionization (equation 8.12), and the small value of  $K_{\text{self}}$  (Table 8.4) indicates that the equilibrium lies far over to the left-hand side. The [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> and [NH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> ions have ionic mobilities approximately equal to those of alkali metal and halide ions. This contrasts with the situation in water, in which [H<sub>3</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> and [OH]<sup>-</sup> are much more mobile than other singly charged ions.

### Reactions in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>


We described above some precipitations that differ in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. Equation 8.16 shows a further example; the solubility of KCl is 0.04 g per 100 g NH<sub>3</sub>, compared with 34.4 g per 100 g H<sub>2</sub>O.



In water, neutralization reactions follow the general reaction 8.17. The solvent-oriented definition of acids and bases allows us write an analogous reaction (equation 8.18) for a neutralization process in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ .



Thus, in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , reaction 8.19 is a neutralization process which may be followed by conductivity or potentiometry, or by the use of an indicator such as phenolphthalein, **8.9**. This indicator is colourless but is deprotonated by a strong base such as  $[\text{NH}_2]^-$  to give a red anion just as it is by  $[\text{OH}]^-$  in aqueous solution.




(8.9)




Liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  is an ideal solvent for reactions requiring a strong base, since the amide ion is strongly basic.



As we discussed in Section 8.4, the behaviour of 'acids' is solvent-dependent. In aqueous solution, sulfamic acid,  $\text{H}_2\text{NSO}_2\text{OH}$ , **8.10**, behaves as a monobasic acid according to equation 8.20, but in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  it can function as a dibasic acid (equation 8.21).






(8.10)



The levelling effect of liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  means that the strongest acid possible in this medium is  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$ . Solutions of ammonium halides in  $\text{NH}_3$  may be used as acids, for example in the preparation of silane or arsane (equations 8.22 and 8.23). Germane,  $\text{GeH}_4$ , can be prepared from  $\text{Mg}_2\text{Ge}$  in a reaction analogous to the preparation of  $\text{SiH}_4$ .



A saturated solution of  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  (which has a vapour pressure of less than 1 bar even at 298 K) dissolves many metal oxides and even some metals; nitrate to nitrite reduction often accompanies the dissolution of metals. Metals that form insoluble hydroxides under aqueous conditions, form insoluble amides in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , e.g.  $\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_2)_2$ . Just as  $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_2$  dissolves in the presence of excess hydroxide ion (equation 8.24),  $\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_2)_2$  reacts with amide ion to form soluble salts containing anion **8.11** (equation 8.25).



(8.11)

Parallels can be drawn between the behaviour of metal nitrides in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  and that of metal oxides in aqueous media. Many similar analogies can be drawn.


Complex formation between  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{NH}_3$  leads to  $[\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ , isolated as  $[\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{Cl}_2$ . Similarly, in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{CaCl}_2$  forms  $[\text{Ca}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{Cl}_2$  and this is the reason that anhydrous  $\text{CaCl}_2$  (which readily absorbs water, see Section 11.5) cannot be used to dry  $\text{NH}_3$ . Ammine complexes such as  $[\text{Ni}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  can be prepared in aqueous solution by the displacement of aqua ligands by  $\text{NH}_3$ . Not all hexammine complexes are, however, directly accessible by this method. Two examples are  $[\text{V}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Cu}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ . The ion  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  is readily oxidized in aqueous solution, making the preparation of V(II) complexes in aqueous conditions difficult. In liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , dissolution of  $\text{VI}_2$  gives  $[\text{V}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{I}_2$  containing the octahedral  $[\text{V}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  ion. The  $[\text{Cu}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  ion is not accessible in aqueous solution (see Figure 20.29) but can be formed in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ .

## Solutions of s-block metals in liquid $\text{NH}_3$

All of the group 1 metals and the group 2 metals Ca, Sr and Ba dissolve in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  to give metastable solutions from which the group 1 metals can be recovered unchanged. The group 2 metals are recoverable as solids of composition  $[\text{M}(\text{NH}_3)_6]$ . Yellow  $[\text{Li}(\text{NH}_3)_4]$  and blue  $[\text{Na}(\text{NH}_3)_4]$  may also be isolated at low temperatures.

Dilute solutions of the metals are bright blue, the colour arising from the short wavelength tail of a broad and intense absorption band in the infrared region of the spectrum.

The electronic spectra in the visible region of solutions of all the *s*-block metals are the same, indicating the presence of a species common to all the solutions: this is the solvated electron (equation 8.26).




Each dilute solution of metal in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> occupies a volume greater than the sum of the volumes of the metal plus solvent. These data suggest that the electrons occupy cavities of radius 300–400 pm. Very dilute solutions of the metals are paramagnetic, and the magnetic susceptibility corresponds to that calculated for the presence of one free electron per metal atom.


As the concentration of a solution of an *s*-block metal in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> increases, the molar conductivity initially decreases, reaching a minimum at  $\approx 0.05 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ . Thereafter, the molar conductivity increases, and in saturated solutions is comparable with that of the metal itself. Such saturated solutions are no longer blue and paramagnetic, but are bronze and diamagnetic; they are essentially ‘metal-like’ and have been described as *expanded metals*. The conductivity data can be described in terms of:

- process 8.26 at low concentrations;
- association of  $M^+(\text{solv})$  and  $e^-(\text{solv})$  at concentrations around  $0.05 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;
- metal-like behaviour at higher concentrations.


However, in order to rationalize the fact that the magnetic susceptibilities of solutions *decrease* as the concentration increases, it is necessary to invoke equilibria 8.27 at higher concentrations.





The blue solutions of alkali metals in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> decompose very slowly, liberating H<sub>2</sub> (equation 8.28) as the solvent is reduced.




Although reaction 8.28 is thermodynamically favoured, there is a significant kinetic barrier. Decomposition is catalysed by many *d*-block metal compounds, e.g. by stirring the solution with a rusty Fe wire. Ammonium salts (which are strong acids in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>) decompose immediately (equation 8.29).




Dilute solutions of alkali metals in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> have many applications as reducing agents; reactions 8.30 to 8.34 (in which  $e^-$  represents the electron generated in reaction 8.26) provide examples and others are mentioned later in the book. In each of reactions 8.30–8.34, the anion shown is isolated as an alkali metal salt, the cation being provided from the alkali metal dissolved in the liquid NH<sub>3</sub>.



 **Fig. 8.3** The Zintl ion  $[\text{Sn}_5]^{2-}$  has a trigonal bipyramidal cluster structure.



Early synthetic routes to *Zintl ions* (see [Section 13.7](#)) involved reduction of Ge, Sn or Pb in solutions of Na in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>. The method has been developed with the addition of the macrocyclic ligand cryptand-222 (crypt-222) (see [Section 10.8](#)) which encapsulates the Na<sup>+</sup> ion and allows the isolation of salts of the type  $[\text{Na}(\text{crypt-222})_2][\text{Sn}_5]$  (equation 8.35). Zintl ions produced in this way include  $[\text{Sn}_5]^{2-}$  (Figure 8.3),  $[\text{Pb}_5]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Pb}_2\text{Sb}_2]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Bi}_2\text{Sn}_2]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Ge}_9]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Ge}_9]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{Sn}_9\text{Te}]^{3-}$ .



A further development in the synthesis of Zintl ions has been to use the reactions of an excess of Sn or Pb in solutions of Li in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>. These reactions give  $[\text{Li}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^+$  salts of  $[\text{Sn}_9]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{Pb}_9]^{4-}$ , and we discuss these Zintl ions further in [Section 13.7](#).

The group 2 metals Ca, Sr and Ba dissolve in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> to give bronze-coloured  $[\text{M}(\text{NH}_3)_x]$  species, and for M = Ca, neutron diffraction data confirm the presence of octahedral  $[\text{Ca}(\text{ND}_3)_6]$ . Although pale blue solutions are obtained when Mg is added to NH<sub>3</sub>, complete dissolution is not observed and no ammine adducts of Mg have been isolated from these solutions. However, combining an Hg/Mg (22:1 ratio) alloy with liquid NH<sub>3</sub> produces crystals of  $[\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_3)_6\text{Hg}_{22}]$  which contain octahedral  $[\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_3)_6]$  units, hosted within an Hg lattice. This material is superconducting (see [Section 27.4](#)) with a critical temperature,  $T_c$ , of 3.6 K.

**Table 8.5** Selected standard reduction potentials (298 K) in aqueous and liquid ammonia media; the concentration of each solution is 1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>. The value of  $E^\circ = 0.00$  V for the H<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub> couple is defined by convention.

| Reduction half-equation                                       | $E^\circ$ / V<br>in aqueous<br>solution | $E^\circ$ / V<br>in liquid<br>ammonia |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Li <sup>+</sup> + e <sup>-</sup> ⇌ Li                         | -3.04                                   | -2.24                                 |
| K <sup>+</sup> + e <sup>-</sup> ⇌ K                           | -2.93                                   | -1.98                                 |
| Na <sup>+</sup> + e <sup>-</sup> ⇌ Na                         | -2.71                                   | -1.85                                 |
| Zn <sup>2+</sup> + 2e <sup>-</sup> ⇌ Zn                       | -0.76                                   | -0.53                                 |
| 2H <sup>+</sup> + 2e <sup>-</sup> ⇌ H <sub>2</sub> (g, 1 bar) | 0.00                                    | 0.00                                  |
| Cu <sup>2+</sup> + 2e <sup>-</sup> ⇌ Cu                       | +0.34                                   | +0.43                                 |
| Ag <sup>+</sup> + e <sup>-</sup> ⇌ Ag                         | +0.80                                   | +0.83                                 |

### Redox reactions in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>

Reduction potentials for the reversible reduction of metal ions to the corresponding metal in aqueous solution and in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> are listed in Table 8.5. Note that the values follow the same general trend, but that the oxidizing ability of each metal ion is solvent-dependent. Reduction potentials for oxidizing systems cannot be obtained in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> owing to the ease with which the solvent is oxidized.

Information deduced from reduction potentials, and from lattice energies and solubilities, indicates that H<sup>+</sup> and *d*-block M<sup>*n*+</sup> ions have more negative absolute standard Gibbs energies of solvation in NH<sub>3</sub> than in H<sub>2</sub>O; for alkali metal ions, values of  $\Delta_{\text{solv}}G^\circ$  are about the same in the two solvents. These data are consistent with the observation that the addition of NH<sub>3</sub> to aqueous solutions of *d*-block M<sup>*n*+</sup> ions results in the formation of ammine complexes such as [M(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>*n*+</sup> whereas alkali metal ions are not complexed by NH<sub>3</sub>.


## 8.7 Liquid hydrogen fluoride

### Physical properties

Hydrogen fluoride attacks silica glass (equation 8.36) thereby corroding glass reaction vessels, and it is only relatively recently that HF has found applications as a non-aqueous solvent. It can be handled in polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) containers, or, if absolutely free of water, in Cu or Monel metal (a nickel alloy) equipment.



Hydrogen fluoride has a liquid range from 190 to 292.5 K (Figure 8.2); the relative permittivity is 84 at 273 K, rising to 175 at 200 K. Liquid HF undergoes self-ionization (equilibrium 8.37), for which  $K_{\text{self}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-12}$  at 273 K.



The difference in electronegativities of H ( $\chi^{\text{P}} = 2.2$ ) and F ( $\chi^{\text{P}} = 4.0$ ) results in the presence of extensive intermolecular hydrogen bonding in the liquid. Chains and rings of various sizes are formed, and some of these, e.g. cyclic (HF)<sub>6</sub>, persist in the vapour.

### Acid–base behaviour in liquid HF

Using the solvent-oriented definition that we introduced in Section 8.4, a species that produces [H<sub>2</sub>F]<sup>+</sup> ions in liquid HF is an acid, and one that produces [HF<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> is a base.

Many organic compounds are soluble in liquid HF, and in the cases of, for example, amines and carboxylic acids, protonation of the organic species accompanies dissolution (equation 8.38). Proteins react immediately with liquid HF, and it produces very serious skin burns.




## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND


### Box 8.1 The structure of the [HF<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> anion

The single crystal structures of a number of salts containing [HF<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> or [DF<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (i.e. deuterated species) have been determined by X-ray or neutron diffraction techniques; these include [NH<sub>4</sub>][HF<sub>2</sub>], Na[HF<sub>2</sub>], K[HF<sub>2</sub>], Rb[HF<sub>2</sub>], Cs[HF<sub>2</sub>] and Tl[HF<sub>2</sub>].

The anion is linear, and its formation is a consequence of the H and F atoms being involved in strong hydrogen bonding:






In the solid state structures reported, the F...F distance is ≈227 pm. This value is greater than twice the H–F bond length in HF (2 × 92 pm), but an H...F hydrogen bond will always be weaker and longer than a two-centre covalent H–F bond. However, comparison of the values gives some indication of the strength of the hydrogen bonding in [HF<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>. (See also *Figures 4.29* and *8.4*.)



**Fig. 8.4** The structures of the anions (a)  $[\text{H}_2\text{F}_3]^-$  and (b)  $[\text{H}_3\text{F}_4]^-$ , determined by low-temperature X-ray diffraction for the  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]^+$  salts. The distances given are the average values for like internuclear separations; the experimental error on each distance is  $\pm 3\text{--}6$  pm [D. Mootz *et al.* (1987) *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, vol. 544, p. 159]. Colour code: F, green; H, white.

Most inorganic salts are converted to the corresponding fluorides when dissolved in liquid HF, but only a few of these are soluble. Fluorides of the *s*-block metals, silver and thallium(I) dissolve to give salts such as  $\text{K}[\text{HF}_2]$  and  $\text{K}[\text{H}_2\text{F}_3]$ , and thus exhibit basic character. Similarly,  $\text{NH}_4\text{F}$  is basic in liquid HF. Studies of the  $\text{Me}_4\text{NF}\text{--}\text{HF}$  system over a range of compositions and temperatures reveal the formation of the compounds of composition  $\text{Me}_4\text{NF}\cdot n\text{HF}$  ( $n = 2, 3, 5$  or  $7$ ). X-ray diffraction studies for compounds with  $n = 2, 3$  or  $5$  have confirmed the structures of  $[\text{H}_2\text{F}_3]^-$  (Figure 8.4a),  $[\text{H}_3\text{F}_4]^-$  (Figure 8.4b) and  $[\text{H}_5\text{F}_6]^-$ , in which strong hydrogen bonding is an important feature (see [Section 9.6](#)).


Among molecular fluorides,  $\text{CF}_4$  and  $\text{SiF}_4$  are insoluble in liquid HF, but  $\text{F}^-$  acceptors such as  $\text{AsF}_5$  and  $\text{SbF}_5$  dissolve according to equation 8.39 to give very strongly acidic solutions. Less potent fluoride acceptors such as  $\text{BF}_3$  function as weak acids in liquid HF (equation 8.40);  $\text{PF}_5$  behaves as a *very* weak acid (equation 8.41). On the other hand,  $\text{ClF}_3$  and  $\text{BrF}_3$  act as  $\text{F}^-$  donors (equation 8.42) and behave as bases.



Few protic acids are able to exhibit acidic behaviour in liquid HF, on account of the competition between HF and the solute as  $\text{H}^+$  donors; perchloric acid and fluorosulfonic acid (equation 8.43) do act as acids.



With  $\text{SbF}_5$ , HF forms a *superacid* (equation 8.44) which is capable of protonating *very* weak bases including hydrocarbons (see [Section 8.9](#)).



## Electrolysis in liquid HF

Electrolysis in liquid HF is an important preparative route to both inorganic and organic fluorine-containing compounds, many of which are difficult to access by other routes. Anodic oxidation in liquid HF involves half-reaction 8.45 and with  $\text{NH}_4\text{F}$  as substrate, the products of the subsequent fluorination are  $\text{NFH}_2$ ,  $\text{NF}_2\text{H}$  and  $\text{NF}_3$ .



Anodic oxidation of water gives  $\text{OF}_2$ , of  $\text{SCl}_2$  yields  $\text{SF}_6$ , of acetic acid yields  $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$  and of trimethylamine produces  $(\text{CF}_3)_3\text{N}$ .

## 8.8 Sulfuric acid

### Physical properties




Selected physical properties of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  are given in Table 8.6; it is a liquid at 298 K, and the long liquid range (Figure 8.2) contributes towards making this a widely used non-aqueous solvent. Disadvantages of liquid  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  are its high viscosity (27 times that of water at 298 K) and high value of  $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ$ . Both these properties arise from extensive intermolecular hydrogen bonding, and make it difficult to remove the solvent by evaporation from reaction mixtures. Dissolution

**Table 8.6** Selected physical properties of sulfuric acid,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

| Property / units                       | Value                           |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Melting point / K                      | 283.4                           |
| Boiling point / K                      | $\approx 603$                   |
| Density of liquid / $\text{g cm}^{-3}$ | 1.84                            |
| Relative permittivity                  | 110 (at 292 K)                  |
| Self-ionization constant               | $2.7 \times 10^{-4}$ (at 298 K) |

of a solute in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  is favourable only if new interactions can be established to compensate for the loss of the extensive hydrogen bonding. Generally, this is possible only if the solute is ionic.

The value of the equilibrium constant for the self-ionization process 8.46 is notably large. In addition, other equilibria such as 8.47 are involved to a lesser extent.




## Acid–base behaviour in liquid $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$

Sulfuric acid is a highly acidic solvent and most other ‘acids’ are neutral or behave as bases in it; we have already noted the basic behaviour of  $\text{HNO}_3$ . Initial proton transfer (equation 8.8) leads to the formation of the ‘protonated acid’  $[\text{H}_2\text{NO}_3]^+$ , and in such cases, the resulting species often eliminates water (equation 8.9). Protonation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  follows (equation 8.10).

The nature of such reactions can be examined by an ingenious combination of cryoscopic and conductivity measurements. Cryoscopy gives  $\nu$ , the *total* number of particles produced per molecule of solute. The ionic mobilities<sup>†</sup> of  $[\text{H}_3\text{SO}_4]^+$  and  $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$  are very high, and the conductivity in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  is almost entirely due to the presence of  $[\text{H}_3\text{SO}_4]^+$  and/or  $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$ . These ions carry the electrical current by proton-switching mechanisms, thus avoiding the need for migration through the viscous solvent. Conductivity measurements tell us  $\gamma$ , the number of  $[\text{H}_3\text{SO}_4]^+$  or  $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$  ions produced per molecule of solute. For a solution of acetic acid in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , experiment shows that  $\nu = 2$  and  $\gamma = 1$ , consistent with reaction 8.48.




For nitric acid,  $\nu = 4$  and  $\gamma = 2$  corresponding to reaction 8.49, and for boric acid,  $\nu = 6$  and  $\gamma = 2$ , consistent with reaction 8.50.





<sup>†</sup> For discussions of ion transport see: P. Atkins and J. de Paula (2002) *Atkins' Physical Chemistry*, 7th edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford, Chapter 24; J. Burgess (1999) *Ions in Solution: Basic Principles of Chemical Interactions*, 2nd edn, Horwood Publishing, Westergate, Chapter 2.

For the  $[\text{B}(\text{HSO}_4)_4]^-$  ion (8.13) to be formed,  $\text{H}[\text{B}(\text{HSO}_4)_4]$  must act as a strong acid in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  solution;  $\text{H}[\text{B}(\text{HSO}_4)_4]$  is a stronger acid even than  $\text{HSO}_3\text{F}$  (see Section 8.9). The ionization constants (in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) for  $\text{HSO}_3\text{F}$  and  $\text{H}[\text{B}(\text{HSO}_4)_4]$  are  $3 \times 10^{-3}$  and 0.4, respectively.




The species ‘ $\text{H}[\text{B}(\text{HSO}_4)_4]$ ’ has not been isolated as a pure compound, but a solution of this acid can be prepared by dissolving boric acid in *oleum* (equation 8.51) (see Section 15.9) and can be titrated conductometrically against a solution of a strong base such as  $\text{KHSO}_4$  (equation 8.52).



In a *conductometric titration*, the end point is found by monitoring changes in the electrical conductivity of the solution.<sup>‡</sup>

Few species function as strong acids in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  medium; perchloric acid (a potent acid in aqueous solution) is essentially non-ionized in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and behaves only as a very weak acid.

In some cases (in contrast to equation 8.48), the cations formed from carboxylic acids are unstable, e.g.  $\text{HCO}_2\text{H}$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$  (equation 8.53) decompose with loss of  $\text{CO}$ .



## 8.9 Fluorosulfonic acid

### Physical properties

Table 8.7 lists some of the physical properties of fluorosulfonic acid,\*  $\text{HSO}_3\text{F}$ , 8.14; it has a relatively long liquid range (Figure 8.2) and a high dielectric constant. It is far


<sup>‡</sup> For an introduction to conductometric titrations, see: C.E. Housecroft and E.C. Constable (2002) *Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Prentice Hall, Harlow, Chapter 18.

\* Fluorosulfonic acid is also called fluorosulfuric acid, and the IUPAC name is hydrogen fluorotrioxosulfate.

**Table 8.7** Selected physical properties of fluorosulfonic acid, HSO<sub>3</sub>F.


| Property / units                       | Value                             |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Melting point / K                      | 185.7                             |
| Boiling point / K                      | 438.5                             |
| Density of liquid / g cm <sup>-3</sup> | 1.74                              |
| Relative permittivity                  | 120 (at 298 K)                    |
| Self-ionization constant               | 4.0 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> (at 298 K) |

less viscous than H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (by a factor of ≈16) and, like H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> but unlike HF, can be handled in glass apparatus.



(8.14)

Equation 8.54 shows the self-ionization of HSO<sub>3</sub>F.




## Superacids

Extremely potent acids, capable of protonating even hydrocarbons, are termed *superacids* and include mixtures of HF and SbF<sub>5</sub> (equation 8.44) and HSO<sub>3</sub>F and SbF<sub>5</sub> (equation 8.55). The latter mixture is called *magic acid* (one of the strongest acids known) and is available commercially under this name. Antimony(V) fluoride is a strong Lewis acid and forms an adduct with F<sup>-</sup> (from HF) or [SO<sub>3</sub>F]<sup>-</sup> (from HSO<sub>3</sub>F). Figure 8.5 shows the crystallographically determined structure of the related adduct SbF<sub>5</sub>OSO(OH)CF<sub>3</sub>.




8.15



(8.15)


Equilibrium 8.55 is an over-simplification of the SbF<sub>5</sub>-HSO<sub>3</sub>F system, but represents the system sufficiently for most purposes. The species present depend on the ratio of SbF<sub>5</sub>:HSO<sub>3</sub>F, and at higher concentrations of SbF<sub>5</sub>, species including [SbF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, [Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, HS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>F and HS<sub>3</sub>O<sub>9</sub>F may exist.

In superacidic media, hydrocarbons act as bases, and this is an important route to the formation of carbenium



**Fig. 8.5** The solid state structure (X-ray diffraction) of SbF<sub>5</sub>OSO(OH)CF<sub>3</sub> [D. Mootz *et al.* (1991) *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, vol. 46, p. 1659]. Colour code: Sb, brown; F, green; S, yellow; O, red; C, grey; H, white.

ions;† e.g. deprotonation of 2-methylpropane yields the trimethylcarbenium ion (equation 8.56). Phosphorus(III) halides can be converted to phosphonium cations [HPX<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, carbonic acid to the unstable cation [C(OH)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, and Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> to [HFe(CO)<sub>5</sub>]<sup>+</sup>.



## 8.10 Bromine trifluoride

In this and the next section, we consider two *aprotic* non-aqueous solvents.

### Physical properties

Bromine trifluoride is a pale yellow liquid at 298 K; selected physical properties are given in Table 8.8 and the compound is discussed again in [Section 16.7](#). Bromine trifluoride is an extremely powerful fluorinating agent and fluorinates essentially every species that dissolves in it. However, massive quartz is kinetically stable towards BrF<sub>3</sub> and the solvent can be handled in quartz vessels. Alternatively, metal (e.g. Ni) containers can be used; the metal surface becomes protected by a thin layer of metal fluoride.


The proposed self-ionization of BrF<sub>3</sub> (equation 8.57) has been substantiated by the isolation and characterization of acids and bases, and by conductometric titrations of them (see below). Using the solvent-based acid–base definitions, an acid in BrF<sub>3</sub> is a species that produces [BrF<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (8.16), and a base is one that gives [BrF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (8.17).




† A *carbenium* ion is also called a *carbocation*; the older name of *carbonium* ion is also in use.

**Table 8.8** Selected physical properties of bromine trifluoride, BrF<sub>3</sub>.

| Property / units                       | Value                               |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Melting point / K                      | 281.8                               |
| Boiling point / K                      | 408                                 |
| Density of liquid / g cm <sup>-3</sup> | 2.49                                |
| Relative permittivity                  | 107                                 |
| Self-ionization constant               | 8.0 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> (at 281.8 K) |




(8.16)





(8.17)

## Behaviour of fluoride salts and molecular fluorides in BrF<sub>3</sub>

Bromine trifluoride acts as a Lewis acid, readily accepting F<sup>-</sup>. When dissolved in BrF<sub>3</sub>, alkali metal fluorides, BaF<sub>2</sub> and AgF combine with the solvent to give salts containing the [BrF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> anion, e.g. K[BrF<sub>4</sub>] (equation 8.58), Ba[BrF<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub> and Ag[BrF<sub>4</sub>]. On the other hand, if the fluoride solute is a more powerful F<sup>-</sup> acceptor than BrF<sub>3</sub>, salts containing [BrF<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> may be formed, e.g. equations 8.59–8.61.




Conductometric measurements on solutions containing [BrF<sub>2</sub>][SbF<sub>6</sub>] and Ag[BrF<sub>4</sub>], or [BrF<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[SnF<sub>6</sub>] and K[BrF<sub>4</sub>] exhibit minima at 1:1 and 1:2 molar ratios of reactants respectively. These data support the formulation of neutralization reactions 8.62 and 8.63.





## Reactions in BrF<sub>3</sub>

Much of the chemistry studied in BrF<sub>3</sub> media involves fluorination reactions, and the preparation of highly fluorinated species. For example, the salt Ag[SbF<sub>6</sub>] can be prepared in liquid BrF<sub>3</sub> from elemental Ag and Sb in a 1:1 molar ratio (equation 8.64), while K<sub>2</sub>[SnF<sub>6</sub>] is produced when KCl and Sn are combined in a 2:1 molar ratio in liquid BrF<sub>3</sub> (equation 8.65).



In contrast to the situation for H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, where we noted that it is difficult to separate reaction products from the solvent by evaporation, BrF<sub>3</sub> can be removed *in vacuo* ( $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ = 47.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ). The syntheses of many other inorganic fluoro-derivatives can be carried out in a similar manner to reactions 8.64 or 8.65, and equations 8.66–8.69 give further examples.



Some of the compounds prepared by this method can also be made using F<sub>2</sub> as the fluorinating agent, but use of F<sub>2</sub> generally requires higher reaction temperatures and the reactions are not always as product-specific.

Non-aqueous solvents that behave similarly to BrF<sub>3</sub> in that they are good oxidizing *and* fluorinating agents include ClF<sub>3</sub>, BrF<sub>5</sub> and IF<sub>5</sub>.

## 8.11 Dinitrogen tetroxide

### Physical properties

The data in Table 8.9 and Figure 8.2 emphasize the very short liquid range of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Despite this and the low relative permittivity (which makes it a poor solvent for most inorganic compounds), the preparative uses of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> justify its inclusion in this chapter.



The proposed self-ionization process for N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is given in equation 8.70, but conductivity data indicate that this can occur only to an extremely small extent; physical evidence for this equilibrium is lacking. However, the presence of [NO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> in the solvent is indicated by the rapid exchange of nitrate ion between liquid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and [Et<sub>4</sub>N][NO<sub>3</sub>] (which is soluble owing to its very low lattice energy). In terms of the solvent-oriented acid–base definition, acidic behaviour

**Table 8.9** Selected physical properties of dinitrogen tetroxide, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

| Property / units                       | Value           |
|--|-----------------|
| Melting point / K                      | 261.8           |
| Boiling point / K                      | 294.2           |
| Density of liquid / g cm <sup>-3</sup> | 1.49 (at 273 K) |
| Relative permittivity                  | 2.42 (at 291 K) |

## APPLICATIONS

Box 8.2 Liquid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> as a fuel in the Apollo missions

During the Apollo Moon missions, a fuel was needed that was suitable for landing on, and taking off from, the Moon's surface. The fuel chosen was a mixture of liquid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and derivatives of hydrazine (N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>). Dinitrogen tetraoxide is a powerful oxidizing agent and contact with, for example, MeNHNH<sub>2</sub> leads to immediate oxidation of the latter:



in N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is characterized by the production of [NO]<sup>+</sup>, and basic behaviour by the formation of [NO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>. This terminology assumes the operation of equilibrium 8.70. A few reactions in liquid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> can be rationalized in terms of equilibrium 8.71, but there is no physical evidence to confirm this proposal.

Reactions in N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>

Reactions carried out in liquid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> generally utilize the fact that N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is a good oxidizing (see Box 8.2) and nitrating agent. Electropositive metals such as Li and Na react in liquid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> liberating NO (equation 8.72).




Less reactive metals may react rapidly if ClNO, [Et<sub>4</sub>N][NO<sub>3</sub>] or an organic donor such as MeCN is present. These observations can be explained as follows.


- ClNO can be considered to be a very weak acid in liquid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and hence encourages reaction with metals (equation 8.73).



- [Et<sub>4</sub>N][NO<sub>3</sub>] functions as a base in liquid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and its action on metals such as Zn and Al arises from the formation of nitrate complexes (equation 8.74) analogous to hydroxo complexes in an aqueous system; Figure 8.6 shows the structure of [Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>.



- Organic donor molecules appear to facilitate reactions with metals by increasing the degree of self-ionization of the solvent as a result of adduct formation with the [NO]<sup>+</sup> cation; e.g. Cu dissolves in liquid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/MeCN according to equation 8.75, and Fe behaves similarly, dissolving to give [NO][Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>].




The reaction is highly exothermic, and at the operating temperatures, all products are gases.


Safety is of utmost importance; the fuels clearly must not contact each other before the required moment of landing or lift-off. Further, MeNHNH<sub>2</sub> is extremely toxic.

The presence of [NO]<sup>+</sup> cations in compounds such as [NO][Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], [NO][Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>], [NO]<sub>2</sub>[Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] and [NO]<sub>2</sub>[Mn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] is confirmed by the appearance of a characteristic absorption (ν<sub>NO</sub>) at ≈2300 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the infrared spectra of the complexes.

Just as hydrolysis of a compound may occur in water (see Section 6.7), solvolysis such as reaction 8.76 can take place in liquid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Such reactions are of synthetic importance as routes to *anhydrous* metal nitrates.



In many of the reactions carried out in liquid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, the products are solvates, for example [Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]·1.5N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, [Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]·N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, [Sc(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]·2N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and [Y(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]·2N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Such formulations may, in some cases, be correct, with molecules of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> present, analogous to water molecules of crystallization in crystals isolated from an aqueous system. However, the results of X-ray diffraction



**Fig. 8.6** The solid state structure (X-ray diffraction) of the [Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> anion in the salt [Ph<sub>4</sub>As]<sub>2</sub>[Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]. Each [NO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> ligand is coordinated to the Zn(II) centre through two O atoms, with one short (average 206 pm) and one long (average 258 pm) Zn–O interaction [C. Bellitto *et al.* (1976) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 989]. Colour code: Zn, brown; N, blue; O, red.

studies on some solvated compounds illustrate the presence, not of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  molecules, but of  $[\text{NO}]^+$  and  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  ions. Two early examples to be crystallographically characterized were  $[\text{Sc}(\text{NO}_3)_3] \cdot 2\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $[\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_3] \cdot 2\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ , for which the formulations  $[\text{NO}]_2[\text{Sc}(\text{NO}_3)_5]$  and  $[\text{NO}]_2[\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_5]$  were confirmed. In the  $[\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_5]^{2-}$  anion, the Y(III) centre is 10-coordinate with didentate nitrate ligands, while in  $[\text{Sc}(\text{NO}_3)_5]^{2-}$ , the Sc(III) centre is 9-coordinate with one  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  ligand being monodentate (see also [Section 24.7](#)).

## 8.12 Ionic liquids

The use of *ionic liquids* (also called *molten* or *fused salts*) as reaction media is a relatively new area, although molten conditions have been well established in industrial processes (e.g. the Downs process, [Figure 10.1](#)) for many years. While some ‘molten salts’ are hot as the term suggests, others operate at ambient temperatures and the term ‘ionic liquids’ is more appropriate. This section provides only a brief introduction to an area which has implications for green chemistry (see [Box 8.3](#)).

The term *eutectic* is commonly encountered in this field. The reason for forming a eutectic mixture is to provide a molten system at a convenient working temperature. For example, the melting point of NaCl is 1073 K, but is lowered if  $\text{CaCl}_2$  is added as in the Downs process.


A *eutectic* is a mixture of two substances and is characterized by a sharp melting point lower than that of either of the components; a eutectic behaves as though it were a single substance.

### Molten salt solvent systems

When an ionic salt such as NaCl melts, the ionic lattice (see [Figure 5.15](#)) collapses, but some order is still retained. Evidence for this comes from X-ray diffraction patterns, from which *radial distribution functions* reveal that the average coordination number (with respect to cation–anion interactions) of each ion in liquid NaCl is  $\approx 4$ , compared with 6 in the crystalline lattice. For cation–cation or anion–anion interactions, the coordination number is higher, although, as in the solid state, the internuclear distances are larger than for cation–anion separations. The solid-to-liquid transition is accompanied by an increase in volume of  $\approx 10$ – $15\%$ . The number of ions in the melt can be determined in a similar way to that described in [Section 8.8](#) for  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  systems; in molten NaCl,  $\nu = 2$ .

Other alkali metal halides behave in a similar manner to NaCl, but metal halides in which the bonding has a significant covalent contribution (e.g. Hg(II) halides) form melts in which equilibria such as 8.77 are established. In the solid

state,  $\text{HgCl}_2$  forms a molecular lattice, and layer structures are adopted by  $\text{HgBr}_2$  (distorted  $\text{CdI}_2$  lattice) and  $\text{HgI}_2$ .





In terms of the solvent-oriented description of acid–base chemistry in a non-aqueous solvent, equation 8.77 illustrates that, in molten  $\text{HgBr}_2$ , species producing  $[\text{HgBr}]^+$  ions may be considered to act as acids, and those providing  $[\text{HgBr}_3]^-$  ions function as bases. In most molten salts, however, the application of this type of acid–base definition is not appropriate.

An important group of molten salts with more convenient operating temperatures contain the tetrachloroaluminate ion,  $[\text{AlCl}_4]^-$ ; an example is an  $\text{NaCl}$ – $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$  mixture. The melting point of  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$  is 463 K (at 2.5 bar), and its addition to NaCl (melting point, 1073 K) results in a 1:1 medium with a melting point of 446 K. In this and other  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$ –alkali metal chloride melts, equilibria 8.78 and 8.79 are established, with the additional formation of  $[\text{Al}_3\text{Cl}_{10}]^-$  (see [Section 12.6](#)).



### Ionic liquids at ambient temperatures

Another well-established and useful system consists of  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$  with an organic salt such as butylpyridinium chloride,  $[\text{pyBu}]\text{Cl}$ ; reaction 8.80 occurs to give  $[\text{pyBu}][\text{AlCl}_4]$ , **8.4**, and in the molten state, the  $[\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7]^-$  ion, **8.18**, is formed according to equilibrium 8.79. In the solid state, X-ray diffraction data for several salts illustrate that  $[\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7]^-$  can adopt either a staggered or an eclipsed conformation ([Figure 8.7](#)). Raman spectroscopic data (see [Box 3.1](#)) have shown that  $[\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7]^-$  is a more dominant species in molten  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$ – $[\text{pyBu}]\text{Cl}$  than in the  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$ –alkali metal chloride systems.



(8.18)

The beauty of  $[\text{pyBu}][\text{AlCl}_4]$  and similar systems (see below) is that they are conducting liquids below 373 K. They are extremely valuable as ionic solvents, dissolving a wide range of inorganic and organic compounds. Further advantageous properties are their long liquid ranges, high thermal stabilities, negligible vapour pressures (this enables product separation by distillation), and the fact that they are non-flammable. In terms of volatility, ionic liquids have a ‘green’ advantage (see [Box 8.3](#)) over organic solvents, and are now being used in place of organic solvents in a wide range of transformations including Diels–Alder reactions,

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 8.3 Resources, environmental and biological

## Green chemistry

With the constant drive to protect our environment, ‘green chemistry’ is now at the forefront of research and is starting to be applied in industry. In its *Green Chemistry Program*, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) defines green chemistry as ‘chemistry for pollution prevention, and the design of chemical products and chemical processes that reduce or eliminate the use of hazardous substances.’ The European Chemical Industry Council (CEFIC) works through its programme *Sustech* to develop sustainable technologies. Some of the goals of green chemistry are the use of renewable feedstocks, the use of less hazardous chemicals in industry, the use of new solvents to replace, for example, chlorinated and volatile organic solvents, the reduction in the energy consumption of commercial processes, and the minimizing of waste chemicals in industrial processes.

Anastas and Warner (see *further reading*) have developed 12 principles of green chemistry and these clearly illustrate the challenges ahead for research and industrial chemists:

- It is better to prevent waste than to treat or clean up waste after it is formed.
- Synthetic methods should be designed to maximize the incorporation of all materials used in the process into the final product.
- Wherever practicable, synthetic methodologies should be designed to use and generate substances that possess little or no toxicity to human health and the environment.
- Chemical products should be designed to preserve efficacy of function while reducing toxicity.
- The use of auxiliary substances (e.g. solvents, separation agents) should be made unnecessary whenever possible and innocuous when used.
- Energy requirements should be recognized for their environmental and economic impacts and should be minimized. Synthetic methods should be conducted at ambient temperature and pressure.
- A raw material feedstock should be renewable rather than depleting whenever technically and economically practical.
- Unnecessary derivatization (e.g. protection/deprotection steps) should be avoided whenever possible.
- Catalytic reagents (as selective as possible) are superior to stoichiometric reagents.

- Chemical products should be designed so that at the end of their function they do not persist in the environment, but break down into innocuous degradation products.
- Analytical methodologies need to be further developed to allow for real-time in-process monitoring and control prior to the formation of hazardous substances.
- Substances and the form of a substance used in a chemical process should be chosen so as to minimize the potential for chemical accidents, including releases, explosions and fires.


At the beginning of the twenty-first century, green chemistry represents a move towards a sustainable future. The journal *Green Chemistry* (published by the Royal Society of Chemistry since 1999) is a forum for key developments in the area, and ‘ionic liquids for green chemistry’ are now commercially available. The American Chemical Society works in partnership with the Green Chemistry Institute to ‘prevent pollution tomorrow through chemistry research and education’. In the US, the Presidential Green Chemistry Challenge Awards were initiated in 1995 to encourage the development of green technologies, at both academic and commercial levels (see *Box 14.1*).


## Further reading

- P.T. Anastas and J.C. Warner (1998) *Green Chemistry Theory and Practice*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- M.C. Cann and M.E. Connelly (2000) *Real World Cases in Green Chemistry*, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- J.H. Clark and D. Macquarrie, eds (2002) *Handbook of Green Technology*, Blackwell Science, Oxford.
- A. Matlack (2003) *Green Chemistry*, p. G7 – ‘Some recent trends and problems in green chemistry.’
- R.D. Rogers and K.R. Seddon, eds (2002) *Ionic Liquids: Industrial Applications for Green Chemistry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- <http://www.epa.gov/greenchemistry>
- <http://www.cefic.be/sustech>
- <http://www.chemistry.org/greenchemistryinstitute>
- See also end-of-chapter reading under ‘ionic liquids’ and ‘supercritical fluids’.

Friedel–Crafts alkylations and acylations, and Heck reactions. The ability of ionic liquids to dissolve organometallic compounds also makes them potential solvents for homogeneous catalysis.



The important families of cations that are present in ionic liquids are alkyropyridinium ions (8.19), dialkylimidazolium ions (8.20), tetraalkylammonium ions (8.21) and tetraalkylphosphonium ions (8.22).





**Fig. 8.7** The crystallographically determined structure of the  $[\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7]^-$  ion. In the compound  $[(\text{C}_6\text{Me}_6)_3\text{Zr}_3\text{Cl}_6][\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7]_2$ , the anions adopt one of two different conformations: (a) an eclipsed conformation and (b) a staggered conformation [F. Stollmaier *et al.* (1981) *J. Organomet. Chem.*, vol. 208, p. 327]. Colour code: Al, grey; Cl, green.

Some ionic liquids can be formed by the direct reaction of pyridine, alkyimidazole,  $\text{NR}_3$  or  $\text{PR}_3$  with an appropriate alkylating agent that also provides the counter-ion (e.g. reactions 8.81 and 8.82).




The range of compounds can be extended either by reaction with a Lewis acid (e.g.  $\text{AlCl}_3$ ,  $\text{BCl}_3$ ,  $\text{CuCl}$ ,  $\text{SnCl}_2$ ) or by anion exchange using, for example,  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$ ,  $[\text{SbF}_6]^-$  or  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ . Reactions with Lewis acids give species which may contain more than one anion (Table 8.10) depending on the ratio of  $[\text{X}]\text{Cl}$ :Lewis acid. Since ionic liquids are now being used as ‘green solvents’, it is important


**Table 8.10** Examples of ionic liquids prepared from reactions of  $[\text{X}]\text{Cl}$  and a Lewis acid where  $[\text{X}]^+$  is an alkyipyridinium or dialkyimidazolium ion.

| Reagents for ionic liquid formation     | Anions present in the ionic liquid   |
|---|--|
| $[\text{X}]\text{Cl} + \text{AlCl}_3$   | $\text{Cl}^-$ , $[\text{AlCl}_4]^-$ , $[\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7]^-$ , $[\text{Al}_3\text{Cl}_{10}]^-$ |
| $[\text{X}]\text{Cl} + \text{BCl}_3$    | $\text{Cl}^-$ , $[\text{BCl}_4]^-$   |
| $[\text{X}]\text{Cl} + \text{AlEtCl}_2$ | $[\text{AlEtCl}_3]^-$ , $[\text{Al}_2\text{Et}_2\text{Cl}_5]^-$                                      |
| $[\text{X}]\text{Cl} + \text{CuCl}$     | $[\text{CuCl}_2]^-$ , $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Cl}_3]^-$ , $[\text{Cu}_3\text{Cl}_4]^-$                    |
| $[\text{X}]\text{Cl} + \text{FeCl}_3$   | $[\text{FeCl}_4]^-$ , $[\text{Fe}_2\text{Cl}_7]^-$   |
| $[\text{X}]\text{Cl} + \text{SnCl}_2$   | $[\text{SnCl}_3]^-$ , $[\text{Sn}_2\text{Cl}_5]^-$   |

to consider the possible environmental problems associated with the disposal of spent solvents. This is of particular relevance to those with halide-containing anions that are prone to hydrolysis (e.g.  $[\text{AlCl}_4]^-$  and  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$ ) and are potential sources of  $\text{HCl}$  or  $\text{HF}$ . Ionic liquids such as **8.23** contain halogen-free alkylsulfate ions and represent ‘greener’ alternatives.



Ionic liquids containing chiral cations and which can be prepared enantiomerically pure on a kg scale, have also been developed with the potential for applications as solvents in asymmetric synthesis and catalysis. Two examples are **8.24** (mp 327 K) and **8.25** (mp <255 K); both are thermally stable up to 423 K under vacuum.




Ionic liquids are finding widespread uses in organic synthesis and catalytic reactions (see end-of-chapter reading list). In the next section, we focus on applications of ionic liquids in inorganic chemistry.


## Reactions in and applications of molten salt/ionic liquid media

Manufacturing processes in which metals are extracted from molten metal salts are important examples of the uses of molten salts and include the Downs process, and the production of  $\text{Li}$  by electrolysis of molten  $\text{LiCl}$ , and of  $\text{Be}$  and  $\text{Ca}$  from  $\text{BeCl}_2$  and  $\text{CaCl}_2$ , respectively.

It is not possible here to survey the many types of reactions that have been carried out in molten salt media, and we have chosen examples to illustrate the range of possibilities. Some unusual cations have been isolated as products from reactions in molten salt media. For example, the reaction of  $\text{Bi}$  and  $\text{BiCl}_3$  in  $\text{KCl-BiCl}_3$  solvent at  $\approx 570\text{ K}$  yields  $[\text{Bi}_9]_2[\text{BiCl}_5]_4[\text{Bi}_2\text{Cl}_8]$  which contains  $[\text{Bi}_9]^{5+}$ ,  $[\text{BiCl}_5]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Bi}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$ . In a melt containing  $\text{AlCl}_3$  and  $\text{MCl}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Na}$  or  $\text{K}$ ) at  $\approx 530\text{ K}$ ,  $\text{Bi}$  and  $\text{BiCl}_3$  react to form  $[\text{Bi}_5]^{2+}$  (a trigonal bipyramidal species like  $[\text{Sn}_5]^{2-}$ , Figure 8.3) and  $[\text{Bi}_8]^{2+}$ , which are isolated as the  $[\text{AlCl}_4]^-$  salts.



(8.26)



(8.27)

Electrochemical and spectroscopic studies of anionic *d*-block metal chloro complexes and organometallic compounds (which may be unstable in some solvents) may be performed in  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$ -ethylpyridinium chloride,  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$ -butylpyridinium chloride and  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$ -[1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium chloride] (the 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium cation is shown in structure 8.26) systems, all of which are ionic liquids at room temperature. An example of such a study is the observation of the electronic absorption spectrum of  $[\text{RuO}_2\text{Cl}_4]^{2-}$ , 8.27, a species that decomposes in aqueous solution. Problems of oxide contaminants in these melts can be overcome by the addition of the highly poisonous gas,  $\text{COCl}_2$ . This has been illustrated in a study of the electrochemistry of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  in an  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$ -[8.26]Cl melt; if the system contains the contaminant  $[\text{TiOCl}_4]^{2-}$  in addition to the desired  $[\text{TiCl}_6]^{2-}$ , the addition of  $\text{COCl}_2$  (see Section 13.8) successfully removes the contaminant (reaction 8.83).



Protonated contaminants may also be a problem, e.g. the formation of  $[\text{HMo}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{3-}$  when  $[\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{4-}$  salts are studied in molten salt media. Such contaminants can be scavenged using  $\text{EtAlCl}_2$ .

### 8.13 Supercritical fluids

#### Properties of supercritical fluids and their uses as solvents

Since the 1990s, the chemical literature has seen a huge increase in the publication of papers describing the properties and applications of *supercritical fluids*, in particular, supercritical carbon dioxide and water. One of the driving forces for this interest is the search for green solvents to replace volatile organics (see Box 8.3). The meaning of the term *supercritical* is explained in Figure 8.8 which shows a pressure–temperature phase diagram for a one-component system. The solid blue lines represent the boundaries between the phases. The hashed line illustrates the distinction between a vapour and a gas; a vapour can be liquefied by increasing the pressure, while a gas cannot. Above the critical temperature,  $T_{\text{critical}}$ , the gas can no longer be liquefied, no matter how high the pressure is increased. If a sample is observed as the critical point is reached, the




Fig. 8.8 A simple pressure–temperature phase diagram for a one-component system.

meniscus at the liquid–gas interface disappears, signifying that there is no longer a distinction between the two phases. At temperatures and pressures above the critical temperature and pressure (i.e. above the critical point), a substance becomes a supercritical fluid.

A supercritical fluid possesses solvent properties that resemble those of a liquid, but also exhibits gas-like transport properties. Thus, not only can a supercritical fluid dissolve solutes, but it is also miscible with ordinary gases and can penetrate pores in solids. Supercritical fluids exhibit lower viscosities and higher diffusion coefficients than liquids. The density of a supercritical fluid increases as the pressure increases, and as the density increases, the solubility of a solute in the supercritical fluid increases dramatically. The fact that the properties can be tuned by varying the pressure and temperature is advantageous in terms of the applications of these fluids as extraction agents. Using a supercritical fluid for the extraction of a given material from a feedstock involves the partitioning of the material into the supercritical liquid, followed by a change in temperature and pressure that results in isolation of the pure solute by vaporization of  $\text{CO}_2$ . Finally, the supercritical fluid can be recycled by reversing the change in temperature and pressure conditions (see the figure in Box 8.4).


Table 8.11 lists the critical temperatures and pressures of selected compounds that are used as supercritical fluids. Combined with its easy accessibility, low cost, non-toxicity, chemical inertness and non-inflammability, the critical temperature and pressure of  $\text{CO}_2$  are convenient enough to make supercritical  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $\text{scCO}_2$ ) of great value as a solvent, and Box 8.4 gives examples of its commercial applications.

Although  $\text{scCO}_2$  is a ‘clean’ alternative to organic solvents for a range of extraction processes, it is non-polar. While the

## APPLICATIONS

Box 8.4 Clean technology with supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>

Some of the areas in which supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> (scCO<sub>2</sub>) is commercially important are summarized in Figure 8.9. Extraction processes in the food, tobacco (nicotine extraction) and pharmaceutical industries dominate. Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> is a selective extracting agent for caffeine, and its use in the decaffeination of coffee and tea was the first commercial application of a supercritical fluid, followed by the extraction of hops in the brewing industry. Solvent extractions can be carried out by batch processes, or by a continuous process in which the CO<sub>2</sub> is recycled as shown schematically below:



Cholesterol (high levels of which in the blood are associated with heart complaints) is soluble in scCO<sub>2</sub>, and this medium has been used to extract cholesterol from egg yolk, meat and milk. There is potential for wider application of scCO<sub>2</sub> in the production of foodstuffs with reduced cholesterol levels. The extraction of pesticides from rice is also carried out commercially using scCO<sub>2</sub>. Many studies have been carried out to investigate the ability of scCO<sub>2</sub> to extract flavours and fragrances from plants, e.g. from ginger root, camomile leaf, vanilla pod, mint leaf, lavender flower and lemon peel. Commercial applications within the food industry include the extraction of flavours and spices, and the extraction of colouring agents, e.g. from red peppers. Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> can be used to extract compounds from natural products. One example is the anti-cancer drug taxol which can be extracted from the bark of the Pacific yew tree (although the drug can also be synthesized in a multi-step process). A potential application of scCO<sub>2</sub> involves the cyanobacterium *Spirulina platensis* which is rich in protein and is used as a food additive and medicine. But there is a downside: spirulina powder has an obnoxious smell. Research has shown that when scCO<sub>2</sub> is used to extract the active components of *Spirulina platensis*, the smell is also removed.

The technique of supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) is similar to high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) but has major advantages over the latter: separation is more rapid, and the use of organic solvents is minimized.

The pharmaceutical industry applies SFC to the separation of chiral and natural products.

The development of new technologies for the manufacture of high-purity polymers using scCO<sub>2</sub> in place of organic solvents is an active area of research, and the reduction of large amounts of toxic waste during polymer production is a prime target for the polymer industry. In 2002, DuPont ([www.dupont.com](http://www.dupont.com)) introduced the first commercial Teflon resins manufactured using scCO<sub>2</sub> technology, and the manufacture of other fluoropolymers will follow.

One area that is rich for development is the use of scCO<sub>2</sub> as a cleaning solvent. It has already been introduced for the dry-cleaning of clothes, and this application should become more widespread in future years. Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> is also used to clean optical and electronics components, as well as heavy-duty valves, tanks and pipes.

Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> has found applications within the field of materials processing. The *rapid expansion of supercritical solutions* (RESS) involves saturating the supercritical fluid with a given solute followed by rapid expansion (by reduction in pressure) through a nozzle. The result is the nucleation of the solute (e.g. a polymer such as PVC) and the production of a powder, thin film or fibre as required. Union Carbide has developed a process (UNICARB<sup>®</sup>) in which scCO<sub>2</sub> is used in place of organic solvents for spraying paint onto a range of substrates including vehicles.

There is also scope for use of scCO<sub>2</sub> as a replacement for water within the textile industry. During weaving, yarn is strengthening by the addition of a polymeric coat called 'size'. The conventional 'sizing' or 'slashing' process uses large amounts of water and produces aqueous waste that must be treated to remove excess polymer. In addition, yarn must be dried after being sized and this consumes significant energy. There are a number of advantages to replacing the aqueous medium for sizing by non-aqueous scCO<sub>2</sub>: the size is applied evenly (which is not always the case with the conventional water-based coating method), no drying process is required, scCO<sub>2</sub> is recycled after use, and there is no waste solvent at the end of the sizing process. Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> can also be exploited for dyeing, and if its use becomes the norm, the large quantities of waste water that are currently generated from the textile industry could be eliminated.

In the examples given above, supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> is used in what is termed 'clean technology' with drastic reductions in the use of organic solvents, and the twenty-first century should see an increase in the use of supercritical fluids in commercial processes.

## Further reading

N. Ajzenberg, F. Trabelsi and F. Recasens (2000) *Chemical Engineering and Technology*, vol. 23, p. 829 – 'What's new in industrial polymerization with supercritical solvents?' ▶

- J.F. Brennecke (1996) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 831 – ‘New applications of supercritical fluids’.
- M. Perrut (2000) *Industrial and Engineering Chemical Research*, vol. 39, p. 4531 – ‘Supercritical fluid applications: industrial developments and economic issues’.
- E. Reverchon (1997) *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, vol. 10, p. 1 – ‘Supercritical fluid extraction and fractionation of essential oils and related products’.

- N.L. Rozzi and R.K. Singh (2002) *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, vol. 1, p. 33 – ‘Supercritical fluids and the food industry’.

behaviour of  $\text{scCO}_2$  does not parallel a typical non-polar organic solvent, its ability to extract polar compounds is still relatively poor. The dissolution of polar compounds can be aided by introducing a subcritical co-solvent (a modifier) to  $\text{scCO}_2$ , and two common choices are  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{MeOH}$ . The use of surfactants that possess a water-soluble head and  $\text{CO}_2$ -compatible tail permits water ‘pockets’ to be dispersed within  $\text{scCO}_2$ . As a result, aqueous chemistry can be carried out in what is essentially a non-aqueous environment. An advantage of this system is that reagents not normally soluble in water, but soluble in  $\text{scCO}_2$ , can be brought into intimate contact with water-soluble reagents.

Two other well-studied solvents are supercritical  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The critical temperature and pressure of supercritical  $\text{NH}_3$  are accessible (Table 8.11), but the solvent is chemically very reactive and is relatively hazardous for large-scale applications. Supercritical  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  has a relatively high critical temperature and pressure (Table 8.11) which limit its uses. Even so, it has important applications as a solvent. At its critical point, the density of water is  $0.32 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ; the density of the supercritical phase can be controlled by varying the temperature and pressure. Unlike subcritical  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , supercritical  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  behaves like a *non-polar* solvent. Thus, it is a poor solvent for inorganic salts, but dissolves non-polar organic compounds. This is the basis for its use in *supercritical water oxidation* (or *hydrothermal oxidation*) of toxic and hazardous organic wastes. In the presence of a suitable oxidizing agent, liquid organic waste in  $\text{scH}_2\text{O}$  is

**Table 8.11** Critical temperatures and pressures of selected compounds with applications as supercritical fluids.

| Compound          | Critical temperature / K | Critical pressure / MPa <sup>†</sup> |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Xenon             | 289.8                    | 5.12                                 |
| Carbon dioxide    | 304.2                    | 7.38                                 |
| Ethane            | 305.4                    | 4.88                                 |
| Propane           | 369.8                    | 4.25                                 |
| Ammonia           | 405.6                    | 11.28                                |
| Pentane           | 469.7                    | 3.37                                 |
| Ethanol           | 516.2                    | 6.38                                 |
| Toluene           | 591.8                    | 4.11                                 |
| 1,2-Ethanediamine | 593.0                    | 6.27                                 |
| Water             | 647.3                    | 22.05                                |




<sup>†</sup> To convert to bar, multiply by 10.

converted to  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{N}_2$  and other gaseous products with efficiencies approaching 100%. The operating temperatures are low enough to prevent the formation of environmentally undesirable products such as oxides of nitrogen and sulfur. In the waste-water industry, sludge disposal can be effected using supercritical water oxidation, and, in 2001, the first commercial plant designed for this purpose commenced operation in Texas, US.

Initial commercial applications of supercritical fluids were coffee decaffeination (in 1978) and hops extraction (in 1982). Together, these uses accounted for over half of the world’s supercritical fluid production processes in 2001 (Figure 8.9).

## Supercritical fluids as media for inorganic chemistry


In this section, we describe selected examples of inorganic reactions that are carried out in supercritical water ( $\text{scH}_2\text{O}$ ) and ammonia ( $\text{scNH}_3$ ), critical temperatures and pressures of which are listed in Table 8.11. An important application of  $\text{scH}_2\text{O}$  is in the hydrothermal generation of metal oxides from metal salts (or supercritical hydrothermal crystallization). Equations 8.84 and 8.85 summarize the proposed steps for conversion of metal nitrates to oxides where, for example,  $\text{M} = \text{Fe(III)}$ ,  $\text{Co(II)}$  or  $\text{Ni(II)}$ .



**Fig. 8.9** Percentage contributions to the 2001 global US\$960 million value of commercial production using supercritical fluid processing [data: Kline & Company, Inc., [www.klinegroup.com](http://www.klinegroup.com)].

By altering the precursor, different oxides of a given metal can be obtained. By adjusting the temperature and pressure of the  $\text{scH}_2\text{O}$  medium, it is possible to control particle size. Such control is important for the production of optical  $\text{TiO}_2$  coatings (see [Box 21.3](#)).

In [Section 8.6](#), we described metal ammine and amido complex formation in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ . In  $\text{scNH}_3$ ,  $\text{FeCl}_2$  and  $\text{FeBr}_2$  form the complexes  $[\text{Fe}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{X}_2$  ( $\text{X}=\text{Cl}, \text{Br}$ ) at 670 K, while reactions of Fe or Mn and  $\text{I}_2$  in  $\text{scNH}_3$  yield  $[\text{M}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{I}_2$  ( $\text{M}=\text{Fe}$  or  $\text{Mn}$ ). At 600 MPa and 670–870 K, the reaction of Mn with  $\text{scNH}_3$  gives the manganese nitride,  $\text{Mn}_3\text{N}_2$ . Single crystals of this compound can be grown by adding  $\text{I}_2$ , K or Rb to the reaction mixture, resulting in the formation of  $[\text{Mn}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{I}_2$ ,  $\text{K}_2[\text{Mn}(\text{NH}_2)_4]$  or  $\text{Rb}_2[\text{Mn}(\text{NH}_2)_4]$  prior to  $\text{Mn}_3\text{N}_2$ . Similarly,  $\gamma\text{-Fe}_4\text{N}$  is obtained from  $[\text{Fe}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{I}_2$  in  $\text{scNH}_3$  at 600–800 MPa and 730–850 K. The reaction of  $\text{CrI}_2$  in  $\text{scNH}_3$  at 773 K and 600 MPa yields  $[\text{Cr}_2(\text{NH}_3)_6(\mu\text{-NH}_2)_3]\text{I}_3$  which contains cation **8.28**.






(8.28)

Supercritical amines have been found to be useful solvents for the assembly of complex metal sulfides, including  $\text{K}_2\text{Ag}_6\text{S}_4$  (reaction 8.86),  $\text{KAgSbS}_4$ ,  $\text{Rb}_2\text{AgSbS}_4$ ,  $\text{KAg}_2\text{SbS}_4$ ,  $\text{KAg}_2\text{AsS}_4$  and  $\text{RbAg}_2\text{SbS}_4$ . Use of  $\text{scNH}_3$  allows these solid state compounds to be prepared at lower temperatures than more traditional routes used to synthesize related compounds such as  $\text{SrCu}_2\text{SnS}_4$ .



If the  $\text{K}^+$  or  $\text{Rb}^+$  ions in this type of compound are replaced by  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  (equation 8.87),  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{La}^{3+}$  (reaction 8.88) or  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$  (reaction 8.89), the products contain  $[\text{M}(\text{NH}_3)_n]^{2+}$  or  $[\text{M}(\text{NH}_3)_n]^{3+}$  ions. For  $\text{La}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$ , these represent the first examples of homoleptic lanthanoid ammine complexes.

A *homoleptic complex* is of the type  $[\text{ML}_x]^{n+}$  where all the ligands are identical. In a *heteroleptic complex*, the ligands attached to the metal ion are not all identical.



## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- non-aqueous solvent
- relative permittivity
- coordinating solvent
- protic solvent
- aprotic solvent
- solvent-oriented acid and base
- levelling effect
- differentiating effect
- conductimetric titration
- superacid
- ionic liquids (molten or fused) salts
- eutectic
- supercritical fluid

## Further reading

### General: non-aqueous solvents

- C.C. Addison (1980) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 80, p. 21 – An article focusing on the uses of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{HNO}_3$  in non-aqueous systems.
- J.R. Chipperfield (1999) *Non-Aqueous Solvents*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A book in the OUP ‘Primer’ series which gives a good introduction to the topic.
- R.J. Gillespie and J. Passmore (1971) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 4, p. 413 – An article that highlights the uses of non-aqueous solvents ( $\text{HF}$ ,  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{HSO}_3\text{F}$ ) in the preparation of polycations.
- Gmelin Handbook of Inorganic Chemistry* (1982): *Fluorine*, Supplement volume 3, System number 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – This includes a detailed account of solubilities and reactions in liquid  $\text{HF}$ .
- K.M. Mackay, R.A. Mackay and W. Henderson (1996) *Modern Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Blackie, London – Chapter 6 gives a general introduction to non-aqueous solvents.
- G. Mamantov and A.I. Popov, eds (1994) *Chemistry of Non-aqueous Solutions: Recent Advances*, VCH, New York – A collection of reviews covering up-to-date topics in the field of non-aqueous solvents.
- A.G. Massey (1990) *Main Group Chemistry*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester – Chapter 12 includes an introduction to non-aqueous solvents.
- T.A. O'Donnell (2001) *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, p. 21 – A review illustrating the generality of inorganic solute speciation in different ionizing solvents.

### Metals in liquid $\text{NH}_3$

- J.L. Dye (1984) *Progress in Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 32, p. 327.
- P.P. Edwards (1982) *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry and Radiochemistry*, vol. 25, p. 135.

### Superacids

- R.J. Gillespie (1968) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 1, p. 202.

G.A. Olah, G.K.S. Prakash and J. Sommer (1985) *Superacids*, Wiley, New York.

G.A. Olah, G.K.S. Prakash and J. Sommer (1979) *Science*, vol. 206, p. 13.

### Ionic liquids

J.H. Davies, Jr and P.A. Fox (2003) *Chemical Communications*, p. 1209.

C.M. Gordon (2001) *Applied Catalysis A*, vol. 222, p. 101.

C.L. Hussey (1983) *Advances in Molten Salt Chemistry*, vol. 5, p. 185.

H. Olivier-Bourbigou and L. Magna (2002) *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A*, vol. 182–183, p. 419.

K.R. Seddon (1997) *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, vol. 68, p. 351.

R. Sheldon (2001) *Chemical Communications*, p. 239.

P. Wasserscheid and W. Keim (2000) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 39, p. 3772.

### Supercritical fluids

D. Bröll, C. Kaul, A. Krämer, P. Krammer, T. Richter, M. Jung, H. Vogel and P. Zehner (1999) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 38, p. 2998.

M.J. Clarke, K.L. Harrison, K.P. Johnston and S.M. Howdle (1997) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 119, p. 6399.

J.A. Darr and M. Poliakoff (1999) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 99, p. 495; other articles in this same issue of *Chemical Reviews* deal with various aspects of supercritical fluids.

M.A. McHigh and V.J. Krukonis (1994) *Supercritical Fluid Extraction, Principles and Practice*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Stoneham.

R.S. Oakes, A.A. Clifford and C.M. Rayner (2001) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 1*, p. 917.

## Problems

- 8.1** (a) Give four examples of non-aqueous solvents commonly used in *organic* chemistry, and give one example of a reaction that is carried out in each solvent. (b) Assess the relative importance of the use of aqueous and non-aqueous media in organic and inorganic *general* synthesis.
- 8.2** Explain what is meant by the relative permittivity of a solvent. What information does this property provide in terms of assisting you to choose a solvent for a given reaction?
- 8.3** Which of the following solvents are polar: (a) acetonitrile; (b) water; (c) acetic acid; (d) fluorosulfonic acid; (e) dichloromethane; (f) bromine trifluoride; (g) hexane; (h) THF; (i) DMF; (j) liquid sulfur dioxide; (k) benzene?
- 8.4** Suggest likely products for the following reactions (which are balanced on the left-hand sides) in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ .
- (a)  $\text{ZnI}_2 + 2\text{KNH}_2 \longrightarrow$
- (b) Zinc-containing product of (a) with an excess of  $\text{KNH}_2$
- (c)  $\text{Mg}_2\text{Ge} + 4\text{NH}_4\text{Br} \longrightarrow$
- (d)  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H} + \text{NH}_3 \longrightarrow$
- (e)  $\text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Na in liquid NH}_3}$
- (f)  $\text{HC}\equiv\text{CH} + \text{KNH}_2 \longrightarrow$
- How does reaction (d) differ from the behaviour of  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$  in aqueous solution?
- 8.5** Discuss the following observations:
- (a) Zinc dissolves in a solution of sodium amide in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  with liberation of  $\text{H}_2$ ; careful addition of ammonium iodide to the resulting solution produces a white precipitate which dissolves if an excess of ammonium iodide is added.
- (b) Addition of K to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  results in a vigorous reaction; addition of K to liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  gives a bright blue solution, which over a period of time liberates  $\text{H}_2$ .
- 8.6** Early in the study of chemical reactions in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , it was noted that nitrogen compounds behave in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  in a manner similar to analogous oxygen-containing species in water. For example,  $\text{K}[\text{NH}_2]$  has an analogue in  $\text{K}[\text{OH}]$ , and  $[\text{NH}_4]\text{Cl}$  is analogous to  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]\text{Cl}$ . What would be the corresponding compounds in the nitrogen system to the following from the oxygen system: (a)  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ; (b)  $\text{HgO}$ ; (c)  $\text{HNO}_3$ ; (d)  $\text{MeOH}$ ; (e)  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$ ; (f)  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Cl}_3$ ?
- 8.7** Give an explanation for the following observations:  $\text{AlF}_3$  has only a low solubility in liquid  $\text{HF}$ , but a combination of  $\text{NaF}$  and  $\text{AlF}_3$  leads to dissolution of the reagents; when  $\text{BF}_3$  is added to the solution, a precipitate forms.
- 8.8** Write equations to show what happens when each of the following dissolves in liquid  $\text{HF}$ : (a)  $\text{ClF}_3$ ; (b)  $\text{MeOH}$ ; (c)  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ ; (d)  $\text{CsF}$ ; (e)  $\text{SrF}_2$ ; (f)  $\text{HClO}_4$ .
- 8.9**  $\text{H}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_7$  functions as a monobasic acid in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . (a) Write an equation to show what happens when  $\text{H}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_7$  dissolves in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . (b) Assess the strength of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_7$  as an acid given that the ionization constant is  $1.4 \times 10^{-2}$ .
- 8.10** Suggest (giving equations) how the following species behave in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ : (a)  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; (b)  $\text{NH}_3$ ; (c)  $\text{HCO}_2\text{H}$  (given that it decomposes); (d)  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  (if  $\nu = 2$ ;  $\gamma = 1$ ); (e)  $\text{HCl}$  (if  $\nu = 3$ ;  $\gamma = 1$ ).
- 8.11** Compare the behaviour of nitric acid in aqueous and sulfuric acid solutions, giving examples from both inorganic and organic chemistries of the uses of  $\text{HNO}_3$  in these two media.
- 8.12** Discuss the following observations:
- (a) The alkene  $\text{Ph}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}_2$  forms a conducting solution in liquid  $\text{HCl}$ ; when such a solution is titrated conductometrically with a solution of  $\text{BCl}_3$  in liquid  $\text{HCl}$ , a sharp end point is reached when the molar ratio of  $\text{Ph}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}_2:\text{BCl}_3$  is 1:1.

(b) For a solution of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , values of  $\nu = 6$  and  $\gamma = 3$  have been determined.

**8.13** Confirm that the structures of  $[\text{BrF}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{BrF}_4]^-$  (**8.16** and **8.17**) are consistent with VSEPR theory.

**8.14** How would you attempt to demonstrate that  $\text{AsCl}_3$  ionizes slightly according to the equation:



and that there exist acids and bases in the  $\text{AsCl}_3$  system?

**8.15** (a) Describe the bonding in the  $[\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7]^-$  anion (**8.18**).

(b) Equilibria 8.78 and 8.79 describe part of the  $\text{NaCl}-\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$  system; additionally  $[\text{Al}_3\text{Cl}_{10}]^-$  is present. Write an equation to show how  $[\text{Al}_3\text{Cl}_{10}]^-$  may be formed, and suggest a structure for this anion.

**8.16** Suggest structures for the  $[\text{BiCl}_5]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Bi}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  anions, the formation of which was described in Section 8.12.

## Overview problems

**8.17** (a) Which of the following compounds behave as acids in liquid HF:  $\text{ClF}_3$ ,  $\text{BF}_3$ ,  $\text{SbF}_5$ ,  $\text{SiF}_4$ ? Write equations to explain this behaviour.

(b) The salt  $[\text{S}_8][\text{AsF}_6]_2$  can be isolated from the following reaction:



What roles does  $\text{AsF}_5$  play in this reaction?

(c) By first considering its reaction in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , suggest how Na might react in liquid  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ .

**8.18** When gallium is dissolved in a solution of  $\text{KOH}$  in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , a salt  $\text{K[I]}$  is formed which is an amido complex of  $\text{Ga(III)}$ . Heating one equivalent of  $\text{K[I]}$  at 570 K under vacuum liberates two equivalents of  $\text{NH}_3$ , and produces a  $\text{Ga(III)}$  imido complex  $\text{K[II]}$ . Partial neutralization of  $\text{K[I]}$  with  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  yields  $\text{Ga(NH}_2)_3$ . Suggest identities for the salts  $\text{K[I]}$  and  $\text{K[II]}$ , and write equations for the thermal decomposition and partial neutralization reactions of  $\text{K[I]}$ . Hint: an *imido* complex formally contains  $\text{NH}^{2-}$ .

**8.19** (a)  $\text{SbCl}_3$  may be used as a non-aqueous solvent above its melting point. Suggest a possible self-ionization process for this solvent.

(b) Explain why the reaction of  $\text{NOCl}$  with  $\text{AgNO}_3$  in liquid  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  can be classed as a neutralization process. Write an equation for the reaction and compare it with that of  $\text{HCl}$  with  $\text{Ca(OH)}_2$  in aqueous solution.

(c) In water,  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  precipitates as  $\text{Cr(OH)}_3$  at pH 7, forms  $[\text{Cr(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^{3+}$  in strongly acidic solution (e.g.  $\text{HClO}_4$ ), and  $[\text{Cr(OH)}_4]^-$  in basic solution. Suggest what  $\text{Cr(III)}$  species are present in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  as the pH is varied.

**8.20** Suggest explanations for the following observations.

(a) In aqueous solution,  $\text{AgNO}_3$  and  $\text{KCl}$  react to give a precipitate of  $\text{AgCl}$ , whereas in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{KNO}_3$  and  $\text{AgCl}$  react to produce a precipitate of  $\text{KCl}$ .

(b)  $\text{Mg}$  dissolves in a concentrated solution of  $\text{NH}_4\text{I}$  in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ .

(c) Most common 'acids' behave as bases in liquid  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

(d)  $\text{HClO}_4$  is fully ionized in water and is strongly dissociated in pure (glacial) acetic acid; in liquid  $\text{HSO}_3\text{F}$ , the following reaction occurs:



# Chapter 9

# Hydrogen

## TOPICS

- The hydrogen and hydride ions
- Isotopes of hydrogen
- Dihydrogen
- Polar and non-polar E–H bonds
- Hydrogen bonding
- Classes of binary hydrides

|    |    |         |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|----|----|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1  | 2  |         | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| H  |    |         |    |    |    |    |    | He |
| Li | Be |         | B  | C  | N  | O  | F  | Ne |
| Na | Mg |         | Al | Si | P  | S  | Cl | Ar |
| K  | Ca | d-block | Ga | Ge | As | Se | Br | Kr |
| Rb | Sr |         | In | Sn | Sb | Te | I  | Xe |
| Cs | Ba |         | Tl | Pb | Bi | Po | At | Rn |
| Fr | Ra |         |    |    |    |    |    |    |

## 9.1 Hydrogen: the simplest atom

An atom of hydrogen consists of one proton (constituting the nucleus) and one electron. This simplicity of atomic structure means that H is of great importance in theoretical chemistry, and has been central in the development of atomic and bonding theories (see [Chapter 1](#)). The nuclear properties of the hydrogen atom are essential to the technique of  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy (see [Section 2.11](#)).

In this chapter, we extend our discussions of hydrogen, looking at the properties of the  $\text{H}^+$  and  $\text{H}^-$  ions, properties and reactivity of  $\text{H}_2$ , and aspects of binary hydrides.

A *binary compound* is one composed of only two different elements.


## 9.2 The $\text{H}^+$ and $\text{H}^-$ ions

### The hydrogen ion (proton)


The ionization energy of hydrogen (defined for reaction 9.1) is  $1312 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , a value that is high enough to preclude the existence of  $\text{H}^+$  ions under ordinary conditions.



However, as we discussed in Chapter 6, the *hydrated* proton or *oxonium ion*,  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$ , is an important species in aqueous solution;  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ(\text{H}^+, \text{g}) = -1091 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  (see [Section 6.9](#)). The  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$  ion (9.1) is a well-defined species which has been crystallographically characterized in various salts. The ions  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  (Figure 9.1) and  $[\text{H}_9\text{O}_4]^+$  have also been isolated in crystalline acid hydrates. The  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{H}_9\text{O}_4]^+$  ions are members of the general family of hydrated protons  $[\text{H}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n]^+$  ( $n = 1$  to  $\approx 20$ ) and we return to these ions when we discuss hydrogen bonding in [Section 9.6](#).



When crystals of a compound are grown from a solvent, they may contain *solvent of crystallization*; if the solvent is water, the compound is a *hydrate*. The formula of the solvated compound shows the molar ratio in which the solvent of crystallization is present, e.g.  $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate or copper(II) sulfate–water (1/5).



**Fig. 9.1** The structure of  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  determined by neutron diffraction in the compound  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6][\text{H}_5\text{O}_2][\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]_4$ . [F.A. Cotton *et al.* (1984) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 106, p. 5319.]

## The hydride ion

The enthalpy change  $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H(298\text{ K})$  (see [Section 1.10](#)) associated with the attachment of an electron to an H atom (reaction 9.2) is  $-73\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .




All alkali metal hydrides (see [Sections 9.7](#) and [10.4](#)) crystallize with the NaCl lattice. From diffraction data and the ionic radii of the metal ions ([Appendix 6](#)) the radius of  $\text{H}^-$  can be estimated using equation 9.3; it varies from 130 pm (in LiH) to 154 pm (in CsH) and can be considered similar to that of  $\text{F}^-$  (133 pm).

$$\text{Internuclear distance} = r_{\text{cation}} + r_{\text{anion}} \quad (9.3)$$

The large increase in size on going from the H atom ( $r_{\text{cov}} = 37\text{ pm}$ ) to the  $\text{H}^-$  ion arises from interelectronic repulsion when a second electron enters the  $1s$  atomic orbital. The smaller  $r_{\text{H}^-}$  in LiH may suggest some degree of covalent bonding, but calculated and experimental values of lattice energies (see [Sections 5.13](#) to [5.16](#)) for each of the group 1 metal hydrides are in good agreement, suggesting that an electrostatic model is appropriate for each compound.

Hydrides of the  $s$ -block metals (excluding Be) can be made by heating the metal with  $\text{H}_2$ .



When we compare  $\Delta_{\text{r}}H$  for reaction 9.4 with those for the formations of  $\text{F}^-$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  from  $\text{F}_2$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$  ( $-249$  and  $-228\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , respectively), we understand why, since  $\text{H}^-$  is about the same size as  $\text{F}^-$ , ionic hydrides are relatively unstable species with respect to dissociation into their constituent elements. Salt-like hydrides of metals in high oxidation states are most unlikely to exist. (More about binary hydrides in [Section 9.7](#).)

## 9.3 Isotopes of hydrogen

### Protium and deuterium

Hydrogen possesses three isotopes, *protium*, *deuterium* and *tritium*, selected properties of which are given in Table 9.1. The isotopes of hydrogen exhibit greater differences in physical and chemical properties than isotopes of any other element. The origin of the differences between H and D, or between pairs of compounds such as  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ , lies in the difference in mass, which in turn affects their fundamental vibrational wavenumbers and zero point energies (see [Figure 2.7](#) and [worked example 2.4](#)). The fundamental vibrations for  $\text{H}_2$ , HD and  $\text{D}_2$  are at  $4159$ ,  $3630$  and  $2990\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively, and from these data, the zero-point energies of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{D}_2$  are calculated to be  $26.0$  and  $18.4\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , respectively. The total electronic binding energies for these molecules (represented by the overlap of their atomic wavefunctions) are the *same*, and so it follows that their dissociation energies (e.g. [Figure 2.7](#)) differ by  $7.6\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , with the D–D bond being stronger than the H–H bond. Similarly, an X–D bond is stronger than the corresponding X–H bond (where X is any element), and this difference is the basis of the kinetic isotope effect (see [Section 2.9](#)).

### Deuterated compounds

A deuterium label in heavy water is indicated by writing  $[\text{}^2\text{H}_2]\text{water}$  or water- $d_2$ , and similarly for other labelled compounds.

Compounds in which H atoms have been replaced by D are used for a variety of purposes, e.g. as solvents in  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy (see [Box 2.4](#)). In a fully deuterated material, the D-for-H exchange can have significant effects on the properties of the compound as is shown in Table 9.2 for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ . The difference in boiling points indicates that intermolecular hydrogen bonding (see [Sections 6.2](#) and [9.6](#)) is stronger in  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  than in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The major industrial use of  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  is as a moderator in nuclear reactors; D has a much lower cross-section for neutron capture than H, and  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  is a suitable material for reducing the energies of fast neutrons (see [Section 2.4](#)) produced in fission without appreciably diminishing the neutron flux.

**Table 9.1** Selected properties of the isotopes of hydrogen.

|                      | Protium           | Deuterium         | Tritium               |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Symbols <sup>‡</sup> | $^1\text{H}$ or H | $^2\text{H}$ or D | $^3\text{H}$ or T     |
| Natural abundance    | 99.985%           | 0.0156%           | <1 in $10^{17}$ atoms |
| Isotopic mass/u      | 1.0078            | 2.0141            | 3.0160                |
| Nuclear spin         | $\frac{1}{2}$     | 1                 | $\frac{1}{2}$         |

<sup>‡</sup> Strictly,  $^1\text{H}$  should be written as  $^1_1\text{H}$ ,  $^2\text{H}$  as  $^2_1\text{H}$  and  $^3\text{H}$  as  $^3_1\text{H}$ , but the less rigorous symbols are generally used.

**Table 9.2** Selected properties of H<sub>2</sub>O and D<sub>2</sub>O ('heavy water').

| Property   | H <sub>2</sub> O      | D <sub>2</sub> O      |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Melting point / K  | 273.00                | 276.83                |
| Boiling point / K  | 373.00                | 374.42                |
| Temperature of maximum density / K <sup>‡</sup>                          | 277.0                 | 284.2                 |
| Maximum density / g cm <sup>-3</sup>                                     | 0.999 95              | 1.105 3               |
| Relative permittivity (at 298 K)   | 78.39                 | 78.06                 |
| K <sub>w</sub> (at 298 K)  | 1 × 10 <sup>-14</sup> | 2 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> |
| Symmetric stretch, * $\bar{\nu}_1$ (gaseous molecule) / cm <sup>-1</sup> | 3657                  | 2671                  |

<sup>‡</sup> See Figure 6.2.

\* The symmetric stretching mode is illustrated (for SO<sub>2</sub>) in Figure 3.12.

Many fully or partially deuterated compounds are available commercially, and the extent of *deuterium labelling* (see [Section 2.9](#)) can be determined by mass spectrometry, density measurements (after conversion into water) or IR spectroscopy.

## Tritium

Tritium (Table 9.1) occurs in the upper atmosphere and is formed naturally by reaction 9.5, involving neutrons arriving from outer space. Tritium (see [Section 2.8](#)) was first obtained synthetically by the bombardment of deuterium compounds such as [ND<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with fast neutrons, but is now prepared from lithium deuteride, LiF or Mg/Li enriched in <sup>6</sup>Li (equation 9.6).



Tritium is radioactive, a weak β-emitter with  $t_{1/2} = 12.3$  yr. It is used extensively as a tracer, in both chemical and biochemical studies; its weak radioactivity, rapid excretion and failure to concentrate in vulnerable organs make it one of the least toxic radioisotopes.

## 9.4 Dihydrogen

### Occurrence

Hydrogen is the most abundant element in the universe, and, after oxygen and silicon, is the third most abundant element on Earth, where it occurs mainly in the form of water or combined with carbon in organic molecules (hydrocarbons, plant and animal material). In the Earth's atmosphere (see Figure 14.1b), H<sub>2</sub> occurs to an extent of less than 1 ppm by volume, but those of Jupiter, Neptune, Saturn and Uranus contain large amounts of H<sub>2</sub> (see [Box 9.1](#)).



### Physical properties

Dihydrogen is a colourless, odourless gas, sparingly soluble in all solvents, and at 298 K and 1 bar pressure, it conforms

closely to the ideal gas laws. The solid state structure of H<sub>2</sub> can be described in terms of an hcp lattice (see [Section 5.3](#)), but values of the melting point, enthalpy of fusion, boiling point and enthalpy of vaporization are all very low (Table 9.3), consistent with there being only weak van der Waals forces between the H<sub>2</sub> molecules. The covalent bond in H<sub>2</sub> is unusually strong for a single bond in a diatomic molecule.

### Synthesis and uses

In the laboratory, H<sub>2</sub> may be prepared by electrolysis of acidified water (H<sub>2</sub> is liberated at the cathode), but small quantities of H<sub>2</sub> are most conveniently prepared by reactions between dilute acids and suitable metals (e.g. Fe, Zn, equation 9.7), by treating metals that form amphoteric hydroxides (e.g. Zn, Al) with aqueous alkali (equation 9.8) or by reacting metal hydrides with water (equation 9.9).



Group 1 metals liberate H<sub>2</sub> from water (equation 9.10), but such reactions are not suitable for preparative use because of their extreme vigour. Many other metals that, on thermodynamic grounds, would be expected to react in this way are made *kinetically inert* by the presence of a thin film of insoluble metal oxide. Such metals are *passivated*. Although Be is passivated and does not react with water even on heating, the other group 2 metals react with H<sub>2</sub>O to give H<sub>2</sub>, reactivity increasing down the group; Mg does not react with *cold* water.



A metal is *passivated* if it possesses a surface coating of the metal oxide which protects it from reaction with, for example, water.

Dihydrogen has industrial applications, the most important being in the Haber process (see [Sections 14.5](#) and

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 9.1 Metallic character of hydrogen

The atmospheres of Saturn, Uranus, Jupiter and Neptune are rich in H<sub>2</sub>. The *cores* of Saturn and Jupiter are composed of hydrogen subjected to extreme conditions, and it is probable this possesses metallic character. However, establishing the metallic character of hydrogen on Earth is proving to be an extremely difficult task. A report in 1996 from the Livermore Laboratory (US) described how, when a thin layer of *liquid* H<sub>2</sub> is subjected to enormous shock pressure, changes in conductivity are observed that are consistent with the formation of metallic hydrogen. In the experiments, it was observed that at a pressure of 93 GPa (GPa = gigapascal = 10<sup>9</sup> Pa), the resistivity of liquid hydrogen was ≈0.01 Ω m (resistivity: see **Section 5.8**). As the shock compression increased the pressure to 140 GPa, the resistivity of the liquid hydrogen decreased to 5 × 10<sup>-6</sup> Ω m and remained constant up to 180 GPa, the highest pressure tested. A resistivity of 5 × 10<sup>-6</sup> Ω m is typical of a liquid metal; for comparison, that of liquid mercury at 273 K at atmospheric pressure is 9.4 × 10<sup>-7</sup> Ω m. At low pressures, liquid hydrogen contains H<sub>2</sub> molecules; the band gap (see **Section 5.8**) is very large (≈1450 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and the element is an electrical insulator. Subjecting liquid H<sub>2</sub> to huge pressures by shock compression results in a drastic reduction in the band gap. The element passes through a semiconducting stage and finally exhibits electrical conductivity typical of a metal when the band gap is ≈30 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. The tremendous pressure also causes about 10% of the H<sub>2</sub> molecules to dissociate. These results can be applied to update models


for the interior of Jupiter. The radius of Jupiter is 71 400 km, and it is proposed that the pressure and temperature conditions are such that the liquid hydrogen is metallic relatively near (7000 km) to the surface of the planet. The magnetic field on the surface of Jupiter is about 10<sup>-3</sup> T (T = tesla) compared with a field strength of 5 × 10<sup>-5</sup> T on the Earth's surface. The latter is a consequence of the Earth's magnetic iron core; the former arises from Jupiter's fluid hydrogen core and the high field strength is consistent with the metallic state being achieved relatively close to the planet's surface.

Attempts to impart metallic character to *solid* H<sub>2</sub> have so far been unsuccessful. Under extremely high pressures, H<sub>2</sub> (normally a non-polar molecule) undergoes a redistribution of electronic charge such that the ionic contribution to the bonding (represented by the resonance form H<sup>+</sup>–H<sup>-</sup>) becomes important. This remarkable finding may go some way to helping to explain why attempts to form metallic hydrogen in the solid state have not met with success.


## Further reading

- P.P. Edwards and F. Hensel (1997) *Nature*, vol. 388, p. 621 – ‘Will solid hydrogen ever be a metal?’  
 W.J. Nellis (2000) *Scientific American*, May issue, p. 60 – ‘Making metallic hydrogen’.  
[www.llnl.gov/str/Nellis.html](http://www.llnl.gov/str/Nellis.html)

26.7), the hydrogenation of unsaturated fats (to produce, for example, margarine), and the production of organic compounds such as methanol (equation 9.11).



For these industrial uses, H<sub>2</sub> is produced *in situ* (because the very low density and boiling point make transport costs unacceptably high). The reagents in reaction 9.11 are collectively called *synthesis gas*; the mixture is manufactured by the *water-gas shift reaction* – reaction of carbon or a hydrocarbon (e.g. CH<sub>4</sub>) with steam followed by partial treatment of the CO produced with water vapour (equation 9.12).



The CO<sub>2</sub> is absorbed in, for example, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution from which it may be recovered by heating. The ratio of H<sub>2</sub>:CO in the product mix can be altered, making this reaction both a source of synthesis gas and of H<sub>2</sub>. Although equation 9.12 shows *heterogeneous catalysts*, the use of *homogeneous catalysts* is also viable (see **Chapter 26**). Equation 9.12

illustrates the use of CH<sub>4</sub> as the precursor; this represents an oil-based feedstock, and is one of several suitable, low molecular weight hydrocarbons produced in the cracking of crude petroleum. The alternative use of carbon (i.e. coal) means that the water-gas shift reaction can be adapted to meet commercial feedstocks.

In the future, depletion of fossil fuel resources may make H<sub>2</sub> the major alternative source of energy, and an alternative to nuclear power; such a change would lead to the so-called *hydrogen economy*. Energy may be produced directly by combustion (H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> combine explosively, and this reaction is used to power the space shuttle's lift-off) or electrochemically in fuel cells (see **Box 9.2**). The ready availability of H<sub>2</sub>O makes it an attractive raw material, but production of H<sub>2</sub> from H<sub>2</sub>O inevitably requires a large net *input* of energy for which solar sources are environmentally acceptable, e.g. energy collected using photovoltaic cells could be used to electrolyse water. The *photolytic* production of H<sub>2</sub> from H<sub>2</sub>O is also possible, although a catalyst is required since water is transparent to light. Equation 9.13 represents such a process: the catalyst, A, exists in two oxidation states, the oxidized form is A(ox) and the reduced form, A(red). The search for suitable photocatalysts is being actively researched; one example is the complex

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 9.2 Will the fuel cell replace the internal combustion engine?

In 1839, William Grove observed that when the current was switched off in an electrolysis cell using Pt electrodes in which water was being electrolysed to give  $O_2$  and  $H_2$ , a small current continued to flow, but in the opposite direction to the current that had driven the electrolysis cell. The observation constituted the first *fuel cell*, although this name was not introduced until 1889. Chemical energy produced from the reaction:




is efficiently converted into electrical energy. During the twentieth century, there were a number of research efforts to harness the electrical energy from fuel cells. Alkaline fuel cells (containing aqueous KOH electrolyte, carbon electrodes and a Pt catalyst with  $H_2$  as the fuel) and phosphoric acid fuel cells (containing aqueous  $H_3PO_4$  electrolyte, and platinumized carbon electrodes, with  $H_2$  fuel) have been successfully used to produce electrical energy and provide drinking water for the *Gemini*, *Apollo* and space shuttle missions.

Combustion of  $H_2$  produces *only*  $H_2O$  and hydrogen is, therefore, an environmentally clean fuel which is, in principle, ideal for powering the millions of vehicles on our roads. Since 1997, a number of cities worldwide have introduced the Daimler-Benz no-emission bus (the *Nebus*) which contains a fuel cell running on  $H_2$  which is stored in pressurized tanks in the roof-space of the bus. However, applying this technology to the world's transport system as a whole, or even a fraction of it, has significant obstacles. Firstly, to open up a competitive market, any new product from the motor vehicle industry must be as, or more, efficient than vehicles that rely on the internal combustion engine. Apart from performance, factors to be considered include cost, fuel storage and safety; the public perception of  $H_2$  is that of an explosive gas, and most consumers probably consider  $H_2$  to be more hazardous than hydrocarbon fuels. Secondly, the current infrastructure (e.g. fuel distribution and refuelling) for vehicle transport systems is designed for carbon-based fuels. A change to hydrogen-based fuel would be enormously expensive.

Driven largely by environmental legislation for pollution control, the end of the twentieth century saw the motor industry becoming heavily involved in fuel cell development. The industry's current strategy is for fuel cells to be powering millions of vehicles by 2020. One of the problems that vehicle manufacturers must overcome is the form in which hydrogen fuel should be delivered and stored. Dihydrogen is the ideal solution, since combustion gives a completely pollution-free waste product (so-called 'zero emission'). In terms of the stored energy *per unit mass*,  $H_2$  supplies  $120 \text{ MJ kg}^{-1}$ . However, one must consider what this means in terms of the required *volume* of  $H_2$  that has to be stored on board a vehicle to permit an acceptable operating distance between refuelling stops. Two possible ways of storing  $H_2$  are in a pressurized gas tank or in a cryogenic system (i.e. liquid  $H_2$  at 20 K). The stored energy capacity of  $H_2$  *per unit volume*

is  $\approx 2.8 \text{ GJ m}^{-3}$  at a pressure of 35 MPa, or  $\approx 8.5 \text{ GJ m}^{-3}$  for liquid  $H_2$  at 20 K. The chart below illustrates that, in terms of stored energy per unit mass,  $H_2$  appears an excellent fuel when compared with a number of carbon-based fuels. However, it compares unfavourably when considered in terms of stored energy per unit volume.



[Data: B. McEnaney (2003) *Chemistry in Britain*, vol. 39 (January issue), p. 24]


The US Department of Energy has proposed that manufacturers should aim for a target of  $9 \text{ GJ m}^{-3}$  of  $H_2$  in a fuel cell-powered vehicle. Whereas pressurized  $H_2$  gas falls short of this target, the chart above shows that interstitial metal hydrides (see *Section 9.7*) can store around  $12 \text{ GJ m}^{-3}$  and may be a realistic option for the storage of  $H_2$  in vehicles. Another possibility is the use of carbon-based materials such as activated carbon (see *Box 13.2*) and carbon nanotubes (see end of *Section 13.4*) which can absorb  $H_2$  into pores within their structures. It is still not clear what the maximum hydrogen-storage capacities of these materials are, and research in this area is extremely active. At the end of 2002, Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc. announced the delivery of two fuel-cell vehicles to the University of California, Irvine, and University of California, Davis, and in a press statement (<http://pressroom.toyota.com>), the company used this to mark the 'first step in a plan to establish California fuel-cell community partnerships of government, business and higher education that will tackle product, infrastructure and consumer-acceptance challenges.' The method of storing hydrogen in the *Toyota FCHV* is in high-pressure (35 MPa) storage tanks. The

electrical energy produced in the fuel cell drives the electrical motor for the vehicle, and also recharges a nickel–metal hydride battery which provides a secondary power supply.

An alternative to using a direct  $\text{H}_2$  fuel supply is to refuel a vehicle with a carbon-based fuel such as methanol, and use an on-board fuel processor to transform it into  $\text{H}_2$ . This process has the disadvantage of generating by-products:  $\text{CO}$  and/or  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{N}_2$  or  $\text{NO}_x$  (see *Box 14.8*). Thus, the vehicle is classed as reduced-emission rather than zero-emission. An advantage of using an indirect, rather than direct,  $\text{H}_2$  supply is that there is no longer a need to provide hydrogen-fuel stations. As a consequence, infrastructure costs are reduced.

Finally we come to the fuel cell itself. We have already mentioned the original Grove fuel cell, and the alkaline and phosphoric acid fuel cells used in space technology. Three other types of cell are the molten carbonate fuel cell (with a molten  $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  electrolyte), the solid oxide fuel cell (containing a solid metal oxide electrolyte) and the


polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) fuel cell. Both the molten carbonate and solid oxide fuel cells require high operating temperatures ( $\approx 900$  and  $1300$  K respectively). In the motor industry, most attention is focused on developing the PEM fuel cell. The cell contains a proton-conducting polymer membrane, carbon electrodes and a Pt catalyst. The operating temperature of  $\approx 350$  K is relatively low, and this means that the start-up time is shorter than for the molten carbonate and solid oxide fuel cells. The PEM fuel cell is actually a stack of cells. Each cell is known as a membrane electrode assembly (MEA) and comprises a platinumized carbon-fibre paper anode and cathode separated by a proton-conducting membrane. The latter is typically made from Nafion (a perfluorinated polymer with sulfonic acid groups attached along the backbone). The MEA units (see below) are connected in series by carbon fibre or polypropylene flow field plates, through which  $\text{H}_2$  and air can pass ( $\text{H}_2$  to the anode and  $\text{O}_2$  to the cathode):



The anode and cathode reactions are, respectively:



The passage of protons across the membrane allows the overall energy-producing cell reaction to take place:



Each cell generates about  $0.7$  V, hence the need for the stack of cells to produce sufficient energy for powering an electrical motor.

More in-depth discussion of fuel cells, and the design and manufacturing problems which have to be overcome to make fuel cell-powered vehicles a viable option for the future, can be found in the references given below.

#### Further reading

K.-A. Adamson and P. Pearson (2000) *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 86, p. 548 – ‘Hydrogen and methanol: a

comparison of safety, economics, efficiencies and emissions’.

C. Handley, N.P. Brandon and R. van der Vorst (2002) *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 106, p. 344 – ‘Impact of the European Union vehicle waste directive on end-of-life options for polymer electrolyte fuel cells’.


G. Hoogers and D. Thompsett (1999) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 796 – ‘Releasing the potential of clean power’.

B. McEnaney (2003) *Chemistry in Britain*, vol. 39 (January issue), p. 24 – ‘Go further with  $\text{H}_2$ ’.

B.D. McNichol, D.A.J. Rand and K.R. Williams (2001) *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 100, p. 47 – ‘Fuel cells for road transportation purposes – yes or no?’



D. zur Megede (2002) *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 106, p. 35 – ‘Fuel processors for fuel cell vehicles’.

R.M. Ormerod (2003) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 32, p. 17 – ‘Solid oxide fuel cells’.



**Fig. 9.2** (a) The structure of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$  ( $\text{bpy} = 2,2'$ -bipyridine) determined by X-ray diffraction for the compound  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3][\text{PF}_6]_3$  [M. Biner *et al.* (1992) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 114, p. 5197], and (b) a schematic representation of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$ . Colour code: Ru, red; C, grey; N, blue; H atoms are omitted.

$[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$  (Figure 9.2) which undergoes the reversible redox process 9.14 (see Figure 22.19 and discussion).




A *photolytic process* (*photolysis*) is initiated by light; in an equation, this is indicated by  $h\nu$  over the arrow; the reactants are said to be *photolysed*.

Photosynthesis uses sunlight as the energy source; conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  into carbohydrates and  $\text{O}_2$  by chlorophyll-containing plants is tantamount to photolysis of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  followed by reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  by  $\text{H}_2$ . This natural process can be modified so that some  $\text{H}_2$  is liberated, and certain blue-green algae are effective for this purpose.

These methods of  $\text{H}_2$  production remain at the experimental stage, but are of great potential importance.

## Reactivity

Dihydrogen is not very reactive under ambient conditions, but the lack of reactivity is kinetic (rather than thermodynamic) in origin, and arises from the strength of the H–H bond (Table 9.3). The branching-chain reaction of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  is initiated by sparking and the resulting explosion (or ‘pop’ on a small scale) is well known in the qualitative test for  $\text{H}_2$ . Part of the reaction scheme is given (in a simplified form) in equations 9.15–9.19; efficient branching results in a rapid, explosive reaction, and is the reason why it is effective in rocket fuels.



Halogens react with  $\text{H}_2$  (equation 9.20) with the ease of reaction decreasing down group 17. Even at low temperatures,  $\text{F}_2$  reacts explosively with  $\text{H}_2$  in a radical chain reaction. In the light-induced reaction of  $\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2$ , the initiation step is the homolytic cleavage of the Cl–Cl bond to give  $\text{Cl}^{\cdot}$  radicals (equation 9.21) which react with  $\text{H}_2$  to give  $\text{H}^{\cdot}$  and  $\text{HCl}$  in one of a series of steps in the radical chain;  $\text{HCl}$  can be formed in either a propagation or a termination step.




Reactions of  $\text{H}_2$  with  $\text{Br}_2$  or  $\text{I}_2$  occur only at higher temperatures and also involve the initial fission of the  $\text{X}_2$  molecule. For  $\text{Br}_2$  (but not for  $\text{I}_2$ ) the mechanism is a radical chain (equation sequence 9.22).


**Table 9.3** Selected physical properties of  $\text{H}_2$ .

| Physical property  | Value  |
|--|--------|
| Melting point / K  | 13.66  |
| Boiling point / K  | 20.13  |
| Enthalpy of vaporization / $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$              | 0.904  |
| Enthalpy of fusion / $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                    | 0.117  |
| Density (273 K) / $\text{g dm}^{-3}$                         | 0.090  |
| Bond dissociation enthalpy / $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$            | 435.99 |
| Interatomic distance / pm                                    | 74.14  |
| Standard entropy (298 K) / $\text{J K}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1}$ | 130.7  |

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Box 9.3 The  $[\text{H}_3]^+$  ion

The equilateral triangular  $[\text{H}_3]^+$  ion may appear to be a theoretical novelty, and indeed, it has been the subject of many theoretical studies. However, just as Jupiter has provided challenges in regard to metallic hydrogen (see *Box 9.1*), it has also proved to be the source of exciting spectroscopic data, analysis of which has confirmed the existence of  $[\text{H}_3]^+$ . The atmosphere of Jupiter consists mainly of dihydrogen, and the formation of  $[\text{H}_3]^+$  has been explained in terms of the ionization of  $\text{H}_2$ , brought about by collisions between  $\text{H}_2$  molecules and charged particles (with *extremely* high kinetic energies) which originate from Jupiter's magnetosphere:




It is proposed that further collisions between  $\text{H}_2$  and  $[\text{H}_2]^+$  lead to the formation of  $[\text{H}_3]^+$ .



The chemistry of this cation in the atmospheres of Jupiter and Uranus is a subject for future research.

## Further reading

- L.M. Grafton, T.R. Geballe, S. Miller, J. Tennyson and G.E. Ballester (1993) *Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 405, p. 761 – 'Detection of trihydrogen(1+) ion from Uranus'.  
 S. Miller and J. Tennyson (1992) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 22, p. 281 – ' $[\text{H}_3]^+$  in space'.  
 J. Tennyson and S. Miller (2001) *Spectrochimica Acta Part A*, vol. 57, p. 661 – 'Spectroscopy of  $\text{H}_3^+$  and its impact on astrophysics'.




Dihydrogen reacts with many metals when heated to give metal hydrides,  $\text{MH}_n$ , although these are not necessarily stoichiometric (e.g.  $\text{TiH}_{1.7}$ , see *Section 5.7*). By the action of an electric discharge,  $\text{H}_2$  is partially dissociated into atoms, particularly at low pressures. This provides a reactive source of the element, and facilitates combination with

elements (e.g. Sn and As) that do not react directly with  $\text{H}_2$ .


The reaction between  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2$  (equation 9.23) is of major industrial importance. However, the reaction is extremely slow and mixtures of  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2$  remain indefinitely unchanged; manipulation of the temperature and pressure and the use of a catalyst are essential. (More about catalysts and their industrial applications in *Chapter 26*.)



Interaction between a catalytic surface and  $\text{H}_2$  weakens and aids cleavage of the H–H bond (Figure 9.3). On an




**Fig. 9.3** A schematic representation of the interaction of an  $\text{H}_2$  molecule with a metal surface to give *adsorbed* hydrogen atoms. The scheme does not imply anything about the detailed mechanism of the process. Further details about heterogeneous catalysis are given in Chapter 26.



**Fig. 9.4** The direction of the dipole moment in a polar E–H bond depends upon the relative electronegativity values; Pauling electronegativity values,  $\chi^P$ , are given in [Appendix 7](#).

industrial scale, the hydrogenation of enormous numbers of unsaturated organic compounds is carried out on surfaces of metals such as Ni, Pd and Pt. The use of homogeneous catalysts is becoming increasingly important, e.g. reaction 9.24 (the *hydroformylation process*).



## 9.5 Polar and non-polar E–H bonds

Although we refer to compounds of the type  $\text{EH}_n$  (E = any element) as *hydrides*, and this tends to suggest the presence of  $\text{H}^-$  (or at least,  $\text{H}^{\delta-}$ ), the difference in electronegativity values between E and H means that the E–H bond may be non-polar, or polar in either of the senses shown in Figure 9.4. For H,  $\chi^P = 2.2$  and a number of E–H bonds in which E is a *p*-block element (e.g. B–H, C–H, Si–H, P–H) are essentially non-polar. Since metals are electro-positive, the H atom in an M–H bond carries a  $\delta^-$  partial charge. In contrast, N, O and F are more electronegative than H, and in N–H, O–H and F–H bonds, the H atom carries a  $\delta^+$  partial charge.

The molecular environment of an E–H bond also influences the magnitude of the bond dipole and properties associated with the bond. This is demonstrated by a comparison of the  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values for  $\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$  ( $\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 4.75$ ) and  $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$  ( $\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 0.23$ ).

## 9.6 Hydrogen bonding

### The hydrogen bond

A *hydrogen bond* is formed between an H atom attached to an electronegative atom, and an electronegative atom that possesses a lone pair of electrons.

Physical and solid state structural data for many compounds provide evidence for the formation of intermolecular


hydrogen bonds. Such interactions arise between an H atom attached to an electronegative atom, and an electronegative atom bearing a lone pair of electrons, i.e.  $\text{X}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Y}$  where atom Y may or may not be the same as X. It is not necessary for the electronegative atom X to be highly electronegative for there to be a meaningful hydrogen-bonded interaction. Thus, in addition to hydrogen bonds of the type F–H $\cdots$ F, O–H $\cdots$ F, N–H $\cdots$ F, O–H $\cdots$ O, N–H $\cdots$ O, O–H $\cdots$ N and N–H $\cdots$ N, it is now well recognized that weaker hydrogen bonds, in particular C–H $\cdots$ O interactions, play an important role in the solid state structures of small molecules and biological systems. The wide variety of interactions that are now classed as hydrogen bonds means that the definition of the latter must not be too restrictive. A modern definition of a hydrogen bond which does not rely directly on the concept of electronegativity has been proposed by Steiner:<sup>†</sup>

An X–H $\cdots$ Y interaction is called a *hydrogen bond* if it constitutes a local bond, and if X–H acts as a proton donor to Y.

It is now well recognized that the term ‘hydrogen bonding’ covers a wide range of interactions with a corresponding variation in strengths of interaction. Table 9.4 lists representative examples.

We have already described the hydrogen-bonded network in ice (see [Section 6.2](#)). Here, as in most hydrogen-bonded interactions, the H atom is *asymmetrically* positioned with respect to the two atoms with which it interacts. Association in carboxylic acids (see [Box 9.4](#)) is a consequence of hydrogen bonding. In a typical X–H $\cdots$ Y interaction, the X–H covalent bond is *slightly* longer and weaker than a comparable bond in the absence of hydrogen bonding. In such cases, the interaction may be considered in terms of an electrostatic interaction between a covalently bonded H with a  $\delta^+$  charge, and a lone pair of electrons on the adjacent atom. Some experimental observations cannot be rationalized within a purely electrostatic model, and point towards a covalent contribution, the importance of which increases as the hydrogen bond becomes stronger.

Table 9.4 shows typical values of bond dissociation enthalpies of some hydrogen bonds. The data in the table have been obtained from calculations on isolated species. These enthalpy values are therefore only approximate when applied to hydrogen bonds between molecules in a solid state lattice; enthalpy values for these interactions cannot be measured directly. An example of how the strengths of hydrogen bonds can be obtained experimentally comes from the dissociation of a carboxylic acid dimer *in the vapour state* (equation 9.25).



<sup>†</sup> T. Steiner (2002) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 41, p. 48.

**Table 9.4** Typical values for the enthalpies of dissociation of different types of hydrogen bonds. Values are calculated for gas-phase species.<sup>†</sup>

| Category of hydrogen bond | Hydrogen bond (....)   | Dissociation enthalpy / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Symmetrical               | F...H...F in [HF <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> (see equation 9.26)               | 163  |
| Symmetrical               | O...H...O in [H <sub>5</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>+</sup> (see structure 9.2) | 138  |
| Symmetrical               | N...H...N in [N <sub>2</sub> H <sub>7</sub> ] <sup>+</sup> (see structure 9.4) | 100  |
| Symmetrical               | O...H...O in [H <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> (see structure 9.3) | 96   |
| Asymmetrical              | N-H...O in [NH <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>+</sup> ...OH <sub>2</sub>                  | 80   |
| Asymmetrical              | O-H...Cl in OH <sub>2</sub> ...Cl <sup>-</sup>                                 | 56   |
| Asymmetrical              | O-H...O in OH <sub>2</sub> ...OH <sub>2</sub>                                  | 20   |
| Asymmetrical              | S-H...S in SH <sub>2</sub> ...SH <sub>2</sub>                                  | 5  |
| Asymmetrical              | C-H...O in HC≡CH...OH <sub>2</sub>   | 9  |
| Asymmetrical              | C-H...O in CH <sub>4</sub> ...OH <sub>2</sub>                                  | 1 to 3                                       |

<sup>†</sup> Data are taken from: T. Steiner (2002) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, vol. 41, p. 48.

The position of equilibrium 9.25 is temperature-dependent, and  $\Delta H^\circ$  for the reaction can be obtained from the variation of  $K_p$  with temperature:


$$\frac{d(\ln K)}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT^2}$$

For formic acid (methanoic acid),  $\Delta H^\circ$  for the dissociation in equation 9.25 is found to be +60 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, or the value can be expressed as +30 kJ per mole of hydrogen bonds. This quantity is often referred to as the hydrogen-bond energy, but this is not strictly correct since other bonds change *slightly* when hydrogen bonds are broken (Figures 9.5a and 9.5b).

In some hydrogen-bonded interactions, the H atom is *symmetrically* positioned, e.g. in [HF<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (see Figure 9.8) or [H<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (Figure 9.1). In the formation of [HF<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (equation 9.26), appreciable stretching of the original covalent H–F bond takes place, to give two equivalent H...F interactions.







The bonding in symmetrical X...H...X interactions is best considered in terms of a 3c-2e interaction, i.e. as a delocalized interaction such as was described for B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> in Section 4.7. Each H...F bond is relatively strong (Table 9.4), with the bond dissociation enthalpy being of a similar




**Fig. 9.5** In the vapour state, formic acid exists as both a (a) monomer and (b) dimer, the structures of which have been determined by electron diffraction. (c) In the solid state, a more complex assembly is formed as revealed in a neutron diffraction study of deuterated formic acid, DCO<sub>2</sub>D; the figure shows part of the packing diagram for the unit cell. [A. Albinati *et al.* (1978) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 34, p. 2188.] Distances are in pm. Colour code: C, grey; O, red; H, white; D, yellow.

magnitude to that of the F–F bond in F<sub>2</sub> (158 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>); compare this with the bond dissociation enthalpy of HF (570 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>). Strong, symmetrical hydrogen bonds with covalent character usually occur between like atoms (see Table 9.4). Common examples involve interactions between an acid and its conjugate base where there is no distinction between the donor (X) and acceptor (Y) atoms, e.g. equation 9.26 and structures 9.2–9.5.



Neutron diffraction studies have confirmed that adduct 9.6 contains a strong, symmetrical N⋯H⋯O hydrogen bond at 90 K (O–H = N–H = 126 pm). However, the system is complicated by the observation that the H atom migrates towards the O atom as the temperature is lowered from 200 to 20 K.<sup>†</sup>



The use of the qualitative descriptors ‘strong’, ‘moderate’ (or ‘normal’) and ‘weak’ for hydrogen bonds is common. For example, strong O⋯H⋯O interactions are typified by O⋯O separations close to 240 pm, while moderate O–H⋯O interactions are characterized by longer O⋯O distances, up to ≈280 pm. Accurate neutron and X-ray diffraction data<sup>‡</sup> confirm that for O–H⋯O interactions, shortening of the O⋯O distance from 280 to 240 pm is accompanied by a change from asymmetrical, electrostatic hydrogen bonds to symmetrical, covalent interactions. Strong hydrogen bonds are usually linear (i.e. the X–H–Y

angle is close to 180°), while in ‘moderate’ hydrogen bonds, X–H–Y angles may range from 130° to 180°. The transition from ‘strong’ to ‘moderate’ hydrogen bonds is not clear-cut. So-called ‘weak’ hydrogen bonds involve weak electrostatic interactions or dispersion forces, and include C–H⋯O interactions; we return to these later in the section.

## Trends in boiling points, melting points and enthalpies of vaporization for *p*-block binary hydrides

It is generally expected that the melting and boiling points of members of a series of related molecular compounds increase with increasing molecular size, owing to an increase in intermolecular dispersion forces. This is seen, for example, along a homologous series of alkanes. However, a comparison of the melting and boiling points of *p*-block hydrides, EH<sub>*n*</sub>, provides evidence for hydrogen bonding. Figure 9.6 shows that, for E = group 14 element, melting and boiling points follow the expected trends, but for E = group 15, 16 or 17 element, the first member of the group shows anomalous behaviour, i.e. the melting and boiling points of NH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and HF are higher than expected when compared with their heavier congeners. Figure 9.7 illustrates that values of Δ<sub>vap</sub>H show a similar pattern. It is tempting to think that Figures 9.6 and 9.7 indicate that the hydrogen bonding in H<sub>2</sub>O is stronger than in HF; certainly, the values for H<sub>2</sub>O appear to be particularly high. However, this is not a sound conclusion. Boiling points and values of Δ<sub>vap</sub>H relate to differences between the liquid and gaseous states, and there is independent evidence that while H<sub>2</sub>O is hydrogen-bonded in the liquid but not in the vapour state, HF is strongly hydrogen-bonded in both.

Deviations from Trouton’s empirical rule (equation 9.27) are another way of expressing the data in Figures 9.6 and 9.7. For HF, H<sub>2</sub>O and NH<sub>3</sub>, Δ<sub>vap</sub>S = 116, 109 and 97 J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Hydrogen bonding in each liquid lowers its entropy, and makes the *change* in the entropy on going from liquid to vapour larger than it would have been had hydrogen bonding not played an important role.

$$\text{For liquid} \rightleftharpoons \text{vapour: } \Delta_{\text{vap}}S = \frac{\Delta_{\text{vap}}H}{\text{bp}} \approx 88 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1} \quad (9.27)$$

## Infrared spectroscopy

The IR spectrum of a hydrate, alcohol or carboxylic acid exhibits a characteristic absorption around 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to the ν(OH) mode. The typical broadness of this band can be explained in terms of the involvement of the O–H hydrogen atom in hydrogen bonding. In cases where we can compare the stretching frequencies of the same molecule with and without hydrogen-bonded association

<sup>†</sup> For details, see: T. Steiner, I. Majerz and C.C. Wilson (2001) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, vol. 40, p. 2651.

<sup>‡</sup> P. Gilli *et al.* (1994) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 116, p. 909.




Fig. 9.6 Trends in (a) melting and (b) boiling points for some  $p$ -block hydrides,  $\text{EH}_n$ .

(e.g. liquid water and water vapour), a shift is observed to higher wavenumber as hydrogen bonding is lost. Similar observations are noted for other hydrogen-bonded systems.




Fig. 9.7 Trends in values of  $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H$  (measured at the boiling point of the liquid) for some  $p$ -block hydrides,  $\text{EH}_n$ .

## Solid state structures

The presence of hydrogen bonding has important effects on the solid state structures of many compounds as we have already discussed for ice (Section 6.2) and carboxylic acids (Box 9.4). The solid state structures of some simple carboxylic acids are more complex than one might at first imagine. Figure 9.5c shows part of the solid state packing diagram for deuterated formic acid; the orientation of the  $\text{DCO}_2\text{D}$  molecules allows the assembly of a more extensive hydrogen-bonded network than simple dimers. The solid state structure of acetic acid is similarly complex.


The structure of solid HF consists of zig-zag chains (Figure 9.8a) although the positions of the H atoms are not accurately known. Structural parameters are available for a number of salts containing  $[\text{HF}_2]^-$ , and include neutron diffraction data for the deuterated species. The anion is linear with the H atom positioned symmetrically between the two F atoms (Figure 9.8b); the H–F distance is relatively short, consistent with strong hydrogen bonding (see Table 9.4 and earlier discussion).

In describing the  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$  ion in Section 9.2, we also mentioned  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{H}_9\text{O}_4]^+$ . These latter species belong to a wider group of ions of general formula  $[\text{H}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n]^+$ . In solution, the formation of these ions is relevant to reactions involving proton transfer. Solid state studies, including neutron diffraction studies in which the positions of the H atoms are accurately determined, have provided structural data for the  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{H}_7\text{O}_3]^+$ ,  $[\text{H}_9\text{O}_4]^+$ ,  $[\text{H}_{11}\text{O}_5]^+$  and


## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 9.4 Intermolecular hydrogen bonding in the solid state: carboxylic acids

Figure 6.1 illustrated how hydrogen bonding between  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules in the solid state leads to the formation of a rigid network. Hydrogen bonding between carboxylic acid molecules leads to aggregation in the solid state (see *Figure 9.5*), and for a *difunctional* carboxylic acid such as fumaric acid or benzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid, this has the potential to lead to the formation of ribbon-like arrays.




This potential for constructing network structures can be increased by adding further carboxylic acid groups, although the relative orientations of the functionalities are important if a two- or three-dimensional framework is to be established in the solid state. Benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic acid (trimesic acid) possesses three  $\text{CO}_2\text{H}$  groups, symmetrically disposed about the  $\text{C}_6$ -ring core:




In the solid state, the molecules are held together by hydrogen-bonded interactions to form sheets. These sheets interpenetrate to form a complex array containing channels; part of the solid state lattice (determined by

X-ray diffraction) is shown below:



 Colour code: C, grey; O, red; H, white


Molecules containing carboxylic acid functionalities are not confined to organic systems. For example, the  $\text{C}=\text{C}$  double bond in fumaric acid can interact with a low oxidation state metal centre (see *Chapter 23*) to form organometallic compounds such as  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4(\eta^2\text{-HO}_2\text{CCHCHCO}_2\text{H})$ ; the  $\eta^2$ -prefix (see *Box 18.1*) indicates that the two carbon atoms of the  $\text{C}=\text{C}$  bond of the fumaric acid residue are linked to the Fe centre. Hydrogen bonding can occur between adjacent pairs of molecules as is depicted below, and such interactions extend through the solid state lattice to produce an extensive, three-dimensional array.



 Colour code: Fe, green; O, red; C, grey; H, white

## Further reading


J.S. Moore and S. Lee (1994) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 556 – ‘Crafting molecular based solids’.




**Fig. 9.8** (a) The solid state structure of HF consists of zig-zag chains. (b) The structure of the  $[\text{HF}_2]^-$  ion, determined by X-ray and neutron diffraction for the  $\text{K}^+$  salt.

$[\text{H}_{13}\text{O}_6]^+$  ions. In each ion, hydrogen bonding plays a crucial role. Neutron diffraction data for  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  in  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6][\text{H}_5\text{O}_2][\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]_4$  (see [Figure 9.1](#)) reveal a symmetrical  $\text{O}\cdots\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen-bonded interaction. A neutron diffraction study of the trihydrate of acid **9.7** shows the presence of  $[\text{H}_7\text{O}_3]^+$  along with the conjugate base of acid **9.7**. Within the  $[\text{H}_7\text{O}_3]^+$  unit, the  $\text{O}\cdots\text{O}$  distances are 241.4 and 272.1 pm. In this system, the  $[\text{H}_7\text{O}_3]^+$  ion can be described in terms of  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+ \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  with one ‘strong’ hydrogen bond in the  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  unit and one ‘normal’

hydrogen-bonded interaction between the  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  units. Crown ethers have been used to stabilize  $[\text{H}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n]^+$  ions, the stabilizing factor being the formation of hydrogen bonds between the O atoms of the macrocyclic ligand and the H atoms of the  $[\text{H}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n]^+$  ion. Two examples are shown in Figure 9.9 and illustrate the encapsulation of an  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  ion within a single crown ether, and the association of a chain structure involving alternating crown ether and  $[\text{H}_7\text{O}_3]^+$  ions. In the latter, the bond lengths (structure **9.8**) determined by neutron diffraction show two




**Fig. 9.9** The stabilization in the solid state of  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{H}_7\text{O}_3]^+$  by hydrogen bonding to crown ethers: (a) the structure of dibenzo-24-crown-8; (b) the structure of  $[(\text{H}_5\text{O}_2)(\text{dibenzo-24-crown-8})]^+$  determined for the  $[\text{AuCl}_4]^-$  salt by X-ray diffraction [M. Calleja *et al.* (2001) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 40, p. 4978]; (c) the structure of 15-crown-5; and (d) part of the chain structure of  $[(\text{H}_7\text{O}_3)(15\text{-crown-5})]^+$  determined for the  $[\text{AuCl}_4]^-$  salt by neutron diffraction [M. Calleja *et al.* (2001) *New J. Chem.*, vol. 25, p. 1475]. Hydrogen bonding between the  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{H}_7\text{O}_3]^+$  ions and crown ethers is shown by hashed lines; hydrogen atoms in the crown ethers are omitted for clarity. Colour code: C, grey; O, red; H, white.



**Fig. 9.10** Part of one of the hydrogen-bonded chains in the solid-state structure of  $\text{Me}_2\text{NNO}_2$  determined by neutron diffraction [A. Filhol *et al.* (1980) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 36, p. 575]. Colour code: C, grey; N, blue; O, red; H, white.

asymmetrical hydrogen bonds and this is consistent with  $[\text{H}_7\text{O}_3]^+$  being considered in terms of  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+ \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . No one detailed formulation for a given ion is appropriate in all cases, and the environment and crystal packing of the  $[\text{H}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n]^+$  ions in a given solid state structure influence the detailed bonding description.



Although hydrogen bonds commonly involve F, O or N, this, as we have already mentioned, is not an exclusive picture. Examples include the solid state structure of HCN, which exhibits a linear chain with  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$  interactions, the 1:1 complex formed between acetone and chloroform, and the existence of salts containing the  $[\text{HCl}_2]^-$  anion. Weak (see [Table 9.4](#)), asymmetrical  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bonds play an important role in the assembly of a wide variety of solid state structures ranging from interactions between small molecules to those in biological systems. In the crystal lattice, molecules of  $\text{Me}_2\text{NNO}_2$  are arranged in chains; as Figure 9.10 shows,  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bonds are responsible for this ordered assembly.

## Hydrogen bonding in biological systems

We cannot leave the topic of hydrogen bonding without mentioning its important role in biological systems, one of the best known being the formation of the double helical structure of DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid). The structures of adenine and thymine are exactly matched to permit hydrogen bonding between them, and they are referred to as complementary bases; guanine and cytosine form the second base-pair (Figure 9.11). The hydrogen bonding


between these base-pairs in the strands of DNA leads to the assembly of the double helix.<sup>†</sup>

### Worked example 9.1 Hydrogen bonding

In which of the following mixtures of solvents will there be intermolecular hydrogen bonding between the different solvent molecules: (a)  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  and THF; (b) EtOH and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; (c)  $\text{EtNH}_2$  and  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ ? Give diagrams to show the likely hydrogen-bonded interactions.

In each pair of molecules, look for (i) an electronegative atom in each molecule, and (ii) an H atom attached directly to an electronegative atom in one of the molecules.


(a)  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  and THF



No hydrogen bonding is likely.


(b) EtOH and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$

Hydrogen bonding is possible:



(c)  $\text{EtNH}_2$  and  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$

Hydrogen-bonding is possible:



### Self-study exercises

1. Suggest why  $\text{EtNH}_2$  and EtOH are miscible.
2. Suggest how the solid state structure of benzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid is affected by hydrogen bonding. [Ans. See Box 9.4]
3. Suggest why  $\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$  exists mainly as dimers in hexane, but as monomers in water. [Hint: Compare the abilities of hexane and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to participate in hydrogen bonding.]

<sup>†</sup> For a discussion of DNA, see: C.K. Mathews, K.E. van Holde and K.G. Ahern (2000) *Biochemistry*, 3rd edn, Benjamin/Cummings, New York, Chapter 4.

## 9.7 Binary hydrides: classification and general properties

Detailed chemistries of most of the hydrides are considered in later chapters.

### Classification

The four major classes into which it is convenient to place binary hydrides are:

- metallic
- saline (salt-like)
- molecular
- polymeric

with a number of hydrides falling into intermediate or borderline categories.


### Interstitial metal hydrides

Hydrogen atoms are small enough to occupy the interstitial holes in a metal lattice and the absorption of H<sub>2</sub> by a variety of metals (and also alloys) leads to the formation of metal hydrides in which hydrogen atoms reside in interstitial cavities, *interstitial metal hydrides*. For example, non-stoichiometric hydrides TiH<sub>1.7</sub>, HfH<sub>1.98</sub> and HfH<sub>2.10</sub> are formed when titanium and hafnium react with H<sub>2</sub>. Niobium forms a series of non-stoichiometric hydrides of formula

NbH<sub>x</sub> (0 < x ≤ 1) and at low hydrogen content, the bcc structure of Nb metal is retained. An interesting property of these metal hydrides is their ability to release hydrogen upon heating, and this leads to their use as ‘hydrogen storage vessels’ (see the bar chart in [Box 9.2](#)).

### Saline hydrides

Saline hydrides are formed when the group 1 or 2 metals (except Be) are heated with H<sub>2</sub>. All are white, high melting solids (e.g. LiH, mp = 953 K; NaH, mp = 1073 K with decomposition); the group 1 hydrides crystallize with the NaCl lattice, and the presence of the H<sup>−</sup> ion (see [Section 9.2](#)) is indicated by the good agreement between lattice energies obtained from Born–Haber cycles and from X-ray and compressibility data. Additional evidence comes from the fact that the electrolysis of molten LiH liberates H<sub>2</sub> at the *anode* (equation 9.28).





The reactivity of the group 1 hydrides increases with an increase in atomic number and ionic size of the metal; in keeping with this, values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  become less negative, with that of LiH being significantly more negative than those of the other alkali metal hydrides. Table 9.5 lists factors that contribute towards this trend. Since the hydride ion is a common factor in the series, we need to look at the extent to which the value of  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ$  offsets the sum of

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 9.5 Nickel–metal hydride batteries

The property of metal hydrides to ‘store’ hydrogen has been applied to battery technology, and, during the 1980s and 1990s, led to the development of the nickel–metal hydride (NiMH) cell. The NiMH battery uses a metal alloy such as LaNi<sub>5</sub> or M’Ni<sub>5</sub> where M’ is ‘misch metal’ (typically an alloy of La, Ce, Nd and Pr, see [Table 24.1](#)) which can absorb hydrogen and store it as a hydride, e.g. LaNi<sub>5</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. The Ni component of the alloy typically has Co, Al and Mn additives. The metal alloy forms the cathode in an NiMH battery, the anode is made from Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and the electrolyte is 30% aqueous KOH. The cathode is charged with hydrogen after it is manufactured in its final form. The cell operation can be summarized as follows:




The battery recycles hydrogen back and forth between anode and cathode, and can be charged and discharged

about 500 times. During charging, hydrogen moves from anode to cathode and is stored in the metal alloy. During discharge, hydrogen is liberated from the alloy, moving from cathode to anode. The designs and discharge characteristics of the NiMH and NiCd batteries (see [Section 21.2](#)) are similar, but the newer NiMH batteries are gradually replacing NiCd cells in portable electronic devices such as laptop computers and mobile phones. An NiMH cell has ≈40% higher electrical capacity than a NiCd cell operating at the same voltage, and a NiMH battery does not generate hazardous waste, whereas Cd is toxic. The development of NiMH batteries to power electric vehicles or to act as a secondary power source in hybrid electric vehicles, which combine electrical and internal combustion engine power, is a current issue for vehicle manufacturers. However, fuel cells are a strong competitor for ‘clean’ transport of the future (see [Box 9.2](#)).

### Further reading

For a discussion of NiMH battery recycling, see: J.A.S. Tenório and D.C.R. Espinosa (2002) *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 108, p. 70.




**Fig. 9.11** The complementary base-pairs in DNA interact through hydrogen bonds. The backbones of each strand in DNA consists of sugar units (to which the bases are attached) connected by phosphate groups.

**Table 9.5** Values of the  $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K})$  of the alkali metal hydrides, MH, depend upon the relative magnitudes of  $\Delta_a H^\circ(298\text{ K})$  and  $IE_1$  of the metals, and the lattice energies,  $\approx \Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(298\text{ K})$ , of MH.

| Metal | $\Delta_a H^\circ(\text{M})$<br>/ $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $IE_1(\text{M})$<br>/ $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ$<br>/ $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{MH})$<br>/ $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ |
|-------|--|--|---|---|
| Li    | 161  | 521  | -920  | -90.5   |
| Na    | 108  | 492  | -808  | -56.3   |
| K     | 90   | 415  | -714  | -57.7   |
| Rb    | 82   | 405  | -685  | -52.3   |
| Cs    | 78   | 376  | -644  | -54.2   |

$\Delta_a H^\circ$  and  $IE_1$  in order to reconcile the trend in values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  (equation 9.29). The  $\text{H}^-$  ion is similar in size to  $\text{F}^-$ , and thus the trend parallels that observed for alkali metal fluorides.



## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 9.6 Remarkable optical properties of yttrium hydrides

In 1996, a report appeared in *Nature* of experiments in which a 500 nm thick film of yttrium (coated with a 5–20 nm layer of palladium to prevent aerial oxidation) was subjected to  $10^5$  Pa pressure of  $H_2$  gas at room temperature. As  $H_2$  diffused through the Pd layer, the latter catalysed the dissociation of  $H_2$  into H atoms which then entered the yttrium lattice. A series of observations followed:



- initially the yttrium film was a reflecting surface, i.e. a mirror;
- a few minutes after H atoms entered the lattice, a partially reflecting surface was observed and this was attributed to the formation of  $YH_2$ ;

- after more hydrogen had been taken up and a composition of  $YH_{2.86}$  had been reached, the surface became yellow and transparent.




These remarkable changes were shown to be reversible. The accommodation of the H atoms within the metal lattice is not simple, because the lattice of yttrium atoms undergoes a phase transition from an initially fcc to hcp structure; the fcc lattice is present in the  $\beta$ - $YH_2$  phase.

For details of these observations and photographs depicting the mirror to non-reflector transitions, see: J.N. Huiberts, R. Griessen, J.H. Rector, R.J. Wijngaarden, J.P. Dekker, D.G. de Groot and N.J. Koeman (1996) *Nature*, vol. 380, p. 231.

Saline hydrides react immediately with protic solvents such as  $H_2O$  (equation 9.30),  $NH_3$  or EtOH, showing that the  $H^-$  ion is an extremely strong base. Widespread use is made of NaH and KH as deprotonating agents (e.g. reaction 9.31).



Of the saline hydrides, LiH, NaH and KH are the most commonly used, but their moisture sensitivity means that reaction conditions must be water-free. Of particular significance are the reactions between LiH and  $Al_2Cl_6$  to give lithium tetrahydridoaluminate(1-),  $Li[AlH_4]$ , (also called lithium aluminium hydride or *lithal*) and between NaH and  $B(OMe)_3$  or  $BCl_3$  (equations 9.32 and 9.33) to give sodium tetrahydroborate(1-), commonly known as sodium borohydride (see [Section 12.5](#)).<sup>†</sup> The compounds  $Li[AlH_4]$ ,  $Na[BH_4]$  and NaH find wide applications as reducing agents, e.g. reactions 9.34 and 9.35.




## Molecular hydrides and complexes derived from them


Covalent hydrides with molecular structures are formed by the *p*-block elements in groups 13 to 17 with the exception

of Al (see [Section 12.5](#)) and Bi;  $BiH_3$  is thermally unstable, decomposing above 198 K, and little is known about  $PoH_2$ . Hydrides of the halogens, sulfur and nitrogen are prepared by reacting these elements with  $H_2$  under appropriate conditions (e.g. reaction 9.23); the remaining hydrides are formed by treating suitable metal salts with water, aqueous acid or  $NH_4Br$  in liquid  $NH_3$ , or by use of  $[BH_4]^-$  or  $[AlH_4]^-$ , e.g. reaction 9.34. Specific syntheses are given in later chapters.

Most molecular hydrides are volatile and have simple structures which comply with VSEPR theory (see [Section 1.19](#)). However,  $BH_3$ , **9.9**, although known in the gas phase, dimerizes to give  $B_2H_6$ , **9.10**, and  $GaH_3$  behaves similarly;  $B_2H_6$  and  $Ga_2H_6$  are described in [Section 12.5](#).



(9.9)



(9.10)

Anionic molecular hydrido complexes of *p*-block elements include tetrahedral  $[BH_4]^-$  and  $[AlH_4]^-$ . Both  $LiAlH_4$  and  $NaAlH_4$  slowly decompose to give  $Li_3AlH_6$  and

<sup>†</sup> A system of coordination nomenclature is used for anions containing  $H^-$ , and, thus,  $[AlH_4]^-$  is called the tetrahydridoaluminate(1-) ion; anions containing boron are exceptions, and  $[BH_4]^-$  is the tetrahydroborate(1-) ion.


$\text{Na}_3\text{AlH}_6$ , respectively, and Al. Because it is difficult to locate H atoms in the presence of heavy atoms (see [Box 5.5](#)), it is common to determine structures of deuterated analogues. Both  $\text{Li}_3\text{AlD}_6$  and  $\text{Na}_3\text{AlD}_6$  contain isolated octahedral  $[\text{AlD}_6]^{3-}$  ions.

Molecular hydrido complexes are known for *d*-block metals from groups 7–10 (excluding Mn) and counter-ions are commonly from group 1 or 2, e.g.  $\text{K}_2\text{ReH}_9$ ,  $\text{Li}_4\text{RuH}_6$ ,  $\text{Na}_3\text{RhH}_6$ ,  $\text{Mg}_2\text{RuH}_4$ ,  $\text{Na}_3\text{OsH}_7$  and  $\text{Ba}_2\text{PtH}_6$ . In the solid state structures of these compounds (the determination of which typically makes use of deuterated analogues), isolated metal hydrido anions are present with cations occupying the cavities between them. The  $[\text{NiH}_4]^{4-}$  ion in  $\text{Mg}_2\text{NiH}_4$  is tetrahedral. X-ray diffraction data have confirmed a square-based pyramidal structure for  $[\text{CoH}_5]^{4-}$  (Figure 9.12a), and  $[\text{IrH}_5]^{4-}$  adopts an analogous structure. These pentahydrido complexes have been isolated as the salts  $\text{Mg}_2\text{CoH}_5$  and  $\text{M}_2\text{IrH}_5$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Mg}, \text{Ca}$  or  $\text{Sr}$ ). Alkaline earth metal ions have also been used to stabilize salts containing octahedral  $[\text{FeH}_6]^{4-}$ ,  $[\text{RuH}_6]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{OsH}_6]^{4-}$  (Figure 9.12b). Isolated  $\text{H}^-$  and octahedral  $[\text{ReH}_6]^{5-}$  ions are present in  $\text{Mg}_3\text{ReH}_7$ . However, in the solid state,  $\text{Na}_3\text{OsH}_7$  and  $\text{Na}_3\text{RuH}_7$  contain pentagonal bipyramidal  $[\text{OsH}_7]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{RuH}_7]^{3-}$  anions, respectively. The reaction of  $\text{Na}[\text{ReO}_4]$  with Na in EtOH yields  $\text{Na}_2\text{ReH}_9$ , and the  $\text{K}^+$  and  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]^+$  salts have been prepared by *metathesis* from  $\text{Na}_2\text{ReH}_9$ . The hydrido complex  $\text{K}_2\text{TcH}_9$  can be made from the reaction of  $[\text{TcO}_4]^-$  and potassium in EtOH in the presence of 1,2-ethanediamine.

A *metathesis reaction* involves an exchange, for example:




Neutron diffraction data for  $\text{K}_2[\text{ReH}_9]$  have confirmed a 9-coordinate Re atom in a tricapped trigonal prismatic environment (Figure 9.12c);  $[\text{TcH}_9]^{2-}$  is assumed to be similar to  $[\text{ReH}_9]^{2-}$ . Despite there being two H environments in  $[\text{ReH}_9]^{2-}$ , only one signal is observed in the solution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum indicating that the dianion is stereochemically non-rigid on the NMR spectroscopic timescale (see [Section 2.11](#)). Palladium(II) and platinum(II) form the square planar  $[\text{PdH}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{PtH}_4]^{2-}$ . The salt  $\text{K}_2[\text{PtH}_4]$  is made by reacting Pt with KH under  $\text{H}_2$  (1–10 bar, 580–700 K). ‘ $\text{K}_3\text{PtH}_5$ ’ also forms in this reaction, but structural data show that this contains  $[\text{PtH}_4]^{2-}$  and  $\text{H}^-$  ions. A high pressure of  $\text{H}_2$  is also needed to form  $\text{Li}_5[\text{Pt}_2\text{H}_9]$  but, once formed, it is stable with respect to  $\text{H}_2$  loss; the structure of  $[\text{Pt}_2\text{H}_9]^{5-}$  is shown in Figure 9.12d. The Pt(IV) complex  $\text{K}_2[\text{PtH}_6]$  results if KH and Pt sponge are heated (775 K) under 1500–1800 bar  $\text{H}_2$ ; neutron diffraction confirms that deuterated  $[\text{PtD}_6]^{2-}$  is octahedral. The linear  $[\text{PdH}_2]^{2-}$  ion is present in  $\text{Na}_2\text{PdH}_2$  and  $\text{Li}_2\text{PdH}_2$ , and contains Pd(0). The reaction of KH with Pd sponge at 620 K yields a compound of formula  $\text{K}_3\text{PdH}_3$ ; neutron diffraction data show that this contains isolated  $\text{H}^-$  and linear  $[\text{PdH}_2]^{2-}$  ions.



**Fig. 9.12** The structures of (a)  $[\text{CoH}_5]^{4-}$ , (b)  $[\text{FeH}_6]^{4-}$ , (c)  $[\text{ReH}_9]^{2-}$  and (d)  $[\text{Pt}_2\text{H}_9]^{5-}$ .

## Polymeric hydrides

Polymeric hydrides (white solids) are formed by Be and Al. In  $\text{BeH}_2$  (Figure 9.13), each Be centre is tetrahedral, giving a chain structure in which multi-centre bonding of the type described for  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  is present. The structure of  $\text{AlH}_3$  consists



**Fig. 9.13** Part of the polymeric chain structure of  $\text{BeH}_2$ ; Be atoms are shown in yellow.

of an infinite lattice, in which each Al(III) centre is in an  $\text{AlH}_6$ -octahedral site; H atoms bridge pairs of Al centres.

## Intermediate hydrides

Not all hydrides can be placed in the above categories, e.g. those of Pd, Cu, lanthanoids and actinoids. Palladium reversibly absorbs large amounts of  $\text{H}_2$  or  $\text{D}_2$  (but no other gases, a fact that is of great importance in the separation of  $\text{H}_2$  from gaseous mixtures). The absorbed hydrogen has a high mobility, but the form in which it is present has not been established, although the limiting composition is known to be  $\approx \text{PdH}_{0.7}$ .

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- hydrogen ion (proton)
- oxonium ion
- hydrate
- solvent of crystallization
- hydride ion
- protium
- deuterium
- tritium
- deuterium labelling
- passivate
- synthesis gas
- water-gas shift reaction
- heterogeneous catalyst
- homogeneous catalyst
- hydrogen economy
- fuel cell
- hydrogen bonding
- asymmetrical hydrogen bond
- symmetrical hydrogen bond
- anomalous properties of  $\text{HF}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{NH}_3$
- Trouton's rule
- binary compound
- metallic hydride
- saline (salt-like) hydride

- molecular hydride
- polymeric hydride
- metathesis

## Further reading

### Hydrogen/dihydrogen

M. Kakiuchi (1994) 'Hydrogen: Inorganic chemistry' in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 3, p. 1444 – An up-to-date account including detailed discussion of isotopes and isotope effects.

### Hydrogen bonding

G. Desiraju and T. Steiner (1999) *The Weak Hydrogen Bond in Structural Chemistry and Biology*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A well-illustrated and referenced account of modern views of hydrogen bonding.

G.R. Desiraju (1996) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 29, p. 441 – 'The C–H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bond: structural implications and supramolecular design'.

J. Emsley (1980) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 9, p. 91 – A review of strong hydrogen bonds.

P. Gilli, V. Bertolasi, V. Ferretti and G. Gilli (1994) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 116, p. 909 – 'Covalent nature of the strong homonuclear hydrogen bond. Study of the O–H $\cdots$ O system by crystal structure correlation methods'.

A.F. Goncharov, V.V. Struzhkin, M.S. Somayazulu, R.J. Hemley and H.K. Mao (1996) *Science*, vol. 273, p. 218 – 'Compression of ice at 210 gigapascals: infrared evidence for a symmetric hydrogen-bonded phase'.

G.A. Jeffery (1997) *An Introduction to Hydrogen Bonding*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A text that introduces modern ideas on hydrogen bonding.

K. Manchester (1997) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 835 – 'Masson Gulland: hydrogen bonding in DNA' gives a historical perspective on the importance of hydrogen bonding in DNA.

T. Steiner (2002) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 41, p. 48 – An excellent review of hydrogen bonding in the solid state.

### Metal hydrides

K. Yvon (1994) 'Hydrides: solid state transition metal complexes' in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 3, p. 1401 – A well-illustrated review covering hydrido ions and interstitial hydrides.

## Problems

- 9.1 Confirm that the difference in values of  $\bar{\nu}(\text{O}-\text{H})$  and  $\bar{\nu}(\text{O}-\text{D})$  given in Table 9.2 is consistent with the isotopic masses of H and D.
- 9.2 (a) Outline the reasons why it is necessary to use deuterated solvents in  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy. (b) Draw the structures of  $\text{THF}-d_8$  and  $\text{DMF}-d_7$ .
- 9.3 For deuterium,  $I = 1$ . In a fully labelled sample of  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , what is observed in the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum?
- 9.4 In  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra in which the solvent is acetonitrile- $d_3$ , labelled to an extent of 99.6%, a multiplet is observed at  $\delta$  1.94. How does this multiplet arise, and what is its appearance? [ $\text{D}$ ,  $I = 1$ ;  $\text{H}$ ,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ]

- 9.5** How would you attempt to prepare a sample of pure HD and to establish the purity of the product?
- 9.6** The IR spectrum of a  $0.01 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  solution of *tert*-butanol in  $\text{CCl}_4$  shows a sharp peak at  $3610 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; in the IR spectrum of a similar  $1.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  solution, this absorption is much diminished in intensity, but a very strong, broad peak at  $3330 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is observed. Rationalize these observations.
- 9.7** Suggest an explanation for the fact that solid CsCl, but not LiCl, absorbs HCl at low temperatures.
- 9.8** Suggest a structure for the  $[\text{H}_9\text{O}_4]^+$  ion.
- 9.9** Write a brief, but critical, account of 'the hydrogen bond'.
- 9.10** (a) Write equations for the reactions of KH with  $\text{NH}_3$  and with ethanol. (b) Identify the conjugate acid–base pairs in each reaction.
- 9.11** Write equations for the following processes, noting appropriate conditions:  
 (a) electrolysis of water;  
 (b) electrolysis of molten LiH;  
 (c)  $\text{CaH}_2$  reacting with water;  
 (d) Mg treated with dilute nitric acid;  
 (e) combustion of  $\text{H}_2$ ;  
 (f) reaction of  $\text{H}_2$  with CuO.
- 9.12** Solutions of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  are used as bleaching agents. For the decomposition of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\Delta G^\circ = -116.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Why can  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  be stored for periods of time without significant decomposition?
- 9.13** Magnesium hydride possesses a rutile lattice. (a) Sketch a unit cell of rutile. (b) What are the coordination numbers and geometries of the Mg and H centres in this structure?
- 9.14** Confirm the stoichiometry of aluminium hydride as 1:3 from the text description of the infinite structure.
- 9.15** Discuss the bonding in  $\text{BeH}_2$  in terms of a suitable hybridization scheme. Relate this to a bonding description for  $\text{Ga}_2\text{H}_6$ .
- 9.16** Suggest explanations for the following trends in data.  
 (a) In gas-phase  $\text{CH}_4$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  
 $\angle \text{H}-\text{C}-\text{H} = 109.5^\circ$ ,  $\angle \text{H}-\text{N}-\text{H} = 106.7^\circ$  and  
 $\angle \text{H}-\text{O}-\text{H} = 104.5^\circ$ .  
 (b) The dipole moments (in the gas phase) of  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  are 1.47 and 0.59 D.  
 (c) The ratios of  $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H:\text{bp}$  for  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ ,  $\text{PH}_3$ ,  $\text{P}_2\text{H}_4$ ,  $\text{SiH}_4$  and  $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$  are, respectively 97.3, 108.2, 78.7, 85.6, 75.2 and  $81.9 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . However, for  $\text{HCO}_2\text{H}$ , the ratio is  $60.7 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ .
- of Zn with dilute mineral acid, but not from Cu with a dilute acid.
- (b) The ion  $[\text{H}_{13}\text{O}_6]^+$  can exist in more than one isomeric form. One that has been structurally characterized is described in terms of  $[(\text{H}_5\text{O}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^+$ , in which a  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  unit containing a strong hydrogen bond is centrally positioned within the  $[\text{H}_{13}\text{O}_6]^+$  ion. Draw a schematic representation of this ion and give a description of the bonding within it.
- (c) The IR spectrum of gaseous  $\text{SbH}_3$  shows absorptions at 1894, 1891, 831 and  $782 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Comment on why this provides evidence that  $\text{SbH}_3$  has  $C_{3v}$  rather than  $D_{3h}$  symmetry.
- 9.18** (a) Given that the enthalpy change associated with the addition of  $\text{H}^+(\text{g})$  to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{g})$  is  $-690 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , and  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ(\text{H}^+, \text{g}) = -1091 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , calculate the enthalpy change associated with the solvation of  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+(\text{g})$  in water.  
 (b) Outline how the nickel–metal hydride battery works, giving equations for the reactions at each electrode during charging and discharging.
- 9.19** (a)  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuH}_6$  crystallizes in a lattice that can be described in terms of the  $\text{CaF}_2$  structure type with octahedral  $[\text{RuH}_6]^{4-}$  ions replacing  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions, and  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$  ions replacing  $\text{F}^-$  ions. Sketch a unit cell of  $\text{CaF}_2$ . Show that in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuH}_6$ , each  $[\text{RuH}_6]^{2-}$  ion is surrounded by eight  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$  ions in a cubic array.  
 (b) Suggest products for the following reactions:  
 $\text{SiCl}_4 + \text{LiAlH}_4 \rightarrow$   
 $\text{Ph}_2\text{PH} + \text{KH} \rightarrow$   
 $4\text{LiH} + \text{AlCl}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{Et}_2\text{O}}$
- 9.20** The first list below contains the formula of a hydride. Each has a 'partner' in the second list of phrases. Match the 'partners'; there is only one match for each pair. Structural descriptions refer to the solid state.
- | List 1                | List 2                                       |
|-----------------------|--|
| $\text{BeH}_2$        | 3D lattice with octahedral metal centres     |
| $[\text{PtH}_4]^{2-}$ | Non-stoichiometric hydride                   |
| $\text{NaH}$          | M(0) complex                                 |
| $[\text{NiH}_4]^{4-}$ | Polymeric chain                              |
| $[\text{PtH}_6]^{2-}$ | M(IV) complex                                |
| $[\text{TcH}_9]^{2-}$ | Tricapped trigonal prismatic hydrido complex |
| $\text{HfH}_{2.1}$    | Square planar complex                        |
| $\text{AlH}_3$        | Saline hydride                               |
- 9.21** Suggest explanations for the following observations.  
 (a) Ammonium fluoride forms solid solutions with ice.  
 (b) The viscosity decreases along the series of liquids  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 > \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 > \text{HClO}_4$ .  
 (c) Formic (methanoic) acid has a Trouton constant of  $60.7 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ .  
 (d)  $\text{p}K_a$  values for fumaric acid (see [Box 9.4](#)) and its geometrical isomer maleic acid are:
- |              | $\text{p}K_a(1)$ | $\text{p}K_a(2)$ |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|
| Fumaric acid | 3.02             | 4.38             |
| Maleic acid  | 1.92             | 6.23             |

## Overview problems

- 9.17** (a) Use data in [Appendix 11](#) to give a quantitative explanation why  $\text{H}_2$  can be prepared from the reaction

# Chapter 10

## Group 1: the alkali metals

### TOPICS

- Occurrence, extraction and uses
- Physical properties
- The metals
- Halides
- Oxides and hydroxides
- Salts of oxoacids: carbonates and hydrogencarbonates
- Aqueous solution chemistry including macrocyclic complexes
- Non-aqueous coordination chemistry

| 1  | 2  |         | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|----|----|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| H  |    |         |    |    |    |    |    | He |
| Li | Be |         | B  | C  | N  | O  | F  | Ne |
| Na | Mg |         | Al | Si | P  | S  | Cl | Ar |
| K  | Ca | d-block | Ga | Ge | As | Se | Br | Kr |
| Rb | Sr |         | In | Sn | Sb | Te | I  | Xe |
| Cs | Ba |         | Tl | Pb | Bi | Po | At | Rn |
| Fr | Ra |         |    |    |    |    |    |    |

### 10.1 Introduction

The alkali metals – lithium, sodium, potassium, rubidium, caesium and francium – are members of group 1 of the periodic table, and each has a ground state valence electronic configuration  $ns^1$ . Discussions of these metals usually neglect the heaviest member of the group; only artificial isotopes of francium are known, the longest lived,  ${}^{223}_{87}\text{Fr}$ , having  $t_{1/2} = 21.8$  min.

We have already covered several aspects of the chemistry of the alkali metals as follows:

- ionization energies of metals ([Section 1.10](#));
- structures of metal lattices ([Section 5.3](#));
- metallic radii,  $r_{\text{metal}}$  ([Section 5.5](#));
- melting points and standard enthalpies of atomization of metals ([Section 5.6](#));
- ionic radii,  $r_{\text{ion}}$  ([Section 5.10](#));

- NaCl and CsCl ionic lattices ([Section 5.11](#));
- energetics of the dissolution of MX ([Section 6.9](#));
- standard reduction potentials,  $E^{\circ}_{\text{M}^+/\text{M}}$  ([Section 7.7](#));
- energetics of MX transfer from water to organic solvents ([Section 8.3](#));
- alkali metals in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  ([Section 8.6](#));
- saline hydrides, MH ([Section 9.7](#)).

### 10.2 Occurrence, extraction and uses

#### Occurrence

Sodium and potassium are abundant in the Earth's biosphere (2.6% and 2.4% respectively) but do not occur naturally in the elemental state. The main sources of Na and K (see [Box 10.1](#)) are *rock salt* (almost pure NaCl), natural brines and seawater, *sylvite* (KCl), *sylvinit* (KCl/NaCl) and *carnallite* ( $\text{KCl}\cdot\text{MgCl}_2\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ). Other Na- and K-containing minerals such as borax ( $\text{Na}_2[\text{B}_4\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4]\cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; see [Sections 12.2](#) and [12.7](#)) and Chile saltpetre ( $\text{NaNO}_3$ , see [Section 14.2](#)) are commercially important sources of other elements (e.g. B and N respectively). Unlike many inorganic chemicals, NaCl need not be manufactured since large natural deposits are available. Evaporation of seawater yields a mixture of salts, but since NaCl represents the major component of the mixture, its production in this manner is a viable operation. In contrast to Na and K, natural abundances of Li, Rb and Cs are small (% abundance  $\text{Rb} > \text{Li} > \text{Cs}$ ); these metals occur as various silicate minerals, e.g. *spodumene* ( $\text{LiAlSi}_2\text{O}_6$ ).

#### Extraction


Sodium, economically much the most important of the alkali metals, is manufactured by the Downs process in which

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 10.1 Potassium salts: resources and commercial demand


In statistical tables of mineral production, ‘potash’ and ‘K<sub>2</sub>O equivalents’ are listed, but in fact refer to soluble potassium salts. (Strictly, potash refers only to KOH.) World production of ‘potash’ rose from 0.32 Mt in 1900 to >30 Mt in 2000, with the major producers being Canada and the former Soviet Union, followed by Germany. Major

industrial countries such as the US must import large amounts of ‘potash’ to meet commercial demands, and the graph below shows the balance of imports and home produced ‘K<sub>2</sub>O equivalents’ of potassium salts from 1997 to 2001. About 95% of the ‘potash’ produced is destined to be used in the form of fertilizers.




[Data: US Geological Survey]

molten NaCl (see [Section 8.12](#)) is electrolysed (scheme 10.1); CaCl<sub>2</sub> is added to reduce the operating temperature to about 870 K, since pure NaCl melts at 1073 K. The design of the electrolysis cell (Figure 10.1) is critical to prevent reformation of NaCl by recombination of Na and Cl<sub>2</sub>. Use of the Downs process for Cl<sub>2</sub> production is described in [Section 16.2](#).




Lithium is extracted from LiCl in a similar electrolytic process; LiCl is first obtained from spodumene by heating with CaO to give LiOH, which is then converted to the chloride. Potassium can be obtained electrolytically from KCl, but a more efficient method of extraction is the action of Na vapour on molten KCl in a counter-current fractionating tower. This yields an Na–K alloy which can be separated into its components by distillation. Similarly, Rb and Cs can be obtained from RbCl and CsCl, small quantities of which are produced as by-products from the extraction of Li from spodumene.



**Fig. 10.1** A schematic representation of the electrolysis cell used in the Downs process to produce sodium commercially from NaCl. The products (Na and Cl<sub>2</sub>) must be kept separate from each other to prevent recombination to form NaCl.


Small amounts of Na, K, Rb and Cs can be obtained by thermal decomposition of their azides (equation 10.2); an application of  $\text{NaN}_3$  is in car airbags (see [equation 14.4](#)). Lithium cannot be obtained from an analogous reaction because the products recombine, yielding the nitride,  $\text{Li}_3\text{N}$  (see [equation 10.6](#)).



## Major uses of the alkali metals and their compounds

Lithium has the lowest density ( $0.53\text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) of all known metals. It is used in the manufacture of alloys, and in certain glasses and ceramics. Lithium carbonate is used in the treatment of manic-depressive disorders, although large amounts of lithium salts damage the central nervous system.

Sodium, potassium and their compounds have many uses of which selected examples are given here. Sodium–potassium alloy is used as a heat-exchange coolant in nuclear reactors. A major use of Na–Pb alloy was in the production of the anti-knock agent  $\text{PbEt}_4$ , but the increasing demand for unleaded fuels renders this of decreasing importance. The varied applications of compounds of Na include those in the paper, glass, detergent, chemical and metal industries; Figure 10.2 summarizes uses of NaCl and NaOH. In 2000,



**Fig. 10.2** (a) Uses of NaCl in the US in 2000 [Data: US Geological Survey]; (b) industrial uses of NaOH in Western Europe in 1994 [Data: *Chemistry & Industry* (1995), p. 832].

the world production of NaCl was 210 Mt; of this, 51.6 Mt was used in the US. The major consumption of NaCl is in the manufacture of NaOH,  $\text{Cl}_2$  (see [Box 10.4](#)) and  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  (see [Section 10.7](#)). A large fraction of salt is used for winter road de-icing (Figure 10.2a and [Box 11.5](#)). However, in addition to the corrosive effects of NaCl, environmental concerns have focused on the side-effects on roadside vegetation and run-off into water sources, and Switzerland, for example, operates reduced-salt schemes.

Both Na and K are involved in various electrophysiological functions in higher animals. The  $[\text{Na}^+]:[\text{K}^+]$  ratio is different in intra- and extra-cellular fluids, and the concentration gradients of these ions across cell membranes are the origin of the trans-membrane potential difference that, in nerve and muscle cells, is responsible for the transmission of nerve impulses. A balanced diet therefore includes both  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  salts. Potassium is also an essential plant nutrient, and  $\text{K}^+$  salts are widely used as fertilizers. Uses of Li and Na in batteries are highlighted in [Box 10.3](#), and the use of  $\text{KO}_2$  in breathing masks is described in [Section 10.6](#).


Many organic syntheses involve Li, Na or their compounds, and uses of the reagents  $\text{Na}[\text{BH}_4]$  and  $\text{Li}[\text{AlH}_4]$  are widespread. Alkali metals and some of their compounds also have uses in catalysts, e.g. the formation of MeOH from  $\text{H}_2$  and CO ([equation 9.11](#)) where doping the catalyst with Cs makes it more effective.

## 10.3 Physical properties

### General properties

The alkali metals illustrate, more clearly than any other group of elements, the influence of increase in atomic and ionic size on physical and chemical properties. Thus, the group 1 metals are often chosen to illustrate general principles. Some physical properties of the group 1 metals are given in Table 10.1. Some important points arising from these data are listed below; see [Section 6.9](#) for detailed discussion of the energetics of ion hydration.

- With increasing atomic number, the atoms become larger and the strength of metallic bonding (see [Section 5.8](#)) decreases.
- The effect of increasing size evidently outweighs that of increasing nuclear charge, since the ionization energies decrease from Li to Cs (see [Figure 1.15](#)). The values of  $IE_2$  for all the alkali metals are so high that the formation of  $\text{M}^{2+}$  ions under chemically reasonable conditions is not viable.
- Values of  $E_{\text{M}^+/\text{M}}^0$  are related to energy changes accompanying the processes:



**Table 10.1** Some physical properties of the alkali metals, M, and their ions, M<sup>+</sup>.

| Property  | Li   | Na                                     | K  | Rb  | Cs                                      |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| Atomic number, <i>Z</i>   | 3  | 11                                     | 19   | 37  | 55                                      |
| Ground state electronic configuration   | [He]2s <sup>1</sup>  | [Ne]3s <sup>1</sup>                    | [Ar]4s <sup>1</sup>  | [Kr]5s <sup>1</sup>   | [Xe]6s <sup>1</sup>                     |
| Enthalpy of atomization, Δ <sub>a</sub> H <sup>o</sup> (298 K) / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>   | 161  | 108                                    | 90   | 82  | 78                                      |
| Dissociation enthalpy of M–M bond in M <sub>2</sub> (298 K) / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>  | 110  | 74                                     | 55   | 49  | 44                                      |
| Melting point, mp / K   | 453.5  | 371                                    | 336  | 312   | 301.5                                   |
| Boiling point, bp / K   | 1615   | 1156                                   | 1032   | 959   | 942                                     |
| Standard enthalpy of fusion, Δ <sub>fus</sub> H <sup>o</sup> (mp) / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>  | 3.0  | 2.6                                    | 2.3  | 2.2   | 2.1                                     |
| First ionization energy, IE <sub>1</sub> / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>   | 520.2  | 495.8                                  | 418.8  | 403.0   | 375.7                                   |
| Second ionization energy, IE <sub>2</sub> / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>  | 7298   | 4562                                   | 3052   | 2633  | 2234                                    |
| Metallic radius, <i>r</i> <sub>metal</sub> / pm <sup>‡</sup>  | 152  | 186                                    | 227  | 248   | 265                                     |
| Ionic radius, <i>r</i> <sub>ion</sub> / pm*   | 76   | 102                                    | 138  | 149   | 170                                     |
| Standard enthalpy of hydration of M <sup>+</sup> , Δ <sub>hyd</sub> H <sup>o</sup> (298 K) / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>               | -519   | -404                                   | -321   | -296  | -271                                    |
| Standard entropy of hydration of M <sup>+</sup> , Δ <sub>hyd</sub> S <sup>o</sup> (298 K) / J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> | -140   | -110                                   | -70  | -70   | -60                                     |
| Standard Gibbs energy of hydration of M <sup>+</sup> , Δ <sub>hyd</sub> G <sup>o</sup> (298 K) / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>           | -477   | -371                                   | -300   | -275  | -253                                    |
| Standard reduction potential, E <sup>o</sup> <sub>M<sup>+</sup>/M</sub> / V   | -3.04  | -2.71                                  | -2.93  | -2.98   | -3.03                                   |
| NMR active nuclei (% abundance, nuclear spin)   | <sup>6</sup> Li (7.5, <i>I</i> = 1);<br><sup>7</sup> Li (92.5, <i>I</i> = 3/2) | <sup>23</sup> Na (100, <i>I</i> = 3/2) | <sup>39</sup> K (93.3, <i>I</i> = 3/2);<br><sup>41</sup> K (6.7, <i>I</i> = 3/2) | <sup>85</sup> Rb (72.2, <i>I</i> = 5/2);<br><sup>87</sup> Rb (27.8, <i>I</i> = 3/2) | <sup>133</sup> Cs (100, <i>I</i> = 7/2) |

<sup>‡</sup> For 8-coordinate atom in body-centred cubic metal; compare values for 12-coordinate atoms in Appendix 6.

\* For 6-coordination.

and down group 1, differences in these energy changes almost cancel out, resulting in similar  $E_{M^+/M}^o$  values. The lower reactivity of Li towards H<sub>2</sub>O is *kinetic* rather than thermodynamic in origin; Li is a harder and higher melting metal, is less rapidly dispersed, and reacts more slowly than its heavier congeners.

In general, the chemistry of the group 1 metals is dominated by compounds containing M<sup>+</sup> ions. However, a small number of compounds containing the M<sup>-</sup> ion (M = Na, K, Rb or Cs) are known (see [Section 10.8](#)), and the organo-metallic chemistry of the group 1 metals is a growing area that is described further in [Chapter 18](#).

Considerations of lattice energies calculated using an electrostatic model provide a satisfactory understanding for the fact that ionic compounds are central to the chemistry of Na, K, Rb and Cs. That Li shows a so-called ‘anomalous’ behaviour and exhibits a *diagonal relationship* to Mg can be explained in terms of similar energetic considerations. We discuss this further in [Section 11.10](#).

## Atomic spectra and flame tests

In the vapour state, the alkali metals exist as atoms or M<sub>2</sub> molecules (see [worked example 10.1](#)). The strength of the M–M covalent bond decreases down the group (Table

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 10.2 Keeping time with caesium

In 1993, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) brought into use a caesium-based atomic clock called NIST-7 which kept international standard time to within one second in 10<sup>6</sup> years; the system depends upon repeated transitions from the ground to a specific excited state of atomic Cs, and the monitoring of the frequency of the electromagnetic radiation emitted.

In 1995, the first caesium fountain atomic clock was constructed at the Paris Observatory in France. A fountain

clock, NIST-F1, was introduced in 1999 in the US to function as the country’s primary time and frequency standard; NIST-F1 is accurate to within one second in 20 × 10<sup>6</sup> years. While earlier caesium clocks observed Cs atoms at ambient temperatures, caesium fountain clocks use lasers to slow down and cool the atoms to temperatures approaching 0 K. For an on-line demonstration of how NIST-F1 works, go to the website [www.boulder.nist.gov/timefreq/caesium/fountain.htm](http://www.boulder.nist.gov/timefreq/caesium/fountain.htm)

10.1). Excitation of the outer  $ns^1$  electron of the M atom occurs easily and emission spectra are readily observed. We have already described the use of the *sodium D-line* in the emission spectrum of atomic Na for specific rotation measurements (see [Section 3.8](#)). When the salt of an alkali metal is treated with concentrated HCl (giving a volatile metal chloride) and is heated strongly in the non-luminous Bunsen flame, a characteristic flame colour is observed (Li, crimson; Na, yellow; K, lilac; Rb, red-violet; Cs, blue) and this *flame test* is used in *qualitative* analysis to identify the  $M^+$  ion. In *quantitative* analysis, use is made of the characteristic atomic spectrum in *flame photometry* or *atomic absorption spectroscopy*.

### Worked example 10.1 The $\text{Na}_2$ molecule


**Construct an MO diagram for the formation of  $\text{Na}_2$  from two Na atoms using only the valence orbitals and electrons of Na. Use the MO diagram to determine the bond order in  $\text{Na}_2$ .**

The atomic number of Na is 11.

The ground state electronic configuration of Na is  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^1$  or  $[\text{Ne}]3s^1$ .

The valence orbital of Na is the 3s.

An MO diagram for the formation of  $\text{Na}_2$  is:



Bond order =  $\frac{1}{2}[(\text{number of bonding electrons}) - (\text{number of antibonding electrons})]$

Bond order in  $\text{Na}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \times 2 = 1$

### Self-study exercises

1. Why is it not necessary to include the 1s, 2s and 2p orbitals and electrons in the MO description of the bonding in  $\text{Na}_2$ ?
2. Use the MO diagram to determine whether  $\text{Na}_2$  is paramagnetic or diamagnetic. [Ans: Diamagnetic]

See [problem 10.5](#) at the end of the chapter for an extension of these exercises.

## Radioactive isotopes

In addition to the radioactivity of Fr, 0.02% of naturally occurring K consists of  $^{40}\text{K}$ , a  $\beta$ -particle and positron emitter with  $t_{1/2} = 1.26 \times 10^9$  yr. This provides the human body with a natural source of radioactivity, albeit at very low levels.

Radioactive Cs isotopes from Chernobyl were described in [Box 2.2](#).

## NMR active nuclei

Each of the alkali metals has at least one NMR active nucleus (Table 10.1), although not all nuclei are of sufficient sensitivity to permit their routine use. For examples of NMR spectroscopy utilizing s-block metals, see [Section 2.11](#) and [worked example 18.1](#).

## 10.4 The metals

### Appearance

The metals Li, Na, K and Rb are silvery-white, but Cs has a golden-yellow cast. All are soft, Li the least so, and the trend is consistent with their melting points (Table 10.1). The particularly low melting point of Cs means that it may be a liquid at ambient temperatures in some countries.


### Reactivity

We have already described the behaviour of the metals in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  (see [Section 8.6](#)). The ultimate products are alkali metal amides (see [equation 8.28](#)), and  $\text{LiNH}_2$ ,  $\text{NaNH}_2$  and  $\text{KNH}_2$  are important reagents in organic synthesis. In the solid state, these amides adopt structures consisting of cubic close-packed  $[\text{NH}_2]^-$  ions with  $M^+$  ions occupying half the tetrahedral holes.

### Worked example 10.2 Structure of $\text{NaNH}_2$

**The solid state structure of  $\text{NaNH}_2$  can be approximately described as consisting of an fcc arrangement of amide ions with  $\text{Na}^+$  ions occupying half the tetrahedral holes. To which structure type (or prototype structure) does this correspond?**

A face-centred cubic (i.e. cubic close-packed) arrangement of  $[\text{NH}_2]^-$  ions (assuming each is spherical) corresponds to the following unit cell:



## APPLICATIONS


## Box 10.3 Alkali metal ion batteries

The sodium/sulfur battery operates around 570–620 K and consists of a molten sodium anode and a liquid sulfur cathode separated by a solid  $\beta$ -alumina electrolyte (see *Section 27.3*). The cell reaction is:



and this is reversed when the battery is recharged by changing the polarity of the cell. Trials with the sodium/sulfur battery (or *Beta battery*) in electric vehicles (EVs) are promising, but further development for the commercial market is still needed. The high operating temperature is a drawback and presents a potential safety hazard.

Several properties of lithium, including its highly negative reduction potential, make it suitable for battery use. For example, the lithium/iron sulfide battery contains a lithium anode and  $\text{FeS}_2$  cathode ( $E_{\text{cell}} = 1.5 \text{ V}$ ) and finds use in cameras. An important advancement in battery technology has been the development of lithium-ion batteries, first introduced to the commercial market in 1991. The lithium-ion battery has a cell potential of 3.6 V and consists of a positive  $\text{LiCoO}_2$  electrode separated from a graphite electrode by a solid electrolyte across which  $\text{Li}^+$  ions can migrate when the cell is charging. The  $\text{Li}^+$  ions are *intercalated* by the graphite (see *Section 13.4*) and return to the  $\text{LiCoO}_2$  electrode when the cell is discharged. The cell reaction can be represented as follows:




The crucial factor in this battery is that both electrodes are able to act as *hosts* for the  $\text{Li}^+$  ions, and the system has been termed a ‘rocking-chair’ cell to reflect the fact that the  $\text{Li}^+$  ions ‘rock’ back and forth between the two host materials during charging and discharging. Lithium-ion batteries have applications in, for example, laptop and notebook computers, mobile phones and portable CD players, and have potential use in electric cars.

## Further reading

- P.G. Bruce (1997) *Chemical Communications*, p. 1817 – ‘Solid-state chemistry of lithium power sources’.  
 J.R. Owen (1997) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 26, p. 259 – ‘Rechargeable lithium batteries’.  
 Y. Nishi (2001) *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 100, p. 101 – ‘Lithium ion secondary batteries; past 10 years and the future’.  
 N. Terada, T. Yanagi, S. Arai, M. Yoshikawa, K. Ohta, N. Nakajima, A. Yanai and N. Arai (2001) *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 100, p. 80 – ‘Development of lithium batteries for energy storage and EV applications’.  
 M. Thackeray (2002) *Nature Materials*, vol. 1, p. 81 – ‘An unexpected conductor’.

There are eight tetrahedral holes within the unit cell. The  $\text{Na}^+$  ions occupy half of these interstitial sites:




$\text{NaNH}_2$  adopts a zinc blende ( $\text{ZnS}$ ) structure (compare with *Figure 5.18b*).

## Self-study exercises


1. Use the diagram of the unit cell for sodium amide to confirm the 1:1  $\text{Na}^+ : [\text{NH}_2]^-$  ratio.

2. Using the diagram of the unit cell of  $\text{NaNH}_2$ , determine the coordination number of each  $[\text{NH}_2]^-$  ion. To check your answer, think how this coordination number must be related to that of an  $\text{Na}^+$  ion.

Although Li, Na and K are stored under a hydrocarbon solvent to prevent reaction with atmospheric  $\text{O}_2$  and water vapour, they can be handled in air, provided undue exposure is avoided; Rb and Cs should be handled in an inert atmosphere. Lithium reacts quickly with water (equation 10.3); Na reacts vigorously, and K, Rb and Cs react violently with the ignition of  $\text{H}_2$  produced.



Sodium is commonly used as a drying agent for hydrocarbon and ether solvents. The disposal of excess Na must be carried out with care and usually involves the reaction of Na with propan-2-ol to give  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{NaOCHMe}_2$ . This is a less vigorous, and therefore safer, reaction than that of Na with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  or a low molecular mass alcohol. An alternative method for disposing of small amounts of Na involves adding  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to a



**Fig. 10.3** (a) The solid state structure of Li<sub>3</sub>N consists of layers of N<sup>3-</sup> and Li<sup>+</sup> ions (ratio 1:2) alternating with layers of Li<sup>+</sup> ions; the latter are arranged such that they lie over the N<sup>3-</sup> ions. Each N centre is in a hexagonal bipyramidal (8-coordinate) environment; there are two types of Li<sup>+</sup> ion, those in layer 1 are 2-coordinate, and those in layer 2 are 3-coordinate with respect to the N centres (see [problem 10.12](#) at the end of the chapter). (b) The unit cell of sodium nitride; Na<sub>3</sub>N adopts an anti-ReO<sub>3</sub> structure. Colour code: N, blue; Li, red; Na, orange.

sand-filled ceramic container (e.g. plant pot) in which the metal has been buried. The conversion of Na to NaOH occurs slowly, and the NaOH reacts with the sand (i.e. SiO<sub>2</sub>) to yield sodium silicate.<sup>†</sup>

All the metals react with the halogens (equation 10.4) and H<sub>2</sub> when heated (equation 10.5). The energetics of metal hydride formation are essentially like those of metal halide formation, being expressed in terms of a Born–Haber cycle (see [Section 5.14](#)).



Lithium reacts spontaneously with N<sub>2</sub>, and reaction 10.6 occurs at 298 K to give red-brown, moisture-sensitive lithium nitride. Solid Li<sub>3</sub>N has an interesting lattice structure (Figure 10.3a) and a high ionic conductivity (see [Section 27.3](#)). Attempts to prepare the binary nitrides of the later alkali metals were not successful until 2002. Na<sub>3</sub>N (which is very moisture-sensitive) may be synthesized in a vacuum chamber by depositing atomic sodium and nitrogen onto a cooled sapphire substrate and then heating to room temperature. The structure of Na<sub>3</sub>N contrasts sharply with that of Li<sub>3</sub>N (Figure 10.3), with Na<sub>3</sub>N adopting an anti-ReO<sub>3</sub> structure (see [Figure 21.4](#) for ReO<sub>3</sub>) in which the Na<sup>+</sup> ions are 2-coordinate and the N<sup>3-</sup> ions are octahedrally sited. Reactions of the alkali metals with O<sub>2</sub> are discussed in [Section 10.6](#).




Acetylides, M<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, are formed when Li or Na is heated with carbon; these compounds can also be prepared by

treating the metal with C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>. Reactions between graphite and K, Rb or Cs lead to the formation of *intercalation compounds*, C<sub>*n*</sub>M (*n* = 8, 24, 36, 48, 60) which are discussed further in [Section 13.4](#).

The alkali metals dissolve in Hg to give amalgams (see [Box 22.3](#)). Sodium amalgam (which is a liquid only when the percentage of Na is low) is a useful reducing agent in inorganic and organic chemistry; it can be used in aqueous media because there is a large overpotential for the discharge of H<sub>2</sub>.

## 10.5 Halides

The MX halides (see [Chapter 5](#) for structures) are prepared by direct combination of the elements (equation 10.4) and all the halides have large negative  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  values. However, Table 10.2 shows that for X = F, values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{MX})$  become *less negative* down the group, while the reverse trend is true for X = Cl, Br and I. For a given metal,  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{MX})$  always becomes less negative on going from MF to MI. These generalizations can be explained in terms of a Born–Haber cycle. Consider the formation of MX (equation 10.7) and refer to [Figure 5.24](#).



For MF, the variable quantities are  $\Delta_a H^\circ(\text{M})$ ,  $IE_1(\text{M})$  and  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ(\text{MF})$ , and similarly for each of MCl, MBr and MI. The sum of  $\Delta_a H^\circ(\text{M})$  and  $IE_1(\text{M})$  gives for the formation of Li<sup>+</sup> 681, of Na<sup>+</sup> 604, of K<sup>+</sup> 509, of Rb<sup>+</sup> 485

<sup>†</sup> See: H.W. Roesky (2001) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 40, p. 6855 – ‘A facile and environmentally friendly disposal of sodium and potassium with water’.

**Table 10.2** Standard enthalpies of formation ( $\Delta_f H^\circ$ ) and lattice energies ( $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ$ ) of alkali metal halides, MX.

|                                       | M  | $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{MX}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ |      |      |      | $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{MX}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ |      |      |      |
|---------------------------------------|----|--|------|------|------|---|------|------|------|
|                                       |    | Halide ion size increases $\rightarrow$            |      |      |      | Halide ion size increases $\rightarrow$                           |      |      |      |
|                                       |    | F  | Cl   | Br   | I    | F   | Cl   | Br   | I    |
| Metal ion size increases $\downarrow$ | Li | -616   | -409 | -351 | -270 | -1030   | -834 | -788 | -730 |
|                                       | Na | -577   | -411 | -361 | -288 | -910  | -769 | -732 | -682 |
|                                       | K  | -567   | -436 | -394 | -328 | -808  | -701 | -671 | -632 |
|                                       | Rb | -558   | -435 | -395 | -334 | -774  | -680 | -651 | -617 |
|                                       | Cs | -553   | -443 | -406 | -347 | -744  | -657 | -632 | -600 |

and of  $\text{Cs}^+$   $454 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . For the fluorides, the trend in the values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{MF})$  depends on the relative values of  $\{\Delta_a H^\circ(\text{M}) + IE_1(\text{M})\}$  and  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{MF})$  (Table 10.2), and similarly for chlorides, bromides and iodides. Inspection of the data shows that the variation in  $\{\Delta_a H^\circ(\text{M}) + IE_1(\text{M})\}$  is *less* than the variation in  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{MF})$ , but *greater* than the variation in  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{MX})$  for  $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$  and  $\text{I}$ . This is because lattice energy is proportional to  $1/(r_+ + r_-)$  (see [Section 5.13](#)) and so variation in  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{MX})$  for a given halide is greatest when  $r_-$  is smallest (for  $\text{F}^-$ ) and least when  $r_-$  is largest (for  $\text{I}^-$ ). Considering the halides of a given metal (equation 10.7), the small change in the term  $\{\frac{1}{2}D(\text{X}_2) + \Delta_{\text{EA}} H^\circ(\text{X})\}$  ( $-249, -228, -213, -188 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for  $\text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$  respectively) is outweighed by the decrease in  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{MX})$ . In Table 10.2, note that the *difference* between the values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{MF})$  and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{MI})$  *decreases* significantly as the size of the  $\text{M}^+$  ion *increases*.

The solubilities of the alkali metal halides in water are determined by a delicate balance between lattice energies and free energies of hydration (see [Section 6.9](#) for  $\Delta_{\text{sol}} G^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}} G^\circ$ ).  $\text{LiF}$  has the highest lattice energy of the group 1 metal halides and is only sparingly soluble, but solubility relationships among the other halides call for detailed discussion beyond the scope of this book.<sup>†</sup> The salts  $\text{LiCl}, \text{LiBr}, \text{LiI}$  and  $\text{NaI}$  are soluble in some oxygen-containing organic solvents, e.g.  $\text{LiCl}$  dissolves in THF and MeOH; complexation of the  $\text{Li}^+$  or  $\text{Na}^+$  ion by the *O*-donor solvents is likely in all cases (see [Section 10.8](#)). Both  $\text{LiI}$  and  $\text{NaI}$  are very soluble in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , forming complexes; the unstable complex  $[\text{Na}(\text{NH}_3)_4]\text{I}$  has been isolated and contains a tetrahedrally coordinated  $\text{Na}^+$  ion.


In the vapour state, alkali metal halides are present mainly as ion-pairs, but measurements of  $\text{M}-\text{X}$  bond distances and

electric dipole moments suggest that covalent contributions to the bonding, particularly in the lithium halides, are important.

## 10.6 Oxides and hydroxides


### Oxides, peroxides, superoxides, suboxides and ozonides

When the group 1 metals are heated in an excess of air or in  $\text{O}_2$ , the principal products obtained depend on the metal: lithium *oxide*,  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$  (equation 10.8), sodium *peroxide*,  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}_2$  (equation 10.9), and the *superoxides*  $\text{KO}_2, \text{RbO}_2$  and  $\text{CsO}_2$  (equation 10.10).



The oxides  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}, \text{K}_2\text{O}, \text{Rb}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{Cs}_2\text{O}$  can be obtained impure by using a limited air supply, but are better prepared by thermal decomposition of the peroxides or superoxides. The colours of the oxides vary from white to orange;  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$  form white crystals while  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  is pale yellow,  $\text{Rb}_2\text{O}$  yellow and  $\text{Cs}_2\text{O}$  orange. All the oxides are strong bases, the basicity increasing from  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$  to  $\text{Cs}_2\text{O}$ . A peroxide of lithium can be obtained by the action of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  on an ethanolic solution of  $\text{LiOH}$ , but it decomposes on heating. Sodium peroxide (widely used as an oxidizing agent) is manufactured by heating  $\text{Na}$  metal on  $\text{Al}$  trays in air; when pure,  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}_2$  is colourless and the faint yellow colour usually observed is due to the presence of small amounts of  $\text{NaO}_2$ . The superoxides and peroxides contain the paramagnetic  $[\text{O}_2]^-$  and diamagnetic  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$  ions respectively (see [problem 10.13](#) at the end of the chapter). Superoxides have

<sup>†</sup> For further discussion, see: W. E. Dasent (1984) *Inorganic Energetics*, 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Chapter 5.



 **Fig. 10.4** The structure of the suboxide  $\text{Cs}_{11}\text{O}_3$  consists of three oxygen-centred, face-sharing octahedral units. Colour code: Cs, blue; O, red.

magnetic moments of  $\approx 1.73\mu_{\text{B}}$  consistent with one unpaired electron.


Partial oxidation of Rb and Cs at low temperatures yields *suboxides* such as  $\text{Rb}_6\text{O}$ ,  $\text{Rb}_9\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{Cs}_7\text{O}$  and  $\text{Cs}_{11}\text{O}_3$ . Their structures consist of octahedral units of metal ions with the oxygen residing at the centre; the octahedra are fused together by sharing faces (Figure 10.4). The formulae of the suboxides are misleading in terms of the oxidation states. Each contains  $\text{M}^+$  and  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ions, and, for example, the formula of  $\text{Rb}_6\text{O}$  is better written as  $(\text{Rb}^+)_6(\text{O}^{2-})\cdot 4\text{e}^-$ , indicating the presence of free electrons.

The alkali metal oxides, peroxides and superoxides react with water according to equations 10.11–10.13. One use of  $\text{KO}_2$  is in breathing masks where it absorbs  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  producing  $\text{O}_2$  for respiration and  $\text{KOH}$ , which absorbs exhaled  $\text{CO}_2$  (reaction 10.14).



Sodium peroxide reacts with  $\text{CO}_2$  to give  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ , rendering it suitable for use in air purification in confined spaces (e.g. in submarines);  $\text{KO}_2$  acts similarly but more effectively.

Although all the group 1 peroxides decompose on heating according to equation 10.15, their thermal stabilities depend on cation size;  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  is the least stable peroxide, while  $\text{Cs}_2\text{O}_2$  is the most stable. The stabilities of the superoxides (with respect to decomposition to  $\text{M}_2\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$ ) follow a similar trend.



Ozonides,  $\text{MO}_3$ , containing the paramagnetic, bent  $[\text{O}_3]^-$  ion (see Section 15.4), are known for all the alkali metals. The salts  $\text{KO}_3$ ,  $\text{RbO}_3$  and  $\text{CsO}_3$  can be prepared from the peroxides or superoxides by reaction with ozone, but this method fails, or gives low yields, for  $\text{LiO}_3$  and  $\text{NaO}_3$ . These ozonides have recently been prepared in liquid ammonia by the interaction of  $\text{CsO}_3$  with an ion-exchange resin loaded with either  $\text{Li}^+$  or  $\text{Na}^+$  ions. The ozonides are violently explosive.

An *ion-exchange resin* consists of a solid phase (e.g. a zeolite) which contains acidic or basic groups which may exchange with cations or anions, respectively, from solutions washed through the resin; an important application is in water purification (see Box 15.3).

## Hydroxides

In 2002,  $\approx 45$  Mt of  $\text{NaOH}$  (*caustic soda*) were used worldwide, with about one-third of this total being manufactured in the US (see Box 10.4).  $\text{NaOH}$  is used throughout organic and inorganic chemistry wherever a cheap alkali is needed, and industrial uses are summarized in Figure 10.2b. Solid  $\text{NaOH}$  (mp 591 K) is often handled as flakes or pellets, and dissolves in water with considerable evolution of heat. Potassium hydroxide (mp 633 K) closely resembles  $\text{NaOH}$  in preparation and properties. It is more soluble than  $\text{NaOH}$  in  $\text{EtOH}$ , in which it produces a low concentration of ethoxide ions (equation 10.16); this gives rise to the use of *ethanolic*  $\text{KOH}$  in organic synthesis.



The crystal structures of the group 1 hydroxides are usually complicated, but the high-temperature form of  $\text{KOH}$  has the  $\text{NaCl}$  lattice, with the  $[\text{OH}]^-$  ions undergoing rotation rendering them pseudo-spherical.

The reactions of alkali metal hydroxides (see Section 6.4) with acids and acidic oxides call for no special mention (see [problem 10.20](#) at the end of the chapter). However, reactions with  $\text{CO}$  are of interest since they give metal formates (methanoates), e.g. reaction 10.17.



Many non-metals disproportionate when treated with aqueous alkali:  $\text{P}_4$  gives  $\text{PH}_3$  and  $[\text{H}_2\text{PO}_2]^-$ ,  $\text{S}_8$  gives  $\text{S}^{2-}$  and a mixture of oxoanions, and  $\text{Cl}_2$  reacts to give  $\text{Cl}^-$  and  $[\text{OCl}]^-$  or  $[\text{ClO}_3]^-$  (see also Section 16.9). Non-metals that do not form stable hydrides, and amphoteric metals, react with aqueous  $\text{MOH}$  to yield  $\text{H}_2$  and oxoanions, e.g. reaction 10.18.




## 10.7 Salts of oxoacids: carbonates and hydrogencarbonates

The properties of alkali metal salts of most oxoacids depend on the oxoanion present and not on the cation; thus we tend to discuss salts of oxoacids under the appropriate acid. However, we single out the carbonates and hydrogencarbonates because of their importance. Whereas  $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$  is sparingly soluble in water, the remaining carbonates of the group 1 metals are very soluble.

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 10.4 The chloralkali industry

The *chloralkali industry* produces huge quantities of NaOH and Cl<sub>2</sub> by the electrolysis of *aqueous* NaCl (brine).



Three types of electrolysis cell are available:

- the mercury cell, which employs a mercury cathode;
- the diaphragm cell, which uses an asbestos diaphragm separating the steel cathode and graphite or platinum-coated titanium anode;
- the membrane cell, in which a cation-exchange membrane, with high permeability to Na<sup>+</sup> ions and low permeability to Cl<sup>-</sup> and [OH]<sup>-</sup> ions, is placed between the anode and cathode.

In 2000, ≈45 Mt of Cl<sub>2</sub> was manufactured by the chloralkali process; this represents 95% of the global supply. The main producers are the US, Western Europe and Japan. Whereas the Japanese chloralkali industry operates almost entirely with the membrane cell, the US favours use of the diaphragm cell, and just over half of the Western European industry retains use of the mercury cell. On environmental grounds, the chloralkali industry is being pressured to replace mercury and diaphragm cells by the membrane cell. This is not the only environmental concern facing the industry; demand for Cl<sub>2</sub> has fallen in the pulp and paper industry and in the production of chlorofluorocarbons, the latter being phased out as a result of the *Montreal Protocol for the Protection*

*of the Ozone Layer*. Nevertheless, overall demand for Cl<sub>2</sub> remains high, much being used in the production of chloroethene (polyvinylchloride, PVC). Uses of Cl<sub>2</sub> are summarized in *Figure 16.2*.

Aqueous NaOH from the electrolytic process is evaporated to give solid NaOH (caustic soda) as a white, translucent solid which is fused and cast into sticks, or made into flakes or pellets. Uses of NaOH are summarized in *Figure 10.2b*.

The chloralkali industry illustrates an interesting market problem. While the electrolysis of brine produces NaOH and Cl<sub>2</sub> in a *fixed molar ratio*, the markets for the two chemicals are different and unrelated. Interestingly, prices of the two chemicals follow opposite trends; in times of recession, demand for Cl<sub>2</sub> falls more sharply than that of NaOH, with the result that the price of Cl<sub>2</sub> falls as stocks build up. Conversely, industrial demand for Cl<sub>2</sub> increases faster than that of NaOH when the economy is strong; consequently, the price of the alkali falls as stocks increase. The net result is clearly important to the long-term stability of the chloralkali industry as a whole.

## Further reading

N. Botha (1995) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 832 – ‘The outlook for the world chloralkali industry’.

R. Shamel and A. Udis-Kessler (2001) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 179 – ‘Bulk chemicals: critical chloralkali cycles continue’.


In many countries, sodium carbonate (soda ash) and sodium hydrogencarbonate (commonly called sodium bicarbonate) are manufactured by the Solvay process (*Figure 10.5*), but this is being superseded where natural sources of the mineral *trona*, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·NaHCO<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, are available (e.g. in the US). *Figure 10.5* shows that NH<sub>3</sub> can be recycled, but most waste CaCl<sub>2</sub> is dumped (e.g. into the sea) or used in winter road clearance (see *Box 11.5*). In 2001, ≈35 Mt of sodium carbonate was produced worldwide, 10.3 Mt in the US. The US (a net exporter of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) consumed ≈6.4 Mt of sodium carbonate in 2003; uses are summarized in *Figure 10.6*. Sodium hydrogencarbonate, although a direct product in the Solvay process, is also manufactured by passing CO<sub>2</sub> through aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> or by dissolving trona in H<sub>2</sub>O saturated with CO<sub>2</sub>. Its uses include those as a foaming agent, a food additive (e.g. baking powder) and an effervescent in pharmaceutical products. The Solvay company has now developed a process for using NaHCO<sub>3</sub> in pollution control, e.g. by neutralizing SO<sub>2</sub> or HCl in industrial and other waste emissions.

There are some notable differences between Na<sup>+</sup> and other alkali metal [CO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> and [HCO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> salts. Whereas NaHCO<sub>3</sub>

can be separated in the Solvay process by *precipitation*, the same is not true of KHCO<sub>3</sub>. Hence, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is produced, not via KHCO<sub>3</sub>, but by the reaction of KOH with CO<sub>2</sub>; K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> has uses in the manufacture of certain glasses and ceramics. Among its applications, KHCO<sub>3</sub> is used as a buffering agent in water treatment and wine production. Lithium carbonate (see also *Section 10.2*) is only sparingly soluble in water; ‘LiHCO<sub>3</sub>’ has not been isolated. The thermal stabilities of the group 1 metal carbonates with respect to reaction 10.19 increase down the group as *r*<sub>M+</sub> increases, lattice energy being a crucial factor. Such a trend in stability is common to all series of oxo-salts of the alkali metals.



The solid state structures of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and KHCO<sub>3</sub> exhibit hydrogen bonding (see *Section 9.6*). In KHCO<sub>3</sub>, the anions associate in pairs (*Figure 10.7a*) whereas in NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, infinite chains are present (*Figure 10.7b*). In each case, the hydrogen bonds are asymmetrical. Sodium silicates are also of great commercial importance: see *Sections 13.2* and *13.9*.




**Fig. 10.5** Schematic representation of the Solvay process for the manufacture of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> from CaCO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and NaCl. The recycling parts of the process are shown with blue, broken lines.

## 10.8 Aqueous solution chemistry including macrocyclic complexes

### Hydrated ions

We introduced hydrated alkali metal cations in [Sections 6.7](#) and [6.9](#). Some Li<sup>+</sup> salts containing small anions (e.g. LiF, Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) are sparingly soluble in water, but for large

anions, the Li<sup>+</sup> salts are soluble while many K<sup>+</sup>, Rb<sup>+</sup> and Cs<sup>+</sup> salts are sparingly soluble (e.g. MClO<sub>4</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>[PtCl<sub>6</sub>] for M = K, Rb or Cs).



**Fig. 10.6** Uses of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in the US in 2001 [Data: U.S. Geological Survey].

### Worked example 10.3 Salts in aqueous solutions


**Starting from Rb<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, how might you prepare and isolate RbClO<sub>4</sub>?**

Rb<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is soluble in water, whereas RbClO<sub>4</sub> is sparingly soluble. Therefore, a suitable method of preparation is the neutralization of Rb<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in aqueous HClO<sub>4</sub> with the formation of RbClO<sub>4</sub> precipitate. **Caution!** Perchlorates are potentially explosive.

### Self-study exercises

*Answers can be determined by reading the text.*

1. Would the reaction of CsNO<sub>3</sub> and perchloric acid be a convenient method of preparing CsClO<sub>4</sub>?
2. Would the collection of LiClO<sub>4</sub> precipitate from the reaction in aqueous solution of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and NaClO<sub>4</sub> be a convenient way of preparing and isolating LiClO<sub>4</sub>?
3. The solubility of sodium sulfate in water, expressed in g of sodium sulfate per 100 g of water, increases from 273 to



**Fig. 10.7** In the solid state, hydrogen bonding results in anion association in  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  and  $\text{KHCO}_3$ , and the formation of (a) dimers in  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  and (b) infinite chains in  $\text{KHCO}_3$ . Colour code: C, grey; O, red; H, white.

**305 K, while from 305 to 373 K, the solubility decreases slightly. What can you infer from these observations?**


**[Hint: Is only one solid involved?]**

In *dilute* solutions, alkali metal ions rarely form complexes, but where these are formed, e.g. with  $[\text{P}_2\text{O}_7]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{EDTA}]^{4-}$  (see [Table 6.7](#)), the normal order of stability constants is  $\text{Li}^+ > \text{Na}^+ > \text{K}^+ > \text{Rb}^+ > \text{Cs}^+$ . In contrast, when the aqueous ions are adsorbed on an *ion-exchange resin*, the order of the strength of adsorption is usually  $\text{Li}^+ < \text{Na}^+ < \text{K}^+ < \text{Rb}^+ < \text{Cs}^+$ , suggesting that the *hydrated ions* are adsorbed, since hydration energies decrease along this series and the total interaction (i.e. primary hydration plus secondary interaction with more water molecules) is greatest for Li.


## Complex ions

Unlike simple inorganic ligands, *polyethers* and, in particular, *cyclic polyethers* complex alkali metal ions quite strongly. The *crown ethers* are cyclic ethers which include 1,4,7,10,13,16-hexaoxacyclooctadecane (Figure 10.8a), the common name for which is 18-crown-6; this nomenclature gives the total number (C + O) and number of O atoms in the ring. Figure 10.8b shows the structure of the  $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})]^+$  cation; the  $\text{K}^+$  ion is coordinated by the six O-donors. The radius of the cavity<sup>†</sup> inside the 18-crown-6 ring is 140 pm, and this compares with values of  $r_{\text{ion}}$  for the alkali metal ions ranging from 76 pm for  $\text{Li}^+$  to 170 pm for  $\text{Cs}^+$  (Table 10.1). The radius of the  $\text{K}^+$  ion (138 pm) is well matched to that of the macrocycle, and stability

constants for the formation of  $[\text{M}(\text{18-crown-6})]^+$  (equation 10.20) in acetone follow the sequence  $\text{K}^+ > \text{Rb}^+ > \text{Cs}^+ \approx \text{Na}^+ > \text{Li}^+$ .




Different crown ethers have different cavity sizes, although the latter is not a fixed property because of the ability of the ligand to change conformation. Thus, the radii of the holes in 18-crown-6, 15-crown-5 and 12-crown-4 can be taken to be roughly 140, 90 and 60 pm respectively. It is, however, dangerous to assume that an  $[\text{ML}]^+$  complex will fail to form simply because the size of  $\text{M}^+$  is not matched correctly to the hole size of the macrocyclic ligand L. For example, if the radius of  $\text{M}^+$  is slightly larger than the radius of L, a complex may form in which  $\text{M}^+$  sits above the plane containing the donor atoms, e.g.  $[\text{Li}(\text{12-crown-4})\text{Cl}]$  (10.1). Alternatively a 1:2 complex  $[\text{ML}_2]^+$  may result in which the metal ion is sandwiched between two ligands, e.g.  $[\text{Li}(\text{12-crown-4})_2]^+$ . Note that these latter examples refer to complexes crystallized from solution.



The concept of matching ligand hole size to the size of the metal ion has played a role in discussions of the apparent selectivity of particular ligands for particular metal ions. The selectivity (such as that discussed above for  $[\text{M}(\text{18-crown-6})]^+$  complexes, equation 10.20) is based on measured stability constants. It has, however, also been pointed out that the stability constants for  $[\text{KL}]^+$  complexes are often

<sup>†</sup> The concept of ‘cavity size’ is not as simple as it may appear; for further discussion, see the suggested reading list under ‘macrocyclic ligands’ at the end of the chapter.



**Fig. 10.8** The structures of (a) the macrocyclic polyether 18-crown-6, (b) the  $[\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})]^+$  cation for the  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{Sn}]^-$  salt (X-ray diffraction) [T. Birchall *et al.* (1988) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 877], (c) the cryptand ligand crypt-[222], and (d)  $[\text{Na}(\text{crypt-[222]})]^+\text{Na}^-$  (X-ray diffraction) [F.J. Tehan *et al.* (1974) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 96, p. 7203]. Colour code: K, orange; Na, purple; C, grey; N, blue; O, red.

higher than for corresponding  $[\text{ML}]^+$  complexes where  $\text{M} = \text{Li}, \text{Na}, \text{Rb}$  or  $\text{Cs}$ , even when hole-matching is clearly not the all-important factor. An alternative explanation focuses on the fact that, when a crown ether binds  $\text{M}^+$ , the chelate rings that are formed are all 5-membered, and that the size of the  $\text{K}^+$  ion is ideally suited to 5-membered chelate ring formation (see [Section 6.12](#)).<sup>†</sup> Complexes formed by such macrocyclic ligands are appreciably more stable than those formed by closely related open chain ligands (see [Section 6.12](#)).

The crown ether-complexed alkali metal ions are large and hydrophobic, and their salts tend to be soluble in *organic* solvents. For example, whereas  $\text{KMnO}_4$  is water-soluble but insoluble in benzene,  $[\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})][\text{MnO}_4]$  is soluble in benzene; mixing benzene with aqueous  $\text{KMnO}_4$  leads to the purple colour being transferred from the aqueous to the benzene layer. This phenomenon is very useful in

preparative organic chemistry, the *anions* being little solvated and, therefore, highly reactive.

A *cryptand* is a polycyclic ligand containing a cavity; when the ligand coordinates to a metal ion, the complex ion is called a *cryptate*.

Figure 10.8c shows the structure of the *cryptand* ligand 4,7,13,16,21,24-hexaoxa-1,10-diazabicyclo[8.8.8]hexacosane, commonly called cryptand-222 or crypt-222, where the 222 notation gives the number of *O*-donor atoms in each of the three chains. Cryptand-222 is an example of a *bicyclic* ligand which can *encapsulate* an alkali metal ion. Cryptands protect the complexed metal cation even more effectively than do crown ethers. They show selective coordination behaviour; cryptands-211, -221 and -222 with cavity radii of 80, 110 and 140 pm, respectively, form their most stable alkali metal complexes with  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  respectively (see [Table 10.1](#) for  $r_{\text{ion}}$ ).



<sup>†</sup> For more detailed discussion, see: R.D. Hancock (1992) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 69, p. 615 – ‘Chelate ring size and metal ion selection’.

## APPLICATIONS


## Box 10.5 Large cations for large anions 1

Alkali metal ions encapsulated within crown ether or cryptand ligands are often used as a source of ‘large cations’ to aid the crystallization of salts containing large anions. An example is the compound  $[\text{K}(\text{crypt-222})]_2[\text{C}_{60}] \cdot 4\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Me}$  which contains the fulleride  $[\text{C}_{60}]^{2-}$ . The space-filling diagram shows part of the packing diagram of  $[\text{K}(\text{crypt-222})]_2[\text{C}_{60}] \cdot 4\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Me}$ ; solvent molecules have been removed for clarity. The  $[\text{K}(\text{crypt-222})]^+$  cations have similar overall dimensions to the fulleride dianions, allowing the ions to pack efficiently in the crystal lattice.



Colour code: C, grey; K, orange; N, blue; O, red.

[Data from: T.F. Fassler *et al.* (1997) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, vol. 36, p. 486.]



See also: **Box 23.2** – Large cations for large anions 2.




The ability of crypt-222 to shift equilibrium 10.21 to the right-hand side is striking. This is observed when crypt-222 is added to Na dissolved in ethylamine, and the isolated product is the diamagnetic, golden-yellow  $[\text{Na}(\text{crypt-222})]^+\text{Na}^-$  (Figure 10.8d). The solid state structure indicates that the effective radius of the *sodium* ion is  $\approx 230$  pm, i.e.  $\text{Na}^-$  is similar in size to  $\text{I}^-$ . The replacement of the O atoms in crypt-222 by NMe groups generates ligand **10.2**, ideally suited to encapsulate  $\text{K}^+$ . Its use in place of crypt-222 has aided the study of alkali complexes by increasing their thermal stability. Whereas  $[\text{Na}(\text{crypt-222})]^+\text{Na}^-$  usually has to be handled below  $\approx 275$  K,  $[\text{K}(\mathbf{10.2})]^+\text{Na}^-$  and  $[\text{K}(\mathbf{10.2})]^+\text{K}^-$  are stable at 298 K.




Replacement of O in crypt-222 by NMe rather than NH (i.e. to give ligand **10.3**) is necessary because the NH groups would react with  $\text{M}^-$  liberating  $\text{H}_2$ . This is illustrated in reaction 10.22 which is carried out in liquid  $\text{NH}_3/\text{MeNH}_2$ ; the  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  ion in the product is encapsulated within the deprotonated ligand.



Despite this complication, this reaction is noteworthy for its product. In the solid state, the  $\text{Na}^-$  ions pair up to give  $[\text{Na}_2]^{2-}$ , in which the Na–Na distance is 417 pm. The dimer appears to be stabilized by  $\text{N-H}\cdots\text{Na}^-$  hydrogen-bonded interactions involving the  $[\text{Ba}(\mathbf{10.3-H})]^+$  cation (see **problem 10.23a** at the end of the chapter). The first hydrogen sodide ‘ $\text{H}^+\text{Na}^-$ ’ was prepared using ligand **10.4** to encapsulate  $\text{H}^+$ , thereby protecting it and rendering it kinetically stable with respect to strong bases and alkali metals.



*Alkalides* have also been prepared containing  $\text{Rb}^-$  and  $\text{Cs}^-$ . In these reactions, the cryptand:metal molar ratio is 1:2. If the reaction is carried out using a greater proportion of ligand, paramagnetic black *electrides* can be isolated, e.g.  $[\text{Cs}(\text{crypt-222})_2]^+\text{e}^-$  in which the electron is trapped in a cavity of radius  $\approx 240$  pm. Electrides can also be prepared using crown ethers, and examples of crystallographically confirmed complexes are  $[\text{Cs}(\text{15-crown-5})_2]^+\text{e}^-$ ,  $[\text{Cs}(\text{18-crown-6})_2]^+\text{e}^-$  and  $[\text{Cs}(\text{18-crown-6})(\text{15-crown-5})]^+\text{e}^- \cdot \text{18-crown-6}$ . The arrangement of the electron-containing cavities in the solid state has a profound effect on the electrical conductivities of these materials; the conductivity of  $[\text{Cs}(\text{18-crown-6})(\text{15-crown-5})]^+\text{e}^- \cdot \text{18-crown-6}$  (in which



**Fig. 10.9** (a) The structure of valinomycin and (b) a space-filling diagram showing the structure of  $[\text{K}(\text{valinomycin})]^+$ , determined by X-ray diffraction of the salt  $[\text{K}(\text{valinomycin})]_2[\text{I}_3][\text{I}_5]$ ; H atoms are omitted for clarity [K. Neupert-Laves *et al.* (1975) *Helv. Chim. Acta*, vol. 58, p. 432]. Colour code: O, red; N, blue; C, grey;  $\text{K}^+$  ion, orange.



the electron-cavities form rings) is  $\approx 10^6$  times greater than that of either  $[\text{Cs}(\text{15-crown-5})_2]^+e^-$  or  $[\text{Cs}(\text{18-crown-6})_2]^+e^-$  (in which the free electron-cavities are organized in chains).


Cryptands have also been used to isolate crystalline  $\text{LiO}_3$  and  $\text{NaO}_3$  as  $[\text{Li}(\text{crypt-211})][\text{O}_3]$  and  $[\text{Na}(\text{crypt-222})][\text{O}_3]$  respectively, and further applications of these encapsulating ligands are in the isolation of alkali metal salts of *Zintl ions* (see [Sections 8.6](#) and [13.7](#)). Sodium and potassium cryptates are interesting models for biologically occurring materials (such as the polypeptide valinomycin, Figure 10.9a) involved in the transfer of  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  across cell membranes. Figure 10.9b shows the structure of  $[\text{K}(\text{valinomycin})]^+$  and illustrates the way in which the ligand can adopt a conformation so as to wrap itself around the  $\text{K}^+$  ion.

## 10.9 Non-aqueous coordination chemistry

A growing number of complexes (generally air- and moisture-sensitive) involving alkali metal ions with *O*- or *N*-donor ligands and formed in non-aqueous media are now known, although the chemistry of the later group 1 metals is not so widely developed as that of Li. A general method of synthesis is to prepare an alkali metal salt in the presence of a coordinating ligand. For example, in  $[\{\text{LiCl}(\text{HMPA})\}_4]^+$ , use of the bulky ligand HMPA (hexamethylphosphoramide),

**10.5**, results in the isolation of a discrete complex rather than an extended  $\text{LiCl}$  lattice; the complex contains the cubic  $\text{Li}_4\text{Cl}_4$  core shown in **10.6**. Increasing the size of the halogen tends to reduce the nuclearity of the product, e.g.  $[\text{Li}_2\text{Br}_2(\text{HMPA})_3]$ , **10.7**. The bonding in these complexes is of interest; **10.6** can be viewed in terms of a central aggregate of  $\text{Li}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions, and in general, the bonding should be considered to be predominantly ionic.





**Fig. 10.10** The structure of  $[\{\text{LiNH}^t\text{Bu}\}_8]$  determined by X-ray diffraction; hydrogen and methyl-carbon atoms have been omitted for clarity [N.D.R. Barnett *et al.* (1996) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 2321]. Colour code: Li, red; N, blue; C, grey.

Amidolithium complexes of type  $\text{RR}'\text{NLi}$  (e.g. R and R' = alkyl, aryl, silyl) exhibit a fascinating structural diversity; as above, *bulky* amido ligands are essential for complex stabilization. Planar  $\text{Li}_2\text{N}_2$ -rings are common structural units, and these appear in a variety of ladder structures which may be polymeric or discrete molecular as in  $[\{\text{tBuHNLi}\}_8]$  (Figure 10.10).

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- amalgam
- peroxide ion
- superoxide ion
- ozonide ion
- ion-exchange (ion-exchange resin)
- crown ether
- cryptand
- alkalide
- electride

## Problems

- 10.1** (a) Write down, in order, the names and symbols of the metals in group 1; check your answer by reference to the first page of this chapter. (b) Give a *general* notation that shows the ground state electronic configuration of each metal.
- 10.2** Explain why, for a given alkali metal, the second ionization energy is very much higher than the first.
- 10.3** Describe the solid state structures of (a) the alkali metals and (b) the alkali metal chlorides, and comment on trends down the group.
- 10.4** Discuss trends in (a) melting points, and (b) ionic radii,  $r_+$ , for the metals on descending group 1.
- 10.5** (a) Describe the bonding in the  $\text{M}_2$  diatomics (M = Li, Na, K, Rb, Cs) in terms of valence bond and molecular

## Further reading

- N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – Chapter 4 gives a good account of the inorganic chemistry of the group 1 metals.
- W. Hesse, M. Jansen and W. Schnick (1989) *Progress in Solid State Chemistry*, vol. 19, p. 47 – A review of alkali metal oxides, peroxides, superoxides and ozonides.
- F.S. Mair and R. Snaith (1994) ‘Alkali metals: Inorganic chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 1, p. 35 – A recent survey with a large number of references into the primary literature.
- A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – A well-illustrated and detailed account of the structures of alkali metal compounds.

## Macrocyclic ligands

The following five references give excellent accounts of the macrocyclic effect:


- J. Burgess (1999) *Ions in Solution: Basic Principles of Chemical Interactions*, 2nd edn, Horwood Publishing, Chichester, Chapter 6.
- E.C. Constable (1996) *Metals and Ligand Reactivity*, revised edn, VCH, Weinheim, Chapter 6.
- E.C. Constable (1999) *Coordination Chemistry of Macrocyclic Compounds*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, Chapter 5.
- L.F. Lindoy (1989) *The Chemistry of Macrocyclic Ligand Complexes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Chapter 6.
- A.E. Martell, R.D. Hancock and R.J. Motekaitis (1994) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 133, p. 39.
- The following reference gives an account of the coordination chemistry of alkali metal crown ether complexes:
- J.W. Steed (2001) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 215, p. 171.

## Alkalides and electrides

- M.J. Wagner and J.L. Dye (1996) in *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry*, eds J.L. Atwood, J.E.D. Davies, D.D. Macnicol and F. Vögtle, Elsevier, Oxford, vol. 1, p. 477 – ‘Alkalides and electrides’.
- Q. Xie, R.H. Huang, A.S. Ichimura, R.C. Phillips, W.P. Pratt Jr and J.L. Dye (2000) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 122, p. 6971 – Report of the electride  $[\text{Rb}(\text{crypt-222})]^+e^-$ , its structure, polymorphism and electrical conductivity, with references to previous work in the area.

orbital theories. (b) Account for the trend in metal–metal bond dissociation energies given in Table 10.1.

- 10.6** (a) Write an equation for the decay of  $^{40}\text{K}$  by loss of a positron. (b) Determine the volume of gas produced when 1 g of  $^{40}\text{K}$  decays according to this equation. (c) The decay of  $^{40}\text{K}$  is the basis of a method for dating rock samples. Suggest how this method works.
- 10.7** Comment on the following observations:  
 (a) Li is the alkali metal that forms the nitride most stable with respect to decomposition into its elements.  
 (b) The mobilities of the alkali metal ions in aqueous solution follow the sequence  
 $\text{Li}^+ < \text{Na}^+ < \text{K}^+ < \text{Rb}^+ < \text{Cs}^+$ .  
 (c)  $E^\circ$  for  $\text{M}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{M}(\text{s})$  is nearly constant (see Table 10.1) for the alkali metals.
- 10.8** Suggest what will happen when a mixture of LiI and NaF is heated.
- 10.9** Very often, samples for IR spectroscopy are prepared as solid state discs by grinding the compound for analysis with an alkali metal halide. Suggest why the IR spectra of  $\text{K}_2[\text{PtCl}_4]$  in KBr and KI discs might be different.
- 10.10** Suggest why KF is a better reagent than NaF for replacement of chlorine in organic compounds by fluorine by the autoclave reaction:



- 10.11** Suggest why the solubility of sodium sulfate in water increases to 305 K and then decreases.
- 10.12** By considering Figure 10.3a and the packing of the units shown into an infinite lattice, show that (a) the ratio of  $\text{Li}^+:\text{N}^{3-}$  ions in layer 2 is 2:1, and (b) the stoichiometry of the compound is  $\text{Li}_3\text{N}$ .
- 10.13** Construct approximate MO diagrams for  $[\text{O}_2]^-$  and  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$  and confirm that  $[\text{O}_2]^-$  is paramagnetic, while  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$  is diamagnetic.
- 10.14** What general type of reaction is equilibrium 10.21? Confirm your answer by considering the oxidation state changes involved. Give two other examples of this general type of reaction.
- 10.15** Write down the formulae of the following ions:  
 (a) superoxide; (b) peroxide; (c) ozonide; (d) azide;  
 (e) nitride; (f) sodide.
- 10.16** Write a brief account of the uses of the alkali metals and their compounds, with reference to relevant industrial processes.
- 10.17** Alkali metal cyanides, MCN, are described as *pseudohalides*. (a) Draw the structure of the cyanide ion, and give a description of its bonding. (b) Interpret the structure of NaCN if it possesses an NaCl lattice.

- 10.18** Give an account of what happens when Na dissolves in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ .
- 10.19** Write balanced equations for the following reactions:  
 (a) sodium hydride with water;  
 (b) potassium hydroxide with acetic acid;  
 (c) thermal decomposition of sodium azide;  
 (d) potassium peroxide with water;  
 (e) sodium fluoride with boron trifluoride;  
 (f) electrolysis of molten KBr;  
 (g) electrolysis of aqueous NaCl.

## Overview problems

- 10.20** Suggest products and write balanced equations for each of the following reactions; these are *not* necessarily balanced on the left-hand side.  
 (a)  $\text{KOH} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow$   
 (b)  $\text{NaOH} + \text{SO}_2 \rightarrow$   
 (c)  $\text{KOH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} \rightarrow$   
 (d)  $\text{Na} + (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHOH} \rightarrow$   
 (e)  $\text{NaOH} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow$   
 (f)  $\text{NaOH} + \text{CO} \xrightarrow{450\text{ K}}$   
 (g)  $\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4 + \text{CsOH} \rightarrow$   
 (h)  $\text{NaH} + \text{BCl}_3 \rightarrow$
- 10.21** (a)  $\text{Na}_3\text{N}$  remained an elusive compound until 2002. Calculate a value for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Na}_3\text{N}, \text{s})$  using data from Appendices 8 and 10, and the following estimated values of  $\Delta H(298\text{ K})$ :  

$$\text{N}(\text{g}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{N}^{3-}(\text{g}) \quad \Delta_{\text{EA}} H = +2120\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$3\text{Na}^+(\text{g}) + \text{N}^{3-}(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{Na}_3\text{N}(\text{s})$$

$$\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ = -4422\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$
 Comment on whether the value obtained is sufficient to indicate whether  $\text{Na}_3\text{N}$  is thermodynamically stable.  
 (b) The high-temperature crystalline form of  $\text{RbNH}_2$  adopts a structure with a ccp array of  $[\text{NH}_2]^-$  ions and  $\text{Rb}^+$  ions occupying octahedral sites. To which structure type does this correspond? Sketch a unit cell of  $\text{RbNH}_2$  and confirm the stoichiometry of the compound by considering the number of ions per unit cell.
- 10.22** (a) Suggest products for the reaction of  $\text{Li}_3\text{N}$  with water. Write a balanced equation for the reaction.  
 (b) A compound **A** was isolated from the reaction between a group 1 metal **M** and  $\text{O}_2$ . **A** reacts with water to give only  $\text{MOH}$ , while **M** reacts in a controlled manner with water giving  $\text{MOH}$  and another product, **B**. Suggest identities for **M**, **A** and **B**. Write equations for the reactions described. Compare the reaction of **M** with  $\text{O}_2$  with those of the other group 1 metals with  $\text{O}_2$ .
- 10.23** (a) The crystalline product from reaction 10.22 contains  $[\text{Na}_2]^{2-}$  units. Construct an MO diagram for  $[\text{Na}_2]^{2-}$  and determine the bond order in this

species. Comment on the result in the light of the text discussion of this species, explaining differences between the MO model and the experimental data.

- (b) The enthalpies of hydration for  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Rb}^+$  are  $-404$ ,  $-321$  and  $-296 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively. Suggest an explanation for this trend.

- 10.24** (a) Stability constants for the formation of  $[\text{M}(18\text{-crown-6})]^+$  complexes in acetone are given below. Comment critically on these data.

| $\text{M}^+$ | $\text{Li}^+$ | $\text{Na}^+$ | $\text{K}^+$ | $\text{Rb}^+$ | $\text{Cs}^+$ |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| $\log K$     | 1.5           | 4.6           | 6.0          | 5.2           | 4.6           |

- (b) Of the salts  $\text{NaNO}_3$ ,  $\text{RbNO}_3$ ,  $\text{Cs}_2\text{CO}_3$ ,  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$ ,  $\text{LiCl}$  and  $\text{LiF}$ , which are soluble in water? Using  $\text{LiCl}$  and  $\text{LiF}$  as examples, discuss factors that contribute to the solubility of a salt.

- 10.25** The first list below contains the formula of a group 1 metal or metal compound. Match these to the descriptions given in the second column.

| <b>List 1</b>            | <b>List 2</b>   |
|--------------------------|---|
| $\text{Li}_3\text{N}$    | Reacts explosively with water, liberating $\text{H}_2$                        |
| $\text{NaOH}$            | Sparingly soluble in water  |
| $\text{Cs}$              | Basic compound with an antifluorite structure                                 |
| $\text{Cs}_7\text{O}$    | Possesses the highest first ionization energy of the group 1 metals           |
| $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$ | Formed by direct combination of the elements, and possesses a layer structure |
| $\text{NaBH}_4$          | Neutralizes aqueous $\text{HNO}_3$ with no evolution of gas                   |
| $\text{Rb}_2\text{O}$    | Used as a reducing agent  |
| $\text{Li}$              | A suboxide  |

# Chapter 11

## The group 2 metals

### TOPICS

- Occurrence, extraction and uses
- Physical properties
- The metals
- Halides
- Oxides and hydroxides
- Salts of oxoacids
- Complex ions in aqueous solution
- Complexes with amido or alkoxy ligands
- Diagonal relationships

| 1  | 2  |            | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|----|----|------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| H  |    |            |    |    |    |    |    | He |
| Li | Be |            | B  | C  | N  | O  | F  | Ne |
| Na | Mg |            | Al | Si | P  | S  | Cl | Ar |
| K  | Ca | $d$ -block | Ga | Ge | As | Se | Br | Kr |
| Rb | Sr |            | In | Sn | Sb | Te | I  | Xe |
| Cs | Ba |            | Tl | Pb | Bi | Po | At | Rn |
| Fr | Ra |            |    |    |    |    |    |    |

### 11.1 Introduction

The relationships among the elements in group 2 – beryllium, magnesium, calcium, strontium, barium and radium – are very like those among the alkali metals. However, Be stands apart from the other group 2 metals to a *greater* extent than does Li from its homologues. For example, whereas  $\text{Li}^+$  and  $\text{Na}^+$  salts (with a common counter-ion) usually crystallize with the same lattice type, this is not true for Be(II) and Mg(II) compounds. Beryllium compounds tend either to be covalent or to contain the hydrated  $[\text{Be}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^{2+}$  ion. The high values of the enthalpy of atomization ([Appendix 10](#)) and ionization energies ([Appendix 8](#)) of the Be atom, and the small size and consequent high charge density of a naked  $\text{Be}^{2+}$  ion, militate against the formation of naked  $\text{Be}^{2+}$ . Further, the restriction of the valence shell of Be to an octet of electrons excludes the formation of

more than four localized 2c-2e bonds by a Be atom. It is noteworthy that Be is the only group 2 metal not to form a stable complex with  $[\text{EDTA}]^{4-}$  (see [Table 6.7](#)).

The elements Ca, Sr, Ba and Ra are collectively known as the *alkaline earth metals*. We shall have little to say about radium; it is radioactive and is formed as  $^{226}_{88}\text{Ra}$  ( $\alpha$ -emitter,  $t_{1/2} = 1622$  yr) in the  $^{238}_{92}\text{U}$  decay series. Uses of radium-226 in cancer treatment have generally been superseded by other radioisotopes. The properties of radium and its compounds can be inferred by extrapolation from those of corresponding Ca, Sr and Ba compounds.

We have already described some aspects of the chemistry of the group 2 elements as follows:

- ionization energies of metals ([Section 1.10](#));
- bonding in diatomic  $\text{Be}_2$  ([Section 1.13](#));
- bonding schemes for  $\text{BeCl}_2$  ([Sections 1.19](#) and [4.2](#));
- structures of metals ([Table 5.2](#));
- structures of halides and oxides, see  $\text{CaF}_2$ ,  $\text{CdI}_2$  and  $\text{NaCl}$  lattices ([Section 5.11](#));
- lattice energy treatment of disproportionation of  $\text{CaF}$  into Ca and  $\text{CaF}_2$  ([Section 5.16](#));
- solubility products, e.g. for  $\text{CaF}_2$  ([Section 6.9](#));
- hydration of metal ions ([Section 6.9](#));
- saline hydrides,  $\text{MH}_2$  ([Section 9.7](#)).

### 11.2 Occurrence, extraction and uses


#### Occurrence

Beryllium occurs principally as the silicate mineral *beryl*,  $\text{Be}_3\text{Al}_2[\text{Si}_6\text{O}_{18}]$  (silicates, see [Section 13.9](#)); it is also found in many natural minerals, and precious forms include


*emerald* and *aquamarine*. Magnesium and calcium are the eighth and fifth most abundant elements, respectively, in the Earth's crust, and Mg, the third most abundant in the sea. The elements Mg, Ca, Sr and Ba are widely distributed in minerals and as dissolved salts in seawater; some important minerals are *dolomite* ( $\text{CaCO}_3 \cdot \text{MgCO}_3$ ), *magnesite* ( $\text{MgCO}_3$ ), *olivine* ( $(\text{Mg,Fe})_2\text{SiO}_4$ ), *carnallite* ( $\text{KCl} \cdot \text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ),  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (in the forms of *chalk*, *limestone* and *marble*), *gypsum* ( $\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), *celestite* ( $\text{SrSO}_4$ ), *strontianite* ( $\text{SrCO}_3$ ) and *barytes* ( $\text{BaSO}_4$ ). The natural abundances of Be, Sr and Ba are far less than those of Mg and Ca (Figure 11.1).

## Extraction

Of the group 2 metals, only Mg is manufactured on a large scale (see [Box 11.1](#)). Dolomite is thermally decomposed to a mixture of MgO and CaO, and MgO is reduced by ferrosilicon in Ni vessels (equation 11.1); Mg is removed by distillation *in vacuo*.



Extraction of Mg by electrolysis of fused  $\text{MgCl}_2$  is also important and is applied to the extraction of the metal from seawater. The first step is precipitation (see [Table](#)



**Fig. 11.1** Relative abundances in the Earth's crust of the alkaline earth metals (excluding Ra); the data are plotted on a logarithmic scale. The units of abundance are ppm.


6.4) of  $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$  by addition of  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  (*slaked lime*), produced from  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (available as various calcareous deposits, see [Figure 10.5](#)). Neutralization with hydrochloric acid (equation 11.2) and evaporation of water gives  $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , which, after heating at 990 K, yields the

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL


### Box 11.1 Recycling of materials: magnesium

Recycling of materials became increasingly important during the last decades of the twentieth century, and continues to have a significant influence on chemical industries. A large fraction of the total Mg consumed is in the form of Al/Mg

alloys (see [Figure 11.2](#)), and recycling of Al cans necessarily means recovery of Mg. The graph below shows the variation in total consumption of primary Mg in the US from 1960 to 2000, and the increasing trend towards recovering the metal.





[Data from US Geological Survey]




**Fig. 11.2** Uses of Mg in the US in 2001 [data from US Geological Survey]; for a discussion of *cathodic protection*, see [Box 7.3](#).

anhydrous chloride. Electrolysis of molten  $\text{MgCl}_2$  and solidification of Mg completes the process (equation 11.3).



Beryllium is obtained from *beryl* by first heating with  $\text{Na}_2\text{SiF}_6$ , extracting the water-soluble  $\text{BeF}_2$  formed, and precipitating  $\text{Be}(\text{OH})_2$ . Beryllium is then produced either by reduction of  $\text{BeF}_2$  (equation 11.4), or by electrolysis of  $\text{BeCl}_2$  fused with NaCl.



The production of Ca is by electrolysis of fused  $\text{CaCl}_2$  and  $\text{CaF}_2$ ; Sr and Ba are extracted by reduction of the corresponding oxides by Al, or by electrolysis of  $\text{MCl}_2$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Sr}, \text{Ba}$ ).

## Major uses of the group 2 metals and their compounds


**Caution!** Beryllium and soluble barium compounds are extremely toxic.

Beryllium is one of the lightest metals known, is non-magnetic, and has a high thermal conductivity and a very high melting point (1560 K); these properties, combined with inertness towards aerial oxidation, render it of industrial importance. It is used in the manufacture of body parts in high-speed aircraft and missiles, and in communication satellites. Because of its low electron density, Be is a poor absorber of electromagnetic radiation and, as a result, is used in X-ray tube windows. Its high melting point and low cross-section for neutron capture (see [Section 2.4](#)) make Be useful in the nuclear energy industry.

Figure 11.2 summarizes the major uses of Mg. The presence of Mg in Mg/Al alloys imparts greater mechanical strength and resistance to corrosion, and improves fabrication properties; Mg/Al alloys are used in aircraft and automobile body parts and lightweight tools. Miscellaneous uses (Figure 11.2) include flares, fireworks and photographic flashlights, and medical applications such as indigestion powders (*milk of magnesia*,  $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ ) and a purgative (*Epsom salts*,


$\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ). Both  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions are catalysts for diphosphate–triphosphate (see [Box 14.12](#)) transformations in biological systems;  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  is an essential constituent of chlorophylls in green plants (see [Section 11.8](#)).

Uses of compounds of calcium far outnumber those of the metal, with the world production of CaO,  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ , CaO–MgO,  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2 \cdot \text{MgO}$  and  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2 \cdot \text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$  being  $\approx 118\,000$  Mt in 2000. Calcium oxide (quicklime or lime) is produced by calcining limestone (see [Figure 10.5](#)) and a major use is as a component in building mortar. Dry sand and CaO mixtures can be stored and transported; on adding water, and as  $\text{CO}_2$  is absorbed, the mortar sets as solid  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (scheme 11.5). The sand in the mortar is a binding agent.



Other important uses of lime are in the steel industry (see [Box 5.1](#)), pulp and paper manufacturing, and extraction of Mg. Calcium carbonate is in huge demand in, for example, steel, glass, cement and concrete manufacturing, and the Solvay process ([Figure 10.5](#)). Recent applications of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  and  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  with environmental significance are in desulfurization processes (see [Box 11.2](#)). Large quantities of  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  are used to manufacture bleaching powder,  $\text{Ca}(\text{OCl})_2 \cdot \text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2 \cdot \text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (see [Sections 16.2](#) and [16.9](#)) and in water treatment (see [equation 11.28](#)).

Calcium fluoride occurs naturally as the mineral fluor spar, and is commercially important as the raw material for the manufacture of HF (equation 11.6) and  $\text{F}_2$  (see [Section 16.2](#)). Smaller amounts of  $\text{CaF}_2$  are used as a flux in the steel industry, for welding electrode coatings, and in glass manufacture; prisms and cell windows made from  $\text{CaF}_2$  are used in spectrophotometers.





The two mineral sources for strontium are the sulfate (celestite) and carbonate (strontianite). In 2001, 75% of strontium used in the US went into the manufacture of faceplate glass in colour television cathode-ray tubes in order to stop X-ray

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

Box 11.2 Desulfurization processes to limit SO<sub>2</sub> emissions

Current awareness of the effects of environmental pollution has been instrumental in the development of *desulfurization processes*; this includes desulfurization of fossil fuels and flue gases from a variety of sources. The aim in a flue gas desulfurization process, for example, is to optimize the removal of SO<sub>2</sub> from emissions into the atmosphere. One important method of desulfurization in commercial operation throughout the world is based upon the neutralization reactions between Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> or CaCO<sub>3</sub> and sulfuric acid. Flue gases containing SO<sub>2</sub> are passed through absorbers containing slaked lime or limestone. The reactions occurring are:



or



An advantage of the system is that CaSO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, *gypsum*, is non-toxic and is not a waste product; it has a number of commercial applications, for example in the production of plaster of Paris (see *Section 11.7*) and cement.

In an alternative desulfurization process, NH<sub>3</sub> replaces Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> or CaCO<sub>3</sub> and the final sulfur-containing product is [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[SO<sub>4</sub>]. Again, the sulfur is removed in the form of a commercially desirable chemical, since [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[SO<sub>4</sub>] has applications as a fertilizer.

*For related information: see Box 15.5 and Box 22.6.*

## Further reading

D. Stirling (2000) *The Sulfur Problem: Cleaning Up Industrial Feedstocks*, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge.

emissions. It is present as SrO and has the added advantage of enhancing television picture quality. Other uses of strontium include ferrite ceramic magnets and pyrotechnics (see ‘*Flame tests*’ in *Section 11.3*).

*Barite* (or *barytes*) is the mineral form of BaSO<sub>4</sub>. World production in 2001 was ≈6600 Mt, with Chile supplying over half this total. The major use of barite is as a weighting material in oil- and gas-well drilling fluids. On a much smaller scale of application, the ability of BaSO<sub>4</sub> to stop the passage of X-rays leads to its use as a ‘barium meal’ in radiology for imaging the alimentary tract. Uses of Ba as a

‘getter’ in vacuum tubes arise from its high reactivity with gases including O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>.

## 11.3 Physical properties

## General properties

Selected physical properties of the group 2 elements are listed in *Table 11.1*. The intense radioactivity of Ra makes it

**Table 11.1** Some physical properties of the alkaline earth metals, M, and their ions, M<sup>2+</sup>.

| Property   | Be                  | Mg                  | Ca                  | Sr                  | Ba                  | Ra                  |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Atomic number, <i>Z</i>  | 4                   | 12                  | 20                  | 38                  | 56                  | 88                  |
| Ground state electronic configuration  | [He]2s <sup>2</sup> | [Ne]3s <sup>2</sup> | [Ar]4s <sup>2</sup> | [Kr]5s <sup>2</sup> | [Xe]6s <sup>2</sup> | [Rn]7s <sup>2</sup> |
| Enthalpy of atomization, Δ <sub>a</sub> H <sup>o</sup> (298 K) / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>  | 324                 | 146                 | 178                 | 164                 | 178                 | 130                 |
| Melting point, mp / K  | 1560                | 923                 | 1115                | 1040                | 1000                | 973                 |
| Boiling point, bp / K  | ≈3040               | 1380                | 1757                | 1657                | 1913                | 1413                |
| Standard enthalpy of fusion, Δ <sub>fus</sub> H <sup>o</sup> (mp) / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>   | 7.9                 | 8.5                 | 8.5                 | 7.4                 | 7.1                 | –                   |
| First ionization energy, IE <sub>1</sub> / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>  | 899.5               | 737.7               | 589.8               | 549.5               | 502.8               | 509.3               |
| Second ionization energy, IE <sub>2</sub> / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>   | 1757                | 1451                | 1145                | 1064                | 965.2               | 979.0               |
| Third ionization energy, IE <sub>3</sub> / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>  | 14850               | 7733                | 4912                | 4138                | 3619                | 3300                |
| Metallic radius, <i>r</i> <sub>metal</sub> / pm <sup>‡</sup>   | 112                 | 160                 | 197                 | 215                 | 224                 | –                   |
| Ionic radius, <i>r</i> <sub>ion</sub> / pm <sup>*</sup>  | 27                  | 72                  | 100                 | 126                 | 142                 | 148                 |
| Standard enthalpy of hydration of M <sup>2+</sup> , Δ <sub>hyd</sub> H <sup>o</sup> (298 K) / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>               | –2500               | –1931               | –1586               | –1456               | –1316               | –                   |
| Standard entropy of hydration of M <sup>2+</sup> , Δ <sub>hyd</sub> S <sup>o</sup> (298 K) / J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> | –300                | –320                | –230                | –220                | –200                | –                   |
| Standard Gibbs energy of hydration of M <sup>2+</sup> , Δ <sub>hyd</sub> G <sup>o</sup> (298 K) / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>           | –2410               | –1836               | –1517               | –1390               | –1256               | –                   |
| Standard reduction potential, E <sup>o</sup> <sub>M<sup>2+</sup>/M / V</sub>   | –1.85               | –2.37               | –2.87               | –2.89               | –2.90               | –2.92               |

<sup>‡</sup> For 12-coordinate atoms.

<sup>\*</sup> For 4-coordination for Be<sup>2+</sup>, and 6-coordination for other M<sup>2+</sup> ions.

impossible to obtain all the data for this element. Some general points to note from Table 11.1 are as follows.

- The general trend in decreasing values of  $IE_1$  and  $IE_2$  down the group (see [Section 1.10](#)) is broken by the increase in going from Ba to Ra, attributed to the *thermodynamic 6s inert pair effect* (see [Box 12.3](#)).
- High values of  $IE_3$  preclude the formation of  $M^{3+}$  ions.
- Quoting a value of  $r_{\text{ion}}$  for beryllium assumes that the  $\text{Be}^{2+}$  ion is present in  $\text{BeF}_2$  and  $\text{BeO}$ , a questionable assumption.
- There are no simple explanations for the irregular group variations in properties such as melting points and  $\Delta_a H^\circ$ .
- Values of  $E^\circ$  for the  $M^{2+}/M$  couple are fairly constant (with the exception of Be), and can be explained in a similar way as for the group 1 metals (see [Sections 7.7](#) and [10.3](#)).

## Flame tests

As for the alkali metals, emission spectra for the group 2 metals are readily observed and flame tests (see [Section 10.3](#)) can be used to distinguish between Ca-, Sr- and Ba-containing compounds: Ca (orange-red, but pale green when viewed through blue glass), Sr (crimson, but violet through blue glass), Ba (apple-green).

## Radioactive isotopes

The isotope  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  is a  $\beta$ -emitter ( $t_{1/2} = 29.1$  yr) and a fission product of uranium. In the event of a nuclear energy plant disaster or through the dumping of nuclear waste, there is a danger that grass, and then milk, may be contaminated with  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  and that it may be incorporated with calcium phosphate into bone.<sup>†</sup> For discussion of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ , see [Section 11.1](#).


## 11.4 The metals

### Appearance

Beryllium and magnesium are greyish metals, while the remaining group 2 metals are soft and silver-coloured. The metals are malleable, ductile and quite brittle; in air, the shiny surface of each metal quickly tarnishes.


### Reactivity

Beryllium and magnesium are passivated (equation 11.7) and are kinetically inert to  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  at ambient temperatures. However, Mg *amalgam* liberates  $\text{H}_2$  from water, since no coating of oxide forms on its surface; Mg metal reacts with steam or hot water (equation 11.8).




Beryllium and magnesium dissolve readily in non-oxidizing acids; magnesium is attacked by nitric acid, whereas beryllium reacts with dilute  $\text{HNO}_3$  but is passivated by concentrated nitric acid. Magnesium does not react with aqueous alkali, whereas Be forms an *amphoteric* hydroxide (see [Section 11.6](#)).



The metals Ca, Sr and Ba exhibit similar chemical behaviours, generally resembling, but being slightly less reactive than, Na. They react with water and acids liberating  $\text{H}_2$ , and the similarity with Na extends to dissolution in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  to give blue solutions containing solvated electrons. From these solutions, it is possible to isolate hexaamines,  $[\text{M}(\text{NH}_3)_6]$  ( $M = \text{Ca}, \text{Sr}, \text{Ba}$ ), but these slowly decompose to amides (equation 11.9).




When heated, all the group 2 metals combine with  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$ , sulfur or halogens (equations 11.10–11.13).



Differences between the first and later members of group 2 are illustrated by the formation of hydrides and carbides. When heated with  $\text{H}_2$ , Ca, Sr and Ba form saline hydrides,  $\text{MH}_2$ , but Mg reacts only under high pressure. In contrast,  $\text{BeH}_2$  (which is polymeric, [Figure 9.13](#)) is prepared from beryllium alkyls (see [Section 18.3](#)). Beryllium combines with carbon at high temperatures to give  $\text{Be}_2\text{C}$  which possesses an antifluorite lattice (see [Section 5.11](#)). The other group 2 metals form carbides  $\text{MC}_2$  which contain the  $[\text{C}\equiv\text{C}]^{2-}$  ion, and adopt NaCl lattices that are elongated along one axis. Whereas  $\text{Be}_2\text{C}$  reacts with water according to equation 11.14, the carbides of the later metals hydrolyse to yield  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$  (equation 11.15 and [Box 11.3](#)).  $\text{CaH}_2$  is used as a drying agent (see [Box 11.4](#)) but its reaction with water is highly exothermic.



The carbide  $\text{Mg}_2\text{C}_3$  (which contains the linear  $[\text{C}_3]^{4-}$  ion, **11.1**, isoelectronic with  $\text{CO}_2$ ) is formed by heating  $\text{MgC}_2$ , or by reaction of Mg dust with pentane vapour at 950 K. Reaction of  $\text{Mg}_2\text{C}_3$  with water produces  $\text{MeC}\equiv\text{CH}$ .



<sup>†</sup> For further details, see: D.C. Hoffman and G.R. Choppin (1986) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 63, p. 1059 – ‘Chemistry related to isolation of high-level nuclear waste’.

## APPLICATIONS


Box 11.3 CaC<sub>2</sub>: worldwide production

The overall trend in worldwide production of CaC<sub>2</sub> is in a downward direction. Analysis of the market explains this, in part, in terms of a switch from ethyne (which is manufactured from CaC<sub>2</sub>) to ethene as a precursor in the organic chemical industry. However, trends in different regions of the world (shown below between 1962 and 1982) reflect differing strategies. For example, in South Africa where coal (rather than oil) reserves constitute the available raw materials, production of CaC<sub>2</sub> has increased. The increase

in Eastern Europe seen in the 1980s is now declining, in line with that of Western nations. In the US and Japan, the manufacture of ethyne is the major end use of CaC<sub>2</sub>, while in Western Europe, the production of the nitrogenous fertilizer calcium cyanamide by the reaction:



consumes the largest amount of CaC<sub>2</sub>.



[Data from *Ullman's Encyclopedia of Industrial Inorganic Chemicals and Products* (1998) Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.]



## 11.5 Halides

## Beryllium halides

Anhydrous beryllium halides are covalent. The fluoride, BeF<sub>2</sub>, is obtained as a glass (sublimation point 1073 K) from the thermal decomposition of [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[BeF<sub>4</sub>], itself prepared from BeO and NH<sub>3</sub> in an excess of aqueous HF. Molten BeF<sub>2</sub> is virtually a non-conductor of electricity, and the fact that solid BeF<sub>2</sub> adopts a β-cristobalite lattice (see [Section 5.11](#)) is consistent with its being a covalent solid. Beryllium difluoride is very soluble in water, the formation of [Be(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (see [Section 11.8](#)) being thermodynamically favourable (Table 11.1).

Anhydrous BeCl<sub>2</sub> (mp 688 K, bp 793 K) can be prepared by reaction 11.16. This is a standard method of preparing a metal chloride that cannot be made by dehydration of hydrates obtained from aqueous media. In the case of Be, [Be(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> is formed and attempted dehydration of

[Be(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> yields the hydroxide, not the chloride (equation 11.17).



A *deliquescent* substance absorbs water from the surrounding air and eventually forms a liquid.

In the vapour state above 1020 K, BeCl<sub>2</sub> is monomeric and has a linear structure; at lower temperatures, the vapour also contains planar dimers. We return to the structures of gas-phase BeX<sub>2</sub> molecules later in the section. It forms colourless, deliquescent crystals containing infinite chains; the coordination environment of each Be centre is tetrahedral and the Be–Cl distances are longer than in the monomer (Figure 11.3). In [Section 4.2](#), we described the bonding in monomeric BeCl<sub>2</sub> in terms of *sp* hybridization. In the polymer, each Be atom can be considered to be *sp*<sup>3</sup> hybridized

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 11.4 Inorganic elements and compounds as drying agents

It is useful to distinguish between different classes of *drying agent* as being reagents that react with water either *reversibly* or *irreversibly*; the former can be regenerated, usually by heating, while the latter (sometimes classed as *dehydrating agents*) cannot. Caution is always needed when choosing a drying agent for the following reasons:

- the substance from which water is being removed may react with the drying agent;
- dehydrating agents often react vigorously with water and should not be used to dry very wet solvents, for which a predrying stage is appropriate;
- magnesium perchlorate,  $\text{Mg}(\text{ClO}_4)_2$ , although an extremely efficient drying agent, is best avoided because of the risk of explosions.

Many drying or dehydrating agents are compounds of group 1 or 2 metals; concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , molecular sieves and silica gel (see *Section 13.2*) are also commonly used to absorb water, while phosphorus(V) oxide (see *Section 14.10*) is a highly effective dehydrating agent.

## Agents for drying or predrying solvents

Typically, anhydrous salts that absorb water as solvate are suitable for removing water from solvents. Anhydrous

$\text{MgSO}_4$ ,  $\text{CaCl}_2$ ,  $\text{CaSO}_4$ ,  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  are hygroscopic and of these,  $\text{CaSO}_4$  and  $\text{MgSO}_4$  are particularly efficient and inert drying agents.

Drying agents that react irreversibly with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 

Drying agents in this category include Ca and Mg (for alcohols),  $\text{CaH}_2$  (for a range of solvents, but not lower alcohols or aldehydes),  $\text{LiAlH}_4$  (for hydrocarbons and ethers) and sodium. The latter, generally extruded as wire, is extremely efficient for removing water from hydrocarbons or ethers, but reacts with, for example, alcohols, and is not suitable for drying halogenated solvents.

## Drying agents for use in desiccators and drying tubes


Suitable agents for drying samples in desiccators are anhydrous  $\text{CaCl}_2$ ,  $\text{CaSO}_4$ ,  $\text{KOH}$  and  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ . Gases may be dried by passage through drying tubes packed with a suitable agent, but possible reaction of the gas with the drying agent must be considered. Although  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$  is a common choice for use in desiccators, reaction with water results in the formation of a brown, viscous layer on the surface of the anhydrous powder, thereby curtailing its dehydrating ability (see *Section 14.10*).

and a localized  $\sigma$ -bonding scheme is appropriate in which each Cl donates a lone pair of electrons into an empty hybrid orbital on an adjacent Be atom (Figure 11.3c). The formation of this chain demonstrates the Lewis acidity of beryllium dihalides;  $\text{BeCl}_2$  acts as a Friedel–Crafts catalyst (i.e. like  $\text{AlCl}_3$ ), and the formation of adducts is illustrated by  $[\text{BeF}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{BeCl}_4]^{2-}$  and  $\text{BeCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{L}$  (L = ether, aldehyde, ketone).

Worked example 11.1 Lewis acidity of  $\text{BeCl}_2$ 


**Suggest a structure for a dimer of  $\text{BeCl}_2$  and explain how its formation illustrates  $\text{BeCl}_2$  acting as a Lewis acid.**

Each Be atom can accommodate up to eight electrons in its valence shell. In a  $\text{BeCl}_2$  monomer, there are only four valence electrons associated with each Be atom. Each Be



**Fig. 11.3** (a) The linear structure of  $\text{BeCl}_2$  in the gas phase; (b) the solid state polymeric structure of  $\text{BeCl}_2$  is similar to that of  $\text{BeH}_2$  (Figure 9.13), although the bonding in these two compounds is *not* the same; (c) in  $\text{BeCl}_2$ , there are sufficient valence electrons to invoke 2c-2e Be–Cl bonds. Colour code: Be, yellow; Cl, green.

atom can therefore accept one or two lone pairs of electrons. Each Cl atom in monomeric  $\text{BeCl}_2$  has three lone pairs of electrons. The dimer of  $\text{BeCl}_2$  forms by donation of a lone pair of electrons from Cl to Be:



Each Be centre will be in a trigonal planar environment.

### Self-study exercises

1. Rationalize why, on going from monomeric  $\text{BeCl}_2$  to dimeric  $(\text{BeCl}_2)_2$  to polymeric  $(\text{BeCl}_2)_n$ , the environment of the Be atom changes from linear to trigonal planar to tetrahedral.

[Ans. The number of electrons in the valence shell of Be changes from four to six to eight]

2. The recrystallization of  $\text{BeCl}_2$  from diethyl ether solutions leads to a Lewis acid–base adduct. Draw the likely structure of the adduct and rationalize its formation in terms of the electron-accepting properties of  $\text{BeCl}_2$ .

[Ans. Tetrahedral  $\text{BeCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ ; O donates a lone pair of electrons to Be]

## Halides of Mg, Ca, Sr and Ba


The fluorides of Mg(II), Ca(II), Sr(II) and Ba(II) are ionic, have high melting points, and are sparingly soluble in water, the solubility increasing slightly with increasing cation size ( $K_{\text{sp}}$  for  $\text{MgF}_2$ ,  $\text{CaF}_2$ ,  $\text{SrF}_2$  and  $\text{BaF}_2 = 7.42 \times 10^{-11}$ ,  $1.46 \times 10^{-10}$ ,  $4.33 \times 10^{-9}$  and  $1.84 \times 10^{-7}$  respectively). Whereas  $\text{MgF}_2$  adopts a rutile lattice (see [Figure 5.21](#)),  $\text{CaF}_2$ ,  $\text{SrF}_2$  and  $\text{BaF}_2$  crystallize with the fluorite structure ([Figure 5.18](#)). In contrast to the behaviour of  $\text{BeF}_2$ , none of the later metal fluorides behaves as a Lewis acid.

The structures of gaseous group 2 metal fluoride and later halide molecules are summarized in Table 11.2 and are the subject of ongoing theoretical interest. The term ‘quasilinear’ refers to a species for which the calculated energy difference between linear and bent structures (with a change in angle of  $>20^\circ$ ) is less than  $4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The most bent of the dihalides is  $\text{BaF}_2$ . It has a bond angle in the region of  $110\text{--}126^\circ$  (values come from a range of theoretical and experimental data) and


**Table 11.2** Structures of the monomeric group 2 metal dihalides,  $\text{MX}_2$ . The term ‘quasilinear’ is explained in the text.

| Metal | Halide      |             |             |             |
|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|       | F           | Cl          | Br          | I           |
| Be    | Linear      | Linear      | Linear      | Linear      |
| Mg    | Linear      | Linear      | Linear      | Linear      |
| Ca    | Quasilinear | Quasilinear | Quasilinear | Quasilinear |
| Sr    | Bent        | Quasilinear | Quasilinear | Quasilinear |
| Ba    | Bent        | Bent        | Bent        | Quasilinear |

the calculated energy to convert bent  $\text{BaF}_2$  to a linear molecule is  $\approx 21 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The preference for bent structures for the heaviest metals combined with F, Cl or Br (see Table 11.2) has been explained in terms of both ‘inverse (or core) polarization’ and the participation of  $d$  atomic orbitals for Ca, Sr and Ba. Inverse polarization occurs when the metal ion is polarizable and is polarized by  $\text{F}^-$  or  $\text{Cl}^-$ , or to a lesser extent, by  $\text{Br}^-$ . This is represented in diagram 11.2. The polarization is termed ‘inverse’ to distinguish it from the polarization of a large, polarizable anion by a cation (see [Section 5.13](#)).



An alternative explanation focuses on the participation of  $d$  orbitals in the bonding in  $\text{CaX}_2$ ,  $\text{SrX}_2$  and  $\text{BaX}_2$ . Table 11.2 shows that Be and Mg form only linear gaseous dihalides. These two metals have only  $s$  and  $p$  atomic orbitals available for bonding and the best  $\text{M-X}$  orbital overlap is achieved for a linear molecule. This is shown in diagram 11.3 for an  $np$  orbital on M with the out-of-phase combination of  $\text{X}^--\text{X}$  orbitals. For Ca, Sr and Ba, vacant  $3d$ ,  $4d$  and  $5d$  orbitals, respectively, are available, but can only overlap efficiently with orbitals on the X atoms if the  $\text{MX}_2$  molecule is bent. Two interactions must be considered as shown in diagram 11.4 (the axes are defined arbitrarily as shown). The out-of-phase combination of  $\text{X}^--\text{X}$  orbitals only overlaps efficiently with the  $d_{yz}$  orbital of M if the  $\text{MX}_2$  molecule is bent; opening the molecule up to a linear shape ‘switches off’ this orbital interaction. Although the interaction between the metal  $d_{z^2}$  orbital and the in-phase combination of  $\text{X}^--\text{X}$  orbitals is most efficient when  $\text{MX}_2$  is linear, it is still effective when the molecule is bent (diagram 11.4). The inverse polarization and participation of  $d$  atomic orbitals may both contribute to the problem of bent  $\text{MX}_2$  molecules, and the explanation for the trend in shapes listed in Table 11.2 remains a matter for debate.<sup>†</sup>



<sup>†</sup> See: M. Kaupp (2001) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 40, p. 3534; M. Hargittai (2000) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 100, p. 2233 and references therein.

**Worked example 11.2 Linear vs bent MX<sub>2</sub> molecules**

**What shape for the gas-phase molecule SrF<sub>2</sub> is consistent with VSEPR theory?**

Sr is in group 2 and has two valence electrons.


Each F atom provides one electron for bonding.

The valence shell of Sr in SrF<sub>2</sub> contains two bonding pairs of electrons and no lone pairs, therefore, by VSEPR theory SrF<sub>2</sub> should be a linear molecule.

**Self-study exercises**

1. Comment on the prediction of VSEPR theory for SrF<sub>2</sub> in the light of experimental observation. [Ans. See text]
2. For which of the following gas-phase species is VSEPR theory in agreement with experimental observations: BeCl<sub>2</sub>, BaF<sub>2</sub>, MgF<sub>2</sub>? [Ans. See text]


Magnesium chloride, bromide and iodide crystallize from aqueous solution as hydrates which undergo partial hydrolysis when heated. The anhydrous salts are, therefore, prepared by reaction 11.18.




A *hygroscopic* solid absorbs water from the surrounding air but does not become a liquid.

Anhydrous MgCl<sub>2</sub>, MgBr<sub>2</sub> and MgI<sub>2</sub> (M = Ca, Sr and Ba) can be prepared by dehydration of the hydrated salts. These anhydrous halides are *hygroscopic* and CaCl<sub>2</sub> (manufactured as a by-product in the Solvay process, see [Figure 10.5](#)) is used as a laboratory drying agent (see [Box 11.4](#)) and for road de-icing (see [Box 11.5](#)). In the solid state, many of the anhydrous halides possess complicated layer structures such as the CdI<sub>2</sub> lattice ([Figure 5.22](#)). Most of these halides are somewhat soluble in polar solvents such as ethers or pyridine, and a number of crystalline complexes have been isolated. Octahedral coordination has been confirmed by X-ray

diffraction studies of complexes including *trans*-[MgBr<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>4</sub>], *trans*-[MgBr<sub>2</sub>(THF)<sub>4</sub>], *cis*-[MgBr<sub>2</sub>(diglyme)(THF)] ([Figure 11.4a](#)) and *trans*-[CaI<sub>2</sub>(THF)<sub>4</sub>]. In [MgBr<sub>2</sub>(THF)<sub>2</sub>], octahedral coordination in the solid state is achieved by the formation of a chain structure ([Figure 11.4b](#)); py = pyridine, THF = tetrahydrofuran (see [Table 6.7](#)). The larger sizes of the heavier metals permit higher coordination numbers, e.g. pentagonal bipyramidal *trans*-[SrBr<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>5</sub>], **11.5**, and *trans*-[SrI<sub>2</sub>(THF)<sub>5</sub>], **11.6**. In organic chemistry, MgBr<sub>2</sub> is used as a catalyst for esterification reactions, and MgBr<sub>2</sub>·2Et<sub>2</sub>O is commercially available, being a catalyst for the conversion of aliphatic epoxides to the corresponding ketones.




(11.5)



(11.6)


**11.6 Oxides and hydroxides****Oxides and peroxides**

Beryllium oxide, BeO, is formed by ignition of Be or its compounds in O<sub>2</sub>. It is an insoluble white solid which adopts a wurtzite lattice (see [Figure 5.20](#)). The oxides of the other group 2 metals are usually prepared by thermal decomposition of the corresponding carbonate (equation 11.19, for which temperature *T* refers to *P*(CO<sub>2</sub>) = 1 bar).


**APPLICATIONS****Box 11.5 CaCl<sub>2</sub>: action against the cold and effective dust control**

In 1998, production of CaCl<sub>2</sub> in the US was 0.7 Mt, significantly lower than CaO (22.5 Mt) but nonetheless indicating its great commercial importance. Approximately 30% of the CaCl<sub>2</sub> manufactured is used for de-icing of roads and public walkways, and is sometimes applied in combination with NaCl. This application of CaCl<sub>2</sub> arises because the anhydrous salt takes up water in an exothermic reaction; the heat evolved melts surrounding ice and is sufficient to

maintain effective de-icing action at temperatures as low as 222 K. The second major use of CaCl<sub>2</sub> is in dust control. Again this application relies on the ability of anhydrous CaCl<sub>2</sub> to absorb water, this time from the atmosphere. Addition of anhydrous CaCl<sub>2</sub> to dry road surfaces and hard shoulders provides a means of trapping water, helping to aggregate the dust particles.




(a)



(b)



**Fig. 11.4** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{MgBr}_2(\text{diglyme})(\text{THF})]$  (diglyme =  $\text{MeOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OMe}$ ) [N. Metzler *et al.* (1994) *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, vol. 49, p. 1448] and (b)  $[\text{MgBr}_2(\text{THF})_2]$  [R. Sarma *et al.* (1977) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 99, p. 5289]; H atoms have been omitted. Colour code: Mg, pale grey; Br, gold; O, red; C, grey.




**Fig. 11.5** The melting points of the group 2 metal oxides.

Figure 11.5 shows the trend in melting points of the oxides; MgO, CaO, SrO and BaO crystallize with an NaCl lattice and the decrease in melting point reflects the decrease in lattice energy as the cation size increases (Table 11.1). The high melting point of MgO makes it suitable as a refractory material (see [Box 11.6](#)).

*Refractory materials* are suitable for use in furnace linings; such a material has a high melting point, low electrical conductivity and high thermal conductivity, and is chemically inert at the high operating temperatures of the furnace.

The action of water on MgO slowly converts it to  $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$  which is sparingly soluble. Oxides of Ca, Sr and Ba react rapidly and exothermically with water, and absorb  $\text{CO}_2$  from the atmosphere (equation 11.5). The conversion of CaO to calcium carbide and its subsequent hydrolysis (reaction 11.20) is industrially important (see [Box 11.3](#)), although, as an organic precursor, ethyne is being superseded by ethene.




## APPLICATIONS

### Box 11.6 MgO: refractory material


When one looks for a commercially viable refractory oxide, MgO (*magnesia*) is high on the list: it has a very high melting point (3073 K), can withstand heating above 2300 K for long periods, and is relatively inexpensive. Magnesia is fabricated into bricks for lining furnaces in steelmaking. Incorporating chromium ore into the refractory bricks increases their resistance to thermal shock. Magnesia bricks are also

widely used in night-storage radiators: MgO conducts heat extremely well, but also has the ability to store it. In a radiator, the bricks absorb heat which is generated by electrically heated filaments during periods of ‘off-peak’ consumer rates, and then radiate the thermal energy over relatively long periods.


Group 2 metal peroxides,  $\text{MO}_2$ , are known for  $\text{M} = \text{Mg}, \text{Ca}, \text{Sr}$  and  $\text{Ba}$ . Attempts to prepare  $\text{BeO}_2$  have so far failed, and there is no experimental evidence for any beryllium peroxide compound.<sup>†</sup> As for the group 1 metal peroxides, the stability with respect to the decomposition reaction 11.21 increases with the size of the  $\text{M}^{2+}$  ion. This trend arises from the difference between the lattice energies of  $\text{MO}$  and  $\text{MO}_2$  (for a given  $\text{M}$ ) which becomes smaller as  $r_+$  increases;  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{MO}, \text{s})$  is always more negative than  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{MO}_2, \text{s})$  (see [worked example 11.3](#)).



All the peroxides are strong oxidizing agents. Magnesium peroxide (used in toothpastes) is manufactured by reacting  $\text{MgCO}_3$  or  $\text{MgO}$  with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ . Calcium peroxide is prepared by cautious dehydration of  $\text{CaO}_2 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , itself made by reaction 11.22.





The reactions of  $\text{SrO}$  and  $\text{BaO}$  with  $\text{O}_2$  (600 K, 200 bar pressure, and 850 K, respectively) yield  $\text{SrO}_2$  and  $\text{BaO}_2$ . Pure  $\text{BaO}_2$  has not been isolated and the commercially available material contains  $\text{BaO}$  and  $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ . Reactions of the peroxides with acids (equation 11.23) generate  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ .



### Worked example 11.3 Using the Kapustinskii equation

The lattice energies of  $\text{SrO}$  and  $\text{SrO}_2$  are  $-3220$  and  $-3037 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively. (a) For what processes are these values defined? (b) Show that relative magnitudes of these values are consistent with estimates obtained using the Kapustinskii equation.

(a) The lattice energies are negative values and therefore refer to the formation of 1 mole of crystalline lattice from gaseous ions:



(b) This part of the problem makes use of the relationship that we introduced at the end of [Section 5.16](#): the Kapustinskii equation:

$$\Delta U(0 \text{ K}) = - \frac{(1.07 \times 10^5)v|z_+||z_-|}{r_+ + r_-}$$

where:  $v$  = number of ions in the formula of the salt

$|z_+|$  = numerical charge on cation

$|z_-|$  = numerical charge on anion

$r_+$  = radius of cation in pm

$r_-$  = radius of anion in pm

<sup>†</sup> See: R.J.F. Berger, M. Hartmann, P. Pyykkö, D. Sundholm and H. Schmidbaur (2001) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 40, p. 2270 – ‘The quest for beryllium peroxides’.

For  $\text{SrO}$  and  $\text{SrO}_2$ :

$v = 2$  in each compound

$$|z_+| = 2 \quad |z_-| = 2$$

$r_+ = 126 \text{ pm}$  (see [Appendix 6](#))

= constant for both compounds

The only variable is  $r_-$ .

Therefore:

$$\Delta U(0 \text{ K}) \propto - \frac{1}{126 + r_-}$$

Because the ionic radius of  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-} > \text{O}^{2-}$ , it follows from the equation above that  $\Delta U(\text{SrO}_2)$  is less negative than  $\Delta U(\text{SrO})$ . This result is in agreement with the data given in the question.

### Self-study exercises

Use data from the Appendices in the book where necessary.

1. Use the Kapustinskii equation to estimate a value for the process (at 0 K):





2. The values of the lattice energies of  $\text{MgO}$ ,  $\text{CaO}$  and  $\text{SrO}$  are  $-3795$ ,  $-3414$  and  $-3220 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively. Show that this trend in values is consistent with the Kapustinskii equation.

3. The difference between the lattice energies of  $\text{CaO}$  and  $\text{CaO}_2$  is  $270 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Will the difference between the lattice energies of  $\text{MgO}$  and  $\text{MgO}_2$  be larger or smaller than  $270 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ? Use the Kapustinskii equation to rationalize your answer.

[Ans. Larger]

## Hydroxides

Beryllium hydroxide is amphoteric and this sets it apart from the hydroxides of the other group 2 metals which are basic. In the presence of excess  $[\text{OH}]^-$ ,  $\text{Be}(\text{OH})_2$  behaves as a Lewis acid (equation 11.24), forming the tetrahedral complex ion **11.7**, but  $\text{Be}(\text{OH})_2$  also reacts with acids, e.g. reaction 11.25.




The water solubilities of  $\text{M}(\text{OH})_2$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Mg}, \text{Ca}, \text{Sr}, \text{Ba}$ ) increase down the group, as do their thermal stabilities with respect to decomposition into  $\text{MO}$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Magnesium

hydroxide acts as a weak base, whereas  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ ,  $\text{Sr}(\text{OH})_2$  and  $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$  are strong bases. *Soda lime* is a mixture of  $\text{NaOH}$  and  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  and is manufactured from  $\text{CaO}$  and aqueous  $\text{NaOH}$ ; it is easier to handle than  $\text{NaOH}$  and is commercially available, being used, for example, as an absorbent for  $\text{CO}_2$ , and in qualitative tests for  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  salts, amides, imides and related compounds which evolve  $\text{NH}_3$  when heated with soda lime.



## 11.7 Salts of oxoacids

In this section, we give selected coverage of group 2 metal salts of oxoacids, paying attention only to compounds of special interest or importance.


Most beryllium salts of strong oxoacids crystallize as soluble hydrates. Beryllium carbonate tends to hydrolyse, giving a salt containing  $[\text{Be}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^{2+}$  (see [Section 11.8](#)).  $\text{BeCO}_3$  can be isolated only by precipitation under an atmosphere of  $\text{CO}_2$ . This tendency towards hydrolysis is also illustrated by the formation of *basic beryllium acetate*  $[\text{Be}_4(\mu_4\text{-O})(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_6]$  (rather than  $\text{Be}(\text{MeCO}_2)_2$ ) by the action of  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$  on  $\text{Be}(\text{OH})_2$ . Figure 11.6 shows the structure of  $[\text{Be}_4(\mu_4\text{-O})(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_6]$ ; the central oxygen atom is bonded to four Be centres, each of which is tetrahedrally sited. A similar structure is observed in the basic nitrate  $[\text{Be}_4(\mu_4\text{-O})(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{NO})_6]$  which is formed in reaction sequence 11.26.



The carbonates of Mg and the later metals are sparingly soluble in water; their thermal stabilities (equation 11.19) increase with cation size, and this trend can be rationalized in terms of lattice energies. The metal carbonates are much more soluble in a solution of  $\text{CO}_2$  than in water due to the formation of  $[\text{HCO}_3]^-$ . However, salts of the type 'M( $\text{HCO}_3$ )<sub>2</sub>' have not been isolated. *Hard water* contains  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions which complex with the stearate ions in soaps, producing insoluble 'scum' in household baths and basins. *Temporary hardness* is due to the presence of hydrogencarbonate salts and can be overcome by boiling (which shifts equilibrium 11.27 to the right-hand side causing  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , or similarly  $\text{MgCO}_3$ , to precipitate) or by adding an appropriate amount of  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  (again causing precipitation, equation 11.28).



*Permanent hardness* is caused by other  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  salts (e.g. sulfates). The process of *water softening* involves passing the hard water through a cation-exchange resin (see [Section](#)



**Fig. 11.6** The structure of basic beryllium acetate,  $[\text{Be}_4(\mu_4\text{-O})(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_6]$  (X-ray diffraction) [A. Tulinsky *et al.* (1959) *Acta Crystallogr.*, vol. 12, p. 623]; hydrogen atoms have been omitted. Colour code: Be, yellow; C, grey; O, red.

10.6). Washing-machine detergents contain 'builders' that remove  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions from washing water; polyphosphates have been used for this purpose, but because phosphates are damaging to the environment (see [Box 14.12](#)), zeolites (see [Section 13.9](#)) are used in preference.

Calcium carbonate occurs naturally in two crystalline forms, *calcite* and the metastable *aragonite*. In calcite, the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$  ions are arranged in such a way that each  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ion is 6-coordinate with respect to the carbonate O atoms, whereas in aragonite, each  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ion is surrounded by nine O atoms. The energy difference between them is  $<5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  with calcite being the thermodynamically favoured form. However, aragonite is kinetically stable with respect to conversion to calcite. Aragonite can be prepared in the laboratory by precipitation of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  from hot aqueous solution.

Sulfates of Mg and Ca have important applications and those of  $\text{CaSO}_4$  are described in [Section 15.2](#). Hydrated calcium sulfate ( $\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , *gypsum*) occurs naturally and is also a product of desulfurization processes involving  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  or  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (see [Box 11.2](#)). Gypsum crystals cleave easily owing to the presence of layers which are held together by hydrogen bonding. When gypsum is heated at  $\approx 400 \text{ K}$ , it forms the hemihydrate  $\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (*plaster of Paris*), and if this is mixed with water, the material expands slightly as the dihydrate is regenerated (see [Box 11.7](#)). Barium sulfate is a sparingly soluble salt ( $K_{\text{sp}} = 1.07 \times 10^{-10}$ ) and the formation of a white precipitate of  $\text{BaSO}_4$  is used as a qualitative test for the presence of sulfate ions in aqueous solution (equation 11.29).



Calcium phosphate is described in [Section 14.2](#).

A hydrate  $\text{X} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in which  $n = \frac{1}{2}$  is called a *hemihydrate*; if  $n = 1\frac{1}{2}$ , it is a *sesquihydrate*.


## APPLICATIONS

## Box 11.7 Gypsum plasters

The earliest known use of gypsum plaster was in Anatolia (part of modern-day Turkey) and Syria in about 6000 BC, and in about 3700 BC, the Egyptians used gypsum plaster in the inside of the pyramids. The building industry is the major consumer of gypsum plasters. Gypsum,  $\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , is mined on a large scale worldwide, and is calcined to form the  $\beta$ -hemihydrate,  $\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The hemihydrate is referred to as *plaster of Paris*, the name being derived from Montmartre in Paris where gypsum was quarried. Hydration of the hemihydrate with a carefully controlled amount of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  initially gives a slurry which hardens as  $\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  crystallizes. Crystals are needle-like and it is their intergrowth that provides gypsum with its strength and suitability for the building trade. Calcined gypsum which is stored for long periods may age by absorbing water, and this affects the rehydration process. The setting process of gypsum plasters may be accelerated or slowed down by suitable additives, e.g. <0.1% of citric acid is sufficient to retard the crystallization process. Gypsum plasters suitable for applying to walls have been developed so that additives are already present with the hemihydrate. Building contractors commonly use prefabricated gypsum plasterboards and tiles. Plasterboards are fabricated by pouring a hemihydrate–water–additive slurry onto cardboard sheets  $\approx 0.5$  mm thick. After completing the lamination by applying a second sheet of cardboard, the plasterboard is dried. The incorporation of

fibreglass (see *Box 12.5*) into plasterboards is also possible, giving fibreboard products. An advantage of gypsum plasterboards as partition walls is their degree of fire resistance.

In 2000, 108 Mt of gypsum was produced worldwide. Within the US in 2000, 22.9 Mt of prefabricated gypsum products were sold or used in the US, and the chart below shows the distribution of products making up this total. The average new home in the US contains  $\geq 570$  m<sup>2</sup> of gypsum plasterboard.






[Data: US Geological Survey]

## 11.8 Complex ions in aqueous solution

## Aqua species of beryllium

We have already noted that there is a high tendency to form  $[\text{Be}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^{2+}$  in aqueous media. In <sup>17</sup>O-enriched water, exchange between coordinated water and solvent is slow on the NMR spectroscopic timescale, permitting the nature of the hydrated ion to be established. The tetrahedral coordination sphere ( $\text{Be}-\text{O} = 162.0$  pm) has been established in the solid state structure of  $[\text{Be}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4][\text{O}_2\text{CC}\equiv\text{CCO}_2]$  (Figure 11.7). The charge density of  $\text{Be}^{2+}$  is high and solutions of beryllium salts are acidic (see *Section 6.7*). Reaction 11.30 is an over-simplistic representation of the dissociation, since various condensation processes occur, e.g. reaction 11.31, and hydroxo-bridged species are also present.



**Fig. 11.7** Part of the packing diagram of  $[\text{Be}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4][\text{O}_2\text{CC}\equiv\text{CCO}_2]$  showing hydrogen bonding between  $[\text{Be}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^{2+}$  cations and  $[\text{O}_2\text{CC}\equiv\text{CCO}_2]^{2-}$  anions; the structure was determined by neutron diffraction [C. Robl *et al.* (1992) *J. Solid State Chem.*, vol. 96, p. 318]. Colour code: Be, yellow; C, grey; O, red; H, white.


## Aqua species of $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ , $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , $\text{Sr}^{2+}$ and $\text{Ba}^{2+}$

Using  $^{17}\text{O}$ -labelled water, it is possible to confirm the hydration number in  $[\text{Mg}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  by use of  $^{17}\text{O}$  NMR spectroscopy, but for the aqua ions of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$ , exchange between coordinated water and solvent is too fast on the NMR timescale for such studies. An octahedral coordination sphere has been established for  $[\text{Mg}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  in a number of crystalline salts, and also for  $[\text{Ca}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ . The  $[\text{Mg}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ion dissociates to some extent in aqueous solution, but hydrated cations of the later metals are not appreciably ionized and solutions of their salts derived from strong acids are neutral.

## Complexes with ligands other than water

In this section we consider complexes formed in aqueous solution in which the metal centre is coordinated by *O*- and *N*-donor ligands to give cationic species. Two important ligands are  $[\text{EDTA}]^{4-}$  (see [equation 6.75](#)) and  $[\text{P}_3\text{O}_{10}]^{5-}$  (see [Figure 14.16](#)). Both form water-soluble complexes with  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and the heavier metal ions, and are *sequestering agents* used in water-softening to remove  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions.

Macrocyclic ligands, including crown ethers and cryptands (see [Sections 6.12](#) and [10.8](#)), form stable complexes with  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$ . In an analogous manner to that noted for group 1 cations, selectivity corresponding to matching of cation (Table 11.1) and ligand-cavity sizes is observed. Thus, values of the stability constants for complexation with cryptand-222 (cavity radius 140 pm) in water follow the sequence  $\text{Ba}^{2+} > \text{Sr}^{2+} \gg \text{Ca}^{2+} > \text{Mg}^{2+}$ . An important class of macrocyclic ligands are the porphyrins and the parent compound is shown in [Figure 11.8a](#); deprotonation of the two NH groups of a porphyrin gives a dianionic porphyrinato ligand. Chlorophylls, the pigments in green plants involved in photosynthesis, are porphyrinato derivatives containing  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  coordinated within a square planar array of the four *N*-donor atoms. The structure of



**Fig. 11.8** The structures of (a) porphyrin and (b) chlorophyll a.

chlorophyll a is shown in [Figure 11.8b](#); the extensive conjugation in the ring system means that the molecule absorbs light in the visible region ( $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  660 nm) and this initiates a complicated series of reactions involving other systems containing Mn or Fe. Note that it is the *ligand* (not  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ) that is involved in these redox reactions.

## 11.9 Complexes with amido or alkoxy ligands


In [Section 11.5](#), we described group 2 metal halide complexes such as  $[\text{CaI}_2(\text{THF})_4]$  and *trans*- $[\text{SrBr}_2(\text{py})_5]$ . The number of complexes of the group 2 metals with *N*- or *O*-donor ligands continues to grow, notably those incorporating sterically demanding amido or alkoxy ligands.

With the bulky bis(trimethylsilyl)amido ligand, each of the  $\text{M}^{2+}$  ions forms at least one type of complex. In the gas phase, monomeric  $[\text{Be}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2]$  contains a linear N–Be–N unit; in the solid state structure of  $[\text{Mg}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMePh}_2)_2\}_2]$ ,  $\angle\text{N–Mg–N} = 162.8^\circ$ , the deviation from linearity being attributed to weak dipolar interactions between the electropositive metal centre and the electron density of the aromatic rings. Coordination numbers of 3 and 4 for Mg(II), Ca(II), Sr(II) and Ba(II) are seen in dimers  $[\text{M}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2]_2$  or solvated monomers, e.g. tetrahedral  $[\text{Ba}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2(\text{THF})_2]$ . The structure of  $[\text{Ca}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2]_2$  is shown in [Figure 11.9a](#), and similar structures have been confirmed crystallographically for the analogous Mg, Sr and Ba compounds as well as for  $[\text{Mg}\{\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{Ph})_2\}_2]_2$ .

While alkoxy derivatives of the alkaline earth metals have been known for many years, the area has undergone significant expansion since 1990. Much of this interest stems from the fact that calcium, strontium and barium alkoxides are potential precursors for high-temperature superconductors (see [Chapter 27](#)) and volatile compounds suitable for *chemical vapour deposition* (CVD) studies are being sought. Mononuclear complexes include several of the type  $[\text{M}(\text{OR})_2(\text{THF})_3]$ , e.g.  $[\text{Ca}(\text{OC}_6\text{H}_2-2,6-^1\text{Bu}_2-4-\text{Me})_2(\text{THF})_3]$ . Some interesting high nuclearity species have also been isolated, including  $[\text{Ba}_4(\mu_4\text{-O})(\mu\text{-OC}_6\text{H}_2(\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)_{3-2,4,6})_6]$ , formed by treating  $\text{BaI}_2$  with  $\text{K}[\text{OC}_6\text{H}_2(\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)_{3-2,4,6}]$  in THF, and  $[\text{Ca}_9(\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OMe})_{18}(\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OMe})_2]$  ([Figure 11.9b](#)), produced by reacting Ca metal with 2-methoxyethanol in hexane.

## 11.10 Diagonal relationships between Li and Mg, and between Be and Al

In [Section 10.3](#), we noted that the properties of Li and its compounds are often considered to be anomalous when



**Fig. 11.9** The structures (determined by X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{Ca}_2\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2\{\mu\text{-N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2]$  in which the methyl groups have been omitted [M. Westerhausen *et al.* (1991) *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, vol. 604, p. 127] and (b)  $[\text{Ca}_9(\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OMe})_{18}(\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OMe})_2]$  for which only the  $\text{Ca}_9(\mu_3\text{-O})_8(\mu\text{-O})_8\text{O}_{20}$  core is shown (four of the ligands in  $[\text{Ca}_9(\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OMe})_{18}(\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OMe})_2]$  are terminally attached, leaving four oxygen atoms non-coordinated to  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  centres) [S.C. Goel *et al.* (1991) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 113, p. 1844]. Colour code: Ca, pale grey; O, red; N, blue; Si, pink.

compared with those of the later group 1 metals, and that a *diagonal relationship* exists between Li and Mg. In this section, we consider this relationship in detail and also describe a similar diagonal relationship between Be and Al.

The positions of Li, Be, Mg and Al in the periodic table are shown in diagram 11.8.

|  |    |    |  |    |
|--|----|----|--|----|
|  | 1  | 2  |  | 13 |
|  | Li | Be |  | B  |
|  | Na | Mg |  | Al |
|  | K  | Ca |  | Ga |

(11.8)

Table 11.3 lists selected physical properties of the first three elements in groups 1, 2 and 13. From a comparison of the properties of Li with those of Na and K, or of Li with Mg, it can be seen that Li resembles Mg more closely than it does the later members of group 1. A similar comparison between Be, Mg, Ca and Al leads to the conclusion that the physical properties of Be listed in Table 11.3 resemble those of Al more than they do those of the later group 2 metals. One crucial factor is that the charge densities (equation 11.32) of  $\text{Li}^+$  and  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  are similar because the increase in charge is offset by an increase in ion size. Likewise, the charge densities of  $\text{Be}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  are similar.

$$\text{Charge density on ion} = \frac{\text{charge on ion}}{\text{surface area of ion } (4\pi r_{\text{ion}}^2)} \quad (11.32)$$

These diagonal relationships result in similarities between the chemistries of Li and Mg, and between Be and Al, and set the first members of each group apart from their heavier congeners. Small cations such as  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Be}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  possess high charge densities and each has a *high polarizing power*.

## Lithium and magnesium

Some of the chemical properties of Li that make it diagonally related to Mg rather than vertically related to the other alkali metals are summarized overleaf.




**Table 11.3** Selected physical properties of the first three elements of groups 1, 2 and 13.

| Property  | Group 1 |     |     | Group 2 |     |     | Group 13 |     |     |
|---|---------|-----|-----|---------|-----|-----|----------|-----|-----|
|   | Li      | Na  | K   | Be      | Mg  | Ca  | B        | Al  | Ga  |
| Metallic radius, $r_{\text{metal}} / \text{pm}^\ddagger$          | 157     | 191 | 235 | 112     | 160 | 197 | –        | 143 | 153 |
| Ionic radius, $r_{\text{ion}} / \text{pm}^*$                      | 76      | 102 | 138 | 27      | 72  | 100 | –        | 54  | 62  |
| Pauling electronegativity, $\chi^{\text{P}}$                      | 1.0     | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.6     | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.0      | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| $\Delta_{\text{atom}}H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 161     | 108 | 90  | 324     | 146 | 178 | 582      | 330 | 277 |

<sup>‡</sup> For 12-coordinate atoms (see also Table 10.1).

\*For 6-coordination except for Be, which is for 4-coordination; the ionic radius refers to  $\text{M}^+$  for group 1,  $\text{M}^{2+}$  for group 2, and  $\text{M}^{3+}$  for group 13.

- Lithium readily combines with N<sub>2</sub> to give the nitride, Li<sub>3</sub>N; Mg reacts with N<sub>2</sub> to give Mg<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>.
- Lithium combines with O<sub>2</sub> to give the oxide Li<sub>2</sub>O rather than a peroxide or superoxide (see [equations 10.8–10.10](#)); Mg forms MgO. The peroxides of both metals can be formed by reacting LiOH or Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.
- Lithium and magnesium carbonates decompose readily on heating to give Li<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>, and MgO and CO<sub>2</sub> respectively; down the group, the carbonates of the group 1 metals become increasingly stable with respect to thermal decomposition (see [equation 10.19](#) and accompanying text).
- Lithium and magnesium nitrates decompose on heating according to equations 11.33 and 11.34, whereas NaNO<sub>3</sub> and the later alkali metal nitrates decompose according to equation 11.35.



- The Li<sup>+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions are more strongly hydrated in aqueous solution than are the ions of the later group 1 and 2 metals.
- LiF and MgF<sub>2</sub> are sparingly soluble in water; the later group 1 fluorides are soluble.
- LiOH is much less soluble in water than the other alkali metal hydroxides; Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> is sparingly soluble.
- LiClO<sub>4</sub> is much more soluble in water than the other alkali metal perchlorates; Mg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and the later group 2 metal perchlorates are very soluble.

## Beryllium and aluminium

Representative chemical properties of Be that make it diagonally related to Al rather than vertically related to the later group 2 metals are given below.

- The Be<sup>2+</sup> ion is hydrated in aqueous solution, forming [Be(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> in which the Be<sup>2+</sup> centre significantly polarizes the already polar O–H bonds, leading to loss of H<sup>+</sup> (see [equation 11.30](#)); similarly, the highly polarizing Al<sup>3+</sup> makes [Al(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> acidic (pK<sub>a</sub> = 5.0, see [equation 6.34](#)).
- Be and Al both react with aqueous alkali, liberating H<sub>2</sub>; Mg does not react with aqueous alkali.
- Be(OH)<sub>2</sub> and Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> are amphoteric, reacting with both acids and bases (see [equations 11.24](#) and [11.25](#) for reactions of Be(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and equations 6.41 and 6.42 for Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>); the hydroxides of the later group 2 metals are basic.

- BeCl<sub>2</sub> and AlCl<sub>3</sub> fume in moist air, reacting to give HCl.
- Both Be and Al form complex halides, hence the ability of the chlorides to act as Friedel–Crafts catalysts.

Further examples of similarities between the behaviours of Be and Al can be found by comparing their reactivities (see [Chapters 11](#) and [12](#)).

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- deliquescent
- hygroscopic
- refractory material
- permanent and temporary hardness of water
- water-softening agent (sequestering agent)
- hemihydrate
- sesquihydrate
- porphyrin
- amido ligand
- alkoxy ligand

## Further reading

- K.M. Fromm (2002) *Crystal Engineering Communications*, vol. 4, p. 318 – An article that uses structural data to consider the question of ionic versus covalent bonding in group 2 metal iodide complexes.
- N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – Chapter 5 gives a detailed account of the inorganic chemistry of the group 2 metals.
- A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – A full account of the structural chemistry of the group 2 metals and their compounds.

## Special topics

- D.L. Kepert, A.F. Waters and A.H. White (1996) *Australian Journal of Chemistry*, vol. 49, p. 117 – ‘Synthesis and structural systematics of nitrogen base adducts of group 2 salts’ (Part VIII in a series of papers covering this subject).
- S. Mann (1995) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 93 – ‘Biomimetic and biomimetics: Smart solutions to living in the material world’.
- N.C.J. Strynadka and M.N.G. James (1994) – ‘Calcium-binding proteins’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 1, p. 477.

## Problems

- 11.1** (a) Write down, in order, the names and symbols of the metals in group 2; check your answer by reference to the first page of this chapter. Which metals are classed as alkaline earth metals? (b) Give a *general* notation that shows the ground state electronic configuration of each metal.
- 11.2** Using data in Table 6.4, determine the relative solubilities of  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  and  $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$  and explain the relevance of your answer to the extraction of magnesium from seawater.
- 11.3** (a) Write an equation to show how Mg reacts with  $\text{N}_2$  when heated. (b) Suggest how the product reacts with water.
- 11.4** The structure of magnesium carbide,  $\text{MgC}_2$ , is of the NaCl type, elongated along one axis. (a) Explain how this elongation arises. (b) What do you infer from the fact that there is no similar elongation in NaCN which also crystallizes with a NaCl lattice?
- 11.5** Write balanced equations for the following reactions:  
 (a) the thermal decomposition of  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{BeF}_4]$ ;  
 (b) the reaction between NaCl and  $\text{BeCl}_2$ ;  
 (c) the dissolution of  $\text{BeF}_2$  in water;
- 11.6** (a) Suggest a likely structure for the dimer of  $\text{BeCl}_2$ , present in the vapour phase below 1020 K. What hybridization scheme is appropriate for the Be centres? (b)  $\text{BeCl}_2$  dissolves in diethyl ether to form monomeric  $\text{BeCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ ; suggest a structure for this compound and give a description of the bonding.
- 11.7**  $\text{MgF}_2$  has a  $\text{TiO}_2$  lattice. (a) Sketch a unit cell of  $\text{MgF}_2$ , and (b) confirm the stoichiometry of  $\text{MgF}_2$  using the solid state structure.
- 11.8** Discuss the trends in data in Table 11.4.
- 11.9** (a) How do anhydrous  $\text{CaCl}_2$  and  $\text{CaH}_2$  function as drying agents? (b) Compare the solid state structures and properties of  $\text{BeCl}_2$  and  $\text{CaCl}_2$ .
- 11.10** How would you attempt to estimate the following?  
 (a)  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  for the solid state reaction:  

$$\text{MgCl}_2 + \text{Mg} \rightarrow 2\text{MgCl}$$
- (b)  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  for the reaction:  

$$\text{CaCO}_3(\text{calcite}) \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3(\text{aragonite})$$
- 11.11** (a) Identify the conjugate acid–base pairs in reaction 11.23. (b) Suggest how  $\text{BaO}_2$  will react with water.
- 11.12** (a) Determine  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  for the reactions of SrO and BaO with water, given that values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  (298 K) for SrO(s), BaO(s), Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub>(s), Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub>(s) and H<sub>2</sub>O(l) are  $-592.0$ ,  $-553.5$ ,  $-959.0$ ,  $-944.7$  and  $-285.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively. (b) Compare the values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  with that for the reaction of CaO with water (equation 11.5), and comment on factors contributing to the trend in values.
- 11.13** (a) What qualitative test is used for  $\text{CO}_2$ ? (b) What reaction takes place, and (c) what is observed in a positive test?
- 11.14** Discuss the data presented in Table 11.5; other relevant data are available in this book.
- 11.15** Write a short account that justifies the so-called *diagonal relationship* between Li and Mg.
- 11.16** Suggest why MgO is more soluble in aqueous  $\text{MgCl}_2$  solution than in pure water.

## Overview problems

- 11.17** Suggest explanations for the following observations.  
 (a) The energy released when a mole of crystalline BaO is formed from its constituent ions is less than that released when a mole of MgO forms from its ions. (*Note:* Each compound possesses an NaCl lattice.)  
 (b) Despite being a covalent solid,  $\text{BeF}_2$  is very soluble in water.  
 (c) At 298 K, Be adopts an hcp lattice; above 1523 K, the coordination number of a Be atom in elemental beryllium is 8.
- 11.18** Comment on the following statements.  
 (a)  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}$  adopts a solid state structure that is related to that of  $\text{CaF}_2$ .  
 (b)  $[\text{C}_3]^{4-}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $[\text{CN}_2]^{2-}$  are isoelectronic species.  
 (c)  $\text{Be}(\text{OH})_2$  is virtually insoluble in water, but is soluble in aqueous solutions containing excess hydroxide ions.  
 (d) MgO is used as a refractory material.









**Table 11.4** Data for problem 11.8.

| Metal, M | $\Delta_f H^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ |                |                |               |
|----------|---|----------------|----------------|---------------|
|          | $\text{MF}_2$                           | $\text{MCl}_2$ | $\text{MBr}_2$ | $\text{MI}_2$ |
| Mg       | -1113                                   | -642           | -517           | -360          |
| Ca       | -1214                                   | -795           | -674           | -535          |
| Sr       | -1213                                   | -828           | -715           | -567          |
| Ba       | -1200                                   | -860           | -754           | -602          |

**Table 11.5** Data for problem 11.14:  $\log K$  for the formation of the complexes  $[\text{M}(\text{crypt-222})]^{n+}$ .

| $\text{M}^{n+}$ | $\text{Na}^+$ | $\text{K}^+$ | $\text{Rb}^+$ | $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ | $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ | $\text{Sr}^{2+}$ | $\text{Ba}^{2+}$ |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| $\log K$        | 4.2           | 5.9          | 4.9           | 2.0              | 4.1              | 13.0             | >15              |

**11.19** Suggest products for the following reactions, and write balanced equations for the reactions. Comment on any of these reactions that are important in chemical manufacturing processes.



**11.20** (a) A group 2 metal, **M**, dissolves in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , and from the solution, compound **A** can be isolated. **A** slowly decomposes to **B** with liberation of  $\text{NH}_3$  and a gas **C**. Metal **M** gives a crimson flame test; through blue glass, the flame appears pale purple. Suggest identities for **M**, **A**, **B** and **C**.

(b) The group 2 metal **X** occurs naturally in great abundance as the carbonate. Metal **X** reacts with cold water, forming compound **D**, which is a strong base. Aqueous solutions of **D** are used in qualitative tests

for  $\text{CO}_2$ . **X** combines with  $\text{H}_2$  to give a saline hydride that is used as a drying agent. Identify **X** and **D**. Write equations for the reaction of **X** with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and of the hydride of **X** with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Explain how you would carry out a qualitative test for  $\text{CO}_2$  using an aqueous solution of **D**.

- 11.21** (a) A 6-coordinate complex may be obtained by crystallizing anhydrous  $\text{CaI}_2$  from THF solution at 253 K. In contrast, when anhydrous  $\text{BaI}_2$  is crystallized from THF at 253 K, a 7-coordinate complex is isolated. Suggest structures for the two complexes, and comment on possible isomerism and factors that may favour one particular isomer in each case. Rationalize why  $\text{CaI}_2$  and  $\text{BaI}_2$  form complexes with THF that have different coordination numbers.
- (b) Which of the following compounds are sparingly soluble in water, which are soluble without reaction, and which react with water:  $\text{BaSO}_4$ ,  $\text{CaO}$ ,  $\text{MgCO}_3$ ,  $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ ,  $\text{SrH}_2$ ,  $\text{BeCl}_2$ ,  $\text{Mg}(\text{ClO}_4)_2$ ,  $\text{CaF}_2$ ,  $\text{BaCl}_2$ ,  $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ ? For the compounds that react with water, what are the products formed?

# Chapter 12

## The group 13 elements

### TOPICS

- Occurrence, extraction and uses
- Physical properties
- The elements
- Simple hydrides
- Halides and complex halides
- Oxides, oxoacids, oxoanions and hydroxides
- Compounds containing nitrogen
- Aluminium to thallium: salts of oxoacids and aqueous solution chemistry
- Metal borides
- Electron-deficient borane and carbaborane clusters: an introduction

| 1  | 2  |         | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|----|----|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| H  |    |         |    |    |    |    |    | He |
| Li | Be |         | B  | C  | N  | O  | F  | Ne |
| Na | Mg |         | Al | Si | P  | S  | Cl | Ar |
| K  | Ca | d-block | Ga | Ge | As | Se | Br | Kr |
| Rb | Sr |         | In | Sn | Sb | Te | I  | Xe |
| Cs | Ba |         | Tl | Pb | Bi | Po | At | Rn |
| Fr | Ra |         |    |    |    |    |    |    |

### 12.1 Introduction

The elements in group 13 – boron, aluminium, gallium, indium and thallium – show a wide variation in properties: B is a non-metal, Al is a metal but exhibits many chemical similarities to B, and the later elements essentially behave as metals. The diagonal relationship between aluminium and beryllium was discussed in [Section 11.10](#). Although the M(III) oxidation state is characteristic for elements in group 13, the M(I) state occurs for all elements except B, and for Tl this is the more stable oxidation state. Thallium shows similarities to elements outside those in group 13, and can be compared to the alkali metals, Ag, Hg and Pb, an observation that led Dumas to describe it as the ‘duckbill platypus among elements’.

In contrast to the later elements, B forms a large number of so-called *electron-deficient* cluster compounds, the bonding in which poses problems within valence bond theory; we introduce these compounds in [Section 12.11](#).


### 12.2 Occurrence, extraction and uses

#### Occurrence

The relative abundances of the group 13 elements are shown in Figure 12.1. The main sources of boron are *borax*,  $\text{Na}_2[\text{B}_4\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4] \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and *kernite*,  $\text{Na}_2[\text{B}_4\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , with extensive deposits being worked commercially in the Mojave Desert, California. Aluminium is the most abundant metal in the Earth’s crust, and occurs in aluminosilicates (see [Section 13.9](#)) such as *clays*, *micas* and *feldspars*, in *bauxite* (hydrated oxides) and, to a lesser extent, in *cryolite*,  $\text{Na}_3[\text{AlF}_6]$ . Gallium, indium and thallium occur in trace amounts as sulfides in various minerals.

#### Extraction

Of the group 13 elements, Al is of the greatest commercial importance with uses exceeding those of all metals except Fe; Figure 12.2 shows the dramatic rise in the production of Al in the US (the world’s largest producer) since 1930. Its isolation from the widely available aluminosilicate minerals is prohibitively difficult; bauxite and cryolite are the chief ores, and both are consumed in the extraction process. Crude bauxite is a mixture of oxides (impurities include  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$  and  $\text{TiO}_2$ ) and is purified using the Bayer process.




**Fig. 12.1** Relative abundances of the group 13 elements in the Earth's crust. The data are plotted on a logarithmic scale. The units of abundance are parts per billion; 1 billion =  $10^9$ .

After addition of the crude ore to hot aqueous NaOH under pressure (which causes  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  to separate), the solution is seeded with  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and cooled, or is treated with a stream of  $\text{CO}_2$  to precipitate crystalline  $\alpha\text{-Al}(\text{OH})_3$ . Anhydrous  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  (*alumina*) is produced by the action of heat. Electrolysis of molten  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  gives Al at the cathode, but the melting point (2345 K) is high, and it is more practical and economical to use a mixture of cryolite and alumina as the electrolyte with an operating temperature for the melt of 1220 K. The extraction is expensive in terms


of the electrical power required, and Al production is often associated with hydroelectric schemes.

The first steps in the extraction of boron from borax are its conversion to boric acid (equation 12.1) and then to the oxide (equation 12.2).




Boron of low purity is obtained by reduction of the oxide by Mg, followed by washing the product with alkali, hydrochloric acid and then hydrofluoric acid. The product is a very hard, black solid of low electrical conductivity which is inert towards most acids, but is slowly attacked by concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  or fused alkali. Pure boron is made by the vapour-phase reduction of  $\text{BBr}_3$  with  $\text{H}_2$ , or by pyrolysis of  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  or  $\text{BI}_3$ . At least four allotropes can be obtained under different conditions but transitions between them are extremely slow. For a discussion of the production of boron fibres, see [Section 27.7](#).

An increase in world production of Ga over the last part of the twentieth century (Figure 12.3) coincides with increased demand for gallium arsenide (GaAs) in components for electronic equipment. The main source of Ga is crude *bauxite*, in which Ga is associated with Al. Gallium is also obtained from residues from the Zn-processing industry. The development of the electronics industry has also led to a significant increase in the demand for indium. Indium occurs in the zinc sulfide ore *sphalerite* (also called *zinc blende*, see [Figure 5.18](#)) where, being a similar size to Zn, it substitutes for some of the Zn. The extraction of zinc from ZnS (see



**Fig. 12.2** Production of primary aluminium in the US between 1930 and 2001 has risen dramatically; the contribution that recycled aluminium has made to the market became increasingly important in the latter part of the twentieth century and competes with primary production. The term 'secondary aluminium' refers to metal recovered from new and old scrap, and the total production is the sum of primary and secondary sources. [Data: US Geological Survey.]




**Fig. 12.3** World production and US consumption of gallium between 1975 and 2001. [Data: US Geological Survey.]

*Section 21.2*) therefore provides indium as a by-product. Recycling of In is becoming important, in particular where natural reserves of ZnS are low, e.g. in Japan. Thallium is obtained as a by-product of the smelting of Cu, Zn and Pb ores, although demand for the element is low (see below).

### Major uses of the group 13 elements and their compounds

The widespread applications of Al are summarized in Figure 12.4a; its strength can be increased by alloying with Cu or



**Fig. 12.4** (a) Uses of aluminium in the US in 2001; the US is the world's largest producer of the metal and in 1990, a quarter of that manufactured was exported. (b) Uses of boron in the US in 2001; the data are given in terms of tonnes of boron oxide content. [Data: US Geological Survey.]

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 12.1 Borax and boric acid: toxicity and essentiality

Boron is an essential trace plant nutrient. Although its exact function has not yet been established, deprivation of boron affects plant growth and in boron-poor soils, crop yields are diminished. An important application of borax is in borate fertilizers. In contrast, the toxicities of boric acid and borax to animal life are sufficient for them to be used as insecticides,

e.g. in ant and cockroach control. Borax is also used as a fungicide; it acts by preventing the formation of fungal spores. The level of toxicity of borax is relatively low, but does cause some concern; e.g. borax and honey was, at one time, used to relieve the pain of teething in children, but this use is no longer recommended.

Mg. Aluminium oxide (see [Section 12.7](#)) has many important uses. *Corundum* ( $\alpha$ -alumina) and *emery* (corundum mixed with the iron oxides *magnetite* and *haematite*) are extremely hard and are used as abrasives; diamond is the only naturally occurring mineral harder than corundum. Gemstones including ruby, sapphire, oriental topaz, oriental amethyst and oriental emerald result from the presence of trace metal salts in  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , e.g. Cr(III) produces the red colour of ruby. Artificial crystals can be manufactured from bauxite in furnaces, and artificial rubies are important as components in lasers. The  $\gamma$ -form of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  is used as a catalyst and as a stationary phase in chromatography.  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  fibres are described in [Section 27.7](#).

The two commercially most important borates are  $\text{Na}_2[\text{B}_4\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4] \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (*borax*) and  $\text{Na}_2[\text{B}_4\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (*kernite*). Figure 12.4b illustrates the applications of boron (in terms of boron oxide usage). Borosilicate glass has a high refractive index and is suitable for optical lenses. Borax has been used in pottery glazes for many centuries and remains in use in the ceramics industry. The reaction between fused borax and metal oxides is the basis for using borax as a flux in brazing; when metals are being fused together, coatings of metal oxides must be removed to ensure good metal–metal contact at the point of fusion. Boric acid,  $\text{B}(\text{OH})_3$ , is used on a large scale in the glass industry, as a flame retardant (see [Box 16.1](#)), as a component in buffer solutions and is also an antibacterial agent. The use of  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  in the glass industry is described in [Box 12.5](#). Elemental boron is used in the production of impact-resistant steels and (because  $^{10}\text{B}$  has a high cross-section for neutron capture, [Section 2.5](#)) in control rods for nuclear reactors. Amorphous B is used in pyrotechnics, giving a characteristic green colour when it burns.

Gallium and indium phosphides, arsenides and antimonides have important applications in the semiconductor industry (see [Sections 5.9](#) and [27.6](#); [Boxes 13.3](#) and [18.4](#)). They are used as transistor materials and in light-emitting diodes (LEDs) in, for example, pocket calculators; the colour of the light emitted depends on the band gap. Figure 12.3 shows that, in 2001, the US used 37% of the gallium produced worldwide. Almost all of this was used in the form of GaAs: 34% went into LEDs, laser diodes, photodetectors and solar cells, while 65% found application

in integrated circuits, e.g. in high-performance computers. (Miscellaneous uses, including research and development, account for the remaining 1%.) Markets linked to the electronics industry are susceptible to fluctuation depending on world or local economies. This is apparent in Figure 12.3 where the decrease in demand for gallium (specifically GaAs) in the US between 2000 and 2001 can be attributed to a drop in sales of mobile phones. The largest use of indium is in thin-film coatings, e.g. liquid-crystal displays and electroluminescent lamps; in 2002, these applications accounted for 45% of the indium used in the US. Indium is also used in lead-free solders, in semiconductors, for producing seals between glass, ceramics and metals (because In has the ability to bond to non-wettable materials), and for fabricating special mirrors which reduce headlight glare. Uses of indium–tin oxide (ITO) are highlighted in [Box 12.7](#).

Thallium sulfate used to be used to kill ants and rats, but the extremely high toxicity levels of Tl compounds are now well recognized and all Tl-containing species must be treated with caution. The world production of thallium (15 000 kg in 2001) is far less than that of gallium (Figure 12.3) and indium. Important uses of Tl are in semiconductor materials in selenium rectifiers, in Tl-activated NaCl and NaI crystals in  $\gamma$ -radiation detectors, and in IR radiation detection and transmission equipment. The radioisotope  $^{201}\text{Tl}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 12.2$  d) is used for cardiovascular imaging.

## 12.3 Physical properties

Table 12.1 lists selected physical properties of the group 13 elements. Despite the discussion of ionization energies that follows, there is no evidence for the formation of free  $\text{M}^{3+}$  ions in compounds of the group 13 elements under normal conditions, other than, perhaps, some trifluorides.

## Electronic configurations and oxidation states

While the elements have an outer electronic configuration  $ns^2np^1$  and a larger difference between  $IE_1$  and  $IE_2$  than

**Table 12.1** Some physical properties of the group 13 elements, M, and their ions.

| Property   | B  | Al   | Ga   | In  | Tl   |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Atomic number, $Z$   | 5  | 13   | 31   | 49  | 81   |
| Ground state electronic configuration  | [He] $2s^2 2p^1$   | [Ne] $3s^2 3p^1$                           | [Ar] $3d^{10} 4s^2 4p^1$   | [Kr] $4d^{10} 5s^2 5p^1$                    | [Xe] $4f^{14} 5d^{10} 6s^2 6p^1$   |
| Enthalpy of atomization, $\Delta_a H^\circ(298\text{ K})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$             | 582  | 330  | 277  | 243   | 182  |
| Melting point, mp/K  | 2453 <sup>‡</sup>  | 933  | 303  | 430   | 576.5  |
| Boiling point, bp/K  | 4273   | 2792                                       | 2477   | 2355  | 1730   |
| Standard enthalpy of fusion, $\Delta_{\text{fus}} H^\circ(\text{mp})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 50.2   | 10.7                                       | 5.6  | 3.3   | 4.1  |
| First ionization energy, $IE_1/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                       | 800.6  | 577.5                                      | 578.8  | 558.3                                       | 589.4  |
| Second ionization energy, $IE_2/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                      | 2427   | 1817                                       | 1979   | 1821  | 1971   |
| Third ionization energy, $IE_3/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                       | 3660   | 2745                                       | 2963   | 2704  | 2878   |
| Fourth ionization energy, $IE_4/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                      | 25 030   | 11 580                                     | 6200   | 5200  | 4900   |
| Metallic radius, $r_{\text{metal}}/\text{pm}^*$  | –  | 143  | 153  | 167   | 171  |
| Covalent radius, $r_{\text{cov}}/\text{pm}$  | 88   | 130  | 122  | 150   | 155  |
| Ionic radius, $r_{\text{ion}}/\text{pm}^{**}$  | –  | 54 (Al <sup>3+</sup> )                     | 62 (Ga <sup>3+</sup> )   | 80 (In <sup>3+</sup> )                      | 89 (Tl <sup>3+</sup> )<br>159 (Tl <sup>+</sup> )   |
| Standard reduction potential, $E^\circ(\text{M}^{3+}/\text{M})/\text{V}$                 | –  | –1.66                                      | –0.55  | –0.34                                       | +0.72  |
| Standard reduction potential, $E^\circ(\text{M}^+/\text{M})/\text{V}$                    | –  | –  | –0.2   | –0.14                                       | –0.34  |
| NMR active nuclei<br>(% abundance, nuclear spin)   | <sup>10</sup> B (19.6, $I = 3$ )<br><sup>11</sup> B (80.4, $I = \frac{3}{2}$ ) | <sup>27</sup> Al (100, $I = \frac{5}{2}$ ) | <sup>69</sup> Ga (60.4, $I = \frac{3}{2}$ )<br><sup>70</sup> Ga (39.6, $I = \frac{3}{2}$ ) | <sup>113</sup> In (4.3, $I = \frac{9}{2}$ ) | <sup>203</sup> Tl (29.5, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ )<br><sup>205</sup> Tl (70.5, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) |

<sup>‡</sup> For  $\beta$ -rhombohedral boron.

\* Only the values for Al, In and Tl (the structures of which are close-packed) are strictly comparable; see text (Section 5.3) for Ga.

\*\* There is no evidence for the existence of simple cationic boron under chemical conditions; values of  $r_{\text{ion}}$  for  $\text{M}^{3+}$  refer to 6-coordination; for  $\text{Tl}^+$ ,  $r_{\text{ion}}$  refers to 8-coordination.

between  $IE_2$  and  $IE_3$  (i.e. comparing the removal of a  $p$  with that of an  $s$  electron), the relationships between the electronic structures of the group 13 elements and those of the preceding noble gases are more complex than for the group 1 and 2 elements discussed in Chapters 10 and 11. For Ga and In, the electronic structures of the species formed after the removal of three valence electrons are [Ar] $3d^{10}$  and [Kr] $4d^{10}$  respectively, while for Tl, the corresponding species has the configuration [Xe] $4f^{14} 5d^{10}$ . Thus, whereas for B and Al, the value of  $IE_4$  (Table 12.1) refers to the removal of an electron from a noble gas configuration, this is not the case for the three later elements; the difference between  $IE_3$  and  $IE_4$  is not nearly so large for Ga, In and Tl as for B and Al. On going down group 13, the observed discontinuities in values of  $IE_2$  and  $IE_3$ , and the differences between them (Table 12.1), originate in the failure of the  $d$  and  $f$  electrons (which have a low screening power, see Section 1.7) to compensate for the increase in nuclear charge. This failure is also reflected in the relatively small difference between values of  $r_{\text{ion}}$  for Al<sup>3+</sup> and Ga<sup>3+</sup>. For Tl, *relativistic effects* (see Box 12.2) are also involved.

On descending group 13, the trend in  $IE_2$  and  $IE_3$  shows *increases* at Ga and Tl (Table 12.1), and this leads to a marked increase in stability of the +1 oxidation state for these elements. In the case of Tl (the only salt-like trihalide of which is TlF<sub>3</sub>), this is termed the *thermodynamic 6s inert pair effect* (see Box 12.3), so called to distinguish it from the *stereochemical inert pair effect* mentioned in Section 1.19. Similar effects are seen for Pb (group 14) and Bi (group 15), for which the most stable oxidation states are +2 and +3 respectively, rather than +4 and +5. The inclusion in Table 12.1 of  $E^\circ$  values for the  $\text{M}^{3+}/\text{M}$  and  $\text{M}^+/\text{M}$  redox couples for the later group 13 elements reflects the variable accessibility of the  $\text{M}^+$  state within the group.

Although an oxidation state of +3 (and for Ga and Tl, +1) is characteristic of a group 13 element, most of the group 13 elements also form compounds in which a *formal* oxidation state of +2 is suggested, e.g. B<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub> and GaCl<sub>2</sub>. However, caution is needed. In B<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>, the +2 oxidation state arises because of the presence of a B–B bond, and GaCl<sub>2</sub> is the mixed oxidation state species Ga[GaCl<sub>4</sub>].

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 12.2 Relativistic effects

Among many generalizations about heavier elements are two that depend on quantum theory for explanation:

- the ionization energies of the  $6s$  electrons are anomalously high, leading to the marked stabilization of Hg(0), Tl(I), Pb(II) and Bi(III) compared with Cd(0), In(I), Sn(II) and Sb(III);
- whereas bond energies usually decrease down a group of  $p$ -block elements, they often increase down a group of  $d$ -block metals, in both the elements themselves and their compounds.

These observations can be accounted for (though often far from simply) if Einstein's theory of relativity is combined with quantum mechanics, in which case they are attributed to *relativistic effects*. We focus here on *chemical* generalizations.

According to the theory of relativity, the mass  $m$  of a particle increases from its rest mass  $m_0$  when its velocity  $v$  approaches the speed of light,  $c$ , and  $m$  is then given by the equation:

$$m = \frac{m_0}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}}$$

For a one-electron system, the Bohr model of the atom (which, despite its shortcomings, gives the correct value for the ionization energy) leads to the velocity of the electron being expressed by the equation:

$$v = \frac{Ze^2}{2\varepsilon_0nh}$$

where  $Z$  = atomic number,  $e$  = charge on the electron,  $\varepsilon_0$  = permittivity of a vacuum,  $h$  = Planck constant.

For  $n = 1$  and  $Z = 1$ ,  $v$  is only  $\approx \left(\frac{1}{137}\right)c$ , but for  $Z = 80$ ,  $\frac{v}{c}$  becomes  $\approx 0.58$ , leading to  $m \approx 1.2m_0$ . Since the radius of the Bohr orbit is given by the equation:

$$r = \frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0mv^2}$$

the increase in  $m$  results in an approximately 20% contraction of the radius of the  $1s$  ( $n = 1$ ) orbital; this is called *relativistic contraction*. Other  $s$  orbitals are affected in a similar way and as a consequence, when  $Z$  is high,  $s$  orbitals have diminished overlap with orbitals of other atoms. A detailed treatment shows that  $p$  orbitals (which have a low electron density near to the nucleus) are less affected. On the other hand,  $d$  orbitals (which are more effectively screened from the nuclear charge by the contracted  $s$  and  $p$  orbitals) undergo a *relativistic expansion*; a similar argument applies to  $f$  orbitals. The relativistic contraction of the  $s$  orbitals means that for an atom of high atomic number, there is an extra energy of attraction between  $s$  electrons and the nucleus. This is manifested in higher ionization energies for the  $6s$  electrons, contributing to the *thermodynamic  $6s$  inert pair effect* which is discussed further in **Box 12.3**.

Worked example 12.1 Thermochemistry of TlF and TlF<sub>3</sub>


The enthalpy changes for the formation of crystalline TlF and TlF<sub>3</sub> from their component ions in the gas phase are  $-845$  and  $-5493$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Use data from the appendices in this book to calculate a value for the enthalpy change for the reaction:



Let  $\Delta H^\circ$  be the standard enthalpy change for the reaction:




You are given enthalpy changes ( $\approx$ lattice energies) for TlF and TlF<sub>3</sub>, i.e. for the reactions:



for which lattice energies are *negative*.

Set up an appropriate thermochemical cycle that relates equations (i), (ii) and (iii):



Apply Hess's Law to this cycle:


$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ(\text{TlF}, \text{s}) + \Delta H^\circ &= IE_2 + IE_3 + 2\Delta_{\text{a}}H^\circ(\text{F}, \text{g}) \\ &\quad + 2\Delta_{\text{EA}}H^\circ(\text{F}, \text{g}) \\ &\quad + \Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ(\text{TlF}_3, \text{s}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H^\circ &= IE_2 + IE_3 + 2\Delta_{\text{a}}H^\circ(\text{F}, \text{g}) + 2\Delta_{\text{EA}}H^\circ(\text{F}, \text{g}) \\ &\quad + \Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ(\text{TlF}_3, \text{s}) - \Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ(\text{TlF}, \text{s}) \end{aligned}$$

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 12.3 The thermodynamic 6s inert pair effect

We confine attention here to the conversion of a metal halide  $\text{MX}_n$  into  $\text{MX}_{n+2}$ :



In the simplest possible case, both halides are ionic solids and the energy changes involved are:

- absorption of the lattice energy of  $\text{MX}_n$ ;
- absorption of  $IE_{(n+1)} + IE_{(n+2)}$  to convert  $\text{M}^{n+}(\text{g})$  into  $\text{M}^{(n+2)+}(\text{g})$ ;
- liberation of the enthalpy of formation of  $2\text{X}^-(\text{g})$  (which is nearly constant for  $\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$  and  $\text{I}$ , see *Appendices 9* and *10*);
- liberation of the lattice energy of  $\text{MX}_{n+2}$ .

For a given M, the difference between the lattice energies of  $\text{MX}_n$  and  $\text{MX}_{n+2}$  is greatest for  $\text{X} = \text{F}$ , so if any saline halide  $\text{MX}_{n+2}$  is formed, it will be the fluoride. This treatment is probably a good representation of the conversion of  $\text{TlF}$  into  $\text{TlF}_3$ , and  $\text{PbF}_2$  into  $\text{PbF}_4$ .

If, however, the halides are covalent compounds, the energy changes in the conversion are quite different. In this case,  $n$  times the M–X bond energy in  $\text{MX}_n$  and  $2\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{X}, \text{g})$  have to be absorbed, while  $(n+2)$  times the M–X bond energy in  $\text{MX}_{n+2}$  is liberated;  $IE_{(n+1)}$  and  $IE_{(n+2)}$  are not involved. The most important quantities in determining whether the conversion is possible are now the M–X bond energies in the two halides. The limited experimental data available indicate that both sets of M–X bond

energies decrease along the series  $\text{F} > \text{Cl} > \text{Br} > \text{I}$ , and that the M–X bond energy is always greater in  $\text{MX}_n$  than in  $\text{MX}_{n+2}$ . The overall result is that formation of  $\text{MX}_{n+2}$  is most likely for  $\text{X} = \text{F}$ . (The use of bond energies relative to ground-state atoms is unfortunate, but is inevitable since data are seldom available for valence state atoms; in principle, it would be better to consider the promotion energy for the change from one valence state of M to another, followed by a term representing the energy liberated when each valence state of M forms M–X bonds. However, this is beyond our present capabilities.)

The third possibility for the  $\text{MX}_n$  to  $\text{MX}_{n+2}$  conversion, and the one most likely in practice, is that  $\text{MX}_n$  is an ionic solid and  $\text{MX}_{n+2}$  is a covalent molecule. The problem now involves many more quantities and is too complicated for discussion here. Representative changes are the conversions of  $\text{TlCl}$  to  $\text{TlCl}_3$ , and of  $\text{PbCl}_2$  to  $\text{PbCl}_4$ .

Finally, we must consider the effect of varying M down a group. In general, ionization energies (see *Appendix 8*) and lattice energies of compounds *decrease* as atomic and ionic radii (see *Appendix 6*) *increase*. It is where there is actually an *increase* in ionization energies, as is observed for the valence  $s$  electrons of Tl, Pb and Bi, that we get the clearest manifestations of the *thermodynamic 6s inert pair effect*. Where covalent bond formation is involved, a really satisfactory discussion of this inert pair effect is not yet possible, but the attempt at formulation of the problem can nevertheless be a rewarding exercise.

Values of  $IE$ ,  $\Delta_a H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{EA}} H^\circ$  are in *Appendices 8*, *10* and *9* respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H^\circ &= 1971 + 2878 + (2 \times 79) - (2 \times 328) - 5493 + 845 \\ &= -297 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

## Self-study exercises

1. For  $\text{TlF}(\text{s})$ ,  $\Delta_f H^\circ = -325 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Use this value and  $\Delta H^\circ$  for reaction (i) in the worked example to determine a value for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{TlF}_3, \text{s})$ . [*Ans.*  $-622 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]
2. Explain why  $\Delta_{\text{EA}} H^\circ(\text{F}, \text{g})$  is a negative value ( $-328 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ), while  $IE_1$ ,  $IE_2$  and  $IE_3$  for Tl are all positive (589, 1971 and  $2878 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively). [*Ans.* See Section 1.10]

## NMR active nuclei

All the group 13 elements possess at least one isotope that is NMR active (Table 12.1). In particular, routine use is made of  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectroscopy in the characterization of

B-containing compounds (e.g. *Figure 2.10*). The  $^{205}\text{Tl}$  nucleus is readily observed, and, since  $\text{Tl}^+$  behaves similarly to  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$ , replacement of these group 1 metal ions by  $\text{Tl}^+$  allows  $^{205}\text{Tl}$  NMR spectroscopy to be used to investigate Na- or K-containing biological systems.

## 12.4 The elements


## Appearance

Impure (amorphous) boron is a brown powder, but the pure element forms shiny, silver-grey crystals. Properties including its high melting point and low electrical conductivity make B an important refractory material (see *Section 11.6*). Aluminium is a hard, white metal. Thermodynamically, it should react with air and water but it is resistant owing to the formation of an oxide layer,  $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-4}$  mm thick. A thicker layer of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  can be obtained by making Al the anode in the electrolysis of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ; the result is *anodized aluminium* which will take up dyes and pigments


to produce a strong and decorative finish. Gallium is a silver-coloured metal with a particularly long liquid range (303–2477 K). Indium and thallium are soft metals, and In has the unusual property of emitting a high-pitched ‘cry’ when the metal is bent.

## Structures of the elements

The structures of the group 13 *metals* were described in [Section 5.3](#) and [Table 5.2](#). The first ‘allotrope’ of boron to be documented was the  $\alpha$ -tetragonal form, but this has been reformulated as a carbide or nitride,  $B_{50}C_2$  or  $B_{50}N_2$ , the presence of C or N arising as a result of synthetic conditions. This carbidic phase is *not* the same as the boron carbide  $B_4C$  (more correctly formulated as  $B_{13}C_2$ ) which has a structure related to that of  $\beta$ -rhombohedral B. The standard state of B is the  $\beta$ -rhombohedral form, but the structure of  $\alpha$ -rhombohedral B makes an easier starting point in our discussion. Both the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -rhombohedral allotropes contain icosahedral  $B_{12}$ -units (Figures 12.5 and 12.6a); the bonding in elemental B is covalent, and



 **Fig. 12.5** Part of one layer of the infinite lattice of  $\alpha$ -rhombohedral boron, showing the  $B_{12}$ -icosahedral building blocks which are covalently linked to give a rigid, infinite lattice.



 **Fig. 12.6** The construction of the  $B_{84}$ -unit, the main building block of the infinite lattice of  $\beta$ -rhombohedral boron. (a) In the centre of the unit is a  $B_{12}$ -icosahedron, and (b) to each of these twelve, another boron atom is covalently bonded. (c) A  $B_{60}$ -cage is the outer ‘skin’ of the  $B_{84}$ -unit. (d) The final  $B_{84}$ -unit can be described in terms of covalently bonded sub-units  $(B_{12})(B_{12})(B_{60})$ .

within each  $B_{12}$ -unit, it is delocalized. We return to bonding descriptions in boron cluster compounds in [Section 12.11](#), but for now note that the connectivity of each B atom in Figures 12.5 and 12.6 exceeds the number of valence electrons available per B.



$\alpha$ -Rhombohedral boron consists of  $B_{12}$ -icosahedra covalently linked by B–B bonds to form an infinite lattice. A readily interpretable picture of the lattice is to consider each icosahedron as an approximate sphere, and the overall structure as a ccp array of  $B_{12}$ -icosahedra, one layer of which is shown in Figure 12.5. However, note that this is an infinite covalent lattice, as distinct from the close-packed metal lattices described in Chapter 5.

The structure of  $\beta$ -rhombohedral B consists of  $B_{84}$ -units, connected through  $B_{10}$ -units. Each  $B_{84}$ -unit is conveniently viewed in terms of the subunits shown in Figure 12.6; their interrelationship is described in the figure caption, but an interesting point to note is the structural relationship between the  $B_{60}$ -subunit shown in Figure 12.6c and the fullerene  $C_{60}$  ([Figure 13.5](#)). The covalent lattices of both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -rhombohedral B are extremely rigid, making crystalline B very hard, with a high melting point (2453 K for  $\beta$ -rhombohedral B).


## Reactivity

Boron is inert under normal conditions except for attack by  $F_2$ . At high temperatures, it reacts with most non-metals (exceptions include  $H_2$ ), most metals and with  $NH_3$ ; the formations of metal borides (see [Section 12.10](#)) and boron nitride (see [Section 12.8](#)) are of particular importance.

The reactivities of the heavier group 13 elements contrast with that of the first member of the group. Aluminium readily oxidizes in air (see above); it dissolves in dilute mineral acids (e.g. reaction 12.3) but is passivated by concentrated  $HNO_3$ . Aluminium reacts with aqueous NaOH or KOH liberating  $H_2$  (equation 12.4).



Reactions of Al with halogens at room temperature or with  $N_2$  on heating give the Al(III) halides or nitride. Aluminium is often used to reduce metal oxides, e.g. in the *thermite process* (equation 12.5) which is highly exothermic.




Gallium, indium and thallium dissolve in most acids to give salts of Ga(III), In(III) or Tl(I), but only Ga liberates  $H_2$  from aqueous alkali. All three metals react with halogens at, or just above, 298 K; the products are of the type  $MX_3$  with the exceptions of reactions 12.6 and 12.7.



## 12.5 Simple hydrides

### Neutral hydrides

With three valence electrons, each group 13 element might be expected to form a hydride  $MH_3$ . Although the existence of  $BH_3$  has been established in the gas phase, its propensity to dimerize means that  $B_2H_6$  (diborane(6), **12.1**) is, in practice, the simplest hydride of boron.




We have already discussed the structure of and bonding in  $B_2H_6$  ([Sections 9.7](#) and [4.7](#)); the reader is reminded of the presence of 3c-2e (delocalized, 3-centre 2-electron) B–H–B interactions.<sup>†</sup> In worked example 12.2, the  $^{11}B$  and  $^1H$  NMR spectra of  $B_2H_6$  are analysed.

#### Worked example 12.2 Multinuclear NMR spectroscopy: $B_2H_6$

**Predict the (a)  $^{11}B$  and (b)  $^1H$  NMR spectra of  $B_2H_6$ . (c) What would you observe in the proton-decoupled  $^{11}B$  NMR spectrum of  $B_2H_6$ ? [ $^1H$ , 100%,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $^{11}B$ , 80.4%,  $I = \frac{3}{2}$ .] Information needed:**

- In the  $^1H$  NMR spectrum, coupling to  $^{10}B$  (see [Table 12.1](#)) can, to a first approximation, be ignored.<sup>‡</sup>
- A general point in the NMR spectra of boranes is that:  
 $J(^{11}B-^1H_{\text{terminal}}) > J(^{11}B-^1H_{\text{bridge}})$
- Ignore couplings between inequivalent boron nuclei.


(a) First, draw the structure of  $B_2H_6$ ; there is one B environment, and two H environments:



Consider the  $^{11}B$  NMR spectrum. There is one signal, but each  $^{11}B$  nucleus couples to two terminal  $^1H$  nuclei and two bridging  $^1H$  nuclei. The signal therefore appears as a triplet of triplets:

<sup>†</sup> For historical insight, see: P. Laszlo (2000) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 39, p. 2071 – ‘A diborane story’.

<sup>‡</sup> For further details, see: C.E. Housecroft (1994) *Boranes and Metallaboranes: Structure, Bonding and Reactivity*, 2nd edn, Ellis Horwood, Hemel Hempstead, Chapter 3, and references cited therein.




The exact nature of the observed spectrum depends upon the actual values of  $J(^{11}\text{B}-^1\text{H}_{\text{terminal}})$  and  $J(^{11}\text{B}-^1\text{H}_{\text{bridge}})$

(b) In the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum, there will be two signals, with relative integrals 2:4 (bridge H : terminal H).

Consider first the signal due to the terminal protons. For  $^{11}\text{B}$ ,  $I = \frac{3}{2}$ , meaning that there are four spin states with values  $+\frac{3}{2}$ ,  $+\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-\frac{1}{2}$  and  $-\frac{3}{2}$ . There is an *equal probability* that each terminal  $^1\text{H}$  will 'see' the  $^{11}\text{B}$  nucleus in each of the four spin states, and this gives rise to the  $^1\text{H}$  signal being split into four equal intensity lines: a 1:1:1:1 multiplet.

Now consider the bridging protons. Each  $^1\text{H}$  nucleus couples to *two*  $^{11}\text{B}$  nuclei, and the signal will be a 1:2:3:4:3:2:1 multiplet since the combined nuclear spins of the two  $^{11}\text{B}$  nuclei can adopt seven orientations, but not with equal probabilities:




(c) The proton-decoupled  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum (written as the  $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectrum) will exhibit a singlet, since all  $^{11}\text{B}-^1\text{H}$  coupling has been removed (see [Section 2.11, case study 2](#)).

### Self-study exercises


- Refer to the spectral diagram in part (a) above. (i) Which part of the signal is the triplet due to  $^{11}\text{B}-^1\text{H}_{\text{terminal}}$  spin-spin coupling? (ii) Indicate where else on the above diagram you could measure values of  $J(^{11}\text{B}-^1\text{H}_{\text{terminal}})$  and  $J(^{11}\text{B}-^1\text{H}_{\text{bridge}})$ .
- Refer to the spectral diagram in part (b) above. (i) Confirm the 1:2:3:4:3:2:1 intensities by considering the coupling to one  $^{11}\text{B}$  nucleus and then adding in the effects of coupling to the second  $^{11}\text{B}$  nucleus. (ii) Where else in the spectrum could you measure values of  $J(^1\text{H}-^{11}\text{B})$ ?
- The  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  ion has a tetrahedral structure. Explain why the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum exhibits a 1:1:1:1 multiplet, while the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum shows a binomial quintet.

[Ans. Refer to case study 3 in Section 2.11]



No Al analogue of  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  exists, although monomeric  $\text{AlH}_3$  has been isolated at low temperature in a matrix. In the solid state, X-ray and neutron diffraction data have shown that aluminium hydride consists of a three-dimensional network in which each Al centre is octahedrally sited, being involved in six Al-H-Al 3c-2e interactions. Digallane,  $\text{Ga}_2\text{H}_6$ , was fully characterized in the early 1990s, and electron diffraction data show it to be structurally similar to  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  ( $\text{Ga}-\text{H}_{\text{term}} = 152$  pm,  $\text{Ga}-\text{H}_{\text{bridge}} = 171$  pm,  $\text{Ga}-\text{H}-\text{Ga} = 98^\circ$ ). The existence of neutral binary hydrides of In and Tl has not been confirmed. The hydrides of the group 13 elements are extremely air- and moisture-sensitive, and handling them requires the use of high vacuum techniques with all-glass apparatus.




Diborane(6) is an important reagent in synthetic organic chemistry, and reaction 12.8 is one convenient laboratory preparation. The structure of *diglyme*, used as solvent in reaction 12.8, is shown in diagram 12.2.



Although this reaction is standard procedure for the preparation of  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$ , it is not without problems. For example, the reaction temperature must be carefully controlled because the solubility of  $\text{Na}[\text{BH}_4]$  in diglyme varies significantly with temperature. Secondly, the solvent cannot easily be recycled.<sup>†</sup> Reaction 12.9, which uses a triglyme (12.3) adduct of  $\text{BF}_3$  as precursor, produces  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  quantitatively and is an improvement on the traditional reaction 12.8. Reaction 12.9 can be applied to large-scale syntheses, and the triglyme solvent can be recycled. Tetraglyme can be used in place of triglyme in reaction 12.9.




Reaction 12.10 is the basis for an industrial synthesis of  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$ .





Diborane(6) is a colourless gas (bp 180.5 K) which is rapidly decomposed by water (equation 12.11). Like other boron hydrides (see [Section 12.11](#)),  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  has a small positive

<sup>†</sup> For a discussion of these problems, and improvements of the reaction method, see: J.V.B. Kanth and H.C. Brown (2000) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 39, p. 1795.



**Fig. 12.7** Selected reactions of  $B_2H_6$  and  $Ga_2H_6$ ; all reactions of  $Ga_2H_6$  must be carried out at low temperature since it decomposes above 253 K to gallium and dihydrogen. Borazine (top left-hand of the diagram) is discussed further in [Section 12.8](#).

value of  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  (+36 kJ mol $^{-1}$ ); mixtures with air or  $O_2$  are liable to inflame or explode (reaction 12.12).



Digallane,  $Ga_2H_6$ , is prepared by reaction 12.13; the product condenses at low temperature as a white solid (mp 223 K) but decomposes above 253 K.





Figure 12.7 summarizes some reactions of  $B_2H_6$  and  $Ga_2H_6$ . Compared with the much studied  $B_2H_6$ ,  $Ga_2H_6$  has received only recent attention, and not all reaction types can be compared. However, three points should be noted:


- $Ga_2H_6$  is *unlike*  $B_2H_6$  in that  $Ga_2H_6$  rapidly decomposes to its constituent elements;
- $Ga_2H_6$  and  $B_2H_6$  both react with  $HCl$ , but in the case of the borane, substitution of a terminal H by Cl is observed, whereas both terminal and bridging H atoms can be replaced in  $Ga_2H_6$ .

- $Ga_2H_6$  is *like*  $B_2H_6$  in that it reacts with Lewis bases.

This last class of reaction is well documented and the examples in Figure 12.7 illustrate two reaction types with the steric demands of the Lewis base being an important factor in determining which pathway predominates. For example, two  $NH_3$  molecules can attack the *same* B or Ga centre, resulting in *asymmetric cleavage* of the  $E_2H_6$  molecule; in contrast, reactions with more sterically demanding Lewis bases tend to cause *symmetric cleavage* (equation 12.14).




The gallaborane  $GaBH_6$  can be prepared by the reaction of  $H_2Ga(\mu-Cl)_2GaH_2$  (see [equation 12.13](#)) with  $Li[BH_4]$  at 250 K in the absence of air and moisture. In the gas phase,  $GaBH_6$  has a molecular structure (12.4) analogous to those




**Fig. 12.8** Part of one chain of the polymeric structure of crystalline  $\text{GaBH}_6$  (X-ray diffraction at 110 K) [A.J. Downs *et al.* (2001) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 40, p. 3484]. Colour code: B, blue; Ga, yellow; H, white.


of  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  and  $\text{Ga}_2\text{H}_6$ . However, in the solid state it forms helical chains (Figure 12.8).



$\text{GaBH}_6$  decomposes at 343 K (equation 12.15), and it reacts with  $\text{NH}_3$  undergoing asymmetric cleavage (equation 12.16). Although this reaction is carried out at low temperature, the product is stable at 298 K. Symmetric cleavage occurs when  $\text{GaBH}_6$  reacts with  $\text{NMe}_3$  (equation 12.17).



At low temperatures,  $\text{H}_2\text{Ga}(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\text{GaH}_2$  can be used as a precursor to  $\text{Ga}_2\text{H}_6$  and  $\text{GaBH}_6$ , but thermal decomposition of  $\text{H}_2\text{Ga}(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\text{GaH}_2$  (under vacuum at room temperature) leads to the mixed-valence compound  $\text{Ga}^+[\text{GaCl}_3\text{H}]^-$ . At higher temperatures, decomposition occurs according to equation 12.18.




Many of the reactions of  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  involve the non-isolable  $\text{BH}_3$ , and a value of  $150\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  has been estimated for the dissociation enthalpy of  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  into  $2\text{BH}_3$ . Using this value, we can compare the Lewis acid strengths of  $\text{BH}_3$ , boron trihalides ( $\text{BX}_3$ ) and boron trialkyls, and find that  $\text{BH}_3$  lies between  $\text{BX}_3$  and  $\text{BMe}_3$  in behaviour towards simple Lewis bases such as  $\text{NMe}_3$ . However, only  $\text{BH}_3$  forms adducts with CO and  $\text{PF}_3$ . Both CO and  $\text{PF}_3$  are capable of acting as both electron donors (each using a lone pair of electrons centred on C or P respectively) and electron acceptors (using empty antibonding orbitals in CO or  $\text{PF}_3$  respectively). Formation of  $\text{OC}\cdot\text{BH}_3$  and  $\text{F}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{BH}_3$  suggests that  $\text{BH}_3$  can also act in both capacities. Its electron

acceptance is readily understood in terms of an empty atomic orbital, i.e. B has four valence atomic orbitals, but only three are used for bonding in  $\text{BH}_3$ . Electron donation by  $\text{BH}_3$  is ascribed to *hyperconjugation* analogous to that proposed for a methyl group in organic compounds.<sup>†</sup>

### Worked example 12.3 Bonding in $\text{L}\cdot\text{BH}_3$ adducts


**Describe how  $\text{BH}_3$  can behave as both an electron acceptor and donor in the adduct  $\text{OC}\cdot\text{BH}_3$ .**

First, consider the structure of  $\text{OC}\cdot\text{BH}_3$ :




The molecular orbitals of CO were described in Figure 1.28. The HOMO possesses mainly carbon character; this MO is outward-pointing and is, to a first approximation, a lone pair on the C atom.

The  $\text{OC}\cdot\text{BH}_3$  molecule contains a tetrahedral B atom; an  $sp^3$  hybridization scheme is appropriate for B. Formation of the three B–H  $\sigma$ -bonds uses three  $sp^3$  hybridized orbitals and the three valence electrons of B. This leaves a vacant  $sp^3$  hybrid orbital on B that can act as an electron acceptor. The acceptance of two electrons completes an octet of electrons around the B atom:




The LUMO of CO is a  $\pi^*$  orbital (Figure 1.28). This orbital can act as an electron acceptor. Electrons can be donated from a B–H  $\sigma$ -bond (hyperconjugation):



The dominant effect is the  $\sigma$ -donation from CO to  $\text{BH}_3$ .

<sup>†</sup>For a discussion of hyperconjugation, see: M.B. Smith and J. March (2000) *March's Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms and Structure*, 5th edn, Wiley, New York.




**Fig. 12.9** (a) The structure of  $[\text{Al}_2\text{H}_6(\text{THF})_2]$  (X-ray diffraction at 173 K); hydrogen atoms have been omitted from the THF ligands [I.B. Gorrell *et al.* (1993) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 189]. (b) The structure of  $[\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_3]$  deduced from spectroscopic studies. (c) The structure of  $[\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_4]^-$  (X-ray diffraction) in the salt  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{MeP}][\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_4]$  [D. Dou *et al.* (1994) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 33, p. 5443]. Colour code: B, blue; Al, gold; H, white; O, red; C, grey.

[Note: Although significantly less important than the  $\sigma$ -donation, the extent of the hyperconjugation is not clearly understood. See: A.S. Goldman and K. Krogh-Jespersen (1996) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 118, p. 12159.]

### Self-study exercise

The structure of  $\text{OC}\cdot\text{BH}_3$  can be represented as illustrated below; this is one of several resonance forms that can be drawn. Rationalize the charge distribution shown in the diagram.




Aluminium hydride can be prepared by reaction 12.19; the solvent can be  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ , but the formation of etherate complexes  $(\text{Et}_2\text{O})_n\text{AlH}_3$  complicates the synthesis.



Above 423 K,  $[\text{AlH}_3]_n$  is unstable with respect to decomposition to the elements, and this thermal instability has potential for generating thin films of Al. Aluminium hydride reacts with Lewis bases, e.g. to give  $\text{Me}_3\text{N}\cdot\text{AlH}_3$  (see [reaction 12.26](#)), in which the Al centre is tetrahedrally coordinated. As is general among the *p*-block elements, later elements in a group may exhibit higher coordination numbers than earlier congeners, and one example is  $\text{THF}\cdot\text{AlH}_3$ , the solid state structure of which is dimeric, albeit with asymmetrical Al–H–Al bridges (Figure 12.9a).

Despite reports in the 1950s to the contrary, it now seems unlikely that  $\text{InH}_3$  and  $\text{TlH}_3$  have been prepared. A contributing factor to this is that the In–H ( $225 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) and Tl–H ( $180 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) mean bond enthalpies are significantly less than those of B–H ( $373 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ), Al–H ( $287 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ )






and Ga–H ( $260 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ). However, since 1998, a number of adducts of  $\text{InH}_3$  containing phosphine donors have been isolated, e.g. **12.5** and **12.6**, which are stable in the solid state at 298 K, but decompose in solution.<sup>†</sup>



### The $[\text{MH}_4]^-$ ions

We have already described ([Section 9.7](#)) the syntheses and reducing properties of  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  and  $[\text{AlH}_4]^-$ , and reactions 12.8 and 12.9 showed the use of  $\text{Na}[\text{BH}_4]$  (the most important salt containing the  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  ion) as a precursor to  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$ . Sodium tetrahydroborate(1–) is a white non-volatile crystalline solid, a typical ionic salt with an NaCl lattice. It is stable in dry air and soluble in water, being kinetically, rather than thermodynamically, stable in water. Although insoluble in  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ , it dissolves in THF and polyethers. Despite the salt-like properties of  $\text{Na}[\text{BH}_4]$ , derivatives with some other metals are covalent, involving M–H–B 3c-2e interactions. An example is  $[\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_3]$  (Figure 12.9b) in which the  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  ion behaves as a *didentate ligand* as in structure **12.7**. In *trans*- $[\text{V}(\text{BH}_4)_2(\text{Me}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PMe}_2)_2]$ , each  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  ligand is *monodentate* (**12.8**), forming one B–H–V bridge, and in  $[\text{Zr}(\text{BH}_4)_4]$ , the 12-coordinate Zr(IV) centre is surrounded by four *tridentate* ligands (**12.9**). Complex formation may (equation 12.20) or may not (equation 12.21) be accompanied by reduction of the central metal.

<sup>†</sup> For an overview of indium trihydride complexes, see: C. Jones (2001) *Chemical Communications*, p. 2293.



Although  $[\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_3]$  is a widely cited example of a tetrahydroborate(1<sup>-</sup>) complex of Al(III), the first complex to be characterized by X-ray diffraction,  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{MeP}][\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_4]$  (Figure 12.9c), was not reported until 1994. It is prepared by reaction 12.22 and provides the first example of a molecular species containing an 8-coordinate Al(III) centre; the coordination sphere is approximately dodecahedral (see Figure 19.8).




In solution, many covalent complexes containing the  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  ligand exhibit dynamic behaviour which may be observed on the NMR spectroscopic timescale. For example, the room temperature  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_3]$  shows only one signal.

#### Worked example 12.4 Dynamic behaviour of complexes containing $[\text{BH}_4]^-$

The room temperature solution  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{MeP}][\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_4]$  shows a well-resolved binomial quintet ( $\delta -34.2$ ,  $J = 85$  Hz). At 298 K, the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of this compound exhibits signals at  $\delta$  7.5–8.0 (multiplet), 2.8 (doublet,  $J = 13$  Hz) and 0.5 (very broad). The latter signal remains broad on cooling the sample to 203 K. Interpret these data. The solid state structure of  $[\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_4]^-$  is given in Figure 12.9; NMR data are listed in Table 2.3.

First, consider the solid state structure as a starting point, but remember that the NMR spectrum relates to a solution sample:




In the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum, the multiplet at  $\delta$  7.5–8.0 is assigned to the Ph protons in  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{MeP}]^+$ , and the doublet at  $\delta$  2.8 is assigned to the Me protons which couple to the  $^{31}\text{P}$  nucleus ( $I = \frac{1}{2}$ , 100%). The signal at  $\delta$  0.5 must arise from the boron-attached protons.

In the solid state, each  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  ion is involved in two Al–H–B interactions. There are two H environments: terminal (8H) and bridging (8H). The observation of one broad signal for the  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei attached to  $^{11}\text{B}$  is consistent with a fluxional (dynamic) process which exchanges the terminal and bridging protons.

The observation of a *binomial* quintet in the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum is consistent with each  $^{11}\text{B}$  nucleus (all are in equivalent environments) coupling to four  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei which are *equivalent* on the NMR timescale, i.e. which are undergoing a dynamic process.

#### Self-study exercise


The solid state structure of  $\text{H}_3\text{Zr}_2(\text{PMe}_3)_2(\text{BH}_4)_5$  (compound A) is shown schematically below. There are four tridentate and one didentate  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  and three bridging hydride ligands.



At 273 K, the solution  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of A shows two quintets ( $\delta -12.5$ ,  $J = 88$  Hz and  $\delta -9.8$ ,  $J = 88$  Hz, relative integrals 3:2). The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum (273 K), exhibits a triplet ( $J = 14$  Hz, 3H) at  $\delta$  3.96, a triplet at  $\delta$  1.0 ( $J = 3$  Hz, 18H) and two 1:1:1:1 quartets ( $J = 88$  Hz) with integrals relative to one another of 3:2. Interpret these spectroscopic data and explain the origin of the spin–spin couplings; see Table 2.3 for nuclear spin data.


[Ans. See: J.E. Gozum *et al.* (1991) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 113, p. 3829]

The salt  $\text{Li}[\text{AlH}_4]$  is a widely used reducing and hydrogenating agent; it is obtained as a white solid by reaction 12.23 or 12.24, and is stable in dry air but is decomposed by water (equation 12.25).




Adducts of aluminium hydride can be obtained from  $[\text{AlH}_4]^-$  (e.g. reaction 12.26) and some of these compounds

are important reducing agents and polymerization catalysts in organic chemistry.




The compounds  $\text{Li}[\text{EH}_4]$  for  $\text{E} = \text{Ga}, \text{In}$  and  $\text{Tl}$  have been prepared at low temperatures, (e.g. reaction 12.27) but are thermally unstable.





## 12.6 Halides and complex halides

### Boron halides: $\text{BX}_3$ and $\text{B}_2\text{X}_4$


Boron trihalides are monomeric under ordinary conditions, possess trigonal planar structures (12.10), and are much more volatile than the corresponding compounds of Al. Boron trifluoride is a colourless gas (bp 172 K),  $\text{BCl}_3$  and  $\text{BBr}_3$  are colourless liquids ( $\text{BCl}_3$ , mp 166 K, bp 285 K;  $\text{BBr}_3$ , mp 227 K, bp 364 K), while  $\text{BI}_3$  is a white solid (mp 316 K). Low-temperature X-ray diffraction data for  $\text{BCl}_3$  and  $\text{BI}_3$  show that discrete trigonal planar molecules are present in the solid state.



Equation 12.28 shows the usual synthesis of  $\text{BF}_3$ ; excess  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  removes the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  formed. Boron trifluoride fumes strongly in moist air and is partially hydrolysed by excess  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (equation 12.29). With small amounts of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  at low temperatures, the adducts  $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{BF}_3\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  are obtained.




Pure tetrafluoroboric acid,  $\text{HBF}_4$ , is *not* isolable but is commercially available in  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  solution, or as solutions formulated as  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}][\text{BF}_4]\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . It can also be formed by reaction 12.30.



Tetrafluoroboric acid is a very strong acid, and mixtures of  $\text{HF}$  and  $\text{BF}_3$  are extremely strong proton donors, although not quite as strong as those of  $\text{HF}$  and  $\text{SbF}_5$  (see Section 8.7). Salts containing the  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  ion are frequently encountered in

synthetic chemistry; the  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  ion (like  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$ , structure 14.33) coordinates very weakly, if at all, to metal centres and is often used as an ‘innocent’ anion to precipitate cations. For a discussion of the stability of  $\text{KBF}_4$  with respect to  $\text{KF} + \text{BF}_3$ , see Section 5.16.



Boron trifluoride forms a range of complexes with ethers, nitriles and amines. It is commercially available as the adduct  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}\cdot\text{BF}_3$  (12.11). Being a liquid at 298 K, it is a convenient means of handling  $\text{BF}_3$  which has many applications as a catalyst in organic reactions, e.g. in Friedel–Crafts alkylations and acylations.


The reactions between B and  $\text{Cl}_2$  or  $\text{Br}_2$  yield  $\text{BCl}_3$  or  $\text{BBr}_3$  respectively, while  $\text{BI}_3$  is prepared by reaction 12.31 or 12.32. All three trihalides are decomposed by water (equation 12.33), and react with inorganic or organic compounds containing labile protons to eliminate  $\text{HX}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ). Thus, while  $\text{BF}_3$  forms an adduct with  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{BCl}_3$  reacts in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  to form  $\text{B}(\text{NH}_2)_3$ . The adduct  $\text{H}_3\text{N}\cdot\text{BCl}_3$  can be isolated in low yield from the reaction of  $\text{BCl}_3$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ , the major product being  $(\text{ClBNH})_3$  (see equation 12.58). The adduct is stable at room temperature in an inert atmosphere. In the solid state,  $\text{H}_3\text{N}\cdot\text{BCl}_3$  adopts an ethane-like, staggered conformation and there is intermolecular hydrogen bonding involving  $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Cl}$  interactions.




Unlike  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$ , the ions  $[\text{BCl}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{BBr}_4]^-$  and  $[\text{BI}_4]^-$  are stabilized only in the presence of large cations such as  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]^+$ .

In mixtures containing two or three of  $\text{BF}_3$ ,  $\text{BCl}_3$  and  $\text{BBr}_3$ , exchange of the halogen atoms occurs to yield  $\text{BF}_2\text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{BFBr}_2$ ,  $\text{BFCIBr}$  etc. and their formation can be monitored by using  $^{11}\text{B}$  or  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectroscopy (see end-of-chapter problem 2.32).

The thermodynamics of adduct formation by  $\text{BF}_3$ ,  $\text{BCl}_3$  and  $\text{BBr}_3$  have been much discussed, and reactions with  $\text{NMe}_3$  (Lewis base L) in the gas phase show that the order of adduct stabilities is  $\text{L}\cdot\text{BF}_3 < \text{L}\cdot\text{BCl}_3 < \text{L}\cdot\text{BBr}_3$ . Determinations of  $\Delta_r H^\circ$  for reaction 12.34 in nitrobenzene solution reveal the same sequence.







**Fig. 12.10** (a) The formation of partial  $\pi$ -bonds in a trigonal planar  $BX_3$  molecule can be considered in terms of the donation of electron density from filled  $p$  atomic orbitals on the X atoms into the empty  $2p$  atomic orbital on boron. (b) Reaction of  $BX_3$  with a Lewis base, L, results in a change from a trigonal planar ( $sp^2$  boron centre) to tetrahedral ( $sp^3$  boron centre) molecule.

This sequence is the opposite of that predicted on the basis of the electronegativities of the halogens, but by considering changes in bonding during adduct formation, we may rationalize the experimental observations. In  $BX_3$ , the B–X bonds contain partial  $\pi$ -character (Figure 12.10a) (see Section 4.3). Reaction with a Lewis base, L, leads to a change in stereochemistry at the B centre from trigonal planar to tetrahedral and, as a result, the  $\pi$ -contributions to the B–X bonds are lost (Figure 12.10b). This is demonstrated by the observation that the B–F bond length increases from 130 pm in  $BF_3$  to 145 pm in  $[BF_4]^-$ . We can formally consider adduct formation to occur in two steps: (i) the reorganization of trigonal planar to pyramidal B, and (ii) the formation of an  $L \rightarrow B$  coordinate bond. The first step is endothermic, while the second is exothermic; the pyramidal  $BX_3$  intermediate cannot be isolated and is only a *model* state. The observed ordering of adduct stabilities can now be understood in terms of the energy difference between that associated with loss of  $\pi$ -character (which is greatest for  $BF_3$ ) and that associated with formation of the  $L \rightarrow B$  bond. Evidence for the amount of  $\pi$ -character in  $BX_3$  following the sequence  $BF_3 > BCl_3 > BBr_3$  comes from the fact that the increase in the B–X bond distances in  $BX_3$  (130, 176 and 187 pm for  $BF_3$ ,  $BCl_3$  and  $BBr_3$ ) is greater than the increase in the values of  $r_{cov}$  for X (71, 99 and 114 pm for F, Cl and Br). It has been suggested that the presence of the  $\pi$ -bonding in boron trihalides is the reason why these molecules are

monomeric, while the corresponding halides of the heavier group 13 elements are oligomeric (e.g.  $Al_2Cl_6$ );  $\pi$ -bonding is always stronger in compounds involving first-row elements (e.g. compare the chemistries of C and Si, or N and P, in Chapters 13 and 14). An alternative explanation for the relative Lewis base strengths of  $BF_3$ ,  $BCl_3$  and  $BBr_3$  is that the ionic contributions to the bonding in  $BX_3$  (see Figure 4.10) are greatest for  $BF_3$  and least for  $BBr_3$ . Thus, the reorganization energy associated with lengthening the B–X bonds on going from  $BX_3$  to  $L \cdot BX_3$  follows the order  $BF_3 > BCl_3 > BBr_3$ , making the formation of  $L \cdot BF_3$  the least favourable of  $L \cdot BF_3$ ,  $L \cdot BCl_3$  and  $L \cdot BBr_3$ . It is significant that for *very weak* Lewis bases such as CO, little geometrical change occurs to the  $BX_3$  unit on going from  $BX_3$  to  $OC \cdot BX_3$ . In this case, the observed order of complex stability is  $OC \cdot BF_3 > OC \cdot BCl_3$ , consistent with the Lewis acid strength of  $BX_3$  being controlled by the polarity of the  $BX_3$  molecule.




(12.12)




(12.13)

Among the group 13 elements, B alone forms halides of the type  $X_2B-BX_2$ , although adducts of the type  $LX_2M-MX_2L$  ( $M = Al, Ga$ ;  $L =$  Lewis base) are closely related compounds, e.g. see structure 12.18. At 298 K,  $B_2Cl_4$  is a colourless, unstable liquid, and is prepared by co-condensing  $BCl_3$  and Cu vapours on a surface cooled with liquid  $N_2$ ;  $B_2Cl_4$  is converted to  $B_2F_4$  (a colourless gas at 298 K) by reaction with  $SbF_3$ . The compounds  $B_2Br_4$  and  $B_2I_4$  are, respectively, an easily hydrolysed liquid and a pale yellow solid. In the solid state,  $B_2F_4$  and  $B_2Cl_4$  are planar (12.12), but in the vapour phase,  $B_2F_4$  remains planar while  $B_2Cl_4$  has a staggered structure ( $D_{2d}$ , 12.13);  $B_2Br_4$  adopts a staggered conformation in the vapour, liquid and solid phases. These preferences are not readily explained.




The thermal decomposition of  $B_2X_4$  ( $X = Cl, Br, I$ ) gives  $BX_3$  and cluster molecules of type  $B_nX_n$  ( $X = Cl, n = 8-12$ ;  $X = Br, n = 7-10$ ;  $X = I, n = 8$  or 9). Some degree of selectiveness can be achieved by fine tuning the reaction conditions (e.g. equation 12.35), but this general synthetic route to these clusters is difficult. Higher yields of  $B_9X_9$  ( $X = Cl, Br, I$ ) are obtained using reactions 12.36 and 12.37 for which radical mechanisms are proposed.








**Fig. 12.11** The family of  $B_nX_n$  ( $X = \text{Cl, Br, I}$ ) molecules possess cluster structures. (a)  $B_4Cl_4$  has a tetrahedral core, (b)  $B_8Cl_8$  possesses a dodecahedral cluster core and (c)  $B_9Br_9$  has a tricapped trigonal prismatic core. Colour code: B, blue; Cl, green; Br, gold.



Reduction of  $B_9X_9$  with  $I^-$  leads, first, to the radical anion  $[B_9X_9]^{•-}$  and then to  $[B_9X_9]^{2-}$ . The solid state structures of  $B_9Cl_9$ ,  $B_9Br_9$ ,  $[Ph_4P][B_9Br_9]$  and  $[Bu_4N]_2[B_9Br_9]$  have been determined and confirm that each cluster possesses a tricapped trigonal prismatic structure (Figure 12.11c). This represents an unusual example of a main-group cluster core maintaining the same core structure along a redox series (equation 12.38). However, each reduction step results in significant changes in bond lengths within the cluster framework.



The cluster  $B_4Cl_4$  can be obtained by passing an electrical discharge through  $BCl_3$  in the presence of Hg. Figure 12.11 shows the structures of  $B_4Cl_4$  and  $B_8Cl_8$ . Reactions of  $B_4Cl_4$  may occur with retention of the cluster core (e.g. reaction 12.39) or its fragmentation (e.g. reaction 12.40), and reactions of  $B_8Cl_8$  are often accompanied by cage expansion (e.g. reaction 12.41), an exception being Friedel–Crafts bromination which gives  $B_8Br_8$ .




Analysis of the bonding in any of these clusters poses problems; if the terminal B–X bonds are considered to be


localized 2c-2e interactions, then there are insufficient valence electrons remaining for a localized treatment of the B–B interactions in the  $B_n$  core. We return to this problem at the end of Section 12.11.


### Al(III), Ga(III), In(III) and Tl(III) halides and their complexes

The trifluorides of Al, Ga, In and Tl are non-volatile solids, best prepared by fluorination of the metal (or one of its simple compounds) with  $F_2$ ;  $AlF_3$  is also prepared by reaction 12.42.




Each trifluoride is high melting and has an infinite lattice structure. In  $AlF_3$ , each Al centre is octahedral, surrounded by six F atoms, each of which links two Al centres. The octahedral  $AlF_6$ -unit is encountered in other Al fluorides:  $Tl_2AlF_5$  contains polymeric chains composed of  $AlF_6$ -octahedra linked through opposite vertices (represented by either 12.14 or 12.15), and in  $TlAlF_4$  and  $KAlF_4$ ,  $AlF_6$  octahedra are linked through four vertices to form sheets. In the salt  $[pyH]_4[Al_2F_{10}] \cdot 4H_2O$  ( $[pyH]^+$  = pyridinium ion), the anions contain two edge-sharing octahedral  $AlF_6$ -units, two representations of which are shown in structure 12.16. Corner-sharing  $AlF_6$ -units are present in  $[Al_7F_{30}]^{9-}$  which is a discrete anion (Figure 12.12), and in  $[Al_7F_{29}]^{8-}$  which forms polymeric chains in the compound  $[NH(CH_2CH_2NH_3)_3]_2[Al_7F_{29}] \cdot 2H_2O$ .







(12.15)



(12.16)



(a)



(b)


**Fig. 12.12** The structure (X-ray diffraction) of the  $[\text{Al}_7\text{F}_{30}]^{9-}$  anion in the salt  $[\text{NH}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3)_3][\text{H}_3\text{O}][\text{Al}_7\text{F}_{30}]$  [E. Goresnik *et al.* (2002) *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, vol. 628, p. 162]. (a) A ‘ball-and-stick’ representation of the structure (colour code: Al, pale grey; F, green) and (b) a polyhedral representation showing the corner-sharing octahedral  $\text{AlF}_6$ -units.

*Cryolite*,  $\text{Na}_3[\text{AlF}_6]$  (see [Section 12.2](#)) occurs naturally but is also synthesized (reaction 12.43) to meet commercial needs. The solid state structure of cryolite is related to the perovskite lattice.




Compounds  $\text{MX}_3$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Al}, \text{Ga}$  or  $\text{In}$ ;  $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$  or  $\text{I}$ ) are obtained by direct combination of the elements. They are relatively volatile and in the solid state possess layer lattices or lattices containing dimers  $\text{M}_2\text{X}_6$ . The vapours consist of dimeric molecules and these are also present in solutions of the compounds in inorganic solvents. Only at high temperatures does dissociation to monomeric  $\text{MX}_3$  occur. In the monomer, the group 13 metal is trigonal planar, but in the dimer, a tetrahedral environment results from  $\text{X} \rightarrow \text{M}$  coordinate bond formation involving a halogen lone pair of electrons (Figure 12.13). Solid  $\text{AlCl}_3$  adopts a layer lattice with octahedrally sited Al.

When water is dripped on to solid  $\text{AlCl}_3$ , vigorous hydrolysis occurs, but in *dilute* aqueous solution,  $[\text{Al}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  (see [equation 6.34](#)) and  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions are present. In coordinating solvents such as  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{AlCl}_3$  forms adducts such as  $\text{Et}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{AlCl}_3$ , structurally analogous to **12.11**. With  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{AlX}_3$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ) forms  $\text{H}_3\text{N} \cdot \text{AlX}_3$ , and in the solid state (as for  $\text{H}_3\text{N} \cdot \text{BCl}_3$ ) there is intermolecular hydrogen bonding involving  $\text{N} \cdots \text{H} \cdots \text{X}$  interactions. (A commercial application of  $\text{AlCl}_3$  adducts is highlighted in [Box 12.4](#).) Addition of  $\text{Cl}^-$  to  $\text{AlCl}_3$  yields the tetrahedral  $[\text{AlCl}_4]^-$  and this reaction is important in Friedel–Crafts acylations



(a)




(b)

**Fig. 12.13** (a) The structure of  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$  with bond distances determined in the vapour phase; the terminal  $\text{M}-\text{X}$  bond distances are similarly shorter than the bridging distances in  $\text{Al}_2\text{Br}_6$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{I}_6$ ,  $\text{Ga}_2\text{Cl}_6$ ,  $\text{Ga}_2\text{Br}_6$ ,  $\text{Ga}_2\text{I}_6$  and  $\text{In}_2\text{I}_6$ . In  $\text{AlCl}_3$  monomer, the  $\text{Al}-\text{Cl}$  distances are 206 pm. Colour code: Al, pale grey; Cl, green. (b) A representation of the bonding in  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$  showing the Cl lone pair donation to Al.

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 12.4 Lewis acid pigment solubilization

Applications of pigments for coatings, printing and information storage are widespread, but the fabrication of thin films of pigments is difficult because of their insoluble nature. Dyes, on the other hand, are easier to manipulate. Research at the Xerox Corporation has shown that Lewis acid complexes can be utilized to solubilize and lay down thin films of certain pigments. For example, the photosensitive perylene derivative shown below forms an adduct with  $\text{AlCl}_3$ :



Complex formation occurs in  $\text{MeNO}_2$  solution and the solution is then applied to the surface to be coated. Washing with water removes the Lewis acid leaving a thin film of the photosensitive pigment. The Lewis acid pigment solubilization (LAPS) technique has been used to fabricate multilayer photoconductors and appears to have a promising technological future.

## Further reading

B.R. Hsieh and A.R. Melnyk (1998) *Chemistry of Materials*, vol. 10, p. 2313 – ‘Organic pigment nanoparticle thin film devices via Lewis acid pigment solubilization’.

and alkylations, the initial steps in which are summarized in equation 12.44.




Gallium and indium trichlorides and tribromides also form adducts, but with coordination numbers of 4, 5 or 6:  $[\text{MCl}_6]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{MBr}_6]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{MCl}_5]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{MCl}_4]^-$  and  $[\text{MBr}_4]^-$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Ga}$  or  $\text{In}$ ) and  $\text{L}\cdot\text{GaX}_3$  or  $\text{L}_3\cdot\text{InX}_3$  ( $\text{L} =$  neutral Lewis base). The square-based pyramidal structure of  $[\text{InCl}_5]^{2-}$  has been confirmed by X-ray diffraction for the  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]^+$  salt; this is not expected by VSEPR arguments, but one must bear in mind that energy differences between 5-coordinate geometries are often small and preferences can be tipped by, for example, crystal packing forces.

The Tl(III) halides are less stable than those of the earlier group 13 elements;  $\text{TlCl}_3$  and  $\text{TlBr}_3$  are very unstable with respect to conversion to the Tl(I) halides (equation 12.45).




The compound  $\text{TlI}_3$  is isomorphous with the alkali metal triiodides and is really Tl(I) triiodide, **12.17**. However, when treated with excess  $\text{I}^-$ , an interesting redox reaction occurs with the formation of  $[\text{TlI}_4]^-$  (see [Section 12.9](#)). The decrease in stability of the higher oxidation state on going from the binary fluoride to iodide is a general feature of all metals that exhibit more than one oxidation state. For ionic compounds, this is easily explained in terms of lattice energies. The increase in lattice energy accompanying an increase in oxidation state is greatest for the smallest anions.



Thallium(III) exhibits coordination numbers higher than 4 in complex chlorides, prepared by addition of chloride salts to  $\text{TlCl}_3$ . In  $[\text{H}_3\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)_5\text{NH}_3][\text{TlCl}_5]$ , a square-based pyramidal structure for the anion has been confirmed (Figure 12.14a). In  $\text{K}_3[\text{TlCl}_6]$ , the anion has the expected octahedral structure, and in  $\text{Cs}_3[\text{Tl}_2\text{Cl}_9]^{3-}$ , the Tl(III) centres in the anion are also octahedral (Figure 12.14b).

## Lower oxidation state Al, Ga, In and Tl halides

Aluminium(I) halides are formed in reactions of Al(III) halides with Al at 1270 K followed by rapid cooling; red  $\text{AlCl}$  is also formed by treating the metal with  $\text{HCl}$  after




**Fig. 12.14** (a) The structure of  $[\text{TlCl}_5]^{2-}$  determined by X-ray diffraction for the salt  $[\text{H}_3\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)_5\text{NH}_3][\text{TlCl}_5]$ . [M.A. James *et al.* (1996) *Can. J. Chem.*, vol. 74, p. 1490.] (b) The crystallographically determined structure of  $[\text{Tl}_2\text{Cl}_9]^{3-}$  in  $\text{Cs}_3[\text{Tl}_2\text{Cl}_9]$ . Colour code: Tl, orange; Cl, green.

1170 K. The monohalides are unstable with respect to disproportionation (equation 12.46).




The reaction of AlBr with PhOMe at 77 K followed by warming to 243 K yields  $[\text{Al}_2\text{Br}_4(\text{OMePh})_2]$ , **12.18**; this is air- and moisture-sensitive and decomposes at 298 K, but represents a close relation of the  $\text{X}_2\text{B}-\text{BX}_2$  compounds described earlier. Crystals of  $[\text{Al}_2\text{I}_4(\text{THF})_2]$  (**12.19**) are deposited from metastable AlI·THF/toluene solutions which are formed by co-condensation of AlI with THF and toluene. The Al–Al bond lengths in **12.18** and **12.19** are 253 and 252 pm respectively, consistent with single bonds ( $r_{\text{cov}} = 130$  pm). Co-condensation of AlBr with THF and toluene gives solutions from which  $[\text{Al}_{22}\text{Br}_{20}(\text{THF})_{12}]$  and  $[\text{Al}_5\text{Br}_6(\text{THF})_6]^+[\text{Al}_5\text{Br}_8(\text{THF})_4]^-$  (Figure 12.15) can be isolated; aluminium metal is also deposited. The structure




**Fig. 12.15** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{Al}_5\text{Br}_6(\text{THF})_6]^+$  and (b)  $[\text{Al}_5\text{Br}_8(\text{THF})_4]^-$  in the aluminium subhalide ‘ $\text{Al}_5\text{Br}_7 \cdot 5\text{THF}$ ’ [C. Klemp *et al.* (2000) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, vol. 39, p. 3691]. Colour code: Al, pale grey; Br, gold; O, red; C, grey.

of  $[\text{Al}_{22}\text{Br}_{20}(\text{THF})_{12}]$  (**12.20**) consists of an icosahedral  $\text{Al}_{12}$ -core; an  $\text{AlBr}_2(\text{THF})$ -unit is bonded to 10 of the Al atoms, and THF donors are coordinated to the remaining two Al atoms. The Al–Al distances within the  $\text{Al}_{12}$ -cage lie in the range 265–276 pm, while the Al–Al bond lengths outside the cage are 253 pm. Formal oxidation states of 0 and +2, respectively, can be assigned to the Al atoms inside and outside the  $\text{Al}_{12}$ -cage. The compound  $\text{Ga}_2\text{Br}_4\text{py}_2$  (py = pyridine) is structurally similar to **12.18** and **12.19**, and the Ga–Ga bond length of 242 pm corresponds to a single bond ( $r_{\text{cov}} = 122$  pm).



Gallium(I) chloride forms when  $\text{GaCl}_3$  is heated at 1370 K, but has not been isolated as a pure compound. Gallium(I) bromide can also be formed at high temperatures. Co-condensation of GaBr with toluene and THF at 77 K gives metastable GaBr-containing solutions, but these disproportionate to Ga and  $\text{GaBr}_3$  when warmed above 253 K. However, if  $\text{Li}[\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3]$  is added to the solution at 195 K, low oxidation state gallium species can be isolated (equation 12.47). The structure of  $\text{Ga}_{22}\{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3\}_8$  consists of a central Ga atom surrounded by a  $\text{Ga}_{13}$ -cage, with eight  $\text{Ga}\{\text{Si}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3\}$  groups capping the eight square faces of the  $\text{Ga}_{13}$ -cage. Examples of the use of GaBr and GaI as precursors to organometallic gallium species are described in [Section 18.4](#).



When  $\text{GaCl}_3$  is heated with Ga, a compound of stoichiometry ‘ $\text{GaCl}_2$ ’ is formed, but crystallographic and magnetic data show this is  $\text{Ga}^+[\text{GaCl}_4]^-$ . The mixed In(I)/In(III) compound  $\text{In}[\text{InCl}_4]$  is prepared in a similar way to its Ga analogue;  $\text{InCl}$  can also be isolated from the  $\text{InCl}_3/\text{In}$  reaction mixture and has a deformed NaCl lattice.

Thallium(I) halides, TlX, are stable compounds which in some ways resemble Ag(I) halides. Thallium(I) fluoride is very soluble in water, but  $\text{TlCl}$ ,  $\text{TlBr}$  and  $\text{TlI}$  are sparingly soluble; the trend in solubilities can be traced to increased covalent contributions in the ‘ionic’ lattices for the larger halides, a situation that parallels the trend for the Ag(I) halides (see Section 5.15). In the solid state,  $\text{TlF}$  has a distorted NaCl lattice, while  $\text{TlCl}$  and  $\text{TlBr}$  adopt CsCl structures. Thallium(I) iodide is dimorphic; below 443 K, the yellow form adopts a lattice derived from an NaCl structure in which neighbouring layers are slipped with respect to each other and, above 443 K, the red form crystallizes with a CsCl lattice. Under high pressures,  $\text{TlCl}$ ,  $\text{TlBr}$  and  $\text{TlI}$  become metallic in character.

## 12.7 Oxides, oxoacids, oxoanions and hydroxides

It is a general observation that, within the  $p$ -block, basic character increases down a group. Thus:

- boron oxides are exclusively acidic;
- aluminium and gallium oxides are amphoteric;
- indium and thallium oxides are exclusively basic.

Thallium(I) oxide is soluble in water and the resulting hydroxide is as strong a base as  $\text{KOH}$ .

### Boron oxides, oxoacids and oxoanions

The principal oxide of boron,  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ , is obtained as a vitreous solid by dehydration of boric acid at red heat (equation

12.2), or in a crystalline form by controlled dehydration. The latter possesses a three-dimensional, covalent structure comprising planar  $\text{BO}_3$  units ( $\text{B}-\text{O} = 138 \text{ pm}$ ) which share O atoms, but which are mutually twisted with respect to each other to give a rigid lattice. Under high pressure and at 803 K, a transition to a more dense form occurs, the change in density being 2.56 to  $3.11 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . This second polymorph contains tetrahedral  $\text{BO}_4$  units, which are irregular because three O atoms are shared among three  $\text{BO}_4$  units, while one atom connects two  $\text{BO}_4$  units. Heating  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  with B at 1273 K gives  $\text{BO}$ ; its structure has not been established, but the fact that reaction with water yields  $(\text{HO})_2\text{BB}(\text{OH})_2$  suggests it contains  $\text{B}-\text{B}$  bonds. Trigonal planar and tetrahedral B exemplified in the polymorphs of  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  occur frequently in boron–oxygen chemistry.

The commercial importance of  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  is in its use in the borosilicate glass industry (see Box 12.5). As a Lewis acid,  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  is a valuable catalyst;  $\text{BPO}_4$  (formed by reacting  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  with  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$ ) catalyses the hydration of alkenes and dehydration of amides to nitriles. The structure of  $\text{BPO}_4$  can be considered in terms of  $\text{SiO}_2$  (see Section 13.9) in which alternate Si atoms have been replaced by B or P atoms.

### Worked example 12.5 Isoelectronic relationships

The structure of  $\text{BPO}_4$  is derived from that of  $\text{SiO}_2$  by replacing alternate Si atoms by B or P atoms. Explain how this description relates to the isoelectronic principle.

Consider the positions of B, P and Si in the periodic table:

| 13 | 14 | 15 |
|----|----|----|
| B  | C  | N  |
| Al | Si | P  |
| Ga | Ge | As |

Considering only valence electrons:

$\text{B}^-$  is isoelectronic with Si

$\text{P}^+$  is isoelectronic with Si

$\text{BP}$  is isoelectronic with  $\text{Si}_2$

Therefore, replacement of two Si atoms in the solid state structure of  $\text{SiO}_2$  by B and P will not affect the number of valence electrons in the system.

### Self-study exercises

1. Boron phosphide,  $\text{BP}$ , crystallizes with a zinc blende structure. Comment on how this relates to the structure of elemental silicon.

[Ans. Look at Figure 5.19, and consider isoelectronic relationships as above]

2. Explain why  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{BO}_3]^{3-}$  are isoelectronic. Are they isostructural?

[Ans.  $\text{B}^-$  isoelectronic with C; both trigonal planar]

## APPLICATIONS

Box 12.5 B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the glass industry

The glass industry in Western Europe and the US accounts for about half the B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> consumed (see Figure 12.4b). Fused B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dissolves metal oxides to give metal borates. Fusion with Na<sub>2</sub>O or K<sub>2</sub>O results in a viscous molten phase, rapid cooling of which produces a glass; fusion with appropriate metal oxides leads to coloured metal borate glasses. Borosilicate glass is of particular commercial importance. It is formed by fusing B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> together; a metal oxide component may sometimes be added. Borosilicate glasses include *Pyrex* which is used to manufacture most laboratory glassware as well as kitchenware. It contains a high proportion of SiO<sub>2</sub> and exhibits a low linear coefficient of expansion. *Pyrex* glass can be heated and cooled rapidly without breaking, and is resistant to attack by alkalis or acids. The refractive index of *Pyrex* is 1.47, and if a piece of clean *Pyrex* glassware is immersed in

a mixture of MeOH/C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 16/84 by weight, it seems to ‘disappear’; this gives a quick way of testing if a piece of glassware is made from *Pyrex*. Although the linear coefficient of expansion of silica glass is lower than that of *Pyrex* glass (0.8 versus 3.3), the major advantage of borosilicate over silica glass is its workability. The softening point (i.e. the temperature at which the glass can be worked and blown) of fused silica glass is 1983 K, while that of *Pyrex* is 1093 K.


Fibreglass falls into two categories: textile fibres and insulation fibreglass. Of the textile fibres, aluminoborosilicate glass has the most widespread applications. The fibres possess high tensile strength and low thermal expansion, and are used in reinforced plastics. Insulation fibreglass includes glass wool which contains ≈55–60% SiO<sub>2</sub>, ≈3% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ≈10–14% Na<sub>2</sub>O, 3–6% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> plus other components such as CaO, MgO and ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

3. Comment on the isoelectronic and structural relationships between [B(OMe)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>−</sup>, Si(OMe)<sub>4</sub> and [P(OMe)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>.


[Ans. B<sup>−</sup>, Si and P<sup>+</sup> are isoelectronic (valence electrons); all tetrahedral]

Water is taken up slowly by B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> giving B(OH)<sub>3</sub> (orthoboric or boric acid), but above 1270 K, molten B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> reacts

rapidly with steam to give B<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub> (metaboric acid, Figure 12.16a). Industrially, boric acid is obtained from borax (reaction 12.1), and heating B(OH)<sub>3</sub> converts it to B<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>. Both boric acids have layer structures in which molecules are linked by hydrogen bonds; the slippery feel of B(OH)<sub>3</sub> and its use as a lubricant are consequences of the layers (Figure 12.16b). In aqueous solution, B(OH)<sub>3</sub> behaves as a weak acid, but is a *Lewis* rather than Brønsted





**Fig. 12.16** (a) The structure of metaboric acid, B<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>. (b) Schematic representation of part of one layer of the solid state lattice of boric acid (orthoboric acid), B(OH)<sub>3</sub>; covalent bonds within each molecule are highlighted in bold, and intermolecular hydrogen bonds are shown by red hashed lines. The hydrogen bonds are asymmetrical, with O–H = 100 pm, and O⋯O = 270 pm.



**Fig. 12.17** The structures of selected borate anions; trigonal planar and tetrahedral B atoms are present, and each *tetrahedral* B carries a negative charge. The  $[\text{B}_4\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$  anion occurs in the minerals *borax* and *kernite*. In the pyroborate ion,  $[\text{B}_2\text{O}_5]^{4-}$ , the B–O–B bond angle depends on the cation present, e.g.  $\angle\text{B–O–B} = 153^\circ$  in  $\text{Co}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5$ , and  $131.5^\circ$  in  $\text{Mg}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5$ .


acid (equation 12.48). Complex formation with 1,2-diols leads to an increase in acid strength (equation 12.49).



Many borate anions exist and metal borates such as *colemanite* ( $\text{Ca}[\text{B}_3\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_3] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), *borax* ( $\text{Na}_2[\text{B}_4\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4] \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), *kernite* ( $\text{Na}_2[\text{B}_4\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) and *ulexite* ( $\text{NaCa}[\text{B}_5\text{O}_6(\text{OH})_6] \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) occur naturally. The solid state structures of borates are well established, and Figure 12.17 shows selected anions. In planar  $\text{BO}_3$  groups, B–O  $\approx$  136 pm, but in tetrahedral  $\text{BO}_4$  units, B–O  $\approx$  148 pm. This increase is similar to that observed on going from  $\text{BF}_3$  to  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  (see [Section 12.6](#)) and suggests


that B–O  $\pi$ -bonding involving O lone pairs is present in *planar*  $\text{BO}_3$  units. This is lost on going to a tetrahedral  $\text{BO}_4$  unit. While solid state data abound, less is known about the nature of borate anions in aqueous solution. It is possible to distinguish between trigonal planar and tetrahedral B using  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectroscopy and data show that species containing only 3-coordinate B are unstable in solution and rapidly convert to species with 4-coordinate B. The species present in solution are also pH- and temperature-dependent.

The reactions of  $\text{B}(\text{OH})_3$  with  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}_2$ , or borates with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , yield sodium peroxoborate (commonly known as sodium perborate). This is an important constituent of washing powders because it hydrolyses in water to give  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and so is a bleaching agent. On an industrial scale, sodium peroxoborate is manufactured from borax by electrolytic oxidation. The solid state structure of sodium peroxoborate has been determined by X-ray diffraction and contains anion **12.21**; the compound is formulated as  $\text{Na}_2[\text{B}_2(\text{O}_2)_2(\text{OH})_4] \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .





## Aluminium oxides, oxoacids, oxoanions and hydroxides

Aluminium oxide occurs in two main forms:  $\alpha$ -alumina (*corundum*) and  $\gamma$ - $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  (*activated alumina*). The solid state structure of  $\alpha$ - $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  consists of an hcp array of  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ions with cations occupying two-thirds of the octahedral interstitial sites.  $\alpha$ -Alumina is extremely hard and is relatively unreactive; its density ( $4.0 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) exceeds that of  $\gamma$ - $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  ( $3.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) which has a defect spinel structure (see [Box 12.6](#) and [Section 20.9](#)). The  $\alpha$ -form is made by dehydrating  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$  or  $\text{AlO}(\text{OH})$  at  $\approx 1300 \text{ K}$ , while dehydration of  $\gamma$ - $\text{AlO}(\text{OH})$  below  $720 \text{ K}$  gives  $\gamma$ - $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ . Both  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$  and  $\text{AlO}(\text{OH})$  occur as minerals: *diaspore*,  $\alpha$ - $\text{AlO}(\text{OH})$ , *boehmite*,  $\gamma$ - $\text{AlO}(\text{OH})$ , and *gibbsite*,  $\gamma$ - $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ ;  $\alpha$ - $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$  (*bayerite*) does not occur naturally but can be prepared by reaction 12.50. Precipitates of  $\gamma$ - $\text{AlO}(\text{OH})$  are formed when  $\text{NH}_3$  is added to solutions of Al salts.



The catalytic and adsorbing properties of  $\gamma$ - $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{AlO}(\text{OH})$  and  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$  make this group of compounds invaluable commercially. One use of  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$  is as a *mordant*, i.e. it absorbs dyes and is used to fix them to fabrics. The amphoteric nature of  $\gamma$ - $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$  is illustrated in reactions 12.51–12.54; equation 12.53 shows the formation of an *aluminate* when  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$  dissolves in excess alkali.



For use as the stationary phases in chromatography, acidic, neutral and basic forms of alumina are commercially available.

The electrical and/or magnetic properties of a number of mixed oxides of Al and other metals including members of the spinel family (see [Box 12.6](#)) and sodium  $\beta$ -alumina (see [Section 27.3](#)) have extremely important industrial applications. In this section, we single out  $\text{Ca}_3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_6$  because of its role in cement manufacture, and because it contains a

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 12.6 'Normal' spinel and 'inverse' spinel lattices


A large group of minerals called *spinel*s have the general formula  $\text{AB}_2\text{X}_4$  in which X is most commonly oxygen and the oxidation states of metals A and B are +2 and +3 respectively; examples include  $\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4$  (*spinel*, after which this structural group is named),  $\text{FeCr}_2\text{O}_4$  (*chromite*) and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  (*magnetite*, a mixed Fe(II), Fe(III) oxide). The spinel family also includes sulfides, selenides and tellurides, and may contain metal ions in the +4 and +2 oxidation states, e.g.  $\text{TiMg}_2\text{O}_4$ , usually written as  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$ . Our discussion below focuses on spinel-type compounds containing  $\text{A}^{2+}$  and  $\text{B}^{3+}$  ions.

The spinel lattice is not geometrically simple but can be considered in terms of a cubic close-packed array of  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ions with one-eighth of the tetrahedral holes occupied by  $\text{A}^{2+}$  ions and half of the octahedral holes occupied by  $\text{B}^{3+}$  ions. The unit cell contains eight formula units, i.e.  $[\text{AB}_2\text{X}_4]_8$ .

Some mixed metal oxides  $\text{AB}_2\text{X}_4$  in which at least one of the metals is a *d*-block element (e.g.  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ) possess an *inverse spinel* structure which is derived from the spinel lattice by exchanging the sites of the  $\text{A}^{2+}$  ions with half of the  $\text{B}^{3+}$  ions.

The occupation of octahedral sites may be ordered or random, and structure types cannot be simply partitioned into 'normal' or 'inverse'. A parameter  $\lambda$  is used to provide information about the distribution of cations in the interstitial sites of the close-packed array of  $\text{X}^{2-}$  ions;  $\lambda$  indicates the proportion of  $\text{B}^{3+}$  ions occupying *tetrahedral* holes. For a normal spinel,  $\lambda = 0$ ; for an inverse spinel,  $\lambda = 0.5$ . Thus, for

$\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4$ ,  $\lambda = 0$ , and for  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ,  $\lambda = 0.5$ . Other spinel-type compounds have values of  $\lambda$  between 0 and 0.5; for example, for  $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ,  $\lambda = 0.45$  and for  $\text{NiAl}_2\text{O}_4$ ,  $\lambda = 0.38$ . We discuss factors governing the preference for a normal or inverse spinel structure in [Section 20.9](#).



The inverse spinel structure of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  showing the unit cell and the tetrahedral and octahedral environments of the Fe centres. The vertex of each tetrahedron and octahedron is occupied by an O atom.

## APPLICATIONS


## Box 12.7 The unusual properties of indium–tin oxide (ITO)

Indium–tin oxide (ITO) is indium oxide doped with tin oxide. Thin films of ITO have commercially valuable properties: it is transparent, electrically conducting and reflects IR radiation. Applications of ITO are varied. It is used as a coating material for flat-panel computer displays, for coating architectural glass panels, and in electrochromic devices. Coating motor vehicle and aircraft windscreens and motor vehicle rear windows allows them to be electrically heated for de-icing

purposes. A thin film of ITO (or related material) on the cockpit canopy of an aircraft such as the stealth plane renders this part of the plane radar-silent, contributing to the sophisticated design that allows the stealth plane to go undetected by radar.

*Related information:* see **Box 22.4** – Electrochromic ‘smart’ windows.

discrete aluminate ion. Calcium aluminates are prepared from CaO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the product depending on the stoichiometry of the reactants; Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> comprises Ca<sup>2+</sup> and [Al<sub>6</sub>O<sub>18</sub>]<sup>18-</sup> ions and is a major component in Portland cement. The cyclic [Al<sub>6</sub>O<sub>18</sub>]<sup>18-</sup> ion, **12.22**, is isostructural with [Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>18</sub>]<sup>12-</sup> (see **Section 13.9**) and the presence of these units in the solid state lattice imparts a very open structure which facilitates the formation of hydrates, a property crucial to the setting of cement.



## Oxides of Ga, In and Tl

The oxides and related compounds of the heavier group 13 metals call for less attention than those of Al. Gallium, like Al, forms more than one polymorph of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, GaO(OH) and Ga(OH)<sub>3</sub>, and the compounds are amphoteric. This contrasts with the basic nature of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, InO(OH) and In(OH)<sub>3</sub>. Thallium is unique among the group in exhibiting an oxide for the M(I) state: Tl<sub>2</sub>O forms when Tl<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is heated in N<sub>2</sub>, and reacts with water (equation 12.55).



Thallium(III) forms the oxide Tl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, but no simple hydroxide. Tl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is insoluble in water and decomposes in acids. In concentrated NaOH solution and in the presence of Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub>, the hydrated oxide Tl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O forms Ba<sub>2</sub>[Tl(OH)<sub>6</sub>]OH. In the solid state, the [Tl(OH)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> ions are connected to Ba<sup>2+</sup> and [OH]<sup>-</sup> ions to give a structure that is related to that of K<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub> (see **Section 22.11**).


## 12.8 Compounds containing nitrogen

The BN unit is isoelectronic with C<sub>2</sub> and many boron–nitrogen analogues of carbon systems exist. However useful this analogy is *structurally*, a BN group does *not* mimic a CC unit *chemically*, and reasons for this difference can be understood by considering the electronegativity values  $\chi^{\text{P}}(\text{B}) = 2.0$ ,  $\chi^{\text{P}}(\text{C}) = 2.6$  and  $\chi^{\text{P}}(\text{N}) = 3.0$ .

## Nitrides

Boron nitride, BN, is a robust (sublimation point = 2603 K), chemically rather inert compound which is used as a ceramic material (e.g. in crucible manufacture). Preparative routes include the high-temperature reactions of borax with [NH<sub>4</sub>]Cl, B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with NH<sub>3</sub>, and B(OH)<sub>3</sub> with [NH<sub>4</sub>]Cl. High-purity boron nitride can be made by reacting NH<sub>3</sub> with BF<sub>3</sub> or BCl<sub>3</sub>. The fabrication of thin films of BN is described in **Section 27.6**. The common form of boron nitride has an ordered layer structure containing hexagonal rings (Figure 12.18, compare with **Figure 13.4**). The layers are arranged so that a B atom in one layer lies directly over an N atom in the next, and so on. The B–N distances within a layer are much shorter than those between layers (Figure 12.18) and, in Table 12.2, it is compared with those in other B–N species. The B–N bonds are shorter than in adducts such as Me<sub>3</sub>N·BBR<sub>3</sub> in which a single boron–nitrogen bond can be assigned, and imply the presence of  $\pi$ -bonding in BN resulting from overlap between orthogonal N 2p (occupied) and B 2p (vacant) orbitals. The *interlayer* distance of 330 pm is consistent with van der Waals interactions, and boron nitride acts as a good lubricant, thus resembling graphite. Unlike graphite, BN is white and an insulator. This difference can be interpreted in terms of band theory (see **Section 5.8**), with the band gap in boron nitride being considerably greater than that in graphite because of the polarity of the B–N bond.

Heating the layered form of BN at  $\approx 2000$  K and  $> 50$  kbar pressure in the presence of catalytic amounts of Li<sub>3</sub>N or Mg<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> converts it to a more dense polymorph, cubic-BN,



**Fig. 12.18** Part of the layer structure of the common polymorph of boron nitride, BN. Hexagonal rings in adjacent layers lie over one another so that B and N atoms are eclipsed. This is emphasized by the yellow lines.

with the zinc blende structure (see [Section 5.11](#)). Table 12.2 shows that the B–N bond distance in cubic-BN is similar to those in  $R_3N \cdot BR_3$  adducts and longer than in the layered form of boron nitride; this further supports the existence of  $\pi$ -bonding within the layers of the latter. Structurally, the cubic form of BN resembles diamond ([Figure 5.19](#)) and the two materials are almost equally hard; crystalline cubic BN is called *borazon* and is used as an abrasive. A third polymorph of boron nitride with a wurtzite lattice is formed by compression of the layered form at  $\approx 12$  kbar.

Of the group 13 metals, only Al reacts directly with  $N_2$  (at 1020 K) to form a nitride; AlN has a wurtzite lattice and is hydrolysed to  $NH_3$  by hot dilute alkali. Gallium and indium nitrides also crystallize with the wurtzite structure, and are more reactive than their B or Al counterparts. The importance of the group 13 metal nitrides, and of the related


MP, MAs and MSb ( $M = Al, Ga, In$ ) compounds, lies in their applications in the semiconductor industry (see also [Section 18.4](#)).

## Ternary boron nitrides

Ternary boron nitrides (i.e. compounds of type  $M_xB_yN_z$ ) are a relatively new addition to boron–nitrogen chemistry. The high-temperature reactions of hexagonal BN with  $Li_3N$  or  $Mg_3N_2$  lead to  $Li_3BN_2$  and  $Mg_3BN_3$  respectively. Reaction 12.56 is used to prepare  $Na_3BN_2$  because of the difficulty in accessing  $Na_3N$  as a starting material (see [Section 10.4](#)).



Structural determinations for  $Li_3BN_2$ ,  $Na_3BN_2$  and  $Mg_3BN_3$  confirm the presence of discrete  $[BN_2]^{3-}$  ions, and  $Mg_3BN_3$  is therefore better formulated as  $(Mg^{2+})_3[BN_2]^{3-}(N^{3-})$ . The  $[BN_2]^{3-}$  ion ([12.23](#)) is isoelectronic and isostructural with  $CO_2$ .





Ternary boron nitrides containing *d*-block metal ions are not well represented. In contrast, lanthanoid metal compounds are well established, and include  $Eu_3(BN_2)_2$ ,  $La_3[B_3N_6]$ ,  $La_5[B_3N_6][BN_3]$  and  $Ce_3[B_2N_4]$  which are formulated as involving  $[BN_2]^{3-}$ ,  $[BN_3]^{6-}$ ,  $[B_2N_4]^{8-}$  and  $[B_3N_6]^{9-}$  ions. These nitridoborate compounds may be formed by heating ( $>1670$  K) mixtures of powdered lanthanoid metal, metal nitride and hexagonal-BN, or by metathesis reactions between  $Li_3BN_2$  and  $LaCl_3$ . The ions  $[BN_3]^{6-}$  and  $[B_2N_4]^{8-}$  are isoelectronic analogues of  $[CO_3]^{2-}$  and  $[C_2O_4]^{2-}$ , respectively. The B–N bonds in  $[BN_3]^{6-}$  are equivalent and diagram [12.24](#) shows a set of resonance structures consistent with this observation. The bonding can also be described in terms of a delocalized bonding model involving  $\pi$ -interactions between N  $2p$  and B  $2p$  orbitals. Similarly, sets of resonance structures or delocalized bonding models are

**Table 12.2** Boron–nitrogen bond distances in selected neutral species; all data are from X-ray diffraction studies ( $\leq 298$  K).


| Species                                      | B–N distance / pm | Comment   |
|--|-------------------|---|
| $Me_3N \cdot BBr_3$                          | 160.2             | Single bond   |
| $Me_3N \cdot BCl_3$                          | 157.5             | Single bond   |
| Cubic-(BN) $_n$                              | 157               | Single bond   |
| Hexagonal-(BN) $_n$                          | 144.6             | Intralayer distance, see <a href="#">Figure 12.18</a> ;<br>some $\pi$ -contribution |
| $B(NMe_2)_3$                                 | 143.9             | Some $\pi$ -contribution  |
| $Mes_2\bar{B}=\bar{N}H_2$                    | 137.5             | Double bond   |
| $Mes_2\bar{B}=\bar{N}=\bar{B}Mes_2^\ddagger$ | 134.5             | Double bond   |
| ${}^tBu\bar{B}\equiv\bar{N}{}^tBu$           | 125.8             | Triple bond   |

$^\ddagger$  Mes = 2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>

needed to describe the bonding in  $[\text{B}_2\text{N}_4]^{8-}$  (12.25) and  $[\text{B}_3\text{N}_6]^{9-}$  (see [problem 12.22c](#) at the end of the chapter).




The solid state structures of  $\text{La}_3[\text{B}_3\text{N}_6]$ ,  $\text{La}_5[\text{B}_3\text{N}_6][\text{BN}_3]$  and  $\text{La}_6[\text{B}_3\text{N}_6][\text{BN}_3]\text{N}$  show that the  $[\text{B}_3\text{N}_6]^{9-}$  ion contains a six-membered  $\text{B}_3\text{N}_3$  ring with a chair conformation (diagram 12.26, B atoms are shown in orange). Each boron atom is in a planar environment, allowing it to participate in  $\pi$ -bonding to nitrogen.





## Molecular species containing B–N or B–P bonds


We have already described the formation of B–N single bonds in adducts  $\text{R}_3\text{N}\cdot\text{BH}_3$ , and now we extend the discussion to include compounds with boron–nitrogen multiple bonds.




The hexagonal  $\text{B}_3\text{N}_3$ -motif in the layered form of boron nitride appears in a group of compounds called *borazines*. The parent compound  $(\text{HBNH})_3$ , 12.27, is isoelectronic and isostructural with benzene. It is prepared by reaction 12.57, from  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  (Figure 12.7) or from the *B*-chloro-derivative, itself prepared from  $\text{BCl}_3$  (equation 12.58).




The use of an alkylammonium chloride in place of  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  in reaction 12.58 leads to the formation of an *N*-alkyl derivative  $(\text{ClBNR})_3$  which can be converted to  $(\text{HBNR})_3$  by treatment with  $\text{Na}[\text{BH}_4]$ .





Borazine is a colourless liquid (mp 215 K, bp 328 K) with an aromatic odour and *physical* properties that resemble those of benzene. The B–N distances in the planar  $\text{B}_3\text{N}_3$  ring are equal (144 pm) and close to those in the layered form of BN (Table 12.2). This is consistent with substantial, but not complete, delocalization of the N lone pairs around the ring as represented in 12.28. Structure 12.27 gives one resonance form of borazine, analogous to a Kekulé structure for benzene. Despite the formal charge distribution, a consideration of the relative electronegativities of B ( $\chi^{\text{P}} = 2.0$ ) and N ( $\chi^{\text{P}} = 3.0$ ) indicates that B is susceptible to attack by nucleophiles while N attracts electrophiles (Figure 12.19). Thus, the reactivity of borazine contrasts sharply with that of benzene, although it must be remembered that  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$  is *kinetically* inert towards the addition of, for example, HCl and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Equations 12.59 and 12.60 give representative reactions of borazine; the formula notation indicates the nature of the *B*- or *N*-substituents, e.g.  $(\text{ClHBNH}_2)_3$  contains Cl attached to B.




**Fig. 12.19** In borazine, the difference in electronegativities of boron and nitrogen leads to a charge distribution which makes the B atoms (shown in orange) and N atoms (shown in blue), respectively, susceptible to nucleophilic and electrophilic attack.



**Fig. 12.20** The structures (determined by X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $B_3N_3H_{12}$  [P.W.R. Corfield *et al.* (1973) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 95, p. 1480], (b) the Dewar borazine derivative  $N,N',N''\text{-}^t\text{Bu}_3\text{-}B,B',B''\text{-Ph}_3B_3N_3$  [P. Paetzold *et al.* (1991) *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, vol. 46, p. 853], (c)  $B(\text{NMe}_2)_3$  [G. Schmid *et al.* (1982) *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, vol. 37, p. 1230, structure determined at 157 K]; H atoms in (b) and (c) have been omitted. Colour code: B, orange; N, blue; C, grey; H, white.




Each of the products of these reactions possesses a chair conformation (compare cyclohexane), and treatment of  $(\text{ClHBNH}_2)_3$  with  $\text{Na}[\text{BH}_4]$  leads to the formation of  $(\text{H}_2\text{BNH}_2)_3$  (Figure 12.20a).




Dewar borazine derivatives **12.29** can be stabilized by the introduction of sterically demanding substituents. Figure 12.20b shows the structure of  $N,N',N''\text{-}^t\text{Bu}_3\text{-}B,B',B''\text{-Ph}_3B_3N_3$ ; the 'open-book' conformation of the  $B_3N_3$  framework mimics that of the  $C_6$ -unit in Dewar benzene. By


comparing the bond distances in Figure 12.20b with those in Table 12.2, we see that the central B–N bond in **12.29** is longer than a typical single bond, the four distances of 155 pm (Figure 12.20b) are close to those expected for single bonds, and the two remaining B–N bond lengths correspond to double bonds. Dewar borazines are prepared by cyclotrimerization of iminoboranes  $\text{RBNR}'$  (**12.30**), although cyclooligomerization processes are not simple.<sup>†</sup> A family of  $\text{RBNR}'$  compounds is now known, and can be rendered kinetically stable with respect to oligomerization by the introduction of bulky substituents and/or maintaining low temperatures. They can be made by elimination of a suitable species from compounds of type **12.31** (e.g. reaction 12.61) and possess very short B–N bonds (Table 12.2) consistent with triple bond character.



<sup>†</sup> For a detailed account, see: P. Paetzold (1987) *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 31, p. 123.




Compounds **12.31** can be made by reactions such as 12.62 or 12.63, and reaction 12.64 has been used to prepare  $\text{Mes}_2\text{BNH}_2$  which has been structurally characterized. The B–N distance in  $\text{Mes}_2\text{BNH}_2$  (Table 12.2) implies a double bond, and the planes containing the  $\text{C}_2\text{B}$  and  $\text{NH}_2$  units are close to being coplanar as required for efficient overlap of the B and N  $2p$  atomic orbitals in  $\pi$ -bond formation.




Mes = mesityl

While considering the formation of B–N  $\pi$ -bonds, it is instructive to consider the structure of  $\text{B}(\text{NMe}_2)_3$ . As Figure 12.20c shows, each B and N atom is in a trigonal planar environment, and the B–N bond distances indicate partial  $\pi$ -character (Table 12.2) as expected. Further, in the solid state structure, the twisting of the  $\text{NMe}_2$  units, which is clearly apparent in Figure 12.20c, will militate against efficient  $2p$ – $2p$  atomic orbital overlap. Presumably, such twisting results from steric interactions and the observed structure of  $\text{B}(\text{NMe}_2)_3$  provides an interesting example of a subtle balance of steric and electronic effects.




With less bulky substituents, compounds **12.31** readily dimerize. For example,  $\text{Me}_2\text{BNH}_2$  forms the cyclodimer **12.32**. Whereas  $\text{Me}_2\text{BNH}_2$  is a gas at room temperature (bp 274 K) and reacts rapidly with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , dimer **12.32** has a melting point of 282 K and is kinetically stable towards hydrolysis by water.





Compounds **12.30** and **12.31** are analogues of alkynes and alkenes respectively. Allene analogues, **12.33**, can also be prepared, e.g. reaction 12.65. Crystallographic data for  $[\text{Mes}_2\text{BNBMes}_2]^-$  reveal B–N bond lengths consistent with double bond character (Table 12.2) and the presence of B–N  $\pi$ -bonding is further supported by the fact that the

planes containing the  $\text{C}_2\text{B}$  units are mutually orthogonal as shown in structure **12.33**.




Compounds containing B–P bonds are also known, and some chemistry of these species parallels that of the B–N-containing compounds described above. However, there are some significant differences, one of the main ones being that no phosphorus-containing analogue of borazine has been isolated. Monomers of the type  $\text{R}_2\text{BPR}'_2$  analogous to 12.31 are known for R and R' being bulky substituents.

At 420 K, the adduct  $\text{Me}_2\text{P} \cdot \text{BH}_3$  undergoes dehydrogenation to give  $(\text{Me}_2\text{PBH}_2)_3$  as the major product and  $(\text{Me}_2\text{PBH}_2)_4$  as the minor product. Structural data for the phenyl-substituted analogues of these compounds show that in the solid state, **12.34** and **12.35** adopt chair and boat–boat conformations, respectively. These cyclic compounds can also be obtained by heating  $\text{Ph}_2\text{P} \cdot \text{BH}_3$  at 400 K in the presence of a catalytic amount of the rhodium(I) compound  $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\text{cod})_2]$  (see [structure 23.20](#) for the ligand cod). However, at lower temperatures (360 K), cyclization is prevented and the product is  $\text{Ph}_2\text{PHBH}_2\text{PPh}_2\text{BH}_3$  (**12.36**).



### Molecular species containing group 13 metal–nitrogen bonds

Coordinate M–N bond (M = heavier group 13 element) formation is exemplified in a number of complexes such as  $\text{trans-}[\text{GaCl}_2(\text{py})_4]^+$ , and in  $(\text{Me}_2\text{AlNMe}_2)_2$ , which has a cyclic structure analogous to **12.32**. Coordinate bond formation also gives a series of  $\text{Al}_x\text{N}_y$  cluster compounds




**Fig. 12.21** The structures of some representative aluminium–nitrogen cluster compounds. Localized bonding schemes are appropriate for each cage (see [problem 12.17](#) at the end of the chapter).

by reactions such as 12.66 and 12.67; the structures of selected groups of clusters are shown in Figure 12.21, and the bonding in the  $Al_xN_y$  cages is rationalized in terms of localized schemes.



A number of related Ga-containing cages are known, as well as a few Tl–N clusters, e.g.  $Tl_2(MeSi)_2(N^tBu)_4$ , **12.37**. However, in the latter and related compounds, the Tl atoms do not carry terminal substituents, another manifestation of the thermodynamic *6s* inert pair effect (see [Box 12.3](#)).



Multiply-bonded compounds of the type observed for boron are not a feature of the later group 13 elements.

## 12.9 Aluminium to thallium: salts of oxoacids, aqueous solution chemistry and complexes

### Aluminium sulfate and alums

The most important soluble oxosalts of Al are undoubtedly  $Al_2(SO_4)_3 \cdot 16H_2O$  and the double sulfates  $MAl(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O$  (*alums*). In alums,  $M^+$  is usually  $K^+$ ,  $Rb^+$ ,  $Cs^+$  or  $[NH_4]^+$ , but  $Li^+$ ,  $Na^+$  and  $Tl^+$  compounds also exist;  $Al^{3+}$  may be replaced by another  $M^{3+}$  ion, but its size must be comparable and possible metals are Ga, In (but not Tl), Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe and Co. The sulfate ion in an alum can be replaced by  $[SeO_4]^{2-}$ . Alums occur naturally in *alum shales*, but are well known in crystal growth experiments; beautiful octahedral crystals are characteristic, e.g. in colourless  $KAl(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O$  or purple  $KFe(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O$ . The purple colour of the latter arises from the presence of the  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$  ion and, in all alums, the  $M^{3+}$  ion is octahedrally coordinated by six water ligands. The remaining water molecules are held in the crystal lattice by hydrogen bonds and connect the hydrated cations to the anions. Aluminium sulfate is used in water purification (see [Box 15.3](#)) for the removal of phosphate and of colloidal matter, the coagulation of which is facilitated by the high charge on the  $Al^{3+}$  cation. Intake of Al salts by humans, however, is suspected of causing Alzheimer's disease.

An *alum* has the general formula  $M^I M^{III} (SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O$ .


### Aqua ions

The  $M^{3+}$  aqua ions ( $M = Al, Ga, In, Tl$ ) are acidic (see [equation 6.34](#)) and the acidity increases down the group. Solutions of their salts are appreciably hydrolysed and salts of weak acids (e.g. carbonates and cyanides) cannot exist in aqueous solution. Solution NMR spectroscopic studies show that in acidic media, Al(III) is present as octahedral  $[Al(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$ , but raising the pH leads to the formation of polymeric species such as hydrated  $[Al_2(OH)_2]^{4+}$  and  $[Al_7(OH)_{16}]^{5+}$ . Further increase in pH causes  $Al(OH)_3$  to precipitate, and in alkaline solution, the aluminate anions  $[Al(OH)_4]^-$  (tetrahedral) and  $[Al(OH)_6]^{3-}$  (octahedral) and polymeric species such as  $[(HO)_3Al(\mu-O)Al(OH)_3]^{2-}$  are present. The aqueous solution chemistry of Ga(III) resembles that of Al(III), but the later metals are not amphoteric (see [Section 12.7](#)).

### Redox reactions in aqueous solution


The standard reduction potentials for the  $M^{3+}/M$  couples (Table 12.1) show that  $Al^{3+}(aq)$  is much less readily reduced





**Fig. 12.22** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) [Al(ox)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> in the ammonium salt [N. Bulc *et al.* (1984) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 40, p. 1829], and (b) [GaL] [C.J. Broan *et al.* (1991) *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, p. 87] where ligand L<sup>3-</sup> is shown in diagram (c). Hydrogen atoms have been omitted from (a) and (b); colour code: Al, pale grey; Ga, yellow; O, red; C, grey; N, blue.

6.10); in Section 6.11, we discussed the influence of [H<sup>+</sup>] on the formation of [Fe(acac)<sub>3</sub>] and similar arguments apply to the group 13 metal ion complexes.



Deprotonation of 8-hydroxyquinoline gives the didentate ligand **12.38** which has a number of applications. For example, Al<sup>3+</sup> may be extracted into organic solvents as the octahedral complex [Al(12.38)<sub>3</sub>] providing a weighable form of Al<sup>3+</sup> for the gravimetric analysis of aluminium.

Complexes involving macrocyclic ligands with pendant carboxylate or phosphate groups have received attention in the development of highly stable metal complexes suitable for *in vivo* applications, e.g. tumour-seeking complexes containing radioisotopes (see Box 2.3). The incorporation of <sup>67</sup>Ga ( $\gamma$ -emitter,  $t_{1/2}$  = 3.2 days), <sup>68</sup>Ga ( $\beta^+$ -emitter,  $t_{1/2}$  = 68 min) or <sup>111</sup>In ( $\gamma$ -emitter,  $t_{1/2}$  = 2.8 days) into such complexes yields potential radiopharmaceuticals. Figure 12.22c shows an example of a well-studied ligand which forms very stable complexes with Ga(III) and In(III) ( $\log K \geq 20$ ). The way in which this ligand encapsulates the M<sup>3+</sup> ion with the three N-donor atoms forced into a *fac*-arrangement can be seen in Figure 12.22b.

## 12.10 Metal borides

Solid state metal borides are characteristically extremely hard, involatile, high melting and chemically inert materials which are industrially important with uses as refractory materials and in rocket cones and turbine blades, i.e.

components that must withstand extreme stress, shock and high temperatures. Preparative routes to metal borides are varied, as are their structures. Some may be made by direct combination of the elements at high temperatures, and others from metal oxides (e.g. reactions 12.71 and 12.72).








Metal borides may be boron- or metal-rich, and general families include MB<sub>3</sub>, MB<sub>4</sub>, MB<sub>6</sub>, MB<sub>10</sub>, MB<sub>12</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>B<sub>5</sub> and M<sub>3</sub>B<sub>4</sub> (B-rich), and M<sub>3</sub>B, M<sub>4</sub>B, M<sub>5</sub>B, M<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub> and M<sub>7</sub>B<sub>3</sub> (M-rich). The formulae bear no relation to those expected on the basis of the formal oxidation states of boron and metal.

The structural diversity of these materials is so great as to preclude a full discussion here, but we can conveniently consider them in terms of the categories shown in Table 12.3, which are identified in terms of the arrangement of the B atoms within a host metal lattice. The structure type of the MB<sub>6</sub> borides (e.g. CaB<sub>6</sub>) can be envisaged by likening it to that of a CsCl lattice with B<sub>6</sub>-units (Table 12.3) replacing Cl<sup>-</sup> ions. However, the B–B distances *between* adjacent B<sub>6</sub>-octahedra are similar to those *within* each unit and so a ‘discrete ion’ model is not actually appropriate. The structure type of MB<sub>12</sub> (e.g. UB<sub>12</sub>) can similarly be described in terms of an NaCl lattice in which the Cl<sup>-</sup> ions are replaced by B<sub>12</sub>-icosahedra (Table 12.3).

Although this summary of metal borides is brief, it illustrates the complexity of structures frequently encountered in the chemistry of boron. Research interest in metal borides has been stimulated since 2001 by the discovery that MgB<sub>2</sub> is a superconductor with a critical temperature,  $T_c$ , of 39 K.<sup>†</sup> We explore this property further in Section 27.4.

<sup>†</sup> J. Nagamatsu, N. Nakagawa, T. Muranaka, Y. Zenitani and J. Akimitsu (2001) *Nature*, vol. 410, p. 63 – ‘Superconductivity at 39 K in magnesium boride’.

**Table 12.3** Classification of the structures of solid state metal borides.

| Description of the boron atom organization  | Pictorial representation of the boron association                                   | Examples of metal borides adopting each structure type  |
|---|---|---|
| Isolated B atoms  |   | $\text{Ni}_3\text{B}$ , $\text{Mn}_4\text{B}$ , $\text{Pd}_5\text{B}_2$ , $\text{Ru}_7\text{B}_3$   |
| Pairs of B atoms  | $\text{B}—\text{B}$   | $\text{Cr}_5\text{B}_3$   |
| Chains  |    | $\text{V}_3\text{B}_4$ , $\text{Cr}_3\text{B}_4$ , $\text{HfB}$ , $\text{CrB}$ , $\text{FeB}$       |
| Linked double chains  |    | $\text{Ta}_3\text{B}_4$   |
| Sheets  |   | $\text{MgB}_2$ , $\text{TiB}_2$ , $\text{CrB}_2$ , $\text{Ti}_2\text{B}_5$ , $\text{W}_2\text{B}_5$ |
| Linked $\text{B}_6$ octahedra (see text)  |  | $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_6$ , $\text{CaB}_6$ , $\text{LaB}_6$   |
| Linked $\text{B}_{12}$ icosahedra (see text; see also <a href="#">Figure 12.5</a> ) |  | $\text{ZrB}_{12}$ , $\text{UB}_{12}$  |
|   | (B–B links to adjacent icosahedra are not shown)                                    |   |

## 12.11 Electron-deficient borane and carbaborane clusters: an introduction

In this section, we introduce *electron-deficient clusters* containing boron, focusing on the small clusters  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$ ,  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$  and  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$ . A comprehensive treatment of borane and carbaborane clusters is beyond the scope of this book, but more detailed accounts can be found in the references cited at the end of the chapter.

An *electron-deficient* species possesses fewer valence electrons than are required for a localized bonding scheme. In a *cluster*, the atoms form a cage-like structure.


### Boron hydrides

The pioneering work of Alfred Stock between 1912 and 1936 revealed that boron formed a range of hydrides of varying nuclearities. Since these early studies, the number of neutral and anionic boron hydrides has increased greatly, and the structures of three of the smaller boranes are shown in Figure 12.23. The following classes of cluster are now recognized, along with others.

- In a *closo*-cluster, the atoms form a closed, deltahedral cage and have the general formula  $[\text{B}_n\text{H}_n]^{2-}$  (e.g.  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$ ).
- In a *nido*-cluster, the atoms form an open cage which is derived from a closed deltahedron with one vertex unoccupied; general formulae are  $\text{B}_n\text{H}_{n+4}$ ,  $[\text{B}_n\text{H}_{n+3}]^-$  etc. (e.g.  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$ ,  $[\text{B}_5\text{H}_8]^-$ ).
- In an *arachno*-cluster, the atoms form an open cage which is derived from a closed deltahedron with two vertices unoccupied; general formulae are  $\text{B}_n\text{H}_{n+6}$ ,  $[\text{B}_n\text{H}_{n+5}]^-$  etc. (e.g.  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$ ,  $[\text{B}_4\text{H}_9]^-$ ).
- In a *hypho*-cluster, the atoms form an open cage which is derived from a closed deltahedron with three vertices unoccupied; this is a poorly exemplified group of compounds with general formulae  $\text{B}_n\text{H}_{n+8}$ ,  $[\text{B}_n\text{H}_{n+7}]^-$  etc.
- A *conjuncto*-cluster consists of two or more cages connected together through a shared atom, an external bond, a shared edge or a shared face (e.g.  $\{\text{B}_5\text{H}_8\}_2$ ).


A *deltahedron* is a polyhedron that possesses only *triangular* faces, e.g. an octahedron.

At one time, there was considerable interest in the possibility of using boron hydrides as high-energy fuels, but in practice, it is difficult to ensure complete combustion to  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ , and involatile polymers tend to block exhaust ducts. Although interest in fuel applications has faded,





**Fig. 12.23** (a) The structures of  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$ ,  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$  and  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$ ; colour code: B, blue; H, white. (b) Schematic representation of the derivation of *nido* (with  $n = 5$ ) and *arachno* (with  $n = 4$ ) cages from a parent *closo* deltahedral cage with  $n = 6$ .

boranes remain a fascination to structural and theoretical chemists.





The higher boranes can be prepared by controlled pyrolysis of  $B_2H_6$  in the vapour phase. The pyrolysis of  $B_2H_6$  in a hot-cold reactor (i.e. a reactor having an interface between two regions of extreme temperatures) gives, for example,  $B_4H_{10}$ ,  $B_5H_{11}$  or  $B_5H_9$  depending upon the temperature interface. Decaborane(14),  $B_{10}H_{14}$ , is produced by heating  $B_2H_6$  at 453–490 K under static conditions. Such methods are complicated by the interconversion of one borane to another, and it has been desirable to seek selective syntheses. The reaction between  $B_2H_6$  and  $Na[BH_4]$  (equation 12.73) gives  $Na[B_3H_8]$  which contains the  $[B_3H_8]^-$  ion (12.39). This is a convenient precursor to  $B_4H_{10}$ ,  $B_5H_9$  and  $[B_6H_6]^{2-}$  (equations 12.74–12.76).




(Diglyme: see structure 12.2)


The formation of  $Na_2[B_6H_6]$  in reaction 12.76 competes with that of  $Na_2[B_{10}H_{10}]$  and  $Na_2[B_{12}H_{12}]$  (equations 12.77 and 12.78) and the reaction gives only low yields of  $Na_2[B_6H_6]$ . Starting from  $Na[B_3H_8]$  prepared *in situ* by reaction 12.73, a typical molar ratio of  $[B_6H_6]^{2-} : [B_{10}H_{10}]^{2-} : [B_{12}H_{12}]^{2-}$  from a combination of reactions 12.76–12.78 is 2 : 1 : 15.



Higher yields of  $Na_2[B_6H_6]$  are obtained by changing the *in situ* synthesis of  $Na[B_3H_8]$  to reaction 12.79, followed by heating in diglyme at reflux for 36 hours.



The dianion  $[B_6H_6]^{2-}$  has a closed octahedral  $B_6$  cage (Figure 12.23a) and is a *closo*-cluster. Each B atom is connected to four other B atoms within the cage, and to one terminal H. The structure of  $B_5H_9$  (Figure 12.23a) consists of a square-based pyramidal cage of B atoms, each of which carries one terminal H. The remaining four H atoms occupy B–H–B bridging sites around the square face of the cage. Figure 12.23a shows the structure of  $B_4H_{10}$  which has an open framework of two edge-sharing  $B_3$  triangles. The inner B atoms carry one terminal H each, and two terminal H atoms are bonded to each of the outer B atoms; the remaining four H atoms are involved in B–H–B bridges. X-ray diffraction data for the potassium, sodium and 1-aminoguanidinium salts have shown that the B–B bond distances in  $[B_6H_6]^{2-}$  are equal (172 pm), but in  $B_5H_9$ , the unbridged B–B edges (apical–basal, 166 pm) are shorter than the H-bridged edges (basal–basal, 172 pm); the apical and basal atoms in  $B_5H_9$  are defined in structure 12.40. A similar situation is observed in  $B_4H_{10}$  (H-bridged edges = 187 pm, unique B–B edge = 174 pm from electron diffraction data). The *range* of B–B distances in these three cages is significant and, in the light of the discussion of bonding that follows, it is instructive to compare these distances with twice the covalent radius of B ( $r_{cov} = 88$  pm). Longer B–B edges are observed in other clusters (e.g. 197 pm in  $B_{10}H_{14}$ ) but are still regarded as bonding interactions.



In a *formal sense*, we can consider the structure of  $B_5H_9$  as being related to that of  $[B_6H_6]^{2-}$  by removing one vertex from the  $B_6$  octahedral cage (Figure 12.23b). Similarly, the  $B_4$  cage in  $B_4H_{10}$  is related to that of  $B_5H_9$  by the removal of another vertex. The removal of a vertex is accompanied by the addition of bridging H atoms. These observations lead us to a discussion of the bonding in boranes. The first point is that boron-containing and related clusters exhibit structures in which the bonding is *not* readily represented in terms of localized bonding models. This is in contrast to the situation in  $B_2H_6$ ,  $[BH_4]^-$  and  $[B_3H_8]^-$  where 2c-2e and 3c-2e interactions can adequately represent the distributions of valence electrons.† A satisfactory solution to this problem is to consider a delocalized approach and invoke MO theory

† A valence bond method called *styx* rules, devised by W.N. Lipscomb, provides a means of constructing bonding networks for boranes in terms of 3c-2e B–H–B interactions, 3c-2e B–B–B interactions, 2c-2e B–B bonds, and  $BH_2$ -units, but the method is applied easily only to a limited number of clusters.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 12.8 Nomenclature of boranes

The name of a borane denotes the number of boron atoms, the number of hydrogen atoms, and the overall charge. The number of boron atoms is given by a Greek prefix (di-, tri-, tetra-, penta-, hexa- etc.), the exception being for nine and eleven, where the Latin nona- and undeca- are used. The number of hydrogen atoms is shown as an Arabic numeral in parentheses at the end of the name (see below). The charge for an ion is shown at the end of the name; the nomenclature

for anions is also distinguished from that of neutral boranes (see examples below). As a prefix, the class of cluster (*closo*-, *nido*-, *arachno*-, *conjuncto*- etc.) should be stated.

- $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  *closo*-hexahydrohexaborate(2-)
- $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$  *arachno*-tetraborane(10)
- $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$  *nido*-pentaborane(9)
- $\text{B}_6\text{H}_{10}$  *nido*-hexaborane(10)

(see [Box 12.9](#)). The situation has been greatly helped by an empirical set of rules developed by Wade, Williams and Mingos. The initial *Wade's rules* can be summarized as follows, and 'parent' deltahedra are shown in Figure 12.24:

- a *closo*-deltahedral cluster cage with  $n$  vertices requires  $(n + 1)$  pairs of electrons which occupy  $(n + 1)$  cluster bonding MOs;
- from a 'parent' *closo*-cage with  $n$  vertices, a set of more open cages (*nido*, *arachno* and *hypho*) can be derived, each of which possesses  $(n + 1)$  pairs of electrons occupying  $(n + 1)$  cluster bonding MOs;
- for a parent *closo*-deltahedron with  $n$  vertices, the related *nido*-cluster has  $(n - 1)$  vertices and  $(n + 1)$  pairs of electrons;
- for a parent *closo*-deltahedron with  $n$  vertices, the related *arachno*-cluster has  $(n - 2)$  vertices and  $(n + 1)$  pairs of electrons;
- for a parent *closo*-deltahedron with  $n$  vertices, the related *hypho*-cluster has  $(n - 3)$  vertices and  $(n + 1)$  pairs of electrons.

In counting the number of cluster-bonding electrons available in a borane, we first formally break down the cluster into fragments and determine the number of valence electrons that each fragment can contribute for cluster bonding. A procedure is as follows.

- Determine how many {BH}-units are present (i.e. assume each B atom carries a terminal hydrogen atom); each {BH}-unit provides two electrons for cage bonding (of the three valence electrons of B, one is used to form a localized terminal B-H bond, leaving two for cluster bonding).
- Count how many additional H atoms there are; each provides one electron.
- Add up the number of electrons available from the cluster fragments and take account of any overall charge.
- The total number of electrons corresponds to  $(n + 1)$  pairs of electrons, and thus, the number of vertices,  $n$ , of the parent deltahedron can be established.
- Each {BH}-unit occupies one vertex in the parent deltahedron, and from the number of vertices left

vacant, the class of cluster can be determined; if vertices are non-equivalent, the first to be left vacant *tends* to be either one of highest connectivity or a 'cap' in 'capped' structures (e.g.  $n = 9$  and 10 in Figure 12.24).

- Additional H atoms are placed in bridging sites along B-B edges of an *open* face of the cluster, or in extra terminal sites, usually available if there are any B atoms of especially low connectivity.

## Worked example 12.7 Using Wade's rules to rationalize a structure

Rationalize why  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  adopts an octahedral cage.

There are six {BH}-units and no additional H atoms. Each {BH}-unit provides two valence electrons. There are two electrons from the 2- charge. Total number of cage-bonding electrons available

$$= (6 \times 2) + 2 = 14 \text{ electrons}$$

$$= 7 \text{ pairs}$$

Thus,  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  has seven pairs of electrons with which to bond six {BH}-units.

This means that there are  $(n + 1)$  pairs of electrons for  $n$  vertices, and so  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  is a *closo*-cage, a six-vertex deltahedron, i.e. the octahedron is adopted (see [Figure 12.24](#)).

## Self-study exercises


Refer to [Figure 12.24](#).

1. Rationalize why  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}]^{2-}$  adopts an icosahedral structure for the boron cage.
2. Show that the observed bicapped square-antiprismatic structure of the boron cage in  $[\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10}]^{2-}$  is consistent with Wade's rules.
3. In each of the following, rationalize the observed boron cage structure in terms of Wade's rules: (a)  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$  (a square-based pyramid); (b)  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$  (two edge-fused triangles, [Figure 12.23](#)); (c)  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_9]^-$  (a pentagonal pyramid); (d)  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_{11}$  (an open network of three edge-fused triangles).

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND


Box 12.9 Bonding in  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$ 

In *Section 23.5*, we discuss the *isolobal principle*, and the relationship between the bonding properties of different cluster *fragments*. The bonding in boron-containing clusters and, more generally, in organometallic clusters, is conveniently dealt with in terms of molecular orbital theory. In this Box, we show how the *frontier orbitals* (i.e. the highest occupied and lowest unoccupied MOs) of six BH units combine to give the seven cluster bonding MOs in  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$ . This *closo*-anion has  $O_h$  symmetry:




After accounting for the localized B–H bonding orbital ( $\sigma_{\text{BH}}$ ) and its antibonding counterpart, a BH fragment has three

orbitals remaining which are classed as its frontier orbitals:




If we consider the BH fragments as being placed in the orientations shown in the structural diagram on the left, then the three frontier orbitals can be classified as one *radial* orbital (pointing into the  $\text{B}_6$  cage) and two *tangential* orbitals (lying over the cluster surface). When the six BH-units come together, a total of  $(6 \times 3)$  orbitals combine to give 18 MOs, seven of which possess cluster-bonding character. The interactions that give rise to these bonding MOs are shown below. The 11 non-bonding and antibonding MOs are omitted from the diagram.



Once the molecular orbital interaction diagram has been constructed, the electrons that are available in  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  can be accommodated in the lowest-lying MOs. Each BH unit provides two electrons, and in addition the  $2-$  charge provides two electrons. There is, therefore, a total of seven

electron pairs available, which will completely occupy the seven bonding MOs shown in the diagram above. Relating this to Wade's rules, the MO approach shows that there are seven electron-pairs for a *closo*-cage possessing six cluster vertices.



**Fig. 12.24** The deltahedral cages with five to 12 vertices which are the parent cages used in conjunction with Wade's rules to rationalize borane cluster structures. As a general (but not foolproof) scheme, when removing vertices from these cages to generate *nido*-frameworks, remove a vertex of connectivity three from the trigonal bipyramid, any vertex from the octahedron or icosahedron, a 'cap' from the tricapped trigonal prism or bicapped square-antiprism, and a vertex of highest connectivity from the remaining deltahedra. See also [Figure 12.27](#) for 13-vertex cages.

### Worked example 12.8 Using Wade's rules to predict a structure

Suggest a likely structure for  $[\text{B}_5\text{H}_8]^-$ .

There are five {BH}-units and three additional H atoms.

Each {BH}-unit provides two valence electrons.


There is one electron from the 1- charge.

Total number of cage-bonding electrons available


$$= (5 \times 2) + 3 + 1 = 14 \text{ electrons} \\ = 7 \text{ pairs}$$

Seven pairs of electrons are consistent with the parent deltahedron having six vertices, i.e.  $(n + 1) = 7$ , and so  $n = 6$ .

The parent deltahedron is an octahedron and the  $\text{B}_5$ -core of  $[\text{B}_5\text{H}_8]^-$  will be derived from an octahedron with one vertex left vacant:



The three extra H atoms form B–H–B bridges along three of the four B–B edges of the open (square) face of the  $\text{B}_5$ -cage. The predicted structure of  $[\text{B}_5\text{H}_8]^-$  is:



### Self-study exercises


Refer to [Figure 12.24](#).

1. Confirm the following classifications within Wade's rules: (a)  $[\text{B}_9\text{H}_9]^{2-}$ , *closo*; (b)  $\text{B}_6\text{H}_{10}$ , *nido*; (c)  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$ , *arachno*; (d)  $[\text{B}_8\text{H}_8]^{2-}$ , *closo*; (e)  $[\text{B}_{11}\text{H}_{13}]^{2-}$ , *nido*.
2. Suggest likely structures for the following: (a)  $[\text{B}_9\text{H}_9]^{2-}$ ; (b)  $\text{B}_6\text{H}_{10}$ ; (c)  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$ ; (d)  $[\text{B}_8\text{H}_8]^{2-}$ .  
[Ans. (a) tricapped trigonal prism; (b) pentagonal pyramid; (c) see [Figure 12.23](#); (d) dodecahedron]


The types of reactions that borane clusters undergo depend upon the class and size of the cage. The clusters  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}]^{2-}$  provide examples of *closo*-hydroborate dianions;  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$  and  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$  are examples of small *nido*- and *arachno*-boranes, respectively.

The development of the chemistry of  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  has been relatively slow, but improved synthetic routes (see equation 12.79 and accompanying text) have now made the dianion

more accessible. The reactivity of  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  is influenced by its ability to act as a Brønsted base ( $\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 7.0$ ). Protonation of  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]$  (using  $\text{HCl}$ ) yields  $\text{Cs}[\text{B}_6\text{H}_7]$ . This reaction is atypical of *closo*-hydroborate dianions. Furthermore, the added proton in  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_7]^-$  (12.41) adopts an unusual triply-bridging ( $\mu_3$ ) site, capping a  $\text{B}_3$ -face. Both  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectra are consistent with the dynamic behaviour of the  $\mu_3$ -H atom, which renders all six  $\text{BH}_{\text{terminal}}$ -units equivalent (see *problem 12.25a* at the end of the chapter).





(12.41)




(12.42)

Chlorination, bromination and iodination of  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  occur with  $\text{X}_2$  in strongly basic solution to give mixtures of products (equation 12.80). Monofluorination of  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  can be achieved using  $\text{XeF}_2$ , but is complicated by protonation, the products being  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_5\text{F}]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_5(\mu_3\text{-H})\text{F}]^-$ . By using 12.42 as the fluorinating agent,  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_5(\mu_3\text{-H})\text{F}]^-$  is selectively formed.




The tendency for  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  to gain  $\text{H}^+$  affects the conditions under which alkylation reactions are carried out. Neutral conditions must be used, contrasting with the acidic conditions under which  $[\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10}]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}]^{2-}$  are alkylated. Even so, as scheme 12.81 shows, the reaction is not straightforward.



The oxidation of  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  by dibenzoyl peroxide leads, unexpectedly, to the *conjuncto*-cluster 12.43. Treatment of 12.43 with  $\text{Cs}[\text{O}_2\text{CMe}]$  and then with  $\text{CsOH}$  removes the capping protons one by one to give  $\{[\text{B}_6\text{H}_5(\mu_3\text{-H})]\}$  and then  $\{[\text{B}_6\text{H}_5]\}^{3-}$  and then  $\{[\text{B}_6\text{H}_5]\}^{4-}$ .


The chemistry of  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}]^{2-}$  (and also of  $[\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10}]^{2-}$ ) is well explored. Electrophilic substitution reactions predominate, although some reactions with nucleophiles also occur. The vertices in the icosahedral cage of  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}]^{2-}$  are all equivalent, and therefore there is no preference for the first site of substitution. The reactions of  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}]^{2-}$  with  $\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{Br}_2$  lead to  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{(12-x)}\text{X}_x]^{2-}$  ( $x = 1-12$ ), and the rate of substitution decreases as  $x$  increases. The rate




$$[\{[\text{B}_6\text{H}_5(\mu_3\text{-H})]\}_2]^{2-}$$

(12.43)


also decreases on going from  $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$  to  $\text{X} = \text{Br}$ , and is lower still for  $\text{X} = \text{I}$ . Iodination with  $\text{I}_2$  leads to some degree of substitution, but for the formation of  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{I}_{12}]^{2-}$ , it is necessary to use a mixture of  $\text{I}_2$  and  $\text{ICl}$ . Scheme 12.82 shows further examples of substitutions in  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}]^{2-}$ , and the atom numbering scheme for the cage is shown in structure 12.44. In each reaction, the icosahedral  $\text{B}_{12}$ -cage is retained. Since  $\text{CO}$  is a two-electron donor, its introduction in place of an  $\text{H}$  atom (which provides one electron) affects the overall charge on the cluster (scheme 12.82). The thiol  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{11}(\text{SH})]^{2-}$  (scheme 12.82) is of particular importance because of its application in treating cancer using boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT).<sup>†</sup>




(12.44)



The reaction of  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}]$  with  $\text{MeI}$  and  $\text{AlMe}_3$  leads first to  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{Me}_{(12-x)}\text{I}_x]^{2-}$  ( $x \leq 5$ ) and, after prolonged heating, to  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{Me}_{12}]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{B}_{12}\text{Me}_{11}\text{I}]^{2-}$ . Reaction 12.83 shows the formation of salts of  $[\text{B}_{12}(\text{OH})_{12}]^{2-}$ .




<sup>†</sup> See: M.F. Hawthorne (1993) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 32, p. 950 – ‘The role of chemistry in the development of boron neutron capture therapy of cancer’.




**Fig. 12.25** Selected reactions of the *nido*-borane  $B_5H_9$ ; the numbering scheme in the central structure is used to indicate positions of substitution in products that retain the  $B_5$ -core.

Even though  $[B_{12}(OH)_{12}]^{2-}$  has 12 terminal OH groups available for hydrogen bonding, the  $[H_3O]^+$  and alkali metal salts are not very soluble in water. This surprising observation can be understood by considering the solid state structures of the  $Na^+$ ,  $K^+$ ,  $Rb^+$  and  $Cs^+$  salts. These all exhibit extensive hydrogen-bonded networks as well as highly organized  $M^+ \cdots OH$  interactions. The observed low solubilities correspond to small values of the equilibrium constant,  $K$ , for the dissolution process. Since  $\ln K$  is related to  $\Delta_{sol}G^\circ$  (see Section 6.9), it follows from the thermodynamic cycle in equation 12.84 that the Gibbs energy of hydration is insufficient to offset the lattice energy of each salt.




The reactivities of  $B_5H_9$  and  $B_4H_{10}$  have been well explored and typical reactions are given in Figures 12.25 and 12.26. The *nido*- $B_5H_9$  cluster is more reactive than *closo*- $[B_6H_6]^{2-}$ , and *arachno*- $B_4H_9$  is more susceptible still

to reactions involving cage degradation or cleavage. For example,  $B_4H_{10}$  is hydrolysed by  $H_2O$ , while  $B_5H_9$  is hydrolysed only slowly by water but completely by alcohols. Many reactions involving *arachno*- $B_4H_{10}$  with Lewis bases are known and Figure 12.26 illustrates cleavage with  $NH_3$  (a small base) to give an ionic salt and by a more sterically demanding base to give neutral adducts. Compare these reactions with those of  $B_2H_6$  (equation 12.14). Carbon monoxide and  $PF_3$ , on the other hand, react with  $B_4H_{10}$  with elimination of  $H_2$  and retention of the  $B_4$  cage. Deprotonation of both  $B_4H_{10}$  and  $B_5H_9$  can be achieved using  $NaH$  or  $KH$  and in each case  $H^+$  is removed from a *bridging* site. This preference is quite general among boranes and can be rationalized in terms of redistribution of the two electrons from the  $B-H-B$  bridge into a  $B-B$  interaction upon  $H^+$  removal. Electrophiles react with  $B_5H_9$  (Figure 12.25) with initial attack being at the apical B atom. Isomerizations to give the basally-substituted derivatives occur but have been shown by  $^{10}B$  labelling studies to involve  $B_5$  cage rearrangement rather than migration of the substituent. Both  $B_4H_{10}$  and  $B_5H_9$  react with ethyne to generate a new family of cluster compounds, the *carbaboranes*. Structurally, carbaboranes resemble boranes, with structures rationalized in terms of Wade's rules (a CH unit provides one



**Fig. 12.26** Selected reactions of the *arachno*-borane  $B_4H_{10}$ ; the numbering scheme in the central structure is used to denote positions of substitution in products that retain the  $B_4$ -core.

more electron for bonding than a BH-unit). The structures of the carborane products in Figures 12.25 and 12.26 are shown in 12.45–12.47, although in each case only one cage-isomer is illustrated; an example of the application of Wade's rules to them is given in worked example 12.9.



### Worked example 12.9 Applying Wade's rules to carborane structures

(a) Rationalize why the cage structure of  $C_2B_4H_6$  is an octahedron. (b) How many cage isomers are possible?

(a) There are four {BH}-units, two {CH}-units and no additional H atoms.

Each {BH}-unit provides two valence electrons.


Each {CH}-unit provides three valence electrons.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total number of cage-bonding electrons available} \\ &= (4 \times 2) + (2 \times 3) = 14 \text{ electrons} \\ &= 7 \text{ pairs} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $C_2B_4H_6$  has seven pairs of electrons with which to bond six cluster units.

There are  $(n + 1)$  pairs of electrons for  $n$  vertices, and so  $C_2B_4H_6$  is a *closo*-cage, a six-vertex deltahedron, i.e. the octahedron is adopted (see Figure 12.24).

(b) In an octahedron, all vertices are equivalent. It follows that there are two possible arrangements of the two carbon and four boron atoms, leading to two cage isomers:




It is *not* possible to say anything about isomer preference using Wade's rules.

### Self-study exercises

1. Rationalize the structures of carboranes (a) 12.45 and (b) 12.47, and determine how many isomers of each are possible. [Ans. (a) 3; (b) 4]
2. The carborane  $C_2B_{10}H_{12}$  has the same cage structure as  $[B_{12}H_{12}]^{2-}$  (Figure 12.24, the icosahedron). (a) Rationalize this observation using Wade's rules. (b) How many isomers are possible for  $C_2B_{10}H_{12}$ ? [(b) Ans. 3]


The deltahedra shown in Figure 12.24 and used as 'parent deltahedra' for deriving or rationalizing structures using

Wade's rules go only as far as the 12-vertex icosahedron. No single-cage hydroborate dianions  $[B_nH_n]^{2-}$  are known for  $n > 12$ . However, in 2003, the first 13-vertex *closo*-carbaborane was reported and its structure is shown in Figure 12.27a. The strategy for the preparation of this compound follows two steps (scheme 12.85). First, a 12-vertex *closo*-cage is reduced and this leads to cage-opening, consistent with Wade's rules. The open face in the intermediate cluster is highlighted in scheme 12.85. In the second step, the open cage is capped with a boron-containing fragment to generate a 13-vertex *closo*-cluster.



In practice the two C atoms must be 'tethered' together in order that the cluster does not rearrange or degrade during the reaction. In Figure 12.27, this 'tether' corresponds to the organic fragment that bridges the two cluster carbon atoms. The phenyl substituent attached directly to the cage labels the site at which a boron atom is introduced in the second step in scheme 12.85. Interestingly, this first example of a 13-vertex *closo*-carbaborane adopts a polyhedron which is not a deltahedron. Rather, the polyhedron is a *hencosa*-hedron (Figure 12.27). This contrasts with the deltahedron (the *docosa*hedron, Figure 12.27) that has been predicted by theory to be the lowest energy structure for the hypothetical  $[B_{13}H_{13}]^{2-}$ .

Before leaving this introduction to boron clusters, we return briefly to the boron halides of type  $B_nX_n$  ( $X = \text{halogen}$ ). Although these have deltahedral structures, they do not 'obey' Wade's rules. Formally, by Wade's rules, we may consider that each  $\{BX\}$ -unit in  $B_nX_n$  provides two electrons for cage-bonding; but this approach gives an electron count (eight pairs) which is inconsistent with the observed closed dodecahedral cage (Figure 12.11b). Similarly,  $B_4Cl_4$  has a tetrahedral structure (Figure 12.11a)



**Fig. 12.27** The structure (X-ray diffraction) of the 13-vertex carbaborane 1,2- $\mu$ - $\{C_6H_4(CH_2)_2\}$ -3-Ph-1,2- $C_2B_{11}H_{10}$  [A. Burke *et al.* (2003) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, vol. 42, p. 225]; colour code: B, blue; C, grey; H, white. The hencosahedron adopted by the carbaborane, and the docosahedron predicted for *closo*- $[B_{13}H_{13}]^{2-}$  (see text).

although a simple electron count gives only four electron pairs for cluster bonding. The apparent violation of Wade's rules arises because the symmetry of the  $B_n$ -cluster-bonding MOs is appropriate to allow interaction with filled  $p$  atomic orbitals of the terminal halogens; donation of electrons from the terminal halogen atoms to boron can occur. One must therefore be aware that, while Wade's rules are extremely useful in many instances, apparent exceptions do exist and require more in-depth bonding analyses.

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- thermodynamic  $6s$  inert pair effect
- relativistic effect
- mordant
- cyclodimer
- alum
- electron-deficient cluster
- deltahedron
- Wade's rules

## Further reading

- S. Aldridge and A.J. Downs (2001) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 101, p. 3305 – A review of hydrides of main group metals with particular reference to group 13 elements.
- A.J. Downs, ed. (1993) *The Chemistry of Aluminium, Gallium, Indium and Thallium*, Kluwer, Dordrecht – Covers the chemistry and commercial aspects of these elements including applications to materials.
- R.B. King (editor) (1999) *Boron Chemistry at the Millennium*, Special Issue (vol. 289) of *Inorganica Chimica Acta* – Covers a wide range of aspects of the inorganic chemistry of boron.
- D.F. Shriver and M.A. Drezdson (1986) *The Manipulation of Air-sensitive Compounds*, 2nd edn, Wiley-Interscience, New York – Many compounds of the group 13 elements are extremely sensitive to air and moisture; this book gives a detailed account of methods of handling such compounds.
- A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – Includes a full account of the structural chemistry of the elements and compounds in group 13.

## Borane clusters

- N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – Chapter 6 covers boron clusters in some detail.


- N.N. Greenwood (1992) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 21, p. 49 – ‘Taking stock: The astonishing development of boron hydride cluster chemistry’.
- C.E. Housecroft (1994) *Boranes and Metallaboranes: Structure, Bonding and Reactivity*, 2nd edn, Ellis Horwood, Hemel Hempstead – A clear and well-illustrated introduction to borane clusters and their derivatives.
- C.E. Housecroft (1994) *Clusters of the p-Block Elements*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An introductory survey of clusters containing p-block elements including boron.
- W. Preetz and G. Peters (1999) *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, p. 1831 – A review: ‘The hexahydro-closo-hexaborate dianion  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  and its derivatives’.
- K. Wade (1971) *Electron Deficient Compounds*, Nelson, London – A classic account of the boron hydrides and related electron-deficient compounds.

## Other specialized topics

- B. Blaschkowski, H. Jing and H.-J. Meyer (2002) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 41, p. 3322 – ‘Nitridoborates of the lanthanides: Synthesis, structure principles and properties of a new class of compounds’.
- A.J. Downs and C.R. Pulham (1994) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 23, p. 175 – ‘The hydrides of aluminium, gallium, indium and thallium: A re-evaluation’.
- P. Paetzold (1987) *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 31, p. 123 – ‘Iminoboranes’.

## Problems

- 12.1** (a) Write down, in order, the names and symbols of the elements in group 13; check your answer by reference to the first page of this chapter. (b) Classify the elements in terms of metallic and non-metallic behaviour. (c) Give a general notation showing the ground state electronic configuration of each element.
- 12.2** Using the data in Table 12.1, draw a potential diagram for Tl and determine the value of  $E^\circ(\text{Ti}^{3+}/\text{Ti}^+)$ .
- 12.3** Plot a graph to show the variation in values of  $IE_1$ ,  $IE_2$  and  $IE_3$  for the group 13 elements (Table 12.1), and plot a similar graph to show the variation in values of  $IE_1$  and  $IE_2$  for the group 2 metals (Table 11.1). Account for differences in trends of  $IE_2$  for the group 2 and 13 elements.
- 12.4** Write equations for the following processes, involved in the extraction of the elements from their ores:
- the reduction of boron oxide by Mg;
  - the result of the addition of hot aqueous NaOH to a mixture of solid  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ;
  - the reaction of  $\text{CO}_2$  with aqueous  $\text{Na}[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4]$ .
- 12.5** Predict the following NMR spectra: (a) the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$ ; (b) the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$ ; (c) the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of the adduct  $\text{BH}_3\cdot\text{PMe}_3$ ; (d) the  $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{THF}\cdot\text{BH}_3$ . [ $^1\text{H}$ , 100%,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $^{31}\text{P}$ , 100%,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $^{11}\text{B}$ , 80.4%,  $I = \frac{3}{2}$ ; ignore  $^{10}\text{B}$ .]
- 12.6** The thermite process is shown in equation 12.5. Determine  $\Delta_r H^\circ$  for this reaction if  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3, \text{s}, 298 \text{ K})$  and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3, \text{s}, 298 \text{ K}) = -1675.7$  and  $-824.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , and comment on the relevance of this value to that of  $\Delta_{\text{fus}} H(\text{Fe}, \text{s}) = 13.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .
- 12.7** Explain how, during dimerization, each  $\text{BH}_3$  molecule acts as both a Lewis base and a Lewis acid.
- 12.8** Describe the bonding in  $\text{Ga}_2\text{H}_6$  and  $\text{Ga}_2\text{Cl}_6$ , both of which have structures of the type shown in 12.48.



X = H or Cl

(12.48)

- 12.9** The ordering of the relative stabilities of adducts  $\text{L}\cdot\text{BH}_3$  for some common adducts is, according to L:  $\text{Me}_2\text{O} < \text{THF} < \text{Me}_2\text{S} < \text{Me}_3\text{N} < \text{Me}_3\text{P} < \text{H}^-$ . In addition to answering each of the following, indicate how you could use NMR spectroscopy to confirm your proposals.
- What happens when  $\text{Me}_3\text{N}$  is added to a THF solution of  $\text{THF}\cdot\text{BH}_3$ ?
  - Will  $\text{Me}_2\text{O}$  displace  $\text{Me}_3\text{P}$  from  $\text{Me}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{BH}_3$ ?
  - Is  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  stable in THF solution with respect to a displacement reaction?

(d) Suggest what may be formed when  $\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2$  is added to a THF solution of  $\text{THF}\cdot\text{BH}_3$ , the latter remaining in excess.

**12.10** Suggest explanations for the following facts.  
(a)  $\text{Na}[\text{BH}_4]$  is very much less rapidly hydrolysed by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  than is  $\text{Na}[\text{AlH}_4]$ .

(b) The rate of hydrolysis of  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  by water vapour is given by the equation:

$$\text{Rate} \propto (P_{\text{B}_2\text{H}_6})^{\frac{1}{2}}(P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}})$$

(c) A saturated aqueous solution of boric acid is neutral to the indicator bromocresol green (pH range 3.8–5.4), and a solution of  $\text{K}[\text{HF}_2]$  is acidic to this indicator; when, however, excess boric acid is added to a solution of  $\text{K}[\text{HF}_2]$ , the solution becomes alkaline to bromocresol green.

**12.11** Suggest likely products for the following reactions:

- (a)  $\text{BCl}_3 + \text{EtOH} \rightarrow$   
(b)  $\text{BF}_3 + \text{EtOH} \rightarrow$   
(c)  $\text{BCl}_3 + \text{PhNH}_2 \rightarrow$   
(d)  $\text{BF}_3 + \text{KF} \rightarrow$

**12.12** (a) Write down the formula of cryolite. (b) Write down the formula of perovskite. (c) Cryolite is described as possessing a lattice structure closely related to that of perovskite. Suggest how this is possible when the stoichiometries of the two compounds do not appear to be compatible.

**12.13** (a) Suggest structures for  $[\text{MBr}_6]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{MCl}_5]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{MBr}_4]^-$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Ga}$  or  $\text{In}$ ). (b) In the salt  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{InCl}_5]$ , the anion has a square-based pyramidal structure, as does  $[\text{TlCl}_5]^{2-}$  in the salt  $[\text{H}_3\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)_5\text{NH}_3][\text{TlCl}_5]$ . Comment on these observations in the light of your answer to part (a). (c) Suggest methods of preparing  $[\text{H}_3\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)_5\text{NH}_3][\text{TlCl}_5]$  and  $\text{Cs}_3[\text{Tl}_2\text{Cl}_9]$ . (d) Explain how magnetic data enable one to distinguish between the formulations  $\text{GaCl}_2$  and  $\text{Ga}[\text{GaCl}_4]$  for gallium dichloride.

**12.14** Comment on each of the following observations.

- (a)  $\text{AlF}_3$  is almost insoluble in anhydrous  $\text{HF}$ , but dissolves if  $\text{KF}$  is present. Passage of  $\text{BF}_3$  through the resulting solution causes  $\text{AlF}_3$  to reprecipitate.  
(b) The Raman spectra of germanium tetrachloride, a solution of gallium trichloride in concentrated hydrochloric acid, and fused gallium dichloride contain the following lines:

|                            | Absorption / $\text{cm}^{-1}$ |     |     |     |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| $\text{GeCl}_4$            | 134                           | 172 | 396 | 453 |
| $\text{GaCl}_3/\text{HCl}$ | 114                           | 149 | 346 | 386 |
| $\text{GaCl}_2$            | 115                           | 153 | 346 | 380 |

(c) When  $\text{TlI}_3$ , which is isomorphous with the alkali metal triiodides, is treated with aqueous  $\text{NaOH}$ , hydrated  $\text{Tl}_2\text{O}_3$  is quantitatively precipitated.

**12.15** Figure 12.9c shows the solid state structure of the  $[\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_4]^-$  ion, present in  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{MeP}][\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_4]$ . In the

light of these structural data, account for the following observations, recorded for the compound *in solution*.

(a) At 298 K, the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{MeP}][\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_4]$  shows one broad signal in addition to signals assigned to the cation; this pattern of signals is retained at 203 K. (b) In the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum (298 K) of the same compound, a quintet is observed. (c) In the IR spectrum of  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{MeP}][\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_4]$ , absorptions due to bridging  $\text{Al}-\text{H}-\text{B}$  and terminal  $\text{B}-\text{H}$  interactions are both observed.

**12.16** Write a brief account of the bonding and reactivity of borazine which emphasizes the ways in which this compound is similar or dissimilar to benzene.

**12.17** Give appropriate bonding descriptions for the aluminium–nitrogen compounds depicted in Figure 12.21.

**12.18** Use Wade's rules to suggest likely structures for  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$ ,  $[\text{B}_8\text{H}_8]^{2-}$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{12}$  and  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^-$ . Indicate, where appropriate, the possible occurrences of cage-isomers.

**12.19** (a) Two-electron reduction of  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$  followed by protonation is a convenient route to  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_{11}$ . What structural change (and why) do you expect the  $\text{B}_5$  cage to undergo during this reaction? (b) Account for the fact that the solution  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{B}_3\text{H}_8]^-$  (**12.39**) exhibits one signal which is a binomial nonet. (c) The photolysis of  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$  leads to the formation of a mixture of three isomers of  $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{16}$ . The products arise from the intermolecular elimination of  $\text{H}_2$ . Suggest the nature of the product, and the reason that three isomers are formed.

**12.20** Suggest likely products for the following reactions, with the stoichiometries stated:

- (a)  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9 + \text{Br}_2 \xrightarrow{298\text{ K}}$   
(b)  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10} + \text{PF}_3 \rightarrow$   
(c)  $1\text{-BrB}_5\text{H}_8 \xrightarrow{\text{KH}, 195\text{ K}}$   
(d)  $2\text{-MeB}_5\text{H}_8 \xrightarrow{\text{ROH}}$

## Overview problems

**12.21** (a) Write balanced equations for the reactions of aqueous  $\text{Ga}^+$  with  $[\text{I}_3]^-$ ,  $\text{Br}_2$ ,  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$ .

(b) The  $^{205}\text{Tl}$  NMR spectrum of an acidic solution that contains  $\text{Tl}^{3+}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -enriched  $[\text{CN}]^-$  ions in concentrations of 0.05 and 0.31  $\text{mol dm}^{-3}$  respectively shows a binomial quintet ( $\delta$  3010,  $J$  5436 Hz) and quartet ( $\delta$  2848,  $J$  7954 Hz). Suggest what species are present in solution and rationalize your answer. (See [Table 2.3](#) for nuclear spin data.)

**12.22** (a) Comment why, in Figure 12.1, the data are presented on a logarithmic scale. What are relative abundances of Al (Figure 12.1) and Mg (Figure 11.1) in the Earth's crust?


(b) Show that the changes in oxidation states for elements undergoing redox changes in reaction 12.18 balance.

(c) The ion  $[\text{B}_3\text{N}_6]^{9-}$  in  $\text{La}_5(\text{BN}_3)(\text{B}_3\text{N}_6)$  possesses a chair conformation with each B atom being in an


approximately trigonal planar environment (see structure 12.26); B–N bond lengths in the ring are 148 pm, and the exocyclic B–N bond lengths average 143 pm. Draw a set of resonance structures for  $[\text{B}_3\text{N}_6]^{9-}$ , focusing on those structures that you consider will contribute the most to the overall bonding. Comment on the structures you have drawn in the light of the observed structure of the ion in crystalline  $\text{La}_5(\text{BN}_3)(\text{B}_3\text{N}_6)$ .

- 12.23 (a) NMR spectroscopic data for  $[\text{HAl}(\text{BH}_4)_2]_n$  are consistent with the compound existing in two forms in solution. One form is probably a dimer and the other, a higher oligomer. Each species possesses one boron environment, and in the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum, each species exhibits a binomial quintet. The chemical shift of the signal for each species in the  $^{27}\text{Al}$  NMR spectrum suggests an octahedral environment for the Al. Suggest a structure for the dimer  $[\text{HAl}(\text{BH}_4)_2]_2$  which is consistent with these observations and comment on whether the data indicate a static or dynamic molecule.
- (b) The elemental analysis for an adduct **A** is 15.2% B, 75.0% Cl, 4.2% C and 5.6% O. The  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of **A** contains two singlets ( $\delta -20.7$  and  $+68.9$ ) with relative integrals 1 : 3; the signal at  $\delta -20.7$  is characteristic of a B atom in a tetrahedral environment, while that at  $\delta +68.9$  is consistent with trigonal planar boron. In the IR spectrum, there is a characteristic absorption at  $2176\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Suggest an identity for **A** and draw its structure.
- 12.24 (a) What type of semiconductors are formed by doping silicon with boron or gallium? Using simple band theory, explain how the semiconducting properties of Si are altered by doping with B or Ga.
- (b) An active area of research within the field of  $\text{Ga}^{3+}$  and  $\text{In}^{3+}$  coordination chemistry is the search for

complexes suitable for use as radiopharmaceuticals. Suggest how ligands 12.49 and 12.50 are likely to coordinate to  $\text{Ga}^{3+}$  and  $\text{In}^{3+}$  respectively.



(12.49)



(12.50)

- 12.25 (a) At 297 K, the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of a  $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$  solution of  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}][\text{B}_6\text{H}_7]$  shows one doublet ( $\delta -18.0$ ,  $J = 147\text{ Hz}$ ). In the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum, two signals are observed ( $\delta -5.5$ , broad;  $\delta +1.1$ , 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 quartet). At 223 K, the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum exhibits signals at  $\delta -14.1$  and  $-21.7$  (relative integrals 1 : 1). Lowering the temperature has little effect on the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum. Draw the solid state structure of  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_7]^-$  and rationalize the solution NMR spectroscopic data.
- (b) The reaction of Ga metal with  $\text{NH}_4\text{F}$  at 620 K liberates  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{NH}_3$  and yields an ammonium salt **X** in which gallium is in oxidation state +3. The solid state structure of **X** consists of discrete cations lying between sheets composed of vertex-sharing  $\text{GaF}_6^-$  octahedra; sharing of vertices occurs only in one plane. Suggest an identity for **X**. Write a balanced equation for reaction of Ga and  $\text{NH}_4\text{F}$  to give **X**. Explain with the aid of a diagram how the stoichiometry of **X** is maintained in the solid state structure.

# Chapter 13

## The group 14 elements

### TOPICS

- Occurrence, extraction and uses
- Physical properties
- The elements
- Hydrides
- Carbides, silicides, germides, stannides and plumbides
- Halides and complex halides
- Oxides and oxoacids and hydroxides, including silicates
- Silicones
- Sulfides
- Cyanogen, silicon nitride and tin nitride
- Aqueous solution chemistry of germanium, tin and lead

| 1  | 2  |         | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|----|----|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| H  |    |         |    |    |    |    |    | He |
| Li | Be |         | B  | C  | N  | O  | F  | Ne |
| Na | Mg |         | Al | Si | P  | S  | Cl | Ar |
| K  | Ca | d-block | Ga | Ge | As | Se | Br | Kr |
| Rb | Sr |         | In | Sn | Sb | Te | I  | Xe |
| Cs | Ba |         | Tl | Pb | Bi | Po | At | Rn |
| Fr | Ra |         |    |    |    |    |    |    |

### 13.1 Introduction

The elements in group 14 – carbon, silicon, germanium, tin and lead – show a gradation from C, which is non-metallic, to Pb, which, though its oxides are amphoteric, is mainly metallic in nature. The so-called ‘*diagonal line*’ which is often drawn through the *p*-block to separate metallic from non-metallic elements passes between Si and Ge, indicating that Si is non-metallic and Ge is metallic. However, this distinction is not definitive. In the solid state, Si and Ge possess a covalent diamond-type lattice (see [Figure 5.19a](#)), but their electrical resistivities (see [Section 5.8](#)) are significantly lower than that of diamond, indicating metallic behaviour. Silicon and germanium are classed as

*semi-metals*<sup>†</sup> and we have already discussed their semi-conducting properties (see [Section 5.9](#)).

All members of group 14 exhibit an oxidation state of +4, but the +2 oxidation state increases in stability as the group is descended. Carbenes exemplify the C(II) state but exist only as reaction intermediates, silicon dihalides are stable only at high temperatures, the Ge(II) and Sn(II) states are well established, and Pb(II) is more stable than the Pb(IV) state. In this respect, Pb resembles its periodic neighbours, Tl and Bi, with the inertness of the 6*s* electrons being a general feature of the last member of each of groups 13, 14 and 15 (see [Box 12.3](#)).

Carbon is essential to life on Earth, and most of its compounds lie within the remit of organic chemistry. Nonetheless, compounds of C that are formally classified as ‘inorganic’ abound and extend to *organometallic species* (see [Chapters 18](#) and [23](#)).

### 13.2 Occurrence, extraction and uses

#### Occurrence

Figure 13.1 illustrates the relative abundances of the group 14 elements in the Earth’s crust. The two long-established crystalline allotropes of carbon, diamond and graphite, occur naturally, as does amorphous carbon (e.g. in coal). Diamonds occur in igneous rocks (e.g. in the Kimberley

<sup>†</sup> Under IUPAC recommendations, the term ‘semi-metal’ is preferred over ‘metalloid’.

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 13.1 Recycling: tin and lead

Recycling of tin and lead, particularly the latter, takes place on a huge scale. In Box 5.1, we described steel-can recycling operations. The tin used to coat steel cans is recovered using specialized detinning processes. In Europe, about one-third of tinfoil produced is currently recycled, while in the US in 2001, 58% of tin-plated steel cans were recycled.

Lead–acid storage batteries represent a major source of metal that is recovered. In 2001,  $\approx 78\%$  of refined Pb manufactured in the US originated from recycled metal, much of it ( $\approx 1$  Mt) coming from spent batteries from vehicle and industrial sources.

volcanic pipes, South Africa). Carbon dioxide constitutes only 0.04% of the Earth's atmosphere, and, although vital for photosynthesis,  $\text{CO}_2$  is not a major source of carbon. During the 1990s, it was discovered that molecular allotropes of carbon, the *fullerenes* (see Section 13.4), occur naturally in a number of deposits in Australia, New Zealand and North America; however, laboratory synthesis remains the chief means of accessing these new allotropes.


Elemental Si does not occur naturally, but it constitutes 25.7% of the Earth's crust (Si is the second most abundant element after O) in the form of sand, quartz, rock crystal, flint, agate and silicate minerals (see Section 13.9). In contrast, Ge makes up only 1.8 ppm of the Earth's crust, being present in trace amounts in a range of minerals (e.g. zinc ores) and in coal. The principal tin-bearing ore is *cassiterite* ( $\text{SnO}_2$ ). Important ores of lead are *galena* ( $\text{PbS}$ ), *anglesite* ( $\text{PbSO}_4$ ) and *cerussite* ( $\text{PbCO}_3$ ).

## Extraction and manufacture

Sources of natural graphite are supplemented by manufactured material formed by heating powdered coke (high-temperature carbonized coal) with silica at  $\approx 2800$  K. Approximately 30% of diamonds for industrial use in the US are synthetic (see Box 13.5). Diamond films may be grown using a chemical vapour deposition method (see Section 27.6), and hydrothermal processes are currently being investigated.<sup>†</sup> The manufacture of amorphous carbon (carbon black, used in synthetic rubbers) involves burning oil in a limited supply of air.

Silicon (not of high purity) is extracted from silica,  $\text{SiO}_2$ , by heating with C or  $\text{CaC}_2$  in an electric furnace. Impure Ge can be obtained from flue dusts collected during the extraction of zinc from its ores, or by reducing  $\text{GeO}_2$  with  $\text{H}_2$  or C. For use in the electronic and semiconductor industries, ultrapure Si and Ge are required, and both can be obtained by zone-melting techniques (see Box 5.3 and Section 27.6).

Tin is obtained from *cassiterite* ( $\text{SnO}_2$ ) by reduction with C in a furnace (see Section 7.8), but a similar process cannot be applied to extract Pb from its sulfide ore since  $\Delta_r G^\circ(\text{CS}_{2,\text{g}})$  is  $+67 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ; thermodynamically viable processes involve reactions 13.1 or 13.2 at high temperatures. Both Sn and Pb are refined electrolytically. Recycling of Sn and Pb is highlighted in Box 13.1.




or




## Uses

Diamond is the hardest known substance, and apart from its commercial value as a gemstone, it has applications in cutting tools and abrasives (see Box 13.5). The structural differences between diamond and graphite lead to remarkable differences in physical properties (see Section 13.3) and uses. The properties of graphite that are exploited



**Fig. 13.1** Relative abundances of the group 14 elements in the Earth's crust. The data are plotted on a logarithmic scale. The units of abundance are parts per million (ppm).

<sup>†</sup> See for example: X.-Z. Zhao, R. Roy, K.A. Cherian and A. Badzian (1997) *Nature*, vol. 385, p. 513 – 'Hydrothermal growth of diamond in metal–C–H<sub>2</sub>O systems'; R.C. DeVries (1997) *Nature*, vol. 385, p. 485 – 'Diamonds from warm water'.



**Fig. 13.2** Uses of natural graphite in the US in 2001. [Data: US Geological Survey.]

commercially (see Figure 13.2) are its inertness, high thermal stability, electrical and thermal conductivities (which are direction-dependent, see Section 13.4) and ability to act as a lubricant. Its thermal and electrical properties make graphite suitable as a refractory material (see Section 11.6) and for uses in batteries and fuel cells. The growing importance of fuel-cell technology (see Box 9.2) will result in a growth in demand for high-purity graphite. Other new technologies are having an impact on the market for graphite. For example, graphite cloth ('flexible graphite') is a relatively new product and applications are increasing. Charcoal (made by heating wood) and animal

charcoal (produced by charring treated bones) are micro-crystalline forms of graphite, supported, in the case of animal charcoal, on calcium phosphate. The adsorption properties of *activated charcoal* render it commercially important (see Box 13.2). Carbon fibres of great tensile strength (formed by heating oriented organic polymer fibres at  $\geq 1750$  K) contain graphite crystallites oriented parallel to the fibre axis, and are used to strengthen materials such as plastics. Carbon-composites are fibre-reinforced, chemically inert materials which possess high strength, rigidity, thermal stability, high resistance to thermal shock and retain their mechanical properties at high temperature. Such properties have led to their use in external body parts of the space shuttle (see Section 27.7).

Silicon has major applications in the steel industry (see Box 5.1) and in the electronic and semiconductor industries (see Sections 5.8, 5.9 and 27.6, and Box 13.3). Silica,  $\text{SiO}_2$ , is an extremely important commercial material; it is the main component of glass, and large quantities of sand are consumed worldwide by the building industry. Quartz glass (formed on cooling fused  $\text{SiO}_2$ ) can withstand sudden temperature changes and has specialist uses; we discuss different types of glasses in Section 13.9. Silica gel (an amorphous form of silica, produced by treating aqueous

## APPLICATIONS


### Box 13.2 Activated charcoal: utilizing a porous structure

Activated charcoal is a finely divided form of amorphous carbon and is manufactured from organic materials (e.g. peat, wood) by heating in the presence of reagents that promote both oxidation and dehydration. Activated charcoal possesses a pore structure with a large internal surface area: *microporous* materials exhibit pores  $< 2$  nm wide, *macroporous* refers to activated charcoals with a pore size  $> 50$  nm, and *mesoporous* materials fall in between these extremes. The largest internal surface areas are found for microporous materials ( $> 700 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ ). The ability of the hydrophobic surface to adsorb small molecules is the key to the widespread applications of activated charcoal. (Comparisons should be made with the porous structures and applications of zeolites: see Sections 13.9 and 26.6.)

Early large-scale applications of activated charcoal were in gas masks in World War I. Various gas-filters including those in cooker extractors and mobile or bench-top laboratory fume-hoods contain activated charcoal filters. About 20% of the activated charcoal that is produced is consumed in the sugar industry, where it is used as a decolouring agent. Water purification uses large amounts of activated charcoal.

The porous structure means that activated charcoal is an excellent heterogeneous catalyst, especially when impregnated with a *d*-block metal such as palladium. On an industrial scale, it is used, for example, in the manufacture of

phosgene (equation 13.42), and in laboratory syntheses, it has many uses, e.g.:



The porous skeleton of activated carbon can be used as a template on which to construct other porous materials, for example,  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ . The oxide is first dissolved in supercritical  $\text{CO}_2$  (see Section 8.13) and then the activated carbon template is coated in the supercritical fluid. The carbon template is removed by treatment with oxygen plasma or by calcination in air at 870 K, leaving a nanoporous ('nano' refers to the scale of the pore size) metal oxide with a macroporous structure that mimics that of the activated carbon template.

#### Further reading

- A.J. Evans (1999) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 702 – 'Cleaning air with carbon'.
- H. Wakayama, H. Itahara, N. Tatsuda, S. Inagaki and Y. Fukushima (2001) *Chemistry of Materials*, vol. 13, p. 2392 – 'Nanoporous metal oxides synthesized by the nanoscale casting process using supercritical fluids'.

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 13.3 Solar power: thermal and electrical

Harnessing energy from the Sun is, of course, an environmentally acceptable method of producing power. Conversion via heat exchange units (often referred to as solar panels) provides thermal energy to raise the temperature of swimming pools or to provide domestic hot water. Conversion via photovoltaic systems (often termed solar cells) produces electricity and involves the use of semiconductors. Initially, NASA's space programme was the driving force behind the development of solar cells, and applications in satellites and other space vessels remain at the cutting edge of design technology. However, we all now feel the benefits of solar cells which are used in items such as solar-powered calculators. Silicon has been the workhorse of this commercial operation. The thickness of a typical cell is 200–350 μm, and is constructed of an n-doped layer (which faces the sun), a p-doped layer and a metal-contact grid on the top and bottom surfaces. The latter are connected by a conducting wire. At the n–p junction, electrons move from the p-type to the n-type silicon, and 'holes' (see *Section 5.9*) move in the opposite direction; this leads to a flow of electricity around the circuit. Power output per cell is small, and a large number of cells must operate together to produce a viable voltage supply. Weather conditions and

the number of daylight hours are key factors that have to be accommodated if adequate solar power is to be generated for domestic or similar uses.

Other semiconductors in use in solar cells include GaAs (e.g. in space satellites), CdTe (a promising newcomer to solar cell development) and TiO<sub>2</sub> (used in the Grätzel cell which involves a novel design in which a TiO<sub>2</sub> film is coated with an organic dye).

## Further reading



- M.A. Green (2001) *Advanced Materials*, vol. 13, p. 1019 – 'Crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells'.
- M. Hammonds (1998) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 219 – 'Getting power from the sun'.
- K. Kalyanasundaram and M. Grätzel (1999) in *Optoelectronic Properties of Inorganic Compounds*, ed. D.M. Roundhill and J.P. Fackler, Plenum Press, New York, p. 169 – 'Efficient photovoltaic solar cells based on dye sensitization of nanocrystalline oxide films'.
- J. Wolfe (1998) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 224 – 'Capitalising on the sun'.

sodium silicate with acid) is used as a drying agent, a stationary phase in chromatography, and a heterogeneous catalyst. **Caution!** Inhalation of silica dusts may lead to the lung disease *silicosis*. Hydrated silica forms the exoskeletons of marine diatoms, but the role of Si in other biological systems is less well defined.<sup>†</sup> The applications of silicates and aluminosilicates are discussed in *Section 13.9*.

The commercial demand for Ge is small, and the most important applications are those in fibre infrared optics and arise from the optical properties of GeO<sub>2</sub>. About half of the Ge used in optical devices is recycled. Applications of Ge as a semiconductor are gradually becoming fewer as new and more efficient semiconducting materials are developed. About 28 000 kg of Ge was used in the US in 2001. Compared with this, the demand for tin and lead is far greater (41 200 t of Sn and 1.6 Mt of Pb in 2001 in the US). Tin-plating of steel cans improves corrosion resistance and is a major use of Sn. The metal is, however, soft and tin alloys such as pewter, soldering metal, bronze and die-casting alloy have greater commercial value than pure Sn. High-quality window glass is usually manufactured by the Pilkington process which involves floating molten glass on molten tin to produce a flat surface. Tin dioxide is an


opacifier used in enamels and paints (also see *Section 27.4*), and its applications in gas sensors are the topic of *Box 13.11*. The use of tin-based chemicals as flame retardants (see *Box 16.1*) is increasing in importance.

Lead is a soft metal and has been widely used in the plumbing industry; this use has diminished as awareness of the toxicity of the metal has grown (see *Box 13.4*). Similarly, uses of Pb in paints have been reduced, and 'environmentally friendly' lead-free fuels are replacing leaded counterparts (Figure 13.3). Lead oxides are of great commercial importance, e.g. in the manufacture of 'lead crystal' glass. *Red lead*, Pb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, is used as a pigment and a corrosion-resistant coating for steel and iron. By far the greatest demand for lead is in lead–acid batteries. The cell reaction is a combination of half-reactions 13.3 and 13.4; a normal automobile 12 V battery contains six cells connected in series.



Lead–acid storage batteries are used not only in the automobile industry but also as power sources for industrial forklifts, mining vehicles and airport ground services, and for independent electrical power sources in, for example, hospitals.

<sup>†</sup> For a thought-provoking account, see: J.D. Birchall (1995) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 24, p. 351 – 'The essentiality of silicon in biology'.



**Fig. 13.3** The declining use of leaded fuels in motor vehicles is illustrated by these statistics from the US. [Data: US Geological Survey.]

### 13.3 Physical properties

Table 13.1 lists selected physical properties of the group 14 elements. A comparison with Table 12.1 shows there to be some similarities in *trends* down groups 13 and 14.

#### Ionization energies and cation formation

On descending group 14, the trends in ionization energies reveal two particular points:

- the relatively large increases between values of  $IE_2$  and  $IE_3$  for each element;

- the discontinuities (i.e. *increases*) in the trends of values of  $IE_3$  and  $IE_4$  at Ge and Pb.

The sums of the first four ionization energies for any element suggest that it is unlikely that  $M^{4+}$  ions are formed. For example, although both  $\text{SnF}_4$  and  $\text{PbF}_4$  are non-volatile solids, neither has a symmetrical lattice structure in the solid state. Both  $\text{SnO}_2$  and  $\text{PbO}_2$  adopt the rutile lattice, but the fact that  $\text{PbO}_2$  is brown argues against a formulation of  $\text{Pb}^{4+}(\text{O}^{2-})_2$ . Agreement between values of lattice energies determined using a Born–Haber cycle and calculated from an electrostatic model is good for  $\text{SnO}_2$ , but is poor for  $\text{PbO}_2$ . Thus, values of the  $M^{4+}$  ionic radii (Table 13.1) should be treated with some caution.

**Table 13.1** Some physical properties of the group 14 elements, M, and their ions.

| Property   | C   | Si   | Ge   | Sn  | Pb  |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Atomic number, $Z$   | 6   | 14   | 32   | 50  | 82  |
| Ground state electronic configuration  | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^2$                    | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^2$                     | $[\text{Ar}]3d^{10} 4s^2 4p^2$             | $[\text{Kr}]4d^{10} 5s^2 5p^2$  | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14} 5d^{10} 6s^2 6p^2$              |
| Enthalpy of atomization, $\Delta_a H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$            | 717                                       | 456  | 375  | 302   | 195   |
| Melting point, mp / K  | $>3823^\ddagger$                          | 1687                                       | 1211                                       | 505   | 600   |
| Boiling point, bp / K  | 5100                                      | 2628                                       | 3106                                       | 2533  | 2022  |
| Standard enthalpy of fusion, $\Delta_{\text{fus}} H^\circ(\text{mp}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 104.6                                     | 50.2                                       | 36.9                                       | 7.0   | 4.8   |
| First ionization energy, $IE_1 / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                       | 1086                                      | 786.5                                      | 762.2                                      | 708.6   | 715.6   |
| Second ionization energy, $IE_2 / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                      | 2353                                      | 1577                                       | 1537                                       | 1412  | 1450  |
| Third ionization energy, $IE_3 / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                       | 4620                                      | 3232                                       | 3302                                       | 2943  | 3081  |
| Fourth ionization energy, $IE_4 / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                      | 6223                                      | 4356                                       | 4411                                       | 3930  | 4083  |
| Metallic radius, $r_{\text{metal}} / \text{pm}$  | –   | –  | –  | 158   | 175   |
| Covalent radius, $r_{\text{cov}} / \text{pm}^*$  | 77  | 118  | 122  | 140   | 154   |
| Ionic radius, $r_{\text{ion}} / \text{pm}^{**}$  | –   | –  | 53 ( $\text{Ge}^{4+}$ )                    | 74 ( $\text{Sn}^{4+}$ )<br>93 ( $\text{Sn}^{2+}$ )  | 78 ( $\text{Pb}^{4+}$ )<br>119 ( $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ ) |
| Standard reduction potential, $E^\circ(\text{M}^{2+}/\text{M}) / \text{V}$                 | –   | –  | –  | –0.14   | –0.13   |
| Standard reduction potential, $E^\circ(\text{M}^{4+}/\text{M}^{2+}) / \text{V}$            | –   | –  | –  | +0.15   | +1.69***  |
| NMR active nuclei (% abundance, nuclear spin)  | $^{13}\text{C}$ (1.1, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) | $^{29}\text{Si}$ (4.7, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) | $^{73}\text{Ge}$ (7.8, $I = \frac{3}{2}$ ) | $^{117}\text{Sn}$ (7.6, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ );<br>$^{119}\text{Sn}$ (8.6, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) | $^{207}\text{Pb}$ (22.6, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ )        |

<sup>‡</sup> For diamond.

\* Values for C, Si, Ge and Sn refer to diamond-type structures and thus refer to 4-coordination; the value for Pb also applies to a 4-coordinate centre.

\*\* Values are for 6-coordination.

\*\*\* This value is for the half-reaction:  $\text{PbO}_2(\text{s}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + [\text{SO}_4]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{PbSO}_4(\text{s}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ .

**Table 13.2** Some experimental covalent bond enthalpy terms ( $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ ); the values for single bonds refer to the group 14 elements in tetrahedral environments.

|       |     |     |      |      |       |      |      |
|-------|-----|-----|------|------|-------|------|------|
| C–C   | C=C | C≡C | C–H  | C–F  | C–Cl  | C–O  | C=O  |
| 346   | 598 | 813 | 416  | 485  | 327   | 359  | 806  |
| Si–Si |     |     | Si–H | Si–F | Si–Cl | Si–O | Si=O |
| 226   |     |     | 326  | 582  | 391   | 466  | 642  |
| Ge–Ge |     |     | Ge–H | Ge–F | Ge–Cl | Ge–O |      |
| 186   |     |     | 289  | 465  | 342   | 350  |      |
| Sn–Sn |     |     | Sn–H |      | Sn–Cl |      |      |
| 151   |     |     | 251  |      | 320   |      |      |
|       |     |     |      |      | Pb–Cl |      |      |
|       |     |     |      |      | 244   |      |      |


Aqueous solution chemistry involving cations of the group 14 elements is restricted mainly to Sn and Pb (see [Section 13.13](#)), and so Table 13.1 gives  $E^\circ$  values only for these metals.

### Some energetic and bonding considerations

Table 13.2 lists some experimentally determined values for covalent bond enthalpy terms. When we try to interpret the chemistry of the group 14 elements on the basis of such bond energies, caution is necessary for two reasons:

- many thermodynamically favourable reactions are kinetically controlled;
- in order to use bond enthalpy terms successfully, *complete* reactions must be considered.

The first point is illustrated by considering that although the combustions of  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{SiH}_4$  are both thermodynamically favourable,  $\text{SiH}_4$  is spontaneously inflammable in air, whereas  $\text{CH}_4$  explodes in air only when a spark provides the energy to overcome the activation barrier. In respect of the second point, consider reaction 13.5.



Inspection of Table 13.2 shows that  $E(\text{C–H}) > E(\text{C–Cl})$ , but the fact that the  $\text{H–Cl}$  bond ( $431 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) is significantly stronger than the  $\text{Cl–Cl}$  bond ( $242 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) results in reaction 13.5 being energetically favourable.

*Catenation* is the tendency for covalent bond formation between atoms of a given element, e.g. C–C bonds in hydrocarbons or S–S bonds in polysulfides.

The particular strength of the C–C bond contributes towards the fact that catenation in carbon compounds is

common. However, it must be stressed that *kinetic* as well as thermodynamic factors may be involved, and any detailed discussion of kinetic factors is subject to complications:

- Even when C–C bond breaking is the rate-determining step, it is the bond dissociation *energy* (zero point energy: see [Section 2.9](#)) rather than the enthalpy term that is important.
- Reactions are often bimolecular processes in which bond-making and bond-breaking occur simultaneously, and in such cases, the rate of reaction may bear no relationship to the difference between bond enthalpy terms of the reactants and products.

In contrast to the later elements in group 14, C tends not to expand its valence octet of electrons, and, while complexes such as  $[\text{SiF}_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Sn}(\text{OH})_6]^{2-}$  are known, carbon analogues are not. The fact that  $\text{CCl}_4$  is kinetically inert towards hydrolysis but  $\text{SiCl}_4$  is readily hydrolysed by water has traditionally been ascribed to the availability of  $3d$  orbitals on Si, which can stabilize an associative transition state. This view has been challenged with the suggestion that the phenomenon is steric in origin associated purely with the lower accessibility of the C centre arising from the shorter C–Cl bonds with respect to the Si–Cl bonds.

The possible role of ( $p-d$ ) $\pi$ -bonding for Si and the later elements in group 14 has been a controversial issue (see [Section 4.7](#)) and we return to this in [Section 13.6](#). On the other hand, ( $p-p$ ) $\pi$ -bonding leading to double to triple homo-nuclear bonds, which is so common in carbon chemistry, is relatively unimportant later in the group. A similar situation is observed in groups 15 and 16. The mesityl derivative **13.1** was the first compound containing an Si=Si bond to be characterized; in the Raman spectrum, an absorption at  $529 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is assigned to the  $\nu(\text{Si}=\text{Si})$  mode, and in the solid state structure, the Si–Si bond distance of 216 pm is less than twice the value of  $r_{\text{cov}}$  ( $2 \times 118 \text{ pm}$ ). Such species are stabilized with respect to polymerization by the presence of bulky substituents such as mesityl (in **13.1**),  $\text{CMe}_3$  or  $\text{CH}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ . The central  $\text{Si}_2\text{C}_4$ -unit in **13.1** is planar, allowing overlap of orthogonal  $3p$  orbitals for  $\pi$ -bond formation; the bulky mesityl substituents adopt a ‘paddle-wheel’ conformation minimizing steric interactions.<sup>†</sup> In contrast, theoretical studies on  $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_4$  (mass spectrometric evidence for which has been obtained), indicate that the non-planar structure is energetically favoured. The same *trans*-bent conformation has been observed experimentally for  $\text{Sn}_2\text{R}_4$  compounds (see [Figure 18.15](#) and accompanying text). Silicon–silicon triple bonds remain unknown. Theoretical studies on the hypothetical  $\text{HSi}\equiv\text{SiH}$  suggest that a non-linear structure is energetically preferred over an ethyne-like structure. Experimental efforts to realize the Si≡Si bond continue (see [end-of-chapter reading](#)).

<sup>†</sup> In a second structurally characterized polymorph, the orientations of the mesityl groups differ, see: R. Okazaki and R. West (1996) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 39, p. 231.

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 13.4 Toxicity of lead


Lead salts are extremely toxic. The ingestion of a soluble lead salt can cause acute poisoning, and long-term exposure to a source of the metal (e.g. old water pipes, Pb-based paints) may result in chronic poisoning. Organolead(IV) compounds such as  $\text{Et}_4\text{Pb}$ , used as an anti-knock additive to leaded motor fuels, attack the nervous system. In a relevant piece of research, analysis of wines produced between 1962 and 1991 from grapes grown in roadside vineyards has shown some correlation between a decrease in Pb content and the introduction of unleaded fuels. Sequestering agents such as  $[\text{EDTA}]^{4-}$  (see [equation 6.75](#) and accompanying text) are used to complex  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$  ions in the body, and their removal follows by natural excretion.

Joints between metals, including those in electronic components, have traditionally used SnPb solders. However, in the European Union, new environmental legislation aims to phase out this use of lead by 2006 or 2007; a move to lead-free solders is also being made in Japan and the US. Eutectic SnPb solder exhibits many desirable properties

(e.g. low melting, easily worked and inexpensive) and it is a challenge for research and development initiatives to find alloys for lead-free solders that replicate these properties. Solders based on Sn with Ag, Bi, Cu and Zn as alloying metals are the most promising candidates, and of these SnAgCu (3–4% by weight of Ag and 0.5–0.9% by weight of Cu) solders are the front runners for use in the electronics industry.


## Further reading

- R.A. Goyer (1988) in *Handbook on Toxicity of Inorganic Compounds*, eds H.G. Seiler, H. Sigel and A. Sigel, Marcel Dekker, New York, p. 359 – ‘Lead’.  
 R. Lobinski *et al.* (1994) *Nature*, vol. 370, p. 24 – ‘Organolead in wine’.  
 K. Suganuma (2001) *Current Opinion in Solid State and Materials Science*, vol. 5, p. 55 – ‘Advances in lead-free electronics soldering’.



Mesityl = Mes = 1,3,5-trimethylphenyl  
(13.1)

The formation of (*p-p*) $\pi$ -bonds between C and Si is also rare; an example is shown in [equation 13.6](#). In 1999, the first examples of a  $\text{C}\equiv\text{Si}$  bond were confirmed in the gas-phase molecules  $\text{HC}\equiv\text{SiF}$  and  $\text{HC}\equiv\text{SiCl}$ . These species were detected using neutralization–reionization mass spectrometry, but have not been isolated.



The first  $\text{Ge}=\text{C}$  double bond was reported in 1987, since when a number of examples have been reported, including  $\text{Mes}_2\text{Ge}=\text{CHCH}_2{}^t\text{Bu}$  which is stable at 298 K. The formation of  $\text{Ge}=\text{Ge}$  bonds is described in [Section 18.5](#).

## NMR active nuclei

Table 13.1 lists NMR active nuclei for the group 14 elements. Although the isotopic abundance of  $^{13}\text{C}$  is only 1.1%, use of  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy is very important. The low abundance means that, unless a sample is isotopically enriched, satellite peaks in, for example, a  ${}^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum, will not be observed and application of  $^{13}\text{C}$  as an NMR active nucleus lies in its *direct observation*. The appearance of satellite peaks due to coupling of an observed nucleus such as  ${}^1\text{H}$  to  $^{29}\text{Si}$  or  $^{119}\text{Sn}$  is diagnostic (see case study 5 in [Section 2.11](#)). Direct observation of  $^{29}\text{Si}$  nuclei is a routine means of characterizing Si-containing compounds. Tin-119 NMR spectroscopy ( $^{119}\text{Sn}$  being generally favoured over  $^{117}\text{Sn}$  for direct observation) is also valuable; the chemical shift range is large and, as with many heteronuclei,  $\delta$  values may provide an indication of coordination environments.

## Mössbauer spectroscopy

The  $^{119}\text{Sn}$  nucleus is suitable for Mössbauer spectroscopy (see [Section 2.12](#)) and isomer shift values can be used to distinguish between Sn(II) and Sn(IV) environments. The spectroscopic data may also provide information about the coordination number of the Sn centre.

**Worked example 13.1 NMR spectroscopy**

The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{SnMe}_4$  consists of a singlet with two superimposed doublets. The coupling constants for the doublets are 52 and 54 Hz, and the overall five-line signal exhibits an approximately 4:4:84:4:4 pattern. Use data from Table 13.1 to interpret the spectrum.

In  $\text{Me}_4\text{Sn}$ , all twelve protons are equivalent and one signal is expected. Sn has two NMR active nuclei:  $^{117}\text{Sn}$  (7.6%,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) and  $^{119}\text{Sn}$  (8.6%,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ). The  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei couple to the  $^{117}\text{Sn}$  nucleus to give a doublet, and to the  $^{119}\text{Sn}$  nucleus to give another doublet. The relative intensities of the lines in the signal reflect the abundances of the spin-active nuclei:

- 83.8% of the  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei are in molecules containing isotopes of Sn that are not spin-active, and these protons give rise to a singlet;
- 7.6% of the  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei are in molecules containing  $^{117}\text{Sn}$  and these protons give rise to a doublet;
- 8.6% of the  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei are in molecules containing  $^{119}\text{Sn}$  and these protons give rise to a doublet.

The coupling constants for the doublets are 52 and 54 Hz. From the data given, it is not possible to assign these to coupling to a particular isotope. (In fact,  $J(^{117}\text{Sn}-^1\text{H}) = 52$  Hz, and  $J(^{119}\text{Sn}-^1\text{H}) = 54$  Hz.)

**Self-study exercises**

Data: see Table 13.1;  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{19}\text{F}$ , 100%,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ .

1. The  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{Me}_3\text{SnCl}$  contains five lines in a non-binomial pattern; the separation between the outer lines is 372 Hz. Interpret these data.

[Ans. As in the worked example;  $J(^{119}\text{Sn}-^{13}\text{C}) = 372$  Hz]

2. Apart from the chemical shift value, how do you expect well-resolved  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of  $\text{Me}_4\text{Sn}$  and  $\text{Me}_4\text{Si}$  to differ?

[Ans. Take into account the % abundances of spin-active nuclei]

3. Explain why the  $^{29}\text{Si}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{SiH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{F}$  consists of a quartet ( $J$  203 Hz) of doublets ( $J$  25 Hz) of triplets ( $J$  2.5 Hz).

[Ans.  $^{29}\text{Si}$  couples to directly bonded  $^1\text{H}$ , two-bond coupling to  $^{19}\text{F}$ , and two-bond coupling to  $^1\text{H}$ ]

**13.4 Allotropes of carbon****Graphite and diamond: structure and properties**

We have already described the rigid structure of diamond (Figure 5.19a). Diamond is not the thermodynamically

most stable form of the element but is *metastable*. At room temperature, the conversion of diamond into graphite is thermodynamically favoured (equation 13.7), making graphite the standard state of C at 298 K. However, reaction 13.7 is infinitely slow.



$$\Delta_r G^\circ(298 \text{ K}) = -2.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (13.7)$$

A state is *metastable* if it exists without observable change even though it is thermodynamically unstable with respect to another state.

Diamond has a higher density than graphite ( $\rho_{\text{graphite}} = 2.25$ ;  $\rho_{\text{diamond}} = 3.51 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ), and this allows artificial diamonds to be made from graphite at high pressures. There are two structural modifications of graphite. The ‘normal’ form is  $\alpha$ -graphite and can be converted to the  $\beta$ -form by grinding; a  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$ -transition occurs above 1298 K. Both forms possess layered structures and Figure 13.4a shows ‘normal’ graphite. (Compare the structure of graphite with that of boron nitride in Figure 12.18.) The *intralayer* C–C bond distances are equal (142 pm) while the *interlayer* distances are 335 pm; a comparison of these distances with the values for C of  $r_{\text{cov}} = 77$  pm and  $r_v = 185$  pm indicates that while covalent bonding is present within each layer, only weak van der Waals interactions operate between adjacent layers. Graphite cleaves readily and is used as a lubricant; these facts follow directly from the weak interlayer interactions. The electrical conductivity (see Section 5.8) of  $\alpha$ -graphite is direction-dependent; in a direction parallel to the layers, the electrical resistivity is  $1.3 \times 10^{-5} \Omega \text{ m}$  (at 293 K) but is  $\approx 1 \Omega \text{ m}$  in a direction perpendicular to the layers. Each C atom has four valence electrons and forms three  $\sigma$ -bonds, leaving one electron to participate in delocalized  $\pi$ -bonding. The molecular  $\pi$ -orbitals extend over each layer, and while the bonding MOs are fully occupied, the energy gap between them and the vacant antibonding MOs is very small, allowing the electrical conductivity in the direction *parallel* to the layers to approach that of a metal. In contrast, the electrical resistivity of diamond is  $1 \times 10^{11} \Omega \text{ m}$ , making diamond an excellent insulator.

Graphite is more reactive than diamond; it is oxidized by atmospheric  $\text{O}_2$  above 970 K whereas diamond burns at  $>1170$  K. Graphite reacts with hot, concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  to give the aromatic compound  $\text{C}_6(\text{CO}_2\text{H})_6$ . We consider some specific types of reactions below.

**Graphite: intercalation compounds**

Graphite possesses the remarkable property of forming many *intercalation* (*lamellar* or *graphitic*) compounds, the formation of which involves movement apart of the carbon layers and the penetration of atoms or ions between them. There are two general types of compound:


## APPLICATIONS


## Box 13.5 Diamonds: gemstones and more

The commercial value of diamonds as gemstones is well recognized, and the world production of gem-quality diamonds in 2001 is shown in chart (a) below. The chart also shows the production of diamonds (non-gemstone quality) used for industrial purposes. Because diamond is the hardest known substance, it has widespread applications as an abrasive and in cutting-tools and drill-bits. These applications extend from drill-bits for mining to diamond saws for cutting crystals into wafer-thin slices for the electronics industry. Diamond exhibits electrical, optical and thermal properties (it has the highest thermal conductivity of any material at 298 K) that make it suitable for use in corrosion and wear-resistant coatings, in heat sinks in electrical circuits, and in certain types of lenses. An application in the laboratory is in diamond anvil cells in which diamonds on the tips of pistons are compressed together, achieving pressures up to 200 GPa. Such pressures are comparable with those in the centre of the Earth. A stainless-steel gasket placed between the diamonds provides a sample chamber. Diamonds are transparent to IR, visible, near-UV and X-ray radiation, and therefore diamond anvil cells can be used in conjunction with spectroscopic and

X-ray diffraction equipment to study high-pressure phases of minerals.

Industrial demand for diamond is met in part by synthetic diamonds, the 2001 world production of which is shown in chart (b). Under conditions of pressures greater than  $12.5 \times 10^3$  MPa and a temperature of  $\approx 3000$  K, graphite transforms into diamond. Synthetic diamonds are produced by dissolving graphite in a melted metal (e.g. Fe) and crystallizing the mixture under appropriate high  $P$  and  $T$  conditions. After being cooled, the metal is dissolved into acid, leaving synthetic diamonds of sizes ranging between  $\approx 0.05$  and  $0.5$  mm. Major uses of these industrial diamonds include grinding, honing (e.g. smoothing cylinder bores), saw-blades and polishing powders. The relative importance of synthetic diamond production (which has risen dramatically since 1950) compared with mining of the natural material is clearly seen by comparing the scales of the two charts below. The US leads the world in the manufacture of synthetic diamonds, while the main reserves of gemstone diamonds are in Africa, Australia, Canada and Russia; exploitation of the Canadian reserves is being expanded and the first underground diamond mine should begin production in 2005.






[Data: US Geological Survey using a conversion factor of 5 carats = 1 g]

- colourless, non-conductors of electricity in which the carbon layers become buckled owing to saturation of the C atoms and loss of the  $\pi$ -system;
- coloured, electrical conductors in which the planarity and  $\pi$ -delocalization of the layers are retained.

Polymeric carbon monofluoride,  $\text{CF}_n$  ( $n \leq 1$ ), is a widely studied example of the first type of compound. It is formed when  $\text{F}_2$  reacts with graphite at 720 K (or at lower temperatures in the presence of HF), although at 970 K, the product is monomeric  $\text{CF}_4$ . The fluorine content in materials formulated as  $\text{CF}_n$  is variable and their colour varies, being white when  $n \approx 1.0$ . Carbon monofluoride possesses a layer structure, and is used as a lubricant, being more resistant to atmospheric oxidation at high temperatures than graphite. Part of one layer is shown in Figure 13.4b; in the idealized compound CF, each C atom is tetrahedral; each C–C bond distance within a layer is 154 pm, and between layers is 820 pm, i.e. more than double that in  $\alpha$ -graphite.


The second class of intercalation compound includes the blue graphite salts formed with strong acids in the presence of oxidizing agents, and the metallic-looking red or blue compounds formed when graphite reacts with group 1 metals. For example, when graphite is treated with an excess of K (and unreacted metal is washed out with Hg), a paramagnetic copper-coloured material formulated as  $\text{K}^+[\text{C}_8]^-$  results. The penetration of  $\text{K}^+$  ions between the layers causes structural changes in the graphite framework: the initially staggered layers (Figure 13.4a) become eclipsed, and the interlayer spacing increases from 335 to 540 pm. The  $\text{K}^+$  ions lie above (or below) the centres of alternate  $\text{C}_6$ -rings, as

indicated in structure 13.2, forming layers of centred-hexagonal motifs.




(13.2)

The electrical conductivity of  $\text{KC}_8$  is greater than that of  $\alpha$ -graphite, consistent with the addition of electrons to the delocalized  $\pi$ -system. Heating  $\text{KC}_8$  leads to the formation of a series of decomposition products as the metal is eliminated (equation 13.8). The structures of these materials are related, there being one, two, three, four or five carbon layers respectively between layers of  $\text{K}^+$  ions.



Such alkali metal intercalates are extremely reactive, igniting in air and exploding on contact with water. Potassium can be replaced by a *d*-block metal by reaction of  $\text{KC}_8$  with metal chloride, but the choice of solvent for the reactions is critical, as is the nature of the *d*-block metal salt (e.g.  $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  for sources of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ). Examples



**Fig. 13.4** (a) Part of the infinite layered-lattice of  $\alpha$ -graphite ('normal' graphite); the layers are co-parallel, and atoms in *alternate* layers lie over each other. This is emphasized by the yellow lines in the diagram. (b) Part of one layer of the structure of  $\text{CF}_n$  for  $n = 1$ .

include  $\text{MnC}_{16}$ ,  $\text{FeC}_{24}$  and  $\text{CuC}_{16}$  which contain Mn(II), Fe(III) and Cu(II) respectively.

In the metal-containing intercalation compounds, the carbon layers are reduced and become negatively charged. In contrast, in intercalation compounds formed with strong acids in the presence of oxidizing agents, the carbon layers lose electrons and become positively charged, e.g. graphite hydrogensulfate,  $[\text{C}_{24}]^+[\text{HSO}_4]^- \cdot 24\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , which is produced when graphite is treated with concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and a little  $\text{HNO}_3$  or  $\text{CrO}_3$ . A related product forms when the acid is  $\text{HClO}_4$ ; in this intercalate, the planar layers of carbon atoms are 794 pm apart and are separated by  $[\text{ClO}_4]^-$  ions and acid molecules. Cathodic reduction of this material, or treatment with graphite, gives a series of compounds corresponding to the sequential elimination of  $\text{HClO}_4$ . These materials are better electrical conductors than graphite, and this can be explained in terms of a positive-hole mechanism (see [Section 5.9](#)).

Other intercalation compounds include those formed with  $\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{Br}_2$ ,  $\text{ICl}$  and halides such as  $\text{KrF}_2$ ,  $\text{UF}_6$  and  $\text{FeCl}_3$ .


Reaction of graphite with  $[\text{O}_2]^+[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  results in the formation of the salt  $[\text{C}_8]^+[\text{AsF}_6]^-$ . The catalytic properties of some graphite intercalation compounds render them of practical importance; e.g.  $\text{KC}_8$  is a hydrogenation catalyst.

## Fullerenes: synthesis and structure

In 1985, Kroto, Smalley and coworkers discovered that, by subjecting graphite to laser radiation at  $>10\,000\text{ K}$ , new allotropes of carbon were formed. The *fullerenes* are named after architect Buckminster Fuller, known for designing geodesic domes. Each fullerene is *molecular* and the family includes  $\text{C}_{60}$ ,  $\text{C}_{70}$ ,  $\text{C}_{76}$ ,  $\text{C}_{78}$ ,  $\text{C}_{80}$  and  $\text{C}_{84}$ . Several synthetic routes to fullerenes have been developed;  $\text{C}_{60}$  and  $\text{C}_{70}$  are the major components of the mixture formed when graphitic soot is produced as graphite rods are evaporated (by applying an electrical arc between them) in a helium atmosphere at  $\approx 130\text{ bar}$  and the vapour condensed. Extraction of the soot into benzene yields a red solution from which  $\text{C}_{60}$  and  $\text{C}_{70}$  can be separated by chromatography. Hexane or benzene solutions of  $\text{C}_{60}$  are magenta, while those of  $\text{C}_{70}$  are red. Both  $\text{C}_{60}$  and  $\text{C}_{70}$  are now available commercially, and this has encouraged rapid exploration of their chemical properties.

Figure 13.5a shows the structure of  $\text{C}_{60}$ . Although a number of X-ray diffraction studies of  $\text{C}_{60}$  have been carried out, the near-spherical shape of the molecule has led to frustrating orientational disorder (see [Section 18.3](#)) problems. The  $\text{C}_{60}$  molecule belongs to the  $I_h$  point group and consists of an approximately spherical network of atoms which are connected in 5- and 6-membered rings; all the C atoms are equivalent, as indicated by the fact that the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{C}_{60}$  exhibits one signal ( $\delta +143$ ). The rings are arranged such that no 5-membered rings are adjacent to each other. Thus,  $\text{C}_{60}$  (the smallest fullerene that can be isolated as a stable species) satisfies the *Isolated Pentagon Rule* (IPR).<sup>†</sup> The separation of the 5-membered rings by 6-membered rings is easily seen in the schematic representation of  $\text{C}_{60}$  shown in Figure 13.5b which also gives a bonding scheme. Each C atom is covalently bonded to three others in an approximately trigonal planar arrangement; the relatively large surface of the 'sphere' means that there is only slight deviation from planarity at each C centre. There are two types of C–C bond: those at the junctions of two hexagonal rings (6,6-edges) are of length 139 pm, while those between a hexagonal and a pentagonal ring (5,6-edges) are longer, 145.5 pm. These differences indicate the presence of localized double and single bonds, and similar bonding descriptions are appropriate for other fullerene cages. We consider chemical evidence for the presence of C=C double bonds below. After  $\text{C}_{60}$ , the next smallest fullerene to satisfy the IPR is  $\text{C}_{70}$ . The  $\text{C}_{70}$  molecule has  $D_{5h}$  symmetry and is

<sup>†</sup> For the origins of the IPR, see: H.W. Kroto (1985) *Nature*, vol. 318, p. 354.



**Fig. 13.5** (a) The structure of the fullerene  $C_{60}$ ; the approximately spherical molecule is composed of fused 5- and 6-membered rings of carbon atoms. [X-ray diffraction at 173 K of the benzene solvate  $C_{60}\cdot 4C_6H_6$ , M.F. Meidine *et al.* (1992) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 1534.] (b) A representation of  $C_{60}$ , in the same orientation as is shown in (a), but showing only the upper surface and illustrating the localized single and double carbon-carbon bonds.


approximately ellipsoidal (Figure 13.6); it comprises 6- and 5-membered rings organized so that, as in  $C_{60}$ , 5-membered rings are never adjacent. The  $^{13}C$  NMR spectrum of  $C_{70}$  confirms that there are five C environments in solution, consistent with the solid state structure (Figure 13.6a).

### Fullerenes: reactivity


Since efficient syntheses have been available, fullerenes (in particular  $C_{60}$ ) have been the focus of an explosion of

research. We provide a brief introduction to the chemical properties of  $C_{60}$ ; organometallic derivatives are covered in [Section 23.10](#), and the reading list at the end of the chapter gives more in-depth coverage.

The structural representation in Figure 13.5b suggests connected benzene rings, but the chemistry of  $C_{60}$  is *not* reminiscent of benzene. Although  $C_{60}$  exhibits a small degree of aromatic character, its reactions tend to reflect the presence of *localized* double and single C–C bonds, e.g.  $C_{60}$  undergoes *addition* reactions. Birch reduction gives a mixture of polyhydrofullerenes (equation 13.9) with  $C_{60}H_{32}$




**Fig. 13.6** The structure of  $C_{70}$  determined from an X-ray diffraction study of  $C_{70}\cdot 6S_8$  [H.B. Bürgi *et al.* (1993) *Helv. Chim. Acta*, vol. 76, p. 2155]: (a) a ball-and-stick representation showing the five carbon atom types, and (b) a space-filling diagram illustrating the ellipsoidal shape of the molecule.



**Fig. 13.7** Halogenation reactions of  $C_{60}$ . Although the number of possible isomers for products  $C_{60}X_n$  where  $2 \leq n \leq 58$  is, at the very least, large, some of the reactions (such as fluorination using NaF and  $F_2$ ) are surprisingly selective.


being the dominant product; reoxidation occurs with the quinone shown. Reaction 13.10 shows a selective route to  $C_{60}H_{36}$ ; the hydrogen-transfer agent is 9,10-dihydroanthracene (DHA). In addition to being a selective method of hydrogenation, use of 9,9',10,10'-[D<sub>4</sub>]dihydroanthracene provides a method of selective deuteration.




Additions of  $F_2$ ,  $Cl_2$  and  $Br_2$  also occur, the degree and selectivity of halogenation depending on conditions (Figure 13.7). Because F atoms are small, addition of  $F_2$  to adjacent C atoms in  $C_{60}$  is possible, e.g. to form 1,2- $C_{60}F_2$ . However, in the addition of  $Cl_2$  or  $Br_2$ , the halogen atoms prefer to

add to remote C atoms. Thus, in  $C_{60}Br_8$  and in  $C_{60}Br_{24}$  (Figure 13.8a), the Br atoms are in 1,3- or 1,4-positions with respect to each other. Just as going from benzene to cyclohexane causes a change from a planar to boat- or chair-shaped ring, addition of substituents to  $C_{60}$  causes deformation of the near-spherical surface. This is illustrated in Figure 13.8 with the structures of  $C_{60}Br_{24}$  and  $C_{60}F_{18}$ . The  $C_{60}$ -cage in  $C_{60}Br_{24}$  includes both boat and chair  $C_6$ -rings. Addition of a Br to a C atom causes a change from  $sp^2$  to  $sp^3$  hybridization. The arrangement of the Br atoms over the surface of the  $C_{60}$  cage is such that they are relatively far apart from each other. In contrast, in  $C_{60}F_{18}$  (Figure 13.8b), the F atoms are in 1,2-positions with respect to each other and the  $C_{60}$ -cage suffers severe 'flattening' on the side associated with fluorine addition. At the centre of the flattened part of the cage lies a planar,  $C_6$ -ring (shown at the centre of the lower part of Figure 13.8b). This ring has equal C–C bond lengths (137 pm) and has aromatic character. It is surrounded by  $sp^3$  hybridized C atoms, each of which bears an F atom.


The ene-like nature of  $C_{60}$  is reflected in a range of reactions such as the additions of an O atom to give an epoxide ( $C_{60}O$ ) and of  $O_3$  at 257 K to yield an intermediate ozonide ( $C_{60}O_3$ ). In hydrocarbon solvents, addition occurs at the junction of two 6-membered rings (a 6,6-bond), i.e. at a C=C bond, as shown in scheme 13.11. Loss of  $O_2$  from  $C_{60}O_3$  gives  $C_{60}O$  but the structure of this product depends on the reaction conditions. At 296 K, the product is an epoxide with the O bonded across a 6,6-bond. In contrast, photolysis opens the cage and the O atom bridges a 5,6-edge (scheme 13.11).




**Fig. 13.8** The structure of  $C_{60}Br_{24}$  determined by X-ray diffraction at 143 K [F.N. Tebbe *et al.* (1992) *Science*, vol. 256, p. 822]. The introduction of substituents results in deformation of the  $C_{60}$  surface; compare the structure of  $C_{60}Br_{24}$  with that of  $C_{60}$  in Figure 13.5a which shows the  $C_{60}$  cage in a similar orientation. (b) The structure (X-ray diffraction at 100 K) of  $C_{60}F_{18}$  [I.S. Neretin *et al.* (2000) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, vol. 39, p. 3273]. Note that the F atoms are all associated with the ‘flattened’ part of the fullerene cage. Colour code: C, grey; Br, gold; F, green.



Other reactions typical of double-bond character include the formation of cycloaddition products (exemplified schematically in equation 13.12), and some have been developed to prepare a range of rather exotic derivatives.





Reactions of  $C_{60}$  with free radicals readily occur, e.g. photolysis of RSSR produces  $RS^{\bullet}$  which reacts with  $C_{60}$  to give  $C_{60}SR^{\bullet}$ , although this is unstable with respect to regeneration of  $C_{60}$ . The stabilities of radical species  $C_{60}Y^{\bullet}$  are




highly dependent on the steric demands of Y. When the reaction of  ${}^t\text{Bu}^\cdot$  (produced by photolysis of a *tert*-butyl halide) with  $\text{C}_{60}$  is monitored by ESR spectroscopy (which detects the presence of unpaired electrons), the intensity of the signal due to the radical  $\text{C}_{60}{}^{\cdot t}\text{Bu}$  increases over the temperature range 300–400 K. These data are consistent with equilibrium 13.13, with reversible formation and cleavage of an inter-cage C–C bond.


The formation of methanofullerenes,  $\text{C}_{60}\text{CR}_2$ , occurs by reaction at either 5,6- or 6,6-edges in  $\text{C}_{60}$ . For the 6,6-addition products, the product of the reaction of  $\text{C}_{60}$  with diphenylazomethane is  $\text{C}_{61}\text{Ph}_2$  (equation 13.14) and, initially, structural data suggested that the reaction was an example of ‘cage expansion’ with the addition of the  $\text{CPh}_2$  unit being concomitant with the cleavage of the C–C bond marked *a* in equation 13.14. This conclusion was at odds with NMR spectroscopic data and theoretical calculations, and a low-temperature X-ray diffraction study of compound **13.3** has confirmed that 6,6-edge-bridged methanofullerenes should be described in terms of the  $\text{C}_{60}$  cage sharing a common C–C bond with a cyclopropane ring.




Theoretical studies on  $\text{C}_{60}$  show that the LUMO is triply degenerate and the HOMO–LUMO (see [Section 1.17](#)) separation is relatively small. It follows that reduction of  $\text{C}_{60}$  should be readily achieved. A number of charge transfer complexes have been prepared in which a suitable donor molecule transfers an electron to  $\text{C}_{60}$  as in equation 13.15. This particular product is of importance because, on cooling to 16 K, it becomes *ferromagnetic* (see [Figure 20.25](#)).



The electrochemical reduction of  $\text{C}_{60}$  results in the formation of a series of *fulleride* ions,  $[\text{C}_{60}]^{n-}$  where  $n = 1-6$ . The mid-point potentials (obtained using cyclic voltammetry and measured with respect to the ferrocenium/ferrocene couple,  $\text{Fc}^+/\text{Fc} = 0 \text{ V}$ , ferrocene; see [Section 23.13](#)) for the reversible one-electron steps at 213 K are given in scheme 13.16.



By titrating  $\text{C}_{60}$  in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  against an  $\text{Rb}/\text{NH}_3$  solution (see [Section 8.6](#)) at 213 K, five successive reduction steps are observed and the  $[\text{C}_{60}]^{n-}$  anions have been studied by vibrational and electronic spectroscopies. At low temperatures, some alkali metal fulleride salts of type  $[\text{M}^+]_3[\text{C}_{60}]^{3-}$  become *superconducting* (see [Section 27.4](#)). The structures of the  $\text{M}_3\text{C}_{60}$  fullerides can be described in terms of  $\text{M}^+$  ions occupying the interstitial holes in a lattice composed of close-packed, near-spherical  $\text{C}_{60}$  cages. In  $\text{K}_3\text{C}_{60}$  and  $\text{Rb}_3\text{C}_{60}$ , the  $[\text{C}_{60}]^{3-}$  cages are arranged in a ccp lattice, and the cations fully occupy the octahedral and tetrahedral holes (Figure 13.9). The temperature at which a material becomes superconducting is its *critical temperature*,  $T_c$ . Values of  $T_c$  for  $\text{K}_3\text{C}_{60}$  and  $\text{Rb}_3\text{C}_{60}$  are 18 K and 28 K respectively, and for  $\text{Cs}_3\text{C}_{60}$  (in which the  $\text{C}_{60}$  cages adopt a bcc lattice),  $T_c = 40 \text{ K}$ . Although  $\text{Na}_3\text{C}_{60}$  is structurally




**Fig. 13.9** A representation of the structures of  $\text{K}_3\text{C}_{60}$  and  $\text{Rb}_3\text{C}_{60}$  in which the  $[\text{C}_{60}]^{3-}$  cages are arranged in an fcc lattice with the  $\text{M}^+$  ions occupying all the octahedral (grey) and tetrahedral (red) holes. Some of the cations in the unit cell shown are hidden by  $[\text{C}_{60}]^{3-}$  anions.

related to  $K_3C_{60}$  and  $Rb_3C_{60}$ , it is not superconducting. The paramagnetic  $[C_{60}]^{2-}$  anion has been isolated as the  $[K(\text{crypt-222})]^+$  salt (reaction 13.17 and Section 10.8).



In the solid state, the  $[C_{60}]^{2-}$  cages are arranged in layers with hexagonal packing, although the cages are well separated;  $[K(\text{crypt-222})]^+$  cations reside between the layers of fulleride anions.

The coupling of  $C_{60}$  molecules through [2 + 2] cycloaddition to give  $C_{120}$  (13.4) can be achieved by a solid state reaction that involves high-speed vibration milling of  $C_{60}$  in the presence of catalytic amounts of KCN. When heated at 450 K for a short period, the  $C_{120}$  molecule dissociates into  $C_{60}$ .



(13.4)

*Endohedral metallofullerenes* are a remarkable series of compounds in which metal atoms are encapsulated within a fullerene cage; the general family is denoted as  $M_x@C_n$ . Examples of these compounds include  $Sc_2@C_{84}$ ,  $Y@C_{82}$ ,  $La_2@C_{80}$  and  $Er@C_{60}$ . In general, the larger fullerenes produce more stable compounds than  $C_{60}$ . The compounds are prepared by vaporizing graphite rods impregnated with an appropriate metal oxide or metal carbide. By use of  $^{13}C$  and  $^{139}La$  NMR spectroscopies, it has been shown that the two lanthanum atoms in  $La_2@C_{80}$  undergo circular motion within the fullerene cage.

## Carbon nanotubes

Carbon *nanotubes* were discovered in 1991 and consist of elongated cages, best thought of as rolled graphite-like sheets, i.e. in contrast to the fullerenes, nanotubes consist of networks of fused 6-membered rings. Nanotubes are very flexible and have great potential in materials science. As a result, research in this area is a 'hot topic' but is beyond the scope of this book; the end-of-chapter reading list provides an entry into the area.

## 13.5 Structural and chemical properties of silicon, germanium, tin and lead


### Structures

The solid state structures of Si, Ge, Sn and Pb and the trends from semiconductor to metal on descending the group have already been discussed:


- diamond-type lattice of Si, Ge and  $\alpha$ -Sn (Section 5.11 and Figure 5.19);
- polymorphism of Sn (Section 5.4);
- structure of Pb (Section 5.3);
- semiconducting properties (Section 5.9).

### Chemical properties

Silicon is much more reactive than carbon. At high temperatures, Si combines with  $O_2$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $Cl_2$ ,  $Br_2$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $S_8$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $P_4$ , C and B to give binary compounds. Silicon liberates  $H_2$  from aqueous alkali (equation 13.18), but is insoluble in acids other than a mixture of concentrated  $HNO_3$  and HF.



On descending group 14, the electropositivity and reactivity of the elements increase. In general, Ge behaves in a similar manner to Si, but, being more electropositive, reacts with concentrated  $HNO_3$  (forming  $GeO_2$ ), and does not react with aqueous alkali. Reactions between Ge and HCl or  $H_2S$  yield  $GeCl_4$  or  $GeS_2$  respectively. Although high temperatures are needed for reactions between Sn and  $O_2$  (to give  $SnO_2$ ) or sulfur (giving  $SnS_2$ ), the metal reacts readily with halogens to yield  $SnX_4$ . Tin is little affected by dilute HCl or  $H_2SO_4$ , but reacts with dilute  $HNO_3$  (to give  $Sn(NO_3)_2$  and  $NH_4NO_3$ ) and with concentrated acids yielding  $SnCl_2$  (from HCl) and  $SnSO_4$  and  $SO_2$  (from  $H_2SO_4$ ). Hot aqueous alkali oxidizes the metal to Sn(IV) according to equation 13.19.




A *pyrophoric* material is spontaneously inflammable.

When finely divided, Pb is pyrophoric, but bulk pieces are passivated by coatings of, for example,  $PbO$ , and reaction with  $O_2$  in air occurs only above  $\approx 900$  K. Lead reacts very slowly with dilute mineral acids, slowly evolves  $H_2$  from hot concentrated HCl, and reacts with concentrated  $HNO_3$  to give  $Pb(NO_3)_2$  and oxides of nitrogen. For reactions of Pb with halogens, see Section 13.8.


### Worked example 13.2 Reactivity of the group 14 elements with halogens

Write an equation for the reaction that takes place when Si is heated in  $F_2$ . The product of the reaction is a gas for which  $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K}) = -1615\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Use this value and appropriate data from the Appendices in the book to calculate a value for the Si-F bond enthalpy. Compare the value obtained with that in Table 13.2.

F<sub>2</sub> oxidizes Si to Si(IV) and the reaction is:



To find the bond enthalpy term, start by writing an equation for the dissociation of gaseous SiF<sub>4</sub> into gaseous atoms, and then set up an appropriate thermochemical cycle that incorporates  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{SiF}_4, \text{g})$ .



$\Delta H^\circ$  corresponds to the enthalpy change (gas-phase reaction) when the four Si–F bonds are broken. By Hess's Law:

$$\Delta H^\circ + \Delta_f H^\circ(\text{SiF}_4, \text{g}) = \Delta_a H^\circ(\text{Si}, \text{g}) + 4\Delta_a H^\circ(\text{F}, \text{g})$$

The atomization enthalpies are listed in [Appendix 10](#).

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H^\circ &= \Delta_a H^\circ(\text{Si}, \text{g}) + 4\Delta_a H^\circ(\text{F}, \text{g}) - \Delta_f H^\circ(\text{SiF}_4, \text{g}) \\ &= 456 + (4 \times 79) - (-1615) \\ &= 2387 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Si–F bond enthalpy} = \frac{2387}{4} = 597 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

This compares with a value of 582 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> listed in [Table 13.2](#).

### Self-study exercises

- Germanium reacts with F<sub>2</sub> to give gaseous GeF<sub>4</sub>. Use data from [Table 13.2](#) and [Appendix 10](#) to estimate a value of  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{GeF}_4, \text{g})$ . [*Ans.* –1169 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>]
- Suggest reasons why PbCl<sub>2</sub> rather than PbCl<sub>4</sub> is formed when Pb reacts with Cl<sub>2</sub>. [*Ans.* See [Box 12.3](#)]

## 13.6 Hydrides

Although the extensive chemistry of hydrocarbons (i.e. carbon hydrides) lies outside this book, we note several points for comparisons with later group 14 hydrides:

- Table 13.2 illustrated the relative strength of a C–H bond compared with C–Cl and C–O bonds, and this trend is *not* mirrored by later elements;
- CH<sub>4</sub> is chlorinated with some difficulty, whereas SiH<sub>4</sub> reacts violently with Cl<sub>2</sub>;
- CH<sub>4</sub> is stable with respect to hydrolysis, but SiH<sub>4</sub> is readily attacked by water;
- SiH<sub>4</sub> is spontaneously inflammable in air and, although it is the *kinetic* stability of CH<sub>4</sub> with respect to reaction with O<sub>2</sub> at 298 K that is crucial, values of  $\Delta_c H^\circ$  show that combustion of SiH<sub>4</sub> is more exothermic than that of CH<sub>4</sub>;

- catenation is more common for C than the later group 14 elements, and hydrocarbon families are much more diverse than their Si, Ge, Sn and Pb analogues.

### Worked example 13.3 Bond enthalpies and group 14 hydrides

**Suggest why catenation is more common for C than for Si, Ge and Sn. Why is this relevant to the formation of families of saturated hydrocarbon molecules?**

The much higher C–C bond enthalpies (see [Table 13.2](#)) compared with those of Si–Si, Ge–Ge and Sn–Sn bonds means that the formation of compounds containing bonds between carbon atoms is thermodynamically more favourable than analogous compounds containing Si–Si, Ge–Ge and Sn–Sn bonds. On descending group 14, orbital overlap becomes less efficient as the valence orbitals become more diffuse, i.e. as the principal quantum number increases.

The backbones of saturated hydrocarbons are composed of C–C bonds, i.e. their formation depends on catenation being favourable. An additional factor that favours the formation of hydrocarbons is the strength of the C–H bonds (stronger than Si–H, Ge–H or Sn–H (see [Table 13.2](#)). On descending group 14, the hydrides become thermodynamically less stable, and the kinetic barriers to reactions such as hydrolysis of E–H bonds become lower.

### Self-study exercises

- Using bond enthalpies from [Table 13.2](#), calculate values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  for the reactions:



Additional data: see [Appendix 10](#); the bond dissociation enthalpy of HCl is 432 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. Comment on the results.

[*Ans.* –1020; –404 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>]

- Use the fact that CH<sub>4</sub> is kinetically stable, but thermodynamically unstable, with respect to oxidation by O<sub>2</sub> at 298 K to sketch an approximate energy profile for the reaction:



Comment on the relative energy changes that you show in the diagram.

[*Ans.* Plot *E* versus reaction coordinate, showing relative energy levels of reactants and products;  $\Delta_r H$  is negative;  $E_a$  is relatively large]


### Binary hydrides

Silane, SiH<sub>4</sub>, is formed when SiCl<sub>4</sub> or SiF<sub>4</sub> reacts with Li[AlH<sub>4</sub>] and is a source of pure Si (equation 13.20) for semiconductors (see [Section 5.9](#), [Box 5.2](#) and [Section 27.6](#)).

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 13.6 Methane hydrates

A gas hydrate is an example of a *clathrate*, a crystalline solid comprising a *host* (a three-dimensional assembly of H<sub>2</sub>O molecules which form cage-like arrays) and *guest* molecules (small molecules such as CH<sub>4</sub> which occupy the cavities in the host lattice). Gas hydrates occur naturally in the Arctic and in deep-sea continental margins, and their importance lies in their ability to trap gases within crystalline masses, thereby acting rather like natural gas 'storage tanks'. It is possible that such deposits could be tapped for fuel sources, but on the other hand, any uncontrolled release of the huge amounts of CH<sub>4</sub> that is presently trapped inside these clathrates could add to the 'greenhouse' effect (see *Box 13.8*). The total amount of naturally occurring organic compound-based carbon on Earth is estimated to be about  $19\,000 \times 10^{15}$  t. In addition to this, carbon occurs widely in inorganic minerals such as carbonates. The chart opposite shows the relative importance of methane hydrates as a potential source of carbon from organic-based carbon materials.



[Data: US Geological Survey]


## Further reading

- S.-Y. Lee and G.D. Holder (2001) *Fuel Processing Technology*, vol. 71, p. 181 – 'Methane hydrates potential as a future energy source'.  
 M. Max and W. Dillon (2000) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 16 – 'Natural gas hydrate: A frozen asset?'

Silanes Si<sub>n</sub>H<sub>2n+2</sub> with straight or branched chains are known for  $1 \leq n \leq 10$ , and Figure 13.10 compares the boiling points of the first five straight-chain silanes with their hydrocarbon analogues. Silanes are explosively inflammable in air (equation 13.21).




A mixture of SiH<sub>4</sub>, Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, Si<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> and Si<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> along with traces of higher silanes is obtained when Mg<sub>2</sub>Si reacts with aqueous acid, but the non-specificity of this synthesis renders it of little practical value. By irradiating SiH<sub>4</sub> with a CO<sub>2</sub> laser, SiH<sub>4</sub> can be converted selectively into Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. Silane is a colourless gas which is insoluble in water, reacts rapidly with alkalis (equation 13.22) and forms compounds of the




**Fig. 13.10** Boiling points of the straight-chain silanes, Si<sub>n</sub>H<sub>2n+2</sub>, and hydrocarbons C<sub>n</sub>H<sub>2n+2</sub>.

type M[SiH<sub>3</sub>] with Na, K (equation 13.23), Rb and Cs. The crystalline salt K[SiH<sub>3</sub>] possesses an NaCl structure and is a valuable synthetic reagent, e.g. equation 13.24.




Germanes Ge<sub>n</sub>H<sub>2n+2</sub> (straight and branched chain isomers) are known for  $1 \leq n \leq 9$ . GeH<sub>4</sub> is less reactive than SiH<sub>4</sub>; it is a colourless gas (bp 184 K, dec 488 K), insoluble in water, and prepared by treating GeO<sub>2</sub> with Na[BH<sub>4</sub>] although higher germanes are also formed. Discharges of various frequencies are finding increased use for this type of synthesis and have been used to convert GeH<sub>4</sub> into higher germanes, or mixtures of SiH<sub>4</sub> and GeH<sub>4</sub> into Ge<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, GeSiH<sub>6</sub> and Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. Mixed hydrides of Si and Ge, e.g. GeSiH<sub>6</sub> and GeSi<sub>2</sub>H<sub>8</sub>, are also formed when an intimate mixture of Mg<sub>2</sub>Ge and Mg<sub>2</sub>Si is treated with acid. Reactions between GeH<sub>4</sub> and alkali metals, M, in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> produce M[GeH<sub>3</sub>], and, like [SiH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, the [GeH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> ion is synthetically useful. The reaction of SnCl<sub>4</sub> with Li[AlH<sub>4</sub>] gives SnH<sub>4</sub> (bp 221 K) but this decomposes at 298 K into Sn and H<sub>2</sub>; note the variation in reactivities: SiH<sub>4</sub> > GeH<sub>4</sub> < SnH<sub>4</sub>. Plumbane, PbH<sub>4</sub>, is poorly characterized and may not actually have been isolated. Significantly, however, replacement of H atoms by alkyl or aryl substituents is accompanied by increased stability (see *Section 18.5*).




**Fig. 13.11** Representative reactions of  $\text{SiH}_3\text{Cl}$ . The structures of  $\text{N}(\text{SiH}_3)_3$  (determined by X-ray diffraction at 115 K) and  $(\text{H}_3\text{Si})_2\text{O}$  (determined by electron diffraction).

## Halohydrides of silicon and germanium

Of compounds of the type  $\text{SiH}_n\text{X}_{4-n}$  ( $\text{X}$  = halogen,  $n = 1-3$ ),  $\text{SiHCl}_3$  is of particular importance in the purification of Si in the semiconductor industry (equation 13.25). The success of the second step in scheme 13.25 depends on the precursor being volatile.  $\text{SiHCl}_3$  (mp 145 K, bp 306 K) is ideally suited to the process, as is  $\text{SiH}_4$  (mp 88 K, bp 161 K).



Another application of  $\text{SiHCl}_3$  is *hydrosilation* (equation 13.26), a method of introducing an  $\text{SiCl}_3$  group and an entry to organosilicon chemistry.




The halo-derivatives  $\text{SiH}_2\text{X}_2$  and  $\text{SiH}_3\text{X}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ) can be prepared from  $\text{SiH}_4$  (equation 13.27) and some reactions of  $\text{SiH}_3\text{Cl}$  (bp 243 K) are shown in Figure 13.11. The ease with which  $\text{SiH}_n\text{X}_{4-n}$  compounds hydrolyse releasing  $\text{HX}$  means that they must be handled in moisture-free conditions. The preparation and reactivity of  $\text{GeH}_3\text{Cl}$  resemble those of  $\text{SiH}_3\text{Cl}$ .

The structures of trisilylamine,  $\text{N}(\text{SiH}_3)_3$ , and disilyl ether,  $(\text{H}_3\text{Si})_2\text{O}$ , are shown in Figure 13.11. The  $\text{NSi}_3$  skeleton in  $\text{N}(\text{SiH}_3)_3$  is planar and the  $\text{N}-\text{Si}$  bond distance of 173 pm is shorter than the sum of the covalent radii,  $\Sigma r_{\text{cov}}$  (see

Appendix 6); similarly, in  $(\text{H}_3\text{Si})_2\text{O}$ , the  $\text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{Si}$  bond angle of  $144^\circ$  is large (compare  $111^\circ$  in  $\text{Me}_2\text{O}$ ) and the  $\text{Si}-\text{O}$  bonds of 163 pm are shorter than  $\Sigma r_{\text{cov}}$ . Trisilylamine is isostructural with  $\text{N}(\text{SiH}_3)_3$ , but  $\text{P}(\text{SiH}_3)_3$  is pyramidal with  $\text{P}-\text{Si}$  bonds of length 225 pm. In  $(\text{H}_3\text{Si})_2\text{S}$ , the  $\text{Si}-\text{S}-\text{Si}$  bond angle is  $97^\circ$  and the  $\text{Si}-\text{S}$  bond distances (214 pm) are consistent with a bond order of 1. For many years, these data have been taken as an indication that N and O take part in ( $p-d$ ) $\pi$ -bonding with Si (diagram 13.5), there being no corresponding interactions in  $\text{Si}-\text{P}$  or  $\text{Si}-\text{S}$  bonds. However, recent arguments centre around the planarity of  $\text{N}(\text{SiH}_3)_3$  (and related strengthening of  $\text{Si}-\text{N}$  and  $\text{Si}-\text{O}$  bonds) being due to  $n(\text{N}) \rightarrow \sigma^*(\text{Si}-\text{H})$  electron donation, where  $n(\text{N})$  represents the non-bonding (lone pair) electrons of the N atom. This is so-called *negative hyperconjugation*,<sup>†</sup> and is analogous to the donation of electrons from a  $d$ -block metal centre to a  $\sigma^*$ -orbital of a  $\text{PR}_3$  ligand that we describe in Section 20.4. A stereo-electronic effect also contributes to  $\text{N}(\text{SiH}_3)_3$  being planar. The polarity of the  $\text{N}-\text{Si}$  bonds ( $\chi^{\text{P}}(\text{Si}) = 1.9$ ,  $\chi^{\text{P}}(\text{N}) = 3.0$ ) is such that there are significant long-range electrostatic repulsions between the  $\text{SiH}_3$  groups. These are minimized if the  $\text{NSi}_3$ -skeleton in  $\text{N}(\text{SiH}_3)_3$  adopts a trigonal planar, rather than pyramidal, geometry. The possibility of ( $p-d$ ) $\pi$ -bonding in  $\text{N}(\text{SiH}_3)_3$  should not be confused with the ( $p-p$ ) $\pi$ -bonding which occurs in, for example,  $\text{Si}=\text{N}$  bonds (with a formal bond order of 2) in compounds such as  ${}^t\text{Bu}_2\text{Si}=\text{NSi}^t\text{Bu}_3$ , 13.6. Notice that in 13.6 the nitrogen atom is in a *linear* environment and can be considered to have a stereochemically inactive lone pair, possibly involved in  $\pi$ -interactions.


<sup>†</sup> Negative hyperconjugation: see Y. Mo, Y. Zhang and J. Gao (1999) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 121, p. 5737 and references cited in this paper.



(*p-d*)  $\pi$  overlap

Each Si contributes a vacant  $3d$  orbital; only one is shown for clarity

(13.5)



(13.6)

## 13.7 Carbides, silicides, germides, stannides and plumbides



### Carbides

Classifying carbides is not simple, but some useful categories are:



- saline (salt-like) carbides which produce mainly  $\text{CH}_4$  when hydrolysed;
- those containing the  $[\text{C}\equiv\text{C}]^{2-}$  ion;
- those containing the  $[\text{C}=\text{C}=\text{C}]^{4-}$  ion;
- interstitial carbides;
- solid state carbides with other lattice structures;
- fulleride salts (see [Section 13.4](#));
- endohedral metallofullerenes (see [Section 13.4](#)).

Examples of saline carbides are  $\text{Be}_2\text{C}$  (see [Section 11.4](#) and [equation 11.14](#)) and  $\text{Al}_4\text{C}_3$ , both made by heating the constituent elements at high temperatures. Although their solid state structures contain isolated C centres which are converted to  $\text{CH}_4$  on reaction with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , it is unlikely that the ' $\text{C}^{4-}$ ' ion is present since the interelectronic repulsion energy would be enormous.

Carbides containing the  $[\text{C}\equiv\text{C}]^{2-}$  (acetylide) ion include  $\text{Na}_2\text{C}_2$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{C}_2$ ,  $\text{MC}_2$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Mg}, \text{Ca}, \text{Sr}, \text{Ba}$ ),  $\text{Ag}_2\text{C}_2$  and  $\text{Cu}_2\text{C}_2$ ; they evolve  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$  when treated with water (see [equation 11.15](#)). Calcium carbide is manufactured (see [Box 11.3](#)) as a grey solid by heating  $\text{CaO}$  with coke at  $\approx 2300\text{ K}$ , and when pure, it is colourless. It adopts a distorted  $\text{NaCl}$  lattice, the axis along which the  $[\text{C}\equiv\text{C}]^{2-}$  are aligned being lengthened; the C–C bond distance is 119 pm, compared with 120 pm in  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ . The reaction between  $\text{CaC}_2$  and  $\text{N}_2$  (equation 13.28) is used commercially for the production of calcium cyanamide, a nitrogenous fertilizer (equation 13.29). The cyanamide ion, **13.7**, is isoelectronic with  $\text{CO}_2$ .



Equations 13.30 and 13.31 show syntheses of  $\text{Na}_2\text{C}_2$ ,  $\text{Ag}_2\text{C}_2$  and  $\text{Cu}_2\text{C}_2$ ; the group 11 carbides are heat- and shock-sensitive, and explosive when dry.




Carbides of formula  $\text{MC}_2$  do not necessarily contain the acetylide ion. The room temperature form of  $\text{ThC}_2$  (Th is an actinoid metal, see [Chapter 24](#)) adopts an  $\text{NaCl}$  lattice but is not isostructural with  $\text{CaC}_2$ . In  $\text{ThC}_2$ , the  $\text{C}_2$ -units ( $d_{\text{CC}} = 133\text{ pm}$ ) in alternating layers lie in different orientations. The solid state structure of  $\text{LaC}_2$  contains  $\text{C}_2$ -units with  $d_{\text{CC}} = 129\text{ pm}$ . Unlike  $\text{CaC}_2$  which is an insulator,  $\text{ThC}_2$  and  $\text{LaC}_2$  have metallic appearances and are electrical conductors. The C–C bond lengths can be rationalized in terms of structures approximating to  $\text{Th}^{4+}[\text{C}_2]^{4-}$  and  $\text{La}^{3+}[\text{C}_2]^{3-}$ ; compared with  $[\text{C}_2]^{2-}$ , the extra electrons in  $[\text{C}_2]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{C}_2]^{3-}$  reside in antibonding MOs, thus weakening the C–C interaction. However, the conducting properties and diamagnetism of  $\text{ThC}_2$  and  $\text{LaC}_2$  show that this is an oversimplified description since electron delocalization into a conduction band (see [Section 5.8](#)) must occur. Hydrolysis of these carbides is also atypical of a  $[\text{C}_2]^{2-}$ -containing species, e.g. the reaction of  $\text{ThC}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  yields mainly  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$  and  $\text{H}_2$ .

Carbides containing  $[\text{C}=\text{C}=\text{C}]^{4-}$  are rare; they include  $\text{Mg}_2\text{C}_3$  (see end of [Section 11.4](#)) which liberates propyne upon hydrolysis.

The structures of the so-called *interstitial carbides* (formed by heating C with *d*-block metals having  $r_{\text{metal}} > 130\text{ pm}$ , e.g. Ti, Zr, V, Mo, W) may be described in terms of a close-packed metal lattice with C atoms occupying octahedral holes (see [Figure 5.5](#)). In carbides of type  $\text{M}_2\text{C}$  (e.g.  $\text{V}_2\text{C}$ ,  $\text{Nb}_2\text{C}$ ) the metal atoms are in an hcp lattice and half of the octahedral sites are occupied; in the  $\text{MC}$  type (e.g.  $\text{TiC}$  and  $\text{WC}$ ), the metal atoms adopt a ccp structure and all the octahedral holes are occupied. These interstitial carbides are important refractory materials; characteristically they are very hard and infusible, have melting points  $> 2800\text{ K}$  and, in contrast to the acetylide derivatives, do not react with water. Tungsten carbide,  $\text{WC}$ , is one of the hardest substances known and is widely used in cutting tools and dies. Although  $\text{TiC}$ ,  $\text{WC}$ ,  $\text{V}_2\text{C}$ ,  $\text{Nb}_2\text{C}$  and related compounds are commonly described as *interstitial* compounds, this does not imply weak bonding. To convert solid carbon into isolated carbon atoms is a very endothermic process and this must be compensated by the formation of strong W–C bonds. Similar considerations apply to interstitial nitrides (see [Section 14.6](#)).

Transition metals with  $r_{\text{metal}} < 130\text{ pm}$  (e.g. Cr, Fe, Co, Ni) form carbides with a range of stoichiometries (e.g.  $\text{Cr}_3\text{C}_2$ ,  $\text{Fe}_3\text{C}$ ) which possess complicated structures involving C–C bonding. In  $\text{Cr}_3\text{C}_2$  (formed by reaction 13.32), the Cr atoms

form a lattice of edge-sharing trigonal prisms each occupied by a C atom such that carbon chains run through the structure with C–C distances comparable to single bonds.




Carbides of this type are hydrolysed by water or dilute acid to give mixtures of hydrocarbons and H<sub>2</sub>.

## Silicides

The structures of the metal silicides (prepared by direct combination of the elements at high temperatures) are diverse, and a full discussion of the structures is beyond the scope of this book.<sup>†</sup> Some examples of their solid state structural types are:

- isolated Si atoms (e.g. Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, Ca<sub>2</sub>Si);
- Si<sub>2</sub>-units (e.g. U<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>);
- Si<sub>4</sub>-units (e.g. NaSi, KSi, CsSi)
- Si<sub>*n*</sub>-chains (e.g. CaSi);
- planar or puckered hexagonal networks of Si atoms (e.g. β-USi<sub>2</sub>, CaSi<sub>2</sub>);
- three-dimensional network of Si atoms (e.g. SrSi<sub>2</sub>, α-USi<sub>2</sub>).

The Si<sub>4</sub>-units present in the alkali metal silicides are noteworthy. The [Si<sub>4</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> anion is isoelectronic with P<sub>4</sub> and the solid state structures of several group 1 metal silicides contain tetrahedral Si<sub>4</sub>-units, but these are not isolated anions. The structure of Cs<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>4</sub> comes close to featuring discrete, tetrahedral [Si<sub>4</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> ions, but significant cation–anion interactions exist. The silicide K<sub>3</sub>LiSi<sub>4</sub> possesses tetrahedral Si<sub>4</sub>-units linked by Li<sup>+</sup> ions to give infinite chains, and in K<sub>7</sub>LiSi<sub>8</sub>, pairs of Si<sub>4</sub>-units are connected as shown in structure 13.8 with additional interactions involving K<sup>+</sup> ions.



Silicides are hard materials, but their melting points are generally lower than those of the metal carbides. Treatment of Mg<sub>2</sub>Si with dilute acids gives mixtures of silanes (see Section 13.6). The properties of some silicides make them useful as refractory materials (e.g. Fe<sub>3</sub>Si and CrSi<sub>2</sub>); Fe<sub>3</sub>Si is used in magnetic tapes and disks to increase their thermal stability.

## Germides, stannides and plumbides

Germanium, tin and lead do not form solid state binary compounds with metals. In contrast, the formation of *Zintl phases* and *Zintl ions* (see Section 8.6), which contain clusters of group 14 metal atoms, is characteristic of these elements. As we have already seen, anionic units containing silicon are known, in addition to the formation of metal silicides with extended solid state structures. The synthesis of [Sn<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (equation 8.35) typifies the preparations of other Zintl ions and the use of the encapsulating ligand crypt-222 to bind an alkali metal counter-ion (see Figure 10.8) has played a crucial role in the development of Zintl ion chemistry. Thus, salts such as [K(crypt-222)]<sub>2</sub>[Sn<sub>5</sub>] and [Na(crypt-222)]<sub>4</sub>[Sn<sub>9</sub>] can be isolated. Modern technology allows low-temperature X-ray diffraction studies of sensitive (e.g. thermally unstable) compounds. It is now<sup>‡</sup> therefore possible to investigate salts such as [Li(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sub>4</sub>[Pb<sub>9</sub>]·NH<sub>3</sub> and [Li(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sub>4</sub>[Sn<sub>9</sub>]·NH<sub>3</sub> which are formed by the direct reaction of an excess of Pb or Sn in solutions of lithium in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>.

Diamagnetic Zintl ions include [M<sub>4</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> (M = Ge, Sn, Pb), [M<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (M = Sn, Pb), [M<sub>9</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> (M = Ge, Sn, Pb), [Ge<sub>9</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, [Ge<sub>10</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, [Sn<sub>8</sub>Tl]<sup>3-</sup>, [Sn<sub>9</sub>Tl]<sup>3-</sup> and [Pb<sub>2</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. Paramagnetic ions are exemplified by [Sn<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> and [Ge<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>. The structure of [Sn<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> was shown in Figure 8.3. Figure 13.12 shows the structures of [Sn<sub>9</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> and [Ge<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>, and illustrates some of the main deltahedral families of the group 14 Zintl ions. Bonding in these ions is delocalized, and for the diamagnetic clusters, Wade's rules (see Section 12.11) can be used to rationalize the observed structures. Wade's rules were developed for borane clusters. A {BH}-unit contributes two electrons to cluster bonding and, similarly, a group 14 atom contributes two electrons to cluster bonding if a lone pair of electrons is localized outside the cage. Thus, in bonding terms, an Si, Ge, Sn or Pb atom can mimic a {BH}-unit. More strictly, an atom of each group 14 element is *isolobal* with a {BH}-unit (see Section 23.5).

### Worked example 13.4 Structures of Zintl ions

**Rationalize the structure of [Sn<sub>9</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> shown in Figure 13.12a.**

There are nine Sn atoms and each provides two valence electrons, assuming that each atom carries a lone pair of electrons.

There are four electrons from the 4- charge.


Total number of cage-bonding electrons available

$$= (9 \times 2) + 4 = 22 \text{ electrons}$$

$$= 11 \text{ pairs}$$

<sup>†</sup> For further details, see: A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford, p. 987.

<sup>‡</sup> N. Korber and A. Fleischmann (2001) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 383.



**Fig. 13.12** The structures, established by X-ray diffraction, of (a) [Sn<sub>9</sub>]<sup>4-</sup>, determined for the salt [Na(crypt-222)]<sub>4</sub>[Sn<sub>9</sub>] [J.D. Corbett *et al.* (1977) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 99, p. 3313], and (b) [Ge<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>, determined for the compound [K(crypt-222)]<sub>3</sub>[Ge<sub>9</sub>]-PPh<sub>3</sub> [C. Belin *et al.* (1991) *New J. Chem.*, vol. 15, p. 931]; for discussion of cryptand ligands including crypt-222, see [Section 10.8](#). (c) Schematic representations of structure types for selected Zintl ions. See also [Figure 13.13](#).

Thus, [Sn<sub>9</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> has 11 pairs of electrons with which to bond nine Sn atoms.

This means that there are (*n* + 2) pairs of electrons for *n* vertices, and so [Sn<sub>9</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> is a *nido*-cage, based on a 10-vertex deltahedron (see [Figure 12.24](#)) with one vertex vacant. This corresponds to the observed structure of a monocapped square-antiprism.

### Self-study exercises


- By referring to [Figures 12.24](#) and [13.12c](#), rationalize the structures of:  
(a) [Ge<sub>4</sub>]<sup>4-</sup>; (b) [Sn<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>; (c) [Ge<sub>9</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>; (d) [Ge<sub>10</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>.
- Rationalize why [Sn<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> and [Pb<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> are isostructural.
- Rationalize why [Pb<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> adopts the same cluster structure as C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>. [*Hint: Look back to worked example 12.10*]

Reaction conditions are critical to the selective formation of a Zintl ion. The alloy KSn<sub>2</sub> reacts with crypt-222 (see [Section 10.8](#)) in 1,2-diaminoethane to give [K(crypt-222)]<sub>3</sub>[Sn<sub>9</sub>] containing the paramagnetic [Sn<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> ion. However, reaction times must be less than two days, since longer periods favour the formation of [K(crypt-222)]<sub>4</sub>[Sn<sub>9</sub>] containing the diamagnetic [Sn<sub>9</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> ion. The paramagnetic clusters [Sn<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> and [Ge<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> both adopt *distorted* tricapped

trigonal prismatic structures ([Figure 13.12b](#)). When Cs<sub>2</sub>K[Ge<sub>9</sub>] is added to a mixture of 1,2-ethanediamine and crypt-222, coupling of the [Ge<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> radicals occurs to give Cs<sub>4</sub>[K(crypt-222)]<sub>2</sub>[(Ge<sub>9</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]; formally, the coupling involves the oxidation of one lone pair on each [Ge<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> cage. The structure of the [(Ge<sub>9</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>6-</sup> ion ([Figure 13.13a](#)) consists of two monocapped square-antiprismatic clusters (each with delocalized bonding) connected by a localized, two-centre two-electron Ge–Ge bond. Wade's rules can be applied to each cage in [(Ge<sub>9</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>6-</sup> as follows:

- eight of the Ge atoms each carries a lone pair of electrons and provides two electrons for cluster bonding;
- the Ge atom involved in the inter-cage Ge–Ge bond contributes three electrons to cluster bonding (one electron is used for the external Ge–Ge bond);
- the 6– charge provides three electrons to each cage;
- total electron count *per cage* = 16 + 3 + 3 = 22 electrons;
- 11 pairs of electrons are available to bond nine Ge atoms, and so each cage is classed as a *nido*-cluster, consistent with the observed monocapped square-antiprism ([Figure 13.13a](#)).



The Zintl ions shown in [Figure 13.12](#) are *closo*- or *nido*-clusters. The compounds Rb<sub>4</sub>Li<sub>2</sub>Sn<sub>8</sub> and K<sub>4</sub>Li<sub>2</sub>Sn<sub>8</sub>, which contain *arachno*-[Sn<sub>8</sub>]<sup>6-</sup> ([Figure 13.13b](#)), have been prepared by the direct fusion of tin metal with the respective alkali




**Fig. 13.13** (a) The structure (X-ray diffraction) of the  $[(\text{Ge}_9)_2]^{6-}$  ion in  $\text{Cs}_4[\text{K}(\text{crypt-222})_2][(\text{Ge}_9)_2] \cdot 6\text{en}$  ( $\text{en} = 1,2$ -ethanediamine) [L. Xu *et al.* (1999) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 121, p. 9245]. (b) The *arachno*- $[\text{Sn}_8]^{6-}$  cluster in  $\text{Rb}_4\text{Li}_2\text{Sn}_8$ . (c) The solid state structure of  $\text{Rb}_4\text{Li}_2\text{Sn}_8$  shows that  $\text{Li}^+$  ions cap the open cage to give  $[\text{Li}_2\text{Sn}_8]^{4-}$  (see text). (d) The open  $[\text{Sn}_{12}]^{12-}$  cluster in the compound  $\text{CaNa}_{10}\text{Sn}_{12}$ ; the cage encapsulates a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ion.

metals. X-ray diffraction studies on  $\text{Rb}_4\text{Li}_2\text{Sn}_8$  show that the *arachno*- $[\text{Sn}_8]^{6-}$  cluster is stabilized by interactions with  $\text{Li}^+$  ions which effectively close up the open cage as shown in Figure 13.13c. In addition, each  $\text{Li}^+$  ion interacts with an Sn–Sn edge of an adjacent cluster and as a result, a network of interconnected cages is formed, with  $\text{Rb}^+$  ions in cavities between the Zintl ions. The combination of small and large cations is an important factor in the stabilization of this system. The same strategy has been used to stabilize another open-cage Zintl ion,  $[\text{Sn}_{12}]^{12-}$  (Figure 13.13d), which is formed by fusing together stoichiometric amounts of Na, Ca and Sn. The product is  $\text{CaNa}_{10}\text{Sn}_{12}$ , and in the solid state, the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ion provides a stabilizing effect by being sited at the centre of the  $[\text{Sn}_{12}]^{12-}$  cluster. A related system in which  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$  replaces  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  has also been prepared.

As more Zintl ions are isolated, challenges to the rationalization of the bonding within Wade's rules are encountered. For example, the oxidation of  $[\text{Ge}_9]^{4-}$  using  $\text{PPh}_3$ ,  $\text{AsPh}_3$ ,  $\text{As}$  or  $\text{Sb}$  gives  $[(\text{Ge}_9)_3]^{6-}$  (equations 13.33 and 13.34). The  $[(\text{Ge}_9)_3]^{6-}$  anion (Figure 13.14) consists of three tricapped trigonal prismatic cages, each with two elongated prism edges.



In the discussion of Wade's rules in [Section 12.11](#) and, in particular, in [Box 12.9](#), we described the involvement of *radial* and *tangential* orbitals in cluster bonding in boranes. Outward-pointing radial orbitals on each B atom are involved in the formation of the external (*exo*) B–H  $\sigma$ -bonds. Similarly, in most Zintl ions, the lone pair of electrons that is localized on each atom is accommodated in an outward-pointing orbital. In the oxidative coupling of two  $[\text{Ge}_9]^{3-}$  cages to give  $[(\text{Ge}_9)_2]^{6-}$  (Figure 13.13a), the localized single bond that joins the cages and which formally arises from the oxidation of a lone pair per cluster is radially oriented with respect to each cluster. However, in  $[(\text{Ge}_9)_3]^{6-}$  (Figure 13.14), the intercluster bonds are *not* radially related to each cluster, but lie parallel to the prism edges. In addition, the Ge–Ge bond lengths for the




**Fig. 13.14** The structure (X-ray diffraction) of the  $[(\text{Ge}_9)_3]^{6-}$  ion in  $[\text{Rb}(\text{crypt-222})_6][(\text{Ge}_9)_3] \cdot 3\text{en}$  ( $\text{en} = 1,2$ -ethanediamine) [A. Ugrinov *et al.* (2002) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 124, p. 10990].

intercluster bonds are significantly longer in  $[(\text{Ge}_9)_3]^{6-}$  than that in  $[(\text{Ge}_9)_2]^{6-}$ . This suggests that the bonds that connect the cages in  $[(\text{Ge}_9)_3]^{6-}$  are of bond orders less than 1 and that the bonding is not localized. It is, therefore, not possible to apply Wade's rules to each cage in this tricluster system.


## 13.8 Halides and complex halides

### Carbon halides


Selected physical properties of the tetrahalides of C and Si are listed in Table 13.3. The carbon tetrahalides differ markedly from those of the later group 14 elements: they are inert towards water and dilute alkali and do not form complexes with metal halides. The distinction has been attributed to the absence of  $d$  orbitals in the valence shell of a C atom; look back at the electronic versus steric debate, outlined in [Section 13.3](#). However, one must be cautious. In the case of  $\text{CX}_4$  being inert towards attack by water, the 'lack of C  $d$  orbitals' presupposes that the reaction would proceed through a 5-coordinate intermediate (i.e. as is proposed for hydrolysis of silicon halides). Of course, it is impossible to establish the mechanism of a reaction that does not occur! Certainly,  $\text{CF}_4$  and  $\text{CCl}_4$  are *thermodynamically* unstable with respect to hydrolysis; compare the value of  $\Delta_r G^\circ$  for equation 13.35 with that of  $-290 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for the hydrolysis of  $\text{SiCl}_4$ .



Carbon tetrafluoride is extremely inert and may be prepared by the reaction of SiC and  $\text{F}_2$ , with the second product,  $\text{SiF}_4$ , being removed by passage through aqueous NaOH. Equation 13.36 shows a convenient laboratory-scale synthesis of  $\text{CF}_4$  from graphite-free calcium cyanamide (see [structure 13.7](#)); trace amounts of CsF are added to prevent the formation of  $\text{NF}_3$ .



Uncontrolled fluorination of an organic compound usually leads to decomposition because large amounts of heat are evolved (equation 13.37).



The preparation of a fully fluorinated organic compound tends therefore to be carried out in an inert solvent (the vaporization of which consumes the heat liberated) in a reactor packed with gold- or silver-plated copper turnings (which similarly absorb heat but may also play a catalytic role). Other methods include use of  $\text{CoF}_3$  or  $\text{AgF}_2$  as fluorinating agents, or electrolysis in liquid HF (see [Section 8.7](#)).

Fluorocarbons (see also [Section 16.3](#)) have boiling points close to those of the corresponding hydrocarbons but have higher viscosities. They are inert towards concentrated alkalis and acids, and dissolve only in non-polar organic solvents. Their main applications are as high-temperature lubricants. *Freons* are chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) or chlorofluorohydrocarbons, made by partial replacement of chlorine as in, for example, the first step of scheme 13.38. Although CFCs have been used extensively in aerosol propellants, air-conditioners, foams for furnishings, refrigerants and solvents, concern over their role in the depletion of the ozone layer has resulted in rapid phasing out of their use as is described in [Box 13.7](#).



Two important polymers are manufactured from chloro-fluoro-compounds. The monomer for the commercially named *Teflon* or PTFE is  $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4$  (tetrafluoroethene) which is prepared by reaction 13.38; polymerization occurs in the presence of water with an organic peroxide catalyst. Teflon is an inert white solid, stable up to 570 K; it has widespread domestic applications, e.g. non-stick coatings for kitchenware. The monomer  $\text{CF}_2=\text{CFCl}$  is used to manufacture the commercial polymer *Kel-F*. Both Teflon and Kel-F are used in laboratory equipment such as sealing tape and washers, parts in gas cylinder valves and regulators, coatings for stirrer bars, and sleeves for glass joints operating under vacuum.

Carbon tetrachloride (Table 13.3) is produced by chlorination of  $\text{CH}_4$  at 520–670 K or by the reaction sequence 13.39, in which the  $\text{CS}_2$  is recycled.

**Table 13.3** Selected physical properties of the carbon and silicon tetrahalides.

| Property            | $\text{CF}_4$  | $\text{CCl}_4$    | $\text{CBr}_4$   | $\text{CI}_4$  | $\text{SiF}_4$               | $\text{SiCl}_4$           | $\text{SiBr}_4$           | $\text{SiI}_4$   |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Melting point / K   | 89             | 250               | 363              | 444 (dec)      | 183                          | 203                       | 278.5                     | 393.5            |
| Boiling point / K   | 145            | 350               | 462.5            | –              | 187                          | 331                       | 427                       | 560.5            |
| Appearance at 298 K | Colourless gas | Colourless liquid | Colourless solid | Dark red solid | Colourless gas, fumes in air | Colourless, fuming liquid | Colourless, fuming liquid | Colourless solid |

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL


## Box 13.7 CFCs and the Montreal Protocol

The *ozone layer* is a stratum in the atmosphere 15–30 km above the Earth's surface, and it protects life on the Earth from UV radiation originating from the Sun because O<sub>3</sub> absorbs strongly in the ultraviolet region of the spectrum. An effect of UV radiation on humans is skin cancer. Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) are atmospheric pollutants which contribute towards the depletion of the ozone layer. In 1987, the 'Montreal Protocol for the Protection of the Ozone Layer' was established and legislation was implemented to phase out the use of CFCs: an almost complete phase-out of CFCs was required by 1996 for industrial nations, with developing nations following this ban by 2010. Taking the 1986 European consumption of CFCs as a standard (100%), the graph opposite illustrates how the usage of these chemicals (e.g. aerosol propellants, refrigerants) was reduced between 1986 and 1993. The phasing out of CFCs has affected the manufacture of asthma inhalers, large numbers of which used to use a CFC-based propellant. These inhalers are being replaced by new models with hydrofluoroalkane (HFA) propellants.

CFCs are not the only ozone-depleting chemicals. Other 'Class I' ozone-depleters include CH<sub>2</sub>ClBr, CBr<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, CF<sub>3</sub>Br, CCl<sub>4</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>Br. In the past, methyl bromide has had widespread agricultural applications for pest control (see *Box 16.3*). Alternative pesticides for, for example, soil treatment continue to be developed in order to comply with the Montreal Protocol which bans CH<sub>3</sub>Br by 2005 (2015 in developing countries).

As an interim measure, hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs) can be used in refrigerants in place of CFCs. While less harmful to the environment than CFCs, HCFCs

are still ozone-depleting (they are classified as 'Class II' ozone-depleters) and will be phased out by 2020. Hydrofluorocarbons appear to have little or no ozone-depleting effect and can also be used in refrigerants and aerosol propellants.




[Data from *Chemistry & Industry*, 1994, p. 323.]

## Further information



For up-to-date information from the Environmental Protection Agency, see: <http://www.epa.gov/ozone/title6/phaseout/mdi/>

For information on the Montreal Protocol Unit within the United Nations Development Programme, see: <http://www.undp.org/seed/eap/montreal/>

For relevant information from the European Environment Agency, see: <http://themes.eea.eu.int/>




In the past, CCl<sub>4</sub> has been widely used as a solvent and for the chlorination of inorganic compounds. However, its high toxicity and the fact that photochemical or thermal decomposition results in the formation of CCl<sub>3</sub>· and Cl· radicals has led to its manufacture and use being controlled by environmental legislation. Reactions 13.40 and 13.41 give preparations of CBr<sub>4</sub> and Cl<sub>4</sub> (Table 13.3). Both compounds are toxic and are easily decomposed to their elements; Cl<sub>4</sub> decomposes slowly in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O, giving CHI<sub>3</sub> and I<sub>2</sub>.



Carbonyl chloride (*phosgene*), **13.9**, is a highly toxic, colourless gas (bp 281 K) with a choking smell, and was

used in World War I chemical warfare. It is manufactured by reaction 13.42, and is used industrially in the production of diisocyanates (for polyurethane polymers), polycarbonates and 1-naphthyl-*N*-methylcarbamate, **13.10** (for insecticides).




Fluorination of COCl<sub>2</sub> using SbF<sub>3</sub> yields COClF and COF<sub>2</sub> which, like COCl<sub>2</sub>, are unstable to water, and react with NH<sub>3</sub> (to give urea, **13.11**) and alcohols (to give esters). Reaction of COCl<sub>2</sub> with SbF<sub>5</sub> yields the linear cation [CICO]<sup>+</sup>. Its

presence in the condensed phase has been established by vibrational spectroscopic studies. Reaction between  $\text{COF}_2$  and  $\text{SbF}_5$ , however, gives an adduct  $\text{F}_2\text{CO}\cdot\text{SbF}_5$  rather than  $[\text{FCO}]^+[\text{SbF}_6]^-$ .


## Silicon halides

Many fluorides and chlorides of Si are known, but we confine our discussion to  $\text{SiF}_4$  and  $\text{SiCl}_4$  (Table 13.3) and some of their derivatives. Silicon and  $\text{Cl}_2$  react to give  $\text{SiCl}_4$ , and  $\text{SiF}_4$  can be obtained by fluorination of  $\text{SiCl}_4$  using  $\text{SbF}_3$ , or by reaction 13.43; compare with equations 12.28 and 14.78.




Both  $\text{SiF}_4$  and  $\text{SiCl}_4$  are molecular with tetrahedral structures. They react readily with water, but the former is only

partially hydrolysed (compare equations 13.44 and 13.45). Controlled hydrolysis of  $\text{SiCl}_4$  results in the formation of  $(\text{Cl}_3\text{Si})_2\text{O}$ , through the intermediate  $\text{SiCl}_3\text{OH}$ .



The reaction between equimolar amounts of neat  $\text{SiCl}_4$  and  $\text{SiBr}_4$  at 298 K leads to an equilibration mixture of  $\text{SiCl}_4$ ,  $\text{SiBrCl}_3$ ,  $\text{SiBr}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{SiBr}_3\text{Cl}$  and  $\text{SiBr}_4$  (see end-of-chapter *problem 2.28*) which can be separated by fractional distillation. The Lewis base *N*-methylimidazole (MeIm) reacts with  $\text{SiCl}_4$  and  $\text{SiBr}_2\text{Cl}_2$  to give *trans*- $[\text{SiCl}_2(\text{MeIm})_4]^{2+}$  (Figure 13.15a) as the chloride and bromide salts respectively. This provides a means of stabilizing an  $[\text{SiCl}_2]^{2+}$  cation.

The formation of  $[\text{SiF}_6]^{2-}$ , the hexafluorosilicate ion (Figure 13.15b), illustrates the ability of Si to act as an  $\text{F}^-$




**Fig. 13.15** Solid state structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) *trans*- $[\text{SiCl}_2(\text{MeIm})_4]^{2+}$  from the salt  $[\text{SiCl}_2(\text{MeIm})_4]\text{Cl}_2 \cdot 3\text{CHCl}_3$  (MeIm = *N*-methylimidazole) [K. Hensen *et al.* (2000) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 473], (b) octahedral  $[\text{SiF}_6]^{2-}$ , determined for the salt  $[\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3]_2[\text{SiF}_6]$  [A. Waskowska (1997) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 53, p. 128] and (c) trigonal bipyramidal  $[\text{SiF}_5]^-$ , determined for the compound  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}][\text{SiF}_5]$  [D. Schomburg *et al.* (1984) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 23, p. 1378]. Colour code: Si, pink; F, green; N, blue; C, grey; Cl, green; H, white.

acceptor and increase its coordination number beyond 4. Hexafluorosilicates are best prepared by reactions of  $\text{SiF}_4$  with metal fluorides in aqueous  $\text{HF}$ ; the  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  salts are sparingly soluble. In aqueous solution, fluorosilicic acid is a strong acid, but pure  $\text{H}_2\text{SiF}_6$  has not been isolated. The  $[\text{SiF}_5]^-$  ion (Figure 13.15c) is formed in the reaction of  $\text{SiO}_2$  with aqueous  $\text{HF}$ , and may be isolated as a tetraalkylammonium ion. Silicon tetrachloride does not react with alkali metal chlorides, although lattice energy considerations suggest that it might be possible to stabilize the  $[\text{SiCl}_6]^{2-}$  ion using a very large quaternary ammonium cation.

## Halides of germanium, tin and lead


There are many similarities between the tetrahalides of Ge and Si, and  $\text{GeX}_4$  ( $X = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$  or  $\text{I}$ ) is prepared by direct combination of the elements. At 298 K,  $\text{GeF}_4$  is a colourless gas,  $\text{GeCl}_4$ , a colourless liquid, and  $\text{GeI}_4$  a red-orange solid (mp 417 K);  $\text{GeBr}_4$  melts at 299 K. Each hydrolyses, liberating  $\text{HX}$ . Unlike  $\text{SiCl}_4$ ,  $\text{GeCl}_4$  accepts  $\text{Cl}^-$  (e.g. reaction 13.46).



The Si(II) halides  $\text{SiF}_2$  and  $\text{SiCl}_2$  can be obtained only as unstable species (by action of  $\text{SiF}_4$  or  $\text{SiCl}_4$  on Si at  $\approx 1500$  K) which polymerize to cyclic products. In contrast, Ge forms stable dihalides;  $\text{GeF}_2$ ,  $\text{GeCl}_2$  and  $\text{GeBr}_2$  are produced when Ge is heated with  $\text{GeX}_4$ , but the products disproportionate on heating (equation 13.47).





Reaction between  $\text{GeF}_2$  and  $\text{F}^-$  gives  $[\text{GeF}_3]^-$ . Several compounds of type  $\text{MGeCl}_3$  exist where  $\text{M}^+$  may be an alkali metal ion or a quaternary ammonium or phosphonium ion (e.g. equations 13.48–13.50). Crystal structure determinations for  $[\text{BzEt}_3\text{N}][\text{GeCl}_3]$  ( $\text{Bz} = \text{benzyl}$ ) and  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}][\text{GeCl}_3]$  confirm the presence of well-separated trigonal pyramidal  $[\text{GeCl}_3]^-$  ions. In contrast,  $\text{CsGeCl}_3$  adopts a perovskite-type structure (Figure 5.23) which is distorted at 298 K and non-distorted above 328 K.  $\text{CsGeCl}_3$  belongs to a group of semiconducting compounds  $\text{CsEX}_3$  ( $E = \text{Ge}, \text{Sn}, \text{Pb}$ ;  $X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ).



The preference for the +2 over +4 oxidation state increases down the group, the change being due to the thermodynamic  $6s$  inert pair effect (Box 12.3). Whereas members of the  $\text{GeX}_4$  family are more stable than  $\text{GeX}_2$ ,


$\text{PbX}_2$  halides are more stable than  $\text{PbX}_4$ . Tin tetrafluoride (which forms hygroscopic crystals, see Section 11.5) is prepared from  $\text{SnCl}_4$  and  $\text{HF}$ . At 298 K,  $\text{SnF}_4$  is a white solid and has a sheet structure, 13.12, with octahedral Sn atoms. At 978 K,  $\text{SnF}_4$  sublimes to give a vapour containing tetrahedral molecules.  $\text{SnF}_4$  is thermally stable, but  $\text{PbF}_4$  (which has the same solid state structure as  $\text{SnF}_4$ ) decomposes into  $\text{PbF}_2$  and  $\text{F}_2$  when heated, and must be prepared by the action of  $\text{F}_2$  or halogen fluorides (see Section 16.7) on Pb compounds.




Tin(II) fluoride is water-soluble and can be prepared in aqueous media. In contrast,  $\text{PbF}_2$  is only sparingly soluble. One form of  $\text{PbF}_2$  adopts a  $\text{CaF}_2$  lattice (see Figure 5.18a), while the solid state structure of  $\text{SnF}_2$  consists of puckerd  $\text{Sn}_4\text{F}_8$  rings, 13.13, with each Sn being trigonal pyramidal consistent with the presence of a lone pair. In structures 13.12 and 13.13, the Sn–F bridge bonds are longer than the terminal bonds, a feature that is common in this type of structure. Many tin fluoride compounds show a tendency to form F–Sn–F bridges in the solid state, as we illustrate later.

Tin(IV) chloride, bromide and iodide are made by combining the respective elements and resemble their Si and Ge analogues. The compounds hydrolyse, liberating  $\text{HX}$ , but hydrates such as  $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  can also be isolated. The reaction of Sn and  $\text{HCl}$  gives  $\text{SnCl}_2$ , a white solid which is partially hydrolysed by water. The hydrate  $\text{SnCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is commercially available and is used as a reducing agent. In the solid state,  $\text{SnCl}_2$  has a puckerd-layer structure, but discrete, bent molecules are present in the gas phase.

The Sn(IV) halides are Lewis acids, their ability to accept halide ions (e.g. reaction 13.51) following the order  $\text{SnF}_4 > \text{SnCl}_4 > \text{SnBr}_4 > \text{SnI}_4$ .






**Fig. 13.16** The structures of (a)  $[\text{SnCl}_2\text{F}]^-$  and (b)  $[\text{Sn}_2\text{F}_5]^-$  from the solid state structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3][\text{SnCl}_2\text{F}][\text{Sn}_2\text{F}_5]\text{Cl}$  (en, see [Table 6.7](#)); each Sn atom is in a trigonal pyramidal environment [I.E. Rakov *et al.* (1995) *Koord. Khim.*, vol. 21, p. 16]. Colour code: Sn, brown; F, small green; Cl, large green.

Similarly,  $\text{SnCl}_2$  accepts  $\text{Cl}^-$  to give trigonal pyramidal  $[\text{SnCl}_3]^-$ , but the existence of discrete anions in the solid state is cation-dependent (see earlier discussion of  $\text{CsGeCl}_3$ ). The  $[\text{SnF}_5]^-$  ion can be formed from  $\text{SnF}_4$ , but in the solid state, it is polymeric with bridging F atoms and octahedral Sn centres. The bridging F atoms are mutually *cis* to one another. Bridge formation is similarly observed in  $\text{Na}^+$  salts of  $[\text{Sn}_2\text{F}_5]^-$  and  $[\text{Sn}_3\text{F}_{10}]^{4-}$ , formed by reacting  $\text{NaF}$  and  $\text{SnF}_2$  in aqueous solution. Figure 13.16 shows the structures of the  $[\text{SnCl}_2\text{F}]^-$  and  $[\text{Sn}_2\text{F}_5]^-$  ions.

Lead tetrachloride is obtained as an oily liquid by the reaction of cold concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  on  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{PbCl}_6]$ ; the latter is made by passing  $\text{Cl}_2$  through a saturated solution of  $\text{PbCl}_2$  in aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ . The ease with which  $[\text{PbCl}_6]^{2-}$  is obtained is a striking example of stabilization of a higher oxidation state by complexation (see [Section 7.3](#)); in contrast,  $\text{PbCl}_4$  is hydrolysed by water and decomposes to  $\text{PbCl}_2$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$  when gently heated. The Pb(II) halides are considerably more stable than their Pb(IV) analogues and are crystalline solids at 298 K; they can be precipitated by mixing aqueous solutions of soluble halide and soluble Pb(II) salts (e.g. equation 13.52). Note that few Pb(II) salts are very soluble in water.



Lead(II) chloride is much more soluble in hydrochloric acid than in water owing to the formation of  $[\text{PbCl}_4]^{2-}$ . In the solid state,  $\text{PbCl}_2$  has a complicated structure with 9-coordinate Pb centres, but  $\text{PbF}_2$  has the fluorite structure ([Figure 5.18a](#)). The yellow diiodide adopts the  $\text{CdI}_2$  lattice ([Figure 5.22](#)). Discrete iodoplumbate anions such as  $[\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}]^{4-}$  ([Figure 13.17a](#)),  $[\text{Pb}_7\text{I}_{22}]^{8-}$ ,  $[\text{Pb}_{10}\text{I}_{28}]^{8-}$  and  $[\text{Pb}_5\text{I}_{16}]^{6-}$  ([Figure 13.17b](#)) as well as related polymeric iodoplumbates<sup>†</sup> can be formed by reacting  $\text{PbI}_2$  and  $\text{NaI}$  in the presence of large cations such as  $[\text{R}_3\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{NR}_3]^{2+}$  ( $\text{R} = \text{Me}$ ,  $^n\text{Bu}$ ) or  $[\text{P}(\text{CH}_2\text{Ph})_4]^+$ . The reactions can be driven towards a particular product by varying the reactant

stoichiometry, reaction conditions and counter-ion. In these iodoplumbates, the Pb(II) centres are in either octahedral or square-based pyramidal environments ([Figure 13.17](#)).

### Worked example 13.5 Group 14 halides: structure and energetics

**$\text{SnF}_4$  sublimates at 978 K. Describe the changes that take place during sublimation and the processes that contribute to the enthalpy of sublimation.**

Sublimation refers to the process:



In the solid state,  $\text{SnF}_4$  has a sheet structure (see structure [13.12](#)) in which each Sn is octahedrally sited. In the gas phase,  $\text{SnF}_4$  exists as discrete, tetrahedral molecules. During sublimation, the  $\text{SnF}_4$  units must be released from the solid state structure, and this involves breaking Sn–F–Sn bridges and converting them into terminal Sn–F bonds. Each Sn atom goes from an octahedral to tetrahedral environment. Enthalpy changes that take place are:

- enthalpy change associated with Sn–F bond cleavage (endothermic process);
- enthalpy change associated with the conversion of half an Sn–F–Sn bridge interaction to a terminal Sn–F bond (two of these per molecule);
- enthalpy change associated with a change in hybridization of the Sn atom as it changes from octahedral to tetrahedral, and an associated change in the Sn–F bond strength for the terminal Sn–F bonds.

### Self-study exercises


1. Above 328 K,  $\text{CsGeCl}_3$  adopts a perovskite structure; at 298 K, the structure is distorted, but remains based on perovskite. Does solid  $\text{CsGeCl}_3$  contain discrete  $[\text{GeCl}_3]^-$  ions? Explain your answer.  
[Ans. Refer to [Figure 5.23](#) and related discussion]
2. Explain why  $\text{PbX}_2$  halides are more stable than  $\text{PbX}_4$  halides.  
[Ans. The answer is in [Box 12.3](#)]
3. In reactions [13.46](#) and [13.49](#), which reactants are Lewis acids and which are Lewis bases? Give an explanation for your answer. What is the general name for the products?  
[Ans. Acid = electron acceptor; base = electron donor; adduct]

## 13.9 Oxides, oxoacids and hydroxides

### Oxides and oxoacids of carbon

Unlike the later elements in group 14, carbon forms stable, volatile monomeric oxides:  $\text{CO}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$ . A comment on

<sup>†</sup>See for example: H. Krautscheid, C. Lode, F. Vielsack and H. Vollmer (2001) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 1099.



**Fig. 13.17** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) the  $[\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}]^{4-}$  ion in the  $[\text{P}^n\text{Bu}_3\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{N}^n\text{Bu}_3]^{2+}$  salt [H. Krautscheid *et al.* (1999) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 2731] and (b) the  $[\text{Pb}_5\text{I}_{16}]^{6-}$  ion in the salt  $[\text{P}^n\text{BuN}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)_3\text{N}^n\text{Bu}]_3[\text{Pb}_5\text{I}_{16}] \cdot 4\text{DMF}$  [H. Krautscheid *et al.* (2000) *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, vol. 626, p. 3]. Colour code: Pb, blue; I, yellow.

the difference between  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{SiO}_2$  can be made in the light of the thermochemical data in Table 13.2: the  $\text{C}=\text{O}$  bond enthalpy term is *more* than twice that for the  $\text{C}-\text{O}$  bond, while the  $\text{Si}=\text{O}$  bond enthalpy term is *less* than twice that of the  $\text{Si}-\text{O}$  bond. In rationalizing these differences, there is some justification for saying that the  $\text{C}=\text{O}$  bond is strengthened relative to  $\text{Si}=\text{O}$  by  $(p-p)\pi$  contributions, and, in the past, it has been argued that the  $\text{Si}-\text{O}$  bond is strengthened relative to the  $\text{C}-\text{O}$  bond by  $(p-d)\pi$ -bonding (but see comments at the end of Section 13.6). Irrespective of the interpretation of the enthalpy terms however, the data indicate that (ignoring enthalpy and entropy changes associated with vaporization)  $\text{SiO}_2$  is stable with respect to conversion into molecular  $\text{O}=\text{Si}=\text{O}$ , while  $\text{CO}_2$  is stable with respect to the formation of a macromolecular species containing 4-coordinate C and  $\text{C}-\text{O}$  single bonds. However, an extended solid phase of  $\text{CO}_2$  has recently been prepared by laser-heating a molecular phase at 1800 K and under 40 GPa pressure; the vibrational spectrum of the new phase indicates that it is structurally similar to quartz (see below).<sup>†</sup>

Carbon monoxide is a colourless gas, formed when C burns in a restricted supply of  $\text{O}_2$ . Small-scale preparations involve the dehydration of methanoic acid (equation 13.53). CO is manufactured by reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  using coke heated above 1070 K or by the water-gas shift reaction (see Section 9.4). Industrially, CO is very important and we consider some relevant catalytic processes in Chapter 26.



Carbon monoxide is almost insoluble in water under normal conditions and does not react with aqueous NaOH, but at high pressures and temperatures,  $\text{HCO}_2\text{H}$  and  $\text{Na}[\text{HCO}_2]$

are formed respectively. Carbon monoxide combines with  $\text{F}_2$ ,  $\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{Br}_2$  (as in equation 13.42), sulfur and selenium. The high toxicity of CO arises from the formation of a stable complex with haemoglobin (see Section 28.3) with the consequent inhibition of  $\text{O}_2$  transport in the body. The oxidation of CO to  $\text{CO}_2$  is the basis of quantitative analysis for CO (equation 13.54) with the  $\text{I}_2$  formed being removed and titrated against thiosulfate. CO is similarly oxidized by a mixture of  $\text{MnO}_2$ ,  $\text{CuO}$  and  $\text{Ag}_2\text{O}$  at ambient temperatures and this reaction is used in respirators.



The thermodynamics of the oxidation of carbon is of immense importance in metallurgy as we have already discussed in Section 7.8.

Selected physical properties of CO and  $\text{CO}_2$  are given in Table 13.4; bonding models are described in Sections 1.7 and 4.7. The bond in CO is the strongest known in a stable molecule and confirms the efficiency of  $(p-p)\pi$ -bonding between C and O. However, considerations of the bonding provide no simple explanation as to why the dipole moment of CO is so low.

In an excess of  $\text{O}_2$ , C burns to give  $\text{CO}_2$ . Solid  $\text{CO}_2$  is called *dry ice* and readily sublimates (Table 13.4) but may be

**Table 13.4** Selected properties of CO and  $\text{CO}_2$ .

| Property   | CO     | $\text{CO}_2$  |
|--|--------|----------------|
| Melting point / K                                      | 68     | –              |
| Boiling point / K                                      | 82     | 195 (sublimes) |
| $\Delta_f H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | –110.5 | –393.5         |
| $\Delta_f G^\circ(298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | –137   | –394           |
| Bond energy / $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                     | 1075   | 806            |
| C–O bond distance / pm                                 | 112.8  | 116.0          |
| Dipole moment / D                                      | 0.11   | 0              |


<sup>†</sup> Quartz-like  $\text{CO}_2$ , see: V. Iota, C.S. Yoo and H. Cynn (1999) *Science*, vol. 283, p. 1510.

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 13.8 'Greenhouse' gases

Carbon dioxide normally comprises  $\approx 0.04\%$  by volume of the Earth's atmosphere, from which it is removed and

returned according to the carbon cycle:



The balance is a delicate one, and the increase in combustion of fossil fuels and decomposition of limestone for cement manufacture in recent years have given rise to fears that a consequent increase in the CO<sub>2</sub> content of the atmosphere will lead to an 'enhanced greenhouse effect', raising the temperature of the atmosphere. This arises because the sunlight that reaches the Earth's surface has its maximum energy in the visible region of the spectrum where the atmosphere is transparent. However, the energy maximum of the Earth's thermal radiation is in the infrared, where CO<sub>2</sub> absorbs strongly (see *Figure 3.11*). Even a small increase in the CO<sub>2</sub> component of the atmosphere might have serious effects because of its effects on the extent of the polar ice caps and glaciers, and because of the sensitivity of reaction rates to even small temperature changes. The danger is enhanced by the cutting down and burning of tropical rain forests which would otherwise reduce the CO<sub>2</sub> content of the atmosphere by photosynthesis.

The second major 'greenhouse' gas is CH<sub>4</sub> which is produced by the anaerobic decomposition of organic material; the old name of 'marsh gas' came about because bubbles of CH<sub>4</sub> escape from marshes. Flooded areas such as rice paddy fields produce large amounts of CH<sub>4</sub>, and ruminants (e.g. cows, sheep and goats) also expel sizeable quantities of CH<sub>4</sub>. Although the latter is a natural process, recent increases in the numbers of domestic animals around the world are naturally leading to increased release of CH<sub>4</sub> into the atmosphere.

Industrialized countries that signed the 1997 Kyoto Protocol are committed to reducing their 'greenhouse' gas emissions. Taking 1990 emission levels as a baseline, a target of  $\approx 5\%$  reduction must be achieved by 2008–2012. This target is an average over all participating countries.

## Further reading

- N. Doak (2002) *Chemistry & Industry*, Issue 23, p. 14 – 'Greenhouse gases are down'.
- G.D. Farquhar (1997) *Science*, vol. 278, p. 1411 – 'Carbon dioxide and vegetation'.
- J.G. Ferry (1997) *Science*, vol. 278, p. 1413 – 'Methane: Small molecule, big impact'.
- A. Kendall, A. McDonald and A. Williams (1997) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 342 – 'The power of biomass'.
- J.D. Mahlman (1997) *Science*, vol. 278, p. 1416 – 'Uncertainties in projections of human-caused climate warming'.
- A. Moss (1992) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 334 – 'Methane from ruminants in relation to global warming'.

For information from the European Environment Agency, see: <http://www.eea.eu.int/>

The Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (CDIAC) provides up-to-date information on trends in 'greenhouse' gas emissions and global change: <http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/home.html>

See also *Box 15.6*: Volcanic emissions

kept in insulated containers for laboratory use in, e.g. low-temperature baths (Table 13.5). *Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>* has become a much studied and versatile solvent (see *Section 8.13*). Small-scale laboratory syntheses of gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> usually involve reactions such as 13.55; for the industrial production of CO<sub>2</sub>, see *Figure 10.5* and *Section 9.4*.




Carbon dioxide is the world's major environmental source of acid and its low solubility in water is of immense biochemical and geochemical significance. In an aqueous solution of carbon dioxide, most of the solute is present as molecular

**Table 13.5** Selected low-temperature baths involving dry ice.†



| Bath components           | Temperature / K |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Dry ice + ethane-1,2-diol | 258             |
| Dry ice + heptan-3-one    | 235             |
| Dry ice + acetonitrile    | 231             |
| Dry ice + ethanol         | 201             |
| Dry ice + acetone         | 195             |
| Dry ice + diethyl ether   | 173             |

† To construct a bath, add *small* pieces of solid CO<sub>2</sub> to the solvent. Initial sublimation of the CO<sub>2</sub> ceases as the bath temperature decreases to the point where solid dry ice persists. The bath temperature is maintained by occasionally adding small pieces of dry ice. See also [Table 14.1](#).

CO<sub>2</sub> rather than as H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, as can be seen from the value of  $K \approx 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$  for the equilibrium:




Aqueous solutions of CO<sub>2</sub> are only weakly acidic, but it does not follow that H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (carbonic acid) is a very weak acid. The value of p*K*<sub>a</sub>(1) for H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is usually quoted as 6.37. This evaluation, however, assumes that all the acid is present in solution as H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> or [HCO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>−</sup> when, in fact, a large proportion is present as dissolved CO<sub>2</sub>. By taking this into account, one arrives at a ‘true’ p*K*<sub>a</sub>(1) for H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> of ≈3.6. Moreover, something that is of great biological and industrial importance is the fact that combination of CO<sub>2</sub> with water is a relatively slow process. This can be shown by titrating a saturated solution of CO<sub>2</sub> against aqueous NaOH using phenolphthalein as indicator. Neutralization of CO<sub>2</sub> occurs by two routes. For pH < 8, the main pathway is by direct hydration (equation 13.56), which shows pseudo-first order kinetics. At pH > 10, the main pathway is by attack of hydroxide ion (equation 13.57). The overall rate of process 13.57 (which is first order in both CO<sub>2</sub> and [OH]<sup>−</sup>) is greater than that of process 13.56.





Until 1993, there was no evidence that free carbonic acid had been isolated, although an unstable ether adduct is formed when dry HCl reacts with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> suspended in Me<sub>2</sub>O at 243 K, and there is mass spectrometric evidence for H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> being a product of the thermal decomposition of [NH<sub>4</sub>][HCO<sub>3</sub>]. However, IR spectroscopic data now indicate that H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> can be isolated using a cryogenic method in which glassy MeOH solution layers of KHCO<sub>3</sub> (or Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and HCl are quenched on top of each other at 78 K and the reaction mixture warmed to 300 K. In the absence of water, H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> can be sublimed unchanged. It

remains a fact that, under ambient conditions, H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is not a readily studied species.†



The carbonate ion is planar and possesses *D*<sub>3h</sub> symmetry with all C–O bonds of length 129 pm. A delocalized bonding picture involving (*p*–*p*)π-interactions is appropriate, and VB theory describes the ion in terms of three resonance structures of which one is **13.14**. The C–O bond distance in [CO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2−</sup> is longer than in CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 13.4) and is consistent with a formal bond order of 1.33. Most metal carbonates, other than those of the group 1 metals (see [Section 10.7](#)), are sparingly soluble in water. A general method of preparing peroxo salts can be used to convert K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> to K<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>; the electrolysis of aqueous K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at 253 K using a high current density produces a salt believed to contain the peroxocarbonate ion, **13.15**. An alternative route involves the reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> with KOH in 86% aqueous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at 263 K. The colour of the product is variable and probably depends upon the presence of impurities such as KO<sub>3</sub>. The electrolytic method gives a blue material whereas the product from the second route is orange. Peroxocarbonates are also believed to be intermediates in the reactions of CO<sub>2</sub> with superoxides (see [Section 10.6](#)).





A third oxide of carbon is the suboxide C<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> which is made by dehydrating malonic acid, CH<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>2</sub>, using P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> at 430 K. At room temperature, C<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is a gas (bp 279 K), but it polymerizes above 288 K to form a red-brown paramagnetic material. The structure of C<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is usually described as ‘quasi-linear’ because IR spectroscopic and electron diffraction data for the gaseous molecule show that the energy barrier to bending at the central C atom is only 0.37 kJ mol<sup>−1</sup>, i.e. very close to the vibrational ground state. The melting point of C<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is 160 K. An X-ray diffraction study of crystals grown just below this temperature confirms that the molecules are essentially linear in the solid state (structure **13.16**). However, the data are best interpreted in terms of disordered (see [Section 18.3](#)), bent molecules with a C–C–C bond angle close to 170°, consistent with a ‘quasi-linear’ description. The species

† See: R. Ludwig and A. Kornath (2000) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 39, p. 1421 and references therein – ‘In spite of the chemist’s belief: carbonic acid is surprisingly stable’.


$[\text{OCNCO}]^+$ ,  $[\text{NCNCN}]^-$  and  $[\text{N}_5]^+$  are isoelectronic with  $\text{C}_3\text{O}_2$ , but they are not isostructural with the ‘quasi-linear’  $\text{C}_3\text{O}_2$ . Unambiguously non-linear structures are observed for  $[\text{OCNCO}]^+$  ( $\angle\text{C}-\text{N}-\text{C} = 131^\circ$  in  $[\text{OCNCO}]^+[\text{Sb}_3\text{F}_{16}]^-$ ), the dicyanamide ion  $[\text{NCNCN}]^-$  ( $\angle\text{C}-\text{N}-\text{C} = 124^\circ$  in  $\text{Cs}[\text{NCNCN}]$ ), and  $[\text{N}_5]^+$  (see [Section 14.5](#)).

### Worked example 13.6 Lewis structures

(a) Draw a Lewis structure for linear  $\text{C}_3\text{O}_2$ . (b) Consider possible Lewis structures for linear and non-linear (bent at the central atom)  $[\text{OCNCO}]^+$  and  $[\text{NCNCN}]^-$ . Comment on these structures in view of the following X-ray diffraction crystallographic data:



(a) A Lewis structure for  $\text{C}_3\text{O}_2$  is:




(b) Possible Lewis structures can be drawn by considering isoelectronic relationships between C and  $\text{N}^+$ , O and  $\text{N}^-$ , and N and  $\text{O}^+$ .

Therefore starting from linear  $\text{C}_3\text{O}_2$ , Lewis structures for linear  $[\text{OCNCO}]^+$  and  $[\text{NCNCN}]^-$  are:



However, the observed bond angles at the central atom show that the ions are non-linear in the solid state salts studied. For each ion, if a negative charge is localized on the central N atom, then a Lewis structure consistent with a non-linear structure can be drawn:



The observed bond lengths in salts of  $[\text{OCNCO}]^+$  and  $[\text{NCNCN}]^-$  are consistent with the above Lewis structures.

## Silica, silicates and aluminosilicates

Silica,  $\text{SiO}_2$ , is an involatile solid and occurs in many different forms, nearly all of which possess lattice structures constructed of tetrahedral  $\text{SiO}_4$  building blocks, often represented as in structure 13.17. Each unit is connected to the next by sharing an oxygen atom to give Si–O–Si bridges. At atmospheric pressure, three polymorphs of silica exist; each is stable within a characteristic temperature





Fig. 13.18 Transition temperatures between polymorphs of  $\text{SiO}_2$ .

range, but possesses a low-temperature ( $\alpha$ ) and high-temperature ( $\beta$ ) modification (Figure 13.18). The structure of  $\beta$ -cristobalite and its relationship to that of diamond was shown in [Figure 5.19](#). The different polymorphs of silica resemble  $\beta$ -cristobalite in having tetrahedral  $\text{SiO}_4$ -units, but each is made unique by exhibiting a different arrangement of these building blocks.  $\alpha$ -Quartz has an interlinked helical chain structure and is optically active because the chain has a handedness. It is also *piezoelectric* and is therefore used in crystal oscillators and filters for frequency control and in electromechanical devices such as microphones and loudspeakers.



(13.17)

A *piezoelectric* crystal is one that generates an electric field (i.e. develops charges on opposite crystal faces when subjected to mechanical stress) or that undergoes some change to atomic positions when an electric field is applied to it; such crystals must lack a centre of symmetry (e.g. contain tetrahedral arrangements of atoms). Their ability to transform electrical oscillations into mechanical vibration, and vice versa, is the basis of their use in, e.g., crystal oscillators.

Transitions from one polymorph to another involve initial Si–O bond cleavage and require higher temperatures than the changes between  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -forms of one polymorph. When liquid silica cools, it forms a non-crystalline glass consisting of an infinite lattice assembled from  $\text{SiO}_4$  tetrahedra connected in a random manner. Only a few oxides form glasses (e.g.  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{GeO}_2$ ,  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_5$ ) since the criteria for a *random* assembly are:


- the coordination number of the non-oxygen element must be 3 or 4 (a coordination number of 2 gives a chain and greater than 4 gives too rigid a structure);
- only one O atom must be shared between any two non-oxygen atoms (greater sharing leads to too rigid an assembly).

When silica glass is heated to  $\approx 1750 \text{ K}$ , it becomes plastic and can be worked in an oxy-hydrogen flame. *Silica glass* apparatus is highly insensitive to thermal shock owing to the low coefficient of thermal expansion of silica. *Borosilicate glass* (Pyrex) contains 10–15%  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  and has a lower melting

point than silica glass. *Soda glass* contains added alkali which converts some of the Si–O–Si bridges in the silica network into terminal Si=O groups, reducing the melting point below that of borosilicate glass.

In all forms of silica mentioned so far, the Si–O bond length is  $\approx 160$  pm and the Si–O–Si bond angle  $\approx 144^\circ$ , values close to those in  $(\text{H}_3\text{Si})_2\text{O}$  (Figure 13.11). By heating silica under very high pressure, a rutile form (see Figure 5.21) containing 6-coordinate Si is formed in which the Si–O bond length is 179 pm (compare with the sum of  $r_{\text{cov}}(\text{Si}) = 118$  pm and  $r_{\text{cov}}(\text{O}) = 73$  pm). This form of silica is more dense and less reactive than ordinary forms. Silica is not attacked by acids other than HF, with which it forms  $[\text{SiF}_6]^{2-}$ . Fusion of  $\text{SiO}_2$  with alkali leads to the formation of silicates.

Although esters of type  $\text{Si}(\text{OR})_4$  (equation 13.58) are known, no well-defined ‘silicic acid’ ( $\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4$ ) has been established.




Normal silica is only very slowly attacked by alkali, but *silicates* are readily formed by fusion of  $\text{SiO}_2$  and metal hydroxides, oxides or carbonates. The range of known silicates is large and they, and the *aluminosilicates* (see later), are extremely important, both in nature and for commercial and industrial purposes.

Sodium silicates of variable composition are made by heating sand (which is impure quartz containing, e.g., iron(III) oxide) with  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  at  $\approx 1600$  K. If the sodium content is high ( $\text{Na}:\text{Si} \approx 3.2\text{--}4:1$ ), the silicates are water-soluble and the resulting alkaline solution (*water glass*) contains ions such as  $[\text{SiO}(\text{OH})_3]^-$  and  $[\text{SiO}_2(\text{OH})_2]^{2-}$ ; water glass is used commercially in detergents where it controls the pH and degrades fats by hydrolysis. If the Na content is low, the silicate ions consist of large polymeric species and their  $\text{Na}^+$  salts are insoluble in water. Equilibrium between the different species is attained rapidly at  $\text{pH} > 10$ , and more slowly in less alkaline solutions.

The Earth’s crust is largely composed of silica and silicate minerals, which form the principal constituents of all rocks and of the sands, clays and soils that result from degradation of rocks. Most inorganic building materials are based on silicate minerals and include natural silicates such as sandstone, granite and slate, as well as manufactured materials such as cement, concrete and ordinary glass. The latter is manufactured by fusing together limestone, sand and  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ . Clays are used in the ceramics industry and mica as an electrical insulator. Fibrous asbestos once had extensive use in heat- and fire-resistant materials, but the health risks associated with the inhalation of asbestos fibres are now well established and alternative heat- and fire-proofing materials are replacing asbestos (see Box 13.9). We discuss uses of zeolites later in the section.


It is universal practice to describe silicates in terms of a purely ionic model. However, although we might write  $\text{Si}^{4+}$ , the 4+ charge is unlikely on ionization energy grounds and is incompatible with the commonly observed



**Fig. 13.19** Ionic radii of selected ions involved in silicates. These data can be used to rationalize cation replacements in silicates.

Si–O–Si bond angle of  $\approx 140^\circ$ . Figure 13.19 compares the ionic radii of ions commonly present in silicates; the value for the ‘ $\text{Si}^{4+}$ ’ ion is an estimate. Since the  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Si}^{4+}$  ions are similar sizes, replacement is common and leads to the formation of aluminosilicates. If  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  replaces  $\text{Si}^{4+}$ , however, an extra singly charged cation must be present to maintain electrical neutrality. Thus, in the feldspar *orthoclase*,  $\text{KAlSi}_3\text{O}_8$ , the anion  $[\text{AlSi}_3\text{O}_8]^-$  is readily recognized as being related to  $\text{SiO}_2$  (i.e.  $[\text{AlSi}_3\text{O}_8]^-$  is isoelectronic with  $\text{Si}_4\text{O}_8$ ) and  $[\text{AlSi}_3\text{O}_8]^-$  possesses the structure of quartz with one-quarter of the Si replaced by aluminium; the  $\text{K}^+$  ions occupy cavities in the relatively open lattice. Double replacements are also common, e.g.  $\{\text{Na}^+ + \text{Si}^{4+}\}$  replaced by  $\{\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Al}^{3+}\}$  (look at the radii comparisons in Figure 13.19).

The overwhelming majority of silicates have structures based on  $\text{SiO}_4$  tetrahedra (13.17) which, by sharing O atoms, assemble into small groups such as 13.18, cyclic motifs, infinite chains, infinite layers or infinite three-dimensional networks. Sharing an atom only involves *corners* of tetrahedra; sharing an edge would bring two  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ions too close together.



(13.18)


Of the metal ions most commonly occurring in silicates, the coordination numbers with respect to  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ions are: 4 for  $\text{Be}^{2+}$ , 4 or 6 for  $\text{Al}^{3+}$ , 6 for  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  or  $\text{Ti}^{4+}$ , 6 or 8 for  $\text{Na}^+$ , and 8 for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ .

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 13.9 The rise and fall of fibrous asbestos

In the commercial market, the term asbestos covers fibrous forms of the minerals actinolite, amosite, anthophyllite, chrysotile, crocidolite and tremolite. The ability of the fibres to be woven along with their heat resistance and high tensile strength led to widespread applications of asbestos in fire-proofing materials, brake linings, prefabricated boards for construction, roofing tiles and insulation. As the graph below shows, world production of asbestos was at a peak in the mid-1970s and has since declined. Most of the asbestos mined nowadays is chrysotile, and continuing applications are largely in roofing materials, gaskets and

friction products including brake linings. The dramatic downturn in the use of asbestos is associated with its severe health risks: the respiratory disease asbestosis is caused by the inhalation of asbestos fibres by workers constantly exposed to them. Strict legislation controls the use of asbestos, and demolition or renovation of old buildings often reveals large amounts of asbestos, which can be cleared only under qualified specialists. The decline in the production and use of asbestos is set to continue as further restrictive legislation is passed.



[Data: US Geological Survey]

## Further reading


I. Fenoglio, M. Tomatis and B. Fubini (2001) *Chemical Communications*, p. 2182 – ‘Spontaneous polymerisation on amphibole asbestos: relevance to asbestos removal’.

B. Fubini and C. Otero Areán (1999) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 28, p. 373 – ‘Chemical aspects of the toxicity of inhaled mineral dusts’.

For information from the Environmental Protection Agency on asbestos, see: <http://www.epa.gov/asbestos/>

Figure 13.20 illustrates the structures of some silicate anions;  $[\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7]^{6-}$  is shown in structure 13.18. The simplest silicates contain the  $[\text{SiO}_4]^{4-}$  ion and include  $\text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4$  (*olivine*) and the synthetic  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{SiO}_4$  (which is an important constituent of cement, setting to a hard mass when finely ground and mixed with water). The mineral *thortveitite*,  $\text{Sc}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$  (a major source of scandium), contains discrete  $[\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7]^{6-}$  ions. The cyclic ions  $[\text{Si}_3\text{O}_9]^{6-}$  and  $[\text{Si}_6\text{O}_{18}]^{12-}$  occur in  $\text{Ca}_3\text{Si}_3\text{O}_9$  ( $\alpha$ -*wollastonite*) and  $\text{Be}_3\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_6\text{O}_{18}$  (*beryl*) respectively, while  $[\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{12}]^{8-}$  is present in the synthetic salt  $\text{K}_8\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{12}$ . Short-chain silicates are not common, although  $[\text{Si}_3\text{O}_{10}]^{8-}$  occurs in a few rare minerals. Cage structures have been observed in some synthetic silicates and two examples are shown in Figure 13.21.

If the  $\text{SiO}_4$  tetrahedra sharing two corners form an infinite chain, the Si:O ratio is 1:3 (Figure 13.20). Such chains are present in  $\text{CaSiO}_3$  ( $\beta$ -*wollastonite*) and  $\text{CaMg}(\text{SiO}_3)_2$  (*diopside*, a member of the *pyroxene* group of minerals which possess  $[\text{SiO}_3]_n^{2n-}$  chains). Although infinite chains are present in these minerals, the relative orientations of the chains are different. *Asbestos* consists of a group of fibrous minerals, some of which (e.g.  $\text{Ca}_2\text{Mg}_5(\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{11})_2(\text{OH})_2$ , *tremolite*) contain the double-chain silicate  $[\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{11}]_n^{6n-}$  shown in Figures 13.20 and 13.22. More extended cross-linking of chains produces layer structures of composition  $[\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5]^{2-}$ ; ring sizes within the layers may vary. Such sheets occur in *micas* and are responsible for the characteristic cleavage of these minerals into thin sheets. *Talc*, characterized by




**Fig. 13.20** Schematic representations of the structures of selected silicates. Conformational details of the rings are omitted. In the polymeric structures, each tetrahedron represents an  $\text{SiO}_4$ -unit as shown in structure 13.17. (See also [Figure 13.22](#).)

its softness, has the composition  $\text{Mg}_3(\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5)_2(\text{OH})_2$ ;  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  ions are sandwiched between composite layers each containing  $[\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5]^{2-}$  sheets and  $[\text{OH}]^-$  ions, and the assembly can be represented by the sequence  $\{\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5^{2-}\}\{\text{OH}^-\}\{\text{Mg}^{2+}\}_3\{\text{OH}^-\}\{\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5^{2-}\}$ . This is electrically neutral, allowing talc to cleave readily in a direction parallel to the sandwich. A consequence of this cleavage is that talc is used as a dry lubricant, e.g. in personal care preparations.

Infinite sharing of all four oxygen atoms of the  $\text{SiO}_4$  tetrahedra gives a composition  $\text{SiO}_2$  (see earlier) but partial replacement of Si by Al leads to anions  $[\text{AlSi}_n\text{O}_{2n+2}]^-$ ,  $[\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_n\text{O}_{2n+2}]^{2-}$  etc. Minerals belonging to this group include *orthoclase* ( $\text{KAlSi}_3\text{O}_8$ ), *albite* ( $\text{NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8$ ), *anorthite* ( $\text{CaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8$ ) and *celsian* ( $\text{BaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8$ ). Feldspars are aluminosilicate salts of  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  or  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  and constitute an important class of rock-forming minerals; they


include *orthoclase*, *celsian*, *albite* and *anorthite*. In feldspars, the holes in the structure that accommodate the cations are quite small. In *zeolites*, the cavities are much larger and can accommodate not only cations but also molecules such as  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{MeOH}$  and hydrocarbons. Commercially and industrially, zeolites (both natural and synthetic) are extremely important. The Al:Si ratio varies widely among zeolites; Al-rich systems are hydrophilic and their ability to take up  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  leads to their use as laboratory drying agents (molecular sieves). Different zeolites contain different-sized cavities and channels, permitting a choice of zeolite to effect selective molecular adsorption. Silicon-rich zeolites are hydrophobic. Catalytic uses of zeolites (see [Sections 26.6](#) and [26.7](#)) are widespread, e.g. the synthetic zeolite ZSM-5 with composition  $\text{Na}_n[\text{Al}_n\text{Si}_{96-n}\text{O}_{192}] \cdot \approx 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $n < 27$ ) catalyses benzene alkylation, xylene isomerization



**Fig. 13.21** The structures, elucidated by X-ray diffraction, of (a)  $[\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{20}]^{8-}$ , determined for the salt  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]_8[\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{20}]\cdot 65\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [M. Wiebcke *et al.* (1993) *Microporous Materials*, vol. 2, p. 55], and (b)  $[\text{Si}_{12}\text{O}_{30}]^{12-}$ , determined for the salt  $\text{K}_{12}[\alpha\text{-cyclodextrin}]_2[\text{Si}_{12}\text{O}_{30}]\cdot 36\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [K. Benner *et al.* (1997) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, vol. 36, p. 743]. The silicon atoms in (a) and (b) define a cube and hexagonal prism respectively. Colour code: Si, purple; O, red.

and conversion of methanol to hydrocarbons (for motor fuels). Figure 13.23 illustrates the cavities present in zeolite H-ZSM-5.<sup>†</sup> Electrical neutrality upon Al-for-Si replacement can also be achieved by converting  $\text{O}^-$  to a terminal OH group. These groups are strongly acidic, which means that such zeolites are excellent ion-exchange (see [Section 10.6](#)) materials and have applications in, for example, water purification and washing powders (see [Section 11.7](#)).

*Zeolites* are crystalline, hydrated aluminosilicates that possess framework structures containing regular channels and/or cavities; the cavities contain  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules and cations (usually group 1 or 2 metal ions).



**Fig. 13.22** Part of one of the double chains of general formula  $[\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{11}]_n^{6n-}$  present in the mineral tremolite. Compare this representation with that in Figure 13.20. Each red sphere represents an O atom, and each tetrahedral  $\text{O}_4$ -unit surrounds an Si atom.

## Oxides, hydroxides and oxoacids of germanium, tin and lead


The dioxides of Ge, Sn and Pb are involatile solids. Germanium dioxide closely resembles  $\text{SiO}_2$ , and exists in both quartz and rutile forms. It dissolves in concentrated HCl forming  $[\text{GeCl}_6]^{2-}$  and in alkalis to give *germanates*. While these are not as important as silicates, we should note that many silicates do possess germanate analogues, but there are germanates that, at present, have no silicate counterparts (e.g. the product of reaction 13.59).



Relatively few open-framework germanates (i.e. with structures related to those of zeolites) are known, although this is a developing area.<sup>‡</sup> Although Si and Ge are both group 14 elements, the structural building-blocks in silicates are more restricted than those in germanates. Whereas silicates are composed of tetrahedral  $\text{SiO}_4$ -units (Figures 13.20–13.23), the larger size of Ge allows it to reside in  $\text{GeO}_4$  (tetrahedral),  $\text{GeO}_5$  (square-based pyramidal or

<sup>†</sup> Zeolites are generally known by acronyms that reflect the research or industrial companies of origin, e.g. ZSM stands for Zeolite Socony Mobil.

<sup>‡</sup> See for example: M. O'Keefe and O.M. Yaghi (1999) *Chemistry – A European Journal*, vol. 5, p. 2796; L. Beitone, T. Loiseau and G. Férey (2002), *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 41, p. 3962 and references therein.



 **Fig. 13.23** The structure of H-ZSM-5 zeolite ( $\text{Al}_{0.08}\text{Si}_{23.92}\text{O}_{48}$ ) is typical of a zeolite in possessing cavities which can accommodate guest molecules. (a) and (b) show two orthogonal views of the host lattice; the structure was determined by X-ray diffraction for the zeolite hosting 1,4-dichlorobenzene [H. van Koningsveld *et al.* (1996) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 52, p. 140]. Colour code: (Si, Al), purple; O, red.

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 13.10 Kaolin, smectite and hormite clays: from ceramics to natural absorbers


Crystalline clays (aluminosilicate minerals) are categorized according to structure. Clays in the *kaolin* or *china clay* group (e.g. *kaolinite*,  $\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4$ ) possess sheet structures with alternating layers of linked  $\text{SiO}_4$  tetrahedra and  $\text{AlO}_6$  octahedra. *Smectite* clays (e.g. *sodium montmorillonite*,  $\text{Na}[\text{Al}_5\text{MgSi}_7\text{O}_{30}(\text{OH})_6]$ ) also have layer structures, with cations (e.g.  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ) situated between the aluminosilicate layers. Interactions between the layers are weak, and water molecules readily penetrate the channels causing the lattice to expand; the volume of montmorillonite increases several times over as water is absorbed. *Hormite* clays (e.g. *palygorskite*) possess structures in which chains of  $\text{SiO}_4$  tetrahedra are connected by octahedral  $\text{AlO}_6$  or  $\text{MgO}_6$  units; these clays exhibit outstanding adsorbent and absorbent properties.

Within industry and commerce, terms other than the mineral classifications are common. *Ball clay* is a type of kaolin particularly suited to the manufacture of ceramics: in 2001, 35% of the ball clay produced in the US was used for tile manufacture, 22% for sanitary ware, 14% for pottery and various ceramics, 6% for refractory materials, 7% for other uses, and the remainder was exported. Kaolinite (which is white and soft) is of great importance in the paper industry for coatings and as a filler; of the 8.1 Mt produced in the US in 2001, 36% was consumed in

paper manufacture within the US and 24% was exported for the same end-use. Worldwide, 41 Mt of kaolin-type clays were produced in 2001, the major producers being the US, Uzbekistan and the Czech Republic.

Smectite clays tend to be referred to as *bentonite*, the name deriving from the rock in which the clays occur; 4.3 Mt of bentonite was mined in the US in 2001, and this represented 41% of the total world production. *Fuller's earth* is a general term used commercially to describe hormite clays; 2.9 Mt was produced in 2001 in the US (74% of world production). Applications of smectite and hormite clays stem from their ability to absorb water, swelling as they do so. Drilling fluids rely on the outstanding, reversible behaviour of sodium montmorillonite as it takes in water: the property of *thixotropy*. When static, or at low drill speeds, an aqueous suspension of the clay is highly viscous owing to the absorption of water by lattice and the realignment of the charged aluminosilicate layers. At high drill speeds, electrostatic interactions between the layers are destroyed and the drill-fluid viscosity decreases. Fuller's earth clays are remarkably effective absorbents and two major applications are in pet litter, and in granules which can be applied to minor oil spillages (e.g. at fuel stations).

[Statistical data: US Geological Survey]



**Fig. 13.24** A ‘stick’ representation of part of the inorganic framework of the germanate  $[\text{Ge}_{10}\text{O}_{21}(\text{OH})][\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3)_3]$ . The  $[\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3)_3]^{3+}$  cations are not shown but reside in the largest of the cavities in the network. The structure was determined by X-ray diffraction [L. Beitone *et al.* (2002) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 41, p. 3962]. Colour code: Ge, grey; O, red.

trigonal bipyramidal) and  $\text{GeO}_6$  (octahedral) environments. Figure 13.24 shows part of the three-dimensional network of the germanate  $[\text{Ge}_{10}\text{O}_{21}(\text{OH})][\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3)_3]$  which contains 4-, 5- and 6-coordinate Ge atoms. The germanate

is synthesized by a hydrothermal method (such methods are used for both germanate and zeolite syntheses) using the amine  $\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2)_3$  to direct the assembly of the three-dimensional network. In the solid state structure, the protonated amine is hydrogen-bonded to the germanate framework through  $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  interactions.

A hydrothermal method of synthesis refers to a heterogeneous reaction carried out in a closed system in an aqueous solvent with  $T > 298 \text{ K}$  and  $P > 1 \text{ bar}$ . Such reaction conditions permit the dissolution of reactants and the isolation of products that are poorly soluble under ambient conditions.

Germanium monoxide is prepared by dehydration of the yellow hydrate, obtained by reaction of  $\text{GeCl}_2$  with aqueous  $\text{NH}_3$ , or by heating  $\text{Ge}(\text{OH})_2$ , obtained from  $\text{GeCl}_2$  and water. The monoxide, which is amphoteric, is not as well characterized as  $\text{GeO}_2$ , and disproportionates at high temperature (equation 13.60).



Solid  $\text{SnO}_2$  and  $\text{PbO}_2$  adopt a rutile-type structure (Figure 5.21).  $\text{SnO}_2$  occurs naturally as cassiterite but can easily be prepared by oxidation of Sn. In contrast, the formation of  $\text{PbO}_2$  requires the action of powerful oxidizing agents such as alkaline hypochlorite on  $\text{Pb}(\text{II})$  compounds. On heating,  $\text{PbO}_2$  decomposes to  $\text{PbO}$  via a series of other oxides (equation 13.61). In the last step in the pathway, the reaction

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 13.11 Sensing gases

Detecting the presence of toxic gases can be carried out by IR spectroscopic means, but such techniques do not lend themselves to a domestic market. Capitalizing on the n-type semiconducting properties of  $\text{SnO}_2$  has led to its use in gas sensors, and sensors that detect gases such as  $\text{CO}$ , hydrocarbons or solvent (alcohols, ketones, esters, etc.) vapours are commercially available and are now in common use in underground car parking garages, automatic ventilation systems, fire alarms and gas-leak detectors. The presence of even small amounts of the target gases results in a significant increase in the electrical conductivity of  $\text{SnO}_2$ , and this change is used to provide a measure of the gas concentration, triggering a signal or alarm if a pre-set threshold level is detected. The increase in electrical conductivity arises as follows. Adsorption of oxygen on to an  $\text{SnO}_2$  surface draws electrons from the conduction band. The operating temperature of an  $\text{SnO}_2$  sensor is 450–750 K and in the presence of a reducing gas such as  $\text{CO}$  or hydrocarbon, the  $\text{SnO}_2$  surface loses oxygen and at the same time, electrons return to the conduction band of the bulk solid resulting in an increase in the electrical conductivity. Doping the  $\text{SnO}_2$  with Pd or Pt increases the sensitivity of a detector.

Tin(IV) oxide sensors play a major role in the commercial market and can be used to detect all the following gases, but other sensor materials include:


- $\text{ZnO}$ ,  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{TiO}_2/\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  for  $\text{CH}_4$  detection;
- $\text{La}_2\text{CuO}_4$ ,  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3/\text{MgO}$  and  $\text{Bi}_2\text{Fe}_4\text{O}_9$  for  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$  vapour detection;
- $\text{ZnO}$ ,  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{ZrO}_2$  and  $\text{WO}_3$  for  $\text{H}_2$  detection;
- $\text{ZnO}$ ,  $\text{TiO}_2$  (doped with Al and In) and  $\text{WO}_3$  for  $\text{NO}_x$ ;
- $\text{ZnO}$ ,  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{TiO}_2$  (doped with Pt) for CO detection;
- $\text{ZrO}_2$  for  $\text{O}_2$  detection.

#### Further reading

W. Göpel and G. Reinhardt (1996) in *Sensors Update*, eds H. Baltes, W. Göpel and J. Hesse, VCH, Weinheim, vol. 1, p. 47 – ‘Metal oxides sensors’.


J. Riegel, H. Neumann and H.-W. Wiedenmann (2002) *Solid State Ionics*, vol. 152–153, p. 783 – ‘Exhaust gas sensors for automotive emission control’.

For more information on semiconductors: see *Sections 5.8 and 5.9*.



**Fig. 13.25** Two views (a) from the side and (b) from above of a part of one layer of the SnO and red PbO lattices. Colour code: Sn, Pb, brown; O, red.

conditions favour the decomposition of  $\text{Pb}_3\text{O}_4$ , the  $\text{O}_2$  formed being removed. This is in contrast to the conditions used to make  $\text{Pb}_3\text{O}_4$  from PbO (see the end of Section 13.9).




When freshly prepared,  $\text{SnO}_2$  is soluble in many acids (equation 13.62) but it exhibits amphoteric behaviour and also reacts with alkalis; reaction 13.63 occurs in strongly alkaline media to give a stannate.








In contrast,  $\text{PbO}_2$  shows acidic (but no basic) properties, forming  $[\text{Pb}(\text{OH})_6]^{2-}$  when treated with alkali. Crystalline salts such as  $\text{K}_2[\text{Sn}(\text{OH})_6]$  and  $\text{K}_2[\text{Pb}(\text{OH})_6]$  can be isolated.

The monoxides SnO and PbO (red form, *litharge*) possess layer structures in which each metal centre is at the apex of a square-based pyramidal array (Figure 13.25). Each metal centre bears a lone pair of electrons occupying an orbital pointing towards the space between the layers, and electronic effects contribute to the preference for this asymmetric structure. Litharge is the more important form of PbO, but a yellow form also exists. While PbO can be prepared by heating the metal in air above 820 K, SnO is sensitive to oxidation and is best prepared by thermal decomposition of tin(II) oxalate; PbO can also be made by dehydrating  $\text{Pb}(\text{OH})_2$ . Both SnO and PbO are amphoteric, but the oxoanions formed from them, like those from GeO, are not well characterized. Of the group 14 elements, only lead forms a mixed oxidation state oxide;  $\text{Pb}_3\text{O}_4$  (*red lead*) is obtained by heating PbO in an excess of air at 720–770 K, and is better formulated as  $2\text{PbO} \cdot \text{PbO}_2$ . In the solid state, two Pb environments are present. Nitric acid reacts with  $\text{Pb}_3\text{O}_4$  (according to equation 13.64), while treatment with glacial acetic acid yields a mixture of  $\text{Pb}(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2)_2$  and  $\text{Pb}(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2)_4$ , the latter compound being an important reagent in organic chemistry; the two acetate salts can be separated by crystallization.



## 13.10 Silicones

Although silicones are organometallic compounds, they are conveniently described in this chapter because of their structural similarities to silicates. Hydrolysis of  $\text{Me}_n\text{SiCl}_{4-n}$  ( $n = 1-3$ ) might be expected to give the derivatives  $\text{Me}_n\text{Si}(\text{OH})_{4-n}$  ( $n = 1-3$ ). By analogy with carbon analogues, we might expect  $\text{Me}_3\text{SiOH}$  to be stable (except with respect to dehydration at higher temperatures), but  $\text{Me}_2\text{Si}(\text{OH})_2$  and  $\text{MeSi}(\text{OH})_3$  undergo dehydration to  $\text{Me}_2\text{Si}=\text{O}$  and  $\text{MeSiO}_2\text{H}$  respectively. However, at the beginning of Section 13.9, we indicated that an Si=O bond is energetically less favourable than two Si–O bonds. As a consequence, hydrolysis of  $\text{Me}_n\text{SiCl}_{4-n}$  ( $n = 1-3$ ) yields *silicones* which are oligomeric products (e.g. reaction 13.65) containing the tetrahedral groups **13.19–13.21** in which each O atom represents part of an Si–O–Si bridge. Diols can condense to give chains (**13.22**) or rings (e.g. **13.23**). Hydrolysis of  $\text{MeSiCl}_3$  produces a cross-linked polymer.



Silicone polymers have a range of structures and applications (see [Box 13.12](#)), and, in their manufacture, control of the polymerization is essential. The methylsilicon chlorides are co-hydrolysed, or the initial products of hydrolysis are equilibrated by heating with  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  which catalyses the

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 13.12 Diverse applications of silicones

Silicone products have many commercial roles. At one end of the market, they are crucial ingredients in personal care products: silicones are the components of shampoos and conditioners that improve the softness and silkiness of hair, and are also used in shaving foams, toothpastes, antiperspirants, cosmetics, hair-styling gels and bath oils. At the other end of the spectrum, silicones find very different applications in silicone greases, sealants, varnishes,

waterproofing materials, synthetic rubbers and hydraulic fluids. Silicones tend to be viscous oils which are immiscible with water, but for use in shampoos, silicones may be dispersed in water to give emulsions.

Silicones have a wide range of advantageous chemical and physical properties. For example, they are resistant to attack by acids and bases, are not readily combustible, and remain unchanged on exposure to UV radiation.



conversion of cyclic oligomers into chain polymers, bringing about redistribution of the terminal OSiMe<sub>3</sub> groups. For example, equilibration of HOSiMe<sub>2</sub>(OSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>OSiMe<sub>2</sub>OH with Me<sub>3</sub>SiOSiMe<sub>3</sub> leads to the polymer Me<sub>3</sub>Si(OSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>OSiMe<sub>3</sub>. Cross-linking, achieved by cohydrolysis of Me<sub>2</sub>SiCl<sub>2</sub> and MeSiCl<sub>3</sub>, leads, after heating at 520 K, to silicone resins that are hard and inert; tailoring the product so that it possesses a smaller degree of cross-linking results in the formation of silicone rubbers.

## 13.11 Sulfides


The disulfides of C, Si, Ge and Sn show the gradation in properties that might be expected to accompany the increasingly metallic character of the elements. Pertinent properties of these sulfides are given in Table 13.6. Lead(IV) is too powerful an oxidizing agent to coexist with S<sup>2-</sup>, and PbS<sub>2</sub> is not known.

Carbon disulfide is made by heating charcoal with sulfur at 1200 K, or by passing CH<sub>4</sub> and sulfur vapour over Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 950 K. It is highly toxic (by inhalation and absorption through the skin) and extremely flammable, but is an excellent

solvent which is used in the production of rayon and cellophane. Carbon disulfide is insoluble in water, but is, by a narrow margin, thermodynamically unstable with respect to hydrolysis to CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S. However, this reaction has a high kinetic barrier and is very slow. Unlike CO<sub>2</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub> polymerizes under high pressure to give a black solid with the chain structure **13.24**. When shaken with solutions of group 1 metal sulfides, CS<sub>2</sub> dissolves readily to give trithio-carbonates, M<sub>2</sub>CS<sub>3</sub>, which contain the [CS<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> ion **13.25**, the sulfur analogue of [CO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. Salts are readily isolated, e.g. Na<sub>2</sub>CS<sub>3</sub> forms yellow needles (mp 353 K). The free acid H<sub>2</sub>CS<sub>3</sub> separates as an oil when salts are treated with hydrochloric acid (equation 13.66), and behaves as a weak acid in aqueous solution: pK<sub>a</sub>(1) = 2.68, pK<sub>a</sub>(2) = 8.18.



(13.24)



(13.25)


The action of an electric discharge on CS<sub>2</sub> results in the formation of C<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, **13.26** (compare with **13.16**), a red

**Table 13.6** Selected properties of ES<sub>2</sub> (E = C, Si, Ge, Sn).

| Property            | CS <sub>2</sub>             | SiS <sub>2</sub>                | GeS <sub>2</sub>  | SnS <sub>2</sub>  |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Melting point / K   | 162                         | 1363 (sublimes)                 | 870 (sublimes)  | 873 (dec.)  |
| Boiling point / K   | 319                         | —                               | —   | —   |
| Appearance at 298 K | Volatile liquid, foul odour | White needle-like crystals      | White powder or crystals  | Golden-yellow crystals  |
| Structure at 298 K  | Linear molecule<br>S=C=S    | Solid state, chain <sup>‡</sup> | Three-dimensional lattice with Ge <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub> and larger rings with shared vertices. <sup>‡</sup> | CdI <sub>2</sub> -type lattice (see <a href="#">Figure 5.22</a> ) |




<sup>‡</sup> At high pressures and temperatures, SiS<sub>2</sub> and GeS<sub>2</sub> adopt a β-cristobalite lattice (see [Figure 5.19c](#)).




liquid which decomposes at room temperature, producing a black polymer  $(\text{C}_3\text{S}_2)_x$ . When heated,  $\text{C}_3\text{S}_2$  explodes. In contrast to CO, CS is a short-lived radical species which decomposes at 113 K; it has, however, been observed in the upper atmosphere.


Several salts of the  $[\text{C}_2\text{S}_4]^{2-}$  anion are known (made by, for example, reaction 13.67), although the free acid (an analogue of oxalic acid) has not been isolated.







In  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{C}_2\text{S}_4]$ , the anion has  $D_{2d}$  symmetry, i.e. the dihedral angle between the planes containing the two  $\text{CS}_2$ -units is  $90^\circ$  (structure 13.27), whereas in  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]_2[\text{C}_2\text{S}_4] \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , this angle is  $79.5^\circ$ . It is interesting to compare these structural data with those for salts of the related oxalate ion,  $[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$ . The solid state structures of anhydrous alkali metal oxalates respond to an increase in the size of the metal ion. In  $\text{Li}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ ,  $\text{Na}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$  and in one polymorph of  $\text{Rb}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ , the  $[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$  ion is planar. In the second polymorph of  $\text{Rb}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$  and in  $\text{Cs}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ , the  $[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$  ion adopts a staggered conformation (as in 13.27). Oxalate salts in general tend to exhibit planar anions in the solid state. The C–C bond length (157 pm) is consistent with a single bond and indicates that the planar structure is not a consequence of  $\pi$ -delocalization but is, instead, a result of intermolecular interactions in the crystal lattice.



Silicon disulfide is prepared by heating Si in sulfur vapour. Both the structure of this compound (Table 13.6) and the chemistry of  $\text{SiS}_2$  show no parallels with  $\text{SiO}_2$ ;  $\text{SiS}_2$  is instantly hydrolysed (equation 13.68).



The disulfides of Ge and Sn (Table 13.6) are precipitated when  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  is passed into acidic solutions of Ge(IV) and Sn(IV) compounds. Some sulfides have cluster structures, e.g.  $[\text{Ge}_4\text{S}_{10}]^{4-}$  (13.28), prepared by reaction 13.69.



Tin(IV) forms a number of thioannates containing discrete anions, e.g.  $\text{Na}_4\text{SnS}_4$  contains the tetrahedral  $[\text{SnS}_4]^{4-}$  ion, and  $\text{Na}_4\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_6$  and  $\text{Na}_6\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_7$  contain anions 13.29 and 13.30 respectively.

The monosulfides of Ge, Sn and Pb are all obtained by precipitation from aqueous media. Both GeS and SnS crystallize with layer structures similar to that of black phosphorus (see Section 14.4). Lead(II) sulfide occurs naturally as galena and adopts an NaCl lattice. Its formation as a black precipitate ( $K_{\text{sp}} \approx 10^{-30}$ ) is observed in the qualitative test for  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  (equation 13.70). The colour and very low solubility of PbS suggest that it is not a purely ionic compound.




Pure PbS is a p-type semiconductor when S-rich, and an n-type when Pb-rich (the non-stoichiometric nature of solids is discussed in Section 27.2). It exhibits *photoconductivity* and has applications in photoconductive cells, transistors and photographic exposure meters.

If a material is a *photoconductor*, it absorbs light with the result that electrons from the valence band are excited into the conducting band; thus, the electrical conductivity increases on exposure to light.

### Worked example 13.7 Tin and lead sulfides

**Calculate the solubility of PbS given that  $K_{\text{sp}} = 10^{-30}$ . Is your answer consistent with the fact that PbS is shown as a precipitate in reaction 13.70?**

$K_{\text{sp}}$  refers to the equilibrium:



$$K_{\text{sp}} = 10^{-30} = \frac{[\text{Pb}^{2+}][\text{S}^{2-}]}{[\text{PbS}]} = [\text{Pb}^{2+}][\text{S}^{2-}]$$

$$[\text{Pb}^{2+}] = [\text{S}^{2-}]$$

Therefore, making this substitution in the equation for  $K_{\text{sp}}$  gives:

$$[\text{Pb}^{2+}]^2 = 10^{-30}$$

$$[\text{Pb}^{2+}] = 10^{-15} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$$

Thus, the extremely low solubility means that PbS will appear as a precipitate in reaction 13.70.

### Self-study exercises

1. Describe the coordination environment of each  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$  and  $\text{S}^{2-}$  ion in galena. [Ans. NaCl structure; see Figure 5.15]
2. The solubility of SnS in water is  $10^{-13} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ . Calculate a value for  $K_{\text{sp}}$ . [Ans.  $10^{-26}$ ]
3. Lead-deficient and lead-rich PbS are p- and n-type semiconductors respectively. Explain the difference between these two types of semiconductors. [Ans. see Figure 5.13 and accompanying discussion]

## 13.12 Cyanogen, silicon nitride and tin nitride




In discussing bonds formed between the group 14 elements and nitrogen, two compounds of particular importance emerge: cyanogen,  $\text{C}_2\text{N}_2$ , and silicon nitride. Tin(IV) nitride has recently been prepared.

### Cyanogen and its derivatives

The  $\text{CN}^\bullet$  radical is a *pseudo-halogen*, i.e. its chemistry resembles that of a halogen atom, X; it forms  $\text{C}_2\text{N}_2$ , HCN and  $[\text{CN}]^-$ , analogues of  $\text{X}_2$ , HX and  $\text{X}^-$ . Although  $\text{C}_2\text{N}_2$  and HCN are thermodynamically unstable with respect to decomposition into their elements, hydrolysis by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and oxidation by  $\text{O}_2$ , they and  $[\text{CN}]^-$  are *kinetically* stable



enough for them to be well-established and much studied species.

Cyanogen,  $\text{C}_2\text{N}_2$ , is a toxic, extremely flammable gas (mp 245 K, bp 252 K) which is liable to react explosively with some powerful oxidants. Although  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{C}_2\text{N}_2, 298 \text{ K}) = +297 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , pure  $\text{C}_2\text{N}_2$  can be stored for long periods without decomposition. Reactions 13.71 and 13.72 give two syntheses of  $\text{C}_2\text{N}_2$ ; reaction 13.72 illustrates the pseudo-halide like nature of  $[\text{CN}]^-$  which is oxidized by Cu(II) in an analogous fashion to the oxidation of  $\text{I}^-$  to  $\text{I}_2$ . Cyanogen is manufactured by air-oxidation of HCN over a silver catalyst.




(13.31)

Cyanogen has the linear structure 13.31 and the short C–C distance indicates considerable electron delocalization. It burns in air with a very hot, violet flame (equation 13.73), and resembles the halogens in that it is hydrolysed by alkali (equation 13.74) and undergoes thermal dissociation to  $\text{CN}^\bullet$  at high temperatures.



(13.32)



(13.33)


## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

### Box 13.13 Hydrogen cyanide in plant material

A number of plants and their fruits, e.g. apricot and plum kernels, grape and apple seeds, are natural sources of HCN. The origin of the HCN is a cyanoglucoside, *amygdalin* (a sugar derivative) which is present in the fruit stones and seeds; hydrolysis of amygdalin releases HCN. Cassava is an important root crop grown in tropical regions as a source of starch, and, for example, it is used for the production of tapioca. Cassava plants may be either a



sweet or bitter variety; bitter cassava contains larger quantities of cyanoglucosides which liberate HCN when the roots are crushed or chewed. In order to render the root crop safe as a foodstuff, bitter cassava must be subjected to careful treatment of shredding, pressure and heat. A beneficial side-effect is the natural defence that cassava has against, for example, insect pests.

Hydrogen cyanide, HCN, **13.32**, is an extremely toxic and flammable, colourless volatile liquid (mp 260 K, bp 299 K) with a high dielectric constant due to strong hydrogen bonding; it has a characteristic smell of bitter almonds. The pure liquid polymerizes to  $\text{HC}(\text{NH}_2)(\text{CN})_2$  and  $(\text{H}_2\text{N})(\text{NC})\text{C}=\text{C}(\text{CN})(\text{NH}_2)$  mixed with higher molecular mass polymers, and in the absence of a stabilizer such as  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ , polymerization may be explosive. In the presence of traces of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{NH}_3$ , HCN forms adenine, **13.33**, and on reduction, gives  $\text{MeNH}_2$ . It is thought that HCN was one of the small molecules in the early atmosphere of the Earth, and played an important role in the formation of many biologically important compounds. Hydrogen cyanide is prepared on a small scale by adding acid to NaCN, and industrially by reactions 13.75 and 13.76.




Many organic syntheses involve HCN, and it is of great industrial importance, a large fraction going into the production of 1,4-dicyanobutane (adiponitrile) for nylon manufacture, and cyanoethene (acrylonitrile) for production of acrylic fibres.

In aqueous solution, HCN behaves as a weak acid ( $\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 9.31$ ) and is slowly hydrolysed (equation 13.77). An older name for hydrocyanic acid is prussic acid.




The neutralization of aqueous HCN by  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ ,  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  or  $\text{Na}[\text{HCO}_2]$  generates NaCN, the most important salt of the acid. It is manufactured by reaction 13.78, and has widespread uses in organic chemistry (e.g. for the formation of C–C bonds); it is also used in the extraction of Ag and Au. (For discussion of the extraction of Ag and Au, and treatment of  $[\text{CN}]^-$  waste, see [equation 22.4](#) and [Box 22.2](#)). At 298 K, NaCN and KCN adopt the NaCl lattice, each  $[\text{CN}]^-$  ion freely rotating (or having random orientations) about a fixed point in the lattice and having an effective ionic radius of  $\approx 190$  pm. At lower temperatures, transitions to structures of lower symmetry occur, e.g. NaCN undergoes a cubic to hexagonal transition below 283 K. Crystals of NaCN and KCN are deliquescent, and both salts are soluble in water and are highly toxic. Fusion of KCN and sulfur gives potassium thiocyanate, KSCN.

Mild oxidizing agents convert  $[\text{CN}]^-$  to cyanogen (equation 13.72) but with more powerful oxidants such as PbO or neutral  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ , cyanate ion, **13.34**, is formed (reaction 13.79). Potassium cyanate reverts to the cyanide on heating (equation 13.80).





(13.35)

Two acids can be derived from **13.34**: HOCN (cyanic acid or hydrogen cyanate) and HNCO (isocyanic acid, **13.35**). It has been established that HOCN and HNCO are not in equilibrium with each other. Isocyanic acid ( $\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 3.66$ ) is obtained by heating urea (equation 13.81) but rapidly trimerizes, although heating the trimer regenerates the monomer.



(13.81)

The fulminate ion,  $[\text{CNO}]^-$ , is an isomer of the cyanate ion. Fulminate salts can be reduced to cyanides but cannot be prepared by oxidation of them. The free acid readily polymerizes but is stable for short periods in  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  at low temperature. Metal fulminates are highly explosive; mercury(II) fulminate may be prepared by reaction 13.82 and is a dangerous detonator.



(13.36)


(13.37)

Cyanogen chloride, **13.36** (mp 266 K, bp 286 K), is prepared by the reaction of  $\text{Cl}_2$  with NaCN or HCN, and readily trimerizes to **13.37**, which has applications in the manufacture of dyestuffs and herbicides.

## Silicon nitride

The wide applications of silicon nitride,  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ , as a ceramic and refractory material and in the form of whiskers (see

**Section 27.6**) justify its inclusion here. It is a white, chemically inert amorphous powder, which can be formed by reaction 13.83, or by combining Si and N<sub>2</sub> above 1650 K.



The two main polymorphs,  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, possess similar infinite chain lattices in which Si and N are in tetrahedral and approximately trigonal planar environments, respectively. Recently, a denser, harder polymorph,  $\gamma$ -Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, has been obtained by high-pressure and -temperature (15 GPa, >2000 K) fabrication. This polymorph has the spinel structure (see **Box 12.6**): the N atoms form a cubic close-packed structure in which two-thirds of the Si atoms occupy octahedral holes and one-third occupy tetrahedral holes. The oxide spinels that we discussed in **Box 12.6** contained metal ions in the +2 and +3 oxidation states, i.e. (A<sup>II</sup>)(B<sup>III</sup>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. In  $\gamma$ -Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, all the Si atoms are in a single (+4) oxidation state. Another new refractory material is Si<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O, made from Si and SiO<sub>2</sub> under N<sub>2</sub>/Ar atmosphere at 1700 K; it possesses puckered hexagonal nets of alternating Si and N atoms, the sheets being linked by Si–O–Si bonds.

## Tin(IV) nitride

Tin(IV) nitride, Sn<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, was first isolated in 1999 from the reaction of SnI<sub>4</sub> with KNH<sub>2</sub> in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> at 243 K followed by annealing the solid product at 573 K. Sn<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> adopts a spinel-type structure, related to that of  $\gamma$ -Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> described above. Tin(IV) nitride is the first nitride spinel that is stable under ambient conditions.

## 13.13 Aqueous solution chemistry and salts of oxoacids of germanium, tin and lead

When GeO<sub>2</sub> is dissolved in basic aqueous solution, the solution species formed is [Ge(OH)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. With hydrochloric acid, GeO<sub>2</sub> forms [GeCl<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. Although GeO<sub>2</sub> is reduced by H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> in aqueous HCl solution and forms the insoluble Ge(OH)<sub>2</sub> when the solution pH is increased, it is possible to retain Ge(II) in aqueous solution under controlled conditions. Thus, 6 M aqueous HCl solutions that contain 0.2–0.4 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> of Ge(II) generated *in situ* (equation 13.84) are stable for several weeks.




Table 13.1 lists standard reduction potentials for the M<sup>4+</sup>/M<sup>2+</sup> and M<sup>2+</sup>/M (M = Sn, Pb) couples. The value of E°(Sn<sup>4+</sup>/Sn<sup>2+</sup>) = +0.15 V shows that Sn(II) salts in aqueous solution are readily oxidized by O<sub>2</sub>. In addition, hydrolysis of Sn<sup>2+</sup> to species such as [Sn<sub>2</sub>O(OH)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> and [Sn<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> is extensive. Aqueous solutions of Sn(II) salts

are therefore usually acidified and complex ions are then likely to be present, e.g. if SnCl<sub>2</sub> is dissolved in dilute hydrochloric acid, [SnCl<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> forms. In alkaline solutions, the dominant species is [Sn(OH)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>. Extensive hydrolysis of Sn(IV) species in aqueous solution also occurs unless sufficient acid is present to complex the Sn(IV); thus, in aqueous HCl, Sn(IV) is present as [SnCl<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. In alkaline solution at high pH, [Sn(OH)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> is the main species and salts of this octahedral ion, e.g. K<sub>2</sub>[Sn(OH)<sub>6</sub>], can be isolated.

In comparison with their Sn(II) analogues, Pb(II) salts are much more stable in aqueous solution with respect to hydrolysis and oxidation. The most important *soluble* oxo-salts are Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Pb(CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. The fact that many water-insoluble Pb(II) salts dissolve in a mixture of [NH<sub>4</sub>][CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>] and CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H reveals that Pb(II) is strongly complexed by acetate. Most Pb(II) oxo-salts are, like the halides, sparingly soluble in water; PbSO<sub>4</sub> (K<sub>sp</sub> = 1.8 × 10<sup>-8</sup>) dissolves in concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

The Pb<sup>4+</sup> ion does not exist in aqueous solution, and the value of E°(Pb<sup>4+</sup>/Pb<sup>2+</sup>) given in Table 13.1 is for the half-reaction 13.85 which forms part of the familiar lead–acid battery (see **equations 13.3** and **13.4**). For half-reaction 13.85, the fourth-power dependence of the half-cell potential upon [H<sup>+</sup>] immediately explains why the relative stabilities of Pb(II) and Pb(IV) depend upon the pH of the solution (see **Section 7.2**).



Thus, for example, PbO<sub>2</sub> oxidizes concentrated HCl to Cl<sub>2</sub>, but Cl<sub>2</sub> oxidizes Pb(II) in alkaline solution to PbO<sub>2</sub>. It may be noted that thermodynamically, PbO<sub>2</sub> should oxidize water at pH = 0, and the usefulness of the lead–acid battery depends on there being a high overpotential for O<sub>2</sub> evolution.

Yellow crystals of Pb(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> may be obtained by electrolysis of fairly concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> using a Pb anode; however, in cold water, it is hydrolysed to PbO<sub>2</sub>, as are Pb(IV) acetate and [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[PbCl<sub>6</sub>] (see **Section 13.8**). The complex ion [Pb(OH)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> forms when PbO<sub>2</sub> dissolves in concentrated KOH solution, but on dilution of the solution, PbO<sub>2</sub> is reprecipitated.

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- catenation
- metastable
- Zintl ion
- pyrophoric
- piezoelectric
- hydrothermal
- photoconductor

## Further reading

## Carbon: fullerenes and nanotubes

- J.D. Crane and H.W. Kroto (1994) 'Carbon: Fullerenes' in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 2, p. 531.
- R.C. Haddon, ed. (2002) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 35, issue 12 – 'Carbon nanotubes' (a special issue of the journal covering different aspects of the area).
- Th. Henning and F. Salama (1998) *Science*, vol. 282, p. 2204 – 'Carbon in the universe'.
- A. Hirsch (1994) *The Chemistry of the Fullerenes*, Thieme, Stuttgart.
- H.W. Kroto (1992) *Angewandte Chemie, International Edition in English*, vol. 31, p. 111 – 'C<sub>60</sub>: Buckminsterfullerene, the celestial sphere that fell to earth'.
- C.A. Reed and R.D. Bolskov (2000) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 100, p. 1075 – 'Fulleride anions and fullerenium cations'.
- J.L. Segura and N. Martín (2000) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 29, p. 13 – '[60]Fullerene dimers'.
- C. Thilgen, A. Herrmann and F. Diederich (1997) *Angewandte Chemie, International Edition in English*, vol. 36, p. 2268 – 'The covalent chemistry of higher fullerenes: C<sub>70</sub> and beyond'.

## Silicates and zeolites


- P.M. Price, J.H. Clark and D.J. Macquarrie (2000) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 101 – A review entitled: 'Modified silicas for clean technology'.
- J.M. Thomas (1990) *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*, vol. A333, p. 173 – A Bakerian Lecture, well illustrated, that contains a general account of zeolites and their applications.
- A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – Chapter 23 contains a full account of silicate structures.

## Other topics

- J.D. Corbett (2000) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 39, p. 671 – 'Polyanionic clusters and networks of the early p-element metals in the solid state: beyond the Zintl boundary'.
- P. Ettmayer and W. Lengauer (1994) 'Carbides: Transition metal solid state chemistry' in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 2, p. 519.
- M.J. Hynes and B. Jonson (1997) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 26, p. 133 – 'Lead, glass and the environment'.
- P. Jutzi (2000) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 39, p. 3797 – 'Stable systems with a triple bond to silicon or its homologues: another challenge'.
- S.M. Kauzlarich, ed. (1996) *Chemistry, Structure and Bonding of Zintl Phases and Ions: Selected Topics and Recent Advances*, Wiley, New York.
- K. Kobayashi and S. Nagase (1997) *Organometallics*, vol. 16, p. 2489 – 'Silicon–silicon triple bonds: do substituents make disilynes synthetically accessible?'
- N.O.J. Malcolm, R.J. Gillespie and P.L.A. Popelier (2002) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 3333 – 'A topological study of homonuclear multiple bonds between elements of group 14'.
- R. Okazaki and R. West (1996) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 39, p. 231 – 'Chemistry of stable disilenes'.
- S.T. Oyama (1996) *The Chemistry of Transition Metal Carbides and Nitrides*, Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- A. Sekiguchi and H. Sakurai (1995) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 37, p. 1 – 'Cage and cluster compounds of silicon, germanium and tin'.
- W. Schnick (1999) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 38, p. 3309 – 'The first nitride spinels – New synthetic approaches to binary group 14 nitrides'.
- P.J. Smith, ed. (1998) *Chemistry of Tin*, 2nd edn, Blackie, London.
- See also [Chapter 5 reading list: semiconductors](#).

## Problems

- 13.1** (a) Write down, in order, the names and symbols of the elements in group 14; check your answer by reference to the first page of this chapter. (b) Classify the elements in terms of metallic, semi-metallic or non-metallic behaviour. (c) Give a *general* notation showing the ground state electronic configuration of each element.
- 13.2** Comment on the trends in values of (a) melting points, (b)  $\Delta_{\text{atom}}H^\circ(298\text{ K})$  and (c)  $\Delta_{\text{fus}}H^\circ(\text{mp})$  for the elements on descending group 14.
- 13.3** How does the structure of graphite account for (a) its use as a lubricant, (b) the design of graphite electrodes, and (c) the fact that diamond is the more stable allotrope at very high pressures.
- 13.4** Figure 13.9 shows a unit cell of K<sub>3</sub>C<sub>60</sub>. From the structural information given, confirm the stoichiometry of this fulleride.
- 13.5** Give four examples of reactions of C<sub>60</sub> that are consistent with the presence of C=C bond character.
- 13.6** Comment on each of the following observations.
- The carbides Mg<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub> and CaC<sub>2</sub> liberate propyne and ethyne respectively when treated with water, reaction between ThC<sub>2</sub> and water produces mixtures composed mainly of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>, but no reaction occurs when water is added to TiC.
  - Mg<sub>2</sub>Si reacts with [NH<sub>4</sub>]Br in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> to give silane.
  - Compound **13.38** is hydrolysed by aqueous alkali at the same rate as the corresponding Si–D compound.



(13.38)

- 13.7** (a) Suggest why the NSi<sub>3</sub> skeleton in N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> is planar. (b) Suggest reasons why, at 298 K, CO<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> are not isostructural.
- 13.8** Predict the shapes of the following molecules or ions: (a) ClCN; (b) OCS; (c) [SiH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>; (d) [SnCl<sub>5</sub>]<sup>-</sup>; (e) Si<sub>2</sub>OCl<sub>6</sub>; (f) [Ge(C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>; (g) [PbCl<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>; (h) [SnS<sub>4</sub>]<sup>4-</sup>.
- 13.9** The observed structure of [Sn<sub>9</sub>Tl]<sup>3-</sup> is a bicapped square-antiprism. (a) Confirm that this is consistent with Wade's rules. (b) How many isomers (retaining the bicapped square-antiprism core) of [Sn<sub>9</sub>Tl]<sup>3-</sup> are possible?
- 13.10** Compare and contrast the structures and chemistries of the hydrides of the group 14 elements, and give pertinent examples to illustrate structural and chemical differences between BH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>, and between AlH<sub>3</sub> and SiH<sub>4</sub>.
- 13.11** Write equations for: (a) the hydrolysis of GeCl<sub>4</sub>; (b) the reaction of SiCl<sub>4</sub> with aqueous NaOH; (c) the 1:1 reaction of CsF with GeF<sub>2</sub>; (d) the hydrolysis of SiH<sub>3</sub>Cl; (e) the hydrolysis of SiF<sub>4</sub>; (f) the 2:1 reaction of [Bu<sub>4</sub>P]Cl with SnCl<sub>4</sub>. In each case suggest the structure of the product containing the group 14 element.
- 13.12** Rationalize the following signal multiplicities in the <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra of some halo-anions and, where possible, use the data to distinguish between geometric isomers [<sup>19</sup>F 100% *I* = ½]: (a) [SnCl<sub>3</sub>F]<sup>2-</sup> doublet; (b) [SnCl<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> isomer A, triplet; isomer B, triplet; (c) [SnCl<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> isomer A, doublet of triplets; isomer B, quartet; (d) [SnCl<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> isomer A, quintet; isomer B, triplet of triplets; (e) [SnClF<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> doublet of quintets; (f) [SnF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> septet.
- 13.13** What would you expect to form when:  
(a) Sn is heated with concentrated aqueous NaOH;  
(b) SO<sub>2</sub> is passed over PbO<sub>2</sub>;  
(c) CS<sub>2</sub> is shaken with aqueous NaOH;  
(d) SiH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> is hydrolysed by water;  
(e) four molar equivalents of ClCH<sub>2</sub>SiCl<sub>3</sub> react with three equivalents of Li[AlH<sub>4</sub>] in Et<sub>2</sub>O solution?
- 13.14** Suggest one method for the estimation of each of the following quantities:  
(a) Δ<sub>r</sub>H° for the conversion:  
GeO<sub>2</sub>(quartz) → GeO<sub>2</sub>(rutile);  
(b) the Pauling electronegativity value, χ<sup>P</sup>, of Si;  
(c) the purity of a sample of Pb(MeCO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub> prepared in a laboratory experiment.
- 13.15** By referring to Figure 7.6, deduce whether carbon could be used to extract Sn from SnO<sub>2</sub> at (a) 500 K; (b) 750 K; (c) 1000 K. Justify your answer.
- 13.16** Comment on the following observations.  
(a) the pyroxenes CaMgSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> and CaFeSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> are isomorphous;  
(b) the feldspar NaAlSi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> may contain up to 10% of CaAl<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>;  
(c) the mineral *spodumene*, LiAlSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, is isostructural with *diopside*, CaMgSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, but when it is heated it is transformed into a polymorph having the quartz structure with the Li<sup>+</sup> ions in the interstices.
- 13.17** Table 13.7 gives values of the symmetric and asymmetric stretches of the heteronuclear bonds in CO<sub>2</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub> and

**Table 13.7** Data for problem 13.17.


| Compound | $\nu_1(\text{symmetric}) / \text{cm}^{-1}$ | $\nu_3(\text{asymmetric}) / \text{cm}^{-1}$ |
|----------|--|---|
| I        | 2330                                       | 2158  |
| II       | 658  | 1535  |
| III      | 1333                                       | 2349  |

(CN)<sub>2</sub>, although the molecules are indicated only by the labels I, II and III. (a) Assign an identity to each of I, II and III. (b) State whether the stretching modes listed in Table 13.7 are IR active or inactive.

- 13.18** Account for the fact that when aqueous solution of KCN is added to a solution of aluminium sulfate, a precipitate of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> forms.
- 13.19** What would you expect to be the hydrolysis products of (a) cyanic acid, (b) isocyanic acid and (c) thiocyanic acid?

## Overview problems






- 13.20** (a) By using the description of the bonding in Sn<sub>2</sub>R<sub>4</sub> as a guide (see [Figure 18.15](#)), suggest a bonding scheme for a hypothetical HSi≡SiH molecule with the following geometry:



- (b) Do you expect the [FCO]<sup>+</sup> ion to have a linear or bent structure? Give an explanation for your answer.
- (c) The α-form of SnF<sub>2</sub> is a cyclotetramer. Give a description of the bonding in this tetramer and explain why the ring is non-planar.
- 13.21** Which description in the second list below can be correctly matched to each compound in the first list? There is only one match for each pair.
- | List 1                            | List 2   |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| SiF <sub>4</sub>                  | A semiconductor at 298 K with a diamond-type structure                 |
| Si                                | A Zintl ion  |
| Cs <sub>3</sub> C <sub>60</sub>   | Its Ca <sup>2+</sup> salt is a component of cement                     |
| SnO                               | A water-soluble salt that is not decomposed on dissolution             |
| [Ge <sub>9</sub> ] <sup>4-</sup>  | Gas at 298 K consisting of tetrahedral molecules                       |
| GeF <sub>2</sub>                  | An acidic oxide  |
| [SiO <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>4-</sup> | An amphoteric oxide  |
| PbO <sub>2</sub>                  | Solid at 298 K with a sheet structure containing octahedral Sn centres |
| Pb(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> | Becomes superconducting at 40 K  |
| SnF <sub>4</sub>                  | An analogue of a carbene   |
- 13.22** (a) [SnF<sub>5</sub>]<sup>-</sup> has a polymeric structure consisting of chains with *cis*-bridging F atoms. Draw a repeat unit of the polymer. State the coordination environment of each Sn atom, and explain how the overall stoichiometry of Sn:F = 1:5 is retained in the polymer.  
(b) Which of the salts PbI<sub>2</sub>, Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, PbSO<sub>4</sub>, PbCO<sub>3</sub>, PbCl<sub>2</sub> and Pb(O<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> are soluble in water?

(c) The IR spectrum of ClCN shows absorptions at 1917, 1060 and  $230\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Suggest assignments for these bands and justify your answer.

**13.23** Suggest products for the following reactions; the left-hand sides of the equations are not necessarily balanced.



**13.24** (a) Describe the solid state structures of  $\text{K}_3\text{C}_{60}$  and of  $\text{KC}_8$ . Comment on any physical or chemical properties of the compounds that are of interest.

(b) Comment on the use of lead(II) acetate in a qualitative test for  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ .

(c) In the  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]^+$  salt, the  $[\text{C}_2\text{S}_4]^{2-}$  ion is non-planar; the dihedral angle between the planes containing the two  $\text{CS}_2$  groups is  $90^\circ$ . In contrast, in many of its salts, the  $[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$  ion is planar. Deduce, with reasoning, the point groups of these anions.

# Chapter 14

## The group 15 elements

### TOPICS

- Occurrence, extraction and uses
- Physical properties
- The elements
- Hydrides
- Nitrides, phosphides and arsenides
- Halides, oxohalides and complex halides
- Oxides of nitrogen
- Oxoacids of nitrogen
- Oxides of phosphorus, arsenic, antimony and bismuth
- Oxoacids of phosphorus
- Oxoacids of arsenic, antimony and bismuth
- Phosphazenes
- Sulfides and selenides
- Aqueous solution chemistry

| 1  | 2  |         | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|----|----|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| H  |    |         |    |    |    |    |    | He |
| Li | Be |         | B  | C  | N  | O  | F  | Ne |
| Na | Mg |         | Al | Si | P  | S  | Cl | Ar |
| K  | Ca | d-block | Ga | Ge | As | Se | Br | Kr |
| Rb | Sr |         | In | Sn | Sb | Te | I  | Xe |
| Cs | Ba |         | Tl | Pb | Bi | Po | At | Rn |
| Fr | Ra |         |    |    |    |    |    |    |

### 14.1 Introduction

The rationalization of the properties of the group 15 elements (nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic, antimony and bismuth) and their compounds is difficult, despite there being some general similarities in trends of the group 13, 14 and 15 elements, e.g. increase in metallic character and stabilities of lower oxidation states on descending the group. Although the ‘diagonal’ line ([Figure 6.8](#)) can be drawn between As and Sb, formally separating non-metallic and metallic elements, the distinction is not well defined and should be treated with caution.

Very little of the chemistry of the group 15 elements is that of simple ions. Although metal nitrides and phosphides that react with water are usually considered to contain  $\text{N}^{3-}$  and  $\text{P}^{3-}$  ions, electrostatic considerations make it doubtful whether these ionic formulations are correct. The only definite case of a simple cation in a *chemical* environment is that of  $\text{Bi}^{3+}$ , and nearly all the chemistry of the group 15 elements involves covalently bonded compounds. The thermochemical basis of the chemistry of such species is much harder to establish than that of ionic compounds. In addition, they are much more likely to be *kinetically* inert, both to substitution reactions (e.g.  $\text{NF}_3$  to hydrolysis,  $[\text{H}_2\text{PO}_2]^-$  to deuteration), and to oxidation or reduction when these processes involve making or breaking covalent bonds, as well as the transfer of electrons. Nitrogen, for example, forms a range of oxoacids and oxoanions, and in aqueous media can exist in all oxidation states from +5 to -3, e.g.  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ ,  $[\text{NO}_2]^-$ ,  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$ . Tables of standard reduction potentials (usually calculated from thermodynamic data) or potential diagrams (see [Section 7.5](#)) are of limited use in summarizing the relationships between these species. Although they provide information about the thermodynamics of possible reactions, they say nothing about the kinetics. Much the same is true about the chemistry of phosphorus. The chemistry of the first two members of group 15 is far more extensive than that of As, Sb and Bi, and we can mention only a small fraction of the known inorganic compounds of N and P. In our discussions, we shall need to emphasize *kinetic* factors more than in earlier chapters.

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL


## Box 14.1 The changing role of arsenic in the wood-preserving industry

The toxicity of arsenic is well known, and the element features regularly in crime novels as a poison. A lethal dose is of the order of  $\approx 130$  mg. Despite this hazard, arsenic was used in agricultural pesticides until replaced by effective organic compounds in the second half of the twentieth century. While this use of arsenic declined, its application in the form of chromated copper arsenate (CCA) in wood preservatives increased from the 1970s to 2000 (see the graph and chart below). Wood for a wide range of construction purposes has been treated under high pressure with CCA, resulting in a product with a higher resistance to decay caused by insect and larvae infestation. Typically,  $1\text{ m}^3$  of pressure-treated wood contains approximately 0.8 kg of arsenic, and therefore the total quantities used in the construction and garden landscape businesses pose a major environmental risk. Once pressure-treated wood is

destroyed by burning, the residual ash contains high concentrations of arsenic. Wood left to rot releases arsenic into the ground. Added to this, the chromium waste from the wood preservative is also toxic.

The 2002 US Presidential Green Chemistry Challenge Awards (see *Box 8.3*) recognized the development of a copper-based ‘environmentally advanced wood preservative’ as a replacement for chromated copper arsenate. The new preservative contains a copper(II) complex and a quaternary ammonium salt. Its introduction into the market coincides with a change of policy within the wood-preserving industry: arsenic-based products should have been eliminated by the end of 2003.

The graph below shows how the uses of arsenic in the US changed between 1970 and 2000, and the chart shows the uses of arsenic in the US in 2001.



[Data: US Geological Survey]

## Further reading

D. Bleiwas (2000) US Geological Survey, <http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/mflow/d00-0195/> – ‘Arsenic and old waste’.


Arsenic is extremely toxic and this is discussed further in *Box 14.1*.

## 14.2 Occurrence, extraction and uses

## Occurrence

Figure 14.1a illustrates the relative abundances of the group 15 elements in the Earth’s crust. Naturally occurring  $\text{N}_2$

makes up 78% (by volume) of the Earth’s atmosphere (Figure 14.1b) and contains  $\approx 0.36\%$   $^{15}\text{N}$ . The latter is useful for isotopic labelling and can be obtained in concentrated form by chemical exchange processes similar to those exemplified for  $^{13}\text{C}$  in *Section 2.10*. Because of the availability of  $\text{N}_2$  in the atmosphere and its requirement by living organisms (in which N is present as proteins), the *fixing of nitrogen* in forms in which it may be assimilated by plants is of great importance. Attempts to devise synthetic nitrogen-fixation processes (see *Section 28.4*) that mimic the action of bacteria living in root nodules of



**Fig. 14.1** (a) Relative abundances of the group 15 elements in the Earth's crust. The data are plotted on a logarithmic scale. The units of abundance are parts per billion (1 billion =  $10^9$ ). (b) The main components (by percentage volume) of the Earth's atmosphere.


leguminous plants have not yet been successful, although N<sub>2</sub> can be fixed by other processes, e.g. its industrial conversion to NH<sub>3</sub> (see [Section 14.5](#)). The only natural source of nitrogen suitably 'fixed' for uptake by plants is crude NaNO<sub>3</sub> (*Chile saltpetre* or *sodanitre*) which occurs in the deserts of South America.

Phosphorus is an essential constituent of plant and animal tissue; calcium phosphate occurs in bones and teeth, and phosphate esters of nucleotides (e.g. DNA, [Figure 9.11](#)) are of immense biological significance (see [Box 14.12](#)). Phosphorus occurs naturally in the form of *apatites*, Ca<sub>5</sub>X(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, the important minerals being *fluorapatite* (X = F), *chlorapatite* (X = Cl) and *hydroxyapatite* (X = OH). Major deposits of the apatite-containing ore *phosphate rock* occur in North Africa, North America, Asia and the Middle East. Although arsenic occurs in the elemental form, commercial sources of the element are *mispickel* (*arsenopyrite*, FeAsS), *realgar* (As<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub>) and *orpiment* (As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>). Native antimony is rare and the only commercial ore is *stibnite* (Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>). Bismuth occurs as the element, and as the ores *bismuthinite* (Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>) and *bismite* (Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>).


## Extraction

The industrial separation of N<sub>2</sub> is discussed in [Section 14.4](#). Mining of phosphate rock takes place on a vast scale (in 2001, 126 Mt was mined worldwide), with the majority destined for the production of fertilizers (see [Box 14.11](#)) and animal feed supplements. Elemental phosphorus is extracted from phosphate rock (which approximates in composition to Ca<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) by heating with sand and coke in an electric furnace (equation 14.1); phosphorus vapour

distils out and is condensed under water to yield white phosphorus.



The principal source of As is FeAsS, and the element is extracted by heating (equation 14.2) and condensing the As sublimate. An additional method is air-oxidation of arsenic sulfide ores to give As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> which is then reduced by C; As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is also recovered on a large scale from flue dusts in Cu and Pb smelters.



Antimony is obtained from stibnite by reduction using scrap iron (equation 14.3) or by conversion to Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> followed by reduction with C.



The extraction of Bi from its sulfide or oxide ores involves reduction with carbon (via the oxide when the ore is Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>), but the metal is also obtained as a byproduct of Pb, Cu, Sn, Ag and Au refining processes.

## Uses

In the US, N<sub>2</sub> ranks second in industrial chemicals, and a large proportion of N<sub>2</sub> is converted to NH<sub>3</sub> (see [Box 14.3](#)). Gaseous N<sub>2</sub> is widely used to provide inert atmospheres, both industrially (e.g. in the electronics industry during the production of transistors etc.) and in laboratories. Liquid N<sub>2</sub> (bp 77 K) is an important coolant ([Table 14.1](#)) with


**Table 14.1** Selected low-temperature baths involving liquid N<sub>2</sub>.<sup>‡</sup>

| Bath contents                          | Temperature / K |
|--|-----------------|
| Liquid N <sub>2</sub> + cyclohexane    | 279             |
| Liquid N <sub>2</sub> + acetonitrile   | 232             |
| Liquid N <sub>2</sub> + octane         | 217             |
| Liquid N <sub>2</sub> + heptane        | 182             |
| Liquid N <sub>2</sub> + hexa-1,5-diene | 132             |

<sup>‡</sup> To prepare a liquid N<sub>2</sub> slush bath, liquid N<sub>2</sub> is poured into an appropriate solvent which is constantly stirred. See also Table 13.5.

applications in some freezing processes. Nitrogen-based chemicals are extremely important, and include nitrogenous fertilizers (see Box 14.3), nitric acid (see Box 14.9) and nitrate salts, explosives such as nitroglycerine (14.1) and trinitrotoluene (TNT, 14.2), nitrite salts (e.g. in the curing of meat where they prevent discoloration by inhibiting oxidation of blood), cyanides and azides (e.g. in motor

vehicle airbags where decomposition produces N<sub>2</sub> to inflate the airbag, see equation 14.4).




By far the most important application of phosphorus is in phosphate fertilizers, and in Box 14.11 we highlight this use and possible associated environmental problems. Bone ash (calcium phosphate) is used in the manufacture of bone china. Most white phosphorus is converted to H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, or to compounds such as P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>10</sub>, PCl<sub>3</sub> and POCl<sub>3</sub>. Phosphoric acid is industrially very important and is used on a large scale in the production of fertilizers, detergents and food additives. It is responsible for the sharp taste of many soft drinks, and is used to remove oxide and scale from the


## APPLICATIONS

### Box 14.2 Phosphorus-containing nerve gases

Development of nerve gases during the latter half of the twentieth century became coupled not just with their actual use, but with the threat of potential use during war. Two examples are Sarin and Soman, which function by enzyme inhibition in the nervous system; inhalation of ≈1 mg is fatal.




Policies of many countries are now for chemical weapon disarmament, and programmes for the destruction of stockpiled nerve gases have been enforced. A problem for those involved in developing destruction processes is to ensure that end-products are harmless. Sarin, for example, may be destroyed by hydrolysis:



and the use of aqueous NaOH results in the formation of effectively harmless sodium salts.

Rapid detection of chemical warfare agents is essential. One method that has been investigated makes use of the release of HF from the hydrolysis of the fluorophosphonate agent. The reaction is catalysed by a Cu(II) complex containing the Me<sub>2</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NMe<sub>2</sub> ligand:



The reaction is carried out over a thin film of porous silicon (which contains the Cu(II) catalyst), the surface of which has been oxidized. As HF is produced from the hydrolysis of the fluorophosphonate, it reacts with the surface SiO<sub>2</sub>, producing gaseous SiF<sub>4</sub>:



Porous silicon is luminescent, and the above reaction results in changes in the emission spectrum of the porous silicon and provides a method of detecting the R<sub>2</sub>P(O)F agent.

#### Further reading

- H. Sohn, S. Létant, M.J. Sailor and W.C. Troglor (2000) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 122, p. 5399 – ‘Detection of fluorophosphonate chemical warfare agents by catalytic hydrolysis with a porous silicon interferometer’.
- Y.-C. Yang, J.A. Baker and J.R. Ward (1992) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 92, p. 1729 – ‘Decontamination of chemical warfare agents’.
- Y.-C. Yang (1995) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 334 – ‘Chemical reactions for neutralizing chemical warfare agents’.

**Table 14.2** Some physical properties of the group 15 elements and their ions.

| Property   | N   | P   | As   | Sb   | Bi  |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Atomic number, $Z$   | 7   | 15  | 33   | 51   | 83  |
| Ground state electronic configuration  | [He]2s <sup>2</sup> 2p <sup>3</sup>   | [Ne]3s <sup>2</sup> 3p <sup>3</sup>       | [Ar]3d <sup>10</sup> 4s <sup>2</sup> 4p <sup>3</sup> | [Kr]4d <sup>10</sup> 5s <sup>2</sup> 5p <sup>3</sup>   | [Xe]4f <sup>14</sup> 5d <sup>10</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup> 6p <sup>3</sup> |
| Enthalpy of atomization, $\Delta_a H^\circ(298\text{ K})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$             | 473 <sup>‡</sup>  | 315                                       | 302  | 264  | 210   |
| Melting point, mp / K  | 63  | 317                                       | 887 sublimes   | 904  | 544   |
| Boiling point, bp / K  | 77  | 550                                       | –  | 2023   | 1837  |
| Standard enthalpy of fusion, $\Delta_{\text{fus}} H^\circ(\text{mp})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 0.71  | 0.66                                      | 24.44  | 19.87  | 11.30   |
| First ionization energy, $IE_1/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                       | 1402  | 1012                                      | 947.0  | 830.6  | 703.3   |
| Second ionization energy, $IE_2/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                      | 2856  | 1907                                      | 1798   | 1595   | 1610  |
| Third ionization energy, $IE_3/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                       | 4578  | 2914                                      | 2735   | 2440   | 2466  |
| Fourth ionization energy, $IE_4/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                      | 7475  | 4964                                      | 4837   | 4260   | 4370  |
| Fifth ionization energy, $IE_5/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                       | 9445  | 6274                                      | 6043   | 5400   | 5400  |
| Metallic radius, $r_{\text{metal}}/\text{pm}$  | –   | –   | –  | –  | 182   |
| Covalent radius, $r_{\text{cov}}/\text{pm}^*$  | 75  | 110                                       | 122  | 143  | 152   |
| Ionic radius, $r_{\text{ion}}/\text{pm}^{**}$  | 171 (N <sup>3-</sup> )  | –   | –  | –  | 103 (Bi <sup>3+</sup> )   |
| NMR active nuclei (% abundance, nuclear spin)  | <sup>14</sup> N (99.6, $I = 1$ )<br><sup>15</sup> N (0.4, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) | <sup>31</sup> P (100, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) | <sup>75</sup> As (100, $I = \frac{3}{2}$ )           | <sup>121</sup> Sb (57.3, $I = \frac{5}{2}$ )<br><sup>123</sup> Sb (42.7, $I = \frac{7}{2}$ ) | <sup>209</sup> Bi (100, $I = \frac{9}{2}$ )                           |

<sup>‡</sup> For nitrogen,  $\Delta_a H^\circ = \frac{1}{2} \times$  dissociation energy of N<sub>2</sub>.

\* For 3-coordination.

\*\* For 6-coordination.

surfaces of iron and steel. Phosphorus trichloride is also manufactured on a large scale; it is a precursor to many organophosphorus compounds, including nerve gases (see [Box 14.2](#)), flame retardants (see [Box 16.1](#)) and insecticides. Phosphorus is important in steel manufacture and phosphor bronzes. Red phosphorus (see [Section 14.4](#)) is used in safety matches and in the generation of smoke (e.g. fireworks, smoke bombs).

Arsenic salts and arsines are extremely toxic, and uses of arsenic compounds in weedkillers, sheep- and cattle-dips, and poisons against vermin are less widespread than was once the case (see [Box 14.1](#)). Antimony compounds are less toxic, but large doses result in liver damage. Potassium antimony tartrate (*tartar emetic*) was used medicinally as an emetic and expectorant but has now been replaced by less toxic reagents. Bismuth is one of the less toxic heavy metals and compounds, such as the subcarbonate (BiO)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, find use in stomach remedies including treatments for peptic ulcers.

Arsenic is a doping agent in semiconductors (see [Section 5.9](#)) and GaAs has widespread uses in solid state devices and semiconductors. Uses of As (see [Box 14.1](#)) include those in the semiconductor industry, in alloys (e.g. it increases the strength of Pb) and in batteries. Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is used in paints, adhesives and plastics, and as a flame retardant (see [Box 16.1](#)). Uses of Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> include those in photoelectric devices and electrophotographic recording materials, and as a flame retardant. Major uses of bismuth are in alloys (e.g. with Sn) and as Bi-containing compounds such as BiOCl in cosmetic products (e.g. creams, hair dyes and tints). Other uses are as oxidation catalysts and in high-temperature superconductors; Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has many uses in the glass and

ceramics industry, and for catalysts and magnets. The move towards lead-free solders (see [Box 13.4](#)) has resulted in increased use of Bi-containing solders, e.g. Sn/Bi/Ag alloys. A number of other applications are emerging in which Bi substitutes for Pb, for example in bismuth shot for game-hunting.<sup>†</sup>

### 14.3 Physical properties

Table 14.2 lists selected physical properties of the group 15 elements. Some observations regarding ionization energies are that:


- they increase rather sharply after removal of the  $p$  electrons;
- they decrease only slightly between P and As (similar behaviour to that between Al and Ga, and between Si and Ge);
- for removal of the  $s$  electrons, there is an increase between Sb and Bi, just as between In and Tl, and between Sn and Pb (see [Box 12.3](#)).

Values of  $\Delta_a H^\circ$  decrease steadily from N to Bi, paralleling similar trends in groups 13 and 14.


<sup>†</sup> Studies have indicated that bismuth may be not without toxic side-effects: R. Pamphlett, G. Danscher, J. Runby and M. Stoltenberg (2000) *Environmental Research Section A*, vol. 82, p. 258 – ‘Tissue uptake of bismuth from shotgun pellets’.

**Worked example 14.1**  
**Thermochemical data for the group 15 elements**

At 298 K, the values of the enthalpy changes for the processes:




and




are  $\approx 0$  and  $2120 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Comment on these data.

The ground state electronic configuration of N is  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^3$  and the process:




involves the addition of an electron into a  $2p$  atomic orbital to create a spin-paired pair of electrons. Repulsive interactions between the valence electrons of the N atom and the incoming electron would give rise to a positive enthalpy term. This is offset by a negative enthalpy term associated with the attraction between the nucleus and the incoming electron. In the case of nitrogen, these two terms essentially compensate for one another.


The process:



is highly endothermic. After the addition of the first electron, electron repulsion between the  $\text{N}^-$  ion and the incoming electron is the dominant term, making the process:



endothermic. Similarly, the process:



is highly endothermic.

**Self-study exercises**

1. Comment on reasons for the trend in the first five ionization energies for bismuth (703, 1610, 2466, 4370 and  $5400 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ).  
[Ans. Refer to Section 1.10 and Box 12.3]
2. Give an explanation for the trend in values of  $IE_1$  down group 15 (N, 1402; P, 1012; As, 947; Sb, 831; Bi,  $703 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ).  
[Ans. Refer to Section 1.10]
3. Why is there a decrease in the values of  $IE_1$  on going from N to O, and from P to S?  
[Ans. Refer to Section 1.10 and Box 1.7]


**Bonding considerations**

Analogies between groups 14 and 15 are seen if we consider certain bonding aspects. Table 14.3 lists some covalent bond enthalpy terms for group 15 elements. Data for most single bonds follow trends reminiscent of those in group 14

**Table 14.3** Some covalent bond enthalpy terms ( $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ ); the values for single bonds refer to the group 15 elements in 3-coordinate environments, and values for triple bonds are for dissociation of the appropriate diatomic molecule.

|       |                        |     |      |      |       |      |
|-------|------------------------|-----|------|------|-------|------|
| N–N   | N=N                    | N≡N | N–H  | N–F  | N–Cl  | N–O  |
| 160   | $\approx 400^\ddagger$ | 946 | 391  | 272  | 193   | 201  |
| P–P   |                        | P≡P | P–H  | P–F  | P–Cl  | P–O  |
| 209   |                        | 490 | 322  | 490  | 319   | 340  |
| As–As |                        |     | As–H | As–F | As–Cl | As–O |
| 180   |                        |     | 296  | 464  | 317   | 330  |
|       |                        |     |      |      | Sb–Cl |      |
|       |                        |     |      |      | 312   |      |
|       |                        |     |      |      | Bi–Cl |      |
|       |                        |     |      |      | 280   |      |


$^\ddagger$  See text.




(Table 13.2); e.g. N forms stronger bonds with H than does P, but weaker bonds with F, Cl or O. These observations, together with the absence of stable P-containing analogues of  $\text{N}_2$ , NO, HCN,  $[\text{N}_3]^-$  and  $[\text{NO}_2]^+$  (14.3–14.7), indicate that strong ( $p$ – $p$ ) $\pi$ -bonding is important only for the first member of group 15.<sup>†</sup> It can be argued that differences between the chemistries of nitrogen and the heavier group 15 elements (e.g. existence of  $\text{PF}_5$ ,  $\text{AsF}_5$ ,  $\text{SbF}_5$  and  $\text{BiF}_5$ , but not  $\text{NF}_5$ ) arise from the fact that an N atom is simply too small to accommodate five atoms around it. Historically, the differences have been attributed to the availability of  $d$ -orbitals on P, As, Sb and Bi, but not on N. However, even in the presence of electronegative atoms which would lower the energy of the  $d$ -orbitals, it is now considered that these orbitals play no significant role in hypervalent compounds of the group 15 (and later) elements. As we saw in Chapter 4, it is possible to account for the bonding in hypervalent molecules of the  $p$ -block elements in terms of a valence set of  $ns$  and  $np$  orbitals, and we should be cautious about using  $sp^3d$  and  $sp^3d^2$  hybridization schemes to describe trigonal bipyramidal and octahedral species of  $p$ -block elements. Although we shall show molecular structures of compounds in which P, As, Sb and Bi are in oxidation states of +5 (e.g.  $\text{PCl}_5$ ,  $[\text{PO}_4]^{3-}$ ,

<sup>†</sup> For an account of attempts to prepare  $[\text{PO}_2]^+$  by  $\text{F}^-$  abstraction from  $[\text{PO}_2\text{F}_2]^-$ , see: S. Schneider, A. Vij, J.A. Sheehy, F.S. Tham, T. Schroer and K.O. Christe (1999) *Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie*, vol. 627, p. 631.

[SbF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup>), the representation of a line between two atoms does not necessarily mean the presence of a localized two-centre two electron bond. Similarly, the representation of a double line between two atoms does not necessarily imply that the interaction comprises covalent  $\sigma$ - and  $\pi$ -contributions. For example, while it is often convenient to draw structures for Me<sub>3</sub>PO and PF<sub>5</sub> as:




it is more realistic to show the role that charge-separated species play when one is discussing the electronic distribution in ions or molecules, i.e.



Furthermore, PF<sub>5</sub> should really be represented by a series of resonance structures to provide a description that accounts for the equivalence of the two axial P–F bonds and the equivalence of the three equatorial P–F bonds. When we wish to focus on the *structure* of a molecule rather than on its bonding, charge-separated representations are not always the best option because they often obscure the observed geometry. This problem is readily seen by looking at the charge-separated representation of PF<sub>5</sub>, in which the trigonal bipyramidal structure of PF<sub>5</sub> is not immediately apparent.

The largest *difference* between groups 14 and 15 lies in the relative strengths of the N≡N (in N<sub>2</sub>) and N–N (in N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) bonds compared with those of C≡C and C–C bonds (Tables 14.3 and 13.2). There is some uncertainty about a value for the N=N bond enthalpy term because of difficulty in choosing a reference compound, but the approximate value given in Table 14.3 is seen to be *more* than twice that of the N–N bond, whereas the C=C bond is significantly *less* than twice as strong as the C–C bond (Table 13.2). While N<sub>2</sub> is thermodynamically stable with respect to oligomerization to species containing N–N bonds, HC≡CH is thermodynamically unstable with respect to species with C–C bonds. [See [problem 14.2](#) at the end of the chapter.] Similarly, the dimerization of P<sub>2</sub> to tetrahedral P<sub>4</sub> is thermodynamically favourable. The  $\sigma$ - and  $\pi$ -contributions that contribute to the very high strength of the N≡N bond (which makes many nitrogen compounds endothermic and most of the others only *slightly* exothermic) were discussed in [Section 1.13](#). However, the particular weakness of the N–N single bond calls for comment. The O–O (146 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and F–F (159 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> in F<sub>2</sub>) bonds are also very weak, much weaker than S–S or Cl–Cl bonds. In N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>2</sub>, the N, O or F atoms carry lone pairs, and it is believed that the N–N,



**Fig. 14.2** Schematic representation of the electronic repulsion, believed to weaken the F–F bond in F<sub>2</sub>. This represents the simplest example of a phenomenon that also occurs in N–N and O–O single bonds.

O–O and F–F bonds are weakened by repulsion between lone pairs on adjacent atoms (Figure 14.2). Lone pairs on larger atoms (e.g. in Cl<sub>2</sub>) are further apart and experience less mutual repulsion. Each N atom in N<sub>2</sub> also has a non-bonding lone pair, but they are directed away from each other. Table 14.3 illustrates that N–O, N–F and N–Cl are also rather weak and, again, interactions between lone pairs of electrons can be used to rationalize these data. However, when N is singly bonded to an atom with no lone pairs (e.g. H), the bond is strong. In pursuing such arguments, we must remember that in a heteronuclear bond, extra energy contributions may be attributed to partial ionic character (see [Section 1.15](#)).

Another important difference between N and the later group 15 elements is the ability of N to take part in strong hydrogen bonding (see [Sections 9.6](#) and [14.5](#)). This arises from the much higher electronegativity of N ( $\chi^{\text{P}} = 3.0$ ) compared with values for the later elements ( $\chi^{\text{P}}$  values: P, 2.2; As, 2.2; Sb, 2.1; Bi, 2.0). The ability of the first row element to participate in hydrogen bonding is also seen in group 16 (e.g. O–H⋯O and N–H⋯O interactions) and group 17 (e.g. O–H⋯F, N–H⋯F interactions). For carbon, the first member of group 14, weak hydrogen bonds (e.g. C–H⋯O interactions) are important in the solid state structures of small molecules and biological systems.

## NMR active nuclei

Nuclei that are NMR active are listed in Table 14.2. Routinely, <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy is used in characterizing P-containing species; see for example case studies 1, 2 and 4 and end-of-chapter [problem 2.29](#) in [Chapter 2](#). Chemical shifts are usually reported with respect to  $\delta = 0$  for 85% aqueous H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, but other reference compounds are used, e.g. trimethylphosphite, P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>. The chemical shift range for <sup>31</sup>P is large.

## Radioactive isotopes



Although the only naturally occurring isotope of phosphorus is <sup>31</sup>P, sixteen radioactive isotopes are known. Of

these,  $^{32}\text{P}$  is the most important (see [equations 2.12](#) and [2.13](#)) with its half-life of 14.3 days making it suitable as a tracer.

## 14.4 The elements

### Nitrogen

Dinitrogen is obtained industrially by fractional distillation of liquid air, and the product contains some Ar and traces of  $\text{O}_2$ . Dioxygen can be removed by addition of a small amount of  $\text{H}_2$  and passage over a Pt catalyst, or by bubbling the gas through an aqueous solution of  $\text{CrCl}_2$ . Small amounts of  $\text{N}_2$  can be prepared by thermal decomposition of sodium azide (equation 14.4) or by reactions 14.5 or 14.6. The latter should be carried out cautiously because of the risk of explosion; ammonium nitrite ( $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_2$ ) is potentially explosive, as is ammonium nitrate which is a powerful oxidant and a component of dynamite. In car airbags, the decomposition of  $\text{NaN}_3$  is initiated by an electrical impulse.<sup>†</sup>




Dinitrogen is generally unreactive. It combines slowly with Li at ambient temperatures ([equation 10.6](#)), and, when heated, with the group 2 metals, Al ([Section 12.8](#)), Si, Ge ([Section 13.5](#)) and many *d*-block metals. The reaction between  $\text{CaC}_2$  and  $\text{N}_2$  is used industrially for manufacturing the nitrogenous fertilizer calcium cyanamide ([equations 13.28](#) and [13.29](#)). Many elements (e.g. Na, Hg, S) which are inert towards  $\text{N}_2$  do react with atomic nitrogen, produced by passing  $\text{N}_2$  through an electric discharge. At ambient temperatures,  $\text{N}_2$  is reduced to hydrazine ( $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ ) by vanadium(II) and magnesium hydroxides. We consider the reaction of  $\text{N}_2$  with  $\text{H}_2$  later in the chapter.

A large number of *d*-block metal complexes containing coordinated  $\text{N}_2$  are known (see [Figure 14.9](#) and [equations 22.95](#) and [22.96](#) and discussion);  $\text{N}_2$  is isoelectronic with CO and the bonding in complexes containing the  $\text{N}_2$  ligand can be described in a similar manner to that in metal carbonyl complexes (see [Chapter 23](#)).


### Phosphorus

Phosphorus exhibits complicated allotropy; eleven forms have been reported, of which at least five are crystalline. Crystalline white phosphorus contains tetrahedral  $\text{P}_4$  molecules (Figure 14.3a) in which the P–P distances (221 pm) are consistent with single bonds ( $r_{\text{cov}} = 110$  pm). White phosphorus is defined as the standard state of the element, but is actually



**Fig. 14.3** (a) The tetrahedral  $\text{P}_4$  molecule found in white phosphorus. (b) Part of one of the chain-like arrays of atoms present in the infinite lattice of Hittorf's phosphorus; the repeat unit contains 21 atoms, and atoms P' and P'' are equivalent atoms in adjacent chains, with chains connected through P'–P'' bonds. (c) Part of one layer of puckered six-membered rings present in black phosphorus and in the rhombohedral allotropes of arsenic, antimony and bismuth.

metastable (equation 14.7) (see [Section 13.4](#)). The lower stability of the white form probably originates from strain associated with the  $60^\circ$  bond angles.




White phosphorus is manufactured by reaction 14.1, and heating this allotrope in an inert atmosphere at  $\approx 540$  K produces red phosphorus. Several crystalline forms of red phosphorus exist, and all probably possess infinite lattices.<sup>‡</sup> Hittorf's phosphorus (also called violet phosphorus) is a well-characterized form of the red allotrope and its complicated structure is best described in terms of interlocking

<sup>†</sup> A. Madlung (1996) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 73, p. 347 – 'The chemistry behind the air bag'.


<sup>‡</sup> For recent details, see: H. Hartl (1995) *Angewante Chemie International Edition in English*, vol. 34, p. 2637 – 'New evidence concerning the structure of amorphous red phosphorus'.

chains (Figure 14.3b). Non-bonded chains lie parallel to each other to give layers, and the chains in one layer lie at right-angles to the chains in the next layer, being connected by the P'–P'' bonds shown in Figure 14.3b. All P–P bond distances are  $\approx 222$  pm, indicating covalent single bonds. Black phosphorus is the most stable allotrope and is obtained by heating white phosphorus under high pressure. Its appearance and electrical conductivity resemble those of graphite, and it possesses a double-layer lattice of puckered 6-membered rings (Figure 14.3c); P–P distances within a layer are 220 pm and the shortest interlayer P–P distance is 390 pm. On melting, all allotropes give a liquid containing  $P_4$  molecules, and these are also present in the vapour; above 1070 K or at high pressures,  $P_4$  is in equilibrium with  $P_2$  (14.8).




Most of the chemical differences between the allotropes of phosphorus are due to differences in activation energies for reactions. Black phosphorus is kinetically inert and does not ignite in air even at 670 K. Red phosphorus is intermediate in reactivity between the white and black allotropes. It is not poisonous, is insoluble in organic solvents, does not react with aqueous alkali, and ignites in air above 520 K. It reacts with halogens, sulfur and metals, but less vigorously than does white phosphorus. The latter is a soft, waxy solid which becomes yellow on exposure to light; it is very poisonous, being readily absorbed into the blood and liver. White phosphorus is soluble in benzene,  $PCl_3$  and  $CS_2$  but is virtually insoluble in water, and is stored under water to prevent oxidation. In moist air, it undergoes *chemiluminescent* oxidation, emitting a green glow and slowly forming  $P_4O_8$  (see Section 14.10) and some  $O_3$ ; the chain reaction involved is extremely complicated.

A *chemiluminescent* reaction is one that is accompanied by the emission of light.





Above 323 K, white phosphorus inflames, yielding phosphorus(V) oxide (equation 14.8); in a limited supply of air,  $P_4O_6$  may form. White phosphorus combines violently with all of the halogens giving  $PX_3$  ( $X = F, Cl, Br, I$ ) or  $PX_5$  ( $X = F, Cl, Br$ ) depending on the relative amounts of  $P_4$  and  $X_2$ . Concentrated  $HNO_3$  oxidizes  $P_4$  to  $H_3PO_4$ , and



with hot aqueous  $NaOH$ , reaction 14.9 occurs, some  $H_2$  and  $P_2H_4$  also being formed.



Reaction 14.10 yields  $Li_2P_{16}$ , while  $Li_3P_{21}$  and  $Li_4P_{26}$  can be obtained by altering the ratio of  $P_4 : LiPH_2$ . The structures of the phosphide ions  $[P_{16}]^{2-}$ , 14.9,  $[P_{21}]^{3-}$ , 14.10, and  $[P_{26}]^{4-}$  are related to one chain in Hittorf's phosphorus (Figure 14.3b).



Like  $N_2$ ,  $P_4$  can act as a ligand in *d*-block metal complexes. Examples of different coordination modes of  $P_4$  are shown in structures 14.11–14.13.



## Arsenic, antimony and bismuth

Arsenic vapour contains  $As_4$  molecules, and the unstable yellow form of solid As probably also contains these units; at relatively low temperatures, Sb vapour contains molecular  $Sb_4$ . At room temperature and pressure, As, Sb and Bi are grey solids with lattice structures resembling that of black phosphorus (Figure 14.3c). On descending the group, although intralayer bond distances increase as expected, similar increases in interlayer spacing do not occur, and the coordination number of each atom effectively changes from 3 (Figure 14.3c) to 6 (three atoms within a layer and three in the next layer).

Arsenic, antimony and bismuth burn in air (equation 14.11) and combine with halogens (see [Section 14.7](#)).



They are not attacked by non-oxidizing acids but react with concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  to give  $\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4$  (hydrated  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_5$ ), hydrated  $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{Bi}(\text{NO}_3)_3$  respectively, and with concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  to produce  $\text{As}_4\text{O}_6$ ,  $\text{Sb}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  and  $\text{Bi}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  respectively. None of the elements reacts with aqueous alkali, but As is attacked by fused  $\text{NaOH}$  (equation 14.12).



## 14.5 Hydrides

### Trihydrides, $\text{EH}_3$ (E = N, P, As, Sb and Bi)

Each group 15 element forms a trihydride, selected properties of which are given in Table 14.4; the lack of data for  $\text{BiH}_3$  stems from its instability. The variation in boiling points ([Figure 9.6b](#), Table 14.4) is one of the strongest pieces of evidence for hydrogen bond formation by nitrogen. Further evidence comes from the fact that  $\text{NH}_3$  has a greater value of  $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ$  and surface tension than the later trihydrides. Thermal stabilities of these compounds decrease down the group ( $\text{BiH}_3$  decomposes above 228 K), and this trend is reflected in the bond enthalpy terms (Table 14.3). Ammonia is the only trihydride to possess a negative value of  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  (Table 14.4).

#### Worked example 14.2

#### Bond enthalpies in group 15 hydrides

Given that  $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K})$  for  $\text{PH}_3(\text{g})$  is  $+5.4\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , calculate a value for the P–H bond enthalpy term in  $\text{PH}_3$ . [Other data: see [Appendix 10](#).]


**Table 14.4** Selected data for the group 15 trihydrides,  $\text{EH}_3$ .

|  | $\text{NH}_3$      | $\text{PH}_3$            | $\text{AsH}_3$     | $\text{SbH}_3$       | $\text{BiH}_3$ |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Name (IUPAC recommended) <sup>‡</sup>                        | Ammonia<br>(azane) | Phosphine<br>(phosphane) | Arsine<br>(arsane) | Stibine<br>(stibane) | Bismuthane     |
| Melting point / K  | 195.5              | 140                      | 157                | 185                  | 206            |
| Boiling point / K  | 240                | 185.5                    | 210.5              | 256                  | 290*           |
| $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ(\text{bp}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 23.3               | 14.6                     | 16.7               | 21.3                 | –              |
| $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$        | –45.9              | 5.4                      | 66.4               | 145.1                | 277*           |
| Dipole moment / D  | 1.47               | 0.57                     | 0.20               | 0.12                 | –              |
| E–H bond distance / pm                                       | 101.2              | 142.0                    | 151.1              | 170.4                | –              |
| $\angle\text{H–E–H} / \text{deg}$                            | 106.7              | 93.3                     | 92.1               | 91.6                 | –              |

<sup>‡</sup> The common names for the first four trihydrides in the group are generally used; bismuthane is the IUPAC name and no trivial name is recommended.

\* Estimated value.

Construct an appropriate Hess cycle, bearing in mind that the P–H bond enthalpy term can be determined from the standard enthalpy of atomization of  $\text{PH}_3(\text{g})$ .



Standard enthalpies of atomization of the elements are listed in [Appendix 10](#).


$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_a H^\circ(\text{PH}_3, \text{g}) &= \Delta_a H^\circ(\text{P}, \text{g}) + 3\Delta_a H^\circ(\text{PH}_3, \text{g}) - \Delta_f H^\circ(\text{PH}_3, \text{g}) \\
 &= 315 + 3(218) - 5.4 \\
 &= 963.6 = 964\text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (\text{to 3 sig. fig.})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{P–H bond enthalpy term} = \frac{964}{3} = 321\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

#### Self-study exercises

- Using data from [Table 14.3](#) and [Appendix 10](#), calculate a value for  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{NH}_3, \text{g})$ . [Ans.  $-46\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]
- Calculate a value for the Bi–H bond enthalpy term in  $\text{BiH}_3$  using data from [Table 14.4](#) and [Appendix 10](#). [Ans.  $196\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]
- Use data in [Table 14.4](#) and [Appendix 10](#) to calculate the As–H bond enthalpy term in  $\text{AsH}_3$ . [Ans.  $297\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

Ammonia is obtained by the action of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  on the nitrides of Li or Mg (equation 14.13), by heating  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  salts with base (e.g. reaction 14.14), or by reducing a nitrate or nitrite in alkaline solution with Zn or Al (e.g. reaction 14.15).




Trihydrides of the later elements are best made by method 14.16, or by acid hydrolysis of phosphides, arsenides, antimonides or bismuthides (e.g. reaction 14.17). Phosphine can also be made by reaction 14.18,  $[\text{PH}_4]\text{I}$  being prepared from  $\text{P}_2\text{I}_4$  (see [Section 14.7](#)).



The industrial manufacture of  $\text{NH}_3$  (see [Figure 26.13](#)) involves the Haber process (reaction 14.19), and the

manufacture of the  $\text{H}_2$  (see [Section 9.4](#)) required contributes significantly to the overall cost of the process.




The Haber process is a classic application of physicochemical principles to a system in equilibrium. The decrease in number of moles of gas means that  $\Delta_r S^\circ(298 \text{ K})$  is negative. For industrial viability,  $\text{NH}_3$  must be formed in optimum yield *and* at a reasonable rate; increasing the temperature increases the rate of reaction, but decreases the yield since the forward reaction is exothermic. At a given temperature, both the equilibrium yield and the reaction rate are increased by working at high pressures; the presence of a suitable catalyst (see [Section 26.7](#)) also increases the rate; the rate-determining step is the dissociation of  $\text{N}_2$  into N atoms chemisorbed onto the catalyst. The optimum reaction

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 14.3 Ammonia: an industrial giant

Ammonia is manufactured on a huge scale, the major producers being China, the US, India and Russia. The graph below

shows the trends for world and US production of  $\text{NH}_3$  between 1980 and 2000.



[Data: US Geological Survey]

Agriculture demands vast quantities of fertilizers to supplement soil nutrients; this is critical when the same land is used year after year for crop production. Essential nutrients are N, P, K (the three required in largest amounts), Ca, Mg and S plus trace elements. In 2002, in the US, direct use and its conversion into other nitrogenous fertilizers accounted for  $\approx 88\%$  of all  $\text{NH}_3$  produced. In addition to  $\text{NH}_3$  itself, the nitrogen-rich compound  $\text{CO}(\text{NH}_2)_2$  (urea) is of prime importance, along with


$[\text{NH}_4][\text{NO}_3]$  and  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{HPO}_4]$  (which has the benefit of supplying both N and P nutrients);  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{SO}_4]$  accounts for a smaller portion of the market. The remaining 12% of  $\text{NH}_3$  produced was used in the synthetic fibre industry (e.g. nylon-6, nylon-6,6 and rayon), manufacture of explosives (see structures [14.1](#) and [14.2](#)), resins and miscellaneous chemicals.

Phosphorus-containing fertilizers are highlighted in [Box 14.11](#).

conditions are  $T = 723 \text{ K}$ ,  $P = 202\,600 \text{ kPa}$ , and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  mixed with  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$  and  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  as the heterogeneous catalyst; the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  is reduced to give the catalytically active  $\alpha\text{-Fe}$ . The  $\text{NH}_3$  formed is either liquefied or dissolved in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to form a saturated solution of specific gravity 0.880.

### Worked example 14.3 Thermodynamics of $\text{NH}_3$ formation

For the equilibrium:



values of  $\Delta_r H^\circ(298 \text{ K})$  and  $\Delta_r G^\circ(298 \text{ K})$  are  $-45.9$  and  $-16.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , respectively. Calculate  $\Delta_r S^\circ(298 \text{ K})$  and comment on the value.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_r G^\circ &= \Delta_r H^\circ - T\Delta_r S^\circ \\ \Delta_r S^\circ &= \frac{\Delta_r H^\circ - \Delta_r G^\circ}{T} \\ &= \frac{-45.9 - (-16.4)}{298} \\ &= -0.0990 \text{ kJ K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1} = -99.0 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$



The negative value is consistent with a decrease in the number of moles of gas in going from the left- to right-hand side of the equilibrium.

### Self-study exercises



These exercises all refer to the equilibrium given in the worked example.

- Determine  $\ln K$  at 298 K. [Ans. 6.62]
- At 700 K,  $\Delta_r H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_r G^\circ$  are  $-52.7$  and  $+27.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , respectively. Determine a value for  $\Delta_r S^\circ$  under these conditions. [Ans.  $-114 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ]
- Determine  $\ln K$  at 700 K. [Ans.  $-4.67$ ]
- Comment on your answer to question 3, given that the optimum temperature for the industrial synthesis of  $\text{NH}_3$  is 723 K.


Ammonia is a colourless gas with a pungent odour; Table 14.4 lists selected properties and structural data for the trigonal pyramidal molecule **14.14**, the barrier to inversion for which is very low ( $24 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ). Oxidation products of  $\text{NH}_3$  depend on conditions. Reaction 14.20 occurs on combustion in  $\text{O}_2$ , but at  $\approx 1200 \text{ K}$  in the presence of a Pt/Rh catalyst and a contact time of  $\approx 1 \text{ ms}$ , the less exothermic reaction 14.21 takes place. This reaction forms part of the manufacturing process for  $\text{HNO}_3$  (see Section 14.9).




The solubility of  $\text{NH}_3$  in water is greater than that of any other gas, doubtless because of hydrogen bond formation between  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The equilibrium constant (at 298 K) for reaction 14.22 shows that nearly all the dissolved  $\text{NH}_3$  is *non-ionized*, consistent with the fact that even dilute solutions retain the characteristic smell of  $\text{NH}_3$ . Since  $K_w = 10^{-14}$ , it follows that the aqueous solutions of  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  salts of strong acids (e.g.  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ ) are slightly acidic (equation 14.23). (See *worked example 6.2* for calculations relating to equilibria 14.22 and 14.23, and *worked example 6.3* for the relationship between  $\text{p}K_a$  and  $\text{p}K_b$ .)



Ammonium salts are easily prepared by neutralization reactions, e.g. equation 14.24. Industrial syntheses are carried out using the Solvay process (*Figure 10.5*), or reactions 14.25 and 14.26; both ammonium sulfate and nitrate are important fertilizers, and  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  is a component of some explosives (see *equation 14.6*).



Detonation of  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  may be initiated by another explosion, and ammonium perchlorate is similarly metastable with respect to oxidation of the  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  cation by the anion;  $\text{NH}_4\text{ClO}_4$  is used in solid rocket propellants, e.g. in the booster rockets of the space shuttle. ‘Technical ammonium carbonate’ (used in smelling salts) is actually a mixture of  $[\text{NH}_4][\text{HCO}_3]$  and  $[\text{NH}_4][\text{NH}_2\text{CO}_2]$  (ammonium carbamate); the latter is prepared by passing  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$  and steam into a lead chamber, and smells strongly of  $\text{NH}_3$  because carbamic acid is an extremely weak acid (scheme 14.27). Pure carbamic acid ( $\text{H}_2\text{NCO}_2\text{H}$ ) has not been isolated; the compound dissociates completely at 332 K.



Ammonium salts often crystallize with lattices similar to those of the corresponding  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Rb}^+$  or  $\text{Cs}^+$  salts. The  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  ion can be approximated to a sphere (see *Figure*


## APPLICATIONS

## Box 14.4 Thermal decompositions of arsine and stibine: the Marsh test

The thermal decomposition of AsH<sub>3</sub> and SbH<sub>3</sub> is the basis for the Marsh test, which provides a classic example of an analytical technique important in forensic science. The arsenic- or antimony-containing material is first converted to AsH<sub>3</sub> or SbH<sub>3</sub> (e.g. by treatment with Zn and acid, liberating H<sub>2</sub> and the trihydride). Subsequent passage of the gaseous mixture through a heated tube causes the hydrides to decompose, forming brown-black deposits of the elements:



If both As and Sb are present, the relative positions of the deposits establishes their identity; the lower thermal stability of SbH<sub>3</sub> means that it decomposes before AsH<sub>3</sub> in the tube. Treatment of the residues with aqueous NaOCl also distinguishes them since only arsenic dissolves:




5.17) with  $r_{\text{ion}} = 150 \text{ pm}$ , a value similar to that of Rb<sup>+</sup>. However, if, in the solid state, there is potential for hydrogen bonding involving the [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> ions, ammonium salts adopt structures unlike those of their alkali metal analogues, e.g. NH<sub>4</sub>F possesses a wurtzite rather than an NaCl lattice. The majority of [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> salts are soluble in water, with hydrogen bonding between [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>O being a contributing factor. An exception is [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[PtCl<sub>6</sub>].

Phosphine (Table 14.4) is an extremely toxic, colourless gas which is much less soluble in water than is NH<sub>3</sub>. The P–H bond is not polar enough to form hydrogen bonds with H<sub>2</sub>O. In contrast to NH<sub>3</sub>, aqueous solutions of PH<sub>3</sub> are neutral, but in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>, PH<sub>3</sub> acts as an acid (e.g. equation 14.28).




Phosphonium halides, PH<sub>4</sub>X, are formed by treating PH<sub>3</sub> with HX but only the iodide is stable under ambient conditions. The chloride is unstable above 243 K and the bromide decomposes at 273 K. The [PH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> ion is decomposed by water (equation 14.29). Phosphine acts as a Lewis base and a range of adducts (including those with low oxidation state *d*-block metal centres) are known. Examples include H<sub>3</sub>B·PH<sub>3</sub>, Cl<sub>3</sub>B·PH<sub>3</sub>, Ni(PH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (decomposes above 243 K) and Ni(CO)<sub>2</sub>(PH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. Combustion of PH<sub>3</sub> yields H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>.



The hydrides AsH<sub>3</sub> and SbH<sub>3</sub> resemble those of PH<sub>3</sub> (Table 14.4), but they are less stable with respect to decomposition into their elements. Both AsH<sub>3</sub> and SbH<sub>3</sub> are extremely toxic gases, and SbH<sub>3</sub> is liable to explode. They are less basic than PH<sub>3</sub>, but can be protonated with HF in the presence of AsF<sub>5</sub> or SbF<sub>5</sub> (equation 14.30). The salts [AsH<sub>4</sub>][AsF<sub>6</sub>], [AsH<sub>4</sub>][SbF<sub>6</sub>] and [SbH<sub>4</sub>][SbF<sub>6</sub>] form air- and moisture-sensitive crystals which decompose well below 298 K.

Hydrides E<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (E = N, P, As)

Hydrazine, N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, is a colourless liquid (mp 275 K, bp 386 K), miscible with water and with a range of organic solvents, and is corrosive and toxic; its vapour forms explosive mixtures with air. Although  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{N}_2\text{H}_4, 298 \text{ K}) = +50.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> at ambient temperatures is *kinetically* stable with respect to N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>. Alkyl derivatives of hydrazine (see [equation 14.39](#)) have been used as rocket fuels, e.g. combined with N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in the Apollo missions.<sup>†</sup> N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> has uses in the agricultural and plastics industries, and in the removal of O<sub>2</sub> from industrial water boilers to minimize corrosion (the reaction gives N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O). Hydrazine is obtained by the Raschig reaction (the basis for the industrial synthesis) which involves the partial oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub> (equation 14.31). Glue or gelatine is added to inhibit side-reaction 14.32 which otherwise consumes the N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> as it is formed; the additive removes traces of metal ions that catalyse reaction 14.32.





Hydrazine is obtained from the Raschig process as the monohydrate and is used in this form for many purposes. Dehydration is difficult, and direct methods to produce anhydrous N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> include reaction 14.33.




In aqueous solution, N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> usually forms [N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (hydrazinium) salts, but some salts of [N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> have been isolated, e.g. [N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>][SO<sub>4</sub>]. The pK<sub>b</sub> values for hydrazine are given in

<sup>†</sup>O. de Bonn, A. Hammerl, T.M. Klapötke, P. Mayer, H. Piotrowski and H. Zewen (2001) *Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie*, vol. 627, p. 2011 – ‘Plume deposits from bipropellant rocket engines: methylhydrazinium nitrate and *N,N*-dimethylhydrazinium nitrate’.

equations 14.34 and 14.35, and the first step shows  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$  to be a weaker base than  $\text{NH}_3$  (equation 14.22).



Both  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$  and  $[\text{N}_2\text{H}_5]^+$  are reducing agents, and reaction 14.36 is used for the determination of hydrazine.



We have already mentioned the use of  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$  in rocket fuels. The stored energy in explosives and propellants ('high energy density materials') usually arises either from oxidation of an organic framework, or from an inherent high positive enthalpy of formation. For the hydrazinium salt  $[\text{N}_2\text{H}_5]_2$  [14.15] (prepared by reaction 14.37),  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{s}, 298 \text{ K}) = +858 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  (or  $3.7 \text{ kJ g}^{-1}$ ), making  $[\text{N}_2\text{H}_5]_2$  [14.15] a spectacular example of a high energy density material.






Figure 14.4a shows the structure of  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ , and the *gauche* conformation (Figure 14.4a and 14.4b) is also adopted by




**Fig. 14.4** (a) The structure of  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ , and Newman projections showing (b) the observed *gauche* conformation, and (c) the possible staggered conformation. An eclipsed conformation is also possible.


$\text{P}_2\text{H}_4$  in the gas phase. In the solid state,  $\text{P}_2\text{H}_4$  has a staggered conformation (Figure 14.4c) while the related  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_4$  exhibits both conformers. The eclipsed conformation (which would maximize lone pair–lone pair repulsions) is not observed.

Diphosphane,  $\text{P}_2\text{H}_4$ , is a colourless liquid (mp 174 K, bp 329 K), and is toxic and spontaneously inflammable; when heated, it forms higher phosphanes. Diphosphane is formed as a minor product in several reactions in which  $\text{PH}_3$  is prepared (e.g. reaction 14.9) and may be separated from  $\text{PH}_3$  by condensation in a freezing mixture. It exhibits no basic properties.

The  $[\text{P}_3\text{H}_3]^{2-}$  ion is formed in reaction 14.38 and is stabilized by coordination to the sodium centre in  $[\text{Na}(\text{NH}_3)_5][\text{Na}(\text{NH}_3)_3(\text{P}_3\text{H}_3)]^-$ . In the solid state, the H atoms in  $[\text{P}_3\text{H}_3]^{2-}$  are in an all-*trans* configuration (Figure 14.5).




## Chloramine and hydroxylamine




(14.16)

The reactions of  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$  (diluted with  $\text{N}_2$ ) or aqueous  $\text{NaOCl}$  (the first step in reaction 14.31) yield chloramine, **14.16**, the compound responsible for the odour of water containing nitrogenous matter that has been sterilized with  $\text{Cl}_2$ . Chloramine is unstable, and violently explosive, and is usually handled in dilute solutions (e.g. in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  or  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ ). Its reaction with  $\text{Me}_2\text{NH}$  (equation 14.39) yields the rocket fuel 1,1-dimethylhydrazine.




**Fig. 14.5** The solid state structure (X-ray diffraction at 123 K) of the anion in  $[\text{Na}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^+[\text{Na}(\text{NH}_3)_3(\text{P}_3\text{H}_3)]^-$  [N. Korber *et al.* (2001) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 1165]. Two of the three P atoms coordinate to the sodium centre (Na–P = 308 pm). Colour code: P, orange; Na, purple; N, blue; H, white.





**Fig. 14.6.** Potential diagram for nitrogen at pH = 0. A Frost–Ebsworth diagram for nitrogen is given in Figure 7.4c.

Reaction 14.40 is one of several routes to hydroxylamine,  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ , which is usually handled as a salt (e.g. the sulfate) or in aqueous solution. The free base can be obtained from its salts by treatment with NaOMe in MeOH.



Pure  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  forms white, hygroscopic crystals (see Section 11.5), which melt at 306 K and explode at higher temperatures. It is a weaker base than  $\text{NH}_3$  or  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ . Many of its reactions arise from the great variety of redox reactions in which it takes part in aqueous solution, e.g. it reduces Fe(III) in acidic solution (equation 14.41) but oxidizes Fe(II) in the presence of alkali (equation 14.42).



More powerful oxidizing agents (e.g.  $[\text{BrO}_3]^-$ ) oxidize  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  to  $\text{HNO}_3$ . The formation of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in most oxidations of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  is an interesting example of the triumph of kinetic over thermodynamic factors. Consideration of the potential diagram (see Section 7.5) in Figure 14.6 shows that, on thermodynamic grounds, the expected product from the action of weak oxidizing agents on  $[\text{NH}_3\text{OH}]^+$  (i.e.  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  in acidic solution) would be  $\text{N}_2$ , but it seems that the reaction occurs by steps 14.43. A use of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  is as an antioxidant in photographic developers.





Figure 14.6 also shows that, at pH = 0,  $[\text{NH}_3\text{OH}]^+$  is unstable with respect to disproportionation into  $\text{N}_2$  and  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  or  $[\text{N}_2\text{H}_5]^+$ ; in fact, hydroxylamine does slowly decompose to  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{NH}_3$ .

#### Worked example 14.4 Using potential and Frost–Ebsworth diagrams

(a) Use the data in Figure 14.6 to calculate  $\Delta G^\circ$  (298 K) for the following reduction process.



(b) Estimate  $\Delta G^\circ$  (298 K) for the same process using the Frost–Ebsworth diagram in Figure 7.4c.

(a) From the potential diagram,  $E^\circ$  for this half-reaction is +1.41 V.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G^\circ &= -zFE^\circ \\ &= -2 \times (96\,485 \times 10^{-3}) \times 1.41 \\ &= -272 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

(b) The gradient of the line joining the points for  $[\text{NH}_3\text{OH}]^+$  and  $[\text{N}_2\text{H}_5]^+$

$$\approx \frac{1.9 - 0.5}{1} = 1.4 \text{ V}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E^\circ &= \frac{\text{Gradient of line}}{\text{Number of electrons transferred per mole of N}} \\ &= \frac{1.4}{1} = 1.4 \text{ V} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G^\circ &= -zFE^\circ \\ &= -2 \times (96\,485 \times 10^{-3}) \times 1.4 \\ &= -270 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

#### Self-study exercises

1. Explain how the Frost–Ebsworth diagram for nitrogen (Figure 7.4c) illustrates that  $[\text{NH}_3\text{OH}]^+$  (at pH 0) is unstable with respect to disproportionation.

[Ans. See the bullet-point list in Section 7.6]

2. Use the data in Figure 14.6 to calculate  $E^\circ$  for the reduction process:



[Ans. +0.95 V]

3. In basic solution (pH = 14),  $E^\circ$  for the following process is +0.15 V. Calculate  $\Delta G^\circ$  (298 K) for the reduction process.




[Ans. -58 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>]


Further relevant problems can be found after worked example 7.8.

#### Hydrogen azide and azide salts


Sodium azide,  $\text{NaN}_3$ , is obtained from molten sodium amide by reaction 14.44 (or by reacting  $\text{NaNH}_2$  with  $\text{NaNO}_3$  at 450 K), and treatment of  $\text{NaN}_3$  with  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  yields hydrogen azide,  $\text{HN}_3$ .




**Fig. 14.7** (a) Structure of  $\text{HN}_3$ , (b) the major contributing resonance forms of  $\text{HN}_3$ , (c) the structure of the azide ion (the ion is symmetrical but bond distances vary slightly in different salts), and (d) the principal resonance structure of  $[\text{N}_3]^-$ . Colour code: N, blue; H, white.



Hydrogen azide (hydrazoic acid) is a colourless liquid (mp 193 K, bp 309 K); it is dangerously explosive ( $\Delta_f H^\circ(1, 298\text{ K}) = +264\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) and highly poisonous. Aqueous solutions of  $\text{HN}_3$  are weakly acidic (equation 14.45).




The structure of  $\text{HN}_3$  is shown in Figure 14.7a, and a consideration of the resonance structures in Figure 14.7b provides an explanation for the asymmetry of the  $\text{NNN}$ -unit. The azide ion is isoelectronic with  $\text{CO}_2$ , and the symmetrical structure of  $[\text{N}_3]^-$  (Figure 14.7c) is consistent with the bonding description in Figure 14.7d. A range of azide salts is known;  $\text{Ag(I)}$ ,  $\text{Cu(II)}$  and  $\text{Pb(II)}$  azides, which are insoluble in water, are explosive, and  $\text{Pb(N}_3)_2$  is used as an initiator for less sensitive explosives. On the other hand, group 1 metal azides decompose quietly when heated (equations 10.2 and 14.4). The reaction between  $\text{NaN}_3$  and  $\text{Me}_3\text{SiCl}$  yields the covalent compound  $\text{Me}_3\text{SiN}_3$  which is a useful reagent in organic synthesis. Reaction 14.46 occurs when  $\text{Me}_3\text{SiN}_3$  is treated with  $[\text{PPh}_4]^+[\text{N}_3]^-$  in the presence of ethanol. The  $[\text{N}_3\text{HN}_3]^-$  anion in the product is stabilized by hydrogen bonding (compare with  $[\text{FHF}]^-$ , see Figure 9.8). Although the position of the H atom in the anion is not known with great accuracy, structural parameters for the solid state structure of  $[\text{PPh}_4]^+[\text{N}_3\text{HN}_3]^-$  (Figure 14.8) are




**Fig. 14.8** The solid state structure (X-ray diffraction at 203 K) of the anion in  $[\text{PPh}_4]^+[\text{N}_3\text{HN}_3]^-$  [B. Neumüller *et al.* (1999) *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, vol. 625, p. 1243]. Colour code: N, blue; H, white.

sufficiently accurate to confirm an asymmetrical  $\text{N-H}\cdots\text{N}$  interaction ( $\text{N}\cdots\text{N} = 272\text{ pm}$ ).





The azide group, like  $\text{CN}^-$  (though to a lesser extent), shows similarities to a halogen and is another example of a pseudo-halogen (see Section 13.12). However, no  $\text{N}_6$  molecule (i.e. a dimer of  $\text{N}_3^-$  and so an analogue of an  $\text{X}_2$  halogen) has yet been prepared. Like halide ions, the azide ion acts as a ligand in a wide variety of metal complexes, e.g.  $[\text{Au}(\text{N}_3)_4]^-$ ,  $\text{trans}[\text{TiCl}_4(\text{N}_3)_2]^{2-}$ ,  $\text{cis}[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2(\text{N}_3)_2]^+$ ,  $\text{trans}[\text{Ru}(\text{en})_2(\text{N}_2)(\text{N}_3)]^+$  (which is also an example of a dinitrogen complex, Figure 14.9a) and  $[\text{Sn}(\text{N}_3)_6]^{2-}$  (Figure 14.9b).

The reaction of  $\text{HN}_3$  with  $[\text{N}_2\text{F}][\text{AsF}_6]$  (prepared by reaction 14.63) in  $\text{HF}$  at 195 K results in the formation of  $[\text{N}_5][\text{AsF}_6]$ . Designing the synthesis of  $[\text{N}_5]^+$  was not trivial. Precursors in which the  $\text{N}\equiv\text{N}$  and  $\text{N}=\text{N}$  bonds are preformed are critical, but should not involve gaseous  $\text{N}_2$  since this is too inert. The  $\text{HF}$  solvent provides a heat sink




**Fig. 14.9** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $\text{trans}[\text{Ru}(\text{en})_2(\text{N}_2)(\text{N}_3)]^+$  in the  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$  salt (H atoms omitted) [B.R. Davis *et al.* (1970) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 9, p. 2768] and (b)  $[\text{Sn}(\text{N}_3)_6]^{2-}$  structurally characterized as the  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]^+$  salt [D. Fenske *et al.* (1983) *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, vol. 38, p. 1301]. Colour code: N, blue; Ru, red; Sn, brown; C, grey.

for the exothermic reaction, the product being potentially explosive. Although  $[\text{N}_5][\text{AsF}_6]$  was the first example of a salt of  $[\text{N}_5]^+$  and is therefore of significant interest, it is not very stable and tends to explode. In contrast,  $[\text{N}_5][\text{SbF}_6]$  (equation 14.47) is stable at 298 K and is relatively resistant to impact. Solid  $[\text{N}_5][\text{SbF}_6]$  oxidizes NO,  $\text{NO}_2$  and  $\text{Br}_2$  (scheme 14.48), but not  $\text{Cl}_2$  or  $\text{O}_2$ .




The reaction of  $[\text{N}_5][\text{SbF}_6]$  with  $\text{SbF}_5$  in liquid HF yields  $[\text{N}_5][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$ , the solid state structure of which has been determined, confirming a V-shaped  $[\text{N}_5]^+$  ion (central N–N–N angle =  $111^\circ$ ). The N–N bond lengths are 111 pm (almost the same as in  $\text{N}_2$ ) and 130 pm (slightly more than in  $\text{MeN}=\text{NMe}$ ), respectively, for the terminal and central bonds. Resonance stabilization (structures 14.17) is a key factor in the stability of  $[\text{N}_5]^+$  and provides a degree of multiple-bond character to all the N–N bonds. The three resonance structures shown in blue contain one or two terminal sextet N atoms. Their inclusion helps to account for the observed  $\text{N}_{\text{terminal}}-\text{N}-\text{N}_{\text{central}}$  bond angles of  $168^\circ$ .



The reaction of sodium azide with aryldiazonium salts yields arylpentazoles (equation 14.49), from which it has been possible to generate the cyclic anion  $[\text{N}_5]^-$  through molecular fragmentation in an electrospray ionization mass spectrometer.<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>For details of the fragmentation and detection method, see: A. Vij, J.G. Pavlovich, W.W. Wilson, V. Vij and K.O. Christie (2002) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 41, p. 3051.



## 14.6 Nitrides, phosphides, arsenides, antimonides and bismuthides

### Nitrides

Classifying nitrides is not simple, but nearly all nitrides fall into one of the following groups, although, as we have seen for the borides and carbides, some care is needed in attempting to generalize:

- saline nitrides of the group 1 and 2 metals, and aluminium;
- covalently bonded nitrides of the *p*-block elements (see [Sections 12.8](#), [13.12](#) and [15.10](#) for  $\text{BN}$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ ,  $\text{Sn}_3\text{N}_4$  and  $\text{S}_4\text{N}_4$ );
- interstitial nitrides of *d*-block metals;
- pernitrides of the group 2 metals.

The classification of ‘saline nitride’ implies the presence of the  $\text{N}^{3-}$  ion, and as we discussed in [Section 14.1](#), this is unlikely. However, it is usual to consider  $\text{Li}_3\text{N}$ ,  $\text{Na}_3\text{N}$  (see [Section 10.4](#)),  $\text{Be}_3\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{Mg}_3\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{Ca}_3\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{Ba}_3\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{AlN}$  in terms of ionic formulations. Hydrolysis of saline nitrides liberates  $\text{NH}_3$ . Sodium nitride is very hygroscopic, and samples are often contaminated with  $\text{NaOH}$  (reaction 14.50).



Among the nitrides of the *p*-block elements,  $\text{Sn}_3\text{N}_4$  and the  $\gamma$ -phase of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  represent the first examples of spinel nitrides (see [Section 13.12](#)).

Nitrides of the *d*-block metals are hard, inert solids which resemble metals in appearance, and have high melting points and electrical conductivities (see [Box 14.5](#)). They can be prepared from the metal or metal hydride with  $\text{N}_2$  or  $\text{NH}_3$  at high temperatures. Most possess structures in which the nitrogen atoms occupy octahedral holes in a close-packed metal lattice. Full occupancy of these holes leads to the stoichiometry  $\text{MN}$  (e.g.  $\text{TiN}$ ,  $\text{ZrN}$ ,  $\text{HfN}$ ,  $\text{VN}$ ,  $\text{NbN}$ ); cubic close-packing of the metal atoms and an  $\text{NaCl}$  lattice for the nitride  $\text{MN}$  is favoured for metals in the earliest groups of the *d*-block.

Pernitrides contain the  $[\text{N}_2]^{2-}$  ion and are known for barium and strontium.  $\text{BaN}_2$  is prepared from the elements

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 14.5 Industrial applications of metal nitrides

Nitrides of the *d*-block metals are hard, are resistant to wear and chemical attack including oxidation, and have very high melting points. These properties render nitrides such as TiN, ZrN and HfN invaluable for protecting high-speed cutting tools. The applied coatings are extremely thin (typically  $\leq 10\ \mu\text{m}$ ), but nonetheless significantly prolong the lifetimes of tools that operate under the toughest of work conditions. Nitride coatings can be applied using the technique of chemical vapour deposition (see *Section 27.6*), or by forming a surface layer of

$\text{Fe}_3\text{N}$  or  $\text{Fe}_4\text{N}$  by reacting the prefabricated steel tool with  $\text{N}_2$ .


Layers of TiN, ZrN, HfN or TaN are applied as diffusion barriers in semiconducting devices. The barrier layer ( $\approx 100\ \text{nm}$  thick) is fabricated between the semiconducting material (e.g. GaAs or Si) and the protective metallic (e.g. Au or Ni) coating, and prevents diffusion of metal atoms into the GaAs or Si device.

*For related information:* see the discussions of boron nitride, silicon nitride and ceramic coatings in *Section 27.6*.

under a 5600 bar pressure of  $\text{N}_2$  at 920 K. It is structurally related to the carbide  $\text{ThC}_2$  (see *Section 13.7*), and contains isolated  $[\text{N}_2]^{2-}$  ions with an N–N distance of 122 pm, consistent with an N=N bond. The strontium nitrides  $\text{SrN}_2$  and  $\text{SrN}$  are made from  $\text{Sr}_2\text{N}$  at 920 K under  $\text{N}_2$  pressures of 400 and 5500 bar, respectively. The structure of  $\text{SrN}_2$  is derived from the layered structure of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{N}$  by having half of the octahedral holes between the layers occupied by  $[\text{N}_2]^{2-}$  ions. Its formation can be considered in terms of  $\text{N}_2$  (at high pressure) oxidizing Sr from a formal oxidation state of +1.5 to +2, and concomitant reduction of  $\text{N}_2$  to  $[\text{N}_2]^{2-}$ . At higher pressures of  $\text{N}_2$ , all the octahedral holes in the structure become occupied by  $[\text{N}_2]^{2-}$  ions, and the final product,  $\text{SrN}$ , is better formulated as  $(\text{Sr}^{2+})_4(\text{N}^{3-})_2(\text{N}_2^{2-})$ .

## Phosphides

Most elements combine with phosphorus to give binary phosphides; exceptions include Hg, Pb, Sb, Bi and Te. Types of solid state phosphides are very varied,<sup>†</sup> and simple classification is not possible. Phosphides of the *d*-block metals tend to be inert, metallic-looking compounds with high melting points and electrical conductivities. Their formulae are often deceptive in terms of the oxidation state of the metal and their structures may contain isolated P centres,  $\text{P}_2$  groups, or rings, chains or layers of P atoms.



The group 1 and 2 metals form compounds  $\text{M}_3\text{P}$  and  $\text{M}_3\text{P}_2$  respectively which are hydrolysed by water and can

<sup>†</sup> A detailed account is beyond the scope of this book; an excellent review is included in the further reading at the end of the chapter.


be considered to be ionic. The alkali metals also form phosphides which contain groups of P atoms forming chains or cages, the cages being either  $[\text{P}_7]^{3-}$  (**14.18**) or  $[\text{P}_{11}]^{3-}$  (**14.19**); e.g. LiP contains infinite helical chains,  $\text{K}_4\text{P}_3$  contains  $[\text{P}_3]^{4-}$  chains,  $\text{Rb}_4\text{P}_6$  has planar  $[\text{P}_6]^{4-}$  rings,  $\text{Cs}_3\text{P}_7$  contains  $[\text{P}_7]^{3-}$  cages, and  $\text{Na}_3\text{P}_{11}$  features  $[\text{P}_{11}]^{3-}$  cages. The latter examples are phosphorus-rich species. Some other members of this class such as  $\text{Ba}_3\text{P}_{14}$  and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{P}_{14}$  contain  $[\text{P}_7]^{3-}$  cages, while phosphides such as  $\text{BaP}_{10}$ ,  $\text{CuP}_7$ ,  $\text{Ag}_3\text{P}_{11}$ ,  $\text{MP}_4$  (e.g.  $\text{M} = \text{Mn, Tc, Re, Fe, Ru, Os}$ ) and  $\text{TlP}_5$  contain more extended arrays of P atoms, two examples (**14.9** and **14.10**) of which have already been mentioned.

## Arsenides, antimonides and bismuthides

Metal arsenides, antimonides and bismuthides can be prepared by direct combination of the metal and group 15 element. Like the phosphides, classification is not simple, and structure types vary. Our coverage here is, therefore, selective


Gallium arsenide is an important semiconductor and crystallizes with a zinc blende lattice (see *Figure 5.18b*). Slow hydrolysis occurs in moist air and protection of semiconductor devices from the air is essential;  $\text{N}_2$  is often used as a ‘blanket gas’.

Nickel arsenide, NiAs, gives its name to a well-known structure type, being adopted by a number of *d*-block metal arsenides, antimonides, sulfides, selenides and tellurides. The lattice can be described as a hexagonal close-packed (hcp) array of As atoms with Ni atoms occupying octahedral holes. Although such a description might conjure up the concept of an ionic lattice, the bonding in NiAs is certainly *not* purely ionic. Figure 14.10 shows a unit cell of NiAs. The placement of the Ni atoms in *octahedral* holes in the hcp arrangement of As atoms means that the coordination environment of the As centres is *trigonal prismatic*. Although each Ni atom has six As neighbours at 243 pm, there are two Ni neighbours at a distance of only 252 pm (compare  $r_{\text{metal}}(\text{Ni}) = 125\ \text{pm}$ ) and there is almost certainly Ni–Ni bonding running through the structure. This is consistent with the observation that NiAs conducts electricity.



**Fig. 14.10** Two views of the unit cell (defined by the yellow lines) of the nickel arsenide (NiAs) lattice; colour code: Ni, green; As, yellow. View (a) emphasizes the trigonal prismatic coordination environment of the As centres, while (b) (which views (a) from above) illustrates more clearly that the unit cell is not a cuboid.

Arsenides and antimonides containing the  $[\text{As}_7]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{Sb}_7]^{3-}$  ions can be prepared by, for example, reactions 14.51 and 14.52. These Zintl ions are structurally related to  $[\text{P}_7]^{3-}$  (14.18).



Heteroatomic Zintl ions incorporating group 15 elements are present in the compounds  $[\text{K}(\text{crypt-222})]_2[\text{Pb}_2\text{Sb}_2]$ ,  $[\text{K}(\text{crypt-222})]_2[\text{GaBi}_3]$ ,  $[\text{K}(\text{crypt-222})]_2[\text{InBi}_3]$  and  $[\text{Na}(\text{crypt-222})]_3[\text{In}_4\text{Bi}_5]$ , all of which are prepared (mostly as solvates with 1,2-ethanediamine) in a similar way to reaction 14.52. The  $[\text{Pb}_2\text{Sb}_2]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{GaBi}_3]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{InBi}_3]^{2-}$  ions are tetrahedral in shape. The  $[\text{In}_4\text{Bi}_5]^{3-}$  ion adopts a monocapped square-antiprism in which the Bi atoms occupy the unique capping site and the four open-face sites. These structures are consistent with Wade's rules (see Section 12.11).<sup>†</sup> The syntheses of cationic bismuth clusters were described in Section 8.12.

#### Worked example 14.5 Electron counting in heteroatomic Zintl ions

Explain how Wade's rules rationalize the tetrahedral shape of  $[\text{GaBi}_3]^{2-}$ .


<sup>†</sup>For examples of related clusters that violate Wade's rules, see: L. Xu and S.C. Sevov (2000) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 39, 5383.

Assume that each main group element in the cluster retains a lone pair of electrons, localized outside the cluster (i.e. not involved in cluster bonding).

Electrons available for cluster bonding are as follows:


- Ga (group 13) provides one electron.
- Bi (group 15) provides three electrons.
- The overall 2- charge provides two electrons.
- Total cluster electron count =  $1 + (3 \times 3) + 2$   
= 12 electrons.

The  $[\text{GaBi}_3]^{2-}$  ion has six pairs of electrons with which to bond four atoms.  $[\text{GaBi}_3]^{2-}$  is therefore classed as a *nido*-cluster, based on a five-vertex trigonal bipyramid with one vertex missing. This is consistent with the observed tetrahedral shape:



#### Self-study exercises

1. Explain how Wade's rules rationalize why  $[\text{Pb}_2\text{Sb}_2]^{2-}$  has a tetrahedral shape. What class of cluster is  $[\text{Pb}_2\text{Sb}_2]^{2-}$ ?  
[Ans. 6 cluster electron pairs; *nido*]
2. Explain why the monocapped square-antiprismatic structure for  $[\text{In}_4\text{Bi}_5]^{3-}$  shown below is consistent with Wade's rules. What class of cluster is  $[\text{In}_4\text{Bi}_5]^{3-}$ ?



[Ans. 11 cluster electron pairs; *nido*]

3. In theory, would isomers be possible for tetrahedral  $[\text{Pb}_2\text{Sb}_2]^{2-}$  and for tetrahedral  $[\text{InBi}_3]^{2-}$ ? [Ans. No isomers possible]

## 14.7 Halides, oxohalides and complex halides

### Nitrogen halides

Nitrogen is restricted to an octet of valence electrons and does not form pentahalides. The fact that nitrogen pentahalides are not known has also been attributed to the steric crowding of five halogen atoms around the small N atom. Important nitrogen halides are  $\text{NX}_3$  ( $\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}$ ),  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$ , selected properties for which are listed in Table 14.5;


**Table 14.5** Selected data for nitrogen fluorides and trichloride.

|   | NF <sub>3</sub>            | NCl <sub>3</sub>           | N <sub>2</sub> F <sub>4</sub>                        | <i>cis</i> -N <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub> | <i>trans</i> -N <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub> |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|---|
| Melting point / K                                     | 66                         | <233                       | 108.5  | <78                                       | 101   |
| Boiling point / K                                     | 144                        | <344; explodes at 368      | 199  | 167                                       | 162   |
| $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | -132.1                     | 230.0                      | -8.4   | 69.5                                      | 82.0  |
| Dipole moment / D                                     | 0.24                       | 0.39                       | 0.26 <sup>‡</sup>                                    | 0.16                                      | 0   |
| N–N bond distance / pm                                | –                          | –                          | 149  | 121                                       | 122   |
| N–X bond distance / pm                                | 137                        | 176                        | 137  | 141                                       | 140   |
| Bond angles / deg                                     | $\angle\text{F–N–F}$ 102.5 | $\angle\text{Cl–N–Cl}$ 107 | $\angle\text{F–N–F}$ 103<br>$\angle\text{N–N–F}$ 101 | $\angle\text{N–N–F}$ 114                  | $\angle\text{N–N–F}$ 106                    |


<sup>‡</sup> *Gauche* conformation.

NBr<sub>3</sub> and NI<sub>3</sub> exist but are less well characterized than NF<sub>3</sub> and NCl<sub>3</sub>.

Nitrogen trifluoride is made either by reaction 14.53 which must be carried out in a controlled manner, or by electrolysis of anhydrous NH<sub>4</sub>F/HF mixtures.



NF<sub>3</sub> is the most stable of the trihalides of nitrogen, being the only one to have a negative value of  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  (Table 14.5). It is a colourless gas which is resistant to attack by acids and alkalis, but is decomposed by sparking with H<sub>2</sub> (equation 14.54). The resistance towards hydrolysis parallels that observed for the carbon tetrahalides (Section 13.8).



(14.20)


The gas-phase structure of NF<sub>3</sub> is trigonal pyramidal (14.20), and the molecular dipole moment is very small (Table 14.5). In contrast to NH<sub>3</sub> and PF<sub>3</sub>, NF<sub>3</sub> shows no donor properties.

#### Worked example 14.6

#### Dipole moments in NX<sub>3</sub> molecules


**Explain why NH<sub>3</sub> is polar. In which direction does the dipole moment act?**

NH<sub>3</sub> is a trigonal pyramidal molecule with a lone pair of electrons on the N atom:



The Pauling electronegativity values of N and H are 3.0 and 2.2, respectively (see Appendix 7) and, therefore, each N–H bond is polar in the sense N<sup>δ-</sup>–H<sup>δ+</sup>. The resultant molecular

dipole moment is reinforced by the lone pair of electrons:



(By SI convention, the arrow representing the dipole moment points from δ<sup>-</sup> to δ<sup>+</sup>, see Section 1.16).

#### Self-study exercises

1. Rationalize why there is a significant difference between the dipole moments of the gas-phase molecules NH<sub>3</sub> ( $\mu = 1.47\text{ D}$ ) and NF<sub>3</sub> ( $\mu = 0.24\text{ D}$ ).

[Ans. See Example 3 in Section 1.16]


2. Account for the fact that the dipole moment of NHF<sub>2</sub> (1.92 D) is greater than that of NF<sub>3</sub> (0.24 D).

3. Suggest how the directionalities of the resulting dipole moments in NH<sub>3</sub> and NHF<sub>2</sub> will differ. Give reasons for your answer.

Nitrogen trichloride is an oily, yellow liquid at 289 K, but it is highly endothermic and dangerously explosive (Table 14.5). The difference in stabilities of NF<sub>3</sub> and NCl<sub>3</sub> lies in the relative bond strengths of N–F over N–Cl, and of Cl<sub>2</sub> over F<sub>2</sub>. Nitrogen trichloride can be prepared by reaction 14.55, with the equilibrium being drawn to the right-hand side by extracting NCl<sub>3</sub> into a suitable organic solvent. Diluted with air, NCl<sub>3</sub> is used for bleaching flour since hydrolysis by moisture forms HOCl (see Section 16.9). Alkalis hydrolyse NCl<sub>3</sub> according to equation 14.56.





Nitrogen tribromide is more reactive than NCl<sub>3</sub>, and explodes at temperatures as low as 175 K. It can be prepared by reaction 14.57, attempts to make it by treating NCl<sub>3</sub> with Br<sub>2</sub> being unsuccessful.




Nitrogen triiodide has been made by reacting IF with boron nitride in  $\text{CFCl}_3$ . Although  $\text{NI}_3$  is stable at 77 K and has been characterized by IR, Raman and  $^{15}\text{N}$  NMR spectroscopies, it is highly explosive at higher temperatures ( $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{NI}_3, \text{g}) = +287 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ). The reaction between concentrated aqueous  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $[\text{I}_3]^-$  yields  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{NI}_3$ , black crystals of which are dangerously explosive ( $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{NI}_3, \text{s}) = +146 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) as the compound decomposes to  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{I}_2$ .


The nitrogen fluorides  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$  can be obtained from reactions 14.58 and 14.59; properties of these fluorides are listed in Table 14.5, and both fluorides are explosive.



The structure of  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_4$  resembles that of hydrazine, except that both the *gauche* and *trans* (staggered) conformers (Figure 14.4) are present in the liquid and gas phases. At temperatures above 298 K,  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_4$  reversibly dissociates into blue  $\text{NF}_2^\cdot$  radicals which undergo many interesting reactions (e.g. equations 14.60–14.62).




(14.21)




(14.22)

Dinitrogen difluoride,  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$ , exists in both the *trans*- and *cis*-forms (14.21 and 14.22), with the *cis*-isomer being thermodynamically the more stable of the two but also the more reactive. Reaction 14.59 gives a selective method of preparing *trans*- $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$ ; isomerization by heating gives a mixture of isomers from which *cis*- $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$  can be isolated by treatment with  $\text{AsF}_5$  (reaction 14.63).

Mixture of isomers:



Reaction 14.63 illustrates the ability of  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$  to donate  $\text{F}^-$  to strong acceptors such as  $\text{AsF}_5$  and  $\text{SbF}_5$ , a reaction type shared by  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_4$  (equations 14.64 and 14.65). The cation  $[\text{NF}_4]^+$  is formed in reaction 14.66. We return to the properties of  $\text{AsF}_5$  and  $\text{SbF}_5$  later.




## Oxofluorides and oxochlorides of nitrogen

|               | X   |     |     |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|
|               | F   | Cl  | Br  |
| <i>a</i> / pm | 152 | 198 | 214 |
| <i>b</i> / pm | 113 | 114 | 115 |
| $\alpha$ / °  | 110 | 113 | 117 |

(14.23)

Several oxofluorides and oxochlorides of nitrogen are known, but all are unstable gases or volatile liquids which are rapidly hydrolysed. Nitrosyl halides  $\text{FNO}$ ,  $\text{ClNO}$  and  $\text{BrNO}$  are formed in reactions of  $\text{NO}$  with  $\text{F}_2$ ,  $\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{Br}_2$  respectively; structural details for gas-phase molecules are shown in 14.23. The short N–O bond lengths indicate triple rather than double bond character and a contribution from the left-hand resonance structure in the resonance pair 14.24 is clearly important. Crystals of  $\text{FNO}$  and  $\text{ClNO}$  have been grown from condensed samples of the compounds, and their solid state structures have been determined at 128 and 153 K, respectively. Compared with those in the gas phase,  $\text{FNO}$  molecules in the crystal have shorter (108 pm) N–O and longer (165 pm) N–F bonds. A similar trend is seen for  $\text{ClNO}$  (solid: N–O = 105 pm, N–Cl = 219 pm). These data suggest that the  $[\text{NO}]^+\text{X}^-$  form becomes more dominant in resonance pair 14.24 on going from gaseous to solid  $\text{XNO}$ .





(14.24)

Nitryl fluoride,  $\text{FNO}_2$ , and nitryl chloride,  $\text{ClNO}_2$ , are prepared, respectively, by fluorination of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  (reaction 14.67) and oxidation of  $\text{ClNO}$  (using e.g.  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$  or  $\text{O}_3$ ). Both are planar molecules;  $\text{FNO}_2$  is isoelectronic with  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ .



The oxohalides  $\text{FNO}$ ,  $\text{ClNO}$ ,  $\text{FNO}_2$  and  $\text{ClNO}_2$  combine with suitable fluorides or chlorides to give salts containing  $[\text{NO}]^+$  or  $[\text{NO}_2]^+$ , e.g. reactions 14.68–14.70. The complex fluorides may also be conveniently prepared in liquid  $\text{BrF}_3$  (see Section 8.10).



## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 14.6 Crystal structure disorders: disorders involving F and O atoms

We introduced the technique of X-ray diffraction in **Box 5.5**, and throughout this book we have made use of the results of single-crystal structure determinations. Not all structure solutions are straightforward. Some involve disordering of atomic positions, a problem that, for example, made the elucidation of the structure of  $C_{60}$  difficult (see **Section 13.4**). Examples of disordered structures occur commonly in oxofluorides because the O and F atoms are similar in size and possess similar electronic properties. Thus, in a crystal containing molecules of an oxofluoride  $XF_xO_y$ , a given atomic position might be occupied by O in one molecule and by F in another molecule. The overall result is modelled by *fractional occupation* of each site by O and F. Fractional occupancies can lead to difficulties in determining true X–F and X–O bond lengths and true bond angles. The compound  $[F_2NO]^+[AsF_6]^-$  represents a classic example of the problem. Although first prepared and characterized in 1969, its structure was not reported until 2001. The  $[F_2NO]^+$  ions in crystalline  $[F_2NO][AsF_6]$  are disordered such that the fluorine occupancy of each ‘F’ position is 78% and 77% respectively (rather than being 100%), and the fluorine occupancy of the ‘O’ position is 45% (rather than being 0%). The paper cited in the further reading below illustrates how the structural data can be treated so that meaningful N–O and N–F bond lengths and F–N–F and F–N–O bond angles are obtained. Crystalline  $[F_2NO][AsF_6]$  is composed of infinite chains of alternating

cations and anions. There are close contacts between the N atom of each cation and the F atoms of adjacent  $[AsF_6]^-$  ions as shown in the figure.



Colour code: N, blue; O, red; F, green; As, orange.


## Further reading

A. Vij, X. Zhang and K.O. Christe (2001) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 40, p. 416 – ‘Crystal structure of  $F_2NO^+AsF_6^-$  and method for extracting meaningful geometries from oxygen/fluorine disordered crystal structures’.


For other examples of crystallographic disorders, see: **Section 13.4**,  $C_{60}$ ; **Section 13.9**,  $C_3O_2$ ; **Section 14.13**,  $(NPF_2)_4$ ; **Section 15.10**,  $Se_2S_2N_4$ ; **Box 15.2**,  $[O_2]^-$ ; **Figure 18.4**,  $Cp_2Be$ ; **Section 23.13**,  $(\eta^5-Cp)_2Fe$ .

The main factor involved in the change from covalent to ionic halide is believed to be the enthalpy change accompanying the attachment of the halide ion to the halide acceptor.

The reaction of FNO with the powerful fluorinating agent  $IrF_6$  results in the formation of the nitrogen(V) oxofluoride  $F_3NO$ . Above 520 K,  $F_3NO$  is in equilibrium with FNO and  $F_2$ . Resonance structures **14.25** and **14.26** can be written to depict the bonding, and the short N–O bond (116 pm) and long N–F bonds (143 pm) suggest that contributions from **14.26** (and similar structures) are important.





Reactions of  $F_3NO$  with strong  $F^-$  acceptors such as  $BF_3$  and  $AsF_5$  yield the salts  $[F_2NO]^+[BF_4]^-$  and  $[F_2NO]^+[AsF_6]^-$ . In the  $[AsF_6]^-$  salt (see **Box 14.6**), the  $[F_2NO]^+$  ion is planar (structure **14.27**), consistent with the formation of an  $N(2p)-O(2p)$   $\pi$ -bond (diagram **14.28**).



## Phosphorus halides


Phosphorus forms the halides  $PX_3$  ( $X = F, Cl, Br$  and  $I$ ) and  $PX_5$  ( $X = F, Cl$  and  $Br$ );  $PI_5$  is unknown. Most are made by direct combination of the elements with the product determined by which element is in excess;  $PF_3$ , however, must be made by reaction 14.71 and a convenient synthesis of  $PF_5$  is from  $KPF_6$  (see below). The halides are all hydrolysed by water (e.g. equation 14.72), although  $PF_3$  reacts only slowly.





(14.29)

| X  | a / pm | $\alpha / ^\circ$ |
|----|--------|-------------------|
| F  | 156    | 96.5              |
| Cl | 204    | 100               |
| Br | 222    | 101               |
| I  | 243    | 102               |





(14.32)


Each of the trihalides has a trigonal pyramidal structure, **14.29**. Phosphorus trifluoride is a very poisonous, colourless and odourless gas. It has the ability (like CO, see [Section 23.2](#)) to form complexes with metals and Lewis acids such as  $\text{BH}_3$ , and its toxicity arises from complex formation with haemoglobin. Protonation of  $\text{PF}_3$  can be achieved when  $\text{HF}/\text{SbF}_5$  is used as the acid (equation 14.73), although an analogous reaction does not occur with  $\text{AsF}_3$ .  $[\text{HPF}_3][\text{SbF}_6]\cdot\text{HF}$  is thermally unstable, but low-temperature structural data show that the tetrahedral  $[\text{HPF}_3]^+$  ion has bond lengths of  $\text{P}-\text{H} = 122$  and  $\text{P}-\text{F} = 149$  pm.



The reaction of  $\text{PF}_3$  with  $\text{Me}_4\text{NF}$  in  $\text{MeCN}$  gives  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}][\text{PF}_4]$ . The  $[\text{PF}_4]^-$  ions are disphenoidal in shape, consistent with VSEPR theory, i.e. the structure is derived from a trigonal bipyramid with a lone pair of electrons occupying one equatorial position. In solution,  $[\text{PF}_4]^-$  is stereochemically non-rigid and the mechanism of F atom exchange is probably by Berry pseudo-rotation (see [Figure 2.13](#)). When treated with an equimolar amount of water,  $[\text{PF}_4]^-$  hydrolyses according to equation 14.74. With an excess of water,  $[\text{HPF}_5]^-$  (**14.30**) also hydrolyses to  $[\text{HPO}_2\text{F}]^-$  (**14.31**), making this the only product of the overall hydrolysis of  $[\text{PF}_4]^-$ .




(14.30)




(14.31)

Phosphorus trichloride is a colourless liquid (mp 179.5 K, bp 349 K) which fumes in moist air (equation 14.72) and is toxic. Its reactions include those in scheme 14.75;  $\text{POCl}_3$  is an important reagent for the preparation of phosphate esters.



Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (at 109 K) data show that  $\text{PF}_5$  has a trigonal bipyramidal structure, **14.32**. In solution, the molecule is fluxional on the NMR spectroscopic timescale and a doublet is observed in the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum, i.e. all  $^{19}\text{F}$  environments are equivalent and couple with the  $^{31}\text{P}$  nucleus. This stereochemical non-rigidity is another example of Berry pseudo-rotation (see [Figure 2.13](#)). Electron diffraction data show that in the gas phase,  $\text{PCl}_5$  has a molecular, trigonal bipyramidal structure ( $\text{P}-\text{Cl}_{\text{ax}} = 214$ ,  $\text{P}-\text{Cl}_{\text{eq}} = 202$  pm), provided that thermal dissociation into  $\text{PCl}_3$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$  is prevented by the presence of an excess of  $\text{Cl}_2$ . In the solid state, however, tetrahedral  $[\text{PCl}_4]^+$  ( $\text{P}-\text{Cl} = 197$  pm) and octahedral  $[\text{PCl}_6]^-$  ( $\text{P}-\text{Cl} = 208$  pm) ions are present, and the compound crystallizes with a CsCl lattice ([Figure 5.16](#)). In contrast,  $\text{PBr}_5$  (which dissociates in the gas phase to  $\text{PBr}_3$  and  $\text{Br}_2$ ) crystallizes in the form of  $[\text{PBr}_4]^+\text{Br}^-$ . The mixed halide  $\text{PF}_3\text{Cl}_2$  is of particular interest. It is obtained as a gas (bp 280 K) from the reaction of  $\text{PF}_3$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$  and has a molecular structure with equatorial Cl atoms. However, when  $\text{PCl}_5$  reacts with  $\text{AsF}_3$  in  $\text{AsCl}_3$  solution, the solid product  $[\text{PCl}_4]^+[\text{PF}_6]^-$  (mp  $\approx 403$  K) is isolated. Solid  $\text{PI}_5$  has not been isolated,<sup>†</sup> but the isolation of the salts  $[\text{PI}_4]^+[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  (from the reaction of  $\text{PI}_3$  and  $[\text{I}_3]^+[\text{AsF}_6]^-$ ) and  $[\text{PI}_4]^+[\text{AlCl}_4]^-$  (from the reaction between  $\text{PI}_3$ ,  $\text{ICl}$  and  $\text{AlCl}_3$ ) confirms the existence of the tetrahedral tetraiodophosphonium ion. The reaction of  $\text{PBr}_3$  with  $[\text{I}_3][\text{AsF}_6]$  leads to a mixture of  $[\text{PBr}_4][\text{AsF}_6]$ ,  $[\text{PBr}_3\text{I}][\text{AsF}_6]$  and small amounts of  $[\text{PBr}_2\text{I}_2][\text{AsF}_6]$ . Selective formation of  $[\text{PBr}_4][\text{AsF}_6]$  can be achieved by treating  $\text{PBr}_3$  with  $[\text{Br}_3]^+[\text{AsF}_6]^-$ .



(14.33)



Phosphorus pentafluoride is a strong Lewis acid and forms stable complexes with amines and ethers. The hexafluorophosphate ion,  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$ , **14.33**, is made in aqueous solution by reacting  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  with concentrated HF;  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$  is isoelectronic and isostructural with  $[\text{SiF}_6]^{2-}$  (see [Figure 13.15b](#)). Salts such as  $[\text{NH}_4][\text{PF}_6]$  are commercially available, and  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$  is used to precipitate salts containing large organic or complex cations. Solid  $\text{KPF}_6$  (prepared as in [Figure 14.11](#)) decomposes on heating to give  $\text{PF}_5$  and this route is

<sup>†</sup> An estimate of  $\Delta_f H^\circ([\text{PI}_4]^+\text{I}^-)$  has been made: see I. Torniepoorth-Oetting *et al.* (1990) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications*, p. 132.






## Arsenic and antimony halides


Arsenic forms the halides  $\text{AsX}_3$  ( $X = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ) and  $\text{AsX}_5$  ( $X = \text{F}, \text{Cl}$ ). The trihalides  $\text{AsCl}_3$ ,  $\text{AsBr}_3$  and  $\text{AsI}_3$  can be made by direct combination of the elements, and reaction 14.77 is another route to  $\text{AsCl}_3$ . Reaction 14.78 is used to prepare  $\text{AsF}_3$  (mp 267 K, bp 330 K) despite the fact that  $\text{AsF}_3$  (like the other trihalides) is hydrolysed by water; the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  formed in the reaction is removed with excess  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . This reaction should be compared with reactions 12.28 and 13.43. Glass containers are not practical for  $\text{AsF}_3$  as it reacts with silica in the presence of moisture.




In the solid, liquid and gas states,  $\text{AsF}_3$  and  $\text{AsCl}_3$  have molecular, trigonal pyramidal structures. With an appropriate reagent,  $\text{AsF}_3$  may act as either an  $\text{F}^-$  donor or acceptor (equations 14.79 and 14.80); compare this with the behaviours of  $\text{BrF}_3$  (Section 8.10) and  $\text{AsCl}_3$  (equation 14.81) which finds some use as a non-aqueous solvent.



The reaction of  $\text{AsCl}_3$  with  $\text{Me}_2\text{NH}$  and excess  $\text{HCl}$  in aqueous solution gives  $[\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2]_3[\text{As}_2\text{Cl}_9]$  containing anion **14.36**.<sup>†</sup>




Salts containing the  $[\text{AsX}_4]^+$  ( $X = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ) ions include  $[\text{AsF}_4][\text{PtF}_6]$  and  $[\text{AsCl}_4][\text{AsF}_6]$  which are stable compounds, and  $[\text{AsBr}_4][\text{AsF}_6]$  and  $[\text{AsI}_4][\text{AlCl}_4]$ , both of which are unstable. By using the weakly coordinating anions  $[\text{AsF}(\text{OTeF}_5)_5]^-$  and  $[\text{As}(\text{OTeF}_5)_6]^-$  (for example, in redox reaction 14.82), it is possible to stabilize  $[\text{AsBr}_4]^+$  in the solid state.




<sup>†</sup>For comments on the effect that cation size may have on the solid state structure  $[\text{E}_2\text{X}_9]^{3-}$  ( $\text{E} = \text{As}, \text{Sb}, \text{Bi}; \text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$ ), see: M. Wojtaś, Z. Ciunik, G. Bator and R. Jakubas (2002) *Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie*, vol. 628, p. 516.

The only stable pentahalide of arsenic is  $\text{AsF}_5$  (prepared by reaction 14.83), although  $\text{AsCl}_5$  can be made at 173 K by treating  $\text{AsCl}_3$  with  $\text{Cl}_2$  under UV radiation. X-ray diffraction data for  $\text{AsCl}_5$  at 150 K confirm the presence of discrete, trigonal bipyramidal molecules in the solid state ( $\text{As}-\text{Cl}_{\text{ax}} = 221$  pm,  $\text{As}-\text{Cl}_{\text{eq}} = 211$  pm). If, during the preparation of  $\text{AsCl}_5$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{HCl}$  are present, the isolated, crystalline products are  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]_5[\text{AsCl}_6]\text{Cl}_4$  and  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2][\text{AsCl}_6]\cdot\text{AsOCl}_3$ . These are stable below 253 K and contain hydrogen-bonded  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{AsCl}_6]^-$  ions.  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2][\text{AsCl}_6]\cdot\text{AsOCl}_3$  is the result of cocrystallization of  $[\text{H}_5\text{O}_2][\text{AsCl}_6]$  and  $\text{AsOCl}_3$ . This provides an example of monomeric, tetrahedral  $\text{AsOCl}_3$ , whereas solid  $\text{AsOCl}_3$  (made by reacting  $\text{AsCl}_3$  and  $\text{O}_3$  at 195 K) contains the dimers **14.37**; each As atom is in a trigonal bipyramidal environment.





At 298 K,  $\text{AsF}_5$  is a colourless gas and has a molecular structure similar to **14.32**.




Arsenic pentafluoride is a strong  $\text{F}^-$  acceptor (e.g. reactions 14.63, 14.64 and 14.68) and many complexes containing the octahedral  $[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  ion are known. One interesting reaction of  $\text{AsF}_5$  is with metallic Bi to give  $[\text{Bi}_5][\text{AsF}_6]_3$  which contains the trigonal bipyramidal cluster  $[\text{Bi}_5]^{3+}$ . Although  $[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  is the usual species formed when  $\text{AsF}_5$  accepts  $\text{F}^-$ , the  $[\text{As}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  adduct has also been isolated. X-ray diffraction data for  $[(\text{MeS})_2\text{CSH}]^+[\text{As}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  (formed from  $(\text{MeS})_2\text{CS}$ ,  $\text{HF}$  and  $\text{AsF}_5$ ) confirm that  $[\text{As}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  is structurally like  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  (Figure 14.12b).

Antimony trihalides are low melting solids, and although these contain trigonal pyramidal molecules, each Sb centre has additional, longer range, intermolecular  $\text{Sb}\cdots\text{X}$  interactions. The trifluoride and trichloride are prepared by reacting  $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3$  with concentrated  $\text{HF}$  and  $\text{HCl}$ , respectively.  $\text{SbF}_3$  is a widely used fluorinating agent, e.g. converting  $\text{B}_2\text{Cl}_4$  to  $\text{B}_2\text{F}_4$  (Section 12.6),  $\text{CHCl}_3$  to  $\text{CHF}_2\text{Cl}$  (equation 13.38),  $\text{COCl}_2$  to  $\text{COClF}$  and  $\text{COF}_2$  (Section 13.8),  $\text{SiCl}_4$  to  $\text{SiF}_4$  (Section 13.8) and  $\text{SOCl}_2$  to  $\text{SOF}_2$  (Section 15.7). However, reactions may be complicated by  $\text{SbF}_3$  also acting as an oxidizing agent (equation 14.84). Reactions between  $\text{SbF}_3$  and  $\text{MF}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{alkali metal}$ ) give salts which include  $\text{K}_2\text{SbF}_5$  (containing  $[\text{SbF}_5]^{2-}$ , **14.38**),  $\text{KSb}_2\text{F}_7$  (with discrete  $\text{SbF}_3$  and  $[\text{SbF}_4]^-$ , **14.39**),  $\text{KSbF}_4$  (in which the anion is  $[\text{Sb}_4\text{F}_{16}]^{4-}$ , **14.40**) and  $\text{CsSb}_2\text{F}_7$  (containing  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_7]^-$ , **14.41**).







(14.38)



(14.39)




(14.40)



(14.41)

Antimony pentafluoride (mp 280 K, bp 422 K) is prepared from  $\text{SbF}_3$  and  $\text{F}_2$ , or by reaction 14.85. In the solid state,  $\text{SbF}_5$  is tetrameric (Figure 14.12a) and the presence of  $\text{Sb}-\text{F}-\text{Sb}$  bridges accounts for the very high viscosity of the liquid. Antimony pentachloride (mp 276 K, bp 352 K)

is prepared from the elements, or by reaction of  $\text{Cl}_2$  with  $\text{SbCl}_3$ . Liquid  $\text{SbCl}_5$  contains discrete trigonal bipyramidal molecules, and these are also present in the solid between 219 K and the melting point. Like  $\text{PCl}_5$  and  $\text{AsCl}_5$ , the axial bonds in  $\text{SbCl}_5$  are longer than the equatorial bonds (233 and 227 pm for the solid at 243 K). Below 219 K, the solid undergoes a reversible change involving dimerization of the  $\text{SbCl}_5$  molecules (diagram 14.42).



(14.42)




We have already illustrated the role of  $\text{SbF}_5$  as an extremely powerful fluoride acceptor (e.g. reactions 8.44, 8.55, 14.65, 14.66 and 14.80), and similarly,  $\text{SbCl}_5$  is one of the strongest chloride acceptors known (e.g. reactions 14.69 and 14.86). Reactions of  $\text{SbF}_5$  and  $\text{SbCl}_5$  with alkali metal fluorides and chlorides yield compounds of the type  $\text{M}[\text{SbF}_6]$  and  $\text{M}[\text{SbCl}_6]$ .



Whereas the addition of  $\text{Cl}^-$  to  $\text{SbCl}_5$  invariably gives  $[\text{SbCl}_6]^-$ , acceptance of  $\text{F}^-$  by  $\text{SbF}_5$  may be accompanied by further association by the formation of  $\text{Sb}-\text{F}-\text{Sb}$  bridges. Thus, products may contain  $[\text{SbF}_6]^-$ ,  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  (Figure 14.12b) or  $[\text{Sb}_3\text{F}_{16}]^-$  in which each Sb centre is octahedrally sited. The strength with which  $\text{SbF}_5$  can accept  $\text{F}^-$  has led to the isolation of salts of some unusual cations, including  $[\text{O}_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{XeF}]^+$ ,  $[\text{Br}_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{ClF}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{NF}_4]^+$ . Heating  $\text{Cs}[\text{SbF}_6]$  and  $\text{CsF}$  (molar ratio 1:2) at 573 K for 45 h produces  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{SbF}_7]$ . Vibrational spectroscopic and theoretical results are consistent with the  $[\text{SbF}_7]^{2-}$  ion having a pentagonal bipyramidal structure.

When  $\text{SbCl}_3$  is partially oxidized by  $\text{Cl}_2$  in the presence of  $\text{CsCl}$ , dark blue  $\text{Cs}_2\text{SbCl}_6$  precipitates; black  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{SbBr}_6]$  can be similarly obtained. Since these compounds are diamagnetic, they cannot contain  $\text{Sb(IV)}$  and are, in fact, mixed oxidation state species containing  $[\text{SbX}_6]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{SbX}_6]^-$ . The dark colours of the compounds arise from absorption of light associated with electron transfer between the two anions. The solid state structures of  $\text{Cs}_2\text{SbCl}_6$  and  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{SbBr}_6]$  show similar characteristics, e.g. in  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{SbBr}_6]$ , two distinct octahedral anions are present,  $[\text{SbBr}_6]^-$  ( $\text{Sb}-\text{Br} = 256 \text{ pm}$ ) and  $[\text{SbBr}_6]^{3-}$  ( $\text{Sb}-\text{Br} = 279 \text{ pm}$ ); the lone pair in the  $\text{Sb(III)}$  species appears to be stereochemically inactive.

A number of high nuclearity halo-anions of As and Sb are known which contain doubly and triply bridging  $\text{X}^-$ , e.g.  $[\text{As}_6\text{I}_8]^{2-}$  (Figure 14.12c),  $[\text{As}_8\text{I}_{28}]^{4-}$ ,  $[\text{Sb}_5\text{I}_{18}]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{Sb}_6\text{I}_{22}]^{4-}$ .




**Fig. 14.12** The solid state structures of (a)  $\{\text{SbF}_5\}_4$ , (b)  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  (X-ray diffraction) in the *tert*-butyl salt [S. Hollenstein *et al.* (1993) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 115, p. 7240] and (c)  $[\text{As}_6\text{I}_8]^{2-}$  (X-ray diffraction) in  $\{[\text{MeC}(\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)_3\text{NiI}]_2[\text{As}_6\text{I}_8]\}$  [P. Zanello *et al.* (1990) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 3761]. The bridge  $\text{Sb}-\text{F}$  bonds in  $\{\text{SbF}_5\}_4$  and  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  are  $\approx 15 \text{ pm}$  longer than the terminal bonds. Colour code: Sb, silver; As, red; F, green; I, yellow.


## Bismuth halides

The trihalides  $\text{BiF}_3$ ,  $\text{BiCl}_3$ ,  $\text{BiBr}_3$  and  $\text{BiI}_3$  are all well characterized, but  $\text{BiF}_5$  is the only Bi(V) halide known; all are solids at 298 K. In the vapour phase, the trihalides have molecular (trigonal pyramidal) structures. In the solid state,  $\beta\text{-BiF}_3$  contains 9-coordinate Bi(III) centres,  $\text{BiCl}_3$  and  $\text{BiBr}_3$  have molecular structures but with an additional five long  $\text{Bi}\cdots\text{X}$  contacts, and in  $\text{BiI}_3$ , the Bi atoms occupy octahedral sites in an hcp array of I atoms. The trihalides can be formed by combination of the elements at high temperature. Each trihalide is hydrolysed by water to give  $\text{BiOX}$ , insoluble compounds with layer structures. The reaction of  $\text{BiF}_3$  with  $\text{F}_2$  at 880 K yields  $\text{BiF}_5$  which is a powerful fluorinating agent. Heating  $\text{BiF}_5$  with an excess of MF (M = Na, K, Rb or Cs) at 503–583 K for four days produces  $\text{M}_2[\text{BiF}_7]$ ; the reactions are carried out under a low pressure of  $\text{F}_2$  to prevent reduction of Bi(V) to Bi(III). Treatment of  $\text{BiF}_5$  with an excess of FNO at 195 K yields  $[\text{NO}]_2[\text{BiF}_7]$ , but this is thermally unstable and forms  $[\text{NO}][\text{BiF}_6]$  when warmed to room temperature. The  $[\text{BiF}_7]^{2-}$  ion has been assigned a pentagonal bipyramidal structure on the basis of vibrational spectroscopic and theoretical data.

The trihalides are Lewis acids and form donor–acceptor complexes with a number of ethers, e.g. *fac*- $[\text{BiCl}_3(\text{THF})_3]$ , *mer*- $[\text{BiI}_3(\text{py})_3]$  (py = pyridine), *cis*- $[\text{BiI}_4(\text{py})_2]^-$ ,  $[\text{BiCl}_3(\text{py})_4]$  (14.43) and the macrocyclic ligand complexes shown in Figure 14.13. Reactions with halide ions give species such as  $[\text{BiCl}_5]^{2-}$  (square pyramidal),  $[\text{BiBr}_6]^{3-}$  (octahedral),  $[\text{Bi}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  (14.44),  $[\text{Bi}_2\text{I}_8]^{2-}$  (structurally similar to 14.44), and  $[\text{Bi}_2\text{I}_9]^{3-}$  (14.45). Bismuth(III) also forms some higher nuclearity halide complexes, e.g.  $[\text{Bi}_4\text{Cl}_{16}]^{4-}$ , as well as the polymeric species  $[\{\text{BiX}_4\}_n]^{n-}$  and  $[\{\text{BiX}_5\}_n]^{2n-}$ ; in each case, the Bi atoms are octahedrally sited.




**Fig. 14.13** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{BiCl}_3(15\text{-crown-5})]$  [N.W. Alcock *et al.* (1993) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 49, p. 507] and (b)  $[\text{BiCl}_3\text{L}]$  where L = 1,4,7,10,13,16-hexathiacyclooctadecane [G.R. Willey *et al.* (1992) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 1339]. Note the high coordination numbers of the Bi(III) centres. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted. Colour code: Bi, blue; O, red; S, yellow; Cl, green; C, grey.




py is N-bonded

(14.43)



(14.44)




(14.45)

### Worked example 14.8

#### Redox chemistry of group 15 metal halides

**In reaction 14.82, which species undergo oxidation and which reduction? Confirm that the equation balances in terms of changes in oxidation states.**

The reaction to be considered is:



|                   |                                  |                |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Oxidation states: | $\text{AsBr}_3$                  | As, +3; Br, -1 |
|                   | $\text{BrOTeF}_5$                | Br, +1; Te, +6 |
|                   | $\text{As}(\text{OTeF}_5)_5$     | As, +5; Te, +6 |
|                   | $[\text{AsBr}_4]^+$              | As, +5; Br, -1 |
|                   | $[\text{As}(\text{OTeF}_5)_6]^-$ | As, +5; Te, +6 |

The redox chemistry involves As and Br. The As in  $\text{AsBr}_3$  is oxidized on going to  $[\text{AsBr}_4]^+$ , while Br in  $\text{BrOTeF}_5$  is reduced on going to  $[\text{AsBr}_4]^+$ .

Oxidation: As(+3) to As(+5) Change in oxidation state = +2

Reduction: Br(+1) to Br(-1) Change in oxidation state = -2

Therefore the equation balances in terms of oxidation state changes.

#### Self-study exercises



- In reaction 14.53, which elements are oxidized and which reduced? Confirm that the reaction balances in terms of changes in oxidation states.** [Ans. N, oxidized; F, reduced]
- Which elements undergo redox changes in reaction 14.56? Confirm that the equation balances in terms of the oxidation state changes.** [Ans. N, reduced; half of the Cl, oxidized]
- Are reactions 14.68, 14.69 and 14.70 redox reactions? Confirm your answer by determining the oxidation states of the N atoms in the reactants and products in each equation.** [Ans. non-redox]
- Confirm that reaction 14.84 is a redox process, and that the equation balances with respect to changes in oxidation states for the appropriate elements.**

## 14.8 Oxides of nitrogen

As in group 14, the first element of group 15 stands apart in forming oxides in which (*p-p*) $\pi$ -bonding is important. Table 14.6 lists selected properties of nitrogen oxides, excluding NO<sub>3</sub> which is an unstable radical; NO<sub>2</sub> exists in equilibrium with N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

### Dinitrogen monoxide, N<sub>2</sub>O


Dinitrogen monoxide (Table 14.6) is usually prepared by decomposition of solid ammonium nitrate (equation 14.87, compare reaction 14.6) but the aqueous solution reaction 14.88 is useful for obtaining a purer product. For further detail on the oxidation of NH<sub>2</sub>OH to N<sub>2</sub>O, see [Section 14.5](#).



Dinitrogen monoxide has a faint, sweet odour. It dissolves in water to give a neutral solution, but does not react to any significant extent. The position of equilibrium 14.89 is far to the left.




Dinitrogen monoxide is a non-toxic gas which is fairly unreactive at 298 K. The N<sub>2</sub>O molecule is linear, and the bonding can be represented as in structure [14.46](#), although the bond lengths suggest some contribution from resonance structure [14.47](#). One application of N<sub>2</sub>O is as a general anaesthetic ('laughing gas'), but its major use is in the preparation of whipped cream. Its reactivity is higher at elevated temperatures; N<sub>2</sub>O supports combustion, and reacts with NaNH<sub>2</sub> at 460 K (equation 14.44). This reaction



is used commercially to prepare NaN<sub>3</sub>, a precursor to other azides such as Pb(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> which is used as a detonator.

### Nitrogen monoxide, NO

Nitrogen monoxide (Table 14.6) is made industrially from NH<sub>3</sub> (equation 14.90), and on a laboratory scale by reducing HNO<sub>3</sub> in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (reaction 14.91 or 14.92).



Reaction 14.91 is the basis of the brown ring test for [NO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>. After the addition of an equal volume of aqueous FeSO<sub>4</sub> to the test solution, cold concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is added slowly to form a separate, lower layer. If [NO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> is present, NO is liberated, and a brown ring forms between the two layers. The brown colour is due to the formation of [Fe(NO)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, an example of one of many *nitrosyl complexes* in which NO acts as a ligand (see [Section 20.4](#)). The IR spectrum of [Fe(NO)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> shows an absorption at 1810 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to  $\nu(\text{NO})$  and is consistent with the formulation of an [NO]<sup>-</sup> ligand bound to Fe(III) rather than [NO]<sup>+</sup> coordinated to Fe(I). The presence of Fe(III) is also supported by Mössbauer spectroscopic data. We return to the reaction between [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> and NO in [Box 25.1](#). The compound [Et<sub>4</sub>N]<sub>5</sub>[NO][V<sub>12</sub>O<sub>32</sub>] is an unusual example of one in which the [NO]<sup>-</sup> ion is present in a non-coordinated form. The [V<sub>12</sub>O<sub>32</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> ion (see [Section 21.6](#)) has a 'bowl-shaped' structure and acts as a 'host', trapping the [NO]<sup>-</sup> ion as a 'guest' within the cage. There are only weak van der Waals interactions between the host and guest.

**Table 14.6** Selected data for the oxides of nitrogen.

|   | N <sub>2</sub> O     | NO                 | N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | NO <sub>2</sub>  | N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>            | N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>        |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name  | Dinitrogen monoxide‡ | Nitrogen monoxide‡ | Dinitrogen trioxide           | Nitrogen dioxide | Dinitrogen tetraoxide                    | Dinitrogen pentaoxide                |
| Melting point/K                                     | 182                  | 109                | 173                           | –                | 262                                      | 303                                  |
| Boiling point/K                                     | 185                  | 121                | 277 dec.                      | –                | 294                                      | 305 sublimes                         |
| Physical appearance                                 | Colourless gas       | Colourless gas     | Blue solid or liquid          | Brown gas        | Colourless solid or liquid, but see text | Colourless solid, stable below 273 K |
| $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 82.1 (g)             | 90.2 (g)           | 50.3 (l)<br>83.7 (g)          | 33.2 (g)         | –19.5 (l)<br>9.2 (g)                     | –43.1 (s)                            |
| Dipole moment of gas-phase molecule/D               | 0.16                 | 0.16               | –                             | 0.315            | –  | –                                    |
| Magnetic properties                                 | Diamagnetic          | Paramagnetic       | Diamagnetic                   | Paramagnetic     | Diamagnetic                              | Diamagnetic                          |

‡ N<sub>2</sub>O and NO are commonly called nitrous oxide and nitric oxide, respectively.

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 14.7 Nitrogen monoxide in biology

Research into the role played by NO in biological systems is an active area, and in 1992, *Science* named NO ‘Molecule of the Year’. The 1998 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine was awarded to Robert F. Furchgott, Louis J. Ignarro and Ferid Murad for ‘their discoveries concerning nitric oxide as a signalling molecule in the cardiovascular system’ (<http://www.nobel.se/medicine/laureates/1998/press.html>).

The small molecular dimensions of NO mean that it readily diffuses through cell walls. It acts as a messenger molecule in biological systems, and appears to have an active role in mammalian functions such as the regulation of blood pressure, muscle relaxation and neuro-transmission. A remarkable property exhibited by NO is that it appears to be cytotoxic (i.e. it is able to specifically destroy particular cells) and it affects the ability of the body’s immune system to kill tumour cells.

## Further reading

A.R. Butler (1995) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 828 – ‘The biological roles of nitric oxide’.


E. Palmer (1999) *Chemistry in Britain*, January issue, p. 24 – ‘Making the love drug’.

R.J.P. Williams (1995) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 24, p. 77 – ‘Nitric oxide in biology: Its role as a ligand’.

Reviews by the winners of the 1998 Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine: *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* (1999) vol. 38, p. 1856; 1870; 1882.

See also **Box 28.2**: How the blood-sucking *Rhodnius prolixus* utilizes NO.


Structure **14.48** shows that NO is a radical. Unlike NO<sub>2</sub>, it does not dimerize unless cooled to low temperature under high pressure. In the diamagnetic solid, a dimer with a long N–N bond (218 pm) is present. It is probable that a dimer is an intermediate in the reactions 14.93, for which reaction rates decrease with increasing temperature.



The reaction with O<sub>2</sub> is important in the manufacture of nitric acid ([Section 14.9](#)), but NO can also be oxidized directly to HNO<sub>3</sub> by acidified [MnO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>−</sup>. The reduction of NO depends on the reducing agent, e.g. with SO<sub>2</sub>, the product is N<sub>2</sub>O, but reduction with tin and acid gives NH<sub>2</sub>OH. Although NO is thermodynamically unstable with respect to its elements (Table 14.6), it does not decompose at an appreciable rate below 1270 K, and so does not support combustion well. The positive value of Δ<sub>f</sub>H° means that at high temperatures, the formation of NO is favoured, and this is significant during combustion of motor and aircraft fuels where NO is one of several oxides formed; the oxides are collectively described by NO<sub>x</sub> (see [Box 14.8](#)) and contribute to the formation of smogs over large cities.

A reaction of NO that has been known since the early 1800s is that with sulfite ion to form [O<sub>3</sub>SNONO]<sup>2−</sup>. One resonance structure for this ion is shown in diagram **14.49**. The bond lengths for the K<sup>+</sup> salt are consistent with an S–N single bond, and double bond character for the N–N bond, but they also suggest some degree of multiple bond character for the N–O bonds. It is proposed that [O<sub>3</sub>SNONO]<sup>2−</sup> forms by sequential addition of NO to

[SO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2−</sup>, rather than the single-step addition of the transient dimer, ONNO.



For the K<sup>+</sup> salt:

S–N = 175 pm

N–N = 128 pm

N–O = 129, 132 pm

Reactions 14.68 and 14.69 showed the formation of salts containing the [NO]<sup>+</sup> (nitrosyl) cation. Many salts are known and X-ray diffraction data confirm an N–O distance of 106 pm, i.e. less than in NO (115 pm). A molecular orbital treatment of the bonding (see [problem 14.16](#) at the end of the chapter) is consistent with this observation. In going from NO to [NO]<sup>+</sup> there is an increase in the NO vibrational frequency (1876 to ≈2300 cm<sup>−1</sup>), in keeping with an increase in bond strength. All nitrosyl salts are decomposed by water (equation 14.94).

Dinitrogen trioxide, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Dinitrogen trioxide (Table 14.6 and Figure 14.14) is obtained as a dark blue liquid in reaction 14.95 at low temperatures, but even at 195 K, extensive dissociation back to NO and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> occurs.



Dinitrogen trioxide is water-soluble and is the *acid anhydride* of HNO<sub>2</sub>, nitrous acid (equation 14.96).



## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

Box 14.8 NO<sub>x</sub>: tropospheric pollutant

'NO<sub>x</sub>' (pronounced 'NOX') is a combination of nitrogen oxides arising from both natural (soil emissions and lightning) and man-made sources. The major man-made culprits are vehicle and aircraft exhausts and large industrial power (e.g. electricity-generating) plants; NO<sub>x</sub> also contributes to waste effluent in some industrial processes such as the manufacture of adipic acid, and processes are being developed to reduce these pollutant levels. In the closing years of the twentieth century, a better awareness of our environment led to the regulation of exhaust emissions; regulated emissions are CO, hydrocarbons and NO<sub>x</sub>, as well as particulate matter. The effects of NO<sub>x</sub> in the troposphere (0–12 km altitude above the Earth's surface) are to increase OH<sup>•</sup> and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations. While O<sub>3</sub> in the upper atmosphere acts as a barrier against UV radiation, increased levels at lower altitudes are detrimental to human lung tissue.






For leads into the literature, see:

- I. Folkins and G. Brasseur (1992) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 294 – 'The chemical mechanisms behind ozone depletion'.  
 M.G. Lawrence and P.J. Crutzen (1999) *Nature*, vol. 402, p. 167 – 'Influence of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from ships on tropospheric photochemistry and climate'.  
 L. Ross Raber (1997) *Chemical & Engineering News*, April 14 issue, p. 10 – 'Environmental Protection Agency's Air Standards: Pushing too far, too fast?'  
 R.P. Wayne (2000) *Chemistry of Atmospheres*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.  
 See also: **Box 10.3**: batteries for non-polluting electric vehicles.  
**Section 26.7** with **Figure 26.14**: catalytic converters.

An *acid anhydride* is formed when one or more molecules of acid lose one or more molecules of water.

Dinitrogen tetroxide, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and nitrogen dioxide, NO<sub>2</sub>


Dinitrogen tetroxide and nitrogen dioxide (Table 14.6 and Figure 14.14) exist in equilibrium 14.97, and must be discussed together.




**Fig. 14.14** The molecular structures of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (with resonance structures), NO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>; molecules of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> are planar. The N–N bonds in N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> are particularly long (compare with N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, Figure 14.4). Colour code: N, blue; O, red.

The solid is colourless and is diamagnetic, consistent with the presence of only N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Dissociation of this dimer gives the brown NO<sub>2</sub> radical. Solid N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> melts to give a yellow liquid, the colour arising from the presence of a little NO<sub>2</sub>. At 294 K (bp), the brown vapour contains 15% NO<sub>2</sub>; the colour of the vapour darkens as the temperature is raised, and at 413 K dissociation of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is almost complete. Above 413 K, the colour lightens again as NO<sub>2</sub> dissociates to NO and O<sub>2</sub>. Laboratory-scale preparations of NO<sub>2</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> are usually by thermal decomposition of *dry* lead(II) nitrate (equation 14.98); if the brown gaseous


$\text{NO}_2$  is cooled to  $\approx 273\text{ K}$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  condenses as a yellow liquid.



Dinitrogen tetroxide is a powerful oxidizing agent (for example, see [Box 8.2](#)) which attacks many metals, including Hg, at 298 K. The reaction of  $\text{NO}_2$  or  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  with water gives a 1:1 mixture of nitrous and nitric acids (equation 14.99), although nitrous acid disproportionates (see below). Because of the formation of these acids, atmospheric  $\text{NO}_2$  is corrosive and contributes to ‘acid rain’ (see [Box 15.5](#)). In concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  yields the nitrosyl and nityl cations (equation 14.100). The reactions of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  with halogens were described in [Section 14.7](#), and uses of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  as a non-aqueous solvent were outlined in [Section 8.11](#).




The nityl cation **14.50** is linear, compared with the bent structures of  $\text{NO}_2$  (Figure 14.14) and of  $[\text{NO}_2]^-$  ( $\angle\text{O}-\text{N}-\text{O} = 115^\circ$ ).



## Dinitrogen pentaoxide, $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$

Dinitrogen pentaoxide (Table 14.6 and Figure 14.14) is the acid anhydride of  $\text{HNO}_3$  and is prepared by reaction 14.101.



It forms colourless deliquescent crystals (see [Section 11.5](#)) but slowly decomposes above 273 K to give  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{O}_2$ . In the solid state,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  consists of  $[\text{NO}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  ions, but the vapour contains planar molecules (Figure 14.14). A molecular form of the solid can be formed by sudden cooling of the vapour to 93 K. Dinitrogen pentaoxide reacts violently with water, yielding  $\text{HNO}_3$ , and is a powerful oxidizing agent (e.g. reaction 14.102).




## 14.9 Oxoacids of nitrogen


### Hyponitrous acid, $\text{H}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$

An aqueous solution of sodium hyponitrite can be made from organic nitrites by reaction 14.103 or by the reduction of  $\text{NaNO}_2$  with sodium amalgam. Addition of  $\text{Ag}^+$  leads to the precipitation of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$ . Treatment of this salt with

anhydrous HCl in dry diethyl ether leads to the formation of hyponitrous acid.





Free  $\text{H}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$  is a weak acid. It is potentially explosive, decomposing spontaneously into  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The hyponitrite ion,  $[\text{N}_2\text{O}_2]^{2-}$ , exists in both the *trans*- and *cis*-forms. The *trans*-configuration is kinetically the more stable and has been confirmed in the solid state structure of  $\text{Na}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Spectroscopic data for  $\text{H}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$  also indicate a *trans*-configuration (structure **14.51**). In the 2,2'-bipyridinium salt of hyponitrous acid, the hydrogen atoms are involved in  $\text{O} \cdots \text{H}-\text{N}$  hydrogen-bonded between *trans*- $[\text{N}_2\text{O}_2]^{2-}$  and 2,2'-bipyridinium cations (**14.52**).





### Nitrous acid, $\text{HNO}_2$

Nitrous acid is known only in solution and in the vapour phase, and in the latter, it has structure **14.53**. It is a weak acid ( $\text{p}K_a = 3.37$ ), but is unstable with respect to disproportionation in solution (equation 14.104). It may be prepared *in situ* by reaction 14.105, the water-soluble reagents being chosen so as to give an insoluble metal salt as a product.  $\text{AgNO}_2$  is insoluble but other metal nitrites are soluble in water.



Sodium nitrite is an important reagent in the preparation of diazonium compounds, e.g. reaction 14.106 in which  $\text{HNO}_2$  is prepared *in situ*. Alkali metal nitrates yield the nitrites when heated alone or, better, with Pb (reaction 14.107).



Nitrous acid can be oxidized to  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  by powerful oxidants such as acidified  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ . The products of the reduction of  $\text{HNO}_2$  depend on the reducing agent:

## APPLICATIONS

Box 14.9 Commercial demand for HNO<sub>3</sub>


The industrial production of nitric acid (scheme 14.109) is carried out on a large scale and its manufacture is closely linked to that of ammonia. About 80% of all HNO<sub>3</sub> produced is destined for conversion into fertilizers, with [NH<sub>4</sub>][NO<sub>3</sub>] being a key product:




Ammonium nitrate has other important applications: about 25% of the manufactured output is used directly in explosives, but its ready accessibility makes it a target for misuse, e.g. in the Oklahoma City bombing in 1995. The potentially explosive nature of [NH<sub>4</sub>][NO<sub>3</sub>] also makes it a high-risk chemical for transportation.

- NO is formed with I<sup>-</sup> or Fe<sup>2+</sup>;
- N<sub>2</sub>O is produced with Sn<sup>2+</sup>;
- NH<sub>2</sub>OH results from reduction by SO<sub>2</sub>;
- NH<sub>3</sub> is formed with Zn in alkaline solution.

Kinetic rather than thermodynamic control over a reaction is illustrated by the fact that, in dilute solution, HNO<sub>2</sub>, but not HNO<sub>3</sub>, oxidizes I<sup>-</sup> to I<sub>2</sub>. Equations 14.108 show that the values of  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}}$  for these redox reactions are similar; nitrous acid is a faster, rather than a more powerful, oxidizing agent than dilute nitric acid.


Nitric acid, HNO<sub>3</sub>, and its derivatives

Nitric acid is an important industrial chemical and is manufactured on a large scale in the Haber–Bosch process closely tied to NH<sub>3</sub> production; the first step is the oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub> to NO (equation 14.21). After cooling, NO is mixed with air and absorbed in a countercurrent of water. The reactions involved are summarized in scheme 14.109; this produces HNO<sub>3</sub> in a concentration of ≈60% by weight and it can be concentrated to 68% by distillation.



Pure nitric acid can be made in the laboratory by adding H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to KNO<sub>3</sub> and distilling the product *in vacuo*. It is a colourless liquid, but must be stored below 273 K to

Nitric acid is usually produced as an aqueous solution containing 50–70% HNO<sub>3</sub> by weight, and this is highly suitable for use in the fertilizer industry. However, for applications of HNO<sub>3</sub> as a nitrating agent in the production of, for example, explosives, acid containing >98% HNO<sub>3</sub> by weight is needed. Ordinary distillation is not appropriate because HNO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O form an azeotrope (see text). Alternative methods are dehydration using concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, or adapting the oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub> (equation 14.21 and first step in scheme 14.109) to include a final step:



See also **Box 14.3**: Ammonia: an industrial giant.

prevent slight decomposition (equation 14.110) which gives the acid a yellow colour.





Ordinary concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> is the *azeotrope* containing 68% by weight of HNO<sub>3</sub> and boiling at 393 K; photochemical decomposition occurs by reaction 14.110. Fuming HNO<sub>3</sub> is orange owing to the presence of an excess of NO<sub>2</sub>.

An *azeotrope* is a mixture of two liquids that distils unchanged, the composition of liquid and vapour being the same. Unlike a pure substance, the composition of the azeotropic mixture depends on pressure.

In aqueous solution, HNO<sub>3</sub> acts as a strong acid which attacks most metals, often more rapidly if a trace of HNO<sub>2</sub> is present. Exceptions are Au and the *platinum-group metals* (see **Section 22.9**); Fe and Cr are passivated by concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>. **Equations 8.8–8.10** illustrate HNO<sub>3</sub> acting as a base.

Tin, arsenic and a few *d*-block metals are converted to their oxides when treated with HNO<sub>3</sub>, but others form nitrates. Only Mg, Mn and Zn liberate H<sub>2</sub> from *very dilute* nitric acid. If the metal is a more powerful reducing agent than H<sub>2</sub>, reaction with HNO<sub>3</sub> reduces the acid to N<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>2</sub>OH or N<sub>2</sub>O; other metals liberate NO or NO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. reactions 14.111 and 14.112).





Large numbers of metal nitrate salts are known. Anhydrous nitrates of the group 1 metals, Sr<sup>2+</sup>, Ba<sup>2+</sup>, Ag<sup>+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup> are readily accessible, but for other metals, anhydrous


## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 14.10 Nitrates and nitrites in waste water

Levels of  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  in waste water are controlled by legislation, limits being recommended by the World Health Organization, the Environmental Protection Agency (in the US) and the European Community. Nitrites, because of their toxicity, must also be removed. Methods of nitrate removal include anion exchange, reverse osmosis (see *Box 15.3*), and denitrification. The last process is a biological one in which certain anaerobic bacteria reduce  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  and  $[\text{NO}_2]^-$  to  $\text{N}_2$ :



Other methods of removing  $[\text{NO}_2]^-$  involve oxidation:




with the  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  then being removed as described above. Nitrite can also be removed by reduction using urea or sulfamic acid:



For related information, see *Box 15.3*: Purification of water.

nitrate salts are typically prepared using  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  (see *Section 8.11*). The preparations of anhydrous  $\text{Mn}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  and  $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  by slow dehydration of the corresponding hydrated salts using concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  and phosphorus(V) oxide illustrate an alternative strategy. Nitrate salts of all metals and cations such as  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  are soluble in water. Alkali metal nitrates decompose on heating to the nitrite (reaction 14.113, see also equation 14.107). The decomposition of  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  depends on the temperature (equations 14.6 and 14.87). Most metal nitrates decompose to the oxide when heated (reaction 14.114), but silver and mercury(II) nitrates give the respective metal (equation 14.115)



Many organic and inorganic compounds are oxidized by concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$ , although nitrate ion in aqueous solution is usually a very *slow* oxidizing agent (see above). *Aqua regia* contains free  $\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{ONCl}$  and attacks Au (reaction 14.116) and Pt with the formation of chloro complexes.




*Aqua regia* is a mixture of concentrated nitric and hydrochloric acids.

Concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  oxidizes  $\text{I}_2$ ,  $\text{P}_4$  and  $\text{S}_8$  to  $\text{HIO}_3$ ,  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  respectively.

The molecular structure of  $\text{HNO}_3$  is depicted in Figure 14.15a; differences in N–O bond distances are readily understood in terms of the resonance structures shown. The nitrate ion has a trigonal planar ( $D_{3h}$ ) structure and the equivalence of the bonds may be rationalized using valence bond or molecular theory (Figures 4.25 and 14.15b). We considered an MO treatment for the bonding in  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  in *Figure 4.25* and described how interaction between the N  $2p$

orbital and a ligand-group orbital involving in-phase O  $2p$  orbitals gives rise to one occupied MO in  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  that has  $\pi$ -bonding character delocalized over all four atoms.


The hydrogen atom in  $\text{HNO}_3$  can be replaced by fluorine by treating dilute  $\text{HNO}_3$  or  $\text{KNO}_3$  with  $\text{F}_2$ . The product, fluorine nitrate, **14.54**, is an explosive gas which reacts slowly with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  but rapidly with aqueous alkali (equation 14.117).



(14.54)




The reaction of  $\text{NaNO}_3$  with  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$  at 570 K leads to the formation of  $\text{Na}_3\text{NO}_4$  (sodium orthonitrate);  $\text{K}_3\text{NO}_4$  may be prepared similarly. X-ray diffraction data confirm that the  $[\text{NO}_4]^{3-}$  ion is tetrahedral with N–O bond lengths of 139 pm, consistent with single bond character. Structure **14.55** gives a valence bond picture of the bonding. The free acid  $\text{H}_3\text{NO}_4$  is not known.



(14.55)

## 14.10 Oxides of phosphorus, arsenic, antimony and bismuth

Each of the group 15 elements from P to Bi forms two oxides,  $\text{E}_2\text{O}_3$  (or  $\text{E}_4\text{O}_6$ ) and  $\text{E}_2\text{O}_5$  (or  $\text{E}_4\text{O}_{10}$ ), the latter becoming less stable as the group is descended:




**Fig. 14.15** (a) The gas-phase planar structure of  $\text{HNO}_3$ , and appropriate resonance structures. (b) The molecular structure of the planar  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  anion; the equivalence of the three N–O bonds can be rationalized by valence bond theory (one of three resonance structures is shown) or by MO theory (partial  $\pi$ -bonds are formed by overlap of N and O  $2p$  atomic orbitals and the  $\pi$ -bonding is delocalized over the  $\text{NO}_3$ -framework as was shown in Figure 4.25). Colour code: N, blue; O, red; H, white.

- $\text{E}_2\text{O}_5$  (E = P, As, Sb, Bi) are acidic;
- $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$  is acidic;
- $\text{As}_4\text{O}_6$  and  $\text{Sb}_4\text{O}_6$  are amphoteric;
- $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  is basic.

In addition, the discussion below introduces several other oxides of phosphorus.


## Oxides of phosphorus

Phosphorus(III) oxide,  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$ , is obtained by burning white phosphorus in a restricted supply of  $\text{O}_2$ . It is a colourless, volatile solid (mp 297 K, bp 447 K) with molecular structure **14.56**; the P–O bond distances (165 pm) are consistent with single bonds, and the angles P–O–P and O–P–O are  $128^\circ$  and  $99^\circ$  respectively. The oxide is soluble in diethyl ether or benzene, but reacts with cold water (equation 14.118).




Each P atom in  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$  carries a lone pair of electrons and  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$  can therefore act as a Lewis base. Adducts with one and two equivalents of  $\text{BH}_3$  have been reported, but the reaction of  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$  with one equivalent of  $\text{Me}_2\text{S}\cdot\text{BH}_3$  followed by slow crystallization from toluene solution at 244 K gives  $\text{P}_8\text{O}_{12}(\text{BH}_3)_2$  (**14.57**) rather than an adduct of  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$ . The

solid state structure confirms that dimerization of  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$  has occurred through P–O bond cleavage in structure **14.56** and reformation of P–O bonds between monomeric units. Free  $\text{P}_8\text{O}_{12}$  has not, to date, been isolated.




The most important oxide of phosphorus is  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$  (phosphorus(V) oxide), commonly called *phosphorus pentoxide*. It can be made directly from  $\text{P}_4$  (equation 14.8) or by oxidizing  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$ . In the vapour phase, phosphorus(V) oxide contains  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$  molecules with structure **14.58**; the P–O<sub>bridge</sub> and P–O<sub>terminal</sub> bond distances are 160 and 140 pm. When the vapour is condensed rapidly, a volatile and extremely hygroscopic solid is obtained which also contains  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$  molecules. If this solid is heated in a closed vessel for several hours and the melt maintained at a high temperature before being allowed to cool, the solid obtained is macromolecular. Three polymorphic forms exist at ordinary pressure and temperature, with the basic building block being unit **14.59**; only three of the four O atoms are

available for interconnecting the  $\text{PO}_4$  units via P–O–P bridges. Phosphorus(V) oxide has a great affinity for water (equation 14.119), and is the anhydride of the wide range of oxoacids described in Section 14.11. It is used as a drying agent (see Box 11.4).




(14.58)




(14.59)




Three other oxides of phosphorus,  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_7$  (14.60),  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_8$  (14.61) and  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_9$  (14.62) have structures that are related to those of  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$  and  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$ .



(14.60)



(14.61)



(14.62)

These oxides are mixed P(III)P(V) species, each centre bearing a terminal oxo group being oxidized to P(V). For example,  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_8$  is made by heating  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$  in a sealed tube at 710 K, the other product being red phosphorus.


## Oxides of arsenic, antimony and bismuth

The normal combustion products of As and Sb are As(III) and Sb(III) oxides (equation 14.11). The vapour and high-temperature solid polymorph of each oxide contains  $\text{E}_4\text{O}_6$  (E = As or Sb) molecules structurally related to 14.56. Lower temperature polymorphs have layer structures containing trigonal pyramidal As or Sb atoms. Condensation of  $\text{As}_4\text{O}_6$  vapour above 520 K leads to the formation of  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_3$  glass. Arsenic(III) oxide is an important precursor in arsenic chemistry and is made industrially from the sulfide (Section 14.2). Dissolution of  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_3$  in water gives a very weakly acidic solution, and it is probable that the species present is  $\text{As}(\text{OH})_3$  (arsenous acid) although this has never been isolated; crystallization of aqueous solutions yields

$\text{As}_2\text{O}_3$ . Arsenic(III) oxide dissolves in aqueous alkali to give salts containing the  $[\text{AsO}_2]^-$  ion, and in aqueous HCl with the formation of  $\text{AsCl}_3$ . The properties of  $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3$  in water and aqueous alkali or HCl resemble those of  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_3$ .

Bismuth(III) oxide occurs naturally as *bismite*, and is formed when Bi combines with  $\text{O}_2$  on heating. In contrast to earlier members of group 15, molecular species are not observed for  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ , and the structure is more like that of a typical metal oxide.

Arsenic(V) oxide is most readily made by reaction 14.120 than by direct oxidation of the elements. The route makes use of the fact that  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_5$  is the acid anhydride of arsenic acid,  $\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4$ . In the solid state,  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_5$  has a lattice structure consisting of As–O–As linked octahedral  $\text{AsO}_6$  and tetrahedral  $\text{AsO}_4$ -units.




Antimony(V) oxide may be made by reacting  $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3$  with  $\text{O}_2$  at high temperatures and pressures. It crystallizes with a lattice structure in which the Sb atoms are octahedrally sited with respect to six O atoms. Bismuth(V) oxide is poorly characterized, and its formation requires the action of strong oxidants (e.g. alkaline hypochlorite) on  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ .

## 14.11 Oxoacids of phosphorus

Table 14.7 lists selected oxoacids of phosphorus. This is an important group of compounds, but the acids are difficult to classify in a straightforward manner. It should be remembered that the basicity of each acid corresponds to the number of OH-groups, and not simply to the total number of hydrogen atoms, e.g.  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$  are dibasic and monobasic respectively (Table 14.7). Diagnostic absorptions in the IR spectra of  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$  confirm the presence of P–H bonds; the P-attached hydrogens do not ionize in aqueous solution.

### Phosphinic acid, $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$

The reaction of white phosphorus with aqueous alkali (equation 14.9) produces the phosphinate (or hypophosphite) ion,  $[\text{H}_2\text{PO}_2]^-$ . By using  $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$  as alkali, precipitating the  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  ions as  $\text{BaSO}_4$ , and evaporating the aqueous solution, white deliquescent crystals of  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$  can be obtained. In aqueous solution,  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$  is a fairly strong monobasic acid (equation 14.121 and Table 14.7).




Phosphinic acid and its salts are reducing agents, and  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  is used industrially in a non-electrochemical reductive process which plates nickel onto, for example,

**Table 14.7** Selected oxoacids of phosphorus; older names that are still in common use are given in parentheses.


| Formula                                       | Name                                       | Structure | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> values   |
|---|--|-----------|--|
| H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>2</sub>                | Phosphinic acid<br>(hypophosphorous acid)  |           | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> = 1.24   |
| H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>3</sub>                | Phosphonic acid<br>(phosphorous acid)      |           | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (1) = 2.00; p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (2) = 6.59   |
| H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>                | Phosphoric acid<br>(orthophosphoric acid)  |           | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (1) = 2.21; p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (2) = 7.21;<br>p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (3) = 12.67   |
| H <sub>4</sub> P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>  | Hypophosphoric acid                        |           | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (1) = 2.2; p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (2) = 2.8;<br>p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (3) = 7.3; p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (4) = 10.0                                       |
| H <sub>4</sub> P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>  | Diphosphoric acid<br>(pyrophosphoric acid) |           | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (1) = 0.85; p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (2) = 1.49;<br>p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (3) = 5.77; p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (4) = 8.22                                    |
| H <sub>5</sub> P <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub> | Triphosphoric acid                         |           | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (1) ≤ 0<br>p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (2) = 0.89; p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (3) = 4.09;<br>p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (4) = 6.98; p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (5) = 9.93 |

steel. When heated, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> disproportionates according to equation 14.122, the products being determined by reaction temperature.



### Phosphonic acid, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>3</sub>

Phosphonic acid (commonly called *phosphorous acid*) may be crystallized from the solution obtained by adding ice-cold water to P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (equation 14.118) or PCl<sub>3</sub> (equation 14.72). Pure H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>3</sub> forms colourless, deliquescent crystals (mp 343 K) and in the solid state, molecules of the acid (Table 14.7) are linked by hydrogen bonds to form a three-dimensional network. In aqueous solution, it is dibasic (equations 14.123 and 14.124).



Salts containing the [HPO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> ion are called *phosphonates*. Although the name ‘phosphite’ remains in common use, it is a possible source of confusion since esters of type P(OR)<sub>3</sub> are also called phosphites, e.g. P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> is triethylphosphite.


Phosphonic acid is a reducing agent, but disproportionates when heated (equation 14.125).



### Hypophosphoric acid, H<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>

The reaction between red phosphorus and NaOCl or NaClO<sub>2</sub> yields Na<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, which can be converted in aqueous solution into the dihydrate of the free acid which is best formulated

as  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]_2[\text{H}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_6]$ . Dehydration using  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$  gives  $\text{H}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_6$ . The first indication of a P–P bonded dimer (i.e. rather than  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_3$ ) came from the observation that the acid was diamagnetic, and X-ray diffraction data for the salt  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{H}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_6]$  have confirmed this structural feature. All four terminal P–O bonds are of equal length (157 pm), and the bonding description shown in diagram 14.63 is consistent with this observation. In keeping with our comments on hypervalent species in Section 14.3, this description is more appropriate than a pair of resonance structures, each involving one P=O and one P–O<sup>−</sup> bond. The acid is thermodynamically unstable with respect to disproportionation and reaction 14.126 occurs slowly in aqueous solution. For this reason,  $\text{H}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_6$  cannot be made by reduction of  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  or by oxidation of  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3$  in aqueous media. Hence the need to use a precursor (i.e. elemental phosphorus) in which the P–P bond is already present.



### Phosphoric acid, $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ , and its derivatives

Phosphoric acid is made from phosphate rock (equation 14.127) or by hydration of  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$  (equation 14.119).



The pure acid forms deliquescent, colourless crystals (mp 315 K). It has a molecular structure (Table 14.7) with P–OH and P–O bond distances of 157 and 152 pm; this difference is significantly less than in  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$  (structure 14.58) and is the result of extensive hydrogen bonding in the crystalline state which links  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  molecules into a layered network. On standing, crystalline  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  rapidly forms a viscous liquid. In this and in the commercially available 85% (by weight with water) acid, extensive hydrogen bonding is responsible for the syrupy nature of the acid. In dilute aqueous solutions, acid molecules are hydrogen-bonded to water molecules rather than to each other.

Phosphoric acid is very stable and has no oxidizing properties except at very high temperatures. Aqueous  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  is a tribasic acid (Table 14.7) and salts containing  $[\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{HPO}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{PO}_4]^{3-}$  can be isolated. Thus, three  $\text{Na}^+$  salts can be prepared under suitable neutralization conditions; ordinary sodium phosphate is  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and the common  $\text{K}^+$  salt is  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ . Sodium phosphates are extensively used for buffering aqueous solutions, and tri-*n*-butyl phosphate is a valuable solvent for the extraction of metal ions from aqueous solution (see [Box 6.3](#)).

When  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  is heated at 510 K, it is dehydrated to diphosphoric acid (equation 14.128). Comparison of the structures of these acids (Table 14.7) shows that water is eliminated with concomitant P–O–P bridge formation. Further heating yields triphosphoric acid (equation 14.129).



## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

### Box 14.11 Phosphate fertilizers: essential to crops but are they damaging our lakes?


As we pointed out in Box 14.3, worldwide demand for fertilizers is enormous and world consumption is increasing at a rate of between 2% and 3% per year. Phosphorus is an essential plant nutrient and up to 90% (depending on the country) of phosphate rock (see [Section 14.2](#)) that is mined is consumed in the manufacture of phosphorus-containing fertilizers. Insoluble phosphate rock is treated with concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  to generate soluble *superphosphate* fertilizers containing  $\text{Ca}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2$  mixed with  $\text{CaSO}_4$  and other sulfates; reaction between phosphate rock and  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  gives *triple superphosphate*, mainly  $\text{Ca}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2$ . Ammonium phosphate fertilizers are valuable sources of both N and P. Environmentalists are concerned about the effects that phosphates and polyphosphates from fertilizers and detergents have on the natural balance of lake populations. Phosphates in run-off water which flows into lakes contribute to the excessive growth of algae (*eutrophication*), the presence of which depletes the lakes of  $\text{O}_2$ , thereby

affecting fish and other water-life. However, the issue of phosphates in lakes is not clear-cut: recent field studies indicate that adding phosphates to acid lakes (the result of acid rain pollution) stimulates plant growth, which in turn leads to a production of  $[\text{OH}]^-$ , which neutralizes excess acid.

#### Further reading

- W. Davison, D.G. George and N.J.A. Edwards (1995) *Nature*, vol. 377, p. 504 – ‘Controlled reversal of lake acidification by treatment with phosphate fertilizer’.
- R. Gächter and B. Müller (2003) *Limnology and Oceanography*, vol. 48, p. 929 – ‘Why the phosphorus retention of lakes does not necessarily depend on the oxygen supply to their sediment surface’.
- B. Moss (1996) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 407 – ‘A land awash with nutrients – the problem of eutrophication’.


Such species containing P–O–P bridges are commonly called *condensed phosphates* and equation 14.130 shows the general condensation process.



The *controlled* hydrolysis of  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$  is sometimes useful as a means of preparing condensed phosphoric acids. In principle, the condensation of phosphate ions (e.g. reaction 14.131) should be favoured at low pH, but in practice such reactions are usually slow.



Clearly, the number of OH groups in a particular unit determines the extent of the condensation processes. In condensed phosphate anion formation, chain-terminating end groups (14.64) are formed from  $[\text{HPO}_4]^{2-}$ , chain members (14.65) from  $[\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4]^-$ , and cross-linking groups (14.66) from  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ .



In free condensed acids such as  $\text{H}_5\text{P}_3\text{O}_{10}$ , different phosphorus environments can be distinguished by  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectroscopy or chemical methods:

- the  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values for successive proton dissociations depend on the position of the OH group; terminal P atoms carry one strongly and one weakly acidic proton, while each P atom in the body of the chain bears one strongly acidic group;
- cross-linking P–O–P bridges are hydrolysed by water much faster than other such units.

The simplest condensed phosphoric acid,  $\text{H}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$ , is a solid at 298 K and can be obtained from reaction 14.128 or, in a purer form, by reaction 14.132. It is a stronger acid than  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  (Table 14.7).



The sodium salt  $\text{Na}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$  is obtained by heating  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$  at 510 K; note the electronic and structural relationship

between  $[\text{P}_2\text{O}_7]^{4-}$  (in which the terminal P–O bond distances are equal) and  $[\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7]^{6-}$ , 13.18. In aqueous solution,  $[\text{P}_2\text{O}_7]^{4-}$  is very slowly hydrolysed to  $[\text{PO}_4]^{3-}$ , and the two ions can be distinguished by chemical tests, e.g. addition of  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions precipitates white  $\text{Ag}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$  or pale yellow  $\text{Ag}_3\text{PO}_4$ .

The acid referred to as ‘metaphosphoric acid’ with an empirical formula of  $\text{HPO}_3$  is actually a sticky mixture of polymeric acids, obtained by heating  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  and  $\text{H}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$  at  $\approx 600$  K. More is known about the salts of these acids than about the acids themselves. For example,  $\text{Na}_3\text{P}_3\text{O}_9$  can be isolated by heating  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$  at 870–910 K and maintaining the melt at 770 K to allow water vapour to escape. It contains the cyclic  $[\text{P}_3\text{O}_9]^{3-}$  ion (*cyclo*-triphosphate ion, Figure 14.16a) which has a chair conformation. In alkaline solution,  $[\text{P}_3\text{O}_9]^{3-}$  hydrolyses to  $[\text{P}_3\text{O}_{10}]^{5-}$  (triphosphate ion, Figure 14.16b). The salts  $\text{Na}_5\text{P}_3\text{O}_{10}$  and  $\text{K}_5\text{P}_3\text{O}_{10}$  (along with several hydrates) are well characterized and  $\text{Na}_5\text{P}_3\text{O}_{10}$  (manufactured by reaction 14.133) is used in detergents where it acts as a water softener; uses of polyphosphates as sequestering agents were mentioned in Sections 11.7 and 11.8. The parent acid  $\text{H}_5\text{P}_3\text{O}_{10}$  has not been prepared in a pure form, but solution titrations allow  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  values to be determined (Table 14.7).




The salt  $\text{Na}_4\text{P}_4\text{O}_{12}$  may be prepared by heating  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$  with  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  at 670 K and slowly cooling the melt. Alternatively, the volatile form of  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$  may be treated with ice-cold aqueous NaOH and  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ . Figure 14.16c shows the structure of  $[\text{P}_4\text{O}_{12}]^{4-}$ , in which the  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_4$ -ring adopts a chair conformation. Several salts of the  $[\text{P}_6\text{O}_{18}]^{6-}$  ion (Figure 14.16d) are also well characterized; the  $\text{Na}^+$  salt is made by heating  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$  at  $\approx 1000$  K.

The discussion above illustrates how changes in the conditions of heating  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$  or  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$  cause product variation. Carefully controlled conditions are needed to obtain long-chain polyphosphates. Depending on the relative orientations of the  $\text{PO}_4$ -units, several modifications can be made. Cross-linked polyphosphates (some of which are glasses) can be made by heating  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$  with  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$ .

## 14.12 Oxoacids of arsenic, antimony and bismuth

‘Arsenous acid’ ( $\text{As}(\text{OH})_3$  or  $\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_3$ ) has not been isolated. Aqueous solutions of  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_3$  (see Section 14.10) probably contain  $\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_3$ ; there is little evidence for the existence of an acid of formula  $\text{As}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ . Several arsenite and meta-arsenite salts containing  $[\text{AsO}_3]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{AsO}_2]^-$  respectively have been isolated. Sodium meta-arsenite,  $\text{NaAsO}_2$  (commercially available), contains  $\text{Na}^+$  ions and infinite chains, 14.67, with trigonal pyramidal As(III) centres.



**Fig. 14.16** Schematic representations of the structures of (a)  $[\text{P}_3\text{O}_9]^{3-}$ , (b)  $[\text{P}_3\text{O}_{10}]^{5-}$  and (c)  $[\text{P}_4\text{O}_{12}]^{4-}$ . (d) The structure of  $[\text{P}_6\text{O}_{18}]^{6-}$  (X-ray diffraction) in the compound  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_6[\text{P}_6\text{O}_{18}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [M.T. Averbuch-Pouchot *et al.* (1991) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 47, p. 1579]. Compare these structures with those of the isoelectronic silicates, see [Figure 13.21](#) and associated text. Colour code: P, brown; O, red.


## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

### Box 14.12 Biological significance of phosphates

Phosphates play an enormously important role in biological systems. The genetic substances deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and ribonucleic acid (RNA) are phosphate esters (see [Figure 9.11](#)). Bones and teeth are constructed from *collagen* (fibrous protein) and single crystals of *hydroxyapatite*,  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{OH})(\text{PO}_4)_3$ . Tooth decay involves acid attack on the phosphate, but the addition of fluoride ion to water supplies facilitates the formation of fluorapatite, which is more resistant to decay.



All living cells contain *adenosine triphosphate*, ATP:




Hydrolysis results in the loss of a phosphate group and converts ATP to ADP (adenosine diphosphate), releasing energy which is used for functions such as cell growth and muscle movement. The reaction can be written in a simplified form as:



and, at the standard state usually employed in discussions of biochemical processes (pH 7.4 and  $[\text{CO}_2] = 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ ),  $\Delta G \approx -40 \text{ kJ}$  per mole of reaction. Conversely, energy released by, for example, the oxidation of carbohydrates can be used to convert ADP to ATP (see [Section 28.4](#)); thus ATP is continually being reformed, ensuring a continued supply of stored energy in the body.


#### Further reading

J.J.R. Fraústo da Silva and R.J.P. Williams (1991) *The Biological Chemistry of the Elements*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.  
C.K. Mathews, K.E. van Holde and K.G. Ahern (2000) *Biochemistry*, 3rd edn, Benjamin/Cummings, New York.



(14.67)


Arsenic acid,  $\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4$ , is obtained by dissolving  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_5$  in water or by oxidation of  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_3$  using nitric acid (reaction 14.120). Values of  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}(1) = 2.25$ ,  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}(2) = 6.77$  and  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}(3) = 11.60$  for  $\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4$  show that it is of similar acidic strength to phosphoric acid (Table 14.7). Salts derived from  $\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4$  and containing the  $[\text{AsO}_4]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{HAsO}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{H}_2\text{AsO}_4]^-$  ions can be prepared under appropriate conditions. In acidic solution,  $\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4$  acts as an oxidizing agent and the pH-dependence of the ease of oxidation or reduction is understood in terms of half-equation 14.134 and the relevant discussion in [Section 7.2](#).



Condensed polyarsenate ions are kinetically much less stable with respect to hydrolysis (i.e. cleavage of As–O–As bridges) than condensed polyphosphate ions, and only monomeric  $[\text{AsO}_4]^{3-}$  exists in aqueous solution. Thus,  $\text{Na}_2\text{H}_2\text{As}_2\text{O}_7$  can be made by dehydrating  $\text{NaH}_2\text{AsO}_4$  at 360 K. Further dehydration (410 K) yields  $\text{Na}_3\text{H}_2\text{As}_3\text{O}_{10}$  and, at 500 K, polymeric  $(\text{NaAsO}_3)_n$  is formed. In the solid state, the latter contains infinite chains of tetrahedral  $\text{AsO}_4$  units linked by As–O–As bridges. All these condensed arsenates revert to  $[\text{AsO}_4]^{3-}$  on adding water.

Oxoacids of Sb(III) are not stable, and few antimonite salts are well characterized. Meta-antimonites include  $\text{NaSbO}_2$  which can be prepared as the trihydrate from  $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3$  and aqueous  $\text{NaOH}$ ; the anhydrous salt has a polymeric structure. No oxoacids of Sb(V) are known, and neither is the tetrahedral anion  $[\text{SbO}_4]^{3-}$ . However, well-defined antimonates can be obtained, for example, by dissolving antimony(V) oxide in aqueous alkali and crystallizing the product. Some antimonates contain the octahedral  $[\text{Sb}(\text{OH})_6]^-$  ion, e.g.  $\text{Na}[\text{Sb}(\text{OH})_6]$  (originally formulated as  $\text{Na}_2\text{H}_2\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_7 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) and  $[\text{Mg}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6][\text{Sb}(\text{OH})_6]_2$  (with the old formula of  $\text{Mg}(\text{SbO}_3)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ). The remaining antimonates should be considered as mixed metal oxides. Their solid state structures consist of lattices in which Sb(V) centres are octahedrally coordinated by six O atoms and connected by Sb–O–Sb bridges, e.g.  $\text{NaSbO}_3$ ,  $\text{FeSbO}_4$ ,  $\text{ZnSb}_2\text{O}_6$  and  $\text{FeSb}_2\text{O}_6$  (Figure 14.17).

No oxoacids of Bi are known, although some bismuthate salts are well characterized. Sodium bismuthate is an insoluble, orange solid, obtained by fusing  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  with  $\text{NaOH}$  in air or with  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}_2$ . It is a very powerful oxidizing agent, e.g. in the presence of acid, it oxidizes Mn(II) to  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ , and liberates  $\text{Cl}_2$  from hydrochloric acid. Like antimonates, some of the bismuthates are better considered as mixed metal oxides. An example is the Bi(III)–Bi(V)




 **Fig. 14.17** The unit cell of  $\text{FeSb}_2\text{O}_6$  which has a *trirutile* lattice; compare with the rutile unit cell in [Figure 5.21](#). Colour code: Sb, yellow; Fe, green; O, red; the edges of the unit cell are defined in yellow.

compound  $\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{BiO}_{3-x}$  ( $x \approx 0.02$ ) which has a perovskite lattice ([Figure 5.23](#)) and is of interest as a Cu-free superconductor at 30 K (see [Section 27.4](#)).


### 14.13 Phosphazenes

Phosphazenes are a group of P(V)/N(III) compounds featuring chain or cyclic structures, and are oligomers of the hypothetical  $\text{N}\equiv\text{PR}_2$ . The reaction of  $\text{PCl}_5$  with  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  in a chlorinated solvent (e.g.  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}$ ) gives a mixture of colourless solids of formula  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_n$  in which the predominant species have  $n = 3$  or 4. The compounds  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_3$  and  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_4$  are readily separated by distillation under reduced pressure. Although equation 14.135 summarizes the overall reaction, the mechanism is complicated; there is some evidence to support the scheme in Figure 14.18 which illustrates the formation of the trimer.




Reaction 14.135 is the traditional method of preparing  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_3$ , but yields are typically  $\approx 50\%$ . Improved yields can be obtained by using reaction 14.136. Again, although this looks straightforward, the reaction pathway is complicated and the formation of  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_3$  competes with that of  $\text{Cl}_3\text{P}=\text{NSiMe}_3$  (equation 14.137). Yields of  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_3$  can be optimized by ensuring a slow rate of addition of  $\text{PCl}_5$  to  $\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$  in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . Yields of  $\text{Cl}_3\text{P}=\text{NSiMe}_3$  (a precursor for phosphazene polymers, see below) are optimized if  $\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$  is added rapidly to  $\text{PCl}_5$  in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , and this is followed by the addition of hexane.






**Fig. 14.18** Proposed reaction scheme for the formation of the cyclic phosphazene  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_3$ .




Reaction 14.135 can be adapted to produce  $(\text{NPBr}_2)_n$  or  $(\text{NPMe}_2)_n$  by using  $\text{PBr}_5$  or  $\text{Me}_2\text{PCl}_3$  (in place of  $\text{PCl}_5$ ) respectively. The fluoro derivatives  $(\text{NPF}_2)_n$  ( $n = 3$  or  $4$ ) are not made directly, but are prepared by treating  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_n$  with  $\text{NaF}$  suspended in  $\text{MeCN}$  or  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{NO}_2$ .



The Cl atoms in  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_3$ , **14.68**, and  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_4$ , **14.69**, readily undergo nucleophilic substitutions, e.g. the following groups can be introduced:


- F using  $\text{NaF}$  (see above);
- $\text{NH}_2$  using liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ ;
- $\text{NMe}_2$  using  $\text{Me}_2\text{NH}$ ;
- $\text{N}_3$  using  $\text{LiN}_3$ ;
- $\text{OH}$  using  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ;
- Ph using  $\text{LiPh}$ .

Two substitution pathways are observed. If the group that first enters *decreases* the electron density on the P centre (e.g. F replaces Cl), the second substitution occurs at the *same* P atom. If the electron density *increases* (e.g.  $\text{NMe}_2$  substitutes for Cl), then the second substitution site is at a different P centre.



Small amounts of linear polymers, **14.70**, are also produced in reaction 14.136, and their yield can be increased


by using excess  $\text{PCl}_5$ . Polymers of  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_3$  with molecular masses in the range  $10^6$ , but with a wide mass distribution, result from heating molten  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_3$  at 480–520 K. Room temperature cationic-polymerization can be achieved using  $\text{Cl}_3\text{P}=\text{NSiMe}_3$  as a precursor (equation 14.138); this leads to polymers with molecular masses around  $10^5$  and with a relatively small mass distribution.



The Cl atoms in the polymers are readily replaced, and this is a route to some commercially important materials. Treatment with sodium alkoxides,  $\text{NaOR}$ , yields linear polymers  $[\text{NP}(\text{OR})_2]_n$  which have water-resistant properties, and when  $\text{R} = \text{CH}_2\text{CF}_3$ , the polymers are inert enough for use in the construction of artificial blood vessels and organs. Many phosphazene polymers are used in fire-resistant materials (see [Box 16.1](#)).


The structures of  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_3$ ,  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_4$ ,  $(\text{NPF}_2)_3$  and  $(\text{NPF}_2)_4$  are shown in Figure 14.19. Each of the 6-membered rings is planar, while the 8-membered rings are puckered. In  $(\text{NPF}_2)_4$ , the ring adopts a saddle conformation (Figure 14.19b),<sup>†</sup> but two ring conformations exist for  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_4$ . The metastable form has a saddle conformation, while the stable form of  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_4$  adopts a chair conformation (Figure 14.19b). Although structures **14.68** and **14.69** indicate double and single bonds in the rings, crystallographic data show that the P–N bond lengths in a given ring are equal. Data for  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_3$  and  $(\text{NPF}_2)_3$  are given in Figure 14.19a; in  $(\text{NPF}_2)_4$ ,  $d(\text{P}-\text{N}) = 154$  pm, and in the saddle and chair conformers of  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_4$ ,  $d(\text{P}-\text{N}) = 157$  and 156 pm respectively. The P–N bond distances are significantly shorter than expected for a P–N single bond (e.g. 177 pm in the anion in  $\text{Na}[\text{H}_3\text{NPO}_3]$ ), indicating a degree of multiple bond

<sup>†</sup>Prior to 2001, the ring was thought to be planar; the correct conformation was previously masked by a crystallographic disorder (see [Box 14.6](#)). See: A.J. Elias *et al.* (2001) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 123, p. 10299.




**Fig. 14.19** (a) Structural parameters for the phosphazenes  $(\text{NPX}_2)_3$  ( $X = \text{Cl}$  or  $\text{F}$ ); colour code: P, orange, N, blue; X, green. (b) Schematic representations of the  $\text{P}_4\text{N}_4$  ring conformations in  $(\text{NPF}_2)_4$  (saddle conformation only) and  $(\text{NPCl}_2)_4$  (saddle and chair conformations).

character. Resonance structures **14.71** could be used to describe the bonding in the planar 6-membered rings.



Traditional bonding descriptions for the 6-membered rings have involved  $\text{N}(2p)\text{--P}(3d)$  overlap, both in and perpendicular to the plane of the  $\text{P}_3\text{N}_3$ -ring. However, this model is not consistent with current opinion that phosphorus makes little or no use of its  $3d$  orbitals. Structure **14.72** provides another resonance form for a 6-membered cyclophosphazene, and is consistent with the observed P—N bond equivalence, as well as the observation that the N and P atoms are subject to attack by electrophiles and nucleophiles, respectively. Theoretical results support the highly polarized  $\text{P}^{\delta+}\text{--N}^{\delta-}$  bonds and the absence of aromatic character in the  $\text{P}_3\text{N}_3$ -ring.<sup>†</sup>



<sup>†</sup>For a recent analysis of the bonding in phosphazenes, see: V. Luña, A.M. Pendás, A. Costales, G.A. Carriedo and F.J. García-Alonso (2001) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 105, p. 5280.


## 14.14 Sulfides and selenides

### Sulfides and selenides of phosphorus


Sulfur–nitrogen compounds are described in [Section 15.10](#), and in this section we look at the molecular sulfides and selenides formed by phosphorus. Although the structures of the sulfides (Figure 14.20) appear to be closely related to those of the oxides (Section 14.10), there are some notable differences, e.g.  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$  and  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_6$  are not isostructural. The bond distances *within* the cages of all the sulfides indicate single P—P and P—S bonds; the data for  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_3$  shown in Figure 14.20 are typical. The terminal P—S bonds are shorter than those in the cage (e.g. 191 versus 208 pm in  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_{10}$ ). Only some of the sulfides are prepared by direct combination of the elements. Above 570 K, white phosphorus combines with sulfur to give  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_{10}$  which is the most useful of the phosphorus sulfides. It is a thiating agent (i.e. one that introduces sulfur into a system) in organic reactions, and is a precursor to organothiophosphorus compounds. The reaction of red phosphorus with sulfur above 450 K yields  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_3$ , and  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_7$  can also be made by direct combination under appropriate conditions. The remaining sulfides in Figure 14.20 are made by one of the general routes:

- abstraction of sulfur using  $\text{PPh}_3$  (e.g. reaction 14.139);
- treatment of a phosphorus sulfide with sulfur (e.g. reaction 14.140);
- treatment of a phosphorus sulfide with phosphorus (e.g. reaction 14.141);
- reaction of  $\alpha$ - (**14.73**) or  $\beta$ - $\text{P}_4\text{S}_3\text{I}_2$  (**14.74**) with  $(\text{Me}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{S}$  (reaction 14.142).

There is  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectroscopic evidence that  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_8$  has been prepared by treating  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_9$  with  $\text{PPh}_3$ .





**Fig. 14.20** Schematic representations of the molecular structures of phosphorus sulfides, and the structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $P_4S_6$  [L.Y. Goh *et al.* (1995) *Organometallics*, vol. 14, p. 3886]. Colour code: S, yellow; P, brown.




(14.73)

(14.74)




Phosphorus sulfides ignite easily, and  $P_4S_3$  is used in ‘strike anywhere’ matches; it is combined with  $KClO_3$ , and the compounds inflame when subjected to friction. Whereas  $P_4S_3$  is stable to water, other phosphorus sulfides are slowly hydrolysed (e.g. reaction 14.143).




We have already noted ([Section 14.10](#)) that, although sometimes referred to as ‘phosphorus pentoxide’, phosphorus(V)

oxide does not exist as  $P_2O_5$  molecules. In contrast, the vapour of phosphorus(V) sulfide contains some  $P_2S_5$  molecules (although decomposition of the vapour to S,  $P_4S_7$  and  $P_4S_3$  also occurs). The phosphorus selenides  $P_2Se_5$  and  $P_4Se_{10}$  are distinct species. Both can be made by direct combination of P and Se under appropriate conditions;  $P_2Se_5$  is also formed by the decomposition of  $P_3Se_4I$ , and  $P_4Se_{10}$  from the reaction of  $P_4Se_3$  and selenium at 620 K. Structure **14.75** has been confirmed by X-ray diffraction for  $P_2Se_5$ ;  $P_4Se_{10}$  is isostructural with  $P_4S_{10}$  and  $P_4O_{10}$ .



(14.75)




(14.76)

When  $P_2S_5$  is heated under vacuum with  $Cs_2S$  and sulfur in a 1:2:7 molar ratio,  $Cs_4P_2S_{10}$  is formed. This contains discrete  $[P_2S_{10}]^{4-}$  ions (**14.76**), the terminal P–S bonds in which are shorter (201 pm) than the two in the central chain (219 pm).

## Arsenic, antimony and bismuth sulfides


Arsenic and antimony sulfide ores are major sources of the group 15 elements (see [Section 14.2](#)). In the laboratory,  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  and  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_5$  are usually precipitated from aqueous solutions of arsenite or arsenate. Reaction 14.144 proceeds when the  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  is passed slowly through the solution at 298 K. If the temperature is lowered to 273 K and the rate of flow of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  is increased, the product is  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_5$ .



Solid  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  has the same layer structure as the low-temperature polymorph of  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_3$ , but it vaporizes to give  $\text{As}_4\text{S}_6$  molecules (see below).  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_5$  exists in crystalline and vitreous forms, but structural details are not known. Both  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  and  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_5$  are readily soluble in alkali metal sulfide solutions with the formation of thioarsenites and thioarsenates (e.g. equation 14.145); acids decompose these salts, reprecipitating the sulfides.



The sulfides  $\text{As}_4\text{S}_3$  (*dimorphite*),  $\text{As}_4\text{S}_4$  (*realgar*) and  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  (*orpiment*) occur naturally; the last two are red and golden-yellow respectively and were used as pigments in early times.<sup>†</sup> The arsenic sulfides  $\text{As}_4\text{S}_3$ ,  $\alpha\text{-As}_4\text{S}_4$ ,  $\beta\text{-As}_4\text{S}_4$  and  $\beta\text{-As}_4\text{S}_5$  are structural analogues of the phosphorus sulfides in Figure 14.20, but  $\text{As}_4\text{S}_6$  is structurally related to  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$  and  $\text{As}_4\text{O}_6$  rather than to  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_6$ . The bond distances in  $\alpha\text{-As}_4\text{S}_4$  (14.77) are consistent with As–As and As–S single bonds, and this view of the cage allows a comparison with  $\text{S}_4\text{N}_4$  (see [Section 15.10](#)).



The only well-characterized binary sulfide of Sb is the naturally occurring  $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$  (*stibnite*), which has a double-chain structure in which each Sb(III) is pyramidally sited with respect to three S atoms. The sulfide can be made by direct combination of the elements. A metastable red form can be precipitated from aqueous solution, but reverts to the stable black form on heating. Like  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$ ,  $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$  dissolves in alkali metal sulfide solutions (see equation 14.145). Bismuth(III) sulfide,  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ , is isostructural with  $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$ , but in contrast to its As and Sb analogues,  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  does not dissolve in alkali metal sulfide solutions.


<sup>†</sup> For wider discussions of inorganic pigments, see: R.J.H. Clark (1995) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 24, p. 187 – ‘Raman microscopy: Application to the identification of pigments on medieval manuscripts’; R.J.H. Clark and P.J. Gibbs (1997) *Chemical Communications*, p. 1003 – ‘Identification of lead(II) sulfide and pararealgar on a 13th century manuscript by Raman microscopy’.

## 14.15 Aqueous solution chemistry

Many aspects of the aqueous solution chemistry of the group 15 elements have already been covered:

- acid–base properties of  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{PH}_3$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ ,  $\text{HN}_3$  ([Section 14.5](#));
- redox behaviour of nitrogen compounds ([Section 14.5](#) and [Figure 14.5](#));
- the *brown ring test* for nitrate ion ([Section 14.8](#));
- oxoacids ([Sections 14.9](#), [14.11](#) and [14.12](#));
- condensed phosphates ([Section 14.11](#));
- lability of condensed arsenates ([Section 14.12](#));
- sequestering properties of polyphosphates ([Section 14.11](#)).

In this section we focus on the formation of aqueous solution species by Sb(III) and Bi(III). Solutions of Sb(III) contain either hydrolysis products or complex ions. The former are commonly written as  $[\text{SbO}]^+$ , but by analogy with Bi(III)



**Fig. 14.21** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) (*R*)- $[\text{Sb}(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_3]$  [D.P. Bullivant *et al.* (1980) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 105] and (b)  $[\text{Bi}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_4]^{2-}$ , crystallized as a hydrated ammonium salt [G. Smith *et al.* (1994) *Aust. J. Chem.*, vol. 47, p. 1413]. Colour code: Sb, yellow; Bi, blue; O, red; F, green; C, grey.

(see below), this is surely oversimplified. Complexes are formed with ligands such as oxalate, tartrate or trifluoroacetate ions, and it is usual to observe an arrangement of donor atoms about the Sb atom that reflects the presence of a stereochemically active lone pair of electrons; e.g. in  $[\text{Sb}(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_3]$ , the Sb(III) centre is in a trigonal pyramidal environment (Figure 14.21a).

The cation  $[\text{Bi}_6(\text{OH})_{12}]^{6+}$  is the dominant species in highly acidic aqueous media. The six Bi(III) centres are arranged in an octahedron, but at non-bonded separations ( $\text{Bi}\cdots\text{Bi} = 370 \text{ pm}$ ), and each of the twelve Bi–Bi edges is supported by a bridging hydroxo ligand. In more alkaline solutions,  $[\text{Bi}_6\text{O}_6(\text{OH})_3]^{3+}$  is formed, and ultimately,  $\text{Bi}(\text{OH})_3$  is precipitated. The coordination geometry of Bi(III) is often influenced by the presence of a stereochemically active lone pair; e.g. in the catecholate complex  $[\text{Bi}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_4]^{2-}$  (Figure 14.21b), each Bi atom is in a square-based pyramidal environment. Figure 14.13 showed the structures of two complexes of  $\text{BiCl}_3$  with macrocyclic ligands.

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter. Do you know what they mean?

- chemiluminescent reaction
- acid anhydride
- azeotrope

## Further reading

- D.E.C. Corbridge (1995) *Phosphorus*, 5th edn, Elsevier, Amsterdam – A review of all aspects of phosphorus chemistry.  
 J. Emsley (2000) *The Shocking Story of Phosphorus*, Macmillan, London – A readable book described as ‘a biography of the devil’s element’.

N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – Chapters 11–13 give a detailed account of the chemistries of the group 15 elements.

N.C. Norman, ed. (1998) *Chemistry of Arsenic, Antimony and Bismuth*, Blackie, London – A series of articles covering both inorganic and organometallic aspects of the later group 15 elements.

J. Novosad (1994) ‘Phosphorus: Inorganic chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 6, p. 3144 – An overview which includes information on  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectroscopy.

H.H. Sisler (1994) ‘Nitrogen: Inorganic chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 5, p. 2516 – A well-referenced account.

A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – Chapters 18–20 give detailed accounts of the structures of compounds of the group 15 elements.

## Specialized topics

J.C. Bottaro (1996) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 249 – ‘Recent advances in explosives and solid propellants’.

K. Dehnicke and J. Strähle (1992) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English*, vol. 31, p. 955 – ‘Nitrido complexes of the transition metals’.

P. Etmayer and W. Lengauer (1994) ‘Nitrides: Transition metal solid state chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 5, p. 2498.

D.P. Gates and I. Manners (1997) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 2525 – ‘Main-group-based rings and polymers’.

A.C. Jones (1997) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 26, p. 101 – ‘Developments in metal-organic precursors for semiconductor growth from the vapour phase’.

S.T. Oyama (1996) *The Chemistry of Transition Metal Carbides and Nitrides*, Kluwer, Dordrecht.

G.B. Richter-Addo, P. Legzdins and J. Burstyn, eds (2002) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 102, number 4 – A journal issue devoted to the chemistry of NO, and a source of key references for the area.

H.G. von Schnering and W. Hönl (1994) ‘Phosphides: Solid state chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 6, p. 3106.

W. Schnick (1999) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 38, p. 3309 – ‘The first nitride spinels – New synthetic approaches to binary group 14 nitrides’.

## Problems

- 14.1** What are the formal oxidation states of N or P in the following species? (a)  $\text{N}_2$ ; (b)  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$ ; (c)  $[\text{NO}_2]^-$ ; (d)  $\text{NO}_2$ ; (e)  $\text{NO}$ ; (f)  $\text{NH}_3$ ; (g)  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ ; (h)  $\text{P}_4$ ; (i)  $[\text{PO}_4]^{3-}$ ; (j)  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_6$ ; (k)  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$ .
- 14.2** Using bond enthalpy terms from Tables 13.2 and 14.3, estimate values of  $\Delta_r H^\circ$  for the following reactions:  
 (a)  $2\text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_4$  (tetrahedral structure);  
 (b)  $2\text{P}_2 \rightarrow \text{P}_4$  (tetrahedral structure);  
 (c)  $2\text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_4\text{H}_4$  (tetrahedrane, with a tetrahedral  $\text{C}_4$  core).
- 14.3** Give a brief account of allotropy among the group 15 elements.
- 14.4** Write equations for the reactions of (a) water with  $\text{Ca}_3\text{P}_2$ ; (b) aqueous  $\text{NaOH}$  with  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ ; (c) aqueous  $\text{NH}_3$  with  $\text{Mg}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ ; (d)  $\text{AsH}_3$  with an excess of  $\text{I}_2$  in neutral aqueous solution; (e)  $\text{PH}_3$  with  $\text{KNH}_2$  in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ .
- 14.5** Explain why (a) a dilute aqueous solution of  $\text{NH}_3$  smells of the gas whereas dilute  $\text{HCl}$  does not retain the acrid odour of gaseous  $\text{HCl}$ , and (b) ammonium carbamate is used in smelling salts.

- 14.6** If (at 298 K)  $pK_b$  for  $\text{NH}_3$  is 4.75, show that  $pK_a$  for  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  is 9.25.
- 14.7** Give the relevant half-equations for the oxidation of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  to  $\text{HNO}_3$  by  $[\text{BrO}_3]^-$ , and write a balanced equation for the overall process.
- 14.8** (a) Write a balanced equation for the preparation of  $\text{NaN}_3$  from  $\text{NaNH}_2$  with  $\text{NaNO}_3$ . (b) Suggest a route for preparing the precursor  $\text{NaNH}_2$ . (c) How might  $\text{NaN}_3$  react with  $\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  in aqueous solution?
- 14.9** (a) We noted that  $[\text{N}_3]^-$  is isoelectronic with  $\text{CO}_2$ . Give three other species that are also isoelectronic with  $[\text{N}_3]^-$ . (b) Describe the bonding in  $[\text{N}_3]^-$  in terms of an MO picture.
- 14.10** Refer to Figure 14.10. (a) By considering a number of unit cells of  $\text{NiAs}$  connected together, confirm that the coordination number of each Ni atom is 6. (b) How does the information contained in the unit cell of  $\text{NiAs}$  confirm the stoichiometry of the compound?
- 14.11** Suggest how you might confirm the conformation of  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$  in (a) the gas phase and (b) the liquid phase.
- 14.12** (a) Discuss structural variation among the phosphorus(III) and phosphorus(V) halides, indicating where stereochemical non-rigidity is possible. (b) On what basis is it appropriate to compare the lattice of  $[\text{PCl}_4][\text{PCl}_6]$  with that of  $\text{CsCl}$ ?
- 14.13** What might you expect to observe (at 298 K) in the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectra of solutions containing (a)  $[\text{PF}_6]^-$  and (b)  $[\text{SbF}_6]^-$ . Data needed are in Table 14.2.
- 14.14** Suggest products for the reactions between (a)  $\text{SbCl}_5$  and  $\text{PCl}_5$ ; (b)  $\text{KF}$  and  $\text{AsF}_5$ ; (c)  $\text{NOF}$  and  $\text{SbF}_5$ ; (d)  $\text{HF}$  and  $\text{SbF}_5$ .
- 14.15** (a) Draw the structures of  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  and  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_7]^-$ , and rationalize them in terms of VSEPR theory. (b) Suggest likely structures for the  $[\{\text{BiX}_4\}_n]^{n-}$  and  $[\{\text{BiX}_5\}_n]^{2n-}$  oligomers mentioned in Section 14.7.
- 14.16** By using an MO approach, rationalize why, in going from  $\text{NO}$  to  $[\text{NO}]^+$ , the bond order increases, bond distance decreases and  $\text{NO}$  vibrational wavenumber increases.
- 14.17**  $25.0\text{ cm}^3$  of a  $0.0500\text{ M}$  solution of sodium oxalate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ ) reacted with  $24.8\text{ cm}^3$  of a solution of  $\text{KMnO}_4$ , **A**, in the presence of excess  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .  $25.0\text{ cm}^3$  of a  $0.0494\text{ M}$  solution of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  was boiled with an excess of iron(III) sulfate solution, and when the reaction was complete, the iron(II) produced was found to be equivalent to  $24.65\text{ cm}^3$  of solution **A**. The product **B** formed from the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  in this reaction can be assumed not to interfere with the determination of iron(II). What can you deduce about the identity of **B**?
- 14.18** Write a brief account that supports the statement that ‘all the oxygen chemistry of phosphorus(V) is based on the tetrahedral  $\text{PO}_4$  unit’.
- 14.19** Figure 14.17 shows a unit cell of  $\text{FeSb}_2\text{O}_6$ . (a) How is this unit cell related to the rutile lattice type? (b) Why can the solid state structure of  $\text{FeSb}_2\text{O}_6$  not be described in terms of a single unit cell of the rutile lattice? (c) What is the coordination environment of each atom type? (d) Confirm the stoichiometry of this compound using only the information provided in the unit cell diagram.
- 14.20** How may NMR spectroscopy be used:  
 (a) to distinguish between solutions of  $\text{Na}_5\text{P}_3\text{O}_{10}$  and  $\text{Na}_6\text{P}_4\text{O}_{13}$ ;  
 (b) to determine whether F atoms exchange rapidly between non-equivalent sites in  $\text{AsF}_5$ ;  
 (c) to determine the positions of the  $\text{NMe}_2$  groups in  $\text{P}_3\text{N}_3\text{Cl}_3(\text{NMe}_2)_3$ ?
- 14.21** Deduce what you can about the nature of the following reactions.  
 (a) One mole of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  reacts with two moles of  $\text{Ti}(\text{III})$  in the presence of excess alkali, and the  $\text{Ti}(\text{III})$  is converted to  $\text{Ti}(\text{IV})$ .  
 (b) When  $\text{Ag}_2\text{HPO}_3$  is warmed in water, all the silver is precipitated as metal.  
 (c) When one mole of  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$  is treated with excess  $\text{I}_2$  in acidic solution, one mole of  $\text{I}_2$  is reduced; on making the solution alkaline, a second mole of  $\text{I}_2$  is consumed.
- 14.22** Predict the structures of (a)  $[\text{NF}_4]^+$ ; (b)  $[\text{N}_2\text{F}_3]^+$ ; (c)  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ ; (d)  $\text{SPCl}_3$ ; (e)  $\text{PCl}_3\text{F}_2$ .
- 14.23** Suggest syntheses for each of the following from  $\text{K}^{15}\text{NO}_3$ : (a)  $\text{Na}^{15}\text{NH}_2$ , (b)  $^{15}\text{N}_2$  and (c)  $[\text{NO}^{15}][\text{AlCl}_4]$ .
- 14.24** Suggest syntheses for each of the following from  $\text{Ca}_3(^{32}\text{PO}_4)_2$ : (a)  $^{32}\text{PH}_3$ , (b)  $\text{H}_3^{32}\text{PO}_3$  and (c)  $\text{Na}_3^{32}\text{PS}_4$ .
- 14.25**  $25.0\text{ cm}^3$  of a  $0.0500\text{ M}$  solution of sodium oxalate reacted with  $24.7\text{ cm}^3$  of a solution of  $\text{KMnO}_4$ , **C**, in the presence of excess  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .  $25.0\text{ cm}^3$  of a  $0.0250\text{ M}$  solution of  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$  when treated with an excess of alkaline  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  solution gave  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  and a product **D**. The  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  formed was reoxidized to  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  by  $24.80\text{ cm}^3$  of solution **C**, and the presence of **D** did not influence this determination. What can you deduce about the identity of **D**?
- 14.26** Comment on the fact that  $\text{AlPO}_4$  exists in several forms, each of which has a structure which is also that of a form of silica.

## Overview problems

- 14.27** (a) The  $^{31}\text{P}$  and  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectra of  $\text{Pr}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{BBr}_3$  ( $\text{Pr} = n$ -propyl) exhibit a 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 quartet ( $J = 150\text{ Hz}$ ) and a doublet ( $J = 150\text{ Hz}$ ), respectively. Explain the origin of these signals.  
 (b) Discuss the factors that contribute towards  $[\text{NH}_4][\text{PF}_6]$  being soluble in water.  
 (c) The ionic compound  $[\text{AsBr}_4][\text{AsF}_6]$  decomposes to  $\text{Br}_2$ ,  $\text{AsF}_3$  and  $\text{AsBr}_3$ . The proposed pathway is as follows:
- $$[\text{AsBr}_4][\text{AsF}_6] \longrightarrow [\text{AsBr}_4]\text{F} + \text{AsF}_5$$
- $$[\text{AsBr}_4]\text{F} \longrightarrow \text{AsBr}_2\text{F} + \text{Br}_2$$
- $$\text{AsBr}_2\text{F} + \text{AsF}_5 \longrightarrow 2\text{AsF}_3 + \text{Br}_2$$
- $$3\text{AsBr}_2\text{F} \longrightarrow 2\text{AsBr}_3 + \text{AsF}_3$$

Discuss these reactions in terms of redox processes and halide redistributions.

**14.28** Suggest products for the following reactions; the equations are not necessarily balanced on the left-hand sides.

- $\text{PI}_3 + \text{IBr} + \text{GaBr}_3 \rightarrow$
- $\text{POBr}_3 + \text{HF} + \text{AsF}_5 \rightarrow$
- $\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \xrightarrow[\text{liquid NH}_3]{\Delta}$
- $\text{PH}_3 + \text{K} \xrightarrow{\text{liquid NH}_3}$
- $\text{Li}_3\text{N} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$
- $\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4 + \text{SO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$
- $\text{BiCl}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$
- $\text{PCl}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$

**14.29** (a) Draw the structure of  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_3$  and describe an appropriate bonding scheme for this molecule. Compare the structures of  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_{10}$ ,  $\text{P}_4\text{S}_3$  and  $\text{P}_4$ , and comment on the formal oxidation states of the P atoms in these species.


- The electrical resistivity of Bi at 273 K is  $1.07 \times 10^{-6} \Omega\text{m}$ . How do you expect this property to change as the temperature increases? On what grounds have you drawn your conclusion?
- Hydrated iron(III) nitrate was dissolved in hot  $\text{HNO}_3$  (100%), and the solution was placed in a desiccator with  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$  until the sample had become a solid residue. The pure Fe(III) product (an ionic salt  $[\text{NO}_2][\text{X}]$ ) was collected by sublimation; crystals were extremely deliquescent. Suggest an identity for the product, clearly stating the charges on the ions. The Fe(III) centre has a coordination number of 8. Suggest how this is achieved.

**14.30** (a) Predict the  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{HPF}_5]^-$  (assuming a static structure) given that  $J_{\text{PH}} = 939 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J_{\text{PF(axial)}} = 731 \text{ Hz}$  and  $J_{\text{PF(equatorial)}} = 817 \text{ Hz}$ .

(b) The  $[\text{BiF}_7]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{SbF}_6]^{3-}$  ions have pentagonal bipyramidal and octahedral structures, respectively.

Are these observations consistent with VSEPR theory?

(c) Consider the following reaction scheme (K.O. Christe (1995) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 117, p. 6136):



Discuss the reaction scheme in terms of redox and Lewis acid–base chemistry. Comment on the structures of, and bonding in, the nitrogen-containing species in the scheme.

- 14.31** (a)  $\text{Sn}_3\text{N}_4$ ,  $\gamma\text{-Si}_3\text{N}_4$  and  $\gamma\text{-Ge}_3\text{N}_4$  are the first examples of *nitride spinels*. What is a spinel, and how do the structures of these nitrides relate to that of the oxide  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ? Comment on any features that distinguish the nitride spinels from typical oxide analogues.
- (b) The reaction between  $\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{AsCl}_3$  at 195 K leads to an As(V) compound **A**. Raman spectra of **A** in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  solution are consistent with a molecular structure with  $C_{3v}$  symmetry. However, a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of **A** at 153 K reveals a molecular structure with  $C_{2h}$  symmetry. Suggest an identity for **A** and rationalize the experimental data.

# Chapter 15

## The group 16 elements

### TOPICS

- Occurrence, extraction and uses
- Physical properties and bonding considerations
- The elements
- Hydrides
- Metal sulfides, polysulfides, polyselenides and polytellurides
- Halides, oxohalides and complex halides
- Oxides
- Oxoacids and their salts
- Compounds of sulfur and selenium with nitrogen
- Aqueous solution chemistry of sulfur, selenium and tellurium

| 1  | 2  |         | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|----|----|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| H  |    |         |    |    |    |    |    | He |
| Li | Be |         | B  | C  | N  | O  | F  | Ne |
| Na | Mg |         | Al | Si | P  | S  | Cl | Ar |
| K  | Ca | d-block | Ga | Ge | As | Se | Br | Kr |
| Rb | Sr |         | In | Sn | Sb | Te | I  | Xe |
| Cs | Ba |         | Tl | Pb | Bi | Po | At | Rn |
| Fr | Ra |         |    |    |    |    |    |    |

### 15.1 Introduction

The group 16 elements – oxygen, sulfur, selenium, tellurium and polonium – are called the *chalcogens*.

Oxygen occupies so central a position in any treatment of inorganic chemistry that discussions of many of its compounds are dealt with under other elements. The decrease in non-metallic character down the group is easily recognized in the elements:


- oxygen exists only as two gaseous allotropes ( $O_2$  and  $O_3$ );
- sulfur has many allotropes, all of which are insulators;
- the stable forms of selenium and tellurium are semi-conductors;
- polonium is a metallic conductor.

Knowledge of the chemistry of Po and its compounds is limited because of the absence of a stable isotope and the difficulty of working with  $^{210}\text{Po}$ , the most readily available isotope. Polonium-210 is produced from  $^{209}\text{Bi}$  by an  $(n,\gamma)$  reaction (see [Section 2.4](#)) followed by  $\beta$ -decay of the product. It is an intense  $\alpha$ -emitter ( $t_{1/2} = 138$  days) liberating  $520 \text{ kJ g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ , and is a lightweight source of energy in space satellites. However, this large energy loss causes many compounds of Po to decompose; Po decomposes water, making studies of chemical reactions in aqueous solution difficult. Polonium is a metallic conductor and crystallizes in a simple cubic lattice. It forms volatile, readily hydrolysed halides  $\text{PoCl}_2$ ,  $\text{PoCl}_4$ ,  $\text{PoBr}_2$ ,  $\text{PoBr}_4$  and  $\text{PoI}_4$  and complex ions  $[\text{PoX}_6]^{2-}$  ( $X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ). Polonium(IV) oxide is formed by reaction between Po and  $O_2$  at 520 K; it adopts a fluorite lattice (see [Figure 5.18](#)) and is sparingly soluble in aqueous alkali. The observed properties are those expected by extrapolation from Te.

### 15.2 Occurrence, extraction and uses

#### Occurrence

Figure 15.1 illustrates the relative abundances of the group 16 elements in the Earth's crust. Dioxygen makes up 21% of the Earth's atmosphere (see [Figure 14.1b](#)), and 47% of the Earth's crust is composed of O-containing compounds, e.g. water, limestone, silica, silicates, bauxite and haematite. It is a component of innumerable compounds and is essential to life, being converted to  $\text{CO}_2$  during respiration. Native sulfur occurs in deposits around volcanoes and hot springs, and sulfur-containing minerals include *iron pyrites* (*fool's*




**Fig. 15.1** Relative abundances of the group 16 elements (excluding Po) in the Earth's crust. The data are plotted on a logarithmic scale. The units of abundance are parts per billion (1 billion =  $10^9$ ). Polonium is omitted because its abundance is only  $3 \times 10^{-7}$  ppb, giving a negative number on the log scale.

gold,  $\text{FeS}_2$ ), galena ( $\text{PbS}$ ), sphalerite or zinc blende ( $\text{ZnS}$ ), cinnabar ( $\text{HgS}$ ), realgar ( $\text{As}_4\text{S}_4$ ), orpiment ( $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$ ), stibnite ( $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$ ), molybdenite ( $\text{MoS}_2$ ) and chalcocite ( $\text{Cu}_2\text{S}$ ). Selenium and tellurium are relatively rare (see Figure 15.1). Selenium occurs in only a few minerals, while Te is usually combined with other metals, e.g. in sylvanite ( $\text{AgAuTe}_4$ ).

## Extraction


Traditionally, sulfur has been produced using the Frasch process, in which superheated water (440 K under pressure) is used to melt the sulfur, and compressed air then forces it to the surface. For environmental reasons, the Frasch process is in decline and many operations have been closed. Canada and the US are the largest producers of sulfur in the world, and Figure 15.2 shows the dramatic changes in methods of sulfur production in the US over the period from 1970 to 2001. The trend is being followed worldwide, and sulfur recovery from crude petroleum refining and natural gas production is now of greatest importance. In natural gas, the source of sulfur is  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  which occurs in concentrations of up to 30%. Sulfur is recovered by reaction 15.1. An alternative source of sulfur is as a by-product from the manufacture of sulfuric acid.




Commercial sources of Se and Te are flue dusts deposited during the refining of, for example, copper sulfide ores and from anode residues from the electrolytic refining of copper.

## Uses

The chief use of  $\text{O}_2$  is as a fuel (e.g. for oxyacetylene and hydrogen flames), as a supporter of respiration under special conditions (e.g. in air- and spacecraft), and in steel manufacturing.



**Fig. 15.2** Production of sulfur in the US from 1970 to 2001; note the increasing importance of recovery methods which have now replaced the Frasch process as a source of sulfur in the US. [Data: US Geological Survey.]



**Fig. 15.3** Uses of sulfur and sulfuric acid (by sulfur content) in the US in 2001. [Data: US Geological Survey.]

Sulfur, mainly in the form of sulfuric acid, is an enormously important industrial chemical. The amount of sulfuric acid consumed by a given nation is an indicator of that country's industrial development. Figure 15.3 illustrates applications of sulfur and sulfuric acid (see also [Box 10.3](#)). Sulfur is usually present in the form of an industrial reagent (e.g. in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in the production of superphosphate fertilizers described in [Section 14.2](#)), and it is not necessarily present in the end product.

An important property of Se is its ability to convert light into electricity, and the element is used in photoelectric cells, photographic exposure meters and photocopiers (see [Box 15.1](#)). A major use of selenium is in the glass industry. It is used to counteract the green tint caused by iron impurities in soda-lime silica glasses, and is also added to architectural plate glass to reduce solar heat transmission. In the form of  $\text{CdS}_x\text{Se}_{1-x}$ , selenium is used as a red pigment in glass and ceramics. Below its melting point, Se is a semiconductor. Tellurium is used as an additive ( $\leq 0.1\%$ ) to low-carbon steels in order to improve the machine qualities of the metal. This accounts for about half of the world's

consumption of tellurium. Catalytic applications are also important, and other applications stem from its semi-conducting properties, e.g. cadmium telluride has recently been incorporated into solar cells (see [Box 13.3](#)). However, uses of Te are limited, partly because Te compounds are readily absorbed by the body and excreted in the breath and perspiration as foul-smelling organic derivatives.

## 15.3 Physical properties and bonding considerations

Table 15.1 lists selected physical properties of the group 16 elements. The trend in electronegativity values has important consequences as regards the ability of O–H bonds to form hydrogen bonds. This pattern follows that in group 15. While O–H $\cdots$ X and X–H $\cdots$ O (X = O, N, F) interactions are relatively strong hydrogen bonds, those involving sulfur are weak, and typically involve a strong hydrogen-bond

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 15.1 Photocopying with selenium

The photoreceptive properties of selenium are responsible for its role in photocopiers: the technique of *xerography* developed rapidly in the latter half of the twentieth century. Amorphous selenium or  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  (a better photoreceptor than Se) is deposited by a vaporization technique to provide a thin film ( $\approx 50\ \mu\text{m}$  thick) on an Al drum which is then installed in a photocopier. At the start of a photocopying run, the Se or  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  film is charged by a high-voltage corona discharge. Exposure of the Se film to light, with the image to be copied present in the light beam, creates a latent image which is produced in the form of regions of differing electrostatic potential. The image is

developed using powdered toner which distributes itself over the 'electrostatic image'. The latter is then transferred to paper (again electrostatically) and fixed by heat treatment. An Se- or  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$ -coated photoreceptor drum has a lifetime of  $\approx 100\ 000$  photocopies. Spent drums are recycled, with some of the main recycling units being in Canada, Japan, the Philippines and several European countries. Once the mainstay of the photocopying industry, Se is gradually being replaced by organic photoreceptors, which are preferable to selenium on both performance and environmental grounds.

**Table 15.1** Some physical properties of the group 16 elements and their ions.

| Property   | O  | S  | Se   | Te   | Po  |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Atomic number, $Z$   | 8  | 16   | 34   | 52   | 84  |
| Ground state electronic configuration  | [He]2s <sup>2</sup> 2p <sup>4</sup>        | [Ne]3s <sup>2</sup> 3p <sup>4</sup>        | [Ar]3d <sup>10</sup> 4s <sup>2</sup> 4p <sup>4</sup> | [Kr]4d <sup>10</sup> 5s <sup>2</sup> 5p <sup>4</sup>                                       | [Xe]4f <sup>14</sup> 5d <sup>10</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup> 6p <sup>4</sup> |
| Enthalpy of atomization, $\Delta_a H^\circ(298\text{ K})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$             | 249 <sup>‡</sup>                           | 277  | 227  | 197  | ≈146  |
| Melting point, mp/K  | 54   | 388  | 494  | 725  | 527   |
| Boiling point, bp/K  | 90   | 718  | 958  | 1263*  | 1235  |
| Standard enthalpy of fusion, $\Delta_{\text{fus}} H^\circ(\text{mp})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 0.44                                       | 1.72                                       | 6.69   | 17.49  | –   |
| First ionization energy, $IE_1/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                       | 1314                                       | 999.6                                      | 941.0  | 869.3  | 812.1   |
| $\Delta_{\text{EA}} H^\circ_1(298\text{ K})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ **                       | –141                                       | –201                                       | –195   | –190   | –183  |
| $\Delta_{\text{EA}} H^\circ_2(298\text{ K})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ **                       | +798                                       | +640                                       |  |  |   |
| Covalent radius, $r_{\text{cov}}/\text{pm}$  | 73   | 103  | 117  | 135  | –   |
| Ionic radius, $r_{\text{ion}}$ for X <sup>2–</sup> /pm                                   | 140  | 184  | 198  | 211  | –   |
| Pauling electronegativity, $\chi^{\text{P}}$   | 3.4  | 2.6  | 2.6  | 2.1  | 2.0   |
| NMR active nuclei (% abundance, nuclear spin)  | <sup>17</sup> O (0.04, $I = \frac{5}{2}$ ) | <sup>33</sup> S (0.76, $I = \frac{3}{2}$ ) | <sup>77</sup> Se (7.6, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ )           | <sup>123</sup> Te (0.9, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ )<br><sup>125</sup> Te (7.0, $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) |   |


<sup>‡</sup> For oxygen,  $\Delta_a H^\circ = \frac{1}{2} \times$  Dissociation energy of O<sub>2</sub>.

\* For amorphous Te.

\*\*  $\Delta_{\text{EA}} H^\circ_1(298\text{ K})$  is the enthalpy change associated with the process  $X(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow X^-(\text{g}) \approx -\Delta U(0\text{ K})$ ; see Section 1.10.  $\Delta_{\text{EA}} H^\circ_2(298\text{ K})$  refers to the process  $X^-(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow X^{2-}(\text{g})$ .

donor with sulfur acting as a weak acceptor (e.g. O–H···S).<sup>†</sup> In the case of S–H···S hydrogen bonds, the calculated hydrogen bond enthalpy is  $\approx 5\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  in H<sub>2</sub>S···H<sub>2</sub>S, compared with  $\approx 20\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for the O–H···O hydrogen bond in H<sub>2</sub>O···H<sub>2</sub>O (see Table 9.4).

In comparing Table 15.1 with analogous tables in Chapters 10–14, we should note the importance of *anion*, rather than cation, formation. With the possible exception of PoO<sub>2</sub>, there is no evidence that group 16 compounds contain *monatomic cations*. Thus Table 15.1 lists values only of the *first* ionization energies to illustrate the expected decrease on descending the group. Electron affinity data for oxygen show that reaction 15.2 for E = O is highly endothermic, and O<sup>2–</sup> ions exist in ionic lattices only because of the high lattice energies of metal oxides (see Section 5.16).



Reaction 15.2 for E = S is also endothermic (Table 15.1), but less so than for O since the repulsion between electrons is less in the larger anion. However, the energy needed to compensate for this endothermic step tends not to be available since lattice energies for sulfides are much lower than those of the corresponding oxides because of the much greater radius of the S<sup>2–</sup> ion. Consequences of this are that:

- high oxidation state oxides (e.g. MnO<sub>2</sub>) often have no sulfide analogues;


<sup>†</sup> For further data discussion, see: T. Steiner (2002) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 41, p. 48 – ‘The hydrogen bond in the solid state’.

- agreement between calculated and experimental values of lattice energies (see Section 5.15) for many *d*-block metal sulfides is much poorer than for oxides, indicating significant covalent contributions to the bonding.


Similar considerations apply to selenides and tellurides.

### Worked example 15.1 Thermochemical cycles for metal oxides and sulfides


- (a) Using data from the Appendices and the value  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{ZnO}, \text{s}) = -350\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , determine the enthalpy change (at 298 K) for the process:



- (b) What percentage contribution does  $\Delta_{\text{EA}} H^\circ_2(\text{O})$  make to the overall enthalpy change for the following process?



- (a) Set up an appropriate Born–Haber cycle:



From Appendix 8, for Zn:  $IE_1 = 906\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$   
 $IE_2 = 1733\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$


From [Appendix 9](#), for O:  $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H^{\circ}_1 = -141 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$   
 $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H^{\circ}_2 = 798 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$

From [Appendix 10](#):  $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(\text{Zn}) = 130 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$   
 $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(\text{O}) = 249 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$

From the thermochemical cycle, applying Hess's Law:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^{\circ}(\text{ZnO},\text{s}) &= \Delta_{\text{f}}H^{\circ}(\text{ZnO},\text{s}) - \Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(\text{Zn}) - \Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(\text{O}) - IE_1 - IE_2 \\ &\quad - \Delta_{\text{EA}}H^{\circ}_1 - \Delta_{\text{EA}}H^{\circ}_2 \\ &= -350 - 130 - 249 - 906 - 1733 + 141 - 798 \\ &= -4025 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

(b) The process:



is part of the Hess cycle shown in part (a). The enthalpy change for this process is given by:


$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H^{\circ} &= \Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(\text{Zn}) + \Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(\text{O}) + IE_1 + IE_2 + \Delta_{\text{EA}}H^{\circ}_1 \\ &\quad + \Delta_{\text{EA}}H^{\circ}_2 \\ &= 130 + 249 + 906 + 1733 - 141 + 798 \\ &= 3675 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

As a percentage of this,

$$\Delta_{\text{EA}}H^{\circ}_2 = \frac{798}{3675} \times 100 \approx 22\%$$

### Self-study exercises

1. Given that  $\Delta_{\text{f}}H^{\circ}(\text{Na}_2\text{O},\text{s}) = -414 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , determine the enthalpy change for the process:



[Ans.  $-2528 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

2. What percentage contribution does  $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H^{\circ}_2(\text{O})$  make to the overall enthalpy change for the following process? How significant is this contribution in relation to each of the other contributions?



[Ans.  $\approx 38\%$ ]

3. NaF and CaO both adopt NaCl structures. Consider the enthalpy changes that contribute to the overall value of  $\Delta H^{\circ}(298 \text{ K})$  for each of the following processes:




Assess the relative role that each enthalpy contribution plays to determining the sign and magnitude of  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  for each process.

Some bond enthalpy terms for compounds of the group 16 elements are given in Table 15.2. In discussing groups 14 and 15, we emphasized the importance of (*p-p*) $\pi$ -bonding for the


first element in each group. We also pointed out that the failure of nitrogen to form 5-coordinate species such as  $\text{NF}_5$  can be explained in terms of the N atom being too small to accommodate five atoms around it. These factors are also responsible for some of the differences between O and its heavier congeners. For example:

- there are no stable sulfur analogues of CO and NO (although  $\text{CS}_2$  and OCS are well known);
- the highest fluoride of oxygen is  $\text{OF}_2$ , but the later elements form  $\text{SF}_6$ ,  $\text{SeF}_6$  and  $\text{TeF}_6$ .

Coordination numbers above 4 for S, Se and Te can be achieved using a valence set of *ns* and *np* orbitals, and we discussed in [Chapter 4](#) that *d*-orbitals play little or no role as valence orbitals. Thus, valence structures such as **15.1** can be used to represent the bonding in  $\text{SF}_6$ , although a set of resonance structures is required in order to rationalize the equivalence of the six S–F bonds. When describing the structure of  $\text{SF}_6$ , diagram **15.2** is more enlightening than **15.1**. Provided that we keep in mind that a line between two atoms does not represent a localized single bond, then **15.2** is an acceptable (and useful) representation of the molecule.




(15.1)




(15.2)


Similarly, while diagram **15.3** is a resonance form for  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  which describes the S atom as obeying the octet rule, structures **15.4** and **15.5** are useful for a rapid appreciation of the oxidation state of the S atom and coordination environment of the S atom. For these reasons, throughout the chapter we shall use diagrams analogous to **15.2**, **15.4** and **15.5** for hypervalent compounds of S, Se and Te.



(15.3)



(15.4)



(15.5)

**Table 15.2** Some covalent bond enthalpy terms ( $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) for bonds involving oxygen, sulfur, selenium and tellurium.

|       |      |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|-------|------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| O–O   | O=O  | O–H              | O–C              | O–F              | O–Cl             |
| 146   | 498  | 464              | 359              | 190 <sup>‡</sup> | 205 <sup>‡</sup> |
| S–S   | S=S  | S–H              | S–C              | S–F              | S–Cl             |
| 266   | 427  | 366              | 272              | 326 <sup>‡</sup> | 255 <sup>‡</sup> |
| Se–Se | Se–H | Se–F             | Se–Cl            |                  |                  |
| 192   | 276  | 285 <sup>‡</sup> | 243 <sup>‡</sup> |                  |                  |
|       | Te–H | Te–F             | Te–Cl            |                  |                  |
|       | 238  | 335 <sup>‡</sup> |                  |                  |                  |

<sup>‡</sup> Values for O–F, S–F, Se–F, Te–F, O–Cl, S–Cl and Se–Cl derived from  $\text{OF}_2$ ,  $\text{SF}_6$ ,  $\text{SeF}_6$ ,  $\text{TeF}_6$ ,  $\text{OCl}_2$ ,  $\text{S}_2\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{SeCl}_2$  respectively.

Values in Table 15.2 illustrate the particular weakness of the O–O and O–F bonds and this can be rationalized in terms of lone pair repulsions (see *Figure 14.2*). Note that O–H and O–C bonds are much stronger than S–H and S–C bonds.

### NMR active nuclei and isotopes as tracers


Despite its low abundance (Table 15.1),  $^{17}\text{O}$  has been used in studies of, for example, hydrated ions in aqueous solution and polyoxometallates (see *Section 22.7*).

The isotope  $^{18}\text{O}$  is present to an extent of 0.2% in naturally occurring oxygen and is commonly used as a (non-radioactive) tracer for the element. The usual tracer for sulfur is  $^{35}\text{S}$ , which is made by an (n,p) reaction on  $^{35}\text{Cl}$ ;  $^{35}\text{S}$  is a  $\beta$ -emitter with  $t_{1/2} = 87$  days.

#### Worked example 15.2 NMR spectroscopy using $^{77}\text{Se}$ and $^{125}\text{Te}$ nuclei

The solution  $^{125}\text{Te}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{Te}(\text{cyclo-C}_6\text{H}_{11})_2$  at 298 K shows one broad signal. On increasing the temperature to 353 K, the signal sharpens. On cooling to 183 K, the signal splits into three signals at  $\delta$  601, 503 and 381 with relative integrals of 25:14:1. Rationalize these data.

$\text{Te}(\text{cyclo-C}_6\text{H}_{11})_2$  contains only one Te environment, but the Te atom can be in either an equatorial or axial position of the cyclohexyl ring. This leads to three possible conformers:




On steric grounds, the most favoured is the *equatorial, equatorial* conformer, and the least favoured is the *axial, axial* conformer. Signals at  $\delta$  601, 503 and 381 in the low-temperature spectrum can be assigned to the *equatorial, equatorial*, *axial, equatorial* and *axial, axial* conformers respectively. At higher temperatures, the cyclohexyl rings undergo ring inversion (ring-flipping), causing the Te atom to switch between axial and equatorial positions. This interconverts the three conformers of  $\text{Te}(\text{cyclo-C}_6\text{H}_{11})_2$ . At 353 K, the interconversion is faster than the NMR timescale and one signal is observed (its chemical shift is the weighted average of the three signals observed at 183 K). On cooling from 353 to 298 K, the signal broadens, before splitting at lower temperatures.

[For a figure of the variable temperature spectra of  $\text{Te}(\text{cyclo-C}_6\text{H}_{11})_2$ , see: K. Karaghiosoff *et al.* (1999) *J. Organometal. Chem.*, vol. 577, p. 69.]

### Self-study exercises

Data: see Table 15.1.

- The reaction of  $\text{SeCl}_2$  with  $^t\text{BuNH}_2$  in differing molar ratios leads to the formation of a series of compounds, among which are the following:



How many signals would you expect to see for each compound in the  $^{77}\text{Se}$  NMR spectrum?

[Ans. See: T. Maaninen *et al.* (2000) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 39, p. 5341]

- The  $^{125}\text{Te}$  NMR spectrum (263 K) of an MeCN solution of the  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]^+$  salt of  $[\text{MeOTeF}_6]^-$  shows a septet of quartets with values of  $J_{\text{TeF}} = 2630$  Hz and  $J_{\text{TeH}} = 148$  Hz. The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum exhibits a singlet with two satellite peaks. In the solid state,  $[\text{MeOTeF}_6]^-$  has a pentagonal bipyramidal structure with the MeO group in an axial position. (a) Interpret the  $^{125}\text{Te}$  and  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectroscopic data. (b) Sketch the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum and indicate where you would measure  $J_{\text{TeF}}$ .



[Ans. See: A.R. Mahjoub *et al.* (1992) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, vol. 31, p. 1036]

See also end-of-chapter problem 2.31.

## 15.4 The elements

### Dioxygen

Dioxygen is obtained industrially by the liquefaction and fractional distillation of air, and is stored and transported as a liquid. Convenient laboratory preparations of  $\text{O}_2$  are the electrolysis of aqueous alkali using Ni electrodes, and decomposition of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (equation 15.3). A mixture of  $\text{KClO}_3$  and  $\text{MnO}_2$  used to be sold as ‘oxygen mixture’ (equation 15.4) and the thermal decompositions of many other oxo salts (e.g.  $\text{KNO}_3$ ,  $\text{KMnO}_4$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ ) produce  $\text{O}_2$ .



**Caution!** Chlorates are potentially explosive.

Dioxygen is a colourless gas, but condenses to a pale blue liquid or solid. Its bonding was described in *Sections 1.12*

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Box 15.2 Accurate determination of the O–O bond distance in  $[\text{O}_2]^-$ 


Textbook discussions of MO theory of homonuclear diatomic molecules often consider the trends in bond distances in  $[\text{O}_2]^+$ ,  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $[\text{O}_2]^-$  and  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$  (see *Chapter 1, problem 1.26*) in terms of the occupancy of molecular orbitals. However, the determination of the bond distance in the superoxide ion  $[\text{O}_2]^-$  has not been straightforward owing to disorder problems in the solid state and, as a result, the range of reported values for  $d(\text{O}–\text{O})$  is large. A cation-exchange method in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  has been used to isolate the salt  $[1,3-(\text{NMe}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4][\text{O}_2] \cdot 3\text{NH}_3$  from  $[\text{NMe}_4][\text{O}_2]$ . In the solid state, each  $[\text{O}_2]^-$  ion is fixed in a particular orientation by virtue of a hydrogen-bonded network:  $\text{N}–\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  between solvate  $\text{NH}_3$  and

$[\text{O}_2]^-$ , and  $\text{C}–\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  between cation methyl groups and  $[\text{O}_2]^-$ . Structural parameters for the hydrogen bonds indicate that the interactions are very weak; consequently, the length of the bond in the  $[\text{O}_2]^-$  anion ought not to be significantly perturbed by their presence. In  $[1,3-(\text{NMe}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4][\text{O}_2] \cdot 3\text{NH}_3$ , there are two crystallographically independent anions with O–O distances of 133.5 and 134.5 pm.


## Further reading

H. Seyed and M. Jansen (1998) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 875.

and 1.13. In all phases, it is paramagnetic with a *triplet* ground state, i.e. the two unpaired electrons have the same spin, with the valence electron configuration being:




In this state,  $\text{O}_2$  is a powerful oxidizing agent (see *equation 7.28* and associated discussion) but, fortunately, the kinetic barrier is often high; if it were not, almost all organic chemistry would have to be carried out in closed systems. However, a *singlet* state,  $\text{O}_2^*$ , with a valence electron configuration of:



lies only  $95\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  above the ground state. This excited state can be generated photochemically by irradiation of  $\text{O}_2$  in the presence of an organic dye as sensitizer, or non-photochemically by reactions such as 15.5.<sup>†</sup>




Singlet  $\text{O}_2$  is short-lived, but extremely reactive, combining with many organic compounds, e.g. in reaction 15.6,  $\text{O}_2^*$  acts as a dienophile in a Diels–Alder reaction.



At high temperatures,  $\text{O}_2$  combines with most elements, exceptions being the halogens and noble gases, and  $\text{N}_2$  unless under special conditions. Reactions with the group 1 metals are of particular interest, oxides, peroxides, superoxides and suboxides being possible products. Bond lengths in  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $[\text{O}_2]^-$  and  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$  are 121, 134 and 149 pm (see *Box 15.2*), consistent with a weakening of the bond caused by increased occupation of the  $\pi^*$  MOs (see *Figure 1.23*).

The first ionization energy of  $\text{O}_2$  is  $1168\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and it may be oxidized by very powerful oxidizing agents such as

$\text{PtF}_6$  (equation 15.7). The bond distance of 112 pm in  $[\text{O}_2]^+$  is in keeping with the trend for  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $[\text{O}_2]^-$  and  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$ . Other salts include  $[\text{O}_2]^+[\text{SbF}_6]^-$  (made from irradiation of  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{F}_2$  in the presence of  $\text{SbF}_5$ , or from  $\text{O}_2\text{F}_2$  and  $\text{SbF}_5$ ) and  $[\text{O}_2]^+[\text{BF}_4]^-$  (equation 15.8).





The chemistry of  $\text{O}_2$  is an enormous topic, and examples of its reactions can be found throughout this book; its biological role is discussed in *Chapter 28*.


## Ozone

Ozone,  $\text{O}_3$ , is usually prepared in up to 10% concentration by the action of a silent electrical discharge between two concentric metallized tubes in an apparatus called an *ozone*. Electrical discharges in thunderstorms convert  $\text{O}_2$  into ozone. The action of UV radiation on  $\text{O}_2$ , or heating  $\text{O}_2$  above 2750 K followed by rapid quenching, also produces  $\text{O}_3$ . In each of these processes, O atoms are produced and combine with  $\text{O}_2$  molecules. Pure ozone can be separated from reaction mixtures by fractional liquefaction; the liquid is blue and boils at 163 K to give a perceptibly blue gas with a characteristic ‘electric’ smell. Molecules of  $\text{O}_3$  are bent (Figure 15.4). Ozone absorbs strongly in the UV region, and its presence in the upper atmosphere of the Earth is essential in protecting the planet’s surface from over-exposure to UV radiation from the Sun (see *Box 13.7*).

Ozone is highly endothermic (equation 15.9). The pure liquid is dangerously explosive, and the gas is a very powerful oxidizing agent (equation 15.10).




<sup>†</sup>For an introduction to singlet state  $\text{O}_2$ , see: C.E. Wayne and R.P. Wayne (1996) *Photochemistry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.




**Fig. 15.4** The structures of  $\text{O}_3$  and  $[\text{O}_3]^-$ , and contributing resonance structures in  $\text{O}_3$ . The O–O bond order in  $\text{O}_3$  is taken to be 1.5.


The value of  $E^\circ$  in equation 15.10 refers to  $\text{pH} = 0$  (see [Box 7.1](#)), and at higher  $\text{pH}$ ,  $E$  diminishes: +1.65 V at  $\text{pH} = 7$ , and +1.24 V at  $\text{pH} = 14$ . The presence of high concentrations of alkali stabilizes  $\text{O}_3$  both thermodynamically and kinetically. Ozone is much more reactive than  $\text{O}_2$  (hence the use of  $\text{O}_3$  in water purification). Reactions 15.11–15.13 typify this high reactivity, as does its reaction with alkenes to give ozonides.



Potassium ozonide,  $\text{KO}_3$  (formed in reaction 15.14), is an unstable red salt which contains the paramagnetic  $[\text{O}_3]^-$  ion (Figure 15.4). Ozonide salts are known for all the alkali metals. The compounds  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}][\text{O}_3]$  and  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}][\text{O}_3]$  have been prepared using reactions of the type shown in equation 15.15. Ozonides are explosive, but  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}][\text{O}_3]$  is relatively stable, decomposing above 348 K (see also [Sections 10.6](#) and [10.8](#)).




Phosphite ozonides,  $(\text{RO})_3\text{PO}_3$ , have been known since the early 1960s, and are made *in situ* as precursors to singlet oxygen. The ozonides are stable only at low temperatures, and it is only with the use of modern low-temperature crystallographic methods that structural data are now available. Figure 15.5 shows the structure of the phosphite ozonide



**Fig. 15.5** The structure (X-ray diffraction at 188 K) of the phosphite ozonide  $\text{EtC}(\text{CH}_2\text{O})_3\text{PO}_3$  [A. Dimitrov *et al.* (2001) *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, p. 1929]. Colour code: P, brown; O, red; C, grey; H, white.


prepared by the steps in scheme 15.16. In the  $\text{PO}_3$  ring, the P–O and O–O bond lengths are 167 and 146 pm, respectively; the ring is close to planar, with a dihedral angle of  $7^\circ$ .



## Sulfur: allotropes

The allotropy of sulfur is complicated, and we describe only the best-established species. The tendency for catenation (see [Section 13.3](#)) by sulfur is high and leads to the formation of both rings of varying sizes and chains. Allotropes of known structure include cyclic  $\text{S}_6$ ,  $\text{S}_7$ ,  $\text{S}_8$ ,  $\text{S}_9$ ,  $\text{S}_{10}$ ,  $\text{S}_{11}$ ,  $\text{S}_{12}$ ,  $\text{S}_{18}$  and  $\text{S}_{20}$  (all with puckered rings, e.g. Figures 15.6a–c) and fibrous sulfur (*catena-S<sub>∞</sub>*, Figure 15.6d). In most of these, the S–S bond distances are  $206 \pm 1$  pm, indicative of single bond character; the S–S–S bond angles lie in the range  $102$ – $108^\circ$ . The ring conformations of  $\text{S}_6$  (chair) and  $\text{S}_8$  (crown) are readily envisaged but other rings have more complicated conformations. The structure of  $\text{S}_7$  (Figure 15.6b) is noteworthy because of the wide range of S–S bond lengths (199–218 pm) and angles ( $101.5$ – $107.5^\circ$ ). The energies of interconversion between the cyclic forms are very small.



The most stable allotrope is orthorhombic sulfur (the  $\alpha$ -form and standard state of the element) and it occurs naturally as large yellow crystals in volcanic areas. At 367.2 K, the  $\alpha$ -form transforms reversibly into monoclinic sulfur ( $\beta$ -form). Both the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -forms contain  $\text{S}_8$  rings; the density of the  $\alpha$ -form is  $2.07 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , compared with  $1.94 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  for the  $\beta$ -form in which the packing of the rings is less efficient. However, if single crystals of the  $\alpha$ -form are rapidly heated to 385 K, they melt before the  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  transformation occurs. If crystallization takes place at 373 K, the  $\text{S}_8$  rings adopt the structure of the  $\beta$ -form, but the crystals must be cooled rapidly to 298 K; on standing at 298 K, a  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$  transition occurs within a few weeks.  $\beta$ -Sulfur melts





**Fig. 15.6** Schematic representations of the structures of some allotropes of sulfur: (a)  $S_6$ , (b)  $S_7$ , (c)  $S_8$  and (d) *catena*- $S_\infty$  (the chain continues at each end).

at 401 K, but this is not a true melting point, since some breakdown of  $S_8$  rings takes place, causing the melting point to be depressed.

Rhombohedral sulfur (the  $\rho$ -form) comprises  $S_6$  rings and is obtained by the ring closure reaction 15.17. It decomposes in light to  $S_8$  and  $S_{12}$ .



Similar ring closures starting from  $H_2S_x$  (15.6) and  $S_yCl_2$  (15.7) lead to larger rings, but a more recent strategy makes use of  $[(C_5H_5)_2TiS_5]$  (15.8) which is prepared by reaction 15.18 and contains a coordinated  $[S_5]^{2-}$  ligand. The Ti(IV) complex reacts with  $S_yCl_2$  to give *cyclo*- $S_{y+5}$ , allowing synthesis of a series of sulfur allotropes. All the *cyclo*-allotropes are soluble in  $CS_2$ .



By rapidly quenching molten sulfur at 570 K in ice-water, fibrous sulfur (which is insoluble in water) is produced. Fibrous sulfur, *catena*- $S_\infty$ , contains infinite, helical chains


(Figures 3.16a and 15.6d) and slowly reverts to  $\alpha$ -sulfur on standing.  $\alpha$ -Sulfur melts to a mobile yellow liquid which darkens in colour as the temperature is raised. At 433 K, the viscosity increases enormously as  $S_8$  rings break by homolytic S–S bond fission, giving diradicals which react together to form polymeric chains containing  $\leq 10^6$  atoms. The viscosity reaches a maximum at  $\approx 473$  K, and then decreases up to the boiling point (718 K); at this point the liquid contains a mixture of rings and shorter chains. The vapour above liquid sulfur at 473 K consists mainly of  $S_8$  rings, but at higher temperatures, smaller molecules predominate, and above 873 K, paramagnetic  $S_2$  (a diradical like  $O_2$ ) becomes the main species. Dissociation into atoms occurs above 2470 K.

## Sulfur: reactivity



Sulfur is a reactive element. It burns in air with a blue flame to give  $SO_2$ , and reacts with  $F_2$ ,  $Cl_2$  and  $Br_2$  (equation 15.19). For the syntheses of other halides and oxides, see Sections 15.7 and 15.8.




Sulfur does not react directly with  $I_2$ , but in the presence of  $SbF_5$ , the salt  $[S_7I][SbF_6]$  is produced; the cation  $[S_7I]^+$  possesses structure 15.9. When treated with hot aqueous alkali, sulfur forms a mixture of polysulfides,  $[S_x]^{2-}$ , and polythionates (15.10), while oxidizing agents convert it to  $H_2SO_4$ .



Saturated hydrocarbons are dehydrogenated when heated with sulfur, and further reaction with alkenes occurs. An application of this reaction is in the vulcanization of rubber, in which soft rubber is toughened by cross-linking of the polyisoprene chains, making it suitable for use in, for example, tyres. The reactions of sulfur with CO or  $[CN]^-$  yield OCS (15.11) or the thiocyanate ion (15.12), while treatment with sulfites gives thiosulfates (equation 15.20).



The oxidation of  $S_8$  by  $AsF_5$  or  $SbF_5$  in liquid  $SO_2$  (see Section 8.5) yields salts containing the cations  $[S_4]^{2+}$ ,  $[S_8]^{2+}$  (Figure 15.7a) and  $[S_{19}]^{2+}$ . In reaction 15.21,  $AsF_5$  acts as an oxidizing agent and a fluoride acceptor (equation 15.22).



**Fig. 15.7** (a) Schematic representation of the structure of  $[S_8]^{2+}$ . (b) The change in conformation of the ring during oxidation of  $S_8$  to  $[S_8]^{2+}$ . (c) Structural parameters for  $[S_8]^{2+}$  from the  $[AsF_6]^-$  salt. (d) One resonance structure that accounts for the transannular interaction in  $[S_8]^{2+}$ . (e) Schematic representation of the structure of  $[S_{19}]^{2+}$ ; the rings are puckered.



Two-electron oxidation of  $S_8$  results in a change in ring conformation (Figure 15.7a). The red  $[S_8]^{2+}$  cation was originally reported as being blue, but the blue colour is now known to arise from the presence of radical impurities such as  $[S_5]^+$ .<sup>†</sup> In  $S_8$ , all the S–S bond lengths are equal (206 pm) and the distance between two S atoms across the ring from one another is greater than the sum of the van der Waals radii ( $r_v = 185$  pm). The structure of the  $[AsF_6]^-$  salt of  $[S_8]^{2+}$  has been determined and Figure 15.7c illustrates (i) a variation in S–S bond distances around the ring and (ii) cross-ring S–S separations that are smaller than the sum of the van der Waals radii, i.e.  $[S_8]^{2+}$  exhibits *transannular* interactions. The most important transannular interaction corresponds to the shortest S–S contact and Figure 15.7d shows a resonance structure that describes an appropriate bonding contribution.

The  $[S_4]^{2+}$  cation is square (S–S = 198 pm) with delocalized bonding. In  $[S_{19}]^{2+}$  (Figure 15.7e), two 7-membered, puckered rings are connected by a five-atom chain. The positive charge can be considered to be localized on the two 3-coordinate S centres.

A cyclic species has an *annular* form, and a *transannular* interaction is one between atoms across a ring.

## Selenium and tellurium

Selenium possesses several allotropes. Crystalline, red monoclinic selenium exists in three forms, each containing  $Se_8$  rings with the crown conformation of  $S_8$  (Figure 15.6c). Black selenium consists of larger polymeric rings, and the thermodynamically stable allotrope is grey selenium.



Elemental selenium can be prepared by reaction 15.23. By substituting  $Ph_3PSe$  in this reaction by  $Ph_3PS$ , rings of composition  $Se_nS_{8-n}$  ( $n = 1-5$ ) can be produced (see end-of-chapter [problem 2.31](#)).




Tellurium has only one crystalline form which is a silvery-white metallic-looking solid. In both grey Se and Te, the atoms form infinite helical chains, the axes of which lie parallel to each other. The red allotropes of Se can be obtained by rapid cooling of molten Se and extraction into  $CS_2$ . The photoconductivity of Se (see [Box 15.1](#)) and Te arises because, in the solid, the band gap of  $160 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  is small enough for the influence of visible light to cause the promotion of electrons from the filled bonding MOs to the unoccupied antibonding MOs (see [Section 5.8](#)). Although *cyclo-Te*<sub>8</sub> is not known as an allotrope of the element, it has been characterized in the salt  $Cs_3[Te_{22}]$  which has the composition  $[Cs^+]_3[Te_6^{3-}][Te_8]_2$ .

<sup>†</sup>For a detailed discussion, see: T.S. Cameron *et al.* (2000) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 39, p. 5614.

Although less reactive, Se and Te are chemically similar to sulfur. This resemblance extends to the formation of cations such as  $[\text{Se}_4]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Te}_4]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Se}_8]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Te}_8]^{2+}$ . The salt  $[\text{Se}_8][\text{AsF}_6]_2$  can be made in an analogous manner to  $[\text{S}_8][\text{AsF}_6]_2$  in liquid  $\text{SO}_2$  (equation 15.21), whereas reaction 15.24 is carried out in fluorosulfonic acid (see [Section 8.9](#)). Recent methods use metal halides (e.g.  $\text{ReCl}_4$  and  $\text{WCl}_6$ ) as oxidizing agents, e.g. the formation of  $[\text{Te}_8]^{2+}$  (equation 15.25). Reaction 15.26 (in  $\text{AsF}_3$  solvent) produces  $[\text{Te}_6]^{4+}$ , **15.13**, which has no S or Se analogue.




The structures of  $[\text{Se}_4]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Te}_4]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Se}_8]^{2+}$  mimic those of their S analogues, but  $[\text{Te}_8]^{2+}$  exists in two forms. In  $[\text{Te}_8][\text{ReCl}_6]$ ,  $[\text{Te}_8]^{2+}$  is structurally similar to  $[\text{S}_8]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Se}_8]^{2+}$ , but in  $[\text{Te}_8][\text{WCl}_6]_2$ , the cation has the bicyclic structure, i.e. resonance structure **15.14** is dominant.



*a* lies in the range 266–269 pm  
*b* lies in the range 306–315 pm

(15.13)



(15.14)

## 15.5 Hydrides

### Water, $\text{H}_2\text{O}$


Aspects of the chemistry of water have already been covered as follows:

- the properties of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ([Section 6.2](#));
- acids, bases and ions in aqueous solution ([Chapter 6](#));
- ‘heavy water’,  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  ([Section 9.3](#));
- comparison of the properties of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  ([Table 9.2](#));
- hydrogen bonding ([Section 9.6](#)).

Water purification is discussed in [Box 15.3](#).


### Hydrogen peroxide, $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$

The oldest method for the preparation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is reaction 15.27. The hydrolysis of peroxodisulfate (produced by electrolytic oxidation of  $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$  at high current densities




**Fig. 15.8** The catalytic cycle used in the industrial manufacture of hydrogen peroxide;  $\text{O}_2$  is converted to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  during the oxidation of the organic alkylanthraquinol. The organic product is reduced by  $\text{H}_2$  in a Pd- or Ni-catalysed reaction. Such cycles are discussed in detail in [Chapter 26](#).

using Pt electrodes) has also been an important route to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (equation 15.28).



Nowadays,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is manufactured by the oxidation of 2-ethylanthraquinol (or a related alkyl derivative). The  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  formed is extracted into water and the organic product is reduced back to starting material; the process is summarized in the catalytic cycle in Figure 15.8.<sup>†</sup>

Some physical properties of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  are given in Table 15.3; like water, it is strongly hydrogen-bonded. Pure or strongly concentrated aqueous solutions of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  readily decompose (equation 15.29) in the presence of alkali, heavy metal ions or heterogeneous catalysts (e.g. Pt or  $\text{MnO}_2$ ), and traces of complexing agents (e.g. 8-hydroxyquinoline, **15.15**) or adsorbing materials (e.g. sodium stannate,  $\text{Na}_2[\text{Sn}(\text{OH})_6]$ ) are often added as stabilizers.



$$\Delta_r H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) = -98 \text{ kJ per mole of } \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad (15.29)$$

<sup>†</sup>For an overview of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  production processes, see: W.R. Thiel (1999) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 38, p. 3157 – ‘New routes to hydrogen peroxide: Alternatives for established processes?’

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 15.3 Purification of water

The simplest method for the removal of all solid solutes from water is by distillation, but because of the high boiling point and enthalpy of vaporization (Table 6.1), this method is expensive. If the impurities are ionic, ion exchange is an effective (and relatively cheap) means of purification. The treatment involves the passage of water down a column of an organic resin containing acidic groups (e.g.  $-\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ ) and then down a similar column containing basic groups (e.g.  $-\text{NR}_3\text{OH}$ ):



After treatment, *deionized water* is produced. The resins are reactivated by treatment with dilute  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  solutions respectively. Reverse osmosis at high pressures is also an important process in water purification, with cellulose acetate as the usual membrane; the latter prevents the passage of dissolved solutes or insoluble impurities. The removal of nitrates is highlighted in Box 14.10.


The purification of drinking water is a complicated industrial process. Water may be abundant on the Earth,

but impurities such as microorganisms, particulate materials and chemicals usually make it unfit for human consumption. Coagulation and separation methods are used to remove many particles. Aluminium and iron(III) salts are widely used in the coagulation stages, and the treatment relies upon the formation of polymeric species in solution. Pre-polymerized coagulants are now available and include polyaluminium silicate sulfate (PASS) and polyferric sulfate (PFS). About two-thirds of all  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  manufactured goes into water treatment processes, with the paper manufacturing industry consuming about a half of this amount.

## Further reading

J.-Q. Jiang and N.J.D. Graham (1997) *Chemistry & Industry* p. 388 – ‘Pre-polymerized inorganic coagulants for treating water and waste water’.

A.A. Delyannis and E.A. Delyannis (1979) *Gmelin Handbook of Inorganic Chemistry, O: Water Desalting*, Supplement Volume 1, System-Number 3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.



(15.15)

Mixtures of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and organic or other readily oxidized materials are dangerously explosive;  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  mixed with hydrazine has been used as a rocket propellant. A major application of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is in the paper and pulp industry where it is replacing chlorine as a bleaching agent (see Figure 16.2). Other uses are as an antiseptic, in water pollution control and for the manufacture of sodium peroxoborate (see Section 12.7) and peroxocarbonates (see Section 13.9).


Figure 15.9 shows the gas-phase structure of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and bond parameters are listed in Table 15.3. The internal

**Table 15.3** Selected properties of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ .


| Property   |                                    |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Physical appearance at 298 K                           | Colourless (very pale blue) liquid |
| Melting point / K                                      | 272.6                              |
| Boiling point / K                                      | 425 (decomposes)                   |
| $\Delta_f H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | -187.8                             |
| $\Delta_f G^\circ(298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | -120.4                             |
| Dipole moment / debye                                  | 1.57                               |
| O–O bond distance (gas phase) / pm                     | 147.5                              |
| $\angle \text{O–O–H}$ (gas phase) / deg                | 95                                 |

dihedral angle is sensitive to the surroundings (i.e. the extent of hydrogen bonding) being  $111^\circ$  in the gas phase,  $90^\circ$  in the solid state and  $180^\circ$  in the adduct  $\text{Na}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ . In this last example,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  has a *trans*-planar conformation and the O lone pairs appear to interact with the  $\text{Na}^+$  ions. Values of the dihedral angle in organic peroxides,  $\text{ROOR}$ , show wide variations ( $\approx 80^\circ$ – $145^\circ$ ).

In aqueous solution,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is partially ionized (equation 15.30), and in alkaline solution, is present as the  $[\text{HO}_2]^-$  ion.





$$K_a = 2.4 \times 10^{-12} \quad (298 \text{ K}) \quad (15.30)$$



**Fig. 15.9** The gas-phase structure of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  showing the oxygen atom lone pairs. The angle shown as  $111^\circ$  is the *internal dihedral angle*, the angle between the planes containing each OOH-unit; see Table 15.3 for other bond parameters.

Hydrogen peroxide is a powerful oxidizing agent as is seen from the standard reduction potential (at pH = 0) in equation 15.31; e.g. it oxidizes  $\text{I}^-$  to  $\text{I}_2$ ,  $\text{SO}_2$  to  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and (in alkaline solution) Cr(III) to Cr(VI). Powerful oxidants such as  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$  will oxidize  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (equations 15.32–15.34), and in alkaline solution,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is a good reducing agent (half-equation 15.35).





Tracer studies using  $^{18}\text{O}$  show that in these redox reactions  $\text{H}_2(^{18}\text{O})_2$  is converted to  $(^{18}\text{O})_2$ , confirming that no oxygen from the solvent (which is not labelled) is incorporated and the O–O bond is not broken.

### Worked example 15.3


#### Redox reactions of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ in aqueous solution

Use data from *Appendix 11* to determine  $\Delta G^\circ$  (298 K) for the oxidation of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  in aqueous solution at pH = 0. Comment on the significance of the value obtained.

First, look up the appropriate half-equations and corresponding  $E^\circ$  values:



The overall redox process is:



$$E^\circ_{\text{cell}} = 1.78 - 0.36 = 1.42 \text{ V}$$

$$\Delta G^\circ(298 \text{ K}) = -zFE^\circ_{\text{cell}}$$

$$= -2 \times 96485 \times 1.42 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$= -274 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

The value of  $\Delta G^\circ$  is large and negative showing that the reaction is spontaneous and will go to completion.

### Self-study exercises

- In aqueous solution at pH 14,  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  is reduced by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ . Find the relevant half-equations in *Appendix 11* and calculate  $\Delta G^\circ$  (298 K) for the overall reaction.

[Ans.  $-98 \text{ kJ per mole of H}_2\text{O}_2$ ]

- At pH 0,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  oxidizes aqueous sulfurous acid. Find the appropriate half-equations in *Appendix 11* and determine  $\Delta G^\circ$  (298 K) for the overall reaction.


[Ans.  $-311 \text{ kJ per mole of H}_2\text{O}_2$ ]

- Is the oxidation of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  to  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  by aqueous  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (at pH 0) thermodynamically more or less favoured when the  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  ions are in the form of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  or  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ ? Quantify your answer by determining  $\Delta G^\circ$  (298 K) for each reduction.

[Ans. Less favoured for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ ;  $\Delta G^\circ = -145$ ;  $-195 \text{ kJ per mole of H}_2\text{O}_2$ ]

See also end-of-chapter problem 7.8.

Deprotonation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  gives  $[\text{OOH}]^-$  and loss of a second proton yields the peroxide ion,  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$ . In addition to peroxide salts such as those of the alkali metals (see *Section 10.6*), many peroxo complexes are known. Figure 15.10 shows two such complexes, one of which also contains the  $[\text{OOH}]^-$  ion in a bridging mode; typical O–O bond distances



**Fig. 15.10** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{V}(\text{O}_2)_2(\text{O})(\text{bpy})]^-$  in the hydrated ammonium salt [H. Szentivanyi *et al.* (1983) *Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. A*, vol. 37, p. 553] and (b)  $[\text{Mo}_2(\text{O}_2)_4(\text{O})_2(\mu\text{-OOH})_2]^{2-}$  in the pyridinium salt [J.-M. Le Carpentier *et al.* (1972) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 28, p. 1288]. The H atoms in the second structure were not located but have been added here for clarity. Colour code: V, yellow; Mo, dark blue; O, red; N, light blue; C, grey; H, white.

**Table 15.4** Selected data for H<sub>2</sub>S, H<sub>2</sub>Se and H<sub>2</sub>Te.


|  | H <sub>2</sub> S                                      | H <sub>2</sub> Se                      | H <sub>2</sub> Te                      |
|--|---|--|--|
| Name <sup>‡</sup>  | Hydrogen sulfide                                      | Hydrogen selenide                      | Hydrogen telluride                     |
| Physical appearance and general characteristics              | Colourless gas; offensive smell of rotten eggs; toxic | Colourless gas; offensive smell; toxic | Colourless gas; offensive smell; toxic |
| Melting point / K  | 187.5   | 207                                    | 224                                    |
| Boiling point / K  | 214   | 232                                    | 271                                    |
| $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ(\text{bp}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 18.7  | 19.7                                   | 19.2                                   |
| $\Delta_f H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$       | -20.6   | +29.7                                  | +99.6                                  |
| $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}(1)$                                    | 7.04  | 4.0                                    | 3.0                                    |
| $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}(2)$                                    | 19  | –                                      | –                                      |
| E–H bond distance / pm                                       | 134   | 146                                    | 169                                    |
| $\angle\text{H–E–H} / \text{deg}$                            | 92  | 91                                     | 90                                     |

<sup>‡</sup> The IUPAC names of sulfane, selene and tellane are rarely used.


for coordinated peroxo groups are  $\approx 140$ – $148$  pm. Further peroxo complexes are described elsewhere in this book, e.g. [Figure 21.11](#) and accompanying discussion.

## Hydrides H<sub>2</sub>E (E = S, Se, Te)

Selected physical data for hydrogen sulfide, selenide and telluride are listed in Table 15.4 and illustrated in [Figures 9.6](#) and [9.7](#). Hydrogen sulfide is more toxic than HCN, but because H<sub>2</sub>S has a very characteristic odour of rotten eggs, its presence is easily detected. It is a natural product of decaying S-containing matter, and is present in coal pits, gas wells and sulfur springs. Where it occurs in natural gas deposits, H<sub>2</sub>S is removed by reversible absorption in a solution of an organic base and is converted to S by controlled oxidation. [Figure 15.2](#) showed the increasing importance of sulfur recovery from natural gas as a source of commercial sulfur. In the laboratory, H<sub>2</sub>S was historically prepared by reaction 15.36 in a Kipp's apparatus. The hydrolysis of calcium or barium sulfides (e.g. equation 15.37) produces purer H<sub>2</sub>S, but the gas is also commercially available in small cylinders.



Hydrogen selenide may be prepared by reaction 15.38, and a similar reaction can be used to make H<sub>2</sub>Te.



The enthalpies of formation of H<sub>2</sub>S, H<sub>2</sub>Se and H<sub>2</sub>Te (Table 15.4) indicate that the sulfide can be prepared by direct combination of H<sub>2</sub> and sulfur (boiling), and is more stable with respect to decomposition into its elements than H<sub>2</sub>Se or H<sub>2</sub>Te.

Like H<sub>2</sub>O, the hydrides of the later elements in group 16 have bent structures but the angles of  $\approx 90^\circ$  (Table 15.4) are significantly less than that in H<sub>2</sub>O ( $105^\circ$ ). This suggests that the E–H bonds (E = S, Se or Te) involve *p* character from the central atom (i.e. little or no contribution from the valence *s* orbital).

In aqueous solution, the hydrides behave as weak acids (Table 15.4 and [Section 6.5](#)). The second ionization constant of H<sub>2</sub>S is  $\approx 10^{-19}$  and, thus, metal sulfides are hydrolysed in aqueous solution. The only reason that many metal sulfides can be isolated by the action of H<sub>2</sub>S on solutions of their salts is that the sulfides are extremely insoluble. For example, a qualitative test for H<sub>2</sub>S is its reaction with aqueous lead acetate (equation 15.39).





Sulfides such as CuS, PbS, HgS, CdS, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and SnS have solubility products (see [Sections 6.9](#) and [6.10](#)) less than  $\approx 10^{-30}$  and can be precipitated by H<sub>2</sub>S in the presence of dilute HCl. The acid suppresses ionization of H<sub>2</sub>S, lowering the concentration of S<sup>2-</sup> in solution. Sulfides such as ZnS, MnS, NiS and CoS with solubility products in the range  $\approx 10^{-15}$  to  $10^{-30}$  are precipitated only from neutral or alkaline solutions.

Protonation of H<sub>2</sub>S to [H<sub>3</sub>S]<sup>+</sup> can be achieved using the superacid HF/SbF<sub>5</sub> (see [Section 8.9](#)). The salt [H<sub>3</sub>S][SbF<sub>6</sub>] is a white crystalline solid which reacts with quartz glass; vibrational spectroscopic data for [H<sub>3</sub>S]<sup>+</sup> are consistent with a trigonal pyramidal structure like that of [H<sub>3</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>. The addition of MeSCl to [H<sub>3</sub>S][SbF<sub>6</sub>] at 77 K followed by warming of the mixture to 213 K yields [Me<sub>3</sub>S][SbF<sub>6</sub>], which is stable below 263 K. Spectroscopic data (NMR, IR and Raman) are consistent with the presence of the trigonal pyramidal [Me<sub>3</sub>S]<sup>+</sup> cation.

## Polysulfanes

Polysulfanes are compounds of the general type H<sub>2</sub>S<sub>*x*</sub> where *x*  $\geq 2$  (see structure [15.6](#)). Sulfur dissolves in aqueous solutions of group 1 or 2 metal sulfides (e.g. Na<sub>2</sub>S) to yield polysulfide salts, (e.g. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>*x*</sub>). Acidification of such solutions gives a mixture of polysulfanes as a yellow oil, which can be fractionally distilled to yield H<sub>2</sub>S<sub>*x*</sub> (*x* = 2–6). An alternative method of synthesis, particularly useful for polysulfanes with *x* > 6, is by condensation reaction 15.40.



The structure of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}_2$  (15.16) resembles that of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (Figure 15.9) with an internal dihedral angle of  $91^\circ$  in the gas phase. All polysulfanes are thermodynamically unstable with respect to decomposition to  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  and  $\text{S}$ . Their use in the preparation of *cyclo-S<sub>n</sub>* species was described in Section 15.4.

## 15.6 Metal sulfides, polysulfides, polyselenides and polytellurides

### Sulfides

Descriptions of metal sulfides already covered include:

- the zinc blende and wurtzite lattices (Section 5.11, Figures 5.18 and 5.20);
- precipitation of metal sulfides using  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  (Section 15.5);
- sulfides of the group 14 metals (Section 13.11);
- sulfides of the group 15 elements (Section 14.14).



The group 1 and 2 metal sulfides possess the antifluorite and NaCl lattices respectively (see Section 5.11), and appear to be typical ionic salts. However, the adoption of the NaCl lattice (e.g. by  $\text{PbS}$  and  $\text{MnS}$ ) cannot be regarded as a criterion for ionic character, as we discussed in Section

13.11. Most *d*-block metal monosulfides crystallize with the NiAs lattice (e.g.  $\text{FeS}$ ,  $\text{CoS}$ ,  $\text{NiS}$ ) (see Figure 14.10) or the zinc blende or wurtzite structure (e.g.  $\text{ZnS}$ ,  $\text{CdS}$ ,  $\text{HgS}$ ) (see Figures 5.18 and 5.20). Metal disulfides may adopt the  $\text{CdI}_2$  lattice (e.g.  $\text{TiS}_2$  and  $\text{SnS}_2$  with metal(IV) centres), but others such as  $\text{FeS}_2$  (iron pyrites) contain  $[\text{S}_2]^{2-}$  ions. The latter are formally analogous to peroxides and may be considered to be salts of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}_2$ .

The blue paramagnetic  $[\text{S}_2]^-$  ion is an analogue of the superoxide ion and has been detected in solutions of alkali metal sulfides in acetone or dimethyl sulfoxide. Simple salts containing  $[\text{S}_2]^-$  are not known, but the blue colour of the silicate mineral *ultramarine* is due to the presence of the radical anions  $[\text{S}_2]^-$  and  $[\text{S}_3]^-$  (see Box 15.4).

### Polysulfides

Polysulfide ions  $[\text{S}_x]^{2-}$  are not prepared by deprotonation of the corresponding polysulfanes. Instead, methods of synthesis include reactions 15.18 and 15.41, and that of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  with  $\text{S}$  suspended in  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  solution which yields a mixture of  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{S}_4]$  and  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{S}_5]$ .



Polysulfides of the *s*-block metals are well established. The  $[\text{S}_3]^{2-}$  ion is bent (15.17), but as the chain length increases, it develops a helical twist, rendering it chiral (Figure 15.11a). The coordination chemistry of these anions leads to some complexes such as those in Figures

## APPLICATIONS


### Box 15.4 Ultramarine blues

The soft metamorphic mineral *lapis lazuri* (or *lazurite*) was prized by the ancient Egyptians for its blue colour and was cut, carved and polished for ornamental uses. Deposits of the mineral occur in, for example, Iran and Afghanistan. Powdering lapis lazuli produces the pigment *ultramarine*, although for commercial purposes, synthetic ultramarine is now manufactured by heating together kaolinite (see Box 13.10),  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  and sulfur. Lapis lazuri is related to the aluminosilicate mineral *sodalite*,  $\text{Na}_8[\text{Al}_6\text{Si}_6\text{O}_{24}]\text{Cl}_2$ , which contains a zeolite framework (the sodalite or SOD lattice type). The cavities in the zeolite framework contain  $\text{Na}^+$  cations and  $\text{Cl}^-$  anions. Partial or full replacement of  $\text{Cl}^-$  by the radical anions  $[\text{S}_2]^-$  and  $[\text{S}_3]^-$  results in the formation of ultramarines, and the chalcogenide ions give rise to the blue pigmentation. The relative amounts of  $[\text{S}_2]^-$  and  $[\text{S}_3]^-$  present determine the colour of the pigment: in the

UV-VIS spectrum,  $[\text{S}_2]^-$  absorbs at 370 nm and  $[\text{S}_3]^-$  at 595 nm. In artificial ultramarines, this ratio can be controlled, so producing a range of colours through from blues to greens.

#### Further reading



- N. Gobeltz-Hautecoeur, A. Demortier, B. Lede, J.P. Lelieur and C. Duhayon (2002) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 41, p. 2848 – ‘Occupancy of the sodalite cages in the blue ultramarine pigments’.
- D. Reinen and G.-G. Linder (1999) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 28, p. 75 – ‘The nature of the chalcogen colour centres in ultramarine-type solids’.



**Fig. 15.11** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[S_6]^{2-}$  in the salt  $[H_3NCH_2CH_2NH_3][S_6]$  [P. Bottcher *et al.* (1984) *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, vol. 39, p. 416], (b)  $[Zn(S_4)_2]^{2-}$  in the tetraethylammonium salt [D. Coucouvanis *et al.* (1985) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 24, p. 24], (c)  $[Mn(S_5)(S_6)]^{2-}$  in the  $[Ph_4P]^+$  salt [D. Coucouvanis *et al.* (1985) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 24, p. 24], (d)  $[AuS_9]^-$  in the  $[AsPh_4]^+$  salt [G. Marbach *et al.* (1984) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., Engl.*, vol. 23, p. 246], and (e)  $[(S_6)Cu(\mu-S_8)-Cu(S_6)]^{4-}$  in the  $[Ph_4P]^+$  salt [A. Müller *et al.* (1984) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., Engl.*, vol. 23, p. 632]. Colour code: S, yellow.







15.11 and 22.21b. For chains containing four or more S atoms, the  $[S_x]^{2-}$  ligand often chelates to one metal centre or bridges between two centres; the structure of  $[AuS_9]^-$  (Figure 15.11d) illustrates a case where a long chain is required to satisfy the fact that the Au(I) centre favours a linear arrangement of donor atoms.

The cyclic  $[S_6]^-$  radical has been prepared by reaction 15.42. In  $[Ph_4P][S_6]$ , the anion adopts a chair conformation, with two S–S bonds significantly longer than the other four (structure 15.18).



## Polyselenides and polytellurides

Although Se and Te analogues of polysulfanes do not extend beyond the poorly characterized  $H_2Se_2$  and  $H_2Te_2$ , the chemistries of polyselenides, polytellurides and their metal complexes are well established. Equations 15.43–15.46 illustrate preparations of salts of  $[Se_x]^{2-}$  and  $[Te_x]^{2-}$ ; see Section 10.8 for details of crown ethers and cryptands.



Structurally, the smaller polyselenide and polytelluride ions resemble their polysulfide analogues, e.g.  $[Te_5]^{2-}$  has structure 15.19 with a helically twisted chain. The structures of higher anions are less simple, e.g.  $[Te_8]^{2-}$  (15.20) can be considered in terms of  $[Te_4]^{2-}$  and  $[Te_3]^{2-}$  ligands bound to a  $Te^{2+}$  centre. Similarly,  $[Se_{11}]^{2-}$  can be described in terms of two  $[Se_5]^{2-}$  ligands chelating to an  $Se^{2+}$  centre. The coordination


chemistry of the  $[\text{Se}_x]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Te}_x]^{2-}$  chain anions has developed significantly since 1990; examples include  $[(\text{Te}_4)\text{Cu}(\mu\text{-Te}_4)\text{Cu}(\text{Te}_4)]^{4-}$  and  $[(\text{Se}_4)_2\text{In}(\mu\text{-Se}_5)\text{In}(\text{Se}_4)_2]^{4-}$  (both of which have bridging and chelating ligands), octahedral  $[\text{Pt}(\text{Se}_4)_3]^{2-}$  with chelating  $[\text{Se}_4]^{2-}$  ligands,  $[\text{Zn}(\text{Te}_3)(\text{Te}_4)]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Cr}(\text{Te}_4)_3]^{3-}$ .

## 15.7 Halides, oxohalides and complex halides

In contrast to the trend found in earlier groups, the stability of the lowest oxidation state (+2) of the central atom in the halides of the group 16 elements *decreases* down the group. This is well exemplified in the halides discussed in this section. Our discussion is confined to the fluorides of O, and the fluorides and chlorides of S, Se and Te. The bromides and iodides of the later elements are similar to their chloride analogues. Compounds of O with Cl, Br and I are described in [Section 16.8](#).

### Oxygen fluorides

Oxygen difluoride,  $\text{OF}_2$  (**15.21**), is highly toxic and may be prepared by reaction 15.47. Selected properties are given in Table 15.5. Although  $\text{OF}_2$  is formally the anhydride of hypofluorous acid,  $\text{HOF}$ , only reaction 15.48 occurs with water and this is very slow at 298 K. With concentrated alkali, decomposition is much faster, and with steam, it is explosive.




Pure  $\text{OF}_2$  can be heated to 470 K without decomposition, but it reacts with many elements (to form fluorides and oxides) at, or slightly above, room temperature. When subjected to UV radiation in an argon matrix at 4 K, the  $\text{OF}^\bullet$  radical is formed (equation 15.49) and on warming, the radicals combine to give dioxygen difluoride,  $\text{O}_2\text{F}_2$ .



Dioxygen difluoride may also be made by the action of a high-voltage discharge on a mixture of  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{F}_2$  at 77–90 K and 1–3 kPa pressure. Selected properties of  $\text{O}_2\text{F}_2$  are listed in Table 15.5. The low-temperature decomposition of  $\text{O}_2\text{F}_2$  initially yields  $\text{O}_2\text{F}^\bullet$  radicals. Even at low temperatures,  $\text{O}_2\text{F}_2$  is an extremely powerful fluorinating agent, e.g. it inflames with S at 93 K, and reacts with  $\text{BF}_3$  (equation 15.8) and  $\text{SbF}_5$  (reaction 15.50).



The molecular shape of  $\text{O}_2\text{F}_2$  (**15.22**) resembles that of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (Figure 15.9) although the internal dihedral angle is smaller ( $87^\circ$ ). The very long O—F bond probably accounts for the ease of dissociation into  $\text{O}_2\text{F}^\bullet$  and  $\text{F}^\bullet$ . Structures **15.23** show valence bond representations which reflect the long O—F and short O—O bonds; compare the O—O bond distance with those for  $\text{O}_2$  and derived ions (Section 15.4) and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (Table 15.3).



### Sulfur fluorides and oxofluorides

Table 15.5 lists some properties of the most stable fluorides of sulfur. The fluorides  $\text{SF}_4$  and  $\text{S}_2\text{F}_2$  can be prepared from the reaction of  $\text{SCl}_2$  and  $\text{HgF}_2$  at elevated temperatures; both are

**Table 15.5** Selected physical properties of oxygen and sulfur fluorides.

| Property   | $\text{OF}_2$  | $\text{O}_2\text{F}_2$                           | $\text{S}_2\text{F}_2$          | $\text{F}_2\text{S}=\text{S}$ | $\text{SF}_4$                                      | $\text{SF}_6$                 | $\text{S}_2\text{F}_{10}$          |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Physical appearance and general characteristics        | Colourless (very pale yellow) gas; explosive and toxic | Yellow solid below 119 K; decomposes above 223 K | Colourless gas; extremely toxic | Colourless gas                | Colourless gas; toxic; reacts violently with water | Colourless gas; highly stable | Colourless liquid; extremely toxic |
| Melting point / K                                      | 49   | 119  | 140                             | 108                           | 148  | 222 (under pressure)          | 220                                |
| Boiling point / K                                      | 128  | –  | 288                             | 262                           | 233  | subl. 209                     | 303                                |
| $\Delta_f H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | +24.7  | +18.0  |                                 |                               | –763.2   | –1220.5                       |                                    |
| Dipole moment / D                                      | 0.30   | 1.44   |                                 |                               | 0.64   | 0                             | 0                                  |
| E—F bond distance / pm <sup>‡</sup>                    | 141  | 157.5  | 163.5                           | 160                           | 164.5 (ax)<br>154.5 (eq)                           | 156                           | 156                                |

<sup>‡</sup> For other structural data, see text.








Fig. 15.12 Selected reactions of sulfur tetrafluoride.

highly unstable. Disulfur difluoride exists as two isomers;  $S_2F_2$  (15.24) and  $F_2S=S$  (15.25), with  $S_2F_2$  (made from  $AgF$  and  $S$  at 398 K) readily isomerizing to  $F_2S=S$ . The structure of  $S_2F_2$  is like that of  $O_2F_2$ , with an internal dihedral angle of  $88^\circ$ . The S–S bond distances in both isomers are very short (compare  $\approx 206$  pm for a single S–S bond) and imply multiple bond character. For  $S_2F_2$ , contributions from resonance structures analogous to those shown for  $O_2F_2$  are therefore important. Both isomers are unstable with respect to disproportionation into  $SF_4$  and  $S$ , and are extremely reactive, attacking glass and being rapidly hydrolysed by water and alkali (e.g. equation 15.51).






Sulfur tetrafluoride,  $SF_4$ , is best prepared by reaction 15.52. It is commercially available and is used as a selective fluorinating agent, e.g. it converts carbonyl groups into  $CF_2$  groups without destroying any unsaturation in the molecule. Representative reactions are shown in Figure 15.12;  $SF_4$  hydrolyses rapidly and must be handled in moisture-free conditions.




The structure of  $SF_4$ , 15.26, is derived from a trigonal bipyramid and can be rationalized in terms of VSEPR theory. The S– $F_{ax}$  and S– $F_{eq}$  bond distances are quite different (Table 15.5). Oxidation by  $O_2$  in the absence of a


catalyst to form  $SOF_4$  is slow. The structure of  $SOF_4$ , 15.27, is related to that of  $SF_4$ , but with S– $F_{ax}$  and S– $F_{eq}$  bond distances that are close in value.



Among the sulfur fluorides,  $SF_6$ , 15.28, stands out for its high stability and chemical inertness. It can be made by burning  $S$  in  $F_2$ , and is commercially available, being widely used as an electrical insulator. Its lack of reactivity (e.g. it is unaffected by steam at 770 K or molten alkalis) is kinetic rather than thermodynamic in origin. The value of  $\Delta_r G^\circ$  for reaction 15.53 certainly indicates thermodynamic spontaneity. The bonding in  $SF_6$  was discussed in Section 4.7.



The preparation of  $SF_6$  from  $S$  and  $F_2$  produces small amounts of  $S_2F_{10}$  and the yield can be optimized by controlling the reaction conditions. An alternative route is reaction 15.54. Selected properties of  $S_2F_{10}$  are given in Table 15.5.





(15.29)

Molecules of  $\text{S}_2\text{F}_{10}$  have the staggered structure **15.29**; the S–S bond length of 221 pm is significantly longer than the single bonds in elemental S (206 pm). It disproportionates when heated (equation 15.55) and is a powerful oxidizing agent. An interesting reaction is that with  $\text{NH}_3$  to yield  $\text{N}\equiv\text{SF}_3$  (see structure **15.62**).




Many compounds containing  $\text{SF}_5$  groups are now known, including  $\text{SClF}_5$  and  $\text{SF}_5\text{NF}_2$  (Figure 15.12). In accord with the relative strengths of the S–Cl and S–F bonds (Table 15.2), reactions of  $\text{SClF}_5$  usually involve cleavage of the S–Cl bond (e.g. reaction 15.56).




(15.30)


Sulfur forms several oxofluorides, and we have already mentioned  $\text{SOF}_4$ . Thionyl fluoride (or sulfinyl fluoride),<sup>†</sup>  $\text{SOF}_2$  (**15.30**), is a colourless gas (bp 229 K), prepared by fluorinating  $\text{SOCl}_2$  using  $\text{SbF}_3$ . It reacts with  $\text{F}_2$  to give  $\text{SOF}_4$ , and is slowly hydrolysed by water (see Figure 15.12). The reaction of  $\text{SOF}_2$  and  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]\text{F}$  at 77 K followed by warming to 298 K produces  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}][\text{SOF}_3]$ , the first example of a salt containing  $[\text{SOF}_3]^-$ . The anion rapidly hydrolyses (reaction 15.57 followed by reaction 15.58 depending on conditions) and reacts with  $\text{SO}_2$  to give  $\text{SOF}_2$  and  $[\text{SO}_2\text{F}]^-$ .



Sulfuryl fluoride (or sulfonyl fluoride),  $\text{SO}_2\text{F}_2$  (**15.31**), is a colourless gas (bp 218 K) which is made by reaction 15.59 or 15.60.




<sup>†</sup> The names thionyl or sulfinyl signify the presence of an SO group; sulfonyl or sulfuryl show that an  $\text{SO}_2$  group is present.




(15.31)

Although unaffected by water,  $\text{SO}_2\text{F}_2$  is hydrolysed by concentrated aqueous alkali. A series of sulfonyl fluorides is known, including  $\text{FSO}_2\text{OSO}_2\text{F}$  and  $\text{FSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{F}$ . The latter compound is prepared by reaction 15.61; fluoro-sulfonic acid (see **Section 8.9**) is related to the intermediate in this reaction.




The dissociation of  $\text{FSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{F}$  at 393 K produces the brown paramagnetic radical  $\text{FSO}_2\text{O}^\bullet$ , selected reactions of which are shown in scheme 15.62.



(15.62)


The reaction of  $\text{F}_2$  with sulfate ion yields  $[\text{FSO}_4]^-$  which can be isolated as the caesium salt and is an extremely powerful oxidizing agent (equation 15.63).



## Sulfur chlorides and oxochlorides

The range of sulfur chlorides and oxochlorides (which are all hydrolysed by water) is far more restricted than that of the corresponding fluorides, and there are no stable chloro-analogues of  $\text{SF}_4$ ,  $\text{SF}_6$  and  $\text{S}_2\text{F}_{10}$ . One example of a high oxidation state chloride is  $\text{SClF}_5$ , prepared as shown in Figure 15.12.


Disulfur dichloride,  $\text{S}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , is a fuming orange liquid (mp 193 K, bp 409 K) which is toxic and has a repulsive smell. It is manufactured by passing  $\text{Cl}_2$  through molten S, and further chlorination yields  $\text{SCl}_2$  (a dark-red liquid, mp 195 K, dec. 332 K). Both are used industrially for the manufacture of  $\text{SOCl}_2$  (reactions 15.64) and  $\text{S}_2\text{Cl}_2$  for the vulcanization of rubber. Pure  $\text{SCl}_2$  is unstable with respect to equilibrium 15.65.




**Table 15.6** Selected properties of the fluorides of selenium and tellurium.

| Property  | SeF <sub>4</sub>                                       | SeF <sub>6</sub>                                | TeF <sub>4</sub>                                     | TeF <sub>6</sub>  |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| Physical appearance and general characteristics             | Colourless fuming liquid; toxic; violent hydrolysis    | White solid at low temp.; colourless gas; toxic | Colourless solid; highly toxic                       | White solid at low temp.; colourless gas; foul smelling; highly toxic |
| Melting point / K   | 263.5  | subl. 226                                       | 403  | subl. 234   |
| Boiling point / K   | 375  | –   | dec. 467   | –   |
| $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$         |  | –1117.0   |  | –1318.0   |
| E–F bond distance for gas phase molecules / pm <sup>‡</sup> | Se–F <sub>ax</sub> = 176.5<br>Se–F <sub>eq</sub> = 168 | 169   | Te–F <sub>ax</sub> = 190<br>Te–F <sub>eq</sub> = 179 | 181.5   |

<sup>‡</sup> For other structural data, see text.





The structure of S<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, **15.32**, resembles that of S<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, while SCl<sub>2</sub> is a bent molecule (S–Cl = 201 pm, ∠Cl–S–Cl = 103°). Decomposition of both chlorides by water yields a complex mixture containing S, SO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub>O<sub>6</sub> and HCl. Equation 15.17 showed the use of S<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in the formation of an S<sub>n</sub> ring. Condensation of S<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with polysulfanes (equation 15.66) gives rise to chlorosulfanes that can be used, for example, in the formation of various sulfur rings (see structures **15.6** and **15.7**).



Thionyl chloride, SOCl<sub>2</sub> (prepared, for example, by reaction 15.64 or 15.67), and sulfonyl chloride, SO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (prepared by reaction 15.68) are colourless, fuming liquids: SOCl<sub>2</sub>, bp 351 K, SO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, bp 342 K. Their ease of hydrolysis by water accounts for their fuming nature, e.g. equation 15.69.



The structural parameters shown for SOCl<sub>2</sub>, **15.33**, and SO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, **15.34**, are for the gas-phase molecules.



Both thionyl and sulfonyl chlorides are available commercially. Thionyl chloride is used to prepare acyl chlorides (equation 15.70) and anhydrous metal chlorides (i.e. removing water of crystallization by reaction 15.69), while SO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> is a chlorinating agent.



## Halides of selenium and tellurium

In contrast to sulfur chemistry where dihalides are well established, the isolation of dihalides of selenium and tellurium has only been achieved for SeCl<sub>2</sub> and SeBr<sub>2</sub> (reactions 15.71 and 15.72). Selenium dichloride is a thermally unstable red oil; SeBr<sub>2</sub> is a red-brown solid.







Table 15.6 lists selected properties of SeF<sub>4</sub>, SeF<sub>6</sub>, TeF<sub>4</sub> and TeF<sub>6</sub>. Selenium tetrafluoride is a good fluorinating agent; it is a liquid at 298 K and (compared with SF<sub>4</sub>) is relatively convenient to handle. It is prepared by reacting SeO<sub>2</sub> with SF<sub>4</sub>. Combination of F<sub>2</sub> and Se yields SeF<sub>6</sub> which is thermally stable and relatively inert. The tellurium fluorides are similarly prepared, TeF<sub>4</sub> from TeO<sub>2</sub> and SF<sub>4</sub> (or SeF<sub>4</sub>), and TeF<sub>6</sub> from the elements. In the liquid and gas phases, SeF<sub>4</sub> contains discrete molecules (Figure 15.13a) but in the solid state, significant intermolecular interactions are present. However, these are considerably weaker than in TeF<sub>4</sub>, in which the formation of Te–F–Te bridges leads to a polymeric structure in the crystal (Figure 15.13b). Fluorine-19 NMR spectroscopic studies of liquid SeF<sub>4</sub> have shown that the molecules are stereochemically non-rigid (see Section 2.11). The structures of SeF<sub>6</sub> and TeF<sub>6</sub> are regular octahedra. Tellurium hexafluoride is hydrolysed by water to telluric acid, H<sub>6</sub>TeO<sub>6</sub>, and undergoes a number of exchange reactions such as reaction 15.73. It is also a fluoride acceptor, reacting with alkali metal fluorides and [Me<sub>4</sub>N]F under anhydrous conditions (equation 15.74).



**Fig. 15.13** (a) The structure of  $\text{SeF}_4$  in the gas and liquid phases; (b) in the solid state,  $\text{TeF}_4$  consists of polymeric chains; (c) the structure of the molecular  $\text{Se}_4\text{Cl}_{16}$ -unit present in the crystal lattice of  $\text{SeCl}_4$ . Colour code: Se, yellow; Te, blue; F and Cl, green.



The  $[\text{TeF}_7]^-$  ion has a pentagonal bipyramidal structure (15.35) although in the solid state, the equatorial F atoms deviate slightly from the mean equatorial plane. In  $[\text{TeF}_8]^{2-}$ , 15.36, vibrational spectroscopic data are consistent with the Te centre being in a square-antiprismatic environment.




In contrast to S, Se and Te form stable tetrachlorides, made by direct combination of the elements. Both the tetrachlorides are solids ( $\text{SeCl}_4$ , colourless, subl. 469 K;  $\text{TeCl}_4$  yellow, mp 497 K, bp 653 K) which contain tetrameric units, depicted in Figure 15.13c for  $\text{SeCl}_4$ . The E–Cl (E = Se or Te) bonds within the cubane core are significantly longer than the terminal E–Cl bonds; e.g. Te–Cl = 293 (core) and 231 (terminal) pm. Thus, the structure may also be described in terms of  $[\text{ECl}_3]^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions.

A cubane contains a central cubic (or near-cubic) arrangement of atoms.

The  $[\text{SeCl}_3]^+$  and  $[\text{TeCl}_3]^+$  cations are also formed in reactions with  $\text{Cl}^-$  acceptors, e.g. reaction 15.75.




Both  $\text{SeCl}_4$  and  $\text{TeCl}_4$  are readily hydrolysed by water, but with group 1 metal chlorides in the presence of concentrated HCl, yellow complexes such as  $\text{K}_2[\text{SeCl}_6]$  and  $\text{K}_2[\text{TeCl}_6]$  are formed. Reaction 15.76 is an alternative route to  $[\text{TeCl}_6]^{2-}$ , while  $[\text{SeCl}_6]^{2-}$  is formed when  $\text{SeCl}_4$  is dissolved in molten  $\text{SbCl}_3$  (equation 15.77).




The  $[\text{SeCl}_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{TeCl}_6]^{2-}$  ions usually (see below) possess *regular octahedral* structures ( $O_h$  symmetry), rather than the distorted structure (with a stereochemically active lone pair) that would be expected on the basis of VSEPR theory. In contrast,  $[\text{SeF}_6]^{2-}$  has a distorted octahedral structure. On going from  $[\text{SeF}_6]^{2-}$  to  $[\text{SeCl}_6]^{2-}$ , the change from a distorted to regular octahedral structure can be attributed to a decrease in the stereochemical activity of the lone pair as the steric crowding of the ligands increases. The same trend is seen on going from  $[\text{BrF}_6]^-$  (regular octahedral) to  $[\text{IF}_6]^-$  (distorted octahedral) as the size of the central atom increases and relieves steric congestion.<sup>†</sup> A word of caution, however: in the *solid state*, the counter-ion can influence the structure of the anion. For example, in  $[\text{H}_3\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_3][\text{TeCl}_6]$ , the  $[\text{TeCl}_6]^{2-}$  has approximately  $C_{2v}$  symmetry, and in  $[(^t\text{BuNH}_3)_2][\text{TeBr}_6]$ , the  $[\text{TeBr}_6]^{2-}$  ion has approximately  $C_{3v}$  symmetry. For the octahedral anions, a molecular orbital scheme can be developed (Figure 15.14) that uses only the valence shell  $4p$  (Se) or  $5p$  (Te) orbitals. Combined with six Cl  $3p$  orbitals, this leads to seven occupied MOs in  $[\text{ECl}_6]^{2-}$  (E = Se, Te), of which four have bonding character, two have non-bonding character, and one has antibonding character. The net number of bonding MOs is therefore three, and the net E–Cl bond order is 0.5.

<sup>†</sup> For a fuller discussion of these ideas, see: R.J. Gillespie and P.L.A. Popelier (2001) *Chemical Bonding and Molecular Geometry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, Chapter 9.





**Fig. 15.14** An MO diagram for octahedral  $[\text{ECl}_6]^{2-}$  ( $\text{E} = \text{Se}$  or  $\text{Te}$ ) using a valence set of  $4s$  and  $4p$  orbitals for  $\text{Se}$  or  $5s$  and  $5p$  orbitals for  $\text{Te}$ . These orbitals overlap with  $\text{Cl}$   $3p$  orbitals. The diagram can be derived from that for  $\text{SF}_6$  described in [Figures 4.27](#) and [4.28](#).

Tellurium forms a series of subhalides, e.g.  $\text{Te}_3\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{Te}_2\text{Cl}$ , the structures of which can be related to the helical chains in elemental  $\text{Te}$ . When  $\text{Te}$  is oxidized to  $\text{Te}_3\text{Cl}_2$ , oxidation of one in three  $\text{Te}$  atoms occurs to give polymer [15.37](#).



are  $\text{S}_2\text{O}$  ([15.38](#)) and  $\text{S}_8\text{O}$  ([15.39](#)), made by reactions [15.78](#) and [15.79](#); the oxides  $\text{S}_n\text{O}$  ( $n = 6\text{--}10$ ) can be prepared by reaction [15.80](#), exemplified for  $\text{S}_8\text{O}$ .



## 15.8 Oxides

### Oxides of sulfur

The most important oxides of sulfur are  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{SO}_3$ , but there are also a number of unstable oxides. Among these

Sulfur dioxide is manufactured on a large scale by burning sulfur (the most important process) or  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , by roasting sulfide ores (e.g. equation [15.81](#)), or reducing  $\text{CaSO}_4$  (equation [15.82](#)). Desulfurization processes to limit  $\text{SO}_2$  emissions (see [Box 11.2](#)) and reduce acid rain (see [Box 15.5](#)) are now in use. In the laboratory,  $\text{SO}_2$  may be prepared by, for

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

Box 15.5 The contribution of SO<sub>2</sub> to acid rain

Despite being recognized as far back as the 1870s, the environmental problems associated with ‘acid rain’ came to the fore in the 1960s with the decline of fish stocks in European and North American lakes. Two of the major contributors towards acid rain are SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>. (In *Section 26.7*, we discuss the use of catalytic converters to combat pollution due to nitrogen oxides, NO<sub>x</sub>.) Although SO<sub>2</sub> emissions arise from natural sources such as volcanic eruptions, artificial sources contribute ≈90% of the sulfur in the atmosphere. Fossil fuels such as coal contain ≈2–3% sulfur and combustion produces SO<sub>2</sub>, and the gas is released when metal sulfide ores are roasted in the production of metals such as Co, Ni, Cu (*equation 21.6*) and Zn. Once released, SO<sub>2</sub> dissolves in the atmospheric water vapour, forming H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Acid formation may take several days and involves multistage reactions, the outcome of which is:



By the time acid rain falls to the Earth’s surface, the pollutants may have travelled long distances from their industrial sources so, for example, prevailing winds in Europe may carry SO<sub>2</sub> from the UK, France and Germany to Scandinavia.

The effects of acid rain can be devastating. The pH of lakes and streams is lowered, although the composition of the bedrock is significant, and in some cases provides a natural buffering effect. A second effect is that acid rain penetrating the bedrock can react with aluminosilicate minerals, or can

leach heavy metal ions from the bedrock; as the acid rain makes its way through the bedrock and into waterways, it carries with it the metal pollutants. Acidified and polluted waters not only kill fish, but also affect the food chain. Acid rain falling on soils may be neutralized if the soil is alkaline, but otherwise the lowering of the pH and the leaching of plant nutrients has devastating effects on vegetation. The effects of acid rain on some building materials are all around us: crumbling gargoyles on ancient churches are a sad reminder of pollution by acid rain.




International legalization to reduce acidic gas emissions has been in operation since the 1980s, and recent environmental studies indicate some improvement in the state of Western European and North American streams and lakes. There is, however, a long way to go.

*For related information:* see *Box 11.2*: Desulfurization processes to limit SO<sub>2</sub> emissions; *Box 15.6*: Volcanic emissions.


## Further reading

- T. Loerting, R.T. Kroemer and K.R. Liedl (2000) *Chemical Communications*, p. 999 – ‘On the competing hydrations of sulfur dioxide and sulfur trioxide in our atmosphere’.  
 J.L. Stoddard *et al.* (1999) *Nature*, vol. 401, p. 575 – ‘Regional trends in aquatic recovery from acidification in North America and Europe’.

example, reaction 15.83, and it is commercially available in cylinders. Selected physical properties of SO<sub>2</sub> are listed in Table 15.7.



At 298 K, SO<sub>2</sub> is a liquid and a good solvent (see *Section 8.5*). Sulfur dioxide has a molecular structure (15.40).




Sulfur dioxide reacts with O<sub>2</sub> (see below), F<sub>2</sub> and Cl<sub>2</sub> (equation 15.84). It also reacts with the heavier alkali metal

**Table 15.7** Selected physical properties of SO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>3</sub>.


| Property  | SO <sub>2</sub>                      | SO <sub>3</sub>                   |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Physical appearance and general characteristics               | Colourless, dense gas; pungent smell | Volatile white solid, or a liquid |
| Melting point / K   | 198                                  | 290                               |
| Boiling point / K   | 263                                  | 318                               |
| $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ(\text{bp}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  | 24.9                                 | 40.7                              |
| $\Delta_{\text{f}}H^\circ(298\text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | –296.8 (SO <sub>2</sub> , g)         | –441.0 (SO <sub>3</sub> , l)      |
| Dipole moment / D   | 1.63                                 | 0                                 |
| S–O bond distance / pm <sup>‡</sup>                           | 143                                  | 142                               |
| $\angle\text{O–S–O} / \text{deg}^\ddagger$                    | 119.5                                | 120                               |

<sup>‡</sup> Gas phase parameters; for SO<sub>3</sub>, data refer to the monomer.





**Fig. 15.15** The structure of the azidosulfite anion,  $[\text{SO}_2\text{N}_3]^-$ , determined by X-ray diffraction at 173 K for the  $\text{Cs}^+$  salt [K.O. Christe *et al.* (2002) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 41, p. 4275]. Colour code: N, blue; S, yellow; O, red.

fluorides to give metal fluorosulfites (equation 15.85), and with  $\text{CsN}_3$  to give the  $\text{Cs}^+$  salt of  $[\text{SO}_2\text{N}_3]^-$  (Figure 15.15).




In aqueous solution, it is converted to only a small extent to sulfurous acid; aqueous solutions of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_3$  contain significant amounts of dissolved  $\text{SO}_2$  (see [equations 6.18–6.20](#)). Sulfur dioxide is a weak reducing agent in acidic solution, and a slightly stronger one in basic media (equations 15.86 and 15.87).




Thus, aqueous solutions of  $\text{SO}_2$  are oxidized to sulfate by many oxidizing agents (e.g.  $\text{I}_2$ ,  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7]^{2-}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in acidic solutions). However, if the concentration of  $\text{H}^+$  is very high,  $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$  can be reduced to  $\text{SO}_2$  as in, for example, reaction 15.88; the dependence of  $E$  on  $[\text{H}^+]$  was detailed in [Section 7.2](#).



In the presence of concentrated  $\text{HCl}$ ,  $\text{SO}_2$  will itself act as an oxidizing agent; in reaction 15.89, the  $\text{Fe}(\text{III})$  produced is then complexed by  $\text{Cl}^-$ .



The oxidation of  $\text{SO}_2$  by atmospheric  $\text{O}_2$  (equation 15.90) is very slow, but is catalysed by  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  (see [Section 26.7](#)). This is the first step in the *Contact process* for the manufacture of sulfuric acid; operating conditions are crucial since equilibrium 15.90 shifts further towards the left-hand side as the temperature is raised, although the yield can be increased somewhat by use of high pressures of air. In practice, the industrial catalytic process operates at  $\approx 750 \text{ K}$  and achieves conversion factors  $>98\%$ .




### Self-study exercise

For the equilibrium:



values of  $\ln K$  are 8.04 and  $-1.20$  at 1073 and 1373 K respectively. Determine  $\Delta G^\circ$  at each of these temperatures and comment on the significance of the data with respect to the application of this equilibrium in the first step in the manufacture of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .



In the manufacture of sulfuric acid, gaseous  $\text{SO}_3$  is removed from the reaction mixture by passage through concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , in which it dissolves to form *oleum* (see [Section 15.9](#)). Absorption into water to yield  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  directly is not a viable option;  $\text{SO}_3$  reacts vigorously and very exothermically with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , forming a thick mist. On a small scale,  $\text{SO}_3$  can be prepared by heating oleum.







Table 15.7 lists selected physical properties of  $\text{SO}_3$ . In the gas phase, it is an equilibrium mixture of monomer (planar molecules, **15.41**) and trimer. Resonance structures **15.42** are consistent with three equivalent S–O bonds, and with the S atom possessing an octet of electrons. Solid  $\text{SO}_3$  is polymorphic, with all forms containing  $\text{SO}_4$ -tetrahedra sharing two oxygen atoms. Condensation of the vapour at low temperatures yields  $\gamma\text{-SO}_3$  which contains trimers (Figure 15.16a); crystals of  $\gamma\text{-SO}_3$  have an ice-like appearance. In the presence of traces of water, white crystals of  $\beta\text{-SO}_3$  form;  $\beta\text{-SO}_3$  consists of polymeric chains (Figure 15.16b), as does  $\alpha\text{-SO}_3$  in which the chains are arranged into layers in the solid state lattice. Differences in the thermodynamic properties of the different polymorphs are very small, although they do react with water at different rates. Sulfur trioxide is very reactive and representative reactions are given in scheme 15.91.






**Fig. 15.16** The structures of solid state polymorphs of sulfur trioxide contains tetrahedral  $\text{SO}_4$  units: (a)  $\gamma\text{-SO}_3$  consists of trimeric units and (b)  $\alpha\text{-}$  and  $\beta\text{-SO}_3$  contain polymeric chains. Colour code: S, yellow; O, red.

## Oxides of selenium and tellurium

Selenium and tellurium dioxides are white solids obtained by direct combination of the elements. The polymorph of  $\text{TeO}_2$  so formed is  $\alpha\text{-TeO}_2$ , whereas  $\beta\text{-TeO}_2$  occurs naturally as the mineral *tellurite*. Both forms of  $\text{TeO}_2$  contain structural units **15.43** which are connected by shared O

atoms into a three-dimensional lattice in  $\alpha\text{-TeO}_2$ , and into a sheet structure in the  $\beta$ -form. The structure of  $\text{SeO}_2$  consists of chains (**15.44**) in which the Se centres are in trigonal pyramidal environments. Whereas  $\text{SeO}_2$  sublimes at 588 K,  $\text{TeO}_2$  is an involatile solid (mp 1006 K). In the gas phase,  $\text{SeO}_2$  is monomeric with structure **15.45**. The trends in structures of the dioxides of S, Se and Te and their associated properties (e.g. mp, volatility) reflect the increase in metallic character on descending group 16.



Selenium dioxide is very toxic and is readily soluble in water to give selenous acid,  $\text{H}_2\text{SeO}_3$ . It is readily reduced, e.g. by hydrazine, and is used as an oxidizing agent in organic reactions. The  $\alpha$ -form of  $\text{TeO}_2$  is sparingly soluble in water, giving  $\text{H}_2\text{TeO}_3$ , but is soluble in aqueous HCl and alkali. Like  $\text{SeO}_2$ ,  $\text{TeO}_2$  is a good oxidizing agent. Like  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{SeO}_2$  and  $\text{TeO}_2$  react with KF (see [equation 15.85](#)). In solid  $\text{K}[\text{SeO}_2\text{F}]$ , weak fluoride bridges link the  $[\text{SeO}_2\text{F}]^-$  ions into chains. In contrast, the tellurium analogue contains trimeric anions (structure **15.46**, see [worked example 15.4](#)). Selenium trioxide is a white, hygroscopic solid. It is difficult to prepare, being thermodynamically unstable with respect to  $\text{SeO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  ( $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K}): \text{SeO}_2 = -225; \text{SeO}_3 = -184\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ). It may be made by reaction of  $\text{SO}_3$  with  $\text{K}_2\text{SeO}_4$  (a salt of

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

### Box 15.6 Volcanic emissions


The eruption of a volcano is accompanied by emissions of water vapour (>70% of the volcanic gases),  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  plus lower levels of CO, sulfur vapour and  $\text{Cl}_2$ . Carbon dioxide contributes to the 'greenhouse' effect, and it has been estimated that volcanic eruptions produce  $\approx 112$  million tonnes of  $\text{CO}_2$  per year. Levels of  $\text{CO}_2$  in the plume of a volcano can be monitored by IR spectroscopy. Sulfur dioxide emissions are particularly damaging to the environment, since they result in the formation of acid rain. Sulfuric acid aerosols persist as suspensions in the atmosphere for long periods after an eruption. The Mount St Helens eruption occurred in May 1980. Towards the end of the eruption, the level of  $\text{SO}_2$  in the volcanic plume was  $\approx 2800$  tonnes per day, and an emission rate of  $\approx 1600$  tonnes per day was measured in July 1980. Emissions of  $\text{SO}_2$  (diminishing with time after the major eruption) continued for over two years, being boosted periodically by further volcanic activity.

*Related discussions:* see [Box 11.2](#); [Box 13.8](#); [Box 15.5](#).

### Further reading

- T. Casadevall, W. Rose, T. Gerlach, L.P. Greenland, J. Ewert, R. Wunderman and R. Symonds (1983) *Science*, vol. 221, p. 1383 – 'Gas emissions and eruptions of Mount St. Helens through 1982'.
- L.L. Malinconico, Jr (1979) *Nature*, vol. 278, p. 43 – 'Fluctuations in  $\text{SO}_2$  emission during recent eruptions of Etna'.
- R.B. Symonds, T.M. Gerlach and M.H. Reed (2001) *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, vol. 108, p. 303 – 'Magmatic gas scrubbing: Implications for volcano monitoring'.

selenic acid). Selenium trioxide decomposes at 438 K, is soluble in water, and is a stronger oxidizing agent than  $\text{SO}_3$ . In the solid state, tetramers (15.47) are present.



Tellurium trioxide (the  $\alpha$ -form) is formed by dehydrating telluric acid (equation 15.92). It is an orange solid which is insoluble in water but dissolves in aqueous alkali, and is a very powerful oxidizing agent. On heating above 670 K,  $\text{TeO}_3$  decomposes to  $\text{TeO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$ . The solid state structure of  $\text{TeO}_3$  is a three-dimensional lattice in which each Te(VI) centre is octahedrally sited and connected by bridging O atoms.



#### Worked example 15.4 Selenium and tellurium oxides and their derivatives

Diagram 15.46 shows a representation of the structure of  $[\text{Te}_3\text{O}_6\text{F}_3]^{3-}$ . The coordination environment of the Te atom is *not* tetrahedral. Rationalize this observation.

Apply VSEPR theory to structure 15.46:

Te is in group 16 and has six valence electrons.

The formation of Te–F and three Te–O bonds (terminal and two bridging O atoms) adds four more electrons to the valence shell of Te.

In  $[\text{Te}_3\text{O}_6\text{F}_3]^{3-}$ , each Te centre is surrounded by five electron pairs, of which one is a lone pair.

Within VSEPR theory, a trigonal bipyramidal coordination environment is expected.

#### Self-study exercises

1. Draw a resonance structure for  $\text{Se}_4\text{O}_{12}$  (15.47) that is consistent with selenium retaining an octet of electrons.  
[Hint: see structure 15.42]
2. Explain what is meant by the phrase ‘ $\text{TeO}_2$  is dimorphic’.
3.  $\text{SeO}_2$  is soluble in aqueous NaOH. Suggest what species are formed in solution, and write equations for their formation.  
[Ans.  $[\text{SeO}_3]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{HSeO}_3]^-$ ]
4. ‘ $\text{TeO}_2$  is amphoteric’. Explain what this statement means.  
[Ans. see Section 6.8]

## 15.9 Oxoacids and their salts



By way of introduction of oxoacids, we note some generalities:

- oxoacid chemistry of sulfur resembles the complicated system of phosphorus;
- there are structural analogies between sulfates and phosphates, although fewer condensed sulfates are known;
- redox processes involving sulfur oxoanions are often slow, and thermodynamic data alone do not give a very good picture of their chemistry (compare similar situations for nitrogen- and phosphorus-containing oxoanions);
- selenium and tellurium have a relatively simple oxoacid chemistry.


Structures and  $\text{p}K_a$  values for important sulfur oxoacids are given in Table 15.8.

### Dithionous acid, $\text{H}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4$

Although we show the structure of dithionous acid in Table 15.8, only its salts are known and these are powerful reducing agents. Dithionite is prepared by reduction of sulfite in aqueous solution (equation 15.93) by Zn or Na amalgam and possesses eclipsed structure 15.48.



The very long S–S bond in  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$  (compare  $r_{\text{cov}}(\text{S}) = 103 \text{ pm}$ ) shows it to be particularly weak and this is consistent with the observation that  $^{35}\text{S}$  undergoes rapid exchange between  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  in neutral or acidic solution. The presence of the  $[\text{SO}_2]^-$  radical anion in solutions of  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4$  has been demonstrated by ESR spectroscopy (see the end of Section 20.7). In aqueous solutions,  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$  is oxidized by air but in the absence of air, it undergoes reaction 15.94.



### Sulfurous and disulfurous acids, $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_3$ and $\text{H}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_5$

Neither ‘sulfurous acid’ (see also Section 15.8) nor ‘disulfurous acid’ has been isolated as a free acid. Salts containing the sulfite ion,  $[\text{SO}_3]^{2-}$ , are well established (e.g.  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_3$  are commercially available) and are quite good reducing agents (equation 15.87). Applications of sulfites include those as food preservatives, e.g. an additive in wines (see Box 15.7). The  $[\text{SO}_3]^{2-}$  ion has a

**Table 15.8** Selected oxoacids of sulfur.<sup>‡</sup>


| Formula                                      | Name<br>(IUPAC systematic name, acid nomenclature)         | Structure* | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> values (298 K)                                 |
|--|--|------------|--|
| H <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> | Dithionous acid<br>(tetraoxodisulfuric acid)               |            | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (1) = 0.35; p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (2) = 2.45 |
| H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub>               | Sulfurous acid**<br>(trioxodisulfuric acid)                |            | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (1) = 1.82; p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (2) = 6.92 |
| H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>               | Sulfuric acid<br>(tetraoxosulfuric acid)                   |            | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (2) = 1.92                                     |
| H <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> | Disulfuric acid<br>(μ-oxo-hexaoxodisulfuric acid)          |            | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (1) = 3.1                                      |
| H <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> | Peroxodisulfuric acid<br>(μ-peroxo-hexaoxodisulfuric acid) |            |  |
| H <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | Thiosulfuric acid<br>(trioxothiosulfuric acid)             |            | p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (1) = 0.6; p <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> (2) = 1.74  |

<sup>‡</sup> Commonly used names have been included in this table; for systematic names and comments on uses of traditional names, see: *IUPAC: Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry (Recommendations 1990)*, ed. G.J. Leigh, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.



\* See text; not all the acids can be isolated.

\*\* See text for comment on structure of conjugate base.

trigonal pyramidal structure with delocalized bonding (S—O = 151 pm, ∠O—S—O = 106°). There is evidence from <sup>17</sup>O NMR spectroscopic data that protonation of [SO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> occurs to give a mixture of isomers as shown in equilibrium 15.95.



Although the [HSO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> ion exists in solution, and salts such as NaHSO<sub>3</sub> (used as a bleaching agent) may be isolated, evaporation of a solution of NaHSO<sub>3</sub> which has been saturated with SO<sub>2</sub> results in the formation of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (equation 15.96).



The [S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> ion is the only known derived anion of disulfurous acid and possesses structure **15.49** with a long, weak S—S bond.



### Dithionic acid, H<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>

Dithionic acid is another sulfur oxoacid that is only known in aqueous solution (in which it behaves as a strong acid) or in the form of salts containing the dithionate, [S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, ion. Such salts can be isolated as crystalline solids and

## APPLICATIONS

Box 15.7 SO<sub>2</sub> and sulfites in wine

During the fermentation process in the manufacture of wine, SO<sub>2</sub> or K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is added to the initial wine pressings to kill microorganisms, the presence of which results in spoilage of the wine. Molecular SO<sub>2</sub> is only used for large scale wine production, while K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is the common additive in small scale production. In acidic solution, [S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> undergoes the following reactions:



The overall equilibrium system for aqueous SO<sub>2</sub> is:



(These equilibria are discussed more fully with equations 6.18–6.20.) The position of equilibrium is pH-dependent; for the fermentation process, the pH is in the range 2.9–3.6. Only *molecular* SO<sub>2</sub> is active against microorganisms.



The first (i.e. yeast) fermentation step is followed by a bacterial fermentation step (malolactic fermentation) in which malic acid is converted to lactic acid. After this stage, SO<sub>2</sub> is added to stabilize the wine against oxidation. Adding SO<sub>2</sub> too early destroys the bacteria that facilitate

malolactic fermentation. Malolactic fermentation is usually only important in red wine production.

The addition of SO<sub>2</sub> to white and red wines is handled differently. Red wines contain anthocyanin pigments, and these react with [HSO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> or [SO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> resulting in a partial loss of the red coloration. Clearly, this must be avoided and means that addition of SO<sub>2</sub> to red wine must be carefully controlled. On the other hand, significantly more SO<sub>2</sub> can be added to white wine. Red wine, therefore, is less well protected by SO<sub>2</sub> against oxidation and spoilage by microorganisms than white wine, and it is essential to ensure that sugar and malic acid (food for the microbes) are removed from red wine before bottling. Red wine does possess a higher phenolic content than white wine, and this acts as a built-in anti-oxidant.

Wines manufactured in the US carry a ‘contains sulfites’ statement on the label. Some people are allergic to sulfites, and one possible substitute for SO<sub>2</sub> is the enzyme lysozyme. Lysozyme attacks lactic bacteria, and is used in cheese manufacture. However, it is not able to act as an anti-oxidant. A possible solution (not yet adopted by the wine industry) would be to mount a combined offensive: adding lysozyme and a reduced level of SO<sub>2</sub>.


Figure 15.17a shows the presence of a long S–S bond; the anion possesses a staggered conformation in the solid state. The dithionate ion can be prepared by controlled oxidation of [SO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (equations 15.97 and 15.98), but *not* by the reduction of [SO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (equation 15.99). The [S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> can be isolated as the soluble salt BaS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, which is easily converted into salts of other cations.






The [S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> ion is not easily oxidized or reduced, but in acidic solution it slowly decomposes according to equation 15.100, consistent with there being a weak S–S bond.

Sulfuric acid, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>


Sulfuric acid is by far the most important of the oxoacids of sulfur and is manufactured on a huge scale by the *Contact process*. The first stages of this process (conversion of SO<sub>2</sub> to SO<sub>3</sub> and formation of oleum) were described in [Section 15.8](#); the oleum is finally diluted with water to give H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Pure H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is a colourless liquid with a high viscosity caused by extensive intermolecular hydrogen bonding. Its self-ionization and use as a non-aqueous solvent were described in [Section 8.8](#), and selected properties given in [Table 8.6](#). Gas-phase H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> molecules have C<sub>2</sub> symmetry (Figure 15.17b) with S–O bond distances that reflect two different types of S–O bond. Diagram [15.50](#) shows a hypervalent structure for H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and [15.51](#) gives a bonding scheme in which the S atom obeys the octet rule (refer back to the discussion of bonding in [Section 15.3](#)). In the sulfate ion, all four S–O bond distances are equal (149 pm) because of charge delocalization, and in [HSO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, the S–OH bond distance is 156 pm and the remaining S–O bonds are of equal length (147 pm).





**Fig. 15.17** (a) The structure of [S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> showing the staggered conformation; from the salt [Zn{H<sub>2</sub>NNHC(O)Me}<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>]·2.5H<sub>2</sub>O [I.A. Krol *et al.* (1981) *Koord. Khim.*, vol. 7, p. 800]; (b) the C<sub>2</sub> structure of gas-phase H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Colour code: S, yellow; O, red; H, white.



In aqueous solution,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  acts as a strong acid (equation 15.101) but the  $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$  ion is a fairly weak acid (equation 15.102 and Table 15.8). Two series of salts are formed and can be isolated, e.g.  $\text{KHSO}_4$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ .




Dilute aqueous  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (typically 2 M) neutralizes bases (e.g. equation 15.103), and reacts with electropositive metals, liberating  $\text{H}_2$ , and metal carbonates (equation 15.104).




Commercial applications of sulfate salts are numerous, e.g.  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$  as a fertilizer,  $\text{CuSO}_4$  in fungicides,  $\text{MgSO}_4$  as a laxative, and hydrated  $\text{CaSO}_4$  (see *Boxes 11.2* and *11.7*); uses of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  were included in Figure 15.3.


Concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  is a good oxidizing agent (e.g. reaction 15.88) and a powerful dehydrating agent (see *Box 11.4*); its reaction with  $\text{HNO}_3$  is important for organic nitrations (equation 15.105).



Although  $\text{HF}/\text{SbF}_5$  is a superacid, attempts to use it to protonate pure  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  are affected by the fact that pure sulfuric acid undergoes reaction 15.106 to a small extent. The presence of the  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$  ions in the  $\text{HF}/\text{SbF}_5$  system prevents complete conversion of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  to  $[\text{H}_3\text{SO}_4]^+$ .



An ingenious method of preparing a salt of  $[\text{H}_3\text{SO}_4]^+$  is to use reaction 15.107 which is thermodynamically driven by the high Si–F bond enthalpy term in  $\text{Me}_3\text{SiF}$  (see *Table 13.2*). In the solid state structure of  $[\text{D}_3\text{SO}_4]^+[\text{SbF}_6]^-$  (made by using DF in place of HF), the cation has structure 15.52 and there are extensive O–D...F interactions between cations and anions.




### Worked example 15.5 Protonation of sulfuric acid

Reaction of  $\text{HF}/\text{SbF}_5$  with  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  does not result in complete protonation of sulfuric acid because of the presence of the  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$  ions. (a) Explain the origin of the  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$  ions and (b) explain how  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$  interferes with attempts to use  $\text{HF}/\text{SbF}_5$  to protonate  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

Pure sulfuric acid undergoes self-ionization processes. The most important is:




and the following dehydration process also occurs:




The equilibrium constants for these processes are  $2.7 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $5.1 \times 10^{-5}$  respectively (see *equations 8.46* and *8.47*).


(b) The equilibrium for the superacid system in the absence of pure  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  is:



$[\text{H}_2\text{F}]^+$  is a stronger acid than  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and, in theory, the following equilibrium should lie to the right:



However, a competing equilibrium is established which arises from the self-ionization process of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  described in part (a):



Since  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is a stronger base than  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , protonation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is favoured over protonation of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

### Self-study exercises


1. What evidence is there for the existence of  $[\text{H}_3\text{SO}_4]^+$  in pure sulfuric acid? [Ans. see Section 8.8]
2. The preparation of  $[\text{D}_3\text{SO}_4]^+$  requires the use of DF. Suggest a method of preparing DF. [Ans. see equation 16.1]
3. The methodology of reaction 15.107 has been used to protonate  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$ . Write equations for these reactions and suggest structures for the protonated acids. [Ans. see R. Minkwitz *et al.* (1998, 1999) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, vol. 37, p. 1681; vol. 38, p. 714]

## Fluoro- and chlorosulfonic acids, HSO<sub>3</sub>F and HSO<sub>3</sub>Cl

Fluoro- and chlorosulfonic acids, HSO<sub>3</sub>F and HSO<sub>3</sub>Cl, are obtained as shown in reaction 15.91, and their structures are related to that of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with one OH group replaced by F or Cl. Both are colourless liquids at 298 K, and fume in moist air; HSO<sub>3</sub>Cl reacts explosively with water. They are commercially available; HSO<sub>3</sub>F has wide applications in *superacid* systems (see [Section 8.9](#)) and as a fluorinating agent, while HSO<sub>3</sub>Cl is used as a chlorosulfonating agent.



## Polyoxoacids with S–O–S units

Although K<sup>+</sup> salts of the polysulfuric acids HO<sub>3</sub>S(OSO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>OSO<sub>3</sub>H (*n* = 2, 3, 5, 6) have been obtained by the reaction of SO<sub>3</sub> with K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the free acids cannot be isolated. Disulfuric and trisulfuric acids are present in oleum, i.e. when SO<sub>3</sub> is dissolved in concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The salt [NO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[S<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub>] has also been prepared and structurally characterized. Structure **15.53** shows [S<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> as a representative of this group of polyoxoanions.



## Peroxosulfuric acids, H<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>5</sub>

The reaction between cold, anhydrous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and chlorosulfonic acid yields peroxomonosulfuric acid, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>5</sub>, and peroxodisulfuric acid, H<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (scheme 15.108). Conversion of H<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (Table 15.8) to H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>5</sub> (**15.54**) occurs by controlled hydrolysis.



Both acids are crystalline solids at 298 K. Few salts of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>5</sub> are known, but those of H<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> are easily made by anodic oxidation of the corresponding sulfates in acidic solution at low temperatures and high current densities. Peroxodisulfates are strong oxidizing agents (equation 15.109), and oxidations are often catalysed by Ag<sup>+</sup>, with Ag(II) species being formed as intermediates. In acidic solutions, [S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> oxidizes Mn<sup>2+</sup> to [MnO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, and Cr<sup>3+</sup> to [Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>.




Peroxodisulfuric acid smells of ozone, and when K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> is heated, a mixture of O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> is produced.

## Thiosulfuric acid, H<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and polythionates

Thiosulfuric acid may be prepared under *anhydrous* conditions by reaction 15.110, or by treatment of lead thiosulfate (PbS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) with H<sub>2</sub>S, or sodium thiosulfate with HCl. The free acid is very unstable, decomposing at 243 K or upon contact with water.




A representation of the structure of thiosulfuric acid is given in Table 15.8, but the conditions of reaction 15.110 may suggest protonation at sulfur, i.e. (HO)(HS)SO<sub>2</sub>. Thiosulfate salts are far more important than the acid; crystallization of the aqueous solution from reaction 15.111 yields Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O.




The thiosulfate ion, **15.55**, is a very good complexing agent for Ag<sup>+</sup>, and Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is used in photography for removing unchanged AgBr from exposed photographic film (equation 15.112 and [Box 22.13](#)). In the complex ion [Ag(S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>5-</sup>, each thiosulfate ion coordinates to Ag<sup>+</sup> through a sulfur donor atom.




Most oxidizing agents (including Cl<sub>2</sub> and Br<sub>2</sub>) slowly oxidize [S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> to [SO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, and Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is used to remove excess Cl<sub>2</sub> in bleaching processes. In contrast, I<sub>2</sub> rapidly oxidizes [S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> to tetrathionate; reaction 15.113 is of great importance in titrimetric analysis.



Polythionates contain ions of type [S<sub>n</sub>O<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> and may be prepared by condensation reactions such as those in scheme 15.114, but some ions must be made by specific routes. Polythionate ions are structurally similar and have two {SO<sub>3</sub>}<sup>-</sup> groups connected by a sulfur chain (**15.56** shows [S<sub>5</sub>O<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>); solid state structures for a number of salts show chain conformations are variable. In aqueous solution, polythionates slowly decompose to H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and sulfur.




Some compounds are known in which S atoms in a polythionate are replaced by Se or Te, e.g.  $\text{Ba}[\text{Se}(\text{SSO}_3)_2]$  and  $\text{Ba}[\text{Te}(\text{SSO}_3)_2]$ . Significantly, Se and Te *cannot* replace the terminal S atoms, presumably because in their highest oxidation states, they are too powerfully oxidizing and attack the remainder of the chain.



(15.56)


## Oxoacids of selenium and tellurium

Selenous acid,  $\text{H}_2\text{SeO}_3$ , may be crystallized from aqueous solutions of  $\text{SeO}_2$  and gives rise to two series of salts containing the  $[\text{HSeO}_3]^-$  and  $[\text{SeO}_3]^{2-}$  ions. In aqueous solution, it behaves as a weak acid:  $\text{p}K_a(1) \approx 2.46$ ,  $\text{p}K_a(2) \approx 7.31$ . Heating salts of  $[\text{HSeO}_3]^-$  generates diselenites containing ion **15.57**. Tellurous acid,  $\text{H}_2\text{TeO}_3$ , is not as stable as  $\text{H}_2\text{SeO}_3$  and is usually prepared in aqueous solution where it acts as a weak acid:  $\text{p}K_a(1) \approx 2.48$ ,  $\text{p}K_a(2) \approx 7.70$ . Most tellurite salts contain the  $[\text{TeO}_3]^{2-}$  ion.



(15.57)

Oxidation of  $\text{H}_2\text{SeO}_3$  with 30% aqueous  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  yields selenic acid,  $\text{H}_2\text{SeO}_4$ , which may be crystallized from the solution. In some ways it resembles  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , being fully dissociated in aqueous solution with respect to loss of the first proton. For the second step,  $\text{p}K_a = 1.92$ . It is a more powerful oxidant than  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , e.g. it liberates  $\text{Cl}_2$  from concentrated  $\text{HCl}$ . Reaction in the solid state between  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_4$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$  (2:1 molar equivalents) leads to  $\text{Na}_6\text{Se}_2\text{O}_9$ . This formula is more usefully written as  $\text{Na}_{12}(\text{SeO}_6)(\text{SeO}_4)_3$ , showing the presence of the octahedral  $[\text{SeO}_6]^{6-}$  ion which is stabilized in the crystalline lattice by interaction with eight  $\text{Na}^+$  ions. The  $[\text{SeO}_5]^{4-}$  ion has been established in  $\text{Li}_4\text{SeO}_5$  and  $\text{Na}_4\text{SeO}_5$ . The formula,  $\text{H}_6\text{TeO}_6$  or  $\text{Te}(\text{OH})_6$ , and properties of telluric acid contrast with those of selenic acid. In the solid, octahedral molecules (**15.58**) are present and in solution, it behaves as a weak acid:  $\text{p}K_a(1) = 7.68$ ,  $\text{p}K_a(2) = 11.29$ . Typical salts include those containing  $[\text{Te}(\text{O})(\text{OH})_5]^-$  and  $[\text{Te}(\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$  and the presence of the  $[\text{TeO}_4]^{2-}$  ion has been confirmed in the solid state structure of  $\text{Rb}_6[\text{TeO}_5][\text{TeO}_4]$ .






(15.58)


## 15.10 Compounds of sulfur and selenium with nitrogen

### Sulfur–nitrogen compounds


Sulfur–nitrogen chemistry is an area that has seen major developments over the last few decades, in part because of the conductivity of the polymer  $(\text{SN})_x$ . The following discussion is necessarily selective, and more detailed accounts are listed at the end of the chapter. Probably the best known of the sulfur–nitrogen compounds is tetrasulfur tetranitride,  $\text{S}_4\text{N}_4$ . It has traditionally been obtained using reaction 15.115, but a more convenient method is reaction 15.116. Tetrasulfur tetranitride is a diamagnetic orange solid (mp 451 K) which explodes when heated or struck; pure samples are very sensitive. It is hydrolysed slowly by water (in which it is insoluble) and rapidly by warm alkali (equation 15.117).



The structure of  $\text{S}_4\text{N}_4$ , **15.59**, is a cradle-like ring in which pairs of S atoms are brought within weak bonding distance of one another (compare with  $[\text{S}_8]^{2+}$ , Figure 15.7). The S–N bond distances in  $\text{S}_4\text{N}_4$  indicate delocalized bonding with  $\pi$ -contributions (compare the S–N distances of 163 pm with the sum of the S and N covalent radii of 178 pm). Transfer of charge from S to N occurs giving  $\text{S}^{\delta+}-\text{N}^{\delta-}$  polar bonds. A resonance structure for  $\text{S}_4\text{N}_4$  that illustrates the cross-cage S–S bonding interactions is shown in **15.60**.




(15.59)



(15.60)

Figure 15.18 gives selected reactions of  $\text{S}_4\text{N}_4$ ; some lead to products containing S–N rings in which the cross-cage interactions of  $\text{S}_4\text{N}_4$  are lost. Reduction (at N) gives tetrasulfur tetraimide,  $\text{S}_4\text{N}_4\text{H}_4$ , which has a crown-shaped ring with equal S–N bond lengths. Tetrasulfur tetraimide is one of a number of compounds in which S atoms in  $\text{S}_8$  are formally replaced by NH groups with retention of the crown conformation;  $\text{S}_7\text{NH}$ ,  $\text{S}_6\text{N}_2\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{S}_5\text{N}_3\text{H}_3$  (along with







206 pm  
S–N in the range  
152–160 pm

(15.65)

The  $S_4N_4$  cage can be degraded to  $S_2N_2$  (Figure 15.18) which is isoelectronic with  $[S_4]^{2+}$  (see Section 15.4);  $S_2N_2$  is planar with delocalized bonding ( $S-N = 165$  pm), and resonance structures are shown in 15.66. At room temperature, this converts to the lustrous golden-yellow, fibrous polymer  $(SN)_x$ , which can also be prepared from  $S_4N_4$ . The polymer decomposes explosively at 520 K, but can be sublimed *in vacuo* at  $\approx 410$  K. It is a remarkable material, being covalently bonded but showing metallic properties: a one-dimensional pseudo-metal. It has an electrical conductance about one-quarter of that of mercury in the direction of the polymer chains, and at 0.3 K it becomes a superconductor. However, the explosive nature of  $S_4N_4$  and  $S_2N_2$  limits commercial production of  $(SN)_x$ , and new routes to  $(SN)_x$  or related polymers are goals of current research. In the solid state, X-ray diffraction data indicate that the  $S-N$  bond lengths in  $(SN)_x$  alternate (159 and 163 pm) but highly precise data are still not available; the closest interchain distances are non-bonding  $S-S$  contacts of 350 pm. Structure 15.67 gives a representation of the polymer chain and the conductivity can be considered to arise from the unpaired electrons on sulfur occupying a half-filled conduction band (see Section 5.8).




(15.66)

 $\angle S-N-S = 119^\circ$  $\angle N-S-N = 106^\circ$ 

Colour code: S, yellow; N blue

(15.67)

The reactions of  $S_7NH$  with  $SbCl_5$  in liquid  $SO_2$ , or  $S_3N_3Cl_3$  with  $SbCl_5$  and sulfur in  $SOCl_2$ , lead to the formation of the salt  $[NS_2][SbCl_6]$  containing the  $[NS_2]^+$  ion, (15.68) which is isoelectronic (in terms of valence electrons) with  $[NO_2]^+$  (see structure 14.50).





146 pm

(15.68)

## Tetraselenium tetranitride

Among the compounds formed by Se and N, we mention only Se analogues of  $S_4N_4$ . Selenium tetranitride,  $Se_4N_4$ , can be prepared by reacting  $SeCl_4$  with  $\{(Me_3Si)_2N\}_2Se$ . It forms orange, hygroscopic crystals and is highly explosive. The structure of  $Se_4N_4$  is like that of  $S_4N_4$  (15.59) with  $Se-N$  bond lengths of 180 pm and cross-cage  $Se \cdots Se$  separations of 276 pm (compare with  $r_{cov}(Se) = 117$  pm). The reactivity of  $Se_4N_4$  has not been as fully explored as that of  $S_4N_4$ . Reaction 15.118 is an adaptation of the synthesis of  $Se_4N_4$  and leads to the 1,5-isomer of  $Se_2S_2N_4$  (15.69). In the solid state structure, the S and Se atoms are disordered (see Box 14.6), making it difficult to tell whether the crystalline sample is  $Se_2S_2N_4$  or a solid solution of  $S_4N_4$  and  $Se_4N_4$ . Mass spectrometric data are consistent with the presence of  $Se_2S_2N_4$ , and the appearance of only one signal in the  $^{14}N$  NMR spectrum confirms the 1,5- rather than 1,3-isomer.



(15.69)

## 15.11 Aqueous solution chemistry of sulfur, selenium and tellurium

As we saw earlier in the chapter, the redox reactions between compounds of S in different oxidation states are often slow, and values of  $E^\circ$  for half-reactions are invariably obtained from thermochemical information or estimated on the basis of observed chemistry. The data in Figure 15.19 illustrate the relative redox properties of some S-, Se- and Te-containing species. Points to note are:

- the greater oxidizing powers of selenate and tellurate than of sulfate;




Fig. 15.19 Potential diagrams for sulfur, selenium and tellurium at  $pH = 0$ .

- the similarities between the oxidizing powers of sulfate, selenite and tellurite;
- the instabilities in aqueous solution of  $\text{H}_2\text{Se}$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{Te}$ .

Further, there is little difference in energy between the various oxidation state species of sulfur, a fact that is doubtless involved in the complicated oxoacid and oxoanion chemistry of sulfur. We have already discussed some aspects of the aqueous solution chemistry of the group 16 elements:

- the ionization of the hydrides ([Sections 6.5](#) and [15.5](#));
- formation of metal sulfides ([Section 15.6](#));
- formation of polysulfide ions, e.g.  $[\text{S}_5]^{2-}$  ([equation 15.41](#));
- oxoacids and their salts ([Section 15.9](#));
- the oxidizing power of  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_8]^{2-}$  ([equation 15.109](#)).

There is no cation chemistry in aqueous solution for the group 16 elements. The coordination to metal ions of oxoanions such as  $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_3]^{2-}$  is well established (e.g. see [equation 15.112](#)).

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- annular
- transannular interaction
- cubane

## Problems

- 15.1** (a) Write down, in order, the names and symbols of the elements in group 16; check your answer by reference to the first page of this chapter. (b) Give a *general* notation showing the ground state electronic configuration of each element.
- 15.2** The formation of  $^{210}\text{Po}$  from  $^{209}\text{Bi}$  is described in [Section 15.1](#). Write an equation to represent this nuclear reaction.
- 15.3** Write half-equations to show the reactions involved during the electrolysis of aqueous alkali.
- 15.4** By considering the reactions  $8\text{E}(\text{g}) \rightarrow 4\text{E}_2(\text{g})$  and  $8\text{E}(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{E}_8(\text{g})$  for  $\text{E} = \text{O}$  and  $\text{E} = \text{S}$ , show that the formation of diatomic molecules is favoured for oxygen, whereas ring formation is favoured for sulfur. [Data: see [Table 15.2](#).]
- 15.5** (a) Use the values of  $E^\circ$  for reactions 15.31 and 15.32 to show that  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is thermodynamically unstable with respect to decomposition into  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{O}_2$ . (b) ‘20 Volume’  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is so called because 1 volume of the solution liberates 20 volumes of  $\text{O}_2$  when it decomposes. If the volumes are measured at 273 K and 1 bar pressure, what is the concentration of the solution expressed in grams of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  per  $\text{dm}^3$ ?
- 15.6** Suggest products for the following reactions; data needed: see [Appendix 11](#). (a)  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{Ce}^{4+}$  in acidic solution; (b)  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{I}^-$  in acidic solution.
- 15.7** Hydrogen peroxide oxidizes  $\text{Mn}(\text{OH})_2$  to  $\text{MnO}_2$ . (a) Write an equation for this reaction. (b) What secondary reaction will occur?
- 15.8** Predict the structures of (a)  $\text{H}_2\text{Se}$ ; (b)  $[\text{H}_3\text{S}]^+$ ; (c)  $\text{SO}_2$ ; (d)  $\text{SF}_4$ ; (e)  $\text{SF}_6$ ; (f)  $\text{S}_2\text{F}_2$ .
- 15.9** (a) Explain why the reaction of  $\text{SF}_4$  with  $\text{BF}_3$  yields  $[\text{SF}_3]^+$ , whereas the reaction with  $\text{CsF}$  gives  $\text{Cs}[\text{SF}_5]$ . (b) Suggest how  $\text{SF}_4$  might react with a carboxylic acid,  $\text{RCO}_2\text{H}$ .

## Further reading

N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – Chapters 14–16 cover the chalcogens in detail.

D.T. Sawyer (1994) ‘Oxygen: Inorganic chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 6, p. 2947.

A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – Chapters 11–17 cover the structures of a large number of compounds of the group 16 elements.

J.D. Woollins (1994) ‘Sulfur: Inorganic chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 7, p. 3954.

### Sulfur–nitrogen compounds

N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, pp. 721–746.

S. Parsons and J. Passmore (1994) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 27, p. 101 – ‘Rings, radicals and synthetic metals: The chemistry of  $[\text{SNS}]^+$ ’.

J.M. Rawson and J.J. Longridge (1997) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 26, p. 53 – ‘Sulfur–nitrogen chains: rational and irrational behaviour’.

### Specialized topics

J. Beck (1994) *Angewandte Chemie, International Edition in English*, vol. 33, p. 163 – ‘New forms and functions of tellurium: From polycations to metal halide tellurides’.

P. Kelly (1997) *Chemistry in Britain*, vol. 33, no. 4, p. 25 – ‘Hell’s angel: A brief history of sulfur’.

D. Stirling (2000) *The Sulfur Problem: Cleaning Up Industrial Feedstocks*, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge.

R.P. Wayne (2000) *Chemistry of Atmospheres*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

- 15.10** Discuss the trends in (a) the O–O bond lengths in  $\text{O}_2$  (121 pm),  $[\text{O}_2]^+$  (112 pm),  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (147.5 pm),  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$  (149 pm) and  $\text{O}_2\text{F}_2$  (122 pm), and (b) the S–S bond distances in  $\text{S}_6$  (206 pm),  $\text{S}_2$  (189 pm),  $[\text{S}_4]^{2+}$  (198 pm),  $\text{H}_2\text{S}_2$  (206 pm),  $\text{S}_2\text{F}_2$  (189 pm),  $\text{S}_2\text{F}_{10}$  (221 pm) and  $\text{S}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (193 pm). [Data:  $r_{\text{cov}}(\text{S}) = 103$  pm.]
- 15.11** Comment on the following values of gas-phase dipole moments:  $\text{SeF}_6$ , 0 D;  $\text{SeF}_4$ , 1.78 D;  $\text{SF}_4$ , 0.64 D;  $\text{SCl}_2$ , 0.36 D;  $\text{SOCl}_2$ , 1.45 D;  $\text{SO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , 1.81 D.
- 15.12** The  $^{125}\text{Te}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}][\text{TeF}_7]$  (298 K in MeCN) consists of a binomial octet ( $J = 2876$  Hz), while the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum exhibits a singlet with two (superimposed over the singlet), very low-intensity doublets ( $J = 2876$  and  $2385$  Hz respectively). Rationalize these observations. [Data: see [Table 15.1](#);  $^{19}\text{F}$ , 100%,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ .]
- 15.13** In the following series of compounds or ions, identify those that are isoelectronic (with respect to the valence electrons) and those that are also isostructural: (a)  $[\text{SiO}_4]^{4-}$ ,  $[\text{PO}_4]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$ ; (b)  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{TeO}_2$ ,  $[\text{NO}_2]^+$ ; (c)  $\text{SO}_3$ ,  $[\text{PO}_3]^-$ ,  $\text{SeO}_3$ ; (d)  $[\text{P}_4\text{O}_{12}]^{4-}$ ,  $\text{Se}_4\text{O}_{12}$ ,  $[\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{12}]^{8-}$ .
- 15.14** (a) Give the structures of  $\text{SO}_3$  and  $[\text{SO}_3]^{2-}$  and rationalize the difference between them. (b) Outline the properties of aqueous solutions of  $\text{SO}_2$  and discuss the species that can be derived from them.
- 15.15** (a) Draw the structures of  $\text{S}_7\text{NH}$ ,  $\text{S}_6\text{N}_2\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{S}_5\text{N}_3\text{H}_3$  and  $\text{S}_4\text{N}_4\text{H}_4$ , illustrating isomerism where appropriate. (The structures of hypothetical isomers with two or more adjacent NH groups should be ignored.) (b) Write a brief account of the preparation and reactivity of  $\text{S}_4\text{N}_4$ , giving the structures of the products formed in the reactions described.
- 15.16** Discuss the interpretation of each of the following observations.
- When metallic Cu is heated with concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , in addition to  $\text{CuSO}_4$  and  $\text{SO}_2$ , some CuS is formed.
  - The  $[\text{TeF}_5]^-$  ion is square pyramidal.
  - Silver nitrate gives a white precipitate with aqueous sodium thiosulfate; the precipitate dissolves in an excess of  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_3]^{2-}$ . If the precipitate is heated with water, it turns black, and the supernatant liquid then gives a white precipitate with acidified aqueous  $\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ .
- 15.17** Interpret the following experimental results.
- Sodium dithionite,  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4$  (0.0261 g) was added to excess of ammoniacal  $\text{AgNO}_3$  solution; the precipitated silver was removed by filtration, and dissolved in nitric acid. The resulting solution was found to be equivalent to  $30.0 \text{ cm}^3$  0.10 M thiocyanate solution.
  - A solution containing 0.0725 g of  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4$  was treated with  $50.0 \text{ cm}^3$  0.0500 M iodine solution and acetic acid. After completion of the reaction, the residual  $\text{I}_2$  was equivalent to  $23.75 \text{ cm}^3$  0.1050 M thiosulfate.

- 15.18** The action of concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  on urea,  $(\text{H}_2\text{N})_2\text{CO}$ , results in the production of a white crystalline solid X of formula  $\text{H}_3\text{NO}_3\text{S}$ . This is a monobasic acid. On treatment with sodium nitrite and dilute hydrochloric acid at 273 K, one mole of X liberates one mole of  $\text{N}_2$ , and on addition of aqueous  $\text{BaCl}_2$ , the resulting solution yields one mole of  $\text{BaSO}_4$  per mole of X taken initially. Deduce the structure of X.
- 15.19** Write a brief account of the oxoacids of sulfur, paying particular attention to which species are isolable.
- 15.20** Give the structures of  $\text{S}_2\text{O}$ ,  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_3]^{2-}$ , NSF,  $\text{NSF}_3$ ,  $[\text{NS}_2]^+$  and  $\text{S}_2\text{N}_2$  and rationalize their shapes.

## Overview problems

- 15.21** Which description in the second list below can be correctly matched to each element or compound in the first list? There is only one match for each pair.
- | List 1                        | List 2   |
|-------------------------------|--|
| $\text{S}_\infty$             | A toxic gas  |
| $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_8]^{2-}$ | Readily disproportionates in the presence of $\text{Mn}^{2+}$      |
| $[\text{S}_2]^-$              | Reacts explosively with $\text{H}_2\text{O}$                       |
| $\text{S}_2\text{F}_2$        | Exists as a tetramer in the solid state                            |
| $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$         | A strong reducing agent, oxidized to $[\text{S}_4\text{O}_6]^{2-}$ |
| $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_6]^{2-}$ | A blue, paramagnetic species                                       |
| PbS                           | Exists as two monomeric isomers                                    |
| $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$        | A chiral polymer   |
| $\text{HSO}_3\text{Cl}$       | Crystallizes with an antiferroite structure                        |
| $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_3]^{2-}$ | A black, insoluble solid   |
| $\text{H}_2\text{S}$          | A strong oxidizing agent, reduced to $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$          |
| $\text{SeO}_3$                | Contains a weak S–S bond, readily cleaved in acidic solution       |
- 15.22** (a) A black precipitate forms when  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  is added to an aqueous solution of a Cu(II) salt. The precipitate redissolves when  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}$  is added to the solution. Suggest a reason for this observation.
- (b) In the presence of small amounts of water, the reaction of  $\text{SO}_2$  with  $\text{CsN}_3$  leads to  $\text{Cs}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_5$  as a by-product in the formation of  $\text{Cs}[\text{SO}_2\text{N}_3]$ . Suggest how the formation of  $\text{Cs}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_5$  arises.
- (c) The complex ion  $[\text{Cr}(\text{Te}_4)_3]^{3-}$  possesses a  $\Delta\lambda\lambda\lambda$ -conformation. Using the information in [Box 19.2](#), explain (i) to what the symbols  $\Delta$  and  $\lambda$  refer, and (ii) how the  $\Delta\lambda\lambda\lambda$ -conformation arises.
- 15.23** Suggest products for the following reactions; the equations are not necessarily balanced on the left-hand sides. Draw the structures of the sulfur-containing products.
- $\text{SF}_4 + \text{SbF}_5 \xrightarrow{\text{liq HF}}$
  - $\text{SO}_3 + \text{HF} \rightarrow$
  - $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_4 + \text{HCl} \rightarrow$
  - $[\text{HSO}_3]^- + \text{I}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$
  - $[\text{SN}][\text{AsF}_6] + \text{CsF} \xrightarrow{\Delta}$
  - $\text{HSO}_3\text{Cl} + \text{anhydrous H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow$
  - $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_6]^{2-} \xrightarrow{\text{in acidic solution}}$

- 15.24** (a) Structures **15.61** and **15.62** show hypervalent sulfur in NSF and NSF<sub>3</sub>. Draw resonance structures for each molecule that retains an octet of electrons around the S atoms, and account for the three equivalent S–F bonds in NSF<sub>3</sub>.
- (b) The enthalpies of vaporization (at the boiling point) of H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub>S, H<sub>2</sub>Se and H<sub>2</sub>Te are 40.6, 18.7, 19.7 and 19.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. Give an explanation for the trend in these values.
- (c) Which of the following compounds undergoes significant reaction when they dissolve in water under ambient conditions: Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, HgS, SF<sub>6</sub>, SF<sub>4</sub>, SeO<sub>2</sub>, FeS<sub>2</sub> and As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>? Give equations to show the reactions that occur. Which of these compounds is kinetically, but not thermodynamically, stable with respect to hydrolysis?
- 15.25** The [Se<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> ion has D<sub>4h</sub> symmetry and the Se–Se bond lengths are equal (228 pm).
- (a) Is the ring in [Se<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> planar or puckered?
- (b) Look up a value of *r*<sub>cov</sub> for Se. What can you deduce about the Se–Se bonding?
- (c) Draw a set of resonance structures for [Se<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>.
- (d) Construct an MO diagram that describes the π-bonding in [Se<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>. What is the π-bond order?

# Chapter 16

## The group 17 elements

### TOPICS

- Occurrence, extraction and uses
- Physical properties
- The elements
- Hydrogen halides
- Interhalogen compounds and polyhalogen ions
- Oxides and oxofluorides of chlorine, bromine and iodine
- Oxoacids and their salts
- Aqueous solution chemistry

| 1  | 2  |         | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|----|----|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| H  |    |         |    |    |    |    |    | He |
| Li | Be |         | B  | C  | N  | O  | F  | Ne |
| Na | Mg |         | Al | Si | P  | S  | Cl | Ar |
| K  | Ca | d-block | Ga | Ge | As | Se | Br | Kr |
| Rb | Sr |         | In | Sn | Sb | Te | I  | Xe |
| Cs | Ba |         | Tl | Pb | Bi | Po | At | Rn |
| Fr | Ra |         |    |    |    |    |    |    |

### 16.1 Introduction

The group 17 elements are called the *halogens*.

#### Fluorine, chlorine, bromine and iodine

The chemistry of fluorine, chlorine, bromine and iodine is probably better understood than that of any other group of elements except the alkali metals. This is partly because much of the chemistry of the halogens is that of singly bonded atoms or singly charged anions, and partly because of the wealth of structural and physicochemical data available for most of their compounds. The fundamental principles of inorganic chemistry are often illustrated by discussing properties of the halogens and halide compounds, and topics already discussed include:

- electron affinities of the halogens ([Section 1.10](#));

- valence bond theory for  $F_2$  ([Section 1.12](#));
- molecular orbital theory for  $F_2$  ([Section 1.13](#));
- electronegativities of the halogens ([Section 1.15](#));
- dipole moments of hydrogen halides ([Section 1.16](#));
- bonding in HF by molecular orbital theory ([Section 1.17](#));
- VSEPR model (which works well for many halide compounds, [Section 1.19](#));
- application of the packing-of-spheres model, solid state structure of  $F_2$  ([Section 5.3](#));
- ionic radii ([Section 5.10](#));
- ionic lattices: NaCl, CsCl,  $CaF_2$ , antiferite,  $CdI_2$  ([Section 5.11](#));
- lattice energies: comparisons of experimental and calculated values for metal halides ([Section 5.15](#));
- estimation of fluoride ion affinities ([Section 5.16](#));
- estimation of standard enthalpies of formation and disproportionation, illustrated using halide compounds ([Section 5.16](#));
- halogen halides as Brønsted acids ([Section 6.4](#));
- energetics of hydrogen halide dissociation in aqueous solution ([Section 6.5](#));
- solubilities of metal halides ([Section 6.9](#));
- common-ion effect, exemplified by AgCl ([Section 6.10](#));
- stability of complexes containing hard and soft metal ions and ligands, illustrated with halides of Fe(III) and Hg(II) ([Section 6.13](#));
- redox half-cells involving silver halides ([Section 7.3](#));
- non-aqueous solvents: liquid HF ([Section 8.7](#));
- non-aqueous solvents:  $BrF_3$  ([Section 8.10](#));
- reactions of halogens with  $H_2$  ([Section 9.4](#), [equations 9.20–9.22](#));
- hydrogen bonding involving halogens ([Section 9.6](#)).

In *Sections 10.5, 11.5, 12.6, 13.8, 14.7* and *15.7* we have discussed the halides of the group 1, 2, 13, 14, 15 and 16 elements respectively. Fluorides of the noble gases are discussed in *Sections 17.4* and *17.5*, and of the *d*- and *f*-block metals in *Chapters 21, 22* and *24*. In this chapter, we discuss the halogens themselves, their oxides and oxoacids, interhalogen compounds and polyhalide ions.

## Astatine

Astatine is the heaviest member of group 17 and is known only in the form of radioactive isotopes, all of which have short half-lives. The longest lived isotope is  $^{210}\text{At}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 8.1$  h). Several isotopes are present naturally as transient products of the decay of uranium and thorium minerals;  $^{218}\text{At}$  is formed from the  $\beta$ -decay of  $^{218}\text{Po}$ , but the path competes with decay to  $^{214}\text{Pb}$  (the dominant decay, see *Figure 2.3*). Other isotopes are artificially prepared, e.g.  $^{211}\text{At}$  (an  $\alpha$ -emitter) from the nuclear reaction  $^{209}_{83}\text{Bi}(\alpha, 2n)^{211}_{85}\text{At}$ , and may

be separated by vacuum distillation. In general, At is chemically similar to iodine. Tracer studies (which are the only sources of information about the element) show that  $\text{At}_2$  is less volatile than  $\text{I}_2$ , is soluble in organic solvents, and is reduced by  $\text{SO}_2$  to  $\text{At}^-$  which can be coprecipitated with  $\text{AgI}$  or  $\text{TlI}$ . Hypochlorite,  $[\text{ClO}]^-$ , or peroxydisulfate,  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_8]^{2-}$ , oxidizes astatine to an anion that is carried by  $[\text{IO}_3]^-$  (e.g. coprecipitation with  $\text{AgIO}_3$ ) and is therefore probably  $[\text{AtO}_3]^-$ . Less powerful oxidizing agents such as  $\text{Br}_2$  also oxidize astatine, probably to  $[\text{AtO}]^-$  or  $[\text{AtO}_2]^-$ .

## 16.2 Occurrence, extraction and uses

### Occurrence

Figure 16.1 shows the relative abundances of the group 17 elements in the Earth's crust and in seawater. The major

## APPLICATIONS


### Box 16.1 Flame retardants

The incorporation of flame retardants into consumer products is big business. In Europe, the predicted split of income in 2003 between the three main categories of flame retardants is shown in the pie chart opposite. The halogen-based chemicals are dominated by the perbrominated ether ( $\text{C}_6\text{Br}_5)_2\text{O}$  (used in television and computer casings), tetrabromobisphenol A,  $\text{Me}_2\text{C}\{4-(2,6-\text{Br}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_2\text{OH})\}_2$  (used in printed circuit boards) and an isomer of hexabromocyclodecane (used in polystyrene foams and some textiles). Concerns about the side-effects of bromine-based flame retardants (including hormone-related effects and possible production of bromodioxins) are now resulting in their withdrawal from the market.

Phosphorus-based flame retardants include tris(1,3-dichloroisopropyl) phosphate, used in polyurethane foams and polyester resins. Once again, there is debate concerning toxic side-effects of such products: although these flame retardants may save lives, they produce noxious fumes during a fire.

Many inorganic compounds are used as flame retardants; for example


- $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3$  is used in PVC, and in aircraft and motor vehicles; scares that  $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3$  in cot mattresses may be the cause of 'cot deaths' appear to have subsided;
- $\text{Ph}_3\text{Sb}(\text{OC}_6\text{Cl}_5)_2$  is added to polypropylene;
- borates, exemplified by:



are used in polyurethane foams, polyesters and polyester resins;

- $\text{ZnSnO}_3$  has applications in PVC, thermoplastics, polyester resins and certain resin-based gloss paints.

Tin-based flame retardants appear to have a great potential future: they are non-toxic, apparently producing none of the hazardous side-effects of the widely used phosphorus-based materials.



[Data: *Chemistry in Britain* (1998) vol. 34, June issue, p. 20.]


### Further reading

- C. Martin (1998) *Chemistry in Britain*, vol. 34, June issue, p. 20 – 'In the line of fire'.
- R.J. Letcher, ed. (2003) *Environment International*, vol. 29, issue 6, pp. 663–885 – A themed issue of the journal entitled: 'The state-of-the-science and trends of brominated flame retardants in the environment'.

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 16.2 Iodine: from cattle feed supplements to catalytic uses


The annual output of iodine is significantly lower than that of chlorine or bromine, but, nonetheless, it has a wide range of important applications as the data for 2001 in the US show:




[Data: US Geological Survey]

The major catalytic uses involve the complex  $cis$ - $[\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{I}_2]^-$  in the Monsanto acetic acid and Tennessee–Eastman acetic anhydride processes, discussed in detail in Section 26.4. Application of iodine as a stabilizer includes its incorporation into nylon used in carpet and tyre manufacture. Iodized animal feed supplements are responsible for reduced instances of goitre (enlarged thyroid gland) which are otherwise prevalent in regions where the iodine content

of soil and drinking water is low; iodized hen feeds increase egg production. Iodine is usually added to feeds in the form of  $[\text{H}_3\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3]\text{I}_2$ , KI,  $\text{Ca}(\text{IO}_3)_2$  or  $\text{Ca}(\text{IO}_4)_2$ . Uses of iodine as a disinfectant range from wound antiseptics to maintaining germ-free swimming pools and water supplies. We have already mentioned the use of  $^{131}\text{I}$  as a medical radioisotope (Box 2.3), and photographic applications of AgI are highlighted in Box 22.13. Among dyes that have a high iodine content is erythrosine B (food red-colour additive E127) which is added to carbonated soft drinks, gelatins and cake icings.



Erythrosine B (58% iodine;  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 525 \text{ nm}$ )




**Fig. 16.1** Relative abundances of the halogens (excluding astatine) in the Earth's crust and seawater. The data are plotted on a logarithmic scale. The units of abundance are parts per billion ( $1 \text{ billion} = 10^9$ ).

natural sources of fluorine are the minerals *fluorspar* (fluorite,  $\text{CaF}_2$ ), *cryolite* ( $\text{Na}_3[\text{AlF}_6]$ ) and *fluorapatite*, ( $\text{Ca}_5\text{F}(\text{PO}_4)_3$ ) (see Section 14.2 and Box 14.12), although the importance of cryolite lies in its being an *aluminium* ore (see Section 12.2). Sources of chlorine are closely linked to those of Na and K (see Section 10.2): *rock salt* ( $\text{NaCl}$ ), *sylvite* ( $\text{KCl}$ ) and *carnallite* ( $\text{KCl} \cdot \text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ). Seawater is one source of  $\text{Br}_2$  (Figure 16.1), but significantly higher concentrations of  $\text{Br}^-$  are present in salt lakes and natural brine wells (see Box 16.3). The natural abundance of iodine is less than that of the lighter halogens; it occurs as iodide ion in seawater and is taken up by seaweed, from which it may be extracted. Impure Chile saltpetre (*caliche*) contains up to 1% sodium iodate and this has become an important source of  $\text{I}_2$ ; brines associated with oil and salt wells are of increasing importance.


## Extraction

Most fluorine-containing compounds are made using HF, the latter being prepared from fluorite by reaction 16.1; in 2001,  $\approx 80\%$  of  $\text{CaF}_2$  consumed in the US was converted into HF. Hydrogen fluoride is also recycled from Al manufacturing processes and from petroleum alkylation processes, and re-enters the supply chain. Difluorine is strongly oxidizing and must be prepared industrially by

electrolytic oxidation of  $F^-$  ion. The electrolyte is a mixture of anhydrous molten  $KF$  and  $HF$ , and the electrolysis cell contains a steel or copper cathode, ungraphitized carbon anode, and a Monel metal ( $Cu/Ni$ ) diaphragm which is perforated below the surface of the electrolyte, but not above it, thus preventing the  $H_2$  and  $F_2$  products from recombining. As electrolysis proceeds, the  $HF$  content of the melt is renewed by adding dry gas from cylinders.



We have already described the Downs process for extracting  $Na$  from  $NaCl$  (Figure 10.1) and this is also the method of manufacturing  $Cl_2$  (see Box 10.4), one of the most important industrial chemicals in the US. The manufacture of  $Br_2$  involves oxidation of  $Br^-$  by  $Cl_2$ , with air being swept



**Fig. 16.2** (a) Industrial uses of  $Cl_2$  in Western Europe in 1994 [data: *Chemistry & Industry* (1995) p. 832]. (b) The trends in uses of bleaching agents in the pulp industry between 1990 and 2001;  $ClO_2$  has replaced  $Cl_2$ . Both elemental chlorine-free and totally chlorine-free agents comply with environmental legislations [data: Alliance for Environmental Technology, 2001 International Survey].

through the system to remove  $Br_2$ . Similarly,  $I^-$  in brines is oxidized to  $I_2$ . The extraction of  $I_2$  from  $NaIO_3$  involves controlled reduction by  $SO_2$ ; complete reduction yields  $NaI$ .

## Uses

The nuclear fuel industry (see Section 2.5) uses large quantities of  $F_2$  in the production of  $UF_6$  for fuel enrichment processes and this is now the major use of  $F_2$ . Industrially, the most important F-containing compounds are  $HF$ ,  $BF_3$ ,  $CaF_2$  (as a flux in metallurgy), synthetic cryolite (see reaction 12.43) and chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs, see Box 13.7).

Figure 16.2a summarizes the major uses of chlorine. Chlorinated organic compounds, including 1,2-dichloroethene and vinyl chloride for the polymer industry, are hugely important. Dichlorine was widely used as a bleach in the paper and pulp industry, but environmental legislations have resulted in changes (Figure 16.2b). Chlorine dioxide,  $ClO_2$  (an 'elemental chlorine-free' bleaching agent), is prepared from  $NaClO_3$  and is favoured over  $Cl_2$  because it does not produce toxic effluents.<sup>†</sup>

The manufacture of bromine- and iodine-containing organic compounds is a primary application of these halogens. Other uses include those of iodide salts (e.g.  $KI$ ) and silver bromide in the photographic industry (although this is diminishing with the use of digital cameras, see Box 22.13), bromine-based organic compounds as flame retardants (see Box 16.1), and solutions of  $I_2$  in aqueous  $KI$  as disinfectants for wounds. Iodine is essential for life and a deficiency results in a swollen thyroid gland; 'iodized salt' ( $NaCl$  with added  $I^-$ ) provides us with iodine supplement. We highlight uses of iodine in Box 16.2.

## 16.3 Physical properties and bonding considerations

Table 16.1 lists selected physical properties of the group 17 elements (excluding astatine). Most of the differences between fluorine and the later halogens can be attributed to the:

- inability of  $F$  to exhibit any oxidation state other than  $-1$  in its compounds;
- relatively small size of the  $F$  atom and  $F^-$  ion;
- low dissociation energy of  $F_2$  (Figures 14.2 and 16.3);
- higher oxidizing power of  $F_2$ ;
- high electronegativity of fluorine.

The last factor is *not* a rigidly defined quantity. However, it is useful in rationalizing such observations as the anomalous physical properties of, for example,  $HF$  (see Section 9.6),

<sup>†</sup> For a discussion of methods of cleaning up contaminated groundwater, including the effects of contamination by chlorinated solvent waste, see: B. Ellis and K. Gorder (1997) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 95.

**Table 16.1** Some physical properties of fluorine, chlorine, bromine and iodine.

| Property   | F                | Cl               | Br                       | I                        |
|--|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Atomic number, $Z$   | 9                | 17               | 35                       | 53                       |
| Ground state electronic configuration  | [He] $2s^2 2p^5$ | [Ne] $3s^2 3p^5$ | [Ar] $3d^{10} 4s^2 4p^5$ | [Kr] $4d^{10} 5s^2 5p^5$ |
| Enthalpy of atomization, $\Delta_a H^\circ(298\text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \ddagger$                    | 79               | 121              | 112                      | 107                      |
| Melting point, mp / K  | 53.5             | 172              | 266                      | 387                      |
| Boiling point, bp / K  | 85               | 239              | 332                      | 457.5                    |
| Standard enthalpy of fusion of $X_2$ , $\Delta_{\text{fus}} H^\circ(\text{mp}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$       | 0.51             | 6.40             | 10.57                    | 15.52                    |
| Standard enthalpy of vaporization of $X_2$ , $\Delta_{\text{vap}} H^\circ(\text{bp}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 6.62             | 20.41            | 29.96                    | 41.57                    |
| First ionization energy, $IE_1 / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$   | 1681             | 1251             | 1140                     | 1008                     |
| $\Delta_{\text{EA}} H_1^\circ(298\text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1} *$  | -328             | -349             | -325                     | -295                     |
| $\Delta_{\text{hyd}} H^\circ(X^-, \text{g}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  | -504             | -361             | -330                     | -285                     |
| $\Delta_{\text{hyd}} S^\circ(X^-, \text{g}) / \text{J K}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1}$                             | -150             | -90              | -70                      | -50                      |
| $\Delta_{\text{hyd}} G^\circ(X^-, \text{g}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  | -459             | -334             | -309                     | -270                     |
| Standard reduction potential, $E^\circ(X_2/2X^-) / \text{V}$   | +2.87            | +1.36            | +1.09                    | +0.54                    |
| Covalent radius, $r_{\text{cov}} / \text{pm}$  | 71               | 99               | 114                      | 133                      |
| Ionic radius, $r_{\text{ion}}$ for $X^- / \text{pm} **$  | 133              | 181              | 196                      | 220                      |
| van der Waals radius, $r_v / \text{pm}$  | 135              | 180              | 195                      | 215                      |
| Pauling electronegativity, $\chi^{\text{P}}$   | 4.0              | 3.2              | 3.0                      | 2.7                      |

$\ddagger$  For each element X,  $\Delta_a H^\circ = \frac{1}{2} \times$  Dissociation energy of  $X_2$ .

\*  $\Delta_{\text{EA}} H_1^\circ(298\text{ K})$  is the enthalpy change associated with the process  $X(\text{g}) + e^- \rightarrow X^-(\text{g}) \approx -(\text{electron affinity})$ ; see [Section 1.10](#).

\*\* Values of  $r_{\text{ion}}$  refer to a coordination number of 6 in the solid state.


the strength of F-substituted carboxylic acids, the deactivating effect of the  $\text{CF}_3$  group in electrophilic aromatic substitutions, and the non-basic character of  $\text{NF}_3$  and  $(\text{CF}_3)_3\text{N}$ .

Fluorine forms no high oxidation state compounds (e.g. there are no analogues of  $\text{HClO}_3$  and  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}_7$ ). When F is attached to another atom, Y, the Y–F bond is usually *stronger* than the corresponding Y–Cl bond (e.g. [Tables 13.2](#), [14.3](#) and [15.2](#)). If atom Y possesses no lone pairs, or has lone pairs but a large  $r_{\text{cov}}$ , then the Y–F bond is much stronger than the corresponding Y–Cl bond (e.g. C–F versus C–Cl, [Table 13.2](#)). Consequences of the small size of the F atom are that high coordination numbers can be achieved in molecular fluorides  $\text{YF}_n$ , and good overlap of

atomic orbitals between Y and F leads to short, strong bonds, reinforced by ionic contributions when the difference in electronegativities of Y and F is large. The volatility of covalent F-containing compounds (e.g. fluorocarbons, see [Section 13.8](#)) originates in the weakness of the intermolecular van der Waals or London dispersion forces. This, in turn, can be correlated with the low polarizability and small size of the F atom. The small ionic radius of  $\text{F}^-$  leads to high coordination numbers in saline fluorides, high lattice energies and highly negative values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  for these compounds, as well as a large negative standard enthalpy and entropy of hydration of the ion (Table 16.1).

### Worked example 16.1 Saline halides

**For the process:**




values of  $\Delta H^\circ(298\text{ K})$  are  $-910$ ,  $-783$ ,  $-732$  and  $-682\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for  $\text{X}^- = \text{F}^-$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$  and  $\text{I}^-$ , respectively. **Account for this trend.**

The process above corresponds to the formation of a crystalline lattice from gaseous ions, and  $\Delta H^\circ(298\text{ K}) \approx \Delta U(0\text{ K})$ .

The Born–Landé equation gives an expression for  $\Delta U(0\text{ K})$  assuming an electrostatic model and this is appropriate for the group 1 metal halides:

$$\Delta U(0\text{ K}) = -\frac{LA|z_+||z_-|e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)$$



**Fig. 16.3** The trend in X–X bond energies for the first four halogens.

NaF, NaCl, NaBr and NaI all adopt an NaCl structure, therefore  $A$  (the Madelung constant) is constant for this series of compounds.

The only variables in the equation are  $r_0$  (internuclear distance) and  $n$  (Born exponent, see [Table 5.3](#)).

The term  $(1 - \frac{1}{n})$  varies little since  $n$  varies only from 7 for NaF to 9.5 for NaI.

The internuclear distance  $r_0 = r_{\text{cation}} + r_{\text{anion}}$  and, since the cation is constant, varies only as a function of  $r_{\text{anion}}$ .

Therefore, the trend in values of  $\Delta U(0 \text{ K})$  can be explained in terms of the trend in values of  $r_{\text{anion}}$ .

$$\Delta U(0 \text{ K}) \propto -\frac{1}{\text{constant} + r_{\text{anion}}}$$

$r_{\text{anion}}$  follows the trend  $\text{F}^- < \text{Cl}^- < \text{Br}^- < \text{I}^-$ , and therefore,  $\Delta U(0 \text{ K})$  has the most negative value for NaF.

### Self-study exercises

1. What is meant by ‘saline’, e.g. saline fluoride?  
[Ans. see [Section 9.7](#)]
2. The alkali metal fluorides,  $\text{MgF}_2$  and the heavier group 2 metal fluorides adopt NaCl, rutile and fluorite structures, respectively. What are the coordination numbers of the metal ion in each case?  
[Ans. see [Figures 5.15, 5.18a](#) and [5.21](#)]
3. Given the values (at 298 K) of  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{SrF}_2, \text{s}) = -1216 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{SrBr}_2, \text{s}) = -718 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , calculate values for  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(298 \text{ K})$  for these compounds using data from the [Appendices](#). Comment on the relative magnitudes of the values.  
[Ans.  $\text{SrF}_2$ ,  $-2496 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ;  $\text{SrBr}_2$ ,  $-2070 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]


In [Section 15.3](#), we pointed out the importance of anion, rather than cation, formation in group 15. As expected, this is even more true in group 16. [Table 16.1](#) lists values of the first ionization energies simply to show the expected decrease down the group. Although none of the halogens has yet been shown to form a discrete and stable monocation  $\text{X}^+$ , complexed or solvated  $\text{I}^+$  is established, e.g. in  $[\text{I}(\text{py})_2]^+$  ([Figure 16.4](#)),  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{PI}]^+$  (see [Section 16.4](#)) and, apparently, in solutions obtained from reaction 16.2.



The corresponding Br- and Cl-containing species are less stable, though they are probably involved in aromatic bromination and chlorination reactions in aqueous media.

The electron affinity of F is out of line with the trend observed for the later halogens ([Table 16.1](#)). Addition of an electron to the small F atom is accompanied by greater electron–electron repulsion than is the case for Cl, Br and I, and this probably explains why the process is less exothermic than might be expected on chemical grounds.

As we consider the chemistry of the halogens, it will be clear that there is an increasing trend towards higher



**Fig. 16.4** (a) The structure of  $[\text{I}(\text{py})_2]^+$  (determined by X-ray crystallography) from the salt  $[\text{I}(\text{py})_2][\text{I}_3] \cdot 2\text{I}_2$  [O. Hassel *et al.* (1961) *Acta Chem. Scand.*, vol. 15, p. 407]; (b) A representation of the bonding in the cation. Colour code: I, gold; N, blue; C, grey.

oxidation states down the group; this is well exemplified among the interhalogen compounds ([Section 16.7](#)).

### NMR active nuclei and isotopes as tracers

Although F, Cl, Br and I all possess spin active nuclei, in practice only  $^{19}\text{F}$  (100%,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) is used routinely. Fluorine-19 NMR spectroscopy is a valuable tool in the elucidation of structures and reaction mechanisms of F-containing compounds; see case studies 1 and 5 and the discussion of stereochemically non-rigid species in [Section 2.11](#).

### Self-study exercises

In each example, use VSEPR theory to help you.

1. In the solution  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum (at 298 K) of  $[\text{BrF}_6]^+ [\text{AsF}_6]^-$ , the octahedral cation gives rise to two overlapping, equal intensity 1:1:1:1 quartets ( $J(^{19}\text{F}^{79}\text{Br}) = 1578 \text{ Hz}$ ;  $J(^{19}\text{F}^{80}\text{Br}) = 1700 \text{ Hz}$ ). What can you deduce about the nuclear spins of  $^{79}\text{Br}$  and  $^{80}\text{Br}$ ? Sketch the spectrum and indicate where you would measure the coupling constants.  
[Ans. see R.J. Gillespie *et al.* (1974) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 13, p. 1230]
2. The room temperature  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{MePF}_4$  shows a doublet ( $J = 965 \text{ Hz}$ ), whereas that of  $[\text{MePF}_5]^-$  exhibits a doublet ( $J = 829 \text{ Hz}$ ) of doublets ( $J = 33 \text{ Hz}$ ) of quartets ( $J = 9 \text{ Hz}$ ), and a doublet ( $J = 675 \text{ Hz}$ ) of quintets ( $J = 33 \text{ Hz}$ ). Rationalize these data, and assign the coupling constants to  $^{31}\text{P}$ – $^{19}\text{F}$ ,  $^{19}\text{F}$ – $^{19}\text{F}$  or  $^{19}\text{F}$ – $^1\text{H}$  spin–spin coupling.  
[Ans.  $\text{MePF}_4$ , trigonal bipyramidal, fluxional;  $[\text{MePF}_5]^-$ , octahedral, static]


See also end-of-chapter problems 2.32, 2.34, 13.12, 14.13, 14.20b, 15.12 and 16.9, and self-study exercises after worked examples 13.1 and 15.2.

Artificial isotopes of F include  $^{18}\text{F}$  ( $\beta^+$  emitter,  $t_{1/2} = 1.83 \text{ h}$ ) and  $^{20}\text{F}$  ( $\beta^-$  emitter,  $t_{1/2} = 11.0 \text{ s}$ ). The former is the longest lived radioisotope of F and may be used as a radioactive

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 16.3 Bromine: resources and commercial demand

World reserves of bromine in seawater, salt lakes and natural brine wells are plentiful. The major producers of Br<sub>2</sub> draw on brines from Arkansas and Michigan in the US, and from the Dead Sea in Israel, and the chart below indicates the extent to which these countries dominate the world market.



[Data: US Geological Survey]

Environmental issues, however, are likely to have a dramatic effect on the commercial demand for Br<sub>2</sub>. We have already mentioned the call to phase out some (or all) bromine-based flame retardants (*Box 16.1*). If a change to other types of flame retardants does become a reality, it would mean a massive cut in the demand for Br<sub>2</sub>. The commercial market for Br<sub>2</sub> has already been hit by the switch from leaded to unleaded motor vehicle fuels. Leaded fuels contain 1,2-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Br<sub>2</sub> as an additive to facilitate the release of lead (formed by decomposition of the anti-knock agent Et<sub>4</sub>Pb) as a volatile bromide. 1,2-Dibromoethane is also used as a nematocide and fumigant, and CH<sub>3</sub>Br is a widely applied fumigant for soil. Bromomethane, however, falls in the category of a potential ozone depleter (see *Box 13.7*) and its use will be phased out in industrialized countries by 2005, and in developing countries by 2015.

## Further reading

B. Reuben (1999) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 547 – ‘An industry under threat?’

tracer. The <sup>20</sup>F isotope has application in F dating of bones and teeth; these usually contain apatite (see *Section 14.2* and *Box 14.12*) which is slowly converted to fluorapatite when the mineral is buried in the soil. By using the technique of *neutron activation analysis*, naturally occurring <sup>19</sup>F is converted to <sup>20</sup>F by neutron bombardment; the radioactive decay of the latter is then monitored, allowing the amount of <sup>19</sup>F originally present in the sample to be determined.

## 16.4 The elements

## Difluorine

Difluorine is a pale yellow gas with a characteristic smell similar to that of O<sub>3</sub> or Cl<sub>2</sub>. It is extremely corrosive, being easily the most reactive element known. Difluorine is handled in Teflon or special steel vessels,<sup>†</sup> although glass (see below) apparatus can be used if the gas is freed of HF by passage through sodium fluoride (equation 16.3).





<sup>†</sup>See for example, R.D. Chambers and R.C.H. Spink (1999) *Chemical Communications*, p. 883 – ‘Microreactors for elemental fluorine’.

The synthesis of F<sub>2</sub> cannot be carried out in aqueous media because F<sub>2</sub> decomposes water, liberating ozonized oxygen (i.e. O<sub>2</sub> containing O<sub>3</sub>); the oxidizing power of F<sub>2</sub> is apparent from the E<sup>o</sup> value listed in Table 16.1. The decomposition of a few high oxidation state metal fluorides generates F<sub>2</sub>, but the only efficient alternative to the electrolytic method used industrially (see *Section 16.2*) is reaction 16.4. However, F<sub>2</sub> is commercially available in cylinders, making laboratory synthesis generally unnecessary.



Difluorine combines directly with all elements except O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and the lighter noble gases; reactions tend to be very violent. Combustion in compressed F<sub>2</sub> (*fluorine bomb calorimetry*) is a suitable method for determining values of Δ<sub>r</sub>H<sup>o</sup> for many binary metal fluorides. However, many metals are passivated by the formation of a layer of non-volatile metal fluoride. Silica is thermodynamically unstable with respect to reaction 16.5, but, unless the SiO<sub>2</sub> is powdered, the reaction is slow provided that HF is absent; the latter sets up the chain reaction 16.6.






**Fig. 16.5** Part of the solid state structures of  $\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{Br}_2$  and  $\text{I}_2$  in which molecules are arranged in stacked layers, and relevant intramolecular and intermolecular distance data.

|    | Intramolecular distance for molecule in the gaseous state / pm | Intramolecular distance, $a$ / pm | Intermolecular distance within a layer, $b$ / pm | Intermolecular distance between layers / pm |
|----|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Cl | 199  | 198                               | 332  | 374   |
| Br | 228  | 227                               | 331  | 399   |
| I  | 267  | 272                               | 350  | 427   |

The high reactivity of  $\text{F}_2$  arises partly from the low bond dissociation energy (Figure 16.3) and partly from the strength of the bonds formed with other elements (see Section 16.3).

## Dichlorine, dibromine and diiodine

Dichlorine is a pale green-yellow gas with a characteristic odour. Inhalation causes irritation of the respiratory system and liquid  $\text{Cl}_2$  burns the skin. Reaction 16.7 can be used for small-scale synthesis, but, like  $\text{F}_2$ ,  $\text{Cl}_2$  may be purchased in cylinders for laboratory use.



Dibromine is a dark orange, volatile liquid (the only liquid non-metal at 298 K) but is often used as the aqueous solution 'bromine water'. Skin contact with liquid  $\text{Br}_2$  results in burns, and  $\text{Br}_2$  vapour has an unpleasant smell and causes eye and respiratory irritation. At 298 K,  $\text{I}_2$  forms dark purple crystals which sublime readily at 1 bar pressure into a purple vapour.

In the crystalline state,  $\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{Br}_2$  or  $\text{I}_2$  molecules are arranged in layers as represented in Figure 16.5. The molecules  $\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{Br}_2$  have intramolecular distances which are the same as in the vapour (compare these distances with  $r_{\text{cov}}$ , Table 16.1). Intermolecular distances for  $\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{Br}_2$  are also listed in Figure 16.5; the distances within a layer are shorter than  $2r_v$  (Table 16.1), suggesting some degree of interaction between the  $\text{X}_2$  molecules. The shortest intermolecular  $\text{X}\cdots\text{X}$  distance between layers is significantly longer. In solid  $\text{I}_2$ , the intramolecular I–I bond distance is longer than in a gaseous molecule, and the lowering of the bond order (i.e. decrease in intramolecular bonding) is offset by a degree of intermolecular bonding within each layer (Figure 16.5). It is significant that solid  $\text{I}_2$  possesses a metallic lustre and exhibits appreciable electrical conductivity at higher temperatures; under very high pressure  $\text{I}_2$  becomes a metallic conductor.

Chemical reactivity decreases steadily from  $\text{Cl}_2$  to  $\text{I}_2$ , notably in reactions of the halogens with  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{P}_4$ ,  $\text{S}_8$  and most metals. The values of  $E^\circ$  in Table 16.1 indicate the decrease in oxidizing power along the series  $\text{Cl}_2 > \text{Br}_2 > \text{I}_2$ , and this trend is the basis of the methods of extraction of  $\text{Br}_2$  and  $\text{I}_2$  described in Section 16.2. Notable features of the chemistry of iodine which single it out among the halogens are that it is more easily:

- oxidized to high oxidation states;
- converted to stable salts containing I in the +1 oxidation state (e.g. Figure 16.4).


## Charge transfer complexes

A *charge transfer complex* is one in which a donor and acceptor interact *weakly* together with some transfer of electronic charge, usually facilitated by the acceptor.

The observed colours of the halogens arise from an electronic transition from the highest occupied  $\pi^*$  MO to the lowest unoccupied  $\sigma^*$  MO (see Figure 1.23). The HOMO–LUMO energy gap decreases in the order  $\text{F}_2 > \text{Cl}_2 > \text{Br}_2 > \text{I}_2$ , leading to a progressive shift in the absorption maximum from the near-UV to the red region of the visible spectrum. Dichlorine, dibromine and diiodine dissolve unchanged in many organic solvents (e.g. saturated hydrocarbons,  $\text{CCl}_4$ ). However in, for example, ethers, ketones and pyridine, which contain donor atoms,  $\text{Br}_2$  and  $\text{I}_2$  (and  $\text{Cl}_2$  to a smaller extent) form *charge transfer complexes* with the halogen  $\sigma^*$  MO acting as the acceptor orbital. In the extreme, complete transfer of charge could lead to heterolytic bond fission as in the formation of  $[\text{I}(\text{py})_2]^+$  (Figure 16.4 and equation 16.8).



Solutions of  $\text{I}_2$  in donor solvents, such as pyridine, ethers or ketones, are brown or yellow. Even benzene acts as a donor, forming charge transfer complexes with  $\text{I}_2$  and  $\text{Br}_2$ ; the colours of these solutions are noticeably different from those of  $\text{I}_2$  or  $\text{Br}_2$  in cyclohexane (a non-donor). Whereas amines, ketones and similar compounds donate electron density through a  $\sigma$  lone pair, benzene uses its  $\pi$ -electrons; this is apparent in the relative orientations of the donor (benzene) and acceptor ( $\text{Br}_2$ ) molecules in Figure 16.6b. That solutions of the charge transfer complexes are coloured means that they absorb in the visible region of the spectrum ( $\approx 400$ – $750$  nm), but the electronic spectrum also contains an intense absorption in the UV region ( $\approx 230$ – $330$  nm) arising from an electronic transition from the solvent– $\text{X}_2$  occupied bonding MO to a vacant antibonding MO. This is the so-called *charge transfer band*. Many charge transfer complexes can be isolated in the solid state and examples are given in



**Fig. 16.6** Some examples of charge transfer complexes involving  $\text{Br}_2$ ; the crystal structure of each has been determined by X-ray diffraction: (a)  $2\text{MeCN}\cdot\text{Br}_2$  [K.-M. Marstokk *et al.* (1968) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 24, p. 713]; (b) schematic representation of the chain structure of  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\cdot\text{Br}_2$ ; (c)  $1,2,4,5\text{-(EtS)}_4\text{C}_6\text{H}_2\cdot(\text{Br}_2)_2$  in which  $\text{Br}_2$  molecules are sandwiched between layers of  $1,2,4,5\text{-(EtS)}_4\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$  molecules; interactions involving only one  $\text{Br}_2$  molecule are shown and H atoms are omitted [H. Bock *et al.* (1996) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 1529]; (d)  $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Br}_2$  [N. Bricklebank *et al.* (1992) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 355]. Colour code: Br, brown; C, grey; N, blue; S, yellow; P, orange; H, white.

Figure 16.6. In complexes in which the donor is weak, e.g.  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$ , the X–X bond distance is unchanged (or nearly so) by complex formation. Elongation as in  $1,2,4,5\text{-(EtS)}_4\text{C}_6\text{H}_2\cdot(\text{Br}_2)_2$  (compare the Br–Br distance in Figure 16.6c with that for free  $\text{Br}_2$ , in Figure 16.5) is consistent with the involvement of a good donor; it has been estimated from theoretical calculations that  $-0.25$  negative charges are transferred from  $1,2,4,5\text{-(EtS)}_4\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$  to  $\text{Br}_2$ . Different degrees of charge transfer are also reflected in the relative magnitudes of  $\Delta_r H$  given in equation 16.9. Further evidence for the weakening of the X–X bond comes from vibrational spectroscopic data, e.g. a shift for  $\nu(\text{X}-\text{X})$  from  $215\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in  $\text{I}_2$  to  $204\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\cdot\text{I}_2$ .






Figure 16.6d shows the solid state structure of  $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Br}_2$ ;  $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{I}_2$  has a similar structure ( $\text{I}-\text{I} = 316\text{ pm}$ ). In  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  solution,  $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Br}_2$  ionizes to give  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{PBr}]^+\text{Br}^-$  and, similarly,  $\text{Ph}_3\text{PI}_2$  forms  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{PI}]^+\text{I}^-$  or, in the presence of excess  $\text{I}_2$ ,  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{PI}]^+[\text{I}_3]^-$ . The formation of complexes of this type is not easy to predict:

- the reaction of  $\text{Ph}_3\text{Sb}$  with  $\text{Br}_2$  or  $\text{I}_2$  is an oxidative addition yielding  $\text{Ph}_3\text{SbX}_2$ , **16.1**;
- $\text{Ph}_3\text{AsBr}_2$  is an As(V) compound, whereas  $\text{Ph}_3\text{As}\cdot\text{I}_2$ ,  $\text{Me}_3\text{As}\cdot\text{I}_2$  and  $\text{Me}_3\text{As}\cdot\text{Br}_2$  are charge transfer complexes of the type shown in Figure 16.6d.<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup> For insight into the complexity of this problem, see for example: N. Bricklebank, S.M. Godfrey, H.P. Lane, C.A. McAuliffe, R.G. Pritchard and J.-M. Moreno (1995) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 3873.



The nature of the products from reaction 16.10 are dependent on the solvent and the R group in  $R_3P$ . Solid state structure determinations exemplify products of type  $[R_3PI]^+[I_3]^-$  (e.g.  $R = {}^nPr_2N$ , solvent =  $Et_2O$ ) and  $[(R_3PI)_2I_3]^+[I_3]^-$  (e.g.  $R = Ph$ , solvent =  $CH_2Cl_2$ ;  $R = {}^iPr$ , solvent =  $Et_2O$ ). Structure 16.2 shows the  $[({}^iPr_3PI)_2I_3]^+$  cation in  $[(R_3PI)_2I_3][I_3]$ .



## Clathrates

Dichlorine, dibromine and diiodine are sparingly soluble in water. By freezing aqueous solutions of  $Cl_2$  and  $Br_2$ , solid hydrates of approximate composition  $X_2 \cdot 8H_2O$  may be obtained. These crystalline solids (known as *clathrates*) consist of hydrogen-bonded structures with  $X_2$  molecules occupying cavities in the lattice. An example is  $1,3,5-(HO_2C)_3C_6H_3 \cdot 0.16Br_2$ ; the hydrogen-bonded lattice of pure  $1,3,5-(HO_2C)_3C_6H_3$  was described in [Box 9.4](#).



A *clathrate* is a *host-guest compound*, a molecular assembly in which the guest molecules occupy cavities in the lattice of the host species.

**Table 16.2** Selected properties of the hydrogen halides.

| Property                                    | HF             | HCl            | HBr            | HI             |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Physical appearance at 298 K                | Colourless gas | Colourless gas | Colourless gas | Colourless gas |
| Melting point / K                           | 189            | 159            | 186            | 222            |
| Boiling point / K                           | 293            | 188            | 207            | 237.5          |
| $\Delta_{fus}H^\circ$ (mp) / $kJ\ mol^{-1}$ | 4.6            | 2.0            | 2.4            | 2.9            |
| $\Delta_{vap}H^\circ$ (bp) / $kJ\ mol^{-1}$ | 34.0           | 16.2           | 18.0           | 19.8           |
| $\Delta_fH^\circ$ (298 K) / $kJ\ mol^{-1}$  | -273.3         | -92.3          | -36.3          | +26.5          |
| $\Delta_fG^\circ$ (298 K) / $kJ\ mol^{-1}$  | -275.4         | -95.3          | -53.4          | +1.7           |
| Bond dissociation energy / $kJ\ mol^{-1}$   | 570            | 432            | 366            | 298            |
| Bond length / pm                            | 92             | 127.5          | 141.5          | 161            |
| Dipole moment / D                           | 1.83           | 1.11           | 0.83           | 0.45           |

## 16.5 Hydrogen halides



All the hydrogen halides,  $HX$ , are gases at 298 K with sharp, acid smells. Selected properties are given in Table 16.2. Direct combination of  $H_2$  and  $X_2$  to form  $HX$  (see [equations 9.20–9.22](#) and accompanying discussion) can be used synthetically only for the chloride and bromide. Hydrogen fluoride is prepared by treating suitable fluorides with concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  (e.g. reaction 16.11) and analogous reactions are also a convenient means of making  $HCl$ . Analogous reactions with bromides and iodides result in partial oxidation of  $HBr$  or  $HI$  to  $Br_2$  or  $I_2$  (reaction 16.12), and synthesis is thus by reaction 16.13 with  $PX_3$  prepared *in situ*.



Some aspects of the chemistry of the hydrogen halides have already been covered:

- liquid HF ([Section 8.7](#));
- solid state structure of HF ([Figure 9.8](#));
- hydrogen bonding and trends in boiling points, melting points and  $\Delta_{vap}H^\circ$  ([Section 9.6](#));
- formation of the  $[HF_2]^-$  ion ([Section 8.7](#); [equation 9.26](#) and accompanying discussion);
- Brønsted acid behaviour in aqueous solution and energetics of acid dissociation ([Sections 6.4](#) and [6.5](#)).


Hydrogen fluoride is an important reagent for the introduction of F into organic and other compounds (e.g. [reaction 13.38](#) in the production of CFCs). It differs from the other hydrogen halides in being a weak acid in aqueous solution ( $pK_a = 3.45$ ). This is in part due to the high H–F bond dissociation enthalpy ([Table 6.2](#) and [Section 6.5](#)). At high concentrations, the acid strength increases owing to the stabilization of  $F^-$  by formation of  $[HF_2]^-$ , [16.3](#) ([scheme 16.14](#) and [Table 9.4](#)).



The formation of  $[\text{HF}_2]^{-}$  is also observed when HF reacts with group 1 metal fluorides;  $\text{M}[\text{HF}_2]$  salts are stable at room temperature. Analogous compounds are formed with HCl, HBr and HI only at low temperatures.

## 16.6 Metal halides: structures and energetics

All the halides of the alkali metals have NaCl or CsCl structures (Figures 5.15 and 5.16) and their formation may be considered in terms of the Born–Haber cycle (see Section 5.14). In Section 10.5, we discussed trends in lattice energies of these halides, and showed that lattice energy is proportional to  $1/(r_+ + r_-)$ . We can apply this relationship to see why, for example, CsF is the best choice of alkali metal fluoride to effect the halogen exchange reaction 16.15.



In the absence of solvent, the energy change associated with reaction 16.15 involves:

- the difference between the C–Cl and C–F bond energy terms (*not* dependent on M);
- the difference between the electron affinities of F and Cl (*not* dependent on M);
- the difference in lattice energies between MF and MCl (dependent on M).

The last difference is approximately proportional to the expression:

$$\frac{1}{(r_{\text{M}^+} + r_{\text{Cl}^-})} - \frac{1}{(r_{\text{M}^+} + r_{\text{F}^-})}$$

which is always negative because  $r_{\text{F}^-} < r_{\text{Cl}^-}$ ; the term approaches zero as  $r_{\text{M}^+}$  increases. Thus, reaction 16.15 is favoured most for  $\text{M}^+ = \text{Cs}^+$ .

A few other monohalides possess the NaCl or CsCl structure, e.g. AgF, AgCl, and we have already discussed (Section 5.15) that these compounds exhibit significant

covalent character. The same is true for CuCl, CuBr, CuI and AgI which possess the wurtzite structure (Figure 5.20).

Most metal difluorides crystallize with  $\text{CaF}_2$  (Figure 5.18) or rutile (Figure 5.21) lattices, and for most of these, a simple ionic model is appropriate (e.g.  $\text{CaF}_2$ ,  $\text{SrF}_2$ ,  $\text{BaF}_2$ ,  $\text{MgF}_2$ ,  $\text{MnF}_2$  and  $\text{ZnF}_2$ ). With slight modification, this model also holds for other *d*-block difluorides. Chromium(II) chloride adopts a *distorted* rutile lattice, but other first row *d*-block metal dichlorides, dibromides and diiodides possess  $\text{CdCl}_2$  or  $\text{CdI}_2$  lattices (see Figure 5.22 and accompanying discussion). For these dihalides, neither purely electrostatic nor purely covalent models are satisfactory. Dihalides of the heavier *d*-block metals are considered in Chapter 22.

Metal trifluorides are crystallographically more complex than the difluorides, but symmetrical three-dimensional structures are commonly found, and many contain octahedral (sometimes distorted) metal centres, e.g.  $\text{AlF}_3$  (Section 12.6),  $\text{VF}_3$  and  $\text{MnF}_3$ . For trichlorides, tribromides and triiodides, layer structures predominate. Among the tetrafluorides, a few have lattice structures, e.g. the two polymorphs of  $\text{ZrF}_4$  possess, respectively, corner-sharing square-antiprismatic and dodecahedral  $\text{ZrF}_8$  units. Most metal tetrahalides are either volatile molecular species (e.g.  $\text{SnCl}_4$ ,  $\text{TiCl}_4$ ) or contain rings or chains with  $\text{M} \text{---} \text{F} \text{---} \text{M}$  bridges (e.g.  $\text{SnF}_4$ , 13.12); metal–halogen bridges are longer than terminal bonds. Metal pentahalides may possess chain or ring structures (e.g.  $\text{NbF}_5$ ,  $\text{RuF}_5$ ,  $\text{SbF}_5$ , Figure 14.12a) or molecular structures (e.g.  $\text{SbCl}_5$ ), while metal hexahalides are molecular and octahedral (e.g.  $\text{UF}_6$ ,  $\text{MoF}_6$ ,  $\text{WF}_6$ ,  $\text{WCl}_6$ ). In general, an increase in oxidation state results in a structural change along the series three-dimensional ionic  $\rightarrow$  layer or polymer  $\rightarrow$  molecular.

For metals exhibiting variable oxidation states, the relative thermodynamic stabilities of two ionic halides that contain a common halide ion but differ in the oxidation state of the metal (e.g.  $\text{AgF}$  and  $\text{AgF}_2$ ) can be assessed using Born–Haber cycles. In such a reaction as 16.16, if the increase in ionization energies (e.g.  $\text{M} \rightarrow \text{M}^+$  versus  $\text{M} \rightarrow \text{M}^{2+}$ ) is approximately offset by the difference in lattice energies of the compounds, the two metal halides will be of about equal stability. This commonly happens with *d*-block metal halides.




### Worked example 16.2 Thermochemistry of metal fluorides

The lattice energies of  $\text{CrF}_2$  and  $\text{CrF}_3$  are  $-2921$  and  $-6040 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively. (a) Calculate values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ(298 \text{ K})$  for  $\text{CrF}_2(\text{s})$  and  $\text{CrF}_3(\text{s})$ , and comment on the stability of these compounds with respect to  $\text{Cr}(\text{s})$  and  $\text{F}_2(\text{g})$ . (b) The third ionization energy of Cr is large and positive.

**What factor offsets this and results in the standard enthalpies of formation of  $\text{CrF}_2$  and  $\text{CrF}_3$  being of the same order of magnitude?**

(a) Set up a Born–Haber cycle for each compound; data needed are in the Appendices. For  $\text{CrF}_2$  this is:



$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_f H^\circ(\text{CrF}_2, \text{s}) &= \Delta_a H^\circ(\text{Cr}) + 2\Delta_a H^\circ(\text{F}) + \Sigma IE(\text{Cr}) \\
 &\quad + 2\Delta_{\text{EA}} H^\circ(\text{F}) + \Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{CrF}_2) \\
 &= 397 + 2(79) + 653 + 1591 + 2(-328) - 2921 \\
 &= -778 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

A similar cycle for  $\text{CrF}_3$  gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_f H^\circ(\text{CrF}_3, \text{s}) &= \Delta_a H^\circ(\text{Cr}) + 3\Delta_a H^\circ(\text{F}) + \Sigma IE(\text{Cr}) \\
 &\quad + 3\Delta_{\text{EA}} H^\circ(\text{F}) + \Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{CrF}_3) \\
 &= 397 + 3(79) + 653 + 1591 + 2987 \\
 &\quad + 3(-328) - 6040 \\
 &= -1159 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

The large negative values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ(298 \text{ K})$  for both compounds show that the compounds are stable with respect to their constituent elements.

(b)  $IE_3(\text{Cr}) = 2987 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$

There are two negative terms that help to offset this:  $\Delta_{\text{EA}} H^\circ(\text{F})$  and  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{CrF}_3)$ . Note also that:

$$\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{CrF}_3) - \Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ(\text{CrF}_2) = -3119 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

and this term alone effectively cancels the extra energy of ionization required on going from  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$  to  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ .

### Self-study exercises

1. Values of  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ$  for  $\text{MnF}_2$  and  $\text{MnF}_3$  (both of which are stable with respect to their elements at 298 K) are  $-2780$  and  $-6006 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The third ionization energy of Mn is  $3248 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Comment on these data.

2.  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{AgF}_2, \text{s})$  and  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{AgF}_3, \text{s}) = -360$  and  $-205 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Calculate values of  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H^\circ$  for each compound. Comment on the results in the light of the fact that the values of  $\Delta_f H^\circ$  for  $\text{AgF}_2$  and  $\text{AgF}_3$  are fairly similar.

[Ans.  $\text{AgF}_2$ ,  $-972 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ;  $\text{AgF}_3$ ,  $-2951 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]


## 16.7 Interhalogen compounds and polyhalogen ions

### Interhalogen compounds

Properties of interhalogen compounds are listed in Table 16.3. All are prepared by direct combination of elements, and where more than one product is possible, the outcome of the reaction is controlled by temperature and relative proportions of the halogens. Reactions of  $\text{F}_2$  with the later halogens at ambient temperature and pressure give  $\text{ClF}$ ,  $\text{BrF}_3$  or  $\text{IF}_5$ , but increased temperatures give  $\text{ClF}_3$ ,  $\text{ClF}_5$ ,  $\text{BrF}_5$  and  $\text{IF}_7$ . For the formation of  $\text{IF}_3$ , the reaction between  $\text{I}_2$  and  $\text{F}_2$  is carried out at 228 K. Table 16.3 shows clear trends among the four families of compounds  $\text{XY}$ ,  $\text{XY}_3$ ,  $\text{XY}_5$  and  $\text{XY}_7$ :

- F is always in oxidation state  $-1$ ;
- highest oxidation states for X reached are  $\text{Cl} < \text{Br} < \text{I}$ ;
- combination of the later halogens with *fluorine* leads to the highest oxidation state compounds.

The structural families are **16.4–16.7** and are consistent with the VSEPR model (see [Section 1.19](#)). Angle  $\alpha$  in **16.5** is  $87.5^\circ$  in  $\text{ClF}_3$  and  $86^\circ$  in  $\text{BrF}_3$ . In each of  $\text{ClF}_5$ ,  $\text{BrF}_5$  and  $\text{IF}_5$ , the X atom lies just below the plane of the four F atoms; in **16.6**,  $\approx 90^\circ$  ( $\text{Cl}$ )  $> \alpha > 81^\circ$  ( $\text{I}$ ). Among the interhalogens, ‘ $\text{ICl}_3$ ’ is unusual in being dimeric and possesses structure **16.8**; the planar I environments are consistent with VSEPR theory.



In a series  $\text{XY}_n$  in which the oxidation state of X increases, the X–Y bond enthalpy term decreases, e.g. for the Cl–F bonds in  $\text{ClF}$ ,  $\text{ClF}_3$  and  $\text{ClF}_5$ , they are 257, 172 and  $153 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively.

**Table 16.3** Properties of interhalogen compounds.

| Compound                       | Appearance at 298 K | Melting point / K                   | Boiling point / K  | $\Delta_f H^\circ(298 \text{ K})^{***} / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | Dipole moment for gas-phase molecule / D | Bond distances in gas-phase molecules except for $\text{IF}_3$ and $\text{I}_2\text{Cl}_6$ / pm <sup>§</sup> |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| ClF                            | Colourless gas      | 117                                 | 173                | -50.3  | 0.89                                     | 163  |
| BrF                            | Pale brown gas      | $\approx 240^*$                     | $\approx 293^*$    | -58.5  | 1.42                                     | 176  |
| BrCl                           | ‡                   | —                                   | —                  | +14.6  | 0.52                                     | 214  |
| ICl                            | Red solid           | 300 ( $\alpha$ )<br>287 ( $\beta$ ) | $\approx 373^{**}$ | -23.8  | 1.24                                     | 232  |
| IBr                            | Black solid         | 313                                 | 389 <sup>**</sup>  | -10.5  | 0.73                                     | 248.5  |
| ClF <sub>3</sub>               | Colourless gas      | 197                                 | 285                | -163.2   | 0.6                                      | 160 (eq), 170 (ax)   |
| BrF <sub>3</sub>               | Yellow liquid       | 282                                 | 399                | -300.8   | 1.19                                     | 172 (eq), 181 (ax)   |
| IF <sub>3</sub>                | Yellow solid        | 245 (dec)                           | —                  | $\approx -500$   | —  | 187 (eq), 198 (ax) <sup>§§</sup>   |
| I <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>6</sub> | Orange solid        | 337 (sub)                           | —                  | -89.3  | 0  | 238 (terminal) <sup>§§</sup><br>268 (bridge)   |
| ClF <sub>5</sub>               | Colourless gas      | 170                                 | 260                | -255   | —  | 172 (basal),<br>162 (apical)   |
| BrF <sub>5</sub>               | Colourless liquid   | 212.5                               | 314                | -458.6   | 1.51                                     | 178 (basal),<br>168 (apical)   |
| IF <sub>5</sub>                | Colourless liquid   | 282.5                               | 373                | -864.8   | 2.18                                     | 187 (basal),<br>185 (apical)   |
| IF <sub>7</sub>                | Colourless gas      | 278 (sub)                           | —                  | -962   | 0  | 186 (eq), 179 (ax)   |

‡ Exists only in equilibrium with dissociation products:  $2\text{BrCl} \rightleftharpoons \text{Br}_2 + \text{Cl}_2$ .

\* Significant disproportionation means values are approximate.

\*\* Some dissociation:  $2\text{IX} \rightleftharpoons \text{I}_2 + \text{X}_2$  (X = Cl, Br).

\*\*\* Values quoted for the state observed at 298 K.


§ See structures 16.3–16.7.

§§ Solid state (X-ray diffraction) data.

The most stable of the diatomic molecules are ClF and ICl; at 298 K, IBr dissociates somewhat into its elements, while BrCl is substantially dissociated (Table 16.3). Bromine monofluoride readily disproportionates (equation 16.17), while reaction 16.18 is facile enough to render IF unstable at room temperature.




In general, the diatomic interhalogens exhibit properties intermediate between their parent halogens. However, where the electronegativities of X and Y differ significantly, the X–Y bond is stronger than the mean of the X–X and Y–Y bond strengths (see equations 1.32 and 1.33). Consistent with this is the observation that, if  $\chi^{\text{P}}(\text{X}) \ll \chi^{\text{P}}(\text{Y})$ , the X–Y bond lengths (Table 16.3) are shorter than the mean of  $d(\text{X–X})$  and  $d(\text{Y–Y})$ . In the solid state, both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -forms of ICl have chain structures; in each form, two ICl environments are present (e.g. in  $\alpha$ -ICl, I–Cl distances are 244 or 237 pm) and there are significant intermolecular interactions with  $\text{I}\cdots\text{Cl}$  separations of 300–308 pm. Solid IBr has a similar structure (16.9) although it differs from ICl in that ICl contains  $\text{I}\cdots\text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{I}\cdots\text{I}$  and  $\text{Cl}\cdots\text{Cl}$  intermolecular contacts, whereas IBr has only  $\text{I}\cdots\text{Br}$  contacts. Compare these structures with those in Figure 16.5.




(16.9)

Chlorine monofluoride (which is commercially available) acts as a powerful fluorinating and oxidizing agent (e.g. reaction 16.19); oxidative addition to  $\text{SF}_4$  was shown in Figure 15.12. It may behave as a fluoride donor (equation 16.20) or acceptor (equation 16.21). The structures of  $[\text{Cl}_2\text{F}]^+$  (16.10) and  $[\text{ClF}_2]^-$  (16.11) can be rationalized using the VSEPR model. Iodine monochloride and monobromide are less reactive than ClF, but of importance is the fact that, in polar solvents, ICl is a source of  $\text{I}^+$  and iodates aromatic compounds.



(16.10)



(16.11)

With the exception of  $I_2Cl_6$ , the higher interhalogens contain F and are extremely reactive, exploding or reacting violently with water or organic compounds;  $ClF_3$  even ignites asbestos. Despite these hazards, they are valuable fluorinating agents, e.g. the highly reactive  $ClF_3$  converts metals, metal chlorides and metal oxides to metal fluorides. One of its main uses is in nuclear fuel reprocessing (see [Section 2.5](#)) for the formation of  $UF_6$  (reaction 16.22).





Reactivity decreases in the general order  $ClF_n > BrF_n > IF_n$ , and within a series having common halogens, the compound with the highest value of  $n$  is the most reactive, e.g.  $BrF_5 > BrF_3 > BrF$ . In line with these trends is the use of  $IF_5$  as a relatively mild fluorinating agent in organic chemistry.

We have already discussed the self-ionization of  $BrF_3$  and its use as a non-aqueous solvent (see [Section 8.10](#)). There is some evidence for the self-ionization of  $IF_5$  (equation 16.23), but little to support similar processes for other interhalogens.



Reactions 16.20 and 16.21 showed the fluoride donor and acceptor abilities of  $ClF$ . All the higher interhalogens undergo similar reactions, although  $ClF_5$  does not form stable complexes at 298 K with alkali metal fluorides but does react with  $CsF$  or  $[Me_4N]F$  at low temperatures to give salts containing  $[ClF_6]^-$ . Examples are given in equations 8.42 and 16.24–16.28.



The choice of a large cation (e.g.  $Cs^+$ ,  $[NMe_4]^+$ ) for stabilizing  $[XY_n]^-$  anions follows from lattice energy considerations; see also [Boxes 10.5](#) and [23.2](#). Thermal decomposition of salts of  $[XY_n]^-$  leads to the halide salt of highest lattice energy, e.g. reaction 16.29.



Whereas  $[IF_6]^+$  can be made by treating  $IF_7$  with a fluoride acceptor (e.g.  $AsF_5$ ),  $[ClF_6]^+$  or  $[BrF_6]^+$  must be made from  $ClF_5$  or  $BrF_5$  using an extremely powerful oxidizing agent because  $ClF_7$  and  $BrF_7$  are not known. Reaction 16.30 illustrates the use of  $[KrF^+]$  to oxidize  $Br(V)$  to  $Br(VII)$ ;  $[ClF_6]^+$  can be prepared in a similar reaction, or by using  $PtF_6$  as oxidant. However,  $PtF_6$  is not a strong enough oxidizing agent to oxidize  $BrF_5$ . In reaction 16.31,

**Table 16.4** Structures of selected interhalogens and derived anions and cations. Each is consistent with VSEPR theory.


| Shape                      | Examples   |
|----------------------------|--|
| Linear                     | $[ClF_2]^-$ , $[IF_2]^-$ , $[ICl_2]^-$ , $[IBr_2]^-$ |
| Bent                       | $[ClF_2]^+$ , $[BrF_2]^+$ , $[ICl_2]^+$              |
| T-shaped <sup>‡</sup>      | $ClF_3$ , $BrF_3$ , $IF_3$ , $ICl_3$                 |
| Square planar              | $[ClF_4]^-$ , $[BrF_4]^-$ , $[IF_4]^-$ , $[ICl_4]^-$ |
| Disphenoidal, <b>16.12</b> | $[ClF_4]^+$ , $[BrF_4]^+$ , $[IF_4]^+$               |
| Square-based pyramidal     | $ClF_5$ , $BrF_5$ , $IF_5$                           |
| Pentagonal planar          | $[IF_5]^{2-}$  |
| Octahedral                 | $[ClF_6]^+$ , $[BrF_6]^+$ , $[IF_6]^+$               |
| Pentagonal bipyramidal     | $IF_7$   |
| Square antiprismatic       | $[IF_8]^-$   |

<sup>‡</sup> Low-temperature X-ray diffraction data show that solid  $ClF_3$  contains discrete T-shaped molecules, but in solid  $BrF_3$  and  $IF_3$  there are intermolecular  $X-F\cdots X$  bridges resulting in coordination spheres not unlike those in  $[BrF_4]^-$  and  $[IF_5]^{2-}$ .



the active oxidizing species is  $[NiF_3]^+$ .<sup>†</sup> This cation is formed *in situ* in the  $Cs_2[NiF_6]/AsF_5/HF$  system, and is a more powerful oxidative fluorinating agent than  $PtF_6$ .



anhydrous HF  
213 K warmed  
to 263 K



Reaction 16.32 further illustrates the use of a noble gas fluoride in interhalogen synthesis; unlike reaction 16.26, this route to  $[Me_4N][IF_4]$  avoids the use of the thermally unstable  $IF_3$ .



On the whole, the observed structures of interhalogen anions and cations (Table 16.4) are in accord with VSEPR theory, but  $[BrF_6]^+$  is regular octahedral, and arguments reminiscent of those used in [Section 15.7](#) to rationalize the structures of  $[SeCl_6]^{2-}$  and  $[TeCl_6]^{2-}$  apply. Raman spectroscopic


<sup>†</sup> For details of the formation of  $[NiF_3]^+$ , see: T. Schroer and K.O. Christe (2001) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 40, p. 2415.

data suggest that  $[\text{ClF}_6]^-$  is isostructural with  $[\text{BrF}_6]^-$ . On the other hand, the vibrational spectrum of  $[\text{IF}_6]^-$  shows it is not regular octahedral; however, on the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR timescale,  $[\text{IF}_6]^-$  is stereochemically non-rigid. The difference between the structures of  $[\text{BrF}_6]^-$  and  $[\text{IF}_6]^-$  may be rationalized in terms of the difference in size of the central atom (see Section 15.7).

Of particular interest in Table 16.4 is  $[\text{IF}_5]^{2-}$ . Only two examples of pentagonal planar  $\text{XY}_n$  species are known, the other being  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$  (see Section 17.4). In salts such as  $[\text{BrF}_2][\text{SbF}_6]$ ,  $[\text{ClF}_2][\text{SbF}_6]$  and  $[\text{BrF}_4][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$ , there is significant cation–anion interaction; diagram 16.13 focuses on the Br environment on the solid state structure of  $[\text{BrF}_2][\text{SbF}_6]$ .


## Bonding in $[\text{XY}_2]^-$ ions

In Section 4.7, we used molecular orbital theory to describe the bonding in  $\text{XeF}_2$ , and developed a picture which gave a bond order of  $\frac{1}{2}$  for each  $\text{Xe}-\text{F}$  bond. In terms of valence electrons,  $\text{XeF}_2$  is isoelectronic with  $[\text{ICl}_2]^-$ ,  $[\text{IBr}_2]^-$ ,  $[\text{ClF}_2]^-$  and related anions, and all have linear structures. The bonding in these anions can be viewed as being similar to that in  $\text{XeF}_2$ , and thus suggests weak  $\text{X}-\text{Y}$  bonds. This is in contrast to the localized hypervalent picture that emerges from a structure such as 16.14. Evidence for weak bonds comes from the  $\text{X}-\text{Y}$  bond lengths (e.g. 255 pm in  $[\text{ICl}_2]^-$  compared with 232 in  $\text{ICl}$ ) and from  $\text{X}-\text{Y}$  bond stretching wavenumbers (e.g. 267 and  $222\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for the symmetric and asymmetric stretches of  $[\text{ICl}_2]^-$  compared with  $384\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in  $\text{ICl}$ ).




## Polyhalogen cations

In addition to the interhalogen cations described above, homonuclear cations  $[\text{Br}_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{I}_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{Cl}_3]^+$ ,  $[\text{Br}_3]^+$ ,  $[\text{I}_3]^+$ ,  $[\text{Br}_5]^+$ ,  $[\text{I}_5]^+$  and  $[\text{I}_4]^{2+}$  are well established.  $[\text{I}_7]^+$  exists but is not well characterized. The cations  $[\text{Br}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{I}_2]^+$  can be obtained by oxidation of the corresponding halogen (equations 16.33, 16.34 and 8.15).





On going from  $\text{X}_2$  to the corresponding  $[\text{X}_2]^+$ , the bond shortens consistent with the loss of an electron from an antibonding orbital (see Figure 1.20). In  $[\text{Br}_2]^+[\text{Sb}_3\text{F}_{16}]^-$ , the  $\text{Br}-\text{Br}$  distance is 215 pm, and in  $[\text{I}_2]^+[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  the  $\text{I}-\text{I}$  bond length is 258 pm



(compare values of  $\text{X}_2$  in Figure 16.5). Correspondingly, the stretching wavenumber increases, e.g.  $368\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in  $[\text{Br}_2]^+$  compared with  $320\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in  $\text{Br}_2$ . The cations are paramagnetic, and  $[\text{I}_2]^+$  dimerizes at 193 K to give  $[\text{I}_4]^{2+}$  (16.15); the structure has been determined for the salt  $[\text{I}_4][\text{Sb}_3\text{F}_{16}][\text{SbF}_6]$  and exhibits significant cation–anion interaction.



The cations  $[\text{Cl}_3]^+$ ,  $[\text{Br}_3]^+$  and  $[\text{I}_3]^+$  are bent (16.16) as expected from VSEPR theory, and the  $\text{X}-\text{X}$  bond lengths are similar to those in gaseous  $\text{X}_2$ , consistent with single bonds. Reactions 16.35 and 16.36 may be used to prepare salts of  $[\text{Br}_3]^+$  and  $[\text{I}_3]^+$ , and use of a higher concentration of  $\text{I}_2$  in the  $\text{I}_2/\text{AsF}_5$  reaction leads to the formation of  $[\text{I}_5]^+$  (see reaction 8.15). The  $[\text{I}_5]^+$  and  $[\text{Br}_5]^+$  ions are structurally similar (16.17) with  $d(\text{X}-\text{X})_{\text{terminal}} < d(\text{X}-\text{X})_{\text{non-terminal}}$ , e.g. in  $[\text{I}_5]^+$ , the distances are 264 and 289 pm.




Even using extremely powerful oxidizing agents such as  $[\text{O}_2]^+$ , it has not proved possible (so far) to obtain the free  $[\text{Cl}_2]^+$  ion by oxidizing  $\text{Cl}_2$ . When  $\text{Cl}_2$  reacts with  $[\text{O}_2]^+[\text{SbF}_6]^-$  in  $\text{HF}$  at low temperature, the product is  $[\text{Cl}_2\text{O}_2]^+$  (16.18) which is best described as a charge-transfer complex of  $[\text{Cl}_2]^+$  and  $\text{O}_2$ . With  $\text{IrF}_6$  as oxidant, reaction 16.37 takes place. The blue  $[\text{Cl}_4][\text{IrF}_6]$  decomposes at 195 K to give salts of  $[\text{Cl}_3]^+$ , but X-ray diffraction data at 153 K show that the  $[\text{Cl}_4]^+$  ion is structurally analogous to 16.15 ( $\text{Cl}-\text{Cl} = 194\text{ pm}$ ,  $\text{Cl}\cdots\text{Cl} = 294\text{ pm}$ ).




## Polyhalide anions

Of the group 17 elements, iodine forms the largest range of homonuclear polyhalide ions:  $[I_3]^-$ ,  $[I_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[I_5]^-$ ,  $[I_7]^-$ ,  $[I_8]^{2-}$ ,  $[I_9]^-$ ,  $[I_{10}]^{4-}$ ,  $[I_{12}]^{2-}$ ,  $[I_{16}]^{2-}$ ,  $[I_{16}]^{4-}$ ,  $[I_{22}]^{4-}$  and  $[I_{29}]^{3-}$ . Attempts to make  $[F_3]^-$  have failed, but  $[Cl_3]^-$  and  $[Br_3]^-$  are well established, and  $[Br_4]^{2-}$  and  $[Br_8]^{2-}$  have also been reported. The  $[I_3]^-$  ion is formed when  $I_2$  is dissolved in aqueous solutions containing iodide ion. It has a linear structure, and in the solid state, the two I–I bond lengths may be equal (e.g. 290 pm in  $[Ph_4As][I_3]$ ) or dissimilar (e.g. 283 and 303 pm in  $Cs[I_3]$ ). The latter indicates something approaching to an  $[I-I\cdots I]^-$  entity (compare I–I = 266 pm in  $I_2$ ), and in the higher polyiodides, different I–I bond distances point to the structures being described in terms of association between  $I_2$ ,  $I^-$  and  $[I_3]^-$  units as examples in Figure 16.7 show. This reflects their origins, since the higher polyiodides are formed upon crystallization of solutions containing  $I_2$  and  $I^-$ . Details of the solid state structures of the anions are cation-dependent, e.g. although usually V-shaped, linear  $[I_5]^-$  has also been observed in the solid state.

Fewer studies of polybromide ions have been carried out. Many salts involving  $[Br_3]^-$  are known, and the association in the solid state of  $[Br_3]^-$  and  $Br^-$  has been observed to give rise to the linear species **16.19**. The  $[Br_8]^{2-}$  ion is structurally analogous to  $[I_8]^{2-}$  (Figure 16.7) with Br–Br bond distances that indicate association between  $Br_2$  and  $[Br_3]^-$  units in the crystal.



Polyiodobromide ions are exemplified by  $[I_2Br_3]^-$  and  $[I_3Br_4]^-$ . In the 2,2'-bipyridinium salt,  $[I_2Br_3]^-$  is V-shaped like  $[I_5]^-$  (Figure 16.7a), while in the  $[Ph_4P]^+$  salt,  $[I_3Br_4]^-$  resembles  $[I_7]^-$  (Figure 16.7b). Both  $[I_2Br_3]^-$  and  $[I_3Br_4]^-$  can be described as containing IBr units linked by a  $Br^-$  ion.




**Fig. 16.7** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[I_5]^-$  in  $[Fe(S_2CNET_2)_3][I_5]$  [C.L. Raston *et al.* (1980) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 1928], (b)  $[I_7]^-$  in  $[Ph_4P][I_7]$  [R. Poli *et al.* (1992) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 31, p. 3165], and (c)  $[I_8]^{2-}$  in  $[C_{10}H_8S_8]_2[I_3][I_8]_{0.5}$  [M.A. Beno *et al.* (1987) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 26, p. 1912].



## 16.8 Oxides and oxofluorides of chlorine, bromine and iodine

### Oxides

Oxygen fluorides were described in [Section 15.7](#). Iodine is the only halogen to form an oxide which is *thermodynamically stable* with respect to decomposition into its elements (equation 16.38). The chlorine and bromine oxides are hazardous materials with a tendency to explode.





Chlorine oxides, although not difficult to prepare, are all liable to decompose explosively. Far less is known about the oxides of Br (which are very unstable) than those of Cl and iodine, although recently  $Br_2O_3$  (**16.20**) and  $Br_2O_5$  (**16.21**) have been unambiguously prepared (scheme 16.39) and structurally characterized. The Br(V) centres are trigonal pyramidal and in  $Br_2O_5$ , the  $BrO_2$  groups are eclipsed.





Dichlorine monoxide,  $Cl_2O$  (**16.22**), is obtained as a yellow-brown gas by action of  $Cl_2$  on mercury(II) oxide or moist sodium carbonate (equations 16.40 and 16.41); it liquefies at  $\approx 277\text{ K}$ , and explodes on warming. It hydrolyses



to hypochlorous acid (equation 16.39), and is formally the anhydride of this acid (see [Section 14.8](#)).



Chlorine dioxide,  $\text{ClO}_2$  (**16.23**) is a yellow gas (bp 283 K), and is produced in the highly dangerous reaction between potassium chlorate,  $\text{KClO}_3$ , and concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Reaction 16.43 is a safer method of synthesis, and reaction 16.44 is used industrially;  $\text{ClO}_2$  is used to bleach flour and wood pulp (see [Figure 16.2b](#)) and for water treatment. Its application as a bleach in the paper industry has increased (see [Figure 16.2](#)).




Despite being a radical,  $\text{ClO}_2$  shows no tendency to dimerize. It dissolves unchanged in water, but is slowly hydrolysed to  $\text{HCl}$  and  $\text{HClO}_3$ , a reaction that involves the  $\text{ClO}^\bullet$  radical. In alkaline solution, hydrolysis is rapid (equation 16.45). Ozone reacts with  $\text{ClO}_2$  at 273 K to form  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}_6$ , a dark red liquid which is also made by reaction 16.46.



Reaction 16.46, and the hydrolysis of  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}_6$  to chlorate and perchlorate, suggest that it has structure **16.24** and is the mixed anhydride of  $\text{HClO}_3$  and  $\text{HClO}_4$ . The IR spectrum of matrix-isolated  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}_6$  is consistent with two inequivalent Cl centres. The solid contains  $[\text{ClO}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{ClO}_4]^-$  ions.  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}_6$  is unstable with respect to decomposition into  $\text{ClO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2^\bullet$ , and, with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , reaction 16.47 occurs. The oxide  $\text{ClOClO}_3$  is the mixed acid anhydride of  $\text{HOCl}$  and  $\text{HClO}_4$ , and is made by reaction 16.48.



The anhydride of perchloric acid is  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}_7$  (**16.25**), an oily, explosive liquid (bp  $\approx 353$  K), which is made by dehydrating  $\text{HClO}_4$  using phosphorus(V) oxide at low temperatures.









In contrast to  $\text{Br}_2\text{O}_5$  which is thermally unstable,  $\text{I}_2\text{O}_5$  is stable to 573 K. It is a white, hygroscopic solid, prepared by dehydration of iodic acid; the reaction is reversed when  $\text{I}_2\text{O}_5$  dissolves in water (equation 16.49).  $\text{I}_2\text{O}_5$  is used in analysis for CO (see [equation 13.54](#)).



In the solid state,  $\text{I}_2\text{O}_5$  is structurally related to  $\text{Br}_2\text{O}_5$  (**16.21**), with the difference that it has a staggered conformation, probably as a result of extensive intermolecular interactions ( $\text{I}\cdots\text{O} \leq 223$  pm).

## Oxofluorides

Several families of halogen oxides with X–F bonds exist:  $\text{FXO}_2$  (X = Cl, Br, I),  $\text{FXO}_3$  (X = Cl, Br, I),  $\text{F}_3\text{XO}$  (X = Cl, Br, I),  $\text{F}_3\text{XO}_2$  (X = Cl, I) and  $\text{F}_5\text{IO}$ ; the thermally unstable  $\text{FCIO}$  is also known. Their structures are consistent with VSEPR theory (**16.26–16.31**).



Chloryl fluoride,  $\text{FCIO}_2$ , is a colourless gas (bp 267 K) and can be prepared by reacting  $\text{F}_2$  with  $\text{ClO}_2$ . It hydrolyses to  $\text{HClO}_3$  and  $\text{HF}$ , and acts as a fluoride donor towards  $\text{SbF}_5$  (equation 16.50) and a fluoride acceptor with  $\text{CsF}$  (equation 16.51).




Perchloryl fluoride,  $\text{FCIO}_3$  (bp 226 K,  $\Delta_f H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) = -23.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) is surprisingly stable and decomposes only above 673 K. It can be prepared by reaction 16.52, or by treating  $\text{KClO}_3$  with  $\text{F}_2$ .



Alkali attacks  $\text{FCIO}_3$  only slowly, even at 500 K. Perchloryl fluoride is a mild fluorinating agent and has been used in the preparation of fluorinated steroids. It is

also a powerful oxidizing agent at elevated temperatures, e.g. it oxidizes SF<sub>4</sub> to SF<sub>6</sub>. Reaction 16.53 illustrates its reaction with an organic nucleophile. In contrast to FClO<sub>2</sub>, FClO<sub>3</sub> does not behave as a fluoride donor or acceptor.




The reaction between F<sub>2</sub> and Cl<sub>2</sub>O at low temperatures yields F<sub>3</sub>ClO (mp 230 K, bp 301 K,  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{g}, 298 \text{ K}) = -148 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) which decomposes at 570 K to ClF<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>. Reactions of F<sub>3</sub>ClO with CsF and SbF<sub>5</sub> show its ability to accept or donate F<sup>-</sup>, producing [F<sub>4</sub>ClO]<sup>-</sup> and [F<sub>2</sub>ClO]<sup>+</sup> respectively.

The only representative of the neutral F<sub>5</sub>XO family of oxofluorides is F<sub>5</sub>IO, produced when IF<sub>7</sub> reacts with water; it does not readily undergo further reaction with H<sub>2</sub>O. One reaction of note is that of F<sub>5</sub>IO with [Me<sub>4</sub>N]F in which the pentagonal bipyramidal ion [F<sub>6</sub>IO]<sup>-</sup> is formed; X-ray diffraction data show that the oxygen atom is in an axial site and that the equatorial F atoms are essentially coplanar, in contrast to the puckering observed in IF<sub>7</sub> (see Section 1.19). The pentagonal pyramidal [F<sub>5</sub>IO]<sup>2-</sup> is formed as the Cs<sup>+</sup> salt when CsF, I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and IF<sub>5</sub> are heated at 435 K. The stoichiometry of the reaction must be controlled to prevent [F<sub>4</sub>IO]<sup>-</sup> being formed as the main product.

### Self-study exercises

1. Rationalize each of the following structures in terms of VSEPR theory.



2. Confirm that the [IOF<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> ion (the structure is given above) has C<sub>5v</sub> symmetry.
3. To what point groups do the following fluorides belong: BrF<sub>5</sub>, [BrF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, [BrF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>+</sup>? Assume that each structure is regular. [Ans. C<sub>4v</sub>; D<sub>4h</sub>; O<sub>h</sub>]

## 16.9 Oxoacids and their salts


### Hypofluorous acid, HOF

Fluorine is unique among the halogens in forming no species in which it has a formal oxidation state other than -1. The only known oxoacid is hypofluorous acid, HOF, which is unstable and does not ionize in water but reacts according to equation 16.54; no salts are known. It is obtained by passing F<sub>2</sub> over ice at 230 K (equation 16.55) and condensing the gas produced. At 298 K, HOF decomposes rapidly (equation 16.56).



### Oxoacids of chlorine, bromine and iodine

Table 16.5 lists the families of oxoacids known for Cl, Br and I. The hypohalous acids, HOX, are obtained in aqueous solution by reaction 16.57 (compare reactions 16.40 and 16.42).



All are unknown as isolated compounds, but act as weak acids in aqueous solutions (pK<sub>a</sub> values: HOCl, 4.53; HOBr, 8.69; HOI, 10.64). Hypochlorite salts such as NaOCl, KOCl and Ca(OCl)<sub>2</sub> (equation 16.58) can be isolated; NaOCl can be crystallized from a solution obtained by electrolyzing aqueous NaCl in such a way that the Cl<sub>2</sub> liberated at the anode mixes with the NaOH produced at the cathode. Hypochlorites are powerful oxidizing agents and in the presence of alkali convert [IO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> to [IO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, Cr<sup>3+</sup> to [CrO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, and even Fe<sup>3+</sup> to [FeO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. Bleaching powder is a non-deliquescent mixture of CaCl<sub>2</sub>, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and Ca(OCl)<sub>2</sub> and is manufactured by the action of Cl<sub>2</sub> on Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>; NaOCl is a bleaching agent and disinfectant.



All hypohalites are unstable with respect to disproportionation (equation 16.59); at 298 K, the reaction is slow for [OCl]<sup>-</sup>, fast for [OBr]<sup>-</sup> and very fast for [OI]<sup>-</sup>. Sodium hypochlorite disproportionates in hot aqueous solution (equation 16.60), and the passage of Cl<sub>2</sub> through *hot*


Table 16.5 Oxoacids of chlorine, bromine and iodine.

| Oxoacids of chlorine |   | Oxoacids of bromine |   | Oxoacids of iodine |  |
|----------------------|---|---------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| Hypochlorous acid    | HOCl                                    | Hypobromous acid    | HOBr                                    | Hypoiodous acid    | HOI  |
| Chlorous acid        | HOCIO (HClO <sub>2</sub> )              |                     |   |                    |  |
| Chloric acid         | HOCIO <sub>2</sub> (HClO <sub>3</sub> ) | Bromic acid         | HOBrO <sub>2</sub> (HBrO <sub>3</sub> ) | Iodic acid         | HOIO <sub>2</sub> (HIO <sub>3</sub> )                  |
| Perchloric acid      | HOCIO <sub>3</sub> (HClO <sub>4</sub> ) | Perbromic acid      | HOBrO <sub>3</sub> (HBrO <sub>4</sub> ) | Periodic acid      | HOIO <sub>3</sub> (HIO <sub>4</sub> )                  |
|                      |   |                     |   | Orthoperiodic acid | (HO) <sub>5</sub> IO (H <sub>5</sub> IO <sub>6</sub> ) |

aqueous alkali yields chlorate and chloride salts rather than hypochlorites. Hypochlorite solutions decompose by reaction 16.61 in the presence of cobalt(II) compounds as catalysts.



Like HOCl, chlorous acid, HClO<sub>2</sub>, is not isolable but is known in aqueous solution and is prepared by reaction 16.62; it is weak acid (p*K*<sub>a</sub> = 2.0). Sodium chlorite (used as a bleach) is made by reaction 16.63; the chlorite ion has the bent structure **16.32**.




(16.32)

Alkaline solutions of chlorites persist unchanged over long periods, but in the presence of acid, a complex decomposition occurs which is summarized in equation 16.64.



Chloric and bromic acids, HClO<sub>3</sub> and HBrO<sub>3</sub>, are both strong acids but cannot be isolated as pure compounds. The aqueous acids can be made by reaction 16.65 (compare with reaction 16.62).



Iodic acid, HIO<sub>3</sub>, is a stable, white solid at room temperature, and is produced by reacting I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> with water (equation 16.49) or by the oxidation of I<sub>2</sub> with nitric acid. Crystalline iodic acid contains trigonal HIO<sub>3</sub> molecules connected by extensive hydrogen bonding. In aqueous solution it is a fairly strong acid (p*K*<sub>a</sub> = 0.77).


Chlorates are strong oxidizing agents; commercially, NaClO<sub>3</sub> is used for the manufacture of ClO<sub>2</sub> and is used as a weedkiller, and KClO<sub>3</sub> has applications in fireworks and safety matches. Chlorates are produced by electrolysis of brine at 340 K, allowing the products to mix efficiently (scheme 16.66); chlorate salts are crystallized from the mixture.



*Mixing and disproportionation:*




(16.66)




**Fig. 16.8** Structures of (a) perchloric acid, in which one Cl—O bond is unique, and (b) perchlorate ion, in which all Cl—O bonds are equivalent. Colour code: Cl, green; O, red; H, white.

Anodic oxidation of [OCl]<sup>−</sup> produces further [ClO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>−</sup>. Bromates are made by, for example, reaction 16.67 under alkaline conditions. Reaction 16.68 is a convenient synthesis of KIO<sub>3</sub>.



Potassium bromate and iodate are commonly used in volumetric analysis. Very pure KIO<sub>3</sub> is easily obtained, and reaction 16.69 is used as a source of I<sub>2</sub> for the standardization of thiosulfate solutions (reaction 15.113).



(16.33)



Halate ions are trigonal pyramidal (**16.33**) although, in the solid state, some metal iodates contain infinite structures in which two O atoms of each iodate ion bridge two metal centres.<sup>†</sup> The thermal decomposition of alkali metal chlorates follows reaction 16.70, but in the presence of a suitable catalyst, KClO<sub>3</sub> decomposes to give O<sub>2</sub> (equation 15.4). Some iodates (e.g. KIO<sub>3</sub>) decompose when heated to iodide and O<sub>2</sub>, but others (e.g. Ca(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) give oxide, I<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>. Bromates behave similarly and the interpretation of these observations is a difficult problem in energetics and kinetics.



Perchloric acid is the only oxoacid of Cl that can be isolated, and its structure is shown in Figure 16.8a. It is a colourless liquid (bp 363 K with some decomposition), made by heating KClO<sub>4</sub> with concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> under

<sup>†</sup> For further discussion, see: A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 327–337.

reduced pressure. Pure perchloric acid is liable to explode when heated or in the presence of organic material, but in dilute solution,  $[\text{ClO}_4]^-$  is very difficult to reduce despite the reduction potentials (which provide thermodynamic but not kinetic data) shown in equations 16.71 and 16.72. Zinc, for example, merely liberates  $\text{H}_2$ , and iodide ion has no action. Reduction to  $\text{Cl}^-$  can be achieved by Ti(III) in acidic solution or by Fe(II) in the presence of alkali.




Perchloric acid is an extremely strong acid in aqueous solution (see [Table 6.3](#)). Although  $[\text{ClO}_4]^-$  (Figure 16.8b) does form complexes with metal cations, the tendency to do so is less than for other common anions. Consequently,  $\text{NaClO}_4$  solution is a standard medium for the investigation of ionic equilibria in aqueous systems. Alkali metal perchlorates can be obtained by disproportionation of chlorates (equation 16.70) under carefully controlled conditions; traces of impurities can catalyse decomposition to chloride and  $\text{O}_2$ . **Perchlorate salts are potentially explosive and must be handled with particular care**; mixtures of ammonium perchlorate and aluminium are standard missile propellants, e.g. in the space shuttle. When heated,  $\text{KClO}_4$  gives  $\text{KCl}$  and  $\text{O}_2$ , apparently without intermediate formation of  $\text{KClO}_3$ . Silver perchlorate, like silver salts of some other very strong acids (e.g.  $\text{AgBF}_4$ ,  $\text{AgSbF}_6$  and  $\text{AgO}_2\text{CCF}_3$ ), is soluble in many organic solvents including  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$  and  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  owing to complex formation between  $\text{Ag}^+$  and the organic molecules.

The best method of preparation of perbromate ion is by reaction 16.73. Cation exchange (see [Section 10.6](#)) can be used to give  $\text{HBrO}_4$ , but the anhydrous acid has not been isolated.




Potassium perbromate has been structurally characterized and contains tetrahedral  $[\text{BrO}_4]^-$  ions ( $\text{Br}-\text{O} = 161 \text{ pm}$ ). Thermochemical data show that  $[\text{BrO}_4]^-$  (half-reaction 16.74) is a slightly stronger oxidizing agent than  $[\text{ClO}_4]^-$  or  $[\text{IO}_4]^-$  under the same conditions. However, oxidations by  $[\text{BrO}_4]^-$  (as for  $[\text{ClO}_4]^-$ ) are slow in dilute neutral solution, but more rapid at higher acidities.




Several different periodic acids and periodates are known; Table 16.5 lists periodic acid,  $\text{HIO}_4$  and orthoperiodic acid,  $\text{H}_5\text{IO}_6$  (compare with  $\text{H}_6\text{TeO}_6$ , [Section 15.9](#)). Oxidation of  $\text{KIO}_3$  by hot alkaline hypochlorite yields  $\text{K}_2\text{H}_3\text{IO}_6$  which is converted to  $\text{KIO}_4$  by nitric acid; treatment with concentrated alkali yields  $\text{K}_4\text{H}_2\text{I}_2\text{O}_{10}$ , and dehydration of this at 353 K

leads to  $\text{K}_4\text{I}_2\text{O}_9$ . Apart from  $[\text{IO}_4]^-$  (16.34) and  $[\text{HIO}_5]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{HIO}_5]^{2-}$  (which are square-based pyramidal), periodic acids and periodate ions feature octahedral I centres, e.g.  $\text{H}_5\text{IO}_6$  (16.35),  $[\text{H}_2\text{I}_2\text{O}_{10}]^{4-}$  (16.36) and  $[\text{I}_2\text{O}_9]^{4-}$  (16.37).




$\text{I}-\text{O} = 178 \text{ pm}$

(16.34)




$\text{I}-\text{O}(\text{terminal}) = 178 \text{ pm}$   
 $\text{I}-\text{OH} = 189 \text{ pm}$

(16.35)



$\text{I}-\text{O}(\text{terminal}) = 181 \text{ pm}$   
 $\text{I}-\text{O}(\text{bridge}) = 200 \text{ pm}$   
 $\text{I}-\text{OH} = 198 \text{ pm}$


(16.36)




$\text{I}-\text{O}(\text{terminal}) = 177 \text{ pm}$   
 $\text{I}-\text{O}(\text{bridge}) = 201 \text{ pm}$

(16.37)


The relationships between these ions may be expressed by equilibria 16.75, and aqueous solutions of periodates are therefore not simple systems.



Orthoperiodic acid is obtained by electrolytic oxidation of iodic acid, or by adding concentrated nitric acid to  $\text{Ba}_5(\text{IO}_6)_2$ , prepared by reaction 16.76.




Heating  $\text{H}_6\text{IO}_6$  dehydrates it, first to  $\text{H}_4\text{I}_2\text{O}_9$ , and then to  $\text{HIO}_4$ . In aqueous solution, both  $\text{H}_6\text{IO}_6$  ( $\text{p}K_a = 3.3$ ) and  $\text{HIO}_4$  ( $\text{p}K_a = 1.64$ ) behave as rather weak acids. Periodate oxidizes iodide (equation 16.77) *rapidly* even in neutral solution (compare the actions of chlorate and bromate); it liberates ozonized  $\text{O}_2$  from hot acidic solution, and oxidizes Mn(II) to  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ .



## 16.10 Aqueous solution chemistry

In this section, we are mainly concerned with redox processes in aqueous solution; see [Section 16.1](#) for a list of relevant topics already covered in the book. Values of  $E^\circ$  for half-reactions 16.78 can be measured directly for  $X = \text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{Br}$  and  $\text{I}$  (Table 16.1) and their magnitudes are determined by the  $X-X$  bond energies (Figure 16.3), the electron affinities of the halogen atoms (Table 16.1) and the standard Gibbs energies of hydration of the halide ions (Table 16.1). This can be seen from scheme 16.79; for  $X = \text{Br}$  and  $\text{I}$ , an additional vaporization stage is needed for the element.




Dichlorine is a more powerful oxidizing agent in aqueous media than  $\text{Br}_2$  or  $\text{I}_2$ , partly because of a more negative enthalpy of formation of the anion but, more importantly, because the  $\text{Cl}^-$  ion (which is smaller than  $\text{Br}^-$  or  $\text{I}^-$ ) interacts more strongly with solvent molecules. (In solid salt formation, the lattice energy factor similarly explains why chloride salts are more exothermic than corresponding bromides or iodides.)

Since  $\text{F}_2$  liberates ozonized  $\text{O}_2$  from water, the value of  $E^\circ$  for half-reaction 16.78 has no physical reality, but a value of  $+2.87\text{ V}$  can be estimated by comparing the energy changes for each step in scheme 16.79 for  $X = \text{F}$  and  $\text{Cl}$ , and hence deriving the difference in  $E^\circ$  for half-equation 16.78 for  $X = \text{F}$  and  $\text{Cl}$ . Most of the difference between these  $E^\circ$  values arises from the much more negative value of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  of the smaller  $\text{F}^-$  ion (Table 16.1).

Diiodine is much more soluble in aqueous iodide solutions than in water. At low concentrations of  $\text{I}_2$ , equation 16.80 describes the system;  $K$  can be found by partitioning  $\text{I}_2$

between the aqueous layer and a solvent immiscible with water (e.g.  $\text{CCl}_4$ ).



Potential diagrams (partly calculated from thermochemical data) for  $\text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{Br}$  and  $\text{I}$  are given in Figure 16.9. Because several of the oxoacids are weak, the effects of  $[\text{H}^+]$  on values of some of the reduction potentials are quite complicated. For example, the disproportionation of hypochlorite to chlorate and chloride could be written as equilibrium 16.81 without involving protons.



However, the fact that  $\text{HOCl}$  is a weak acid, while  $\text{HClO}_3$  and  $\text{HCl}$  are strong ones (see [Table 6.3](#)) means that, in the presence of hydrogen ions,  $[\text{OCl}]^-$  is protonated and this affects the position of equilibrium 16.81:  $\text{HOCl}$  is more stable with respect to disproportionation than  $[\text{OCl}]^-$ . On the other hand, the disproportionation of chlorate into perchlorate and chloride is realistically represented by equilibrium 16.82. From the data in Figure 16.9, this reaction is easily shown to be thermodynamically favourable (see [problem 16.18b](#) at the end of the chapter). Nevertheless, the reaction does not occur in aqueous solution owing to some undetermined kinetic factor.



Another example of the limitations of the data in Figure 16.9 is the inference that  $\text{O}_2$  should oxidize  $\text{I}^-$  and  $\text{Br}^-$  at  $\text{pH } 0$ . Further, the fact that  $\text{Cl}_2$  rather than  $\text{O}_2$  is evolved when hydrochloric acid is electrolysed is a consequence of the high overpotential for  $\text{O}_2$  evolution at most surfaces (see [worked example 16.3](#)). Despite some limitations, Figure 16.9 does provide some useful information: for example, the more powerful oxidizing properties of periodate and perbromate than of perchlorate when these species are





Fig. 16.9 Potential diagrams for chlorine, bromine and iodine at  $\text{pH} = 0$ .

being reduced to halate ions, and the more weakly oxidizing powers of iodate and iodine than of the other halates or halogens respectively.

The fact that Figure 16.9 refers only to *specific conditions* is well illustrated by considering the stability of I(I). Hypoiodous acid is unstable with respect to disproportionation into  $[\text{IO}_3]^-$  and  $\text{I}_2$ , and is therefore not formed when  $[\text{IO}_3]^-$  acts as an oxidant in aqueous solution. However, in hydrochloric acid, HOI undergoes reaction 16.83.



Under these conditions, the potential diagram becomes:




and I(I) is now stable with respect to disproportionation.

### Worked example 16.3 The effects of overpotentials

**Explain why, when aqueous HCl is electrolysed, the anode discharges  $\text{Cl}_2$  (or a mixture of  $\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$ ) rather than  $\text{O}_2$  even though standard electrode potentials (at pH 0, see Appendix 11) indicate that  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is more readily oxidized than  $\text{Cl}_2$ .**

For the anode reaction, the relevant half-reactions are:



The second half-reaction originates from the electrolysis of water:



The spontaneous process is actually the *reverse* reaction (i.e. formation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  from  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$ ) and for this at pH 7,  $E_{\text{cell}} = 1.23 \text{ V}$  (see the self-study exercises below). In order to drive the electrolysis of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , the electrical power source must be able to supply a minimum of 1.23 V. In practice, however, this potential is insufficient to cause the electrolysis of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and an additional potential (the *overpotential*) is needed. The size of the overpotential depends on several factors, one being the nature of the electrode surface. For Pt electrodes, the overpotential for the electrolysis of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is  $\approx 0.60 \text{ V}$ . Thus, in practice,  $\text{Cl}_2$  (or a mixture of  $\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$ ) is discharged from the anode during the electrolysis of aqueous HCl.

### Self-study exercises

1. For the following process,  $E^\circ = 0 \text{ V}$ . Calculate  $E$  at pH 7.



[Ans.  $-0.41 \text{ V}$ ]

2. For the process below,  $E^\circ = +1.23 \text{ V}$ . Determine  $E$  at pH 7.



[Ans.  $+0.82 \text{ V}$ ]

3. Using your answers to the first two exercises, calculate  $E_{\text{cell}}$  at pH 7 for the overall reaction:



[Ans.  $1.23 \text{ V}$ ]

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- ozonized oxygen
- charge transfer complex
- charge transfer band
- clathrate
- polyhalide ion

## Further reading

- R.E. Banks, ed. (2000) *Fluorine Chemistry at the Millennium*, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam – Covers many aspects of fluorine chemistry including metal fluorides, noble gas fluorides, biological topics and nuclear fuels.
- D.D. DesMarteau, C.W. Bauknight, Jr and T.E. Mlsna (1994) ‘Fluorine: Inorganic chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 3, p. 1223 – A review which includes data on  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectroscopy.
- N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – Chapter 17 covers the halogens in detail.
- J. Shamir (1994) ‘Chlorine, bromine, iodine & astatine: Inorganic chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 2, p. 646 – An overview of the heavier halogens.
- A.G. Sharpe (1990) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 67, p. 309 – A review of the solvation of halide ions and its chemical significance.
- A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – Chapter 9 gives a detailed account of inorganic halide structures.
- A.A. Woolf (1981) *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry and Radiochemistry*, vol. 24, p. 1 – A review of the thermochemistry of fluorine compounds.

### Special topics

- E.H. Appelman (1973) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 6, p. 113 – ‘Nonexistent compounds: Two case histories’; deals with the histories of the perbromates and hypofluorous acid.
- A.J. Blake, F.A. Devillanova, R.O. Gould, W.S. Li, V. Lippolis, S. Parsons, C. Radek and M. Schröder (1998) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 27, p. 195 – ‘Template self-assembly of polyiodide networks’.
- K. Seppelt (1997) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 30, p. 111 – ‘Bromine oxides’.

## Problems

- 16.1** (a) What is the collective name for the group 17 elements? (b) Write down, in order, the names and symbols of these elements; check your answer by reference to the first two pages of this chapter. (c) Give a *general* notation showing the ground state electronic configuration of each element.
- 16.2** (a) Write equations to show the reactions involved in the extraction of Br<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>2</sub> from brines. (b) What reactions occur in the Downs process, and why must the products of the process be kept apart? (c) In the electrolysis cell used for the industrial preparation of F<sub>2</sub>, a diaphragm is used to separate the products. Give an equation for the reaction that would occur in the absence of the diaphragm and describe the nature of the reaction.
- 16.3** For a given atom Y, the Y–F bond is usually stronger than the corresponding Y–Cl bond. An exception is when Y is oxygen (Table 15.2). Suggest a reason for this observation.
- 16.4** Briefly discuss the trends in boiling points and values of  $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ$  listed in Table 16.2 for the hydrogen halides.
- 16.5** Use values of  $r_{\text{cov}}$  (Table 16.1) to estimate the X–Y bond lengths of ClF, BrF, BrCl, ICl and IBr. Compare the answers with values in Figure 16.3 and Table 16.3, and comment on the validity of the method of calculation.
- 16.6** Suggest products for the following reactions (which are not balanced):  
 (a)  $\text{AgCl} + \text{ClF}_3 \rightarrow$   
 (b)  $\text{ClF} + \text{BF}_3 \rightarrow$   
 (c)  $\text{CsF} + \text{IF}_5 \rightarrow$   
 (d)  $\text{SbF}_5 + \text{ClF}_5 \rightarrow$   
 (e)  $\text{Me}_4\text{NF} + \text{IF}_7 \rightarrow$   
 (f)  $\text{K}[\text{BrF}_4] \xrightarrow{\Delta} \rightarrow$
- 16.7** Discuss the role of halide acceptors in the formation of interhalogen cations and anions.
- 16.8** Predict the structures of (a)  $[\text{ICl}_4]^-$ , (b)  $[\text{BrF}_2]^+$ , (c)  $[\text{ClF}_4]^+$ , (d)  $\text{IF}_7$ , (e)  $\text{I}_2\text{Cl}_6$ , (f)  $[\text{IF}_6]^+$ , (g)  $\text{BrF}_5$ .
- 16.9** (a) Assuming *static* structures, what would you expect to see in the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of BrF<sub>5</sub> and  $[\text{IF}_6]^+$ ? (b) Do you expect these spectra to be temperature-dependent?
- 16.10** Discuss the interpretation of each of the following observations:  
 (a) Al<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub> and I<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub> are not isostructural.  
 (b) Thermal decomposition of  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{ClHI}]$  yields  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]\text{I}$  and HCl.  
 (c) 0.01 M solutions of I<sub>2</sub> in *n*-hexane, benzene, ethanol and pyridine are violet, purple, brown and yellow respectively. When 0.001 mol of pyridine is added to 100 cm<sup>3</sup> of each of the solutions of I<sub>2</sub> in *n*-hexane, benzene and ethanol, all become yellow.
- 16.11** Suggest likely structures for (a)  $[\text{F}_2\text{ClO}_2]^-$ , (b)  $\text{FBrO}_3$ , (c)  $[\text{ClO}_2]^+$ , (d)  $[\text{F}_4\text{ClO}]^-$ .
- 16.12** (a) Give equations to show the effect of temperature on the reaction between Cl<sub>2</sub> and aqueous NaOH. (b) In neutral solution 1 mol  $[\text{IO}_4]^-$  reacts with excess I<sup>−</sup> to produce 1 mol I<sub>2</sub>. On acidification of the resulting solution, a further 3 mol I<sub>2</sub> is liberated. Derive equations for the reactions which occur under these conditions. (c) In strongly alkaline solution containing an excess of barium ions, a solution containing 0.01587 g of I<sup>−</sup> was treated with 0.1 M  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  until a pink colour persisted in the solution; 10.0 cm<sup>3</sup> was required. Under these conditions,  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  was converted into the sparingly soluble BaMnO<sub>4</sub>. What is the product of the oxidation of iodide?
- 16.13** (a) Give descriptions of the bonding in ClO<sub>2</sub> and  $[\text{ClO}_2]^-$  (16.23 and 16.32), and rationalize the differences in Cl–O bond lengths. (b) Rationalize why KClO<sub>4</sub> and BaSO<sub>4</sub> are isomorphous.
- 16.14** Suggest products for the following (which are not balanced):  
 (a)  $[\text{ClO}_3]^- + \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow$   
 (b)  $[\text{IO}_3]^- + [\text{SO}_3]^{2-} \rightarrow$   
 (c)  $[\text{IO}_3]^- + \text{Br}^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow$
- 16.15** Describe in outline how you would attempt:  
 (a) to determine the equilibrium constant and standard enthalpy change for the aqueous solution reaction:  

$$\text{Cl}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HCl} + \text{HOCl}$$
  
 (b) to show that the oxide I<sub>4</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (reported to be formed by reaction between I<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>) reacts with water according to the reaction:  

$$\text{I}_4\text{O}_9 + 9\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 18\text{HIO}_3 + \text{I}_2$$
  
 (c) to show that when alkali metal atoms and Cl<sub>2</sub> interact in a solidified noble gas matrix at very low temperatures, the ion  $[\text{Cl}_2]^-$  is formed.
- 16.16** Discuss the interpretation of each of the following observations:  
 (a) Although the hydrogen bonding in HF is stronger than that in H<sub>2</sub>O, water has much the higher boiling point.  
 (b) Silver chloride and silver iodide are soluble in saturated aqueous KI, but insoluble in saturated aqueous KCl.
- 16.17** Explain why:  
 (a)  $[\text{NH}_4]\text{F}$  has the wurtzite structure, unlike other ammonium halides which possess the CsCl or NaCl lattice depending on temperature.  
 (b)  $[\text{PH}_4]\text{I}$  is the most stable of the  $[\text{PH}_4]^+\text{X}^-$  halides with respect to decomposition to PH<sub>3</sub> and HX.

## Overview problems

- 16.18** (a) The reaction of CsF,  $I_2O_5$  and  $IF_5$  at 435 K leads to  $Cs_2IOF_5$ . When the amount of CsF is halved, the product is  $CsIOF_4$ . Write balanced equations for the reactions. Are they redox reactions?
- (b) Using data in Figure 16.9, calculate  $\Delta G^\circ(298\text{ K})$  for the reaction:  
 $4[ClO_3]^- (aq) \rightleftharpoons 3[ClO_4]^- (aq) + Cl^- (aq)$   
 Comment on the fact that the reaction does not occur at 298 K.
- (c) Chlorine dioxide is the major bleaching agent in the pulp industry. While some statistics for bleaching agents list  $ClO_2$ , others give  $NaClO_3$  instead. Suggest reasons for this difference.
- 16.19** (a) BrO has been detected in the emission gases from volcanoes (N. Bobrowski *et al.* (2003) *Nature*, vol. 423, p. 273). Construct an MO diagram for the formation of BrO from Br and O atoms. Comment on any properties and bonding features of BrO that you can deduce from the diagram.
- (b)  $[Cl_2O_2]^+$  is approximately planar and is described as a charge transfer complex of  $[Cl_2]^+$  and  $O_2$ . By considering the HOMOs and LUMOs of  $[Cl_2]^+$  and  $O_2$ , suggest what orbital interactions are involved in the charge transfer.
- 16.20** (a) Comment on the fact that HOI disproportionates in aqueous solution at pH 0, but in aqueous HCl at pH 0, iodine(I) is stable with respect to disproportionation.
- (b) The solid state structure of  $[ClF_4][SbF_6]$  reveals the presence of ions, but asymmetrical Cl–F–Sb bridges result in infinite zigzag chains running through the lattice. The Cl atoms are in pseudo-octahedral environments. Draw the structures of the separate ions present in  $[ClF_4][SbF_6]$ , and use the structural description to illustrate part of one of the infinite chains.
- 16.21** Which description in the second list below can be correctly matched to each element or compound in the first list? There is only one match for each pair.
- | List 1              | List 2   |
|---------------------|--|
| $HClO_4$            | Weak acid in aqueous solution  |
| $CaF_2$             | Charge transfer complex  |
| $I_2O_5$            | Solid contains octahedrally sited chloride ion                                       |
| $ClO_2$             | Strong acid in aqueous solution  |
| $[BrF_6]^+$         | Contains a halogen atom in a square planar coordination environment                  |
| $[IF_6]^-$          | Its formation requires the use of an extremely powerful oxidative fluorinating agent |
| HOCl                | Anhydride of $HIO_3$   |
| $C_6H_6 \cdot Br_2$ | Adopts a prototype structure   |
| $ClF_3$             | Possesses a distorted octahedral structure   |
| RbCl                | Used in the nuclear fuel industry to fluorinate uranium                              |
| $I_2Cl_6$           | Radical  |
- 16.22** (a) How many degrees of vibrational freedom does each of  $ClF_3$  and  $BF_3$  possess? The IR spectrum of  $ClF_3$  in an argon matrix exhibits six absorptions, whereas that of  $BF_3$  has only three. Explain why the spectra differ in this way.
- (b) Which of the following compounds are potentially explosive and must be treated with caution:  $ClO_2$ ,  $KClO_4$ ,  $KCl$ ,  $Cl_2O_6$ ,  $Cl_2O$ ,  $Br_2O_3$ ,  $HF$ ,  $CaF_2$ ,  $ClF_3$  and  $BrF_3$ . State particular conditions under which explosions may occur. Are other serious hazards associated with any of the compounds in the list?

# Chapter 17

## The group 18 elements

### TOPICS

- Occurrence, extraction and uses
- Physical properties
- Compounds of xenon
- Compounds of krypton and radon


|    |    |         |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|----|----|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1  | 2  |         | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| H  |    |         |    |    |    |    |    | He |
| Li | Be |         | B  | C  | N  | O  | F  | Ne |
| Na | Mg |         | Al | Si | P  | S  | Cl | Ar |
| K  | Ca | d-block | Ga | Ge | As | Se | Br | Kr |
| Rb | Sr |         | In | Sn | Sb | Te | I  | Xe |
| Cs | Ba |         | Tl | Pb | Bi | Po | At | Rn |
| Fr | Ra |         |    |    |    |    |    |    |

### 17.1 Introduction

The group 18 elements (helium, neon, argon, krypton, xenon and radon) are called the *noble gases*.


This section gives a brief, partly historical, introduction to the group 18 elements, the ground state electronic configurations of which tend to suggest chemical inertness. Until 1962, the chemistry of the noble gases was restricted to a few very unstable species such as  $[\text{HHe}]^+$ ,  $[\text{He}_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{ArH}]^+$ ,  $[\text{Ar}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{HeLi}]^+$  formed by the combination of an ion and an atom under highly energetic conditions, and detected spectroscopically. Molecular orbital theory provides a simple explanation of why diatomic species such as  $\text{He}_2$  and  $\text{Ne}_2$  are not known. As we showed for  $\text{He}_2$  in [Section 1.13](#), bonding and antibonding MOs are fully occupied. However, in a monocation such as  $[\text{Ne}_2]^+$ , the highest energy MO is *singly* occupied, meaning that there

is a *net bonding* interaction. Thus, the bond energies in  $[\text{He}_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{Ne}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{Ar}_2]^+$  are 126, 67 and  $104 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , respectively, but no stable compounds containing these cations have been isolated. Although  $[\text{Xe}_2]^+$  has been known for some years and characterized by Raman spectroscopy ( $\nu(\text{XeXe}) = 123 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), it was only in 1997 that  $[\text{Xe}_2][\text{Sb}_4\text{F}_{21}]$  (prepared from  $[\text{XeF}][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$  and  $\text{HF/SbF}_5$ , see [Section 8.9](#)) was crystallographically characterized. Discrete  $[\text{Xe}_2]^+$  ions (**17.1**) are present in the solid state of  $[\text{Xe}_2][\text{Sb}_4\text{F}_{21}]$ , although there are weak  $\text{Xe}\cdots\text{F}$  interactions. The  $\text{Xe}-\text{Xe}$  bond is extremely long, the longest recorded homonuclear bond between main group elements.



When  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is frozen in the presence of Ar, Kr or Xe at high pressures, clathrates (see [Box 13.6](#) and [Section 16.4](#)) of limiting composition  $\text{Ar}\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{Kr}\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{Xe}\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  are obtained. The noble gas atoms are guests within hydrogen-bonded host lattices. Other noble gas-containing clathrates include  $3.5\text{Xe}\cdot 8\text{CCl}_4\cdot 136\text{D}_2\text{O}$  and  $0.866\text{Xe}\cdot 3[1,4\text{-(OH)}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4]$  (Figure 17.1). Although this type of system is well established, it must be stressed that no *chemical* change has occurred to the noble gas atoms upon formation of the clathrate.

The first indication that Xe was not chemically inert came in 1962 from work of Neil Bartlett when the reaction between Xe and  $\text{PtF}_6$  gave a compound formulated as ‘ $\text{XePtF}_6$ ’ (see [Section 5.16](#)). A range of species containing Xe chemically bonded to other elements (most commonly F or O) is now known. Compounds of Kr are limited to  $\text{KrF}_2$  and its derivatives. In principle, there should be many more compounds of Rn. However, the longest lived isotope,  $^{222}\text{Rn}$ , has a half-life of 3.8 d and is an intense  $\alpha$ -emitter (which leads to



 **Fig. 17.1** Part of the solid state lattice of tris( $\beta$ -hydroquinone) xenon clathrate showing the arrangement of the xenon atoms in cavities formed between hydrogen-bonded organic molecules [T. Birchall *et al.* (1989) *Acta Cryst., Sect. C*, vol. 45, p. 944]. Colour code: Xe, yellow; C, grey; O, red; H, white.


decomposition of its compounds), and, in practice, information about the chemistry of Rn is very limited.

## 17.2 Occurrence, extraction and uses

### Occurrence

After hydrogen, He is the second most abundant element in the universe. It occurs to an extent of  $\leq 7\%$  by volume in natural gas from sources in the US and Canada, and this origin is doubtless from the radioactive decay of heavier elements (see [Section 2.3](#)). Helium is also found in various minerals containing  $\alpha$ -emitting unstable isotopes. Helium was first detected spectroscopically in the Sun's atmosphere; helium is formed by nuclear fusion in the Sun (see [Section 2.8](#)). Figure 17.2 shows the relative abundances of the noble gases in the Earth's atmosphere. Argon is present to an extent of 0.92% by volume in the Earth's atmosphere ([Figure 14.1b](#)). Radon is formed by decay of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  in the  $^{238}\text{U}$  decay chain (see [Figure 2.3](#)), and poses a serious health hazard in uranium mines, being linked to cases of lung cancer.<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Development of lung cancer apparently associated with radon emissions is a more general cause for concern: P. Phillips, T. Denman and S. Barker (1997) *Chemistry in Britain*, vol. 33, number 1, p. 35 – 'Silent, but deadly'.




**Fig. 17.2** Relative abundances of the noble gases (excluding radon, the abundance of which is  $1 \times 10^{-12}$  ppb) in the Earth's atmosphere. The data are plotted on a logarithmic scale. The units of abundance are parts per billion by volume (1 billion =  $10^9$ ).

### Extraction

In terms of commercial production, He and Ar are the two most important noble gases. Helium is extracted from natural gas by liquefaction of other gases present (He has the lowest boiling point of all the elements), leaving gaseous He which is removed by pumping. Neon is extracted as a by-product when air is liquefied, being left behind as the only gas. Argon has almost the same boiling point as  $\text{O}_2$  (Ar, 87 K;  $\text{O}_2$ , 90 K) and the two gases remain together during the fractionation of liquid air. The  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  mixture can be partially separated by further fractionation; the crude Ar is mixed with  $\text{H}_2$  and sparked to remove  $\text{O}_2$  as  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , excess  $\text{H}_2$  being removed by passage over hot CuO. Krypton and xenon are usually separated from  $\text{O}_2$  by selective absorption on charcoal.

### Uses

Figure 17.3 summarizes the main uses of helium. Both helium and argon are used to provide inert atmospheres, for example for arc-welding (see [Box 17.1](#)) and during the growth of single Si or Ge crystals for the semiconductor industry (see [Box 5.3](#)). Argon is also used in laboratory inert atmosphere ('dry' or 'glove') boxes for handling air-sensitive compounds. Being very light and non-inflammable, He is used to inflate the tyres of large aircraft, and in balloons including weather balloons and NASA's unmanned suborbital research balloons. Liquid He is an important coolant and is used in highfield NMR spectrometers including those used in medical imaging (see [Box 2.6](#)). The superconductivity of metals cooled to the temperature of



**Fig. 17.3** Uses of helium in the US in 2001. The total consumption of ‘grade A’ helium in the US in 2001 was  $83 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ . [Data: US Geological Survey.]

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 17.1 Protective inert gases for metal arc-welding

The high-temperature conditions under which metal arc-welding takes place would, in the absence of protective gases, lead to reaction between molten metal and atmospheric gases including  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{N}_2$ . Noble gases such as He and Ar are an obvious choice for the protective blanket, but these may be mixed with an active ingredient such as  $\text{CO}_2$  (or  $\text{H}_2$ ) to provide an oxidizing (or reducing) component to the protective layer. Of He and Ar, the latter

is of greater industrial importance and is used in welding CrNi alloy steels and a range of metals. Argon is denser than He ( $1.78$  versus  $0.18 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  at  $273 \text{ K}$ ) and so gives better protection. High-purity Ar ( $>99.99\%$ ) is commercially available and such levels of purity are essential when dealing with metals such as Ti, Ta and Nb which are extremely prone to attack by  $\text{O}_2$  or  $\text{N}_2$  during arc-welding.

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 17.2 Xenon in twenty-first century space propulsion systems

In October 1998, at the start of its New Millennium Program, NASA launched a new space probe called *Deep Space One* (DS1), designed to test new technologies with potential applications in future solar exploration. One of the revolutionary technologies on this flight was a xenon-based ion propulsion system, ten times more efficient than any other used prior to the DS1 mission. The system operates by using a solar power source, and ionizes Xe gas contained in a chamber, at one end of which is a pair of metal grids charged at  $1280 \text{ V}$ . A xenon-ion beam is produced as ions are ejected through

the grids at  $\approx 145\,000 \text{ km h}^{-1}$ , and the resultant thrust is used to propel DS1 through space. Since the fuel is Xe gas (and only  $81 \text{ kg}$  is required for an approximately two-year mission), an advantage of the system, in addition to the efficient thrust, is that DS1 is smaller and lighter than previous unmanned spacecraft.

*Further information:* <http://nmp.jpl.nasa.gov/ds1>

liquid He suggests that the latter may become important in power transmission. An  $\text{O}_2/\text{He}$  mixture is used in place of  $\text{O}_2/\text{N}_2$  for deep-sea divers; He is much less soluble in blood than  $\text{N}_2$ , and does not cause ‘the bends’ when the pressure is released on surfacing. Helium is also used as a heat-transfer agent in gas-cooled nuclear reactors, for which it has the advantages of being non-corrosive and of not becoming radioactive under irradiation. Neon, krypton and xenon are used in electric discharge signs (e.g. for advertising) and Ar is contained in metal filament bulbs to reduce evaporation from the filament.

## 17.3 Physical properties

Some physical properties of the group 18 elements are listed in Table 17.1. Of particular significance is the fact that the noble gases have the highest ionization energies of the elements in their respective periods (*Figure 1.15*), but there is a decrease in values on descending the group (*Figure 5.25*). The extremely low values of  $\Delta_{\text{fus}}H^\circ$  and  $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ$  correspond to the weak van der Waals interactions between the atoms, and the increase in values of  $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ$

**Table 17.1** Some physical properties of the group 18 elements (noble gases).

| Property   | He             | Ne                    | Ar                    | Kr                           | Xe                           | Rn                                  |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Atomic number, $Z$   | 2              | 10                    | 18                    | 36                           | 54                           | 86                                  |
| Ground state electronic configuration  | $1s^2$         | $[\text{He}]2s^22p^6$ | $[\text{Ne}]3s^23p^6$ | $[\text{Ar}]3d^{10}4s^24p^6$ | $[\text{Kr}]4d^{10}5s^25p^6$ | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}5d^{10}6s^26p^6$ |
| Melting point, mp/K  | – <sup>‡</sup> | 24.5                  | 84                    | 116                          | 161                          | 202                                 |
| Boiling point, bp/K  | 4.2            | 27                    | 87                    | 120                          | 165                          | 211                                 |
| Standard enthalpy of fusion,<br>$\Delta_{\text{fus}}H^\circ(\text{mp})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$       | –              | 0.34                  | 1.12                  | 1.37                         | 1.81                         | –                                   |
| Standard enthalpy of vaporization,<br>$\Delta_{\text{vap}}H^\circ(\text{bp})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 0.08           | 1.71                  | 6.43                  | 9.08                         | 12.62                        | 18.0                                |
| First ionization energy, $IE_1/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$   | 2372           | 2081                  | 1521                  | 1351                         | 1170                         | 1037                                |
| Van der Waals radius, $r_v/\text{pm}$  | 99             | 160                   | 191                   | 197                          | 214                          | –                                   |

<sup>‡</sup> Helium cannot be solidified under any conditions of temperature and pressure.

down the group is due to increased interatomic interactions as atomic size and polarizability increase.

The properties of He deserve special note; it can diffuse through rubber and most glasses. Below 2.18 K, ordinary liquid  $^4\text{He}$  (but not  $^3\text{He}$ ) is transformed into liquid He(II) which has the remarkable properties of a thermal conductivity 600 times that of copper, and a viscosity approaching zero; it forms films only a few hundred atoms thick which flow up and over the side of the containing vessel.

### NMR active nuclei

In the NMR spectroscopic characterization of Xe-containing compounds, use is made of  $^{129}\text{Xe}$ , with a natural abundance of 26.4% and  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ . Although direct observation of  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  is possible, the observation of satellite peaks in, for example,  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectra of xenon fluorides is a valuable diagnostic tool as we illustrated for  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$  in case study 5, [Section 2.11](#). For a potential clinical application of  $^{129}\text{Xe}$ , see [Box 2.6](#).

#### Worked example 17.1 NMR spectroscopy of xenon-containing compounds

**Reaction of  $\text{XeF}_4$  and  $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{BF}_2$  at 218 K yields  $[\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{XeF}_2][\text{BF}_4]$ . (a) Use VSEPR theory to suggest a structure for  $[\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{XeF}_2]^+$ . (b) The  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{XeF}_2][\text{BF}_4]$  consists of a triplet ( $J = 3892 \text{ Hz}$ ), and the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum shows a three-line signal (relative intensities  $\approx 1:5.6:1$ ), three multiplets and a singlet. The relative integrals of the five signals are 2:2:1:2:4. Rationalize these data.**

(a) Xe has eight valence electrons.


The positive charge can be formally localized on Xe, leaving seven valence electrons.

Each F atom provides one electron to the valence shell of Xe.

The  $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5$  group is bonded through carbon to Xe and provides one electron to the valence shell of Xe.

Total number of electrons in the valence shell of Xe = 10

The parent shape for  $[\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{XeF}_2]^+$  is a trigonal bipyramid with the two lone pairs in the equatorial plane to minimize lone pair–lone pair repulsions. For steric reasons, the  $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5$  group is expected to lie in the equatorial plane with the plane of the aryl ring orthogonal to the plane containing the  $\text{XeF}_2$  unit. The expected structure is T-shaped:




(b) The triplet in the  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{XeF}_2][\text{BF}_4]$  shows a large coupling constant (3892 Hz) and arises from coupling between  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  and the two equivalent, directly bonded  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei.

There are four F environments in  $[\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{XeF}_2]^+$  (*ortho*, *meta* and *para*-F atoms in the aryl group and the two equivalent F atoms bonded to Xe, with a ratio 2:2:1:2, respectively). The signals for the aryl F atoms appear as multiplets because of  $^{19}\text{F}$ – $^{19}\text{F}$  coupling between non-equivalent F atoms. There are four equivalent F atoms in the  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  ion leading to a singlet; coupling to  $^{11}\text{B}$  is not observed. Only the directly bonded  $^{19}\text{F}$  nuclei couple to  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  ( $I = \frac{1}{2}$ , 26.4%). The signal in the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum assigned to these F atoms appears as a singlet with satellites for the 26.4% of the  $^{19}\text{F}$  bonded to spin-active  $^{129}\text{Xe}$ . The relative intensities 1:5.6:1 correspond to 26.4% of the signal split into a doublet (see [Figure 2.12](#)).

### Self-study exercises

**Nuclear spin data:** see [Tables 2.3](#) and [17.1](#).

**1. The reaction of  $\text{CF}_2=\text{CFBF}_2$  with  $\text{XeF}_2$  gives the  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  salt of the following cation:**



The solution  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  NMR spectrum of the compound exhibits an eight-line multiplet with lines of equal intensity. Account for this observation.

[Ans. See: H.-J. Frohn *et al.* (1999) *Chem. Commun.*, p. 919]


2. What would you expect to see in the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{XeF}_4$ , the structure of which is consistent with VSEPR theory?

[Ans. Similar to Figure 2.12 (experimental data:  $\delta$  317,  $J = 3895$  Hz)]


## 17.4 Compounds of xenon

### Fluorides



The most stable Xe compounds are the colourless fluorides  $\text{XeF}_2$ ,  $\text{XeF}_4$  and  $\text{XeF}_6$  (Table 17.2). Upon irradiation with UV light, Xe reacts with  $\text{F}_2$  at ambient temperature to give  $\text{XeF}_2$ ; the rate of formation is increased by using HF as a catalyst and pure  $\text{XeF}_2$  can be prepared by this method. Xenon difluoride may also be made by action of an electrical discharge on a mixture of Xe and  $\text{F}_2$ , or by passing these gases through a short nickel tube at 673 K. The latter method gives a mixture of  $\text{XeF}_2$  and  $\text{XeF}_4$ , and the yield of  $\text{XeF}_4$  is optimized by using a 1:5 Xe: $\text{F}_2$  ratio. With an  $\text{NiF}_2$  catalyst, the reaction proceeds at a lower temperature, and even at 393 K,  $\text{XeF}_6$  can be formed under these same conditions. It is not possible to prepare  $\text{XeF}_4$  free of  $\text{XeF}_2$  and/or  $\text{XeF}_6$ ; similarly,  $\text{XeF}_6$  always forms with contamination by the lower fluorides. Separation of  $\text{XeF}_4$  from a mixture involves preferential complexation of  $\text{XeF}_2$  and  $\text{XeF}_6$  (equation 17.1) and the  $\text{XeF}_4$  is then removed *in vacuo*, while separation of  $\text{XeF}_6$  involves reaction 17.2 followed by thermal decomposition of the complex.




All the fluorides sublime *in vacuo*, and all are readily decomposed by water,  $\text{XeF}_2$  very slowly, and  $\text{XeF}_4$  and  $\text{XeF}_6$ , rapidly (equations 17.3–17.5 and 17.14).




All three fluorides are powerful oxidizing and fluorinating agents, the relative reactivities being  $\text{XeF}_6 > \text{XeF}_4 > \text{XeF}_2$ . The difluoride is available commercially and is widely used for fluorinations, e.g. equations 16.32, 17.6 and 17.7. At 298 K,  $\text{XeF}_6$  reacts with silica (preventing the handling of  $\text{XeF}_6$  in silica glass apparatus, equation 17.8) and with  $\text{H}_2$ , while  $\text{XeF}_2$  and  $\text{XeF}_4$  do so only when heated.



The structures of the xenon halides are consistent with VSEPR theory. The  $\text{XeF}_2$  molecule is linear, but in the solid state, there are significant intermolecular interactions (Figure 17.4a). Square planar  $\text{XeF}_4$  molecules also pack in a molecular lattice in the solid state. In the vapour state, the vibrational spectrum of  $\text{XeF}_6$  indicates  $C_{3v}$  symmetry, i.e. an octahedron distorted by a stereochemically active lone pair in the centre of one face (17.2), but the molecule is readily converted into other configurations. Solid  $\text{XeF}_6$  is polymorphic, with four crystalline forms, three of which contain tetramers made up of square-pyramidal  $[\text{XeF}_5]^+$  units ( $\text{Xe}-\text{F} = 184$  pm) connected by fluoride bridges ( $\text{Xe}-\text{F} = 223$  and 260 pm) such that the Xe centres form a tetrahedral array (17.3). The lowest temperature polymorph contains tetrameric and hexameric units; in the latter,  $[\text{XeF}_5]^+$  units are connected by fluoride ions, each of which bridges between three Xe centres.



(17.2)




(17.3)

**Table 17.2** Selected properties of  $\text{XeF}_2$ ,  $\text{XeF}_4$  and  $\text{XeF}_6$ .


| Property   | $\text{XeF}_2$   | $\text{XeF}_4$   | $\text{XeF}_6$ |
|--|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Melting point / K  | 413              | 390              | 322            |
| $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{s}, 298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | -163             | -267             | -338           |
| $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{g}, 298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | -107             | -206             | -279           |
| Mean Xe–F bond enthalpy term / $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$              | 133              | 131              | 126            |
| Xe–F bond distance / pm  | 200 <sup>‡</sup> | 195 <sup>‡</sup> | 189*           |
| Molecular shape  | Linear           | Square planar    | Octahedral     |

<sup>‡</sup> Neutron diffraction; \* gas-phase electron diffraction.



**Fig. 17.4** Unit cells of (a)  $\text{XeF}_2$  and (b)  $\beta\text{-KrF}_2$  showing the arrangements and close proximity of molecular units. Colour code: Xe, yellow; Kr, red; F, green.



The bonding in  $\text{XeF}_2$  and  $\text{XeF}_4$  can be described in terms of using only the  $s$  and  $p$  valence orbitals. We showed in Figure 4.30 that the net bonding in linear  $\text{XeF}_2$  can be considered in terms of the overlap of a  $5p$  orbital on the Xe atom with an out-of-phase combination of F  $2p$  orbitals (a  $\sigma_u$ -orbital). This gives a formal bond order of  $\frac{1}{2}$  per Xe–F bond. A similar bonding scheme can be developed for square planar  $\text{XeF}_4$ . The net  $\sigma$ -bonding orbitals are shown in diagram 17.4. These are fully occupied, resulting in a formal bond order of  $\frac{1}{2}$  per Xe–F bond.




If the  $[\text{XeF}]^+$  ion (see below) is taken to contain a single bond, then the fact that its bond distance of 184–190 pm (depending on the salt) is noticeably shorter than those in  $\text{XeF}_2$  and  $\text{XeF}_4$  (Table 17.2) is consistent with a model of 3c-2e interactions in the xenon fluorides. Further support for low bond orders in  $\text{XeF}_2$  and  $\text{XeF}_4$  comes from the fact that the strengths of the Xe–F bonds in  $\text{XeF}_2$ ,  $\text{XeF}_4$  and  $\text{XeF}_6$  are essentially the same (Table 17.2), in contrast to the significant decrease noted (Section 16.7) along the series  $\text{ClF} > \text{ClF}_3 > \text{ClF}_5$ .

Xenon difluoride reacts with  $\text{F}^-$  acceptors. With pentafluorides such as  $\text{SbF}_5$ ,  $\text{AsF}_5$ ,  $\text{BrF}_5$ ,  $\text{NbF}_5$  and  $\text{IrF}_5$ , it forms three types of complex:  $[\text{XeF}]^+[\text{MF}_6]^-$ ,  $[\text{Xe}_2\text{F}_3]^+[\text{MF}_6]^-$  and  $[\text{XeF}]^+[\text{M}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$ , although in the solid state, there is evidence for cation–anion interaction

through the formation of Xe–F–M bridges. The  $[\text{Xe}_2\text{F}_3]^+$  cation has structure 17.5. A number of complexes formed between  $\text{XeF}_2$  and metal tetrafluorides have been reported, but structural characterizations are few, e.g.  $[\text{XeF}]^+[\text{CrF}_5]^-$  which has polymeric structure 17.6.




Xenon hexafluoride acts as an  $\text{F}^-$  donor to numerous pentafluorides, giving complexes of types  $[\text{XeF}_5]^+[\text{MF}_6]^-$ ,  $[\text{XeF}_5]^+[\text{M}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  (for M = Sb or V) and  $[\text{Xe}_2\text{F}_{11}]^+[\text{MF}_6]^-$ . The  $[\text{XeF}_5]^+$  ion (average Xe–F = 184 pm) is isoelectronic and isostructural with  $\text{IF}_5$  (16.6), but in solid state salts,




**Fig. 17.5** The structure of  $[\text{Xe}_2\text{F}_{11}]_2[\text{NiF}_6]$  determined by X-ray diffraction [A. Jesih *et al.* (1989) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 28, p. 2911]. The environment about each Xe centre is similar to that in the solid state  $[\text{XeF}_6]_4$  (17.3). Colour code: Xe, yellow; Ni, blue; F, green.

there is evidence for fluoride bridge formation between cations and anions. The  $[\text{Xe}_2\text{F}_{11}]^+$  cation can be considered as  $[\text{F}_5\text{Xe}\cdots\text{F}\cdots\text{XeF}_5]^+$  in the same way that  $[\text{Xe}_2\text{F}_3]^+$  can be written as  $[\text{FXe}\cdots\text{F}\cdots\text{XeF}_3]^+$ . The compounds  $[\text{XeF}_5][\text{AgF}_4]$  and  $[\text{Xe}_2\text{F}_{11}]_2[\text{NiF}_6]$  contain Ag(III) and Ni(IV) respectively, and are prepared from  $\text{XeF}_6$ , the metal(II) fluoride and  $\text{KrF}_2$ . In these cases,  $\text{XeF}_6$  is not strong enough to oxidize Ag(II) to Ag(III) or Ni(II) to Ni(IV), and  $\text{KrF}_2$  is employed as the oxidizing agent. The range of Xe–F bond distances in  $[\text{Xe}_2\text{F}_{11}]_2[\text{NiF}_6]$  (Figure 17.5) illustrates the  $[\text{F}_5\text{Xe}\cdots\text{F}\cdots\text{XeF}_5]^+$  nature of the cation and the longer  $\text{F}\cdots\text{Xe}$  contacts between anion and cations. Xenon tetrafluoride is much less reactive than  $\text{XeF}_2$  with  $\text{F}^-$  acceptors; among the few complexes formed is  $[\text{XeF}_3]^+[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$ . The  $[\text{XeF}_3]^+$  cation is isostructural with  $\text{ClF}_3$  (16.5) with bond lengths  $\text{Xe}-\text{F}_{\text{eq}} = 183 \text{ pm}$  and  $\text{Xe}-\text{F}_{\text{ax}} = 189 \text{ pm}$ .




(17.7)


Both  $\text{XeF}_4$  and  $\text{XeF}_6$  act as  $\text{F}^-$  acceptors. The ability of  $\text{XeF}_4$  to accept  $\text{F}^-$  to give  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$  has been observed in reactions with  $\text{CsF}$  and  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]\text{F}$ . The  $[\text{XeF}_5]^-$  ion (17.7) is one of only two pentagonal planar species known, the other being the isoelectronic  $[\text{IF}_5]^{2-}$  (Section 16.7). Equation 17.9 shows the formations of  $[\text{XeF}_7]^-$  and  $[\text{XeF}_8]^{2-}$  (which has a square-antiprismatic structure). The salts  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{XeF}_8]$  and  $\text{Rb}_2[\text{XeF}_8]$  are the most stable compounds of Xe yet made, and decompose only when heated above 673 K.



**Fig. 17.6** (a) The structure of  $[\text{XeF}_7]^-$ , determined by X-ray diffraction for the caesium salt [A. Ellern *et al.* (1996) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, vol. 35, p. 1123]; (b) the capped octahedral arrangement of the F atoms in  $[\text{XeF}_7]^-$ . Colour code: Xe, yellow; F, green.





Structural information on  $[\text{XeF}_7]^-$  has been difficult to obtain because of its ready conversion into  $[\text{XeF}_8]^{2-}$ . Recrystallization of freshly prepared  $\text{Cs}[\text{XeF}_7]$  from liquid  $\text{BrF}_5$  yields crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies; the  $[\text{XeF}_7]^-$  has a capped octahedral structure (Figure 17.6a) with  $\text{Xe}-\text{F} = 193$  and  $197 \text{ pm}$  in the octahedron and  $\text{Xe}-\text{F} = 210 \text{ pm}$  to the capping F atom. The coordination sphere defined by the seven F atoms is shown in Figure 17.6b; the octahedral part is significantly distorted. The reaction between  $\text{NO}_2\text{F}$  and excess  $\text{XeF}_6$  gives  $[\text{NO}_2]^+[\text{Xe}_2\text{F}_{13}]^-$ , the solid state structure of which reveals that the anion can be described as an adduct of  $[\text{XeF}_7]^-$  and  $\text{XeF}_6$  (structure 17.8).



(17.8)




## Chlorides

Xenon dichloride has been detected by matrix isolation. It is obtained on condensing the products of a microwave discharge in a mixture of  $\text{Cl}_2$  and a large excess of Xe at 20 K. Fully characterized compounds containing Xe–Cl bonds are rare, and most also contain Xe–C bonds (see the end of Section 17.4). The  $[\text{XeCl}]^+$  ion is formed as the  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  salt on treatment of  $[\text{XeF}]^+[\text{SbF}_6]^-$  in anhydrous  $\text{HF}/\text{SbF}_5$  with  $\text{SbCl}_5$ . In the solid state (data collected at 123 K), cation–anion interactions are observed in  $[\text{XeCl}][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$  as shown in structure 17.9. The Xe–Cl bond length is the shortest known to date. At 298 K,  $[\text{XeCl}][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$  decomposes according to equation 17.10.




## Oxides


Equations 17.4 and 17.5 showed the formation of  $\text{XeO}_3$  by hydrolysis of  $\text{XeF}_4$  and  $\text{XeF}_6$ . Solid  $\text{XeO}_3$  forms colourless crystals and is dangerously explosive ( $\Delta_f H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) = +402 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ). The solid contains trigonal pyramidal molecules (17.10). Xenon trioxide is only weakly acidic and its aqueous solution is virtually non-conducting. Reactions of  $\text{XeO}_3$  and  $\text{MOH}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{K}, \text{Rb}, \text{Cs}$ ) produce xenates (equation 17.11) which slowly disproportionate in solution (equation 17.12).



Aqueous  $[\text{XeO}_6]^{4-}$  is formed when  $\text{O}_3$  is passed through a dilute solution of  $\text{XeO}_3$  in alkali. Insoluble salts such as  $\text{Na}_4\text{XeO}_6 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{Ba}_2\text{XeO}_6$  may be precipitated, but perxenic acid 'H<sub>4</sub>XeO<sub>6</sub>' (a weak acid in aqueous solution) has not been isolated. The perxenate ion is a powerful oxidant and is rapidly reduced in aqueous acid (equation 17.13); oxidations such as  $\text{Mn(II)}$  to  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  occur instantly in acidic media at 298 K.





Xenon tetraoxide is prepared by the slow addition of concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  to  $\text{Na}_4\text{XeO}_6$  or  $\text{Ba}_2\text{XeO}_6$ . It is a pale yellow, highly explosive solid ( $\Delta_f H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) = +642 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) which is a very powerful oxidizing agent. Tetrahedral  $\text{XeO}_4$  molecules (17.11) are present in the gas phase.




## Oxofluorides

Oxofluorides are known for  $\text{Xe(IV)}$ ,  $\text{Xe(VI)}$  and  $\text{Xe(VIII)}$ :  $\text{XeOF}_2$ ,  $\text{XeOF}_4$ ,  $\text{XeO}_2\text{F}_2$ ,  $\text{XeO}_2\text{F}_4$  and  $\text{XeO}_3\text{F}_2$ . Their structures are consistent with VSEPR theory, see [problem 17.8](#). The 1:1 reaction of  $\text{XeF}_4$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in liquid  $\text{HF}$  yields  $\text{XeOF}_2$ , isolated as a pale yellow solid which decomposes explosively at 273 K. In contrast to reaction 17.5, *partial* hydrolysis of  $\text{XeF}_6$  (equation 17.14) gives  $\text{XeOF}_4$  (a colourless liquid, mp 227 K), which can be converted to  $\text{XeO}_2\text{F}_2$  by reaction 17.15. Reaction 17.16 is used to prepare  $\text{XeO}_3\text{F}_2$  which can be separated *in vacuo*; further reaction between  $\text{XeO}_3\text{F}_2$  and  $\text{XeF}_6$  yields  $\text{XeO}_2\text{F}_4$ .




The stable salts  $\text{M}[\text{XeO}_3\text{F}]$  ( $\text{M} = \text{K}$  or  $\text{Cs}$ ) are obtained from  $\text{MF}$  and  $\text{XeO}_3$ , and contain infinite chain anions with  $\text{F}^-$  ions bridging  $\text{XeO}_3$  groups. Similar complexes are obtained from  $\text{CsCl}$  or  $\text{RbCl}$  with  $\text{XeO}_3$  but these contain linked  $[\text{XeO}_3\text{Cl}_2]^{2-}$  anions as shown in 17.12.




## Other compounds of xenon

Members of a series of compounds of the type  $\text{FXeA}$  where, for example,  $\text{A}^-$  is  $[\text{OCIO}_3]^-$ ,  $[\text{OSO}_2\text{F}]^-$ ,  $[\text{OTeF}_5]^-$  or  $[\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3]^-$  have been prepared by the highly exothermic elimination of  $\text{HF}$  between  $\text{XeF}_2$  and  $\text{HA}$ . Further loss of  $\text{HF}$  leads to  $\text{XeA}_2$  (e.g. equation 17.17). Elimination of  $\text{HF}$  also drives the reaction of  $\text{XeF}_2$  with  $\text{HN}(\text{SO}_3\text{F})_2$  to yield  $\text{FXeN}(\text{SO}_3\text{F})_2$ , a relatively rare example of  $\text{Xe-N}$  bond formation.




Xenon-carbon bond formation is now quite well exemplified, and many products contain fluorinated aryl substituents, e.g.  $(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{CO}_2)_2\text{Xe}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)$ ,  $[(2,6\text{-F}_2\text{C}_5\text{H}_3\text{N})\text{XeC}_6\text{F}_5]^+$  (Figure 17.7a),  $[(2,6\text{-F}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)\text{Xe}][\text{BF}_4]$  (Figure 17.7b),  $[(2,6\text{-}$






**Fig. 17.7** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[(2,6\text{-F}_2\text{C}_5\text{H}_3\text{N})\text{Xe}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)]^+$  in the  $[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  salt [H.J. Frohn *et al.* (1995) *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, vol. 50, p. 1799] and (b)  $[(2,6\text{-F}_2\text{C}_5\text{H}_3)\text{Xe}][\text{BF}_4]$  [T. Gilles *et al.* (1994) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 50, p. 411]. Colour code: Xe, yellow; N, blue; B, blue; C, grey; F, green; H, white.

$\text{F}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)\text{Xe}][\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]$  and  $[(\text{MeCN})\text{Xe}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)]^+$ . The degree of interaction between the Xe centre and non-carbon donor (i.e. F, O or N) in these species varies. Some species are best described as containing Xe in a linear environment (e.g. Figure 17.7a) and others tend towards containing an  $[\text{RXe}]^+$  cation (e.g. Figure 17.7b). The compounds  $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{XeF}$  and  $(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_2\text{Xe}$  are obtained using the reactions in scheme 17.18. Stringent safety precautions must be taken when handling such compounds;  $(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_2\text{Xe}$  decomposes explosively above 253 K.




The  $[\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{XeF}_2]^+$  ion (formed as the  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  salt from  $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{BF}_2$  and  $\text{XeF}_4$ ) is an extremely powerful oxidative-fluorinating agent, e.g. it converts  $\text{I}_2$  to  $\text{IF}_5$ .


Compounds containing linear C–Xe–Cl units are recent additions to xenon chemistry, the first examples being  $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{XeCl}$  (equation 17.19) and  $[(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{Xe})_2\text{Cl}]^+$  (equation 17.20 and structure 17.14).




Compounds containing metal–xenon bonds have been known only since 2000. The first example was the square planar  $[\text{AuXe}_4]^{2+}$  cation (av. Au–Xe = 275 pm). It is produced when  $\text{AuF}_3$  is reduced to Au(II) in anhydrous  $\text{HF}/\text{SbF}_5$  in the presence of Xe (equation 17.21).



Removal of Xe from  $[\text{AuXe}_4][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]_2$  under vacuum at 195 K leads to  $[\text{cis-AuXe}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]_2$ . The *cis*-description arises as a result of Au–F–Sb bridge formation in the solid state (diagram 17.15). The *trans*-isomer of  $[\text{AuXe}_2]^{2+}$  is formed by reacting finely divided Au with  $\text{XeF}_2$  in  $\text{HF}/\text{SbF}_5$  under a pressure of Xe, but if the pressure is lowered, the product is the Au(II) complex  $[\text{XeAuFAuXe}][\text{SbF}_6]_3$ .



The +2 oxidation state is rare for gold (see Section 22.12). The acid strength of the  $\text{HF}/\text{SbF}_5$  system can be lowered by reducing the amount of  $\text{SbF}_5$  relative to  $\text{HF}$ . Under these conditions, crystals of the Au(III) complex 17.16





(containing *trans*-[AuXe<sub>2</sub>F]<sup>2+</sup>) are isolated from the reaction of XeF<sub>2</sub>, Au and Xe.

## 17.5 Compounds of krypton and radon

The only binary compound containing Kr is KrF<sub>2</sub>. It is a colourless solid which decomposes >250 K, and is best prepared by UV irradiation of a mixture of Kr and F<sub>2</sub> (4:1 molar ratio) at 77 K. Krypton difluoride is dimorphic. The low-temperature phase,  $\alpha$ -KrF<sub>2</sub>, is isomorphous with XeF<sub>2</sub> (Figure 17.4a). The structure of the  $\beta$ -form of KrF<sub>2</sub> is shown in Figure 17.4b. The phase transition from  $\beta$ - to  $\alpha$ -KrF<sub>2</sub> occurs below 193 K. Krypton difluoride is much less stable than XeF<sub>2</sub>. It is rapidly hydrolysed by water (in an analogous manner to reaction 17.3), and dissociates into Kr and F<sub>2</sub> at 298 K ( $\Delta_f H^\circ(298\text{ K}) = +60.2\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ). We have already exemplified the use of KrF<sub>2</sub> as a powerful oxidizing agent in the syntheses of [XeF<sub>3</sub>][AgF<sub>4</sub>] and [Xe<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[NiF<sub>6</sub>] (Section 17.4). Krypton difluoride reacts with a number of pentafluorides, MF<sub>5</sub> (typically in anhydrous HF or BrF<sub>5</sub> at low temperature), to form [KrF]<sup>+</sup>[MF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (M = As, Sb, Bi, Ta), [KrF]<sup>+</sup>[M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (M = Sb, Ta, Nb) and [Kr<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>[MF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (M = As, Sb, Ta). In the solid state, the [KrF]<sup>+</sup> ion in [KrF]<sup>+</sup>[MF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (M = As, Sb, Bi) is strongly associated with the anion (e.g. structure 17.17). The [Kr<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> ion (17.18)<sup>†</sup> is structurally similar to [Xe<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (17.5). The oxidizing and fluorinating powers of KrF<sub>2</sub> are illustrated by its reaction with metallic gold to give [KrF]<sup>+</sup>[AuF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup>.

Few compounds are known that contain Kr bonded to elements other than F. The reactions between KrF<sub>2</sub>, RC≡N (e.g. R = H, CF<sub>3</sub>) and AsF<sub>5</sub> in liquid HF or BrF<sub>5</sub> yield [(RCN)KrF]<sup>+</sup>[AsF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> with Kr–N bond formation, and Kr–O bond formation has been observed in the reaction of KrF<sub>2</sub> and B(OTeF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub> to give Kr(OTeF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.

Radon is oxidized by halogen fluorides (e.g. ClF, ClF<sub>3</sub>) to the non-volatile RnF<sub>2</sub>; the latter is reduced by H<sub>2</sub> at 770 K,



and is hydrolysed by water in an analogous manner to XeF<sub>2</sub> (equation 17.3). As we mentioned in Section 17.1, little chemistry of radon has been explored.

## Further reading

- K.O. Christe (2001) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 40, p. 1419 – An overview of recent developments: ‘A renaissance in noble gas chemistry’.
- G. Frenking and D. Cremer (1990) *Structure and Bonding*, vol. 73, p. 17 – A review: ‘The chemistry of the noble gas elements helium, neon and argon’.
- N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – Chapter 18 covers the noble gases in detail.
- J.H. Holloway and E.G. Hope (1999) *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 46, p. 51 – A review of recent developments in noble gas chemistry.
- C.K. Jørgensen and G. Frenking (1990) *Structure and Bonding*, vol. 73, p. 1 – A review: ‘A historical, spectroscopic and chemical comparison of noble gases’.
- J.F. Lehmann, H.P.A. Mercier and G.J. Schrobilgen (2002) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 233–234, p. 1 – A comprehensive review: ‘The chemistry of krypton’.
- B. Žemva (1994) ‘Noble gases: Inorganic chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 5, p. 2660 – A review of the subject.

## Problems

- 17.1** (a) What is the collective name for the group 18 elements? (b) Write down, in order, the names and symbols of these elements; check your answer by reference to the first page of this chapter. (c) What common feature does the ground state electronic configuration of each element possess?
- 17.2** Construct MO diagrams for He<sub>2</sub> and [He<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> and rationalize why the former is not known but the latter may be detected.
- 17.3** Confirm that the observed gas-phase structures of XeF<sub>2</sub>, XeF<sub>4</sub> and XeF<sub>6</sub> are consistent with VSEPR theory.
- 17.4** Rationalize the structure of [XeF<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (a square antiprism) in terms of VSEPR theory.
- 17.5** How would you attempt to determine values for (a)  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{XeF}_2, 298\text{ K})$  and (b) the Xe–F bond energy in XeF<sub>2</sub>?
- 17.6** Why is XeCl<sub>2</sub> likely to be much less stable than XeF<sub>2</sub>?
- 17.7** How may the standard enthalpy of the unknown salt Xe<sup>+</sup>F<sup>-</sup> be estimated?

<sup>†</sup>For details of variation of bond lengths and angles in [Kr<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> with the salt, see J.F. Lehmann *et al.* (2001) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 40, p. 3002.

- 17.8** Predict the structures of  $[\text{XeO}_6]^{4-}$ ,  $\text{XeOF}_2$ ,  $\text{XeOF}_4$ ,  $\text{XeO}_2\text{F}_2$ ,  $\text{XeO}_2\text{F}_4$  and  $\text{XeO}_3\text{F}_2$ .
- 17.9** Suggest products for the following reactions (which are not necessarily balanced on the left-hand sides):
- $\text{CsF} + \text{XeF}_4 \rightarrow$
  - $\text{SiO}_2 + \text{XeOF}_4 \rightarrow$
  - $\text{XeF}_2 + \text{SbF}_5 \rightarrow$
  - $\text{XeF}_6 + [\text{OH}]^- \rightarrow$
  - $\text{KrF}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$
- 17.10** Write a brief account of the chemistry of the xenon fluorides.

### Overview problems

- 17.11** (a) The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{Kr}_2\text{F}_3][\text{SbF}_6]$  in  $\text{BrF}_3$  at 207 K contains a doublet ( $J = 347$  Hz) and triplet ( $J = 347$  Hz) assigned to the cation. Explain the origin of these signals.
- (b) Give examples that illustrate the role of E–F–Xe and E–F–Kr bridge formation (E = any element) in the solid state. To what extent does bridge formation occur between cations and anions, and how does it affect the description of a solid as containing discrete ions?
- 17.12** Suggest products for the following reactions, which are not necessarily balanced on the left-hand side:
- $\text{KrF}_2 + \text{Au} \rightarrow$
  - $\text{XeO}_3 + \text{RbOH} \rightarrow$
  - $[\text{XeCl}][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}] \xrightarrow{298\text{ K}}$
  - $\text{KrF}_2 + \text{B}(\text{OTeF}_5)_3 \rightarrow$
  - $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{XeF} + \text{Me}_3\text{SiOSO}_2\text{CF}_3 \rightarrow$
  - $[\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{XeF}_2]^+ + \text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{I}^- \rightarrow$
- 17.13** By referring to the following literature source, assess the safety precautions required when handling  $\text{XeO}_4$ : M. Gerkin and G.J. Schrobilgen (2002) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 41, p. 198.
- 17.14** The vibrational modes of  $\text{KrF}_2$  are at 590, 449 and  $233\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Explain why only the bands at 590 and  $233\text{ cm}^{-1}$  are observed in the IR spectrum of gaseous  $\text{KrF}_2$ .
- 17.15** Use MO theory to rationalize why the Xe–F bond strength in  $[\text{XeF}]^+$  is greater than in  $\text{XeF}_2$ .

# Chapter 18

## Organometallic compounds of *s*- and *p*-block elements

### TOPICS

- Introductory comments
- Organometallic compounds of the *s*-block
- Compounds with element–carbon bonds involving metals and semi-metals from the *p*-block

|    |    |                 |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|----|----|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1  | 2  |                 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| H  |    |                 |    |    |    |    |    | He |
| Li | Be |                 | B  | C  | N  | O  | F  | Ne |
| Na | Mg |                 | Al | Si | P  | S  | Cl | Ar |
| K  | Ca | <i>d</i> -block | Ga | Ge | As | Se | Br | Kr |
| Rb | Sr |                 | In | Sn | Sb | Te | I  | Xe |
| Cs | Ba |                 | Tl | Pb | Bi | Po | At | Rn |
| Fr | Ra |                 |    |    |    |    |    |    |

### 18.1 Introduction

This chapter provides an introduction to the large area of the organometallic chemistry of *s*- and *p*-block elements.

An *organometallic* compound contains one or more metal–carbon bonds.


Compounds containing M–C bonds where M is an *s*-block element are readily classified as being organometallic. However, when we come to the *p*-block, the trend from metallic to non-metallic character means that a discussion of strictly *organometallic* compounds would ignore

### CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

#### Box 18.1 $\eta$ -Nomenclature for ligands

In organometallic chemistry in particular, use of the Greek prefix  $\eta$  (eta) is commonly encountered; the letter is accompanied by a superscript number (e.g.  $\eta^3$ ). This prefix describes the number of atoms in a ligand which directly interact with the metal centre, the *hapticity* of the ligand. For example, the cyclopentadienyl ligand,  $[\text{C}_5\text{H}_5]^-$  or  $\text{Cp}^-$ ,

is versatile in its modes of bonding, and examples include the following; note the different ways of representing the  $\eta^3$ - and  $\eta^5$ -modes. This type of nomenclature is also used in coordination chemistry, for example an  $\eta^2$ -peroxo ligand (see *structure 21.3*).




compounds of the semi-metals and synthetically important organoboron compounds. For the purposes of this chapter, we have broadened the definition of an organometallic compound to include species with B–C, Si–C, Ge–C, As–C, Sb–C, Se–C or Te–C bonds. Also relevant to this chapter is the earlier discussion of fullerenes (see [Section 13.4](#)). Quite often compounds containing, for example, Li–N or Si–N bonds are included in discussions of organometallics, but we have chosen to incorporate these in Chapters 10–16. We do not detail applications of main group organometallic compounds in organic synthesis, but appropriate references are given at the end of the chapter. Abbreviations for the organic substituents mentioned in this chapter are defined in [Appendix 2](#).

## 18.2 Group 1: alkali metal organometallics

Organic compounds such as terminal alkynes ( $\text{RC}\equiv\text{CH}$ ) which contain relatively acidic hydrogen atoms form salts with the alkali metals, e.g. reactions 18.1, 18.2 and 13.30.



Similarly, in reaction 18.3, the acidic  $\text{CH}_2$  group in cyclopentadiene can be deprotonated to prepare the cyclopentadienyl ligand which is synthetically important in organometallic chemistry (see also [Chapter 23](#));  $\text{Na}[\text{Cp}]$  can also be made by direct reaction of Na with  $\text{C}_5\text{H}_6$ .  $\text{Na}[\text{Cp}]$  is pyrophoric in air, but its air-sensitivity can be lessened by complexing the  $\text{Na}^+$  ion with 1,2-dimethoxyethane (dme). In the solid state,  $[\text{Na}(\text{dme})][\text{Cp}]$  is polymeric (Figure 18.1).




A *pyrophoric* material is one that burns spontaneously when exposed to air.

Colourless alkyl derivatives of Na and K are obtained by *transmetallation* reactions starting from mercury dialkyls (equation 18.4).



Organolithium compounds are of particular importance among the group 1 organometallics. They may be synthesized by treating an organic halide,  $\text{RX}$ , with Li (equation 18.5) or by metallation reactions (equation 18.6) using *n*-butyllithium which is commercially available as solutions in hydrocarbon (e.g. hexane) solvents.



**Fig. 18.1** Part of a chain that makes up the polymeric structure of  $[\text{Na}(\text{dme})][\text{Cp}]$  (dme = 1,2-dimethoxyethane); the zig-zag chain is emphasized by the hashed, red line. The structure was determined by X-ray diffraction [M.L. Coles *et al.* (2002) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 896]. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity; colour code: Na, purple; O, red; C, grey.




Solvent choices for reactions involving organometallics of the alkali metals are critical. For example,  ${}^n\text{BuLi}$  is decomposed by  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  to give  ${}^n\text{BuH}$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and  $\text{LiOEt}$ .

Alkali metal organometallics are extremely reactive and must be handled in air- and moisture-free environments;  $\text{NaMe}$ , for example, burns explosively in air.<sup>†</sup>

Lithium alkyls and aryls are more stable thermally than the corresponding compounds of the heavier group 1 metals (though they ignite spontaneously in air) and mostly differ from them in being soluble in hydrocarbons and other non-polar organic solvents and in being liquids or solids of low melting points. Sodium and potassium alkyls are insoluble in most organic solvents and, when stable enough with respect to thermal decomposition, have fairly high melting points. In the corresponding benzyl and triphenylmethyl compounds,  $\text{Na}^+[\text{PhCH}_2]^-$  and  $\text{Na}^+[\text{Ph}_3\text{C}]^-$  (equation 18.7), the negative charge in the organic anions can be delocalized over the aromatic systems; this enhances stability and the salts are red in colour.



Sodium and potassium also form intensely coloured salts with many aromatic compounds (e.g. reaction 18.8). In reactions such as this, the oxidation of the alkali metal involves the transfer of one electron to the aromatic system producing a paramagnetic *radical anion*.



<sup>†</sup> A useful source of reference is: D.F. Shriver and M.A. Drezdon (1986) *The Manipulation of Air-sensitive Compounds*, Wiley, New York.

**Table 18.1** Degree of aggregation of selected lithium alkyls at room temperature (unless otherwise stated).

| Compound          | Solvent                | Species present  |
|-------------------|------------------------|--|
| MeLi              | Hydrocarbons           | (MeLi) <sub>6</sub>  |
| MeLi              | Ethers                 | (MeLi) <sub>4</sub>  |
| <sup>n</sup> BuLi | Hydrocarbons           | ( <sup>n</sup> BuLi) <sub>6</sub>                                      |
| <sup>n</sup> BuLi | Ethers                 | ( <sup>n</sup> BuLi) <sub>4</sub>                                      |
| <sup>n</sup> BuLi | THF at low temperature | ( <sup>n</sup> BuLi) <sub>4</sub> ⇌ 2( <sup>n</sup> BuLi) <sub>2</sub> |
| <sup>t</sup> BuLi | Hydrocarbons           | ( <sup>t</sup> BuLi) <sub>4</sub>                                      |
| <sup>t</sup> BuLi | Et <sub>2</sub> O      | Mainly solvated ( <sup>t</sup> BuLi) <sub>2</sub>                      |
| <sup>t</sup> BuLi | THF                    | Mainly solvated <sup>t</sup> BuLi                                      |


A *radical anion* is an anion that possesses an unpaired electron.

Lithium alkyls are polymeric both in solution and in the solid state. Table 18.1 illustrates the extent to which MeLi, <sup>n</sup>BuLi and <sup>t</sup>BuLi aggregate in solution. In an (RLi)<sub>4</sub> tetramer, the Li atoms form a tetrahedral unit, while in an (RLi)<sub>6</sub> hexamer, the Li atoms define an octahedron. Figures 18.2a and b show the structure of (MeLi)<sub>4</sub>; the average Li–Li bond length is 261 pm compared with 267 pm in Li<sub>2</sub> (see Table 1.6); the bonding in lithium alkyls is the subject of [problem 18.2](#) at the end of the chapter. Figures 18.2c and d show the structure of the Li<sub>6</sub>C<sub>6</sub>-core of (LiC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>6</sub> (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub> = cyclohexyl); six Li–Li bond distances lie in the range 295–298 pm, while the other six are significantly shorter (238–241 pm). The presence of such aggregates in solution can be determined by using multinuclear NMR spectroscopy. Lithium possesses two spin-active isotopes (see Section 2.11 and Table 10.1) and the solution structures of lithium alkyls can be studied using <sup>6</sup>Li, <sup>7</sup>Li and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopies as worked example 18.1 illustrates. The alkyls of Na, K, Rb and Cs crystallize with extended structures (e.g. KMe adopts the NiAs structure, Figure 14.10) or are amorphous solids.


### Worked example 18.1 NMR spectroscopy of (<sup>t</sup>BuLi)<sub>4</sub>


The structure of (<sup>t</sup>BuLi)<sub>4</sub> is similar to that of (MeLi)<sub>4</sub> shown in Figure 18.2a, but with each H atom replaced by a methyl group. The 75 MHz <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of a sample of (<sup>t</sup>BuLi)<sub>4</sub>, prepared from <sup>6</sup>Li metal, consists of two signals, one for the methyl carbons and one for the quaternary carbon atoms. The signal for the quaternary carbons is shown below: (a) at 185 K and (b) at 299 K. Explain how these signals arise.

[Data: for <sup>6</sup>Li, *I* = 1.]




**Fig. 18.2** (a) The structure of (MeLi)<sub>4</sub> (X-ray diffraction) for the perdeuterated compound [E. Weiss *et al.* (1990) *Chem. Ber.*, vol. 123, p. 79]; the Li atoms define a tetrahedral array while the Li<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>-unit can be described as a distorted cube. For clarity, the Li–Li interactions are not shown in (a) but diagram (b) shows these additional interactions. (c) The Li<sub>6</sub>C<sub>6</sub>-core of (LiC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>6</sub> (X-ray diffraction) [R. Zerger *et al.* (1974) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 96, p. 6048]; the Li<sub>6</sub>C<sub>6</sub>-core can be considered as a distorted hexagonal prism with Li and C atoms at alternate corners. (d) An alternative view of the structure of the Li<sub>6</sub>C<sub>6</sub>-core of (LiC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>6</sub> which also shows the Li–Li interactions (these were omitted from (c) for clarity); the Li atoms define an octahedral array. Colour code: Li, red; C, grey; H, white.





**Fig. 18.3** Part of one polymeric chain of  $[(^n\text{BuLi})_4\cdot\text{TMEDA}]_\infty$  found in the solid state; the structure was determined by X-ray diffraction. Only the first carbon atom of each  $^n\text{Bu}$  chain is shown, and all H atoms are omitted for clarity. TMEDA molecules link  $(^n\text{BuLi})_4$  units together through the formation of Li–N bonds [N.D.R. Barnett *et al.* (1993) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 115, p. 1573]. Colour code: Li, red; C, grey; N, blue.



First, note that the lithium present in the sample is  $^6\text{Li}$ , and this is spin-active ( $I = 1$ ). The multiplet nature of the signals arises from  $^{13}\text{C}$ – $^6\text{Li}$  spin–spin coupling.

Multiplicity of signal (number of lines) =  $2nI + 1$

Consider Figure 18.2a with each H atom replaced by an Me group to give  $(^t\text{BuLi})_4$ . The quaternary C atoms are those bonded to the Li centres, and, *in the static structure*, each  $^{13}\text{C}$  nucleus can couple with *three* adjacent and equivalent  $^6\text{Li}$  nuclei.

Multiplicity of signal =  $(2 \times 3 \times 1) + 1 = 7$

This corresponds to the seven lines (a septet) observed in figure (a) for the low-temperature spectrum. Note that the pattern is *non-binomial*. At 299 K, a nonet is observed (non-binomial).

Multiplicity of signal =  $(2 \times n \times 1) + 1 = 9$   
 $n = 4$


This means that the molecule is fluxional, and each quaternary  $^{13}\text{C}$  nucleus ‘sees’ four equivalent  $^6\text{Li}$  nuclei on the NMR spectroscopic timescale. We can conclude that at 185 K, the molecule possesses a static structure but as the temperature is raised to 299 K, sufficient energy becomes available to allow a fluxional process to occur which exchanges the  $^t\text{Bu}$  groups.

For a full discussion, see the source of these experimental data: R.D. Thomas *et al.* (1986) *Organometallics*, vol. 5, p. 1851.


[For details of NMR spectroscopy: see [Section 2.11](#); case study 4 in this section is concerned with a non-binomial multiplet.]

### Self-study exercises

1. From the data above, what would you expect to see in the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum at 340 K? [Ans. Non-binomial nonet]
2. The  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of  $(^t\text{BuLi})_4$  at 185 K is called the ‘limiting low-temperature spectrum’. Explain what this means.




Amorphous alkali metal alkyls such as  $^n\text{BuNa}$  are typically insoluble in common solvents, but are solubilized by the chelating ligand TMEDA (18.1).<sup>†</sup> Addition of this ligand may break down the aggregates of lithium alkyls to give lower nuclearity complexes, e.g.  $[(^n\text{BuLi}\cdot\text{TMEDA})_2]$ , 18.2. However, detailed studies have revealed that this system is far from simple, and under different conditions, it is possible to isolate crystals of either  $[(^n\text{BuLi}\cdot\text{TMEDA})_2]$  or  $[(^n\text{BuLi})_4\cdot\text{TMEDA}]_\infty$  (Figure 18.3). In the case of  $(\text{MeLi})_4$ , the addition of TMEDA does not lead to cluster breakdown, and an X-ray diffraction study of  $(\text{MeLi})_4\cdot 2\text{TMEDA}$  confirms the presence of tetramers and amine molecules in the crystal lattice.



Organolithium compounds (in particular MeLi and  $^n\text{BuLi}$ ) are of great importance as synthetic reagents.

<sup>†</sup> The abbreviation TMEDA stems from the non-IUPAC name *N,N,N',N'*-tetramethylethylenediamine.

Among the many uses of organolithium alkyls and aryls are the conversions of boron trihalides to organoboron compounds (equation 18.9) and similar reactions with other *p*-block halides (e.g. SnCl<sub>4</sub>).





Lithium alkyls are important catalysts in the synthetic rubber industry for the stereospecific polymerization of alkenes.




### 18.3 Group 2 organometallics

#### Beryllium


Beryllium alkyls and aryls are best made by reaction types 18.10 and 18.11 respectively. They are hydrolysed by water and inflame in air.



In the vapour phase, Me<sub>2</sub>Be is monomeric, with a linear C–Be–C unit (Be–C = 170 pm); the bonding was described in [Section 4.2](#). The solid state structure is polymeric (**18.3**), and resembles that of BeCl<sub>2</sub> ([Figure 11.3b](#)). However, whereas the bonding in BeCl<sub>2</sub> can be described in terms of a localized bonding scheme ([Figure 11.3c](#)), there are insufficient valence electrons available in (Me<sub>2</sub>Be)<sub>n</sub> for an analogous bonding picture. Instead, 3c-2e bonds are invoked as described for BeH<sub>2</sub> (see [Figure 9.13](#) and associated text). Higher alkyls are progressively polymerized to a lesser extent, and the *tert*-butyl derivative is monomeric under all conditions.



**Fig. 18.4** (a) The solid state structure of Cp<sub>2</sub>Be determined by X-ray diffraction at 128 K [K.W. Nugent *et al.* (1984) *Aust. J. Chem.*, vol. 37, p. 1601]. (b) The same structure showing the two equivalent sites over which the Be atom is disordered. Colour code: Be, yellow; C, grey; H, white.



Reaction 18.12 leads to the formation of Cp<sub>2</sub>Be, and in the solid state, the structure ([Figure 18.4a](#)) is in accord with the description (η<sup>1</sup>-Cp)(η<sup>5</sup>-Cp)Be. Electron diffraction and spectroscopic studies of Cp<sub>2</sub>Be in the gas phase have provided conflicting views of the structure, but recent data indicate that it resembles that found in the solid state rather than the (η<sup>5</sup>-Cp)<sub>2</sub>Be originally proposed. In solution, however, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum shows that *all* proton environments are equivalent even at 163 K. Furthermore, the solid state structure is not as simple as [Figure 18.4a](#) shows; the Be atom is *disordered* (see [Box 14.6](#)) over two equivalent sites shown in [Figure 18.4b](#) and, thus, the solution NMR spectroscopic data can be interpreted in terms of a fluxional process in which the Be atom moves between these two sites. The compound (C<sub>5</sub>HMe<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Be can be prepared at room temperature from BeCl<sub>2</sub> and K[C<sub>5</sub>HMe<sub>4</sub>]. In the solid state at 113 K, it is structurally similar to Cp<sub>2</sub>Be although, in (C<sub>5</sub>HMe<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Be, the Be atom is not disordered. Solution <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic data for (C<sub>5</sub>HMe<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Be are consistent with the molecule being fluxional down to 183 K. The fully methylated derivative (C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Be is made by reaction 18.13. In contrast to Cp<sub>2</sub>Be and (C<sub>5</sub>HMe<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Be, (C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Be possesses a *sandwich* structure in which the two C<sub>5</sub>-rings are coparallel and staggered ([Figure 18.5](#)), i.e. the compound is formulated as (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Be.



In a *sandwich complex*, the metal centre lies between two π-bonded hydrocarbon (or derivative) ligands. Complexes of the type (η<sup>5</sup>-Cp)<sub>2</sub>M are called *metallocenes*.


We consider bonding schemes for complexes containing Cp<sup>−</sup> ligands in [Box 18.2](#).

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 18.2 Bonding in cyclopentadienyl complexes

 $\eta^1$ -mode

A bonding description for an  $[\eta^1\text{-Cp}]^-$  ligand is straightforward. The M–C single bond is a localized, two-centre two-electron interaction:


 $\eta^5$ -mode

If all five carbon atoms of the cyclopentadienyl ring interact with the metal centre, the bonding is most readily described in terms of an MO scheme. Once the  $\sigma$ -bonding framework of the  $[\text{Cp}]^-$  ligand has been formed, there is one  $2p_z$  atomic orbital per C atom remaining, and five combinations are possible. The MO diagram below shows the formation of  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})\text{BeH}$  ( $C_{5v}$ ), a model compound that allows us to see how the  $[\eta^5\text{-Cp}]^-$  ligand interacts with an *s*- or *p*-block

metal fragment. For the formation of the  $[\text{BeH}]^+$  fragment, we can use an *sp* hybridization scheme; one *sp* hybrid points at the H atom and the other points at the Cp ring. Using the procedure outlined in **Chapter 4**, the orbitals of the  $[\text{BeH}]^+$  unit are classified as having  $a_1$  or  $e_1$  symmetry within the  $C_{5v}$  point group. To work out the  $\pi$ -orbitals of the  $[\text{Cp}]^-$  ligand, we first determine how many C  $2p_z$  orbitals are unchanged by each symmetry operation in the  $C_{5v}$  point group (**Appendix 3**). The result is summarized by the row of characters:

| $E$ | $2C_5$ | $2C_5^2$ | $5\sigma_v$ |
|-----|--------|----------|-------------|
| 5   | 0      | 0        | 1           |


This row can be obtained by adding the rows of characters for the  $A_1$ ,  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  representations in the  $C_{5v}$  character table. Thus, the five  $\pi$ -orbitals of  $[\text{Cp}]^-$  possess  $a_1$ ,  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  symmetries. By applying the methods described in **Chapter 4**, the wavefunctions for these orbitals can be



determined; the orbitals are shown schematically on the left-hand side of the diagram on the opposite page. The MO diagram is constructed by matching the symmetries of the fragment orbitals; mixing can occur between the two  $a_1$  orbitals of the  $[\text{BeH}]^+$  fragment. Four bonding MOs ( $a_1$  and  $e_1$ ) result; the  $e_2$   $[\text{Cp}]^-$  orbitals are non-bonding with respect to Cp–BeH interactions. (Antibonding MOs have been omitted from the diagram.) Eight electrons are

available to occupy the  $a_1$  and  $e_1$  MOs. Representations of the  $a_1$ ,  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  MOs are shown at the right-hand side of the figure and illustrate that the  $e_1$  set possesses Be–C bonding character, while both  $a_1$  MOs exhibit Be–C and Be–H bonding character.

Bonding in cyclopentadienyl complexes of  $d$ -block metals (see *Chapter 23*) can be described in a similar manner but must allow for the participation of metal  $d$ -orbitals.



 **Fig. 18.5** The solid state structure (X-ray diffraction at 113 K) of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Be}$  [M. del Mar Conejo *et al.* (2000) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, vol. 39, p. 1949]. Colour code: Be, yellow; C, grey; H, white.


## Magnesium

Alkyl and aryl magnesium halides (Grignard reagents, represented by the formula  $\text{RMgX}$ ) are extremely well known on account of their uses in synthetic organic chemistry (see further reading list for this chapter). The general


preparation of a Grignard reagent (equation 18.14) requires initial activation of the metal, e.g. by addition of  $\text{I}_2$ .




Transmetalation of a suitable organomercury compound is a useful means of preparing pure Grignard reagents (equation 18.15), and transmetalation 18.16 can be used to synthesize compounds of type  $\text{R}_2\text{Mg}$ .



Although equations 18.14–18.16 show the magnesium organometallics as simple species, this is an oversimplification. Two-coordination at Mg in  $\text{R}_2\text{Mg}$  is only observed in the solid state when the R groups are especially bulky, e.g.  $\text{Mg}\{\text{C}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3\}_2$  (Figure 18.6a). Grignard reagents are generally solvated, and crystal structure data show that the Mg centre is typically tetrahedrally sited, e.g. in  $\text{EtMgBr} \cdot 2\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (Figure 18.6b) and  $\text{PhMgBr} \cdot 2\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ . A few examples of 5- and 6-coordination have been observed, e.g. in **18.4** where the macrocyclic ligand imposes the higher coordination number on the metal centre. The preference for an octahedral structure can be controlled by careful choice of the R group, e.g.  $\text{R} = \text{thienyl}$  as in complex **18.5**. The introduction of two or more didentate ligands into the octahedral coordination sphere leads to the possibility of




 **Fig. 18.6** The solid state structures, determined by X-ray diffraction, of (a)  $\text{Mg}\{\text{C}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3\}_2$  [S.S. Al-Juaid *et al.* (1994) *J. Organomet. Chem.*, vol. 480, p. 199], (b)  $\text{EtMgBr} \cdot 2\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  [L.J. Guggenberger *et al.* (1968) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 90, p. 5375], and (c)  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Mg}$  in which each ring is in an  $\eta^5$ -mode and the two rings are mutually staggered [W. Bunder *et al.* (1975) *J. Organomet. Chem.*, vol. 92, p. 1]. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity; colour code: Mg, yellow; C, grey; Si, pink; Br, brown; O, red.




**Fig. 18.7** Part of a chain in the polymeric structure (X-ray diffraction 118 K) of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Ba}$  illustrating the bent metallocene units [R.A. Williams *et al.* (1988) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem Commun.*, p. 1045]. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted; colour code: Ba, orange; C, grey.

*stereoisomerism*, e.g. **18.5** is chiral (see [Sections 3.8](#) and [19.8](#)). Enantiomerically pure Grignard reagents have potential for use in stereoselective organic synthesis. Solutions of Grignard reagents may contain several species, e.g.  $\text{RMgX}$ ,  $\text{R}_2\text{Mg}$ ,  $\text{MgX}_2$ ,  $\text{RMg}(\mu\text{-X})_2\text{MgR}$ , which are further complicated by solvation. The positions of equilibria between these species are markedly dependent on concentration, temperature and solvent; strongly donating solvents favour monomeric species in which they coordinate to the metal centre.



(18.4)







(18.5)

In comparison with its beryllium analogue,  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Mg}$  has the structure shown in Figure 18.6c, i.e. two  $\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl ligands, and is structurally similar to ferrocene (see [Section 23.13](#)). The reaction between Mg and  $\text{C}_5\text{H}_6$  yields  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Mg}$ , which is decomposed by water; the compound is therefore often inferred to be an ionic compound and, indeed, significant ionic character is suggested by the long Mg–C bonds in the solid state and also by IR and Raman spectroscopic data.

## Calcium, strontium and barium

The heavier group 2 metals are highly electropositive, and metal–ligand bonding is generally considered to be predominantly ionic. Nonetheless, this remains a topic for debate and theoretical investigation. While  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Be}$  and  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Mg}$  are monomeric and are soluble in hydrocarbon solvents,  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Ca}$ ,  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Sr}$  and  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Ba}$  are polymeric and are insoluble in ethers and hydrocarbons. Increasing the steric demands of the substituents on the  $\text{C}_5$ -rings leads to structural changes in the solid state and to changes in

solution properties, e.g.  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Ba}$  is polymeric,  $\{1,2,4\text{-}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3\text{C}_5\text{H}_2\}_2\text{Ba}$  is dimeric and  $(^i\text{Pr}_5\text{C}_5)_2\text{Ba}$  is monomeric. Oligomeric metallocene derivatives of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  typically exhibit bent  $\text{C}_5\text{-M-C}_5$  units (Figure 18.7 and see the end of [Section 18.5](#)), but in  $(^i\text{Pr}_5\text{C}_5)_2\text{Ba}$ , the  $\text{C}_5$ -rings are coparallel. The  $^i\text{Pr}_5\text{C}_5$ -rings are very bulky, and sandwich the  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  ion protectively, making  $(^i\text{Pr}_5\text{C}_5)_2\text{Ba}$  air-stable. The 1990s saw significant development of the organometallic chemistry of the heavier group 2 metals, with one driving force being the search for precursors for use in chemical vapour deposition (see [Chapter 27](#)). Some representative synthetic methodologies are given in equations 18.17–18.20, where  $\text{M} = \text{Ca}$ ,  $\text{Sr}$  or  $\text{Ba}$ .<sup>†</sup>



### Worked example 18.2 Cyclopentadienyl complexes of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , $\text{Sr}^{2+}$ and $\text{Ba}^{2+}$


**In the solid state,  $(\eta^5\text{-1,2,4-(SiMe}_3)_3\text{C}_5\text{H}_2)\text{SrI}(\text{THF})_2$  exists as dimers, each with an inversion centre. Suggest how the**

<sup>†</sup>For greater detail, see: T.P. Hanusa (2000) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 210, p. 329.

**dimeric structure is supported and draw a diagram to show the structure of the dimer.**


The iodide ligands have the potential to bridge between two Sr centres.

When drawing the structure, ensure that the two halves of the dimer are related by an inversion centre, *i* (see [Section 3.2](#)):



### Self-study exercises

1. '( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub><sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>4</sub>H)CaI' can be stabilized in the presence of THF as a THF complex. However, removal of coordinated THF by heating results in the reaction:



Comment on these observations.

2. The reaction of BaI<sub>2</sub> with K[1,2,4-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>2</sub>] yields a compound A and an ionic salt. The solution <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of A shows singlets at  $\delta$  6.69 (2H), 0.28 (18H) and 0.21 (9H). Suggest an identity for A and assign the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum.

[For more information and answers, see: M.J. Harvey *et al.* (2000) *Organometallics*, vol. 19, p. 1556.]


## 18.4 Group 13

### Boron

We have already discussed the following aspects of organo-boron compounds:

- reactions of alkenes with B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> to give R<sub>3</sub>B compounds (see [Figure 12.7](#));
- the preparation of B<sub>4</sub><sup>1</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub> ([equation 12.39](#));
- organoboranes which also contain B–N bonds ([Section 12.8](#)).

Organoboranes of type R<sub>3</sub>B can be prepared by reaction 18.21, or by the hydroboration reaction mentioned above.





Trialkylboranes are monomeric and inert towards water, but are pyrophoric; the triaryl compounds are less reactive. Both


sets of compounds contain planar 3-coordinate B and act as Lewis acids towards amines and carbanions (see also [Sections 12.5](#) and [12.6](#)). Reaction 18.22 shows an important example; sodium tetraphenylborate is water-soluble but salts of larger monovalent cations (e.g. K<sup>+</sup>) are insoluble. This makes Na[BPh<sub>4</sub>] useful in the precipitation of large metal ions.



Compounds of the types R<sub>2</sub>BCl and RBCl<sub>2</sub> can be prepared by transmetallation reactions (e.g. [equation 18.23](#)) and are synthetically useful (e.g. [reactions 12.63](#) and [18.24](#)).



The bonding in R<sub>2</sub>B(μ-H)<sub>2</sub>BR<sub>2</sub> can be described in a similar manner to that in B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (see [Section 4.7](#)). An important member of this family is **18.6**, commonly known as 9-BBN,<sup>†</sup> which is used for the regioselective reduction of ketones, aldehydes, alkynes and nitriles.



By using bulky organic substituents (e.g. mesityl = 2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), it is possible to stabilize compounds of type R<sub>2</sub>B–BR<sub>2</sub>. These should be contrasted with X<sub>2</sub>B–BX<sub>2</sub> where X = halogen or NR<sub>2</sub> in which there is X → B π-overlap (see [Sections 12.6](#) and [12.8](#)). Two-electron reduction of R<sub>2</sub>B–BR<sub>2</sub> gives [R<sub>2</sub>B=BR<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, an isoelectronic analogue of an alkene. The planar B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub> framework has been confirmed by X-ray diffraction for Li<sub>2</sub>[B<sub>2</sub>(2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Ph], although there is significant interaction between the B=B unit and two Li<sup>+</sup> centres. The shortening of the B–B bond on going from B<sub>2</sub>(2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Ph (171 pm) to [B<sub>2</sub>(2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Ph]<sup>2-</sup> (163 pm) is less than might be expected and this observation is attributed to the large Coulombic repulsion between the two B<sup>-</sup> centres.

### Aluminium

Aluminium alkyls can be prepared by the transmetallation reaction 18.25, or from Grignard reagents ([equation](#)

<sup>†</sup> The systematic name for 9-BBN is 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane.

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 18.3 Ziegler–Natta catalysts

Polymerization of alkenes is of great industrial importance, and one key issue is the production of stereoregular polymers; isotactic polypropene has a higher melting point, density and tensile strength than the atactic form. Use of the Ziegler–Natta heterogeneous catalyst controls not only the stereospecificity of the polymer but also allows the polymerization of  $\text{RCH}=\text{CH}_2$  ( $\text{R} = \text{H}$  or  $\text{CH}_3$ ) to be carried out at 298 K and under atmospheric pressure. This is in contrast to early processes which used both higher temperatures and pressures. The Ziegler–Natta catalyst consists of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  and  $\text{Et}_3\text{Al}$  with  $\text{Et}_2\text{AlCl}$  as co-catalyst, and its development earned K. Ziegler and G. Natta the 1963 Nobel Prize in Chemistry. Ziegler was also responsible for initiating the use of aluminium trialkyls as catalysts for the growth of unbranched alkane chains:



Subsequent conversion to long-chain alcohols is of commercial importance in the detergent industry.

Ziegler–Natta catalysts are discussed further in *Box 23.7* and *Section 26.7*.



For further discussion of relevant industries, see:

S. Dobson (1995) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 870 – ‘Man-made fibre markets: Recent agitation and change’.


R.G. Harvan (1997) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 212 – ‘Polyethylene: New directions for a commodity thermo-plastic’.

D.F. Oxley (1996) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 535 – ‘The world market for polypropylene’.


18.26). On an industrial scale, the direct reaction of Al with a terminal alkene and  $\text{H}_2$  (equation 18.27) is employed.



Reactions between Al and alkyl halides yield alkyl aluminium halides (equation 18.28); note that **18.7** is in equilibrium with  $[\text{R}_2\text{Al}(\mu\text{-X})_2\text{AlR}_2]$  and  $[\text{RXAl}(\mu\text{-X})_2\text{AlRX}]$  via a redistribution reaction, but **18.7** predominates in the mixture.



Alkyl aluminium hydrides are obtained by reaction 18.29. These compounds, although unstable to both air and water, are important catalysts for the polymerization of alkenes and other unsaturated organic compounds. Ziegler–Natta catalysts containing trialkyl aluminium compounds are introduced in *Box 18.3*.




Earlier we noted that  $\text{R}_3\text{B}$  compounds are monomeric. In contrast, aluminium trialkyls form dimers. Although this resembles the behaviour of the halides discussed in *Section*


*12.6*, there are differences in bonding. Trimethylaluminium (mp 313 K) possesses structure **18.8** and so bonding schemes can be developed in like manner as for  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$ . The fact that  $\text{Al}-\text{C}_{\text{bridge}} > \text{Al}-\text{C}_{\text{terminal}}$  is consistent with 3c-2e bonding in the Al–C–Al bridges, but with 2c-2e terminal bonds. Equilibria between dimer and monomer exist in solution, with the monomer becoming more favoured as the steric demands of the alkyl group increase. Mixed alkyl halides also dimerize as exemplified in structure **18.7**, but with particularly bulky R groups, the monomer (with trigonal planar Al) is favoured, e.g.  $(2,4,6\text{-}^t\text{Bu}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)\text{AlCl}_2$  (Figure 18.8a). Triphenylaluminium also exists as a dimer, but in the mesityl derivative (mesityl =  $2,4,6\text{-Me}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$ ), the steric demands of the substituents stabilize the monomer. Figure 18.8b shows the structure of  $\text{Me}_2\text{Al}(\mu\text{-Ph})_2\text{AlMe}_2$ , and the orientations of the bridging phenyl groups are the same as in  $\text{Ph}_2\text{Al}(\mu\text{-Ph})_2\text{AlPh}_2$ . This orientation is sterically favoured and places each *ipso*-carbon atom in an approximately tetrahedral environment.

The *ipso*-carbon atom of a phenyl ring is the one to which the substituent is attached; e.g. in  $\text{PPh}_3$ , the *ipso*-C of each Ph ring is bonded to P.


In dimers containing  $\text{RC}\equiv\text{C}$ -bridges, a different type of bonding operates. The structure of  $\text{Ph}_2\text{Al}(\text{PhC}\equiv\text{C})_2\text{AlPh}_2$  (**18.9**) shows that the alkynyl bridges lean over towards one of the Al centres. This is interpreted in terms of their behaving as  $\sigma,\pi$ -ligands: each forms one Al–C  $\sigma$ -bond and interacts with the second Al centre by using the  $\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$   $\pi$ -bond. Thus, each alkynyl group is able to provide three electrons for bridge bonding in contrast to one electron being supplied by an alkyl or aryl group; the bonding is shown schematically in **18.10**.



**Fig. 18.8** The solid state structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $(2,4,6\text{-}^i\text{Bu}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)\text{AlCl}_2$  [R.J. Wehmschulte *et al.* (1996) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 35, p. 3262], (b)  $\text{Me}_2\text{Al}(\mu\text{-Ph})_2\text{AlMe}_2$  [J.F. Malone *et al.* (1972) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 2649], and (c) the adduct  $\text{L} \cdot (\text{AlMe}_3)_4$  where L is the sulfur-containing macrocyclic ligand 1,4,8,11-tetrathiacyclotetradecane [G.H. Robinson *et al.* (1987) *Organometallics*, vol. 6, p. 887]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Al, blue; C, grey; Cl, green; S, yellow.



(18.9)




(18.10)


Trialkylaluminium derivatives behave as Lewis acids, forming a range of adducts, e.g.  $\text{R}_3\text{N} \cdot \text{AlR}_3$ ,  $\text{K}[\text{AlR}_3\text{F}]$ ,  $\text{Ph}_3\text{P} \cdot \text{AlMe}_3$  and more exotic complexes such as that shown in Figure 18.8c. Each adduct contains a tetrahedrally sited Al atom. Trialkylaluminium compounds are stronger Lewis acids than either  $\text{R}_3\text{B}$  or  $\text{R}_3\text{Ga}$ , and the sequence for group 13 follows the trend  $\text{R}_3\text{B} < \text{R}_3\text{Al} > \text{R}_3\text{Ga} > \text{R}_3\text{In} > \text{R}_3\text{Tl}$ .

The first  $\text{R}_2\text{Al}-\text{AlR}_2$  derivative was reported in 1988, and was prepared by potassium reduction of the sterically hindered  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{CH}\}_2\text{AlCl}$ . The Al–Al bond distance in  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{CH}\}_4\text{Al}_2$  is 266 pm (compare  $r_{\text{cov}} = 130$  pm) and the  $\text{Al}_2\text{C}_4$  framework is *planar*, despite this being a singly bonded compound. A related compound is  $(2,4,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)_4\text{Al}_2$  (Al–Al = 265 pm) and here the  $\text{Al}_2\text{C}_4$  framework is non-planar (angle between the two  $\text{AlC}_2$  planes =  $45^\circ$ ). One-electron reduction of  $\text{Al}_2\text{R}_4$  ( $\text{R} = 2,4,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$ ) gives the radical anion  $[\text{Al}_2\text{R}_4]^-$  with a formal Al–Al bond order of 1.5. Consistent with the


presence of a  $\pi$ -contribution, the Al–Al bond is shortened upon reduction to 253 pm for  $\text{R} = (\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{CH}$ , and 247 pm for  $\text{R} = 2,4,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$ ; in both anions, the  $\text{Al}_2\text{R}_4$  frameworks are essentially planar. In theory, a dialane  $\text{R}_2\text{Al}-\text{AlR}_2$ , **18.11**, possesses an isomer, **18.12**, and such a species is exemplified by  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Al}-\text{Al}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ . The Al–Al bond (259 pm) in this compound is shorter than in compounds of type  $\text{R}_2\text{Al}-\text{AlR}_2$  and this is consistent with the ionic contribution made to the Al–Al interaction in isomer **18.12**.



(18.11)




(18.12)



(18.13)

The reaction between cyclopentadiene and  $\text{Al}_2\text{Me}_6$  gives  $\text{CpAlMe}_2$  which is a volatile solid. In the gas phase, it is monomeric with an  $\eta^2\text{-Cp}$  bonding mode (**18.13**). This effectively partitions the cyclopentadienyl ring into alkene and allyl parts, since only two of the five  $\pi$ -electrons are



**Fig. 18.9** The solid state structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) polymeric  $\text{CpAlMe}_2$  [B. Teclé *et al.* (1982) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 21, p. 458], and (b) monomeric  $(\eta^2\text{-Cp})_2\text{AlMe}$  [J.D. Fisher *et al.* (1994) *Organometallics*, vol. 13, p. 3324]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Al, blue; C, grey.

donated to the metal centre. In the solid state, the molecules interact to form polymeric chains (Figure 18.9a). The related compound  $\text{Cp}_2\text{AlMe}$  is monomeric with an  $\eta^2$ -mode in the solid state (Figure 18.9b). In solution,  $\text{Cp}_2\text{AlMe}$  and  $\text{CpAlMe}_2$  are highly fluxional. A low energy difference between the different modes of bonding of the cyclopentadienyl ligand is also observed in the compounds  $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_3\text{Al}$  (i.e.  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Al}$ ),  $(1,2,4\text{-Me}_3\text{C}_5\text{H}_2)_3\text{Al}$  and  $(\text{Me}_4\text{C}_5\text{H})_3\text{Al}$ . In solution, even at low temperature, these are stereochemically non-rigid, with negligible energy differences between  $\eta^1$ -,  $\eta^2$ -,  $\eta^3$ - and  $\eta^5$ -modes of bonding. In the solid state, the structural parameters are consistent


with the descriptions:

- $(\eta^2\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\eta^{1.5}\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Al}$  and  $(\eta^2\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\eta^{1.5}\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Al}$  for the two independent molecules present in the crystal lattice;
- $(\eta^5\text{-1,2,4-Me}_3\text{C}_5\text{H}_2)(\eta^1\text{-1,2,4-Me}_3\text{C}_5\text{H}_2)_2\text{Al}$ ;
- $(\eta^1\text{-Me}_4\text{C}_5\text{H})_3\text{Al}$ .

These examples serve to indicate the non-predictable nature of these systems, and that subtle balances of steric and electronic effects are in operation.

## Gallium, indium and thallium

Since 1980, interest in organometallic compounds of Ga, In and Tl has grown, mainly because of their potential use as precursors to semiconducting materials such as GaAs and InP. Volatile compounds are sought that can be used in the growth of thin films by MOCVD (*metal organic chemical vapour deposition*) or MOVPE (*metal organic vapour phase epitaxy*) techniques (see [Section 27.6](#)). Precursors include appropriate Lewis base adducts of metal alkyls, e.g.  $\text{Me}_3\text{Ga}\cdot\text{NMe}_3$  and  $\text{Me}_3\text{In}\cdot\text{PET}_3$ . Reaction 18.30 is an example of the thermal decomposition of gaseous precursors to form a semiconductor which can be deposited in thin films (see [Box 18.4](#)).



Gallium, indium and thallium trialkyls,  $\text{R}_3\text{M}$ , can be made by use of Grignard reagents (reaction 18.31),  $\text{RLi}$  (equation 18.32) or  $\text{R}_2\text{Hg}$  (equation 18.33), although a variation in strategy is usually needed to prepare triorganothallium derivatives (e.g. reaction 18.34) since  $\text{R}_2\text{TlX}$  is favoured in reactions 18.31 or 18.32. The Grignard route is valuable for the synthesis of triaryl derivatives. A disadvantage of the Grignard route is that  $\text{R}_3\text{M}\cdot\text{OEt}_2$  may be the isolated product.



## APPLICATIONS


### Box 18.4 III–V semiconductors

The so-called III–V semiconductors derive their name from the old group numbers for groups 13 and 15, and include AlAs, AlSb, GaP, GaAs, GaSb, InP, InAs and InSb. Of these, GaAs is of the greatest commercial interest. Although Si is probably the most important commercial semiconductor, a major advantage of GaAs over Si is that the charge carrier mobility is much greater. This makes GaAs suitable for high-speed electronic devices. Another important difference is that GaAs exhibits a fully allowed electronic transition between valence and conduction bands (i.e. it is a *direct* band gap semiconductor) whereas Si is an *indirect*

band gap semiconductor. The consequence of this difference is that GaAs (and, similarly, the other III–V semiconductors) are more suited than Si for use in optoelectronic devices, since light is emitted more efficiently. The III–Vs have important applications in light-emitting diodes (LEDs). We look in more detail at III–V semiconductors in [Section 27.6](#).

#### Related information

**Box 13.3** – Solar power: thermal and electrical




**Fig. 18.10** The solid state structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $\text{Me}_3\text{In}$  for which one of the tetrameric units (see text) is shown [A.J. Blake *et al.* (1990) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 2393], (b)  $(\text{PhCH}_2)_3\text{In}$  [B. Neumuller (1991) *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, vol. 592, p. 42], and (c)  $\text{Me}_2\text{Ga}(\mu\text{-C}\equiv\text{CPh})_2\text{GaMe}_2$  [B. Teclé *et al.* (1981) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 20, p. 2335]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: In, green; Ga, yellow; C, grey.



Trialkyls and triaryls of Ga, In and Tl are monomeric (trigonal planar metal centres) in solution and the gas phase. In the solid state, monomers are essentially present, but close intermolecular contacts are important in most structures. In trimethylindium, the formation of long  $\text{In}\cdots\text{C}$  interactions (Figure 18.10a) means that the structure can be described in terms of cyclic tetramers; further, each In centre forms an additional weak  $\text{In}\cdots\text{C}$  interaction (356 pm) with the C atom of an adjacent tetramer to give an infinite network. The solid state structures of  $\text{Me}_3\text{Ga}$  and  $\text{Me}_3\text{Tl}$  resemble that of  $\text{Me}_3\text{In}$ . Within the planar  $\text{Me}_3\text{Ga}$  and  $\text{Me}_3\text{Tl}$  molecules, the average Ga–C and Tl–C bond distances are 196 and 230 pm, respectively. Within the tetrameric units, the Ga–C and Tl–C separations are 315 and 316 pm, respectively. Intermolecular interactions are also observed in, for example, crystalline  $\text{Ph}_3\text{Ga}$ ,  $\text{Ph}_3\text{In}$  and  $(\text{PhCH}_2)_3\text{In}$ . Figure 18.10b shows one molecule of  $(\text{PhCH}_2)_3\text{In}$ , but each In atom interacts weakly with carbon atoms of phenyl rings of adjacent molecules. Dimer formation is observed in  $\text{Me}_2\text{Ga}(\mu\text{-C}\equiv\text{CPh})_2\text{GaMe}_2$  (Figure 18.10c), and the same bonding description that we outlined for  $\text{R}_2\text{Al}(\text{PhC}\equiv\text{C})_2\text{AlR}_2$  (**18.9** and **18.10**) is appropriate.

Triorganogallium, indium and thallium compounds are air- and moisture-sensitive. Hydrolysis initially yields the linear  $[\text{R}_2\text{M}]^+$  ion (which can be further hydrolysed), in contrast to the inertness of  $\text{R}_3\text{B}$  towards water and the formation of  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$  from  $\text{R}_3\text{Al}$ . The  $[\text{R}_2\text{Tl}]^+$  cation is also present in


$\text{R}_2\text{TlX}$  (X = halide), and the ionic nature of this compound differs from the covalent character of  $\text{R}_2\text{MX}$  for the earlier group 13 elements. Numerous adducts  $\text{R}_3\text{M}\cdot\text{L}$  (L = Lewis base) are known in which the metal centre is tetrahedrally sited, e.g.  $\text{Me}_3\text{Ga}\cdot\text{NMe}_3$ ,  $\text{Me}_3\text{Ga}\cdot\text{NPh}$ ,  $\text{Me}_3\text{In}\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ ,  $\text{Me}_3\text{In}\cdot\text{SMe}_2$ ,  $\text{Me}_3\text{Tl}\cdot\text{PMe}_3$ ,  $[\text{Me}_4\text{Tl}]^-$ . In compound **18.14**, donation of the lone pair comes from within the organic moiety; the  $\text{GaC}_3$ -unit is planar since the ligand is not flexible enough for the usual tetrahedral geometry to be adopted.




(18.14)

Species of type  $[\text{E}_2\text{R}_4]$  (single E–E bond) and  $[\text{E}_2\text{R}_4]^-$  (E–E bond order 1.5) can be prepared for Ga and In provided that R is especially bulky (e.g.  $\text{R} = (\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{CH}$ ,  $2,4,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$ ), and reduction of  $[(2,4,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)_4\text{Ga}_2]$  to  $[(2,4,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)_4\text{Ga}_2]^-$  is accompanied by a shortening of the Ga–Ga bond from 252 to 234 pm, consistent with an increase in bond order (1 to 1.5). By using even bulkier substituents, it is possible to prepare gallium(I) compounds,  $\text{RGa}$  (**18.15**) starting from gallium(I) iodide. No structural data are yet available for these monomers. However, **18.15** with  $\text{R}' = \text{H}$  crystallizes as the weakly bound dimer **18.16**, reverting to a monomer when dissolved in cyclohexane. The Ga–Ga bond in **18.16** is considered to possess a bond order of less than 1. Reduction of **18.16** by Na leads to


$\text{Na}_2[\text{RGaGaR}]$ , in which the dianion retains the *trans*-bent geometry of **18.15**, and the Ga–Ga bond length is 235 pm. The salt  $\text{Na}_2[\text{RGaGaR}]$  can also be prepared from the reaction of  $\text{RGaCl}_2$  and Na in  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ , and it has been claimed that  $[\text{RGaGaR}]^{2-}$  contains a gallium–gallium triple bond. However, recent results<sup>†</sup> suggest that the Ga–Ga interaction in  $\text{Na}_2[\text{RGaGaR}]$  is best described as consisting of a single bond, augmented by the weak interaction present in the precursor **18.15**. Additionally, in the solid state, there are stabilizing interactions between the two  $\text{Na}^+$  ions and the Ga–Ga bond.



The 2,6-dimesitylphenyl substituent is also extremely sterically demanding, and reduction of  $(2,6\text{-Mes}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)\text{GaCl}_2$  with Na yields  $\text{Na}_2[(2,6\text{-Mes}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_3\text{Ga}_3]$ ; the  $[(2,6\text{-Mes}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_3\text{Ga}_3]^{2-}$  anion possesses the cyclic structure **(18.17)** and is a  $2\pi$ -electron aromatic system.




In equation 12.47, we illustrated the use of the metastable GaBr as a precursor to multinuclear Ga-containing species. Gallium(I) bromide has also been used as a precursor to a number of organogallium clusters. For example, one of the products of the reaction of GaBr with  $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{CLi}$  in toluene at 195 K is **18.18**.




### Worked example 18.3 Reactions of $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{C}\}_4\text{E}_4$ (E = Ga or In)

The reaction of the tetrahedral cluster  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{C}\}_4\text{Ga}_4$  with  $\text{I}_2$  in boiling hexane results in the formation of  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{CGaI}\}_2$  and  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{CGaI}_2\}_2$ . In each compound there is only one Ga environment. Suggest structures for these compounds and state the oxidation state of Ga in the starting material and products.


The starting cluster is a gallium(I) compound:



$\text{I}_2$  oxidizes this compound and possible oxidation states are Ga(II) (e.g. in a compound of type  $\text{R}_2\text{Ga-GaR}_2$ ) and Ga(III).  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{CGaI}\}_2$  is related to compounds of type  $\text{R}_2\text{Ga-GaR}_2$ ; steric factors may contribute towards a non-planar conformation:




Further oxidation by  $\text{I}_2$  results in the formation of the Ga(III) compound  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{CGaI}_2\}_2$  and a structure consistent with equivalent Ga centres is:



### Self-study exercises

- The  $\text{Br}_2$  oxidation of  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{C}\}_4\text{In}_4$  leads to the formation of the In(II) compound  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{C}\}_4\text{In}_4\text{Br}_4$  in which each In atom retains a tetrahedral environment. Suggest a structure for the product.

<sup>†</sup>For further details, see: N.J. Hardman, R.J. Wright, A.D. Phillips and P.P. Power (2003) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 125, p. 2667.




**Fig. 18.11** The solid state structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) monomeric  $(\eta^1\text{-Cp})_3\text{Ga}$  [O.T. Beachley *et al.* (1985) *Organometallics*, vol. 4, p. 751], (b) polymeric  $\text{Cp}_3\text{In}$  [F.W.B. Einstein *et al.* (1972) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 11, p. 2832] and (c) polymeric  $\text{CpIn}$  [O.T. Beachley *et al.* (1988) *Organometallics*, vol. 7, p. 1051]; the zig-zag chain is emphasized by the red hashed line. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Ga, yellow; In, green; C, grey.

- $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{CGaI}\}_2$  represents a Ga(II) compound of type  $\text{R}_2\text{Ga}_2\text{I}_2$ . However, ‘ $\text{Ga}_2\text{I}_4$ ’, which may appear to be a related compound, is ionic. Comment on this difference.
- A staggered conformation is observed in the solid state for  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{CGaI}\}_2$ . It has been suggested that a contributing factor may be hyperconjugation involving Ga–I bonding electrons. What acceptor orbital is available for hyperconjugation, and how does this interaction operate?

[For further information, see: W. Uhl *et al.* (2003) *Dalton Trans.*, p. 1360.]


Cyclopentadienyl complexes illustrate the increase in stability of the M(I) oxidation state as group 13 is descended, a consequence of the thermodynamic  $6s$  inert pair effect (see [Box 12.3](#)). Cyclopentadienyl derivatives of Ga(III) which have been prepared (equations 18.35 and 18.36) and structurally characterized include  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Ga}$  and  $\text{CpGaMe}_2$ .



The structure of  $\text{CpGaMe}_2$  resembles that of  $\text{CpAlMe}_2$  (Figure 18.9a), and  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Ga}$  is monomeric with three  $\eta^1\text{-Cp}$  groups bonded to trigonal planar Ga (Figure 18.11a). The In(III) compound  $\text{Cp}_3\text{In}$  is prepared from  $\text{NaCp}$  and

$\text{InCl}_3$ , but is structurally different from  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Ga}$ ; the solid contains polymeric chains in which each In atom is distorted tetrahedral (Figure 18.11b).


The reaction of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_3\text{Ga}$  with  $\text{HBF}_4$  results in the formation of  $[(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Ga}]^+[\text{BF}_4]^-$ . In solution, the  $\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5$  groups are fluxional down to 203 K, but in the solid state the complex is a dimer (18.19) containing  $[(\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)(\eta^3\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Ga}]^+$  ions. The structure of  $[(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Ga}]^+$  contrasts with that of  $[(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Al}]^+$ , in which the  $\text{C}_5$ -rings are coparallel.




We saw earlier that gallium(I) halides can be used to synthesize  $\text{ArGa}$  compounds. Similarly, metastable solutions of  $\text{GaCl}$  have been used to prepare  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Ga}$  by reactions

with  $(C_5Me_5)Li$  or  $(C_5Me_5)_2Mg$ . An alternative route is the reductive dehalogenation of  $(C_5Me_5)GaI_2$  using potassium with ultrasonic activation. In the gas phase and in solution,  $(C_5Me_5)Ga$  is monomeric, but in the solid state, hexamers are present.

On moving down group 13, the number of  $M(I)$  cyclopentadienyl derivatives increases, with a wide range being known for  $Tl(I)$ . The condensation of  $In$  vapour (at 77 K) onto  $C_5H_6$  gives  $CpIn$ , and  $CpTl$  is readily prepared by reaction 18.37.



Both  $CpIn$  and  $CpTl$  are monomeric in the gas phase, but in the solid, they possess the polymeric chain structure shown in Figure 18.11c. The cyclopentadienyl derivatives  $(C_5R_5)M$  ( $M = In, Tl$ ) are structurally diverse in the solid state, e.g. for  $R = PhCH_2$  and  $M = In$  or  $Tl$ , ‘quasi-dimers’ **18.20** are present (there may or may not be a meaningful metal–metal interaction), and  $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)In$  forms hexameric clusters.



One use of  $CpTl$  is as a cyclopentadienyl transfer reagent to d-block metal ions, but it can also act as an acceptor of  $Cp^-$ , reacting with  $Cp_2Mg$  to give  $[Cp_2Tl]^-$ . This can be isolated as the salt  $[CpMgL][Cp_2Tl]^-$  upon the addition of the chelating ligand  $L = Me_2NCH_2CH_2NMeCH_2CH_2NMe_2$ . The anion  $[Cp_2Tl]^-$  is isoelectronic with  $Cp_2Sn$  and possesses a structure in which the  $\eta^5-Cp$  rings are mutually tilted. The structure is as shown in Figure 18.12c for  $Cp_2Si$  but with an angle  $\alpha = 157^\circ$ . Although this ring orientation implies the presence of a stereochemically active lone pair, it has been shown theoretically that there is only a small energy difference ( $3.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) between this structure and one in which the  $\eta^5-Cp$  rings are parallel (i.e. as in Figure 18.12a). We return to this scenario at the end of the next section.

## 18.5 Group 14


Organo-compounds of the group 14 elements include some important commercial products, and we have already discussed *silicones* in Section 13.10 and Box 13.12. Organotin compounds are employed as polyvinylchloride (PVC) stabilizers (against degradation by light and heat), antifouling paints on ships, wood preservatives and

agricultural pesticides (see Box 18.5). Leaded motor fuels contain the anti-knock agent  $Et_4Pb$ , although this use has declined on environmental grounds (see Figure 13.3). Several general properties of the organo-derivatives of the group 14 elements, E, are as follows:

- in most compounds, the group 14 element is tetravalent;
- the E–C bonds are generally of low polarity;
- their stability towards all reagents decreases from Si to Pb;
- in contrast to the group 13 organometallics, derivatives of the group 14 elements are less susceptible to nucleophilic attack.



## Silicon

Silicon tetraalkyl and tetraaryl derivatives ( $R_4Si$ ), as well as alkyl or aryl silicon halides ( $R_nSiCl_{4-n}$ ,  $n = 1-3$ ) can be prepared by reaction types 18.38–18.42. Note that variation in stoichiometry provides flexibility in synthesis, although the product specificity may be influenced by steric requirements of the organic substituents. Reaction 18.38 is used industrially (the *Rochow process*).




The structures of these compounds are all similar: monomeric, with tetrahedrally sited Si and resembling their C analogues.

Silicon–carbon bonds are relatively strong (the bond enthalpy term is  $318 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) and  $R_4Si$  derivatives possess high thermal stabilities. The stability of the Si–C bond is further illustrated by the fact that chlorination of  $Et_4Si$  gives  $(ClCH_2CH_2)_4Si$ , in contrast to the chlorination of  $R_4Ge$  or  $R_4Sn$  which yields  $R_nGeCl_{4-n}$  or  $R_nSnCl_{4-n}$  (see equation 18.49). An important reaction of  $Me_nSiCl_{4-n}$  ( $n = 1-3$ ) is hydrolysis to produce *silicones* (e.g. equation 18.43 and see Section 13.10 and Box 13.12).



(18.21)


The reaction of  $Me_3SiCl$  with  $NaCp$  leads to **18.21**, in which the cyclopentadienyl group is  $\eta^1$ . Related  $\eta^1$ -complexes include  $(\eta^1-C_5Me_5)_2SiBr_2$  which reacts with anthracene/potassium to give the diamagnetic *silylene* ( $\eta^5-C_5Me_5$ ) $_2Si$ . In the solid state, two independent molecules



**Fig. 18.12** The solid state structure of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Si}$  contains two independent molecules. (a) In the first molecule, the cyclopentadienyl rings are co-parallel, while (b) in the other molecule they are mutually tilted; (c) the tilt angle is measured as angle  $\alpha$  [P. Jutzi *et al.* (1986) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, vol. 25, p. 164]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Si, pink; C, grey.


are present (Figure 18.12a, b) which differ in the relative orientations of the cyclopentadienyl rings. In one molecule, the two  $\text{C}_5$ -rings are parallel and staggered (compare  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Mg}$ ) whereas in the other, they are tilted (Figure 18.12c). We return to this observation at the end of Section 18.5.

The reactions between  $\text{R}_2\text{SiCl}_2$  and alkali metals or alkali metal naphthalides give  $\text{cyclo}(\text{R}_2\text{Si})_n$  by loss of  $\text{Cl}^-$  and Si–Si bond formation. Bulky R groups favour small rings (e.g.  $(2,6\text{-Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_6\text{Si}_3$  and  ${}^t\text{Bu}_6\text{Si}_3$ ) while smaller R substituents encourage the formation of large rings (e.g.  $\text{Me}_{12}\text{Si}_6$ ,  $\text{Me}_{14}\text{Si}_7$  and  $\text{Me}_{32}\text{Si}_{16}$ ). Reaction 18.44 is designed to provide a specific route to a particular ring size.




Silylenes,  $\text{R}_2\text{Si}$  (analogues of carbenes), can be formed by a variety of methods, for example, the photolysis of cyclic or linear organopolysilanes. As expected,  $\text{R}_2\text{Si}$  species are highly reactive, undergoing many reactions analogous to those typical of carbenes. Stabilization of  $\text{R}_2\text{Si}$  can be achieved by using sufficiently bulky substituents, and electron diffraction data confirm the bent structure of  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{HC}\}_2\text{Si}$  ( $\angle\text{C-Si-C} = 97^\circ$ ).

In Section 13.3, we discussed the use of bulky substituents to stabilize  $\text{R}_2\text{Si}=\text{SiR}_2$  compounds. The sterically demanding 2,4,6- ${}^i\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$  group has been used to stabilize **18.22**, the first example of a compound containing conjugated Si=Si bonds. An unusual feature of **18.22** is the preference for the *s-cis* conformation in both solution and the solid state.




The spatial arrangement of two conjugated double bonds about the central single bond is described as being *s-cis* and *s-trans*, defined as follows:



#### Worked example 18.4 Organosilicon hydrides

The reaction of  $\text{Ph}_2\text{SiH}_2$  with potassium metal in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) in the presence of 18-crown-6 yields a salt of  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{SiH}_2]^-$  in which the hydride ligands are *trans* to each other. The salt has the formula  $[\text{X}][\text{Ph}_3\text{SiH}_2]$ . The solution  ${}^{29}\text{Si}$  NMR spectrum shows a triplet ( $J = 130\text{ Hz}$ ) at  $\delta -74$ . Explain the origin of the triplet. What signals arising from the anion would you expect to observe in the solution  ${}^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{X}][\text{Ph}_3\text{SiH}_2]$ ?

First, draw the expected structure of  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{SiH}_2]^-$ . The question states that the hydride ligands are *trans*, and a trigonal bipyramidal structure is consistent with VSEPR theory:



In the  ${}^{29}\text{Si}$  NMR spectrum, the triplet arises from coupling of the  ${}^{29}\text{Si}$  nucleus to two equivalent  ${}^1\text{H}$  ( $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) nuclei.

Signals in the  ${}^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum that can be assigned to  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{SiH}_2]^-$  arise from the phenyl and hydride groups. The three Ph groups are equivalent (all equatorial) and, in

theory, give rise to three multiplets ( $\delta$  7–8) for *ortho*-, *meta*- and *para*-H atoms. In practice, these signals may overlap. The equivalent hydride ligands give rise to one signal. Silicon has one isotope that is NMR active:  $^{29}\text{Si}$ , 4.7%,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$  (see [Table 13.1](#)). We know from the  $^{29}\text{Si}$  NMR spectrum that there is spin–spin coupling between the directly bonded  $^{29}\text{Si}$  and  $^1\text{H}$  nuclei. Considering these protons in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum, 95.3% of the protons are attached to non-spin active Si and give rise to a singlet; 4.7% are attached to  $^{29}\text{Si}$  and give rise to a doublet ( $J = 130$  Hz). The signal will appear as a small doublet superimposed on a singlet (see [Figure 2.12](#)).

### Self-study exercises

These questions refer to the experiment described in the worked example.

1. Suggest how you might prepare  $\text{Ph}_2\text{SiH}_2$  starting from a suitable organosilicon halide.

[Ans. Start from  $\text{Ph}_2\text{SiCl}_2$ ; use method of [equation 9.34](#)]




2. Draw the structure of 18-crown-6. What is its role in this reaction? Suggest an identity for cation  $[\text{X}]^+$ .

[Ans. See [Figure 10.8](#) and discussion]


[For the original literature, see: M.J. Bearpark *et al.* (2001) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 123, p. 7736.]

## Germanium


There are similarities between the methods of preparation of compounds with Ge–C and Si–C bonds, compare reaction 18.45 with 18.38, 18.46 with 18.40, 18.47 with 18.41, and 18.48 with the synthesis of  $\text{Me}_3\text{Si}(\eta^1\text{-Cp})$ .




Tetraalkyl and tetraaryl germanium compounds possess monomeric structures with tetrahedrally sited germanium. They are thermally stable and tend to be chemically inert; halogenation requires a catalyst (equations 18.49 and 18.50). Chlorides can be obtained from the corresponding bromides or iodides by halogen exchange (equation 18.51). The presence of halo-substituents increases reactivity (e.g. equation 18.52) and makes the halo-derivatives synthetically more useful than  $\text{R}_4\text{Ge}$  compounds.



The availability of Ge(II) halides (see [Section 13.8](#)) means that the synthesis of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)_2\text{Ge}$  derivatives does not require a reduction step as was the case for the silicon analogues described above. Reaction 18.53 is a general route to  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)_2\text{Ge}$ , which exist as monomers in the solid, solution and vapour states.




X-ray diffraction studies for  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Ge}$  and  $\{\eta^5\text{-C}_5(\text{CH}_2\text{Ph})_5\}_2\text{Ge}$  confirm the bent structure type illustrated in [Figures 18.12b](#) and [c](#) for  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Si}$ . However, in  $\{\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_4(\text{SiMe}_2^t\text{Bu})\}_2\text{Ge}$ , the two  $\text{C}_5$ -rings are coparallel and mutually staggered. The preferences for tilted versus coparallel rings are discussed further at the end of [Section 18.5](#). Reaction 18.54 generates  $[(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Ge}]^+$ .



Organogermanium(II) compounds are a growing family. Germynes ( $\text{R}_2\text{Ge}$ ) include the highly reactive  $\text{Me}_2\text{Ge}$  which can be prepared by reaction 18.55; photolysis reaction 18.56 shows a general strategy to form  $\text{R}_2\text{Ge}$ .




Using very sterically demanding R groups can stabilize the  $\text{R}_2\text{Ge}$  species; thus, compound **18.23** is stable at room temperature. The bent structure of  $\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{HC}\}_2\text{Ge}$  has been confirmed by electron diffraction ( $\angle\text{C-Ge-C} = 107^\circ$ ).



(18.23)

Double bond formation between C and Ge was mentioned in [Section 13.3](#), and the formation of Ge=Ge bonds to give digermenes can be achieved (equations 18.57 and 18.58) if particularly bulky substituents (e.g. 2,4,6- $\text{Me}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$ , 2,6-Et $_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$ , 2,6- $^i\text{Pr}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$ ) are used to stabilize the system.



## APPLICATIONS

## Box 18.5 Commercial uses and environmental problems of organotin compounds

Organotin(IV) compounds have a wide range of applications, with catalytic and biocidal properties being of prime importance. The compounds below are selected examples:

- ${}^n\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn}(\text{OAc})$  (produced by reacting  ${}^n\text{Bu}_3\text{SnCl}$  and  $\text{NaOAc}$ ) is an effective fungicide and bactericide; it also has applications as a polymerization catalyst.
- ${}^n\text{Bu}_2\text{Sn}(\text{OAc})_2$  (from  ${}^n\text{Bu}_2\text{SnCl}_2$  and  $\text{NaOAc}$ ) is used as a polymerization catalyst and a stabilizer for PVC.
- $(\text{cyclo-C}_6\text{H}_{11})_3\text{SnOH}$  (formed by alkaline hydrolysis of the corresponding chloride) and  $(\text{cyclo-C}_6\text{H}_{11})_3\text{Sn}(\text{OAc})$  (produced by treating  $(\text{cyclo-C}_6\text{H}_{11})_3\text{SnOH}$  with  $\text{AcOH}$ ) are used widely as insecticides in fruit orchards and vineyards.
- ${}^n\text{Bu}_3\text{SnOSn}{}^n\text{Bu}_3$  (formed by aqueous  $\text{NaOH}$  hydrolysis of  ${}^n\text{Bu}_3\text{SnCl}$ ) has uses as an algicide, fungicide and wood-preserving agent.
- ${}^n\text{Bu}_3\text{SnCl}$  (a product of the reaction of  ${}^n\text{Bu}_4\text{Sn}$  and  $\text{SnCl}_4$ ) is a bactericide and fungicide.
- $\text{Ph}_3\text{SnOH}$  (formed by base hydrolysis of  $\text{Ph}_3\text{SnCl}$ ) is used as an agricultural fungicide for crops such as potatoes, sugar beet and peanuts.

- The cyclic compound  $({}^n\text{Bu}_2\text{SnS})_3$  (formed by reacting  ${}^n\text{Bu}_2\text{SnCl}_2$  with  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}$ ) is used as a stabilizer for PVC.


Tributyltin derivatives have been used as antifouling agents, applied to the underside of ships' hulls to prevent the build-up of, for example, barnacles. Global legislation now bans or greatly restricts the use of organotin-based antifouling agents on environmental grounds. Environmental risks associated with the uses of organotin compounds as pesticides, fungicides and PVC stabilizers are also a cause for concern and are the subject of regular assessments.

## Further reading


M.A. Champ (2003) *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, vol. 46, p. 935 – 'Economic and environmental impacts on ports and harbors from the convention to ban harmful marine anti-fouling systems'.

<http://www.tinstabilizers.org/pipefacts.htm>

Data for several structurally characterized digermenes confirm a non-planar  $\text{Ge}_2\text{C}_4$ -framework analogous to that observed for distannenes discussed in the next section (see [Figure 18.15](#)). Digermenes are stable in the solid state in the absence of air and moisture, but in solution they show a tendency to dissociate into  $\text{R}_2\text{Ge}$ , the extent of dissociation depending on R. With  $2,4,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$  as substituent,  $\text{R}_2\text{Ge}=\text{GeR}_2$  remains as a dimer in solution and can be used to generate a tetragermabuta-1,3-diene (scheme 18.59). The precursors are made *in situ* from  $\text{R}_2\text{Ge}=\text{GeR}_2$  by treatment with Li or with Li followed by 2,4,6- $\text{Me}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2\text{Br}$ .



Conditions are critical in this reaction since prolonged reaction of  $\text{R}_2\text{Ge}=\text{GeR}_2$  ( $\text{R} = 2,4,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$ ) with Li in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) results in the formation of **18.24**.




The formation of  $\text{RGeGeR}$  has been achieved by using the extremely bulky substituent  $\text{R} = 2,6\text{-}(2,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$  (see [structure 18.27](#)). The solid state structure of  $\text{RGeGeR}$  shows a *trans*-bent conformation with a C–Ge–Ge bond angle of  $129^\circ$  and Ge–Ge bond length of 228.5 pm. Theoretical studies suggest a Ge–Ge bond order of  $\approx 2.5$ .

## Tin

Some features that set organotin chemistry apart from organosilicon or organogermanium chemistries are the:





- greater accessibility of the +2 oxidation state;
- greater range of possible coordination numbers;
- presence of halide bridges (see [Section 13.8](#)).

Reactions 18.60–18.62 illustrate synthetic approaches to  $\text{R}_4\text{Sn}$  compounds, and organotin halides can be prepared by routes equivalent to reactions 18.38 and 18.45, redistribution reactions from anhydrous  $\text{SnCl}_4$  (equation 18.63), or




**Fig. 18.13** Selected reactions of  $R_3SnCl$ ; products such as  $R_3SnH$ ,  $R_3SnNa$  and  $R_3SnSnR_3$  are useful starting materials in organotin chemistry.


from Sn(II) halides (equation 18.64). Using  $R_4Sn$  in excess in reaction 18.63 gives a route to  $R_3SnCl$ . Reaction 18.61 is used industrially for the preparation of tetraethyltin and tetraoctyltin; commercial applications of organotin compounds are highlighted in [Box 18.5](#).



Tetraorganotin compounds tend to be colourless liquids or solids which are quite stable to attack by water and air. The ease of cleavage of the Sn–C bonds depends upon the R group, with  $Bu_4Sn$  being relatively stable. In moving to the organotin halides, reactivity increases and the chlorides are useful as precursors to a range of organotin derivatives; Figure 18.13 gives selected reactions of  $R_3SnCl$ . The structures of  $R_4Sn$  compounds are all similar with the Sn centre being tetrahedral. However, the presence of halide groups leads to significant variation in solid state structure owing to the possibility of Sn–X–Sn bridge formation. In the solid state,  $Me_3SnF$  molecules are connected into zigzag chains by asymmetric, bent Sn–F–Sn bridges (18.25), each Sn being in a trigonal bipyramidal arrangement. The presence of bulky substituents may result in either a straightening of the  $\dots Sn-F-Sn-F \dots$  backbone (e.g. in  $Ph_3SnF$ ) or in a monomeric structure (e.g. in  $\{(Me_3Si)_3C\}Ph_2SnF$ ). In  $(Me_3SiCH_2)_3SnF$  (Figure 18.14a), the  $Me_3SiCH_2$  substituents are very bulky, and the Sn–F distances are much longer than the sum of the covalent radii. Solid state  ${}^{119}Sn$  NMR spectroscopy and measurements of the  ${}^{119}Sn$ – ${}^{19}F$  spin–spin coupling constants provide a useful means of deducing the extent of




(a)




(b)

**Fig. 18.14** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $(Me_3SiCH_2)_3SnF$  (only the methylene C atom of each  $Me_3SiCH_2$  group is shown in which the Sn–F distances are long and indicate the presence of  $[(Me_3SiCH_2)_3Sn]^+$  cations interacting with  $F^-$  anions to give chains [L.N. Zakharov *et al.* (1983) *Kristallografiya*, vol. 28, p. 271], and (b)  $Me_2SnF_2$  in which Sn–F–Sn bridge formation leads to the generation of sheets [E.O. Schlemper *et al.* (1966) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 5, p. 995]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Sn, brown; C, grey; F, green.


molecular association in the absence of crystallographic data. Difluoro derivatives  $R_2SnF_2$  tend to contain octahedral Sn in the solid state; in  $Me_2SnF_2$ , sheets of interconnected molecules are present (Figure 18.14b). The tendency for association is less for the later halogens ( $F > Cl > Br > I$ ); thus,  $MeSnBr_3$  and  $MeSnI_3$  are monomeric, and, in contrast to  $Me_2SnF_2$ ,  $Me_2SnCl_2$  forms chains of the type shown in 18.26. Figure 18.13 illustrates the ability of  $R_3SnCl$  to act as a Lewis acid; similarly, salts of, for example,  $[Me_2SnF_4]^{2-}$  may be prepared and contain discrete octahedral anions.



(18.25)




(18.26)




**Fig. 18.15** (a) Schematic representation of the structure of an  $R_2SnSnR_2$  compound which possesses a non-planar  $Sn_2C_4$  framework, and (b) proposed bonding scheme involving  $sp^2$  hybridized tin, and overlap of occupied  $sp^2$  hybrid orbitals with empty  $5p$  atomic orbitals to give a weak  $Sn=Sn$  double bond.

Tin(II) organometallics of the type  $R_2Sn$ , which contain  $Sn-C$   $\sigma$ -bonds, are stabilized only if R is sterically demanding. Reaction of  $SnCl_2$  with  $Li[(Me_3Si)_2CH]$  gives  $\{(Me_3Si)_2CH\}_2Sn$  which is monomeric in solution and dimeric in the solid state. The dimer (Figure 18.15a) does *not* possess a planar  $Sn_2C_4$  framework (i.e. it is *not* analogous to an alkene) and the  $Sn-Sn$  bond distance (276 pm) is too great to be consistent with a normal double bond. A bonding model involving overlap of filled  $sp^2$  hybrids and vacant  $5p$  atomic orbitals (Figure 18.15b) has been suggested. The formation of the *trans*-bent  $RSnSnR$  (**18.27**) is achieved by using extremely bulky R groups. The  $Sn-Sn$  bond length is 267 pm and angle  $C-Sn-Sn$  is  $125^\circ$  and, as for the Ge analogue, theoretical results indicate that the bond order is  $\approx 2.5$ .




Cyclopentadienyl  $Sn(II)$  derivatives  $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)_2Sn$  can be prepared by reaction 18.65.



The structures of  $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)_2Sn$  with various R groups form a series in which the tilt angle  $\alpha$  (defined in Figure 18.12 for  $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)_2Si$ ) increases as the steric demands of R increase:  $\alpha = 125^\circ$  for R = H,  $144^\circ$  for R = Me,  $180^\circ$  for R = Ph. We consider the structures of group 14 metallocenes again at the end of Section 18.5. Under appropriate conditions,  $Cp_2Sn$  reacts with  $Cp^-$  to yield  $[(\eta^5-Cp)_3Sn]^-$ . This last reaction shows that it can function as a Lewis acid.

Organotin(IV) hydrides such as  ${}^nBu_3SnH$  (prepared by  $LiAlH_4$  reduction of the corresponding  ${}^nBu_3SnCl$ ) are widely used as reducing agents in organic synthesis. In contrast, the first organotin(II) hydride,  $RSnH$ , was reported only in 2000. It is made by reacting  ${}^iBu_2AlH$  with  $RSnCl$  where R is the sterically demanding substituent shown in **18.28**. In the solid state, dimers (**18.28**) supported by hydride bridges ( $Sn-H-Sn = 312$  pm) are present. The orange solid dissolves in  $Et_2O$ , hexane or toluene to give blue solutions, indicating that  $RSnH$  monomers exist in solution. This conclusion is based on the electronic spectroscopic properties ( $\lambda_{max} = 608$  nm) which are similar to those of monomeric  $R_2Sn$  compounds.



#### Worked example 18.5 Organotin compounds

The reaction of  $\{(Me_3Si)_3C\}Me_2SnCl$  with one equivalent of  $ICl$  gives compound A. Use the mass spectrometric and  ${}^1H$  NMR spectroscopic data below to suggest an identity for A. Suggest what product might be obtained if an excess of  $ICl$  is used in the reaction.

A:  $\delta$  0.37 (27 H, s,  $J({}^{29}Si-{}^1H) = 6.4$  Hz);  $\delta$  1.23 (3H, s,  $J({}^{117}Sn-{}^1H)$ ,  $J({}^{119}Sn-{}^1H) = 60, 62$  Hz). No parent peak observed in the mass spectrum; highest mass peak  $m/z = 421$ .

The  ${}^1H$  NMR spectroscopic data show the presence of two proton environments in a ratio of 27:3. These integrals, along with the coupling constants, suggest the retention of an  $(Me_3Si)_3C$  group and *one* Me substituent bonded directly to Sn. Iodine monochloride acts as a chlorinating agent, and

one Me group is replaced by Cl. The mass spectrometric data are consistent with a molecular formula of  $\{(Me_3Si)_3C\}MeSnCl_2$ , with the peak at  $m/z = 421$  arising from the ion  $[\{(Me_3Si)_3C\}SnCl_2]^+$ , i.e. the parent ion with loss of Me.

With an excess of ICl, the expected product is  $\{(Me_3Si)_3C\}SnCl_3$ .

### Self-study exercises

These questions refer to the experiment described above. Additional data: see Table 13.1.

1. Use Appendix 5 to deduce how the peak at  $m/z = 421$  in the mass spectrum confirms the presence of two Cl atoms in A.

[Hint: refer to Section 1.3]


2. Sketch the appearance of the  $^1H$  NMR signal at  $\delta$  1.23 in the spectrum of A and indicate where you would measure  $J(^{117}Sn-^1H)$  and  $J(^{119}Sn-^1H)$ . [Hint: refer to Figure 2.12]

3. In what coordination geometry do you expect the Sn atom to be sited in compound A? [Ans. tetrahedral]



[For further information, see S.S. Al-Juaid *et al.* (1998) *J. Organometal. Chem.*, vol. 564, p. 215.]

## Lead


Tetraethyllead (made by reaction 18.66 or by electrolysis of  $NaAlEt_4$  or  $EtMgCl$  using a Pb anode) was formerly widely employed as an anti-knock agent in motor fuels; for environmental reasons, the use of leaded fuels has declined (see Figure 13.3).




Laboratory syntheses of  $R_4Pb$  compounds include the use of Grignard reagents (equations 18.67 and 18.68) or organolithium compounds (equations 18.69 and 18.70). High-yield routes to  $R_3Pb-PbR_3$  involve the reactions of  $R_3PbLi$  (see below) with  $R_3PbCl$ .



Alkyllead chlorides can be prepared by reactions 18.71 and 18.72, and these routes are favoured over treatment of  $R_4Pb$  with  $X_2$ , the outcome of which is hard to control.




Compounds of the  $R_4Pb$  and  $R_6Pb_2$  families possess monomeric structures with tetrahedral Pb centres as exemplified by the cyclohexyl derivative in Figure 18.16a. The number of Pb derivatives that have been structurally studied is less than for the corresponding Sn-containing compounds. For the organolead halides, the presence of bridging halides is again a common feature giving rise to increased coordination numbers at the metal centre, e.g. in  $Me_3PbCl$  (Figure 18.16b). Monomers are favoured if the organic substituents are sterically demanding as in  $(2,4,6-Me_3C_6H_2)_3PbCl$ . We mentioned above the use of  $R_3PbLi$  reagents; the first structurally characterized member of this group was 'Ph<sub>3</sub>PbLi', isolated as the monomeric complex **18.29**.





(18.29)


Tetraalkyl and tetraaryl lead compounds are inert with respect to attack by air and water at room temperature. Thermolysis leads to radical reactions such as those shown in scheme 18.73, which will be followed by further radical reaction steps.



The chloride group in  $R_3PbCl$  can be replaced to give a range of  $R_3PbX$  species (e.g.  $X^- = [N_3]^-$ ,  $[NCS]^-$ ,  $[CN]^-$ ,  $[OR']^-$ ). Where  $X^-$  has the ability to bridge, polymeric structures are observed in the solid state. Both  $R_3PbN_3$  and  $R_3PbNCS$  are strong Lewis acids and form adducts such as  $[R_3Pb(N_3)_2]^-$ . The reaction of  $Ph_3PbCl$  with  $Na[Cp]$  gives  $Ph_3Pb(\eta^1-Cp)$ ; structure **18.30** has been confirmed by X-ray diffraction and it is significant that the distance  $Pb-C_{Cp} > Pb-C_{Ph}$ . This is consistent with a weaker  $Pb-C_{Cp}$  bond, and preferential bond cleavage is observed, e.g. in scheme 18.74.




(18.30)



**Fig. 18.16** The solid state structures of (a)  $\text{Pb}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11})_6$  [X-ray diffraction: N. Kleiner *et al.* (1985) *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, vol. 40, p. 477], (b)  $\text{Me}_3\text{PbCl}$  [X-ray diffraction: D. Zhang *et al.* (1991) *Z. Naturforsch., Teil A*, vol. 46, p. 337], (c)  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Pb}$  (schematic diagram), and (d)  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Pb}(\text{Me}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)$  [X-ray diffraction: M.A. Beswick *et al.* (1996) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 1977]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Pb, red; C, grey; Cl, green; N, blue.



Cyclopentadienyl derivatives of Pb(II),  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)_2\text{Pb}$ , can be prepared by reactions of a Pb(II) salt (e.g. acetate or chloride) with  $\text{Na}[\text{C}_5\text{R}_5]$  or  $\text{Li}[\text{C}_5\text{R}_5]$ . The  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)_2\text{Pb}$  compounds are generally sensitive to air, but the presence of bulky R groups increases their stability. The solid state structure of  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Pb}$  consists of polymeric chains (Figure 18.16c), but in the gas phase, discrete  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Pb}$  molecules are present which possess the bent structure shown for  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Si}$  in Figure 18.12b. Other  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)_2\text{Pb}$  compounds which have been studied in the solid state are monomers. Bent structures (as in Figure 18.12b) are observed for  $\text{R} = \text{Me}$  or  $\text{PhCH}_2$  for example, but in  $\{\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_4(\text{Si}^t\text{BuMe}_2)\}_2\text{Pb}$  where the organic groups are especially bulky, the  $\text{C}_5$ -rings are coparallel (see the end of Section 18.5). It has been shown that  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Pb}$  (like  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Sn}$ ) can act as a Lewis acid; it reacts with the Lewis bases  $\text{Me}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2$  and 4,4'- $\text{Me}_2\text{bpy}$  (18.31) to form the adducts  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Pb}\cdot\text{L}$  where L is the Lewis base. Figure 18.16d shows the solid state structure of  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Pb}\cdot\text{Me}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2$ , and the

structure of  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Pb}\cdot(4,4'\text{-Me}_2\text{bpy})$  is similar. Further evidence for Lewis acid behaviour comes from the reaction of  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Pb}$  with  $\text{Li}[\text{Cp}]$  in the presence of a crown ether (see Section 10.8), 12-crown-4, which gives  $[\text{Li}(12\text{-crown-4})_2[\text{Cp}_9\text{Pb}_4][\text{Cp}_5\text{Pb}_2)]$ . The structures of  $[\text{Cp}_9\text{Pb}_4]^-$  and  $[\text{Cp}_5\text{Pb}_2]^-$  consist of fragments of the polymeric chain of  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Pb}$  (see Figure 18.16c), e.g. in  $[\text{Cp}_5\text{Pb}_2]^-$ , one  $\text{Cp}^-$  ligand bridges between the two Pb(II) centres and the remaining four  $\text{Cp}^-$  ligands are bonded in an  $\eta^5$ -mode, two to each Pb atom.




Diarylplumbylenes,  $\text{R}_2\text{Pb}$ , in which the Pb atom carries a lone pair of electrons, can be prepared by the reaction of

PbCl<sub>2</sub> with RLi provided that R is suitably sterically demanding. The presence of monomers in the solid state has been confirmed for R = 2,4,6-(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and 2,6-(2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>. Dialkyl derivatives are represented by {(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>CH}<sub>2</sub>Pb. The association of R<sub>2</sub>Pb units to form R<sub>2</sub>Pb=PbR<sub>2</sub> depends critically on R as the following examples illustrate. Crystalline {(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>3</sub>Si}RPb with R = 2,3,4-Me<sub>3</sub>-6-<sup>t</sup>BuC<sub>6</sub>H and 2,4,6-(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, contain dimers in which the Pb–Pb distances are 337 and 354 pm, respectively. These separations are too long to be consistent with the presence of Pb=Pb bonds. The product in scheme 18.75 is monomeric in the gas phase and solution. In the solid, it is dimeric with a Pb–Pb bond length of 305 pm, indicative of a Pb=Pb bond. The ligand-exchange reaction 18.76 leads to a product with an even shorter Pb–Pb bond (299 pm). The bonding in R<sub>2</sub>Pb=PbR<sub>2</sub> can be described in an analogous manner to that shown for R<sub>2</sub>Sn=SnR<sub>2</sub> in Figure 18.15.





When the Grignard reagent in scheme 18.75 is changed to 2,4,6-Et<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>MgBr, the crystalline product is **18.32**, whereas with 2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>MgBr, **18.33** is isolated.




The reaction of RPbBr (R = 2,6-(2,6-<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>) with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> leads to RPbPbR (**18.34**) (equation 18.77). This is not analogous, either in structure or bonding, to RGeGeR and RSnSnR (see structure **18.27**). In RPbPbR, the Pb–Pb distance is consistent with a single bond, and each Pb atom

is considered to have a sextet of electrons (one lone and two bonding pairs).



## Coparallel and tilted C<sub>5</sub>-rings in group 14 metallocenes

The first group 14 metallocenes to be characterized were (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Sn and (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Pb, and in both compounds, the C<sub>5</sub>-rings are mutually tilted. This observation was originally interpreted in terms of the presence of a stereochemically active lone pair of electrons as shown in structure **18.35**.



However, as the examples in Section 18.5 have shown, not all group 14 metallocenes exhibit structures with tilted C<sub>5</sub>-rings. For example, in each of (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Sn, {η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>4</sub>(SiMe<sub>2</sub><sup>t</sup>Bu)}<sub>2</sub>Ge and (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub><sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Pb, the two C<sub>5</sub>-rings are coparallel. Trends such as that along the series (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Sn (tilt angle α = 125°), (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Sn (α = 144°) and (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Sn (coparallel rings) have been explained in terms of steric factors: as the inter-ring steric repulsions increase, angle α in **18.35** increases, and the final result is a rehybridization of the metal orbitals, rendering the lone pair stereochemically inactive. It is, however, difficult to rationalize the occurrence of *both* tilted and coparallel forms of (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Si (Figure 18.12) using steric arguments. Furthermore, the preference for coparallel rings in the solid state for {η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>4</sub>(SiMe<sub>2</sub><sup>t</sup>Bu)}<sub>2</sub>Pb and (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub><sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Pb, in contrast to a tilted structure for (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub><sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Pb (α = 170°), cannot be rationalized in terms of inter-ring steric interactions. The situation is further complicated by the fact that as one descends group 14, there is an increased tendency for the lone pair of electrons to be accommodated in an *ns* orbital and to become stereochemically inactive. A final point for consideration is that, although polymeric, the group 2 metallocenes (η<sup>5</sup>-Cp)<sub>2</sub>M (M = Ca, Sr, Ba) exhibit bent C<sub>5</sub>–M–C<sub>5</sub> units: here, there is no lone pair of electrons to affect the structure. Taking all current data into consideration, it is necessary to reassess

(i) the stereochemical role of the lone pair of electrons in ( $\eta^5$ - $C_5R_5$ )<sub>2</sub>M compounds (M = group 14 metal) and (ii) the role of inter-ring steric interactions as factors that contribute to the preference for coparallel or tilted  $C_5$ -rings. Theoretical studies indicate that the difference in energy between the two structures for a given molecule is small:  $\approx 1$ – $12 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  depending on ring substituents. Crystal-packing forces have been suggested as a contributing factor, but further studies are required to provide a definitive explanation.<sup>†</sup>


## 18.6 Group 15

### Bonding aspects and E=E bond formation

Our discussion of organometallic compounds of group 15 covers As, Sb and Bi. There is an extensive chemistry of compounds with N–C or P–C bonds, but much of this belongs within the remit of organic chemistry, although amines and phosphines (e.g.  $R_3E$ ,  $R_2E(CH_2)_nER_2$  where E = N or P) are important ligands in inorganic complexes. In both cases, the group 15 element acts as a  $\sigma$ -donor, and in the case of phosphorus, also as a  $\pi$ -acceptor (see [Section 20.4](#)).



On descending group 15, the E–E and E–C bond enthalpy terms both decrease (e.g. see [Table 14.3](#)). In [Section 14.3](#), we emphasized differences in bonding between nitrogen and the later elements, and illustrated that ( $p$ - $p$ ) $\pi$ -bonding is important for nitrogen but not for the heavier elements. Thus, nitrogen chemistry provides many compounds of type  $R_2N=NR_2$ , but for most R groups the analogous  $R_2E=ER_2$  compounds (E = P, As, Sb or Bi) are unstable with respect to oligomerization to give cyclic compounds such as  $Ph_6P_6$ . Only by the use of especially bulky substituents is double bond formation for the later elements made possible, with the steric hindrance preventing oligomerization. Thus, several compounds with P=P, P=As, As=As, P=Sb, Sb=Sb, Bi=Bi and P=Bi are known and possess *trans*-configurations as shown in structure **18.36**. The bulky substituents that have played a major role in enabling  $RE=ER$  compounds to be stabilized are 2,4,6-<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, 2,6-(2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub> and 2,6-(2,4,6-<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>. Along the series  $RE=ER$  for E = P, As, Sb and Bi and R = 2,6-(2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>, the E=E bond length increases (198.5 pm, E = P; 228 pm, E = As; 266 pm, E = Sb; 283 pm, E = Bi) and the E–E–C bond angle decreases (110°, E = P; 98.5°, E = As; 94°, E = Sb; 92.5°, E = Bi). Methylation of  $RP=PR$  (R = 2,4,6-<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) to give **18.37** can be achieved, but only if a 35-fold excess of methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate is used.

We return to single bond formation between As, Sb and Bi atoms later.




### Arsenic, antimony and bismuth


Organometallic compounds of As(III), Sb(III) and Bi(III) can be prepared from the respective element and organo halides (reaction 18.78) or by use of Grignard reagents (equation 18.79) or organolithium compounds. Treatment of organo halides (e.g. those from reaction 18.78) with  $R'Li$  gives  $RER'_2$  or  $R_2ER'$  (e.g. equation 18.80).



Metal(V) derivatives,  $R_5E$ , cannot be prepared from the corresponding pentahalides, but may be obtained by oxidation of  $R_3E$  followed by treatment with  $RLi$  (e.g. equation 18.81). The same strategy can be used to form, for example,  $Me_2Ph_3Sb$  (reaction 18.82).




The oxidative addition of  $R'X$  (R = alkyl) to  $R_3E$  produces  $R_3R'EX$ , with the tendency of  $R_3E$  to undergo this reaction decreasing in the order  $As > Sb \gg Bi$ , and  $I > Br > Cl$ . Further, conversion of  $R_3X$  to  $R_3R'EX$  by this route works for R = alkyl or aryl when E = As, but not for R = aryl when E = Sb. Compounds of the type  $R_3EX_2$  are readily prepared as shown in equation 18.81, and  $R_2EX_3$  derivatives can be made by addition of  $X_2$  to  $R_2EX$  (E = As, Sb; X = Cl, Br).




<sup>†</sup>For further discussion, see: S.P. Constantine, H. Cox, P.B. Hitchcock and G.A. Lawless (2000) *Organometallics*, vol. 19, p. 317; J.D. Smith and T.P. Hanusa (2001) *Organometallics*, vol. 20, p. 3056; V.M. Rayón and G. Frenking (2002) *Chemistry – A European Journal*, vol. 8, p. 4693.

Compounds of the type  $R_3E$  are sensitive to aerial oxidation but resist attack by water. They are more stable when  $R = \text{aryl}$  (compared to alkyl), and stability for a given series of triaryl derivatives decreases in the order  $R_3As > R_3Sb > R_3Bi$ . All  $R_3E$  compounds structurally characterized to date are trigonal pyramidal, and the  $C-E-C$  angle  $\alpha$  in **18.38** decreases for a given  $R$  group in the order  $As > Sb > Bi$ . Hydrogen peroxide oxidizes  $Ph_3As$  to  $Ph_3AsO$ , for which **18.39** is a bonding representation;  $Ph_3SbO$  is similarly prepared or can be obtained by heating  $Ph_3Sb(OH)_2$ . Triphenylbismuth oxide is made by oxidation of  $Ph_3Bi$  or hydrolysis of  $Ph_3BiCl_2$ . The ready formation of these oxides should be compared with the relative stability with respect to oxidation of  $Ph_3P$ , the ready oxidation of  $Me_3P$ , and the use of  $Me_3NO$  as an oxidizing agent. (See [Section 14.3](#) for a discussion of the bonding in hypervalent compounds of the group 15 elements.) Triphenylarsenic oxide forms a monohydrate which exists as a hydrogen-bonded dimer (**18.40**) in the solid state.  $Ph_3SbO$  crystallizes in several modifications which contain either monomers or polymers, and has a range of catalytic uses in organic chemistry, e.g. oxirane polymerization, and reactions between amines and acids to give amides.




The ability of  $R_3E$  to act as a Lewis base decreases down group 15. *d*-Block metal complexes involving  $R_3P$  ligands are far more numerous than those containing  $R_3As$  and  $R_3Sb$ , and only a few complexes containing  $R_3Bi$  ligands have been structurally characterized, e.g.  $Cr(CO)_5(BiPh_3)$  (**18.41**) and  $[(\eta^5-Cp)Fe(CO)_2(BiPh_3)]^+$ . Adducts are also formed between  $R_3E$  or  $R_3EO$  ( $E = As, Sb$ ) and Lewis acids such as boron trifluoride (Figure 18.17b), and in [Section 16.4](#), we described complexes formed between  $Ph_3E$  ( $E = P, As, Sb$ ) and halogens.





Compounds of type  $R_3E$  ( $E = As, Sb, Bi$ ) adopt either a trigonal bipyramidal or square-based pyramidal structure. In the solid state,  $Me_5Sb$ ,  $Me_5Bi$ ,  $(4-MeC_6H_4)_5Sb$  and the solvated compound  $Ph_5Sb \cdot \frac{1}{2}C_6H_{12}$  are trigonal bipyramidal, while unsolvated  $Ph_5Sb$  and  $Ph_5Bi$  are square-based

pyramidal. Electron diffraction studies on gaseous  $Me_5As$  and  $Me_5Sb$  confirm trigonal bipyramidal structures. In solution, the compounds are highly fluxional on the NMR timescale, even at low temperatures. The fluxional process involves ligand exchange via the interconversion of trigonal bipyramidal and square-based pyramidal structures (see [Figure 2.13](#)). For  $(4-MeC_6H_4)_5Sb$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$  solvent, a barrier of  $\approx 6.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  to ligand exchange has been determined from  $^1H$  NMR spectroscopic data.


On heating,  $R_5E$  compounds decompose, with the thermal stability decreasing down the group, e.g.  $Ph_5As$  is more thermally stable than  $Ph_5Sb$  than  $Ph_5Bi$ . The decomposition products vary and, for example,  $Ph_5Sb$  decomposes to  $Ph_3Sb$  and  $PhPh$ , while  $Me_5As$  gives  $Me_3As$ ,  $CH_4$  and  $C_2H_4$ . Cleavage of an  $E-C$  bond in  $R_5E$  compounds occurs upon treatment with halogens, Brønsted acids or  $Ph_3B$  (equations 18.83–18.85). Both  $Me_5Sb$  and  $Me_5Bi$  react with  $MeLi$  in THF (equation 18.86) to give salts containing the octahedral ions  $[Me_6E]^-$ .



The monohalides  $R_4EX$  tend to be ionic for  $X = Cl, Br$  or  $I$ , i.e.  $[R_4E]^+X^-$ , but among the exceptions is  $Ph_4SbCl$  which crystallizes as discrete trigonal bipyramidal molecules. The fluorides possess covalent structures; in the solid state  $Me_4SbF$  forms polymeric chains (Figure 18.17a) while  $MePh_3SbF$  exists as trigonal bipyramidal molecules **18.42**. For the di- and trihalides there is also structural variation, and ionic, discrete molecular and oligomeric structures in the solid state are all exemplified, e.g.  $Me_3AsBr_2$  is ionic and contains the tetrahedral  $[Me_3AsBr]^+$  ion,  $Ph_3BiCl_2$  and  $Ph_3SbX_2$  ( $X = F, Cl, Br$  or  $I$ ) are trigonal bipyramidal molecules with axial  $X$  atoms,  $Ph_2SbCl_3$  is dimeric (**18.43**), while  $Me_2SbCl_3$  exists in two structural forms, one ionic  $[Me_4Sb]^+[SbCl_6]^-$  and the other a covalent dimer.





The family of  $R_2E-ER_2$  compounds has grown significantly since 1980 and those structurally characterized by X-ray diffraction include  $Ph_4As_2$ ,  $Ph_4Sb_2$  and  $Ph_4Bi_2$ . All possess the staggered conformation shown in **18.44** for the  $C_4E_2$  core with values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of  $103^\circ$  and  $96^\circ$  for  $Ph_4As_2$ ,  $94^\circ$  and  $94^\circ$  for  $Ph_4Sb_2$ , and  $98^\circ$  and  $91^\circ$  for  $Ph_4Bi_2$ . As expected, the  $E-E$  bond length increases: 246 pm in  $Ph_4As_2$ , 286 pm in  $Ph_4Sb_2$ , and 298 pm in  $Ph_4Bi_2$ . Equation



**Fig. 18.17** The solid state structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) polymeric  $\text{Me}_4\text{SbF}$  in which each  $\text{Sb(V)}$  centre is distorted octahedral [W. Schwarz *et al.* (1978) *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, vol. 444, p. 105], (b)  $\text{Ph}_3\text{AsO}\cdot\text{BF}_3$  [N. Burford *et al.* (1990) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 46, p. 92], (c)  $\text{Ph}_6\text{As}_6$  in which the  $\text{As}_6$  adopts a chair conformation [A.L. Rheingold *et al.* (1983) *Organometallics*, vol. 2, p. 327], and (d)  $(\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_4\text{Sb}_4$  with methyl groups omitted for clarity [O.M. Kekiya *et al.* (1996) *Organometallics*, vol. 15, p. 4104]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Sb, silver; As, orange; C, grey; F, green; B, blue; O, red.

18.87 gives a typical preparative route. Some  $\text{R}_4\text{Sb}_2$  and  $\text{R}_4\text{Bi}_2$  (but not  $\text{R}_4\text{As}_2$ ) derivatives are *thermochromic*.




(18.44)

The colour of a *thermochromic* compound is temperature-dependent; the phenomenon is called *thermochromism*.

Ligand exchange in liquid  $\text{Me}_2\text{SbBr}$  (no solvent) leads to the formation of the salt  $[\text{Me}_3\text{SbSbMe}_2]_2[\text{MeBr}_2\text{Sb}(\mu\text{-Br})_2\text{SbBr}_2\text{Me}]$  which contains ions **18.45** and **18.46**. The proposed pathway is given in scheme 18.88. The eclipsed conformation of cation **18.45** is probably determined by close cation-anion interactions in the solid state.



(18.88)



(18.45)

(18.46)

**Worked example 18.6 Application of VSEPR theory**

Confirm that the octahedral structure of  $[\text{Ph}_6\text{Bi}]^-$  (formed in a reaction analogous to 18.86) is consistent with VSEPR theory.

Bi has 5 electrons in its valence shell and the negative charge in  $[\text{Ph}_6\text{Bi}]^-$  supplies one more.

Each Ph group supplies one electron to the valence shell of Bi in  $[\text{Ph}_6\text{Bi}]^-$ .


Total valence electron count =  $5 + 1 + 6 = 12$

The 6 pairs of electrons correspond to an octahedral structure within the VSEPR model, and this is consistent with the observed structure.

**Self-study exercises**


1. Show that the tetrahedral and trigonal pyramidal Sb centres in cation 18.45 are consistent with VSEPR theory. Comment on what this assumes about the localization of the positive charge.
2. Confirm that the structure of anion 18.46 is consistent with VSEPR theory. Comment on the preference for this structure over one in which the Me groups are on the same side of the planar  $\text{Sb}_2\text{Br}_6^-$  unit.
3. Show that the octahedral centres in  $\text{Ph}_4\text{Sb}_2\text{Cl}_6$  (18.43) are consistent with the VSEPR model.

The reduction of organometal(III) dihalides (e.g.  $\text{RAsCl}_2$ ) with sodium or magnesium in THF, or reduction of  $\text{RAs(O)(OH)}_2$  acids (reaction 18.89) gives *cyclo*-(RE) $_n$ , where  $n = 3-6$ . Figure 18.17c shows the structure of  $\text{Ph}_6\text{As}_6$  which illustrates the typical trigonal pyramidal environment for the group 15 element. Two crystalline polymorphs of  $(\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_4\text{Sb}_4$  are known, differing in details of the molecular geometry and crystal packing; one structure is noteworthy for its acute Sb–Sb–Sb bond angles (Figure 18.17d). Reaction 18.90 is an interesting example of the formation of a *cyclo*-As $_3$  species, the organic group being tailor-made to encourage the formation of the three-membered ring. A similar reaction occurs with  $\text{Me}(\text{CH}_2\text{SbCl}_2)_3$ .




Organometallic chemistry involving cyclopentadienyl ligands is less important in group 15 than for the previous groups we have discussed. We have already mentioned  $(\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_4\text{Sb}_4$  (Figure 18.17d). Other compounds for which solid state structures contain  $\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5$  substituents

include  $(\eta^1\text{-Cp})_3\text{Sb}$  (equation 18.91) and  $(\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{AsCl}_2$  (Figure 18.18a, prepared by ligand redistribution between  $(\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_3\text{As}$  and  $\text{AsCl}_3$ ). The derivatives  $\text{Cp}_n\text{SbX}_{3-n}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl, Br, I; } n = 1, 2$ ) are prepared by treating  $(\eta^1\text{-Cp})_3\text{Sb}$  with  $\text{SbX}_3$ , and  $\text{CpBiCl}_2$  forms in reaction 18.92.



In solution the cyclopentadienyl rings in this type of compound are fluxional. In the solid state, crystallographic data (where available) reveal significant variation in bonding modes as examples in Figure 18.18 illustrate. Consideration of the E–C bond distances leads to the designations of  $\eta^1$  or  $\eta^3$ . Reaction 18.93 gives one of the few  $\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl derivatives of a heavier group 15 element so far prepared. The  $[(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{As}]^+$  ion is isoelectronic with  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Ge}$  and possesses the same bent structure illustrated for  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Si}$  in Figure 18.9b.


**18.7 Group 16**

Our discussion of organo-compounds of group 16 elements is confined to selenium and tellurium (polonium having been little studied, see [Chapter 15](#)). Of course, there are also vast numbers of organic compounds containing C–O or C–S bonds, and some relevant inorganic topics already covered are:


- oxides and oxoacids of carbon ([Section 13.9](#));
- sulfides of carbon ([Section 13.11](#)).

**Selenium and tellurium**

The organic chemistry of selenium and tellurium is an expanding area of research, and one area of active interest is that of ‘organic metals’. For example, the tetraselenafulvalene **18.47** acts as an electron donor to the tetracyano derivative **18.48** and 1:1 complexes formed between these, and between related molecules, crystallize with stacked structures and exhibit high electrical conductivities.





Organic derivatives of Se(II) include  $\text{R}_2\text{Se}$  (prepared by reaction 18.94) and  $\text{RSeX}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$  or  $\text{Br}$ , prepared by




**Fig. 18.18** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) monomeric  $(\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{AsCl}_2$  [E.V. Avtomonov *et al.* (1996) *J. Organomet. Chem.*, vol. 524, p. 253 ], (b) monomeric  $(\eta^3\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{SbCl}_2$  [W. Frank (1991) *J. Organomet. Chem.*, vol. 406, p. 331], and (c) polymeric  $(\eta^3\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{BiCl}_2$  [W. Frank (1990) *J. Organomet. Chem.*, vol. 386, p. 177]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: As, orange; Sb, silver; Bi, blue; C, grey; Cl, green.


reaction 18.95). Routes to  $\text{R}_2\text{Te}$  and  $\text{R}_2\text{Te}_2$  compounds are shown in schemes 18.96 and 18.97; it is harder to isolate  $\text{RTeX}$  compounds than their Se analogues, but they can be stabilized by coordination to a Lewis base.



Diselenides  $\text{R}_2\text{Se}_2$  are readily made by treating  $\text{Na}_2\text{Se}_2$  with  $\text{RX}$ , and have non-planar structures, e.g. for  $\text{Ph}_2\text{Se}_2$  in the solid state, the dihedral angle (see [Figure 15.9](#)) is  $82^\circ$  and the Se–Se bond length is 229 pm. The reaction of  $\text{Ph}_2\text{Se}_2$  with  $\text{I}_2$  leads, not to  $\text{RSeI}$ , but to the charge transfer complex **18.49** (see [Section 16.4](#)). In contrast, the reaction with  $\text{Ph}_2\text{Te}_2$  leads to the tetramer  $(\text{PhTeI})_4$  (**18.50**).




(18.49)



(18.50)



Dimethylselenide and telluride react with  $\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{Br}_2$  and  $\text{I}_2$  to give  $\text{Me}_2\text{SeX}_2$  and  $\text{Me}_2\text{TeX}_2$ . The solid state structure of  $\text{Me}_2\text{TeCl}_2$  is based on a trigonal bipyramid in accord with VSEPR theory and this is typical of  $\text{R}_2\text{TeX}_2$  (**18.51**) compounds. What was at one time labelled as the  $\beta$ -form of  $\text{Me}_2\text{TeI}_2$  is now known to be  $[\text{Me}_3\text{Te}]^+[\text{MeTeI}_4]^-$ , with a trigonal pyramidal cation and square-based pyramidal anion;  $\text{I}-\text{Te}---\text{I}$  bridges result in each Te centre being in a distorted octahedral environment in the solid state.



(18.51)

The oxidative addition of  $\text{X}_2$  to  $\text{RSeX}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$  or  $\text{Br}$ ) leads to  $\text{RSeX}_3$ . Tellurium analogues such as  $\text{MeTeCl}_3$  can be prepared by treating  $\text{Me}_2\text{Te}_2$  with  $\text{Cl}_2$  or by reacting  $\text{TeCl}_4$  with  $\text{Me}_4\text{Sn}$ . Reaction 18.98 yields the pyrophoric compound  $\text{Me}_4\text{Te}$  which can be oxidized to  $\text{Me}_4\text{TeF}_2$  using  $\text{XeF}_2$ ;  $\text{Ph}_4\text{Te}$  can similarly be converted to *cis*- $\text{Ph}_4\text{TeF}_2$ . Reaction of  $\text{Me}_4\text{TeF}_2$  with  $\text{Me}_2\text{Zn}$  yields  $\text{Me}_6\text{Te}$ . The phenyl analogue,  $\text{Ph}_6\text{Te}$ , can be prepared by reaction 18.99, and treatment with  $\text{Cl}_2$  converts  $\text{Ph}_6\text{Te}$  to  $\text{Ph}_5\text{TeCl}$ . Abstraction of chloride from the latter compound gives  $[\text{Ph}_5\text{Te}]^+$  (equation 18.100) which (in the  $[\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_4]^-$  salt)

has a square-based pyramidal structure.  $\text{Ph}_6\text{Te}$  is thermally stable, but  $[\text{Ph}_5\text{Te}]^+$  decomposes to  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{Te}]^+$  (equation 18.101).



## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- organometallic compound
- pyrophoric
- radical anion
- metallocene
- sandwich complex
- thermochemical
- s-cis and s-trans conformations

## Further reading

### General sources

- Ch. Elschenbroich and A. Salzer (1992) *Organometallics*, 2nd edn, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – An excellent text which covers both main group and transition metal organometallic chemistry.
- N.N. Greenwood (2001) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 2055 – ‘Main group element chemistry at the millennium’ is a review that highlights novel main group compounds including organometallics.
- R.B. King, ed. (1994) *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, Wiley, Chichester – The organometallic chemistry of each of the elements discussed in this chapter is surveyed (with many references) in separate articles under the element name.
- G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, eds (1982) *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, Pergamon, Oxford – Volume 1 provides detailed coverage of the organometallic compounds of groups 1, 2 and 13, while Volume 2 deals with groups 14 and 15; the reviews include hundreds of literature references up to 1981.
- G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, eds (1995) *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, Pergamon, Oxford – Volume 1 (ed. C.E. Housecroft) updates the information from the above edition, covering groups 1, 2 and 13 for the period 1982–1994; Volume 2 (ed. A.G. Davies) updates the chemistry of groups 14 and 15.

### Specialized topics

- K.M. Baines and W.G. Stibbs (1996) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 39, p. 275 – ‘Stable doubly bonded compounds of germanium and tin’.
- P.J. Brothers and P.P. Power (1996) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 39, p. 1 – ‘Multiple bonding involving Al, Ga, In and Tl’.
- P.H.M. Budzelaar, J.J. Engelberts and J.H. van Lenthe (2003) *Organometallics*, vol. 22, p. 1562 – ‘Trends in cyclopentadienyl–main group–metal bonding’.
- T.P. Hanusa (2000) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 210, p. 329 – ‘Non-cyclopentadienyl organometallic compounds of calcium, strontium and barium’.
- T.P. Hanusa (2002) *Organometallics*, vol. 21, p. 2559 – ‘New developments in the cyclopentadienyl chemistry of the alkaline-earth metals’.
- P. Jutzi and N. Burford (1999) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 99, p. 969 – ‘Structurally diverse  $\pi$ -cyclopentadienyl complexes of the main group elements’.
- P. Jutzi and G. Reumann (2000) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 2237 – ‘Cp\* Chemistry of main-group elements’ (Cp\* = C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>).
- P.R. Markies, O.S. Akkerman, F. Bickelhaupt, W.J.J. Smeets and A.L. Spek (1991) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 32, p. 147 – ‘X-ray structural analysis of organomagnesium compounds’.
- N.C. Norman, ed. (1998) *Chemistry of Arsenic, Antimony and Bismuth*, Blackie, London – This book includes chapters dealing with organo-derivatives.
- R. Okazaki and R. West (1996) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 39, p. 231 – ‘Chemistry of stable disilenes’.
- J.A. Reichl and D.H. Berry (1998) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 43, p. 197 – ‘Recent progress in transition metal-catalyzed reactions of silicon, germanium and tin’.
- W.N. Setzer and P. v. R. Schleyer (1985) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 24, p. 353 – A structural review entitled: ‘X-ray analyses of organolithium compounds’.
- D.F. Shriver and M.A. Drezdon (1986) *The manipulation of air-sensitive compounds*, Wiley, New York – An excellent text dealing with inert atmosphere techniques.
- L.R. Sita (1995) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 38, p. 189 – ‘Structure/property relationships of polystannanes’.
- J.D. Smith (1998) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 43, p. 267 – ‘Organometallic compounds of the heavier alkali metals’.
- P.J. Smith, ed. (1998) *Chemistry of Tin*, 2nd edn, Blackie, London – Chapters 4–8 deal in detail with the organometallic chemistry of tin.

### Applications in organic synthesis

- B. Jousseau and M. Pereyre (1998) in *Chemistry of Tin*, ed. P.J. Smith, 2nd edn, Blackie, London – Chapter 9: ‘The uses of organotin compounds in organic synthesis’.
- D.S. Matteson (1995) *Stereodirected Synthesis with Organoboranes*, Springer, Berlin.
- L.A. Paquette, ed. (1995) *Encyclopedia of Reagents in Organic Synthesis*, Wiley, Chichester – Detailed descriptions of uses of specific main group organometallic compounds are included in this eight-volume encyclopedia.
- H.G. Richey, ed. (2000) *Grignard Reagents – New Developments*, Wiley, Chichester.
- S.E. Thomas (1991) *Organic Synthesis: The Roles of Boron and Silicon*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

## Problems

- 18.1** Suggest products of the following reactions:
- $\text{MeBr} + 2\text{Li} \xrightarrow{\text{Et}_2\text{O}}$
  - $\text{Na} + (\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_2 \xrightarrow{\text{THF}}$
  - ${}^n\text{BuLi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$
  - $\text{Na} + \text{C}_5\text{H}_6 \rightarrow$
- 18.2** Whether the bonding in lithium alkyls is predominantly ionic or covalent is still a matter for debate. Assuming a covalent model, use a hybrid orbital approach to suggest a bonding scheme for  $(\text{MeLi})_4$ . Comment on the bonding picture you have described.
- 18.3** Describe the gas-phase and solid state structures of  $\text{Me}_2\text{Be}$  and discuss the bonding in each case. Compare the bonding with that in  $\text{BeH}_2$  and  $\text{BeCl}_2$ .
- 18.4** Suggest products of the following reactions, which are *not* necessarily balanced on the left-hand side:
- $\text{Mg} + \text{C}_5\text{H}_6 \rightarrow$
  - $\text{MgCl}_2 + \text{LiR} \rightarrow$
  - $\text{RBeCl} \xrightarrow{\text{LiAlH}_4}$
- 18.5** The compound  $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{C}(\text{MgBr})_2 \cdot n\text{THF}$  is monomeric. Suggest a value for  $n$  and propose a structure for this Grignard reagent.
- 18.6** (a) For the equilibrium  $\text{Al}_2\text{R}_6 \rightleftharpoons 2\text{AlR}_3$ , comment on the fact that values of  $K$  are  $1.52 \times 10^{-8}$  for  $\text{R} = \text{Me}$ , and  $2.3 \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\text{R} = \text{Me}_2\text{CHCH}_2$ . (b) Describe the bonding in  $\text{Al}_2\text{Me}_6$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_6$  and  $\text{Al}_2\text{Me}_4(\mu\text{-Cl})_2$ .
- 18.7** Suggest products of the following reactions, which are *not* necessarily balanced on the left-hand side:
- $\text{Al}_2\text{Me}_6 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$
  - $\text{AlR}_3 + \text{R}'\text{NH}_2 \rightarrow$
  - $\text{Me}_3\text{SiCl} + \text{Na}[\text{C}_5\text{H}_5] \rightarrow$
  - $\text{Me}_2\text{SiCl}_2 + \text{Li}[\text{AlH}_4] \rightarrow$
- 18.8** (a) Discuss the variation in structure for the group 13 trialkyls and triaryls. (b) Comment on features of interest in the solid state structures of  $[\text{Me}_2(\text{PhC}_2)\text{Ga}]_2$  and  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{Al}]_2$ .
- 18.9** The conversion of  $(\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{SiBr}_2$  to  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Si}$  is achieved using anthracene/potassium. Outline the role of this reagent.
- 18.10** Suggest the nature of the solid state structures of (a)  $\text{Ph}_2\text{PbCl}_2$ , (b)  $\text{Ph}_3\text{PbCl}$ , (c)  $(2,4,6\text{-Me}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)_3\text{PbCl}$ , and (d)  $[\text{PhPbCl}_5]^{2-}$ . In each case, state the expected coordination environment of the Pb centre.
- 18.11** Suggest products when  $\text{Et}_3\text{SnCl}$  reacts with the following reagents: (a)  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; (b)  $\text{Na}[\text{Cp}]$ ; (c)  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}$ ; (d)  $\text{PhLi}$ ; (e)  $\text{Na}$ .
- 18.12** (a) In what ways do the solid state structures of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)_2\text{Sn}$  for  $\text{R} = \text{H}$ ,  $\text{Me}$  and  $\text{Ph}$  differ? (b) In the solid state structure of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Mg}$ , the two cyclopentadienyl rings are parallel; however, for  $\text{M} = \text{Ca}$ ,  $\text{Sr}$  and  $\text{Ba}$ , the rings are tilted with respect to one another. Say what you can about this observation.
- 18.13** The reaction of  $\text{InBr}$  with an excess of  $\text{HCBBr}_3$  in 1,4-dioxane ( $\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_2$ ) leads to compound **A** which is an adduct of 1,4-dioxane and contains 21.4% In. During the reaction, the indium is oxidized. The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of **A** shows signals at  $\delta$  5.36 (singlet) and 3.6 (multiplet) in a ratio 1 : 8. Treatment of **A** with two molar equivalents of  $\text{InBr}$  followed by addition of  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]\text{Br}$  yields the salt **B** which contains 16.4% In and 34.2% Br. The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of **B** exhibits signals in the range  $\delta$  8.01–7.71 and a singlet at  $\delta$  0.20 with relative integrals of 60 : 1. Suggest identities for **A** and **B**.
- 18.14** Discuss the bonding between the central  $p$ -block elements in the following compounds and give the expected arrangements of the organic substituents with respect to the central  $\text{E}_2$ -unit:
- $[(2,4,6\text{-Me}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)_2\text{BB}(2,4,6\text{-Me}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)\text{Ph}]^{2-}$ ;
  - $[(2,4,6\text{-}^1\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)_2\text{GaGa}(2,4,6\text{-}^1\text{Pr}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)_2]^-$ ;
  - $\{(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{CH}\}_2\text{SnSn}\{(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{CH}\}_2$ ;
  - ${}^1\text{Bu}_3\text{GeGe}^1\text{Bu}_3$ ;
  - $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{CAsAsC}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$
- 18.15** Suggest products when  $\text{Me}_3\text{Sb}$  reacts with the following reagents: (a)  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$ ; (b)  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ; (c)  $\text{Br}_2$ ; (d)  $\text{Cl}_2$  followed by treatment with  $\text{MeLi}$ ; (e)  $\text{MeI}$ ; (f)  $\text{Br}_2$  followed by treatment with  $\text{Na}[\text{OEt}]$ .
- 18.16** Write a brief account of how the changes in available oxidation states for elements, E, in groups 13 to 15 affect the families of organoelement compounds of type  $\text{R}_n\text{E}$  that can be formed.
- 18.17** Give methods of synthesis for the following families of compound, commenting where appropriate on limitations in the choice of R: (a)  $\text{R}_4\text{Ge}$ ; (b)  $\text{R}_3\text{B}$ ; (c)  $(\text{C}_5\text{R}_5)_3\text{Ga}$ ; (d) *cyclo*- $(\text{R}_2\text{Si})_n$ ; (e)  $\text{R}_5\text{As}$ ; (f)  $\text{R}_4\text{Al}_2$ ; (g)  $\text{R}_3\text{Sb}$ .
- 18.18** Give a short account of the structural variation observed for cyclopentadienyl derivatives  $\text{Cp}_n\text{E}$  of the heavier  $p$ -block elements.
- 18.19** Write a brief account of the use of sterically demanding substituents in the stabilization of compounds containing E–E and E=E bonds where E is a  $p$ -block metal or semi-metal.
- 18.20** Write a short account describing methods of formation of metal–carbon bonds for metals in the  $s$ - and  $p$ -block.


## Overview problems

- 18.21** (a) In 1956, it was concluded on the basis of dipole moment measurements that  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Pb}$  did not contain coparallel  $\text{C}_5$ -rings. Explain how this conclusion follows from such measurements. (b) X-ray diffraction studies at 113 K show that two cyclopentadienyl complexes of beryllium can be

formulated as  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)(\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)\text{Be}$  and  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Be}$  respectively. The solution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum at 298 K of  $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{Be}$  exhibits singlets at  $\delta$  1.80, 1.83 and 4.39 (relative integrals 6:6:1), whereas that of  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Be}$  shows one singlet at  $\delta$  1.83. Draw diagrams to represent the solid state structures of the compounds and rationalize the solution NMR spectroscopic data.

**18.22** Treatment of  $(2,4,6\text{-}^1\text{Bu}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)\text{P}=\text{P}(2,4,6\text{-}^1\text{Bu}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)$  with  $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{Me}$  gives a salt **A** as the only product. The  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum of the precursor contains a singlet ( $\delta$  +495), while that of the product exhibits two doublets ( $\delta$  +237 and +332,  $J = 633$  Hz). Compound **A** reacts with  $\text{MeLi}$  to give two isomers of **B** which are in equilibrium in solution. The solution  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum of **B** at 298 K shows one broad signal. On cooling to 213 K, two signals at  $\delta$  -32.4 and -35.8 are observed. From the solid state structures of **A** and one isomer of **B**, the P-P bond lengths are 202 and 222 pm. Suggest identities for **A** and **B**, and draw their structures which show the geometry at each P atom. Comment on the nature of the isomerism in **B**.

**18.23** (a) Suggest how Na might react with  $\text{MeC}(\text{CH}_2\text{SbCl}_2)_3$ .  
 (b) Comment on aspects of the bonding in the following compound:



(c)  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Ba}$  and  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Ba}$  both have polymeric structures in the solid state. However, whereas  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Ba}$  is insoluble in common organic solvents,  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Ba}$  is soluble in aromatic solvents. In contrast to  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Ba}$ ,  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Be}$  is monomeric. Suggest a reason for these observations.

**18.24** The reactions of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{GeCl}$  with  $\text{GeCl}_2$  or  $\text{SnCl}_2$  lead to the compound  $[\text{A}]^+[\text{B}]^-$  or  $[\text{A}]^+[\text{C}]^-$  respectively. The solution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{A}][\text{C}]^-$  contains a singlet at  $\delta$  2.14, and the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum shows two signals at  $\delta$  9.6 and 121.2. The mass spectra of the compounds exhibit a common peak at  $m/z = 209$ . (a) Suggest identities for  $[\text{A}][\text{B}]$  and  $[\text{A}][\text{C}]$ . (b) Assign the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum. (c) The peak at  $m/z = 209$  is not a single line. Why is this? (d) What structures do you expect  $[\text{B}]^-$  and  $[\text{C}]^-$  to adopt? (e) Describe the bonding in  $[\text{A}]^+$ .

**18.25** (a) The reaction between  $\text{BiCl}_3$  and 3 equivalents of  $\text{EtMgCl}$  yields compound **X** as the organo-product. Two equivalents of  $\text{BiI}_3$  react with 1 equivalent of **X** to produce 3 equivalents of compound **Y**. In the solid state, **Y** has a polymeric structure consisting of chains in which each Bi centre is in a square-based pyramidal environment. Suggest identities for **X** and **Y**, and draw possible structures for part of a chain in crystalline **Y**.

(b) The reaction between  $\text{TeCl}_4$  and 4 equivalents of  $\text{LiC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-4-CF}_3$  ( $\text{LiAr}$ ) in  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  leads to  $\text{Ar}_6\text{Te}$ ,  $\text{Ar}_3\text{TeCl}$  and  $\text{Ar}_2\text{Te}$  as the isolated products. Suggest a pathway by which the reaction may take place that accounts for the products.

(c) The reaction of  $\text{R}'\text{SbCl}_2$  with  $\text{RLi}$  ( $\text{R} = 2\text{-Me}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$ ,  $\text{R}' = \text{CH}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ ) leads to  $\text{RR}'\text{SbCl}$ . In the solid state,  $\text{RR}'\text{SbCl}$  has a molecular structure in which the Sb centre is 4-coordinate;  $\text{RR}'\text{SbCl}$  is chiral. Suggest a structure for  $\text{RR}'\text{SbCl}$  and draw structures of the two enantiomers.

# Chapter 19

## *d*-Block chemistry: general considerations

### TOPICS

- Ground state electronic configurations
- Physical properties
- Reactivity of the elemental metals
- An overview of characteristic properties
- Electroneutrality principle
- The Kepert model
- Coordination numbers
- Isomerism

|         |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |         |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------|
| 1–2     | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13–18   |
| s-block | Sc | Ti | V  | Cr | Mn | Fe | Co | Ni | Cu | Zn | p-block |
|         | Y  | Zr | Nb | Mo | Tc | Ru | Rh | Pd | Ag | Cd |         |
|         | La | Hf | Ta | W  | Re | Os | Ir | Pt | Au | Hg |         |

- stability constants for metal complexes ([Section 6.12](#));
- selected ligand structures and abbreviations ([Table 6.7](#));
- an introduction to coordination complexes ([Section 6.11](#));
- redox chemistry in aqueous solution, including potential diagrams and Frost–Ebsworth diagrams ([Chapter 7](#));
- geometrical isomerism ([Section 1.20](#));
- chiral molecules ([Section 3.8](#));
- binary metal hydrides ([Section 9.7](#)).

### 19.1 Topic overview

In [Chapters 19–23](#), we discuss the chemistry of the *d*-block metals, covering first some general principles including magnetic and electronic spectroscopic properties. We move then to a systematic coverage of the metals and their compounds, and conclude with a chapter on organometallic chemistry. We have already touched upon some aspects of the *d*-block metals and the following will not be covered again in detail:

- ground state electronic configurations ([Table 1.3](#));
- trends in first ionization energies ([Figure 1.15](#) and [Section 1.10](#));
- structures of bulk metals ([Section 5.3](#));
- polymorphism ([Section 5.4](#));
- metallic radii ([Section 5.5](#));
- trends in melting points and  $\Delta_a H^\circ(298\text{ K})$  ([Section 5.6](#));
- alloys and intermetallic compounds ([Section 5.7](#));
- metallic bonding including electrical resistivity ([Section 5.8](#) and [Figure 5.9](#));
- aquated cations: formation and acidic properties ([Section 6.7](#));
- solubilities of ionic salts and common-ion effect ([Sections 6.9](#) and [6.10](#));


### 19.2 Ground state electronic configurations

#### *d*-Block metals versus transition elements

The three rows of *d*-block metals are shown in the schematic periodic table at the beginning of the chapter. The term ‘transition elements (metals)’ is also widely used, but ‘*d*-block metal’ and ‘transition element’ are *not* interchangeable. A *transition element* is one for which an atom has an incomplete *d*-subshell, or which gives rise to a cation with an incomplete *d*-subshell,<sup>†</sup> and thus elements in group 12 (which are within the *d*-block) are *not* transition elements. The elements in the *f*-block (see [Chapter 24](#)) are sometimes called *inner transition elements*. Throughout our discussions, we shall use the terms *d*-block and *f*-block metals, so being consistent with the use of the terms *s*-block and *p*-block elements in earlier chapters. Three further points should be noted:

- each group of *d*-block metals consists of three members and is called a *triad*;

<sup>†</sup> IUPAC: *Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry (Recommendations 1990)*, ed. G.J. Leigh, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.



**Fig. 19.1** Trends in metallic radii ( $r_{\text{metal}}$ ) across the three rows of *s*- and *d*-block metals K to Zn, Rb to Cd, and Cs to Hg.

- metals of the second and third rows are sometimes called the *heavier d-block metals*;
- Ru, Os, Rh, Ir, Pd and Pt are collectively known as the *platinum-group metals*.

## Electronic configurations

To a first approximation, the observed ground state electronic configurations of the first, second and third row *d*-block metal atoms correspond to the progressive filling of the  $3d$ ,  $4d$  and  $5d$  atomic orbitals respectively (Table 1.3). However, there are minor deviations from this pattern, e.g. in the first row, the ground state of chromium is  $[\text{Ar}]4s^13d^5$  rather than  $[\text{Ar}]4s^23d^4$ . The reasons for these deviations are beyond the scope of this book: we should need to know both the energy difference between the  $3d$  and  $4s$  atomic orbitals when the nuclear charge is 24 (the atomic number of Cr) and the interelectronic interaction energies for each of the  $[\text{Ar}]4s^13d^5$  and  $[\text{Ar}]4s^23d^4$  configurations. Fortunately,  $\text{M}^{2+}$  and  $\text{M}^{3+}$  ions of the first row *d*-block metals all have electronic configurations of the general form  $[\text{Ar}]3d^n$ , and so the comparative chemistry of these metals is largely concerned with the consequences of the successive filling of the  $3d$  orbitals. For metals of the second and third rows, the picture is more complicated, and a systematic treatment of their chemistry cannot be given. The emphasis in this and the next chapter is therefore on the first row metals, but we shall include some material that illustrates ways in which the heavier metals differ from their lighter congeners.

An important point that must not be forgotten is that *d*-block metal atoms are, of course, *many-electron* species, and when we discuss, for example, radial distribution functions of the  $nd$  atomic orbitals, we refer to hydrogen-

like atoms and, therefore, the discussion is extremely approximate.


## 19.3 Physical properties

In this section, we consider physical properties of the *d*-block metals (see cross references in Section 19.1 for further details); an extended discussion of properties of the heavier metals is given in Section 22.1. Nearly all the *d*-block metals are hard, ductile and malleable, with high electrical and thermal conductivities. With the exceptions of Mn, Zn, Cd and Hg, at room temperature, the metals possess one of the typical metal structures (see Table 5.2). The metallic radii ( $r_{\text{metal}}$ ) for 12-coordination (Table 5.2 and Figure 19.1) are much smaller than those of the *s*-block metals of comparable atomic number; Figure 19.1 also illustrates that values of  $r_{\text{metal}}$ :

- show little variation across a given row of the *d*-block;
- are greater for second and third row metals than for first row metals;
- are similar for the second and third row metals in a given triad.

This last observation is due to the so-called *lanthanoid contraction* (the steady decrease in size along the 14 lanthanoid metals between La and Hf; see Section 24.3).

Metals of the *d*-block are (with the exception of the group 12 metals) much harder and less volatile than those of the *s*-block. The trends in enthalpies of atomization (Table 5.2) are shown in Figure 19.2. Metals in the second and third



**Fig. 19.2** Trends in standard enthalpies of atomization,  $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(298 \text{ K})$ , across the three rows of *s*- and *d*-block metals K to Zn, Rb to Cd, and Cs to Hg.

rows generally possess higher enthalpies of atomization than the corresponding elements in the first row; this is a substantial factor in accounting for the far greater occurrence of metal–metal bonding in compounds of the heavier *d*-block metals compared with their first row congeners. In general, Figure 19.2 shows that metals in the centre of the *d*-block possess higher values of  $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}(298 \text{ K})$  than early or late metals. However, one must be careful in comparing metals with different structure types and this is particularly true of manganese (see Section 5.3).

The first ionization energies ( $IE_1$ ) of the *d*-block metals in a given period (Figure 1.15 and Appendix 8) are higher than those of the preceding *s*-block metals. Figure 1.15 shows that across each of the periods K to Kr, Rb to Xe, and Cs to Rn, the variation in values of  $IE_1$  is small across the *d*-block and far greater among the *s*- and *p*-block elements. Within each period, the overall trend for the *d*-block metals is for the ionization energies to increase, but many small variations occur. Chemical comparisons between metals from the *s*- and *d*-blocks are complicated by the number of factors involved. Thus, all 3*d* metals have values of  $IE_1$  (Figure 1.15) and  $IE_2$  larger than those of calcium, and all except zinc have higher values of  $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^{\circ}$  (Figure 19.2); these factors make the metals less reactive than calcium. However, since all known  $M^{2+}$  ions of the 3*d* metals are smaller than  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , lattice and solvation energy effects (see Chapters 5 and 6) are more favourable for the 3*d* metal ions. In practice, it turns out that, in the formation of species containing  $M^{2+}$

ions, all the 3*d* metals are thermodynamically less reactive than calcium, and this is consistent with the standard reduction potentials listed in Table 19.1. However, interpretation of observed chemistry based on these  $E^{\circ}$  data is not always straightforward, since the formation of a coherent surface film of metal oxide often renders a metal less reactive than expected (see Section 19.4). A few *d*-block metals are very powerful reducing agents, e.g.  $E^{\circ}$  for the  $\text{Sc}^{3+}/\text{Sc}$  couple ( $-2.08 \text{ V}$ ) is more negative than that for  $\text{Al}^{3+}/\text{Al}$  ( $-1.66 \text{ V}$ ).

**Table 19.1** Standard reduction potentials (298 K) for some metals in the first long period; the concentration of each aqueous solution is  $1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .

| Reduction half-equation  | $E^{\circ} / \text{V}$ |
|--|------------------------|
| $\text{Ca}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca}(\text{s})$ | $-2.87$                |
| $\text{Ti}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{Ti}(\text{s})$ | $-1.63$                |
| $\text{V}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{V}(\text{s})$   | $-1.18$                |
| $\text{Cr}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{Cr}(\text{s})$ | $-0.91$                |
| $\text{Mn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}(\text{s})$ | $-1.19$                |
| $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}(\text{s})$ | $-0.44$                |
| $\text{Co}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{Co}(\text{s})$ | $-0.28$                |
| $\text{Ni}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{Ni}(\text{s})$ | $-0.25$                |
| $\text{Cu}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{Cu}(\text{s})$ | $+0.34$                |
| $\text{Zn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}(\text{s})$ | $-0.76$                |


**Worked example 19.1 Reduction potentials of the first row *d*-block metals**

In what way does the value of  $E^\circ$  for the  $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq})/\text{Fe}(\text{s})$  couple depend on the first two ionization energies of  $\text{Fe}(\text{g})$ ?

$E^\circ$  for the  $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq})/\text{Fe}(\text{s})$  couple refers to the reduction process:



relative to the reduction:




The sum of the first and second ionization energies,  $IE_1$  and  $IE_2$ , refers to the process:



The entropy changes on ionization are negligible compared with the enthalpy changes. Therefore,  $IE_1$  and  $IE_2$  may be approximated to Gibbs energy changes.

In order to relate the processes, construct a thermochemical cycle:



$\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  is the Gibbs energy change for the hydration of a mole of gaseous  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  ions. This cycle illustrates the contribution that the ionization energies of Fe make to  $\Delta G^\circ_1$ , the Gibbs energy change associated with the reduction of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq})$ . This in turn is related to  $E^\circ_{\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}}$  by the equation:

$$\Delta G^\circ_1 = -zFE^\circ$$

where  $F = 96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1}$  and  $z = 2$ .

**Self-study exercises**

Use the data in Table 19.1 for these questions.

1. Which of the metals Cu and Zn will liberate  $\text{H}_2$  from dilute hydrochloric acid? [Ans. see Section 7.2]

2. Calculate a value of  $\Delta G^\circ$  (298 K) for the reaction:



Is the result consistent with your answer to question 1?


[Ans.  $-147 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

3. A polished Cu rod is placed in an aqueous solution of  $\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ . In a second experiment, a polished Zn rod is placed in an aqueous solution of  $\text{CuSO}_4$ . Does anything happen to (a) the Cu rod and (b) the Zn rod? Quantify your answers by calculating appropriate values of  $\Delta G^\circ$  (298 K).

[Ans. see Section 7.2]

**19.4 The reactivity of the metals**

In Chapters 20 and 21 we shall look at individual elements of the *d*-block in detail. However, a few general points are given here as an overview. In general, the metals are moderately reactive and combine to give binary compounds when heated with dioxygen, sulfur or the halogens (e.g. reactions 19.1–19.3), product stoichiometry depending, in part, on the available oxidation states (see below). Combination with  $\text{H}_2$ , B, C or  $\text{N}_2$  may lead to interstitial hydrides (Section 9.7), borides (Section 12.10), carbides (Section 13.7) or nitrides (Section 14.6).



Most *d*-block metals should, on thermodynamic grounds (e.g. Table 19.1), liberate  $\text{H}_2$  from acids but, in practice, many do not since they are passivated by a thin surface coating of oxide or by having a high dihydrogen overpotential, or both. Silver, gold and mercury (i.e. late, second and third row metals) are, even in the thermodynamic sense, the least reactive metals known. For example, gold is not oxidized by atmospheric  $\text{O}_2$  or attacked by acids, except by a 3:1 mixture of concentrated HCl and  $\text{HNO}_3$  (*aqua regia*).

**19.5 Characteristic properties: a general perspective**

In this section, we introduce properties that are characteristic of *d*-block metal compounds. More detailed discussion follows in Chapter 20.

**Colour**

The colours of *d*-block metal compounds are a characteristic feature of species with ground state electronic configurations other than  $d^0$  and  $d^{10}$ . For example,  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  is sky-blue,  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  very pale pink,  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  pink,  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  intense purple and  $[\text{CoCl}_4]^{2-}$  dark blue. In contrast, salts of Sc(III) ( $d^0$ ) or Zn(II) ( $d^{10}$ ) are colourless. The fact that many of the observed colours are of *low intensity* is consistent with the colour originating from electronic '*d*-*d*' transitions. If we were dealing with an isolated gas-phase ion, such transitions would be forbidden by the Laporte selection rule (equation 19.4 where  $l$  is the orbital quantum number). The pale colours indicate that the probability of a transition occurring is low. Table 19.2 shows relationships between the wavelength of light absorbed and observed colours.

$$\Delta l = \pm 1 \quad (\text{Laporte selection rule}) \quad (19.4)$$




**Table 19.3** Oxidation states of the *d*-block metals; the most stable states are marked in blue. Tabulation of zero oxidation states refers to their appearance in *compounds* of the metal. In organometallic compounds, oxidation states of less than zero are encountered (see *Chapter 23*). An oxidation state enclosed in [ ] is rare.

|    |    |    |    |     |    |    |    |     |    |
|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|----|
| Sc | Ti | V  | Cr | Mn  | Fe | Co | Ni | Cu  | Zn |
|    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | [0] |    |
|    |    | 1  | 1  | 1   | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1   |    |
|    | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2   | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2   | 2  |
| 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3   | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3   |    |
|    | 4  | 4  | 4  | 4   | 4  | 4  | 4  | 4   |    |
|    |    | 5  | 5  | 5   | 5  |    |    | [4] |    |
|    |    |    | 6  | 6   | 6  |    |    |     |    |
|    |    |    |    | 7   |    |    |    |     |    |
| Y  | Zr | Nb | Mo | Tc  | Ru | Rh | Pd | Ag  | Cd |
|    |    |    | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  |     |    |
|    |    |    |    | 1   |    | 1  |    | 1   |    |
|    | 2  | 2  | 2  | [2] | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2   | 2  |
| 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3   | 3  | 3  |    | 3   |    |
|    | 4  | 4  | 4  | 4   | 4  | 4  | 4  |     |    |
|    |    | 5  | 5  | 5   | 5  | 5  |    |     |    |
|    |    |    | 6  | 6   | 6  | 6  |    |     |    |
|    |    |    |    | 7   | 7  |    |    |     |    |
|    |    |    |    |     | 8  |    |    |     |    |
| La | Hf | Ta | W  | Re  | Os | Ir | Pt | Au  | Hg |
|    |    |    | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | [0] |    |
|    |    |    |    | 1   |    | 1  |    | 1   | 1  |
|    | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2   | 2  | 2  | 2  | [2] | 2  |
| 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3   | 3  | 3  |    | 3   |    |
|    | 4  | 4  | 4  | 4   | 4  | 4  | 4  |     |    |
|    |    | 5  | 5  | 5   | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5   |    |
|    |    |    | 6  | 6   | 6  | 6  | 6  |     |    |
|    |    |    |    | 7   | 7  |    |    |     |    |
|    |    |    |    |     | 8  |    |    |     |    |

Let us consider the complex ion  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$ . Figure 19.3a gives a representation of the complex which indicates that the coordinate bonds are formed by lone pair donation from the ligands to the Co(III) centre. It implies transfer of charge from ligand to metal, and Figure 19.3b shows the resulting charge distribution. This is clearly unrealistic, since the cobalt(III) centre becomes more negatively charged

than would be favourable given its electropositive nature. At the other extreme, we could consider the bonding in terms of a wholly ionic model (Figure 19.3c): the 3+ charge remains localized on the cobalt ion and the six  $\text{NH}_3$  ligands remain neutral. However, this model is also flawed; experimental evidence shows that the  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  complex ion remains as an entity in aqueous solution, and the electrostatic



**Fig. 19.3** The complex cation  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$ : (a) a conventional diagram showing the donation of lone pairs of electrons from ligands to metal ion; (b) the charge distribution that results from a 100% covalent model of the bonding; (c) the charge distribution that results from a 100% ionic model of the bonding; and (d) the approximate charge distribution that results from applying the electroneutrality principle.

**Table 19.4** Coordination geometries; each describes the arrangement of the donor atoms that surround the metal centre. Note that for some coordination numbers, more than one possible arrangement of donor atoms exists.

| Coordination number | Arrangement of donor atoms around metal centre               | Less common arrangements                             |
|---------------------|--|--|
| 2                   | Linear   |  |
| 3                   | Trigonal planar  | Trigonal pyramidal                                   |
| 4                   | Tetrahedral; square planar                                   |  |
| 5                   | Trigonal bipyramidal; square-based pyramidal                 |  |
| 6                   | Octahedral   | Trigonal prismatic                                   |
| 7                   | Pentagonal bipyramidal                                       | Monocapped trigonal prismatic; monocapped octahedral |
| 8                   | Dodecahedral;<br>square antiprismatic; hexagonal bipyramidal | Cube; bicapped trigonal prismatic                    |
| 9                   | Tricapped trigonal prismatic                                 |  |

interactions implied by the ionic model are unlikely to be strong enough to allow this to happen. Thus, neither of the extreme bonding models is appropriate.

If we now apply the electroneutrality principle to  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$ , then, ideally, the net charge on the metal centre should be zero. That is, the  $\text{Co}^{3+}$  ion may accept a total of *only three electrons* from the six ligands, thus giving the charge distribution shown in Figure 19.3d. The electroneutrality principle results in a bonding description for the  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  ion which is 50% ionic (or 50% covalent).

### Self-study exercises

1. In  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ , a realistic charge distribution results in each ligand carrying a charge of  $-\frac{2}{3}$ . In this model, what charge does the Fe centre carry and why is this charge consistent with the electroneutrality principle?
2. If the bonding in  $[\text{CrO}_4]^{2-}$  were described in terms of a 100% ionic model, what would be the charge carried by the Cr centre? Explain how this charge distribution can be modified by the introduction of covalent character into the bonds.

## 19.7 Coordination numbers

In this section, we give an overview of the coordination numbers and geometries found within *d*-block metal compounds. It is impossible to give a comprehensive account, and several points should be borne in mind:

- most examples in this section involve mononuclear complexes, and in complexes with more than one metal centre, structural features are often conveniently described in terms of individual metal centres (e.g. in polymer **19.4**, each Pd(II) centre is in a square planar environment);
- although coordination environments are often described in terms of *regular* geometries such as those in Table

19.4, in practice they are often distorted, for example as a consequence of steric effects;

- detailed discussion of a particular geometry usually involves bond lengths and angles determined in the solid state and these may be affected by crystal packing forces;
- where the energy difference between different possible structures is small (e.g. for 5- and 8-coordinate complexes), fluxional behaviour in solution may be observed; the small energy difference may also lead to the observation of different structures in the solid state, e.g. in salts of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_5]^{3-}$  the shape of the anion depends upon the cation present and in  $[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3][\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_5] \cdot 1.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , both trigonal bipyramidal and square-based pyramidal structures are present.


We shall not be concerned with ionic lattices in this section. Almost all the examples we discuss involve mononuclear species in which the metal centre is covalently bonded to the atoms in the coordination sphere. The metal–ligand bonding in complexes can generally be considered in terms of  $\sigma$ -donor ligands interacting with a metal centre which acts as a  $\sigma$ -acceptor. This may, in some complexes, be augmented with interactions involving  $\pi$ -donor ligands (with the metal as a  $\pi$ -acceptor) or  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands (with the metal as a  $\pi$ -donor). For a preliminary discussion of stereochemistry, it is not necessary to detail the metal–ligand bonding but we shall find it useful to draw attention to the electronic configuration of the metal centre; the reasons for this will become clear in *Chapter 20*.

### The Kepert model

For many years after the classic work of Werner which laid the foundations for the correct formulation of *d*-block metal complexes,<sup>†</sup> it was assumed that a metal in a given

<sup>†</sup> Alfred Werner was the first to recognize the existence of coordination complexes and was awarded the 1913 Nobel Prize in Chemistry; see <http://www.nobel.se>

oxidation state would have a fixed coordination number and geometry. In the light of the success (albeit not universal success) of VSEPR theory in predicting the shapes of molecular species of the *p*-block elements (see [Section 1.19](#)), we might reasonably expect the structures of the complex ions  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ( $d^2$ ),  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ( $d^4$ ),  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ( $d^6$ ),  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ( $d^8$ ) and  $[\text{Zn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ( $d^{10}$ ) to vary as the electronic configuration of the metal ion changes. However, each of these species has an octahedral arrangement of ligands (**19.1**). Thus, it is clear that VSEPR theory is not applicable to *d*-block metal complexes.





We turn instead to the *Kepert model*, in which the metal lies at the centre of a sphere and the ligands are free to move over the surface of the sphere. The ligands are considered to repel one another in a similar manner to the point charges in the VSEPR model; however, unlike the VSEPR model, that of Kepert *ignores non-bonding electrons*. Thus, the coordination geometry of a *d*-block species is considered by Kepert to be *independent* of the ground state electronic configuration of the metal centre, and so ions of type  $[\text{ML}_n]^{m+}$  and  $[\text{ML}_n]^{m-}$  have the *same* coordination geometry.

The Kepert model rationalizes the shapes of *d*-block metal complexes  $[\text{ML}_n]$ ,  $[\text{ML}_n]^{m+}$  or  $[\text{ML}_n]^{m-}$  by considering the repulsions between the groups L. Lone pairs of electrons are ignored. For coordination numbers between 2 and 6, the following arrangements of donor atoms are predicted:

- 2 linear
- 3 trigonal planar
- 4 tetrahedral
- 5 trigonal bipyramidal *or* square-based pyramidal
- 6 octahedral


Table 19.4 lists coordination environments associated with coordination numbers between 2 and 9; not all are predictable using the Kepert model. For example, after considering the repulsions between the cyano ligands in  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})_3]^{2-}$ , the coordination sphere would be predicted to be trigonal planar (**19.2**). Indeed, this is what is found experimentally. The other option in Table 19.4 is trigonal pyramidal, but this does not minimize interligand repulsions. One of the most important classes of structure for which the Kepert model does not predict the correct answer is that of the square planar complex, and here electronic effects are usually the controlling factor, as we discuss in [Section 20.3](#). Another factor that may lead to a breakdown of the Kepert model is the inherent constraint of a ligand. For example:

- the four nitrogen donor atoms of a porphyrin ligand ([Figure 11.8a](#)) are confined to a square planar array;
- *tripodal ligands* such as **19.3** have limited flexibility which means that the donor atoms are not necessarily free to adopt the positions predicted by Kepert;
- macrocyclic ligands (see [Section 10.8](#)) are less flexible than open chain ligands.






A *tripodal* ligand (e.g. **19.3**) is one containing three arms, each with a donor atom, which radiate from a central atom or group; this central point may itself be a donor atom.

In the remaining part of this section, we give a systematic outline of the occurrence of different coordination numbers and geometries in *solid state d*-block metal complexes. A general word of caution: molecular formulae can be misleading in terms of coordination number. For example in  $\text{CdI}_2$  ([Figure 5.22](#)), each Cd centre is octahedrally sited, and molecular halides or pseudo-halides (e.g.  $[\text{CN}]^-$ ) may contain M–X–M bridges and exist as oligomers, e.g.  $\alpha$ - $\text{PdCl}_2$  is polymeric (**19.4**).



A further ambiguity arises when the bonding mode of a ligand can be described in more than one way. This often happens in organometallic chemistry, for example with cyclopentadienyl ligands as discussed in [Chapter 18](#). The nomenclature introduced in [Box 18.1](#) assists, but there is still the question of whether to consider, for example, an  $[\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5]^-$  ligand as occupying one or five sites in the coordination sphere of a metal atom: thus, the coordination number of the Ti(IV) centre in  $[(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{TiCl}_2]$  may be represented as either **19.5a** or **19.5b**.





**Fig. 19.4** Examples of 2- and 3-coordinate structures (X-ray diffraction data): (a)  $[\text{Au}\{\text{P}(\text{cyclo-C}_6\text{H}_{11})_3\}_2]^+$  in the chloride salt [J.A. Muir *et al.* (1985) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 41, p. 1174], (b)  $[\text{AgTe}_7]^{3-}$  in the salt  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}][\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]_2[\text{AgTe}_7]$  [J.M. McConnachie *et al.* (1993) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 32, p. 3201], and (c)  $[\text{Fe}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3]$  [M.B. Hursthouse *et al.* (1972) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 2100]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Au, red; Ag, yellow; Fe, green; C, grey; P, orange; Te, dark blue; Si, pink; N, light blue.

## Coordination number 2

Examples of coordination number 2 are uncommon, being generally restricted to Cu(I), Ag(I), Au(I) and Hg(II), all  $d^{10}$  ions. Examples include  $[\text{CuCl}_2]^-$ ,  $[\text{Ag}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$ ,  $(\text{R}_3\text{P})\text{AuCl}$ ,  $[\text{Au}(\text{PR}_3)_2]^+$  (R = alkyl or aryl, Figure 19.4a) and  $\text{Hg}(\text{CN})_2$ , in each of which the metal centre is in a linear environment. However, in the solid state, the Cu(I) centre in  $\text{K}[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})_2]$  is 3-coordinate by virtue of cyano-bridge formation (see [Structure 21.67](#)). Bulky amido ligands, e.g.  $[\text{N}(\text{SiR}_3)_2]^-$ , are often associated with low coordination numbers. For example, in  $[\text{Fe}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMePh}_2)_2\}_2]$  ( $\angle\text{N-Fe-N} = 169^\circ$ ), the sterically demanding amido groups force a 2-coordinate environment on a metal centre that usually prefers to be surrounded by a greater number of ligands.

## Coordination number 3

3-Coordinate complexes are not common. Usually, trigonal planar structures are observed, and examples involving  $d^{10}$  metal centres include:

- Cu(I) in  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})_3]^{2-}$  (**19.2**),  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  (see above),  $[\text{Cu}(\text{SPMe}_3)_3]^+$ ;
- Ag(I) in  $[\text{AgTe}_7]^{3-}$  (Figure 19.4b),  $[\text{Ag}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]^+$ ;
- Au(I) in  $[\text{Au}\{\text{PPh}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11})_2\}_3]^+$ ;
- Hg(II) in  $[\text{HgI}_3]^-$ ,  $[\text{Hg}(\text{SPh}_3)_3]^-$ ;
- Pt(0) in  $[\text{Pt}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ ,  $[\text{Pt}(\text{P}^t\text{Bu}_2\text{H})_3]$ .

Sterically demanding amido ligands have been used to stabilize complexes containing 3-coordinate metal ions, e.g.  $[\text{Fe}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3]$  (Figure 19.4c). In the solid state,  $[\text{Y}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3]$  and  $[\text{Sc}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3]$  possess *trigonal pyramidal* metal centres ( $\angle\text{N-Y-N} = 115^\circ$  and  $\angle\text{N-Sc-N} = 115.5^\circ$ ), but it is likely that crystal packing effects cause the deviation from planarity. The fact that in the gas phase  $[\text{Sc}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3]$  contains a trigonal planar Sc(III) centre tends to support this proposal.

*p*-Block chemistry has a number of examples of T-shaped molecules (e.g.  $\text{ClF}_3$ ) in which stereochemically active lone

pairs play a crucial role. *d*-Block metal complexes do not mimic this behaviour, although ligand constraints (e.g. the bite angle of a chelate) may distort a 3-coordinate structure away from the expected trigonal planar structure.


## Coordination number 4

4-Coordinate complexes are extremely common, with a tetrahedral arrangement of donor atoms being the most frequently observed. The tetrahedron is sometimes ‘flattened’, distortions being attributed to steric or crystal packing effects or, in some cases, electronic effects. Tetrahedral complexes for  $d^3$  ions are not yet known, and for  $d^4$  ions have only been stabilized with bulky amido ligands, e.g.  $[\text{M}(\text{NPh}_2)_4]$  and  $[\text{M}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3\text{Cl}]$  for M = Hf or Zr. Simple tetrahedral species include:

- $d^0$ :  $[\text{VO}_4]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{CrO}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{MoS}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{WS}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{TcO}_4]^-$ ,  $\text{RuO}_4$ ,  $\text{OsO}_4$ ;
- $d^1$ :  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{TcO}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{ReO}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{RuO}_4]^-$ ;
- $d^2$ :  $[\text{FeO}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{RuO}_4]^{2-}$ ;
- $d^5$ :  $[\text{FeCl}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{MnCl}_4]^{2-}$ ;
- $d^6$ :  $[\text{FeCl}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{FeI}_4]^{2-}$ ;
- $d^7$ :  $[\text{CoCl}_4]^{2-}$ ;
- $d^8$ :  $[\text{NiCl}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{NiBr}_4]^{2-}$ ;
- $d^9$ :  $[\text{CuCl}_4]^{2-}$  (distorted);
- $d^{10}$ :  $[\text{ZnCl}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{HgBr}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{CdCl}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})_4]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4]$ .

The solid state structures of apparently simple anions may in fact be polymeric (e.g. the presence of fluoride bridges in  $[\text{CoF}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{NiF}_4]^{2-}$  leads to a polymer with octahedral metal centres) or may be cation-dependent (e.g. discrete tetrahedral  $[\text{MnCl}_4]^{2-}$  ions are present in the  $\text{Cs}^+$  and  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]^+$  salts, but a polymeric structure with Mn–Cl–Mn bridges is adopted by the  $\text{Na}^+$  salt).

Square planar complexes are rarer than tetrahedral, and are often associated with  $d^8$  configurations where electronic factors strongly favour a square planar arrangement (see




**Fig. 19.5** Examples of 4- and 5-coordinate structures (X-ray diffraction data): (a) in  $[\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_4]^+$ , the steric demands of the ligands distort the structure from the square planar structure expected for this  $d^8$  metal centre [J.H. Reibenspies *et al.* (1993) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 49, p. 141], (b)  $[\text{Zn}\{\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2)_3\}\text{Cl}]^+$  in the  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{B}]^-$  salt [R.J. Sime *et al.* (1971) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 10, p. 537], and (c)  $[\text{Cu}(\text{bpy})\{\text{NH}(\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2)_2\}]$ , crystallized as the hexahydrate [R.E. Marsh *et al.* (1995) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 51, p. 300]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Rh, dark blue; P, orange; Zn, brown; Cl, green; N, light blue; Cu, yellow; O, red; C, grey.

**Section 20.3**, e.g.  $[\text{PdCl}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{AuCl}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{AuBr}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$  and *trans*- $[\text{IrCl}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ . The classification of distorted structures such as those in  $[\text{Ir}(\text{PMePh}_2)_4]^+$  and  $[\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_4]^+$  (Figure 19.5a) may be ambiguous, but in this case, the fact that each metal ion is  $d^8$  suggests that steric crowding causes deviation from a square planar arrangement (not from a tetrahedral one). The  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$  ion is a rare example of a square planar  $d^7$  complex.


## Coordination number 5

The limiting structures for 5-coordination are the trigonal bipyramid and square-based pyramid. In practice, many structures lie between these two extremes, and we have already emphasized that the energy differences between trigonal bipyramidal and square-based pyramidal structures are often small (see **Section 2.11**). Among simple 5-coordinate complexes are trigonal bipyramidal  $[\text{CdCl}_5]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{HgCl}_5]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{CuCl}_5]^{3-}$  ( $d^{10}$ ) and a series of square-based pyramidal oxo- or nitrido-complexes in which the oxo or nitrido ligand occupies the axial site:

- $d^0$ :  $[\text{NbCl}_4(\text{O})]^-$ ;
- $d^1$ :  $[\text{V}(\text{acac})_2(\text{O})]$ ,  $[\text{WCl}_4(\text{O})]^-$  (**19.6**),  $[\text{TcCl}_4(\text{N})]^-$  (**19.7**),  $[\text{TcBr}_4(\text{N})]^-$ ;
- $d^2$ :  $[\text{TcCl}_4(\text{O})]^-$ ,  $[\text{ReCl}_4(\text{O})]^-$ .



(19.6)



(19.7)


The formulae of some complexes may misleadingly suggest ‘5-coordinate’ metal centres: e.g.  $\text{Cs}_3\text{CoCl}_5$  is actually  $\text{Cs}_3[\text{CoCl}_4]\text{Cl}$ .

5-Coordinate structures are found for many compounds with polydentate amine, phosphine or arsine ligands. Of particular interest among these are complexes containing tripodal ligands (**19.3**) in which the central atom is a donor atom; this makes the ligand ideally suited to occupy one axial and the three equatorial sites of a trigonal bipyramidal complex as in  $[\text{CoBr}\{\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)_3\}]^+$ ,  $[\text{Rh}(\text{SH})\{\text{P}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)_3\}]$  and  $[\text{Zn}\{\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2)_3\}\text{Cl}]^+$  (Figure 19.5b). On the other hand, the conformational constraints of the ligands may result in a preference for a square-based pyramidal complex in the solid state, e.g.  $[\text{Cu}(\text{bpy})\{\text{NH}(\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2)_2\}]\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Figure 19.5c).

## Coordination number 6

For many years after Werner’s proof from stereochemical studies that many 6-coordinate complexes of chromium and cobalt had octahedral structures (see **Box 21.8**), it was believed that no other form of 6-coordination occurred, and a vast amount of data from X-ray diffraction studies seemed to support this. Eventually, however, examples of trigonal prismatic coordination were confirmed.


The regular or nearly regular octahedral coordination sphere is found for all electronic configurations from  $d^0$  to  $d^{10}$ , e.g.  $[\text{TiF}_6]^{2-}$  ( $d^0$ ),  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ( $d^1$ ),  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ( $d^2$ ),  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ( $d^3$ ),  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ( $d^4$ ),  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ( $d^5$ ),  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ( $d^6$ ),  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ( $d^7$ ),  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ( $d^8$ ),  $[\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_2)_6]^{4-}$  ( $d^9$ ) and  $[\text{Zn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ( $d^{10}$ ). There are distinctions between what we later term *low-spin* and *high-spin*



**Fig. 19.6** The trigonal prismatic structures of (a)  $[\text{WMe}_6]$  [V. Pfennig *et al.* (1996) *Science*, vol. 271, p. 626] and (b)  $[\text{Re}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ph}_2)_3]$ , only the *ipso*-C atoms of each Ph ring are shown [R. Eisenberg *et al.* (1966) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 5, p. 411]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted from (b); colour code: W, red; Re, green; C, grey; S, yellow; H, white.


complexes (see [Section 20.1](#)): where the distinction is meaningful, the examples listed are high-spin complexes, but many octahedral low-spin complexes are also known, e.g.  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  ( $d^4$ ),  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  ( $d^5$ ),  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  ( $d^6$ ). Octahedral complexes of  $d^4$  and  $d^9$  metal ions tend to be *tetragonally distorted*, i.e. they are elongated or squashed; this is an electronic effect called *Jahn–Teller distortion* (see [Section 20.3](#)).

While the vast majority of 6-coordinate complexes containing simple ligands are octahedral, there is a small group of  $d^0$  or  $d^1$  metal complexes in which the metal centre is in a trigonal prismatic or distorted trigonal prismatic environment. The octahedron and trigonal prism are closely related, and can be described in terms of two triangles which are staggered ([19.8](#)) or eclipsed ([19.9](#)).




The complexes  $[\text{ReMe}_6]$  ( $d^1$ ),  $[\text{TaMe}_6]^-$  ( $d^0$ ) and  $[\text{ZrMe}_6]^{2-}$  ( $d^0$ ) contain regular trigonal prismatic ( $D_{3h}$ ) metal centres, while in  $[\text{MoMe}_6]$  ( $d^0$ ),  $[\text{WMe}_6]$  ( $d^0$ , Figure 19.6a),  $[\text{NbMe}_6]^-$  ( $d^0$ ) and  $[\text{TaPh}_6]^-$  ( $d^0$ ) the coordination environment is distorted trigonal prismatic ( $C_{3v}$ ). The common feature of the ligands in these complexes is that they are  $\sigma$ -donors, with no  $\pi$ -donating or  $\pi$ -accepting properties. In  $[\text{Li}(\text{TMEDA})_2][\text{Zr}(\text{SC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-4-Me})_6]$  (TMEDA =  $\text{Me}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2$ ), the  $[\text{Zr}(\text{SC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-4-Me})_6]^{2-}$  ion also has a distorted trigonal prismatic structure. Although thiolate ligands are usually weak  $\pi$ -donor ligands, it has been suggested that the cation–anion interactions in crystalline  $[\text{Li}(\text{TMEDA})_2][\text{Zr}(\text{SC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-4-Me})_6]$  result in the  $\text{RS}^-$  ligands behaving only as  $\sigma$ -donors. Another related group of trigonal prismatic  $d^0$ ,  $d^1$  or  $d^2$  metal complexes contain the dithiolate ligands, [19.10](#), and include  $[\text{Mo}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_2)_3]$  and  $[\text{Re}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{PH}_2)_3]$  (Figure 19.6b). We return to  $\sigma$ -donor and  $\pi$ -donor ligands in [Section 20.4](#), and to the question

of octahedral versus trigonal prismatic complexes in [Box 20.5](#).





The complexes  $[\text{WL}_3]$ ,  $[\text{TiL}_3]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{ZrL}_3]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{HfL}_3]^{2-}$  (L is [19.11](#)) also possess trigonal prismatic structures. For a regular trigonal prism, angle  $\alpha$  in [19.12](#) is  $0^\circ$  and this is observed for  $[\text{TiL}_3]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{HfL}_3]^{2-}$ . In  $[\text{ZrL}_3]^{2-}$ ,  $\alpha = 3^\circ$ , and in  $[\text{WL}_3]$ ,  $\alpha = 15^\circ$ . Formally,  $[\text{WL}_3]$  contains W(0) and is a  $d^6$  complex, while  $[\text{ML}_3]^{2-}$  (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) contains the metal in a  $-2$  oxidation state. However, theoretical results for  $[\text{WL}_3]$  indicate that negative charge is transferred on to the ligands. In the extreme case, the ligands can be formulated as  $\text{L}^{2-}$  and the metal as a  $d^0$  centre.<sup>†</sup>




## Coordination number 7

High coordination numbers ( $\geq 7$ ) are observed most frequently for ions of the early second and third row  $d$ -block metals and for the lanthanoids and actinoids, i.e.  $r_{\text{cation}}$  must be relatively large (see [Chapter 24](#)). Figure 19.7a shows the arrangement of the donor atoms for the three idealized 7-coordinate structures; in the capped trigonal prism, the ‘cap’ is over one of the square faces of the prism. In reality, there is much distortion from these idealized structures, and this is readily apparent for the example of a capped octahedral complex shown in Figure 19.7b. The anions in  $[\text{Li}(\text{OEt}_2)]^+[\text{MoMe}_7]^-$  and  $[\text{Li}(\text{OEt}_2)]^+[\text{WMe}_7]^-$  are further examples of capped octahedral structures. A problem in the chemical literature is that the distortions may lead to ambiguity in the way in which a given structure is described. Among binary metal halides and pseudo-halides, 7-coordinate structures are exemplified by the pentagonal bipyramidal ions  $[\text{V}(\text{CN})_7]^{4-}$  ( $d^2$ ) and  $[\text{NbF}_7]^{3-}$  ( $d^1$ ). In the ammonium salt,  $[\text{ZrF}_7]^{3-}$  ( $d^0$ ) is pentagonal bipyramidal, but in the guanidinium salt, it has a monocapped trigonal prismatic structure (Figure 19.7c). Further examples of monocapped trigonal prismatic complexes are  $[\text{NbF}_7]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{TaF}_7]^{2-}$


<sup>†</sup> For a detailed discussion, see: P. Rosa, N. Mézailles, L. Ricard, F. Mathey and P. Le Floch (2000) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 39, p. 1823 and references in this paper.



(b)




(c)



(d)

**Fig. 19.7** (a) The coordination spheres defined by the donor atoms in idealized 7-coordinate structures. Examples of 7-coordinate complexes (X-ray diffraction data): (b) the capped octahedral structure of  $[\text{TaCl}_4(\text{PMe}_3)_3]$  [F.A. Cotton *et al.* (1984) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 23, p. 4046], (c) the capped trigonal prismatic  $[\text{ZrF}_7]^{3-}$  in the guanidinium salt [A.V. Gerasimenko *et al.* (1985) *Koord. Khim.*, vol. 11, p. 566], and (d) the pentagonal bipyramidal cation in  $[\text{ScCl}_2(15\text{-crown-5})]_2[\text{CuCl}_4]$  with the crown ether occupying the equatorial plane [N.R. Strel'tsova *et al.* (1992) *Zh. Neorg. Khim.*, vol. 37, p. 1822]. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity; colour code: Ta, silver; Cl, green; P, orange; Zr, yellow; F, green; Sc, brown; C, grey; O, red.

( $d^0$ ). 7-Coordinate complexes containing oxo ligands may favour pentagonal bipyramidal structures with the oxo group residing in an axial site, e.g.  $[\text{Nb}(\text{O})(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Nb}(\text{O})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{ox})_2]^-$  and  $[\text{Mo}(\text{O})(\text{O}_2)_2(\text{ox})]^{2-}$  (all  $d^0$ ). In this last example, two peroxo ligands are present, each in an  $\eta^2$  mode (19.13). Macrocyclic ligands containing five donor atoms (e.g. 15-crown-5) may dictate that the coordination geometry is pentagonal bipyramidal as shown in Figure 19.7d.




(19.13)

## Coordination number 8

As the number of vertices in a polyhedron increases, so does the number of possible structures (Figure 19.8a). Probably, the best known eight-vertex polyhedron is the cube, (19.14), but this is hardly ever observed as an arrangement

of donor atoms in complexes. The few examples include the anions in the actinoid complexes  $\text{Na}_3[\text{PaF}_8]$ ,  $\text{Na}_3[\text{UF}_8]$  and  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_4[\text{U}(\text{NCS-}N)_8]$ . Steric hindrance between ligands can be reduced by converting a cubic into a square antiprismatic arrangement, i.e. on going from 19.14 to 19.15.



Squares eclipsed


(19.14)



Squares staggered

(19.15)

Square antiprismatic coordination environments occur in  $[\text{Zr}(\text{acac})_4]$  ( $d^0$ ) and in the anions in the salts  $\text{Na}_3[\text{TaF}_8]$  ( $d^0$ ),  $\text{K}_2[\text{ReF}_8]$  ( $d^1$ ) and  $\text{K}_2[\text{H}_3\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3][\text{Nb}(\text{ox})_4]$  ( $d^1$ ) (Figure 19.8b). Specifying the counter-ion is important since the energy difference between 8-coordinate structures tends to be small with the result that the preference between two structures may be altered by crystal packing forces in two different salts. Examples are seen in a range of salts of  $[\text{Mo}(\text{CN})_8]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{W}(\text{CN})_8]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Mo}(\text{CN})_8]^{4-}$  or  $[\text{W}(\text{CN})_8]^{4-}$  which possess square antiprismatic or dodecahedral structures



**Fig. 19.8** (a) The coordination spheres defined by the donor atoms in idealized 8-coordinate structures; the left-hand drawing of the square antiprism emphasizes that the two square faces are mutually staggered. Examples of 8-coordinate complexes (X-ray diffraction): (b) the square antiprismatic structure of  $[\text{Nb}(\text{ox})_4]^{4-}$  in the salt  $\text{K}_2[\text{H}_3\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3][\text{Nb}(\text{ox})_4]\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [F.A. Cotton *et al.* (1987) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 26, p. 2889]; (c) the dodecahedral ion  $[\text{Y}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8]^{3+}$  in the salt  $[\text{Y}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8]\text{Cl}_3\cdot(15\text{-crown-5})$  [R.D. Rogers *et al.* (1986) *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, vol. 116, p. 171]; and (d)  $[\text{CdBr}_2(18\text{-crown-6})]$  with the macrocyclic ligand occupying the equatorial plane of a hexagonal bipyramid [A. Hazell (1988) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 44, p. 88]. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity; colour code: Nb, yellow; O, red; Y, brown; Cd, silver; C, grey; Br, brown.

depending on the cation. Further examples of dodecahedral complexes include  $[\text{Y}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8]^{3+}$  (Figure 19.8c) and a number of complexes with didentate ligands:  $[\text{Mo}(\text{O}_2)_4]^{2-}$  ( $d^0$ ),  $[\text{Ti}(\text{NO}_3)_4]$  ( $d^0$ ),  $[\text{Cr}(\text{O}_2)_4]^{3-}$  ( $d^1$ ),  $[\text{Mn}(\text{NO}_3)_4]^{2-}$  ( $d^5$ ) and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_4]^-$  ( $d^5$ ).

The hexagonal bipyramid is a rare coordination environment, but may be favoured in complexes containing a hexadentate macrocyclic ligand, for example  $[\text{CdBr}_2(18\text{-crown-6})]$ , Figure 19.8d. A bicapped trigonal prism is another option for 8-coordination, but is only rarely observed, e.g. in  $[\text{ZrF}_8]^{4-}$  ( $d^0$ ) and  $[\text{La}(\text{acac})_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $d^0$ ).

### Coordination number 9


The anions  $[\text{ReH}_9]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{TcH}_9]^{2-}$  (both  $d^0$ ) provide examples of 9-coordinate species in which the metal centre is in a tricapped trigonal prismatic environment (see Figure 9.12c). A coordination number of 9 is most often associated with yttrium, lanthanum and the *f*-block elements. The tricapped trigonal prism is the only *regular* arrangement of donor atoms yet observed, e.g. in  $[\text{Sc}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{Y}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9]^{3+}$  and  $[\text{La}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9]^{3+}$ .

### Coordination numbers of 10 and above

It is always dangerous to draw conclusions on the basis of the non-existence of structure types, but, from data available at the present time, it seems that a coordination of  $\geq 10$  is generally confined to the *f*-block metal ions (see Chapter 24). Complexes containing  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  and related ligands are an exception, e.g. in  $[\text{Hf}(\text{BH}_4)_4]$  and  $[\text{Zr}(\text{MeBH}_3)_4]$  the ligands are tridentate (see structure 12.9) and the metal centres are 12-coordinate. Figure 19.9 shows the structure of  $[\text{Hf}(\text{BH}_4)_4]$  and the cubeoctahedral arrangement of the 12 hydrogen atoms around the metal centre. The same coordination environment is found in  $[\text{Zr}(\text{MeBH}_3)_4]$ .

## 19.8 Isomerism in *d*-block metal complexes

In this book so far, we have not mentioned isomerism very often, and most references have been to *trans*- and



**Fig. 19.9** (a) The structure of  $[\text{Hf}(\text{BH}_4)_4]$  determined by neutron diffraction at low temperature [R.W. Broach *et al.* (1983) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 22, p. 1081]. Colour code: Hf, red; B, blue; H, white. (b) The 12-vertex cubo-octahedral coordination sphere of the Hf(IV) centre in  $[\text{Hf}(\text{BH}_4)_4]$ .

*cis*-isomers, e.g. *trans*- $[\text{CaI}_2(\text{THF})_4]$  (Section 11.5) and the *trans*- and *cis*-isomers of  $\text{N}_2\text{F}_2$  (Section 14.7). These are *geometrical isomers*, and our previous discussion of this topic (see Section 1.20) will not be elaborated further here.

### Self-study exercises

All the answers can be found by reading Section 1.20.


1. Draw possible structures for the square planar complexes  $[\text{PtBr}_2(\text{py})_2]$  and  $[\text{PtCl}_3(\text{PEt}_3)]^-$  and give names to distinguish between any isomers that you have drawn.
2. In  $[\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_4(\text{PPh}_3)]$ , the Ru centre is in a trigonal bipyramidal environment. Draw the structures of possible isomers and give names to distinguish between them.
3. Draw the structures and name the isomers of octahedral  $[\text{CrCl}_2(\text{NH}_3)_4]^+$ .
4. Octahedral  $[\text{RhCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]$  has two isomers. Draw their structures and give them distinguishing names.

In this section, we shall be concerned with other types of isomerism exhibited by *d*-block metal complexes, and we use a classification that goes back to the work of Werner (Figure 19.10).

### Structural isomerism: ionization isomers


*Ionization isomers* result from the interchange of an anionic ligand within the first coordination sphere with an anion outside the coordination sphere.

Examples of ionization isomers are violet  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Br}][\text{SO}_4]$  (prepared by reaction scheme 19.6) and red  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{SO}_4)]\text{Br}$  (prepared by reaction sequence 19.7). These isomers can be readily distinguished by appropriate qualitative tests for *ionic* sulfate or bromide, respectively.



**Fig. 19.10** Classification of types of isomerism in metal complexes.

The isomers are also easily distinguished by IR spectroscopy; free and coordinated sulfate ions give rise to one or three IR active S–O stretching vibrations respectively.



### Structural isomerism: hydration isomers

*Hydration isomers* result from the interchange of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and another ligand between the first coordination sphere and the ligands outside it.

The classic example of hydrate isomerism is that of the compound of formula  $\text{CrCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Green crystals of chromium(III) chloride formed from a hot solution obtained by reducing chromium(VI) oxide with concentrated hydrochloric acid are  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4\text{Cl}_2]\text{Cl} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . When this is dissolved in water, the chloride ions in the complex are slowly replaced by water to give blue-green  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{Cl}]\text{Cl}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  and finally violet  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Cl}_3$ . The complexes can be distinguished by precipitation of the *free* chloride ion using aqueous silver nitrate.

## Structural isomerism: coordination isomerism


*Coordination isomers* are possible only for salts in which both cation and anion are complex ions; the isomers arise from interchange of ligands between the two metal centres.

Examples of coordination isomers are:

- $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6][\text{Cr}(\text{CN})_6]$  and  $[\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6][\text{Co}(\text{CN})_6]$ ;
- $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6][\text{Co}(\text{NO}_2)_6]$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_4(\text{NO}_2)_2][\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{NO}_2)_4]$ ;
- $[\text{Pt}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_4][\text{Pt}^{\text{IV}}\text{Cl}_6]$  and  $[\text{Pt}^{\text{IV}}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2][\text{Pt}^{\text{II}}\text{Cl}_4]$ .

## Structural isomerism: linkage isomerism


*Linkage isomers* may arise when one or more of the ligands can coordinate to the metal ion in more than one way, e.g. in  $[\text{SCN}]^-$  (19.16), both the N and S atoms are potential donor sites.



Thus, the complex  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{NCS})]^{2+}$  has two isomers which are distinguished by using the following nomenclature:

- in  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{NCS}-N)]^{2+}$ , the thiocyanate ligand coordinates through the nitrogen donor atom;
- in  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{NCS}-S)]^{2+}$ , the thiocyanate ion is bonded to the metal centre through the sulfur atom.

Scheme 19.8 shows how linkage isomers of  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{NO}_2)]^{2+}$  can be prepared.



In this example, the complexes  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{NO}_2-O)]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{NO}_2-N)]^{2+}$  can be distinguished by using IR spectroscopy. For the *O*-bonded ligand, characteristic absorption bands at 1065 and 1470  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  are observed, while for the *N*-bonded ligand, the corresponding vibrational wavenumbers are 1310 and 1430  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .

## Structural isomerism: polymerization isomerism

'Polymerization' isomerism is a rather unfortunate term since we are actually not dealing with polymeric structures.

*Polymerization isomers* denote complexes which have the same empirical formulae but different molecular masses.

Examples of polymerization isomers are:

- $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{NH}_3)_2]$  and  $[\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)_4][\text{PtCl}_4]$ ;
- $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_3(\text{NO}_2)_3]$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6][\text{Co}(\text{NO}_2)_6]$ .

## Stereoisomerism: geometrical isomers


Distinguishing between *cis*- and *trans*-isomers of a square planar complex or between *mer*- and *fac*-isomers of an octahedral complex is most unambiguously confirmed by structural determinations using single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Vibrational spectroscopy (applications of which were introduced in Section 3.7) may also be of assistance. For example, Figure 19.11 illustrates that the asymmetric stretch for the  $\text{PtCl}_2$  unit in  $[\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2]$  is IR active for both the *trans*- and *cis*-isomers, but the symmetric stretch is IR active only for the *cis*-isomer. In square planar complexes containing phosphine ligands, the  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum may be particularly diagnostic, as is illustrated in Box 19.1.

The existence of ions or molecules in different structures (e.g. trigonal bipyramidal and square-based pyramidal  $[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_5]^{3-}$ ) is just a special case of geometrical isomerism. In the cases of, for example, tetrahedral and square planar  $[\text{NiBr}_2(\text{PBzPh}_2)_2]$  (*Bz* = benzyl), the two forms can be distinguished by the fact that they exhibit different magnetic properties as we shall discuss in Section 20.8. To complicate matters, square planar  $[\text{NiBr}_2(\text{PBzPh}_2)_2]$  may exist as either *trans*- or *cis*-isomers.

## Stereoisomerism: optical isomers

*Optical isomerism* is concerned with *chirality*, and some important terms relating to chiral complexes are defined in Box 19.2. The simplest case of optical isomerism among *d*-block complexes involves a metal ion surrounded by three didentate ligands, for example  $[\text{Cr}(\text{acac})_3]$  or  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  (Figures 3.16b and 19.12). These are examples of *tris-chelate complexes*. Pairs of enantiomers such as  $\Delta$ - and  $\Lambda$ - $[\text{Cr}(\text{acac})_3]$  or  $\Delta$ - and  $\Lambda$ - $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]\text{Cl}_3$  differ only in their action on polarized light. However, for ionic complexes such as  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ , there is the opportunity to form salts with a chiral counter-ion  $\text{A}^-$ . These salts now contain two different types of chirality: the  $\Delta$ - or  $\Lambda$ -chirality at the metal centre and the (+) or (−) chirality of the anion. Four combinations are possible of which the pair  $\{\Delta-(+)\}$  and  $\{\Lambda-(-)\}$  is enantiomeric as is the pair  $\{\Delta-(-)\}$  and  $\{\Lambda-(+)\}$ . However, with a given anion chirality, the pair of salts  $\{\Delta-(-)\}$  and  $\{\Lambda-(-)\}$  are diastereomers (see Box 19.2) and may differ in the packing of the ions in the solid state, and separation by fractional crystallization is often possible.

Bis-chelate octahedral complexes such as  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}_2]^+$  exist in both *cis*- and *trans*-isomers depending on the arrangement of the chloro ligands. In addition, the *cis*-isomer (but



**Fig. 19.11** The  $trans$ - and  $cis$ -isomers of the square planar complex  $[PtCl_2(NH_3)_2]$  can be distinguished by IR spectroscopy. The selection rule for an IR active vibration is that it must lead to a *change in molecular dipole moment* (see [Section 3.7](#)).

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND


### Box 19.1 $Trans$ - and $cis$ -isomers of square planar complexes: an NMR spectroscopic probe

In [Section 2.11](#) we described how *satellite peaks* may arise in some NMR spectra. In square planar platinum(II) complexes containing two phosphine ( $PR_3$ ) ligands, the  $^{31}P$  NMR spectrum of the complex provides valuable information about the  $cis$ - or  $trans$ -arrangement of the ligands. The isotope  $^{195}Pt$  ( $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) constitutes 33.8% of naturally occurring platinum. In a  $^{31}P$  NMR spectrum of a complex such as  $[PtCl_2(PPh_3)_2]$ , there is spin–spin coupling between the  $^{31}P$  and  $^{195}Pt$  nuclei which gives rise to satellite peaks.

If the  $PR_3$  ligands are mutually  $trans$ , the value of  $J_{PPt} \approx 2000$ – $2500$  Hz, but if the ligands are  $cis$ , the coupling constant is much larger,  $\approx 3000$ – $3500$  Hz. While the values vary somewhat, comparison of the  $^{31}P$  NMR spectra of  $cis$ - and  $trans$ -isomers of a given complex enables the isomers to be assigned. For example, for  $cis$ - and  $trans$ - $[PtCl_2(P^nBu_3)_2]$ , values of  $J_{PPt}$  are 3508 and 2380 Hz, respectively; the figure on the right shows a 162 MHz  $^{31}P$  NMR spectrum of  $cis$ - $[PtCl_2(P^nBu_3)_2]$ , simulated using experimental data; (the chemical shift reference is 85% aq  $H_3PO_4$ ).

Similar diagnostic information can be obtained from NMR spectroscopy for square planar complexes containing metal centres with spin-active isotopes. For example, rhodium is *monotopic* (i.e. 100% of one isotope) with  $^{103}Rh$

having  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ . In square planar rhodium(I) complexes containing two phosphine ligands, values of  $J_{PRh}$  are  $\approx 160$ – $190$  Hz for a  $cis$ -arrangement and  $\approx 70$ – $90$  Hz for a  $trans$ -arrangement. Thus, the  $^{31}P$  NMR spectrum of a complex of the type  $[RhCl(PR_3)_2L]$  ( $L =$  neutral ligand) exhibits a *doublet* with a coupling constant characteristic of a particular isomer.



## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 19.2 Definitions and notation for chiral complexes

Chirality was introduced in *Section 3.8* and *Box 3.2*. Here, we add to this introduction and collect together some terms that are frequently encountered in discussing optically active complexes.

**Enantiomers** are a pair of stereoisomers that are non-superposable mirror images.


**Diastereomers** are stereoisomers that are not enantiomers.

**(+) and (–) prefixes:** the specific rotation (see *Section 3.8*) of enantiomers is equal and opposite, and a useful means of distinguishing between enantiomers is to denote the *sign* of  $[\alpha]_D$ . Thus, if two enantiomers of a compound A have  $[\alpha]_D$  values of  $+12^\circ$  and  $-12^\circ$ , they are labelled (+)-A and (–)-A.

***d* and *l* prefixes:** sometimes (+) and (–) are denoted by *dextro*- and *laevo*- (derived from the Latin for right and left) and these refer to right- and left-handed rotation of the plane of polarized light respectively; *dextro* and *laevo* are generally abbreviated to *d* and *l*.


The +/– or *d/l* notation is not a direct descriptor of the *absolute configuration* of an enantiomer (the arrangement of the substituents or ligands) for which the following prefixes are used.

***R* and *S* prefixes:** the convention for labelling chiral carbon atoms (tetrahedral with four different groups attached) uses the Cahn–Ingold–Prelog notation. The four groups attached to the chiral carbon atom are prioritized according to the atomic number of the attached atoms, highest priority being assigned to highest atomic number, and the molecule then viewed down the C–X vector, where X has the lowest priority. The *R*- and *S*-labels for the enantiomers refer to a clockwise (*rectus*) and anticlockwise (*sinister*) sequence of the prioritized atoms, working from high to low. Example: CHClBrI, view down the C–H bond:




This notation is used for chiral organic ligands, and also for tetrahedral complexes.


**$\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$  prefixes:** enantiomers of octahedral complexes containing three equivalent didentate ligands (tris-chelate complexes) are among those which are distinguished using  $\Delta$  (delta) and  $\Lambda$  (lambda) prefixes. The octahedron is viewed down a three-fold axis, and the chelates then define either a right- or left-handed helix. The enantiomer with right-handedness is labelled  $\Delta$ , and that with left-handedness is  $\Lambda$ .



**$\delta$  and  $\lambda$  prefixes:** the situation with chelating ligands is often more complicated than the previous paragraph suggests. Consider the chelation of 1,2-diaminoethane to a metal centre. The 5-membered ring so formed is not planar but adopts an envelope conformation. This is most easily seen by taking a Newman projection along the C–C bond of the ligand; two enantiomers are possible and are distinguished by the prefixes  $\delta$  and  $\lambda$ .



***P* and *M* descriptors:** a helical, propeller or screw-shaped structure (e.g.  $S_n$  has a helical chain) can be right- or left-handed and is termed *P* ('plus') or *M* ('minus'), respectively. This is illustrated with (*P*)- and (*M*)-hexahelicene:




For detailed information, see:

*IUPAC: Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry (Recommendations 1990)*, ed. G.J. Leigh, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, p. 182.


Basic terminology of stereochemistry: *IUPAC Recommendations 1996 (1996 Pure and Applied Chemistry)*, vol. 68, p. 2193.

A. von Zelewsky (1996) *Stereochemistry of Coordination Compounds*, Wiley, Chichester.





**Fig. 19.12** The octahedral complex  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  contains three didentate ligands and therefore possesses optical isomers, i.e. the isomers are non-superposable mirror images of each other.

not the *trans*) possesses optical isomers. The first purely inorganic complex to be *resolved* into its optical isomers was  $[\text{CoL}_3]^{6+}$  (**19.17**) in which each  $\text{L}^+$  ligand is the complex *cis*- $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_4(\text{OH})_2]^+$  which chelates through the two *O*-donor atoms.



Chirality is not usually associated with square planar complexes but there are some special cases where chirality is introduced as a result of, for example, steric interactions between two ligands. In **19.18**, steric repulsions between the two R groups may cause the aromatic substituents to twist so that the plane of each  $\text{C}_6$ -ring is no longer orthogonal to the plane that defines the square planar environment around M. Such a twist is defined by the torsion angle  $\text{A-B-C-D}$ , and renders the molecule chiral. The chirality can be recognized in terms of a handedness, as in a helix, and the terms *P* and *M* (see [Box 19.2](#)) can be used to distinguish between related chiral molecules. If, in **19.18**, the Cahn–Ingold–Prelog priority of R is higher than R' (e.g. R = Me, R' = H), then a positive torsion angle corresponds to *P*-chirality. An example is *trans*- $[\text{PdCl}_2(2\text{-Mepy})_2]$  (2-Mepy = 2-methylpyridine), for which the *P*-isomer shown in Figure 19.13.



**Fig. 19.13** Two views of the structure (X-ray diffraction) of *trans*- $[\text{PdCl}_2(2\text{-Mepy})_2]$  (2-Mepy = 2-methylpyridine) showing the square planar environment of the Pd(II) centre and the mutual twisting of the 2-methylpyridine ligands. The torsion angle between the rings is  $18.6^\circ$  [M.C. Biagini (1999) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 1575]. Colour code: Pd, yellow; N, blue; Cl, green; C, grey; H, white.

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter. Do you know what they mean?

- d-block metal
- transition element
- platinum-group metal
- electroneutrality principle
- Kepert model
- tripodal ligand
- structural isomerism
- stereoisomerism
- ionization isomerism
- hydration isomerism
- linkage isomerism
- polymerization isomerism
- geometrical isomerism
- optical isomerism

## Further reading



M.C. Biagini, M. Ferrari, M. Lanfranchi, L. Marchiò and M.A. Pellighelli (1999) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton*

- Transactions*, p. 1575 – An article that illustrates chirality of square planar complexes.
- B.W. Clare and D.L. Kepert (1994) ‘Coordination numbers and geometries’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 2, p. 795 – A review organized by coordination number (5 to 12) with many examples.
- M. Gerloch and E.C. Constable (1994) *Transition Metal Chemistry: The Valence Shell in d-Block Chemistry*, VCH, Weinheim – An introductory and very readable text.
- J.M. Harrowfield and S.B. Wild (1987) *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry*, eds G. Wilkinson, R.D. Gillard and J.A. McCleverty, Pergamon, Oxford, vol. 1, Chapter 5 – An excellent overview: ‘Isomerism in coordination chemistry’.
- C.E. Housecroft (1999) *The Heavier d-Block Metals: Aspects of Inorganic and Coordination Chemistry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A short textbook which highlights differences between the first row and the heavier *d*-block metals.
- J.E. Huheey, E.A. Keiter and R.L. Keiter (1993) *Inorganic Chemistry: Principles of Structure and Reactivity*, 4th edn, Harper Collins, New York – Chapter 12 gives a good account of coordination numbers and geometries.
- J.A. McCleverty (1999) *Chemistry of the First-row Transition Metals*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A valuable introduction to metals and solid compounds, solution species, high and low oxidation state species and bio-transition metal chemistry.
- D. Venkataraman, Y. Du, S.R. Wilson, K.A. Hirsch, P. Zhang and J.S. Moore, (1997) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 74, p. 915 – An article entitled: ‘A coordination geometry table of the *d*-block elements and their ions’.
- M.J. Winter (1994) *d-Block Chemistry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An introductory text concerned with the principles of the *d*-block metals.


## Problems

Ligand abbreviations: see [Table 6.7](#).

- 19.1** Comment on (a) the observation of variable oxidation states among elements of the *s*- and *p*-blocks, and (b) the statement that ‘variable oxidation states are a characteristic feature of any *d*-block metal’.
- 19.2** (a) Write down, in order, the metals that comprise the first row of the *d*-block and give the ground state valence electronic configuration of each element.  
(b) Which triads of metals make up groups 4, 8 and 11?  
(c) Which metals are collectively known as the platinum-group metals?
- 19.3** Comment on the reduction potential data in Table 19.1.
- 19.4** By referring to relevant sections earlier in the book, write a brief account of the formation of hydrides, borides, carbides and nitrides of the *d*-block metals.
- 19.5** Give a brief overview of properties that characterize a *d*-block metal.
- 19.6** Suggest why (a) high coordination numbers are not usual for first row *d*-block metals, (b) in early *d*-block metal complexes the combination of a high oxidation state and high coordination number is common, and (c) in first row *d*-block metal complexes, high oxidation states are stabilized by fluoro or oxo ligands.
- 19.7** For each of the following complexes, give the oxidation state of the metal and its *d<sup>n</sup>* configuration:  
(a)  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ ; (b)  $[\text{FeCl}_4]^{2-}$ ; (c)  $[\text{CoCl}_3(\text{py})_3]$ ;  
(d)  $[\text{ReO}_4]^-$ ; (e)  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$ ; (f)  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ ;  
(g)  $[\text{VCl}_6]^{3-}$ ; (h)  $[\text{Cr}(\text{acac})_3]$ .
- 19.8** Within the Kepert model, what geometries do you associate with the following coordination numbers:  
(a) 2; (b) 3; (c) 4; (d) 5; (e) 6?
- 19.9** Show that the trigonal bipyramid, square-based pyramid, square antiprism and dodecahedron belong to the point groups  $D_{3h}$ ,  $C_{4v}$ ,  $D_{4d}$  and  $D_{2d}$  respectively.
- 19.10** (a) In the solid state,  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$  possesses a trigonal bipyramidal structure. How many carbon environments are there? (b) Explain why only one signal is observed in the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of solutions of  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ , even at low temperature.
- 19.11** Structures **19.19–19.21** show bond angle data (determined by X-ray diffraction) for some complexes with low coordination numbers. Comment on these data, suggesting reasons for deviations from regular geometries.




- 19.12** Suggest a structure for the complex  $[\text{CuCl}(\mathbf{19.22})]^+$  assuming that all donor atoms are coordinated to the Cu(II) centre.



(19.22)


- 19.13** What chemical tests would you use to distinguish between (a)  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Br}][\text{SO}_4]$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{SO}_4)]\text{Br}$ , and (b)  $[\text{CrCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]\text{Cl}\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $[\text{CrCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5]\text{Cl}_2\cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ? (c) What is the relationship between these pairs of compounds? (d) What isomers are possible for  $[\text{CrCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^{+?}$ ?
- 19.14** (a) Give formulae for compounds that are coordination isomers of the salt  $[\text{Co}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ . (b) What other types of isomerism could be exhibited by any of the complex ions noted down in your answer to part (a)?
- 19.15** What isomers would you expect to exist for the platinum(II) compounds:  
(a)  $[\text{Pt}(\text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CHMeNH}_2)_2]\text{Cl}_2$ , and  
(b)  $[\text{Pt}(\text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CMe}_2\text{NH}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CPh}_2\text{NH}_2)]\text{Cl}_2$ ?
- 19.16** How many different forms of  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  are possible in principle? Indicate how they are related as enantiomers or diastereomers.
- 19.17** State the types of isomerism that may be exhibited by the following complexes, and draw structures of the isomers:  
(a)  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2(\text{ox})]^+$ , (b)  $[\text{Cr}(\text{ox})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^-$ ,  
(c)  $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ , (d)  $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]$  and  
(e)  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})(\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2]^{2+}$ .
- 19.18** Using spectroscopic methods, how would you distinguish between the pairs of isomers (a) *cis*- and *trans*- $[\text{PdCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ , (b) *cis*- and *trans*- $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  and (c) *fac*- and *mer*- $[\text{RhCl}_3(\text{PMe}_3)_3]$ .
- 19.19** Comment on the possibility of isomer formation for each of the following complexes (the ligand tpy is 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine, **19.23**): (a)  $[\text{Ru}(\text{py})_3\text{Cl}_3]$ ; (b)  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2\text{Cl}_2]^+$ ; (c)  $[\text{Ru}(\text{tpy})\text{Cl}_3]$ .



(19.23)

## Overview problems

- 19.20** (a) In each of the following complexes, determine the overall charge,  $n$ , which may be positive or negative:  $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{bpy})_3]^n$ ,  $[\text{Cr}^{\text{III}}(\text{ox})_3]^n$ ,  $[\text{Cr}^{\text{III}}\text{F}_6]^n$ ,  $[\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}(\text{en})_3]^n$ ,  $[\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}(\text{ox})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^n$ ,  $[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{py})_4]^n$ ,  $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}\text{Cl}_2(\text{en})_2]^n$ .  
(b) If the bonding in  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  were 100% ionic, what would be the charges on the Mn and O atoms? Is this model realistic? By applying Pauling's electroneutrality principle, redistribute the charge in  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  so that Mn has a resultant charge of +1. What are the charges on each O atom? What does this charge distribution tell you about the degree of covalent character in the Mn–O bonds?
- 19.21** (a) Which of the following octahedral complexes are chiral: *cis*- $[\text{CoCl}_2(\text{en})_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{Cr}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ , *trans*- $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{en})_2]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Ni}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{RuBr}_4(\text{phen})]^-$ , *cis*- $[\text{RuCl}(\text{py})(\text{phen})_2]^+$ ?  
(b) The solution  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum of a mixture of isomers of the square planar complex  $[\text{Pt}(\text{SCN})_2(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]$  shows one broad signal at 298 K. At 228 K, two singlets and two doublets ( $J = 82$  Hz) are observed and the relative integrals of these signals are solvent-dependent. Draw the structures of the possible isomers of  $[\text{Pt}(\text{SCN})_2(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]$  and rationalize the NMR spectroscopic data.
- 19.22** (a) Explain why complex **19.24** is chiral.



(19.24)

- (b) In each of the following reactions, the left-hand sides are balanced. Suggest possible products and give the structures of each complex formed.  
 $\text{AgCl}(\text{s}) + 2\text{NH}_3(\text{aq}) \longrightarrow$   
 $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_2(\text{s}) + 2\text{KOH}(\text{aq}) \longrightarrow$
- (c) What type of isomerism relates the Cr(III) complexes  $[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3][\text{Cr}(\text{ox})_3]$  and  $[\text{Cr}(\text{en})(\text{ox})_2][\text{Cr}(\text{en})_2(\text{ox})]$ ?
- 19.23** (a) The following complexes each possess one of the structures listed in Table 19.4. Use the point group to deduce each structure:  $[\text{ZnCl}_4]^{2-}$  ( $T_d$ );  $[\text{AgCl}_3]^{2-}$  ( $D_{3h}$ );  $[\text{ZrF}_7]^{3-}$  ( $C_{2v}$ );  $[\text{ReH}_9]^{2-}$  ( $D_{3h}$ );  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$  ( $D_{4h}$ );  $[\text{AuCl}_2]^-$  ( $D_{\infty h}$ ).  
(b) How does the coordination environment of  $\text{Cs}^+$  in  $\text{CsCl}$  differ from that of typical, discrete 8-coordinate complexes? Give examples to illustrate the latter, commenting on factors that may influence the preference for a particular coordination geometry.

# Chapter 20

## *d*-Block chemistry: coordination complexes

### TOPICS

- Bonding in *d*-block metal complexes: valence bond theory
- Bonding in *d*-block metal complexes: crystal field theory
- Spectrochemical series
- Crystal field stabilization energy
- Bonding in *d*-block metal complexes: molecular orbital theory
- Electronic spectra
- Nephelauxetic effect
- Magnetic properties
- Thermodynamic aspects


### 20.1 Introduction

In this chapter, we discuss complexes of the *d*-block metals and we consider bonding theories that rationalize experimental facts such as electronic spectra and magnetic properties. Most of our discussion centres on first row *d*-block metals, for which theories of bonding are most successful. The bonding in *d*-block metal complexes is not fundamentally different from that in other compounds, and we shall show applications of valence bond theory, the electrostatic model and molecular orbital theory. A key point is that three of the five *d* orbitals for a given principal quantum number have their lobes directed *in between* the *x*, *y* and *z* axes, while the other two are directed *along* these axes (Figure 20.1).<sup>†</sup> As a consequence of this difference, the *d* orbitals in the presence of ligands are split into groups of different energies, the type of splitting and the magnitude of the energy differences depending on the arrangement and nature of the ligands. Magnetic properties and electronic spectra, both of which are observable properties, reflect the splitting of *d* orbitals.

#### High- and low-spin states

In [Section 19.5](#), we stated that paramagnetism is a characteristic of some *d*-block metal compounds. In [Section 20.8](#) we consider magnetic properties in detail, but for now, let us simply state that magnetic data allow us to determine the number of unpaired electrons. In an isolated first row *d*-

block metal ion, the 3*d* orbitals are degenerate and the electrons occupy them according to Hund's rules: e.g. diagram [20.1](#) shows the arrangement of six electrons.




However, magnetic data for a range of octahedral *d*<sup>6</sup> complexes show that they fall into two categories: paramagnetic or diamagnetic. The former are called *high-spin complexes* and correspond to those in which, despite the *d* orbitals being split, there are still four unpaired electrons. The diamagnetic *d*<sup>6</sup> complexes are termed *low-spin* and correspond to those in which electrons are doubly occupying three orbitals, leaving two unoccupied.

### 20.2 Bonding in *d*-block metal complexes: valence bond theory

#### Hybridization schemes

Although VB theory (see [Sections 1.11](#), [1.12](#) and [4.2](#)) in the form developed by Pauling in the 1930s is not much used now in discussing *d*-block metal complexes, the terminology and many of the ideas have been retained and some knowledge of the theory remains essential. In [Section 4.2](#), we described the use of *sp*<sup>3</sup>*d*, *sp*<sup>3</sup>*d*<sup>2</sup> and *sp*<sup>2</sup>*d* hybridization schemes in trigonal pyramidal, square-based pyramidal, octahedral and square planar molecules. These same hybridization schemes can be used to describe the bonding in *d*-block metal complexes (Table 20.1); an *empty* hybrid

<sup>†</sup> Although we refer to the *d* orbitals in these 'pictorial' terms, it is important not to lose sight of the fact that these orbitals are *not real* but merely mathematical solutions of the Schrödinger wave equation (see [Section 1.5](#)).




**Fig. 20.1** (a) The six M–L vectors of an octahedral complex  $[ML_6]^{n+}$  can be defined to lie along the x, y and z axes. (b) The five d orbitals; the  $d_{z^2}$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  atomic orbitals point directly along the axes, but the  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  atomic orbitals point between them.

orbital on the metal centre can accept a pair of electrons from a ligand to form a  $\sigma$ -bond. The choice of particular p or d atomic orbitals may depend on the definition of the axes with respect to the molecular framework, e.g. in linear  $ML_2$ , the M–L vectors are defined to lie along the z axis. We have included the cube in Table 20.1 only to point out the required use of an f orbital.

## Applying VB theory

We illustrate the applications and limitations of VB theory by considering octahedral complexes of Cr(III) ( $d^3$ ) and Fe(III) ( $d^5$ ) and octahedral, tetrahedral and square planar complexes of Ni(II) ( $d^8$ ). The atomic orbitals required for

hybridization in an octahedral complex are the  $3d_{z^2}$ ,  $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ ,  $4s$ ,  $4p_x$ ,  $4p_y$  and  $4p_z$  (Table 20.1); these orbitals must be *unoccupied* so as to be available to accept six pairs of electrons from the ligands. The  $Cr^{3+}$  ion has three unpaired electrons and these are accommodated in the  $3d_{xy}$ ,  $3d_{xz}$  and  $3d_{yz}$  orbitals:



**Table 20.1** Hybridization schemes for the  $\sigma$ -bonding frameworks of different geometrical configurations of ligand donor atoms.

| Coordination number | Arrangement of donor atoms    | Orbitals hybridized   | Hybrid orbital description | Example             |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 2                   | Linear                        | $s, p_z$  | $sp$                       | $[Ag(NH_3)_2]^+$    |
| 3                   | Trigonal planar               | $s, p_x, p_y$   | $sp^2$                     | $[HgI_3]^-$         |
| 4                   | Tetrahedral                   | $s, p_x, p_y, p_z$  | $sp^3$                     | $[FeBr_4]^{2-}$     |
| 4                   | Square planar                 | $s, p_x, p_y, d_{x^2-y^2}$  | $sp^2d$                    | $[Ni(CN)_4]^{2-}$   |
| 5                   | Trigonal bipyramidal          | $s, p_x, p_y, p_z, d_{z^2}$   | $sp^3d$                    | $[CuCl_5]^{3-}$     |
| 5                   | Square-based pyramidal        | $s, p_x, p_y, p_z, d_{x^2-y^2}$   | $sp^3d$                    | $[Ni(CN)_5]^{3-}$   |
| 6                   | Octahedral                    | $s, p_x, p_y, p_z, d_{z^2}, d_{x^2-y^2}$  | $sp^3d^2$                  | $[Co(NH_3)_6]^{3+}$ |
| 6                   | Trigonal prismatic            | $s, d_{xy}, d_{yz}, d_{xz}, d_{z^2}, d_{x^2-y^2}$<br>or<br>$s, p_x, p_y, p_z, d_{xz}, d_{yz}$ | $sd^5$<br>or<br>$sp^3d^2$  | $[ZrMe_6]^{2-}$     |
| 7                   | Pentagonal bipyramidal        | $s, p_x, p_y, p_z, d_{xy}, d_{x^2-y^2}, d_{z^2}$  | $sp^3d^3$                  | $[V(CN)_7]^{4-}$    |
| 7                   | Monocapped trigonal prismatic | $s, p_x, p_y, p_z, d_{xy}, d_{xz}, d_{z^2}$   | $sp^3d^3$                  | $[NbF_7]^{2-}$      |
| 8                   | Cubic                         | $s, p_x, p_y, p_z, d_{xy}, d_{xz}, d_{yz}, f_{xyz}$   | $sp^3d^3f$                 | $[PaF_8]^{3-}$      |
| 8                   | Dodecahedral                  | $s, p_x, p_y, p_z, d_{z^2}, d_{xy}, d_{xz}, d_{yz}$   | $sp^3d^4$                  | $[Mo(CN)_8]^{4-}$   |
| 8                   | Square antiprismatic          | $s, p_x, p_y, p_z, d_{xy}, d_{xz}, d_{yz}, d_{x^2-y^2}$                                       | $sp^3d^4$                  | $[TaF_8]^{3-}$      |
| 9                   | Tricapped trigonal prismatic  | $s, p_x, p_y, p_z, d_{xy}, d_{xz}, d_{yz}, d_{z^2}, d_{x^2-y^2}$                              | $sp^3d^5$                  | $[ReH_9]^{2-}$      |

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND


## Box 20.1 Valence bond theory: a historical note

In the early use of VB theory, complexes in which the electronic configuration of the metal ion was the same as that of the free gaseous atom were called *ionic complexes*, while those in which the electrons had been paired up as far as possible were called *covalent complexes*. Later, first row metal complexes in which ligand electrons entered  $3d$  orbitals (as in  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ ) were termed *inner orbital complexes*, and those in which  $4d$  orbitals were occupied

(as in  $[\text{FeF}_6]^{3-}$ ) were *outer orbital complexes*. The various terms, all of which may be encountered, relate to one another as follows:


- high-spin complex = ionic complex = outer orbital complex;
- low-spin complex = covalent complex = inner orbital complex.

With the electrons from the ligands included and a hybridization scheme applied for an octahedral complex, the diagram becomes:




This diagram is appropriate for all octahedral Cr(III) complexes because the three  $3d$  electrons always singly occupy different orbitals.


For octahedral Fe(III) complexes, we must account for the existence of both high- and low-spin complexes. The electronic configuration of the free  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  ion is:



For a low-spin octahedral complex such as  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ , we can represent the electronic configuration by means of the following diagram where the electrons shown in red are donated by the ligands:




For a high-spin octahedral complex such as  $[\text{FeF}_6]^{3-}$ , the five  $3d$  electrons occupy the five  $3d$  atomic orbitals (as in the free ion shown above) and the two  $d$  orbitals required for the  $sp^3d^2$  hybridization scheme must come from the  $4d$  set. With the ligand electrons included, valence bond theory describes the bonding as follows leaving three empty  $4d$  atomic orbitals (not shown):




This scheme, however, is unrealistic because the  $4d$  orbitals are at a significantly higher energy than the  $3d$  atomic orbitals.


Nickel(II) ( $d^8$ ) forms paramagnetic tetrahedral and octahedral complexes, and diamagnetic square planar complexes. Bonding in a tetrahedral complex can be represented as follows (ligand electrons are shown in red):



and an octahedral complex can be described by the diagram:




in which the three empty  $4d$  atomic orbitals are not shown. For diamagnetic square planar complexes, valence bond theory gives the following picture:



Valence bond theory may rationalize stereochemical and magnetic properties, but only at a simplistic level. It can say *nothing* about electronic spectroscopic properties or about the kinetic inertness (see [Section 25.2](#)) that is a characteristic of the low-spin  $d^6$  configuration. Furthermore, the model implies a distinction between high- and low-spin complexes that is actually misleading. Finally, it cannot tell us *why* certain ligands are associated with the formation of high- (or low-) spin complexes. We therefore move on to alternative approaches to the bonding.

## 20.3 Crystal field theory

A second approach to the bonding in complexes of the  $d$ -block metals is *crystal field theory*. This is an *electrostatic model* and simply uses the ligand electrons to create an electric field around the metal centre. Ligands are considered as point charges and there are *no* metal–ligand covalent interactions.



**Fig. 20.2** The changes in the energies of the electrons occupying the  $d$  orbitals of an  $M^{n+}$  ion when the latter is in an octahedral crystal field. The energy changes are shown in terms of the orbital energies.

## The octahedral crystal field

Consider a first row metal cation surrounded by six ligands placed on the Cartesian axes at the vertices of an octahedron (Figure 20.1a). Each ligand is treated as a negative point charge and there is an electrostatic attraction between the metal ion and ligands. However, there is also a repulsive interaction between electrons in the  $d$  orbitals and the ligand point charges. If the electrostatic field (the *crystal field*) were spherical, then the energies of the five 3d orbitals would be raised (destabilized) by the same amount. However, since the  $d_{z^2}$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  atomic orbitals point *directly* at the ligands while the  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  atomic orbitals point *between* them, the  $d_{z^2}$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  atomic orbitals are destabilized to a greater extent than the  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  atomic orbitals (Figure 20.2). Thus, with respect to their energy in a

spherical field (the *barycentre*, a kind of ‘centre of gravity’), the  $d_{z^2}$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  atomic orbitals are destabilized while the  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  atomic orbitals are stabilized.

*Crystal field theory* is an electrostatic model which predicts that the  $d$  orbitals in a metal complex are not degenerate. The pattern of splitting of the  $d$  orbitals depends on the crystal field, this being determined by the arrangement and type of ligands.

From the  $O_h$  character table (Appendix 3), it can be deduced (see Chapter 4) that the  $d_{z^2}$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbitals have  $e_g$  symmetry, while the  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  orbitals possess  $t_{2g}$  symmetry (Figure 20.3). The energy separation between them is  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  (‘delta oct’) or  $10Dq$ . The overall stabi-

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 20.2 A reminder about symmetry labels


The two sets of  $d$  orbitals in an octahedral field are labelled  $e_g$  and  $t_{2g}$  (Figure 20.3). In a tetrahedral field (Figure 20.8), the labels become  $e$  and  $t_2$ . The symbols  $t$  and  $e$  refer to the degeneracy of the level:

- a triply degenerate level is labelled  $t$ ;
- a doubly degenerate level is labelled  $e$ .

The subscript  $g$  means *gerade* and the subscript  $u$  means *ungerade*. *Gerade* and *ungerade* designate the behaviour of

the wavefunction under the operation of *inversion*, and denote the *parity* (even or odd) of an orbital. The  $u$  and  $g$  labels are applicable *only* if the system possesses a centre of symmetry (centre of inversion) and thus are used for the octahedral field, but not for the tetrahedral one.

For more on the origins of symmetry labels: see Chapter 4.




**Fig. 20.3** Splitting of the  $d$  orbitals in an octahedral crystal field, with the energy changes measured with respect to the barycentre.

lization of the  $t_{2g}$  orbitals equals the overall destabilization of the  $e_g$  set. Thus, orbitals in the  $e_g$  set are raised by  $0.6\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  with respect to the barycentre while those in the  $t_{2g}$  set are lowered by  $0.4\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ . Figure 20.3 also shows these energy differences in terms of  $10Dq$ . Both  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  and  $10Dq$  notations are in common use, but we use  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  in this book.<sup>†</sup> The stabilization and destabilization of the  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g$  sets, respectively, are given in terms of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ . The magnitude of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  is determined by the strength of the crystal field, the two extremes being called *weak field* and *strong field* (equation 20.1).

$$\Delta_{\text{oct}}(\text{weak field}) < \Delta_{\text{oct}}(\text{strong field}) \quad (20.1)$$


It is a merit of crystal field theory that, in principle at least, values of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  can be evaluated from electronic spectroscopic data (see Section 20.6). Consider the  $d^1$  complex  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , for which the ground state is represented by diagram 20.2 or the notation  $t_{2g}^1 e_g^0$ .



The absorption spectrum of the ion (Figure 20.4) exhibits one broad band for which  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 20\,300\text{ cm}^{-1}$  corresponding to an energy change of  $243\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . (The conversion is  $1\text{ cm}^{-1} = 11.96 \times 10^{-3}\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .) The absorption results from a change in electronic configuration from  $t_{2g}^1 e_g^0$  to  $t_{2g}^0 e_g^1$ , and the value of  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (see Figure 20.15) gives a measure of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ . For systems with more than one  $d$  electron, the evaluation of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  is more complicated; it is important to remember that  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  is an *experimental* quantity.


Factors governing the magnitude of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  (Table 20.2) are the identity and oxidation state of the metal ion and the nature of the ligands. We shall see later that  $\Delta$  parameters are also defined for other ligand arrangements (e.g.  $\Delta_{\text{tet}}$ ). For octahedral complexes,  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  increases along the following *spectrochemical series* of ligands; the  $[\text{NCS}]^-$  ion may

<sup>†</sup> The notation  $Dq$  has mathematical origins in crystal field theory. We prefer the use of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  because of its experimentally determined origins (see Section 20.6).



**Fig. 20.4** The electronic spectrum of  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  in aqueous solution.

coordinate through the  $N$ - or  $S$ -donor (distinguished in red below) and accordingly, it has two positions in the series:




The spectrochemical series is reasonably general. Ligands with the same donor atoms are close together in the series. If we consider octahedral complexes of  $d$ -block metal ions, a number of points arise which can be illustrated by the following examples:

- the complexes of Cr(III) listed in Table 20.2 illustrate the effects of different ligand field strengths for a given  $M^{n+}$  ion;
- the complexes of Fe(II) and Fe(III) in Table 20.2 illustrate that for a given ligand and a given metal,  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  increases with increasing oxidation state;

**Table 20.2** Values of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  for some  $d$ -block metal complexes.


| Complex                                  | $\Delta / \text{cm}^{-1}$ | Complex                                  | $\Delta / \text{cm}^{-1}$ |
|--|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| $[\text{TiF}_6]^{3-}$                    | 17 000                    | $[\text{Fe}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$          | 14 100                    |
| $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ | 20 300                    | $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$          | 35 000                    |
| $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  | 17 850                    | $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$          | 33 800                    |
| $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  | 12 400                    | $[\text{CoF}_6]^{3-}$                    | 13 100                    |
| $[\text{CrF}_6]^{3-}$                    | 15 000                    | $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$        | 22 900                    |
| $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ | 17 400                    | $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$        | 10 200                    |
| $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ | 14 100                    | $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$          | 24 000                    |
| $[\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$        | 21 600                    | $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ | 18 200                    |
| $[\text{Cr}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$          | 26 600                    | $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ | 9 300                     |
| $[\text{MnF}_6]^{2-}$                    | 21 800                    | $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ | 8 500                     |
| $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ | 13 700                    | $[\text{Ni}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$        | 10 800                    |
| $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ | 9 400                     | $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$          | 11 500                    |



**Fig. 20.5** The trend in values of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  for the complexes  $[\text{M}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  where  $\text{M} = \text{Co}, \text{Rh}, \text{Ir}$ .

- where analogous complexes exist for a series of  $\text{M}^{n+}$  metals ions (constant  $n$ ) in a triad,  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  increases significantly down the triad (e.g. Figure 20.5);
- for a given ligand and a given oxidation state,  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  varies *irregularly* across the first row of the  $d$ -block, e.g. over the range 8000 to 14 000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  for the  $[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ions.

Trends in values of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  lead to the conclusion that metal ions can be placed in a spectrochemical series which is independent of the ligands:




Spectrochemical series are empirical generalizations and simple crystal field theory *cannot* account for the magnitudes of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  values.

## Crystal field stabilization energy: high- and low-spin octahedral complexes

We now consider the effects of different numbers of electrons occupying the  $d$  orbitals in an octahedral crystal field. For a  $d^1$  system, the ground state corresponds to the configuration  $t_{2g}^1$  (20.2). With respect to the barycentre, there is a stabilization energy of  $-0.4\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  (Figure 20.3); this is the so-called *crystal field stabilization energy*, *CFSE*.<sup>†</sup> For a  $d^2$  ion, the ground state configuration is  $t_{2g}^2$  and the  $\text{CFSE} = -0.8\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  (equation 20.2); a  $d^3$  ion ( $t_{2g}^3$ ) has a  $\text{CFSE} = -1.2\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ .

$$\text{CFSE} = -(2 \times 0.4)\Delta_{\text{oct}} = -0.8\Delta_{\text{oct}} \quad (20.2)$$



<sup>†</sup> The sign convention used here for CFSE follows the thermodynamic convention.

For a  $d^4$  ion, two arrangements are available: the four electrons may occupy the  $t_{2g}$  set with the configuration  $t_{2g}^4$  (20.3), or may singly occupy four  $d$  orbitals,  $t_{2g}^3 e_g^1$  (20.4). Configuration 20.3 corresponds to a low-spin arrangement, and 20.4 to a high-spin case. The preferred configuration is that with the lower energy and depends on whether it is energetically preferable to pair the fourth electron or promote it to the  $e_g$  level. Two terms contribute to the electron-pairing energy,  $P$ , which is the energy required to transform two electrons with parallel spin in different degenerate orbitals into spin-paired electrons in the same orbital:

- the loss in the *exchange energy* (see Box 1.8) which occurs upon pairing the electrons;
- the coulombic repulsion between the spin-paired electrons.

For a given  $d^n$  configuration, the CFSE is the *difference* in energy between the  $d$  electrons in an octahedral crystal field and the  $d$  electrons in a spherical crystal field (see Figure 20.2). To exemplify this, consider a  $d^4$  configuration. In a spherical crystal field, the  $d$  orbitals are degenerate and each of four orbitals is singly occupied. In an octahedral crystal field, equation 20.3 shows how the CFSE is determined for a high-spin  $d^4$  configuration.

$$\text{CFSE} = -(3 \times 0.4)\Delta_{\text{oct}} + 0.6\Delta_{\text{oct}} = -0.6\Delta_{\text{oct}} \quad (20.3)$$

For a low-spin  $d^4$  configuration, the CFSE consists of two terms: the four electrons in the  $t_{2g}$  orbitals give rise to a  $-1.6\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  term, and a pairing energy,  $P$ , must be included to account for the spin-pairing of two electrons. Now consider a  $d^6$  ion. In a spherical crystal field, one  $d$  orbital contains spin-paired electrons, and each of four orbitals is singly occupied. On going to the high-spin  $d^6$  configuration in the octahedral field ( $t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$ ), no change occurs to the number of spin-paired electrons and the CFSE is given by equation 20.4.

$$\text{CFSE} = -(4 \times 0.4)\Delta_{\text{oct}} + (2 \times 0.6)\Delta_{\text{oct}} = -0.4\Delta_{\text{oct}} \quad (20.4)$$

For a low-spin  $d^6$  configuration ( $t_{2g}^6 e_g^0$ ) the six electrons in the  $t_{2g}$  orbitals give rise to a  $-2.4\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  term. Added to this is a pairing energy term of  $2P$  which accounts for the spin-pairing associated with the two pairs of electrons in excess of the one in the high-spin configuration. Table 20.3 lists values of the CFSE for all  $d^n$  configurations in an octahedral crystal field. Inequalities 20.5 and 20.6 show the requirements for high- or low-spin configurations. Inequality 20.5 holds when the crystal field is weak, whereas expression 20.6 is true for a strong crystal field. Figure 20.6 summarizes the preferences for low- and high-spin  $d^5$  octahedral complexes.


$$\text{For high-spin:} \quad \Delta_{\text{oct}} < P \quad (20.5)$$

$$\text{For low-spin:} \quad \Delta_{\text{oct}} > P \quad (20.6)$$

We can now relate types of ligand with a preference for high- or low-spin complexes. Strong field ligands such as  $[\text{CN}]^-$  favour the formation of low-spin complexes, while

**Table 20.3** Octahedral crystal field stabilization energies (CFSE) for  $d^n$  configurations; pairing energy,  $P$ , terms are included where appropriate (see text). High- and low-spin octahedral complexes are shown only where the distinction is appropriate.

| $d^n$    | High-spin = weak field   |                           | Low-spin = strong field  |                                |
|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
|          | Electronic configuration | CFSE                      | Electronic configuration | CFSE                           |
| $d^1$    | $t_{2g}^1 e_g^0$         | $-0.4\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ |                          |                                |
| $d^2$    | $t_{2g}^2 e_g^0$         | $-0.8\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ |                          |                                |
| $d^3$    | $t_{2g}^3 e_g^0$         | $-1.2\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ |                          |                                |
| $d^4$    | $t_{2g}^3 e_g^1$         | $-0.6\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ | $t_{2g}^4 e_g^0$         | $-1.6\Delta_{\text{oct}} + P$  |
| $d^5$    | $t_{2g}^3 e_g^2$         | 0                         | $t_{2g}^5 e_g^0$         | $-2.0\Delta_{\text{oct}} + 2P$ |
| $d^6$    | $t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$         | $-0.4\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ | $t_{2g}^6 e_g^0$         | $-2.4\Delta_{\text{oct}} + 2P$ |
| $d^7$    | $t_{2g}^5 e_g^2$         | $-0.8\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ | $t_{2g}^6 e_g^1$         | $-1.8\Delta_{\text{oct}} + P$  |
| $d^8$    | $t_{2g}^6 e_g^2$         | $-1.2\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ |                          |                                |
| $d^9$    | $t_{2g}^6 e_g^3$         | $-0.6\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ |                          |                                |
| $d^{10}$ | $t_{2g}^6 e_g^4$         | 0                         |                          |                                |

**Fig. 20.6** The occupation of the 3d orbitals in weak and strong field  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  ( $d^5$ ) complexes.


weak field ligands such as halides tend to favour high-spin complexes. However, we cannot predict whether high- or low-spin complexes will be formed unless we have accurate values of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  and  $P$ . On the other hand, with some experimental knowledge in hand, we can make some comparative predictions: if we know from magnetic data that  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  is low-spin, then from the spectrochemical series we can say that  $[\text{Co}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  will be low-spin. The only common high-spin cobalt(III) complex is  $[\text{CoF}_6]^{3-}$ .

### Jahn–Teller distortions

Octahedral complexes of  $d^9$  and high-spin  $d^4$  ions are often distorted, e.g.  $\text{CuF}_2$  (the solid state structure of which contains octahedrally sited  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  centres, see [Section 21.12](#)) and  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ , so that two metal–ligand bonds (axial) are different lengths from the remaining four (equatorial).


This is shown in structures **20.5** (elongated octahedron) and **20.6** (compressed octahedron).<sup>†</sup> For a high-spin  $d^4$  ion, one of the  $e_g$  orbitals contains one electron while the other is vacant. If the singly occupied orbital is in the  $d_{z^2}$ , most of the electron density in this orbital will be concentrated between the cation and the two ligands on the  $z$  axis. Thus, there will be greater electrostatic repulsion associated with these ligands than with the other four and the complex suffers elongation (**20.5**). Conversely, occupation of the  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbital would lead to elongation along the  $x$  and  $y$  axes as in structure **20.6**. A similar argument can be put forward for the  $d^9$  configuration in which the two orbitals in the  $e_g$  set are occupied by one and two electrons respectively. Electron-density measurements

<sup>†</sup> Other distortions may arise and these are exemplified for  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  complexes in [Section 21.12](#).




**Fig. 20.7** The relationship between a tetrahedral  $ML_4$  complex and a cube; the cube is readily related to a Cartesian axis set. The ligands lie *between* the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  axes; compare this with an octahedral complex, where the ligands lie on the axes.

confirm that the electronic configuration of the  $Cr^{2+}$  ion in  $[Cr(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  is *approximately*  $d_{xy}^1 d_{yz}^1 d_{xz}^1 d_{z^2}^1$ . The corresponding effect when the  $t_{2g}$  set is unequally occupied is expected to be very much smaller since the orbitals are not pointing directly at the ligands; this expectation is usually, but not invariably, confirmed experimentally. Distortions of this kind are called *Jahn–Teller distortions*.



Bond length  $a > e$   
(20.5)



Bond length  $a < e$   
(20.6)

The *Jahn–Teller theorem* states that any non-linear molecular system in a degenerate electronic state will be unstable and will undergo distortion to form a system of lower symmetry and lower energy, thereby removing the degeneracy.

## The tetrahedral crystal field

So far we have restricted the discussion to octahedral complexes; we now turn to the tetrahedral crystal field.

Figure 20.7 (see also [Figure 4.6](#)) shows a convenient way of relating a tetrahedron to a Cartesian axis set. With the complex in this orientation, none of the metal  $d$  orbitals points exactly at the ligands, but the  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  orbitals come nearer to doing so than the  $d_{z^2}$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbitals. For a regular tetrahedron, the splitting of the  $d$  orbitals is inverted compared with that for a regular octahedral structure, and the energy difference ( $\Delta_{tet}$ ) is smaller. If all other things are equal (and of course, they never are), the relative splittings  $\Delta_{oct}$  and  $\Delta_{tet}$  are related by equation 20.7.

$$\Delta_{tet} = \frac{4}{9} \Delta_{oct} \approx \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{oct} \quad (20.7)$$

Figure 20.8 compares crystal field splitting for octahedral and tetrahedral fields; remember, the subscript  $g$  in the symmetry labels (see [Box 20.2](#)) is not needed in the tetrahedral case.

Since  $\Delta_{tet}$  is significantly smaller than  $\Delta_{oct}$ , tetrahedral complexes are high-spin. Also, since smaller amounts of energy are needed for an  $t_2 \leftarrow e$  transition (tetrahedral) than for an  $e_g \leftarrow t_{2g}$  transition (octahedral), corresponding octahedral and tetrahedral complexes often have different colours. (The notation for electronic transitions is given in [Box 20.3](#).)

Jahn–Teller effects in tetrahedral complexes are illustrated by distortions in  $d^9$  (e.g.  $[CuCl_4]^{2-}$ ) and high-spin  $d^4$  complexes. A particularly strong structural distortion is observed in  $[FeO_4]^{4-}$  (see [structure 21.30](#)).

## The square planar crystal field

A square planar arrangement of ligands can be formally derived from an octahedral array by removal of two *trans*-ligands (Figure 20.9). If we remove the ligands lying along the  $z$  axis, then the  $d_{z^2}$  orbital is greatly stabilized; the energies of the  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  orbitals are also lowered, although to a smaller extent. The resultant ordering of the metal  $d$  orbitals is shown at the left-hand side of Figure 20.10. The fact that square planar  $d^8$  complexes such as  $[Ni(CN)_4]^{2-}$  are diamagnetic is a consequence of the relatively large energy difference between the  $d_{xy}$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbitals. Worked example 20.1 shows an experimental means (other than single-crystal X-ray diffraction) by which square planar and tetrahedral  $d^8$  complexes can be distinguished.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND


### Box 20.3 Notation for electronic transitions

For electronic transitions caused by the absorption and emission of energy, the following notation is used:


Emission: (high energy level)  $\rightarrow$  (low energy level)

Absorption: (high energy level)  $\leftarrow$  (low energy level)


For example, to denote an electronic transition from the  $e$  to  $t_2$  level in a tetrahedral complex, the notation should be  $t_2 \leftarrow e$ .



**Fig. 20.8** Crystal field splitting diagrams for octahedral (left-hand side) and tetrahedral (right-hand side) fields. The splittings are referred to a common barycentre. See also [Figure 20.2](#).



**Fig. 20.9** A square planar complex can be derived from an octahedral complex by the removal of two ligands, e.g. those on the  $z$  axis; the intermediate stage is a Jahn–Teller distorted (elongated) octahedral complex.




**Fig. 20.10** Crystal field splitting diagrams for some common fields referred to a common barycentre; splittings are given with respect to  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ . For tetrahedral splitting, see [Figure 20.8](#).

### Worked example 20.1 Square planar and tetrahedral $d^8$ complexes

The  $d^8$  complexes  $[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{NiCl}_4]^{2-}$  are square planar and tetrahedral respectively. Will these complexes be paramagnetic or diamagnetic?

Consider the splitting diagrams shown in Figures 20.8 and 20.10. For  $[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{NiCl}_4]^{2-}$ , the eight electrons occupy the  $d$  orbitals as follows:



Thus,  $[\text{NiCl}_4]^{2-}$  is paramagnetic while  $[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$  is diamagnetic.

#### Self-study exercises

No specific answers are given here, but the answer to each question is closely linked to the theory in worked example 20.1.

1. The complexes  $[\text{NiCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  and  $[\text{PdCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  are paramagnetic and diamagnetic respectively. What does this tell you about their structures?
2. The anion  $[\text{Ni}(\text{SPh})_4]^{2-}$  is tetrahedral. Explain why it is paramagnetic.
3. Diamagnetic *trans*- $[\text{NiBr}_2(\text{PEtPh}_2)_2]$  converts to a form which is paramagnetic. Suggest a reason for this observation.

Although  $[\text{NiCl}_4]^{2-}$  is tetrahedral and paramagnetic,  $[\text{PdCl}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$  are square planar and diamagnetic. This difference is a consequence of the larger crystal field splitting observed for second and third row metal ions compared with their first row congener; Pd(II) and Pt(II) complexes are invariably square planar (but see [Box 20.7](#)).

#### Other crystal fields

Figure 20.10 shows crystal field splittings for some common geometries with the relative splittings of the  $d$  orbitals with respect to  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ . By using these splitting diagrams, it is possible to rationalize the magnetic properties of a given

complex (see [Section 20.8](#)). However, a word of caution: Figure 20.10 refers to  $\text{ML}_x$  complexes containing *like* ligands, and so *only* applies to simple complexes.

#### Crystal field theory: uses and limitations

Crystal field theory can bring together structures, magnetic properties and electronic properties, and we shall expand upon the last two topics later in the chapter. Trends in CFSEs provide some understanding of thermodynamic and kinetic aspects of  $d$ -block metal complexes (see [Sections 20.9–20.11](#) and [25.4](#)). Crystal field theory is surprisingly useful when one considers its simplicity. However, it has limitations. For example, although we can interpret the contrasting magnetic properties of high- and low-spin octahedral complexes on the basis of the positions of weak- and strong-field ligands in the spectrochemical series, crystal field theory provides no explanation as to *why* particular ligands are placed where they are in the series.


### 20.4 Molecular orbital theory: octahedral complexes

In this section, we consider a third approach to the bonding in metal complexes: the use of molecular orbital theory. In contrast to crystal field theory, the molecular orbital model considers covalent interactions between the metal centre and ligands.

#### Complexes with *no* metal–ligand $\pi$ -bonding

We illustrate the application of MO theory to  $d$ -block metal complexes first by considering an octahedral complex such as  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  in which metal–ligand  $\sigma$ -bonding is dominant. In the construction of an MO energy level diagram for such a complex, many approximations are made and the result is only *qualitatively* accurate. Even so, the results are useful to an understanding of metal–ligand bonding.

By following the procedures that we detailed in [Chapter 4](#), an MO diagram can be constructed to describe the bonding in an  $O_h$   $[\text{ML}_6]^{n+}$  complex. For a first row metal, the valence shell atomic orbitals are  $3d$ ,  $4s$  and  $4p$ . Under  $O_h$  symmetry (see [Appendix 3](#)), the  $s$  orbital has  $a_{1g}$  symmetry, the  $p$  orbitals are degenerate with  $t_{1u}$  symmetry, and the  $d$  orbitals split into two sets with  $e_g$  ( $d_{z^2}$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbitals) and  $t_{2g}$  ( $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{zx}$  orbitals) symmetries, respectively (Figure 20.11). Each ligand, L, provides one orbital and derivation of the ligand group orbitals for the  $O_h$   $L_6$  fragment is analogous to those for the  $F_6$  fragment in  $\text{SF}_6$  (see [Figure 4.27](#), [equations 4.26–4.31](#) and accompanying text). These LGOs have  $a_{1g}$ ,  $t_{1u}$  and  $e_g$  symmetries (Figure 20.11). Symmetry matching between metal orbitals and LGOs allows the construction of the MO diagram shown in Figure 20.12. Combinations of the metal and ligand orbitals generate six




**Fig. 20.11** Metal atomic orbitals  $s$ ,  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$ ,  $p_z$ ,  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ ,  $d_{z^2}$  matched by symmetry with ligand group orbitals for an octahedral ( $O_h$ ) complex with only  $\sigma$ -bonding.

bonding and six antibonding molecular orbitals. The metal  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  atomic orbitals have  $t_{2g}$  symmetry and are non-bonding (Figure 20.12). The overlap between the ligand and metal  $s$  and  $p$  orbitals is greater than that involving the metal  $d$  orbitals, and so the  $a_{1g}$  and  $t_{1u}$  MOs are stabilized to a greater extent than the  $e_g$  MOs. In an octahedral complex

with no  $\pi$ -bonding, the energy difference between the  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g^*$  levels corresponds to  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  in crystal field theory (Figure 20.12).

Having constructed the MO diagram in Figure 20.12, we are able to describe the bonding in a range of octahedral  $\sigma$ -bonded complexes. For example:



**Fig. 20.12** An approximate MO diagram for the formation of  $[\text{ML}_6]^{n+}$  (where M is a first row metal) using the ligand group orbital approach; the orbitals are shown pictorially in [Figure 20.11](#). The bonding only involves M–L  $\sigma$ -interactions.

- in low-spin  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$ , 18 electrons (six from  $\text{Co}^{3+}$  and two from each ligand) occupy the  $a_{1g}$ ,  $t_{1u}$ ,  $e_g$  and  $t_{2g}$  MOs;
- in high-spin  $[\text{CoF}_6]^{3-}$ , 18 electrons are available, 12 occupy the  $a_{1g}$ ,  $t_{1u}$  and  $e_g$  MOs, four the  $t_{2g}$  level, and two the  $e_g^*$  level.

Whether a complex is high- or low-spin depends upon the energy separation of the  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g^*$  levels. *Notionally*, in a  $\sigma$ -bonded octahedral complex, the 12 electrons supplied by the ligands are considered to occupy the  $a_{1g}$ ,  $t_{1u}$  and  $e_g$  orbitals. Occupancy of the  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g^*$  levels corresponds to the number of valence electrons of the metal ion, just as in crystal field theory. The molecular orbital model of bonding in octahedral complexes gives much the same results as crystal field theory. It is when we move to complexes with M–L  $\pi$ -bonding that distinctions between the models emerge.

### Complexes with metal–ligand $\pi$ -bonding


The metal  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  atomic orbitals (the  $t_{2g}$  set) are non-bonding in an  $[\text{ML}_6]^{n+}$ ,  $\sigma$ -bonded complex (Figure 20.12) and these orbitals may overlap with ligand orbitals of the correct symmetry to give  $\pi$ -interactions (Figure 20.13). Although  $\pi$ -bonding between metal and ligand  $d$  orbitals is sometimes considered for interactions between metals and phosphine ligands (e.g.  $\text{PR}_3$  or  $\text{PF}_3$ ), it is more realistic to consider the roles of ligand  $\sigma^*$ -orbitals as the acceptor orbitals.<sup>†</sup> Two

types of ligand must be differentiated:  $\pi$ -donor and  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands.

A  *$\pi$ -donor ligand* donates electrons to the metal centre in an interaction that involves a filled ligand orbital and an empty metal orbital; a  *$\pi$ -acceptor ligand* accepts electrons from the metal centre in an interaction that involves a filled metal orbital and an empty ligand orbital.

$\pi$ -Donor ligands include  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$  and  $\text{I}^-$  and the metal–ligand  $\pi$ -interaction involves transfer of electrons from filled ligand  $p$  orbitals to the metal centre (Figure 20.13a). Examples of  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands are CO,  $\text{N}_2$ , NO and alkenes, and the metal–ligand  $\pi$ -bonds arise from the *back donation* of electrons from the metal centre to vacant anti-bonding orbitals on the ligand (for example, Figure 20.13b).  $\pi$ -Acceptor ligands can stabilize low oxidation state metal complexes (see [Chapter 23](#)). Figure 20.14 shows partial MO diagrams which describe metal–ligand  $\pi$ -interactions in octahedral complexes; the metal  $s$  and  $p$  orbitals which are involved in  $\sigma$ -bonding (see [Figure 20.12](#)) have been omitted. Figure 20.14a shows the interaction between a metal ion and six  $\pi$ -donor ligands; electrons are omitted from the diagram, and we return to them later. The ligand group  $\pi$ -orbitals (see [Box 20.4](#)) are filled and lie above, but relatively close to, the ligand  $\sigma$ -orbitals, and interaction with the metal  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  atomic orbitals leads to bonding ( $t_{2g}$ ) and antibonding ( $t_{2g}^*$ ) MOs. The energy separation between the  $t_{2g}^*$  and  $e_g^*$  levels corresponds to  $\Delta_{\text{Oct}}$ . Figure 20.14b shows the interaction between a metal

<sup>†</sup> For further discussion, see: A.G. Orpen and N.G. Connelly (1985) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications*, p. 1310. See also the discussion of *negative hyperconjugation* at the end of [Section 13.6](#).



**Fig. 20.13**  $\pi$ -Bond formation in a linear L–M–L unit in which the metal and ligand donor atoms lie on the  $x$  axis: (a) between metal  $d_{xz}$  and ligand  $p_z$  orbitals as for L =  $\text{I}^-$ , an example of a  $\pi$ -donor ligand; and (b) between metal  $d_{xz}$  and ligand  $\pi^*$ -orbitals as for L = CO, an example of a  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand.

ion and six  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands. The vacant ligand  $\pi^*$ -orbitals lie significantly higher in energy than the ligand  $\sigma$ -orbitals. Orbital interaction leads to bonding ( $t_{2g}$ ) and antibonding ( $t_{2g}^*$ ) MOs as before, but now the  $t_{2g}^*$  MOs are at high energy and  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  is identified as the energy separation between the  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g^*$  levels (Figure 20.14b).

Although Figures 20.12 and 20.14 are qualitative, they reveal important differences between octahedral  $[\text{ML}_6]^{n+}$  complexes containing  $\sigma$ -donor,  $\pi$ -donor and  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands:


- $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  decreases in going from a  $\sigma$ -complex to one containing  $\pi$ -donor ligands;
- for a complex with  $\pi$ -donor ligands, increased  $\pi$ -donation stabilizes the  $t_{2g}$  level and destabilizes the  $t_{2g}^*$ , thus decreasing  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ ;
- $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  values are relatively large for complexes containing  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands, and such complexes are likely to be low-spin;
- for a complex with  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands, increased  $\pi$ -acceptance stabilizes the  $t_{2g}$  level, increasing  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ .

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 20.4 The $t_{2g}$ set of ligand $\pi$ -orbitals for an octahedral complex

Figure 20.14 shows *three* ligand group  $\pi$ -orbitals and the reader may wonder how these arise from the combination of six ligands, especially since we show a simplistic view of the  $\pi$ -interactions in Figure 20.13. In an octahedral  $[\text{ML}_6]^{n+}$  complex with six  $\pi$ -donor or acceptor ligands lying on the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  axes, each ligand provides *two*  $\pi$ -orbitals, e.g. for ligands on the  $x$  axis, both  $p_y$  and  $p_z$  orbitals


are available for  $\pi$ -bonding. Now consider just one plane containing four ligands of the octahedral complex, e.g. the  $xz$  plane. Diagram (a) below shows a ligand group orbital (LGO) comprising the  $p_z$  orbitals of two ligands and the  $p_x$  orbitals of the other two. Diagram (b) shows how the LGO in (a) combines with the metal  $d_{xz}$  orbital to give a bonding MO, while (c) shows the antibonding combination.



Three LGOs of the type shown in (a) can be constructed, one in each plane, and these can, respectively, overlap with the metal  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  atomic orbitals to give the  $t_{2g}$  and  $t_{2g}^*$  MOs shown in Figure 20.14.

#### Self-study exercise

Show that, under  $O_h$  symmetry, the LGO in diagram (a) belongs to a  $t_{2g}$  set.



**Fig. 20.14** Approximate partial MO diagrams for metal–ligand  $\pi$ -bonding in an octahedral complex: (a) with  $\pi$ -donor ligands and (b) with  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands. In addition to the MOs shown,  $\sigma$ -bonding in the complex involves the  $a_{1g}$  and  $t_{1u}$  MOs (see [Figure 20.12](#)). Electrons are omitted from the diagram, because we are dealing with a general  $M^{n+}$  ion. Compared with [Figure 20.12](#), the energy scale is expanded.

The above points are consistent with the positions of the ligands in the spectrochemical series;  $\pi$ -donors such as  $I^-$  and  $Br^-$  are weak-field, while  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands such as CO and  $[CN]^-$  are strong-field ligands.

Let us complete this section by considering the occupancies of the MOs in [Figures 20.14a](#) and [20.14b](#). Six  $\pi$ -donor ligands provide 18 electrons (12  $\sigma$ - and six  $\pi$ -electrons) and these can *notionally* be considered to occupy the  $a_{1g}$ ,  $t_{1u}$ ,  $e_g$  and  $t_{2g}^*$  orbitals of the complex. The occupancy of the  $t_{2g}^*$  and  $e_g^*$

levels corresponds to the number of valence electrons of the metal ion. Six  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands provide 12 electrons (the  $\pi$ -ligand orbitals are empty) and, *formally*, we can place these in the  $a_{1g}$ ,  $t_{1u}$  and  $e_g$  orbitals of the complex. The number of electrons supplied by the metal centre then corresponds to the occupancy of the  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g^*$  levels. Since occupying *antibonding* MOs is detrimental to metal–ligand bond formation, it follows that, for example, octahedral complexes with  $\pi$ -accepting ligands will not be favoured for

metal centres with  $d^7$ ,  $d^8$ ,  $d^9$  or  $d^{10}$  configurations. This last point brings us back to some fundamental observations in experimental inorganic chemistry:  $d$ -block metal organometallic and related complexes tend to obey the *effective atomic number rule* or *18-electron rule*. We return to the 18-electron rule in [Chapter 23](#).

A low oxidation state organometallic complex contains  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands and the metal centre tends to acquire 18 electrons in its valence shell (the *18-electron rule*), thus filling the valence orbitals, e.g. Cr in  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ , Fe in  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ , and Ni in  $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$ .

### Worked example 20.2 18-Electron rule

Show that  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$  obeys the 18-electron rule.

The Cr(0) centre has six valence electrons.

Each CO ligand is a 2-electron donor.

The total electron count at the metal centre in  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6 = 6 + (6 \times 2) = 18$ .

### Self-study exercises

1. Show that the metal centre in each of the following obeys the 18-electron rule: (a)  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ ; (b)  $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$ ; (c)  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]^-$ ; (d)  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_6$ .
2. (a) How many electrons does a  $\text{PPh}_3$  ligand donate? (b) Use your answer to (a) to confirm that the Fe centre in  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4(\text{PPh}_3)$  obeys the 18-electron rule.
3. What is the oxidation state of each metal centre in the complexes in question (1)? [Ans. (a) 0; (b) 0; (c) -1; (d) 0]

In applying the 18-electron rule, one clearly needs to know the number of electrons donated by a ligand, e.g. CO is a 2-electron donor. An ambiguity arises over NO groups


## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 20.5 Octahedral versus trigonal prismatic $d^0$ and $d^1$ metal complexes

In [Section 19.7](#), we stated that there is a small group of  $d^0$  or  $d^1$  metal complexes in which the metal centre is in a trigonal prismatic (e.g.  $[\text{TaMe}_6]^-$  and  $[\text{ZrMe}_6]^{2-}$ ) or distorted trigonal prismatic (e.g.  $[\text{MoMe}_6]$  and  $[\text{WMe}_6]$ ) environment. The methyl groups in these  $d^0$  complexes form M–C  $\sigma$ -bonds, and 12 electrons are available for the bonding: one electron from each ligand and six electrons from the metal, including those from the negative charge where applicable. (In counting electrons, we assume a zero-valent metal centre, see [Section 23.3](#).) The qualitative energy level diagram on the right shows that, in a model  $\text{MH}_6$  complex with an octahedral structure, these 12 electrons occupy the  $a_{1g}$ ,  $e_g$  and  $t_{1u}$  MOs. Now consider what happens if we change the geometry of the model  $\text{MH}_6$  complex from octahedral to trigonal prismatic. The point group changes from  $O_h$  to  $D_{3h}$ , and as a consequence, the properties of the MOs change as shown in the figure. The number of electrons stays the same, but there is a net gain in energy. This stabilization explains why  $d^0$  (and also  $d^1$ ) complexes of the  $\text{MMe}_6$  type show a preference for a trigonal prismatic structure. However, the situation is further complicated because of the observation that  $[\text{MoMe}_6]$  and  $[\text{WMe}_6]$ , for example, exhibit structures with  $C_{3v}$  symmetry (i.e. distorted trigonal prismatic): three of the M–C bonds are normal but three are elongated and have smaller angles between them. This distortion can also be explained in terms of MO theory, since additional orbital stabilization for the 12-electron system is achieved with respect to the  $D_{3h}$  structure.


### Further reading

K. Seppelt (2003) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 36, p. 147 – ‘Nonoctahedral structures’.



in complexes. Nitrosyl complexes fall into two classes:

- NO as a 3-electron donor: crystallographic data show linear M–N–O (observed range  $\angle\text{M–N–O} = 165\text{--}180^\circ$ ) and short M–N and N–O bonds indicating multiple bond character; IR spectroscopic data give  $\nu(\text{NO})$  in the range  $1650\text{--}1900\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; the bonding mode is represented as **20.7** with the N atom taken to be  $sp$  hybridized.
- NO as a 1-electron donor: crystallographic data reveal a bent M–N–O group (observed range  $\angle\text{M–N–O} \approx 120\text{--}140^\circ$ ), and N–O bond length typical of a double bond; IR spectroscopic data show  $\nu(\text{NO})$  in the range  $1525\text{--}1690\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; the bonding mode is represented as **20.8** with the N atom considered as  $sp^2$  hybridized.



Although the 18-electron rule is quite widely obeyed for low oxidation state organometallic compounds containing  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands, it is useless for higher oxidation state metals. This is clear from examples of octahedral complexes cited in [Section 19.7](#), and can be rationalized in terms of the smaller energy separations between bonding and antibonding orbitals illustrated in Figures 20.12 and 20.14a compared with that in Figure 20.14b. We could extend our arguments to complexes such as  $[\text{CrO}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  showing how  $\pi$ -donor ligands help to stabilize high oxidation state complexes. However, for a valid discussion of these examples, we need to construct new MO diagrams appropriate to tetrahedral species. To do so would not provide much more insight than we have gained from considering the octahedral case, and interested readers are directed to more specialized texts.<sup>†</sup>

## 20.5 Ligand field theory

Although we shall not be concerned with the mathematics of ligand field theory, it is important to comment upon it briefly since we shall be using ligand field stabilization energies (LFSEs) later in this chapter.

*Ligand field theory* is an extension of crystal field theory which is freely parameterized rather than taking a localized field arising from point charge ligands.

<sup>†</sup> For application of MO theory to geometries other than octahedral, see Chapter 9 in: J.K. Burdett (1980) *Molecular Shapes: Theoretical Models of Inorganic Stereochemistry*, Wiley, New York.

Ligand field, like crystal field, theory is *confined* to the role of *d* orbitals, but unlike the crystal field model, the ligand field approach is *not* a purely electrostatic model. It is a freely parameterized model, and uses  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  and *Racah parameters* (to which we return later) which are obtained from electronic spectroscopic (i.e. *experimental*) data. Most importantly, although (as we showed in the last section) it is possible to approach the bonding in *d*-block metal complexes by using molecular orbital theory, it is *incorrect* to state that ligand field theory is simply the application of MO theory.<sup>‡</sup>

## 20.6 Electronic spectra

### Spectral features

A characteristic feature of many *d*-block metal complexes is their colours, which arise because they absorb light in the visible region (see [Figure 20.4](#)). Studies of electronic spectra of metal complexes provide information about structure and bonding, although interpretation of the spectra is not always straightforward. Absorptions arise from transitions between electronic energy levels:

- transitions between metal-centred orbitals possessing *d*-character (*'d-d'* transitions);
- transitions between metal- and ligand-centred MOs which transfer charge from metal to ligand or ligand to metal.

Charge transfer (CT) gives rise to intense absorptions, whereas *'d-d'* bands are much weaker. In some spectra, CT absorptions mask bands due to *'d-d'* transitions, although CT absorptions (as well as ligand-centred  $n\text{-}\pi^*$  and  $\pi\text{-}\pi^*$  bands) often occur at higher energies than *'d-d'* absorptions.


MLCT = metal-to-ligand charge transfer  
LMCT = ligand-to-metal charge transfer

$$\bar{\nu} = \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{\nu}{c}$$

400 nm corresponds to  $25\,000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; 200 nm corresponds to  $50\,000\text{ cm}^{-1}$

Absorption bands in electronic spectra are usually broad; the absorption of a photon of light occurs in  $\approx 10^{-18}\text{ s}$  whereas molecular vibrations and rotations occur more slowly. Therefore, an electronic transition is a 'snapshot' of

<sup>‡</sup> For a more detailed introduction to ligand field theory, see: M. Gerloch and E.C. Constable (1994) *Transition Metal Chemistry: The Valence Shell in d-Block Chemistry*, VCH, Weinheim, pp. 117–120; also see the further reading list at the end of the chapter.



**Fig. 20.15** Absorptions in the electronic spectrum of a molecule or molecular ion are often broad, and cover a range of wavelengths. The absorption is characterized by values of  $\lambda_{\max}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\max}$  (see [equation 20.8](#)).

a molecule in a particular vibrational and rotational state, and it follows that the electronic spectrum will record a range of energies corresponding to different vibrational and rotational states. Absorption bands are described in terms of  $\lambda_{\max}$  corresponding to the absorption maximum  $A_{\max}$  (Figure 20.15); the wavelength,  $\lambda_{\max}$ , is usually given in nm, but the position of the absorption may also be described in terms of wavenumbers,  $\bar{\nu}$  ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ). The molar extinction coefficient (or molar absorptivity)  $\varepsilon_{\max}$  of an absorption must also be quoted;  $\varepsilon_{\max}$  indicates how intense an absorption is and is related to  $A_{\max}$  by equation 20.8 where  $c$  is the concentration of the solution and  $\ell$  is the path-length (in cm) of the spectrometer cell.

$$\varepsilon_{\max} = \frac{A_{\max}}{c \times \ell} \quad (\varepsilon_{\max} \text{ in } \text{dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}) \quad (20.8)$$

Values of  $\varepsilon_{\max}$  range from close to zero (a very weak absorption) to  $>10\,000 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (an intense absorption).

Some important points (for which explanations will be given later in the section) are that the electronic spectra of:

- $d^1$ ,  $d^4$ ,  $d^6$  and  $d^9$  complexes consist of one absorption;
- $d^2$ ,  $d^3$ ,  $d^7$  and  $d^8$  complexes consist of three absorptions;
- $d^5$  complexes consist of a series of very weak, relatively sharp absorptions.

**Table 20.4** Typical  $\varepsilon_{\max}$  values for electronic absorptions; a large  $\varepsilon_{\max}$  corresponds to an intense absorption and, if the absorption is in the visible region, a highly coloured complex.

| Type of transition                        | Typical $\varepsilon_{\max} / \text{dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ | Example  |
|---|---|--|
| Spin-forbidden ' $d-d$ '                  | <1  | $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ (high-spin $d^5$ )                        |
| Laporte-forbidden, spin-allowed ' $d-d$ ' | 1–10  | Centrosymmetric complexes, e.g. $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ ( $d^1$ ) |
|   | 10–1000   | Non-centrosymmetric complexes, e.g. $[\text{NiCl}_4]^{2-}$                         |
| Charge transfer (fully allowed)           | 1000–50 000   | $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$   |

## Selection rules

Electronic transitions obey the following selection rules.

*Spin selection rule:*  $\Delta S = 0$

Transitions may occur from singlet to singlet, or triplet to triplet states and so on, but a change in spin multiplicity is *forbidden*.

*Laporte selection rule:* There must be a change in parity:

allowed transitions:  $g \leftrightarrow u$

forbidden transitions:  $g \leftrightarrow g$      $u \leftrightarrow u$

This leads to the selection rule:

$$\Delta l = \pm 1$$

and, thus, *allowed* transitions are  $s \rightarrow p$ ,  $p \rightarrow d$ ,  $d \rightarrow f$ ; *forbidden* transitions are  $s \rightarrow s$ ,  $p \rightarrow p$ ,  $d \rightarrow d$ ,  $f \rightarrow f$ ,  $s \rightarrow d$ ,  $p \rightarrow f$  etc.

Since these selection rules *must* be *strictly obeyed*, why do many  $d$ -block metal complexes exhibit ' $d-d$ ' bands in their electronic spectra?

A spin-forbidden transition becomes 'allowed' if, for example, a singlet state mixes to some extent with a triplet state. This is possible by *spin-orbit coupling* (see [Box 20.6](#)) but for first row metals, the degree of mixing is small and so bands associated with 'spin-forbidden' transitions are very weak (Table 20.4). Spin-allowed ' $d-d$ ' transitions remain Laporte-forbidden and their observation is explained by a mechanism called '*vibronic coupling*'. An octahedral complex possesses a centre of symmetry, but molecular vibrations result in its temporary loss. At an instant when the molecule does *not* possess a centre of symmetry, mixing of  $d$  and  $p$  orbitals can occur. Since the lifetime of the vibration ( $\approx 10^{-13}$  s) is longer than that of an electronic transition ( $\approx 10^{-18}$  s), a ' $d-d$ ' transition involving an orbital of mixed  $pd$  character can occur although the absorption is still relatively weak (Table 20.4). In a molecule which is non-centrosymmetric (e.g. tetrahedral),  $p-d$  mixing can occur to a greater extent and so the probability of ' $d-d$ ' transitions is greater than in a centrosymmetric complex. This leads to tetrahedral complexes being more intensely coloured than octahedral complexes.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 20.6 Term symbols for free atoms and ions

This box provides an introduction to term symbols for free atoms and ions; for the most part, use of these symbols is confined to this chapter. In *Section 1.7*, we showed how to assign a set of quantum numbers to a given electron. For many purposes, this level of discussion is adequate. However, for an understanding of term symbols, a more detailed study is required; before studying this background information, readers may wish to review *Box 1.5*.

## Quantum numbers for multi-electron species

Consider *worked example 1.7*; in the answer, we ignored a complication. In assigning quantum numbers to the four  $2p$  electrons, how do we distinguish between the possibilities of placing the last electron in an orbital with  $m_l = +1, 0$  or  $-1$ ? This, and related questions, can be answered only by considering the interaction of electrons, primarily by means of the *coupling* of magnetic fields generated by their spin or orbital motion: hence the importance of spin and orbital angular momentum (see *Section 1.6*).

As we have seen, for any system containing more than one electron, the energy of an electron in a shell of principal quantum number  $n$  depends on the value of  $l$  and this also determines the orbital angular momentum of the electrons:

$$\text{orbital angular momentum} = \left[ \sqrt{l(l+1)} \right] \frac{h}{2\pi}$$

We assume that the energy of a multi-electron species and its orbital angular momentum is determined by a *resultant orbital quantum number*,  $L$ , which is obtained directly from the values of  $l$  for the individual electrons; since the orbital angular momentum has magnitude *and*  $(2l+1)$  spatial orientations (the number of values of  $m_l$ ), *vectorial* summation of individual  $l$  values is necessary. Since the value of  $m_l$  for any electron denotes the component of its orbital angular momentum along the  $z$  axis,  $m_l(h/2\pi)$ , algebraic summation of  $m_l$  values for individual electrons gives the resultant orbital magnetic quantum number  $M_L$  and the component of the resultant orbital angular momentum along the  $z$  axis  $M_L(h/2\pi)$ . Just as  $m_l$  may have the  $(2l+1)$  values  $l, (l-1) \dots 0 \dots -(l-1), -l$ , so  $M_L$  may have the  $(2L+1)$  values  $L, (L-1) \dots 0 \dots -(L-1), -L$ , and if, for the multi-electron system, we can find all possible values of  $M_L$ , this tells us the value of  $L$ . Energy states for which  $L = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots$  are known as  $S, P, D, F, G \dots$  terms respectively, the letters corresponding to  $s, p, d, f, g \dots$  used to denote orbitals for which  $l = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots$  in the one-electron case. The resultant orbital angular momentum is given by:

$$\text{orbital angular momentum for a multi-electron species} = \left[ \sqrt{L(L+1)} \right] \frac{h}{2\pi}$$

The resultant spin quantum number,  $S$ , denotes the resultant spin angular momentum:

$$\text{spin angular momentum for a multi-electron species} = \left[ \sqrt{S(S+1)} \right] \frac{h}{2\pi}$$

$M_S$  is obtained by algebraic summation of the  $m_s$  values for individual electrons. One electron with  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  obviously has  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  with  $M_S = +\frac{1}{2}$  or  $-\frac{1}{2}$ ;  $M_S$  for the multi-electron system is analogous to  $m_s$  for the one-electron species. Two electrons lead to  $S = 0$  ( $m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$  and  $-\frac{1}{2}$  giving  $M_S = 0$ ) or  $S = 1$  ( $m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$  and  $+\frac{1}{2}$ , or  $+\frac{1}{2}$  and  $-\frac{1}{2}$ , or  $-\frac{1}{2}$  and  $-\frac{1}{2}$  giving  $M_S = 1, 0$  or  $-1$ ). In general, for any value of  $S$ , there are  $(2S+1)$  values of  $M_S$ :  $S, (S-1) \dots 0 \dots -(S-1), -S$ .

The quantity  $(2S+1)$  is the *multiplicity* of the term where  $S$  is the total spin quantum number. Terms for which  $(2S+1) = 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots$  (corresponding to  $S = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$ ) are called *singlets, doublets, triplets, quartets* ...

The use of  $S$  for the resultant spin quantum number, a term for which  $L = 0$ , and screening constants is unfortunate, but is firmly established and in practice rarely causes confusion.

Finally, we have the resultant inner quantum number,  $J$ , also called the total angular momentum quantum number since the total angular momentum is given by:

$$\text{total angular momentum for a multi-electron species} = \left[ \sqrt{J(J+1)} \right] \frac{h}{2\pi}$$

where  $J$  is compounded vectorially from  $L$  and  $S$ , i.e. algebraically from  $M_L$  and  $M_S$ . Quantum number  $J$  can take values  $(L+S), (L+S-1) \dots |L-S|$ , the last symbol denoting the modulus of the quantity (i.e. only the magnitude, and not the sign is involved). Like  $j$  (see *Box 1.5*) for a single electron,  $J$  for the multi-electron system must be positive or zero. There are thus  $(2S+1)$  possible values of  $J$  for  $S < L$ , and  $(2L+1)$  possible values for  $L < S$ .

This method of obtaining  $J$  from  $L$  and  $S$  is based on *LS* (or *Russell-Saunders*) *coupling*. Although it is the only form of coupling of orbital and spin angular momentum that we shall consider in this book, it is not valid for all elements (especially those with high atomic numbers). In an alternative method of coupling,  $l$  and  $s$  for all the individual electrons are first combined to give  $j$ , and the individual  $j$  values are combined in a *j-j coupling* scheme.

## Term symbols

If we know  $(2S+1)$ ,  $L$  and  $J$  for an energy state, we can write the full *term symbol*. This is done by writing the symbol of the value of  $L$  (i.e.  $S, P, D \dots$ ) with the value of  $(2S+1)$  as a left-superscript and the value of  $J$  as a right-subscript. Thus, the electronic ground state of carbon is  $^3P_0$  ('triplet P zero') denoting  $L = 1$ ,  $(2S+1) = 3$  (i.e.  $S = 1$ ) and  $J = 0$ . Different values of  $J$  denote different

levels within the term, i.e.  $(2S+1)L_{J_1}, (2S+1)L_{J_2} \dots$ , the levels having different energies. Inorganic chemists often omit the value of  $J$  and refer to a  $(2S+1)L$  term; we shall usually follow this practice in this book.

Now we look in detail at the electronic ground states of atoms with  $Z = 1$  to 10.

### Hydrogen ( $Z = 1$ )

A hydrogen atom has an electronic configuration of  $1s^1$ ; for the electron,  $l = 0$  so  $L$  must be 0 and, therefore, we have an  $S$  term. The total spin quantum number  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  so  $(2S + 1) = 2$  (a doublet term). The only possible value of  $J$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and so the term symbol for the hydrogen atom is  $^2S_{1/2}$ .

### Helium ( $Z = 2$ )

For helium ( $1s^2$ ), both electrons have  $l = 0$ , so  $L = 0$ . Two electrons both with  $n = 1$  and  $l = 0$  must have  $m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$  and  $-\frac{1}{2}$ , so  $S = 0$  and  $(2S + 1) = 1$  (a singlet term). The only value of  $J$  is 0, and so the term symbol is  $^1S_0$ . Thus, the  $ns^2$  configuration, having  $L = 0$ ,  $S = 0$  and  $J = 0$ , will contribute nothing to the term symbol in lithium and later atoms. The same conclusion can be drawn for any  $np^6$  configuration and the reader is left to confirm this statement.

### Lithium ( $Z = 3$ ) and beryllium ( $Z = 4$ )

Atomic lithium has the electronic configuration  $1s^2 2s^1$ , and its term symbol is the same as that for hydrogen,  $^2S_{1/2}$ . Similarly, the term symbol for beryllium ( $1s^2 2s^2$ ) is the same as that for helium,  $^1S_0$ .

### Boron ( $Z = 5$ )

For boron ( $1s^2 2s^2 2p^1$ ) we need only consider the  $p$  electron for reasons outlined above. For this,  $l = 1$  so  $L = 1$  (a  $P$  term);  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  and so  $(2S + 1) = 2$  (a doublet term).  $J$  can take values  $(L + S), (L + S - 1) \dots |L - S|$ , and so  $J = \frac{3}{2}$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The term symbol for boron may be  $^2P_{3/2}$  or  $^2P_{1/2}$ .

### Carbon ( $Z = 6$ )

For carbon ( $1s^2 2s^2 2p^2$ ), only the  $p$  electrons need be considered, and each has  $l = 1$ . Values of  $m_l$  may be  $+1, 0$  or  $-1$ , and the algebraic sum of  $m_l$  for the individual electrons gives values of  $L = 2, 1$  or  $0$  ( $D, P$  or  $S$  terms respectively). The two electrons may be spin-paired or have parallel spins and so  $S = 0$  or  $1$ , giving  $(2S + 1) = 1$  (singlet term) or  $3$  (triplet term). It might seem that  $J$  could be  $3, 2, 1$  or  $0$ , but this is not so. If, for example, the two electrons each have  $n = 2, l = 1$  and  $m_l = 1$  (giving  $L = 2$ ), they cannot both have  $m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$  as this would violate Pauli's principle. The only allowed combinations of  $m_l$  and  $m_s$  (and corresponding values of  $M_L$  and  $M_S$ ) for two  $p$  electrons with the same value of  $n$  are shown in the table; such combinations are called *microstates*.

Table: Microstates for two electrons in an  $np$  level: values of  $m_l$  and  $m_s$  (represented as paired or unpaired electrons) and resultant values of  $M_L, M_S$  and  $M_J$ .

| $m_l = +1$           | $m_l = 0$            | $m_l = -1$           | $M_L$ | $M_S$ | $M_J$ |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| $\uparrow\downarrow$ |                      |                      | 2     | 0     | 2     |
|                      | $\uparrow\downarrow$ |                      | 0     | 0     | 0     |
|                      |                      | $\uparrow\downarrow$ | -2    | 0     | -2    |
| $\uparrow$           | $\uparrow$           |                      | 1     | 1     | 2     |
| $\uparrow$           |                      | $\uparrow$           | 0     | 1     | 1     |
|                      | $\uparrow$           | $\uparrow$           | -1    | 1     | 0     |
| $\downarrow$         | $\downarrow$         |                      | 1     | -1    | 0     |
| $\downarrow$         |                      | $\downarrow$         | 0     | -1    | -1    |
|                      | $\downarrow$         | $\downarrow$         | -1    | -1    | -2    |
| $\uparrow$           | $\downarrow$         |                      | 1     | 0     | 1     |
| $\downarrow$         | $\uparrow$           |                      | 1     | 0     | 1     |
| $\uparrow$           |                      | $\downarrow$         | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| $\downarrow$         |                      | $\uparrow$           | 0     | 0     | 0     |
|                      | $\uparrow$           | $\downarrow$         | -1    | 0     | -1    |
|                      | $\downarrow$         | $\uparrow$           | -1    | 0     | -1    |

Inspection of the table reveals the following:

- the 15 microstates can be grouped into three sets, with the proviso that no set can contain a repetition;
- there is a set of five microstates with  $M_L = 2, 1, 0, -1, -2$  and  $M_S = 0$  (and thus  $M_J = 2, 1, 0, -1, -2$ ) corresponding to  $L = 1$  and a  $D$  term; moreover, since  $S = 0$  (singlet) and  $J = 2$ , the term symbol is  $^1D_2$ ;
- there is a set of nine microstates with  $M_L = 1, 0, -1$  and  $M_S = 1, 0, -1$  which can be assigned the term  $^3P$  (because  $L = 1$  and  $S = 1$ );
- further examination of this last set of microstates reveals that it can be subdivided into a set of five with  $J = 2$  (term symbol  $^3P_2$ ), a set of three with  $J = 1$  ( $^3P_1$ ), and a single entry with  $J = 0$  ( $^3P_0$ );
- one entry in the table remains unaccounted for and has  $M_L = 0, M_S = 0$  and  $M_J = 0$ , corresponding to the term  $^1S_0$ .

We have, of course, no means of telling which entry with  $M_L = 0$  and  $M_S = 0$  should be assigned to which term (or similarly, how entries with  $M_L = 1$  and  $M_S = 0$ , or  $M_L = -1$  and  $M_S = 0$  should be assigned). Indeed, it is *not* meaningful to do so.

Of the five terms that we have denoted for carbon ( $^1D_2, ^3P_2, ^3P_1, ^3P_0$  and  $^1S_0$ ), the one with the lowest energy is  $^3P_0$  and this is the electronic ground state. The others are excited states; notice that *Hund's rules do not always apply to excited states*.

### Nitrogen to neon ( $Z = 7-10$ )

A similar treatment for the nitrogen atom shows that the  $2p^3$  configuration gives rise to  $^4S, ^2P$  and  $^2D$  terms. For the  $2p^4$  configuration (oxygen), we introduce a useful simplification by considering it as  $2p^6$  plus two positrons which annihilate two of the electrons. Since positrons differ from electrons only in charge, the terms arising from the  $np^4$  and  $np^2$

configurations are the same. Similarly,  $np^5$  is equivalent to  $np^1$ . This positron or *positive hole* concept is very useful and can be extended to  $nd$  configurations.

### Relative energies of terms and levels

In regard to relative energies of terms, we state all of Hund's rules in a formal way. It is found from analysis of spectroscopic data that, provided that Russell–Saunders coupling holds:


- the term having the highest spin multiplicity (highest value of  $S$ ) is the most stable (lowest energy);
- if two or more terms have the same value of  $S$ , the term having the higher value of  $L$  is the more stable;
- for all terms having the same values of  $S$  and  $L$ , the level with the lowest value of  $J$  is the most stable if the sub-shell is less than half-filled, and the level with the highest value of  $J$  is most stable if the sub-shell is more than half-filled

Charge transfer transitions are allowed by the selection rules, and as a result, the intensities of CT absorptions are much greater than those of 'd–d' bands (Table 20.4).

### Worked example 20.3 Spin-allowed and spin-forbidden transitions


**Explain why an electronic transition for high-spin  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  is spin-forbidden, but for  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  is spin-allowed.**

$[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  is high-spin  $d^5$  Mn(II):



A transition from a  $t_{2g}$  to  $e_g$  orbital is impossible without breaking the spin selection rule:  $\Delta S = 0$ , which means that  $S$  must remain the same.

$[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  is a high-spin  $d^7$  Co(II) complex:



A transition from a  $t_{2g}$  to  $e_g$  orbital can occur without violating the spin selection rule.

NB: Transitions in both complexes are Laporte-forbidden.

### Self-study exercises

1. Write down the spin selection rule. [Ans. see text]
2. What is the  $d^n$  configuration and the spin multiplicity of the ground state of a (a)  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  and (b)  $\text{V}^{3+}$  ion?  
[Ans. (a)  $d^1$ ; doublet; (b)  $d^2$ ; triplet]

(if the sub-shell is half-filled and  $S$  has the highest possible value,  $L = 0$  and  $J = S$ ).

Thus for the terms corresponding to the electronic configuration  $np^2$  ( $^1D_2$ ,  $^3P_2$ ,  $^3P_1$ ,  $^3P_0$  and  $^1S_0$ , see above), that of lowest energy is  $^3P$  and the level of lowest energy is  $^3P_0$ .

### Further reading

For a detailed, but readable, account of state symbols which includes *j–j coupling*, see: M. Gerloch (1986) *Orbitals, Terms and States*, Wiley, Chichester.

A good introduction to term symbols is included in Chapter 13 of: P. Atkins and J. de Paula (2002) *Atkins' Physical Chemistry*, 7th edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford.


A related topic not covered in this book is term symbols for diatomic molecules; an extremely good summary is: M.L. Campbell (1996) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 73, p. 749.

3. Why is a transition from a  $t_{2g}$  to  $e_g$  orbital spin allowed in  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ ? [Ans. triplet to triplet; see question 1]

## Electronic spectra of octahedral and tetrahedral complexes

Electronic spectroscopy is a complicated topic and we shall restrict our discussion to high-spin complexes. The spectrum of  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  (Figure 20.4) exhibits one broad band; close inspection shows the presence of a shoulder indicating that the absorption is actually two closely spaced bands arising from a Jahn–Teller effect in the excited state. The term symbol for the ground state of  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  ( $d^1$ , one electron for which  $m_l = 2$ ,  $L = 2$ ,  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ ) is  $^2D$ . In an octahedral field, this is split into  $^2T_{2g}$  and  $^2E_g$  terms separated by energy  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ , the magnitude of which increases with increasing field strength (Figure 20.16). The electronic spectrum of  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  arises from a transition from the  $T_{2g}$  to  $E_g$  term; the energy of the transition depends on the field strength of the ligands in the octahedral  $\text{Ti}(\text{III})$  complex.


For the  $d^9$  configuration (e.g.  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ) in an octahedral field (actually, a rare occurrence because of Jahn–Teller effects which lower the symmetry), the ground state of the free ion ( $^2D$ ) is again split into  $^2T_{2g}$  and  $^2E_g$  terms, but, in contrast to the  $d^1$  ion (Figure 20.16), the  $^2E_g$  term is lower than the  $^2T_{2g}$  term. The  $d^9$  and  $d^1$  configurations are related by a *positive hole* concept:  $d^9$  is derived from a  $d^{10}$  configuration by replacing one electron by a positive hole; thus, whereas the  $d^1$  configuration contains one electron,  $d^9$  contains one 'hole' (see [Box 20.6](#)). For a  $d^9$  ion in an octahedral field, the splitting diagram is an inversion of that for the octahedral  $d^1$  ion. This relationship is shown in Figure 20.17 (an *Orgel diagram*) where the right-hand side describes the octahedral  $d^1$  case and the left-hand side, the octahedral  $d^9$  ion.



**Fig. 20.16** Energy level diagram for a  $d^1$  ion in an octahedral field.

Just as there is a relationship between the  $d^1$  and  $d^9$  configurations, there is a similar relationship between the  $d^4$  and  $d^6$  configurations. Further, we can relate the four configurations in an octahedral field as follows. In the weak-field limit, a  $d^5$  ion is high-spin and spherically symmetric, and in this latter regard,  $d^0$ ,  $d^5$  and  $d^{10}$  configurations are analogous. Addition of one electron to the high-spin  $d^5$  ion to give a  $d^6$  configuration mimics going from a  $d^0$  to  $d^1$  configuration; likewise, going from  $d^5$  to  $d^4$  by adding a positive hole mimics going from  $d^{10}$  to  $d^9$ . The result is that the Orgel diagrams for octahedral  $d^1$  and  $d^6$  ions are the same, as are the diagrams for octahedral  $d^4$  and  $d^9$  (Figure 20.17).

Figure 20.17 also shows that the diagram for a  $d^1$  or  $d^9$  ion is inverted by going from an octahedral to tetrahedral field. Because the Orgel diagram uses a single representation for



**Fig. 20.17** Orgel diagram for  $d^1$ ,  $d^4$  (high-spin),  $d^6$  (high-spin) and  $d^9$  ions in octahedral (for which  $T_{2g}$  and  $E_g$  labels are relevant) and tetrahedral ( $E$  and  $T_2$  labels) fields. In contrast to Figure 20.16, multiplicities are not stated because they depend on the  $d^n$  configuration.

octahedral and tetrahedral fields, it is not possible to indicate that  $\Delta_{\text{tet}} = \frac{4}{9}\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ . Tetrahedral  $d^4$  and  $d^6$  ions can also be represented on the same Orgel diagram.


Finally, Figure 20.17 shows that for each of the octahedral and tetrahedral  $d^1$ ,  $d^4$ ,  $d^6$  and  $d^9$  ions, only one electronic transition (see [Box 20.3](#)) from a ground to excited state is possible:

- for octahedral  $d^1$  and  $d^6$ , the transition is  $E_g \leftarrow T_{2g}$
- for octahedral  $d^4$  and  $d^9$ , the transition is  $T_{2g} \leftarrow E_g$
- for tetrahedral  $d^1$  and  $d^6$ , the transition is  $T_2 \leftarrow E$
- for tetrahedral  $d^4$  and  $d^9$ , the transition is  $E \leftarrow T_2$

Each transition is *spin-allowed* (no change in total spin,  $S$ ) and the electronic spectrum of each ion exhibits one absorption. For sake of completeness, the notation for the transitions given above should include spin multiplicities,  $2S + 1$  (see [Box 20.6](#)), e.g. for octahedral  $d^1$ , the notation is  ${}^2E_g \leftarrow {}^2T_{2g}$ , and for high-spin, octahedral  $d^4$ ,  ${}^5T_{2g} \leftarrow {}^5E_g$ .

In an analogous manner to grouping  $d^1$ ,  $d^4$ ,  $d^6$  and  $d^9$  ions, we can consider together  $d^2$ ,  $d^3$ ,  $d^7$  and  $d^8$  ions in octahedral and tetrahedral fields. Two terms arise for the  $d^2$  ion:  ${}^3F$  (ground state) and  ${}^3P$  (excited state); deriving terms from a table of microstates is dealt with in the next subsection. In an octahedral field, the  ${}^3P$  term does not split, and is labelled  ${}^3T_{1g}$ . The  ${}^3F$  term splits into  ${}^3T_{1g}$ ,  ${}^3T_{2g}$  and  ${}^3A_{2g}$  terms. The  ${}^3T_{1g}(F)$  term corresponds to a  $t_{2g}^2 e_g^0$  arrangement and is triply degenerate because there are three ways of placing two electrons (with parallel spins) in any two of the  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  orbitals. The  ${}^3A_{2g}$  term corresponds to  $t_{2g}^0 e_g^2$  arrangement (singly degenerate). The  ${}^3T_{2g}$  and  ${}^3T_{1g}(P)$  terms equate with a  $t_{2g}^1 e_g^1$  configuration; the lower energy  ${}^3T_{2g}$  term arises from placing two electrons in orbitals lying in mutually perpendicular planes, e.g.  $(d_{xy})^1(d_{z^2})^1$ , while the higher energy  ${}^3T_{1g}(P)$  term arises from placing two electrons in orbitals lying in the same plane e.g.  $(d_{xy})^1(d_{x^2-y^2})^1$ . The energies of the  ${}^3T_{1g}(F)$ ,  ${}^3T_{2g}$ ,  ${}^3A_{2g}$  and  ${}^3T_{1g}(P)$  terms are shown on the right-hand side of Figure 20.18; note the effect of increasing field strength. Starting from this diagram and using the same arguments as for the  $d^1$ ,  $d^4$ ,  $d^6$  and  $d^9$  ions, we can derive the complete Orgel diagram shown in Figure 20.18. At increased field strengths, the lines describing the  $T_{1g}(F)$  and  $T_{1g}(P)$  terms (or  $T_1$ , depending on whether we are dealing with octahedral or tetrahedral cases) curve away from one another; there is interaction between terms of the same symmetry and they are not allowed to cross (the *non-crossing rule*). From Figure 20.18, we can see why three absorptions are observed in the electronic spectra of  $d^2$ ,  $d^3$ ,  $d^7$  and  $d^8$  octahedral and tetrahedral complexes; the transitions are from the ground to excited states, and are all spin-allowed. Transitions are possible from one excited state to another, but their probability is so low that they can be ignored. Figure 20.19 illustrates spectra for octahedral nickel(II) ( $d^8$ ) complexes.

For the high-spin  $d^5$  configuration, all transitions are *spin-forbidden* and ‘ $d-d$ ’ transitions that are observed are between



**Fig. 20.18** Orgel diagram for  $d^2$ ,  $d^3$ ,  $d^7$  and  $d^8$  ions (high-spin) in octahedral (for which  $T_{1g}$ ,  $T_{2g}$  and  $A_{2g}$  labels are relevant) and tetrahedral ( $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $A_2$  labels) fields. Multiplicities are not stated because they depend on the  $d^n$  configuration, e.g. for the octahedral  $d^2$  ion,  $^3T_{1g}$ ,  $^3T_{2g}$  and  $^3A_{2g}$  labels are appropriate.

the  $^6S$  ground state and quartet states (three unpaired electrons). Associated absorptions are extremely weak.

For a proper interpretation of electronic spectral features, interelectronic interaction must be taken into account and parameters additional to  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  are needed to quantify the description of the spectrum. There are *Racah parameters* which we shall meet again when we describe Tanabe–Sugano diagrams. The evaluation of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  from electronic spectra is, therefore, more difficult for  $d^2$  (and related  $d^n$ ) than for  $d^1$  (and  $d^6$  etc.) ions and some uncertainty is often associated with reported values.


### Worked example 20.4 Electronic spectra

The electronic spectrum of an aqueous solution of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  exhibits broad absorptions with  $\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 325$ , 550 and 900 nm. (a) Suggest assignments for the electronic transitions. (b) Which bands are in the visible region?

(a)  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  is a Ni(II),  $d^8$  complex. From the Orgel diagram in Figure 20.18 the three transitions can be assigned; lowest wavelength corresponds to highest energy transition:

900 nm assigned to  $^3T_{2g} \leftarrow ^3A_{2g}$   
 550 nm assigned to  $^3T_{1g}(F) \leftarrow ^3A_{2g}$   
 325 nm assigned to  $^3T_{1g}(P) \leftarrow ^3A_{2g}$

(b) Visible region spans  $\approx 400$ –750 nm, so only the 550 nm absorption falls in this range (see Table 19.2).



**Fig. 20.19** Electronic spectra of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ( $0.101 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) and  $[\text{Ni}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  ( $0.315 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  in aqueous  $\text{NH}_3$  solution) showing three absorption bands. Values of the molar absorptivity,  $\epsilon$ , are related to absorbance by the Beer–Lambert Law (equation 20.8). [This figure is based on data provided by Christian Reber; see: M. Triest, G. Bussière, H. Bélisle and C. Reber (2000) *J. Chem. Ed.*, vol. 77, p. 670; <http://jchemed.chem.wisc.edu/JCEWWW/Articles/JCENi/JCENi.html>]

### Self-study exercises

- Of the three absorptions in  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$ , which is closest to the UV end of the spectrum? [Ans. Look at Appendix 4]
- Does the notation  $^3T_{2g} \leftarrow ^3A_{2g}$  indicate an absorption or emission band? [Ans. Look at Box 20.3]
- Why are the three transitions for  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  (a) spin-allowed, and (b) Laporte-forbidden?

### Microstates

In discussing the spectra of octahedral  $d^2$  complexes, we have emphasized the physical principles underlying the number of bands in the electronic spectrum. We now present a more formal treatment involving the construction of a table of microstates (see Box 20.6). Table 20.5 shows all possible combinations of two unpaired  $d$  electrons; for parallel spins, the terms must be triplets ( $S = 1$ ) and in addition to the  $^3F$  ground state, the only excited state is the  $^3P$ . It can be shown from group theory that in an octahedral field,  $D$ ,  $F$  and  $G$ , but not  $S$  and  $P$ , terms split.

| Term | Components in an octahedral field |
|------|-----------------------------------|
| $S$  | $A_{1g}$                          |
| $P$  | $T_{1g}$                          |
| $D$  | $E_g + T_{2g}$                    |
| $F$  | $A_{2g} + T_{2g} + T_{1g}$        |
| $G$  | $A_{1g} + E_g + T_{2g} + T_{1g}$  |

**Table 20.5** Table of microstates for two electrons in an  $nd$  level ( $l = 2$ ): values of  $m_l$  (read down each column) and resultant values of  $M_L$ ; each electron has  $m_s = \frac{1}{2}$ . The microstates are grouped so as to show the values of  $M_L$  corresponding to  ${}^3F$  and  ${}^3P$  terms.

|       |                                      |    |    |   |    |    |                                     |    |   |    |
|-------|--------------------------------------|----|----|---|----|----|-------------------------------------|----|---|----|
| $m_l$ | -2                                   |    |    | ↑ |    | ↑  | ↑                                   |    |   | ↑  |
|       | -1                                   |    |    | ↑ | ↑  | ↑  |                                     | ↑  |   | ↑  |
|       | 0                                    |    | ↑  |   | ↑  | ↑  |                                     | ↑  |   | ↑  |
|       | +1                                   | ↑  |    |   |    |    |                                     | ↑  | ↑ | ↑  |
|       | +2                                   | ↑  | ↑  | ↑ | ↑  |    |                                     |    |   | ↑  |
| $M_L$ | +3                                   | +2 | +1 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3                                  | +1 | 0 | -1 |
|       | $\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{{}^3F}$ |    |    |   |    |    | $\underbrace{\hspace{6em}}_{{}^3P}$ |    |   |    |

Similar splittings occur in a tetrahedral field, but the  $g$  labels given above are no longer applicable. For a  $d^2$  ion in an octahedral field, the possible triplet terms are therefore  ${}^3A_{2g}$ ,  ${}^3T_{2g}$  and  ${}^3T_{1g}$  derived from the triplet  ${}^3F$  ground term, and the  ${}^3T_1$  derived from the triplet  ${}^3P$  excited term.

### Tanabe–Sugano diagrams

A more advanced treatment of the energies of electronic states is found in *Tanabe–Sugano diagrams*. The energy of the ground state is taken to be zero for all field strengths, and the energies of all other terms and their components are plotted with respect to the ground term; if there is a change in ground term as the field strength increases, a discontinuity appears in the diagram. Figure 20.20 shows the Tanabe–Sugano diagram for the  $d^2$  configuration in an octahedral field; notice that the energy and field strength are both expressed in terms of the Racah parameter  $B$ . Racah parameters take into account interelectronic repulsion: there are three parameters  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$ , although we shall only mention  $B$ . Application of Tanabe–Sugano diagrams is illustrated in worked example 20.5.

#### Worked example 20.5 Application of Tanabe–Sugano diagrams

**Aqueous solutions of  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  show absorptions at 17 200 and 25 600  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  assigned to the  ${}^3T_{2g} \leftarrow {}^3T_{1g}(F)$  and  ${}^3T_{1g}(P) \leftarrow {}^3T_{1g}(F)$  transitions. Estimate values of  $B$  and  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  for  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ .**

$[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  is a  $d^2$  ion and the Tanabe–Sugano diagram in Figure 20.20 is therefore appropriate. An important point to recognize is that with the diagram provided, only approximate values of  $B$  and  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  can be obtained.

Let the transition energies be  $E_2 = 25\,600\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $E_1 = 17\,200\text{ cm}^{-1}$ .


Values of transition energies cannot be read directly from the Tanabe–Sugano diagram, but ratios of energies can be

obtained since:

$$\frac{\left(\frac{E_2}{B}\right)}{\left(\frac{E_1}{B}\right)} = \frac{E_2}{E_1}$$

From the observed absorption data:

$$\frac{E_2}{E_1} = \frac{25\,600}{17\,200} = 1.49$$



**Fig. 20.20** Tanabe–Sugano diagram for the  $d^2$  configuration in an octahedral field.

We now proceed by trial and error, looking for the value of  $\frac{\Delta_{\text{oct}}}{B}$  which corresponds to a ratio

$$\frac{\left(\frac{E_2}{B}\right)}{\left(\frac{E_1}{B}\right)} = 1.49$$

Trial points:

$$\text{when } \frac{\Delta_{\text{oct}}}{B} = 20, \quad \frac{\left(\frac{E_2}{B}\right)}{\left(\frac{E_1}{B}\right)} \approx \frac{32}{18} = 1.78$$

$$\text{when } \frac{\Delta_{\text{oct}}}{B} = 30, \quad \frac{\left(\frac{E_2}{B}\right)}{\left(\frac{E_1}{B}\right)} \approx \frac{41}{28} = 1.46$$

$$\text{when } \frac{\Delta_{\text{oct}}}{B} = 29, \quad \frac{\left(\frac{E_2}{B}\right)}{\left(\frac{E_1}{B}\right)} \approx \frac{40.0}{26.9} = 1.49$$

This is an *approximate* answer but we are now able to estimate  $B$  and  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  as follows:

- when  $\frac{\Delta_{\text{oct}}}{B} = 29$ , we have  $\frac{E_2}{B} \approx 40.0$ , and since  $E_2 = 25\,600\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $B \approx 640\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;
- when  $\frac{\Delta_{\text{oct}}}{B} = 29$ ,  $\frac{E_1}{B} \approx 26.9$ , and since  $E_1 = 17\,200\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $B \approx 640\text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

Substitution of the value of  $B$  into  $\frac{\Delta_{\text{oct}}}{B} = 29$  gives an estimate of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}} \approx 18\,600\text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

Accurate methods involving mathematical expressions can be used and these can be found in the advanced texts listed at the end of the chapter.

### Self-study exercises

1. Why are the two values of  $B$  obtained above self-consistent?
2. For  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , a value of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  can be determined directly from  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  in the electronic spectrum. Why is this not possible for  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , and for most other octahedral ions?

## 20.7 Evidence for metal–ligand covalent bonding

### The nephelauxetic effect

In metal complexes, there is evidence for sharing of electrons between metal and ligand. Pairing energies are lower in complexes than in gaseous  $M^{n+}$  ions, indicating that interelectronic repulsion is less in complexes and that the


**Table 20.6** Selected values of  $h$  and  $k$  which are used to parameterize the nephelauxetic series; worked example 20.6 shows their application.

| Metal ion | $k$  | Ligands                | $h$ |
|-----------|------|------------------------|-----|
| Co(III)   | 0.35 | 6 $\text{Br}^-$        | 2.3 |
| Rh(III)   | 0.28 | 6 $\text{Cl}^-$        | 2.0 |
| Co(II)    | 0.24 | 6 $[\text{CN}]^-$      | 2.0 |
| Fe(III)   | 0.24 | 3 en                   | 1.5 |
| Cr(III)   | 0.21 | 6 $\text{NH}_3$        | 1.4 |
| Ni(II)    | 0.12 | 6 $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 1.0 |
| Mn(II)    | 0.07 | 6 $\text{F}^-$         | 0.8 |


*effective* size of the metal orbitals has increased; this is the *nephelauxetic effect*.

*Nephelauxetic* means (electron) ‘cloud expanding’.

For complexes with a common metal ion, it is found that the nephelauxetic effect of ligands varies according to a series independent of metal ion:



A nephelauxetic series for metal ions (independent of ligands) is as follows:



The nephelauxetic effect can be parameterized and the values shown in Table 20.6 used to estimate the reduction in electron–electron repulsion upon complex formation. In equation 20.9, the interelectronic repulsion in the complex is the Racah parameter  $B$  (see [Section 20.6](#));  $B_0$  is the interelectronic repulsion in the gaseous  $M^{n+}$  ion.

$$\frac{B_0 - B}{B_0} \approx h_{\text{ligands}} \times k_{\text{metal ion}} \quad (20.9)$$

The worked example and exercises below illustrate how to apply equation 20.9.

### Worked example 20.6 The nephelauxetic series

Using data in Table 20.6, estimate the reduction in the interelectronic repulsion in going from the gaseous  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  ion to  $[\text{FeF}_6]^{3-}$ .

The reduction in interelectronic repulsion is given by:

$$\frac{B_0 - B}{B_0} \approx h_{\text{ligands}} \times k_{\text{metal ion}}$$

In Table 20.6, values of  $h$  refer to an octahedral set of ligands.

For  $[\text{FeF}_6]^{3-}$ :

$$\frac{B_0 - B}{B_0} \approx 0.8 \times 0.24 = 0.192$$

Therefore, the reduction in interelectronic repulsion in going from the gaseous  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  ion to  $[\text{FeF}_6]^{3-}$  is  $\approx 19\%$ .

### Self-study exercises

Refer to Table 20.6.

1. Show that the reduction in interelectronic repulsion in going from the gaseous  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  ion to  $[\text{NiF}_6]^{4-}$  is  $\approx 10\%$ .

2. Estimate the reduction in interelectronic repulsion on going from gaseous  $\text{Rh}^{3+}$  to  $[\text{Rh}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$ . [Ans.  $\approx 39\%$ ]

## ESR spectroscopy

Further proof of electron sharing comes from electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy.<sup>†</sup> Unpaired electrons behave as magnets, and may align themselves parallel or antiparallel to a magnetic field. The former configuration has a slightly lower energy than the latter, and transitions from one configuration to the other can be observed by applying radiofrequency radiation. If the metal ion carrying the unpaired electron is linked to a ligand containing nuclei with the nuclear spin quantum number,  $I \neq 0$ , hyperfine splitting of the ESR signal is observed showing that the orbital occupied by the electron has both metal and ligand character, i.e. there is metal–ligand covalent bonding. An example is the ESR spectrum of  $\text{Na}_2[\text{IrCl}_6]$  (paramagnetic low-spin  $d^5$ ) recorded for a solid solution in  $\text{Na}_2[\text{PtCl}_6]$  (diamagnetic low-spin  $d^6$ ); this was a classic ESR experiment, reported in 1953.<sup>‡</sup>

## 20.8 Magnetic properties

### Magnetic susceptibility and the spin-only formula

We begin the discussion of magnetochemistry with the so-called *spin-only formula*, an *approximation* that has limited, but useful, applications.

Paramagnetism arises from unpaired electrons. Each electron has a magnetic moment with one component associated with the spin angular momentum of the electron and (except when the quantum number  $l = 0$ ) a second component associated with the orbital angular momentum. For many complexes of first row  $d$ -block metal ions we can




Fig. 20.21 Schematic representation of a Gouy balance.

ignore the second component and the magnetic moment,  $\mu$ , can be regarded as being determined by the number of unpaired electrons,  $n$  (equations 20.10 and 20.11). The two equations are related because the total spin quantum number  $S = \frac{n}{2}$ .

$$\mu(\text{spin-only}) = 2\sqrt{S(S+1)} \quad (20.10)$$

$$\mu(\text{spin-only}) = \sqrt{n(n+2)} \quad (20.11)$$

The *effective magnetic moment*,  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ , can be obtained from the experimentally measured *molar magnetic susceptibility*,  $\chi_{\text{m}}$ , and is expressed in Bohr magnetons ( $\mu_{\text{B}}$ ) where  $1\mu_{\text{B}} = eh/4\pi m_e = 9.27 \times 10^{-24} \text{ J T}^{-1}$ . Equation 20.12 gives the relationship between  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\chi_{\text{m}}$ ; using SI units for the constants, this expression reduces to equation 20.13 in which  $\chi_{\text{m}}$  is in  $\text{cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . In the laboratory, the continued use of Gaussian units in magnetochemistry means that *irrational susceptibility* is the measured quantity and equation 20.14 is therefore usually applied.\*

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{\frac{3k\chi_{\text{m}}T}{L\mu_0\mu_{\text{B}}^2}} \quad (20.12)$$

where  $k$  = Boltzmann constant;  $L$  = Avogadro number;  $\mu_0$  = vacuum permeability;  $T$  = temperature in kelvin.

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = 0.7977\sqrt{\chi_{\text{m}}T} \quad (20.13)$$

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.828\sqrt{\chi_{\text{m}}T} \quad (\text{for use with Gaussian units}) \quad (20.14)$$

Several methods can be used to measure  $\chi_{\text{m}}$ : e.g. the *Gouy balance* (Figure 20.21), the *Faraday balance* (which operates in a similar manner to the Gouy balance) and a more recent technique using a *SQUID* (see Section 27.4). The Gouy method makes use of the interaction between unpaired electrons and a magnetic field; a diamagnetic material is repelled by a magnetic field whereas a paramagnetic material is attracted into it. The compound for study is placed in a

<sup>†</sup> For basic details of ESR (also referred to as EPR) spectroscopy, see: R.V. Parish (1990) *NMR, NQR, EPR and Mössbauer Spectroscopy in Inorganic Chemistry*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester.

<sup>‡</sup> See: J. Owen and K.W.H. Stevens (1953) *Nature*, vol. 171, p. 836.


\* Units in magnetochemistry are non-trivial; for detailed information, see: I. Mills *et al.* (1993) *IUPAC: Quantities, Units and Symbols in Physical Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Blackwell Science, Oxford.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 20.7 A paramagnetic, tetrahedral Pd(II) complex

In *Section 20.3*, we discussed why Pd(II) and Pt(II) complexes are square planar, basing our arguments on electronic factors. Nickel(II), on the other hand, forms both square planar and tetrahedral complexes. A square planar geometry for the coordination sphere of a  $d^8$  ion can readily be distinguished from a tetrahedral one by measuring the magnetic moment: square planar complexes are diamagnetic, while tetrahedral ones have two unpaired electrons. There is a temptation to ignore the possibility of geometries other than square planar for 4-coordinate Pd(II) and Pt(II) complexes, but in rare cases, tetrahedral coordination is

observed and gives rise to a paramagnetic complex. An example is  $[\text{PdCl}_2\text{L}]$  where ligand L is the ferrocenyl (see *Section 23.13*) derivative shown below. The ligand coordinates through the two O-donors and in the complex (shown below with the Pd atom in brown), the O–Pd–O bond angle is  $104^\circ$  and the Cl–Pd–Cl angle is  $120^\circ$ . It is thought that the steric effects of ligand L force the metal coordination environment to be tetrahedral. The effective magnetic moment of  $[\text{PdCl}_2\text{L}]$  is  $2.48 \mu_{\text{B}}$ ; although this is lower than is typical for a tetrahedral Ni(II) complex, it is still consistent with two unpaired electrons (Table 20.7).



[X-ray diffraction data: J.S.L. Yeo *et al.* (1999) *Chem. Commun.*, p. 1477]

glass tube, suspended from a balance on which the weight of the sample is recorded. The tube is placed so that one end of the sample lies at the point of maximum magnetic flux in an electromagnetic field while the other end is at a point of low flux. Initially the magnet is switched off, but upon applying a magnetic field, paramagnetic compounds are drawn into it

by an amount that depends on the number of unpaired electrons. The change in weight caused by the movement of the sample into the field is recorded, and from the associated force it is possible to calculate the magnetic susceptibility of the compound. The effective magnetic moment is then derived using equation 20.14.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 20.8 Magnetic susceptibility

It is important to distinguish between the magnetic susceptibilities  $\chi$ ,  $\chi_{\text{g}}$  and  $\chi_{\text{m}}$ .

- Volume susceptibility is  $\chi$  and is dimensionless.
- Gram susceptibility is  $\chi_{\text{g}} = \frac{\chi}{\rho}$  where  $\rho$  is the density of the sample; the units of  $\chi_{\text{g}}$  are  $\text{m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1}$ .

- Molar susceptibility is  $\chi_{\text{m}} = \chi_{\text{g}} M$  (where  $M$  is the molecular mass of the compound) and has SI units of  $\text{m}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$ .

**Table 20.7** Spin-only values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  compared with approximate ranges of observed magnetic moments for high-spin complexes of first row  $d$ -block ions.

| Metal ion                           | $d^n$ configuration | $S$   | $\mu_{\text{eff}}(\text{spin-only}) / \mu_{\text{B}}$ | Observed values of $\mu_{\text{eff}} / \mu_{\text{B}}$ |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------|---|--|
| Sc <sup>3+</sup> , Ti <sup>4+</sup> | $d^0$               | 0     | 0   | 0  |
| Ti <sup>3+</sup>                    | $d^1$               | $1/2$ | 1.73  | 1.7–1.8  |
| V <sup>3+</sup>                     | $d^2$               | 1     | 2.83  | 2.8–3.1  |
| V <sup>2+</sup> , Cr <sup>3+</sup>  | $d^3$               | $3/2$ | 3.87  | 3.7–3.9  |
| Cr <sup>2+</sup> , Mn <sup>3+</sup> | $d^4$               | 2     | 4.90  | 4.8–4.9  |
| Mn <sup>2+</sup> , Fe <sup>3+</sup> | $d^5$               | $5/2$ | 5.92  | 5.7–6.0  |
| Fe <sup>2+</sup> , Co <sup>3+</sup> | $d^6$               | 2     | 4.90  | 5.0–5.6  |
| Co <sup>2+</sup>                    | $d^7$               | $3/2$ | 3.87  | 4.3–5.2  |
| Ni <sup>2+</sup>                    | $d^8$               | 1     | 2.83  | 2.9–3.9  |
| Cu <sup>2+</sup>                    | $d^9$               | $1/2$ | 1.73  | 1.9–2.1  |
| Zn <sup>2+</sup>                    | $d^{10}$            | 0     | 0   | 0  |

For metal complexes in which the spin quantum number  $S$  is the same as for the isolated gaseous metal ion, the spin-only formula (equation 20.10 or 20.11) can be applied to find the number of unpaired electrons. Table 20.7 lists examples in which measured values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  correlate fairly well with those derived from the spin-only formula; note that all the metal ions are from the *first row* of the  $d$ -block. Use of the spin-only formula allows the number of unpaired electrons to be determined and gives information about, for example, oxidation state of the metal and whether the complex is low- or high-spin.

### Worked example 20.7 Magnetic moments: spin-only formula

**At room temperature, the observed value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3]\text{Br}_2$  is  $4.75 \mu_{\text{B}}$ . Is the complex high- or low-spin? (Ligand abbreviations: see Table 6.7.)**

$[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3]\text{Br}_2$  contains the octahedral  $[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  complex, and a  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$  ( $d^4$ ) ion. Low-spin will have two unpaired electrons ( $n = 2$ ), and high-spin, four ( $n = 4$ ).

Assume that the spin-only formula is valid (first row metal, octahedral complex):

$$\mu(\text{spin-only}) = \sqrt{n(n+2)}$$

For low-spin:  $\mu(\text{spin-only}) = \sqrt{8} = 2.83$

For high-spin:  $\mu(\text{spin-only}) = \sqrt{24} = 4.90$

The latter is close to the observed value, and is consistent with a high-spin complex.

### Self-study exercises

**1. Given that (at 293 K) the observed value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $[\text{VCl}_4(\text{MeCN})_2]$  is  $1.77 \mu_{\text{B}}$ , deduce the number of unpaired electrons and confirm that this is consistent with the oxidation state of the V atom.**


- At 298 K, the observed value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $[\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{Cl}_2$  is  $4.85 \mu_{\text{B}}$ . Confirm that the complex is high-spin.**
- At 300 K, the observed value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $[\text{V}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{Cl}_2$  is  $3.9 \mu_{\text{B}}$ . Confirm that this corresponds to what is expected for an octahedral  $d^3$  complex.**

## Spin and orbital contributions to the magnetic moment

By no means do all paramagnetic complexes obey the spin-only formula and caution must be exercised in its use. It is often the case that moments arising from *both* the spin and orbital angular momenta contribute to the observed magnetic moment. Details of the Russell–Saunders coupling scheme to obtain the total angular momentum quantum number,  $J$ , from quantum numbers  $L$  and  $S$  are given in **Box 20.6**, along with notation for state symbols  $(^{2S+1})L_J$ . The energy difference between adjacent states with  $J$  values of  $J'$  and  $(J' + 1)$  is given by the expression  $(J' + 1)\lambda$  where  $\lambda$  is called the *spin–orbit coupling constant*. For the  $d^2$  configuration, for example, the  $^3F$  state in an octahedral field is split into states  $^3F_2$ ,  $^3F_3$  and  $^3F_4$ , the energy differences between successive pairs being  $3\lambda$  and  $4\lambda$  respectively. In a magnetic field, each state with a different  $J$  value splits again to give  $(2J + 1)$  different levels separated by  $g_J\mu_{\text{B}}B_0$  where  $g_J$  is a constant called the Landé splitting factor and  $B_0$  is the magnetic field; it is the very small energy differences between these levels with which ESR (also called electron paramagnetic resonance, EPR) spectroscopy is concerned.<sup>†</sup> The overall splitting pattern for a  $d^2$  ion is shown in Figure 20.22.

The value of  $\lambda$  varies from a fraction of a  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  for the very lightest atoms to a few thousand  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  for the heaviest ones.

<sup>†</sup> For an introduction to ESR spectroscopy, see Chapter 5 in: R.V. Parish (1990) *NMR, NQR, EPR and Mössbauer Spectroscopy in Inorganic Chemistry*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester.



**Fig. 20.22** Splitting of the terms of a  $d^2$  ion (not to scale).

The extent to which states of different  $J$  values are populated at ambient temperature depends on how large their separation is compared with the thermal energy available,  $kT$ ; at 300 K,  $kT \approx 200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  or  $2.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . It can be shown theoretically that if the separation of energy levels is large, the magnetic moment is given by equation 20.15. Strictly, this applies only to free-ion energy levels, but it gives values for the magnetic moments of lanthanoid ions (for

which  $\lambda$  is typically  $1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) that are in good agreement with observed values (see [Section 24.4](#)).

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = g_J \sqrt{J(J+1)}$$

$$\text{where } g_J = 1 + \frac{S(S+1) - L(L+1) + J(J+1)}{2J(J+1)}$$
(20.15)

For  $d$ -block metal ions, equation 20.15 gives results that correlate poorly with experimental data (Tables 20.7 and 20.8). For many (but not all) first row metal ions,  $\lambda$  is very small and the spin and orbital angular momenta of the electrons operate independently. For this case, the van Vleck formula (equation 20.16) has been derived; strictly, equation 20.16 applies to free ions but, in a complex ion, the crystal field partly or fully *quenches* the orbital angular momentum. Data in Tables 20.7 and 20.8 reveal a poor fit between observed values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  and those calculated from equation 20.16.

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{4S(S+1) + L(L+1)}$$
(20.16)

If there is *no contribution* from orbital motion, then equation 20.16 reduces to equation 20.17 which is the spin-only formula we met earlier. Any ion for which  $L = 0$  (e.g. high-spin  $d^5$   $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  or  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in which each orbital with  $m_l = +2, +1, 0, -1, -2$  is singly occupied, giving  $L = 0$ ) should, therefore, obey equation 20.17.

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{4S(S+1)} = 2\sqrt{S(S+1)}$$
(20.17)

However, some other complex ions also obey the spin-only formula (Tables 20.7 and 20.8). In order for an electron to have orbital angular momentum, it must be possible to transform the orbital it occupies into an entirely equivalent and degenerate orbital by rotation. The electron is then effectively rotating about the axis used for the rotation of the orbital. In an octahedral complex, for example, the three  $t_{2g}$  orbitals can be interconverted by rotations through  $90^\circ$ ; thus, an electron in a  $t_{2g}$  orbital has orbital angular momentum. The  $e_g$  orbitals, having different shapes, cannot be interconverted and so electrons in  $e_g$  orbitals never have angular momentum. There is, however,

**Table 20.8** Calculated magnetic moments for first row  $d$ -block metal ions in high-spin complexes at ambient temperatures. Compare these values with those observed (Table 20.7).

| Metal ion                        | Ground term   | $\mu_{\text{eff}} / \mu_{\text{B}}$ calculated from equation 20.15 | $\mu_{\text{eff}} / \mu_{\text{B}}$ calculated from equation 20.16 | $\mu_{\text{eff}} / \mu_{\text{B}}$ calculated from equation 20.17 |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| $\text{Ti}^{3+}$                 | ${}^2D_{3/2}$ | 1.55   | 3.01   | 1.73   |
| $\text{V}^{3+}$                  | ${}^3F_2$     | 1.63   | 4.49   | 2.83   |
| $\text{V}^{2+}, \text{Cr}^{3+}$  | ${}^4F_{3/2}$ | 0.70   | 5.21   | 3.87   |
| $\text{Cr}^{2+}, \text{Mn}^{3+}$ | ${}^5D_0$     | 0  | 5.50   | 4.90   |
| $\text{Mn}^{2+}, \text{Fe}^{3+}$ | ${}^6S_{5/2}$ | 5.92   | 5.92   | 5.92   |
| $\text{Fe}^{2+}, \text{Co}^{3+}$ | ${}^5D_4$     | 6.71   | 5.50   | 4.90   |
| $\text{Co}^{2+}$                 | ${}^4F_{9/2}$ | 6.63   | 5.21   | 3.87   |
| $\text{Ni}^{2+}$                 | ${}^3F_4$     | 5.59   | 4.49   | 2.83   |
| $\text{Cu}^{2+}$                 | ${}^2D_{5/2}$ | 3.55   | 3.01   | 1.73   |

**Table 20.9** Spin-orbit coupling coefficients,  $\lambda$ , for selected first row  $d$ -block metal ions.

| Metal ion<br>$d^n$ configuration<br>$\lambda/\text{cm}^{-1}$ | Ti <sup>3+</sup><br>$d^1$ | V <sup>3+</sup><br>$d^2$ | Cr <sup>3+</sup><br>$d^3$ | Mn <sup>3+</sup><br>$d^4$ | Fe <sup>2+</sup><br>$d^6$ | Co <sup>2+</sup><br>$d^7$ | Ni <sup>2+</sup><br>$d^8$ | Cu <sup>2+</sup><br>$d^9$ |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|  | 155                       | 105                      | 90                        | 88                        | -102                      | -177                      | -315                      | -830                      |

another factor that needs to be taken into account: if all the  $t_{2g}$  orbitals are singly occupied, an electron in, say, the  $d_{xz}$  orbital cannot be transferred into the  $d_{xy}$  or  $d_{yz}$  orbital because these already contain an electron having the same spin quantum number as the incoming electron. If all the  $t_{2g}$  orbitals are doubly occupied, electron transfer is also impossible. It follows that in high-spin octahedral complexes, orbital contributions to the magnetic moment are important only for the configurations  $t_{2g}^1$ ,  $t_{2g}^2$ ,  $t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$  and  $t_{2g}^5 e_g^2$ . For tetrahedral complexes, it is similarly shown that the configurations that give rise to an orbital contribution are  $e^2 t_2^1$ ,  $e^2 t_2^2$ ,  $e^4 t_2^4$  and  $e^4 t_2^5$ . These results lead us to the conclusion that an octahedral high-spin  $d^7$  complex should have a magnetic moment greater than the spin-only value of  $3.87 \mu_B$  but a tetrahedral  $d^7$  complex should not. However, the observed values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{CoCl}_4]^{2-}$  are  $5.0$  and  $4.4 \mu_B$  respectively, i.e. both complexes have magnetic moments greater than  $\mu(\text{spin-only})$ . The third factor involved is *spin-orbit coupling*.

Spin-orbit coupling is a complicated subject and we can give only a brief mention here; for further details of spin-orbit coupling, see the advanced texts listed in the end-of-chapter reading list. As a result of a mixing of states (which we have so far ignored), theory brings us to equation 20.18; this modifies the  $\mu(\text{spin-only})$  formula to take into account spin-orbit coupling and, although dependent on  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ , applies also to tetrahedral complexes.

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = \mu(\text{spin-only}) \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha\lambda}{\Delta_{\text{oct}}} \right) \quad (20.18)$$

where  $\lambda$  = spin-orbit coupling constant and  $\alpha$  = constant that depends on the ground term:  $\alpha = 4$  for an  $A$  ground state, and  $\alpha = 2$  for an  $E$  ground state.

The simple approach of equation 20.18 is not applicable to ions with a  $T$  ground state.

Some values of  $\lambda$  are given in Table 20.9. Note that  $\lambda$  is positive for less than half-filled shells and negative for shells that are more than half-filled. Thus, spin-orbit coupling leads to:

- $\mu_{\text{eff}} > \mu(\text{spin-only})$  for  $d^6$ ,  $d^7$ ,  $d^8$  and  $d^9$  ions;
- $\mu_{\text{eff}} < \mu(\text{spin-only})$  for  $d^1$ ,  $d^2$ ,  $d^3$  and  $d^4$  ions.

### Worked example 20.8 Magnetic moments: spin-orbit coupling

Calculate a value for  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  taking into account spin-orbit coupling. Compare your answer with  $\mu(\text{spin-only})$

and the value of  $3.16 \mu_B$  observed experimentally for  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_3][\text{SO}_4]$ . [Data: see Tables 20.2 and 20.9.]

Octahedral Ni(II) ( $d^8$ ) has a  ${}^3A_{2g}$  ground state. Equation needed:

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = \mu(\text{spin-only}) \left( 1 - \frac{4\lambda}{\Delta_{\text{oct}}} \right)$$

$$\mu(\text{spin-only}) = \sqrt{n(n+2)} = \sqrt{8} = 2.83$$

From Table 20.2:  $\Delta_{\text{oct}} = 11\,500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$

From Table 20.9:  $\lambda = -315 \text{ cm}^{-1}$

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.83 \left( 1 + \frac{4 \times 315}{11\,500} \right) = 3.14 \mu_B$$

The calculated value is significantly larger than  $\mu(\text{spin-only})$  as expected for a  $d^n$  configuration with a more than half-full shell; it agrees well with the experimental value.

### Self-study exercises

Use data in Tables 20.2 and 20.9.

1. Calculate a value for  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $[\text{Ni}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  taking into account spin-orbit coupling. [Ans.  $3.16 \mu_B$ ]
2. Calculate a value for  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  taking into account spin-orbit coupling. [Ans.  $3.25 \mu_B$ ]

An important point is that spin-orbit coupling is generally large for second and third row  $d$ -block metal ions and this leads to large discrepancies between  $\mu(\text{spin-only})$  and observed values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ . The  $d^1$  complexes *cis*- $[\text{NbBr}_4(\text{NCMe})_2]$  and *cis*- $[\text{TaCl}_4(\text{NCMe})_2]$  illustrate this clearly: room temperature values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  are  $1.27$  and  $0.45 \mu_B$  respectively compared with  $\mu(\text{spin-only}) = 1.73 \mu_B$ .


### The effects of temperature on $\mu_{\text{eff}}$

So far, we have ignored the effects of temperature on  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ . If a complex obeys the Curie Law (equation 20.19), then  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  is independent of temperature; this follows from a combination of equations 20.13 and 20.19.

$$\chi = \frac{C}{T} \quad (20.19)$$

where  $C$  = Curie constant;  $T$  = temperature in K.

However, the Curie Law is rarely obeyed and so it is essential to state the temperature at which a value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$



**Fig. 20.23** Kotani plot for a  $t_{2g}^4$  configuration;  $\lambda$  is the spin-orbit coupling constant. Typical values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ (298 K) for Cr(II), Mn(III), Ru(IV) and Os(IV) are indicated on the curve.


has been measured. For second and third row *d*-block metal ions in particular, quoting *only* a room temperature value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  is usually meaningless; when spin-orbit coupling is large,  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  is highly dependent on  $T$ . For a given electronic configuration, the influence of temperature on  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  can be seen from a *Kotani plot* of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  against  $kT/\lambda$  where  $k$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $T$  is the temperature in K, and  $\lambda$  is the spin-orbit coupling constant. Remember that  $\lambda$  is small for first row metal ions, is large for a second row metal ion, and is even larger for a third row ion. Figure 20.23 shows a Kotani plot for a  $t_{2g}^4$  configuration; four points are indicated on the curve and correspond to typical values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ (298 K) for complexes of Cr(II) and Mn(III) from the first row, and Ru(IV) and Os(IV) from the second and third rows respectively. Points to note from these data are:

- since the points corresponding to  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ (298 K) for the first row metal ions lie on the near-horizontal part of the curve, changing the temperature has little effect on  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ ;
- since the points relating to  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ (298 K) for the heavier metal ions lie on parts of the curve with steep gradients,  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  is sensitive to changes in temperature; this is especially true for Os(IV).


## Spin crossover

The choice between a low- and high-spin configuration for  $d^4$ ,  $d^5$ ,  $d^6$  and  $d^7$  complexes is not always unique and a *spin crossover* sometimes occurs; this may be initiated by a change in pressure (e.g. a low- to high-spin crossover for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5(\text{NH}_3)]^{3-}$  at high pressure) or temperature (e.g. octahedral  $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2(\text{NCS}-N)_2]$ , octahedral **20.9**) and the square-based pyramidal complex **20.10** undergo low- to high-spin crossovers at 175, 391 and 180 K respectively). The change in the value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  which

accompanies the spin crossover may be gradual or abrupt (Figure 20.24).<sup>†</sup>



(20.9)



(20.10)


## Ferromagnetism, antiferromagnetism and ferrimagnetism

Whenever we have mentioned magnetic properties so far, we have assumed that metal centres have no interaction with each other (Figure 20.25a). This is true for substances where the paramagnetic centres are well separated from each other by diamagnetic species; such systems are said to be *magnetically dilute*. When the paramagnetic species are very close together (as in the bulk metal) or are separated by a species that can transmit magnetic interactions (as in many *d*-block metal oxides, fluorides and chlorides), the metal centres may interact (*couple*) with one another. The interaction may give rise to *ferromagnetism* or *antiferromagnetism* (Figures 20.25b and 20.25c).

In a *ferromagnetic* material, large domains of magnetic dipoles are aligned in the same direction; in an *antiferromagnetic* material, neighbouring magnetic dipoles are aligned in opposite directions.

Ferromagnetism leads to greatly enhanced paramagnetism as in iron metal at temperatures of up to 1041 K (the *Curie temperature*,  $T_C$ ), above which thermal energy is sufficient to overcome the alignment and normal paramagnetic behaviour prevails. Antiferromagnetism occurs below the *Néel temperature*,  $T_N$ ; as the temperature decreases, less thermal energy is



<sup>†</sup> For a review of spin crossover in Fe(II) complexes, see: P. Gütllich, Y. García and H.A. Goodwin (2000) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 29, p. 419. An application of spin crossover is described in 'Molecules with short memories': O. Kahn (1999) *Chemistry in Britain*, vol. 35, number 2, p. 24.



**Fig. 20.24** The dependence of the observed values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  on temperature for (a)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2(\text{NCS}-N)_2]$  where low- to high-spin crossover occurs abruptly at 175 K, and (b)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{btz})_2(\text{NCS}-N)_2]$  where low- to high-spin crossover occurs more gradually. Ligand abbreviations are defined in the figure [data: J.-A. Real *et al.* (1992) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 31, p. 4972].

available and the paramagnetic susceptibility falls rapidly. The classic example of antiferromagnetism is MnO which has a NaCl-type lattice and a Néel temperature of 118 K. Neutron diffraction is capable of distinguishing between sets of atoms having opposed magnetic moments and reveals that the unit cell of MnO at 80 K is double the one at 293 K; this indicates that in the conventional unit cell (Figure 5.15), metal atoms at adjacent corners have opposed moments at 80 K and that the cells must be stacked to produce the ‘true’ unit cell. More complex behaviour may occur if some moments are systematically aligned so as to oppose others, but relative numbers or relative values of the moments are such as to lead to a finite resultant magnetic moment: this is *ferrimagnetism* and is represented schematically in Figure 20.25d.

When a bridging ligand facilitates the coupling of electron spins on adjacent metal centres, the mechanism is one of *superexchange*. This is shown schematically in diagram 20.11, in which the unpaired metal electrons are represented in red.




**Fig. 20.25** Representations of (a) paramagnetism, (b) ferromagnetism, (c) antiferromagnetism and (d) ferrimagnetism.

In a *superexchange* pathway, the unpaired electron on the first metal centre,  $M_1$ , interacts with a spin-paired pair of electrons on the bridging ligand with the result that the unpaired electron on  $M_2$  is aligned in an antiparallel manner with respect to that on  $M_1$ .


## 20.9 Thermodynamic aspects: ligand field stabilization energies (LFSE)

### Trends in LFSE

So far, we have considered  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  (or  $\Delta_{\text{tet}}$ ) only as a quantity derived from electronic spectroscopy and representing the energy required to transfer an electron from a  $t_{2g}$  to an  $e_g$  level (or from an  $e$  to  $t_2$  level). However, chemical significance can be attached to these values. Table 20.3 showed the variation in crystal field stabilization energies (CFSE) for high- and low-spin octahedral systems; the trend for high-spin systems is restated in Figure 20.26, where it is compared with that for a tetrahedral field,  $\Delta_{\text{tet}}$  being expressed as a fraction of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  (see equation 20.7). Note the change from CFSE to LFSE in moving from Table 20.3 to Figure 20.26; this reflects the fact that we are now



**Fig. 20.26** Ligand field stabilization energies as a function of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  for high-spin octahedral systems and for tetrahedral systems; Jahn–Teller effects for  $d^4$  and  $d^9$  configurations have been ignored.



**Fig. 20.27** Lattice energies (derived from Born–Haber cycle data) for  $\text{MCl}_2$  where  $\text{M}$  is a first row *d*-block metal; the point for  $d^0$  corresponds to  $\text{CaCl}_2$ . Data are not available for scandium where the stable oxidation state is +3.

dealing with *ligand field theory* and *ligand field stabilization energies*. In the discussion that follows, we consider relationships between observed trends in LFSE values and selected thermodynamic properties of high-spin compounds of the *d*-block metals.

## Lattice energies and hydration energies of $\text{M}^{2+}$ ions


Figure 20.27 shows a plot of experimental lattice energy data for metal(II) chlorides of first row *d*-block elements. In each salt, the metal ion is high-spin and lies in an octahedral environment in the solid state.<sup>†</sup> The ‘double hump’ in Figure 20.27 is reminiscent of that in Figure 20.26, albeit with respect to a reference line which shows a general increase in lattice energy as the period is crossed. Similar plots can be obtained for species such as  $\text{MF}_2$ ,  $\text{MF}_3$  and  $[\text{MF}_6]^{3-}$ , but for each series, only limited data are available and complete trends cannot be studied.

Water is a weak-field ligand and  $[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ions of the first row metals are high-spin. The relationship between absolute enthalpies of hydration of  $\text{M}^{2+}$  ions (see [Section](#)

6.9) and  $d^n$  configuration is shown in Figure 20.28, and again we see the ‘double-humped’ appearance of Figures 20.26 and 20.27.

For each plot in Figures 20.27 and 20.28, deviations from the reference line joining the  $d^0$ ,  $d^5$  and  $d^{10}$  points may be taken as measures of ‘thermochemical LFSE’ values. In general, the agreement between these values and those calculated from the values of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  derived from electronic spectroscopic data are fairly close. For example, for  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ , the values of LFSE(thermochemical) and LFSE(spectroscopic) are 120 and 126  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  respectively; the latter comes from an evaluation of  $1.2\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  where  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  is determined from the electronic spectrum of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  to be  $8500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . We have to emphasize that this level of agreement is fortuitous; if we look more closely at the problem, we note that only *part* of the measured hydration enthalpy can be attributed to the first coordination sphere of six  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules, and, moreover, the definitions of LFSE(thermochemical) and LFSE(spectroscopic) are not strictly equivalent. In conclusion, we must make the very important point that, interesting and useful though discussions of ‘double-humped’ graphs are in dealing with trends in the thermodynamics of high-spin complexes, they are never more than *approximations*. It is crucial to remember that LFSE terms are only *small parts* of the total interaction energies (generally  $<10\%$ ).

<sup>†</sup> Strictly, a purely electrostatic model does not hold for chlorides, but we include them because more data are available than for fluorides, for which the electrostatic model is more appropriate.



**Fig. 20.28** Absolute enthalpies of hydration of the  $M^{2+}$  ions of the first row metals; the point for  $d^0$  corresponds to  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . Data are not available for  $\text{Sc}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Ti}^{2+}$  and  $\text{V}^{2+}$ .

### Octahedral versus tetrahedral coordination: spinels

Figure 20.26 indicates that, if all other factors are equal,  $d^0$ , high-spin  $d^5$  and  $d^{10}$  ions should have no preference between tetrahedral and octahedral coordination, and that the strongest preference for octahedral coordination should be found for  $d^3$  and  $d^8$  ions. In practice, other factors do operate. For example, the smaller size of tetrahedral complexes results in higher lattice and solvation energies; thus, although  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  ( $d^8$ ) does not form tetrahedral complexes in aqueous solution, it does so in melts and non-aqueous media.

The distribution of metal ions between tetrahedral and octahedral sites in a spinel (see [Box 12.6](#)) can be rationalized in terms of LFSEs. In a normal spinel  $A^{II}B_2^{III}O_4$  the tetrahedral sites are occupied by the  $A^{2+}$  ions and the octahedral sites by  $B^{3+}$  ions:  $(A^{II})^{\text{tet}}(B^{III})_2^{\text{oct}}O_4$ . In an inverse spinel, the distribution is  $(B^{III})^{\text{tet}}(A^{II}B^{III})^{\text{oct}}O_4$ . For spinel itself,  $A = \text{Mg}$ ,  $B = \text{Al}$ . If at least one of the cations is from the  $d$ -block, the inverse structure is frequently (though by no means always) observed:  $\text{Zn}^{II}\text{Fe}_2^{III}O_4$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{II}\text{Cr}_2^{III}O_4$  and  $\text{Mn}^{II}\text{Mn}_2^{III}O_4$  are normal spinels while  $\text{Ni}^{II}\text{Ga}_2^{III}O_4$ ,  $\text{Co}^{II}\text{Fe}_2^{III}O_4$  and  $\text{Fe}^{II}\text{Fe}_2^{III}O_4$  are inverse spinels. To account for these observations we first note the following:

- the Madelung constants for the spinel and inverse spinel lattices are usually nearly equal;
- the charges on the metal ions are independent of environment (an assumption);
- $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  values for complexes of  $M^{3+}$  ions are significantly greater than for corresponding complexes of  $M^{2+}$  ions.

Consider compounds with normal spinel structures: in  $\text{Zn}^{II}\text{Fe}_2^{III}O_4$  ( $d^{10}$  and  $d^5$ ), LFSE = 0 for each ion; in  $\text{Fe}^{II}\text{Cr}_2^{III}O_4$  ( $d^6$  and  $d^3$ ),  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  has a much greater LFSE in an octahedral site than does high-spin  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ; in  $\text{Mn}^{II}\text{Mn}_2^{III}O_4$  ( $d^5$  and  $d^4$ ), only  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  has any LFSE and this is greater in an octahedral than a tetrahedral site. Now consider some inverse spinels: in  $\text{Ni}^{II}\text{Ga}_2^{III}O_4$ , only  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  ( $d^8$ ) has any LFSE and this is greater in an octahedral site; in each of  $\text{Co}^{II}\text{Fe}_2^{III}O_4$  ( $d^7$  and  $d^5$ ) and  $\text{Fe}^{II}\text{Fe}_2^{III}O_4$  ( $d^6$  and  $d^5$ ), LFSE = 0 for  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and so the preference is for  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  respectively to occupy octahedral sites. While this argument is impressive, we must note that observed structures do not always agree with LFSE expectations, e.g.  $\text{Fe}^{II}\text{Al}_2^{III}O_4$  is a normal spinel.

### 20.10 Thermodynamic aspects: the Irving–Williams series

In aqueous solution, water is replaced by other ligands (equation 20.20, and see [Table 6.7](#)) and the position of equilibrium will be related to the difference between two LFSEs, since  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  is ligand-dependent.





Table 20.10 lists overall stability constants (see [Section 6.12](#)) for  $[\text{M}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{M}(\text{EDTA})]^{2-}$  high-spin complexes for  $d^5$  to  $d^{10}$  first row  $M^{2+}$  ions. For a given ligand and cation charge,  $\Delta S^\circ$  should be nearly constant along the series and the variation in  $\log \beta_n$  should approximately parallel the


**Table 20.10** Overall stability constants for selected high-spin  $d$ -block metal complexes.

| Metal ion   | $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ | $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ | $\text{Co}^{2+}$ | $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ | $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| $\log \beta_3$ for $[\text{M}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$ | 5.7              | 9.5              | 13.8             | 18.6             | 18.7             | 12.1             |
| $\log \beta$ for $[\text{M}(\text{EDTA})]^{2-}$   | 13.8             | 14.3             | 16.3             | 18.6             | 18.7             | 16.1             |


trend in values of  $-\Delta H^\circ$ . Table 20.10 shows that the trend from  $d^5$  to  $d^{10}$  follows a ‘single hump’, with the ordering of  $\log \beta_n$  for the high-spin ions being:




This is called the *Irving–Williams series* and is observed for a wide range of ligands. The trend is a ‘hump’ that peaks at  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ( $d^9$ ) and not at  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  ( $d^8$ ) as might be expected from a consideration of LFSEs (Figure 20.26); while the variation in LFSE values is a contributing factor, it is not the sole arbiter. Trends in stability constants should bear a relationship to trends in ionic radii (see [Appendix 6](#)); the pattern in values of  $r_{\text{ion}}$  for 6-coordinate high-spin ions is:



We might expect  $r_{\text{ion}}$  to decrease from  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  to  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  as  $Z_{\text{eff}}$  increases, but once again we see a dependence on the  $d^n$  configuration with  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  being smallest. In turn, this predicts the highest value of  $\log \beta_n$  for  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ . Why, then, are copper(II) complexes so much more stable than might be expected? The answer lies in the Jahn–Teller distortion that a  $d^9$  complex suffers; the six metal–ligand bonds are not of equal length and thus the concept of a ‘fixed’ ionic radius for  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  is not valid. In an elongated complex (structure 20.5) such as  $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ , there are four short and two long Cu–O bonds. Plots of stepwise stability constants for the displacement of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  by  $\text{NH}_3$  ligands in  $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  are shown in Figure 20.29; for the first four substitution steps, complex stability is greater for  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  than  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ , reflecting the formation of four short (strong) Cu–N bonds. The value of  $\log K_5$  for  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  is consistent with the formation of a weak (axial) Cu–N bond;  $\log K_6$  cannot be measured in aqueous solution. The magnitude of the overall stability constant for complexation of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  is dominated by values of  $K_n$  for the first four steps and the thermodynamic favourability of these displacement steps is responsible for the position of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  in the Irving–Williams series.



**Fig. 20.30** The variation in values of  $E^\circ(\text{M}^{2+}/\text{M})$  as a function of  $d^n$  configuration for the first row metals; the point for  $d^0$  corresponds to  $\text{M} = \text{Ca}$ .



**Fig. 20.29** Stepwise stability constants ( $\log K_n$ ) for the displacement of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  by  $\text{NH}_3$  from  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ( $d^8$ ) and  $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ( $d^9$ ).


## 20.11 Thermodynamic aspects: oxidation states in aqueous solution

In the preceding sections, we have, with some degree of success, attempted to rationalize irregular trends in some thermodynamic properties of the first row *d*-block metals. Now we consider the variation in  $E^\circ$  values for equilibrium 20.21 (Table 19.1 and Figure 20.30); the more negative the value of  $E^\circ$ , the less easily  $\text{M}^{2+}$  is reduced.



This turns out to be a difficult problem. Water is relatively easily oxidized or reduced, and the range of oxidation states on which measurements can be made under aqueous conditions is therefore restricted, e.g. Sc(II) and Ti(II) would liberate  $\text{H}_2$ . Values of  $E^\circ(\text{M}^{2+}/\text{M})$  are related to energy changes accompanying the processes:





**Fig. 20.31** The variation in the sum of the first and second ionization energies as a function of  $d^n$  configuration for the first row metals; the point for  $d^0$  corresponds to  $M = \text{Ca}$ .



In crossing the first row of the  $d$ -block, the general trend is for  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}H^\circ$  to become more negative (Figure 20.28). There is also a successive increase in the sum of the first two ionization energies albeit with discontinuities at Cr and Cu (Figure 20.31). Values of  $\Delta_a H^\circ$  vary erratically and over a wide range with a particularly low value for zinc (Table 5.2). The net effect of all these factors is an irregular variation in values of  $E^\circ(M^{2+}/M)$  across the row, and it is clearly not worthwhile discussing the relatively small variations in LFSEs.

Consider now the variations in  $E^\circ(M^{3+}/M^{2+})$  across the row. The enthalpy of atomization is no longer relevant and we are concerned only with trends in the third ionization energy (Table 20.11) and the hydration energies of  $M^{2+}$  and  $M^{3+}$ . Experimental values for  $E^\circ(M^{3+}/M^{2+})$  (Table 20.11) are restricted to the middle of the series; Sc(II) and Ti(II) would reduce water while Ni(III), Cu(III) and Zn(III) would oxidize it. In general, larger values of  $IE_3$  correspond to more positive  $E^\circ$  values; this suggests that a steady increase in the difference between the hydration energies of  $M^{3+}$  and  $M^{2+}$  (which would become larger as the ions become smaller) is outweighed by the variation in  $IE_3$ . The only pair of metals for which the change in  $E^\circ$  appears out of step is vanadium and chromium. The value of  $IE_3$  for Cr is  $165 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  greater than for V and so it is harder to oxidize gaseous  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$  than  $\text{V}^{2+}$ . In aqueous solution however,  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$  is a more powerful reducing agent than  $\text{V}^{2+}$ . These oxidations correspond to changes in electronic configuration of  $d^3 \rightarrow d^2$  for V and  $d^4 \rightarrow d^3$  for Cr. The  $\text{V}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{V}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  hexaqua ions are high-spin; oxidation of  $\text{V}^{2+}$  is accompanied by a loss of LFSE (Table 20.3),

while there is a gain in LFSE (i.e. more negative) upon oxidation of  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$  (minor consequences of the Jahn–Teller effect are ignored). Using values of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  from Table 20.2, these changes in LFSE are expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Change in LFSE on oxidation of } V^{2+} \text{ is} \\ & -(1.2 \times 12400) \text{ to } -(0.8 \times 17850) \\ & = -14880 \text{ to } -14280 \text{ cm}^{-1} \\ & = +600 \text{ cm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Change in LFSE on oxidation of } Cr^{2+} \text{ is} \\ & -(0.6 \times 14100) \text{ to } -(1.2 \times 17400) \\ & = -8460 \text{ to } -20880 \text{ cm}^{-1} \\ & = -12420 \text{ cm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The gain in LFSE upon formation of  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  corresponds to  $\approx 150 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and largely cancels out the effect of the third ionization energy. Thus, the apparent anomaly of  $E^\circ(\text{Cr}^{3+}/\text{Cr}^{2+})$  can be mostly accounted for in terms of LFSE effects – a considerable achievement in view of the simplicity of the theory.

## Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- high-spin
- low-spin
- outer orbital complex

**Table 20.11** Standard reduction potentials for the equilibrium  $M^{3+}(\text{aq}) + e^- \rightleftharpoons M^{2+}(\text{aq})$  and values of the third ionization energies.

| M                         | V     | Cr    | Mn    | Fe    | Co    |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $E^\circ/V$               | -0.26 | -0.41 | +1.54 | +0.77 | +1.92 |
| $IE_3/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 2827  | 2992  | 3252  | 2962  | 3232  |

- inner orbital complex
- crystal field theory
- $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{tet}}$  . . .
- weak-field ligand
- strong-field ligand
- spectrochemical series
- crystal field stabilization energy (CFSE)
- pairing energy
- Jahn–Teller distortion
- $\pi$ -donor ligand
- $\pi$ -acceptor ligand
- 18-electron rule
- ‘*d*–*d*’ transition
- charge transfer absorption
- $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  and  $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$  for an absorption band
- selection rule:  $\Delta S = 0$
- selection rule:  $\Delta l = \pm 1$
- vibronic coupling
- Orgel diagram
- table of microstates
- Tanabe–Sugano diagram
- nephelauxetic effect
- Racah parameter, *B*
- magnetic susceptibility
- effective magnetic moment
- spin-only formula
- Gouy balance
- Russell–Saunders coupling
- spin–orbit coupling constant
- Curie Law
- Kotani plot
- spin crossover
- ferromagnetism
- antiferromagnetism
- ferrimagnetism

- superexchange
- ligand field stabilization energy (LFSE)

## Further reading

### Texts that complement the present treatment

- I.B. Bersuker (1996) *Electronic Structure and Properties of Transition Metal Compounds*, Wiley, New York.
- M. Gerloch and E.C. Constable (1994) *Transition Metal Chemistry: the Valence Shell in d-Block Chemistry*, VCH, Weinheim.
- J.E. Huheey, E.A. Keiter and R.L. Keiter (1993) *Inorganic Chemistry*, 4th edn, Harper Collins, New York, Chapter 11.
- W.L. Jolly (1991) *Modern Inorganic Chemistry*, 2nd edn, McGraw-Hill, New York, Chapters 15, 17 and 18.
- S.F.A. Kettle (1996) *Physical Inorganic Chemistry*, Spektrum, Oxford.

### Crystal and ligand field theories, electronic spectra and magnetism: advanced texts

- B.N. Figgis (1966) *Introduction to Ligand Fields*, Interscience, New York.
- M. Gerloch (1983) *Magnetism and Ligand Field Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- M. Gerloch and R.C. Slade (1973) *Ligand Field Parameters*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- D.A. Johnson and P.G. Nelson (1999) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 38, p. 4949 – ‘Ligand field stabilization energies of the hexaaqua 3+ complexes of the first transition series’.
- A.F. Orchard (2003) *Magnetochemistry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A general account of the subject.
- E.I. Solomon and A.B.P. Lever, eds (1999) *Inorganic Electronic Structure and Spectroscopy, Vol. 1 Methodology; Vol. 2 Applications and Case Studies*, Wiley, New York.

## Problems

- 20.1** Outline how you would apply crystal field theory to explain why the five *d*-orbitals in an octahedral complex are not degenerate. Include in your answer an explanation of the ‘barycentre’.
- 20.2** The absorption spectrum of  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  exhibits a band with  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 510 \text{ nm}$ . What colour of light is absorbed and what colour will aqueous solutions of  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  appear?
- 20.3** Draw the structures of the following ligands, highlight the donor atoms and give the likely modes of bonding (e.g. monodentate): (a) en; (b) bpy; (c)  $[\text{CN}]^-$ ; (d)  $[\text{N}_3]^-$ ; (e) CO; (f) phen; (g)  $[\text{ox}]^{2-}$ ; (h)  $[\text{NCS}]^-$ ; (i)  $\text{PMe}_3$ .
- 20.4** Arrange the following ligands in order of increasing field strength:  $\text{Br}^-$ ,  $\text{F}^-$ ,  $[\text{CN}]^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $[\text{OH}]^-$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .
- 20.5** For which member of the following pairs of complexes would  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  be the larger and why: (a)  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ ; (b)  $[\text{CrF}_6]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$ ; (c)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ ; (d)  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$ ; (e)  $[\text{MnF}_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{ReF}_6]^{2-}$ ; (f)  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  and  $[\text{Rh}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ ?
- 20.6** (a) Explain why there is no distinction between low- and high-spin arrangements for an octahedral *d*<sup>8</sup> metal ion. (b) Discuss the factors that contribute to the preference for forming either a high- or low-spin *d*<sup>4</sup> complex. (c) How would you distinguish experimentally between the two configurations in (b)?
- 20.7** Verify the CFSE values in Table 20.3.
- 20.8** In each of the following complexes, rationalize the number of observed unpaired electrons (stated after the formula): (a)  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  (1); (b)  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{2-}$  (3); (c)  $[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  (4); (d)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$  (5); (e)  $[\text{Pd}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$  (0); (f)  $[\text{CoCl}_4]^{2-}$  (3); (g)  $[\text{NiBr}_4]^{2-}$  (2).


- 20.9** (a) Explain the forms of the  $d$  orbital splitting diagrams for trigonal bipyramidal and square pyramidal complexes of formula  $ML_5$  shown in Figure 20.10. (b) What would you expect concerning the magnetic properties of such complexes of Ni(II)?
- 20.10** (a) What do you understand by the *nephelauxetic effect*? (b) Place the following ligands in order of increasing nephelauxetic effect:  $H_2O$ ,  $I^-$ ,  $F^-$ , en,  $[CN]^-$ ,  $NH_3$ .
- 20.11** Discuss each of the following observations:  
 (a) The  $[CoCl_4]^{2-}$  ion is a regular tetrahedron but  $[CuCl_4]^{2-}$  has a flattened tetrahedral structure.  
 (b) The electronic spectrum of  $[CoF_6]^{3-}$  contains two bands with maxima at 11 500 and 14 500  $cm^{-1}$ .
- 20.12** (a) Set up a table of microstates to show that the ground term for the  $d^1$  ion is the singlet  ${}^2D$ . What are the components of this term in a tetrahedral field? (b) Repeat the process for a  $d^2$  ion and show that the ground and excited terms are the  ${}^3F$  and  ${}^3P$ . What are the components of these terms in tetrahedral and octahedral fields?
- 20.13** (a) On Figure 20.19, convert the wavenumber scale to nm. (b) Which part of the scale corresponds to the visible range? (c) What would you predict are the colours of  $[Ni(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  and  $[Ni(NH_3)_6]^{2+}$ . (d) Are the spectra in Figure 20.19 consistent with the relative positions of  $H_2O$  and  $NH_3$  in the spectrochemical series?
- 20.14** (a) How many ' $d-d$ ' bands would you expect to find in the electronic spectrum of an octahedral Cr(III) complex? (b) Account for the observation that the colour of *trans*- $[Co(en)_2F_2]^+$  is less intense than those of *cis*- $[Co(en)_2F_2]^+$  and *trans*- $[Co(en)_2Cl_2]^+$ .
- 20.15** Find  $x$  in the formulae of the following complexes by determining the oxidation state of the metal from the experimental values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ : (a)  $[VCl_x(\text{bpy})]$ , 1.77  $\mu_B$ ; (b)  $K_x[V(\text{ox})_3]$ , 2.80  $\mu_B$ ; (c)  $[Mn(\text{CN})_6]^{x-}$ , 3.94  $\mu_B$ . What assumption have you made and how valid is it?
- 20.16** Explain why in high-spin octahedral complexes, orbital contributions to the magnetic moment are only important for  $d^1$ ,  $d^2$ ,  $d^6$  and  $d^7$  configurations.
- 20.17** The observed magnetic moment for  $K_3[\text{TiF}_6]$  is 1.70  $\mu_B$ . (a) Calculate  $\mu(\text{spin-only})$  for this complex. (b) How can you improve on this estimate?
- 20.18** Comment on the observations that octahedral Ni(II) complexes have magnetic moments in the range 2.9–3.4  $\mu_B$ , tetrahedral Ni(II) complexes have moments up to  $\approx 4.1 \mu_B$ , and square planar Ni(II) complexes are diamagnetic.
- 20.19** (a) Using data from [Appendix 6](#), plot a graph to show how the ionic radii of high-spin, 6-coordinate  $M^{2+}$  ions of the first row of the  $d$ -block vary with the  $d^n$  configuration. Comment on factors that contribute to the observed trend. (b) Briefly discuss other properties of these metal ions that show related trends.
- 20.20** Values of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  for  $[Ni(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  and high-spin  $[Mn(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$  have been evaluated spectroscopically as

8500 and 21 000  $cm^{-1}$  respectively. Assuming that these values also hold for the corresponding oxide lattices, predict whether  $Ni^{III}Mn^{III}O_4$  should have the normal or inverse spinel structure. What factors might make your prediction unreliable?

- 20.21** Discuss each of the following observations:  
 (a) Although  $Co^{2+}(\text{aq})$  forms the tetrahedral complex  $[CoCl_4]^{2-}$  on treatment with concentrated HCl,  $Ni^{2+}(\text{aq})$  does not form a similar complex.  
 (b)  $E^\circ$  for the half-reaction:  
 $[Fe(\text{CN})_6]^{3-} + e^- \rightleftharpoons [Fe(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  depends on the pH of the solution, being most positive in strongly acidic medium.  
 (c)  $E^\circ$  for the  $Mn^{3+}/Mn^{2+}$  couple is much more positive than that for  $Cr^{3+}/Cr^{2+}$  or  $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}$ .

## Overview problems

- 20.22** (a) Explain clearly why, under the influence of an octahedral crystal field, the energy of the  $d_{z^2}$  orbital is raised whereas that of the  $d_{xz}$  orbital is lowered. State how the energies of the other three  $d$  orbitals are affected. With respect to what are the orbital energies raised or lowered?  
 (b) What is the expected ordering of values of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  for  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ ,  $[Fe(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  and  $[Fe(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ . Rationalize your answer.  
 (c) Would you expect there to be an orbital contribution to the magnetic moment of a tetrahedral  $d^8$  complex? Give an explanation for your answer.
- 20.23** (a) Which of the following complexes would you expect to suffer from a Jahn–Teller distortion:  $[CrI_6]^{4-}$ ,  $[Cr(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ ,  $[CoF_6]^{3-}$  and  $[Mn(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ ? Give reasons for your answers.  
 (b)  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{NiBr}_4]$  is paramagnetic, but  $\text{K}_2[\text{PdBr}_4]$  is diamagnetic. Rationalize these observations.  
 (c) Using a simple MO approach, explain what happens to the energies of the metal  $d$  orbitals on the formation of a  $\sigma$ -bonded complex such as  $[Ni(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ .
- 20.24** Ligand **20.12** forms an octahedral complex,  $[Fe(\text{20.12})_3]^{2+}$ . (a) Draw diagrams to show what isomers are possible. (b)  $[Fe(\text{20.12})_3]Cl_2$  exhibits spin crossover at 120 K. Explain clearly what this statement means.



(20.12)

- 20.25** (a) The values of  $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$  for the most intense absorptions in the electronic spectra of  $[CoCl_4]^{2-}$  and  $[Co(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  differ by a factor of about 100. Comment on this observation and state which complex you expect to exhibit the larger value of  $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$ .  
 (b) In the electronic spectrum of a solution containing  $[V(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$ , two bands are observed at 17 200 and 25 600  $cm^{-1}$ . No absorption for the  ${}^3A_{2g} \leftarrow {}^3T_{1g}(F)$

transition is observed. Suggest a reason for this, and assign the two observed absorptions.

- (c) Red crystalline  $[\text{NiCl}_2(\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ph})_2]$  is diamagnetic. On heating to 387 K for 2 hours, a blue-green form of the complex is obtained, which has a magnetic moment of  $3.18\mu_{\text{B}}$  at 295 K. Suggest an explanation for these observations and draw structures for the complexes, commenting on possible isomerism.

- 20.26** (a) A Kotani plot for the  $t_{2g}^1$  configuration consists of a curve similar to that in Figure 20.23, but levelling off at  $\mu_{\text{eff}} \approx 1.8\mu_{\text{B}}$  when  $kT/\lambda \approx 1.0$ . Suggest two metal ions that you might expect to possess room

temperature values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  (i) on the near horizontal part of the curve and (ii) on the steepest part of the curve with  $\mu_{\text{eff}} < 0.5$ . For the four metal ions you have chosen, how do you expect  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  to be affected by an increase in temperature?

- (b) Classify the following ligands as being  $\sigma$ -donor only,  $\pi$ -donor and  $\pi$ -acceptor:  $\text{F}^-$ , CO and  $\text{NH}_3$ . For each ligand, state what orbitals are involved in  $\sigma$ - or  $\pi$ -bond formation with the metal ion in an octahedral complex. Give diagrams to illustrate the overlap between appropriate metal orbitals and ligand group orbitals.

# Chapter 21

## *d*-Block metal chemistry: the first row metals

### TOPICS

- Occurrence, extraction and uses
- Physical properties
- Inorganic chemistry

|         |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |         |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------|
| 1–2     | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13–18   |
| s-block | Sc | Ti | V  | Cr | Mn | Fe | Co | Ni | Cu | Zn | p-block |
|         | Y  | Zr | Nb | Mo | Tc | Ru | Rh | Pd | Ag | Cd |         |
|         | La | Hf | Ta | W  | Re | Os | Ir | Pt | Au | Hg |         |

### 21.1 Introduction

The chemistry of the first row *d*-block metals is best considered separately from that of the second and third row metals for several reasons, including the following:

- the chemistry of the first member of a triad is distinct from that of the two heavier metals, e.g. Zr and Hf have similar chemistries but that of Ti differs;
- electronic spectra and magnetic properties of many complexes of the first row metals can often be rationalized using crystal or ligand field theory, but effects of spin-orbit coupling are more important for the heavier metals (see [Sections 20.7](#) and [20.8](#));
- complexes of the heavier metal ions show a wider range of coordination numbers than those of their first row congeners;
- trends in oxidation states ([Table 19.3](#)) are not consistent for all members of a triad, e.g. although the *maximum* oxidation state of Cr, Mo and W is +6, its stability is greater for Mo and W than for Cr;
- metal–metal bonding is more important for the heavier metals than for those in the first row.


The emphasis of this chapter is on inorganic and coordination chemistry; organometallic complexes are discussed in [Chapter 23](#).

### 21.2 Occurrence, extraction and uses

Figure 21.1 shows the relative abundances of the first row *d*-block metals in the Earth's crust. *Scandium* occurs as a rare component in a range of minerals. Its main source is *thortveitite* (Sc,Y)<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (a rare mineral found in Scandinavia), and it can also be extracted from residues in uranium processing. Uses of scandium are limited; it is a component in high-intensity lights.

The main ore of *titanium* is ilmenite (FeTiO<sub>3</sub>), and it also occurs as three forms of TiO<sub>2</sub> (*anatase*, *rutile* and *brookite*) and *perovskite* (CaTiO<sub>3</sub>, [Figure 5.23](#)). The structures of anatase, rutile and brookite differ as follows: whereas the lattice of rutile ([Figure 5.21](#)) is based on an hcp array of O<sup>2-</sup> ions with half the octahedral holes occupied by Ti(IV) centres, those of anatase and brookite contain ccp arrays of O<sup>2-</sup> ions. Titanium is present in meteorites, and rock samples from the Apollo 17 lunar mission contain ≈12% of Ti. Production of Ti involves conversion of rutile or ilmenite to TiCl<sub>4</sub> (by heating in a stream of Cl<sub>2</sub> at 1200 K in the presence of coke) followed by reduction using Mg. Titanium(IV) oxide is also purified via TiCl<sub>4</sub> in the 'chloride process' (see [Box 21.3](#)). Titanium metal is resistant to corrosion at ambient temperatures, and is lightweight and strong, making it valuable as a component in alloys, e.g. in aircraft construction. Superconducting magnets (used, for example, in MRI equipment, see [Box 2.6](#)) contain NbTi multicore conductors.

*Vanadium* occurs in several minerals including *vanadinite* (Pb<sub>5</sub>(VO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Cl), *carnotite* (K<sub>2</sub>(UO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(VO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O), *roscoelite* (a vanadium-containing mica) and the polysulfide *patronite* (VS<sub>4</sub>). It also occurs in phosphate rock (see [Section 14.2](#)) and in some crude oils. It is not mined directly and extraction of vanadium is associated with that of other metals. Roasting vanadium ores with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> gives water-soluble NaVO<sub>3</sub> and from solutions of this salt, the sparingly





**Fig. 21.1** Relative abundances of the first row *d*-block metals in the Earth's crust. The data are plotted on a logarithmic scale, and the units of abundance are parts per million (ppm).

soluble  $[\text{NH}_4][\text{VO}_3]$  can be precipitated. This is heated to give  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$ , reduction of which with Ca yields V. The steel industry consumes about 85% of world supplies of V and *ferrovanadium* (used for toughening steels) is made by reducing a mixture of  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  with Al; steel–vanadium alloys are used for spring and high-speed cutting-tool steels. Vanadium(V) oxide is used as a catalyst in the oxidations of  $\text{SO}_2$  to  $\text{SO}_3$  (see Section 26.6) and of naphthalene to phthalic acid.

The major ore of **chromium** is *chromite* ( $\text{FeCr}_2\text{O}_4$ ) which has a normal spinel structure (see Box 12.6 and Section

20.9). Chromite is reduced with carbon to produce *ferrochromium* for the steel industry; stainless steels contain Cr to increase their corrosion resistance (see Box 5.2). For the production of Cr metal, chromite is fused with  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  in the presence of air (equation 21.1) to give water-soluble  $\text{Na}_2\text{CrO}_4$  and insoluble  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ . Extraction with water followed by acidification with  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  gives a solution from which  $\text{Na}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$  can be crystallized. Equations 21.2 and 21.3 show the final two stages of production.



The corrosion resistance of Cr leads to its widespread use as a protective coating (*chromium plating*); the metal is deposited by electrolysing aqueous  $\text{Cr}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ , produced by dissolving  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . After the steel industry, the next major consumer of Cr ( $\approx 25\%$ ) is the chemical industry; applications include pigments (e.g. chrome yellow), tanning agents, mordants, catalysts and oxidizing agents. Chromite is used as a refractory material (see Section 11.6), e.g. in refractory bricks and furnace linings. Chromium compounds are toxic; chromates are corrosive to skin.

Several oxides of **manganese** occur naturally, the most important being *pyrolusite* ( $\beta\text{-MnO}_2$ ); South Africa holds


## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

### Box 21.1 Chromium: resources and recycling


About 75% of the world's reserve base of chromium ore lies in South Africa, and the bar chart illustrates the dominance of South Africa in world chromite output.

Industrial nations in Europe and North America must rely on a supply of chromium ore from abroad, the US consuming  $\approx 14\%$  of world output. Because chromium is such a vital metal to the economy, government stockpiles in the US are considered an important strategy to ensure supplies during periods of military activity. Chromium ore is converted to chromium ferroalloys (for stainless steel and other alloys), chromite-containing refractory materials and chromium-based chemicals. The most important commercial applications of the latter are for pigments, leather tanning and wood preservation.

Recycling of stainless steel scrap as a source of Cr is an important secondary source, with  $\approx 37\%$  being recycled in the US in 2001.



[Data: US Geological Survey.]



**Fig. 21.2** Schematic representation of the dry battery cell ('acid' version).

80% of the world's ore reserves but mine production in China, South Africa and Ukraine is currently at similar levels. Little recycling of Mn currently takes place. Manganese nodules containing up to 24% of the metal have been discovered on the ocean bed. The main use of the element is in the steel industry; pyrolusite is mixed with  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  and reduced with coke to give *ferromanganese* ( $\approx 80\%$  Mn). Almost all steels contain some Mn; those with a high Mn content (up to 12%) possess very high resistance to shock and wear and are suitable for crushing, grinding and excavating machinery. Manganese metal is produced by the electrolysis of  $\text{MnSO}_4$  solutions. Manganese(IV) oxide is used in dry cell batteries. Figure 21.2 shows the Leclanché cell (the 'acid' cell); in the long-life 'alkaline' version,  $\text{NaOH}$  or  $\text{KOH}$  replaces  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ . The strong oxidizing power of  $\text{KMnO}_4$  makes this an important chemical (see [Box 21.4](#)); Mn is an essential trace element for plants, and small amounts of  $\text{MnSO}_4$  are added to fertilizers.

**Iron** is the most important of all metals and is the fourth most abundant element in the Earth's crust. The Earth's core is believed to consist mainly of iron and it is the main

constituent of metallic meteorites. The chief ores are *haematite* ( $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ), *magnetite* ( $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ), *siderite* ( $\text{FeCO}_3$ ), *goethite* ( $\alpha\text{-Fe(O)OH}$ ) and *lepidocrocite* ( $\gamma\text{-Fe(O)OH}$ ). While *iron pyrites* ( $\text{FeS}_2$ ) and *chalcopyrite* ( $\text{CuFeS}_2$ ) are common, their high sulfur contents render them unsuitable for Fe production. Pure Fe (made by reduction of the oxides with  $\text{H}_2$ ) is reactive and rapidly corrodes; finely divided iron is pyrophoric. Although *pure* iron is not of commercial importance, steel production is carried out on a huge scale (see [Section 5.7](#), [Boxes 5.1](#), [5.2](#) and [7.3](#)).  $\alpha$ -Iron(III) oxide is used as a polishing and grinding agent and in the formation of ferrites (see [Section 21.9](#)); iron oxides are important commercial pigments:  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  (red),  $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  (red-brown),  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  (black) and  $\text{Fe(O)OH}$  (yellow). Iron is of immense biological importance (see [Chapter 28](#)), and is present in, for example, haemoglobin and myoglobin ( $\text{O}_2$  carriers), ferredoxins and cytochromes (redox processes), ferritin (iron storage), acid phosphatase (hydrolysis of phosphates), superoxide dismutases ( $\text{O}_2$  dismutation) and nitrogenase (nitrogen fixation). A deficiency of iron in the body causes anaemia (see [Box 21.7](#)), while an excess causes haemochromatosis.


**Cobalt** occurs as a number of sulfide and arsenide ores including *cobaltite* ( $\text{CoAsS}$ ) and *skutterudite* ( $(\text{Co,Ni})\text{As}_3$  which contains planar  $\text{As}_4$ -units). Production of the metal generally relies on the fact that it often occurs in ores of other metals (e.g. Ni, Cu and Ag) and the final processes involve reduction of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  with Al or C followed by electrolytic refining. Pure Co is brittle but it is commercially important in special steels, alloyed with Al, Fe and Ni (*Alnico* is a group of carbon-free alloys) in permanent magnets, and in the form of hard, strong, corrosion-resistant non-ferrous alloys (e.g. with Cr and W) which are important in the manufacture of jet engines and aerospace components. Cobalt compounds are widely used as pigments (blue hues in porcelain, enamels and glass, see [Box 21.9](#)), catalysts and as

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

### Box 21.2 Copper: resources and recycling

The estimated resources of copper on the Earth's surface are 2.3 billion tonnes, which includes bedrock minerals and deep-sea nodules. Among metals, consumption of Cu is exceeded only by steel and Al. The recovery of Cu from scrap metal is an essential part of copper-based industries, e.g. in 2001 in the US, recycled metal constituted  $\approx 34\%$  of the Cu supply. Worldwide mine production in 2001 was 13.7 Mt, with 34.5% originating from Chile and 9.8% from the US (the world's leading producers). Recycling of the metal is important for environmental reasons: dumping of waste leads to pollution, e.g. of water supplies. In the electronics industry solutions of  $\text{NH}_3\text{-NH}_4\text{Cl}$  in the presence of  $\text{O}_2$  are used to etch Cu in printed circuit boards. The resulting Cu(II) waste is subjected to a solvent


extraction process, first by treating with an organic solvent XH which is a compound of the type  $\text{RR}'\text{C(OH)C(NOHR)''}$ , the conjugate base of which can function as a ligand:



where aq and org represent the aqueous and organic phases respectively. Treatment with  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  follows:




and then Cu is reclaimed by electrolytic methods:



additives to animal feeds. Vitamin B<sub>12</sub> is a cobalt complex, and a range of enzymes require B<sub>12</sub> coenzymes. The artificial isotope <sup>60</sup>Co is used as a tracer (see [Box 2.3](#)). Like cobalt, **nickel** occurs as sulfide and arsenide minerals, e.g. *pentlandite*, (Ni,Fe)<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>. Roasting such ores in air gives nickel oxide which is then reduced to the metal using carbon. The metal is refined electrolytically or by conversion to Ni(CO)<sub>4</sub> followed by thermal decomposition (equation 21.4). This is the *Mond process* which is based on the fact that Ni forms a carbonyl derivative more readily than any other metal.



Nickel is used extensively in alloys, notably in stainless steel, other corrosion-resistant alloys such as *Monel metal*, and coinage metals. Electroplated Ni provides a protective coat for other metals. Nickel has widespread use in batteries; recently, this has included the production of ‘environmentally friendly’ nickel–metal hydride batteries (see [Box 9.5](#)) which out-perform NiCd cells (equation 21.5) as rechargeable sources of power in portable appliances.




Nickel is an important catalyst, e.g. for the hydrogenation of unsaturated organic compounds and in the water–gas shift reaction (see [Section 9.4](#)). *Raney nickel* is prepared by treating a NiAl alloy with NaOH and is a spongy material (pyrophoric when dry) which is a highly active catalyst. Recycling of nickel is becoming increasingly important with the major source being austenitic stainless steel (see [Box 5.2](#)). In the US, between 1997 and 2001, the amount of Ni that was recycled rose from 31% to 44%.

**Copper** is, by a considerable margin, the most noble of the first row metals and occurs native in small deposits in several countries. The chief ore is *chalcopyrite* (CuFeS<sub>2</sub>) (≈80% of world copper production); others include *chalcantite* (CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O), *atacamite* (Cu<sub>2</sub>Cl(OH)<sub>3</sub>), *cuprite* (Cu<sub>2</sub>O) and *malachite* (Cu<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>). Polished malachite is widely used for decorative purposes. The first step in Cu production is to roast chalcopyrite in a limited air supply to give Cu<sub>2</sub>S and FeO; the latter is removed by combination with silica to form a slag, and Cu<sub>2</sub>S is converted to Cu by reaction 21.6.




Electrolytic purification of Cu is carried out by constructing a cell with impure Cu as the anode, clean Cu as the cathode and CuSO<sub>4</sub> as electrolyte; during electrolysis, Cu is transferred from anode to cathode yielding high-purity metal (e.g. suitable for electrical wiring, a major use) and a deposit under the anode from which metallic Ag and Au can be extracted. Recycling of copper is important (see [Box 21.2](#)). Being corrosion-resistant, Cu is in demand for



**Fig. 21.3** Uses of zinc in the US in 2002 [Data: US Geological Survey].

water and steam piping and is used on the exterior of buildings, e.g. roofing and flashing, where long-term exposure results in a green patina of basic copper sulfate or carbonate. Alloys of Cu such as brass (Cu/Zn) (see [Section 5.7](#)), bronze (Cu/Sn), nickel silver (Cu/Zn/Ni) and coinage metal (Cu/Ni) are commercially important. Copper(II) sulfate is used extensively as a fungicide. Copper has a vital biochemical role, e.g. in cytochrome oxidase (involved in reduction of O<sub>2</sub> to H<sub>2</sub>O) and haemocyanin (an O<sub>2</sub>-carrying copper protein in arthropods). Copper compounds have numerous catalytic uses, and analytical applications include the biuret test and use of Fehling’s solution (see [Section 21.12](#)).

The principal ores of **zinc** are *sphalerite* (*zinc blende*, ZnS, see [Figure 5.18](#)), *calamine* (*hemimorphite*, Zn<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O) and *smithsonite* (ZnCO<sub>3</sub>). Extraction from ZnS involves roasting in air to give ZnO followed by reduction with carbon. Zinc is more volatile (bp 1180 K) than most metals and can be separated by rapid chilling (to prevent reversing the reaction) and purified by distillation or electrolysis. Recycling of Zn has grown in importance, providing a secondary source of the metal. Figure 21.3 summarizes major uses of Zn. It is used to galvanize steel (see [Section 5.7](#) and [Box 7.3](#)) and Zn alloys are commercially important, e.g. brass (Cu/Zn) and nickel silver (Cu/Zn/Ni). Dry cell batteries use zinc as the anode (see [Figure 21.2](#)). A recent development is that of the zinc–air battery for use in electrically powered vehicles. The cell reactions are shown in scheme 21.7, and spent batteries can be regenerated at specialized recycling centres.†



Zinc oxide is used as a polymer stabilizer and an emollient in zinc ointment, and in the production of Zn<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> for

† For further details, see: J. Goldstein, I. Brown and B. Koretz (1999) *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 80, p. 171 – ‘New developments in the Electric Fuel Ltd. zinc/air system’.

television screens; its major use is in the rubber industry, where it lowers the vulcanization temperature and facilitates faster vulcanization (see [Section 15.4](#)). Both ZnO and ZnS are used as white pigments, although for most purposes TiO<sub>2</sub> is superior (see [Box 21.3](#) and [Section 27.5](#)).

## 21.3 Physical properties: an overview

A lot of the physical data for the first row metals has been discussed earlier in the book, but Table 21.1 summarizes selected physical properties. Additional data are tabulated as follows:

- metal lattice types ([Table 5.2](#));
- values of ionic radii,  $r_{\text{ion}}$ , which depend on charge, geometry and whether the ion is high- or low-spin ([Appendix 6](#));
- standard reduction potentials,  $E^\circ(\text{M}^{2+}/\text{M})$  and  $E^\circ(\text{M}^{3+}/\text{M}^{2+})$  (see [Tables 19.1](#) and [20.11](#) and [Appendix 11](#)).


For electronic spectroscopic data (e.g.  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  and spin-orbit

coupling constants) and magnetic moments, relevant sections in [Chapter 20](#) should be consulted.

## 21.4 Group 3: scandium

### The metal

In its chemistry, Sc shows a greater similarity to Al than to the heavier group 3 metals;  $E^\circ$  values are given for comparison in equation 21.8.



Scandium metal dissolves in both acids and alkalis, and combines with halogens; it reacts with N<sub>2</sub> at high temperatures to give ScN which is hydrolysed by water. Scandium normally shows one stable oxidation state in its compounds, Sc(III), but lower halides, e.g. ScCl (a layer structure ClScScCl...ClScScCl), Sc<sub>7</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub> (containing double chains of edge-sharing octahedral Sc<sub>6</sub> clusters) and Sc<sub>7</sub>Cl<sub>12</sub> (with


**Table 21.1** Selected physical properties of the metals of the first row of the *d*-block.

| Property   | Sc                                   | Ti                              | V                               | Cr                              | Mn                              | Fe                                     | Co                                 | Ni                                      | Cu                               | Zn   |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Atomic number, <i>Z</i>  | 21                                   | 22                              | 23                              | 24                              | 25                              | 26                                     | 27                                 | 28                                      | 29                               | 30   |
| Physical appearance of pure metal  | Soft; silver-white; tarnishes in air | Hard; lustrous silver coloured  | Soft; ductile; bright white     | Hard; blue-white                | Hard; lustrous silver-blue      | Quite soft; malleable; lustrous, white | Hard; brittle; lustrous blue-white | Hard; malleable and ductile; grey-white | Malleable and ductile; reddish   | Brittle at 298 K; malleable 373–423 K; lustrous blue-white |
| Melting point / K  | 1814                                 | 1941                            | 2183                            | 2180                            | 1519                            | 1811                                   | 1768                               | 1728                                    | 1358                             | 693  |
| Boiling point / K  | 3104                                 | 3560                            | 3650                            | 2945                            | 2235                            | 3023                                   | 3143                               | 3005                                    | 2840                             | 1180   |
| Ground state valence electronic configuration (core = [Ar]):                             |                                      |                                 |                                 |                                 |                                 |  |                                    |   |                                  |  |
| Atom   | 4s <sup>2</sup> 3d <sup>1</sup>      | 4s <sup>2</sup> 3d <sup>2</sup> | 4s <sup>2</sup> 3d <sup>3</sup> | 4s <sup>1</sup> 3d <sup>5</sup> | 4s <sup>2</sup> 3d <sup>5</sup> | 4s <sup>2</sup> 3d <sup>6</sup>        | 4s <sup>2</sup> 3d <sup>7</sup>    | 4s <sup>2</sup> 3d <sup>8</sup>         | 4s <sup>1</sup> 3d <sup>10</sup> | 4s <sup>2</sup> 3d <sup>10</sup>                           |
| M <sup>+</sup>   | 4s <sup>1</sup> 3d <sup>1</sup>      | 4s <sup>2</sup> 3d <sup>1</sup> | 3d <sup>4</sup>                 | 3d <sup>5</sup>                 | 4s <sup>1</sup> 3d <sup>5</sup> | 4s <sup>1</sup> 3d <sup>6</sup>        | 3d <sup>8</sup>                    | 3d <sup>9</sup>                         | 3d <sup>10</sup>                 | 4s <sup>1</sup> 3d <sup>10</sup>                           |
| M <sup>2+</sup>  | 3d <sup>1</sup>                      | 3d <sup>2</sup>                 | 3d <sup>3</sup>                 | 3d <sup>4</sup>                 | 3d <sup>5</sup>                 | 3d <sup>6</sup>                        | 3d <sup>7</sup>                    | 3d <sup>8</sup>                         | 3d <sup>9</sup>                  | 3d <sup>10</sup>   |
| M <sup>3+</sup>  | [Ar]                                 | 3d <sup>1</sup>                 | 3d <sup>2</sup>                 | 3d <sup>3</sup>                 | 3d <sup>4</sup>                 | 3d <sup>5</sup>                        | 3d <sup>6</sup>                    | 3d <sup>7</sup>                         | 3d <sup>8</sup>                  | 3d <sup>9</sup>  |
| Enthalpy of atomization, $\Delta_{\text{a}}H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  | 378                                  | 470                             | 514                             | 397                             | 283                             | 418                                    | 428                                | 430                                     | 338                              | 130  |
| First ionization energy, $IE_1 / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                     | 633.1                                | 658.8                           | 650.9                           | 652.9                           | 717.3                           | 762.5                                  | 760.4                              | 737.1                                   | 745.5                            | 906.4  |
| Second ionization energy, $IE_2 / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                    | 1235                                 | 1310                            | 1414                            | 1591                            | 1509                            | 1562                                   | 1648                               | 1753                                    | 1958                             | 1733   |
| Third ionization energy, $IE_3 / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                     | 2389                                 | 2653                            | 2828                            | 2987                            | 3248                            | 2957                                   | 3232                               | 3395                                    | 3555                             | 3833   |
| Metallic radius, $r_{\text{metal}} / \text{pm}^\ddagger$                                 | 164                                  | 147                             | 135                             | 129                             | 137                             | 126                                    | 125                                | 125                                     | 128                              | 137  |
| Electrical resistivity ( $\rho \times 10^8 / \Omega \text{ m}$ (at 273 K) <sup>*</sup> ) | 56 <sup>**</sup>                     | 39                              | 18.1                            | 11.8                            | 143                             | 8.6                                    | 5.6                                | 6.2                                     | 1.5                              | 5.5  |
|  | Sc                                   | Ti                              | V                               | Cr                              | Mn                              | Fe                                     | Co                                 | Ni                                      | Cu                               | Zn   |

<sup>‡</sup> Metallic radius for 12-coordinate atom.

<sup>\*</sup> See [equation 5.3](#) for relationship between electrical resistivity and resistance.

<sup>\*\*</sup> At 290–300 K.



**Fig. 21.4** Unit cell of  $\text{ReO}_3$ ; Re atoms are shown in brown and O atoms in red. This structure type is adopted by  $\text{ScF}_3$  and  $\text{FeF}_3$ .

$\text{Sc}_6$  clusters with Sc–Sc bonds and formulated as  $\text{Sc}^{3+}[\text{Sc}_6\text{Cl}_{12}]^{3-}$ , are obtained from reactions between  $\text{ScCl}_3$  and Sc at high temperatures.

### Scandium(III)

Direct combination of the elements gives anhydrous  $\text{ScF}_3$  (water-insoluble white solid),  $\text{ScCl}_3$  and  $\text{ScBr}_3$  (soluble white solids) and  $\text{ScI}_3$  (moisture-sensitive yellow solid). The fluoride crystallizes with the  $\text{ReO}_3$  lattice (Figure 21.4) in which each Sc centre is octahedrally sited; compare this lattice with that of perovskite (Figure 5.23). In each of  $\text{ScCl}_3$ ,  $\text{ScBr}_3$  and  $\text{ScI}_3$ , the Sc atoms occupy octahedral sites in an hcp array of halogen atoms (i.e. a  $\text{BiI}_3$ -type lattice). On reaction with  $\text{MF}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Na}, \text{K}, \text{Rb}, \text{NH}_4$ ),  $\text{ScF}_3$  forms water-soluble complexes  $\text{M}_3[\text{ScF}_6]$  containing octahedral  $[\text{ScF}_6]^{3-}$ .

Addition of aqueous alkali to solutions of Sc(III) salts precipitates  $\text{ScO}(\text{OH})$  which is isostructural with  $\text{AlO}(\text{OH})$ ; in the presence of excess  $[\text{OH}]^-$ ,  $\text{ScO}(\text{OH})$  redissolves as  $[\text{Sc}(\text{OH})_6]^{3-}$ . Dehydration of  $\text{ScO}(\text{OH})$  yields  $\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_3$ .

The coordination chemistry of Sc(III) is far more limited than that of the other first row *d*-block metal ions and is generally restricted to hard donors such as N and O. Coordination numbers of 6 are favoured, e.g.  $[\text{ScF}_6]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Sc}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$ , *mer*- $[\text{ScCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]$ , *mer*- $[\text{ScCl}_3(\text{THF})_3]$  and  $[\text{Sc}(\text{acac})_3]$ . Among complexes with higher coordination numbers are  $[\text{ScF}_7]^{4-}$  (pentagonal bipyramid),  $[\text{ScCl}_2(15\text{-crown-5})]^+$  (Figure 19.7d),  $[\text{Sc}(\text{NO}_3)_5]^{2-}$  (see end of Section 8.11) and  $[\text{Sc}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9]^{3+}$  (tricapped trigonal prism); bulky amido ligands stabilize low coordination numbers, e.g.  $[\text{Sc}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3]$ .

## 21.5 Group 4: titanium

### The metal


Titanium does not react with alkalis (cold or hot) and does not dissolve in mineral acids at room temperature. It is

attacked by hot  $\text{HCl}$ , forming  $\text{Ti}(\text{III})$  and  $\text{H}_2$ , and hot  $\text{HNO}_3$  oxidizes the metal to hydrous  $\text{TiO}_2$ . Titanium reacts with most non-metals at elevated temperatures; with C,  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$  and halogens  $\text{X}_2$ , it forms  $\text{TiC}$ ,  $\text{TiO}_2$  (see Figure 5.21),  $\text{TiN}$  (see Section 14.6) and  $\text{TiX}_4$  respectively. With  $\text{H}_2$ , it forms ‘ $\text{TiH}_2$ ’ but this has a wide non-stoichiometric range, e.g.  $\text{TiH}_{1.7}$  (see Section 9.7). The binary hydrides, carbide (see Section 13.7), nitride and borides (see Section 12.10) are all inert, high-melting, refractory materials.


In its compounds, Ti exhibits oxidation states of +4 (by far the most stable), +3, +2 and, rarely, 0.

### Titanium(IV)

Titanium(IV) halides can be formed from the elements; industrially,  $\text{TiCl}_4$  is prepared by reacting  $\text{TiO}_2$  with  $\text{Cl}_2$  in the presence of carbon and this reaction is also used in the purification of  $\text{TiO}_2$  in the ‘chloride process’ (see Box 21.3). Titanium(IV) fluoride is a hygroscopic white solid which forms  $\text{HF}$  on hydrolysis. The vapour contains tetrahedral  $\text{TiF}_4$  molecules. Solid  $\text{TiF}_4$  consists of  $\text{Ti}_3\text{F}_{15}$ -units in which the Ti atoms are octahedrally sited; the corner-sharing octahedra (Figure 21.5b) are then linked through the  $\text{F}_a$  atoms (shown in Figure 21.5a) to generate isolated columns in an infinite array. Both  $\text{TiCl}_4$  and  $\text{TiBr}_4$  hydrolyse more readily than  $\text{TiF}_4$ . At 298 K,  $\text{TiCl}_4$  is a colourless liquid (mp 249 K, bp 409 K) and  $\text{TiBr}_4$  a yellow solid. The tetraiodide is a red-brown hygroscopic solid which sublimes *in vacuo* at 473 K to a red vapour. Tetrahedral molecules are present in




**Fig. 21.5** The solid state structure of  $\text{TiF}_4$  consists of columnar stacks of corner-sharing octahedra. The building blocks are  $\text{Ti}_3\text{F}_{15}$ -units shown here in (a) schematic representation and (b) polyhedral representation; F atoms are shown in green. [Data: H. Bialowons *et al.* (1995) *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, vol. 621, p. 1227.]



**Fig. 21.6** (a) The structure of  $\text{Ti}(\text{NO}_3)_4$  showing the dodecahedral environment of the titanium atom; compare with [Figure 19.8](#); (b) the structure of  $[\text{Ca}\{\text{Ti}_2(\text{OEt})_9\}_2]$  (X-ray diffraction); Et groups are omitted, colour code: Ca, blue; Ti, yellow; O, red [E.P. Turevskaya *et al.* (1994) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 2303]; (c) the tetrameric structure of  $[\text{Ti}(\text{OEt})_4]$  i.e.  $[\text{Ti}_4(\text{OEt})_{16}]$  with ethyl groups omitted for clarity; (d) the structure of  $[\text{Ti}_7(\mu_4\text{-O})_2(\mu_3\text{-O})_2(\text{OEt})_{20}]$  determined by X-ray diffraction; Et groups are omitted, colour code: Ti, yellow; O, red [R. Schmid *et al.* (1991) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 1999].

the solid and vapour phases of  $\text{TiCl}_4$ ,  $\text{TiBr}_4$  and  $\text{TiI}_4$ . Each tetrahalide acts as a Lewis acid;  $\text{TiCl}_4$  is the most important, being used with  $\text{AlCl}_3$  in Ziegler–Natta catalysts for alkene polymerization (see [Box 18.3](#) and [Section 26.7](#)) and as a catalyst in a variety of other organic reactions. The Lewis acidity of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  is seen in complex formation; it combines with tertiary amines and phosphines to give octahedral complexes such as  $[\text{TiCl}_4(\text{NMe}_3)_2]$  and  $[\text{TiCl}_4(\text{PET}_3)_2]$ . Salts containing  $[\text{TiCl}_6]^{2-}$  are best made in thionyl chloride solution since they are hydrolysed by water; salts of  $[\text{TiF}_6]^{2-}$  can be prepared in aqueous media. With the diarsine **21.1**, the dodecahedral complex  $[\text{TiCl}_4(\mathbf{21.1})_2]$  is formed. The reaction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  with  $\text{TiCl}_4$  yields anhydrous  $[\text{Ti}(\text{NO}_3)_4]$  in which the Ti(IV) centre is in a dodecahedral environment (Figure 21.6a).



We describe the commercial importance of  $\text{TiO}_2$  in [Box 21.3](#), and its rutile lattice was shown in [Figure 5.21](#). Although it may be formulated as  $\text{Ti}^{4+}(\text{O}^{2-})_2$ , the very high value of the sum of the first four ionization energies

of the metal ( $8797 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) makes the validity of the ionic model doubtful. Dry  $\text{TiO}_2$  is difficult to dissolve in acids, but the hydrous form (precipitated by adding base to solutions of Ti(IV) salts) dissolves in HF, HCl and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  giving fluoro, chloro and sulfato complexes respectively. There is no simple aqua ion of  $\text{Ti}^{4+}$ . The reaction of  $\text{TiO}_2$  with CaO at 1620 K gives the *titanate*  $\text{CaTiO}_3$ ; other members of this group include  $\text{BaTiO}_3$  and  $\text{FeTiO}_3$  (ilmenite). The structure type depends on the size of  $\text{M}^{2+}$ ; if it is large (e.g.  $\text{M} = \text{Ca}$ ), a perovskite lattice is favoured ([Figure 5.23](#)) but if  $\text{M}^{2+}$  is similar in size to Ti(IV), a corundum structure (see [Section 12.7](#)), in which M(II) and Ti(IV) replace two Al(III) centres, is preferred, e.g. ilmenite. The  $\text{M}^{\text{II}}\text{TiO}_3$  titanates are *mixed oxides* and do *not* contain  $[\text{TiO}_3]^{2-}$  ions. Above 393 K,  $\text{BaTiO}_3$  has the perovskite lattice, but at lower temperatures it transforms successively into three phases, each of which is a *ferroelectric*, i.e. the phase has an electric dipole moment even in the absence of an external magnetic field. This arises because the small Ti(IV) centre tends to lie off-centre in the octahedral  $\text{O}_6$ -hole ([Figure 5.23](#)). Application of an electric field causes all such ions to be drawn to the same side of the holes and leads to a great increase in specific permittivity; thus, barium titanates are used in capacitors. Application of


## APPLICATIONS

Box 21.3 Commercial demand for TiO<sub>2</sub>

Titanium dioxide has wide industrial applications as a brilliant white pigment and its applications as a pigment in the US in 2001 are shown in the chart below. This commercial application arises from the fact that fine particles scatter incident light extremely strongly; even crystals of TiO<sub>2</sub> possess a very high refractive index ( $\mu = 2.6$  for rutile, 2.55 for anatase). Historically, Pb(II) compounds were used as pigments in paints but the associated health hazards make lead undesirable; TiO<sub>2</sub> has negligible risks. Two manufacturing methods are used:

- the *sulfate process* produces TiO<sub>2</sub> in the form of rutile and anatase;
- the *chloride process* produces rutile.

The raw material for the sulfate process is ilmenite, FeTiO<sub>3</sub>; treatment with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 420–470 K yields Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and TiOSO<sub>4</sub>. The Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> is reduced and separated as FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O by a crystallization process. Hydrolysis of TiOSO<sub>4</sub> yields hydrated TiO<sub>2</sub> which is subsequently dehydrated to give TiO<sub>2</sub>. This is in the form of *anatase* unless seed crystals of *rutile* are introduced in the final stages of production. Rutile ore occurs naturally in, for example, apatite veins in Norway, and is the raw material for the chloride process. Initially, TiO<sub>2</sub> ore is converted to TiCl<sub>4</sub> by treatment with Cl<sub>2</sub> and C at 1200 K. Oxidation by O<sub>2</sub> at ≈1500 K yields pure rutile.



[Data: US Geological Survey.]

Originally, the sulfate process was the more industrially important process, but since the early 1990s, the chloride process has been favoured on both financial and environmental grounds. Both processes are in current use.

Titanium dioxide is a semiconductor and is an excellent photocatalyst for the photomineralization of water, i.e. the degradation of pollutants in water is catalysed by TiO<sub>2</sub> in the presence of UV radiation. Pollutants which can be successfully destroyed include a wide range of hydrocarbons and halogenated organic compounds as well as some herbicides, pesticides and dyes. The semiconducting properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> have also led to its being used as a gas sensor for detection of Me<sub>3</sub>N emitted from decaying fish. Other uses of TiO<sub>2</sub> include applications in cosmetics and ceramics, and in anodes for various electrochemical processes. TiO<sub>2</sub> is used as a UV filter in suncreams and for this application, control over particle size is important since the optimum light scattering occurs when the TiO<sub>2</sub> particle diameter is 180–220 nm.


## Further reading

A. Mills, R.H. Davies and D. Worsley (1993) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 22, p. 417.


See also **Section 27.6**.

pressure to one side of a BaTiO<sub>3</sub> crystal causes the Ti<sup>4+</sup> ions to migrate, generating an electric current (the piezoelectric effect, see **Section 13.9**), and this property makes BaTiO<sub>3</sub> suitable for use in electronic devices such as microphones. Interest in perovskite-phases such as BaTiO<sub>3</sub> and CaTiO<sub>3</sub> has led to investigations of solid state materials such as [M{Ti<sub>2</sub>(OEt)<sub>9</sub>}]<sub>2</sub> (M = Ba or Ca) (Figure 21.6b) derived from reactions of alkoxides of Ti(IV) and Ba or Ca. Titanium alkoxides are widely used in waterproofing fabrics and in heat-resistant paints. Thin films of TiO<sub>2</sub> are used in capacitors and can be deposited using Ti(IV) alkoxides such as [Ti(OEt)<sub>4</sub>]. The ethoxide is prepared from TiCl<sub>4</sub> and Na[OEt] (or from TiCl<sub>4</sub>, dry NH<sub>3</sub> and EtOH) and has a tetrameric structure (Figure 21.6c) in which each Ti is

octahedrally sited. Larger structures which retain TiO<sub>6</sub> ‘building-blocks’ can be assembled; reaction of [Ti(OEt)<sub>4</sub>] with anhydrous EtOH at 373 K gives [Ti<sub>16</sub>O<sub>16</sub>(OEt)<sub>32</sub>], while [Ti<sub>7</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(OEt)<sub>20</sub>] (Figure 21.6d) is the product if basic CuCO<sub>3</sub> is present. Similar structures are observed for vanadates (**Section 21.6**), molybdates and tungstates (**Section 22.7**).



(21.2)



(21.3)

The reaction of  $\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{TiCl}_4$  at 1320 K in a fluidized bed produces  $[\text{Cl}_3\text{Ti}(\mu\text{-O})\text{TiCl}_3]$  which reacts with  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]\text{Cl}$  to give  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{TiOCl}_4]$ . The  $[\text{TiOCl}_4]^{2-}$  ion (21.2) has a square-based pyramidal structure with the oxo ligand in the apical position. A number of peroxo complexes of Ti(IV) are known and include products of reactions between  $\text{TiO}_2$  in 40% HF and 30%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ; at pH 9 the product is  $[\text{TiF}_2(\eta^2\text{-O}_2)_2]^{2-}$  while at pH 6,  $[\text{TiF}_5(\eta^2\text{-O}_2)]^{3-}$  is formed. The dinuclear species  $[\text{Ti}_2\text{F}_6(\mu\text{-F})_2(\eta^2\text{-O}_2)_2]^{4-}$  (21.3) is made by treating  $[\text{TiF}_6]^{2-}$  with 6%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  at pH 5.


## Titanium(III)

Titanium(III) fluoride is prepared by passing  $\text{H}_2$  and HF over Ti or its hydride at 970 K; it is a blue solid (mp 1473 K) with a structure related to  $\text{ReO}_3$  (Figure 21.4). The trichloride exists in four forms ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ ). The  $\alpha$ -form (a violet solid) is prepared by reducing  $\text{TiCl}_4$  with  $\text{H}_2$  above 770 K and has a layer structure with Ti atoms in octahedral sites. The brown  $\beta$ -form is prepared by heating  $\text{TiCl}_4$  with trialkyl aluminium compounds; it is fibrous and contains face-sharing  $\text{TiCl}_6$  octahedra. The trichloride is commercially available; it is used as a catalyst in alkene polymerization and is a powerful reducing agent. In air,  $\text{TiCl}_3$  is readily oxidized, and disproportionates above 750 K (equation 21.9).



Titanium tribromide is made by heating  $\text{TiBr}_4$  with Al, or by reaction of  $\text{BBr}_3$  with  $\text{TiCl}_3$ ; it is a grey solid with a layer structure analogous to  $\alpha\text{-TiCl}_3$ . Reduction of  $\text{TiI}_4$  with Al gives violet  $\text{TiI}_3$ ; both  $\text{TiBr}_3$  and  $\text{TiI}_3$  disproportionate when heated  $>600$  K. The magnetic moment of  $\text{TiF}_3$  ( $1.75 \mu_{\text{B}}$  at 300 K) is consistent with one unpaired electron per metal centre. However, magnetic data for  $\text{TiCl}_3$ ,  $\text{TiBr}_3$  and  $\text{TiI}_3$  indicate significant Ti–Ti interactions in the solid state; for  $\text{TiCl}_3$ , the magnetic moment at 300 K is  $1.31 \mu_{\text{B}}$  and  $\text{TiBr}_3$  is only weakly paramagnetic.

When aqueous solutions of Ti(IV) are reduced by Zn, the purple aqua ion  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  is obtained (see equation 6.35 and Figure 20.4). This is a powerful reductant (equation 21.10) and is used in titrimetric analyses of Fe(III) and nitro groups (reduced to  $\text{NH}_2$  groups); aqueous solutions of Ti(III) must be protected from aerial oxidation.



In alkaline solution (partly because of the involvement of  $\text{H}^+$  in redox equilibrium 21.10, and partly because of the low solubility of the product) Ti(III) compounds liberate  $\text{H}_2$  from  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and are oxidized to  $\text{TiO}_2$ . In the absence of air, alkali precipitates hydrous  $\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_3$  from solutions of  $\text{TiCl}_3$ . Dissolution of this oxide in acids gives salts containing  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , e.g.  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Cl}_3$  and  $\text{CsTi}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , the latter being isomorphous with other alums (see Section 12.9).

Titanium(III) oxide is made by reducing  $\text{TiO}_2$  with Ti at high temperatures; it is a purple-black, insoluble solid with the corundum structure (see Section 12.7) and exhibits a transition from semiconductor to metallic character on heating above 470 K or doping with, for example, V(III). Uses of  $\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_3$  include those in thin film capacitors.

Complexes of Ti(III) usually have octahedral structures, e.g.  $[\text{TiF}_6]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{TiCl}_6]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Ti}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ , *trans*- $[\text{TiCl}_4(\text{THF})_2]^-$ , *trans*- $[\text{TiCl}_4(\text{py})_2]^-$ , *mer*- $[\text{TiCl}_3(\text{THF})_3]$ , *mer*- $[\text{TiCl}_3(\text{py})_3]$  and  $[\text{Ti}\{(\text{H}_2\text{N})_2\text{CO-O}\}_6]^{3+}$ , and magnetic moments close to the spin-only values. Examples of 7-coordinate complexes include  $[\text{Ti}(\text{EDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^-$  and  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\text{ox})_2]^-$ .

## Low oxidation states

Titanium(II) chloride, bromide and iodide can be prepared by thermal disproportionation of  $\text{TiX}_3$  (equation 21.9) or by reaction 21.11. They are red or black solids which adopt the  $\text{CdI}_2$  lattice (Figure 5.22).



With water,  $\text{TiCl}_2$ ,  $\text{TiBr}_2$  and  $\text{TiI}_2$  react violently liberating  $\text{H}_2$ ; thus, there is no aqueous chemistry of Ti(II).

Titanium(II) oxide is manufactured by heating  $\text{TiO}_2$  and Ti *in vacuo*. It is a black solid and a metallic conductor which adopts an NaCl lattice with one-sixth of both anion and cation sites unoccupied. The oxide is a non-stoichiometric compound with a composition typically in the range  $\text{TiO}_{0.82}\text{--TiO}_{1.23}$ . A commercial use of TiO is in electrochromic systems (see Box 22.4). Conducting properties of the first row metal(II) oxides are compared in Section 27.3.

Reduction of  $\text{TiCl}_3$  with Na/Hg, or of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  with Li in THF and 2,2'-bipyridine leads to violet  $[\text{Ti}(\text{bpy})_3]$ . Formally this contains Ti(0), but results of MO calculations and spectroscopic studies indicate that electron delocalization occurs such that the complex should be considered as  $[\text{Ti}^{3+}(\text{bpy}^-)_3]$ ; see also the end of Section 19.5 and discussion of complexes containing ligand 19.11 in Section 19.7.

---

### Self-study exercises

- The structure of  $\text{TiO}_2$  (rutile) is a 'prototype structure'. What does this mean? What are the coordination environments of the Ti and O centres? Give two other examples of compounds that adopt the same structure as  $\text{TiO}_2$ .**  
[Ans. see Figure 5.21 and discussion]
  - The  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  value for  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  is 3.9. To what equilibrium does this value relate? How does the strength of aqueous  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  as an acid compare with those of  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$ ,  $[\text{Al}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ ,  $\text{HNO}_2$  and  $\text{HNO}_3$ ?**  
[Ans. see equations 6.38, and 6.9, 6.14, 6.15 and 6.36]
  - What is the electronic configuration of the  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  ion? Explain why the electronic spectrum of  $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  consists of an absorption with a shoulder rather than a single absorption.**  
[Ans. see Section 20.6, after worked example 20.3]
-


## 21.6 Group 5: vanadium

### The metal

In many ways, V metal is similar to Ti. Vanadium is a powerful reductant (equation 21.12) but is passivated by an oxide film.




The metal is insoluble in non-oxidizing acids (except HF) and alkalis, but is attacked by  $\text{HNO}_3$ , *aqua regia* and peroxodisulfate solutions. On heating, V reacts with halogens (equation 21.13) and combines with  $\text{O}_2$  to give  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$ , and with B, C and  $\text{N}_2$  to yield solid state materials (see [Sections 12.10](#), [13.7](#) and [14.6](#)).



The normal oxidation states of vanadium are +5, +4, +3 and +2; 0 occurs in a few compounds with  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands, e.g.  $\text{V}(\text{CO})_6$  (see [Chapter 23](#)).

### Vanadium(V)

The only binary halide of vanadium(V) is  $\text{VF}_5$  (equation 21.13); it is a volatile white solid which is readily hydrolysed and is a powerful fluorinating agent. In the gas phase,  $\text{VF}_5$  exists as trigonal bipyramidal molecules but the solid has a polymeric structure ([21.4](#)). The salts  $\text{K}[\text{VF}_6]$  and  $[\text{Xe}_2\text{F}_{11}][\text{VF}_6]$  are made by reacting  $\text{VF}_5$  with  $\text{KF}$  or  $\text{XeF}_6$  (at 250 K) respectively.



(21.4)

The oxohalides  $\text{VOX}_3$  ( $\text{X} = \text{F}$  or  $\text{Cl}$ ) are made by halogenation of  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$ . Reaction of  $\text{VOF}_3$  with  $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{O}$  yields  $\text{VO}_2\text{F}$ , and treatment of  $\text{VOCl}_3$  with  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$  gives  $\text{VO}_2\text{Cl}$ . The oxohalides are hygroscopic and hydrolyse readily. Both  $\text{VO}_2\text{F}$  and  $\text{VO}_2\text{Cl}$  decompose on heating (equation 21.14).




Pure  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  is an orange or red powder depending on its state of division, and is manufactured by heating  $[\text{NH}_4][\text{VO}_3]$  (equation 21.15).





Vanadium(V) oxide is amphoteric, being sparingly soluble in water but dissolving in alkalis to give a wide range of


vanadates, and in strong acids to form complexes of  $[\text{VO}_2]^+$ . The species present in vanadium(V)-containing solutions depend on the pH:



This dependence can be expressed in terms of a series of equilibria such as equations 21.16–21.22.




*Isopolyanions (homopolyanions)* are complex metal oxoanions (polyoxometallates) of type  $[\text{M}_x\text{O}_y]^{n-}$ , e.g.  $[\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}]^{6-}$  and  $[\text{Mo}_6\text{O}_{19}]^{2-}$ . A *heteropolyanion* contains a hetero atom, e.g.  $[\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}]^{3-}$ .



(21.5)

The formation of polyoxometallates is a characteristic of V, Mo, W (see [Section 22.7](#)) and, to a lesser extent, Nb, Ta and Cr. Characterization of solution species is aided by  $^{17}\text{O}$  and  $^{51}\text{V}$  NMR spectroscopies, and solid state structures for a range of salts are known. The structural chemistry of  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  and vanadates is complicated and only a brief survey



**Fig. 21.7** (a) The structure of the  $[\text{V}_2\text{O}_7]^{4-}$  anion consists of two tetrahedral units sharing a common oxygen atom; (b) the structure of  $[\text{V}_4\text{O}_{12}]^{4-}$  in the salt  $[\text{Ni}(\text{bpy})_3]_2[\text{V}_4\text{O}_{12}] \cdot 11\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (X-ray diffraction) [G.-Y. Yang *et al.* (1998) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 54, p. 616]; colour code: V, yellow; O, red; (c) infinite chains of corner-sharing tetrahedral  $\text{VO}_4$  units are present in anhydrous metavanadates; this shows part of one chain in  $[n\text{-C}_6\text{H}_{13}\text{NH}_3][\text{VO}_3]$  (an X-ray diffraction determination); colour code: V, yellow; O, red [P. Roman *et al.*, (1991) *Mater. Res. Bull.*, vol. 26, p. 19]; (d) the structure of the metavanadate shown in (c) can be represented as a chain of corner-sharing tetrahedra, each tetrahedron representing a  $\text{VO}_4$  unit; (e) the structure of  $[\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}]^{6-}$  in the salt  $[\text{PrNH}_3]_6[\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (X-ray diffraction); colour code: V, yellow; O, red [M.-T. Averbuch-Pouchot *et al.* (1994) *Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 31, p. 351]; (f) in  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_5[\text{V}_{18}\text{O}_{42}\text{I}]$  (X-ray diffraction), the  $[\text{V}_{18}\text{O}_{42}]^{4-}$  ion contains square-based pyramidal  $\text{VO}_5$  units and the cage encapsulates  $\text{I}^-$ ; colour code: V, yellow; O, red; I, purple [A. Müller *et al.* (1997) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 36, p. 5239].

is given here. The structure of  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  consists of layers of edge-sharing, approximately square-based pyramids (21.5); each V centre is bonded to one O at 159 pm (apical site and not shared), one O at 178 pm (shared with one other V) and two O at 188 pm and one at 202 pm (shared with two other V atoms). Salts of  $[\text{VO}_4]^{3-}$  (orthovanadates) contain discrete tetrahedral ions, and those of  $[\text{V}_2\text{O}_7]^{4-}$

(pyrovanadates) also contain discrete anions (Figure 21.7a);  $[\text{V}_2\text{O}_7]^{4-}$  is isoelectronic and isostructural with  $[\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7]^{2-}$ . The ion  $[\text{V}_4\text{O}_{12}]^{4-}$  has a cyclic structure (Figure 21.7b). Anhydrous salts of  $[\text{VO}_3]^-$  (metavanadates) contain infinite chains of vertex-sharing  $\text{VO}_4$  units (Figures 21.7c and d). However, this structure type is not common to all metavanadates, e.g. in  $\text{KVO}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{Sr}(\text{VO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$





**Fig. 21.8** Potential diagram for vanadium at pH 0.

each V is bonded to five O atoms in a double-chain structure. The  $[\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}]^{6-}$  anion exists in solution (at appropriate pH) and has been characterized in the solid state in, for example,  $[\text{H}_3\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3]_3[\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}] \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $[\text{PrNH}_3]_6[\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Figure 21.7e). It consists of 10  $\text{VO}_6$  octahedral units with two  $\mu_6$ -O, four  $\mu_3$ -O, 14  $\mu$ -O and eight terminal O atoms. Crystalline salts of  $[\text{HV}_{10}\text{O}_{28}]^{5-}$ ,  $[\text{H}_2\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{H}_3\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}]^{3-}$  have also been isolated and the anions retain the framework shown in Figure 21.7e. Examples of isopolyanions of vanadium with open ('bowl-shaped') structures are known, e.g.  $[\text{V}_{12}\text{O}_{32}]^{4-}$ , and these may act as 'hosts' to small molecules. In  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]_4[\text{V}_{12}\text{O}_{32}] \cdot 4\text{MeCN} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , one MeCN molecule resides partially within the cavity of the anion, while an  $[\text{NO}]^-$  ion is encapsulated in  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_5[\text{NO}][\text{V}_{12}\text{O}_{32}]$ .

Reduction of yellow  $[\text{VO}_2]^+$  in acidic solution yields successively blue  $[\text{VO}]^{2+}$ , green  $\text{V}^{3+}$  and violet  $\text{V}^{2+}$ . The potential diagram in Figure 21.8 shows that all oxidation states of vanadium in aqueous solution are stable with respect to disproportionation.

## Vanadium(IV)

The highest chloride of vanadium is  $\text{VCl}_4$  (equation 21.13); it is a toxic, red-brown liquid (mp 247 K, bp 421 K) and the liquid and vapour phases contain tetrahedral molecules (21.6). It readily hydrolyses to  $\text{VOCl}_2$  (see below), and at 298 K, slowly decomposes (equation 21.23). The reaction of  $\text{VCl}_4$  with anhydrous HF gives lime-green  $\text{VF}_4$  (solid at 298 K) which is also formed with  $\text{VF}_5$  when V reacts with  $\text{F}_2$ . On heating,  $\text{VF}_4$  disproportionates (equation 21.24) in contrast to the behaviour of  $\text{VCl}_4$  (equation 21.23).



The structure of solid  $\text{VF}_4$  consists of fluorine bridged  $\text{VF}_6$ -units. Four  $\text{VF}_6$ -units are linked by V–F–V bridges to give tetrameric rings (as in  $\text{CrF}_4$ , structure 21.14) and these motifs are connected through additional fluorine bridges to form layers. Reaction between  $\text{VF}_4$  and KF in anhydrous HF gives  $\text{K}_2[\text{VF}_6]$  containing octahedral  $[\text{VF}_6]^{2-}$ . Vanadium(IV) bromide is known but decomposes at 250 K to  $\text{VBr}_3$  and  $\text{Br}_2$ .

The green oxochloride  $\text{VOCl}_2$  (prepared from  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{VCl}_3$ ) is polymeric and has a temperature-dependent

magnetic moment ( $1.40 \mu_B$  at 296 K,  $0.95 \mu_B$  at 113 K); it decomposes on heating (equation 21.25).





Figure 21.8 shows that vanadium(V) is quite a powerful oxidant, and only mild reducing agents (e.g.  $\text{SO}_2$ ) are needed to convert V(V) to V(IV). In aqueous solution, V(IV) is present as the hydrated vanadyl ion  $[\text{VO}]^{2+}$  (21.7) of which many salts are known. Anhydrous  $\text{V(O)SO}_4$  is manufactured by reducing a solution of  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  with  $\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ ; the blue solid has a polymeric structure with vertex-sharing  $\text{VO}_6$  octahedra linked by sulfate groups. The hydrate  $\text{V(O)SO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  contains octahedrally sited V(IV) involving one oxo ligand (V–O = 159 pm) and five other O atoms (from sulfate and four  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) at 198–222 pm. The reaction of  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  and Hacac (see Table 6.7) gives blue  $[\text{VO}(\text{acac})_2]$  which has a square-based pyramidal structure (21.8); this readily forms complexes with *N*-donor ligands which occupy the site *trans* to the oxo ligand. The salt  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{VOCl}_4]$  can be obtained by crystallization of a solution of  $\text{VOCl}_3$  and  $[\text{NH}_4]\text{Cl}$  in hydrochloric acid; the  $[\text{VOCl}_4]^{2-}$  ion has a square-based pyramidal structure with the oxo ligand in the apical site. This preference is seen throughout related derivatives containing the  $[\text{VO}]^{2+}$  unit; its presence is detected by a characteristic IR spectroscopic absorption around  $980 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (the corresponding value for a V–O single bond is  $\approx 480 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ).




Vanadium(IV) oxide,  $\text{VO}_2$ , is prepared by heating  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  with  $\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ . It crystallizes with a rutile lattice (Figure 5.21) which is distorted at 298 K so that pairs of V(IV) centres are alternately 262 and 317 pm apart; the shorter distance is consistent with metal–metal bonding. This polymorph is an insulator, but above 343 K, the electrical conductivity and magnetic susceptibility of  $\text{VO}_2$  increase as the regular rutile lattice is adopted. Vanadium(IV) oxide is blue but shows thermochromic behaviour (see Section 18.6). It is amphoteric, dissolving in non-oxidizing acids to give  $[\text{VO}]^{2+}$  and in alkalis to form homopolyanions such as  $[\text{V}_{18}\text{O}_{42}]^{12-}$ , the  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  salts of which can be isolated

by heating  $V(O)SO_4$  and  $MOH$  ( $M = Na$  or  $K$ ) in water at pH 14 in an inert atmosphere. The structure of  $[V_{18}O_{42}]^{12-}$  consists of square-based pyramidal  $VO_5$ -units, the apical O atoms of which are terminal (i.e.  $V=O$  units) while basal O atoms are involved in  $V-O-V$  bridges to build an almost spherical cage. Related anions such as  $[V_{18}O_{42}]^{4-}$ ,  $[V_{18}O_{42}]^{5-}$  and  $[V_{18}O_{42}]^{6-}$  formally contain V(IV) and V(V) centres. The cavity in  $[V_{18}O_{42}]^{n-}$  is able to accommodate an *anionic* guest as in  $[V_{18}O_{42}I]^{5-}$  (Figure 21.7f) or  $[H_4V_{18}O_{42}X]^{9-}$  ( $X = Cl, Br, I$ ).

### Vanadium(III)

The trihalides  $VF_3$ ,  $VCl_3$ ,  $VBr_3$  and  $VI_3$  are all known. The yellow-green, insoluble trifluoride is made from V and HF at 500 K. Vanadium(III) chloride is a violet, hygroscopic solid which dissolves in water without decomposition to give  $[V(H_2O)_6]Cl_3$ . Anhydrous  $VCl_3$  is made by decomposition of  $VCl_4$  at 420 K (equation 21.22), but above 670 K, it disproportionates to  $VCl_4$  and  $VCl_2$ . Reaction of  $VCl_3$  with  $BBr_3$ , or V with  $Br_2$ , yields  $VBr_3$ , a green-black, water-soluble solid which disproportionates to  $VBr_2$  and  $VBr_4$ . The brown, hygroscopic  $VI_3$  is made from V with  $I_2$ , and decomposes above 570 K to  $VI_2$  and  $I_2$ . All the solid trihalides adopt a structure in which the V(III) centres occupy octahedral sites in an hcp array of halogen atoms (i.e. a  $BiI_3$  prototype structure).



Vanadium(III) forms a variety of octahedral complexes, e.g. *mer*- $[VCl_3(THF)_3]$  and *mer*- $[VCl_3(^tBuNC-C)_3]$ , which have magnetic moments close to the spin-only value for a  $d^2$  ion. The  $[VF_6]^{3-}$  ion is present in simple salts such as  $K_3VF_6$ , but various extended structures are observed in other salts. The reaction of  $CsCl$  with  $VCl_3$  at 1000 K produces  $Cs_3[V_2Cl_9]$ ;  $[V_2Cl_9]^{3-}$  (21.9) is isomorphous with  $[Cr_2Cl_9]^{3-}$  and consists of two face-sharing octahedra with *no* metal–metal interaction. Examples of complexes with higher coordination numbers are known, e.g.  $[V(CN)_7]^{4-}$  (pentagonal bipyramidal) made from  $VCl_3$  and  $KCN$  in aqueous solution and isolated as the  $K^+$  salt.

The oxide  $V_2O_3$  (which, like  $Ti_2O_3$ , adopts the corundum structure, see Section 12.7) is made by partial reduction of  $V_2O_5$  using  $H_2$ , or by heating (1300 K)  $V_2O_5$  with vanadium. It is a black solid which, on cooling, exhibits a metal–insulator transition at 155 K. The oxide is exclusively basic, dissolving in acids to give  $[V(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$ . The hydrated oxide may be precipitated by adding alkali to green solutions of vanadium(III) salts. The  $[V(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$  ion is present in alums such as  $[NH_4]V(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O$  formed by electrolytic reduction of  $[NH_4][VO_3]$  in sulfuric acid.

### Vanadium(II)

Green  $VCl_2$  is made from  $VCl_3$  and  $H_2$  at 770 K and is converted to blue  $VF_2$  by reaction with HF and  $H_2$ .  $VCl_2$  can also be obtained from  $VCl_3$  as described above, and similarly brown-red  $VBr_2$  and violet  $VI_2$  can be produced from  $VBr_3$  and  $VI_3$ , respectively. Vanadium(II) fluoride crystallizes with a rutile lattice (Figure 5.21) and becomes antiferromagnetic below 40 K.  $VCl_2$ ,  $VBr_2$  and  $VI_2$  (all paramagnetic) possess  $CdI_2$  layer structures (Figure 5.22). The dihalides are water-soluble.

Vanadium(II) is present in aqueous solution as the violet, octahedral  $[V(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  ion; it can be prepared by reduction of vanadium in higher oxidation states electrolytically or using zinc amalgam. It is strongly reducing, being rapidly oxidized on exposure to air. Compounds such as Tutton salts contain  $[V(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ ; e.g.  $K_2V(SO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  is made by adding  $K_2SO_4$  to an aqueous solution of  $VSO_4$  and forms violet crystals.

A Tutton salt has the general formula  $[M^I]_2M^{II}(SO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (compare with an *alum*, Section 12.9).

Vanadium(II) oxide is a grey, metallic solid and is obtained by reduction of higher oxides at high temperatures. It is non-stoichiometric, varying in composition from  $VO_{0.8}$  to  $VO_{1.3}$ , and possesses an NaCl (Figure 5.15) or defect NaCl structure (see Section 27.2). Conducting properties of the first row metal(II) oxides are compared in Section 27.3.

Simple vanadium(II) complexes include  $[V(CN)_6]^{4-}$ , the  $K^+$  salt of which is made by reducing  $K_4[V(CN)_7]$  with K metal in liquid  $NH_3$ ; the magnetic moment of  $3.5 \mu_B$  is close to the spin-only value of  $3.87 \mu_B$ . Octahedral  $[V(NCMe)_6]^{2+}$  has been isolated in the  $[ZnCl_4]^{2-}$  salt from the reaction of  $VCl_3$  with  $Et_2Zn$  in MeCN. Treatment of  $VCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  with phen gives  $[V(phen)_3]Cl_2$ , for which  $\mu_{eff} = 3.82 \mu_B$  (300 K), consistent with octahedral  $d^3$ .

#### Self-study exercises

- The magnetic moment of a green salt  $K_n[VF_6]$  is  $2.79 \mu_B$  at 300 K. With what value of  $n$  is this consistent? [Ans.  $n = 3$ ]
- The octahedral complex  $[VL_3]$  where  $HL = CF_3COCH_2COCH_3$  (related to Hacac) exists as *fac* and *mer* isomers in solution. Draw the structures of these isomers, and comment on further isomerism exhibited by this complex. [Ans. see structures 1.28 and 1.29, Figure 3.16b, Section 19.2]
- The electronic spectrum of  $[VCl_4(bpy)]$  shows an asymmetric band:  $\lambda_{max} = 21\,300\text{ cm}^{-1}$  with a shoulder at  $17\,400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Suggest an explanation for this observation. [Ans.  $d^1$ , see Figure 20.4 and discussion]

## 21.7 Group 6: chromium



## The metal

At ordinary temperatures, Cr metal is resistant to chemical attack (although it dissolves in dilute HCl and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). This inertness is kinetic rather than thermodynamic in origin as the Cr<sup>2+</sup>/Cr and Cr<sup>3+</sup>/Cr couples in Figure 21.9 show. Nitric acid renders Cr passive, and Cr is resistant to alkalis. At higher temperatures the metal is reactive: it decomposes steam and combines with O<sub>2</sub>, halogens, and most other non-metals. Borides, carbides and nitrides (see Sections 12.10, 13.7 and 14.6) exist in various phases (e.g. CrN, Cr<sub>2</sub>N, Cr<sub>3</sub>N, Cr<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>) and are inert materials (e.g. CrN is used in wear-resistant coatings). The black sulfide Cr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> is formed by direct combination of the elements on heating; a range of other sulfides are known, but methods of synthesis vary.

The main oxidation states of chromium are +6, +3 and +2. A few compounds of Cr(V) and Cr(IV) are known, but are unstable with respect to disproportionation. Chromium(0) is stabilized by π-acceptor ligands (see Chapter 23).

## Chromium(VI)

The only halide of chromium(VI) to have been reported is yellow CrF<sub>6</sub>, produced by fluorination of the metal at 670 K and 200 bar followed by rapid chilling. The material so prepared reacts violently in moist air and decomposes at 173 K into CrF<sub>5</sub> and F<sub>2</sub>; whether or not CrF<sub>6</sub> actually exists and, if it does, the question of whether it is octahedral or trigonal prismatic, are controversial topics.<sup>†</sup> The oxohalides CrO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and CrO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> are much more stable. Fluorination of CrO<sub>3</sub> with SeF<sub>4</sub>, SF<sub>4</sub> or HF yields CrO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> (violet crystals, mp 305 K), while CrO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (red liquid, mp 176 K, bp 390 K) is prepared by heating a mixture of K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, KCl and concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Chromyl chloride is an oxidant and chlorinating agent. It has a molecular structure (21.10) and is light-sensitive and readily hydrolysed (equation 21.26); if it is added to a concentrated KCl solution, K[CrO<sub>3</sub>Cl] precipitates. Structure 21.11 shows the [CrO<sub>3</sub>Cl]<sup>-</sup> ion.



<sup>†</sup> For detailed discussion see: L.G. Vanquickenborne *et al.* (1996), *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 35, p. 1305 and references therein.




Fig. 21.9 Potential diagram for chromium at pH 0. A Frost–Ebsworth diagram for Cr is shown in Figure 7.4a.

Chromium(VI) oxide ('chromic acid'), CrO<sub>3</sub>, separates as a purple-red solid when concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is added to a solution of a dichromate(VI) salt; it is a powerful oxidant with uses in organic synthesis. It melts at 471 K and at slightly higher temperatures decomposes to Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> with CrO<sub>2</sub> formed as an intermediate. The solid state structure of CrO<sub>3</sub> consists of chains of corner-sharing tetrahedral CrO<sub>4</sub> units (as in Figure 21.7d).

Chromium(VI) oxide dissolves in base to give yellow solutions of [CrO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. This is a weak base and forms [HCrO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> and then H<sub>2</sub>CrO<sub>4</sub> as the pH is lowered (H<sub>2</sub>CrO<sub>4</sub>: pK<sub>a</sub>(1) = 0.74; pK<sub>a</sub>(2) = 6.49). In solution, these equilibria are complicated by the formation of orange dichromate(VI), [Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (equation 21.27).



Further condensation occurs at high [H<sup>+</sup>] to give [Cr<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> and [Cr<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. The structures (determined for solid state salts) of [Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> and [Cr<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> are shown in Figure 21.10; like [CrO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, they contain tetrahedral CrO<sub>4</sub> units and the chains in the di- and trinuclear species contain corner-sharing tetrahedra (i.e. as in CrO<sub>3</sub>). The [Cr<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> ion has a related structure. Higher species are not observed and thus chromates do not mimic vanadates in their structural complexity.

Complex formation by Cr(VI) requires strong π-donor ligands such as O<sup>2-</sup> or [O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. When H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is added to an acidified solution of a chromate(VI) salt, the product (formed as a solution species) is a deep violet-blue complex which contains both oxo and peroxo ligands (equation 21.28).







Fig. 21.10 Structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) [Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> in the 2-amino-5-nitropyridinium salt [J. Pecaut *et al.* (1993) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 49, p. 277], and (b) [Cr<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> in the guanidinium salt [A. Stepien *et al.* (1977) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 33, p. 2924]. Colour code: Cr, green; O, red.

In aqueous solution,  $[\text{Cr}(\text{O})(\text{O}_2)_2]$  rapidly decomposes to Cr(III) and  $\text{O}_2$ . An ethereal solution is more stable and, from it, the pyridine adduct  $[\text{Cr}(\text{O})(\text{O}_2)_2(\text{py})]$  may be isolated. In the solid state,  $[\text{Cr}(\text{O})(\text{O}_2)_2(\text{py})]$  contains an approximate pentagonal pyramidal arrangement of oxygen atoms with the oxo ligand in the apical site (Figures 21.11a and b). If each peroxo ligand is considered to occupy one rather than two coordination sites, then the coordination environment is tetrahedral (Figure 21.11c). This and related compounds (which are explosive when dry) have uses as oxidants in organic syntheses. Like other Cr(VI) compounds,  $[\text{Cr}(\text{O})(\text{O}_2)_2(\text{py})]$  has a very small paramagnetic susceptibility (arising from coupling of the diamagnetic ground state with excited states). The action of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  on neutral or slightly acidic solutions of  $[\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7]^{2-}$  (or reaction between  $[\text{Cr}(\text{O})(\text{O}_2)_2]$  and alkalis) yields diamagnetic, dangerously explosive, red-violet salts of  $[\text{Cr}(\text{O})(\text{O}_2)_2(\text{OH})]^-$ . Imido ligands  $[\text{RN}]^{2-}$  may formally replace oxo groups in Cr(VI) species, e.g.  $[\text{Cr}(\text{N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{Cl}_2]$  is structurally related to  $\text{CrO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ .

Chromium(VI) in acidic solution is a powerful oxidizing agent (equation 21.29), albeit often slow. Both  $\text{Na}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$  are manufactured on a large scale;  $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$  is less soluble than  $\text{Na}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ . Both are widely used as oxidants in organic syntheses. Commercial applications include those in tanning, corrosion inhibitors and insecticides; the use of chromated copper arsenate in wood preservatives is being discontinued on environmental grounds (see [Box 14.1](#)). Potassium dichromate(VI) is used in titrimetric analysis (e.g. reaction 21.30) and the colour change accompanying reduction of  $[\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7]^{2-}$  to  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  is the basis for some types of breathalyser units in which ethanol is oxidized to acetaldehyde. Sodium chromate(VI), also an important oxidant, is manufactured by reaction 21.31.




Chromium(VI) compounds are highly toxic (suspected carcinogens) and must be stored away from combustible materials; violent reactions occur with some organic compounds.

### Chromium(V) and chromium(IV)



Unlike  $\text{CrF}_6$ ,  $\text{CrF}_5$  is well established. It is a red, volatile solid (mp 303 K), formed by direct combination of the elements at  $\approx 570 \text{ K}$ . The vapour is yellow and contains distorted trigonal bipyramidal  $\text{CrF}_5$  molecules. It is a strong oxidizing and fluorinating agent. For Cr(V), the

<sup>†</sup> The green colour is due to a sulfato complex,  $\text{H}^+$  being supplied as sulfuric acid;  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  is violet, see later.



**Fig. 21.11** (a) The structure of  $[\text{Cr}(\text{O})(\text{O}_2)_2(\text{py})]$  determined by X-ray diffraction [R. Stomberg (1964) *Ark. Kemi*, vol. 22, p. 29]; colour code: Cr, green; O, red; N, blue; C, grey. The coordination environment can be described as (b) pentagonal pyramidal or (c) tetrahedral (see text).

fluoride is the only halide known. Pure  $\text{CrF}_4$  can be made by fluorination of Cr using  $\text{HF}/\text{F}_2$  under solvothermal conditions. The pure material is violet, but the colour of samples prepared by different routes varies (green, green-black, brown) with descriptions being affected by the presence of impurities. In the vapour,  $\text{CrF}_4$  exists as a tetrahedral molecule. Solid  $\text{CrF}_4$  is dimorphic. In  $\alpha\text{-CrF}_4$ , pairs of edge-sharing  $\text{CrF}_6$ -octahedra (21.12 and 21.13) assemble into columns through  $\text{Cr}-\text{F}-\text{Cr}$  bridges involving the atoms marked  $\text{F}_a$  in structure 21.12. In  $\beta\text{-CrF}_4$ ,  $\text{Cr}_4\text{F}_{20}$ -rings (21.14) are connected through the apical  $\text{F}_a$  atoms to generate columns, each containing four sub-columns. Compare the structures of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta\text{-CrF}_4$  with that of solid  $\text{TiF}_4$  (Figure 21.5).




Chromium(IV) chloride and bromide have been prepared but are unstable.

Chromium(IV) oxide, CrO<sub>2</sub>, is usually made by controlled decomposition of CrO<sub>3</sub>. It is a brown-black solid which has the rutile structure and is a metallic conductor (compare with VO<sub>2</sub>). It is ferromagnetic and is widely used in magnetic recording tapes.

When an acidic solution in which [Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> is oxidizing propan-2-ol is added to aqueous MnSO<sub>4</sub>, MnO<sub>2</sub> is precipitated, although acidified [Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> alone does not effect this oxidation. This observation is evidence for the participation of Cr(V) or Cr(IV) in dichromate(VI) oxidations. Under suitable conditions, it is possible to isolate salts of [CrO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> and [CrO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>4-</sup>. For example, dark blue Sr<sub>2</sub>CrO<sub>4</sub> is produced by heating SrCrO<sub>4</sub>, Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> at 1270 K, and dark green Na<sub>3</sub>CrO<sub>4</sub> results from reaction of Na<sub>2</sub>O, Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CrO<sub>4</sub> at 770 K.

Complexes of chromium(V) may be stabilized by  $\pi$ -donor ligands, e.g. [CrF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, [CrOF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, [CrOF<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> and [Cr(N<sup>t</sup>Bu)Cl<sub>3</sub>]. Peroxo complexes containing [Cr(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> are obtained by reaction of chromate(V) with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in alkaline solution; [Cr(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> has a dodecahedral structure. These salts are explosive but are less dangerous than the Cr(VI) peroxo complexes. The explosive Cr(IV) peroxo complex [Cr(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] (21.15) is formed when [Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> reacts with aqueous NH<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; a related complex is [Cr(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>.



### Self-study exercises

1. The solid state structure of [XeF<sub>5</sub>]<sup>+</sup>[CrF<sub>5</sub>]<sup>-</sup> contains infinite chains of distorted CrF<sub>6</sub> octahedra connected through *cis*-vertices. Draw part of the chain, ensuring that the 1:5 Cr:F stoichiometry is maintained.
2. Assuming that the cations in [XeF<sub>5</sub>]<sup>+</sup>[CrF<sub>5</sub>]<sup>-</sup> are discrete, what geometry for each cation would be consistent with the VSEPR model?

[For the answers to both exercises, see: K. Lutar *et al.* (1998) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 37, p. 3002]


### Chromium(III)

The +3 oxidation state is the most stable for chromium in its compounds and octahedral coordination dominates for Cr(III) centres. Table 20.3 shows the large LFSE associated with the octahedral *d*<sup>3</sup> configuration, and Cr(III) complexes are generally kinetically inert (see Section 25.2).

Anhydrous CrCl<sub>3</sub> (red-violet solid, mp 1425 K) is made from the metal and Cl<sub>2</sub>, and is converted to green CrF<sub>3</sub>

by heating with HF at 750 K. Solid CrF<sub>3</sub> is isostructural with VF<sub>3</sub>, and CrCl<sub>3</sub> adopts a BiI<sub>3</sub> structure. The dark green tribromide and triiodide can be prepared from Cr and the respective halogen and are isostructural with CrCl<sub>3</sub>. Chromium(III) trifluoride is sparingly soluble and may be precipitated as the hexahydrate. The formation of and hydrate isomerism in CrCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O were described in Section 19.8. Although pure CrCl<sub>3</sub> is insoluble in water, addition of a trace of Cr(II) (e.g. CrCl<sub>2</sub>) results in dissolution; the fast redox reaction between Cr(III) in the CrCl<sub>3</sub> lattice and Cr(II) in solution is followed by rapid substitution of Cl<sup>-</sup> by H<sub>2</sub>O at the solid surface since Cr(II) is labile (see Chapter 25).


Chromium(III) oxide is made by combination of the elements at high temperature, by reduction of CrO<sub>3</sub>, or by reaction 21.32. It has the corundum structure (Section 12.7) and is semiconducting and antiferromagnetic (*T*<sub>N</sub> = 310 K). Commercially Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is used in abrasives and is an important green pigment; the dihydrate (*Guignet's green*) is used in paints. Traces of Cr(III) in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> give rise to the red colour of rubies (see Section 12.2).



Large numbers of mononuclear, octahedral Cr(III) complexes are known with magnetic moments close to the spin-only value of 3.87  $\mu_B$  (Table 20.7); the electronic spectra of octahedral *d*<sup>3</sup> complexes contain three absorptions due to '*d-d*' transitions (see Figure 20.18). Selected examples of octahedral chromium(III) complexes are [Cr(acac)<sub>3</sub>], [Cr(ox)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>, [Cr(en)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>, [Cr(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>, *cis*- and *trans*-[Cr(en)<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, *trans*-[CrCl<sub>2</sub>(MeOH)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, [Cr(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> and [Cr(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> ([S<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> is didentate, see Figure 15.11 for related structures). Complex halides include [CrF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>, [CrCl<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> and [Cr<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>. Violet Cs<sub>3</sub>[Cr<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>] is made by reaction 21.33; [Cr<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> is isostructural with [V<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> (21.9) and magnetic data are consistent with the presence of three unpaired electrons per Cr(III) centre, i.e. *no* Cr–Cr interaction.




Pale violet [Cr(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> is obtained in aqueous solution when [Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> is reduced by SO<sub>2</sub> or by EtOH and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> below 200 K. The commonest salt containing [Cr(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> is chrome alum, KCr(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·12H<sub>2</sub>O; [Cr(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> has been structurally characterized in the solid state in a number of salts, e.g. [Me<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>][Cr(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>][SO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (av. Cr–O = 196 pm). From aqueous solutions of Cr(III) salts, alkali precipitates Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> which dissolves to give [Cr(OH)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>. The hexaaqua ion is quite acidic (*pK*<sub>a</sub> ≈ 4) and hydroxo-bridged species are present in solution (see equation 6.38 and accompanying discussion); Figure 21.12 shows the structure of [Cr<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -OH)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>4+</sup>. Addition of NH<sub>3</sub> to aqueous solutions of [Cr(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> results in the slow formation of ammine complexes; it is preferable to use Cr(II) precursors since substitution is faster (see Chapter 25). The dinuclear




**Fig. 21.12** The structure of  $[\text{Cr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8(\mu\text{-OH})_2]^{4+}$  determined by X-ray diffraction for the mesitylene-2-sulfonate salt; the *non-bonded* Cr...Cr separation is 301 pm [L. Spiccia *et al.* (1987) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 26, p. 474]. Colour code: Cr, green; O, red; H, white.

complex **21.16** is reversibly converted to the oxo-bridged **21.17** in the presence of alkali (equation 21.34).




The two Cr(III) ( $d^3$ ) centres in complex **21.17** are antiferromagnetically coupled and this is rationalized in terms of ( $d-p$ ) $\pi$ -bonding involving Cr  $d$  and O  $p$  orbitals (diagram **21.18**). Weak antiferromagnetic coupling also occurs between the Cr(III) centres in trinuclear complexes of type  $[\text{Cr}_3\text{L}_3(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CR})_6(\mu_3\text{-O})]^{+}$  (Figure 21.13).




## Chromium(II)

Anhydrous  $\text{CrF}_2$ ,  $\text{CrCl}_2$  and  $\text{CrBr}_2$  are made by reacting Cr with HX (X = F, Cl, Br) at  $>850\text{ K}$ ;  $\text{CrI}_2$  is formed by



(a)



**Fig. 21.13** A representative member of the  $[\text{Cr}_3\text{L}_3(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CR})_6(\mu_3\text{-O})]^{+}$  family of complexes: (a) the structure of  $[\text{Cr}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_6(\mu_3\text{-O})]^{+}$  (X-ray diffraction) in the hydrated chloride salt [C.E. Anson *et al.* (1997) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 36, p. 1265], and (b) a schematic representation of the same complex. In (a), the H atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Cr, green; O, red; C, grey.

heating the elements. The fluoride and chloride adopt distorted rutile structures (Figure 5.21), while  $\text{CrBr}_2$  and  $\text{CrI}_2$  crystallize with distorted  $\text{CdI}_2$  structures (Figure 5.22); the distortions arise from the Jahn-Teller effect (high-spin  $d^4$ ). Crystals of  $\text{CrCl}_2$  are colourless but dissolve in water to give blue solutions of the strongly reducing hexaaqua ion. Solutions of  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  are usually obtained by dissolving Cr in acids or by reduction (Zn amalgam or electrolytically) of Cr(III)-containing solutions. Hydrated salts such as  $\text{Cr}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CrCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CrSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  may be isolated from solution, but cannot be dehydrated without decomposition.

## Self-study exercises

1.  $\text{CrI}_2$  adopts a distorted  $\text{CdI}_2$  structure. What is the environment about each Cr(II) centre?

[Ans. See Figure 5.22; Cr replaces Cd]

2. In  $\text{CrBr}_2$ , four Cr–Br distances are 254 pm and two are 300 pm. What is the *d* electron configuration of the Cr centre? Explain the origin of the difference in bond lengths.


[Ans.  $d^4$ ; see Section 20.3]

For the  $\text{Cr}^{3+}/\text{Cr}^{2+}$  couple,  $E^\circ = -0.41 \text{ V}$ , and Cr(II) compounds slowly liberate  $\text{H}_2$  from water, as well as undergo oxidation by  $\text{O}_2$  (see *worked example 7.4*). The potential diagram in Figure 21.9 shows that Cr(II) compounds are just stable with respect to disproportionation. The study of the oxidation of  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$  species has played an important role in establishing the mechanisms of redox reactions (see *Chapter 25*).


Complexes of Cr(II) include halide anions such as  $[\text{CrX}_3]^-$ ,  $[\text{CrX}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{CrX}_5]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{CrX}_6]^{4-}$ . Despite the range of formulae, the Cr(II) centres in the solids are usually octahedrally sited, e.g.  $[\text{CrCl}_3]^-$  consists of chains of distorted face-sharing octahedra, the distortion being a Jahn–Teller effect. Some of these salts show interesting magnetic properties. For example, salts of  $[\text{CrCl}_4]^{2-}$  show *ferromagnetic coupling* (as opposed to antiferromagnetic coupling which is a more common phenomenon, see *Section 20.8*) at low temperatures, with  $T_C$  values in the range 40–60 K; communication between the metal centres is through Cr–Cl–Cr bridging interactions.

## Chromium–chromium multiple bonds

Chromium(II) carboxylates are dimers of general formula  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CR})_4]$  or  $[\text{Cr}_2\text{L}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CR})_4]$  and are examples of *d*-block metal complexes that involve metal–metal multiple bonding. For example, red  $[\text{Cr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_4]$  is precipitated when aqueous  $\text{CrCl}_2$  is added to saturated aqueous  $\text{Na}[\text{MeCO}_2]$ . Figure 21.14 shows the structures of  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CC}_6\text{H}_2\text{-2,4,6-}^i\text{Pr}_3)_4]$  and  $[\text{Cr}_2(\text{py})_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_4]$ . The significant difference between these two compounds is the presence of axial ligands, i.e. the pyridine ligands in the latter complex. Even when no axial ligands are present, association can occur in the solid state as is observed in  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_4]$  (21.19). In  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CC}_6\text{H}_2\text{-2,4,6-}^i\text{Pr}_3)_4]$ , the steric demands of the aryl substituents prevent association and the solid contains discrete molecules (Figure 21.14a).




(21.19)






**Fig. 21.14** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CC}_6\text{H}_2\text{-2,4,6-}^i\text{Pr}_3)_4]$  with only the *ipso*-C atoms of the aryl substituents shown [F.A. Cotton *et al.* (2000) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 122, p. 416], and (b)  $[\text{Cr}_2(\text{py})_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_4]$  with H atoms omitted for clarity [F.A. Cotton *et al.* (1980) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 19, p. 328]. Colour code: Cr, green; O, red; C, grey; N, blue.

Compounds of the type  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CR})_4]$  and  $[\text{Cr}_2\text{L}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CR})_4]$  are *diamagnetic*, possess *short* Cr–Cr bonds (cf. 258 pm in Cr metal), and have eclipsed ligand conformations. These properties are consistent with the Cr(II) *d* electrons being involved in *quadruple* bond formation. For the bridging ligands in  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CR})_4]$  to be eclipsed is less surprising than in complexes with monodentate ligands, e.g.  $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  (see *Section 22.8*), but the observation is a key feature in the description of the metal–metal quadruple bond. The bonding in  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CR})_4]$  can be described as shown in Figure 21.15. The Cr atoms are defined to lie on the *z* axis, and each Cr atom uses four (*s*,  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ ) of its nine atomic orbitals to form Cr–O bonds. Now allow mixing of the  $p_z$  and  $d_{z^2}$  orbitals to give two hybrid orbitals directed along the *z* axis. Each Cr atom has four orbitals available for metal–metal bonding:  $d_{xz}$ ,  $d_{yz}$ ,  $d_{xy}$  and one  $p_z d_{z^2}$  hybrid, with the second  $p_z d_{z^2}$  hybrid being non-bonding and pointing outwards from the Cr–Cr-unit (see below). Figure 21.15a shows that overlap of the metal  $p_z d_{z^2}$  hybrid orbitals leads to  $\sigma$ -bond formation, while  $d_{xz}-d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}-d_{yz}$  overlap gives a degenerate pair of  $\pi$ -orbitals. Finally, overlap of the  $d_{xy}$  orbitals gives rise to a  $\delta$ -bond. The degree of overlap follows the order  $\sigma > \pi > \delta$  and Figure 21.15b shows an approximate energy level diagram for the  $\sigma$ ,  $\pi$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\sigma^*$ ,  $\pi^*$  and  $\delta^*$  MOs. Each Cr(II) centre provides four electrons for Cr–Cr bond formation and these occupy the MOs in Figure 21.15b to give a  $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2$  configuration, i.e. a quadruple bond. A consequence of this bonding picture is that the  $\delta$  component forces the two  $\text{CrO}_4$ -units to be eclipsed. The red colour of  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_4]$  ( $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 520 \text{ nm}$ , see *Table 19.2*) and related complexes can be understood in terms of the  $\delta$ - $\delta^*$  energy gap and a  $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^1\delta^{*1} \leftarrow \sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2$  transition.



**Fig. 21.15** (a) The formation of  $\sigma$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\delta$  components of a metal–metal quadruple bond by overlap of appropriate metal orbitals. Both the  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$  atomic orbitals are used to form  $\pi$ -bonds, but either the  $d_{xy}$  or  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  atomic orbital is used for  $\delta$ -bond formation, the choice being arbitrary depending on which has been ‘chosen’ for Cr–O bond formation. (b) Approximate energy levels of the metal–metal bonding and antibonding MOs.

A  $\delta$ -bond is formed by the face-on overlap of two  $d_{xz}$  (or two  $d_{yz}$ , or two  $d_{xy}$ ) orbitals. The resultant MO possesses two nodal planes that contain the internuclear axis:




This bonding description for  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CR})_4]$  leaves a non-bonding, outward-pointing  $p_z d_{z^2}$  hybrid orbital per Cr atom (21.20); complex formation with donors such as  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and pyridine (Figure 21.14b) occurs by donation of a lone pair of electrons into each vacant orbital. The Cr–Cr bond length increases significantly when axial ligands are introduced, e.g. 197 to 239 pm on going from  $[\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CC}_6\text{H}_2\text{-2,4,6-}^i\text{Pr}_3)_4]$  to  $[\text{Cr}_2(\text{MeCN})_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CC}_6\text{H}_2\text{-2,4,6-}^i\text{Pr}_3)_4]$ .

## 21.8 Group 7: manganese


### The metal

Metallic Mn is slowly attacked by water and dissolves readily in acids (e.g. equation 21.35). The finely divided metal is pyrophoric in air, but the bulk metal is not attacked unless heated (equation 21.36). At elevated temperatures, it combines with most non-metals, e.g.  $\text{N}_2$  (equation 21.37), halogens (equation 21.38), C, Si and B (see Sections 12.10, 13.7 and 14.6).



Manganese exhibits the widest range of oxidation states of any of the first row  $d$ -block metals; the lowest states are stabilized by  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands, usually in organometallic complexes (see Chapter 23). However, dissolution of Mn powder in air-free aqueous NaCN gives the Mn(I) complex  $\text{Na}_5[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]$ .

Section 21.8 describes Mn(II)–Mn(VII) species and a potential diagram for manganese was given in Figure 7.2; a Frost–Ebsworth diagram was shown in Figure 7.3. On going from Cr to Mn, there is an abrupt change in the stability with respect to oxidation of  $\text{M}^{2+}$  (equation 21.39); the difference in  $E^\circ$  values arises from the much higher third ionization energy of Mn (see Table 21.1). All oxidation states above Mn(II) are powerful oxidizing agents.




## Manganese(VII)

Binary halides of Mn(VII) have not been isolated. The oxohalides  $\text{MnO}_3\text{F}$  and  $\text{MnO}_3\text{Cl}$  may be made by reacting  $\text{KMnO}_4$  with  $\text{HSO}_3\text{X}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{F}$  or  $\text{Cl}$ ) at low temperature; both are powerful oxidants and decompose explosively at room temperature. Both  $\text{MnO}_3\text{F}$  and  $\text{MnO}_3\text{Cl}$  have molecular ( $C_{3v}$ ) structures. The oxo and imido,  $[\text{RN}]^{2-}$ , groups are isoelectronic, and compounds of the type  $\text{Mn}(\text{NR})_3\text{Cl}$  have been prepared by reacting a complex of  $\text{MnCl}_3$  with  $\text{RNH}(\text{SiMe}_3)$ ; the chloride group in  $\text{Mn}(\text{NR})_3\text{Cl}$  can be substituted by a range of anions (Figure 21.16).


Manganese(VII) chemistry is dominated by the manganate(VII) ion (permanganate). The potassium salt,  $\text{KMnO}_4$ , is a strong oxidizing agent and is corrosive to human tissue; it is manufactured on a large scale (see [Box 21.4](#)) by conversion of  $\text{MnO}_2$  to  $\text{K}_2\text{MnO}_4$  followed by electrolytic oxidation. In analytical chemistry, Mn determination involves oxidation of Mn(II) to  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  by bismuthate, periodate or peroxydisulfate. Solid  $\text{KMnO}_4$  forms dark purple-black crystals and is isostructural with  $\text{KClO}_4$ ; tetrahedral  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  ions have equivalent bonds ( $\text{Mn}-\text{O} = 163 \text{ pm}$ ). Aqueous solutions of  $\text{KMnO}_4$  deposit  $\text{MnO}_2$  on standing. Although  $\text{KMnO}_4$  is insoluble in benzene, the addition of the cyclic ether 18-crown-6 results in the formation of the soluble  $[\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})][\text{MnO}_4]$  (see [Section 10.8](#)). Potassium permanganate is intensely coloured owing to charge transfer ( $\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Mn}$ ). It also shows weak temperature-independent paramagnetism arising from the coupling of the diamagnetic ground state of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  with paramagnetic excited states under the influence of a magnetic field.

The free acid  $\text{HMnO}_4$  can be obtained by low-temperature evaporation of its aqueous solution (made by ion exchange). It is a violent oxidizing agent and explodes above 273 K. The anhydride of  $\text{HMnO}_4$  is  $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$ , made by the action of concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  on pure  $\text{KMnO}_4$ . It is a green, hygroscopic, highly explosive liquid, unstable above 263 K (equation 21.40) and has molecular structure [21.21](#).




e.g.  $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{O}_2\text{CMe}, \text{OC}_6\text{F}_5$

(a)



(b)

 **Fig. 21.16** (a) Examples of manganese(VII) imido complexes. (b) The structure of  $[\text{Mn}(\text{N}^t\text{Bu})_3(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})]$  determined by X-ray diffraction [A.A. Danopoulos *et al.* (1994) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 1037]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Mn, orange; N, blue; O, red; C, grey.






## APPLICATIONS

### Box 21.4 $\text{KMnO}_4$ : a powerful oxidant at work


About 0.05 Mt per year of  $\text{KMnO}_4$  are manufactured worldwide. Although this amount does not compete with those of inorganic chemicals such as  $\text{CaO}$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{TiO}_2$  and the major mineral acids, the role of  $\text{KMnO}_4$  as an oxidizing agent is nonetheless extremely important. In addition to oxidations of organic compounds in industrial manufacturing processes,  $\text{KMnO}_4$  is used in water purification where it is preferable to  $\text{Cl}_2$  for two reasons: it does not

affect the taste of the water, and  $\text{MnO}_2$  (produced on reduction) is a coagulant for particulate impurities. The oxidizing power of  $\text{KMnO}_4$  is also applied to the removal of impurities, for example in the purification of  $\text{MeOH}$ ,  $\text{EtOH}$ ,  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$  and  $\text{NC}(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{CN}$  (a precursor in nylon manufacturing). Some commercial bleaching processes use  $\text{KMnO}_4$ , e.g. bleaching some cotton fabrics, jute fibres and beeswax.

Equations 21.41–21.43 show reductions of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  to Mn(VI), Mn(IV) and Mn(II) respectively.




The  $\text{H}^+$  concentration plays an important part in influencing which reduction takes place (see [Section 7.2](#)). Although many reactions of  $\text{KMnO}_4$  can be understood by considering redox potentials, kinetic factors are also important. Permanganate at pH 0 should oxidize water, but in practice the reaction is extremely slow. It should also oxidize  $[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$  at room temperature, but reaction 21.44 is very slow unless  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  is added or the temperature is raised.




Many studies have been made on the mechanism of such reactions and, as in oxidations by  $[\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7]^{2-}$ , it has been shown that intermediate oxidation states are involved.

## Manganese(VI)


No binary halides of Mn(VI) have been isolated, and the only oxohalide is  $\text{MnO}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (21.22). It is prepared by reducing  $\text{KMnO}_4$  with  $\text{SO}_2$  at low temperature in  $\text{HSO}_3\text{Cl}$ , and is a brown liquid which readily hydrolyses and decomposes at 240 K.



Salts of dark green  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{2-}$  are made by fusing  $\text{MnO}_2$  with group 1 metal hydroxides in the presence of air, or by reaction 21.45. This oxidation may be reversed by reaction 21.46.



Manganate(VI) is unstable with respect to disproportionation (equation 21.47) in the presence of even weak acids such as  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$  and is therefore not formed in the reduction of acidified  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ .






The  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{2-}$  ion is tetrahedral (Mn–O = 166 pm), and  $\text{K}_2\text{MnO}_4$  is isomorphous with  $\text{K}_2\text{CrO}_4$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ . At 298 K, the magnetic moment of  $\text{K}_2\text{MnO}_4$  is  $1.75 \mu_{\text{B}}$  ( $d^1$ ).

The tetrahedral anion  $[\text{Mn}(\text{N}^t\text{Bu})_4]^{2-}$  (an imido analogue of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{2-}$ ) is made by treating  $\text{Mn}(\text{N}^t\text{Bu})_3\text{Cl}$  with  $\text{Li}[\text{NH}^t\text{Bu}]$ .

## Manganese(V)

Although studies of the  $\text{MnF}_3/\text{F}_2$  system indicate the existence of  $\text{MnF}_5$  in the gas phase, binary halides of Mn(V) have not been isolated. The only oxohalide is  $\text{MnOCl}_3$  (21.23) which is made by reacting  $\text{KMnO}_4$  with  $\text{CHCl}_3$  in  $\text{HSO}_3\text{Cl}$ . Above 273 K,  $\text{MnOCl}_3$  decomposes, and in moist air, it hydrolyses to  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{3-}$ . Salts of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{3-}$  are blue and moisture-sensitive; the most accessible are  $\text{K}_3[\text{MnO}_4]$  and  $\text{Na}_3[\text{MnO}_4]$ , made by reduction of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  in concentrated aqueous  $\text{KOH}$  or  $\text{NaOH}$  at 273 K. Solutions of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{3-}$  must be strongly alkaline to prevent disproportionation which occurs readily in weakly alkaline (equation 21.48) or acidic (equation 21.49) media.




The tetrahedral structure of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{3-}$  has been confirmed in the solid state in  $\text{Na}_{10}\text{Li}_2(\text{MnO}_4)_4$ ; the Mn–O bonds are longer (170 pm) than in manganate(VI) or manganate(VII). Magnetic moments of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{3-}$  salts are typically  $\approx 2.8 \mu_{\text{B}}$ .

### Self-study exercises


1. Values of  $\Delta_{\text{tet}}$  for  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  have been estimated from electronic spectroscopic data to be 11 000, 19 000 and  $26\,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  respectively. Comment on this trend. [Ans. see discussion of trends in Table 20.2]
2. Values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $\text{K}_2\text{MnO}_4$  and  $\text{K}_3\text{MnO}_4$  are 1.75 and  $2.80 \mu_{\text{B}}$  (298 K) respectively, while  $\text{KMnO}_4$  is diamagnetic. Rationalize these observations. [Ans. relate to  $d^n$  configuration; see Table 20.7]

## Manganese(IV)

The only binary halide of Mn(IV) is  $\text{MnF}_4$ , prepared from the elements. It is an unstable blue solid which decomposes at ambient temperatures (equation 21.50). Crystalline  $\text{MnF}_4$  is dimorphic. The building blocks in  $\alpha\text{-MnF}_4$  are tetramers like those in  $\text{VF}_4$  and  $\beta\text{-CrF}_4$  (21.14). However, in these three metal fluorides, the assembly of the tetramers differs and in  $\alpha\text{-MnF}_4$ , they are linked to give a three-dimensional network.




Manganese(IV) oxide is polymorphic and often markedly non-stoichiometric. Only the high-temperature  $\beta$ -form has the stoichiometry  $\text{MnO}_2$  and adopts the rutile structure (Figure 5.21). It acts as an oxidizing agent when heated with concentrated acids (e.g. reaction 21.51).



Hydrated forms of  $\text{MnO}_2$  are extremely insoluble and are often obtained as dark black-brown precipitates in redox reactions involving  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  (equation 21.42) when the  $[\text{H}^+]$  is insufficient to allow reduction to  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ .

The reaction of  $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$  with  $\text{CaCO}_3$  at 1400 K yields  $\text{Ca}_2\text{MnO}_4$ , which formally contains  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{4-}$ . However,  $\text{Ca}_2\text{MnO}_4$  crystallizes with a layer structure in which each Mn(IV) centre is in an octahedral  $\text{MnO}_6$  environment, and isolated  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{4-}$  ions are not present.

The coordination chemistry of Mn(IV) is limited. Mononuclear complexes include  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{MnF}_6]^{2-}$ . The cyano complex is made by oxidizing  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  and has a magnetic moment of  $3.94 \mu_{\text{B}}$ . Salts of  $[\text{MnF}_6]^{2-}$  also have values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  close to the spin-only value of  $3.87 \mu_{\text{B}}$ ;  $[\text{MnF}_6]^{2-}$  is prepared by fluorinating mixtures of chlorides or by reducing  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  in aqueous HF. Reaction 21.52 shows the first practicable non-electrolytic method of producing  $\text{F}_2$ .




The structure of  $\text{K}_2\text{MnF}_6$  is a prototype for some  $\text{AB}_2\text{X}_6$  systems (e.g.  $\text{Cs}_2\text{FeF}_6$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{PdF}_6$ ). It is best considered as a close-packed array of  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{F}^-$  ions in an alternating cubic-hexagonal sequence; the  $\text{Mn}^{4+}$  centres occupy some of the octahedral holes such that they are surrounded by six  $\text{F}^-$  ions giving  $[\text{MnF}_6]^{2-}$  ions present in the lattice. Closely related lattice types are  $\text{K}_2\text{GeF}_6$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_6$  in which the  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{X}^-$  ions in each compound form hcp or ccp arrays respectively.<sup>†</sup>

### Self-study exercises


1. Calculate  $\mu(\text{spin-only})$  for  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{2-}$ . [Ans.  $3.87 \mu_{\text{B}}$ ]
2. Explain why orbital contributions to the magnetic moments of  $[\text{MnF}_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{2-}$  are not important. [Ans. electronic configuration  $t_{2g}^3$ ; see Section 20.8]
3. In the electronic spectrum of  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{2-}$ , one might expect to see three absorptions arising from spin-allowed transitions. What would be the assignments of these transitions? [Ans. See Figure 20.18 and discussion]

<sup>†</sup> For detailed descriptions of these lattice types, see A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry* 5th edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford, p. 458.



**Fig. 21.17** The structure of  $[\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4(\text{bpy})_2]$ , a model compound for the manganese cluster in Photosystem II. The structure was determined by X-ray diffraction at 110 K; H atoms are omitted for clarity [S. Bhaduri *et al.* (2002) *Chem Commun.*, p. 2352]. Colour code: Mn, orange; N, blue; C, grey; O, red.

A series of multinuclear manganese complexes have been studied as models for the enzyme *Photosystem II* (PSII) which converts  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to  $\text{O}_2$  (equation 21.53).



It is proposed that the site within PSII that facilitates reaction 21.53 is an  $\text{Mn}_4$  cluster with an  $[\text{Mn}(\mu\text{-O})_2\text{Mn}(\mu\text{-O})_2\text{Mn}]$  unit in combination with a fourth Mn centre. Electron transfer involves the four Mn centres undergoing a sequence of redox steps, the fully oxidized and reduced states being  $\{\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}_3\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}\}$  and  $\{\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_3\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\}$  respectively. Recent crystallographic data<sup>‡</sup> for PSII obtained from the bacteria *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* and *Thermosynechococcus vulcanus* confirm the presence of an  $\text{Mn}_4$ -cluster, coordinated by donor atoms in the polypeptide backbones of the enzyme. The arrangement of the metal atoms appears to be planar or near-planar. An example of a model complex for this system that contains an  $[\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}_3(\mu\text{-O})_4]^{4+}$  core, is shown in Figure 21.17.

### Manganese(III)

The only binary halide of Mn(III) is the red-purple  $\text{MnF}_3$  which is made by the action of  $\text{F}_2$  on Mn(II) halides at 520 K. It is thermally stable but is immediately hydrolysed by water. The solid state structure of  $\text{MnF}_3$  is related to those of  $\text{TiF}_3$ ,  $\text{VF}_3$ ,  $\text{CrF}_3$ ,  $\text{FeF}_3$  and  $\text{CoF}_3$  but is Jahn–Teller distorted (high-spin  $d^4$ ); there are, however, *three*

<sup>‡</sup> See: N. Kamiya *et al.* (2003) *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, vol. 100, p. 98; A. Zouni *et al.* (2001) *Nature*, vol. 409, p. 739.


pairs of Mn–F distances (179, 191 and 209 pm) rather than the distortions shown in structures **20.5** and **20.6**. At room temperature, the magnetic moment of  $\text{MnF}_3$  is  $4.94 \mu_{\text{B}}$ , but on cooling,  $\text{MnF}_3$  becomes antiferromagnetic ( $T_{\text{N}} = 43 \text{ K}$ ) (see [Section 20.8](#)).

The black oxide  $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$  (the  $\alpha$ -form) is obtained when  $\text{MnO}_2$  is heated at 1070 K or (in the hydrous form) by oxidation of Mn(II) in alkaline media. At higher temperatures, it forms  $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4$ , a normal spinel ( $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_2\text{O}_4$ , see [Box 12.6](#)) but with the Mn(III) centres being Jahn–Teller distorted. The Mn atoms in  $\alpha$ - $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$  are in distorted octahedral  $\text{MnO}_6$  sites (elongated, diagram **20.5**); the structure differs from the corundum structure adopted by  $\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ . Whereas  $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$  is *antiferromagnetic* below 80 K,  $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4$  is *ferrimagnetic* below 43 K.


Most complexes of Mn(III) are octahedral, high-spin  $d^4$  and are Jahn–Teller distorted. The red aqua ion  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  can be obtained by electrolytic oxidation of aqueous  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  and is present in the alum  $\text{CsMn}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; this, surprisingly, shows no Jahn–Teller distortion, at least down to 78 K. In aqueous solution,  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  is appreciably hydrolysed (see [Section 6.7](#)) and polymeric cations are present. It is also unstable with respect to disproportionation (equation 21.54) as expected from the potentials in [Figures 7.2](#) and [7.3](#); it is less unstable in the presence of high concentrations of  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  or  $\text{H}^+$  ions.



The  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  ion is stabilized by hard ligands including  $\text{F}^-$ ,  $[\text{PO}_4]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$  or  $[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$ . The pink colour sometimes seen before the end of the permanganate–oxalate titration (equation 21.44) is due to an oxalato complex of Mn(III). The salt  $\text{Na}_3[\text{MnF}_6]$  is made by heating NaF with  $\text{MnF}_3$ , and reaction of  $\text{MnO}_2$  with  $\text{KHF}_2$  in aqueous HF gives  $\text{K}_3[\text{MnF}_6]$ ; both salts are violet and have magnetic moments of  $4.9 \mu_{\text{B}}$  (298 K) consistent with the spin-only value for high-spin  $d^4$ . Reaction of NaF with  $\text{MnF}_3$  in aqueous HF yields pink  $\text{Na}_2[\text{MnF}_5]$  which contains chains of distorted octahedral Mn(III) centres (**21.24**) in the solid state. Salts of  $[\text{MnF}_4]^-$  also crystallize with the Mn centres in Jahn–Teller distorted octahedral sites, e.g.  $\text{CsMnF}_4$  has a layer structure (**21.25**). However, in salts of  $[\text{MnCl}_5]^{2-}$  for which solid state data are available, discrete square-based pyramidal anions are present. Contrasting structures are also observed in the related complexes  $[\text{Mn}(\text{N}_3)(\text{acac})_2]$  and  $[\text{Mn}(\text{NCS}-N)(\text{acac})_2]$ ; whereas the azido ligand presents two nitrogen donors to adjacent Mn(III) centres to produce a chain polymer, the thiocyanate ligand binds only through the hard *N*-donor leaving the soft *S*-donor uncoordinated (Figure 21.18). The complex  $[\text{Mn}(\text{acac})_3]$  (obtained from  $\text{MnCl}_2$  and  $[\text{acac}]^-$  followed by oxidation with  $\text{KMnO}_4$ ) is also of structural interest; it is dimorphic, crystallizing in one form with an elongated octahedral coordination sphere (**20.5**) while in the other it is compressed (**20.6**).



**Fig. 21.18** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of the Mn(III) complexes (a)  $[\text{Mn}(\text{N}_3)(\text{acac})_2]$  which forms polymeric chains [B.R. Stults *et al.* (1975) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 14, p. 722] and (b)  $[\text{Mn}(\text{NCS}-N)(\text{acac})_2]$  [B.R. Stults *et al.* (1979) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 18, p. 1847]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Mn, orange; C, grey; O, red; N, blue; S, yellow.



The only well-known low-spin complex of Mn(III) is the dark red  $\text{K}_3[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]$ , made from KCN and  $\text{K}_3[\text{MnF}_6]$  or by oxidation of  $\text{K}_4[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]$  using 3%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ . As expected for low-spin  $d^4$ ,  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  has a regular octahedral structure (Mn–C = 198 pm).

### Self-study exercises

1. Explain why  $[\text{MnF}_6]^{3-}$  is Jahn–Teller distorted, but  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  is not.  
[Ans. see structures 20.5 and 20.6 and discussion]
2. Write down expressions for the CFSE of high- and low-spin octahedral  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  in terms of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  and the pairing energy,  $P$ .  
[Ans. see Table 20.3]

3. Green solutions of  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  contain  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{OH})]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Mn}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8(\mu\text{-OH})_2]^{4+}$ . Explain how these species arise, including equations for appropriate equilibria. How might  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  be stabilized in aqueous solution?

[Ans. see Section 6.7]

## Manganese(II)

Manganese(II) salts are obtained from  $\text{MnO}_2$  by a variety of methods; the soluble  $\text{MnCl}_2$  and  $\text{MnSO}_4$  result from heating  $\text{MnO}_2$  with the appropriate concentrated acid (equations 21.51 and 21.55). The sulfate is commercially made by this route ( $\text{MnO}_2$  being supplied as the mineral pyrolusite) and is commonly encountered as the hydrate  $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .




Insoluble  $\text{MnCO}_3$  is obtained by precipitation from solutions containing  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ; however, the carbonate so obtained contains hydroxide. Pure  $\text{MnCO}_3$  can be made by reaction of manganese(II) acetate or hydroxide with supercritical  $\text{CO}_2$  (see Section 8.13).

Manganese(II) salts are characteristically very pale pink or colourless. For the  $d^5 \text{Mn}^{2+}$  ion in an octahedral high-spin complex, ‘ $d-d$ ’ transitions are both spin- and Laporte-forbidden (see Section 20.6). Although the electronic spectrum of  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  does contain several absorptions, they are all weaker by a factor of  $\approx 10^2$  than those arising from spin-allowed transitions of other first row metal ions. The weak absorptions observed for  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  arise from promotion of an electron to give various excited states containing only three unpaired electrons.

All four halides of Mn(II) are known. Hydrates of  $\text{MnF}_2$  and  $\text{MnBr}_2$  are prepared from  $\text{MnCO}_3$  and aqueous HF or HBr and the anhydrous salts are then obtained by dehydration. The chloride is prepared by reaction 21.51, and  $\text{MnI}_2$  results from direct combination of the elements. The fluoride adopts a rutile lattice (Figure 5.21) in the solid state, while  $\text{MnCl}_2$ ,  $\text{MnBr}_2$  and  $\text{MnI}_2$  possess the  $\text{CdI}_2$  layer structure (Figure 5.22).

The reduction of a higher oxide of manganese (e.g.  $\text{MnO}_2$  or  $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$ ) with  $\text{H}_2$  at elevated temperature gives  $\text{MnO}$ , which is also obtained by thermal decomposition of manganese(II) oxalate. Green  $\text{MnO}$  adopts an  $\text{NaCl}$  lattice and its antiferromagnetic behaviour was discussed in Section 20.8. The conductivity of metal(II) oxides is described in Section 27.3. Manganese(II) oxide is a basic oxide, insoluble in water but dissolving in acids to give pale pink solutions containing  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ . The oxidation of Mn(II) compounds in acidic solution requires a powerful oxidant such as periodate, but in alkaline media, oxidation is easier because hydrous  $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$  is far less soluble than  $\text{Mn}(\text{OH})_2$ . Thus, when alkali is added to a solution of a Mn(II) salt in the presence of air, the white precipitate of  $\text{Mn}(\text{OH})_2$  that initially forms rapidly darkens owing to atmospheric oxidation.




**Fig. 21.19** Part of one of the infinite chains of face-sharing octahedra present in the lattice of  $[\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{MnCl}_3]$ ; the structure was determined by X-ray diffraction [R.E. Caputo *et al.* (1976) *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 13, p. 3956]. Colour code: Mn, orange; Cl, green.

Large numbers of Mn(II) complexes exist. This oxidation state is stable with respect to both oxidation and reduction, and in high-spin complexes, the lack of any LFSE means that  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  does not favour a particular arrangement of ligand donor atoms. Manganese(II) halides form a range of complexes. Reaction of  $\text{MnF}_2$  with MF (e.g.  $\text{M} = \text{Na}, \text{K}, \text{Rb}$ ) gives  $\text{M}[\text{MnF}_3]$  salts which adopt the perovskite structure (Figure 5.23); discrete  $[\text{MnF}_3]^-$  ions are not present. Heating a 1:2 ratio of  $\text{MnF}_2:\text{KF}$  at 950 K gives  $\text{K}_2[\text{MnF}_4]$  which has an extended lattice structure containing  $\text{MnF}_6$  octahedra connected by  $\text{Mn}-\text{F}-\text{Mn}$  bridges. Discrete anions are, again, *not* present in salts of  $[\text{MnCl}_3]^-$ , e.g.  $[\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{MnCl}_3]$  crystallizes with infinite chains of face-sharing  $\text{MnCl}_6$  octahedra (Figure 21.19). Structural determinations for several compounds which appear to be salts of  $[\text{MnCl}_5]^{3-}$  reveal significant cation-dependence. The green-yellow  $\text{Cs}_3\text{MnCl}_5$  contains discrete tetrahedral  $[\text{MnCl}_4]^{2-}$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions, whereas pink  $[(\text{H}_3\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_2][\text{MnCl}_5]$  has an extended structure containing corner-sharing  $\text{MnCl}_6$  octahedra. The salt  $\text{K}_4[\text{MnCl}_6]$  contains discrete octahedral anions, and in green-yellow  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{MnCl}_4]$  and  $[\text{PhMe}_2(\text{PhCH}_2)\text{N}]_2[\text{MnCl}_4]$ , isolated tetrahedral anions are present. The presence of the tetrahedral  $[\text{MnCl}_4]^{2-}$  ion leads to complexes that are rather more intensely coloured than those containing related octahedral species (see Section 20.6). Tetrahedral  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$  results from the photoinduced, reductive decomposition of  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]^{2-}$ . As a solid, the yellow salt  $[\text{N}(\text{PPh}_3)_2]_2[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_4]$  is fairly stable in air. It is also stable in dry, aprotic solvents (e.g. MeCN), but hydrolyses in protic solvents.


The reactions of  $\text{MnCl}_2$ ,  $\text{MnBr}_2$  and  $\text{MnI}_2$  with, for example, *N*-, *O*-, *P*- or *S*-donor ligands has led to the isolation of a wide variety of complexes. A range of coordination geometries is observed as the following examples show ( $\text{H}_2\text{pc} = 21.26$ ;  $\text{Hpz} = 21.27$ ;  $\text{tpy} = 19.23$ ):

- tetrahedral:  $[\text{MnCl}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_2]$ ,  $[\text{Mn}(\text{N}_3)_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Mn}(\text{Se}_4)_2]^{2-}$ ;
- square planar:  $[\text{Mn}(\text{pc})]$ ;
- trigonal bipyramidal:  $[\text{MnBr}_2\{\text{OC}(\text{NHMe})_2\}_3]$ ,  $[\text{MnBr}\{\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)_3\}]^+$ ,  $[\text{MnI}_2(\text{THF})_3]$ ;
- octahedral: *trans*- $[\text{MnBr}_2(\text{Hpz})_4]$ , *cis*- $[\text{Mn}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{NCS}-\text{N})_2]$ , *cis*- $[\text{MnCl}_2(\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH})_2]$ ,  $[\text{MnI}(\text{THF})_5]^+$ , *mer*- $[\text{MnCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]^-$ ,  $[\text{Mn}(\text{tpy})_2]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Mn}(\text{EDTA})]^{2-}$ ;

- 7-coordinate:  $[\text{Mn}(\text{EDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2-}$ , *trans*- $[\text{Mn}(\mathbf{21.28})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$ ;
- square-antiprism:  $[\text{Mn}(\mathbf{21.29})_2]^{2+}$ ;
- dodecahedral:  $[\text{Mn}(\text{NO}_3\text{-}O, O')_4]^{2-}$ .


H<sub>2</sub>pc = phthalocyanine

(21.26)




Hpz = 1H-pyrazole

(21.27)



15-crown-5

(21.28)



12-crown-4

(21.29)


The only common low-spin complex of Mn(II) is the blue, efflorescent  $\text{K}_4[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.18 \mu_{\text{B}}$ ) which is prepared in aqueous solution from  $\text{MnCO}_3$  and KCN. Conversion of  $\text{K}_4[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]$  to  $\text{K}_3[\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6]$  occurs readily, the presence of the cyano ligands significantly destabilizing Mn(II) with respect to Mn(III) (see [Section 7.3](#)).

*Efflorescence* is the loss of water from a hydrated salt.

## 21.9 Group 8: iron

### The metal

Finely divided Fe is pyrophoric in air, but the bulk metal oxidizes in dry air only when heated. In moist air, Fe rusts, forming a hydrated oxide  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Rusting is an electrochemical process (equation 21.56) and occurs only in the presence of  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and an electrolyte. The latter may be water, but is more effective if it contains dissolved  $\text{SO}_2$  (e.g. from industrial pollution) or NaCl (e.g. from sea-spray or salt-treated roads). Diffusion of the ions formed in reaction 21.56 deposits  $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_2$  at places between the points of attack and this is further oxidized to hydrated iron(III) oxide (see [Box 7.3](#)).





Iron reacts with halogens at 470–570 K to give  $\text{FeF}_3$ ,  $\text{FeCl}_3$ ,  $\text{FeBr}_3$  and  $\text{FeI}_2$ , respectively. The metal dissolves in dilute mineral acids to yield Fe(II) salts, but concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  and other powerful oxidizing agents make it passive; it is unaffected by alkalis. When powdered iron and sulfur are heated together, FeS is produced. The formation of iron carbides and alloys is crucial to the steel industry (see [Boxes 5.1](#) and [5.2](#) and [Section 5.7](#)).

Most of the chemistry of Fe involves Fe(II) or Fe(III), with Fe(IV) and Fe(VI) known in a small number of compounds; Fe(V) is rare. Lower formal oxidation states occur with  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands (see [Chapter 23](#)).


### Iron(VI), iron(V) and iron(IV)

The highest oxidation states of iron are found in compounds of  $[\text{FeO}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{FeO}_4]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{FeO}_4]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{FeO}_3]^{2-}$  although these free ions are not necessarily present. Salts of  $[\text{FeO}_4]^{2-}$  can be made by hypochlorite oxidation of Fe(III) salts in the presence of alkali (equation 21.57); they contain discrete tetrahedral ions and are paramagnetic with magnetic moments corresponding to two unpaired electrons. The  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  salts are deep red-purple and are soluble in water; aqueous solutions decompose (equation 21.58) but alkaline solutions are stable. Ferrate(VI) is a powerful oxidant (equation 21.59).



The reaction of  $\text{K}_2\text{FeO}_4$  with KOH in  $\text{O}_2$  at 1000 K gives  $\text{K}_3\text{FeO}_4$ , a rare example of an Fe(V) salt.


Iron(IV) ferrates include  $\text{Na}_4\text{FeO}_4$  (made from  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{FeSO}_4$ ),  $\text{Sr}_2\text{FeO}_4$  (prepared by heating  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  and SrO in the presence of  $\text{O}_2$ ) and  $\text{Ba}_2\text{FeO}_4$  (made from  $\text{BaO}_2$  and  $\text{FeSO}_4$ ). Typically, these are mixed metal oxides with extended structures, but  $\text{Na}_4\text{FeO}_4$  contains discrete  $[\text{FeO}_4]^{4-}$  ions. The high-spin  $d^4$  configuration of Fe(IV) in  $[\text{FeO}_4]^{4-}$  leads to a Jahn–Teller distortion, reducing the symmetry from  $T_d$  to approximately  $D_{2d}$  (structure [21.30](#)).




average Fe–O = 181 pm

(21.30)

In aqueous solution  $\text{Na}_4\text{FeO}_4$  disproportionates (equation 21.60).



**Fig. 21.20** The structure (X-ray diffraction) of the iron(IV) complex  $[\text{Fe}(\text{S}_2\text{CNET}_2)_3]^+$  in the  $[\text{I}_3]^-$  salt [C.L. Raston *et al.* (1980) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 1928]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Fe, green; S, yellow; C, grey; N, blue.



Compounds formally containing  $[\text{FeO}_3]^{2-}$  are actually mixed metal oxides;  $\text{CaFeO}_3$ ,  $\text{SrFeO}_3$  and  $\text{BaFeO}_3$  crystallize with the perovskite structure (Figure 5.23).

Attempts to stabilize Fe in high oxidation states using fluoro ligands have met with limited success. The reaction of  $\text{Cs}_2\text{FeO}_4$  with  $\text{F}_2$  (40 bar, 420 K) gives  $\text{Cs}_2\text{FeF}_6$  along with  $\text{CsFeF}_4$  and  $\text{Cs}_3\text{FeF}_6$ . In the solid state,  $\text{Cs}_2\text{FeF}_6$  adopts the  $\text{K}_2\text{MnF}_6$  lattice (see Section 21.8, Mn(IV)). There is current interest in the coordination chemistry of Fe(IV) since Fe(IV) intermediates may be present in bioinorganic processes

involving cytochromes P-450 (see Section 28.3). However, the number of Fe(IV) complexes so far isolated and structurally characterized is small. The coordination environment is octahedral or square-based pyramidal, and ligands that stabilize Fe(IV) include dithiocarbamates (Figure 21.20), dithiolates as in  $[\text{Fe}(\text{PMe}_3)_2(1,2\text{-S}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)_2]$ , porphyrins and phthalocyanines.

### Self-study exercises

1. Explain why  $[\text{FeO}_4]^{4-}$  (structure 21.30) suffers from a Jahn–Teller distortion. The distortion is particularly strong. Is this expected? [Ans. see discussion of the tetrahedral crystal field in Section 20.3]
2. Typically, values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for salts of  $[\text{FeO}_4]^{2-}$  lie in the range 2.8–3.0  $\mu_{\text{B}}$ . Show that this is consistent with a  $\mu(\text{spin-only})$  value for tetrahedral Fe(VI) and comment on why orbital contributions to the magnetic moment are not expected.
3.  $\text{SrFeO}_3$  crystallizes with a perovskite structure. What are the coordination environments of Sr, Fe and O? [Ans. relate to  $\text{CaTiO}_3$  in Figure 5.23]


### Iron(III)

The old name for iron(III) is *ferric*. Iron(III) fluoride, chloride and bromide are made by heating Fe with the halogen. The fluoride is a white, involatile solid isostructural with  $\text{ScF}_3$  (Figure 21.4). In the solid state,  $\text{FeCl}_3$  adopts the  $\text{BiI}_3$  lattice but the gas phase (bp 588 K) contains discrete molecules, dimers below 970 K and monomers above 1020 K. Anhydrous  $\text{FeCl}_3$  forms hygroscopic dark green or black crystals. It dissolves in water to give strongly acidic solutions (see below) from which the orange-brown hydrate  $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 21.5 The super-iron battery

The  $\text{MnO}_2$ –Zn dry battery is a major contributor to the commercial supply of batteries. In the long-life ‘alkaline’ version, the lifetime of the battery is mainly dependent on the lifetime of the  $\text{MnO}_2$  cathode. Prolonging the lifetimes of batteries which are used, for example, in implanted pacemakers has obvious advantages, and the use of the Fe(VI) compounds  $\text{K}_2\text{FeO}_4$ ,  $\text{BaFeO}_4$  and  $\text{SrFeO}_4$  as cathodic materials has been investigated with promising results. The so-called ‘super-iron battery’ contains, for example,  $\text{K}_2\text{FeO}_4$  as a replacement for  $\text{MnO}_2$  in the alkaline dry battery. The reduction of Fe(VI) to Fe(III):



provides a high-capacity source of cathodic charge and the  $[\text{FeO}_4]^{2-}$ -for- $\text{MnO}_2$  cathode replacement leads to an increase in the energy capacity of the battery of more than 50%. The

cell reaction of the super-iron battery is:



and a further advantage of the system is that it is rechargeable.

### Further reading

- S. Licht, B. Wang and S. Ghosh (1999) *Science*, vol. 285, p. 1039 – ‘Energetic iron(VI) chemistry: The super-iron battery’.
- S. Licht, R. Tel-Vered and L. Halperin (2002) *Electrochemistry Communications*, vol. 4, p. 933 – ‘Direct electrochemical preparation of solid Fe(VI) ferrate, and super-iron battery compounds’.

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL


Box 21.6 Rusticles are destroying RMS *Titanic*

Green, iron-rich structures called *rusticles* growing from the sunken hull of RMS *Titanic* are gradually destroying what remains of the ship. Rusticles contain colonies of metallophilic bacteria; the structures are composed externally of *lepidocrocite*,  $\gamma$ -Fe(O)OH, and internally of *goethite*,  $\alpha$ -Fe(O)OH. The rate at which the bacteria are converting the ship's hull into rusticles is alarming and the phenomenon is a topic of current research.

## Further reading

W. Wells and H. Mann (1997) *Resources of Environmental Biotechnology*, vol. 1, p. 271.

(properly formulated as *trans*-[FeCl<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>]Cl·2H<sub>2</sub>O) can be crystallized. The trichloride is a useful precursor in Fe(III) chemistry, and both anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> and FeBr<sub>3</sub> are used as Lewis acid catalysts in organic synthesis. Anhydrous FeBr<sub>3</sub> forms deliquescent, red-brown, water-soluble crystals; the solid adopts a BiI<sub>3</sub> structure, but in the gas phase, molecular dimers are present. Iron(III) iodide readily decomposes (equation 21.61) but, under inert conditions, it can be isolated from reaction 21.62.



Iron(III) oxide exists in a number of forms. The paramagnetic  $\alpha$ -form (a red-brown solid or grey-black crystals) occurs as the mineral *haematite* and adopts a corundum structure (see [Section 12.7](#)) with octahedrally sited Fe(III) centres. The  $\beta$ -form is produced by hydrolysing FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, or by chemical vapour deposition (CVD, see [Section 27.6](#)) at 570 K from iron(III) trifluoroacetylacetonate. On annealing at 770 K, a  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$  phase change occurs. The  $\gamma$ -form is obtained by careful oxidation of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and crystallizes with an extended structure in which the O<sup>2-</sup> ions adopt a ccp array and the Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions randomly occupy octahedral and tetrahedral holes.  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is ferromagnetic and is used in magnetic recording tapes. Iron(III) oxide is insoluble in water but can be dissolved with difficulty in acids. Several hydrates of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> exist, and when Fe(III) salts are dissolved in alkali, the red-brown gelatinous precipitate that forms is *not* Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub> but Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (also written as Fe(O)OH). The precipitate is soluble in acids giving [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>, and in concentrated aqueous alkalis, [Fe(OH)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> is present. Several forms of Fe(O)OH exist and consist of chain structures with edge-sharing FeO<sub>6</sub> octahedra. The minerals *goethite* and *lepidocrocite* are  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -Fe(O)OH respectively.

Mixed metal oxides derived from Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and of general formula M<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> or M<sup>I</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup>O<sub>2</sub> are commonly known as *ferrites* despite the absence of discrete oxoanions. They include compounds of commercial importance by virtue of their magnetic properties, e.g. electromagnetic devices for information storage; for discussion of the magnetic properties of mixed metal oxides, see [Chapter 27](#). Spinel and


inverse spinel lattices adopted by M<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> oxides were described in [Box 12.6](#) and [Section 20.9](#), e.g. MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> are inverse spinels while MnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> are normal spinels. Some oxides of the M<sup>I</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup>O<sub>2</sub> type adopt structures that are related to NaCl (e.g. LiFeO<sub>2</sub>, in which the Li<sup>+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions occupy Na<sup>+</sup> sites and O<sup>2-</sup> ions occupy Cl<sup>-</sup> sites, [Figure 5.15](#)). Among the M<sup>I</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup>O<sub>2</sub> group of compounds, CuFeO<sub>2</sub> and AgFeO<sub>2</sub> are noteworthy in being semiconductors. Other ferrites exist with more complex structures: permanent magnets are made using BaFe<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub>, and the *iron garnet* family includes Y<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> (yttrium iron garnet, YIG) which is used as a microwave filter in radar equipment.

When Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is heated at 1670 K, it converts to black Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (Fe<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) which also occurs as the mineral *magnetite*, and possesses an inverse spinel structure (see [Box 12.6](#)). Its ferrimagnetic behaviour (see [Figure 20.25](#)) makes Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> commercially important, e.g. it is used in magnetic toner in photocopiers. Mixtures of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are used in magnetic recording tape, and this market competes with that of CrO<sub>2</sub> (see [Section 21.7](#)).

## Self-study exercises


1. Spinel and inverse spinel structures are based on cubic close-packed (ccp) arrangements of O<sup>2-</sup> ions. Draw a representation of a unit cell of a ccp arrangement of O<sup>2-</sup> ions. How many octahedral and tetrahedral holes are there in this unit cell?  
[Ans. see [Section 5.2](#)]
2. Refer to the diagram drawn in question 1. If half of the octahedral and one-eighth of the tetrahedral holes are filled with Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions respectively, show that the resultant oxide has the formula ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.
3. The inverse spinel structure of magnetite can be described as follows. Starting with a ccp arrangement of O<sup>2-</sup> ions, one-quarter of the octahedral holes are filled with Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions and one-quarter with Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions; one-eighth of the tetrahedral holes are occupied with Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions. Show that this corresponds to a formula of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and that the compound is charge-neutral.

The chemistry of Fe(III) is well researched and among many commercially available starting materials are the chloride (see above), perchlorate, sulfate and nitrate. **Hazard: Perchlorates are potentially explosive.** Anhydrous  $\text{Fe}(\text{ClO}_4)_3$  is a yellow solid, but it is commercially available as a hydrate  $\text{Fe}(\text{ClO}_4)_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with variable water content; the hydrate is prepared from aqueous  $\text{HClO}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  and, depending on contamination with chloride, may be pale violet (<0.005% chloride content) or yellow. Iron(III) sulfate (made by oxidation of  $\text{FeSO}_4$  with concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) is purchased as the hydrate  $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The nitrate is available as  $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (correctly formulated as  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6][\text{NO}_3]_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) which forms colourless or pale violet deliquescent crystals; it is made by reaction of iron oxides with concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$ . The violet hexahydrate,  $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (correctly written as  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6][\text{NO}_3]_3$ ), can be obtained by reaction of  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  with  $\text{HNO}_3$ . The octahedral  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ion is also present in crystals of the violet alum  $[\text{NH}_4]\text{Fe}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (see Section 12.9). These Fe(III) salts are all water-soluble, dissolving to give brown-yellow solutions due to hydrolysis of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  (equations 6.36 and 6.37); solution species include  $[(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{FeOFe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5]^{4+}$  (21.31) which has a linear Fe–O–Fe bridge indicative of (*d-p*) $\pi$ -bonding involving Fe *d* and O *p* orbitals. The structural characterization of 21.31 has been achieved by hydrogen-bonded association of this cation with the crown ethers 18-crown-6 (Figure 21.21) or 15-crown-5 (21.28). The average Fe–O<sub>bridge</sub> and Fe–O<sub>aqua</sub> bond distances in 21.31 are 179 and 209 pm. The magnetic moment of  $5.82 \mu_{\text{B}}$  for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  is close to the spin-only value for high-spin *d*<sup>5</sup>.




(21.31)

The  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  ion (Figure 21.22a) contains low-spin Fe(III) ( $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.25 \mu_{\text{B}}$ ) and is made by oxidation of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ , e.g. by reaction 21.63 or electrolytically. The cyano ligands in  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  are more labile than in  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  and cause the former to be more toxic than the latter.



The ruby-red salt  $\text{K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$  (potassium hexacyanoferrate(III) or ferricyanide) is commercially available. It is an oxidizing agent although  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  is less powerful an oxidant than  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  (see Section 7.3). Addition of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  to aqueous  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  gives the deep blue complex *Turnbull's blue* and this reaction is used as a qualitative test for  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ . Conversely, if  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  is added to aqueous  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ , the deep blue complex *Prussian blue* is produced. Both Prussian blue and Turnbull's blue are hydrated salts of




**Fig. 21.21** The structure of  $[(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-O})\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5]^{4+} \cdot (18\text{-crown-6})_2$  present in crystalline  $[(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-O})\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5][\text{ClO}_4]_4 \cdot (18\text{-crown-6})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The structure was determined by X-ray diffraction at 173 K [P.C. Junk *et al.* (2002) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 1024]; hydrogen atoms are omitted from the crown ethers. Colour code: Fe, green; O, red; C, grey; H, white.

formula  $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}_4[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{CN})_6]_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $x \approx 14$ ), and related to them is  $\text{KFe}[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$ , *soluble Prussian blue*. In the solid state, these complexes possess extended lattices containing cubic arrangements of  $\text{Fe}^{n+}$  centres linked by  $[\text{CN}]^-$  bridges. The  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  cations are high-spin, and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  contains low-spin Fe(II). The deep blue colour is the result of electron transfer between Fe(II) and Fe(III);  $\text{K}_2\text{Fe}[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$ , which contains only Fe(II), is white. Electron transfer can be prevented by shielding the cation as in the compound  $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{L}_2]_3[\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{CN})_6]_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (*Ukrainian red*) shown in Figure 21.23a. Figure 21.22b shows part of the unit cell of  $\text{KFe}[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$ ; each  $\text{Fe}^{n+}$  is in an octahedral environment, either  $\text{FeC}_6$  or  $\text{FeN}_6$ . Turnbull's blue, Prussian blue and Berlin green ( $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}[\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{CN})_6]$ ) have been widely used in inks and dyes.

Figure 21.22b shows the ability of  $[\text{CN}]^-$  to act as a bridging ligand and a number of polymeric materials containing either Fe(III) or Fe(II) as well as other metal centres have been made utilizing this property. An example is  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_2]_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , the solid state structure of which (Figure 21.23b) consists of interconnected helical chains in which octahedral  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  centres are connected by bridging  $[\text{CN}]^-$  ligands. The latter facilitate electronic communication between the metal centres resulting in a ferromagnetic material.



Large numbers of Fe(III) complexes are known, and octahedral coordination is common. Examples of simple complexes (see Table 6.7 for ligand abbreviations) include:

- high-spin octahedral:  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{FeF}_6]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Fe}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$ ;
- low-spin octahedral:  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{Fe}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ ;
- 7-coordinate:  $[\text{Fe}(\text{EDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^-$ .



**Fig. 21.22** Examples of iron(III) cyano complexes: (a) the structure of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  in the salt  $\text{Cs}[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$  (X-ray diffraction) [D. Babel (1982) *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, vol. 37, p. 1534], (b) one-eighth of the unit cell of  $\text{KFe}[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$  (the  $\text{K}^+$  ions occupy the cavities and are omitted from the figure), and (c) the structure (determined by X-ray diffraction) of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_2(\text{TTP})]$  where  $\text{H}_2\text{TTP} = 5,10,15,20$ -tetraphenyl-21*H*,23*H*-porphyrin (see [Figure 11.8](#) for parent porphyrin) [W.R. Scheidt *et al.* (1980) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 102, p. 3017]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted from (c); colour code in (a) and (c): Fe, green; N, blue, C, grey.

The octahedral complex  $[\text{Fe}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  can be prepared in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , but it has low stability in aqueous solutions, decomposing with loss of  $\text{NH}_3$ . Both bpy and phen stabilize Fe(II) more than they do Fe(III); this is ascribed to the existence of relatively low lying  $\pi^*$  MOs on the ligands, allowing them to function as  $\pi$ -acceptors. In aqueous solution, both  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+}$  are more readily reduced than the hexaqua ion (equations 21.64 and 21.65).




The addition of thiocyanate to aqueous solutions of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  produces a blood-red coloration due to the formation of

$[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{SCN}-N)]^{2+}$ . Complete exchange of ligands to give  $[\text{Fe}(\text{SCN}-N)_6]^{3-}$  is best carried out in non-aqueous media.

Iron(III) favours *O*-donor ligands and stable complexes such as the green  $[\text{Fe}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$  and red  $[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$  are commonly encountered. Iron(III) porphyrinato complexes are of relevance for modelling haem-proteins (see [Section 28.3](#)) and there is interest in reactions of these complexes with, for example,  $\text{CO}$ ,  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{NO}$  and  $[\text{CN}]^-$ . The  $N_4$ -donor set of a porphyrinato ligand is confined to a plane and this restriction forces the Fe(III) centre to be in a square planar environment with respect to the macrocycle. Other ligands may then enter in axial sites above and below the  $\text{FeN}_4$ -plane to give either square-based pyramidal or octahedral complexes (Figure 21.22c).


Low coordination numbers can be stabilized by interaction with amido ligands, e.g.  $[\text{Fe}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3]$  ([Figure 19.4e](#)).



**Fig. 21.23** (a) The structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $[\text{FeL}_2]_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (L is defined in the scheme in the figure) in which the Fe(II) and Fe(III) centres are remote from each other ('valence trapped') [V.V. Pavlishchuk *et al.* (2001) *Eur. J. Chem.*, p. 297]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Fe, green; S, yellow; C, grey; N, blue. (b) Part of the polymeric structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_2]_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in which  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  ions are in  $\text{Fe}(\text{CN}-\text{C})_6$  environments and  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  ions are in  $\text{Ni}(\text{CN}-\text{N})_2(\text{en})_2$  sites [M. Ohba *et al.* (1994) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 116, p. 11566]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Fe, green; Ni, red; N, blue; C, grey.

### Self-study exercises

- In Figure 21.21, the oxo-bridge atom lies on an inversion centre. Explain what this means. [Ans. see Section 3.2]
- For  $[\text{Fe}(\text{tpy})\text{Cl}_3]$  ( $\text{tpy} = 21.32$ ),  $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 5.85 \mu_{\text{B}}$  at 298 K. Comment on why there is no orbital contribution to the magnetic moment, and determine the number of unpaired electrons. Why does this complex exist only in the *mer*-form?



(21.32)

[Ans. see Section 20.8; see Figure 1.31 and consider flexibility of ligand]

- In  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ , does the  $\text{CN}^-$  ligand act as a  $\pi$ -donor or  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand? Explain how the ligand properties lead to  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  being low-spin.


[Ans. see Figure 20.14b and discussion]

## Iron(II)

The old name for iron(II) is *ferrous*. Anhydrous  $\text{FeF}_2$ ,  $\text{FeCl}_2$  and  $\text{FeBr}_2$  can be prepared by reaction 21.66, while  $\text{FeI}_2$  is made by direct combination of the elements.



Iron(II) fluoride is a sparingly soluble, white solid with a distorted rutile structure (Figure 5.21); the environment around the high-spin Fe(II) centre ( $d^6$ ) is surprisingly irregular with 4F at 212 pm and 2F at 198 pm. In the gas phase,  $\text{FeF}_2$  is monomeric. Iron(II) chloride forms white, hygroscopic, water-soluble crystals and adopts a  $\text{CdCl}_2$  lattice (see Section 5.11). In the gas phase of  $\text{FeCl}_2$ , monomers and dimers are present. The pale green hydrate  $\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , properly formulated as octahedral  $[\text{FeCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]$ , is a convenient precursor in Fe(II) chemistry. The hexahydrate (which loses water readily) can be obtained by recrystallizing  $\text{FeCl}_2$  from water below 285 K. The reaction of  $\text{FeCl}_2$  with  $\text{Et}_4\text{NCl}$  in acetone yields the air-sensitive  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{Fe}_2\text{Cl}_6]$  containing anion 21.33.




(21.33)

Iron(II) bromide is a deliquescent, yellow or brown solid and adopts a  $\text{CdI}_2$  structure. It is very soluble in water and forms hydrates  $\text{FeBr}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  where  $x = 4, 6$  or  $9$  depending on crystallization conditions. Dark violet  $\text{FeI}_2$  has a  $\text{CdI}_2$  layer structure, and is hygroscopic and light-sensitive; it forms a green tetrahydrate. All the halides or their hydrates are commercially available, as are salts such as the perchlorate, sulfate and  $[\text{NH}_4]_2\text{Fe}[\text{SO}_4]_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Iron(II) sulfate is a common source of Fe(II) and is available as the blue-green  $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , an old name for which is *green vitriol*. Like most hydrated Fe(II) salts, it dissolves in water to give

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 21.7 Iron complexes fight anaemia

In *Chapter 28*, the crucial role that iron plays in biological systems is discussed in detail. Anaemia, in which the body suffers from a deficiency of iron, leads to a general state of lethargy and weakness. Iron is usually administered orally to a patient as iron supplement tablets containing an Fe(II) or Fe(III) salt. Iron(II) salts are more typical because they exhibit better solubilities than Fe(III) salts at physiological pH, but Fe(III) has the advantage that, unlike Fe(II), it is not susceptible to oxidation in aqueous solution. Among compounds which are in common use are iron(III) chloride, iron(II) sulfate, iron(II) fumarate, iron(II) succinate and iron(II) gluconate; the structures of fumaric acid, succinic acid and gluconic acid are shown here.



$[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ , the electronic spectrum and magnetic moment of which are consistent with high-spin  $d^6$ . The salt  $[\text{NH}_4]_2\text{Fe}[\text{SO}_4]_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is an important source of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  since, in the solid state, it is kinetically more stable towards oxidation than most Fe(II) salts.

Iron(II) oxide is a black, insoluble solid with an NaCl lattice above its Curie temperature (200 K); the lattice suffers defects because it is always deficient in Fe (see *Section 27.2*). Below 200 K, FeO undergoes a phase change and becomes antiferromagnetic. It can be made *in vacuo* by thermal decomposition of iron(II) oxalate but the product must be cooled rapidly to prevent disproportionation (equation 21.67).





White  $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_2$  is precipitated by adding alkali to solutions of Fe(II) salts but it rapidly absorbs  $\text{O}_2$ , turning dark green, then brown. The products are a mixed Fe(II)Fe(III) hydroxide and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Iron(II) hydroxide dissolves in acids, and from concentrated NaOH solutions, the blue-green  $\text{Na}_4[\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_6]$  can be crystallized.


An interesting distinction between iron(II) oxides and sulfides is that whereas FeO has an analogue in FeS, there is no peroxide analogue of  $\text{FeS}_2$  (*iron pyrites*). The sulfide FeS is made by heating together the elements; it is found in lunar rock samples and adopts an NiAs lattice (*Figure 14.10*). Reaction of FeS with hydrochloric acid used to be a familiar laboratory synthesis of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  (equation 15.36). Iron pyrites is  $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{S}_2)^{2-}$  and contains low-spin Fe(II) in a distorted NaCl structure.

The coordination chemistry of Fe(II) is well developed and only a brief introduction to simple species is given here. Iron(II) halides combine with gaseous  $\text{NH}_3$  to give salts of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  but this decomposes in aqueous media, precipitating  $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_2$ . In aqueous solutions,  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  is unstable with respect to oxidation, although as we saw above, double salts such as  $[\text{NH}_4]_2\text{Fe}[\text{SO}_4]_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  are more

stable. Displacement of the ligands in  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  leads to a range of complexes. We have already discussed the stabilization of Fe(II) by bpy and phen (equations 21.64 and 21.65). Oxidation of red  $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$  to blue  $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+}$  is more difficult than that of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  to  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , and hence arises the use of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3][\text{SO}_4]$  as a redox indicator. Both  $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  are low-spin  $d^6$  and diamagnetic;  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  is also low-spin. The latter, like  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  (Figure 21.22a), is octahedral but the Fe–C bonds in the Fe(II) species are shorter (192 pm) than those in the Fe(III) complex. Taken together with the fact that the C–N bond lengths and stretching frequencies differ little between  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ , this provides convincing evidence for stronger  $\pi$ -bonding in the lower oxidation state complex. There are many known *monosubstitution* products of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ . Sodium nitropentacyanoferrate(II) (*sodium nitroprusside*),  $\text{Na}_2[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5(\text{NO})] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , is made by reaction 21.68 or 21.69; among its uses are those as an anti-hypertensive drug (it acts as a vasodilator through release of NO) and as a standard reference for  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  Mössbauer spectroscopy.




Nitrogen monoxide is a radical (structure 14.48), but  $\text{Na}_2[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5(\text{NO})]$  is diamagnetic; the N–O distance of 113 pm is shorter, and the stretching wavenumber of  $1947\text{cm}^{-1}$  higher, than in free NO. Thus, the complex is formulated as containing an  $[\text{NO}]^+$  ligand. The addition of  $\text{S}^{2-}$  to  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5(\text{NO})]^{2-}$  produces the red  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5(\text{NOS})]^{4-}$  and this is the basis of a sensitive test for  $\text{S}^{2-}$ . Similarly, reaction with  $[\text{OH}]^-$  gives  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5(\text{NO}_2)]^{4-}$  (equation 21.70). For details of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{NO})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5]^{2+}$ , see *Section 14.8*.



The active sites of NiFe and Fe-only hydrogenase enzymes (see [Figures 28.16](#) and [28.17](#)) contain  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_x(\text{CN})_y$  coordination units, and there is active interest in studying model Fe(II) compounds containing both CO and  $[\text{CN}]^-$  ligands. Besides being a good  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand,  $[\text{CN}]^-$  is a strong  $\sigma$ -donor and can stabilize carbonyl complexes of Fe(II). More commonly, we associate CO with low oxidation state ( $\leq 0$ ) compounds (see [Chapter 23](#)). The reaction of CO with  $\text{FeCl}_2$  suspended in MeCN, followed by addition of  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}][\text{CN}]$  leads to salts of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5(\text{CO})]^{3-}$  and *trans*- and *cis*- $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_4(\text{CO})_2]^{2-}$ . Alternatively, *trans*- $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_4(\text{CO})_2]^{2-}$  can be made by adding  $[\text{CN}]^-$  to an aqueous solution of  $\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  under an atmosphere of CO. Reaction of NaCN with  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4\text{I}_2$  yields the  $\text{Na}^+$  salt of *fac*- $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3(\text{CN})_3]^-$ , and further addition of  $[\text{CN}]^-$  leads to the formation of *cis*- $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_4(\text{CO})_2]^{2-}$ .

In addition to the hexaaqua ion, high-spin Fe(II) complexes include  $[\text{Fe}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$ . Its magnetic moment of  $5.45 \mu_{\text{B}}$  is larger than the spin-only value of  $4.90 \mu_{\text{B}}$  and reflects orbital contributions for the configuration  $t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$ . Although iron(II) favours an octahedral arrangement of donor atoms, there are some tetrahedral complexes, for example  $[\text{FeCl}_4]^{2-}$  (equation 21.71),  $[\text{FeBr}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{FeI}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{SCN})_4]^{2-}$ .



The amido complex  $[\text{Fe}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMePh}_2)_2\}_2]$  is an unusual example of 2-coordinate Fe(II) (see [Section 19.7](#)).

### Self-study exercises

1. Rationalize why  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ , both octahedral Fe(II) complexes, are paramagnetic and diamagnetic, respectively. [Ans. see [Section 20.3](#) and [Table 20.3](#)]
2. Explain why there is an orbital contribution to the magnetic moment of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$ . [Ans. see [Section 20.8](#)]
3. The value of  $\log \beta_6$  for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  is 24. Calculate a value for  $\Delta G^\circ$  (298 K) for the process:  



$$\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 6[\text{CN}]^-(\text{aq}) \rightarrow [\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}(\text{aq})$$
[Ans.  $-137 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ]

## 21.10 Group 9: cobalt

### The metal

Cobalt is less reactive than Fe (e.g. see equation 21.72); Co does not react with  $\text{O}_2$  unless heated, although when very

finely divided, it is pyrophoric. It dissolves slowly in dilute mineral acids (e.g. reaction 21.73), but concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  makes it passive; alkalis have no effect on the metal.



Cobalt reacts at 520 K with  $\text{F}_2$  to give  $\text{CoF}_3$ , but with  $\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{Br}_2$  and  $\text{I}_2$ ,  $\text{CoX}_2$  is formed. Even when heated, cobalt does not react with  $\text{H}_2$  or  $\text{N}_2$ , but it does combine with B, C (see [Section 13.7](#)), P, As and S.

The trend in decreasing stability of high oxidation states on going from Mn to Fe continues along the row ([Table 19.3](#)); Co(IV) is the highest oxidation state but it is of far less importance than Co(III) and Co(II). Cobalt(I) and lower oxidation states are stabilized in organometallic species by  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands (see [Chapter 23](#)). Among Co(I) complexes containing only phosphine ligands is tetrahedral  $[\text{Co}(\text{PMe}_3)_4]^+$ .

### Cobalt(IV)

Few Co(IV) species have been established. Yellow  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{CoF}_6]$  is obtained by fluorination of a mixture of  $\text{CsCl}$  and  $\text{CoCl}_2$  at 570 K; the fact that  $[\text{CoF}_6]^{2-}$  ( $d^5$ ) is *low-spin* contrasts with the *high-spin* nature of  $[\text{CoF}_6]^{3-}$  ( $d^6$ ) and the difference reflects the increase in  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  with increasing oxidation state. Cobalt(IV) oxide (made by oxidizing Co(II) using alkaline hypochlorite) is poorly defined. Several mixed oxides are known:  $\text{Ba}_2\text{CoO}_4$  and  $\text{M}_2\text{CoO}_3$  ( $\text{M} = \text{K}, \text{Rb}, \text{Cs}$ ).

### Cobalt(III)




There are few *binary* compounds of Co(III) and only a limited number of Co(III) compounds are commercially available. The only binary halide is brown  $\text{CoF}_3$  which is isostructural with  $\text{FeF}_3$ . It is used as a fluorinating agent, e.g. for preparing perfluorinated organics, and is corrosive and an oxidant. The reaction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  with  $\text{CoF}_3$  at 200 K gives the dark green, anhydrous  $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_3$  which has a molecular structure with three didentate  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  groups bound to octahedral Co(III).

Although reports of  $\text{Co}_2\text{O}_3$  are found in the literature, the anhydrous compound probably does not exist. The mixed oxidation state  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  ( $\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{Co}^{\text{III}}_2\text{O}_4$ ) is formed when Co is heated in  $\text{O}_2$ . The insoluble, grey-black  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  crystallizes with a normal spinel structure containing high-spin  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  in tetrahedral holes and low-spin  $\text{Co}^{3+}$  in octahedral holes; it is, therefore, a worse electrical conductor than  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , in which both high-spin  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  and high-spin  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  are present in the same octahedral environment (see [Section 25.5](#)). A hydrated oxide is precipitated when excess alkali reacts with most Co(III) compounds, or on aerial oxidation of aqueous suspensions of  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ . Mixed metal oxides  $\text{MCoO}_2$ , where M is an

alkali metal, can be made by heating mixtures of the oxides and consist of layer lattices built of edge-sharing  $\text{CoO}_6$  octahedra with  $\text{M}^+$  ions in interlayer sites. Of particular significance is  $\text{LiCoO}_2$  which is used in lithium-ion batteries (see [Box 10.3](#)).

The blue, low-spin  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ion can be prepared *in situ* by electrolytic oxidation of aqueous  $\text{CoSO}_4$  in acidic solution at 273 K. It is a powerful oxidant (equation 21.74) and is unstable in aqueous media, decomposing to  $\text{Co}(\text{II})$  with the liberation of ozonized  $\text{O}_2$ . The  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ion is best isolated as the sparingly soluble blue alum  $\text{CsCo}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , although this decomposes within

hours on standing. Complex formation with, for example, bpy,  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{RNH}_2$  or  $[\text{CN}]^-$  greatly stabilizes  $\text{Co}(\text{III})$  as equations 21.75–21.78 illustrate.




## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 21.8 Alfred Werner

Alfred Werner (working at the University of Zurich) was awarded the Nobel Prize for Chemistry in 1913 for his pioneering work that began to unravel the previous mysteries of the compounds formed between *d*-block metal ions and species such as  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$  and halide ions. A famous problem that led to Werner's theory of coordination concerns the fact that  $\text{CoCl}_3$  forms a series of complexes with  $\text{NH}_3$ :

- violet  $\text{CoCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{NH}_3$
- green  $\text{CoCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{NH}_3$
- purple  $\text{CoCl}_3 \cdot 5\text{NH}_3$
- yellow  $\text{CoCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{NH}_3$


and that addition of  $\text{AgNO}_3$  precipitates different amounts of  $\text{AgCl}$  per equivalent of  $\text{Co}(\text{III})$ . Thus, one equivalent of  $\text{CoCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{NH}_3$  reacts with an excess of  $\text{AgNO}_3$  to precipitate *three* equivalents of  $\text{AgCl}$ , one equivalent of  $\text{CoCl}_3 \cdot 5\text{NH}_3$  precipitates *two* equivalents of  $\text{AgCl}$ , while one equivalent of either *green* or violet  $\text{CoCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{NH}_3$  precipitates only *one* equivalent of  $\text{AgCl}$ . Werner realized that any  $\text{Cl}^-$  precipitated was free chloride ion and that any other chloride was held in the compound in some other way. The crucial conclusion that Werner drew was that in all these cobalt(III) compounds, the metal was intimately associated with six ligands ( $\text{NH}_3$  molecules or  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions), and that only the remaining  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions behaved as 'normal' ions, free to react with  $\text{Ag}^+$ :




Werner referred to the oxidation state of the metal ion as its 'primary valence' and to what we now call the coordination number as its 'secondary valence'. The compounds  $\text{CoCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{CoCl}_3 \cdot 5\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{CoCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{NH}_3$  were thus reformulated as  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{Cl}_3$ ,  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Cl}]\text{Cl}_2$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2]\text{Cl}$ . This picture contrasted greatly with earlier ideas such as the 'chain theory' of Danish chemist Sophus Mads Jørgensen.

Werner's studies went on to show that the numbers of ions in solution (determined from conductivity measurements) were consistent with the formulations  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}[\text{Cl}^-]_3$ ,


$[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Cl}]^{2+}[\text{Cl}^-]_2$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2]^+[\text{Cl}^-]$ . The fact that  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2]\text{Cl}$  existed as two *isomers* (the green and violet forms) was a key to the puzzle of the shape of the  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2]^+$  complex. The possible *regular* arrangements for six ligands are planar hexagonal, octahedral and trigonal prismatic. There are three ways of arranging the ligands in  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2]^+$  in a hexagon:




two ways for an octahedral arrangement (what we now call *cis*- and *trans*-isomers):



and three for a trigonal prismatic arrangement:



From the fact that two isomers of  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2]\text{Cl}$  had been isolated, Werner concluded that the  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2]^+$  had an octahedral structure, and, by analogy, so did other complexes containing six ligands. Werner's work extended well beyond this one system and his contributions to the groundwork of the understanding of coordination chemistry were immense.




Replacing aqua by ammine ligands, for example, results in a dramatic change in  $E^\circ$  (equations 21.74 and 21.76) and shows that the overall stability constant of  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  is  $\approx 10^{30}$  greater than that of  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ . Much of this difference arises from LFSEs:

- $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  for the ammine complex is greater than for the aqua complex in both oxidation states (Table 20.2);
- both Co(II) complexes are high-spin whereas both Co(III) complexes are low-spin (Table 20.3).


Cobalt(III) complexes ( $d^6$ ) are usually low-spin octahedral and kinetically inert (see Section 25.2). The latter means that ligands are not labile and so preparative methods of Co(III) complexes usually involve oxidation of the corresponding or related Co(II) species, often *in situ*. For example:

- oxidation by  $\text{PbO}_2$  of aqueous  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  in the presence of excess oxalate gives  $[\text{Co}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ ;
- action of excess  $[\text{NO}_2]^-$  and acid on aqueous  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  gives  $[\text{Co}(\text{NO}_2-N)_6]^{3-}$  (Figure 21.24); some  $[\text{NO}_2]^-$  acts as oxidant and NO is liberated;
- reaction between  $\text{Co}(\text{CN})_2$  and excess KCN in aqueous solution with *in situ* oxidation gives yellow  $\text{K}_3[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_6]$  (the intermediate Co(II) species is  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5]^{3-}$  or  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{3-}$ , see later);
- reaction of aqueous  $\text{CoCl}_2$  with bpy and  $\text{Br}_2$  gives  $[\text{Co}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$ ;
- aerial oxidation of aqueous  $\text{CoCl}_2$  in the presence of  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $[\text{NH}_4]\text{Cl}$  gives purple  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Cl}]_2$  containing cation 21.34.




Co–N = 197 pm; Co–Cl 229 pm  
(21.34)

The identity of the product may depend on reaction conditions and in the last example, if charcoal is added as a catalyst, the isolated complex is  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{Cl}_3$  containing the  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  ion. Similarly, the preparation of orange-red  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]\text{Cl}_3$  requires careful control of reaction conditions (equation 21.79).



The  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  ion is frequently used to precipitate large anions, and the kinetic inertness of the  $d^6$  ion allows its enantiomers to be separated. The green *trans*- $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}_2]\text{Cl}$





 **Fig. 21.24** The structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $[\text{Co}(\text{NO}_2-N)_6]^{3-}$  in the salt  $\text{Li}[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{Co}(\text{NO}_2-N)_6]$  [R. Bianchi *et al.* (1996) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 52, p. 471]. Colour code: Co, yellow; N, blue; O, red.

is isolated from reaction 21.79 as the salt *trans*- $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}_2]\text{Cl}\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot\text{HCl}$  but this loses HCl on heating. It can be converted to the racemic red *cis*- $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}_2]\text{Cl}$  by heating an aqueous solution and removing the solvent. Enantiomers of *cis*- $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}_2]^+$  can be separated using a chiral anion such as (1*S*)- or (1*R*)-3-bromocamphor-8-sulfonate. In aqueous solution, one  $\text{Cl}^-$  ligand in  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}_2]^+$  is replaced by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to give  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2+}$ . Because ligand substitutions in Co(III) complexes are so slow, these species have been the subject of many kinetic studies (see Chapter 25).

The  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  ion is so stable that if a solution of  $\text{K}_3[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5]$  containing excess KCN is heated,  $\text{H}_2$  is evolved and  $\text{K}_3[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_6]$  is formed. In this reaction, the hydrido complex  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5\text{H}]^{3-}$  is an intermediate. It can be obtained almost quantitatively (reversible reaction 21.80) and can be precipitated as  $\text{Cs}_2\text{Na}[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5\text{H}]$ .



The  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5\text{H}]^{3-}$  ion is an effective homogeneous hydrogenation catalyst for alkenes; the process is summarized in equation 21.81, with reaction 21.80 regenerating the catalyst.



(21.35)

By aerial oxidation of  $[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{CN})_5]^{3-}$  in aqueous cyanide solution, it is possible to isolate the diamagnetic peroxo

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 21.9 Cobalt blues

Blue glass and ceramic glazes and enamels are in high demand for decorative wear, and the source of colour is very often a cobalt-based pigment. Cobalt(II) oxide is the form that is incorporated into the molten glass, but initial sources vary. Black  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  is transformed in  $\approx 93\%$  yield to  $\text{CoO}$  at  $\approx 1070\text{ K}$ . Purple  $\text{CoCO}_3$  can also be used as raw material but has lower conversion yields. Only very small amounts of the oxide are required to obtain a discernible blue pigment. Variations in colour are achieved by combining with other oxides, e.g. purple shades result if manganese oxide is added. Cobalt oxide is also used to

counter the yellow colouring in glazes that arises from iron impurities. Blue pigmentation can also be obtained using  $(\text{Zr},\text{V})\text{SiO}_4$  (see *Section 27.5*).

While the importance of cobalt-based pigments in ceramics is well established, it has also been shown that thin films of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  provide an effective coating for solar collectors that operate at high temperatures. The properties of black  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  that make it suitable for this application are its high solar absorbance and low IR emittance.

*Related material:* see *Box 13.3* – Solar power: thermal and electrical.


complex  $[(\text{CN})_5\text{Co}^{\text{III}}\text{OOC}^{\text{III}}(\text{CN})_5]^{6-}$  (**21.35**) which can be precipitated as the brown potassium salt. Oxidation of  $\text{K}_6[(\text{CN})_5\text{CoOOC}(\text{CN})_5]$  using  $\text{Br}_2$  leads to the paramagnetic, red  $\text{K}_5[(\text{CN})_5\text{CoOOC}(\text{CN})_5]$ . The structure of  $[(\text{CN})_5\text{CoOOC}(\text{CN})_5]^{5-}$  resembles that of **21.35**, except that the O–O distance is 126 pm, indicating that oxidation takes place at the peroxo bridge and not at a metal centre. Thus,  $[(\text{CN})_5\text{CoOOC}(\text{CN})_5]^{5-}$  is a superoxo complex retaining two Co(III) centres. The ammine complexes  $[(\text{H}_3\text{N})_5\text{CoOOC}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{4+}$  and  $[(\text{H}_3\text{N})_5\text{CoOOC}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{5+}$  (which have been isolated as the brown nitrate and green chloride salts respectively) are similar, containing peroxo and superoxo ligands respectively; the peroxo complex is stable in solution only in the presence of  $>2\text{ M NH}_3$ .

One of the few examples of a high-spin Co(III) complex is  $[\text{CoF}_6]^{3-}$ ; the blue  $\text{K}^+$  salt (obtained by heating  $\text{CoCl}_2$ ,  $\text{KF}$  and  $\text{F}_2$ ) has a magnetic moment of  $5.63\ \mu_{\text{B}}$ .

## Cobalt(II)

In contrast to Co(III), Co(II) forms a variety of simple compounds and all four Co(II) halides are known. Reaction of anhydrous  $\text{CoCl}_2$  with  $\text{HF}$  at  $570\text{ K}$  gives sparingly soluble, pink  $\text{CoF}_2$  which crystallizes with the rutile structure (see *Figure 5.21*). Blue  $\text{CoCl}_2$  is made by combination of the elements and has a  $\text{CdCl}_2$  lattice (see *Section 5.11*). It turns pink on exposure to moisture and readily forms hydrates. The dark pink hexahydrate is commercially available and is a common starting material in Co(II) chemistry; the di- and tetrahydrates can also be crystallized from aqueous solutions of  $\text{CoCl}_2$ , although the latter only with difficulty. Crystalline  $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  contains *trans*- $[\text{CoCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]$ , connected to the extra water molecules through a hydrogen-bonded network. In contrast, the structure of  $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  consists of hydrogen-bonded *cis*- $[\text{CoCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]$  molecules, while  $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  contains chains of edge-sharing octahedra (structure **21.36**). In aqueous solutions of all forms of  $\text{CoCl}_2$ , the major species are  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{CoCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5]^+$  and  $[\text{CoCl}_4]^{2-}$ , with minor amounts of  $[\text{CoCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]$  and  $[\text{CoCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^-$ .


Green  $\text{CoBr}_2$  (made by heating  $\text{Co}$  and  $\text{Br}_2$ ) is dimorphic, adopting either the  $\text{CdCl}_2$  or  $\text{CdI}_2$  lattice. It is water-soluble and can be crystallized as the purple-blue dihydrate or red hexahydrate. Heating  $\text{Co}$  metal with  $\text{HI}$  produces blue-black  $\text{CoI}_2$  which adopts a  $\text{CdI}_2$  layer structure; the red hexahydrate can be crystallized from aqueous solutions. Both  $\text{CoBr}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CoI}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  contain the octahedral  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ion in the solid state, as do a number of hydrates, e.g.  $\text{CoSO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{Co}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Aqueous solutions of most simple Co(II) salts contain  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  (see below).



(21.36)

Cobalt(II) oxide is an olive-green, insoluble solid but its colour may vary depending on its dispersion. It is best obtained by thermal decomposition of the carbonate or nitrate in the absence of air, and has the  $\text{NaCl}$  structure;  $\text{CoO}$  is used as a pigment in glasses and ceramics (see *Box 21.9*). When heated in air at  $770\text{ K}$ ,  $\text{CoO}$  converts to  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ .



The sparingly soluble  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$  may be pink or blue, with the pink form being the more stable; freshly precipitated blue  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$  turns pink on standing. The change in colour is presumably associated with a change in coordination about the Co(II) centre. Cobalt(II) hydroxide is amphoteric and dissolves in hot, concentrated alkalis to give salts of  $[\text{Co}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$  (**21.37**).




(21.37)



summarizes the formation of  $[\text{CoCl}_2(\text{py})_2]$  and  $[\text{CoCl}_2(\text{py})_2]_n$ . Similar tetrahedral–octahedral interconversions are seen for some Ni(II) complexes of type  $\text{L}_2\text{NiX}_2$  where  $\text{X}^-$  has the propensity for bridge formation (see [Section 21.11](#)).



py = pyridine  
(21.39)




(21.40)

Heating a solution of  $\text{CoCl}_2$  in THF at reflux produces the dark blue  $[\text{Co}_4\text{Cl}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_6(\text{THF})_6]$  in which bridging chloro ligands support the tetranuclear framework (Figure 21.25b). Two Co(II) centres are octahedrally coordinated and two are in 4-coordinate environments. At 300 K, the magnetic moment is  $4.91 \mu_{\text{B}}$ , typical of isolated high-spin Co(II) centres. On lowering the temperature to 4.2 K, the value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  increases to  $7.1 \mu_{\text{B}}$ . Such behaviour indicates ferromagnetic coupling between the metal centres which are able to communicate through the bridging ligands (see [Section 20.8](#)).


Chloride is just one example of a ligand which may coordinate to a metal centre in a terminal or bridging mode; other ligands may be equally versatile. For example,  $[\text{Co}(\text{acac})_2]$  is prepared from  $\text{CoCl}_2$ , Hacac and  $\text{Na}[\text{O}_2\text{CMe}]$  in aqueous methanol. In the solid state, the blue anhydrous salt is tetrameric with a structure related to that of the trimer  $[\{\text{Ni}(\text{acac})_2\}_3]$  (see [Figure 21.27b](#)).

Low-spin cyano complexes of Co(II) provide examples of square-based pyramidal and square planar species. The addition of an excess of  $[\text{CN}]^-$  to aqueous  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  yields  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5]^{3-}$ . That this is formed in preference to  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  (which has not been isolated) can be understood by considering Figure 20.16b. For the strong-field cyano ligands,  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  is large and for a hypothetical octahedral  $d^7$  complex, partial occupancy of the  $e_g^*$  MOs would be unfavourable since it would impart significant *antibonding* character to the complex. The brown  $\text{K}_3[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5]$  is paramagnetic, but a violet, diamagnetic salt  $\text{K}_6[\text{Co}_2(\text{CN})_{10}]$  has also been isolated. The  $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CN})_{10}]^{6-}$  ion, **21.41**, possesses a Co–Co single bond and a staggered conformation; it is isoelectronic and isostructural with  $[\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}]$  (see [Figure 23.10](#)). By using the large cation  $[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{N}]^+$ , it has been possible to isolate a salt of the square planar complex  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$  (Figure 21.26a). This is an unusual example of a square planar Co(II) species where the geometry is *not* imposed by the ligand. In complexes such as  $[\text{Co}(\text{pc})]$ , the phthalocyanine ligand (**21.26**) has a rigid framework and forces the coordination environment to be square planar.




(21.41)

The highest coordination numbers for Co(II) are 7 and 8. The effects of a coordinatively restricted macrocyclic ligand give rise to pentagonal pyramidal structures for  $[\text{Co}(\text{15-crown-5})(\text{NCMe})_2]^{2+}$  (**21.42**) and  $[\text{Co}(\text{15-crown-5})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$ . Larger macrocycles are more flexible, and in the complex  $[\text{Co}(\text{21.43})]^{2+}$ , the  $\text{S}_6$ -donor set is octahedrally arranged.




**Fig. 21.26** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$  in the salt  $[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{N}]_2[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_4] \cdot 4\text{DMF}$ ; there is also a *weak* interaction with a solvate molecule in an axial site [S.J. Carter *et al.* (1984) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 106, p. 4265]; (b)  $[\text{Co}(\text{12-crown-4})(\text{NO}_3)_2]$  [E.M. Holt *et al.* (1981) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 37, p. 1080]; and (c)  $[\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_4]^{2-}$  in the  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}]^+$  salt [J.G. Bergman *et al.* (1966) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 5, p. 1208]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Co, yellow; N, blue; C, grey; O, red.


Figure 21.26b shows the solid state structure of  $[\text{Co}(\text{12-crown-4})(\text{NO}_3)_2]$  in which the Co(II) centre is 7-coordinate. In  $[\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_4]^{2-}$ , a dodecahedral arrangement of donor atoms is observed, although as Figure 21.26c shows, each  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  ligand is bound asymmetrically with one oxygen donor interacting more strongly than the other. These nitrate complexes illustrate that caution is sometimes needed in interpreting coordination geometries and a further example concerns  $[\text{LCoX}]^+$  complexes where L is the tripodal ligand  $\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)_3$ . For  $\text{X}^- = [\text{MeS}]^-$  or  $[\text{EtO}(\text{O})_2\text{S}]^-$ , the Co(II) centre in  $[\text{LCoX}]^+$  is 5-coordinate (21.44) with a Co–N distance of 213 or 217 pm, respectively. However, for  $\text{X}^- = \text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$  or  $\text{I}^-$ , there is only a weak interaction between the nitrogen and metal centre (21.45) with  $\text{Co}\cdots\text{N}$  in the range 268–273 pm. We stress that these data refer to the *solid state* and say nothing about solution species.




(21.42)



(21.43)



(21.44)

P represents  $\text{PPh}_2$ 

(21.45)

### Self-study exercises


- For octahedral  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ , what is the ground state term that arises from the  $t_{2g}^5 e_g^2$  electronic configuration?  
[Ans.  $^4T_{1g}$ ; see Box 20.6]
- The electronic spectrum of  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  shows absorptions at 8100, 16000 and 19400  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . The middle band is assigned to the transition  $^4T_{1g}(\text{P}) \leftarrow ^4T_{1g}(\text{F})$ . Assign the remaining two transitions.  
[Ans. see Figure 20.18]
- For tetrahedral  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ , what is the ground state electronic configuration, and to what ground state term does this correspond?  
[Ans.  $e^4 t_2^3$ ;  $^4A_2$ ]
- Explain why, rather than using the spin-only formula, the magnetic moments of tetrahedral  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  complexes may be estimated using the following equation:

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = 3.87 \left( 1 - \frac{4\lambda}{\Delta_{\text{oct}}} \right)$$

## 21.11 Group 10: nickel

### The metal

The reactivity of Ni metal resembles that of Co (e.g. equation 21.84). It is attacked by dilute mineral acids, made passive by concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$ , and is resistant to aqueous alkalis.



The bulk metal is oxidized by air or steam only at high temperatures, but *Raney nickel* (see Section 21.2) is pyrophoric. Nickel reacts with  $\text{F}_2$  to give a coherent coating of  $\text{NiF}_2$  which prevents further attack; hence the use of nickel and its alloy *Monel metal* in apparatus for handling  $\text{F}_2$  or xenon fluorides. With  $\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{Br}_2$  and  $\text{I}_2$ , Ni(II) halides are formed. At elevated temperatures, Ni reacts with P, S and B and a range of different phosphide (see Section 14.6), sulfide and boride (see Section 12.10) phases are known.

Nickel(II) is far the most important oxidation state for the metal (Table 19.3). Low oxidation states are most common in organometallic species (Chapter 23), but other Ni(0) species include  $[\text{Ni}(\text{PF}_3)_4]$  and  $[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4]^{4-}$ . Yellow  $\text{K}_4[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4]$  is made by reduction of  $\text{K}_2[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4]$  in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  using excess K, but oxidizes immediately on exposure to air.

### Nickel(IV) and nickel(III)

Nickel(IV) is present in only a few species, and its formation requires extremely strong oxidants, e.g.  $\text{K}_2[\text{NiF}_6]$  is prepared from  $\text{NiCl}_2$ ,  $\text{F}_2$  and  $\text{KCl}$ . The salt  $[\text{Xe}_2\text{F}_{11}]_2[\text{NiF}_6]$  (Figure 17.5) is made from  $\text{XeF}_2$ ,  $\text{KrF}_2$  and  $\text{NiF}_2$ . Octahedral  $[\text{NiF}_6]^{2-}$  is diamagnetic (low-spin  $d^6$ ) and the red  $\text{K}^+$  salt crystallizes with the  $\text{K}_2[\text{PtF}_6]$  lattice (see Mn(IV), Section 21.8). Above 620 K,  $\text{K}_2[\text{NiF}_6]$  decomposes to  $\text{K}_3[\text{NiF}_6]$ . Salts of  $[\text{NiF}_6]^{2-}$  are powerful oxidants, and  $[\text{NF}_4]_2[\text{NiF}_6]$  is used as an oxidizing agent in some solid propellants. It decomposes on heating according to equation 21.85. Nickel(IV) fluoride can be prepared from  $\text{K}_2[\text{NiF}_6]$  and  $\text{BF}_3$  or  $\text{AsF}_5$ , but is unstable above 208 K (equation 21.86).



Nickel(IV) is present in  $\text{KNiIO}_6$ , formally a salt of  $[\text{IO}_6]^{5-}$  (see Section 16.9); it is formed by oxidation of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  by  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_8]^{2-}$  in the presence of  $[\text{IO}_4]^-$ . The structure of  $\text{KNiIO}_6$  can be considered as an hcp array of O atoms with K, Ni and I occupying octahedral sites.


Impure  $\text{NiF}_3$  is made by reaction 21.86. It is a black solid, and is a strong fluorinating agent, but decomposes when heated (equation 21.87).




Reaction of  $\text{NiCl}_2$ ,  $\text{KCl}$  and  $\text{F}_2$  produces violet  $\text{K}_3[\text{NiF}_6]$ . Octahedral  $[\text{NiF}_6]^{3-}$  is low-spin  $d^7$  ( $t_{2g}^6 e_g^1$ ) and shows the expected Jahn–Teller distortion.

The black hydrous oxide  $\text{Ni(O)OH}$  is obtained by alkaline hypochlorite oxidation of aqueous  $\text{Ni(II)}$  salts and has widespread use in  $\text{NiCd}$  rechargeable batteries (equation 21.5). It is a strong oxidizing agent, liberating  $\text{Cl}_2$  from hydrochloric acid. Mixed metal oxides of  $\text{Ni(IV)}$  include  $\text{BaNiO}_3$  and  $\text{SrNiO}_3$ , which are isostructural and contain chains of face-sharing  $\text{NiO}_6$  octahedra.


Nickel(III) is a very good oxidizing agent, but is stabilized by  $\sigma$ -donor ligands. Complexes include  $[\text{Ni(1,2-S}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)_2]^-$  (21.46) and  $[\text{NiBr}_3(\text{PEt}_3)_2]$  (21.47). The latter has a magnetic moment of  $1.72 \mu_B$ , indicative of low-spin  $\text{Ni(III)}$ ; the solid compound is stable for only a few hours. Other ligands used to stabilize  $\text{Ni(III)}$  include porphyrins and aza-macrocycles; in  $[\text{Ni(21.48)}]$ , each set of three  $N$ -donors and three  $O$ -donors is in a *fac*-arrangement about an octahedral  $\text{Ni(III)}$  centre.



(21.46)



(21.47)



(21.48)

## Nickel(II)

Nickel(II) fluoride is made by fluorination of  $\text{NiCl}_2$ ; it is a yellow solid with a rutile structure (Figure 5.21). Both  $\text{NiF}_2$  and its green tetrahydrate are commercially available. Anhydrous  $\text{NiCl}_2$ ,  $\text{NiBr}_2$  and  $\text{NiI}_2$  are made by direct combination of the elements;  $\text{NiCl}_2$  and  $\text{NiI}_2$  adopt a  $\text{CdCl}_2$  structure, while  $\text{NiBr}_2$  has a  $\text{CdI}_2$  structure (see Section 5.11). The chloride is a useful precursor in  $\text{Ni(II)}$  chemistry and can be purchased as the yellow anhydrous salt or green hydrate. The hexahydrate contains the  $[\text{Ni(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^{2+}$  ion in the solid state, but the dihydrate (obtained by partial dehydration of  $\text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) has a polymeric structure analogous to 21.36. Anhydrous  $\text{NiBr}_2$  is yellow and can be crystallized as a number of hydrates; black  $\text{NiI}_2$  forms a green hexahydrate.

The water-insoluble, green  $\text{NiO}$  is obtained by thermal decomposition of  $\text{NiCO}_3$  or  $\text{Ni(NO}_3)_2$  and crystallizes with the  $\text{NaCl}$  structure; thin amorphous films of  $\text{NiO}$  exhibiting electrochromic behaviour (see Box 22.4) may be deposited by CVD (chemical vapour deposition, see Section 27.6) starting from  $[\text{Ni(acac)}_2]$ . Nickel(II) oxide is antiferromagnetic

( $T_N = 520 \text{ K}$ ); its conducting properties are discussed in Section 27.3. Nickel(II) oxide is basic, reacting with acids, e.g. reaction 21.88.



Oxidation of  $\text{NiO}$  by hypochlorite yields  $\text{Ni(O)OH}$  (see earlier). Aerial oxidation converts  $\text{NiS}$  to  $\text{Ni(S)OH}$ , a fact that explains why, although  $\text{NiS}$  is not precipitated in acidic solution, after exposure to air it is insoluble in dilute acid. Addition of  $[\text{OH}]^-$  to aqueous solutions of  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  precipitates green  $\text{Ni(OH)}_2$  which has a  $\text{CdI}_2$  structure; it is used in  $\text{NiCd}$  batteries (equation 21.5). Nickel(II) hydroxide is insoluble in aqueous  $\text{NaOH}$  except at very high hydroxide concentrations, when it forms soluble  $\text{Na}_2[\text{Ni(OH)}_4]$ ;  $\text{Ni(OH)}_2$  is soluble in aqueous  $\text{NH}_3$  with formation of  $[\text{Ni(NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ . The pale green basic carbonate,  $2\text{NiCO}_3 \cdot 3\text{Ni(OH)}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , forms when  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  is added to aqueous  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  and it is this carbonate that is usually bought commercially.


A range of coordination geometries is observed for nickel(II) complexes with coordination numbers from 4 to 6 being common; octahedral and square planar geometries are most usual. Examples include:

- tetrahedral:  $[\text{NiCl}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{NiBr}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Ni(NCS-N)}_4]^{2-}$ ;
- square planar:  $[\text{Ni(CN)}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Ni(Hdmg)}_2]$  ( $\text{H}_2\text{dmg}$  = dimethylglyoxime);
- trigonal bipyramidal:  $[\text{Ni(CN)}_5]^{3-}$  (cation-dependent),  $[\text{NiCl}\{\text{N(CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)_3\}]^+$ ;
- square-based pyramidal:  $[\text{Ni(CN)}_5]^{3-}$  (cation-dependent);
- octahedral:  $[\text{Ni(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Ni(NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Ni(bpy)}_3]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Ni(en)}_3]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Ni(NCS-N)}_6]^{4-}$ ,  $[\text{NiF}_6]^{4-}$ .

Some structures are complicated by interconversions between square planar and tetrahedral, or square planar and octahedral coordination as we discuss later. In addition, the potential of some ligands to bridge between metal centres may cause ambiguity. For example, alkali metal salts of  $[\text{NiF}_3]^-$ ,  $[\text{NiF}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{NiCl}_3]^-$  crystallize with extended structures, whereas salts of  $[\text{NiCl}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{NiBr}_4]^{2-}$  contain discrete tetrahedral anions. The compounds  $\text{KNiF}_3$  and  $\text{CsNiF}_3$  are obtained by cooling melts containing  $\text{NiF}_2$  and  $\text{MHF}_2$ ;  $\text{KNiF}_3$  has a perovskite structure (Figure 5.23) and is antiferromagnetic, while  $\text{CsNiF}_3$  possesses chains of face-sharing  $\text{NiF}_6$  octahedra and is ferrimagnetic. A similar chain structure is adopted by  $\text{CsNiCl}_3$ . The antiferromagnetic  $\text{K}_2\text{NiF}_4$  contains layers of corner-sharing octahedral  $\text{NiF}_6$  units (Figure 21.27a) separated by  $\text{K}^+$  ions.


In Section 21.10, we noted that  $[\text{Co(acac)}_2]$  is tetrameric. Similarly,  $[\text{Ni(acac)}_2]$  oligomerizes, forming trimers (Figure 21.27b) in which  $[\text{acac}]^-$  ligands are in chelating and bridging modes. Reaction of  $[\{\text{Ni(acac)}_2\}_3]$  with aqueous  $\text{AgNO}_3$  yields  $\text{Ag}[\text{Ni(acac)}_3]$  containing the octahedral  $[\text{Ni(acac)}_3]^-$  ion.

Solid, hydrated nickel(II) salts and their aqueous solutions usually contain green  $[\text{Ni(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^{2+}$ , the electronic spectrum of which was shown in Figure 20.19 with that of  $[\text{Ni(NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ . Salts of the latter are typically blue, giving




 **Fig. 21.27** (a) Representation of part of a layer of corner-sharing NiF<sub>6</sub> octahedra in K<sub>2</sub>NiF<sub>4</sub>. (b) The structure of [Ni(acac)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> (X-ray diffraction) with H atoms omitted [G.J. Bullen *et al.* (1965) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 4, p. 456]. Colour code: Ni, green; C, grey; O, red.

violet solutions; in aqueous solution, [Ni(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> is stable only in the presence of excess NH<sub>3</sub> without which species such as [Ni(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> form. The violet chloride, bromide or perchlorate salts of [Ni(en)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> are obtained as racemates, the cation being kinetically labile (see [Section 25.2](#)). The octahedral complexes *trans*-[Ni(ClO<sub>4</sub>-O, O')<sub>2</sub>(NCMe)<sub>2</sub>] (**21.49**) and *trans*-[Ni(ClO<sub>4</sub>-O)<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>4</sub>] illustrate the ability of perchlorate ions to act as didentate or monodentate ligands respectively. The latter complex is discussed again later.



Magnetic moments of *octahedral* Ni(II) complexes are usually close to the spin-only value of 2.83 μ<sub>B</sub>. In contrast, *tetrahedral* complexes possess magnetic moments ≈4 μ<sub>B</sub> due to orbital contributions (see [Section 20.8](#)), and *square planar* complexes such as [Ni(CN)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (equation 21.89) are *diamagnetic*. These differences in magnetic moments are

invaluable in providing information about the coordination geometry in a Ni(II) complex.



The red square planar complex bis(dimethylglyoximato)-nickel(II), [Ni(Hdmg)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>†</sup> (Figure 21.28a), is used for gravimetric analysis of nickel; Ni(II) is precipitated along with Pd(II) when the ligand H<sub>2</sub>dmg in weakly ammoniacal solution is used as a reagent. The specificity for Ni<sup>2+</sup> arises from the low solubility of [Ni(Hdmg)<sub>2</sub>], *not* its high stability constant; complexes of type [M(Hdmg)<sub>2</sub>], where M<sup>2+</sup> is a first row *d*-block metal ion, have stability constants of the same order. The low solubility of [Ni(Hdmg)<sub>2</sub>] can be rationalized in terms of its solid state structure. Strong hydrogen bonding links the two ligands (Figure 21.28a) and plays a role in determining a square planar structure. As a consequence of the molecular framework being planar, molecules in the crystal lattice are able to assemble into one-dimensional stacks such that intermolecular Ni⋯Ni separations are 325 pm (Figure 21.28b; but contrast [Cu(Hdmg)<sub>2</sub>] in Section 21.12). Bis(ethylmethylglyoximato)nickel(II) has a related structure, but the bulkier ligand forces the molecules to pack less efficiently (Figure 21.28c). The fact that the latter complex is more soluble than [Ni(Hdmg)<sub>2</sub>] supports a structure–solubility relationship.

For some Ni(II) complexes, there is only a small energy difference between structure types. In [Section 19.7](#), we stated that *both* trigonal bipyramidal and square-based pyramidal [Ni(CN)<sub>5</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> ions (equation 21.90) are present in crystals of [Cr(en)<sub>3</sub>][Ni(CN)<sub>5</sub>]·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O. In the anhydrous salt, however, the anions are square-based pyramidal. It is impossible to give a simple interpretation of these observations which may be attributed to a ‘subtle balance of steric and electronic effects’.




The preference between different 4- and 6-coordination geometries for a number of Ni(II) systems is often marginal and examples are as follows.


#### Octahedral-planar

- [Ni(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>4</sub>] exists in a blue, paramagnetic *trans*-octahedral form and as a yellow diamagnetic salt containing square planar [Ni(py)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> ions;
- Salicylaldehyde (2-HOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH=NOH) reacts with Ni(II) to give colourless crystals of the square planar complex **21.50**, but on dissolving in pyridine, a green solution of the paramagnetic octahedral [Ni(2-OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH=NOH)<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>2</sub>] forms.


<sup>†</sup> For an introduction to the use of [Ni(Hdmg)<sub>2</sub>] and related complexes in template syntheses of macrocycles, see: E.C. Constable (1999) *Coordination Chemistry of Macrocyclic Compounds*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (Chapter 4).



**Fig. 21.28** (a) Representation of the square planar structure of bis(dimethylglyoximato)nickel(II),  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$ ; (b) in the solid state, molecules of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$  pack in vertical columns with relatively short Ni...Ni distances [X-ray diffraction data: D.E. Williams *et al.* (1959) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 81, p. 755]; but, (c) in bis(ethylmethylglyoximato)nickel(II), the packing is not so efficient [X-ray diffraction data: E. Frasson *et al.* (1960) *Acta Crystallogr.*, vol. 13, p. 893]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Ni, green; N, blue; O, red; C, grey.



triarylphosphine; when  $\text{X} = \text{Br}$  and  $\text{L} = \text{PEtPh}_2$  or  $\text{P}(\text{CH}_2\text{Ph})_2$ , both forms are known (scheme 21.91).



#### Tetrahedral-planar

- Halides of type  $\text{NiL}_2\text{X}_2$  are generally planar when  $\text{L} = \text{trialkylphosphine}$ , but tetrahedral when  $\text{L}$  is

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND


### Box 21.10 Copper: from antiquity to present day

|              |   |
|--------------|---|
| 5000–4000BC  | Copper metal used in tools and utensils; heat is used to make the metal malleable.  |
| 4000–2000BC  | In Egypt, copper is cast into specific shapes; bronze (an alloy with tin) is made; first copper mining in Asia Minor, China and North America.  |
| 2000BC–0     | Bronze weapons are introduced; bronze is increasingly used in decorative pieces.  |
| 0–AD200      | Brass (an alloy of copper and zinc) is developed.   |
| AD200–1800   | A period of little progress.  |
| 1800–1900    | Deposits of copper ores in Michigan, US, are mined, increasing dramatically the US output and availability of the metal.<br>The presence of copper in plants and animals is first discovered.   |
| 1900–1960    | The electrical conducting properties of copper are discovered and as a result, many new applications.   |
| 1960 onwards | North American production continues to increase but the world market also reaps the benefits of mining in many other countries, in particular Chile; copper recycling becomes important.<br>From mid-1980s, materials such as $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}$ are discovered to be high-temperature superconductors (see <i>Section 27.4</i> ). |

Table adapted from: R.R. Conry and K.D. Karlin (1994) 'Copper: Inorganic & coordination chemistry' in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 2, p. 829.

## Nickel(I)

Nickel(I) is uncommon, but dark red  $\text{K}_4[\text{Ni}_2(\text{CN})_6]$  can be prepared by Na amalgam reduction of  $\text{K}_2[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4]$ . It is diamagnetic and the anion has structure **21.51** in which the  $\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_3$  units are mutually perpendicular. The reaction of  $\text{K}_4[\text{Ni}_2(\text{CN})_6]$  with water liberates  $\text{H}_2$  and forms  $\text{K}_2[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4]$ .



### Self-study exercises

1. Sketch and label an Orgel diagram for an octahedral  $d^8$  ion. Include the multiplicities in the term symbols.

[Ans. see Figure 20.18; multiplicity = 3]

2. Why are tetrahedral Ni(II) complexes paramagnetic whereas square planar complexes are diamagnetic? Give an example of each type of complex. [Ans. see worked example 20.1]


3. Draw the structure of  $\text{H}_2\text{dmg}$ . Explain how the presence of intramolecular hydrogen bonding in  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$  results in a preference for a square planar over tetrahedral structure.

[Ans. see Figure 21.28a; O–H...O not possible in tetrahedral structure]

## 21.12 Group 11: copper

### The metal

Copper is the least reactive of the first row metals. It is not attacked by non-oxidizing acids in the absence of air (equation 21.92), but it reacts with hot concentrated sulfuric acid (equation 21.93) and with  $\text{HNO}_3$  of all concentrations (equations 14.111 and 14.112).





In the presence of air, Cu reacts with many dilute acids (the green patina on roofs in cities is basic copper sulfate) and also dissolves in aqueous  $\text{NH}_3$  to give  $[\text{Cu}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ . When heated strongly, Cu combines with  $\text{O}_2$  (equation 21.94).





Heating Cu with  $\text{F}_2$ ,  $\text{Cl}_2$  or  $\text{Br}_2$  produces the corresponding dihalide.

Copper is the only first row d-block metal to exhibit a stable +1 oxidation state. In aqueous solution, Cu(I) is

unstable by a relatively small margin with respect to Cu(II) and the metal (equations 21.92, 21.95 and 21.96).



This disproportionation is usually fast, but when aqueous Cu(I) is prepared by reduction of Cu(II) with V(II) or Cr(II), decomposition in the absence of air takes several hours. Copper(I) can be stabilized by the formation of an insoluble compound (e.g.  $\text{CuCl}$ ) or a complex (e.g.  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})_4]^{3-}$ ) (see Section 7.4). The stable oxidation state may depend on reaction conditions: e.g. when Cu powder reacts with aqueous  $\text{AgNO}_3$ , reaction 21.97 takes place, but in MeCN reaction 21.98 occurs.






Copper(0) is rarely stabilized; the unstable  $\text{Cu}_2(\text{CO})_6$  has been isolated in a matrix at low temperature. The highest oxidation state attained for copper is +4.

### Copper(IV) and (III)

Copper(IV) is rare. It exists in the red  $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuF}_6$  which is made by fluorinating  $\text{CsCuCl}_3$  at 520 K; the  $[\text{CuF}_6]^{2-}$  ion is low-spin  $d^7$  and has a Jahn–Teller distorted octahedral structure. Copper(IV) oxide has been prepared in a matrix by vaporizing the metal and co-depositing it with  $\text{O}_2$ ; spectroscopic data are consistent with a linear structure,  $\text{O}=\text{Cu}=\text{O}$ .

High-pressure fluorination of a mixture of CsCl and  $\text{CuCl}_2$  gives  $\text{Cs}_3[\text{CuF}_6]$ . Green  $\text{K}_3[\text{CuF}_6]$  is similarly prepared and has a magnetic moment of  $3.01 \mu_{\text{B}}$  indicative of octahedral Cu(III). The diamagnetic compounds  $\text{K}[\text{CuO}_2]$  and  $\text{K}_7[\text{Cu}(\text{IO}_6)_2]$  contain square planar Cu(III) (structures 21.52 and 21.53).




Ligands that stabilize Cu(III) include 1,2-dithiooxalate. Reaction of  $[\text{C}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}_2]^{2-}$  with  $\text{CuCl}_2$  produces  $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}(\text{C}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}_2)_2]^{2-}$ , oxidation of which by  $\text{FeCl}_3$  gives  $[\text{Cu}^{\text{III}}(\text{C}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}_2)_2]^-$  (21.54). This readily undergoes a photo-induced two-electron intramolecular transfer, cleaving one of the C–C bonds and releasing two equivalents of SCO.

Probably the most important use of Cu(III) species is in high-temperature superconductors such as  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}$  ( $x \approx 0.1$ ) which are discussed in Chapter 27.

## Copper(II)

*Cupric* is the old name for copper(II). Throughout copper(II) chemistry, Jahn–Teller distortions are observed as predicted for an octahedral  $d^9$  ion, although the degree of distortion varies considerably.



White  $\text{CuF}_2$  (made, like  $\text{CuCl}_2$  and  $\text{CuBr}_2$ , from the elements) has a distorted rutile structure (Figure 5.21) with elongated  $\text{CuF}_6$ -units (193 and 227 pm). In moist air,  $\text{CuF}_2$  turns blue as it forms the dihydrate. Copper(II) chloride forms yellow or brown deliquescent crystals and forms the green-blue  $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  on standing in moist air. The structure of anhydrous  $\text{CuCl}_2$  (Figure 21.29a) consists of chains so stacked that each Cu(II) centre is in a distorted octahedral site. In solid  $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (21.55), *trans*-square planar molecules are arranged so that there are weak intermolecular  $\text{Cu} \cdots \text{Cl}$  interactions. Above 570 K,  $\text{CuCl}_2$  decomposes to  $\text{CuCl}$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$ . Black  $\text{CuBr}_2$  has a distorted  $\text{CdI}_2$  structure (Figure 5.22). Copper(II) iodide is not known.



Black  $\text{CuO}$  is made by heating the elements (equation 21.94) or by thermal decomposition of solid  $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  or  $\text{CuCO}_3$  (equation 21.99). Its structure consists of square planar  $\text{CuO}_4$  units linked by bridging O atoms into chains; these lie in a criss-cross arrangement so that each O atom is in a distorted tetrahedral site. Figure 21.29b shows a unit cell of this lattice which is an example of the *cooperite* (PtS) structure type. Below 225 K,  $\text{CuO}$  is antiferromagnetic. One use of  $\text{CuO}$  is as a black pigment in ceramics.




Blue  $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$  precipitates when  $[\text{OH}]^-$  is added to aqueous solutions of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ;  $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$  dissolves in acids and also in concentrated aqueous alkalis in which an ill-defined hydroxo species is formed. Copper(II) hydroxide is readily dehydrated to  $\text{CuO}$ .




**Fig. 21.29** (a) Representation of the solid state structure of  $\text{CuCl}_2$  in which chains stack to place each Cu(II) centre in a distorted octahedral environment; (b) the *cooperite* (PtS) structure adopted by  $\text{CuO}$  with  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  (square planar) and  $\text{O}^{2-}$  (distorted tetrahedral) centres shown in brown and red respectively. The edges of the unit cell are defined by the yellow lines.

Aqueous solutions of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  contain the  $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ion and this has been isolated in several salts including  $\text{Cu}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and the Tutton salt  $[\text{NH}_4]_2\text{Cu}[\text{SO}_4]_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (see Section 21.6). The solid state structures of both salts reveal distortions of  $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  such that there are three pairs of Cu–O distances, e.g. in  $\text{Cu}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  the Cu–O bond lengths are 209, 216 and 228 pm. Crystals of the blue hydrated sulfate  $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (*blue vitriol*) contain square planar  $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^{2+}$  units with two sulfate O atoms completing the remaining sites in an elongated octahedral coordination sphere. The solid state structure consists of a hydrogen-bonded assembly which incorporates the non-coordinated  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules. The pentahydrate loses water in stages on heating (equation 21.100) and finally forms the white, hygroscopic anhydrous  $\text{CuSO}_4$ .



Copper(II) sulfate and nitrate are commercially available and, in addition to uses as precursors in Cu(II) chemistry,


they are used as fungicides, e.g. *Bordeaux mixture* contains  $\text{CuSO}_4$  and  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  and when added to water forms a basic copper(II) sulfate which acts as the antifungal agent. Copper(II) nitrate is widely used in the dyeing and printing industries. It forms hydrates  $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  where  $x = 2.5, 3$  or  $6$ . The blue hexahydrate readily loses water at  $300\text{ K}$  to give green  $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Anhydrous  $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  is made from  $\text{Cu}$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  (equation 8.75) followed by decomposition of  $[\text{NO}][\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_3]$  so formed. The solid state structure of  $\alpha\text{-Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  consists of  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  centres linked into an infinite lattice by bridging  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  ligands (21.56). At  $423\text{ K}$ , the solid volatilizes *in vacuo* giving molecular  $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  (21.57).




The salt  $\text{Cu}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  is dimeric and is structurally similar to  $[\text{Cr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_4]$  (see Figure 21.14 for structure type) but lacks the strong metal–metal bonding. The distance between the two  $\text{Cu}$  centres of  $264\text{ pm}$  is greater than in the bulk metal ( $256\text{ pm}$ ). The magnetic moment of  $1.4\mu_{\text{B}}$  per  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  centre (i.e. less than  $\mu(\text{spin-only})$  of  $1.73\mu_{\text{B}}$ ) suggests that in  $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_4]$  there is only weak antiferromagnetic coupling between the unpaired electrons. On cooling, the magnetic moment decreases. These observations can be explained in terms of the two unpaired electrons giving a singlet ground state

( $S = 0$ ) and a low lying triplet excited state ( $S = 1$ ) which is thermally populated at  $298\text{ K}$  but which becomes less populated as the temperature is lowered (see Box 20.6 for singlet and triplet states).


Vast numbers of copper(II) complexes are known and this discussion covers only simple species; Jahn–Teller distortions are generally observed ( $d^9$  configuration). Halo complexes include  $[\text{CuCl}_3]^-$ ,  $[\text{CuCl}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{CuCl}_5]^{3-}$  but the solid state structures of species possessing these stoichiometries are highly dependent on the counter-ions. For example,  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}][\text{CuCl}_3]$  contains dimers (21.58), whereas  $\text{K}[\text{CuCl}_3]$  and  $[\text{Me}_3\text{NH}_3][\text{CuCl}_3][\text{CuCl}_4]$  contain chains of distorted, face-sharing octahedra (Figure 21.30a). The latter salt also contains discrete tetrahedral  $[\text{CuCl}_4]^{2-}$  ions.  $[\text{PhCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2\text{Me}]_2[\text{CuCl}_4]$  crystallizes in two forms, one with distorted tetrahedral and the other with square planar  $[\text{CuCl}_4]^{2-}$  ions. The salt  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{CuCl}_4]$  has a polymeric structure containing distorted octahedral  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  centres. Dimeric  $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{4-}$  (with edge-sharing trigonal bipyramidal  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  centres) may be stabilized by very bulky cations, e.g.  $[\text{M}(\text{en})_3]_2[\text{Cu}_2\text{Cl}_8]\text{Cl}_2$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Co}, \text{Rh}$  or  $\text{Ir}$ , Figure 21.30b). The  $[\text{CuCl}_5]^{3-}$  ion is trigonal bipyramidal in the  $\text{Cs}^+$  and  $[\text{Me}_3\text{NH}]^+$  salts, but in [21.59] $[\text{CuCl}_5]$ , it is square-based pyramidal.



Complexes containing *N*- and *O*-donor ligands are very common, and coordination numbers of 4, 5 and 6 predominate. We have already mentioned the aqua species  $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^{2+}$ . When  $\text{NH}_3$  is added to




**Fig. 21.30** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) polymeric  $[\text{CuCl}_3]_n^{n-}$  in the salt  $[\text{Me}_3\text{NH}_3][\text{CuCl}_3][\text{CuCl}_4]$ ; the  $[\text{CuCl}_4]^{2-}$  ion in this salt is tetrahedral [R.M. Clay *et al.* (1973) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 595]; and (b) the  $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{4-}$  ion in the salt  $[\text{Rh}(\text{en})_3]_2[\text{Cu}_2\text{Cl}_8]\text{Cl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [S.K. Hoffmann *et al.* (1985) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 24, p. 1194]. Colour code: Cu, brown; Cl, green.




**Fig. 21.31** (a) The flattened tetrahedral structure of  $[\text{CuCl}_2(\text{Meim})_2]$  (determined by X-ray diffraction) and a schematic representation of the *N*-methylimidazole (Meim) ligand [J.A.C. van Ooijen *et al.* (1979) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 1183]; (b)  $[\text{Cu}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$  forms dimers in the solid state, in contrast to  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$ , (Figure 21.28); structure determined by X-ray diffraction [A. Vaciago *et al.* (1970) *J. Chem. Soc. A*, p. 218]. Colour code: Cu, brown; N, blue; Cl, green; O, red; C, grey.

aqueous  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ , only four aqua ligands in  $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  are replaced (see Section 20.10), but salts of  $[\text{Cu}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  can be made in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ ;  $[\text{Cu}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  is formed in very concentrated aqueous solutions of 1,2-ethanediamine. Deep blue aqueous  $[\text{Cu}(\text{NH}_3)_4](\text{OH})_2$  (formed when  $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$  is dissolved in aqueous  $\text{NH}_3$ ) has the remarkable property of dissolving cellulose, and if the resulting solution is squirted into acid, the synthetic fibre *rayon* is produced as cellulose is precipitated; the precipitation is also used to waterproof canvas. Further examples of complexes with *N*- and *O*-donor ligands are:

- tetrahedral (flattened):  $[\text{Cu}(\text{NCS}-N)_4]^{2-}$ ;  $[\text{CuCl}_2(\text{Meim})_2]$  (Figure 21.31a);
- square planar:  $[\text{Cu}(\text{ox})_2]^{2-}$ ; *cis*- and *trans*- $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CO}_2)_2]$ ;  $[\text{Cu}(\text{en})(\text{NO}_3-O)_2]$ ;
- trigonal bipyramidal:  $[\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3-O)_2(\text{py})_3]$  (equatorial nitrates);  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})\{\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2)_3\}]^+$  (axial cyanide);
- square-based pyramidal:  $[\text{Cu}(\text{NCS}-N)(\mathbf{21.60})]^+$  (ligand **21.60** is tetradentate in the basal sites);  $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{phen})(\mathbf{21.61})]$  (apical  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ),  $[\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{MeOH})]$  (apical MeOH, *trans* Cl in the basal sites);
- octahedral:  $[\text{Cu}(\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH})_3]^{2+}$ ;  $[\text{Cu}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ ;  $[\text{Cu}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$ ; *trans*- $[\text{CuCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{en})_2]^+$ ; *trans*- $[\text{Cu}(\text{BF}_4)_2(\text{en})_2]$  (see below).



(21.60)




(21.61)

Jahn–Teller distortions are apparent in many complexes. In  $[\text{Cu}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ , the distortion is particularly severe with equatorial Cu–N bonds of 203 pm, and axial distances of 223 and 245 pm. The complex *trans*- $[\text{Cu}(\text{BF}_4)_2(\text{en})_2]$  illustrates the ability of  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  to act as a monodentate

ligand; the long Cu–F bonds (256 pm) indicate rather weak Cu–F interactions. In Section 21.11, we described the structure of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$ ;  $[\text{Cu}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$  also exhibits hydrogen bonding between the ligands but, in the solid state, molecules are associated in *pairs* with the coordination sphere being square-based pyramidal (Figure 21.31b).

A practical application of the coordination of *N,O*-donors to Cu(II) is the *biuret test* for peptides and proteins. Compounds containing peptide linkages form a violet complex when treated in NaOH solution with a few drops of aqueous  $\text{CuSO}_4$ . The general form of the complex can be represented by that of **21.62**, in which the ligand is the doubly deprotonated form of biuret,  $\text{H}_2\text{NC}(\text{O})\text{NHC}(\text{O})\text{NH}_2$ .



(21.62)


When a Cu(II) salt is treated with excess KCN at room temperature, cyanogen is evolved and the copper reduced (equation 21.101). However, in aqueous methanol at low temperatures, violet square planar  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$  forms.



Some copper-containing complexes are studied as models for bioinorganic systems (see Chapter 28).

## Copper(I)

*Cuprous* is the old name for copper(I). The  $\text{Cu}^+$  ion has a  $d^{10}$  configuration and salts are diamagnetic and colourless except when the counter-ion is coloured or when charge transfer absorptions occur in the visible region, e.g. in red  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ .



**Fig. 21.32** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Br}_5]^{3-}$  in the  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]^+$  salt [M. Asplund *et al.* (1985) *Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. A*, vol. 39, p. 47] and (b)  $[\text{Cu}_4\text{Br}_6]^{2-}$  in the  $[\text{Pr}_4\text{N}]^+$  salt [M. Asplund *et al.* (1984) *Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A*, vol. 38, p. 725]. In both, the Cu(I) centres are in trigonal planar environments and in  $[\text{Cu}_4\text{Br}_6]^{2-}$ , the copper atoms are in a tetrahedral arrangement; the Cu $\cdots$ Cu distances are longer than in the bulk metal. Colour code: Cu, brown; Br, pink.

Copper(I) fluoride is not known; CuCl, CuBr and CuI are white solids and are made by reduction of a Cu(II) salt in the presence of halide ions, e.g. CuBr forms when  $\text{SO}_2$  is bubbled through an aqueous solution of  $\text{CuSO}_4$  and KBr. Copper(I) chloride has a zinc blende structure (see [Figure 5.18](#)). The  $\gamma$ -forms of CuBr and CuI adopt the zinc blende structure but convert to the  $\beta$ -forms (wurtzite structure, [Figure 5.20](#)) at 660 and 690 K respectively. Values of  $K_{\text{sp}}$  (298 K) for CuCl, CuBr and CuI are  $1.72 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $6.27 \times 10^{-9}$  and  $1.27 \times 10^{-12}$ . Copper(I) iodide precipitates when any Cu(II) salt is added to KI solution (equation 21.102).




Anions and ligands available in solution strongly influence the relative stabilities of Cu(I) and Cu(II) species. The very low solubility of CuI is crucial to reaction 21.102 which occurs despite the fact that the  $E^\circ$  values of the  $\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu}^+$  and  $\text{I}_2/\text{I}^-$  couples are +0.15 and +0.54 V respectively. However, in the presence of 1,2-ethanediamine or tartrate, which form stable complexes with  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{I}_2$  oxidizes CuI.

Copper(I) hydride is obtained by reduction of Cu(II) salts with  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2$  and crystallizes with the wurtzite structure. It decomposes when treated with acids, liberating  $\text{H}_2$ .

Red copper(I) oxide may be made by oxidation of Cu (reaction 21.94), but is more readily obtained by reduction of Cu(II) compounds in alkaline media. When Fehling's solution ( $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  in aqueous alkaline sodium tartrate) is added to a reducing sugar such as glucose,  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  precipitates; this is a qualitative test for reducing sugars. The solid state structure of  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  is related to that of  $\beta$ -cristobalite ( $\text{SiO}_2$ , [Figure 5.19c](#)) but with Cu(I) in linear sites and  $\text{O}^{2-}$  in tetrahedral sites. Because the  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  framework is particularly open, the crystal consists of *two* interpenetrating frameworks, and the  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ , *cuprite*, structure is a structural prototype. Copper(I) oxide is used as a red pigment in ceramics, porcelain glazes and glasses; it has fungicidal properties and is added to certain paints as an antifouling agent. It is insoluble in


water, but dissolves in aqueous  $\text{NH}_3$  to give colourless  $[\text{Cu}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$  (**21.63**); the solution readily absorbs  $\text{O}_2$  and turns blue as  $[\text{Cu}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$  forms.




In acidic solutions,  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  disproportionates (equation 21.103).




Complex **21.63** illustrates a linear environment for Cu(I); the most common geometry is tetrahedral, and 3-coordinate species also occur. Halide complexes exhibit great structural diversity and the identity of the cation is often crucial in determining the structure of the anion. For example,  $[\text{CuCl}_2]^-$  (formed when CuCl dissolves in concentrated HCl) may occur as discrete, linear anions (**21.64**) or as a polymer with tetrahedral Cu(I) centres (**21.65**). Trigonal planar  $[\text{CuCl}_3]^{2-}$  has been isolated, e.g. in  $[\text{Me}_4\text{P}]_2[\text{CuCl}_3]$ , but association into discrete, halo-bridged anions is also possible, e.g.  $[\text{Cu}_2\text{I}_4]^{2-}$  (**21.66**),  $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Br}_5]^{3-}$  (Figure 21.32a) and  $[\text{Cu}_4\text{Br}_6]^{2-}$  (Figure 21.32b). An unusual *linear* Cu–Br–Cu bridge links two cubane-like subunits in the mixed-valence anion  $[\text{Cu}_8\text{Br}_{15}]^{6-}$  (Figure 21.33). This ion formally contains one Cu(II) and seven Cu(I) centres, but structural and ESR spectroscopic properties and theoretical calculations are consistent with delocalized bonding. Complexation between Cu(I) and  $[\text{CN}]^-$  can lead to  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  (polymeric **21.67** as in the  $\text{K}^+$  salt),  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})_3]^{2-}$  (trigonal planar, **19.2**) or  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CN})_4]^{3-}$  (tetrahedral).







**Fig. 21.33** The structure (X-ray diffraction at 203 K) of the mixed-valence  $[\text{Cu}_8\text{Br}_{15}]^{6-}$  ion in the compound  $[\text{MePh}_3\text{P}]_6[\text{Cu}_8\text{Br}_{15}]$  [G.A. Bowmaker *et al.* (1999) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 38, 5476]. Colour code: Cu, brown; Br, pink.



(21.66)



(21.67)



**Fig. 21.34** The structure of  $[\text{Cu}(\mathbf{21.43})]^+$  (ligand **21.43** is an  $S_6$ -macrocyclic) determined by X-ray diffraction for the  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  salt; the  $\text{Cu}^+$  is in a distorted tetrahedral environment [J.R. Hartman *et al.* (1986) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 108, p. 1202]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Cu, brown; S, yellow; C, grey.

Copper(I) is a soft metal centre (*Table 6.9*) and tends to interact with soft donor atoms such as S and P, although complex formation with *O*- and *N*-donor ligands is well documented. Many complexes with *S*-donor ligands are known, and the propensity of sulfur to form bridges leads to many multinuclear complexes, e.g.  $[(S_6)\text{Cu}(\mu-S_8)\text{Cu}(S_6)]^{4-}$  (*Figure 15.11*),  $[\text{Cu}_4(\text{SPh})_6]^{2-}$  (which is structurally related to  $[\text{Cu}_4\text{Br}_6]^{2-}$  with  $[\text{SPh}]^-$  replacing  $\text{Br}^-$  bridges), and  $[\{\text{Cu}(\text{S}_2\text{O}_3)_2\}_n]$  (structurally related to **21.65** with *S*-bonded thiosulfates replacing  $\text{Cl}^-$  bridges). We have seen several times in this chapter how macrocyclic ligands may impose unusual coordination numbers on metal ions, or, if the ring is large enough, may wrap around a metal ion, e.g. in  $[\text{Co}(\mathbf{21.43})]^{2+}$ . In  $[\text{Cu}(\mathbf{21.43})]^+$  (*Figure 21.34*), the preference for the  $\text{Cu}^+$  ion to be tetrahedrally coordinated means that it interacts with only four of the six donor atoms of the macrocycle.



### Self-study exercises

- ‘Octahedral’ Cu(II) complexes are often described as having a (4 + 2)-coordination pattern. Suggest the origin of this description. [*Ans.* see structure 20.5 and discussion]
- Values of  $\log K_n$  for the displacement of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ligands in  $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  by  $\text{NH}_3$  ligands are 4.2, 3.5, 2.9, 2.1 and  $-0.52$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and 5 respectively. A value for  $n = 6$  cannot be measured in aqueous solution. Comment on these data. [*Ans.* see *Figure 20.29* and discussion]
- $\text{CuO}$  adopts a cooperite structure. Confirm the stoichiometry of the compound from the unit cell shown in *Figure 21.29b*.

## 21.13 Group 12: zinc

### The metal

Zinc is not attacked by air or water at room temperature, but the hot metal burns in air and decomposes steam, forming  $\text{ZnO}$ . Zinc is much more reactive than Cu (compare equations 21.104 and 21.92), liberating  $\text{H}_2$  from dilute mineral acids and from alkalis (equation 21.105). With hot concentrated sulfuric acid, reaction 21.106 occurs; the products of reactions with  $\text{HNO}_3$  depend on temperature and acid concentration. On heating, Zn reacts with all the halogens to give  $\text{ZnX}_2$ , and combines with elemental S and P.



The first (Sc) and last (Zn) members of the first row of the *d*-block exhibit a more restricted range of oxidation states than the other metals, and the chemistry of Zn is confined to that

of Zn(II). The  $[\text{Zn}_2]^{2+}$  ion (analogues of which are well established for the heavier group 10 metals) has only been established in a yellow diamagnetic glass obtained by cooling a solution of metallic Zn in molten  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ ; it rapidly disproportionates (equation 21.107).




Since the electronic configuration of  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  is  $d^{10}$ , compounds are colourless and diamagnetic. There is no LFSE associated with the  $d^{10}$  ion and, as the discussion below shows, no particular geometry is preferred for  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ . There are some similarities with Mg, and many compounds of Zn are isomorphous with their Mg analogues.

## Zinc(II)

Binary halides are best made by action of HF, HCl,  $\text{Br}_2$  or  $\text{I}_2$  on hot Zn;  $\text{ZnF}_2$  is also prepared by thermal decomposition of  $\text{Zn}(\text{BF}_4)_2$ . The vapours of the halides contain linear molecules. Solid  $\text{ZnF}_2$  adopts a rutile structure (Figure 5.21) and has a high lattice energy and melting point. Evidence for significant covalent character is apparent in the structures and properties of  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ ,  $\text{ZnBr}_2$  and  $\text{ZnI}_2$  which possess layer lattices, have lower melting points than  $\text{ZnF}_2$  (Figure 21.35) and are soluble in a range of organic solvents. The water solubility of  $\text{ZnF}_2$  is low, but  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ ,  $\text{ZnBr}_2$  and  $\text{ZnI}_2$  are highly soluble. Uses of  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  are varied, e.g. in some fireproofings, wood preservation, an astringent, in deodorants and, combined with  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ , as a soldering flux.

Zinc hydride is made by reaction 21.108 (or from LiH and  $\text{ZnBr}_2$ ) and is a fairly stable solid at 298 K.



Zinc is of great commercial significance and ZnO (made from Zn and  $\text{O}_2$ ) is its most important compound (see Section 21.2). It is a white solid with the wurtzite structure (Figure 5.20) at 298 K. It turns yellow on heating and in






Fig. 21.35 Trend in melting points of the zinc halides.

this form is a semiconductor owing to loss of oxygen and production of some interstitial Zn atoms. Zinc oxide is amphoteric, dissolving in acids to give solutions containing  $[\text{Zn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  or derivatives thereof (some anions coordinate to  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ), but hydrolysis of  $[\text{Zn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  occurs to give various solution species resulting from  $\text{H}^+$  loss. In alkalis, ZnO forms zincates such as  $[\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$  (21.68). This ion also forms when  $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_2$  dissolves in aqueous alkalis. Zinc hydroxide is water-insoluble; there are five polymorphs of which  $\epsilon\text{-Zn}(\text{OH})_2$  (distorted  $\beta$ -cristobalite lattice, Figure 5.19c) is thermodynamically the most stable.



Zinc sulfide occurs naturally as the minerals *zinc blende* and, more rarely, *wurtzite*; these are structural prototypes (see Section 5.11). It is a light-sensitive white solid and, on exposure to cathode- or X-rays, it luminesces or fluoresces and is used in fluorescent paints and radar screens. Adding Cu to ZnO results in a green phosphorescence after exposure to light, and other colour variations are achieved by using different additives. The conversion of ZnS to ZnO by roasting in air is the commercial method of producing the oxide.


Other Zn(II) compounds that are commercially available include the carbonate, sulfate and nitrate. The sulfate is very soluble in water; crystals of  $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  form on evaporating solutions from reactions of Zn, ZnO,  $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_2$  or  $\text{ZnCO}_3$  with aqueous  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Dehydration initially occurs on heating, followed by decomposition (equation 21.109).



Insoluble  $\text{ZnCO}_3$  occurs naturally as *smithsonite*, but the mineral tends to be coloured owing to the presence of, for example, Fe(II). The carbonate is usually purchased as the basic salt  $\text{ZnCO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and is used in calamine lotion.


Zinc nitrate can be obtained as one of several hydrates, of which  $\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is the most common. Anhydrous  $\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  is made from Zn and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  since heating the hydrates yields hydroxy salts. The hexahydrates of  $\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  and  $\text{Zn}(\text{ClO}_4)_2$  contain octahedral  $[\text{Zn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  in the solid state. Similarly, it is possible to isolate salts containing  $[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  from reactions done in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , e.g.  $\text{ZnCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{NH}_3$ . However, in aqueous solution,  $[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  exists in equilibrium with tetrahedral  $[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ . Equation 8.25 showed the formation of  $[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_2)_4]^{2-}$ . Basic zinc acetate  $[\text{Zn}_4(\mu_4\text{-O})(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_6]$  is isostructural with its Be(II) analogue (Figure 11.6), but is

more readily hydrolysed in water. Another salt of interest is  $\text{Zn}(\text{acac})_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (**21.69**) in which the coordination of  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  is square-based pyramidal.




(21.69)

Our discussion of Zn(II) compounds has introduced complexes including  $[\text{Zn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Zn}(\text{acac})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ , exemplifying octahedral, tetrahedral and square-based pyramidal coordination. Large numbers of Zn(II) complexes are known (some interest arises from developing models for Zn-containing bioinorganic systems, see [Chapter 28](#)) and coordination numbers of 4 to 6 are the most common. Zinc(II) is a borderline hard/soft ion and readily complexes with ligands containing a range of donor atoms, e.g. hard *N*- and *O*- and soft *S*-donors.




(21.70)




(21.71)

Tetrahedral  $[\text{ZnCl}_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{ZnBr}_4]^{2-}$  can be formed from  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  and  $\text{ZnBr}_2$  and many salts are known; salts of  $[\text{ZnI}_4]^{2-}$  are stabilized using large cations. Crystallographic data for  $[\text{ZnCl}_3]^-$  salts usually reveal the presence of  $[\text{Zn}_2\text{Cl}_6]^{2-}$  (**21.70**), and in coordinating solvents, tetrahedral  $[\text{ZnCl}_3(\text{sol})]^-$  is present; salts such as  $\text{K}[\text{ZnCl}_3] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  contain  $[\text{ZnCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^-$  (**21.71**) in the solid state. A similar picture is true for  $[\text{ZnBr}_3]^-$  and  $[\text{ZnI}_3]^-$  salts; both  $[\text{Zn}_2\text{Br}_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Zn}_2\text{I}_6]^{2-}$  have been confirmed in the solid state.

The structure of  $\text{Zn}(\text{CN})_2$  is an *antifluorite* lattice (related to *cuprite*, [Section 21.12](#), in the same way as *fluorite* and *antifluorite*, [Section 5.11](#), are related) with  $[\text{CN}]^-$  groups bridging between tetrahedral Zn(II) centres. In contrast,  $[\text{Zn}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$  exists as discrete tetrahedral ions, as do  $[\text{Zn}(\text{N}_3)_4]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Zn}(\text{NCS-}N)_4]^{2-}$ . Just as it is possible to isolate both  $[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ , pairs of tetrahedral  $[\text{ZnL}_2]^{2+}$  and octahedral  $[\text{ZnL}_3]^{2+}$  complexes ( $\text{L} = \text{en}$ , *bpy*, *phen*) are also known.



(21.72)



(21.73)

Examples of high coordination numbers for  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  are rare, but include pentagonal bipyramidal  $[\text{Zn}(\text{15-crown-5})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$  (**21.72**), and dodecahedral  $[\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_4]^{2-}$  (structurally similar to  $[\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_4]^{2-}$ , [Figure 21.26c](#)).

By using a sterically demanding aryloxide ligand, it is possible to isolate a 3-coordinate (trigonal planar) Zn(II) complex, structure **21.73**.

### Self-study exercises

1. Explain why Zn(II) compounds are diamagnetic, irrespective of the coordination environment of the  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  ion.

[Ans.  $d^{10}$  and see [Figures 20.8](#) and [20.10](#)]

2. Do you expect  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  to form stable, octahedral complexes with  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands? Give reasons for your answer.

[Ans. see end of [Section 20.4](#)]

### Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- isopolyanion
- polyoxometallate
- homopolyanion
- heteropolyanion

### Further reading

See also further reading suggested for Chapters 19 and 20.

F.A. Cotton (2000) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 1961 – ‘A millennial overview of transition metal chemistry’.

F.A. Cotton, G. Wilkinson, M. Bochmann and C. Murillo (1999) *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*, 6th edn, Wiley Interscience, New York – One of the best detailed accounts of the chemistry of the *d*-block metals.

J. Emsley (1998) *The Elements*, 3rd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An invaluable source of data for the elements.

N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – A very good account including historical, technological and structural aspects; the metals in each triad are treated together.

R.B. King (ed.) (1994) *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, Wiley, Chichester – Contains an article on the inorganic and coordination chemistry of each metal with numerous literature citations.

J. McCleverty (1999) *Chemistry of the First-row Transition Metals*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An introductory text dealing with the metals Ti to Cu.


M.T. Pope (1994) ‘Polyoxoanions’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 6, p. 3361.

J. Silver (ed.) (1993) *Chemistry of Iron*, Blackie, London – A series of articles covering different facets of the chemistry of iron.

A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – An excellent source for detailed structural information of, in particular, binary compounds.

## Problems

- 21.1** Write out, in sequence, the first row *d*-block elements and give the valence electronic configuration of each metal and of its  $M^{2+}$  ion.
- 21.2** Comment on the variation in oxidation states of the first row metals. Why are Sc and Zn not classed as *transition metals*?
- 21.3** In the complex  $[\text{Ti}(\text{BH}_4)_3(\text{MeOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OMe})]$ , the Ti(III) centre is 8-coordinate. Suggest modes of coordination for the ligands.
- 21.4** Comment on each of the following observations. (a)  $\text{Li}_2\text{TiO}_3$  forms a continuous range of solid solutions with  $\text{MgO}$ . (b) When  $\text{TiCl}_3$  is heated with concentrated aqueous  $\text{NaOH}$ ,  $\text{H}_2$  is evolved.
- 21.5** An acidified solution of  $0.1000 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  ammonium vanadate ( $25.00 \text{ cm}^3$ ) was reduced by  $\text{SO}_2$  and, after boiling off excess reductant, the blue solution remaining was found to require addition of  $25.00 \text{ cm}^3$   $0.0200 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$   $\text{KMnO}_4$  to give a pink colour to the solution. Another  $25.00 \text{ cm}^3$  portion of the vanadate solution was shaken with Zn amalgam and then immediately poured into excess of the ammonium vanadate solution; on titration of the resulting solution with the  $\text{KMnO}_4$  solution,  $74.5 \text{ cm}^3$  of the latter was required. Deduce what happened in these experiments.
- 21.6** Give equations to describe what happens to  $\text{VBr}_3$  on heating.
- 21.7** The magnetic moment of  $[\text{NH}_4]\text{V}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is  $2.8 \mu_B$  and the electronic spectrum of an aqueous solution contains absorptions at  $17\,800$ ,  $25\,700$  and  $34\,500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Explain these observations.
- 21.8** Suggest the formula and structure of the mononuclear complex formed between  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  and ligand **21.74**. Comment on possible isomerism.




(21.74)

- 21.9** Use data from Appendix 11 to predict qualitatively the outcome of the following experiment at  $298 \text{ K}$ : Cr is

dissolved in excess of molar  $\text{HClO}_4$  and the solution is shaken in air.

- 21.10** Figure 21.36 shows the change in concentration of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  with time during a reaction with acidified oxalate ions. (a) Suggest a method of monitoring the reaction. (b) Explain the shape of the curve.



**Fig. 21.36** Figure for problem 21.10.


- 21.11** Comment on the modes of bonding of the ligands in the Mn(II) complexes listed at the end of Section 21.8, drawing attention to any conformational restrictions.
- 21.12** How would you (a) distinguish between the formulations  $\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{S}_2$  and  $\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{S}_2$  for the mineral *chalcopyrite*, (b) show that  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  is a hard cation, and (c) show that the blue compound precipitated when a solution of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  in concentrated aqueous  $\text{KOH}$  is reduced by  $[\text{CN}]^-$  contains Mn(V)?
- 21.13** Give equations for the following reactions: (a) heating Fe with  $\text{Cl}_2$ ; (b) heating Fe with  $\text{I}_2$ ; (c) solid  $\text{FeSO}_4$  with concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ; (d) aqueous  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  with  $[\text{SCN}]^-$ ; (e) aqueous  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  with  $\text{K}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ ; (f)  $\text{FeO}$  with dilute  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ; (g) aqueous  $\text{FeSO}_4$  and  $\text{NaOH}$ .
- 21.14** How would you attempt to (a) estimate the crystal field stabilization energy of  $\text{FeF}_2$ , and (b) determine the overall stability constant of  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  in aqueous solution given that the overall formation constant for  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  is  $10^5$ , and:
- $$\text{Co}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Co}^{2+}(\text{aq}) \quad E^\circ = +1.92 \text{ V}$$
- $$[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}(\text{aq}) \quad E^\circ = +0.11 \text{ V}$$
- 21.15** Suggest why  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  adopts a normal rather than inverse spinel structure.

- 21.16** Give explanations for the following observations. (a) The complex  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}_2][\text{CoCl}_4]$  has a room temperature magnetic moment of  $3.71 \mu_{\text{eff}}$ . (b) The room temperature magnetic moment of  $[\text{CoI}_4]^{2-}$  (e.g.  $5.01 \mu_{\text{B}}$  for the  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]^+$  salt) is larger than that of salts of  $[\text{CoCl}_4]^{2-}$ .
- 21.17** (a) When  $[\text{CN}]^-$  is added to aqueous  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  ions, a green precipitate forms; if excess KCN is added, the precipitate dissolves to give a yellow solution and at high concentrations of  $[\text{CN}]^-$ , the solution becomes red. Suggest an explanation for these observations. (b) If the yellow compound from part (a) is isolated and reacted with Na in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , a red, air-sensitive, diamagnetic product can be isolated. Suggest its identity.
- 21.18** Treatment of an aqueous solution of  $\text{NiCl}_2$  with  $\text{H}_2\text{NCHPhCHPhNH}_2$  gives a blue complex ( $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 3.30 \mu_{\text{B}}$ ) which loses  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  on heating to form a yellow, diamagnetic compound. Suggest explanations for these observations and comment on possible isomerism in the yellow species.
- 21.19** Give equations for the following reactions: (a) aqueous NaOH with  $\text{CuSO}_4$ ; (b) CuO with Cu in concentrated HCl at reflux; (c) Cu with concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$ ; (d) addition of aqueous  $\text{NH}_3$  to a precipitate of  $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ ; (e)  $\text{ZnSO}_4$  with aqueous NaOH followed by addition of excess NaOH; (f) ZnS with dilute HCl.
- 21.20** (a) Compare the solid state structures of  $[\text{M}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$  for  $\text{M} = \text{Ni}$  and Cu and comment on the fact that  $[\text{Cu}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$  is more soluble in water than is  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$ . (b) Suggest the likely structural features of  $[\text{Pd}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$ .
- 21.21** Copper(II) chloride is not completely reduced by  $\text{SO}_2$  in concentrated HCl solution. Suggest an explanation for this observation and state how you would try to establish if the explanation is correct.
- 21.22** When the ligands do not sterically control the coordination geometry, do 4-coordinate complexes of (a) Pd(II), (b) Cu(I) and (c) Zn(II) prefer to be square planar or tetrahedral? Explain your answer. In the absence of crystallographic data, how could you distinguish between a square planar or tetrahedral structure for a Ni(II) complex?
- 21.23** Write down formulae for the following ions: (a) manganate(VII); (b) manganate(VI); (c) dichromate(VI); (d) vanadyl; (e) vanadate (*ortho* and *meta*); (f) hexacyanoferrate(III). Give an alternative name for manganate(VII).
- 21.24** Give a brief account of the variation in properties of binary oxides of the first row *d*-block metals on going from Sc to Zn.
- 21.25** Give an overview of the formation of halo complexes of type  $[\text{MX}_n]^{m-}$  by the first row *d*-block metal ions, noting in particular whether discrete ions are present in the solid state.
- 21.26** When iron(II) oxalate (oxalate =  $\text{ox}^{2-}$ ) is treated with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{ox}$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{ox}$ , a green compound **X** is obtained. **X** reacts with aqueous NaOH to give hydrated  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ , and is decomposed by light with production of iron(II) oxalate,  $\text{K}_2\text{ox}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$ . Analysis of **X** shows it contains 11.4% Fe and 53.7%  $\text{ox}^{2-}$ . Deduce the formula of **X** and write equations for its reaction with alkali and its photochemical decomposition. State, with reasons, whether you would expect **X** to exhibit optical isomerism.
- 21.27** Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) reacts with cobalt(II) perchlorate in EtOH to give a pink compound **A** which is a 1:2 electrolyte and has a magnetic moment of  $4.9 \mu_{\text{B}}$ . Cobalt(II) chloride also reacts with DMSO, but in this case the dark blue product, **B**, is a 1:1 electrolyte, and the magnetic moment of **B** is  $4.6 \mu_{\text{B}}$  per Co centre. Suggest a formula and structure for **A** and **B**.
- 21.28** When  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  is passed into a solution of copper(II) sulfate acidified with  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , copper(II) sulfide precipitates. When concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  is heated with metallic Cu, the principal sulfur-containing product is  $\text{SO}_2$  but a residue of copper(II) sulfide is also formed. Account for these reactions.

## Overview problems

- 21.29** (a) Write an equation to represent the discharge of an alkaline electrolyte cell containing a Zn anode and  $\text{BaFeO}_4$  cathode.  
 (b) The first charge transfer band for  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  occurs at  $18\,320 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and that for  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{2-}$  at  $22\,940 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Explain the origin of these absorptions, and comment on the trend in relative energies on going from  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{2-}$  to  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ .  
 (c) Explain why  $\text{FeS}_2$  adopts a NaCl structure rather than one in which the Fe:S ratio is 1:2.
- 21.30** (a) The value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $[\text{CoF}_6]^{3-}$  is  $5.63 \mu_{\text{B}}$ . Explain why this value does not agree with the value for  $\mu$  calculated from the spin-only formula.  
 (b) By using a simple MO approach, rationalize why one-electron oxidation of the bridging ligand in  $[(\text{CN})_5\text{CoOOC}(\text{CN})_5]^{6-}$  leads to a shortening of the O–O bond.  
 (c) Salts of which of the following complex ions might be expected to be formed as racemates:  $[\text{Ni}(\text{acac})_3]^-$ ,  $[\text{CoCl}_3(\text{NCMe})]^-$ , *cis*- $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}_2]^+$ , *trans*- $[\text{Cr}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}_2]^+$ ?
- 21.31** (a) The electronic spectrum of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{DMSO})_6]^{2+}$  (DMSO =  $\text{Me}_2\text{SO}$ ) exhibits three absorptions at 7728, 12 970 and  $24\,038 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Assign these absorptions.  
 (b)  $\text{CuF}_2$  has a distorted rutile structure (four  $\text{Cu}-\text{F} = 193 \text{ pm}$  and two  $\text{Cu}-\text{F} = 227 \text{ pm}$  per Cu centre);  $[\text{CuF}_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{NiF}_6]^{3-}$  are distorted octahedral ions. Explain the origins of these distortions.  
 (c) Dissolution of vanadium metal in aqueous HBr leads to a complex 'VBr<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O'. X-ray diffraction data reveal that the compound contains a complex cation containing a centre of symmetry. Suggest a formulation for the compound, and a structure for the cation.


- 21.32** The complex  $[V_2L_4]$ , where HL is diphenylformamidine, is diamagnetic. Each  $L^-$  ligand acts as a bridging,  $N,N'$ -donor such that the complex is structurally similar to complexes of the type  $[Cr_2(O_2CR)_4]$ . (a) Describe a bonding scheme for the  $[V_2]^{4+}$  core and derive the formal metal–metal bond order in  $[V_2L_4]$ . (b) The reaction of  $[V_2L_4]$  with  $KC_8$  in THF results in the formation of  $K(THF)_3[V_2L_4]$ . What is the role of  $KC_8$  in this reaction? (c) Do you expect the V–V bond length to increase or decrease on going from  $[V_2L_4]$  to  $K(THF)_3[V_2L_4]$ ? Rationalize your answer.



Diphenylformamidine (HL)


- 21.33** (a) The ligand 1,4,7-triazacyclononane, L, forms the nickel complexes  $[NiL_2]_2[S_2O_6]_3 \cdot 7H_2O$  and  $[NiL_2][NO_3]Cl \cdot H_2O$ . X-ray diffraction data for these complexes reveal that in the cation in  $[NiL_2][NO_3]Cl \cdot H_2O$ , the Ni–N bond lengths lie in the range 209–212 pm, while in  $[NiL_2]_2[S_2O_6]_3 \cdot 7H_2O$ , two Ni–N bonds (mutually *trans*) are of length 211 pm

and the remaining Ni–N bonds are in the range 196–199 pm. Rationalize these data.



1,4,7-triazacyclononane

- (b) Suggest why some reports of the properties of low-spin  $[Fe(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  state that its salts possess very low magnetic moments.  
 (c) The ligand HL can be represented as follows:



What is the term given to these forms of HL? The conjugate base of HL forms the complexes *mer*- $[VL_3]^-$  and  $[V(Me_2NCH_2CH_2NMe_2)L_2]$ . Draw the structure of *mer*- $[VL_3]^-$ , and the structures of the possible isomers of  $[V(Me_2NCH_2CH_2NMe_2)L_2]$ .

# Chapter 22

## *d*-Block metal chemistry: the second and third row metals

### TOPICS

- Occurrence, extraction and uses
- Physical properties
- Inorganic chemistry

|                 | 1–2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13–18           |
|-----------------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----------------|
| <i>s</i> -block |     | Sc | Ti | V  | Cr | Mn | Fe | Co | Ni | Cu | Zn | <i>p</i> -block |
|                 |     | Y  | Zr | Nb | Mo | Tc | Ru | Rh | Pd | Ag | Cd |                 |
|                 |     | La | Hf | Ta | W  | Re | Os | Ir | Pt | Au | Hg |                 |

### 22.1 Introduction

**Chapter 21** dealt with descriptive chemistry of the first row *d*-block metals and, in this chapter, the theme continues with the focus being the second and third row metals (the *heavier metals*). Reasons for discussing the lighter and heavier metals separately were given in **Section 21.1**.


Lanthanum, La, is commonly classified with the lanthanoids (see **Figure 1.13**) even though ‘lanthanoid’ means ‘like lanthanum’ and La is strictly a group 3 metal. Because of the chemical similarity of La to the elements Ce–Lu, we consider them together in **Chapter 24**; our only mention of La in this chapter is its occurrence.

### 22.2 Occurrence, extraction and uses


Figure 22.1 shows the relative abundances of the second and third row *d*-block metals. Compared with the first row metals (Figure 21.1), the abundances of some of the heavier metals are very low, e.g. Os,  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  ppm and Ir,  $6 \times 10^{-6}$  ppm; Tc does not occur naturally. **Yttrium** and **lanthanum** are similar to the lanthanoids and occur with them in nature. The major yttrium and lanthanum ores are *monazite* (a mixed metal

phosphate, (Ce,La,Nd,Pr,Th,Y...)PO<sub>4</sub>) and *bastnäsite* ((Ce,La,Y...)CO<sub>3</sub>F); their composition varies, e.g. an ‘yttrium-rich’ mineral might contain  $\leq 1\%$  Y, a ‘lanthanum-rich’ one up to 35% La. The extraction of yttrium involves conversion to YF<sub>3</sub> or YCl<sub>3</sub> followed by reduction with Ca or K respectively; the separation of lanthanoid metals is described in **Section 24.5**. Yttrium is used in the manufacture of phosphors for television tubes (as Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and YVO<sub>4</sub>) and corrosion-resistant alloys, and in the formation of yttrium garnets for microwave filters and synthetic gemstones (yttrium aluminium garnets, YAG, Al<sub>5</sub>Y<sub>3</sub>O<sub>12</sub>).

**Zirconium** is the next most abundant *d*-block metal in the Earth’s crust after Fe, Ti and Mn, and is present to quite a large extent in lunar rock samples collected in the Apollo missions. Zirconium and **hafnium** occur naturally together and are hard to separate; Hf is rarer than Zr, 5.3 and 190 ppm, respectively, of the Earth’s crust. The main ores are *baddeleyite* (ZrO<sub>2</sub>), *zircon* ((Zr,Hf)SiO<sub>4</sub>, <2% Hf) and *alvite* ((Zr,Hf)SiO<sub>4</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O, <2% Hf). Extraction of Zr involves reduction of ZrO<sub>2</sub> by Ca, or conversion of ZrO<sub>2</sub> to K<sub>2</sub>ZrF<sub>6</sub> (by treatment with K<sub>2</sub>SiF<sub>6</sub>) followed by reduction. Both Zr and Hf can be produced from zircon by reaction sequence 22.1. The mixture of metals so obtained is used for strengthening steel.



Zirconium has a high corrosion resistance and low cross-section for neutron capture (see **Section 2.4**) and is used for cladding fuel rods in water-cooled nuclear reactors. For this application, Zr must be free of Hf, which is a very good neutron absorber. The main use of pure Hf is in nuclear reactor control rods. Zirconium and hafnium compounds possess similar lattice energies and solubilities, and their complexes have similar stabilities; this means that





**Fig. 22.1** Relative abundances of the second and third row *d*-block metals in the Earth's crust. The data are plotted on a logarithmic scale, and the units of abundance are parts per  $10^9$ . Technetium (group 7) does not occur naturally.

separation techniques (e.g. ion exchange, solvent extraction) encounter the same problems as those of the lanthanoids. Very pure metals can be obtained by zone refining (see [Box 5.3](#)) or by thermal decomposition of the iodides on a hot metal filament. Zirconium compounds have a range of catalytic applications. Uses of  $ZrO_2$  are described in [Section 22.5](#); in [Box 14.5](#), we highlighted applications of Hf and Zr nitrides.

**Niobium** (formerly known as **columbium**) and **tantalum** occur together in the mineral *columbite*  $(Fe, Mn)(Nb, Ta)_2O_6$ ; when Nb-rich, it is called *niobite* and when Ta-rich, *tantalite*. Fusion of the ore with alkali gives poly-niobates and -tantals, and further treatment with dilute acid yields  $Nb_2O_5$  and  $Ta_2O_5$ . One method of separation utilizes the more basic character of Ta: at a controlled concentration of HF and KF in aqueous solution, the oxides are converted to

$K_2[NbOF_5]$  and  $K_2[TaF_7]$ ; the former is more water-soluble than the latter. The modern separation technique is fractional extraction from aqueous HF solution into methyl isobutyl ketone. Niobium is used in the manufacture of tough steels and superalloys which are used in the aerospace industry, e.g. in frameworks designed for the Gemini space program. Superconducting magnets (e.g. in MRI equipment, see [Box 2.6](#)) contain NbTi metallic multicore conductors. Tantalum is very high melting (mp 3290 K) and extremely resistant to corrosion by air and water; it is used in corrosion-resistant alloys, e.g. for construction materials in the chemical industry. The inertness of the metal makes it suitable for use in surgical appliances including prostheses. Tantalum has wide application in the manufacture of electronic components, in particular, capacitors that are used in mobile phones and personal computers.

The German for tungsten is *wolfram*, hence the symbol W. Although **molybdenum** and **tungsten** compounds are usually isomorphous, the elements occur separately. The major Mo-containing ore is *molybdenite* ( $MoS_2$ ) and the metal is extracted by reaction sequence 22.2. Tungsten occurs in *wolframite* ( $(Fe, Mn)WO_4$ ) and *scheelite* ( $CaWO_4$ ) and scheme 22.3 shows typical extraction processes.



Molybdenum is very hard and high melting (mp 2896 K), and tungsten has the highest melting point (3695 K) of all metals ([Table 5.2](#)). Both metals are used in the manufacture of toughened steels (for which wolframite can be reduced directly by Al); tungsten carbides have extensive use in cutting tools and abrasives. A major use of W metal is in electric light bulb filaments. Molybdenum has an essential role in biological systems (see [Section 28.1](#)).

**Technetium** is an artificial element, available as  $^{99}Tc$  ( $\alpha$   $\beta$ -particle emitter,  $t_{1/2} = 2.13 \times 10^5$  yr) which is isolated from fission product wastes by oxidation to  $[TcO_4]^-$ . Separation

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 22.1 Environmental catalysts

The platinum-group metals Rh, Pd and Pt play a vital role in keeping the environment devoid of pollutants originating from vehicle exhausts. They are present in catalytic converters (which we discuss in detail in [Section 26.7](#)) where they catalyse the conversion of hydrocarbon wastes, CO and  $NO_x$  (see [Box 14.8](#)) to  $CO_2$ ,  $H_2O$  and  $N_2$ . The growth rate of environmental catalyst manufacture by companies


such as Johnson Matthey in the UK is driven by legislative measures for the control of exhaust emissions. Regulations brought in by the US and Europe have already had a major impact on the levels of emissions and have improved the quality of urban air. Action is spreading worldwide: India and China have recently adopted legislative measures.

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 22.2 Treatment of cyanide waste

The toxicity of  $[\text{CN}]^-$  was brought to public attention early in 2000 when a huge spillage of cyanide (originating from gold extraction processes at the Aurul gold mine in Baia Mare, Romania) entered the River Danube and surrounding rivers in Eastern Europe, devastating fish stocks and other river life.

The high toxicity of  $[\text{CN}]^-$  makes it essential for cyanide-containing waste produced by industry to be treated. Several methods are used. For dilute solutions of cyanide, destruction using hypochlorite solution is common:



The operation must be further modified to take into account the large amounts of  $\text{Cl}^-$  produced. An alternative method is oxidation by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ :




Older methods such as formation of  $[\text{SCN}]^-$  or complexation to give  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  are no longer favoured.


employs solvent extraction and ion-exchange methods. The  $[\text{TcO}_4]^-$  ion is the common precursor in technetium chemistry; the metal can be obtained by  $\text{H}_2$  reduction of  $[\text{NH}_4][\text{TcO}_4]$  at high temperature. The principal use of Tc compounds is in nuclear medicine where they are important imaging agents (see [Boxes 2.3](#) and [22.7](#)). **Rhenium** is rare and occurs in small amounts in Mo ores; during roasting (first step in equation 22.2), volatile  $\text{Re}_2\text{O}_7$  forms and is deposited in flue dusts. It is dissolved in water and precipitated as  $\text{KReO}_4$ . The two major uses of Re are in petroleum-reforming catalysts and as a component of high-temperature superalloys. Such alloys are used in, for example, heating elements, thermocouples and filaments for photographic flash equipment and mass spectrometers.

The *platinum-group metals* (Ru, Os, Rh, Ir, Pd and Pt) are rare (Figure 22.1) and expensive, and occur together either native or in sulfide ores of Cu and Ni. Three sites of mineral deposits in the former Soviet Union, Canada and South Africa hold the world's reserves. The main source of **ruthenium** is from wastes from Ni refining, e.g. from *pentlandite*,  $(\text{Fe}, \text{Ni})\text{S}$ . **Osmium** and **iridium** occur in *osmiridium*, a native alloy with variable composition: 15–40% osmium and 80–50% iridium. **Rhodium** occurs in *native platinum* and in *pyrrhotite* ores ( $\text{Fe}_{1-n}\text{S}$ ,  $n = 0\text{--}0.2$ , often with  $\leq 5\%$  Ni); native platinum is of variable composition but may contain as much as 86% Pt, other constituents being Fe, Ir, Os, Au, Rh, Pd and Cu. The ore is an important source of **palladium** which is also a side-product of Cu and Zn refining. Besides being obtained native, **platinum** is extracted from *sperrylite* ( $\text{PtAs}_2$ ). Extraction and separation methods for the six metals are interlinked, solvent extraction and ion-exchange methods being used.<sup>†</sup> The metals are important heterogeneous catalysts, e.g. Pd for hydrogenation and dehydrogenation, Pt for  $\text{NH}_3$  oxidation and hydrocarbon reforming, and Rh and Pt for catalytic

converters (see [Section 26.7](#)). Uses of Ru and Rh include alloying with Pt and Pd to increase their hardness for use in, for example, the manufacture of electrical components (e.g. electrodes and thermocouples) and laboratory crucibles. Osmium and iridium have few commercial uses; they are employed to a limited extent as alloying agents; an IrOs alloy is used in pen-nibs. Palladium is widely used in the electronics industry (in printed circuits and multilayer ceramic capacitors); the ability of Pd to absorb large amounts of  $\text{H}_2$  (see [Section 9.7](#)) leads to its being used in the industrial purification of  $\text{H}_2$ . Platinum is particularly inert; Pt electrodes<sup>‡</sup> have laboratory applications (e.g. in the standard hydrogen and pH electrodes), and the metal is widely used in electrical wires, thermocouples and jewellery. Platinum-containing compounds such as *cisplatin* (**22.1**) and *carboplatin* (**22.2**) are anti-tumour drugs, and we discuss these further in [Box 22.10](#).



**Silver** and **gold** occur native, and in sulfide, arsenide and telluride ores, e.g. argentite ( $\text{Ag}_2\text{S}$ ) and *sylvanite* ( $(\text{Ag}, \text{Au})\text{Te}_2$ ). Silver is usually worked from the residues of Cu, Ni or Pb refining and, like Au, can be extracted from all its ores by reaction 22.4, the cyano complex being reduced to the metal by Zn.<sup>§</sup>



<sup>†</sup> For further discussion, see: N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, p. 1147.

<sup>‡</sup> Microelectrodes are a relatively new innovation, see: G. Denuault (1996) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 678.

<sup>§</sup> Extraction of gold, see: J. Barrett and M. Hughes (1997) *Chemistry in Britain*, vol. 33, issue 6, p. 23 – ‘A golden opportunity’.

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 22.3 Mercury: a highly toxic, liquid metal

The low melting point (234 K) of Hg results in its being a unique metal. Its high thermal expansion coefficient makes it a suitable liquid for use in thermometers, and it has widespread application in barometers, diffusion pumps and in Hg switches in electrical apparatus. An older use was in mirrors. Some other metals dissolve in mercury to give *amalgams*; their uses are varied, for example:

- Cd/Hg amalgam is a component in the Weston cell (see [equation 22.5](#));
- Na/Hg amalgam is a convenient source of Na as a reducing agent;
- silver amalgam ( $\approx 50\%$  Hg, 35% Ag, 13% Sn, 2% Cu by weight) is used for silver fillings in dentistry.

Despite these uses, Hg poses a serious health risk, as do its compounds (e.g.  $\text{Me}_2\text{Hg}$ ). Mercury has a low enthalpy of vaporization ( $59 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ), and even below its boiling point (630 K) its volatility is high; at 293 K, a drop of liquid Hg vaporizes at a rate of  $5.8 \mu\text{g h}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , and at its saturation point the surrounding air contains  $13 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ , a level far in excess of safe limits. Similarly, amalgams are a source of Hg vapour, and those in tooth fillings release toxic vapour directly into the human body; research has shown that brushing teeth and chewing increases the vaporization process. The toxicity is now well established, and steps have been taken in some countries to phase out the use of

Hg in dental fillings.

After entering the body as Hg vapour, the metal accumulates in the kidneys, brain and testicles. It is converted to Hg(II) and is readily coordinated by thiol (RSH) donors present in proteins; the end result of mercury poisoning is severe damage to the central nervous system. One of the reasons why the toxicity is so high is that its retention time in body tissue is especially long,  $\approx 65$  days in the kidneys. The effects of Hg poisoning were referred to by Lewis Carroll in *Alice in Wonderland* – the occupation of the Mad Hatter brought him into regular contact with  $\text{Hg}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  which was used in the manufacture of felt hats.

## Further reading

- M.J. Vimy (1995) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 14 – ‘Toxic teeth: the chronic mercury poisoning of modern man’.
- L. Magos (1988) ‘Mercury’ in *Handbook on Toxicity of Inorganic Compounds*, eds H.G. Seiler, H. Sigel and A. Sigel, Dekker, New York, p. 419.
- M.B. Blayney, J.S. Winn and D.W. Nierenberg (1997) *Chemical & Engineering News*, vol. 75, May 12 issue, p. 7 – ‘Handling dimethyl mercury’.
- N.J. Langford and R.E. Ferner (1999) *Journal of Human Hypertension*, vol. 13, p. 651 – ‘Toxicity of mercury’.

Native gold typically contains 85–95% Au with Ag as the second constituent. Silver is used in soldering alloys, high-capacity batteries, electrical equipment and printed circuits; silver salts are extensively employed in the photographic industry (see [Box 22.13](#)). Silver iodide (in the form of flares or ground-sited acetone–AgI generators) is used in cloud seeding to control rain patterns in certain regions. Gold has been worked since ancient civilization, not only in the usual yellow form, but as red, purple or blue *colloidal gold*; modern uses of colloidal gold are in electron microscope imaging, staining of microscope slides and as colouring agents, e.g. reduction of Au(III) with  $\text{SnCl}_2$  yields *purple of Cassius*, used in the manufacture of ruby glass. Uses of gold include coinage, the electronics industry and jewellery; *carat* indicates the gold content (24 carat = pure gold). Some gold compounds are used as anti-rheumatic drugs.

**Cadmium** occurs as the rare mineral *greenockite* ( $\text{CdS}$ ), but the metal is isolated almost entirely from zinc ores,  $\text{CdS}$  occurring ( $<0.5\%$ ) in  $\text{ZnS}$ ; being more volatile than Zn, Cd can be collected in the first stage of the distillation of the metal. Cadmium has a relatively low melting point (594 K) and is used as an alloying agent in low-melting alloys. The main use of cadmium is in NiCd batteries (see

[equation 21.5](#)). Cadmium selenide and telluride are semiconductors and are employed in the electronics industry; CdTe has potential application in solar cells, although the market currently makes greatest use of Si-based cells.<sup>†</sup> The Weston standard cell (cell 22.5) uses a Cd/Hg amalgam cathode, but use of this cell is declining. Cadmium is toxic and environmental legislation in the European Union and US in particular has led to a reduction in its use over the past decade. Cadmium used in NiCd batteries can be recycled, but its use in other areas is expected to decrease.



The symbol Hg is derived from *hydrargyrum* (Latin) meaning ‘liquid silver’. The major source of **mercury** is cinnabar ( $\text{HgS}$ ), from which the metal is extracted by roasting in air (equation 22.6).



Mercury has many uses but is a cumulative poison (see [Box 22.3](#)).

<sup>†</sup> Semiconductors for solar cells, see: ‘Getting power from the sun’, M. Hammonds (1998) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 219.

## 22.3 Physical properties

Some physical properties of the heavier *d*-block metals have already been discussed or tabulated:

- trends in first ionization energies ([Figure 1.15](#));
- ionization energies ([Appendix 8](#));
- metallic radii ([Table 5.2](#) and [Figure 19.1](#));
- values of  $\Delta_a H^\circ$  ([Table 5.2](#));
- lattice types ([Table 5.2](#));
- an introduction to electronic spectra and magnetism ([Chapter 20](#)).

For convenience, selected physical properties are listed in [Table 22.1](#).

The electronic configurations of the ground state  $M(g)$  atoms change rather irregularly with increasing atomic number, more so than for the first row metals (compare [Tables 22.1](#) and [21.1](#)); the *nd* and  $(n+1)s$  atomic orbitals are closer in energy for  $n = 4$  or  $5$  than for  $n = 3$ . For ions of the first row metals, the electronic configuration is generally  $d^n$  and this gives a certain amount of order to discussions of the properties of  $M^{2+}$  and  $M^{3+}$  ions. Simple  $M^{n+}$  cations of the heavier metals are rare, and it is not possible to discuss their chemistry in terms of simple redox couples (e.g.  $M^{3+}/M^{2+}$ ) as we did for the most of the first row metals.

The atomic numbers of pairs of second and third row metals (except Y and La) differ by 32 units and there is an appreciable difference in electronic energy levels and, therefore, electronic spectra and ionization energies. Within a triad, the first ionization energy is generally higher for the third than for the first and second metals, but the reverse is often true for removal of subsequent electrons. Even where a pair of second and third row metal compounds are isostructural, there are often quite significant differences in stability with respect to oxidation and reduction.

[Figure 22.2](#) shows that, with the exception of Hg (group 12), the heavier metals have higher values of  $\Delta_a H^\circ$  than their first row congeners. This is a consequence of the greater spatial extent of *d* orbitals with an increase in principal quantum number, and greater orbital overlap:  $5d-5d > 4d-4d > 3d-3d$ . The trend corresponds to the fact that, compared with the first row metals, the heavier metals exhibit many more compounds containing M–M bonds. [Figure 22.2](#) also shows that the highest values of  $\Delta_a H^\circ$  occur for metals in the middle of a row. Among the heavier metals, there are numerous multimetal species with metal–metal bonding and these are discussed later in the chapter; many low oxidation state *metal carbonyl clusters* also exist (see [Chapter 23](#)).

It is difficult to discuss satisfactorily the relative stabilities of oxidation states ([Table 19.3](#)). The situation is complicated by the fact that low oxidation states for the heavier metals are stabilized in organometallic complexes, while in non-organometallic species, the stability of *higher* oxidation states tends to *increase* down a group. Consider group 6.

Tungsten forms the stable  $WF_6$  and  $WCl_6$ , while the existence of  $CrF_6$  has not been firmly established (see [Section 21.7](#)); although  $CrO_3$  and chromate(VI) ions are powerful oxidizing agents,  $WO_3$ , tungstate(VI) species and molybdenum analogues are not readily reduced. In general, the stability of high oxidation states increases for a given triad in the sequence first row  $\ll$  second row  $<$  third row metals. Two factors appear important in the stabilization of third row high oxidation state compounds (e.g.  $AuF_5$  and  $ReF_7$ ) which have no second or first row counterparts:

- easier promotion of electrons for the *5d* metals compared with *4d* or *3d* metals;
- better orbital overlap for *5d* orbitals (or those with *5d* character) than for *4d* or *3d* orbitals.

In comparing pairs of compounds such as  $MoF_6$  and  $WF_6$ , or  $RuF_6$  and  $OsF_6$ , the M–F bonds are stronger for the third than second row metal, and the symmetric stretching wavenumber and force constant are higher. Relativistic effects (see [Box 12.2](#)) are also important for the third row metals.

### Effects of the lanthanoid contraction

[Table 22.1](#) shows that pairs of metals in a triad (Zr and Hf, Nb and Ta etc.) are of similar radii. This is due to the *lanthanoid contraction*: the steady decrease in size along the series of lanthanoid metals Ce–Lu which lie between La and Hf in the third row of the *d*-block. The similarity extends to values of  $r_{ion}$  (where meaningful) and  $r_{cov}$  (e.g. the M–O distances in the high-temperature forms of  $ZrO_2$  and  $HfO_2$  differ by less than 1 pm) and to many pairs of second and third row compounds being isomorphous. Properties that depend mainly on atom or ion size (e.g. lattice energies, solvation energies, complex stability constants) are nearly the same for corresponding pairs of *4d* and *5d* metal compounds. Pairs of metals often occur naturally together (e.g. Zr and Hf, Nb and Ta) and are difficult to separate ([Section 22.2](#)).

### Coordination numbers

Consistent with the increase in size in going from a first row to later metals in a triad, the heavier metals tend to show higher coordination numbers. The common range is 4 to 9 with the highest numbers being especially prevalent for metals in groups 3–5.

### NMR active nuclei

Several of the metals have spin-active nuclei and this sometimes allows *direct* observation using NMR spectroscopy, e.g.  $^{89}Y$  has a shift range  $>1000$  ppm and  $^{89}Y$  NMR spectroscopy is valuable for characterizing yttrium-containing compounds. In general, it is more convenient to make use of the coupling of metal nuclei to more easily observed nuclei such as  $^1H$ ,  $^{13}C$  or  $^{31}P$ . Some examples of nuclei with  $I = \frac{1}{2}$  are  $^{89}Y$  (100% abundant),  $^{103}Rh$  (100%),  $^{183}W$

**Table 22.1** Selected physical properties of the second and third row *d*-block metals.

| Second row  | Y                                    | Zr                                 | Nb                                    | Mo  | Tc <sup>***</sup>                             | Ru   | Rh  | Pd  | Ag                               | Cd                               |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Atomic number, <i>Z</i>   | 39                                   | 40                                 | 41                                    | 42  | 43  | 44   | 45  | 46  | 47                               | 48                               |
| Physical appearance of pure metal   | Soft; silver-white                   | Hard; lustrous; silver-coloured    | Soft; shiny; silver-white             | Hard; lustrous; silver-coloured; often encountered as grey powder | Silver; often encountered as grey powder      | Hard; lustrous; silver-white                   | Hard; lustrous; silver-white                                      | Grey-white; malleable and ductile; strength increased by cold-working | Lustrous; silver-white           | Soft; blue-white; ductile        |
| Ground state valence electronic configuration (core = [Kr])                           | 5s <sup>2</sup> 4d <sup>1</sup>      | 5s <sup>2</sup> 4d <sup>2</sup>    | 5s <sup>1</sup> 4d <sup>4</sup>       | 5s <sup>1</sup> 4d <sup>5</sup>                                   | 5s <sup>2</sup> 4d <sup>5</sup>               | 5s <sup>1</sup> 4d <sup>7</sup>                | 5s <sup>1</sup> 4d <sup>8</sup>                                   | 5s <sup>0</sup> 4d <sup>10</sup>                                      | 5s <sup>1</sup> 4d <sup>10</sup> | 5s <sup>2</sup> 4d <sup>10</sup> |
| Melting point / K   | 1799                                 | 2128                               | 2750                                  | 2896  | 2430  | 2607   | 2237  | 1828  | 1235                             | 594                              |
| Boiling point / K   | 3611                                 | 4650                               | 5015                                  | 4885  | 5150  | 4173   | 4000  | 3413  | 2485                             | 1038                             |
| Enthalpy of atomization, Δ <sub>a</sub> H <sup>o</sup> (298 K) / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> | 423                                  | 609                                | 721                                   | 658   | 677   | 651  | 556   | 377   | 285                              | 112                              |
| Metallic radius, <i>r</i> <sub>metal</sub> / pm <sup>‡</sup>                          | 182                                  | 160                                | 147                                   | 140   | 135   | 134  | 134   | 137   | 144                              | 152                              |
| Electrical resistivity (ρ) × 10 <sup>8</sup> / Ω m (at 273 K) <sup>*</sup>            | 59.6 <sup>**</sup>                   | 38.8                               | 15.2                                  | 4.9   | –   | 7.1  | 4.3   | 9.8   | 1.5                              | 6.8                              |
| Third row   | La                                   | Hf                                 | Ta                                    | W   | Re  | Os   | Ir  | Pt  | Au                               | Hg                               |
| Atomic number, <i>Z</i>   | 57                                   | 72                                 | 73                                    | 74  | 75  | 76   | 77  | 78  | 79                               | 80                               |
| Physical appearance of pure metal   | Soft; silver-white; tarnishes in air | Lustrous; silver-coloured; ductile | Hard; shiny; silver-coloured; ductile | Lustrous; silver-white; often encountered as grey powder          | Silver-grey; often encountered as grey powder | Very hard; lustrous; white; dense <sup>§</sup> | Very hard; brittle; lustrous; silver-coloured; dense <sup>§</sup> | Lustrous; silver-coloured; malleable; ductile                         | Soft; yellow; malleable; ductile | Liquid at 298 K; silver-coloured |
| Ground state valence electronic configuration (core = [Xe]4f <sup>14</sup> )          | 6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>1</sup>      | 6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>2</sup>    | 6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>3</sup>       | 6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>4</sup>                                   | 6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>5</sup>               | 6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>6</sup>                | 6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>7</sup>                                   | 6s <sup>1</sup> 5d <sup>9</sup>                                       | 6s <sup>1</sup> 5d <sup>10</sup> | 6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>10</sup> |
| Melting point / K   | 1193                                 | 2506                               | 3290                                  | 3695  | 3459  | 3306   | 2719  | 2041  | 1337                             | 234                              |
| Boiling point / K   | 3730                                 | 5470                               | 5698                                  | 5930  | 5900  | 5300   | 4403  | 4100  | 3080                             | 630                              |
| Enthalpy of atomization, Δ <sub>a</sub> H <sup>o</sup> (298 K) / kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> | 423                                  | 619                                | 782                                   | 850   | 774   | 787  | 669   | 566   | 368                              | 61                               |
| Metallic radius, <i>r</i> <sub>metal</sub> / pm <sup>‡</sup>                          | 188                                  | 159                                | 147                                   | 141   | 137   | 135  | 136   | 139   | 144                              | 155                              |
| Electrical resistivity (ρ) × 10 <sup>8</sup> / Ω m (at 273 K) <sup>*</sup>            | 61.5 <sup>**</sup>                   | 30.4                               | 12.2                                  | 4.8   | 17.2  | 8.1  | 4.7   | 9.6   | 2.1                              | 94.1                             |


<sup>‡</sup> Metallic radius for 12-coordinate atom.

<sup>\*</sup> See [equation 5.3](#) for relationship between electrical resistivity and resistance.

<sup>\*\*</sup> At 290–300 K.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Technetium is radioactive (see text).

<sup>§</sup> Osmium and iridium are the densest elements known (22.59 and 22.56 g cm<sup>-3</sup> respectively).





**Fig. 22.2** Trends in the values of standard enthalpies of atomization (298 K) of the *d*-block metals; values are given in Tables 21.1 and 22.2.

(14.3%),  $^{107}\text{Ag}$  (51.8%),  $^{109}\text{Ag}$  (48.2%),  $^{195}\text{Pt}$  (33.8%) and  $^{187}\text{Os}$  (1.6%). Coupling to isotopes present in <100% abundance gives rise to satellite peaks (see [Section 2.11](#) and [Figure 2.12](#)).

## 22.4 Group 3: yttrium

### The metal

Bulk yttrium metal is passivated by an oxide layer and is quite stable in air; metal turnings ignite if heated >670 K (equation 22.7). Yttrium reacts with halogens (equation 22.8) and combines with most other non-metals. The reaction between Y and  $\text{H}_2$  under pressure was described in [Box 9.6](#). Yttrium reacts slowly with cold water and dissolves in dilute acids (half-equation 22.9), liberating  $\text{H}_2$ .




The chemistry of yttrium is that of the +3 oxidation state, the formation of lower hydrides being an exception.

### Yttrium(III)


The halides  $\text{YF}_3$ ,  $\text{YCl}_3$ ,  $\text{YBr}_3$  and  $\text{YI}_3$  are white solids; the fluoride is water-insoluble, but  $\text{YCl}_3$ ,  $\text{YBr}_3$  and  $\text{YI}_3$  are soluble. In solid  $\text{YF}_3$ , each Y atom is 9-coordinate (distorted tricapped trigonal prismatic), while both  $\text{YCl}_3$  and  $\text{YI}_3$  have layer structures (e.g.  $\text{YI}_3$  adopts the  $\text{BiI}_3$  lattice) with 6-coordinate Y centres. Yttrium(III) chloride forms a hexahydrate which is correctly formulated as  $[\text{YCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^+\text{Cl}^-$ .

Reaction of  $\text{YCl}_3$  with KCl gives  $\text{K}_3[\text{YCl}_6]$  containing the octahedral  $[\text{YCl}_6]^{3-}$  ion. In contrast to  $\text{ScF}_3$  which forms  $[\text{ScF}_6]^{3-}$ ,  $\text{YF}_3$  forms no complex fluoride.

The white oxide  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$  is insoluble in water but dissolves in acids; it is used in ceramics, optical glasses and refractory materials (see also [Section 22.2](#)). Yttrium(III) hydroxide is a colourless solid, in which each  $\text{Y}^{3+}$  ion is in a tricapped trigonal prismatic  $\text{YO}_9$  environment. The hydroxide is water-insoluble and exclusively basic.



In the coordination chemistry of  $\text{Y}^{3+}$ , coordination numbers of 6 to 9 are usual. Crystalline salts containing the aqua ions  $[\text{Y}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8]^{3+}$  (dodecahedral, [Figure 19.8c](#)) and  $[\text{Y}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9]^{3+}$  (tricapped trigonal prismatic) have been structurally characterized. The  $\text{Y}^{3+}$  ion is 'hard' and in its complexes favours hard *N*- and *O*- donors, e.g. *trans*- $[\text{YCl}_4(\text{THF})_2]^-$  (octahedral), *trans*- $[\text{YCl}_2(\text{THF})_5]^+$  (pentagonal bipyramidal),  $[\text{Y}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_7(\text{pic})]^{2+}$  (8-coordinate, Hpic = 22.3),  $[\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3\text{-}O, O')_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]$  (irregular 9-coordinate) and  $[\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_5]^{2-}$  (see end of [Section 8.11](#)). Reaction 22.10 yields a rare example of 3-coordinate Y(III); in the solid state,  $[\text{Y}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3]$  has a trigonal pyramidal rather than planar structure but this is probably caused by crystal packing effects (see [Section 19.7](#)).



## 22.5 Group 4: zirconium and hafnium


### The metals

In a finely divided form, Hf and Zr metals are pyrophoric, but the bulk metals are passivated; the high corrosion resistance of Zr is due to the formation of a dense layer of inert ZrO<sub>2</sub>. The metals are not attacked by dilute acids (except HF) unless heated, and aqueous alkalis have no effect even when hot. At elevated temperatures, Hf and Zr combine with most non-metals (e.g. equation 22.11).



More is known about the chemistry of Zr than Hf, the former being more readily available (see Section 22.2).

Much of the chemistry concerns Zr(IV) and Hf(IV), the lower oxidation states being less stable with respect to oxidation than the first group member, Ti(III). In aqueous solutions, only M(IV) is stable although not as M<sup>4+</sup>, even though tables of data may quote half-equation 22.12; solution species (see below) depend upon conditions.



Stabilization of low oxidation states of Zr and Hf by  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands is discussed in Chapter 23.

### Zirconium(IV) and hafnium(IV)

The halides MX<sub>4</sub> (M = Zr, Hf; X = F, Cl, Br, I), formed by direct combination of the elements, are white solids with the exception of orange-yellow ZrI<sub>4</sub> and HfI<sub>4</sub>. The solids possess infinite structures (ZrCl<sub>4</sub>, ZrBr<sub>4</sub>, ZrI<sub>4</sub> and HfI<sub>4</sub> contain chains of edge-sharing octahedra) but the vapours contain tetrahedral molecules. Zirconium(IV) fluoride is dimorphic. The  $\alpha$ -form consists of a network of F-bridged ZrF<sub>8</sub> square antiprisms and converts (> 720 K) to  $\beta$ -ZrF<sub>4</sub> in which each Zr centre is dodecahedrally sited. Ultra-pure ZrF<sub>4</sub> for use in optical fibres and IR spectrometer parts is made by treatment of [Zr(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] (see Section 12.5) with HF and F<sub>2</sub>. The chlorides, bromides and iodides are water-soluble, but hydrolyse to MOX<sub>2</sub>. Water reacts with ZrF<sub>4</sub> to give [F<sub>3</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>Zr( $\mu$ -F)<sub>2</sub>Zr(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>]. Both ZrF<sub>4</sub> and ZrCl<sub>4</sub> form highly electrically conducting materials with graphite, e.g. the reaction of ZrF<sub>4</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and graphite gives C<sub>n</sub>F(ZrF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>m</sub> (n = 1–100, m = 0.0001–0.15). The Lewis acidity of the halides is seen in the formation of complexes such as HfCl<sub>4</sub>·2L (L = NMe<sub>3</sub>, THF) and in the use of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> as a Lewis acid catalyst.


Oxides of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) are produced by direct combination of the elements or by heating MCl<sub>4</sub> with H<sub>2</sub>O followed by dehydration. The white oxides are isostructural and adopt extended structures in which Zr and Hf centres are 7-coordinate. Zirconium(IV) oxide is inert, and is used as an opacifier in ceramics and enamels and as an additive to synthetic apatites (see Section 14.2 and Box 14.12) used in

dentistry. Pure ZrO<sub>2</sub> undergoes a phase change at 1370 K which results in cracking of the material, and for use in, for example, refractory materials, the higher temperature cubic phase is stabilized by adding MgO or CaO. Crystals of *cubic zirconia* (see Section 27.2) are commercially important as artificial diamonds. The addition of [OH]<sup>−</sup> to any water-soluble Zr(IV) compound produces the white amorphous ZrO<sub>2</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O; there is no true hydroxide.


In aqueous acidic solution, Zr(IV) compounds are present as partly hydrolysed species, e.g. [Zr<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>8+</sup> and [Zr<sub>4</sub>(OH)<sub>8</sub>]<sup>8+</sup>. From solutions of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> in dilute HCl, 'ZrOCl<sub>2</sub>·8H<sub>2</sub>O' can be crystallized; this is tetrameric, [Zr<sub>4</sub>(OH)<sub>8</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>16</sub>]Cl<sub>8</sub>·12H<sub>2</sub>O, and contains [Zr<sub>4</sub>(OH)<sub>8</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>16</sub>]<sup>8+</sup> (Figure 22.3a) in which each Zr is dodecahedrally sited.

The high coordination numbers exhibited in some apparently simple compounds of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) extend to their complexes (e.g. see Figure 19.9), hard fluoride and oxygen-donor ligands being favoured, e.g.:

- pentagonal bipyramidal: [ZrF<sub>7</sub>]<sup>3−</sup> (Figure 22.3b, e.g. Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> salts, structure is cation-dependent), [HfF<sub>7</sub>]<sup>3−</sup>, (e.g. K<sup>+</sup> salt, equation 22.13), [F<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)Zr( $\mu$ -F)<sub>2</sub>Zr(H<sub>2</sub>O)F<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2−</sup>;
- capped trigonal prismatic: [ZrF<sub>7</sub>]<sup>3−</sup> (Figure 22.3c, e.g. [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> salt, structure is cation-dependent);
- dodecahedral: [Zr(NO<sub>3</sub>-O, O')<sub>4</sub>] (equation 22.14), [Zr(ox)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>4−</sup>;
- square antiprismatic: [Zr(acac)<sub>4</sub>] (Figure 22.3d).




The [ZrCl<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2−</sup> ion (equation 22.15) is octahedral; colourless Cs<sub>2</sub>[ZrCl<sub>6</sub>] adopts a K<sub>2</sub>[PtCl<sub>6</sub>] lattice (see Pt(IV) in Section 22.11) and is used as an image intensifier in X-ray imaging. A number of oxo complexes with square-based pyramidal structures are known, e.g. [M(O)(ox)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2−</sup> (M = Hf, Zr, 22.4) and [Zr(O)(bpy)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>. Lower coordination numbers are stabilized by amido ligands, e.g. tetrahedral [M(NPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] and [M{N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>3</sub>Cl] (M = Hf, Zr).



### Lower oxidation states of zirconium and hafnium

The blue or black halides ZrX<sub>3</sub>, ZrX<sub>2</sub> and ZrX (X = Cl, Br, I) are obtained by reduction of ZrX<sub>4</sub>, e.g. heating Zr and ZrCl<sub>4</sub> in a sealed Ta tube gives ZrCl or ZrCl<sub>3</sub> depending





**Fig. 22.3** (a) Representation of the structure of  $[\text{Zr}_4(\text{OH})_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{16}]^{8+}$  in  $[\text{Zr}_4(\text{OH})_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{16}]\text{Cl}_8 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , (b) the pentagonal bipyramidal structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $[\text{ZrF}_7]^{3-}$  in  $[\text{H}_3\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_3][\text{ZrF}_7]$  [V.V. Tkachev *et al.* (1993) *Koord. Khim.*, vol. 19, p. 288], (c) the monocapped trigonal prismatic structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $[\text{ZrF}_7]^{3-}$  in the guanidinium salt [A.V. Gerasimenko *et al.* (1985) *Koord. Khim.*, vol. 11, p. 566], and (d) the square antiprismatic structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $[\text{Zr}(\text{acac})_4]$  [W. Clegg (1987) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 43, p. 789]. Hydrogen atoms in (d) have been omitted; colour code: Zr, yellow; C, grey; O, red; F, green.

on temperature. The corresponding hafnium chlorides are prepared similarly, e.g. equations 22.16 and 22.17.



The monohalides have layer structures consisting of sheets of metal and halogen atoms sequenced XMMX... XMMX... and are metallic conductors in a direction *parallel* to the layers; compare this with the conductivity of graphite (see [Section 13.4](#)). The di- and trihalides disproportionate (equations 22.18 and 22.19).



There is no aqueous chemistry of M(I), M(II) and M(III).


## Zirconium clusters

In this section, we introduce the first group of cluster compounds of the heavier *d*-block metals in which the

external ligands are halides. Octahedral  $\text{M}_6$  frameworks are present in most of these clusters, but, in contrast to similar group 5 and 6 species ([Sections 22.6](#) and [22.7](#)), most zirconium clusters are stabilized by an *interstitial atom*, e.g. Be, B, C or N.

Heating Zr powder,  $\text{ZrCl}_4$  and carbon in a sealed Ta tube above 1000 K produces  $\text{Zr}_6\text{Cl}_{14}\text{C}$ . Under similar reaction conditions and with added alkali metal halides, clusters such as  $\text{Cs}_3[\text{Zr}_6\text{Br}_{15}\text{C}]$ ,  $\text{K}[\text{Zr}_6\text{Br}_{13}\text{Be}]$  and  $\text{K}_2[\text{Zr}_6\text{Br}_{15}\text{B}]$  have been made. In the solid state, these octahedral  $\text{Zr}_6$  clusters are connected by bridging halide ligands to generate extended structures. The formulae may be written to show the connectivity, e.g. writing  $[\text{Zr}_6\text{Br}_{15}\text{B}]^{2-}$  as  $\{[\text{Zr}_6(\mu\text{-Br})_{12}\text{B}]\text{Br}_{6/2}\}^{2-}$  indicates that  $\text{Zr}_6$  clusters are connected into a three-dimensional network by six doubly-bridging Br atoms, three 'belonging' to each cluster.<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup> The nomenclature is actually more complicated, but more informative, e.g. R.P. Ziebarth and J.D. Corbett (1985) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 107, p. 4571.





**Fig. 22.4** The structures (determined by X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{Zr}_6\text{Cl}_{14}(\text{P}^n\text{Pr}_3)_4]$  [F.A. Cotton *et al.* (1992) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, vol. 31, p. 1050] and (b)  $[\text{Zr}_5\text{Cl}_{12}(\mu\text{-H})_2(\mu_3\text{-H})_2(\text{PMe}_3)_5]$  [F.A. Cotton *et al.* (1994) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 116, p. 4364]. Colour code: Zr, yellow; Cl, green; P, red; Me and  $^n\text{Pr}$  groups are omitted. The inset in (b) shows the  $\mu\text{-H}$  and  $\mu_3\text{-H}$  positions (black dots) with respect to the  $\text{Zr}_5$  framework.

In contrast to the high-temperature syntheses of these  $\text{Zr}_6\text{X}$  clusters ( $\text{X} = \text{B}, \text{C}, \text{N}$ ), reduction of  $\text{ZrCl}_4$  by  $\text{Bu}_3\text{SnH}$  followed by addition of  $\text{PR}_3$  gives *discrete* clusters such as  $[\text{Zr}_6\text{Cl}_{14}(\text{P}^n\text{Pr}_3)_4]$  (Figure 22.4a,  $\text{Zr}\text{-Zr} = 331\text{--}337$  pm) and  $[\text{Zr}_5\text{Cl}_{12}(\mu\text{-H})_2(\mu_3\text{-H})_2(\text{PMe}_3)_5]$  (Figure 22.4b,  $\text{Zr}\text{-Zr} = 320\text{--}354$  pm). Varying the reaction conditions leads to clusters such as  $[\text{Zr}_6\text{Cl}_{14}(\text{PMe}_3)_4\text{H}_4]$ ,  $[\text{Zr}_6\text{Cl}_{18}\text{H}_4]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{Zr}_6\text{Cl}_{18}\text{H}_5]^{4-}$ .<sup>†</sup>

## 22.6 Group 5: niobium and tantalum

### The metals

The properties of Nb and Ta (and of pairs of corresponding compounds) are similar. At high temperatures, both are attacked by  $\text{O}_2$  (equation 22.20) and the halogens (equation 22.21) and combine with most non-metals.




The metals are passivated by the formation of oxide coatings, giving them high corrosion resistance; they are inert towards non-oxidizing acids, HF and HF/ $\text{HNO}_3$  being two of the few reagents to attack them under ambient conditions. Fused alkalis react with Nb and Ta at high temperatures.


<sup>†</sup> For a discussion of the location of H atoms in these and related  $\text{Zr}_6$  cages, see: L. Chen, F.A. Cotton and W.A. Wojtczak (1997) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 36, p. 4047.

The chemistry of Nb and Ta is predominantly that of the +5 oxidation state. The heavier group 5 metals differ from V (see Section 21.6) in the relative instability of their lower oxidation states, their failure to form simple ionic compounds, and the inertness of the  $\text{M}(\text{V})$  oxides. In contrast to V, it is not meaningful to assign ionic radii to Nb and Ta in their lower oxidation states since they tend to form hexanuclear clusters with metal–metal bonding (see later). For  $\text{M}(\text{V})$ , radii of 64 pm are usually tabulated for ‘ $\text{Nb}^{5+}$ ’ and ‘ $\text{Ta}^{5+}$ ’, but these are unreal quantities since  $\text{Nb}(\text{V})$  and  $\text{Ta}(\text{V})$  compounds are essentially covalent.

### Niobium(V) and tantalum(V)


Niobium(V) and tantalum(V) halides (white  $\text{MF}_5$ , yellow  $\text{MCl}_5$ , yellow-red  $\text{MBr}_5$  and yellow-brown  $\text{MI}_5$ ) are volatile, air- and moisture-sensitive solids made by reaction 22.21. The chlorides and bromides are also made by halogenation of  $\text{M}_2\text{O}_5$ .  $\text{NbI}_5$  is produced commercially by reaction of  $\text{NbCl}_5$ ,  $\text{I}_2$  and HI, and  $\text{TaI}_5$  by treating  $\text{TaCl}_5$  with  $\text{BI}_3$ . Each halide is monomeric (trigonal bipyramidal) in the gas phase, but the solid fluorides are tetrameric (22.5), while solid  $\text{MCl}_5$ ,  $\text{MBr}_5$  and  $\text{MI}_5$  consist of dimers (22.6). The





**Fig. 22.5** The structures (determined by X-ray diffraction for the  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]^+$  salts) of (a)  $[\text{Ta}_2\text{OF}_{10}]^{2-}$  [J.C. Dewan *et al.* (1977) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 978] and (b)  $[\text{Nb}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{O})\text{F}_4]^-$  [N.G. Furmanova *et al.* (1992) *Kristallografiya*, vol. 37, p. 136]. Colour code: Ta, pale grey; Nb, blue; F, green; O, red; H, white.

M–F–M bridges in tetramer **22.5** are linear and the M–F<sub>bridge</sub> bonds are longer (and weaker) than M–F<sub>terminal</sub> (206 vs 177 pm for M = Nb). Similarly, in dimer **22.6**, M–X<sub>bridge</sub> > M–X<sub>terminal</sub>.




The halides  $\text{NbF}_5$ ,  $\text{TaF}_5$ ,  $\text{NbCl}_5$  and  $\text{TaCl}_5$  are useful starting materials in the chemistry of these metals. They are Friedel–Crafts catalysts and the Lewis acidity of  $\text{NbF}_5$  and  $\text{TaF}_5$  is apparent in reaction 22.22 (which takes place in non-aqueous media, see [Section 8.10](#)), in the formation of related salts and other complexes (see later), and in the ability of a  $\text{TaF}_5/\text{HF}$  mixture to act as a superacid (see [Sections 8.7](#) and [8.9](#)).



The oxohalides  $\text{MOX}_3$  and  $\text{MO}_2\text{X}$  (M = Nb, Ta; X = F, Cl, Br, I) are prepared by halogenation of  $\text{M}_2\text{O}_5$ , or reaction of  $\text{MX}_5$  with  $\text{O}_2$  under controlled conditions. The oxohalides are monomeric in the vapour and polymeric in the solid;  $\text{NbOCl}_3$  is representative with monomer **(22.7)** and polymer **(22.8)** which contains oxygen-bridged  $\text{Nb}_2\text{Cl}_6$ -units. Oxoanions include octahedral  $[\text{MOX}_5]^{2-}$  (M = Nb, Ta; X = F, Cl),  $[\text{MOCl}_4]^-$  (equation 22.23), and  $[\text{Ta}_2\text{OX}_{10}]^{2-}$  (X = F, Cl; Figure 22.5a). The linearity of the bridge in  $[\text{Ta}_2\text{OX}_{10}]^{2-}$  indicates multiple bond character (refer to [Figure 22.15](#)).



The structure of  $[\text{Nb}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{O})\text{F}_4]^-$  (Figure 22.5b) shows how oxo and aqua ligand O atoms can be distinguished from the Nb–O bond lengths; it is not always possible to locate H atoms in X-ray diffraction studies.





Hydrolysis of  $\text{TaCl}_5$  with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  produces the hydrated oxide  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ;  $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is best formed by boiling  $\text{NbCl}_5$  in aqueous HCl. Heating the hydrates yields anhydrous  $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$  which are dense, inert white solids. Various polymorphs of  $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$  exist, with  $\text{NbO}_6$  octahedra being the usual structural unit; the structures of both metal(V) oxides are complicated networks. Uses of  $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$  include those as a catalyst, in ceramics and in humidity sensors. Both  $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$  are insoluble in acids except concentrated HF, but dissolve in molten alkalis. If the resultant melts are dissolved in water, salts of niobates (precipitated below  $\approx \text{pH } 7$ ) and tantalates (precipitated below  $\approx \text{pH } 10$ ) can be isolated, e.g.  $\text{K}_8[\text{Nb}_6\text{O}_{19}] \cdot 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_6[\text{Nb}_{10}\text{O}_{28}] \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{O}_{19}]^{8-}$  ion consists of six  $\text{MO}_6$  octahedral units with shared O atoms; it is isoelectronic and isostructural with  $[\text{Mo}_6\text{O}_{19}]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{W}_6\text{O}_{19}]^{2-}$  (see [Figure 22.8c](#)). The  $[\text{Nb}_{10}\text{O}_{28}]^{6-}$  ion is isostructural with  $[\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}]^{6-}$  and contains octahedral building blocks as in  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{O}_{19}]^{8-}$ .

Heating  $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$  or  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$  with group 1 or group 2 metal carbonates at high temperatures (e.g.  $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$  with  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  at 1650 K in a Pt crucible) yields mixed metal oxides such as  $\text{LiNbO}_3$ ,  $\text{NaNbO}_3$ ,  $\text{LiTaO}_3$ ,  $\text{NaTaO}_3$  and  $\text{CaNb}_2\text{O}_6$ .

The  $M'MO_3$  compounds crystallize with perovskite structures (Figure 5.23), and exhibit ferroelectric and piezoelectric properties (see Section 13.9) which lead to uses in electrooptical and acoustic devices.


The coordination chemistry of Nb(V) and Ta(V) is well developed and there is a close similarity in the complexes formed by the two metals; complexes with hard donors are favoured. Although 6-, 7- and 8-coordinate complexes are the most common, lower coordination numbers are observed, e.g. in  $[Ta(NEt_2)_5]$  (trigonal bipyramidal),  $[Nb(NMe_2)_5]$  and  $[NbOCl_4]^-$  (square-based pyramidal). The Lewis acidity of the pentahalides, especially  $NbF_5$  and  $TaF_5$ , leads to the formation of salts such as  $Cs[NbF_6]$  and  $K[TaF_6]$  (octahedral anions),  $K_2[NbF_7]$  and  $K_2[TaF_7]$  (capped trigonal prismatic anions),  $Na_3[TaF_8]$  and  $Na_3[NbF_8]$  (square antiprismatic anions) and  $[^nBu_4N][M_2F_{11}]$  (equation 22.24 and structure 22.9).



Other complexes include:

- octahedral:  $[Nb(H_2O)(O)F_4]^-$  (Figure 22.5b),  $[Nb(NCS-N)_6]^-$ ,  $[NbF_5(OEt_2)]$ , *mer*- $[NbCl_3(O)(NCMe)_2]$ ;
- intermediate between octahedral and trigonal prismatic:  $[Nb(SCH_2CH_2S)_3]^-$ ;
- pentagonal bipyramidal:  $[Nb(H_2O)_2(O)(ox)_2]^-$  (22.10);  $[Nb(O)(ox)_3]^{3-}$  (oxo ligand in an axial site);
- dodecahedral:  $[Nb(\eta^2-O_2)_4]^{3-}$ ,  $[Nb(\eta^2-O_2)_2(ox)_2]^{3-}$ ;
- square antiprismatic:  $[Ta(\eta^2-O_2)_2F_4]^{3-}$ .

(For explanation of the  $\eta$ -nomenclature, see Box 18.1.)



### Self-study exercises


1. The solution  $^{19}F$  NMR spectrum of  $[^nBu_4N][Ta_2F_{11}]$  at 173 K shows three signals: a doublet of quintets ( $J = 165$  and 23 Hz, respectively), a doublet of doublets ( $J = 23$  and 42 Hz)

and a signal consisting of 17 lines with relative intensities close to 1:8:28:56:72:72:84:120:142:120:84:72:56:28:8:1. Rationalize these data. [Ans. see S. Brownstein (1973) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 12, p. 584]

2. The anion  $[NbOF_6]^{3-}$  has  $C_{3v}$  symmetry. Suggest a structure for this ion. [Ans. see Figure 19.7a; O atom in unique site]

## Niobium(IV) and tantalum(IV)

With the exception of  $TaF_4$ , all halides of Nb(IV) and Ta(IV) are known. They are dark solids, prepared by reducing the respective  $MX_5$  by heating with metal M or Al. Niobium(IV) fluoride is paramagnetic ( $d^1$ ) and isostructural with  $SnF_4$  (13.12). In contrast,  $MCl_4$ ,  $MBr_4$  and  $MI_4$  are diamagnetic (or weakly paramagnetic) consistent with the pairing of metal atoms in the solid state. The structures of  $NbCl_4$  and  $NbI_4$  are known in detail, and consist of edge-sharing distorted  $NbX_6$  octahedra (22.11) with alternating Nb–Nb distances (303 and 379 pm in  $NbCl_4$ ; 331 and 436 pm in  $NbI_4$ ).



The tetrahalides are readily oxidized in air (e.g.  $NbF_4$  to  $NbO_2F$ ) and disproportionate on heating (reaction 22.25).



Blue-black  $NbO_2$  is formed by reduction of  $Nb_2O_5$  at 1070 K using  $H_2$  or  $NH_3$ ; it has a rutile structure, distorted by pairing of Nb atoms (Nb–Nb = 280 pm).


A range of Nb(IV) and Ta(IV) complexes are formed by reactions of  $MX_4$  ( $X = Cl, Br, I$ ) with Lewis bases containing *N*-, *P*-, *As*-, *O*- or *S*-donors, or by reduction of  $MX_5$  in the presence of a ligand. Coordination numbers are typically 6, 7 or 8; for example, some structures confirmed for the *solid state* are:

- octahedral: *trans*- $[TaCl_4(PEt_2)_2]$ , *cis*- $[TaCl_4(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ ;
- capped octahedral:  $[TaCl_4(PMe_3)_3]$  (Figure 19.7b);
- capped trigonal prismatic:  $[NbF_7]^{3-}$  (equation 22.26);
- dodecahedral:  $[Nb(CN)_8]^{4-}$ ;
- square antiprismatic:  $[Nb(ox)_4]^{4-}$ .




### Lower oxidation state halides

Of the lower oxidation state compounds of Nb and Ta, we focus on halides. The dark brown or black trihalides  $NbCl_3$ ,  $NbBr_3$ ,  $NbI_3$ ,  $TaCl_3$  and  $TaBr_3$  are quite inert



**Fig. 22.6** Representations of the structures of (a) the  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{I}_8]^{3+}$  unit found in  $\text{Nb}_6\text{I}_{11}$  and (b) the  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_{12}]^{n+}$  ( $n = 2$  or  $3$ ) unit found in compounds of type  $\text{M}_6\text{X}_{14}$  and  $\text{M}_6\text{X}_{15}$  for  $\text{M} = \text{Nb}$  or  $\text{Ta}$ ,  $\text{X} = \text{halide}$ . The cluster units are connected into (c) a 3-dimensional network or (d) a 2-dimensional sheet by bridging halides (see text).


solids with polymeric structures; fluoride analogues have not been fully established.



A range of halides with  $\text{M}_3$  or  $\text{M}_6$  frameworks exist, but all have lattice structures with the metal cluster units connected by bridging halides. The structure of  $\text{Nb}_3\text{Cl}_8$  is represented in **22.12**, but of the nine outer Cl atoms shown, six are shared between two adjacent units, and three between three (see *worked example 22.1*). Alternatively, the structure can be considered in terms of an hcp array of Cl atoms with three-quarters of the octahedral

holes occupied by Nb atoms such that they form  $\text{Nb}_3$  triangles. Reduction of  $\text{Nb}_3\text{I}_8$  (structurally analogous to  $\text{Nb}_3\text{Cl}_8$ ) with Nb in a sealed tube at 1200 K yields  $\text{Nb}_6\text{I}_{11}$ . The formula can be written as  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{I}_8]\text{I}_{6/2}$  indicating that  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{I}_8]^{3+}$  units are connected by iodides shared between two clusters. (The ionic formulation is purely a formalism.) The  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{I}_8]^{3+}$  cluster consists of an octahedral  $\text{Nb}_6$ -core, each face of which is iodo-capped (Figure 22.6a). The clusters are connected into a network by bridges (Figure 22.6c). Two other families of halides are  $\text{M}_6\text{X}_{14}$  (e.g.  $\text{Nb}_6\text{Cl}_{14}$ ,  $\text{Ta}_6\text{Cl}_{14}$ ,  $\text{Ta}_6\text{I}_{14}$ ) and  $\text{M}_6\text{X}_{15}$  (e.g.  $\text{Nb}_6\text{F}_{15}$ ,  $\text{Ta}_6\text{Cl}_{15}$ ,  $\text{Ta}_6\text{Br}_{15}$ ). Their formulae can be written as  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_{12}]\text{X}_{4/2}$  or  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_{12}]\text{X}_{6/2}$  showing that they contain cluster units  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_{12}]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_{12}]^{3+}$  respectively (Figure 22.6b). The clusters are connected into either a three-dimensional network ( $\text{M}_6\text{X}_{15}$ , Figure 22.6c) or two-dimensional sheet ( $\text{M}_6\text{X}_{14}$ , Figure 22.6d).

Magnetic data show that the subhalides exhibit metal-metal bonding. The magnetic moment of  $\text{Nb}_3\text{Cl}_8$  is  $1.86 \mu_{\text{B}}$  per  $\text{Nb}_3$ -unit (298 K) indicating one unpaired electron. This can be rationalized as follows:



**Fig. 22.7** The structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{Cl}_{18}]^{3-}$  in the  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]^+$  salt [F.W. Koknat *et al.* (1974) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 13, p. 295]. Colour code: Nb, blue; Cl, green.


- 3 Nb atoms provide 15 electrons (Nb  $s^2d^3$ );
- 8 Cl atoms provide 8 electrons (this is irrespective of the Cl bonding mode because bridge formation invokes coordinate bonds using Cl lone pairs);
- the total number of valence electrons is 23;
- 22 electrons are used in 8 Nb–Cl and 3 Nb–Nb single bonds;
- 1 electron is left over.

Compounds of the type  $\text{M}_6\text{X}_{14}$  are diamagnetic, while  $\text{M}_6\text{X}_{15}$  compounds have magnetic moments corresponding to one unpaired electron per  $\text{M}_6$ -cluster. If we consider  $\text{M}_6\text{X}_{14}$  to contain an  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_{12}]^{2+}$  unit, there are 8 pairs of valence electrons remaining after allocation of 12 M–X bonds, giving a bond order of two-thirds per M–M edge (12 edges). In  $\text{M}_6\text{X}_{15}$ , after allocating electrons to 12 M–X single bonds, the  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_{12}]^{3+}$  unit has 15 valence electrons for M–M bonding; the observed paramagnetism indicates that one unpaired electron remains unused. The magnetic moment (per hexametal unit) of  $\text{Ta}_6\text{Br}_{15}$ , for example, is temperature-dependent:  $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.17 \mu_{\text{B}}$  at 623 K,  $1.73 \mu_{\text{B}}$  at 222 K and  $1.34 \mu_{\text{B}}$  at 77 K.

There is also a family of discrete clusters  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_{18}]^{n-}$  (M = Nb, Ta; X = Cl, Br, I). For example, the reaction of  $\text{Nb}_6\text{Cl}_{14}$  with KCl at 920 K produces  $\text{K}_4[\text{Nb}_6\text{Cl}_{18}]$ . The  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{Cl}_{18}]^{4-}$  ion is oxidized by  $\text{I}_2$  to  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{Cl}_{18}]^{3-}$  or by  $\text{Cl}_2$  to  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{Cl}_{18}]^{2-}$ . The  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_{18}]^{n-}$  ions are structurally similar (Figure 22.7) and relationships between the structure of this discrete ion, that of the  $[\text{M}_6\text{Cl}_{12}]^{n+}$  ion (Figure 22.6b) and of the  $\text{Zr}_6$  clusters (e.g. Figure 22.4a) are clear.

### Worked example 22.1 Structures of halides of Nb

Part of the solid state structure of  $\text{Nb}_3\text{Cl}_8$  is shown below. Explain how this structure is consistent with the stoichiometry of the compound.



The diagram above represents part of an extended structure. The ‘terminal’ Cl atoms are shared between units: 6 are shared between 2 units, and 3 are shared between 3 units.

Per  $\text{Nb}_3$  unit, the number of Cl atoms

$$= 4 + (6 \times \frac{1}{2}) + (3 \times \frac{1}{3}) = 8$$

Thus, the stoichiometry of the compound =  $\text{Nb}_3\text{Cl}_8$ .

### Self-study exercises

The answers to these questions can be found by reading the last subsection.

1. The solid state structure of  $\text{NbI}_4$  consists of edge-shared octahedra. Explain how this description is consistent with the stoichiometry of the compound.
2. The formula of  $\text{Nb}_3\text{I}_{11}$  can be written as  $[\text{Nb}_3\text{I}_8]\text{I}_{6/2}$ . Explain how this can be translated into a description of the solid state structure of the compound.

## 22.7 Group 6: molybdenum and tungsten

### The metals



The properties of Mo and W are similar. Both have very high melting points and enthalpies of atomization (Table 5.2 and Figure 22.2). The metals are not attacked in air at 298 K, but react with  $\text{O}_2$  at high temperatures to give  $\text{MO}_3$ , and are readily oxidized by the halogens (see later). Even at 298 K, oxidation to M(VI) occurs with  $\text{F}_2$  (equation 22.27). Sulfur reacts with Mo or W (e.g. equation 22.28); other sulfide phases of Mo are produced under different conditions.



The metals are inert towards most acids but are rapidly attacked by fused alkalis in the presence of oxidizing agents.

Molybdenum and tungsten exhibit a range of oxidation states (Table 19.3) although simple mononuclear species are not known for all states. The extensive chemistry of Cr(II) and Cr(III) (see Section 21.7) has no counterpart in



the chemistries of the heavier group 6 metals, and, in contrast to Cr(VI), Mo(VI) and W(VI) are poor oxidizing agents. Since  $W^{3+}(aq)$  is not known, no reduction potential for the W(VI)/W(III) couple can be given, but equations 22.29 and 22.30 compare the Cr and Mo systems at pH 0.





Molybdenum and tungsten compounds are usually isomorphous and essentially isodimensional.

## Molybdenum(VI) and tungsten(VI)

The hexafluorides are formed by reaction 22.27, or by reactions of  $MoO_3$  with  $SF_4$  (sealed vessel, 620 K) and  $WCl_6$  with HF or  $SbF_3$ . Both  $MoF_6$  (colourless liquid, bp 307 K) and  $WF_6$  (pale yellow, volatile liquid, bp 290 K) have molecular structures (22.13) and are readily hydrolysed. The only other hexahalides that are well established are the dark blue  $WCl_6$  and  $WBr_6$ . The former is made by heating W or  $WO_3$  with  $Cl_2$  and has an octahedral molecular structure;  $WBr_6$  (also molecular) is best made by reaction 22.31. Both  $WCl_6$  and  $WBr_6$  readily hydrolyse. Reactions of  $WF_6$  with  $Me_3SiCl$ , or  $WCl_6$  with  $F_2$ , yield mixed halo-derivatives, e.g. *cis*- and *trans*- $WCl_2F_4$  and *mer*- and *fac*- $WCl_3F_3$ .



Oxohalides  $MOX_4$  ( $M = Mo, X = F, Cl, Br$ ;  $M = W, X = F, Cl, Br$ ) and  $MO_2X_2$  ( $M = Mo, W; X = F, Cl, Br$ ) can be made by a variety of routes, e.g. equation 22.32. Reactions of  $MO_3$  with  $CCl_4$  yield  $MO_2Cl_2$ ;  $WO_2Cl_2$  decomposes on heating (equation 22.33). The oxohalides readily hydrolyse.




The solids are polymeric, e.g.  $MoOF_4$  contains chains of  $MoOF_5$  octahedra linked by  $Mo-F-Mo$  bridges; in  $WOCl_4$ ,  $W-O-W$  bridges are present. The layer structure of  $WO_2Cl_2$  is related to that of  $SnF_4$  (13.12); each layer comprises bridged  $WO_4Cl_2$  units (22.14) and the lattice is able to act as an intercalation host.

## APPLICATIONS

### Box 22.4 Electrochromic 'smart' windows

In an electrochromic cell, the passage of charge causes an electrode to change colour; reversing the flow of charge reverses the colour change. By laying the electrode on the surface of glass, electrochromic windows or mirrors can be created, their use being to reduce light transmission when

light intensity exceeds comfortable limits. The design of electrochromic windows is a current topic of research by major glass manufacturers, with  $WO_3$  playing a vital role. An example of a window design is as follows:



$Li_xV_2O_5$  is a non-stoichiometric compound ( $x \approx 1$ ) and acts as a Li atom store. When a small potential is applied across the cell,  $Li^+$  ions migrate from the lithium polymer electrolyte into the  $WO_3$  layer forming a tungsten bronze (see equation 22.42 and discussion). Its formation results in a colour change from colourless to blue.

### Further reading


- J.M. Bell, I.L. Skryabin and A.J. Koplick (2001) *Solar Energy Materials & Solar Cells*, vol. 68, p. 239 – 'Large area electrochromic films – preparation and performance'.
- M. Green (1996) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 643 – 'The promise of electrochromic systems'.
- R.J. Mortimer (1997) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 26, p. 147 – 'Electrochromic materials'.

## APPLICATIONS

Box 22.5 MoS<sub>2</sub>: a solid lubricant

After purification and conversion into appropriate grade powders, the mineral *molybdenite*, MoS<sub>2</sub>, has widespread commercial applications as a solid lubricant. It is applied to reduce wear and friction, and is able to withstand high-temperature working conditions. The lubricating properties are a consequence of the solid state layer structure

(compare with graphite), in which there are only weak van der Waals forces operating between S–Mo–S slabs. Applications of MoS<sub>2</sub> lubricants range from engine oils and greases (used in engineering equipment) to coatings on sliding fitsments.




The most important compounds of Mo(VI) and W(VI) are the oxides and the molybdate and tungstate anions. White MoO<sub>3</sub> (mp 1073 K) is usually made by reaction 22.34, and yellow WO<sub>3</sub> (mp 1473 K) by dehydration of tungstic acid (see below). Both oxides are commercially available.



The structure of MoO<sub>3</sub> consists of layers of linked MoO<sub>6</sub> octahedra; the arrangement of the MoO<sub>6</sub> units is complex and results in a unique three-dimensional network. Several polymorphs of WO<sub>3</sub> exist, all based on the ReO<sub>3</sub> lattice (Figure 21.4). Thin films of WO<sub>3</sub> are used in electrochromic windows (Box 22.4). Neither oxide reacts with acids, but in aqueous alkali, [MO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> or polyoxometallate ions are produced. The chemistry of molybdates and tungstates is complicated and an active area of research; uses of the homo- and heteropolyanions are extremely varied.<sup>†</sup> The simplest molybdate(VI) and tungstate(VI) are [MoO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> and [WO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, many salts of which are known. Alkali metal salts such as Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub> (commercially available as the dihydrates and useful starting materials in this area of chemistry) are made by dissolving MO<sub>3</sub> (M = Mo, W) in aqueous alkali metal hydroxide. From *strongly acidic* solutions of these molybdates and tungstates, it is possible to isolate yellow ‘molybdic acid’ and ‘tungstic acid’. Crystalline molybdic and tungstic acids are formulated as MoO<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O and WO<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, and possess layer structures consisting of corner-sharing MO<sub>5</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O) octahedra with

additional H<sub>2</sub>O molecules residing between the layers. In crystalline salts, the [MO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> ions are discrete and tetrahedral. In acidic media and dependent on the pH, condensation occurs to give polyanions, e.g. reaction 22.35.



The structure of [Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]<sup>6-</sup> is shown in Figure 22.8a, and structural features, common to other polynuclear molybdates and tungstates, are that:

- the cage is supported by oxygen bridges and there is no metal–metal bonding;
- the cage is constructed from octahedral MO<sub>6</sub>-units connected by shared oxygen atoms.


As a consequence of this last point, the structures may be represented in terms of linked octahedra, in much the same way that silicate structures are depicted by linked tetrahedra (see *structure 13.17* and *Figure 13.20*). Figure 22.8b shows such a representation for [Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]<sup>6-</sup>; each vertex corresponds to an O atom in Figure 22.8a. By controlling the pH or working in non-aqueous media, salts of other molybdates and tungstates can be isolated. One of the simplest is [M<sub>6</sub>O<sub>19</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (M = Mo, W) which is isostructural with [M<sub>6</sub>O<sub>19</sub>]<sup>8-</sup> (M = Nb, Ta) and possesses the *Lindqvist structure* (Figure 22.8c). For tungsten, the solution system is more complicated than for molybdenum, and involves equilibria with W<sub>7</sub>, W<sub>10</sub>, W<sub>11</sub> and W<sub>12</sub> species; the lowest nuclearity anion, [W<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]<sup>6-</sup>, is isostructural with [Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]<sup>6-</sup>. Salts can be isolated by careful control of pH, and under non-aqueous conditions salts of polytungstates unknown in aqueous solution can be crystallized.

*Heteropolyanions* have been well studied and have many applications, e.g. as catalysts. Two families are important:

- the  $\alpha$ -Keggin anions,<sup>‡</sup> [XM<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>]<sup>n-</sup> (M = Mo, W; e.g. X = P or As, n = 3; X = Si, n = 4; X = B, n = 5);
- the  $\alpha$ -Dawson anions, [X<sub>2</sub>M<sub>18</sub>O<sub>62</sub>]<sup>n-</sup> (M = Mo, W; e.g. X = P or As, n = 6).



<sup>†</sup> For overviews of applications, see: J.T. Rhule, C.L. Hill and D.A. Judd (1998) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 98, p. 327; D.E. Katsoulis (1998) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 98, p. 359.

<sup>‡</sup> The prefix  $\alpha$  distinguishes the structural type discussed here from other isomers; the first example, [PMo<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>, was reported in 1826 by Berzelius, and was structurally elucidated using X-ray diffraction in 1933 by J.F. Keggin.



**Fig. 22.8** (a) The structure of  $[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{6-}$  in the  $[\text{H}_3\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NH}_3]^{3+}$  salt [P. Roman *et al.* (1992) *Polyhedron*, vol. 11, p. 2027]; (b) the  $[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{6-}$  ion represented in terms of seven octahedral building blocks (these can be generated in diagram (a) by connecting the O atoms); (c) the structure of  $[\text{W}_6\text{O}_{19}]^{2-}$  determined for the  $[\text{W}(\text{CN}^t\text{Bu})_7]^{2+}$  salt [W.A. LaRue *et al.* (1980) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 19, p. 315]; (d) the structure of the  $\alpha$ -Keggin ion  $[\text{SiMo}_{12}\text{O}_{40}]^{4-}$  in the guanidinium salt (the Si atom is shown in dark blue) [H. Ichida *et al.* (1980) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 36, p. 1382]; (e) the structure of  $[\text{H}_3\text{S}_2\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{62}]^{5-}$  (in the  $[\text{t}^+\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]^+$  salt) formed by reducing the  $\alpha$ -Dawson anion  $[\text{S}_2\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{62}]^{4-}$  (H atoms are omitted) [R. Neier *et al.* (1995) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 2521]. Colour code: Mo and W, pale grey; O, red; Si, blue; S, yellow.

Equations 22.36 and 22.37 show typical syntheses of  $\alpha$ -Keggin ions; all ions are structurally similar (Figure 22.8d) with the hetero-atom tetrahedrally sited in the centre of the polyoxometallate cage. The construction of the cage from oxygen-linked, octahedral  $\text{MO}_6$ -units is apparent by studying Figure 22.8d.



$\alpha$ -Dawson anions of Mo are formed spontaneously in solutions containing  $[\text{MoO}_4]^{2-}$  and phosphates or arsenates at appropriate pH, but formation of corresponding tungstate species is slower and requires an excess of phosphate or

arsenate. The  $\alpha$ -Dawson cage structure can be viewed as the condensation of two  $\alpha$ -Keggin ions with loss of six  $\text{MO}_3$ -units (compare Figures 22.8d and 22.8e). The structure shown in Figure 22.8e is that of  $[\text{H}_3\text{S}_2\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{62}]^{5-}$ , a protonated product of the four-electron reduction of the  $\alpha$ -Dawson ion  $[\text{S}_2\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{62}]^{4-}$ ; apart from bond length changes, the cage remains unaltered by the addition of electrons. Similarly, reduction of  $\alpha$ -Keggin ions occurs without gross structural changes. Reduction converts some of the M(VI) to M(V) centres and is accompanied by a change in colour to intense blue; hence, reduced Keggin and Dawson anions are called *heteropoly blues*.


Heteropolyanions with incomplete cages, *lacunary* anions, may be made under controlled pH conditions, e.g. at  $\text{pH} \approx 1$ ,  $[\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}]^{3-}$  can be prepared (equation 22.36) while at  $\text{pH} \approx 2$ ,  $[\text{PW}_{11}\text{O}_{39}]^{7-}$  can be formed. Lacunary ions act as

## APPLICATIONS

Box 22.6 Catalytic applications of MoO<sub>3</sub> and molybdates

Molybdenum-based catalysts are used to facilitate a range of organic transformations including benzene to cyclohexane, ethylbenzene to styrene, and propene to acetone.

Acrylonitrile (used in the manufacture of acrylic fibres, resins and rubbers) is produced commercially on a large scale by the reaction:



The bismuth-molybdate catalyst functions by providing intimately associated Bi-O and Mo=O sites. The Bi-O sites are involved in abstracting  $\alpha$ -hydrogen (see structure 23.38) while the Mo=O sites interact with the incoming alkene, and are involved in activation of NH<sub>3</sub> and in C-N bond formation.

In *Box 11.2*, we described methods of desulfurizing emission gases. A combination of MoO<sub>3</sub> and CoO supported on activated alumina acts as an effective catalyst for the desulfurization of petroleum and coal-based products. This catalyst system has wide application in a process that contributes significantly to reducing SO<sub>2</sub> emissions.


## Further reading

R.K. Grasselli (1986) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 63, p. 216 – ‘Selective oxidation and ammoxidation of olefins by heterogeneous catalysis’.


J. Haber and E. Lalik (1997) *Catalysis Today*, vol. 33, p. 119 – ‘Catalytic properties of MoO<sub>3</sub> revisited’.

ligands by coordination through terminal O-atoms; complexes include [PMo<sub>11</sub>VO<sub>40</sub>]<sup>4-</sup>, [(PW<sub>11</sub>O<sub>39</sub>)Ti( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)]<sup>4-</sup> and [(PW<sub>11</sub>O<sub>39</sub>)Rh<sub>2</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>CMe)<sub>2</sub>-(DMSO)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>5-</sup>.

The formation of mononuclear complexes by Mo(VI) and W(VI) is limited. Simple complexes include octahedral [WOF<sub>5</sub>]<sup>-</sup> and *cis*-[MoF<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. Salts of [MoF<sub>7</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (equation 22.38) and [MoF<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (equation 22.39) have been isolated.



The peroxo ligand, [O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, forms a range of complexes with Mo(VI) and W(VI), e.g. [M(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(O)(ox)]<sup>2-</sup> (M = Mo, W) is pentagonal bipyramidal (22.15) and [Mo(O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> is dodecahedral. Some peroxo complexes of Mo(VI) catalyse the epoxidation of alkenes.



(22.15)


## Molybdenum(V) and tungsten(V)

The known pentahalides are yellow MoF<sub>5</sub>, yellow WF<sub>5</sub>, black MoCl<sub>5</sub>, dark green WCl<sub>5</sub> and black WBr<sub>5</sub>, all solids at 298 K. The pentafluorides are made by heating MoF<sub>6</sub> with Mo (or WF<sub>6</sub> with W, equation 22.40), but both disproportionate on heating (equation 22.41).



Direct combination of the elements under controlled conditions gives MoCl<sub>5</sub> and WCl<sub>5</sub>. The pentafluorides MoF<sub>5</sub> and WF<sub>5</sub> are tetrameric in the solid, isostructural with NbF<sub>5</sub> and TaF<sub>5</sub> (22.5); MoCl<sub>5</sub> and WCl<sub>5</sub> are dimeric and structurally similar to NbCl<sub>5</sub> and TaCl<sub>5</sub> (22.6). Each pentahalide is paramagnetic, indicating little or no metal-metal interaction.


*Tungsten bronzes* contain M(V) and M(VI) and are formed by vapour-phase reduction of WO<sub>3</sub> by alkali metals, reduction of Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub> by H<sub>2</sub> at 800–1000 K, or by reaction 22.42.




Tungsten bronzes are inert materials M<sub>x</sub>WO<sub>3</sub> (0 < x < 1) with defect perovskite structures (Figure 5.23). Their colour depends on x: golden for x ≈ 0.9, red for x ≈ 0.6, violet for x ≈ 0.3. Bronzes with x > 0.25 exhibit metallic conductivity owing to a band-like structure associated with W(V) and W(VI) centres in the lattice; those with x < 0.25 are semiconductors (see Section 5.8). Similar compounds are formed by Mo, Ti and V.<sup>†</sup>

Our discussion of complexes of Mo(V) and W(V) is restricted to selected mononuclear species; octahedral coordination is common. Halo anions include [MoF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (equation 22.43), [WF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, [MoCl<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> and [WF<sub>8</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> (equation 22.44).


<sup>†</sup> See for example: C.X. Zhou, Y.X. Wang, L.Q. Yang and J.H. Lin (2001) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 40, p. 1521 – ‘Syntheses of hydrated molybdenum bronzes by reduction of MoO<sub>3</sub> with NaBH<sub>4</sub>’.



Treatment of  $\text{WCl}_5$  with concentrated  $\text{HCl}$  leads to  $[\text{WOCl}_5]^{2-}$ ;  $[\text{WOBBr}_5]^{2-}$  forms when  $[\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{ox})_2]^{3-}$  reacts with aqueous  $\text{HBr}$ . Dissolution of  $[\text{MoOCl}_5]^{2-}$  in aqueous acid produces yellow  $[\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  (**22.16**) which is diamagnetic, consistent with a Mo–Mo single bond. A number of complexes  $[\text{MOCl}_3\text{L}_2]$  are known, e.g.  $\text{WOCl}_3(\text{THF})_2$  (a useful starting material since the THF ligands are labile),  $[\text{WOCl}_3(\text{PEt}_3)_2]$  (**22.17**) and  $[\text{MoOCl}_3(\text{bpy})]$ . High coordination numbers are observed in  $[\text{Mo}(\text{CN})_8]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{W}(\text{CN})_8]^{3-}$ , formed by oxidation of  $[\text{M}(\text{CN})_8]^{4-}$  using  $\text{Ce}^{4+}$  or  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ ; the coordination geometries are cation-dependent, illustrating the small energy difference between dodecahedral and square antiprismatic structures.



(22.16)



(22.17)

## Molybdenum(IV) and tungsten(IV)

Binary halides  $\text{MX}_4$  are established for  $\text{M} = \text{Mo}, \text{W}$  and  $\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}$  and  $\text{Br}$ ;  $\text{WI}_4$  exists but is not well characterized. Equations 22.45 and 22.46 show representative syntheses.




Tungsten(IV) fluoride is polymeric, and a polymeric structure for  $\text{MoF}_4$  is consistent with Raman spectroscopic data. Three polymorphs of  $\text{MoCl}_4$  exist:  $\alpha$ - $\text{MoCl}_4$  has the  $\text{NbCl}_4$  structure (**22.11**) and, at 520 K, transforms to the  $\beta$ -form containing cyclic  $\text{Mo}_6\text{Cl}_{24}$  units; the structure of the third polymorph is unknown. Tungsten(IV) chloride (structurally like  $\alpha$ - $\text{MoCl}_4$ ) is a useful starting material in W(IV) and lower oxidation state chemistry. All the tetrahalides are air- and moisture-sensitive.

Reduction of  $\text{MO}_3$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Mo}, \text{W}$ ) by  $\text{H}_2$  yields  $\text{MoO}_2$  and  $\text{WO}_2$  which adopt rutile structures (*Figure 5.21*), distorted (as in  $\text{NbO}_2$ ) by pairing of metal centres; in  $\text{MoO}_2$ , Mo–Mo distances are 251 and 311 pm. The oxides do not dissolve in non-oxidizing acids. Molybdenum(IV) sulfide (equation 22.28) has a layer structure and is used as a lubricant (see *Box 22.5*).


Molybdenum(IV) is stabilized in acidic solution as red  $[\text{Mo}_3(\mu_3\text{-O})(\mu\text{-O})_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9]^{4+}$  (*Figure 22.9*) which is formed by reduction of  $\text{Na}_2[\text{MoO}_4]$  or oxidation of  $[\text{Mo}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8]^{4+}$ .

The halo complexes  $[\text{MX}_6]^{2-}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Mo}, \text{W}$ ;  $\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$ ) are known although  $[\text{WF}_6]^{2-}$  has been little studied. By





**Fig. 22.9** The structure of  $[\text{Mo}_3(\mu_3\text{-O})(\mu\text{-O})_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9]^{4+}$  determined by X-ray diffraction for the hydrated  $[\text{4-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_3]^-$  salt; H atoms are omitted from the terminally bound  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ligands. Mo–Mo distances are in the range 247–249 pm. [D.T. Richens *et al.* (1989) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 28, p. 1394]. Colour code: Mo, pale grey; O, red.

adjusting the conditions of reaction 22.43 (i.e. taking a 1:2 molar ratio  $\text{MoF}_6:\text{I}^-$ , and removing  $\text{I}_2$  as it is formed),  $\text{K}_2[\text{MoF}_6]$  can be isolated. Salts of  $[\text{MoCl}_6]^{2-}$  can be made starting from  $\text{MoCl}_5$ , e.g.  $[\text{NH}_4]_2[\text{MoCl}_6]$  by heating  $\text{MoCl}_5$  with  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ . Many salts of  $[\text{WCl}_6]^{2-}$  are known (e.g. reaction 22.47) but the ion decomposes on contact with water.



Reduction of  $\text{H}_2\text{WO}_4$  using  $\text{Sn}$  in  $\text{HCl}$  in the presence of  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  leads to  $\text{K}_4[\text{W}_2(\mu\text{-O})\text{Cl}_{10}]$ ; the anion is structurally like  $[\text{Ta}_2(\mu\text{-O})\text{F}_{10}]^{2-}$  (*Figure 22.5a*).


Octahedral geometries are common for complexes of Mo(IV) and W(IV), syntheses of which often involve ligand-mediated reduction of the metal centre, e.g. reactions 22.48 and 22.49.



The salt  $\text{K}_4[\text{Mo}(\text{CN})_8] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was the first example (in 1939) of an 8-coordinate (dodecahedral) complex. However, studies on a range of salts of  $[\text{Mo}(\text{CN})_8]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{W}(\text{CN})_8]^{4-}$  reveal cation dependence, both dodecahedral and square antiprismatic anions being found. The  $\text{K}_4[\text{M}(\text{CN})_8]$  salts are formed by reactions of  $\text{K}_2\text{MO}_4$ ,  $\text{KCN}$  and  $\text{KBH}_4$  in the presence of acetic acid; the  $[\text{M}(\text{CN})_8]^{4-}$  ions are kinetically inert with respect to ligand substitution (see *Section 25.2*), but can be oxidized to  $[\text{M}(\text{CN})_8]^{3-}$  as described earlier.



## Molybdenum(III) and tungsten(III)

All the binary halides of Mo(III) and W(III) are known except for  $\text{WF}_3$ . The Mo(III) halides are made by reducing a halide of a higher oxidation state. Reduction of  $\text{MoCl}_5$  with  $\text{H}_2$  at 670 K gives  $\text{MoCl}_3$  which has a layer structure similar to  $\text{CrCl}_3$  but distorted and rendered diamagnetic by pairing of metal atoms (Mo–Mo = 276 pm). The ‘W(III) halides’, prepared by controlled halogenation of a lower halide, contain cluster units (see equations 22.55 and 22.56).



**Fig. 22.10** The staggered structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $\text{Mo}_2(\text{NMe}_2)_6$  [M.H. Chisholm *et al.* (1976) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 98, p. 4469], (b)  $\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_2(\text{NMe}_2)_4$  [M. Akiyama *et al.* (1977) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 16, p. 2407] and (c)  $\text{Mo}_2(\text{OCH}_2^t\text{Bu})_6$  [M.H. Chisholm *et al.* (1977) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 16, p. 1801]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Mo, pale grey; N, blue; O, red; C, grey; Cl, green.

In contrast to Cr(III) (see [Section 21.7](#)), mononuclear complexes of Mo(III) and W(III) (especially the latter) are rare, there being an increased tendency for M–M bonding for the M(III) state. Electrolytic reduction of  $\text{MoO}_3$  in concentrated HCl yields  $[\text{MoCl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{MoCl}_6]^{3-}$ , the red  $\text{K}^+$  salts of which are stable in dry air but are readily hydrolysed to  $[\text{Mo}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , one of the few simple aqua ions of the heavier metals. By changing the reaction conditions,  $[\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_9]^{3-}$  is formed in place of  $[\text{MoCl}_6]^{3-}$ , but reduction of  $\text{WO}_3$  in concentrated HCl always gives  $[\text{W}_2\text{Cl}_9]^{3-}$ ;  $[\text{WX}_6]^{3-}$  has not been isolated. Both  $[\text{MoF}_6]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{MoCl}_6]^{3-}$  are paramagnetic with magnetic moments close to  $3.8 \mu_{\text{B}}$  ( $\approx \mu(\text{spin-only})$  for  $d^3$ ). The  $[\text{M}_2\text{X}_9]^{3-}$  ions adopt structure **22.18**; magnetic data and M–M distances (from crystalline salts) are consistent with metal–metal bonding. The  $[\text{W}_2\text{Cl}_9]^{3-}$  ion is diamagnetic, indicating a  $\text{W}\equiv\text{W}$  triple bond consistent with the short bond length of 242 pm; oxidation (equation 22.50) to  $[\text{W}_2\text{Cl}_9]^{2-}$  causes the W–W bond to lengthen to 254 pm consistent with a lower bond order of 2.5.




M = Mo, W; X = Cl, Br (see text)

(22.18)

In  $\text{Cs}_3[\text{Mo}_2\text{X}_9]$ , the Mo–Mo bond lengths are 266 pm (X = Cl) and 282 pm (X = Br); these data and magnetic

moments at 298 K of  $0.6 \mu_{\text{B}}$  (X = Cl) and  $0.8 \mu_{\text{B}}$  (X = Br) per Mo, indicate significant Mo–Mo interaction but with a bond order  $< 3$ . Contrast this with  $[\text{Cr}_2\text{X}_9]^{3-}$ , in which there is no Cr–Cr bonding ([Section 21.7](#)).


In Mo(III) and W(III) chemistry,  $\text{Mo}\equiv\text{Mo}$  and  $\text{W}\equiv\text{W}$  triple bonds ( $\sigma^2\pi^4$ , see [Figure 21.15](#)) are common, and derivatives with amido and alkoxy ligands have received much attention, e.g. as precursors for solid state materials. Reaction of  $\text{MoCl}_3$  (or  $\text{MoCl}_5$ ) or  $\text{WCl}_4$  with  $\text{LiNMe}_2$  gives  $\text{Mo}_2(\text{NMe}_2)_6$  or  $\text{W}_2(\text{NMe}_2)_6$  respectively. Both possess staggered structures (Figure 22.10a) with M–M bond lengths of 221 (Mo) and 229 pm (W) typical of triple bonds. The orientations of the  $\text{NMe}_2$  groups in the solid state suggest that the M–N bonds contain metal  $d$ -nitrogen  $p$   $\pi$ -contributions. A staggered conformation, short Mo–Mo bond and shortened Mo–N bonds are also observed in  $\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_2(\text{NMe}_2)_4$  (Figure 22.10b); this and the W analogue are made by reacting  $\text{M}_2(\text{NMe}_2)_6$  with  $\text{Me}_3\text{SiCl}$ . The air- and moisture-sensitive  $\text{M}_2(\text{NMe}_2)_6$  and  $\text{M}_2\text{Cl}_2(\text{NMe}_2)_4$  (M = Mo, W) are precursors for many derivatives including alkoxy compounds (equation 22.51 and Figure 22.10c);  $[\text{W}_2(\text{OR})_6]$  compounds are less stable than their Mo analogues. An extensive chemistry of alkoxy derivatives has been developed.<sup>†</sup>




<sup>†</sup> For example, see M.H. Chisholm, D.M. Hoffman and J.C. Huffman (1985) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 14, p. 69; M.H. Chisholm (1995) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 24, 79; M.H. Chisholm (1996) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 1781.

## Molybdenum(II) and tungsten(II)


With the exception of organometallic and cyano complexes, few mononuclear species are known for Mo(II) and W(II). The pentagonal bipyramidal  $[\text{Mo}(\text{CN})_7]^{5-}$  ion is formed by reducing  $[\text{MoO}_4]^{2-}$  using  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  in the presence of  $[\text{CN}]^-$ ; in the capped trigonal prismatic  $[\text{MoBr}(\text{CN}^t\text{Bu})_6]^+$  (equation 22.52), the  $\text{Br}^-$  ligand occupies the capping site.




The binary M(II) ( $M = \text{Mo}, \text{W}$ ) halides are made by thermally decomposing higher halides. The structures of the dihalides consist of  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_8]^{4+}$  clusters (structurally like  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{I}_8]^{3+}$ , Figure 22.6a) with each M atom bonded to an additional X atom; the clusters are connected into a two-dimensional layer lattice by  $M-X-M$  bridges, i.e.  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_8]_2\text{X}_{4/2}$ . Reactions such as 22.53 and 22.54 produce salts containing discrete  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_{14}]^{2-}$  ions (Figure 22.11a). The diamagnetism of  $[\text{M}_6\text{X}_{14}]^{2-}$  is consistent with  $M-M$  bonding, and  $M-M$  single bonds can be allocated by following a similar electron-counting procedure as for  $[\text{Nb}_6\text{X}_{12}]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Ta}_6\text{X}_{12}]^{2+}$  (see Section 22.6).




While the Mo(II) halides are not readily oxidized,  $\text{WCl}_2$  and  $\text{WBr}_2$  (equations 22.55 and 22.56) are oxidized to give products containing  $[\text{W}_6(\mu\text{-Cl})_{12}]^{6+}$  or  $[\text{W}_6(\mu_3\text{-Br})_8]^{6+}$  clusters, terminal halides and bridging  $[\text{Br}_4]^{2-}$  units. The formulae of the products indicate whether the clusters are discrete or linked.




**Fig. 22.11** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{Mo}_6\text{Cl}_{14}]^{2-}$  in the  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]^+$  salt [M.A. White *et al.* (1994) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 50, p. 1087] and (b)  $[\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{4-}$  in the compound  $[\text{H}_3\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3]_2[\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_8] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [J.V. Brenic *et al.* (1969) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 8, p. 2698]. Colour code: Mo, pale grey; Cl, green.



When  $\text{WBr}_2$  (i.e.  $[\text{W}_6\text{Br}_8]\text{Br}_2\text{Br}_{4/2}$ ) is heated with  $\text{AgBr}$  *in vacuo* with a temperature gradient of 925/915 K, the products are yellow-green  $\text{Ag}_2[\text{W}_6\text{Br}_{14}]$  and black-brown  $\text{Ag}[\text{W}_6\text{Br}_{14}]$ . Both silver salts contain discrete anions, structurally similar to  $[\text{Mo}_6\text{Cl}_{14}]^{2-}$  (Figure 22.11a). The difference in colour of the compounds is characteristic of W in different oxidation states;  $[\text{W}_6\text{Br}_{14}]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{W}_6\text{Br}_{14}]$  formally contain W in oxidation states +2 and +2.17, respectively. In contrast to the more usual octahedral tungsten halide clusters,  $\text{W}_6\text{Cl}_{16}\text{C}$  (formed in the reaction of W,  $\text{WCl}_5$  and  $\text{CCl}_4$  *in vacuo* with a temperature gradient of 1030/870 K) contains an example of a carbon-centred trigonal prismatic cluster unit. The cluster units are connected into a two-dimensional sheet (22.19) and a formulation of  $[\text{W}_6\text{Cl}_{12}\text{C}]\text{Cl}_2\text{Cl}_{4/2}$  is appropriate.



Compounds containing an  $\{\text{Mo}\equiv\text{Mo}\}^{4+}$  unit are well exemplified in Mo(II) chemistry, although species containing  $\text{W}\equiv\text{W}$  bonds are difficult to make. A description of a  $\text{Mo}\equiv\text{Mo}$  quadruple bond in terms of  $\sigma$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\delta$  components is analogous to that of a  $\text{Cr}\equiv\text{Cr}$  bond (see Section 21.7 and Figure 21.15), and the effect of the  $\delta$  component in forcing the ligands to be eclipsed is illustrated by the structure of  $[\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{4-}$  (Figure 22.11b). This is made in the reaction sequence 22.57, the intermediate acetate  $\text{Mo}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_4$  (22.20) being a useful synthon in this area of chemistry, e.g. reaction 22.58. Replacement of the  $\text{Cl}^-$  ligands in  $[\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{4-}$  yields a range of derivatives; equation 22.59 gives examples, one of which involves concomitant oxidation







**Table 22.2** Mo–Mo bond lengths and orders in selected dimolybdenum species.

| Compound or ion <sup>‡</sup>  | Mo–Mo bond distance / pm | Mo–Mo bond order | Notes                                   |
|---|--------------------------|------------------|---|
| Mo <sub>2</sub> (μ-O <sub>2</sub> CMe) <sub>4</sub>   | 209                      | 4.0              | Structure <b>22.20</b>                  |
| Mo <sub>2</sub> (μ-O <sub>2</sub> CCF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>                                   | 209                      | 4.0              | Analogous to <b>22.20</b>               |
| [Mo <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>8</sub> ] <sup>4-</sup>  | 214                      | 4.0              | Figure 22.11b                           |
| [Mo <sub>2</sub> (μ-SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>4-</sup>                                  | 211                      | 4.0              | Analogous to <b>22.20</b>               |
| [Mo <sub>2</sub> (μ-SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> O) <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup>  | 217                      | 3.5              | Contains axial H <sub>2</sub> O ligands |
| [Mo <sub>2</sub> (μ-HPO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> O) <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 223                      | 3.0              | Contains axial H <sub>2</sub> O ligands |

<sup>‡</sup> Data for anions refer to K<sup>+</sup> salts; contrast Figure 22.11b where the [Mo<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> parameters refer to the [H<sub>3</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> salt. For an overview of Mo≡Mo bond lengths, see: F.A. Cotton, L.M. Daniels, E.A. Hillard and C.A. Murillo (2002) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 41, p. 2466.


of the {Mo≡Mo}<sup>4+</sup> core. Derivatives containing [MeSO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> or [CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> bridges are useful precursors and can be used to prepare the highly reactive [Mo<sub>2</sub>(NCMe)<sub>8</sub>]<sup>4+</sup> (equation 22.60).



Each Mo centre in these Mo<sub>2</sub> derivatives possesses a vacant orbital (as in structure **21.15**), but forming Lewis base adducts is not facile; '[Mo<sub>2</sub>(μ-O<sub>2</sub>CMe)<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]' has not been isolated, although the oxidized species [Mo<sub>2</sub>(μ-SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> and [Mo<sub>2</sub>(μ-HPO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> are known. An unstable adduct [Mo<sub>2</sub>(μ-O<sub>2</sub>CMe)<sub>4</sub>(py)<sub>2</sub>] results from addition of pyridine to [Mo<sub>2</sub>(μ-O<sub>2</sub>CMe)<sub>4</sub>], and a more stable one can be made by using [Mo<sub>2</sub>(μ-O<sub>2</sub>CCF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>].

Not all the derivatives mentioned above contain Mo≡Mo bonds, e.g. oxidation occurs in reaction 22.59 in the formation of [Mo<sub>2</sub>(μ-HPO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. Table 22.2 lists the Mo–Mo bond lengths in selected compounds, and the bond orders follow from the energy level diagram in **Figure 21.15b**; e.g. [Mo<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> has a σ<sup>2</sup>π<sup>4</sup>δ<sup>2</sup> configuration (Mo≡Mo), but [Mo<sub>2</sub>(HPO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> and [Mo<sub>2</sub>(HPO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> are σ<sup>2</sup>π<sup>4</sup> (Mo≡Mo). Oxidation of the [M<sub>2</sub>]<sup>4+</sup> (M = Mo or W) core is most facile when the bridging ligand is **22.21**. Dissolution of [Mo<sub>2</sub>(**22.21**)<sub>4</sub>] in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> results in a one-electron oxidation of the [Mo<sub>2</sub>]<sup>4+</sup> core and formation of [Mo<sub>2</sub>(**22.21**)<sub>4</sub>Cl]. In contrast, when [W<sub>2</sub>(**22.21**)<sub>4</sub>] dissolves in a chloroalkane solvent, it is oxidized directly to [W<sub>2</sub>(**22.21**)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>], i.e. [W<sub>2</sub>]<sup>6+</sup>. Gas-phase photoelectron spectroscopic data (see **Box 4.1**) show that initial ionization of [W<sub>2</sub>(**22.21**)<sub>4</sub>]

requires only 339 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. Just how low this value is can be appreciated by comparing it with a value of *IE*<sub>1</sub> = 375.7 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for Cs, the element with the lowest first ionization energy (see **Figure 1.15**).



Conjugate base of  
hexahydropyrimidopyrimidine  
[hpp]<sup>-</sup>

(22.21)

### Self-study exercises

1. Rationalize why the Mo–Mo bond length increases (≈7 pm) when [Mo<sub>2</sub>(μ-O<sub>2</sub>CR)<sub>4</sub>] (R = 2,4,6-<sup>-1</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) undergoes a one-electron oxidation.


[Ans. see: F.A. Cotton *et al.* (2002) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 41, p. 1639]

2. Rationalize why the two MoCl<sub>4</sub>-units in [Mo<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> are eclipsed.  
[Ans. see **Figure 21.15** and discussion]

## 22.8 Group 7: technetium and rhenium


### The metals

The heavier group 7 metals, Tc and Re, are less reactive than Mn. Technetium does not occur naturally (see **Section 22.2**). The bulk metals tarnish slowly in air, but more finely divided Tc and Re burn in O<sub>2</sub> (equation 22.61) and react with the halogens (see below). Reactions with sulfur give TcS<sub>2</sub> and ReS<sub>2</sub>.



The metals dissolve in oxidizing acids (e.g. conc HNO<sub>3</sub>) to give HTcO<sub>4</sub> (pertechnetetic acid) and HReO<sub>4</sub> (perrhenic acid), but are insoluble in HF or HCl.

Technetium and rhenium exhibit oxidation states from 0 to +7 (**Table 19.3**), although M(II) and lower states are stabilized by π-acceptor ligands such as CO and will not be



**Fig. 22.12** Potential diagrams for technetium and rhenium in aqueous solution at pH 0; compare with the diagram for manganese in [Figure 7.2](#).

considered further in this section. The chemistry of Re is better developed than that of Tc, but interest in the latter has expanded with the current use of its compounds in nuclear medicine. There are significant differences between the chemistries of Mn and the heavier group 7 metals:




- a comparison of the potential diagrams in [Figure 22.12](#) with that for Mn ([Figure 7.2](#)) shows that  $[\text{TcO}_4]^-$  and  $[\text{ReO}_4]^-$  are significantly more stable with respect to reduction than  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ ;
- the heavier metals have less cationic chemistry than manganese;
- a tendency for M–M bond formation leads to higher nuclearity species being important for the heavier metals.

### High oxidation states of technetium and rhenium: M(VII), M(VI) and M(V)

Rhenium reacts with  $\text{F}_2$  to give yellow  $\text{ReF}_6$  and  $\text{ReF}_7$  depending on conditions, and  $\text{ReF}_5$  is made by reaction 22.62. Direct combination of Tc and  $\text{F}_2$  leads to  $\text{TcF}_6$  and  $\text{TcF}_5$ ;  $\text{TcF}_7$  is not known.



For the later halogens, combination of the elements at appropriate temperatures affords  $\text{TcCl}_6$ ,  $\text{ReCl}_6$ ,  $\text{ReCl}_5$  and  $\text{ReBr}_5$ . The high oxidation state halides are volatile solids which are hydrolysed by water to  $[\text{MO}_4]^-$  and  $\text{MO}_2$  (e.g. equation 22.63).





The fluorides  $\text{ReF}_7$ ,  $\text{ReF}_6$  and  $\text{TcF}_6$  are molecular with pentagonal bipyramidal, [22.22](#), and octahedral structures;  $\text{ReCl}_6$  is probably a molecular monomer, but  $\text{ReCl}_5$  (a useful precursor in Re chemistry) is a dimer ([22.23](#)).




Oxohalides are well represented:

- M(VII):  $\text{TcOF}_5$ ,  $\text{ReOF}_5$ ,  $\text{TcO}_2\text{F}_3$ ,  $\text{ReO}_2\text{F}_3$ ,  $\text{ReO}_3\text{F}$ ,  $\text{TcO}_3\text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{TcO}_3\text{Br}$ ,  $\text{TcO}_3\text{I}$ ,  $\text{ReO}_3\text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{ReO}_3\text{Br}$ ;
- M(VI):  $\text{TcOF}_4$ ,  $\text{ReOF}_4$ ,  $\text{ReO}_2\text{F}_2$ ,  $\text{TcOCl}_4$ ,  $\text{ReOCl}_4$ ,  $\text{ReOBr}_4$ ;
- M(V):  $\text{ReOF}_3$ ,  $\text{TcOCl}_3$ .

They are prepared by reacting oxides with halogens, or halides with  $\text{O}_2$ , or by reactions such as 22.64 and 22.65. Whereas  $\text{ReOF}_5$  can be prepared by the high-temperature reaction between  $\text{ReO}_2$  and  $\text{F}_2$ , the Tc analogue must be made by reaction 22.66 because the reaction of  $\text{F}_2$  and  $\text{TcO}_2$  gives  $\text{TcO}_3\text{F}$ .




Few oxohalides have been structurally characterized in the solid state;  $\text{ReOCl}_4$  ([22.24](#)) and  $\text{TcOF}_5$  ([22.25](#)) are molecular, while  $\text{TcO}_2\text{F}_3$  is polymeric with oxo groups *trans* to bridging F atoms ([22.26](#)). X-ray diffraction data for  $\text{K}[\text{Re}_2\text{O}_4\text{F}_7] \cdot 2\text{ReO}_2\text{F}_3$  show that  $\text{ReO}_2\text{F}_3$  adopts a polymeric structure analogous to  $\text{TcO}_2\text{F}_3$ . The oxofluorides  $\text{TcOF}_4$  and  $\text{ReOF}_4$  also have polymeric structures with O atoms *trans* to M–F–M bridges. In  $\text{SO}_2\text{ClF}$  solution,  $\text{ReO}_2\text{F}_3$  exists as an equilibrium mixture of a cyclic trimer ([22.27](#)) and tetramer; the Tc analogue is present only as the trimer.



### Self-study exercises


1. Rationalize why the  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of a solution of  $\text{TcOF}_5$  in  $\text{SO}_2\text{ClF}$  at 163 K exhibits a doublet and a quintet ( $J = 75$  Hz). What will be the relative integrals of these signals? [*Hint*: see structure [22.25](#)]
2. The reaction of  $\text{TcOF}_5$  with  $\text{SbF}_5$  gives  $[\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_2\text{F}_9]^+[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$ . Suggest a structure for the cation. [*Ans.* see N. LeBlond *et al.* (2000) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 39, p. 4494]

3. Assuming a static structure, predict what you would expect to see in the solution  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum of the following anion:




[Ans. see W.J. Casteel, Jr et al. (1999) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 38, p. 2340]

A number of imido analogues ( $[\text{RN}]^{2-}$  is isoelectronic with  $\text{O}^{2-}$ ) have been structurally characterized and include tetrahedral  $\text{Re}(\text{N}^t\text{Bu})_3\text{Cl}$  and trigonal bipyramidal  $\text{Re}(\text{N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{Cl}_3$  (22.28). Reduction of  $\text{Tc}(\text{NAr})_3\text{I}$  using Na leads to the dimer  $[(\text{ArN})_2\text{Tc}(\mu\text{-NAr})_2\text{Tc}(\text{NAr})_2]$  when  $\text{Ar} = 2,6\text{-Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$ , but when  $\text{Ar}$  is the bulkier  $2,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$ , the product is  $\text{Tc}_2(\text{NAr})_6$  with an ethane-like configuration; each dimer contains a  $\text{Tc}\text{--}\text{Tc}$  single bond.



(22.28)




(22.29)

The yellow, volatile oxides  $\text{M}_2\text{O}_7$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Tc}, \text{Re}$ ) form when the metals burn in  $\text{O}_2$ ; the volatility of  $\text{Re}_2\text{O}_7$  is used in manufacturing Re (see Section 22.2). In the solid and vapour states,  $\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_7$  is molecular with a linear  $\text{Tc}\text{--}\text{O}\text{--}\text{Tc}$  bridge (22.29). In the vapour,  $\text{Re}_2\text{O}_7$  has a similar structure but the solid adopts a complex layer structure. The oxides are the anhydrides of  $\text{HTcO}_4$  and  $\text{HReO}_4$  and dissolve in water (equation 22.67) to give solutions containing  $[\text{TcO}_4]^-$  (pertechnetate) and  $[\text{ReO}_4]^-$  (perrhenate). Pertechnetate and perrhenate salts are the commonest starting materials in Tc and Re chemistries.




Pertechnetic and perrhenic acids are strong acids; crystalline  $\text{HReO}_4$  (yellow),  $\text{HReO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{HTcO}_4$  (dark red) have been isolated; crystalline  $\text{HReO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$  consists of a hydrogen-bonded network of  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$  and  $[\text{ReO}_4]^-$  ions. The acids react with  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  to precipitate  $\text{M}_2\text{S}_7$  (equation 22.68) in striking contrast to the reduction of  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  to  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  by  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ .



The  $[\text{TcO}_4]^-$  and  $[\text{ReO}_4]^-$  ions are tetrahedral and isostructural with  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ . Whereas  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  is intense purple due to a charge transfer absorption in the visible region,  $[\text{ReO}_4]^-$  is colourless because the corresponding CT band is in the UV region; salts of  $[\text{TcO}_4]^-$  are also usually colourless.


Rhenium(VI) oxide,  $\text{ReO}_3$ , is made by reducing  $\text{Re}_2\text{O}_7$  with CO;  $\text{TcO}_3$  has not been isolated. Red  $\text{ReO}_3$  crystallizes with a

cubic lattice (Figure 21.4) and is a metallic-like electrical conductor owing to delocalization of the  $d^1$  electrons. No reaction between  $\text{ReO}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , dilute acids or alkalis occurs, but reaction 22.69 occurs with concentrated alkalis.




For the +5 oxidation state, only the blue  $\text{Re}_2\text{O}_5$  is known but it is unstable with respect to disproportionation.

Technetium(VII) and rhenium(VII) form a series of hydride complexes (neutron diffraction data are essential for accurate H location) including the tricapped trigonal prismatic  $[\text{TcH}_9]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{ReH}_9]^{2-}$  (see Section 9.7) and  $[\text{ReH}_7(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2\text{-}P,P')]$ . Some hydrido complexes contain coordinated  $\eta^2\text{-H}_2$  (22.30) with a ‘stretched’ H–H bond, e.g. in  $[\text{ReH}_5(\eta^2\text{-H}_2)\{\text{P}(4\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Me})_3\}_2]$  two H atoms are separated by 136 pm, the next shortest H...H separation being 175 pm.



(22.30)

A few halo complexes are known for M(VI) and M(V): square antiprismatic  $[\text{ReF}_8]^{2-}$  (formed from KF and  $\text{ReF}_6$ ),  $[\text{ReF}_6]^-$  (from reduction of  $\text{ReF}_6$  with KI in liquid  $\text{SO}_2$ ),  $[\text{TcF}_6]^-$  (from  $\text{TcF}_6$  and CsCl in  $\text{IF}_5$ ),  $[\text{ReCl}_6]^-$  (in the salt  $[\text{PCl}_4]_3[\text{Re}^{\text{V}}\text{Cl}_6][\text{Re}^{\text{IV}}\text{Cl}_6]$  formed from the reaction of  $\text{ReCl}_5$  and  $\text{PCl}_5$ ) and  $[\text{Re}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  (22.31, as the  $[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_6]^+$  salt formed when an excess of  $\text{ReF}_6$  reacts with  $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  in anhydrous HF).




Eclipsed, with a linear bridge


(22.31)

Complexes of M(VII), M(VI) and M(V) ( $\text{M} = \text{Tc}, \text{Re}$ ) are dominated by oxo and nitrido species, with octahedral and square-based pyramidal (oxo or nitrido ligand in the apical site) structures being common. Complexes of M(V) outnumber those of the higher oxidation states, with square-based pyramidal structures usually favoured. Examples include:

- octahedral M(VII): *fac*- $[\text{ReO}_3\text{L}]^+$  ( $\text{L} = 22.32$ , tridentate), *fac*- $[\text{ReO}_3\text{Cl}(\text{phen})]$ ,  $[\text{TcNCl}(\eta^2\text{-O}_2)_2]^-$ ;
- octahedral M(VI):  $[\text{ReOCl}_5]^-$ , *trans*- $[\text{TcN}(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{Br}_4]^-$ , *mer*- $[\text{TcNCl}_3(\text{bpy})]$ ;
- square-based pyramidal M(VI):  $[\text{TcNCl}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{TcNBr}_4]^-$ ;
- octahedral M(V):  $[\text{ReOCl}_5]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{ReOCl}_4(\text{py})]^-$ , *trans*- $[\text{TcO}_2(\text{en})_2]^+$ , *trans*- $[\text{TcO}_2(\text{py})_4]^+$ , *cis*- $[\text{TcNBr}(\text{bpy})_2]^+$ ;
- square-based pyramidal M(V):  $[\text{ReOCl}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{TcOCl}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{TcO}(\text{ox})_2]^-$ ;
- pentagonal bipyramidal, rare for Re(V): complex 22.33.




The development of technetium agents for imaging the brain, heart and kidneys has prompted the study of a range of Tc(V) oxo complexes, many of which are square-based pyramidal and contain a tetradentate ligand, often a mixed *S*- and *N*-donor; the oxo ligand occupies the apical site. Complexes **22.34** and **22.35** (in their  $^{99m}\text{Tc}$  forms, see [Boxes 2.3](#) and [22.7](#)) are examples of radiopharmaceuticals used as kidney and brain imaging agents respectively.<sup>†</sup>



## Technetium(IV) and rhenium(IV)

The reaction of  $\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_7$  with  $\text{CCl}_4$  at 670 K (or heating Tc and  $\text{Cl}_2$ ) gives  $\text{TcCl}_4$  as a moisture-sensitive, red solid. The halides  $\text{ReX}_4$  ( $\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ) are all known; blue  $\text{ReF}_4$  forms when  $\text{ReF}_5$  is reduced by  $\text{H}_2$  over a Pt gauze, and black  $\text{ReCl}_4$  is made by heating  $\text{ReCl}_5$  and  $\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_9$ . Solid  $\text{TcCl}_4$  and  $\text{ReCl}_4$  are polymeric but not isostructural;  $\text{TcCl}_4$  adopts chain structure **22.36** and has a magnetic moment of  $3.14 \mu_{\text{B}}$  (298 K) per Tc(IV) centre. In  $\text{ReCl}_4$ , dimers are linked into zigzag chains by chloro-bridges (**22.37**) and the short Re–Re distance is consistent with metal–metal bonding (compare **22.37** with **22.18**). The salt  $[\text{PcCl}_4]^+[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_9]^-$  is formed by reducing  $\text{ReCl}_5$  using  $\text{PCl}_3$  at 373–473 K under a stream of  $\text{N}_2$ . The salt contains discrete ions;  $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_9]^-$  adopts a structure analogous to **22.18**, and the Re–Re distance of 272 pm is consistent with a single bond. When  $\text{PCl}_5$  is heated with  $\text{ReCl}_4$  at 570 K under vacuum, the product is  $[\text{PcCl}_4]_2[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_{10}]$ . The structure of the  $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_{10}]^{2-}$  ion is similar to the  $\text{ReCl}_5$  dimer (**22.23**); neither has a direct Re–Re bond.

<sup>†</sup> For review articles, see: R.C. Elder and K. Tepperman (1994) ‘Metal-based drugs & imaging agents’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 4, p. 2165; K. Schwochau (1994) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English*, vol. 33, p. 2258 – ‘Technetium radiopharmaceuticals: Fundamentals, synthesis, structure and development’; J.R. Dilworth and S.J. Parrott (1998) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 27, p. 43 – ‘The biomedical chemistry of technetium and rhenium’.




The oxides  $\text{TcO}_2$  and  $\text{ReO}_2$  are made by thermal decomposition of  $[\text{NH}_4][\text{MO}_4]$  or reduction of  $\text{M}_2\text{O}_7$  by M or  $\text{H}_2$ . Both adopt rutile lattices ([Figure 5.21](#)), distorted by pairing of metal centres as in  $\text{MoO}_2$ . With  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{TcO}_2$  is oxidized to  $\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_7$ , and with  $\text{H}_2$  at 770 K, reduction of  $\text{TcO}_2$  to the metal occurs.

Reduction of  $\text{KReO}_4$  using  $\text{I}^-$  in concentrated HCl produces  $\text{K}_4[\text{Re}_2(\mu\text{-O})\text{Cl}_{10}]$ ; the anion has a linear Re–O–Re bridge with Re–O  $\pi$ -character (Re–O = 186 pm) and is structurally related to  $[\text{W}_2(\mu\text{-O})\text{Cl}_{10}]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{Ru}_2(\mu\text{-O})\text{Cl}_{10}]^{4-}$  (see [Figure 22.15](#)). The octahedral complexes  $[\text{MX}_6]^{2-}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Tc}, \text{Re}; \text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ) are all known and are probably the most important M(IV) complexes. The ions  $[\text{MX}_6]^{2-}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ) are formed by reducing  $[\text{MO}_4]^-$  (e.g. by  $\text{I}^-$ ) in concentrated HX. Reactions of  $[\text{MBr}_6]^{2-}$  with HF yield  $[\text{MF}_6]^{2-}$ . The chloro complexes (e.g. as  $\text{K}^+$  or  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]^+$  salts) are useful starting materials in Tc and Re chemistries, but both are readily hydrolysed in water. In aqueous solution,  $[\text{TcCl}_6]^{2-}$  is in equilibrium with  $[\text{TcCl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^-$ , and complete hydrolysis gives  $\text{TcO}_2$ . Halide exchange between  $[\text{ReI}_6]^{2-}$  and HCl leads to *fac*- $[\text{ReCl}_3\text{I}_3]^{2-}$ , *cis*- and *trans*- $[\text{ReCl}_4\text{I}_2]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{ReCl}_5\text{I}]^{2-}$ . In most complexes, octahedral coordination for Re(IV) and Tc(IV) is usual, e.g. *cis*- $[\text{TcCl}_2(\text{acac})_2]$ , *trans*- $[\text{TcCl}_4(\text{PMe}_3)_2]$ ,  $[\text{Tc}(\text{NCS-}N)_6]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Tc}(\text{ox})_3]^{2-}$ , *trans*- $[\text{ReCl}_4(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ ,  $[\text{ReCl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^-$ ,  $[\text{ReCl}_5(\text{PEt}_3)]^-$ ,  $[\text{ReCl}_4(\text{bpy})]$  and *cis*- $[\text{ReCl}_4(\text{THF})_2]$ .


## Technetium(III) and rhenium(III)

For the +3 oxidation state, metal–metal bonding becomes important. Rhenium(III) halides ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ) are trimeric,  $\text{M}_3\text{X}_9$ . No Tc(III) halide or  $\text{ReF}_3$  is known. Rhenium(III) chloride is an important precursor in Re(III) chemistry and is made by heating  $\text{ReCl}_5$ . Its structure ([Figure 22.13a](#)) consists of an  $\text{Re}_3$  triangle (Re–Re = 248 pm), each edge being chloro-bridged; the terminal Cl atoms lie above and below the metal framework. In the solid, two-thirds of the terminal Cl atoms are involved in weak bridging interactions to Re atoms of adjacent molecules. Rhenium(III) chloride is diamagnetic, and Re=Re double bonds are allocated to the metal framework, i.e. the (formally)  $\{\text{Re}_3\}^{9+}$  core contains 12 valence electrons (Re,  $s^2d^5$ ) which are used for metal–metal bonding. Lewis bases react with  $\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_9$  (or  $\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_9(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$ ) to give complexes of type  $\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_9\text{L}_3$  ([Figure 22.13b](#));  $\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_9(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$  can be isolated from aqueous




**Fig. 22.13** Schematic representations of (a) the structure of  $\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_9$  (interactions between units occur in the solid, see text) and (b) the sites of addition of Lewis bases to  $\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_9$ . (c) The structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $[\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_{12}]^{3-}$  in the  $[\text{Me}_3\text{NH}]^+$  salt [M. Irmiler *et al.* (1991) *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, vol. 604, p. 17]; colour code: Re, brown; Cl, green.



solutions of the chloride at 273 K. Equation 22.70 shows further examples of Lewis base additions.




The reaction of  $\text{MCl}$  with  $\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_9$  gives  $\text{M}[\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_{10}]$ ,  $\text{M}_2[\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_{11}]$  or  $\text{M}_3[\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_{12}]$  depending upon the conditions, for example reactions 22.71 and 22.72. Figure 22.13c shows the structure of the  $[\text{Re}_3\text{Cl}_{12}]^{3-}$  ion.




The diamagnetic  $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  was the first example of a species containing a metal–metal quadruple bond. It is made by reducing  $[\text{ReO}_4]^-$  using  $\text{H}_2$  or  $[\text{HPO}_2]^{2-}$  and is isostructural with  $[\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{4-}$  (Figure 22.11b) with a  $\text{Re}-\text{Re}$  distance of 224 pm. Salts of  $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  are blue ( $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 700 \text{ nm}$ ) arising from a  $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^1\delta^{*1} \leftarrow \sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2$  transition (Figure 21.15). Reactions of  $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  include ligand displacements and redox processes. With  $\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_9]^-$  is formed (i.e. oxidation and  $\text{Cl}^-$  addition). Reaction 22.73 shows the reaction of carboxylates with  $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$ ; the reaction can be reversed by treatment with  $\text{HCl}$ .



When  $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  reacts with phosphines (equation 22.74), the  $\{\text{Re}_2\}^{6+}$  core with a  $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2$  configuration ( $\text{Re} \equiv \text{Re}$ ) is reduced to a  $\{\text{Re}_2\}^{4+}$  unit ( $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2\delta^{*2}$ ,  $\text{Re} \equiv \text{Re}$ ). The change might be expected to lead to an increase in the  $\text{Re}-\text{Re}$  bond length, but in fact it stays the same (224 pm); the introduction of the bridging ligands must counter the decrease in bond order by ‘clamping’ the  $\text{Re}$  atoms together.



The  $[\text{Tc}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  ion is also known ( $\text{Tc}-\text{Tc} = 215 \text{ pm}$ ) but is less stable than  $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$ ; interestingly, the paramagnetic  $[\text{Tc}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{3-}$  ( $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2\delta^{*1}$ ,  $\text{Tc}-\text{Tc} = 211 \text{ pm}$ , eclipsed ligands) is easier to isolate than  $[\text{Tc}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  ( $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2$ ,  $\text{Tc}-\text{Tc} = 215 \text{ pm}$ , eclipsed ligands). The increase in  $\text{Tc}-\text{Tc}$  distance of 4 pm in going from  $[\text{Tc}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{3-}$  to  $[\text{Tc}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  is not readily rationalized. Reduction of the  $\{\text{Tc}_2\}^{6+}$  core occurs when  $[\text{Tc}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  undergoes reaction 22.75; the product (also made from  $\text{Tc}^{\text{II}}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{PR}_3)_4$  and  $\text{HBF}_4 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ ) is expected to have a staggered arrangement of ligands consistent with the change from  $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2$  to  $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2\delta^{*2}$ , and this has been confirmed for the related  $[\text{Tc}_2(\text{NCMe})_8(\text{OSO}_2\text{CF}_3)_2]^{2+}$ .



Mononuclear complexes of  $\text{Re}(\text{III})$  and  $\text{Tc}(\text{III})$  are quite well exemplified (often with  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands stabilizing the +3 oxidation state) and octahedral coordination is usual, e.g.  $[\text{Tc}(\text{acac})_2(\text{NCMe})_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{Tc}(\text{acac})_3]$ ,  $[\text{Tc}(\text{NCS}-N)_6]^{3-}$ , *mer*- $[\text{Tc}(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2)_3]$ , *mer,trans*- $[\text{ReCl}_3(\text{NCMe})(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ . 7-Coordination has been observed in  $[\text{ReBr}_3(\text{CO})_2(\text{bpy})]$  and  $[\text{ReBr}_3(\text{CO})_2(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2]$ . Simple aqua ions such as  $[\text{Tc}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  are not known, although, stabilized by  $\text{CO}$ , it has been possible to prepare the  $\text{Tc}(\text{I})$  species  $[\text{Tc}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\text{CO})_3]^+$  (see Box 22.7).

## APPLICATIONS

Box 22.7 Technetium-99m labelling using  $[\text{Tc}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\text{CO})_3]^+$ 

Diagnostic imaging agents incorporating  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  labels were mentioned in *Box 2.3*. In developing new techniques of tumour imaging with radioisotopes, one goal is to label single-chain antibody fragments which may efficiently target tumours. The complex  $[\text{Tc}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\text{CO})_3]^+$  can be used to label single-chain antibody fragments which carry C-terminal histidine tags. High activities are achieved ( $90\text{ mCi mg}^{-1}$ ), and *in vivo*, the technetium-labelled fragments are very stable. The new technique appears to have a high potential for application in clinical medicine. The original method of preparing  $[\text{Tc}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\text{CO})_3]^+$  involved the reaction between  $[\text{TcO}_4]^-$  and CO at 1 bar pressure in aqueous NaCl at pH 11. For commercial radiopharmaceutical kits, use of gaseous CO is inconvenient and solid, air-stable sources of CO are desirable. Potassium boranocarbonate,  $\text{K}_2[\text{H}_3\text{BCO}_2]$  (made from  $\text{H}_3\text{B}\cdot\text{THF}/\text{CO}$  and ethanolic KOH), is ideal: it acts as both a source of CO

and a reducing agent, and reacts with  $[\text{TcO}_4]^-$  under buffered, aqueous conditions to give  $[\text{Tc}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\text{CO})_3]^+$ .

## Further reading

- R. Alberto, K. Ortner, N. Wheatley, R. Schibli and A.P. Schubiger (2001) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 123, p. 3135 – ‘Synthesis and properties of boranocarbonate: A convenient *in situ* CO source for the aqueous preparation of  $[\text{Tc}(\text{OH}_2)_3(\text{CO})_3]^+$ ’.
- R. Alberto, R. Schibli, R. Waibel, U. Abram and A.P. Schubiger (1999) *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, vol. 190–192, p. 901 – ‘Basic aqueous chemistry of  $[\text{M}(\text{OH}_2)_3(\text{CO})_3]^+$  (M = Re, Tc) directed towards radiopharmaceutical application’.
- R. Waibel *et al.* (1999) *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 17, p. 897 – ‘Stable one-step technetium-99m labelling of His-tagged recombinant proteins with a novel Tc(I)-carbonyl complex’.

## 22.9 Group 8: ruthenium and osmium

## The metals

Like all platinum-group metals, Ru and Os are relatively noble. Osmium powder reacts slowly with  $\text{O}_2$  at 298 K to give the volatile  $\text{OsO}_4$  (the bulk metal requires heating to 670 K); Ru is passivated by a coating of non-volatile  $\text{RuO}_2$  and reacts further with  $\text{O}_2$  only  $>870\text{ K}$ . Both metals react with  $\text{F}_2$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$  when heated (see below), and are attacked by mixtures of HCl and oxidizing agents, and by molten alkalis.

*Table 19.3* shows the range of oxidation states exhibited by the group 8 metals. In this section we consider oxidation states from +2 to +8; the lower states are stabilized by  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands and are covered in *Chapter 23*. Consistent with trends seen for earlier second and third row metals, Ru and Os form some compounds with metal–metal multiple bonds.

## High oxidation states of ruthenium and osmium: M(VIII), M(VII) and M(VI)

The only binary halides formed for the high oxidation states are  $\text{RuF}_6$  (equation 22.76) and  $\text{OsF}_6$  (equation 22.77); the formation of  $\text{OsF}_7$  has been claimed but not proven.







Ruthenium(VI) fluoride is an unstable brown solid;  $\text{OsF}_6$  is a volatile yellow solid with a molecular (octahedral) structure. Neutron powder diffraction data for  $\text{OsF}_6$  reveal that the four equatorial Os–F bonds are slightly shorter than the

apical bonds, providing evidence for a small Jahn–Teller effect, consistent with the  $t_{2g}^2$  ground state electronic configuration for Os(VI). Metal carbonyl cations (see *Section 23.4*) are rare but in superacid media,  $\text{OsF}_6$  reacts with CO to give the osmium(II) complex  $[\text{Os}(\text{CO})_6]^{2+}$  (equation 22.78).




Several oxofluorides of Os(VIII), Os(VII) and Os(VI) are known, but  $\text{RuOF}_4$  is the only example for Ru; all are very moisture-sensitive. Red *cis*- $\text{OsO}_2\text{F}_4$  forms when  $\text{OsO}_4$  reacts with HF and  $\text{KrF}_2$  at 77 K. Yellow  $\text{OsO}_3\text{F}_2$  (made from  $\text{F}_2$  and  $\text{OsO}_4$ ) is also molecular in the gas phase (22.39) but is polymeric in the solid with Os–F–Os bridges connecting *fac*-octahedral units. Heating  $\text{OsO}_3\text{F}_2$  with  $\text{F}_2$  gives  $\text{OsOF}_5$  and  $\text{OsOF}_4$ . Scheme 22.79 illustrates the ability of  $\text{OsO}_3\text{F}_2$  to act as a fluoride acceptor.





**Fig. 22.14** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) the adduct formed between *N*-methylmorpholine and  $\text{OsO}_4$  [A.J. Bailey *et al.* (1997) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 3245], (b)  $[\text{Os}_2(\text{N}^t\text{Bu})_4(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]^{2+}$  in the  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  salt [A.A. Danopoulos *et al.* (1991) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 269] and (c)  $[\text{OsO}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_3]^-$  in the solvated  $\text{K}^+$  salt [T. Behling *et al.* (1982) *Polyhedron*, vol. 1, p. 840]. Colour code: Os, yellow; O, red; C, grey; N, blue.

Both Ru and Os form toxic, volatile, yellow oxides  $\text{MO}_4$  ( $\text{RuO}_4$  mp 298 K, bp 403 K;  $\text{OsO}_4$  mp 313 K, bp 403 K)<sup>†</sup> but  $\text{RuO}_4$  is more readily reduced than  $\text{OsO}_4$ . Osmium(VIII) oxide ('osmic acid') is made from Os and  $\text{O}_2$  (see above), but the formation of  $\text{RuO}_4$  requires acidified  $[\text{IO}_4]^-$  or  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  oxidation of  $\text{RuO}_2$  or  $\text{RuCl}_3$ . Both tetraoxides have penetrating ozone-like odours; they are sparingly soluble in water but soluble in  $\text{CCl}_4$ . The oxides are isostructural with molecular structures **22.40**. Ruthenium(VIII) oxide is thermodynamically unstable with respect to  $\text{RuO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  (equation 22.80) and is liable to explode; it is a very powerful oxidant, reacting violently with organic compounds. Osmium(VIII) oxide is used as an oxidizing agent in organic synthesis (e.g. converting alkenes to 1,2-diols) and as a biological stain, but its ease of reduction and its volatility make it dangerous to the eyes. Reaction 22.80 occurs on heating for  $\text{M} = \text{Os}$ .




Osmium(VIII) oxide forms adducts with Lewis bases such as  $\text{Cl}^-$ , 4-phenylpyridine and *N*-morpholine; the adducts are distorted trigonal bipyramidal with the oxo ligands in the equatorial and one axial site (Figure 22.14a).  $\text{OsO}_4$  acts as a fluoride acceptor, reacting with  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]\text{F}$  at 298 K to give  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}][\text{OsO}_4\text{F}]$ , and with two equivalents of  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]\text{F}$  at 253 K to yield  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{cis-OsO}_4\text{F}_2]$ .


When  $\text{RuO}_4$  dissolves in aqueous alkali,  $\text{O}_2$  is evolved and  $[\text{RuO}_4]^-$  forms; in concentrated alkali, reduction proceeds to  $[\text{RuO}_4]^{2-}$  (equation 22.81);  $\text{K}_2\text{RuO}_4$  can also be made by fusing Ru with  $\text{KNO}_3$  and  $\text{KOH}$ .




<sup>†</sup> The literature contains differing values for  $\text{OsO}_4$ ; see Y. Koda (1986) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications*, p. 1347.



Both  $[\text{RuO}_4]^-$  and  $[\text{RuO}_4]^{2-}$  are powerful oxidants but can be stabilized in solution by pH control under non-reducing conditions. In solid state salts,  $[\text{RuO}_4]^-$  has a flattened tetrahedral structure ( $\text{Ru}-\text{O} = 173$  pm), but crystals of ' $\text{K}_2[\text{RuO}_4] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ' are actually  $\text{K}_2[\text{RuO}_3(\text{OH})_2]$  containing anion **22.41**. In contrast to its action on  $\text{RuO}_4$ , alkali reacts with  $\text{OsO}_4$  to give *cis*- $[\text{OsO}_4(\text{OH})_2]^{2-}$  (**22.42**) which is reduced to *trans*- $[\text{OsO}_2(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$  by EtOH. Anion **22.42** has been isolated in crystalline  $\text{Na}_2[\text{OsO}_4(\text{OH})_2] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Reaction 22.82 gives  $\text{K}[\text{Os}(\text{N})\text{O}_3]$  which contains tetrahedral  $[\text{Os}(\text{N})\text{O}_3]^-$  (**22.43**), isoelectronic and isostructural with  $\text{OsO}_4$ . The IR spectrum of  $[\text{Os}(\text{N})\text{O}_3]^-$  contains bands at 871 and 897  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\nu_{\text{Os}=\text{O}}$ ) and 1021  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\nu_{\text{Os}=\text{N}}$ ); this compares with absorptions at 954 and 965  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  for  $\text{OsO}_4$ .




Reaction 22.83 gives an imido analogue of  $\text{OsO}_4$ ; the tetrahedral shape is retained and the  $\text{Os}-\text{N}$  bond lengths of 175 pm are consistent with double bonds. Sodium amalgam reduces  $\text{Os}(\text{N}^t\text{Bu})_4$  to the Os(VI) dimer  $\text{Os}_2(\text{N}^t\text{Bu})_4(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2$  ( $\text{Os}-\text{Os} = 310$  pm) and subsequent oxidation gives  $[\text{Os}_2(\text{N}^t\text{Bu})_4(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]^{2+}$  (Figure 22.14b), a rare example of an Os(VII) complex. Trigonal planar  $\text{Os}(\text{NAr})_3$  is stabilized against dimerization if Ar is very bulky, e.g. 2,6- $\text{Pr}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$ .



(22.43)

Complexes of M(VIII) and M(VII) are few, e.g.  $[\text{Os}(\text{N})\text{O}_3]^{2-}$  (see above), but are well exemplified for M(VI), particularly for  $M = \text{Os}$ , with oxo, nitrido or imido ligands commonly present, e.g.

- tetrahedral:  $[\text{OsO}_2(\text{S}_2\text{O}_3\text{-S})_2]^{2-}$ ;
- square-based pyramidal:  $[\text{RuNBr}_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{OsNBr}_4]^-$ ;
- octahedral:  $[\text{OsO}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_3]^-$  (distorted, Figure 22.14c), *trans*- $[\text{OsO}_2\text{Cl}_4]^{2-}$ , *trans*- $[\text{RuO}_2\text{Cl}_4]^{2-}$  (see Section 8.12),  $[\text{OsO}_2\text{Cl}_2(\text{py})_2]$  (22.44), *trans*- $[\text{OsO}_2(\text{en})_2]^{2+}$ .




(22.44)

### Worked example 22.2 Osmium(VI) compounds


Rationalize why salts of *trans*- $[\text{OsO}_2(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$  are diamagnetic.

$[\text{OsO}_2(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$  contains Os(VI) and therefore has a  $d^2$  configuration.

The structure of  $[\text{OsO}_2(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$  is:



An octahedral ( $O_h$ )  $d^2$  complex would be paramagnetic, but in  $[\text{OsO}_2(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$ , the axial Os–O bonds are shorter than the equatorial Os–O bonds. The complex therefore suffers from a tetragonal distortion and, consequently, the  $d$  orbitals split as follows, assuming that the  $z$  axis is defined to lie along the  $\text{O}=\text{Os}=\text{O}$  axis:

Octahedral  $d^2$ 

The complex is therefore diamagnetic.

### Self-study exercises

1. Rationalize why  $\text{OsF}_6$  suffers only a *small* Jahn–Teller effect. [Ans. see ‘Jahn–Teller distortions’ in Section 20.3]
2. Suggest why the high oxidation state compounds of Os are dominated by those containing oxo, nitrido and fluoro ligands. [Ans. all  $\pi$ -donor ligands; see Section 20.4]
3. Comment on the fact that, at 300 K,  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $\text{OsF}_6$  is  $1.49 \mu_{\text{B}}$ . [Ans. see discussion of Kotani plots in Section 20.8]

### Ruthenium(V), (IV) and osmium(V), (IV)


Green  $\text{RuF}_5$  and  $\text{OsF}_5$  (readily hydrolysed solids) are made by reactions 22.84 and 22.85 and are tetrameric like  $\text{NbF}_5$  (22.5) but with non-linear bridges. Black  $\text{OsCl}_5$  is the only other halide of the M(V) state and is made by reducing and chlorinating  $\text{OsF}_6$  with  $\text{BCl}_3$ . It is dimeric, analogous to  $\text{NbCl}_5$  (22.6).



For the M(IV) state,  $\text{RuF}_4$ ,  $\text{OsF}_4$ ,  $\text{OsCl}_4$  (two polymorphs) and  $\text{OsBr}_4$  are known and are polymeric. The fluorides are made by reducing higher fluorides, and  $\text{OsCl}_4$  and  $\text{OsBr}_4$  by combining the elements at high temperature and, for  $\text{OsBr}_4$ , high pressure.


In contrast to iron, the lowest oxides formed by the heavier group 8 metals are for the M(IV) state. Both  $\text{RuO}_2$  and  $\text{OsO}_2$  adopt a rutile structure (Figure 5.21); these oxides are far less important than  $\text{RuO}_4$  and  $\text{OsO}_4$ .

The electrochemical oxidation of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  in aqueous solution produces a Ru(IV) species. Its formulation as  $[\text{Ru}_4\text{O}_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{12}]^{4+}$  (or a protonated form depending on pH) is consistent with  $^{17}\text{O}$  NMR spectroscopic data and of the two proposed structures 22.45 and 22.46, the latter is supported by EXAFS studies (see Box 26.2).




(22.45)

Octahedral halo complexes of Ru(V) and Os(V) are represented by  $[\text{MF}_6]^-$  ( $M = \text{Ru}, \text{Os}$ ) and  $[\text{OsCl}_6]^-$ .  $\text{K}[\text{OsF}_6]$ , for example, can be made by reduction of  $\text{OsF}_6$  with  $\text{KBr}$  in anhydrous HF. The Os(V) anions [*fac*- $\text{OsCl}_3\text{F}_3$ ] $^-$ , *cis*- $[\text{OsCl}_4\text{F}_2]^-$  and *trans*- $[\text{OsCl}_4\text{F}_2]^-$  are made by oxidation of




the analogous Os(IV) dianions using  $\text{KBrF}_4$  or  $\text{BrF}_3$ . For the +4 oxidation state, all the  $[\text{MX}_6]^{2-}$  ions are known except  $[\text{RuI}_6]^{2-}$ . Various synthetic routes are used, e.g.  $[\text{RuCl}_6]^{2-}$  can be made by heating Ru,  $\text{Cl}_2$  and an alkali metal chloride, or by oxidizing  $[\text{RuCl}_6]^{3-}$  with  $\text{Cl}_2$ . The salt  $\text{K}_2[\text{RuCl}_6]$  has a magnetic moment (298 K) of  $2.8 \mu_{\text{B}}$ , close to  $\mu(\text{spin-only})$  for a low-spin  $d^4$  ion but the value is temperature-dependent; for  $\text{K}_2[\text{OsCl}_6]$ , the value of  $1.5 \mu_{\text{B}}$  arises from the greater spin-orbit coupling constant for the  $5d$  metal ion (see [Figure 20.23](#) and discussion). Mixed M(IV) halo complexes are produced by halogen exchange. In reaction 22.86, the products are formed by stepwise substitution, the position of  $\text{F}^-$  entry being determined by the stronger *trans* effect (see [Section 25.3](#)) of the chloro ligand.




The reduction of  $\text{OsO}_4$  by  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3$  in aqueous  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  containing  $\text{Cl}^-$  produces  $[\text{OsCl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^-$  in addition to  $[\text{OsCl}_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\{\text{Cl}_3(\text{OH})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{Os}\}_2(\mu\text{-OH})]^-$ .

Reaction of  $\text{RuO}_4$  in aqueous  $\text{HCl}$  in the presence of  $\text{KCl}$  gives  $\text{K}^+$  salts of  $[\text{Ru}^{\text{IV}}_2\text{OCl}_{10}]^{4-}$ ,  $[\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}\text{Cl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}\text{Cl}_6]^{3-}$ . Each Ru(IV) centre in  $[\text{Ru}_2\text{OCl}_{10}]^{4-}$  is octahedrally sited and the Ru–O–Ru bridge is linear (Figure 22.15a); salts of  $[\text{Ru}_2\text{OCl}_{10}]^{4-}$  are diamagnetic. This is rationalized by considering the formation of two three-centre  $\pi$ -interactions (Figure 22.15b) involving the  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$  atomic orbitals of the two low-spin Ru(IV) centres (each of configuration  $d_{xy}^2 d_{xz}^1 d_{yz}^1$ ) and the filled  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  atomic orbitals of the O atom. In addition to the  $\pi$  and  $\pi^*$  MOs, four non-bonding MOs result from combinations of the  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$  orbitals (Figure 22.15b); these are fully occupied in  $[\text{Ru}_2\text{OCl}_{10}]^{4-}$ . The same MO diagram can be used to describe the bonding in the related anions  $[\text{Os}_2\text{OCl}_{10}]^{4-}$  (two  $d^4$  metal centres),  $[\text{W}_2\text{OX}_{10}]^{4-}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}; d^2$ ),  $[\text{Re}_2\text{OCl}_{10}]^{4-}$  ( $d^3$ ) and  $[\text{Ta}_2\text{OX}_{10}]^{2-}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}; d^0$ ); changes in  $d^n$  configuration only affect the occupancy of the non-bonding MOs, leaving the metal–oxygen  $\pi$ -bonding MOs occupied. The diamagnetic  $[\text{Ru}_2(\mu\text{-N})\text{Cl}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{3-}$  (22.47) is a nitrido-bridged analogue




**Fig. 22.15** (a) The structure of  $[\text{Ru}_2(\mu\text{-O})\text{Cl}_{10}]^{4-}$  determined by X-ray diffraction for the histaminium salt [I.A. Efimenko *et al.* (1994) *Koord. Khim.*, vol. 20, p. 294]; colour code: Ru, pale grey; Cl, green; O, red. (b) A partial MO diagram for the interaction between the  $d_{xy}$ ,  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$  atomic orbitals of the Ru(IV) centres and the  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  atomic orbitals of the O atom to give two bonding, two antibonding and four non-bonding MOs; the non-bonding MOs are derived from combinations of  $d$  orbitals with no oxygen contribution. Relative orbital energies are approximate, and the non-bonding MOs lie close together.




**Fig. 22.16** Representative complex-forming reactions starting from  $[\text{OsCl}_6]^{2-}$ . Note that reduction to Os(III) occurs in three reactions, and to Os(II) in one. See [Table 6.7](#) and [structure 19.23](#) for ligand abbreviations.

of  $[\text{Ru}_2\text{OCl}_{10}]^{4-}$ , and Ru–N distances of 172 pm indicate strong  $\pi$ -bonding; it is made by reducing  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NO})\text{Cl}_5]^{2-}$  with  $\text{SnCl}_2$  in HCl.




Although the coordination chemistry of Ru(IV) and Os(IV) is quite varied, halo complexes are dominant; complexes of Os(IV) outnumber those of Ru(IV). Hexahalo complexes are common precursors (Figure 22.16). Apart from those already described, examples with mixed ligands include octahedral  $\text{trans}-[\text{OsBr}_4(\text{AsPh}_3)_2]$ ,  $[\text{OsX}_4(\text{acac})]^-$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ),  $[\text{OsX}_4(\text{ox})]^{2-}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ),  $\text{cis}-[\text{OsCl}_4(\text{NCS}-N)_2]^{2-}$  and  $\text{trans}-[\text{OsCl}_4(\text{NCS}-S)_2]^{2-}$ . Two complexes of special note for their stereochemistries are the pentagonal bipyramidal  $[\text{RuO}(\text{S}_2\text{CNEt}_2)_3]^-$  (22.48) and the square planar  $\text{trans}-[\text{Ru}(\text{PMe}_3)_2(\text{NR})_2]$  in which R is the bulky 2,6- $^i\text{Pr}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$ .



### Ruthenium(III) and osmium(III)



All the binary halides  $\text{RuX}_3$  are known but for Os, only  $\text{OsCl}_3$  and  $\text{OsI}_3$  have been established;  $\text{OsF}_4$  is the lowest

fluoride of Os. Reduction of  $\text{RuF}_5$  with  $\text{I}_2$  gives  $\text{RuF}_3$ , a brown solid isostructural with  $\text{FeF}_3$ . Reactions 22.87–22.89 show preparations of  $\text{RuCl}_3$ ,  $\text{RuBr}_3$  and  $\text{RuI}_3$ ; the chloride is commercially available as a hydrate of variable composition ' $\text{RuCl}_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ' and is an important starting material in Ru(III) and Ru(II) chemistry.




The  $\alpha$ -forms of  $\text{RuCl}_3$  and  $\text{OsCl}_3$  are isostructural with  $\alpha\text{-TiCl}_3$  (see [Section 21.5](#)), while  $\beta\text{-RuCl}_3$  has the same structure as  $\text{CrCl}_3$  (see [Section 21.7](#)); extended structures with octahedral Ru(III) are adopted by  $\text{RuBr}_3$  and  $\text{RuI}_3$ .




There are no binary oxides or oxoanions for Ru(III), Os(III) or lower oxidation states. No simple aqua ion of Os(III) has been established, but octahedral  $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  can be obtained by aerial oxidation of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  and has been isolated in the alum (see [Section 12.9](#))  $\text{CsRu}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and the salt  $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6][4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_3]_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The Ru–O bond length of 203 pm is shorter than in  $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  (212 pm). In aqueous solution,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  is acidic (compare equation 22.90 with equation 6.36 for  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ) and it is less readily reduced than  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  (equation 22.91).



Substitution in Ru(III) complexes (low-spin  $d^5$ ) is slow (see [Chapter 25](#)) and all members of the series  $[\text{RuCl}_n(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{6-n}]^{(n-3)-}$ , including isomers, have been characterized. Aerial oxidation of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  (see below) gives  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  (equation 22.92).




Halo complexes  $[\text{MX}_6]^{3-}$  are known for  $M = \text{Ru}$ ,  $X = \text{F}$ ,  $\text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{Br}$ ,  $\text{I}$  and  $M = \text{Os}$ ,  $X = \text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{Br}$ ,  $\text{I}$ . The anions  $[\text{RuCl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{RuCl}_6]^{3-}$  are made in the same reaction as  $[\text{Ru}_2\text{OCl}_{10}]^{4-}$  (see above). In aqueous solution  $[\text{RuCl}_6]^{3-}$  is rapidly aquated to  $[\text{RuCl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2-}$ . The anion  $[\text{Ru}_2\text{Br}_9]^{3-}$  can be made by treating  $[\text{RuCl}_6]^{3-}$  with  $\text{HBr}$ . The ions  $[\text{Ru}_2\text{Br}_9]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Ru}_2\text{Cl}_9]^{3-}$  (reaction 22.93) and  $[\text{Os}_2\text{Br}_9]^{3-}$  adopt structure **22.49**. The Ru–Ru distances of 273 (Cl) and 287 pm (Br) along with magnetic moments of 0.86 (Cl) and  $1.18 \mu_B$  (Br) suggest a degree of Ru–Ru bonding, a conclusion supported by theoretical studies.



The anions  $[\text{Os}_2\text{X}_8]^{2-}$  ( $X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ) are made in reaction sequence 22.94. In diamagnetic  $[\text{Os}_2\text{X}_8]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Os}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_4\text{Cl}_2]$ , the electronic configuration of the  $\text{Os}_2$ -unit is (from [Figure 21.15](#))  $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2\delta^{*2}$  corresponding to an  $\text{Os}\equiv\text{Os}$  triple bond. Since the  $\delta^*$  MO is occupied, the influence of the  $\delta$ -bond is lost and so no electronic factor restricts the orientation of the ligands (compare the eclipsed orientation of the ligands in  $[\text{ReCl}_8]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Mo}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{4-}$  which contain an  $\text{M}\equiv\text{M}$ ). Crystal structures for several salts of  $[\text{Os}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  show different ligand arrangements ([Figure 22.17](#)) and this is true also for  $[\text{Os}_2\text{Br}_8]^{2-}$ ; for  $[\text{Os}_2\text{I}_8]^{2-}$ , steric factors appear to favour a staggered arrangement. Ruthenium(III) forms a number of acetate complexes. The reaction of  $\text{RuCl}_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$  and  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{Na}$  yields paramagnetic  $[\text{Ru}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_6(\mu_3\text{-O})]^{+}$  (structurally analogous to the Cr(III) species in [Figure 21.13](#)) which is reduced by  $\text{PPh}_3$  to give the mixed-valence complex  $[\text{Ru}_3(\text{PPh}_3)_3(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_6(\mu_3\text{-O})]$ .

Both Ru(III) and Os(III) form a range of octahedral complexes with ligands other than those already mentioned; Ru(III) complexes outnumber those of Os(III), the reverse



**Fig. 22.17** Differences in energy between the arrangement of the chloro ligands (staggered, eclipsed or somewhere in between) in  $[\text{Os}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  are small. The solid state structure of  $[\text{Os}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  (viewed along the Os–Os bond) in (a) the  $[\text{nBu}_4\text{N}]^+$  salt [P.A. Agaskar *et al.* (1986) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 108, p. 4850] ( $[\text{Os}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  is also staggered in the  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_3]^{2+}$  salt), (b) the  $[\text{MePh}_3\text{P}]^+$  salt [F.A. Cotton *et al.* (1990) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 29, p. 3197] and (c) the  $[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{N}]^+$  salt (structure determined at 83 K) [P.E. Fanwick *et al.* (1986) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 25, p. 4546]. In the  $[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{N}]^+$  salt, an eclipsed conformer is also present.

of the situation for the M(IV) state, reflecting the relative stabilities  $\text{Os(IV)} > \text{Ru(IV)}$  but  $\text{Ru(III)} > \text{Os(III)}$ . Examples of mononuclear complexes include  $[\text{Ru}(\text{acac})_3]$ ,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ , *cis*- $[\text{RuCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{en})_2]^{2+}$ , *cis*- $[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{bpy})_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{RuCl}_4(\text{bpy})]^-$ , *trans*- $[\text{RuCl}(\text{OH})(\text{py})_4]^+$ , *mer*- $[\text{RuCl}_3(\text{DMSO-}S)_2(\text{DMSO-O})]$ ,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{py})]^{3+}$ , *mer*- $[\text{OsCl}_3(\text{py})_3]$ ,  $[\text{Os}(\text{acac})_3]$ ,  $[\text{Os}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ , *trans*- $[\text{OsCl}_2(\text{PMe}_3)_4]^+$  and *trans*- $[\text{OsCl}_4(\text{PEt}_3)_2]^+$ .


## Ruthenium(II) and osmium(II)

Binary halides of Ru(II) and Os(II) are not well characterized and there are no oxides. Heating the metal with S gives  $\text{MS}_2$  ( $M = \text{Ru}, \text{Os}$ ) which contain  $[\text{S}_2]^{2-}$  and adopt a pyrite structure (see [Section 21.9](#)). Most of the chemistry of Ru(II) and Os(II) concerns complexes, all of which are diamagnetic, low-spin  $d^6$  and, with a few exceptions, octahedral. We saw in [Section 20.3](#) that values of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  (for a set of related complexes) are greater for second and third row metals than for the first member of the triad, and low-spin complexes are favoured. A vast number of Ru(II) complexes are known and we can give only a brief introduction.




The hydrido anions  $[\text{RuH}_6]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{OsH}_6]^{4-}$  (analogous to  $[\text{FeH}_6]^{4-}$ , [Figure 9.12b](#)) are formed by heating the metal with  $\text{MgH}_2$  or  $\text{BaH}_2$  under a pressure of  $\text{H}_2$ . There are no simple halo complexes;  $\text{H}_2$  or electrochemical reduction of  $\text{RuCl}_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in  $\text{MeOH}$  produces blue solutions (*ruthenium blues*) which, despite their synthetic utility for preparing Ru(II) complexes, have not been fully characterized. The blue species present have been variously formulated, but cluster anions seem likely.

Substitution reactions involving Ru(II) or Os(II) are affected by the kinetic inertness of the low-spin  $d^6$  ion (see [Section 25.2](#)), and methods of preparation of M(II) complexes often start from higher oxidation states, e.g.  $\text{RuCl}_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  or  $[\text{OsCl}_6]^{2-}$ . Reducing aqueous solutions of  $\text{RuCl}_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  from which  $\text{Cl}^-$  has been precipitated by  $\text{Ag}^+$  produces

$[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ ; there is no Os(II) analogue. In air,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  readily oxidizes (equation 22.91) but is present in Tutton salts (see [Section 21.6](#))  $\text{M}_2\text{Ru}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Rb}, \text{NH}_4$ ). Its structure has been determined in the salt  $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6][4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_3]_2$  (see discussion of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ ). Under 200 bar pressure of  $\text{N}_2$ ,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  reacts to give  $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{N}_2)]^{2+}$ . The related  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{N}_2)]^{2+}$  (which can be isolated as the chloride salt and is structurally similar to [22.51](#)) is formed either by reaction scheme 22.95 or by  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$  reduction of aqueous solutions of  $\text{RuCl}_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .<sup>†</sup>




The cation  $[(\text{H}_3\text{N})_5\text{Ru}(\mu\text{-N}_2)\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{4+}$  ([22.50](#)) forms when  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2+}$  reacts with  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{N}_2)]^{2+}$ , or when aqueous  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Cl}]^{2+}$  is reduced by Zn amalgam under  $\text{N}_2$ . Reduction of  $[\text{OsCl}_6]^{2-}$  with  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$  gives  $[\text{Os}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{N}_2)]^{2+}$  ([22.51](#)) which can be oxidized or converted to the bis( $\text{N}_2$ ) complex (equation 22.96); note the presence of the  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand to stabilize Os(II).



Most dinitrogen complexes decompose when gently heated, but those of Ru, Os and Ir can be heated to 370–470 K.

<sup>†</sup> Much of the interest in complexes containing  $\text{N}_2$  ligands arises from the possibility of reducing the ligand to  $\text{NH}_3$ ; see Y. Nishibayashi, S. Iwai and M. Hidai (1998) *Science*, vol. 279, p. 540.

Although the bonding in a terminal, linear  $\text{M-N}\equiv\text{N}$  unit can be described in a similar manner to a terminal  $\text{M-C}\equiv\text{O}$  unit, the bridging modes of  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{CO}$  are different as shown in [22.52](#); coordination of  $\text{CO}$  to metals is described in [Section 23.2](#).




Typical  $\mu\text{-N}_2$  mode of bonding    Typical  $\mu\text{-CO}$  mode of bonding

(22.52)

The complex  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  (which oxidizes in air, equation 22.92) is made by reacting  $\text{RuCl}_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with Zn dust in concentrated  $\text{NH}_3$  solution. The analogous Os(II) complex may be formed in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  but is unstable. The reaction of  $\text{HNO}_2$  with  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  gives the nitrosyl complex  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{NO})]^{3+}$  in which the  $\text{Ru-N-O}$  angle is close to  $180^\circ$ . Numerous mononuclear nitrosyl complexes of ruthenium are known. In each of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{NO})]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{RuCl}_5(\text{NO})]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{RuCl}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{NO})]^{2+}$ , *mer,trans*- $[\text{RuCl}_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\text{NO})]$  and  $[\text{RuBr}_3(\text{Et}_2\text{S})(\text{Et}_2\text{SO})(\text{NO})]$  (Figure 22.18a), the  $\text{Ru-N-O}$  unit is linear and an Ru(II) state is formally assigned. Without prior knowledge of structural and spectroscopic properties of nitrosyl complexes (see [Section 20.4](#)), the oxidation state of the metal centre remains ambiguous, for example in  $[\text{RuCl}(\text{NO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  (Figure 22.18b). Stable ruthenium nitrosyl complexes are formed during the extraction processes for the recovery of uranium and plutonium from nuclear wastes, and are difficult to remove;  $^{106}\text{Ru}$  is a fission product from uranium and plutonium and the use of  $\text{HNO}_3$  and TBP (see [Box 6.3](#)) in the extraction process facilitates the formation of  $\text{Ru}(\text{NO})$ -containing complexes.



The tris-chelates  $[\text{Ru}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  ([Figure 9.2](#)) and  $[\text{Ru}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$  are made in a similar manner to  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ . The  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  complex is widely studied as a photosensitizer; it absorbs light at 452 nm to give an excited singlet state  $^1\{[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}\}^*$  (Figure 22.19) which results from transfer of an electron from the Ru(II) centre to a  $\text{bpy} \pi^*$ -orbital, i.e. the excited state may be considered to contain Ru(III), two  $\text{bpy}$  and one  $[\text{bpy}]^-$ . The singlet excited state rapidly decays to a triplet excited state,<sup>‡</sup> the lifetime of which in aqueous solution at 298 K is 600 ns, long enough to allow redox activity to occur. The standard reduction potentials in Figure 22.19 show that the excited  $^3\{[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}\}^*$  state is both a better oxidant and reductant than the ground  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  state; in neutral solution, for

<sup>‡</sup> For a detailed review, see: A. Juris, V. Balzani, F. Barigelletti, S. Campagna, P. Belser and A. von Zelewsky (1988) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 84, p. 85 – ‘Ru(II) polypyridine complexes: Photochemistry, photochemistry, electrochemistry and chemiluminescence’. For an introduction to photochemical principles, see C.E. Wayne and R.P. Wayne (1996) *Photochemistry*, Oxford University Press Primer Series, Oxford.






**Fig. 22.18** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{RuBr}_3(\text{Et}_2\text{S})(\text{Et}_2\text{SO})(\text{NO})]$  [R.K. Coll *et al.* (1987) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 26, p. 106] and (b)  $[\text{RuCl}(\text{NO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  (only the P atoms of the  $\text{PPh}_3$  groups are shown) [C.G. Pierpont *et al.* (1972) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 11, p. 1088]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted in (a); colour code: Ru, pale grey; Br, brown; Cl, green; O, red; S, yellow; P, orange; C, grey.

example,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  can be oxidized or reduced by the excited complex. In practice, the system only works in the presence of a *quenching agent* such as methyl viologen (paraquat),  $[\text{MV}]^{2+}$  (**22.53**) and a sacrificial donor, D, ( $[\text{EDTA}]^{4-}$  is often used) which reduces  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$  to  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ . Scheme 22.97 summarizes the use of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  as a photosensitizer in the photolytic production of  $\text{H}_2$  from  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (see Section 9.4). However, this and similar reaction schemes are not yet suited to commercial application.



Many low oxidation state complexes of Ru and Os including those of Ru(II) and Os(II) are stabilized by  $\text{PR}_3$  ( $\pi$ -acceptor) ligands. Treatment of  $\text{RuCl}_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with  $\text{PPh}_3$  in  $\text{EtOH}/\text{HCl}$  at reflux gives *mer*- $[\text{RuCl}_3(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$  or, with excess  $\text{PPh}_3$  in  $\text{MeOH}$  at reflux,  $[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ . Reaction with  $\text{H}_2$  converts  $[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$  to  $[\text{HRuCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$  which is a hydrogenation catalyst for alk-1-enes (see Section 26.4). Both  $[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$  and  $[\text{HRuCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$  have square-based pyramidal structures (**22.54** and **22.55**).





**Fig. 22.19**  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  (low-spin  $d^6$  is a singlet state) absorbs light to give an excited state which rapidly decays to a longer-lived excited state,  $^3\{[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}\}^*$ . This state can decay by emission or can undergo electron transfer. Standard reduction potentials are given for one-electron processes involving  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  and  $^3\{[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}\}^*$ .

## Mixed-valence ruthenium complexes



Equation 22.94 showed the formation of the Os(III) complex  $[\text{Os}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_4\text{Cl}_2]$ . For ruthenium, the scenario is

different, and in reaction 22.98, the product is an Ru(II)/Ru(III) polymer (22.56).



Complex 22.56 formally possesses a  $\{\text{Ru}_2\}^{5+}$  core and from Figure 21.15 we would predict a configuration of  $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2\delta^*\pi^1$ . However, the observed paramagnetism corresponding to three unpaired electrons is consistent with the  $\pi^*$  level lying at lower energy than the  $\delta^*$ , i.e.  $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2\pi^*\delta^*$ . This reordering is reminiscent of the  $\sigma$ - $\pi$  crossover amongst first row diatomics (Figure 1.23) and illustrates the importance of utilizing *experimental facts* when constructing and interpreting qualitative MO diagrams.

The *Creutz-Taube* cation  $[(\text{H}_3\text{N})_5\text{Ru}(\mu\text{-pz}')\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{5+}$  ( $\text{pz}' = \text{pyrazine}$ ) is a member of the series of cations 22.57 (equation 22.99).



When the charge is 4+ or 6+, the complexes are Ru(II)/Ru(II) or Ru(III)/Ru(III) species respectively. For  $n = 5$ , a mixed-valence Ru(II)/Ru(III) species might be formulated but spectroscopic and structural data show the Ru centres are equivalent with charge delocalization

across the pyrazine bridge. Such electron transfer (see Section 25.5) is not observed in all related species. For example,  $[(\text{bpy})_2\text{ClRu}(\mu\text{-pz}')\text{RuCl}(\text{bpy})_2]^{3+}$  exhibits an intervalence charge transfer absorption in its electronic spectrum indicating an Ru(II)/Ru(III) formulation;  $[(\text{H}_3\text{N})_5\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}(\mu\text{-pz}')\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}\text{Cl}(\text{bpy})_2]^{4+}$  is similar.


## 22.10 Group 9: rhodium and iridium

### The metals


Rhodium and iridium are unreactive metals; they react with  $\text{O}_2$  or the halogens only at high temperatures (see below) and neither is attacked by *aqua regia*. The metals dissolve in fused alkalis. For Rh and Ir, the range of oxidation states (Table 19.3) and the stabilities of the highest ones are less than for Ru and Os. The most important states are Rh(III) and Ir(III), i.e.  $d^6$  which is invariably low-spin, giving diamagnetic and kinetically inert complexes (see Section 25.2).

### High oxidation states of rhodium and iridium: M(VI) and M(V)

Rhodium(VI) and iridium(VI) occur only in black  $\text{RhF}_6$  and yellow  $\text{IrF}_6$ , formed by heating the metals with  $\text{F}_2$  under pressure and quenching the volatile products. Both  $\text{RhF}_6$  and  $\text{IrF}_6$  are octahedral monomers. The pentafluorides are made by direct combination of the elements (equation 22.100) or by reduction of  $\text{MF}_6$ , and are moisture-sensitive (reaction 22.101) and very reactive. They are tetramers, structurally analogous to  $\text{NbF}_5$  (22.5).



For M(V) and M(VI), no binary compounds with the heavier halogens and no oxides are known. Iridium(VI) fluoride is the precursor to  $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_6]^{3+}$ , the only example to date of a tripositive, binary metal carbonyl cation. Compare reaction 22.102 (reduction of  $\text{IrF}_6$  to  $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_6]^{3+}$ ) with reaction 22.78 (reduction of  $\text{OsF}_6$  to  $[\text{Os}(\text{CO})_6]^{2+}$ ).



Salts of octahedral  $[\text{MF}_6]^-$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Rh}, \text{Ir}$ ) can be made in HF or interhalogen solvents (reaction 22.103). On treatment with water, they liberate  $\text{O}_2$  forming Rh(IV) and Ir(IV) compounds.



A number of Ir(V) hydrido complexes are known, e.g.  $[\text{IrH}_5(\text{PMe}_2)_2]$ .



## Rhodium(IV) and iridium (IV)

The unstable fluorides are the only established neutral halides of Rh(IV) and Ir(IV), and no oxohalides are known. The reaction of  $\text{RhBr}_3$  or  $\text{RhCl}_3$  with  $\text{BrF}_3$  yields  $\text{RhF}_4$ ;  $\text{IrF}_4$  is made by reduction of  $\text{IrF}_6$  or  $\text{IrF}_5$  with Ir, but above 670 K,  $\text{IrF}_4$  disproportionates (equation 22.104). Before 1965, reports of ‘ $\text{IrF}_4$ ’ were erroneous and actually described  $\text{IrF}_5$ .




Iridium(IV) oxide forms when Ir is heated with  $\text{O}_2$  and is the only well-established oxide of Ir. It is also made by controlled hydrolysis of  $[\text{IrCl}_6]^{2-}$  in alkaline solution. Heating Rh and  $\text{O}_2$  gives  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$  (see below) unless the reaction is carried out under high pressure, in which case  $\text{RhO}_2$  is obtained. Rutile structures (Figure 5.21) are adopted by  $\text{RhO}_2$  and  $\text{IrO}_2$ .

The series of paramagnetic (low-spin  $d^5$ ) halo anions  $[\text{MX}_6]^{2-}$  with  $\text{M} = \text{Rh}$ ,  $\text{X} = \text{F}$ ,  $\text{Cl}$  and  $\text{M} = \text{Ir}$ ,  $\text{X} = \text{F}$ ,  $\text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{Br}$ , can be made, but the Ir(IV) species are the more stable;  $[\text{RhF}_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{RhCl}_6]^{2-}$  (equations 22.105 and 22.106) are hydrolysed to  $\text{RhO}_2$  by an excess of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . White alkali metal salts of  $[\text{IrF}_6]^{2-}$  are made by reaction 22.107;  $[\text{IrF}_6]^{2-}$  is stable in neutral or acidic solution but decomposes in alkali.




Salts of  $[\text{IrCl}_6]^{2-}$  are common starting materials in Ir chemistry. Alkali metal salts are made by chlorinating a mixture of  $\text{MCl}$  and Ir;  $\text{Na}_2[\text{IrCl}_6] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{K}_2[\text{IrCl}_6]$  and  $\text{H}_2[\text{IrCl}_6] \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (chloroiridic acid) are commercially available. The  $[\text{IrCl}_6]^{2-}$  ion is quantitatively reduced (equation 22.108) by KI or  $[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$  and is used as an oxidizing agent in some organic reactions. In alkaline solution,  $[\text{IrCl}_6]^{2-}$  decomposes, liberating  $\text{O}_2$ , but the reaction is reversed in strongly acidic solution (see Section 7.2). In its reactions,  $[\text{IrCl}_6]^{2-}$  is often reduced to Ir(III) (scheme 22.109), but reaction with  $\text{Br}^-$  yields  $[\text{IrBr}_6]^{2-}$ .



Octahedral coordination is usual for Ir(IV). Complexes with O-donors are relatively few, and include  $[\text{Ir}(\text{OH})_6]^{2-}$  (the red  $\text{K}^+$  salt is made by heating  $\text{Na}_2[\text{IrCl}_6]$  with  $\text{KOH}$ ),  $[\text{Ir}(\text{NO}_3)_6]^{2-}$  (formed by treating  $[\text{IrBr}_6]^{2-}$  with  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ ) and  $[\text{Ir}(\text{ox})_3]^{2-}$  (made by oxidizing  $[\text{Ir}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ ). Complexes with

group 15 donors include  $[\text{IrCl}_4(\text{phen})]$ ,  $[\text{IrCl}_2\text{H}_2(\text{P}^i\text{Pr}_3)_2]$  (22.58) and *trans*- $[\text{IrBr}_4(\text{PEt}_3)_2]$ .



(22.58)

## Rhodium(III) and iridium(III)

Binary halides  $\text{MX}_3$  for  $\text{M} = \text{Rh}$ ,  $\text{Ir}$  and  $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{Br}$  and  $\text{I}$  can be made by heating the appropriate elements. Reactions 22.110 and 22.111 show routes to  $\text{MF}_3$ ; direct reaction of  $\text{M}$  and  $\text{F}_2$  leads to higher fluorides (e.g. equation 22.100).




Anhydrous  $\text{RhCl}_3$  and  $\alpha\text{-IrCl}_3$  adopt layer structures and are isomorphous with  $\text{AlCl}_3$ ; brown  $\alpha\text{-IrCl}_3$  converts to the red  $\beta$ -form at 870–1020 K. Water-soluble  $\text{RhCl}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (dark red) and  $\text{IrCl}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (dark green) are commercially available, being common starting materials in Rh and Ir chemistry. Figure 22.20 shows selected complex formations starting from  $\text{IrCl}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . In particular, note the formation of  $[\text{Ir}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{bpy-C}, \text{N})]^{2+}$ : this contains a 2,2'-bipyridine ligand which has undergone *orthometallation*. As the structure in Figure 22.20 illustrates, deprotonation of 2,2'-bipyridine in the 6-position occurs to give the  $[\text{bpy-C}, \text{N}]^-$  ligand. This leaves an uncoordinated N atom which can be protonated as is observed in  $[\text{Ir}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Hbpy-C}, \text{N})]^{3+}$ .


The oxide  $\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_3$  is known only as an impure solid. Rhodium(III) oxide is well characterized, and is made by heating the elements at ordinary pressure or by thermal decomposition of  $\text{Rh}(\text{NO}_3)_3$  (equation 22.112). Several polymorphs of  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$  are known;  $\alpha\text{-Rh}_2\text{O}_3$  has a corundum structure (see Section 12.7).



In the presence of aqueous  $\text{HClO}_4$ , the octahedral  $[\text{Rh}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  can be formed although it hydrolyses (equation 22.113). Crystalline  $\text{Rh}(\text{ClO}_4)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  contains  $[\text{Rh}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , i.e. it should be formulated as  $[\text{Rh}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6][\text{ClO}_4]_3$ . The  $[\text{Ir}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ion exists in aqueous solutions in the presence of concentrated  $\text{HClO}_4$ . The hexaaqua ions are present in the crystalline alums  $\text{CsM}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Rh}$ ,  $\text{Ir}$ ).




When  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  is dissolved in a limited amount of aqueous  $\text{HCl}$ ,  $\text{RhCl}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (better written as  $[\text{RhCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]$ ) forms. All members of the series




**Fig. 22.20** Selected reactions of  $\text{IrCl}_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . In the complexes  $[\text{Ir}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{bpy}-C,N)]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Ir}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Hbpy}-C,N)]^{3+}$ , the ligands coordinating in a  $C,N$ -mode have undergone *orthometallation* in which a  $C-H$  bond has been broken and a  $C^-$  coordination site formally created.


$[\text{RhCl}_n(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{6-n}]^{(3-n)+}$  ( $n = 0-6$ ) are known and can be made in solution by reaction of  $[\text{Rh}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  with  $\text{Cl}^-$  or by substitution starting from  $[\text{RhCl}_6]^{3-}$  (see [problem 25.8](#)). Interconversions involving  $[\text{Rh}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  and  $[\text{RhCl}_6]^{3-}$  are given in scheme 22.114.





Reduction of  $[\text{IrCl}_6]^{2-}$  by  $\text{SO}_2$  yields  $[\text{IrCl}_6]^{3-}$  (Figure 22.20) which hydrolyses in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to  $[\text{IrCl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2-}$  (isolated as the green  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  salt),  $[\text{IrCl}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^-$  and  $[\text{IrCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]$ . Reaction of  $[\text{Ir}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  with **[22.59]** $\text{Cl}_3$  in aqueous  $\text{Cs}_2\text{SO}_4$  produces **[22.59]** $[\text{IrCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4][\text{SO}_4]_2$  containing cation **22.60** for which  $\text{p}K_a(1) = 6.31$ .



Routes to ammine complexes of Ir(III) were shown in Figure 22.20. For Rh(III), it is more difficult to form  $[\text{Rh}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  than  $[\text{Rh}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Cl}]^{2+}$  (equation 22.115). Reaction of  $\text{RhCl}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with Zn dust and aqueous  $\text{NH}_3$  gives  $[\text{Rh}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{H}]^{2+}$ .




Large numbers of octahedral Rh(III) and Ir(III) complexes exist, and common precursors include  $[\text{IrCl}_6]^{3-}$  (e.g.  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$  or  $[\text{NH}_4]^+$  salts),  $[\text{RhCl}(\text{NH}_3)_5]\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $[\text{Rh}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{NH}_3)_5][\text{ClO}_4]_3$  (made by treating  $[\text{RhCl}(\text{NH}_3)_5]\text{Cl}_2$  with  $\text{AgClO}_4$ ) and  $\text{trans-}[\text{RhCl}_2(\text{py})_4]^+$  (made from  $\text{RhCl}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and pyridine). Schemes 22.116 and 22.117 give selected examples.



Rhodium(III) and iridium(III) form complexes with both hard and soft donors and examples (in addition to those above and in Figure 22.20) include:

- $N$ -donors:  $[\text{Ir}(\text{NO}_2)_6]^{3-}$ ,  $\text{cis-}[\text{RhCl}_2(\text{bpy})_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{Rh}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{phen})]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{Rh}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{Rh}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ ;
- $O$ -donors:  $[\text{Rh}(\text{acac})_3]$ ,  $[\text{Ir}(\text{acac})_3]$ ,  $[\text{Rh}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ ;
- $P$ -donors:  $\text{fac-}$  and  $\text{mer-}[\text{IrH}_3(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ ,  $[\text{RhCl}_4(\text{PPh}_3)_2]^-$ ,  $[\text{RhCl}_2(\text{H})(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ ;
- $S$ -donors:  $[\text{Ir}(\text{NCS-S})_6]^{3-}$  (Figure 22.21a),  $\text{mer-}[\text{IrCl}_3(\text{SEt}_3)_3]$ ,  $[\text{Ir}(\text{S}_6)_3]^{3-}$  (Figure 22.21b).



**Fig. 22.21** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) [Ir(NCS-S)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> in the [Me<sub>4</sub>N]<sup>+</sup> salt [J.-U. Rohde *et al.* (1998) *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, vol. 624, p. 1319] and (b) [Ir(S<sub>6</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> in the [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> salt [T.E. Albrecht-Schmitt *et al.* (1996) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 35, p. 7273]. Colour code: Ir, red; S, yellow; C, grey; N, blue.

Both metal ions form [M(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>. Linkage isomerization is exhibited by [Ir(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(NCS)]<sup>2+</sup>, i.e. both [Ir(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(NCS-N)]<sup>2+</sup> and [Ir(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(NCS-S)]<sup>2+</sup> can be isolated. The nitrite ligand in [Ir(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(NO<sub>2</sub>)]<sup>2+</sup> undergoes a change from *O*- to *N*-coordination in alkaline solution.

### Self-study exercises

- [Rh<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> and [Rh<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> possess face-sharing octahedral structures. Heating a propylene carbonate solution of the [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]<sup>+</sup> salts of [Rh<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> and [Rh<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>9</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> results in a mixture of [Rh<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>n</sub>Br<sub>9-n</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> (*n* = 0–9) in which all possible species are present. Suggest an experimental technique that can be used to detect these species. Assuming retention of the face-sharing octahedral structure, draw the structures of all possible isomers for *n* = 5. [Ans. see: J.-U. Vogt *et al.* (1995) *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, vol. 621, p. 186]
- Comment on factors that effect the trend in the values of Δ<sub>oct</sub> tabulated below.

| Complex  | Δ <sub>oct</sub> / cm <sup>-1</sup> | Complex                                 | Δ <sub>oct</sub> / cm <sup>-1</sup> |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| [Rh(H <sub>2</sub> O) <sub>6</sub> ] <sup>3+</sup> | 25 500                              | [Rh(CN) <sub>6</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup>    | 44 400                              |
| [RhCl <sub>6</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup>                 | 19 300                              | [RhBr <sub>6</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup>      | 18 100                              |
| [Rh(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>6</sub> ] <sup>3+</sup> | 32 700                              | [Rh(NCS-S) <sub>6</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup> | 19 600                              |

[Ans. see Table 20.2 and discussion]

## Rhodium(II) and iridium(II)

Mononuclear Rh(II) and Ir(II) complexes are relatively rare. The chemistry of Rh(II) is quite distinct from that of Ir(II) since dimers of type [Rh<sub>2</sub>(μ-L)<sub>4</sub>] and [Rh<sub>2</sub>(μ-L)<sub>4</sub>L'<sub>2</sub>] are well known but Ir analogues are rare. The best-known

Rh(II) dimers contain carboxylate bridges (Figures 22.22a and 22.22b); other bridging ligands include [RC(O)NH]<sup>-</sup> and [RC(O)S]<sup>-</sup>. The dimers [Rh<sub>2</sub>(μ-O<sub>2</sub>CMe)<sub>4</sub>L<sub>2</sub>] (L = MeOH or H<sub>2</sub>O) are made by reactions 22.118 and 22.119; the axial ligands can be removed by heating *in vacuo*, or replaced (e.g. reaction 22.120).

RhCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O










Figure 22.22c shows the structure of [Rh<sub>2</sub>(μ-O<sub>2</sub>CMe)<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>], and related complexes are similar. If the axial ligand has a second donor atom appropriately oriented, polymeric chains in which L' bridges



**Fig. 22.22** Schematic representations of two families of Rh(II) carboxylate dimers: (a)  $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CR})_4]$  and (b)  $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CR})_4\text{L}_2]$ . (c) The structure of  $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$  (H atoms omitted) determined by X-ray diffraction [F.A. Cotton *et al.* (1971) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 27, p. 1664]; colour code Rh, blue; C, grey; O, red.





$[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-L})_4]$  units can result, e.g. when  $\text{L}' = \mathbf{22.61}$  or  $\mathbf{22.62}$ . Each dimer formally contains an  $\{\text{Rh}_2\}^{4+}$  core which (from *Figure 21.15*) has a  $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2\delta^2\pi^*4$  configuration, and a Rh–Rh *single* bond. Compare this with the multiply bonded Mo(II), Re(III) and Os(III) dimers discussed earlier.

The only example of an  $[\text{Ir}_2(\mu\text{-L})_4]$  dimer containing an  $\{\text{Ir}_2\}^{4+}$  core and an Ir–Ir single bond (252 pm) occurs for  $\text{L}^- = \mathbf{22.63}$ .




## Rhodium(I) and iridium(I)

The +1 oxidation state is stabilized by  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands such as phosphines, with square planar, and to a lesser extent, trigonal bipyramidal coordination being favoured. Being low oxidation state species, it may be appropriate to consider the bonding in terms of the 18-electron rule (*Section 20.4*). In fact, most Rh(I) complexes are square planar, 16-electron species and some, such as  $[\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$  (*Wilkinson's catalyst*,  $\mathbf{22.64}$ ), have important applications in homogeneous catalysis (see *Chapter 26*). Preparation of  $[\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$  involves reduction of Rh(III) by  $\text{PPh}_3$  (equation 22.121). Other  $[\text{RhCl}(\text{PR}_3)_3]$  complexes are made by routes such as 22.122; alkene complexes like that in this reaction are described in *Chapter 23*. Starting from  $[\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ , it is possible to make a variety of square planar complexes in which phosphine ligands remain to stabilize the Rh(I) centre, e.g. scheme 22.123.



Treatment of  $[\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$  with  $\text{TiClO}_4$  yields the perchlorate salt of the trigonal planar cation  $[\text{Rh}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]^+$ . The square planar Ir(I) complex *trans*- $[\text{IrCl}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$

(*Vaska's compound*, **22.65**) is strictly organometallic since it contains an Ir–C bond, but it is an important precursor in Ir(I) chemistry. Both *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] and [RhCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] undergo many oxidative addition reactions (see [Section 23.9](#)) in which the M(I) centre is oxidized to M(III).



## 22.11 Group 10: palladium and platinum

### The metals

At 298 K, bulk Pd and Pt are resistant to corrosion. Palladium is more reactive than Pt, and at high temperatures is attacked by O<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and Cl<sub>2</sub> (equation 22.124).



Palladium dissolves in hot oxidizing acids (e.g. HNO<sub>3</sub>), but both metals dissolve in *aqua regia* and are attacked by molten alkali metal oxides. The absorption of H<sub>2</sub> by Pd was described at the end of [Section 9.7](#).

The dominant oxidation states are M(II) and M(IV), but the M(IV) state is more stable for Pt than Pd. Within a given oxidation state, resemblances are close with the exception of their behaviour towards oxidizing and reducing agents. In comparing the chemistries of Ni(II), Pd(II) and Pt(II), structural similarities between low-spin square planar complexes are observed, but octahedral and tetrahedral high-spin Ni(II) complexes have only a few parallels in Pd(II) chemistry and effectively none among Pt(II) species (see [Box 20.7](#)).

### The highest oxidation states: M(VI) and M(V)

The M(VI) and M(V) states are confined to platinum fluorides (reactions 22.125 and 22.126); PtF<sub>5</sub> readily disproportionates to PtF<sub>4</sub> and PtF<sub>6</sub>.





Platinum(V) fluoride is a tetramer (structurally like **22.5**); PtF<sub>6</sub> is a red solid and has a molecular structure consisting of octahedral molecules; neutron powder diffraction data confirm little deviation from an ideal octahedral structure. The hexafluoride is a very powerful oxidizing agent (equation 22.127, and see [Section 5.16](#)) and attacks glass. The oxidizing power of the second row *d*-block hexafluorides

(where they exist) follows the sequence PtF<sub>6</sub> > IrF<sub>6</sub> > OsF<sub>6</sub> > ReF<sub>6</sub> > WF<sub>6</sub>.



In anhydrous HF, PtF<sub>6</sub> reacts with CO to give [Pt<sup>II</sup>(CO)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>[Pt<sup>IV</sup>F<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (equation 22.128), while in liquid SbF<sub>5</sub>, reaction 22.129 occurs.




The fluorides PdF<sub>5</sub> and PdF<sub>6</sub> have not been confirmed, but [PdF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> can be made by reaction 22.130.




### Palladium(IV) and platinum(IV)

The only tetrahalide of Pd(IV) is PdF<sub>4</sub>, a diamagnetic, red solid made from the elements at 570 K. The paramagnetic compound 'PdF<sub>3</sub>' (also formed from Pd and F<sub>2</sub>) is actually Pd<sup>II</sup>[Pd<sup>IV</sup>F<sub>6</sub>]; both Pd centres are octahedrally sited in the solid, and while [PdF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (Pd–F = 190 pm) is diamagnetic, the Pd(II) centre (Pd–F = 217 pm) has two unpaired electrons. All the Pt(IV) halides are known, and PtCl<sub>4</sub> and PtBr<sub>4</sub> are formed by reactions of the halogens with Pt. Treatment of PtCl<sub>2</sub> with F<sub>2</sub> (*T* < 475 K) gives PtF<sub>4</sub> (compare reaction 22.125). In PtCl<sub>4</sub>, PtBr<sub>4</sub> and PtI<sub>4</sub>, the metal is octahedrally sited as shown in **22.66**; in PdF<sub>4</sub> and PtF<sub>4</sub>, the connectivity is similar but results in a three-dimensional structure.




Hydrated PtO<sub>2</sub> is made by hydrolysing [PtCl<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> in boiling aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>; heating converts it to the black anhydrous oxide. Above 920 K, PtO<sub>2</sub> decomposes to the elements. The hydrated oxide dissolves in NaOH as Na<sub>2</sub>[Pt(OH)<sub>6</sub>] and in aqueous HCl as H<sub>2</sub>[PtCl<sub>6</sub>] (*chloroplatinic acid*); the latter is an important starting material and has catalytic applications. Water hydrolyses H<sub>2</sub>[PtCl<sub>6</sub>] to H[PtCl<sub>5</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)] and [PtCl<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]; the reaction is reversed by adding HCl.

In their complexes, Pd(IV) and Pt(IV) are low-spin, octahedral and diamagnetic (*d*<sup>6</sup>). The full range of halo complexes [MX<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> is known (e.g. equations 22.131–22.133), in contrast to PdF<sub>4</sub> being the only neutral Pd(IV) halide. The [MX<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> ions are stabilized by large cations.




The greater kinetic inertness (see [Section 25.2](#)) of the Pt(IV) complexes is illustrated by the fact that  $K_2[PdF_6]$  is decomposed in the air by moisture, but  $K_2[PtF_6]$  can be crystallized from boiling water even though  $[PtF_6]^{2-}$  is *thermodynamically* unstable with respect to hydrolysis. The solid state structure of  $K_2[PtCl_6]$  is a structure prototype. It can be derived from the  $CaF_2$  structure ([Figure 5.18a](#)) by replacing  $Ca^{2+}$  by octahedral  $[PtCl_6]^{2-}$  ions, and  $F^-$  by  $K^+$  ions. For details of  $K_2[PtH_6]$ , see [Section 9.7](#).




(22.67)

The variety of Pd(IV) complexes is far less than that of Pt(IV), and their syntheses usually involve oxidation of a related Pd(II) species, e.g. reaction 22.134. When a chelating ligand such as bpy or  $Me_2PCH_2CH_2PMe_2$  is present, the complex is constrained to being *cis*, e.g. **22.67**. The Pd(IV) complexes are of limited stability.



Platinum(IV) forms a wide range of thermodynamically and kinetically inert octahedral complexes, and ammine complexes, for example, have been known since the days of Werner (see [Box 21.8](#)). In liquid  $NH_3$  at 230 K,  $[NH_4]_2[PtCl_6]$  is converted to  $[Pt(NH_3)_6]Cl_4$ ; *trans*- $[PtCl_2(NH_3)_4]^{2+}$  is made by oxidative addition of  $Cl_2$  to  $[Pt(NH_3)_4]^{2+}$ , and, as for Pd, oxidative addition is a general strategy for Pt(II)  $\rightarrow$  Pt(IV) conversions. Ammine complexes include the optically active  $[Pt(en)_3]^{4+}$  and *cis*- $[PtCl_2(en)_2]^{2+}$ , both of which can be resolved. Although the range of ligands coordinating to Pt(IV) covers soft and hard donors (see [Table 6.9](#)), some ligands such as phosphines tend to reduce Pt(IV) to Pt(II).





(22.68)

Of note is  $[Pt(NH_3)_4]_2[22.68] \cdot 9H_2O$ , formed when aqueous  $[Pt(NH_3)_4][NO_3]_2$  reacts with  $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ . Localized Fe(II) and Pt(IV) centres in  $[22.68]^{4-}$  have been assigned on the basis of magnetic, electrochemical and ESR spectroscopic data, and the complex exhibits an intense Fe(II)  $\rightarrow$  Pt(IV) charge-transfer absorption at 470 nm.

## Palladium(III), platinum(III) and mixed-valence complexes


We saw above that ‘ $PdF_3$ ’ is the mixed valence  $Pd[PdF_6]$ , and this cautionary note extends to some other apparently Pd(III) and Pt(III) species. For example, both  $PtCl_3$  and  $PtBr_3$  are mixed-valence compounds. The compounds of empirical formulae  $Pt(NH_3)_2Br_3$  (**22.69**) and  $Pt(NEtH_2)_4Cl_3 \cdot H_2O$  (*Wolffram's red salt*, **22.70**) contain halide-bridged chains; extra  $Cl^-$  in the lattice of the latter balance the 4+ charge. Such mixed-valence compounds possess intense colours due to intervalence charge-transfer absorptions. Partially oxidized  $[Pt(CN)_4]^{2-}$  salts are described under platinum(II).



Palladium(III) dimers that are structurally related to the Rh(II) dimers discussed earlier ([Figure 22.22](#)) include  $[Pd_2(\mu-SO_4-O,O')_4(H_2O)_2]^{2-}$  and  $[Pd_2(\mu-O_2CMe)_4(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ , each of which formally contains a  $\{Pd_2\}^{6+}$  core (isoelectronic with  $\{Rh_2\}^{4+}$ ) and a Pd–Pd single bond.

The *platinum blues*<sup>†</sup> are mixed-valence complexes containing discrete  $Pt_n$  chains ([Figure 22.23](#)). They are formed by hydrolysis of *cis*- $[PtCl_2(NH_3)_2]$  or *cis*- $[PtCl_2(en)]$  in aqueous  $AgNO_3$  (i.e. replacing  $Cl^-$  by  $H_2O$

<sup>†</sup> For related complexes, see: C. Tejel, M.A. Ciriano and L.A. Oro (1999) *Chemistry – A European Journal*, vol. 5, p. 1131 – ‘From platinum blues to rhodium and iridium blues’.



**Fig. 22.23** (a) The structure (X-ray diffraction) of the cation in the platinum blue  $[\text{Pt}_4(\text{en})_4(\mu\text{-L})_4][\text{NO}_3]_5 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  where  $\text{HL} = \alpha\text{-pyridone}$ . Hydrogen atoms have been omitted; colour code: Pt, yellow; N, blue; O, red; C, grey [T.V. O'Halloran *et al.* (1984) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 106, p. 6427]. (b) Examples of  $N,O$ -donor ligands present in platinum blues. (c) Schematic representation of the platinum blue  $[\text{Pt}_4(\text{NH}_3)_8(\mu\text{-L})_4]^{5+}$  where  $\text{HL} = \text{glutarimide}$ .


and precipitating  $\text{AgCl}$ ) followed by treatment with  $N,O$ -donors such as pyrimidines, uracils or the compounds shown in Figure 22.23b. Figures 22.23a and 22.23c show two examples; each formally contains a  $\{\text{Pt}_4\}^{9+}$  core which can be considered as  $(\text{Pt}^{\text{III}})(\text{Pt}^{\text{II}})_3$ . ESR spectroscopic data show that the unpaired electron is delocalized over the  $\text{Pt}_4$ -chain. Interest in platinum blues lies in the fact that some exhibit anti-tumour activity.

## Palladium(II) and platinum(II)


In [Section 20.3](#), we discussed the increase in crystal field splitting on progressing down group 10, and explained why  $\text{Pd}(\text{II})$  and  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  complexes favour a square planar arrangement of donor atoms (but see [Box 20.7](#)). In this section, the discussion of  $\text{Pd}(\text{II})$  and  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  compounds reiterates these points.

All the halides of  $\text{Pd}(\text{II})$  and  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  except  $\text{PtF}_2$  are known. Reaction of  $\text{Pd}$  and  $\text{F}_2$  gives 'PdF<sub>3</sub>' (see above) which is reduced to violet  $\text{PdF}_2$  by  $\text{SeF}_4$ . Unusually for  $\text{Pd}(\text{II})$ ,  $\text{PdF}_2$  is paramagnetic and each  $\text{Pd}(\text{II})$  centre is octahedrally sited in a rutile structure ([Figure 5.21](#)). The other dihalides are diamagnetic (low-spin  $d^8$ ) and contain square planar  $\text{M}(\text{II})$  centres in polymeric structures. Heating  $\text{Pd}$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$  gives  $\text{PdCl}_2$ ; the  $\alpha$ -form is a polymer ([22.71](#)) and above 820 K,  $\alpha\text{-PdCl}_2$  converts to the  $\beta$ -form which contains hexameric units ([22.72](#)). Palladium(II) bromide is made

from the elements, and  $\text{PdI}_2$  by heating  $\text{PdCl}_2$  with  $\text{HI}$ . Direct combination of  $\text{Pt}$  and a halogen affords  $\text{PtCl}_2$ ,  $\text{PtBr}_2$  and  $\text{PtI}_2$ ;  $\text{PtCl}_2$  is dimorphic like  $\text{PdCl}_2$ .



Black, insoluble  $\text{PdI}_2$  dissolves in a solution containing  $\text{CsI}$  and  $\text{I}_2$ , and the compounds  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{PdI}_4] \cdot \text{I}_2$  and  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{PdI}_6]$  can be crystallized from the solution. Pressure converts  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{PdI}_4] \cdot \text{I}_2$  to  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{PdI}_6]$ , a process that is facilitated by the presence of chains ([22.73](#)) in the solid state structure of  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{PdI}_4] \cdot \text{I}_2$ . The structure of  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{PdI}_6]$  is like that of  $\text{K}_2[\text{PtCl}_6]$ .



## APPLICATIONS


Box 22.8 Detecting CO with PdCl<sub>2</sub>

In *Box 13.11*, we looked at the use of semiconductors such as SnO<sub>2</sub> as sensors for gases including CO and hydrocarbons. For workers who may be exposed to CO, a Pd-based detector that can be worn as a badge has been developed; the presence of CO is conveniently signalled by a colour change. The detector contains hydrated PdCl<sub>2</sub> and CuCl<sub>2</sub>. Carbon monoxide reduces Pd(II) to Pd(0):



and the production of Pd metal causes the chemical patch to darken. When the detector is removed from a CO-containing zone and enters an oxidizing environment, the Pd metal is oxidized to Pd(II) by CuCl<sub>2</sub>, with the CuCl<sub>2</sub> being

regenerated by atmospheric oxidation of Cu(I):




The reaction sequence requires the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and HCl in the detector: the support for the chemical patch is silica gel which absorbs moisture, CaCl<sub>2</sub> acts as a Cl<sup>-</sup> source, and a strong acid is added in the form of, for example, H<sub>8</sub>[SiMo<sub>12</sub>O<sub>42</sub>]·28H<sub>2</sub>O.

## Related information

See the Wacker process: *Figure 26.2* and associated text.

Black PdO, formed by heating Pd and O<sub>2</sub>, is the only well-established oxide of Pd. In contrast, PtO<sub>2</sub> is the only well-characterized oxide of Pt. Dissolution of PdO in perchloric acid gives [Pd(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>][ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub> containing a diamagnetic, square planar tetraaqua ion. The [Pt(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> ion is made by treating [PtCl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> with aqueous AgClO<sub>4</sub>. Both aqua ions are considerably better oxidizing agents than aqueous Ni<sup>2+</sup> (equation 22.135), but neither [Pd(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> nor [Pt(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> is very stable.







Palladium(II) and platinum(II) form a wealth of square planar complexes; a tendency for Pt–Pt interactions (i.e. for the heaviest group 10 metal) is quite often observed. The mechanisms of substitution reactions in Pt(II) complexes and the *trans-effect* have been much studied and we return to this in *Section 25.3*. However, for the discussion that follows, it is important to note that mutually *trans* ligands exert an effect on one another, and this dictates the order in which ligands are displaced and, therefore, the products of substitution reactions. **A word of caution:** do not confuse *trans-effect* with *trans-influence* (see *Box 22.9*).

Important families of complexes with monodentate ligands include [MX<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (e.g. X = Cl, Br, I, CN, SCN-S), [MX<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>] (e.g. X = Cl, Br; L = NH<sub>3</sub>, NR<sub>3</sub>, RCN, py, PR<sub>3</sub>, SR<sub>2</sub>; or X = CN; L = PR<sub>3</sub>) and [ML<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (e.g. L = PR<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, NR<sub>3</sub>, MeCN). For [MX<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>], *trans*- and *cis*-isomers may exist and, in the absence of X-ray diffraction data, IR spectroscopy can be used to distinguish between *cis*- and *trans*-[MX<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>2</sub>] species (*Figure 19.11*). Isomers of, for example, [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] can also be distinguished using <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy (*Box 19.1*). Equations 22.136–22.138 show the formation of some ammine complexes, the choice

of route for *cis*- and *trans*-[PtCl<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] being a manifestation of the *trans-effect* (see above).

Isomerization of *cis*- to *trans*-[PtCl<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] occurs in solution.




*Magnus's green salt*, [Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>][PtCl<sub>4</sub>], is a polymerization isomer (see *Section 19.8*) of [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] and is prepared by precipitation from colourless [Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> and pink

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND


Box 22.9 The *trans*-influence

Consider a square planar complex which contains a *trans* L–M–L' arrangement:



Ligands L and L' compete with each other for electron

density because the formation of M–L and M–L' bonds uses the same metal orbitals, e.g.  $d_{z^2}$  and  $p_z$  if L and L' lie on the  $z$  axis. The existence of a *ground state trans*-influence (i.e. the influence that L has on the M–L' bond) is established by comparing the solid state structural, and vibrational and NMR spectroscopic data for series of related complexes. Structural data are exemplified by the following series of square planar Pt(II) complexes;  $H^-$  exerts a strong *trans*-influence and as a consequence, the Pt–Cl bond in  $[PtClH(PEtPh_2)_2]$  is relatively long and weak:



IR and  $^1H$  NMR spectroscopic data for a series of square planar complexes *trans*- $[PtXH(PEt_3)_2]$  are as follows:

| $X^-$                            | $[CN]^-$ | $I^-$ | $Br^-$ | $Cl^-$ |
|----------------------------------|----------|-------|--------|--------|
| $\bar{\nu}(Pt-H) / cm^{-1}$      | 2041     | 2156  | 2178   | 2183   |
| $\delta (^1H \text{ for } Pt-H)$ | -7.8     | -12.7 | -15.6  | -16.8  |

Values of  $\bar{\nu}(Pt-H)$  show that the Pt–H bond is weakest for  $X^- = [CN]^-$  and the *trans*-influence of the  $X^-$  ligands follows the order  $[CN]^- > I^- > Br^- > Cl^-$ . The signal for the hydride in the  $^1H$  NMR spectrum moves to lower frequency (higher field) with a decrease in the *trans*-influence of  $X^-$ . The *trans*-influence is not unique to square planar complexes, and may be observed wherever ligands are mutually *trans*, e.g. in octahedral species.

The *trans*-influence is *not* the same as the *trans*-effect. The first is a ground state phenomenon, while the second is a kinetic effect (see **Section 25.3**). The two effects are sometimes distinguished by the names *structural trans-effect* and *kinetic trans-effect*.

## Further reading

- K.M. Andersen and A.G. Orpen (2001) *Chemical Communications*, p. 2682 – ‘On the relative magnitudes of *cis* and *trans* influences in metal complexes’.
- B.J. Coe and S.J. Glenwright (2000) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 203, p. 5 – ‘*Trans*-effects in octahedral transition metal complexes’.

$[PtCl_4]^{2-}$ . It contains chains of alternating cations and anions (**22.74**) with significant Pt–Pt interactions, and this structural feature leads to the change in colour in going from the constituent ions to the solid salt. However the Pt–Pt distance is not as short as in the partially oxidized  $[Pt(CN)_4]^{2-}$  (see below), and  $[Pt(NH_3)_4][PtCl_4]$  is not a metallic conductor.

The cyano complexes  $[Pd(CN)_4]^{2-}$  and  $[Pt(CN)_4]^{2-}$  are very stable;  $K_2[Pt(CN)_4] \cdot 3H_2O$  can be isolated from the reaction of  $K_2[PtCl_4]$  with KCN in aqueous solution. Aqueous solutions of  $K_2[Pt(CN)_4]$  are colourless, but the hydrate forms yellow crystals; similarly, other salts are colourless in solution but form coloured crystals. The colour change arises from stacking (non-eclipsed) of square planar

anions in the solid, although the Pt...Pt separations are significantly larger (e.g. 332 pm in yellow-green  $Ba[Pt(CN)_4] \cdot 4H_2O$  and 309 pm in violet  $Sr[Pt(CN)_4] \cdot 3H_2O$ ) than in Pt metal (278 pm). When  $K_2[Pt(CN)_4]$  is partially oxidized with  $Cl_2$  or  $Br_2$ , bronze complexes of formula  $K_2[Pt(CN)_4]X_{0.3} \cdot 2.5H_2O$  ( $X = Cl, Br$ ) are obtained. These contain isolated  $X^-$  ions and stacks of staggered  $[Pt(CN)_4]^{2-}$  ions (**22.75**) with short Pt–Pt separations, and are good one-dimensional metallic conductors. The conductivity arises from electron delocalization along the  $Pt_n$  chain, the centres no longer being localized Pt(II) after partial oxidation. Some salts do contain non-stacked  $[Pt(CN)_4]^{2-}$  ions, e.g.  $[PhNH_3]_2[Pt(CN)_4]$ .


The paucity of complexes with *O*-donors arises because Pd(II) and Pt(II) are soft metal centres (see **Table 6.9**); we

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 22.10 Platinum-containing drugs for cancer treatment

Cisplatin is the square planar complex  $cis\text{-}[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{NH}_3)_2]$  (22.1) and its capacity to act as an anti-tumour drug has been known since the 1960s. It is used to treat bladder and cervical tumours, as well as testicular and ovarian cancers, but patients may suffer from side-effects of nausea and kidney damage. Carboplatin (22.2) shows similar anti-tumour properties and has the advantage of producing fewer side-effects than cisplatin. The drugs operate by inter-

acting with guanine (G) bases in strands of DNA (Figure 9.11), with the *N*-donors of the nucleotide base coordinating to the Pt(II) centre; GG intra-strand crosslinks are formed by cisplatin. A recent Pt(II) complex to enter into clinical trials is the triplatinum species shown below. Preliminary results show that the complex is significantly more active than cisplatin, and is capable of forming inter-strand crosslinks involving three base pairs in DNA.



## Further reading

- T.W. Hambley (2001) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 2711 – ‘Platinum binding to DNA: Structural controls and consequences’.
- B.A.J. Jansen, J. van der Zwan, J. Reedijk, H. den Dulk and J. Brouwer (1999) *European Journal of Inorganic*

*Chemistry*, p. 1429 – ‘A tetranuclear platinum compound designed to overcome cisplatin resistance’.

- B. Lippert, ed. (2000) *Cisplatin*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.
- J. Reedijk (1996), *Chemical Communications*, p. 801 – ‘Improved understanding in platinum antitumour chemistry’.

noted above the instability of the tetraqua ions. Reaction of  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$  with KOH and excess Hacac gives monomeric  $[\text{Pt}(\text{acac})_2]$ . Palladium(II) and platinum(II) acetates are trimeric and tetrameric respectively. The Pd atoms in  $[\text{Pd}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_2]_3$  are arranged in a triangle with each Pd...Pd (non-bonded, 310–317 pm) bridged by two  $[\text{MeCO}_2]^-$  ligands giving square planar coordination. In  $[\text{Pt}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_2]_4$ , the Pt atoms form a square (Pt–Pt bond lengths = 249 pm) with two  $[\text{MeCO}_2]^-$  bridging each edge. Palladium(II) acetate is an important industrial catalyst for the conversion of ethene to vinyl acetate.

*Zeise's salt*,  $\text{K}[\text{PtCl}_3(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)]$ , is a well-known organo-metallic Pt(II) complex and is discussed in Section 23.10.

Gold dissolves in concentrated HCl in the presence of oxidizing agents due to the formation of chloro complexes (equation 22.139).



Both metals react with halogens (see below), and gold dissolves in liquid  $\text{BrF}_3$ , forming  $[\text{BrF}_2]^+[\text{AuF}_4]^-$ . The dissolution of Ag and Au in cyanide solutions in the presence of air is used in their extraction from crude ores (equation 22.4).

Stable oxidation states for the group 11 metals differ: in contrast to the importance of Cu(II) and Cu(I), silver has only one stable oxidation state, Ag(I), and for gold, Au(III) and Au(I) are dominant, with Au(III) being the more stable. Relativistic effects (discussed in Box 12.2) are considered to be important in stabilizing Au(III). As we have already noted, discussing oxidation states of the heavy *d*-block metals in terms of independently obtained physicochemical data is usually impossible owing to the absence of *IE* values and the scarcity of simple ionic compounds or aqua ions. Data are more plentiful for Ag than for many of the heavier metals, and some comparisons with Cu are possible. Although the enthalpy of atomization of  $\text{Ag} < \text{Cu}$  (Table 22.3), the greater ionic radius for the silver ion along with relevant ionization energies (Table

## 22.12 Group 11: silver and gold

## The metals

Silver and gold are generally inert, and are not attacked by  $\text{O}_2$  or non-oxidizing acids. Silver dissolves in  $\text{HNO}_3$  and liberates  $\text{H}_2$  from concentrated HI owing to the formation of stable iodo complexes. Where sulfide (e.g. as  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ ) is present, Ag tarnishes as a surface coating of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{S}$  forms.





## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

## Box 22.11 Recycling materials: silver and gold

With precious metals such as silver and gold, recycling is an important way of conserving resources. In 2001, the US industrial demand for silver was  $\approx 5400$  t, with  $\approx 1000$  t of this recovered from photographic scrap (mainly fixer



solutions, X-ray and graphic art wastes), spent catalysts, jewellery manufacturing waste and miscellaneous silver-containing materials. About 18% of the gold supply in the US is recovered metal.

22.3) make Ag more noble than Cu (equations 22.140–22.142). Gold is more noble still (equation 22.143).



## Gold(V) and silver(V)

Gold(V) is found only in  $\text{AuF}_5$  and  $[\text{AuF}_6]^-$  (equations 22.144 and 22.145);  $\text{AuF}_5$  is highly reactive and possesses dimeric structure 22.76 in the solid state.




(22.76)


## Gold(III) and silver(III)

For gold,  $\text{AuF}_3$ ,  $\text{AuCl}_3$  and  $\text{AuBr}_3$  are known, but  $\text{AgF}_3$  is the only high oxidation state halide of silver. It is made in anhydrous HF by treating  $\text{K}[\text{AgF}_4]$  with  $\text{BF}_3$ ,  $\text{K}[\text{AgF}_4]$

being prepared from fluorination of a KCl and  $\text{AgCl}$  mixture. Red  $\text{AgF}_3$  is diamagnetic ( $d^8$ ) and isostructural with  $\text{AuF}_3$ ; diamagnetic  $\text{K}[\text{AuF}_4]$  presumably contains a square planar anion. Gold(III) fluoride is made from Au with  $\text{F}_2$  (1300 K, 15 bar) or by reaction 22.146. It is a polymer consisting of helical chains. Part of one chain is shown in 22.77; the coordination of each Au(III) is square planar but F atoms above and below the plane interact weakly.



(22.77)



$$a = 234 \text{ pm}, b = 224 \text{ pm} \quad (22.78)$$



Red  $\text{AuCl}_3$  and brown  $\text{AuBr}_3$  (made by direct combination of the elements) are diamagnetic, planar dimers (22.78). In hydrochloric acid,  $\text{AuCl}_3$  forms  $[\text{AuCl}_4]^-$  which reacts with  $\text{Br}^-$  to give  $[\text{AuBr}_4]^-$ , but with  $\text{I}^-$  to yield  $\text{AuI}$  and  $\text{I}_2$ . ‘Chloroauric acid’ ( $\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), its bromo analogue,  $\text{K}[\text{AuCl}_4]$  and  $\text{AuCl}_3$  are commercially available and are valuable starting materials in Au(III) and Au(I) chemistry.

The hydrated oxide  $\text{Au}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  is precipitated by alkali from solutions of  $\text{Na}[\text{AuCl}_4]$ , and reacts with an excess of  $[\text{OH}]^-$  to give  $[\text{Au}(\text{OH})_4]^-$ . While  $\text{Au}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  is the only established oxide of gold,  $\text{Ag}_2\text{O}_3$  is less important than  $\text{Ag}_2\text{O}$  (see below).

Limited numbers of Ag(III) complexes are known; examples are paramagnetic  $\text{CsK}_2\text{AgF}_6$  and diamagnetic  $\text{K}[\text{AgF}_4]$ . Numerous gold(III) complexes have been made, and square planar coordination ( $d^8$  metal centre) predominates. Haloanions  $[\text{AuX}_4]^-$  ( $\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$ , see above) can be made by oxidation of Au metal (e.g. equation 22.139), and the unstable  $[\text{AuI}_4]^-$  by treating  $[\text{AuCl}_4]^-$  with anhydrous, liquid HI. Other simple complexes include  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_4]^-$  (from  $[\text{AuCl}_4]^-$  with  $[\text{CN}]^-$ ),  $[\text{Au}(\text{NCS-S})_4]^-$ ,  $[\text{Au}(\text{N}_3)_4]^-$  and  $[\text{Au}(\text{NO}_3\text{-O})_4]^-$  (equation 22.147).

Table 22.3 Selected physical data for Cu and Ag.

| Quantity   | Cu    | Ag    |
|--|-------|-------|
| $IE_1 / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                            | 745.5 | 731.0 |
| $IE_2 / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                            | 1958  | 2073  |
| $IE_3 / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                            | 3555  | 3361  |
| $\Delta_a H^\circ(298 \text{ K}) / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | 338   | 285   |


## APPLICATIONS

## Box 22.12 Bactericidal effects of silver sols


Solutions of silver sols (i.e. colloidal dispersions of Ag in aqueous solution) have some application as bactericidal agents. The active agent is  $\text{Ag}^+$ , with the metabolism of bacteria being disrupted by its presence. A silver sol exhibits a large metal surface area, and oxidation by atmospheric  $\text{O}_2$  occurs to some extent to give  $\text{Ag}_2\text{O}$ . While this is only

sparingly soluble in water, the concentration of  $\text{Ag}^+$  in solution is sufficient to provide the necessary bactericidal effects. Over-exposure to Ag, however, results in argyria: this is a darkening of the skin, caused by absorption of metallic Ag, which cannot be medically reversed.

Phosphino complexes of type  $\text{R}_3\text{PAuCl}_3$  can be made by oxidative addition of  $\text{Cl}_2$  to  $\text{Ph}_3\text{PAuCl}$ .



Most compounds which may appear to contain gold(II) are mixed-valence compounds, e.g. 'AuCl<sub>2</sub>' is actually the tetramer  $(\text{Au}^{\text{I}})_2(\text{Au}^{\text{III}})_2\text{Cl}_8$  (22.79), and  $\text{CsAuCl}_3$  is  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{AuCl}_2][\text{AuCl}_4]$ . Both compounds contain square planar Au(III) and linear Au(I), and their dark colours arise from charge transfer between Au(I) and Au(III).




## Gold(II) and silver(II)

Gold(II) compounds are rare and are represented by  $[\text{AuXe}_4]^{2+}$  (equation 17.21), and *trans* and *cis*- $[\text{AuXe}_2]^{2+}$


(structure 17.15). In anhydrous  $\text{HF/SbF}_5$ ,  $\text{AuF}_3$  is reduced or partially reduced to give  $\text{Au}_3\text{F}_8 \cdot 2\text{SbF}_5$ ,  $\text{Au}_3\text{F}_7 \cdot 3\text{SbF}_5$  or  $[\text{Au}(\text{HF})_2][\text{SbF}_6]_2 \cdot 2\text{HF}$  depending on reaction conditions. For many years,  $\text{AuSO}_4$  has been formulated as the mixed-valence compound  $\text{Au}^{\text{I}}\text{Au}^{\text{III}}(\text{SO}_4)_2$ , but in 2001, a crystal structure determination confirmed it to be an Au(II) compound containing an  $[\text{Au}_2]^{4+}$  unit (Figure 22.24a). This dinuclear core is present in a range of complexes that formally contain Au(II). However, Figure 22.24b shows a rare example of a *mononuclear* Au(II) complex; in the solid state, a Jahn–Teller distortion is observed as expected for a  $d^9$  electronic configuration ( $\text{Au}-\text{S}_{\text{axial}} = 284 \text{ pm}$ ,  $\text{Au}-\text{S}_{\text{equatorial}} = 246 \text{ pm}$ ).

Silver(II) is stabilized in the compounds  $\text{Ag}^{\text{II}}\text{M}^{\text{IV}}\text{F}_6$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Pt}, \text{Pd}, \text{Ti}, \text{Rh}, \text{Sn}, \text{Pb}$ ) in which each Ag(II) and M(IV) centre is surrounded by six octahedrally arranged F atoms. Brown  $\text{AgF}_2$  is obtained by reacting  $\text{F}_2$  and Ag at 520 K, but is instantly decomposed by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; it is paramagnetic ( $\text{Ag}^{2+}$ ,  $d^9$ ) but the magnetic moment of  $1.07 \mu_{\text{B}}$  reflects antiferromagnetic coupling. In solid  $\text{AgF}_2$ , the environments of  $\text{Ag}^{2+}$  centres are Jahn–Teller distorted (elongated) octahedral,  $\text{Ag}-\text{F} = 207$  and  $259 \text{ pm}$ . The  $[\text{AgF}]^+$  ion has been characterized in  $[\text{AgF}]^+[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  which, in anhydrous




**Fig. 22.24** (a) Schematic representation of part of one chain in the solid state structure of  $\text{AuSO}_4$ , which contains  $[\text{Au}_2]^{4+}$  units; the  $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$  act as both bridging and monodentate ligands. (b) The structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $[\text{AuL}_2]^{2+}$  ( $\text{L} = 1,4,7\text{-trithiacyclononane}$ , see structure 22.88) determined for the  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  salt [A.J. Blake *et al.* (1990) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, vol. 29, p. 197]; H atoms are omitted; colour code: Au, red; S, yellow; C, grey.

HF, undergoes partial disproportionation to give  $[\text{AgF}]^+[\text{AgF}_4]^-[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  (equation 22.148). Crystalline  $[\text{AgF}]_2[\text{AgF}_4][\text{AsF}_6]$  consists of polymeric  $[\text{AgF}]_n^{n+}$  chains with linear Ag(II), square planar  $[\text{Ag}^{\text{III}}\text{F}_4]^-$  ions and octahedral  $[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  ions.




The black solid of composition AgO which is precipitated when  $\text{AgNO}_3$  is warmed with persulfate solution is diamagnetic and contains Ag(I) (with two O nearest neighbours) and Ag(III) (4-coordinate). However, when AgO dissolves in aqueous  $\text{HClO}_4$ , the paramagnetic  $[\text{Ag}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^{2+}$  ion is formed. This (equation 22.149), AgO and Ag(II) complexes are very powerful oxidizing agents, e.g. AgO converts Mn(II) to  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$  in acidic solution.



Silver(II) complexes can be precipitated from aqueous solution of Ag(I) salts by using a powerful oxidizing agent in the presence of an appropriate ligand. They are paramagnetic and usually square planar. Examples include  $[\text{Ag}(\text{py})_4]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Ag}(\text{bpy})_2]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Ag}(\text{bpy})(\text{NO}_3\text{-O})_2]$ .

### Self-study exercises

1. The compound  $\text{AgRhF}_6$  is prepared from  $\text{RhCl}_3$ ,  $\text{Ag}_2\text{O}$  (2:1 ratio) and  $\text{F}_2$  at 770 K for 15 days. For Ag, Rh and F, what oxidation state changes occur in this reaction?
2. Justify why the following compound is classed as containing Au(II).



3. The reaction of  $\text{Au}(\text{CO})\text{Cl}$  with  $\text{AuCl}_3$  gives a diamagnetic product formulated as ' $\text{AuCl}_2$ '. Comment on these results.

[Ans. see: D.B. Dell'Amico *et al.* (1977) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 31]


### Gold(I) and silver(I)

Many Ag(I) salts are familiar laboratory reagents; they are nearly always anhydrous and (except for  $\text{AgF}$ ,  $\text{AgNO}_3$  and  $\text{AgClO}_4$ ) are usually sparingly soluble in water. Topics already covered are:

- solubilities of Ag(I) halides (Section 6.9);
- common-ion effect, exemplified with  $\text{AgCl}$  (Section 6.10);



- half-cells involving Ag(I) halides (Section 7.3);
- Frenkel defects illustrated by the structure of  $\text{AgBr}$  (Section 5.17).

Yellow AgF can be made from the elements or by dissolving AgO in HF. It adopts an NaCl structure (Figure 5.15) as do AgCl and AgBr. Precipitation reactions 22.150 are used to prepare AgCl (white), AgBr (pale yellow) and AgI (yellow); for  $K_{\text{sp}}$  values, see Table 6.4.



Silver(I) iodide is polymorphic. The stable form at 298 K and 1 bar pressure,  $\gamma$ -AgI, has a zinc blende structure (Figure 5.18). At high pressures, this converts to  $\delta$ -AgI with an NaCl structure, the Ag–I distance increasing from 281 to 304 pm. Between 409 and 419 K, the  $\beta$ -form exists with a wurtzite structure (Figure 5.20). Above 419 K,  $\alpha$ -AgI becomes a fast ion electrical conductor (see Section 27.3), the conductivity at the transition temperature increasing by a factor of  $\approx 4000$ . In this form, the  $\text{I}^-$  ions occupy positions in a CsCl structure (Figure 5.16) but the much smaller  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions move freely between sites of 2-, 3- or 4-coordination among the easily deformed  $\text{I}^-$  ions. The high-temperature form of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{HgI}_4$  shows similar behaviour.

Although gold(I) fluoride has not been isolated, it has been prepared by laser ablation of Au metal in the presence of  $\text{SF}_6$ . From its microwave spectrum, an equilibrium Au–F bond length of 192 pm has been determined from rotational constants. Yellow AuCl, AuBr and AuI can be made by reactions 22.151 and 22.152; overheating AuCl and AuBr results in decomposition to the elements. Crystalline AuCl, AuBr and AuI possess zig-zag chain structures (22.80). The halides disproportionate when treated with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; disproportionation of Au(I) (equation 22.153) does not parallel that of Cu(I) to Cu and Cu(II).



Silver(I) oxide is precipitated by adding alkali to solutions of Ag(I) salts. It is a brown solid which decomposes above 423 K. Aqueous suspensions of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{O}$  are alkaline and absorb atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ . In alkalis,  $\text{Ag}_2\text{O}$  dissolves forming  $[\text{Ag}(\text{OH})_2]^-$ . No gold(I) oxide has been confirmed.

For gold(I), linear coordination is usual, although  $\text{Au}\cdots\text{Au}$  interactions in the solid state are a common feature (Figure 22.25a); trigonal planar and tetrahedral complexes are also found. For Ag(I), linear and tetrahedral

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 22.13 Silver(I) halides in photochromic glasses and photography

Silver(I) halides darken in light owing to photochemical decomposition. If the halogen produced is kept in close proximity to the finely divided metal which is also formed, the process may be reversed when the source light is cut off; hence the use of AgCl in photochromic glasses.

The light sensitivity of silver halides is fundamental to all types of photography. In a black-and-white photographic film, the emulsion coating consists of a layer of gelatine containing AgBr (or to a lesser extent, AgCl or AgI) in suspension. Exposure of the film produces some submicroscopic particles of Ag; on addition of an organic reducing agent, more AgBr is reduced, the rate of reduction depending on the intensity of illumination during the exposure period. Thus, the parts of the film which were the most strongly

illuminated become the darkest. It is this intensification of the latent image first formed that allows the use of short exposure times and causes silver halides to occupy their unique position in photography. Unchanged AgX is washed out using aqueous  $[S_2O_3]^{2-}$ :




and the photographic negative is converted into a print by allowing light to pass through it on to AgBr-containing photographic paper. This is again treated with thiosulfate.

The increasing use of digital cameras is likely to have the effect of considerably decreasing the use of silver halides in photography.

complexes are common, but the metal ion can tolerate a range of environments and coordination numbers from 2 to 6 (the latter is rare) are well established. Both Ag(I) and Au(I) favour soft donor atoms, and there are a wide variety of complexes with M–P and M–S bonds, including some


thiolate complexes with intriguing structures (Figure 15.11d and Figure 22.25b).

Dissolving  $Ag_2O$  in aqueous  $NH_3$  gives the linear  $[Ag(NH_3)_2]^+$ , but in liquid  $NH_3$  tetrahedral  $[Ag(NH_3)_4]^+$  forms. Trigonal planar  $[Ag(NH_3)_3]^+$  can be isolated as the




**Fig. 22.25** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) the cation in  $[Au_2(H_2NCH_2CH_2NHCH_2CH_2NH_2)_2][BF_4]_2$  (part of one chain in which dimers are connected by weak Au–Au interactions is shown) [J. Yau *et al.* (1995) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 2575], (b) the trimer  $[Ag_3L_3]^{3+}$  where L is the sulfur-containing macrocycle shown in the inset [H.-J. Kuppers *et al.* (1987) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, vol. 26, p. 575], (c) the planar  $[Au_2(CS_3)_2]^{2-}$  (from the  $[(Ph_3P)_2N]^+$  salt) [J. Vicente *et al.* (1995) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 745], and (d)  $[Au_2(TeS_3)_2]^{2-}$  (from the  $[Me_4N]^+$  salt) [D.-Y. Chung *et al.* (1995) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 34, p. 4292]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Au, red; Ag, dark blue; S, yellow; N, light blue; Te, dark blue; C, grey.

nitrate. Equation 22.4 showed the formation of  $[M(CN)_2]^-$  ( $M = Ag, Au$ ) during metal extraction; the complexes are also made by dissolving MCN in aqueous KCN. Both AgCN and AuCN are linear polymers (22.81). Their solid state structures suffer from disorder problems, but total neutron diffraction<sup>†</sup> has been used to give the accurate structural data shown in diagram 22.81. The fact that the Au–C/N distance is smaller than the Ag–C/N bond length is attributed to relativistic effects. The same phenomenon is observed in the discrete, linear  $[Au(CN)_2]^-$  and  $[Ag(CN)_2]^-$  ions.



Dissolution of AgX in aqueous halide solutions produces  $[AgX_2]^-$  and  $[AgX_3]^{2-}$ . In aqueous solutions, the ions  $[AuX_2]^-$  ( $X = Cl, Br, I$ ) are unstable with respect to disproportionation but can be stabilized by adding excess  $X^-$  (equation 22.154).



Routes to Au(I) complexes often involve reduction of Au(III) as illustrated by the formation of  $R_3PAuCl$  and  $R_2SAuCl$  species (equations 22.155 and 22.156).



Molecules of  $R_3PAuCl$  and  $R_2SAuCl$  (for which many examples with different R groups are known) contain linear Au(I), but aggregation in the solid state by virtue of Au...Au interactions (similar to those in Figure 22.25a) is often observed. Other than the expectation of a linear Au(I) environment, structures may be hard to predict. For example in  $[Au_2(CS_3)_2]^{2-}$  (made from  $[Au(SH)_2]^-$  and  $CS_2$ ), there is a short Au–Au contact, but in  $[Au_2(TeS_3)_2]^{2-}$  (made from AuCN and  $[TeS_3]^{2-}$ ), the Au(I) centres are out of bonding range (Figures 22.25c–d).

## Gold(–I) and silver(–I)

Relativistic effects (see [Box 12.2](#)) have a profound influence on the ability of gold to exist in the –1 oxidation state.<sup>‡</sup> Caesium auride, CsAu, can be formed from the elements at 490 K. It adopts a CsCl structure (see [Figure 5.16](#)) and is a semiconductor with a band gap of  $250 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Golden-brown CsAu dissolves in liquid  $NH_3$  to give yellow solutions from which a blue ammoniate  $CsAu \cdot NH_3$  can be crystallized. The  $Cs^+$  ion in CsAu can be exchanged for  $[Me_4N]^+$

using an ion-exchange resin; crystalline  $[Me_4N]^+Au^-$  is isostructural with  $[Me_4N]^+Br^-$ .

Although the argentide anion,  $Ag^-$ , has not yet been isolated in a crystalline compound, spectroscopic and electrochemical data have provided evidence for its formation in liquid  $NH_3$ .



## 22.13 Group 12: cadmium and mercury

### The metals

Cadmium is chemically very like Zn, and any differences are attributable to the larger sizes of the Cd atom and  $Cd^{2+}$  ion. Among the group 12 metals, Hg is distinct. It does bear some resemblance to Cd, but in many respects is very like Au and Tl. It has been suggested that the relative inertness of Hg towards oxidation is a manifestation of the thermodynamic *6s* inert pair effect (see [Box 12.3](#)).

Cadmium is a reactive metal and dissolves in non-oxidizing and oxidizing acids, but unlike Zn, it does not dissolve in aqueous alkali. In moist air, Cd slowly oxidizes, and when heated in air, it forms CdO. When heated, Cd reacts with the halogens and sulfur.

Mercury is less reactive than Zn and Cd. It is attacked by oxidizing (but not non-oxidizing) acids, with products dependent on conditions, e.g. with dilute  $HNO_3$ , Hg forms  $Hg_2(NO_3)_2$  (containing  $[Hg_2]^{2+}$ , see below) but with concentrated  $HNO_3$ , the product is  $Hg(NO_3)_2$ . Reaction of the metal with hot concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  gives  $HgSO_4$  and  $SO_2$ . Mercury reacts with the halogens (equations 22.157 and 22.158); it combines with  $O_2$  at 570 K to give HgO, but at higher temperatures HgO decomposes back to the elements, and, if sulfur is present, HgS is produced rather than the oxide.



Mercury dissolves many metals to give *amalgams* (see [Box 22.3](#)); in the Na–Hg system, for example,  $Na_3Hg_2$ ,  $NaHg$  and  $NaHg_2$  have been characterized. Solid  $Na_3Hg_2$  contains square  $[Hg_4]^{6-}$  units, the structure and stability of which have been rationalized in terms of aromatic character.

For cadmium, the +2 oxidation state is of most importance, but compounds of Hg(I) and Hg(II) are both well known. Mercury is unique among the group 12 metals in forming a stable  $[M_2]^{2+}$  ion. Although there is evidence for  $[Zn_2]^{2+}$  and  $[Cd_2]^{2+}$  in metal–metal halide melts, and  $Cd_2[AlCl_4]$  has been isolated from a molten mixture of Cd,  $CdCl_2$  and  $AlCl_3$ , it is not possible to obtain  $[Zn_2]^{2+}$  and  $[Cd_2]^{2+}$  in aqueous solution. Force constants (60, 110 and  $250 \text{ N m}^{-1}$  for  $M = Zn, Cd$  and  $Hg$  calculated from Raman spectra of  $[M_2]^{2+}$ ) show that the bond in  $[Hg_2]^{2+}$  is stronger than those in  $[Zn_2]^{2+}$  and  $[Cd_2]^{2+}$ . However, given

<sup>†</sup> See: S.J. Hibble, A.C. Hannon and S.M. Cheyne (2003) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 42, p. 4724; S.J. Hibble, S.M. Cheyne, A.C. Hannon and S.G. Eversfield (2002) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 41, p. 1042.



<sup>‡</sup> For a relevant discussion of relativistic effects, see: P. Pykkö (1988) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 88, p. 563.

**Table 22.4** Selected physical data for the group 12 metals.

| Quantity   | Zn    | Cd    | Hg    |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| $IE_1/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                      | 906.4 | 867.8 | 1007  |
| $IE_2/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$                                      | 1733  | 1631  | 1810  |
| $\Delta_a H^\circ(298\text{ K})/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$            | 130   | 112   | 61    |
| $E^\circ(\text{M}^{2+}/\text{M})/\text{V}$                     | -0.76 | -0.40 | +0.85 |
| $r_{\text{ion}} \text{ for } \text{M}^{2+}/\text{pm}^\ddagger$ | 74    | 95    | 101   |

<sup>‡</sup> For Hg, the value is based on the structure of  $\text{HgF}_2$ , one of the few mercury compounds with a typical ionic lattice.

that Hg has the lowest value of  $\Delta_a H^\circ$  of all the *d*-block metals (Table 5.2), the stability of  $[\text{Hg}_2]^{2+}$  (22.82) is difficult to rationalize. Other polycations of mercury are known;  $[\text{Hg}_3]^{2+}$  (22.83) is formed as the  $[\text{AlCl}_4]^-$  salt in molten Hg,  $\text{HgCl}_2$  and  $\text{AlCl}_3$ , and  $[\text{Hg}_4]^{2+}$  (22.84) is produced as the  $[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  salt from reaction of Hg with  $\text{AsF}_5$  in liquid  $\text{SO}_2$ .



Ionization energies decrease from Zn to Cd but increase from Cd to Hg (Table 22.4). Whatever the origin of the high ionization energies for Hg, it is clear that they far outweigh the small change in  $\Delta_a H^\circ$  and make Hg a noble metal. The reduction potentials in Table 22.4 reveal the relative electropositivities of the group 12 metals.

Since much of the chemistries of Cd and Hg are distinct, we shall deal with the two metals separately. In making this decision, we are effectively saying that the consequences of the lanthanoid contraction are of minor significance for the heavier metals of the last group of the *d*-block.


## Cadmium(II)

All four Cd(II) halides are known. The action of HF on  $\text{CdCO}_3$  gives  $\text{CdF}_2$ , and of gaseous HCl on Cd (720 K) yields  $\text{CdCl}_2$ ;  $\text{CdBr}_2$  and  $\text{CdI}_2$  are formed by direct combination of the elements. White  $\text{CdF}_2$  adopts a  $\text{CaF}_2$  structure (Figure 5.18), while  $\text{CdCl}_2$  (white),  $\text{CdBr}_2$  (pale yellow) and  $\text{CdI}_2$  (white) have layer structures (see Section 5.11). The fluoride is sparingly soluble in water, while the other halides are readily soluble, giving solutions containing aquated  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  and a range of halo complexes, e.g.  $\text{CdI}_2$  dissolves to give an equilibrium mixture of  $[\text{Cd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Cd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{I}]^+$ ,  $[\text{CdI}_3]^-$  and  $[\text{CdI}_4]^{2-}$ , while 0.5 M aqueous  $\text{CdBr}_2$  contains  $[\text{Cd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Cd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{Br}]^+$ ,  $[\text{Cd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{Br}_2]$ ,  $[\text{CdBr}_3]^-$  and  $[\text{CdBr}_4]^{2-}$ . In contrast to  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , the stability of halo complexes of  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  increases

from  $\text{F}^-$  to  $\text{I}^-$ , i.e.  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  is a softer metal centre than  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  (Table 6.9).

Cadmium(II) oxide (formed by heating Cd in  $\text{O}_2$ , and varying in colour from green to black) adopts an NaCl structure. It is insoluble in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and alkalis, but dissolves in acids, i.e. CdO is more basic than ZnO. Addition of dilute alkali to aqueous solutions of  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  precipitates white  $\text{Cd}(\text{OH})_2$ , and this dissolves only in concentrated alkali to give  $[\text{Cd}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$  (contrast  $[\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$ , 21.68). Equation 21.5 showed the role of  $\text{Cd}(\text{OH})_2$  in NiCd cells. Yellow CdS (the stable  $\alpha$ -form has a wurtzite structure, Figure 5.20) is commercially important as a pigment and phosphor; CdSe and CdTe are semiconductors (see Section 22.2).

In aqueous solutions,  $[\text{Cd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  is present but is quite acidic (equation 22.159); in concentrated solutions, aquated  $[\text{Cd}_2(\text{OH})]^{3+}$  is present.



In aqueous  $\text{NH}_3$ , tetrahedral  $[\text{Cd}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$  is present, but at high concentrations,  $[\text{Cd}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  forms. The lack of LFSE for  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  ( $d^{10}$ ) means that a range of coordination geometries are observed. Coordination numbers of 4, 5 and 6 are most common, but higher coordination numbers can be forced upon the metal centre by using macrocyclic ligands. Examples of complexes include:

- tetrahedral:  $[\text{CdCl}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Cd}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Cd}(\text{en})_2]^{2+}$ ;
- trigonal bipyramidal:  $[\text{CdCl}_5]^{3-}$ ;
- octahedral:  $[\text{Cd}(\text{DMSO}-O)_6]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Cd}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Cd}(\text{acac})_3]^-$ ,  $[\text{CdCl}_6]^{4-}$ ;
- hexagonal bipyramidal:  $[\text{CdBr}_2(18\text{-crown-6})]$  (see Section 10.8).


As we have seen previously, formulae can be deceptive in terms of structure, e.g.  $[\text{Cd}(\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2]$  is polymeric with octahedral  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  and bridging halo ligands.

## Mercury(II)

All four Hg(II) halides can be prepared from the elements. A fluorite structure (Figure 5.18) is adopted by  $\text{HgF}_2$  ( $\text{Hg}-\text{F} = 225\text{ pm}$ ); it is completely hydrolysed by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (equation 22.160).




The chloride and bromide are volatile solids, soluble in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (in which they are un-ionized), EtOH and  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ . The solids contain  $\text{HgX}_2$  units packed to give distorted octahedral Hg(II) centres (two long Hg–X contacts to adjacent molecules). Below 400 K,  $\text{HgI}_2$  is red with a layer structure, and above 400 K is yellow with  $\text{HgI}_2$  molecules assembled into a lattice with distorted octahedral metal centres. The vapours contain linear  $\text{HgX}_2$  molecules with bond distances of 225, 244 and 261 pm for X = Cl, Br and I respectively.




**Fig. 22.26** The trend in solubilities of Hg(II) halides in water; HgF<sub>2</sub> decomposes.

Figure 22.26 shows the trend in solubilities of the halides; for HgI<sub>2</sub>,  $K_{sp} = 2.82 \times 10^{-29}$ .



Mercury(II) oxide exists in yellow (formed by heating Hg in O<sub>2</sub> or by thermal decomposition of Hg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) and red (prepared by precipitation from alkaline solutions of Hg<sup>2+</sup>) forms; both have infinite chain structures (22.85) with linear Hg(II). The thermal decomposition of HgO (equation 22.161) led to the discovery of O<sub>2</sub> by Priestley in 1774.




Although the oxide dissolves in acids, it is only weakly basic. In aqueous solution, Hg(II) salts that are ionized (e.g. Hg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and HgSO<sub>4</sub>) are hydrolysed to a considerable extent and many basic salts are formed, e.g. HgO·HgCl<sub>2</sub> and [O(HgCl)<sub>3</sub>]Cl (a substituted oxonium salt).

In its complexes, Hg(II) (*d*<sup>10</sup>) exhibits coordination numbers of 2 to 6. Like Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Hg<sup>2+</sup> is a soft metal centre, and coordination to *S*-donors is especially favoured. Complex chlorides, bromides and iodides are formed in aqueous solution, and the tetrahedral [HgI<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> is particularly stable. A solution of K<sub>2</sub>[HgI<sub>4</sub>] (*Nessler's reagent*) gives a characteristic brown compound, [Hg<sub>2</sub>N]<sup>+</sup>I<sup>-</sup>, on treatment with NH<sub>3</sub> and is used in determination of NH<sub>3</sub>. In solid [Hg<sub>2</sub>N]I, the [Hg<sub>2</sub>N]<sup>+</sup> cations assemble into an infinite network related to that of β-cristobalite (Figure 5.19c) and containing linear Hg(II). Reaction 22.162 shows the formation of its hydroxide.





The salt [Hg(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> (equation 22.163) contains linear [Hg(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> ions and dissolves in aqueous NH<sub>3</sub> to give [Hg(NH<sub>2</sub>)]Cl which contains polymeric chains (22.86).




Examples of Hg(II) complexes illustrating different coordination environments (see Table 6.7 for ligand abbreviations) include:

- linear: [Hg(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, [Hg(CN)<sub>2</sub>], [Hg(py)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, [Hg(SEt)<sub>2</sub>];
- trigonal planar: [HgI<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>;
- tetrahedral: [Hg(en)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, [Hg(NCS-S)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, [HgI<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, [Hg(S<sub>4</sub>-S, S')<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, [Hg(Se<sub>4</sub>-Se, Se')<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, [Hg(phen)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>;
- trigonal bipyramidal: [HgCl<sub>2</sub>(tpy)], [HgCl<sub>2</sub>(dien)], [HgCl<sub>5</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>;
- square-based pyramidal: [Hg(H<sub>2</sub>O)L]<sup>2+</sup> (L = 22.87);
- octahedral: [Hg(en)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, *fac*-[HgL<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (L = 22.88);
- square antiprism: [Hg(NO<sub>2</sub>-O, O')<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>.





## Mercury(I)

The chemistry of Hg(I) is that of the [Hg<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> unit which contains an Hg–Hg single bond (22.82). The general method of preparation of Hg(I) compounds is by the action of Hg metal on Hg(II) compounds, e.g. reaction 22.164 in which Hg<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (*calomel*) is freed from HgCl<sub>2</sub> by washing with hot water. The *standard calomel electrode* is a reference electrode (equation 22.165) consisting of a Pt wire dipping into Hg in contact with Hg<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and immersed in 1 M KCl solution. This electrode is more convenient to use than the standard hydrogen electrode which requires a source of purified gas.




Potential diagrams for Hg are shown in scheme 22.166, and the data in acidic solution illustrate that the disproportionation of Hg(I) (equation 22.167) has a small and positive  $\Delta G^\circ$  value at 298 K.






Reagents that form insoluble Hg(II) salts or stable Hg(II) complexes upset equilibrium 22.167 and decompose Hg(I) salts, e.g. addition of  $[\text{OH}]^-$ ,  $\text{S}^{2-}$  or  $[\text{CN}]^-$  results in formation of Hg and HgO, HgS or  $[\text{Hg}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$ , and the Hg(I) compounds  $\text{Hg}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{Hg}_2\text{S}$  and  $\text{Hg}_2(\text{CN})_2$  are not known. Mercury(II) forms more stable complexes than the larger  $[\text{Hg}_2]^{2+}$  and relatively few Hg(I) compounds are known. The most important are the halides (22.89).<sup>†</sup> Whereas  $\text{Hg}_2\text{F}_2$  decomposes to Hg, HgO and HF on contact with water, the later halides are sparingly soluble.



Other Hg(I) salts include  $\text{Hg}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2$ ,  $\text{Hg}_2\text{SO}_4$  and  $\text{Hg}_2(\text{ClO}_4)_2$ ; the nitrate is commercially available as the dihydrate, the solid state structure of which contains  $[(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{HgHg}(\text{OH}_2)]^{2+}$  cations. Scheme 22.168 summarizes some reactions of  $\text{Hg}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2$ .



## Further reading

See also further reading suggested for Chapters 19 and 20. F.A. Cotton, G. Wilkinson, M. Bochmann and C. Murillo (1999) *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*, 6th edn, Wiley Interscience, New York – One of the best detailed accounts of the chemistry of the *d*-block metals.

- F.A. Cotton and R.A. Walton (1993) *Multiple Bonds between Metal Atoms*, 2nd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- S.A. Cotton (1997) *Chemistry of Precious Metals*, Blackie, London – Covers descriptive inorganic chemistry (including  $\sigma$ -bonded organometallic complexes) of the heavier group 8, 9, 10 and 11 metals.
- J. Emsley (1998) *The Elements*, 3rd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An invaluable source of data for the elements.
- N.N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw (1997) *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd edn, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford – A very good account including historical, technological and structural aspects; the metals in each triad are treated together.
- C.E. Housecroft (1999) *The Heavier d-Block Metals: Aspects of Inorganic and Coordination Chemistry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An introductory text including chapters on aqueous solution species, structure, M–M bonded dimers and clusters, and polyoxometallates.
- R.B. King, ed. (1994) *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, Wiley, Chichester – Contains an article on the inorganic and coordination chemistry of each metal with numerous literature citations.
- M.T. Pope (1994) ‘Polyoxoanions’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 6, p. 3361.
- M.T. Pope and A. Müller, eds (1994) *Polyoxometalates: From Platonic Solids to Anti-retroviral Activity*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- A.D. Richardson, K. Hedberg and G.M. Lucier (2000) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 39, p. 2787 – A study by modern electron diffraction and *ab initio* methods of the molecular structures of gas-phase  $\text{WF}_6$ ,  $\text{ReF}_6$ ,  $\text{OsF}_6$ ,  $\text{IrF}_6$  and  $\text{PtF}_6$ .
- E.A. Seddon and K.R. Seddon (1984) *The Chemistry of Ruthenium*, Elsevier, Amsterdam – An excellent, well-referenced account of the chemistry of Ru.
- H. Schmidbauer (1999) *Gold: Chemistry, Biochemistry and Technology*, Wiley, New York – An overview of the chemistry of gold including applications.
- A.F. Wells (1984) *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Clarendon Press, Oxford – An excellent source for detailed structural information of, in particular, binary compounds.

## Problems

- 22.1** (a) Write out the first row *d*-block metals in sequence and then complete each triad of metals. (b) Between which two metals is the series of lanthanoid metals?
- 22.2** Briefly discuss trends in (a) metallic radii and (b) values of  $\Delta_a H^\circ$  (298 K) for the *d*-block metals.
- 22.3** (a) Estimate the value of  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{WCl}_2)$  assuming it to be an ionic compound. Comment on any assumptions made.

<sup>†</sup> Theoretical data cast doubt on the reliability of the Hg–Hg bond lengths for X = Br and I; see: M.S. Liao and W.H.E. Schwarz (1997) *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, vol. 246, p. 124.

Data needed in addition to those in Tables 21.1, 22.1 or the Appendices:  $\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{CrCl}_2) = -397 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . (b) What does your answer to (a) tell you about the likelihood of  $\text{WCl}_2$  being ionic?

- 22.4** Comment on the following observations:  
 (a) The density of  $\text{HfO}_2$  ( $9.68 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) is much greater than that of  $\text{ZrO}_2$  ( $5.73 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ).  
 (b)  $\text{NbF}_4$  is paramagnetic but  $\text{NbCl}_4$  and  $\text{NbBr}_4$  are essentially diamagnetic.
- 22.5** Suggest products in the following reactions: (a) CsBr heated with  $\text{NbBr}_5$  at 383 K; (b) KF and TaF<sub>5</sub> melted

- together; (c) NbF<sub>5</sub> with bpy at 298 K. (d) Comment on the structures of the group 5 metal halides in the starting materials and give possible structures for the products.
- 22.6** Comment on the observation that K<sub>3</sub>[Cr<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>] is strongly paramagnetic but K<sub>3</sub>[W<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>] is diamagnetic.
- 22.7** (a) Interpret the formula [Mo<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>/<sub>2</sub> in structural terms, and show that the formula is consistent with the stoichiometry MoCl<sub>2</sub>. (b) Show that the [W<sub>6</sub>Br<sub>8</sub>]<sup>4+</sup> cluster can be considered to contain W–W single bonds.
- 22.8** Give a short account of Tc(V) and Re(V) oxo species.
- 22.9** Briefly summarize similarities and differences between Mn and Tc chemistries.
- 22.10** Draw the structure of [Re<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>; discuss the metal–metal bonding in the anion and its consequences on ligand orientation.
- 22.11** Suggest reasons for the variation in Re–Re bond lengths in the following species: ReCl<sub>4</sub> (273 pm), Re<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub> (249 pm), [Re<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (224 pm), [Re<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (270 pm) and [Re<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>(μ-Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (224 pm).
- 22.12** When K<sub>2</sub>[OsCl<sub>4</sub>] is heated with NH<sub>3</sub> under pressure, compound **A** of composition Os<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>5</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>9</sub> is isolated. Treatment of a solution of **A** with HI precipitates a compound in which three of the five chlorines have been replaced by iodine. Treating 1 mmol of **A** with KOH releases 9 mmol NH<sub>3</sub>. Compound **A** is diamagnetic and none of the stronger absorption bands in the IR spectrum is Raman active. Suggest a structure for **A** and account for the diamagnetism.
- 22.13** Give an account of the halides of Ru and Os.
- 22.14** (a) Give an account of the methods of synthesis of Rh(IV) and Ir(IV) halides and halo anions. (b) Reaction of [IrCl<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> with PPh<sub>3</sub> and Na[BH<sub>4</sub>] in EtOH gives [IrH<sub>3</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]. Give the structures of the isomers of this complex and suggest how you would distinguish between them using NMR spectroscopy.
- 22.15** When RhBr<sub>3</sub> in the presence of MePh<sub>2</sub>As is treated with H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>2</sub>, a monomeric compound **X** is formed. **X** contains 2 Br and 3 MePh<sub>2</sub>As per Rh, and is a non-electrolyte. Its IR spectrum has a band at 2073 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and the corresponding band if the complex is made using D<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> in a deuterated solvent is 1483 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Spectrophotometric titration of **X** with Br<sub>2</sub> shows that one molecule of **X** reacts with one molecule of Br<sub>2</sub>; treating the product with excess mineral acid regenerates RhBr<sub>3</sub>. What can you conclude about the products?
- 22.16** (a) Compare the structures of β-PdCl<sub>2</sub> and [Nb<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>12</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>. (b) Discuss, with examples, the existence (or not) of Pt(III) species. (c) Discuss the variation in stereochemistries of Ni(II), Pd(II) and Pt(II) complexes.
- 22.17** (a) Describe the methods by which *cis*- and *trans*-[PtCl<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] can be distinguished from each other and from [Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>][PtCl<sub>4</sub>]. (b) Another possible isomer would be [(H<sub>3</sub>N)<sub>2</sub>Pt(μ-Cl)<sub>2</sub>Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>. What diagnostic data would enable you to rule out its formation?
- 22.18** Suggest products in the reactions of K<sub>2</sub>[PtCl<sub>4</sub>] with (a) excess KI; (b) aqueous NH<sub>3</sub>; (c) phen; (d) tpy; (e) excess KCN. What are the expected structures of these products? [Ligand abbreviations: see Table 6.7 and ligand 19.23.]
- 22.19** Complexes of the type [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(R<sub>2</sub>P(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>*n*</sub>PR<sub>2</sub>)] may be monomeric or dimeric. Suggest factors that might influence this preference and suggest structures for the complexes.
- 22.20** Comment on each of the following observations: (a) Unlike [Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>][PtCl<sub>4</sub>], [Pt(EtNH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>][PtCl<sub>4</sub>] has an electronic absorption spectrum that is the sum of those of the constituent ions. (b) AgI is readily soluble in saturated aqueous AgNO<sub>3</sub>, but AgCl is not. (c) When Hg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is shaken with liquid Hg, the ratio [Hg(I)]/[Hg(II)] in the resulting solution is independent of the value of [Hg(II)].
- 22.21** Discuss the variation in stable oxidation states for the group 11 metals, using examples of metal halides, oxides and complexes to illustrate your answer.
- 22.22** ‘The group 12 metals differ significantly from the *d*-block metals from groups 4–11’. Discuss this statement.
- 22.23** Studies of the heavier *d*-block metals are often used to introduce students to (a) metal–metal bonding, (b) high coordination numbers, (c) metal halo clusters and (d) polyoxometallates. Write an account of each topic, and include examples that illustrate why the first row metals are not generally as relevant as their heavier congeners for such discussing these topics.

## Overview problems

- 22.24** (a) The reaction of ReCl<sub>4</sub> and PCl<sub>5</sub> at 570 K under vacuum gives [PCl<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[Re<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>]. However, when ReCl<sub>5</sub> reacts with an excess of PCl<sub>5</sub> at 520 K, the products are [PCl<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>[ReCl<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub> and Cl<sub>2</sub>. Comment on the nature of [PCl<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>[ReCl<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub> and write equations for both reactions, paying attention to the oxidation states of P and Re. (b) The <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum of [Me<sub>4</sub>N][*fac*-OsO<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>] exhibits one signal with satellites (*J* = 32 Hz). What is the origin of the satellite peaks? Sketch the spectrum and indicate clearly the nature of the coupling pattern. Show where *J* is measured.
- 22.25** (a) ‘The salt [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>[ZrF<sub>7</sub>] contains discrete ions with 7-coordinate Zr(IV). On the other hand, in a compound formulated as [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>[HfF<sub>7</sub>], Hf(IV) is octahedral’. Comment on this statement and suggest possible structures for [ZrF<sub>7</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>. (b) <sup>93</sup>Nb NMR spectroscopy has provided evidence for halide exchange when NbCl<sub>5</sub> and NbBr<sub>5</sub> are dissolved in MeCN. What would be the basis for such evidence?





Fig. 22.27 Figure for problem 22.26a.

- 22.26 (a) Figure 22.27 shows eight corner-sharing  $\text{ReO}_6$  octahedra in the solid-state structure of  $\text{ReO}_3$ . From this, derive a diagram to show the unit cell of  $\text{ReO}_3$ . Explain the relationship between your diagram and that in Figure 22.7, and confirm the stoichiometry of the oxide from the unit cell diagram.
- (b) A qualitative test for  $[\text{PO}_4]^{3-}$  is to add an excess of an acidified aqueous solution of ammonium molybdate to an aqueous solution of the phosphate. A yellow precipitate forms. Suggest a possible identity for the precipitate and write an equation for its formation.
- 22.27 (a) Rationalize why each of the following is diamagnetic:  $[\text{Os}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ ,  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $\text{OsO}_4$  and *trans*- $[\text{OsO}_2\text{F}_4]^{2-}$ .
- (b) Solution  $^{77}\text{Se}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra for the octahedral anions in the compounds  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]_3[\text{Rh}(\text{SeCN})_6]$  and  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]_3[\text{trans-Rh}(\text{CN})_2(\text{SeCN})_4]$  are tabulated below. Assign the spectra and explain the origin of the observed coupling patterns. [Additional data: see Table 2.3]

| Anion  | $\delta^{77}\text{Se}$                       | $\delta^{13}\text{C}$                                       |
|--|--|---|
| $[\text{Rh}(\text{SeCN})_6]^{3-}$                    | -32.7<br>(doublet,<br>$J = 44 \text{ Hz}$ )  | 111.2 (singlet)   |
| $[\text{trans-Rh}(\text{CN})_2(\text{SeCN})_4]^{3-}$ | -110.7<br>(doublet,<br>$J = 36 \text{ Hz}$ ) | 111.4 (singlet)<br>136.3 (doublet,<br>$J = 36 \text{ Hz}$ ) |

- 22.28 (a) The complex shown below is the first example of a Pd(IV) complex containing a nitrosyl ligand (see also structure 20.9 for another view of the tridentate ligand). On the basis of the assignment of an oxidation state of +4 for Pd, what formal charge does the nitrosyl ligand carry? In view of your answer, comment on the fact that structural and spectroscopic data for the complex include the following parameters:  $\angle \text{Pd-N-O} = 118^\circ$ ,  $\text{N-O} = 115 \text{ pm}$ ,  $\nu(\text{NO}) = 1650 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (a strong absorption).



- (b) The reaction of equimolar equivalents of  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]$  with  $[\text{cis-MoO}_2(\mu\text{-L})_2(\text{MeCN})_4][\text{BF}_4]_2$  where  $\text{L}^-$  is a formamidine ligand closely related to 22.63 leads to a neutral compound **A** which is a so-called 'molecular square'. Bearing in mind the structure of  $[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$ , suggest a structure for **A**. This compound might also be considered as a [4 + 4] assembly. What experimental techniques would be useful in distinguishing compound **A** from a possible [3 + 3] product?

# Chapter 23

## Organometallic compounds of *d*-block elements

### TOPICS

- Ligands: bonding and spectroscopy
- 18-electron rule
- Metal carbonyls
- Isolobal principle
- Electron counting schemes
- Types of organometallic reactions
- Metal carbonyl hydrides and halides
- Alkyl, aryl, alkene and alkyne complexes
- Allyl and buta-1,3-diene complexes
- Carbene and carbyne complexes
- Complexes containing  $\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl ligands
- Complexes containing  $\eta^6$ - and  $\eta^7$ -ligands

|                 | 1–2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13–18 |                 |
|-----------------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|-----------------|
| <i>s</i> -block |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |       | <i>p</i> -block |
|                 |     | Sc | Ti | V  | Cr | Mn | Fe | Co | Ni | Cu | Zn |       |                 |
|                 |     | Y  | Zr | Nb | Mo | Tc | Ru | Rh | Pd | Ag | Cd |       |                 |
|                 |     | La | Hf | Ta | W  | Re | Os | Ir | Pt | Au | Hg |       |                 |

### 23.1 Introduction

Organometallic chemistry of the *s*- and *p*-block elements was described in [Chapter 18](#), and we now extend the discussion to organometallic compounds containing *d*-block metals. This topic covers a huge area of chemistry, and we can only provide an introduction to it, emphasizing the fundamental families of complexes and reactions.


An *organometallic* compound contains one or more metal–carbon bonds.

In [Chapter 18](#), we introduced compounds containing  $\sigma$ -bonds or  $\pi$ -interactions between a metal centre and a cyclopentadienyl ligand. We also introduced examples of 3-electron donor bridging ligands, e.g. halides ([18.7](#)) and alkylnyls ([18.10](#)), and 2-electron alkene donors, e.g. [18.13](#).

#### Hapticity of a ligand

The *hapticity of a ligand* is the number of atoms that are directly bonded to the metal centre (see [Boxes 18.1](#) and

[18.2](#)). Structures [23.1a](#) and [23.1b](#) show two representations of an  $[\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5]^-$  (cyclopentadienyl,  $\text{Cp}^-$ ) ligand. For clarity in the diagrams in this chapter, we adopt [23.1b](#) and similar representations for  $\pi$ -ligands such as  $\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5$  and  $\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6$ .




### 23.2 Common types of ligand: bonding and spectroscopy






In this section, we introduce some of the most common ligands found in organometallic complexes. Many other ligands are related to those discussed below, and bonding descriptions can be developed by comparison with the ligands chosen for detailed coverage.

#### $\sigma$ -Bonded alkyl, aryl and related ligands

In complexes such as  $\text{WMe}_6$ ,  $[\text{MoMe}_7]^-$ ,  $\text{TiMe}_4$  and  $\text{MeMn}(\text{CO})_5$ , the  $\text{M}-\text{C}_{\text{Me}}$  bond can be described as a localized 2c-2e interaction, i.e. it parallels that for the  $[\eta^1\text{-Cp}]^-$  ligand (see [Box 18.2](#)). The same bonding description is applicable to the  $\text{Fe}-\text{C}_{\text{Ph}}$  bond in [23.2](#) and the  $\text{Fe}-\text{C}_{\text{CHO}}$  bond in [23.3](#).




**Fig. 23.1** Components of metal–carbonyl bonding: (a) the M–CO  $\sigma$ -bond, and (b) the M–CO  $\pi$ -interaction which leads to back-donation of charge from metal to carbonyl. The orbital labels are examples, and assume that the M, C and O atoms lie on the  $z$  axis.



## Carbonyl ligands

The bonding in octahedral  $M(\text{CO})_6$  complexes was described in [Section 20.4](#) using a molecular orbital approach, but it is also convenient to give a simple picture to describe the bonding in one M–CO interaction. Figure 23.1a shows the  $\sigma$ -interaction between the highest occupied molecular orbital of CO (which has predominantly C character) and a vacant orbital on the metal centre (e.g. an  $sp_z d_{z^2}$  hybrid). As a result of this interaction, electronic charge is donated from the CO ligand to the metal. Figure 23.1b shows the  $\pi$ -interaction that leads to *back-donation* of charge from metal to ligand; compare Figure 23.1b with Figure 20.13b. This ‘donation/back-donation’ bonding picture is the *Dewar–Chatt–Duncanson model*. Carbon monoxide is a *weak  $\sigma$ -donor* and a *strong  $\pi$ -acceptor* (or  *$\pi$ -acid*) and population of the CO  $\pi^*$ -MO weakens and lengthens the C–O bond while also enhancing M–C bonding. Resonance structures [23.4](#) for the MCO unit also indicate a lowering of the C–O bond order as compared with free CO.



The interplay of donation and back-donation of electronic charge between a metal and  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand is an example of a *synergic effect*.

In multinuclear metal species, CO ligands may adopt terminal ([23.5](#)) or bridging ([23.6](#) and [23.7](#)) modes. Other modes are known, e.g. semi-bridging (part way between [23.5](#) and [23.6](#)) and mode [23.8](#).


Evidence for a lowering of the C–O bond order on coordination comes from structural and spectroscopic data. In the IR spectrum of free CO, an absorption at  $2143\text{ cm}^{-1}$  is assigned to the C–O stretching mode and typical changes in vibrational wavenumber,  $\bar{\nu}$ , on going to metal carbonyl complexes are illustrated in Figure 23.2. Absorptions due to C–O stretching modes are strong and easily observed. The lower the value of  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}}$ , the weaker the C–O bond and this indicates greater back-donation of charge from metal to CO. Table 23.1 lists data for two sets of isoelectronic metal carbonyl complexes. On going from  $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$  to  $[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4]^-$  to  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4]^{2-}$ , the additional negative charge is delocalized onto the ligands, causing a decrease in  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}}$ . A similar effect is seen along the series  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_6]^+$ ,  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$  and  $[\text{V}(\text{CO})_6]^-$ . The increased back-donation is also reflected in values of  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{MC}}$ , e.g.  $416\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_6]^+$ , and  $441\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ .<sup>†</sup>

Carbonyl ligand environments can also be investigated using  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy, although systems are often

<sup>†</sup> For a detailed discussions of IR spectroscopy in metal carbonyls, see: F.A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson (1988) *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn, Wiley Interscience, New York, p. 1034; P.S. Braterman (1975) *Metal Carbonyl Spectra*, Academic Press, New York.

**Table 23.1** Infrared spectroscopic data: values of  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}}$  for isoelectronic sets of tetrahedral  $\text{M}(\text{CO})_4$  and octahedral  $\text{M}(\text{CO})_6$  complexes.

| Complex                                | $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$ | $[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4]^-$ | $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4]^{2-}$ | $[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_6]^+$ | $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ | $[\text{V}(\text{CO})_6]^-$ |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ | 2060                     | 1890                         | 1790                            | 2101                         | 1981                     | 1859                        |

**Fig. 23.2** Approximate regions in the IR spectrum in which absorptions assigned to C–O stretches observed for different carbonyl bonding modes; there is often overlap between the regions, e.g. see [Table 23.1](#).

fluxional (e.g.  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ , see [structure 2.2](#) and discussion) and information about specific CO environments may therefore be masked. Some useful points are that:

- typical  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR shifts for metal carbonyl  $^{13}\text{C}$  nuclei are  $\delta +170$  to  $+240$ ;
- within a series of analogous compounds containing metals from a given triad, the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR signals for the CO ligands shift to lower frequency, e.g. in the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra of  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ ,  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_6$  and  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_6$ , signals are at  $\delta +211$ ,  $+201$  and  $+191$  respectively;
- for a given metal, signals for  $\mu$ -CO ligands occur at higher frequency (more positive  $\delta$  value) than those for terminal carbonyls.

In keeping with the typical weakening of the C–O bond on going from free CO to coordinated CO, X-ray diffraction data show a lengthening of the C–O bond. In CO, the C–O bond length is 112.8 pm, whereas typical values in metal carbonyls for terminal and  $\mu$ -CO are 117 and 120 pm respectively.

The traditional bonding model for an M–CO interaction emphasizes  $\text{OC} \rightarrow \text{M}$   $\sigma$ -donation and significant  $\text{M} \rightarrow \text{CO}$   $\pi$ -back-donation leading to C–O bond weakening and a concomitant lowering of  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}}$ . However, there are a growing number of isolable metal carbonyl complexes in which  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}}$  is *higher* than in free CO (i.e.  $>2143\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), the C–O bond distance is shorter than in free CO (i.e.  $<112.8\text{ pm}$ ), and the M–C bonds are relatively long.<sup>†</sup>


Members of this group include the following cations (many are salts of  $[\text{SbF}_6]^-$  or  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$ , (see [equations 22.78](#), [22.102](#) and [23.22](#)), and in each case, the metal–carbonyl bonding is dominated by the  $\text{OC} \rightarrow \text{M}$   $\sigma$ -component:

- tetrahedral  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CO})_4]^+$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}} = 2184\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , C–O = 111 pm;


- square planar  $[\text{Pd}(\text{CO})_4]^{2+}$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}} = 2259\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , C–O = 111 pm;
- square planar  $[\text{Pt}(\text{CO})_4]^{2+}$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}} = 2261\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , C–O = 111 pm;
- octahedral  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_6]^{2+}$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}} = 2204\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , C–O = 110 pm;
- octahedral  $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_6]^{3+}$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}} = 2268\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , C–O = 109 pm.

## Hydride ligands

The term *hydride ligand* suggests  $\text{H}^{\delta-}$  and is consistent with the charge distribution expected for an H atom attached to an electropositive metal centre. However, the properties of H ligands depend on environment and in many organometallic complexes, hydrido ligands behave as protons, being removed by base (equation 23.1) or introduced by treatment with acid (reaction 23.2).




Hydride ligands can adopt terminal, bridging or (in metal clusters) interstitial modes of bonding ([23.9–23.12](#)). A localized 2c-2e M–H bond is an appropriate description for a terminal hydride, delocalized 3c-2e or 4c-2e interactions describe  $\mu$ -H and  $\mu_3$ -H interactions respectively (Figures 23.3a and 23.3b), and a 7c-2e interaction is appropriate for an interstitial hydride in an octahedral cage (Figure 23.3c).

**Fig. 23.3** Overlap of the H 1s atomic orbital with (a) two or (b) three appropriate metal hybrid orbitals to form  $\mu$ -H and  $\mu_3$ -H bridges. (c) For an interstitial H atom within an octahedral  $\text{M}_6$ -cage, a delocalized description involves the overlap of the H 1s atomic orbital with six appropriate metal orbitals to give a 7c-2e interaction.

<sup>†</sup> For detailed discussion, see: S.H. Strauss (2000) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 1; H. Willner and F. Aubke (1997) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 36, p. 2403.


Locating hydride ligands by X-ray diffraction is difficult (see [Box 5.5](#)). X-rays are diffracted by *electrons* and the electron density in the region of the M–H bond is dominated by the heavy atoms. Neutron diffraction can be used, but this is an expensive and less readily available technique. In IR spectra, absorptions due to  $\nu_{\text{MH}}$  modes are generally weak. Proton NMR spectroscopy is the routine way of observing metal hydrides. In  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra, signals due to metal hydrides usually occur in the approximate range  $\delta$  –8 to –30, although it is not easy to distinguish between terminal and bridging modes. The chemical shifts of interstitial hydrides are less diagnostic, and may occur at high frequency, e.g.  $\delta$  +16.4 in  $[(\mu_6\text{-H})\text{Ru}_6(\text{CO})_{18}]^-$ . Spin–spin coupling to spin-active metal nuclei such as  $^{103}\text{Rh}$  (100% abundant,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ),  $^{183}\text{W}$  (14.3%,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) or  $^{195}\text{Pt}$  (33.8%,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) gives valuable structural information, as does that to nuclei such as  $^{31}\text{P}$ . Typical values of  $J_{\text{PH}}$  for a *cis*-arrangement (23.13) are 10–15 Hz, compared with  $\approx 30$  Hz for *trans*-coupling (23.14).



Examples of stereochemically non-rigid hydride complexes are common (e.g. in the tetrahedral cluster  $[\text{H}_3\text{Ru}_4(\text{CO})_{12}]^-$ ) and variable temperature NMR spectroscopic studies are routinely carried out.

### Self-study exercise


$^{187}\text{Os}$  has  $I = \frac{1}{2}$  and is 1.64% abundant. In the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{H}_3\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{CH}$  (see below) in  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , the metal hydride signal appears as a singlet at  $\delta$  –19.58, flanked by two, low-intensity doublets. Observed coupling constants are  $J(^{187}\text{Os}-^1\text{H}) = 27.5$  Hz and  $J(^1\text{H}-^1\text{H}) = 1.5$  Hz. Sketch the region of the spectrum that exhibits the hydride signal and rationalize the observed coupling pattern.



[Ans. see J.S. Holmgren *et al.* (1985) *J. Organometal. Chem.*, vol. 284, p. C5]

## Phosphine and related ligands

Monodentate organophosphines may be tertiary ( $\text{PR}_3$ ), secondary ( $\text{PR}_2\text{H}$ ) or primary ( $\text{PRH}_2$ ) and are *terminally*




**Fig. 23.4** (a) A space-filling diagram of an  $\text{FePPh}_3$  unit; the phenyl groups adopt a ‘paddle-wheel’ arrangement; colour code: Fe, green; P, orange; C, grey; H, white. (b) Schematic representation of the measurement of the Tolman cone angle,  $\theta$ , for a  $\text{PR}_3$  ligand; each circle represents the spatial extent of an R group.

bound;  $\text{PF}_3$  behaves similarly. Bridging modes can be adopted by  $[\text{PR}_2]^-$  (23.15) or  $[\text{PR}]^{2-}$  (23.16) ligands.



Phosphines are  $\sigma$ -donor and  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands (see [Section 20.4](#)) and related to them are arsines ( $\text{AsR}_3$ ), stibines ( $\text{SbR}_3$ ) and phosphites ( $\text{P}(\text{OR})_3$ ). The extent of  $\sigma$ -donation and  $\pi$ -acceptance depends on the substituents, e.g.  $\text{PR}_3$  (R = alkyl) is a poor  $\pi$ -acceptor, whereas  $\text{PF}_3$  is a poor  $\sigma$ -donor and as strong a  $\pi$ -acceptor as CO. The  $\pi$ -accepting properties of some  $\text{PR}_3$  ligands follow the ordering:



Infrared spectroscopic data can be used to determine this sequence: a ligand *trans* to a CO affects the  $\text{M} \rightarrow \text{CO}$  back-donation and, therefore,  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}}$ , e.g. in octahedral  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PF}_3)_3$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}} = 2090$  and  $2055 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , compared with  $1937$  and  $1841 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)_3$ .

The steric requirements of a  $\text{PR}_3$  ligand depend on the R groups. Ligands such as  $\text{PPh}_3$  (Figure 23.4a) or  $\text{P}^t\text{Bu}_3$  are sterically demanding while others such as  $\text{PMe}_3$  are less so. The steric requirements are assessed using the *Tolman cone angle*,<sup>†</sup> found by estimating the angle of a cone that has the metal atom at its apex and encompasses the  $\text{PR}_3$  ligand taking the van der Waals surfaces of the H atoms as its boundary (Figure 23.4b). Table 23.2 lists Tolman cone angles for selected ligands.

The variation in electronic and steric effects in  $\text{PR}_3$  and related ligands can significantly alter the reactivities of complexes in a series in which the only variant is the phosphine ligand. Many polydentate phosphines are known,


<sup>†</sup> For a full discussion, see C.A. Tolman (1977) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 77, p. 313.

**Table 23.2** Tolman cone angles for selected phosphine and phosphite ligands.

| Ligand                         | Tolman cone angle / deg | Ligand   | Tolman cone angle / deg |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| P(OMe) <sub>3</sub>            | 107                     | PPh <sub>3</sub>   | 145                     |
| PMe <sub>3</sub>               | 118                     | P(4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>                   | 145                     |
| PMe <sub>2</sub> Ph            | 122                     | P <sup>i</sup> Pr <sub>3</sub>                                       | 160                     |
| PHPh <sub>2</sub>              | 126                     | P(3-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>                   | 165                     |
| P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>            | 128                     | P( <i>cyclo</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>11</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>       | 170                     |
| PEt <sub>3</sub>               | 132                     | P <sup>t</sup> Bu <sub>3</sub>                                       | 182                     |
| P <sup>n</sup> Bu <sub>3</sub> | 132                     | P(2-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>                   | 194                     |
| PMePh <sub>2</sub>             | 136                     | P(2,4,6-Me <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> | 212                     |

two of the more common being bis(diphenylphosphino)methane (dppm, **23.17**) and bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane (dppe, **23.18**). The modes of bonding of polydentate phosphines depend on the flexibility of the backbone of the ligand. For example, dppm is ideally suited to bridge between two adjacent M centres, whereas dppe is found in chelating and bridging modes, or may act as a monodentate ligand with one P atom uncoordinated. Assigning the bonding mode is often aided by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy; coordination of a P atom shifts its <sup>31</sup>P NMR resonance to higher frequency, e.g. the signal in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum

of free PPh<sub>3</sub> is at δ -6, compared with δ +20.6 for W(CO)<sub>5</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>).




### π-Bonded organic ligands


Alkenes, R<sub>2</sub>C=CR<sub>2</sub>, tend to bond to metal centres in a ‘side-on’ (i.e. η<sup>2</sup>) manner and behave as 2-electron donors. The metal–ligand bonding can be described in terms of the Dewar–Chatt–Duncanson model (Figure 23.5). The C=C π-bonding MO acts as an electron donor, while the π\*-MO is an electron acceptor. Populating the π\*-MO leads to:

- C–C bond lengthening, e.g. 133.9 pm in C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> vs 144.5 pm in (η<sup>5</sup>-Cp)Rh(η<sup>2</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)(PMe<sub>3</sub>);
- a lowering of the absorption in the vibrational spectrum due to the stretching of the C=C bond, e.g. 1623 cm<sup>-1</sup> in free C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> vs 1551 cm<sup>-1</sup> in Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub>(η<sup>2</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

The extent of back-donation to R<sub>2</sub>C=CR<sub>2</sub> is influenced by the nature of R, and is enhanced by electron-withdrawing groups such as CN. In the extreme, the π-contribution to the C–C bond is completely removed and the complex becomes a *metallacyclopropane* ring. Structures **23.19a** and **23.19b** show limiting bonding schemes. In **23.19a**,





**Fig. 23.5** Components of metal–alkene bonding: (a) donation of electrons from the alkene π-MO to a suitable metal *d* orbital or hybrid, and (b) back-donation of electrons from metal to alkene π\* MO.



**Fig. 23.6** (a) The structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $\text{Ru}(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)(\text{PMe}_3)_4$  illustrating the non-planarity of the coordinated ethene ligand ( $\text{C}-\text{C} = 144 \text{ pm}$ ); only the P atoms of the  $\text{PMe}_3$  ligands are shown [W.-K. Wong *et al.* (1984) *Polyhedron*, vol. 3, p. 1255], (b) the structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $\text{Mo}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)(\eta^4\text{-CH}_2\text{CHCHCH}_2)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)$  [L.-S. Wang *et al.* (1997) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 119, p. 4453], and (c) a schematic representation of  $\text{Mo}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)(\eta^4\text{-CH}_2\text{CHCHCH}_2)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)$ . Colour code: Ru, pale grey; Mo, red; C, dark grey; P, orange; H, white.

alkene  $\rightarrow \text{M}$  donation of charge is dominant, while in **23.19b**,  $\pi$ -back-donation has fully populated the alkene  $\pi^*$ -MO, reducing the  $\text{C}-\text{C}$  bond order to one. On going from **23.19a** to **23.19b**, the alkene C atoms rehybridize from  $sp^2$  to  $sp^3$ ,  $\text{M}-\text{C}$   $\sigma$ -bonds are formed, and the alkene substituents bend away from the metal (Figure 23.6a). Comparisons of X-ray diffraction data for series of complexes provide evidence for these structural changes.



(23.20)




(23.21)

The bonding description for a coordinated alkene can be extended to other unsaturated organic ligands. Polyalkenes may be non-conjugated or conjugated. In complexes of non-conjugated systems (e.g. cycloocta-1,5-diene (cod), **23.20**, or 2,5-norbornadiene (nbd), **23.21**), the metal-ligand bonding is analogous to isolated alkene groups. For complexes of conjugated polyenes such as buta-1,3-diene, a delocalized bonding picture is appropriate. Figure 23.7a shows the four

$\pi$ -molecular orbitals of buta-1,3-diene. These MOs can be derived using the procedures described in [Section 4.5](#). *cis*-Buta-1,3-diene (i.e. the *free* ligand) has  $C_{2v}$  symmetry; we define the  $z$  axis to coincide with the  $C_2$  axis, and the molecule to lie in the  $yz$  plane. (This axis set is not that used in Figure 23.7b; here, a convenient axis set is chosen to describe the metal orbitals in the complex.) After  $\text{C}-\text{H}$  and  $\text{C}-\text{C}$   $\sigma$ -bond formation, each C atom has one  $2p$  orbital for  $\pi$ -bonding. The number of these  $2p$  orbitals unchanged by each symmetry operation in the  $C_{2v}$  point group is given by the following row of characters:




| $E$ | $C_2$ | $\sigma_v(xz)$ | $\sigma_v'(yz)$ |
|-----|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| 4   | 0     | 0              | -4              |

Since there are four  $2p$  orbitals, there will be four  $\pi$ -MOs, and from the  $C_{2v}$  character table, the row of characters above is reproduced by taking the sum of two  $A_2$  and two  $B_1$  representations. The  $\pi$ -orbitals therefore have  $a_2$  or  $b_1$  symmetry, and schematic representations are shown in Figure 23.7a. In Figure 23.7b, their symmetries (see caption to Figure 23.7) are matched to available metal orbitals. Two combinations lead to ligand  $\rightarrow \text{M}$  donations, and two to  $\text{M} \rightarrow$  ligand back-donation. The interactions involving  $\psi_2$  and  $\psi_3$  weaken bonds  $\text{C}_1-\text{C}_2$  and  $\text{C}_3-\text{C}_4$ , while strengthening  $\text{C}_2-\text{C}_3$ . The extent of ligand donation or metal back-donation depends on the metal, substituents on the diene, and other ligands present. Structure **23.22** shows the  $\text{C}-\text{C}$  bond lengths in free buta-1,3-diene, and



**Fig. 23.7** (a) The four  $\pi$ -MOs of buta-1,3-diene (the energy scale is arbitrary); the symmetry labels apply to  $C_{2v}$  buta-1,3-diene with the C and H atoms lying in the  $yz$  plane. These symmetry labels are not applicable to the ligand in a complex of other symmetry. (b) Axis definition for a metal–buta-1,3-diene complex and the combinations of metal and ligand orbitals that lead to transfer of charge from a 1,3-diene to metal (top diagram) and from metal to 1,3-diene (lower diagram).

examples of complexes include  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{H}_6)$ , in which all three C–C bonds in the coordinated diene are 145 pm, and  $\text{Mo}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{H}_6)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)$  (Figure 23.6b and c), in which the butadiene ligand has C–C bond lengths of 142 (C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>2</sub>), 138 (C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub>) and 141 pm (C<sub>3</sub>–C<sub>4</sub>). Just as for alkene coordination, we can draw two limiting resonance structures (23.23) for a buta-1,3-diene (or other 1,3-diene) complex.<sup>†</sup>



The allyl ligand,  $[\text{C}_3\text{H}_5]^-$  (23.24), coordinates in an  $\eta^3$  mode, using the two occupied  $\pi$ -MOs (bonding and


non-bonding) as donors and the  $\pi^*$ -MO as an acceptor (Figure 23.8). Allyl can also be considered as  $[\text{C}_3\text{H}_5]^+$  (see later). Similar schemes can be developed for cyclobutadiene ( $\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{H}_4$ ), cyclopentadienyl ( $\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5$ , see [Box 18.2](#)), benzene ( $\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6$ ) and related ligands as we discuss later in the chapter.

In solution, complexes with  $\pi$ -bonded organic ligands are often fluxional, with rotation of the ligand being a common dynamic process (see [structure 23.45](#), [Figure 23.18](#) and [scheme 23.86](#)). Variable-temperature NMR spectroscopy is used to study such phenomena.

## Dinitrogen

The molecules  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{CO}$  are isoelectronic, and the bonding description in Figure 23.1 can be qualitatively applied to  $\text{N}_2$  complexes (see [Section 22.9](#)), although it must be remembered that the MOs of  $\text{N}_2$  have equal atomic orbital contributions from each atom. Complexes of  $\text{N}_2$  are not as stable as those of  $\text{CO}$ , and far fewer examples are known. Terminal  $\text{M}-\text{N}\equiv\text{N}$  units are linear (like a terminal  $\text{M}-\text{C}\equiv\text{O}$ ), but bridging  $\text{N}_2$  ligands do not mimic bridging  $\text{CO}$  groups (see [structure diagram 22.52](#) and discussion).

<sup>†</sup> For a critical discussion of the C–C bond lengths in  $\text{Mn}(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{H}_6)_2(\text{CO})$  and related complexes, see: G.J. Reiß and S. Konietzny (2002) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 862.




**Fig. 23.8** The three  $\pi$ -MOs of the allyl anion,  $[\text{C}_3\text{H}_5]^-$  (the energy scale is arbitrary); the symmetry labels apply to  $C_{2v}$  allyl with the C and H atoms lying in the  $yz$  plane. These symmetry labels are not applicable to the ligand in a complex of other symmetry.

## Dihydrogen

We have already mentioned dihydrogen complexes of Re (e.g. **22.30**) and noted the presence of a ‘stretched’ H–H bond. Other examples include  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_3(\eta^2\text{-H}_2)(\text{P}^i\text{Pr}_3)_2$  and  $[\text{OsH}(\eta^2\text{-H}_2)\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_4]^+$ . The  $\text{H}_2$  molecule only has available a  $\sigma$ -MO (electron donor orbital) and  $\sigma^*$ -MO (acceptor). Both metal–ligand interactions shown in Figure 23.9 weaken the H–H bond, and coordination readily leads to H–H cleavage (see [Section 23.7](#)).


## 23.3 The 18-electron rule

In [Section 20.4](#), we applied molecular orbital theory to octahedral complexes containing  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands and gave a rationale for the fact that *low oxidation state organometallic complexes tend to obey the 18-electron rule*. This rule often breaks down for early and late  $d$ -block metals as examples later in the chapter show: 16-electron complexes are common for e.g. Rh(I), Ir(I), Pd(0) and Pt(0). The majority



**Fig. 23.9** Components of metal–dihydrogen bonding: (a)  $\text{H}_2$ -to-M donation using the  $\text{H}_2$   $\sigma$ -bonding MO, and (b) M-to- $\text{H}_2$  back-donation into the  $\text{H}_2$   $\sigma^*$ -MO. The axis set is defined in the top diagram.

of organometallic compounds with metals from the middle of the *d*-block obey the 18-electron rule and its application is useful, for example, in checking proposed structures. For electron-counting purposes, it is convenient to treat all ligands as *neutral* entities as this avoids the need to assign an oxidation state to the metal centre. However, one must not lose sight of the fact that this is a *formalism*. For example, in the synthesis of cyclopentadienyl derivatives, a common precursor is the salt  $\text{Na}^+[\text{Cp}]^-$ . Ferrocene,  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}$ , may be formulated as an Fe(II) compound containing  $[\text{Cp}]^-$  ligands, but for electron counting, it is convenient to consider the combination of an Fe(0) centre (group 8, 8 valence electrons) and two neutral  $\text{Cp}^*$  ligands (5-electron donor) giving an 18-electron complex (23.25). Of course, the same result is obtained if a formal oxidation state of +2 is assigned to the metal: Fe(II) (6 valence electrons) and two  $\text{Cp}^-$  ligands (6-electron donor). However, in this book we shall always count valence electrons in terms of a zero oxidation state metal centre.




Electron count:

Fe(0) = 8 valence electrons  
 2  $\text{Cp}^*$  =  $2 \times 5$  valence electrons  
 Total = 18 electrons

(23.25)


The number of valence electrons for a zero oxidation state metal centre is equal to the group number (e.g. Cr, 6; Fe, 8; Rh, 9); some commonly encountered ligands<sup>†</sup> donate the following numbers of valence electrons:

- 1-electron donor:  $\text{H}^*$  (in any bonding mode), and terminal  $\text{Cl}^*$ ,  $\text{Br}^*$ ,  $\text{I}^*$ ,  $\text{R}^*$  (e.g. R = alkyl or Ph) or  $\text{RO}^*$ ;
- 2-electron donor: CO,  $\text{PR}_3$ ,  $\text{P}(\text{OR})_3$ ,  $\text{R}_2\text{C}=\text{CR}_2$  ( $\eta^2$ -alkene),  $\text{R}_2\text{C}$ : (carbene);
- 3-electron donor:  $\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5^*$  (allyl radical), RC (carbyne),  $\mu\text{-Cl}^*$ ,  $\mu\text{-Br}^*$ ,  $\mu\text{-I}^*$ ,  $\mu\text{-R}_2\text{P}^*$ ;
- 4-electron donor:  $\eta^4$ -diene (e.g. 23.22),  $\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{R}_4$  (cyclobutadienes);
- 5-electron donor:  $\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5^*$  (as in 23.25),  $\mu_3\text{-Cl}^*$ ,  $\mu_3\text{-Br}^*$ ,  $\mu_3\text{-I}^*$ ,  $\mu_3\text{-RP}^*$ ;
- 6-electron donor:  $\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6$  (and other  $\eta^6$ -arenes, e.g.  $\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Me}$ );
- 1- or 3-electron donor: NO (see discussion at the end of Section 20.4).



(23.26)

Counting electrons provided by bridging ligands, metal–metal bonds and net charges requires care. When bridging between two metal centres, an  $\text{X}^*$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ ) or  $\text{R}_2\text{P}^*$  ligand uses the unpaired electron and one lone pair to give an interaction formally represented by structure 23.26, i.e. one electron is donated to M, and two to  $\text{M}'$ . In a doubly bridged species such as  $(\text{CO})_2\text{Rh}(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ , the  $\mu\text{-Cl}$  atoms are equivalent as are the Rh atoms, and the two Cl bridges together contribute three electrons to each Rh. A bridging  $\text{H}^*$  provides only one electron *in total*, shared between the metal atoms it bridges, e.g. in  $[\text{HFe}_2(\text{CO})_8]^-$ , (23.27). Example 23.27 also illustrates that the formation of an M–M single bond provides each M atom with one extra electron; an M=M double bond contributes two electrons to each metal.




(23.27)

Electron count:


Fe(0) = 8 electrons  
 3 terminal CO =  $3 \times 2$  electrons  
 2  $\mu\text{-CO}$  =  $2 \times 1$  electron per Fe  
 Fe–Fe bond = 1 electron per Fe  
 H =  $1/2$  electron per Fe  
 1– charge =  $1/2$  electron per Fe  
 Total = 18 electrons per Fe

### Worked example 23.1 18-Electron rule

Confirm that the Cr centre in  $[(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_3]$  obeys the 18-electron rule, but Rh in  $[(\text{CO})_2\text{Rh}(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2]$  does not.



Cr(0) (group 6) contributes 6 electrons  
 $\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6$  contributes 6 electrons  
 3 CO contribute  $3 \times 2 = 6$  electrons  
 Total = 18 electrons



Rh(0) (group 9) contributes 9 electrons  
 $\mu\text{-Cl}$  contributes 3 electrons (1 to one Rh and 2 to the other Rh)  
 2 CO contribute  $2 \times 2 = 4$  electrons  
 Total per Rh = 16 electrons

### Self-study exercises


1. Confirm that the Fe centres in  $\text{H}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$  and  $[(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]^-$  obey the 18-electron rule.
2. Show that  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)$ ,  $\text{HMn}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2$  and  $[(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Br})\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_3]^+$  contain 18-electron metal centres.
3. Show that  $[\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)_4]^+$  contains a 16-electron metal centre. Comment on whether violation of the 18-electron rule is expected.

<sup>†</sup> Notation for bridging ligands: see Section 6.7.

**Worked example 23.2 18-Electron rule: metal–metal bonding**

**Metal–metal bonding in multinuclear species is not always clear-cut. Solely on the basis of the 18-electron rule, suggest whether  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})\text{Ni}(\mu\text{-PPh}_2)_2\text{Ni}(\eta^5\text{-Cp})$  might be expected to contain a metal–metal bond.**

The formula is instructive in terms of drawing a structure, except in respect of any M–M bond. Thus, we can draw as an initial structure:



Now count the valence electrons around each metal centre: Ni(0) (group 10) contributes 10 electrons;  $\eta^5\text{-Cp}^*$  gives 5 electrons. Two  $\mu\text{-PPh}_2$  contribute 6 electrons, 3 per Ni. Total per Ni = 18 electrons.

**Conclusion:** each Ni atom obeys the 18-electron rule and no Ni–Ni bond is required.

Note that in all such examples, a prediction about the presence or not of the M–M bond *assumes* that the 18-electron rule is obeyed. Bridging ligands often play a very important role in supporting a dimetal framework.

**Self-study exercises**

1. Show that an M–M single bond is expected in  $\text{M}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  (M = Mn, Tc, Re) on the basis of the 18-electron rule. [Hint: see Figure 23.10b]
2. The presence of an Fe–Fe bond in the compound  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})(\text{CO})\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-CO})_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})(\eta^5\text{-Cp})$  has been a controversial topic. Solely on the basis of the 18-electron rule, show that an Fe–Fe bond is expected. What does your conclusion depend on? Are your assumptions infallible?

**23.4 Metal carbonyls: synthesis, physical properties and structure**

Table 23.3 lists many of the stable, neutral, *d*-block metal carbonyl compounds containing  $\leq 6$  metal atoms. A range

**Table 23.3** Neutral, low-nuclearity ( $\leq M_6$ ) metal carbonyls of the *d*-block metals (dec. = decomposes).

| Group number      | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10   |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| First row metals  | <b>V(CO)<sub>6</sub></b><br>Dark blue solid; paramagnetic; dec. 343 K | <b>Cr(CO)<sub>6</sub></b><br>White solid; sublimes <i>in vacuo</i> ; dec. 403 K | <b>Mn<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub></b><br>Yellow solid; mp 427 K                    | <b>Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub></b><br>Yellow liquid; mp 253 K; bp 376 K  | <b>Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub></b><br>Air-sensitive, orange-red solid; mp 324 K  | <b>Ni(CO)<sub>4</sub></b><br>Colourless, volatile liquid; highly toxic vapour; bp 316 K  |
| Second row metals |   | <b>Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub></b><br>White solid; sublimes <i>in vacuo</i>              | <b>Tc<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub></b><br>White solid; slowly dec. in air; mp 433 K | <b>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub></b><br>Golden crystals; mp 373 K (dec.)<br><b>Fe<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub></b><br>Dark green solid; dec. 413 K   | <b>Co<sub>4</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub></b><br>Air-sensitive, black solid<br><b>Co<sub>6</sub>(CO)<sub>16</sub></b><br>Black solid; slowly dec. in air | <b>Rh<sub>4</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub></b><br>Red solid; >403 K dec. to Rh <sub>6</sub> (CO) <sub>16</sub>   |
| Third row metals  |   | <b>W(CO)<sub>6</sub></b><br>White solid; sublimes <i>in vacuo</i>               | <b>Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub></b><br>White solid; mp 450 K                     | <b>Ru(CO)<sub>5</sub></b><br>Colourless liquid; mp 251 K; dec. in air at 298 K to Ru <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub> + CO<br><b>Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub></b><br>Orange solid; mp 427 K; sublimes <i>in vacuo</i> | <b>Rh<sub>6</sub>(CO)<sub>16</sub></b><br>Black solid; dec. >573 K  | <b>Ir<sub>4</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub></b><br>Slightly air-sensitive yellow solid; mp 443 K<br><b>Ir<sub>6</sub>(CO)<sub>16</sub></b><br>Red solid |
|                   |   |   |   | <b>Os(CO)<sub>5</sub></b><br>Yellow liquid; mp 275 K<br><b>Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub></b><br>Yellow solid; mp 497 K  |   |  |

## APPLICATIONS

Box 23.1 Manufacturing iron powder from Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub>

For applications in magnetic cores for electronic components, iron powder is manufactured by the thermal decomposition of Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub>:









Commercial-scale decomposition takes place in special externally heated vessels in which Fe particles act as

nucleation centres. As Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> decomposes, Fe deposits on these particles causing them to grow (up to 8 μm in diameter). Manufacturing processes must control particle size (e.g. by controlling the concentration of Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> in the reactor) and the C, N and O content of the particles (C and O arise from CO decomposition, while N comes from NH<sub>3</sub>, added to reduce the C and O).

of unstable carbonyls have been obtained by *matrix isolation*: the action of CO on metal atoms in a noble gas matrix at very low temperatures or the photolysis of stable metal carbonyls under similar conditions. Among species made this way are Ti(CO)<sub>6</sub>, Pd(CO)<sub>4</sub>, Pt(CO)<sub>4</sub>, Cu<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>, Ag<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>, Cr(CO)<sub>4</sub>, Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>, Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub>, Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> and Ni(CO)<sub>3</sub> (the last five being fragments formed by decomposition of stable carbonyls). In the rest of this section, we discuss compounds isolable at ordinary temperatures.

## Synthesis and physical properties




The carbonyls Ni(CO)<sub>4</sub> and Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> (both highly toxic) are the only ones normally obtained by action of CO on the finely divided metal. Formation of Ni(CO)<sub>4</sub> (equation 21.4) occurs at 298 K and 1 bar pressure, but Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> is made under 200 bar CO at 420–520 K. Most other simple metal carbonyls are prepared by *reductive carbonylation*, i.e. action of CO and a reducing agent (which may be excess CO) on a metal oxide, halide or other compound (e.g. reactions 23.3–23.10). Yields are often poor and we have not attempted to write stoichiometric reactions; for the preparation of [Tc(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, see [Box 22.7](#).




Diiron nonacarbonyl, Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>, is usually made by photolysis of Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> (equation 23.11), while Fe<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> is obtained by several methods, e.g. oxidation of [HFe(CO)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>−</sup> using MnO<sub>2</sub>.



Some metal carbonyls including M(CO)<sub>6</sub> (M = Cr, Mo, W), Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>, Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>, Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> and Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> are commercially available. All carbonyls are thermodynamically unstable with respect to oxidation in air, but the rates of oxidation vary: Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> reacts under ambient conditions, Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> and Ni(CO)<sub>4</sub> are also easily oxidized (their vapours forming explosive mixtures with air), but M(CO)<sub>6</sub> (M = Cr, Mo, W) does not oxidize unless heated. Table 23.3 lists some physical properties of some of the more common metal carbonyls. Note the increased importance of M–M bonding as one descends groups 8 and 9: e.g. whereas Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> is stable, Rh<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> is unstable with respect to Rh<sub>4</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>. The latter can also be formed by reaction 23.12, and above 400 K, it decomposes to Rh<sub>6</sub>(CO)<sub>16</sub>. Reactions 23.13 and 23.14 are routes to Ir<sub>4</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> and Ir<sub>6</sub>(CO)<sub>16</sub>.



Metal carbonyl *clusters* containing four or more metal atoms are made by a variety of methods; osmium in particular forms a range of binary compounds and pyrolysis of Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> yields a mix of products (equation 23.15) which can be separated by chromatography.




## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## Box 23.2 Large cations for large anions: 2

Metal cluster anions are usually stabilized in salts that contain large cations; common choices are  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]^+$ ,  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}]^+$ ,  $[\text{nBu}_4\text{N}]^+$  and  $[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{N}]^+$ . Compatibility between cation and anion sizes is important. The figure below shows part of the packing diagram for the salt





$[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]_2[\text{Ir}_8(\text{CO})_{22}]$ ; the ions are shown in space-filling form with the H atoms of the Ph rings omitted for clarity. The diagram illustrates how well the large cations pack with the cluster anions, and this is essential for the stabilization and crystallization of such salts.



Colour coding: Ir, blue; C, grey; O, red; P, orange. [Data from: F. Demartin *et al.* (1981) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 528].



See also: **Box 10.5** – Large cations for large anions: 1.

Metal carbonyl anions can be derived by reduction, e.g. reactions 23.16–23.21; dimers such as  $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  and  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$  undergo simple cleavage of the M–M bond, but in other cases, reduction is accompanied by an increase in metal nuclearity. In reactions 23.16 and 23.21  $\text{Na}[\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8]$  (sodium naphthalide) is made from Na and naphthalene; both  $\text{Na}[\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8]$  and  $\text{K}[\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8]$  are powerful reducing agents.



The salt  $\text{Na}_2[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4]$  (equation 23.16) is *Collman's reagent* which has numerous synthetic applications; it is very air-sensitive and is best prepared *in situ*. In reactions 23.19–23.21,  $\text{Na}^+$  salts are the initial products, but the large cluster anions are isolated as salts of large cations such as  $[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{N}]^+$ ,  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]^+$  or  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{As}]^+$  (see **Box 23.2**).


The use of superacid media has been central to developing synthetic pathways to isolable salts of metal carbonyl cations. Two examples are  $[\text{Os}(\text{CO})_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_6]^{3+}$  (equations 22.78 and 22.102), both isolated as the  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  salts. Both syntheses involve reduction of high oxidation state metal fluorides ( $\text{OsF}_6$  and  $\text{IrF}_6$ , respectively) and a similar strategy is used to prepare  $[\text{Pt}(\text{CO})_4]^{2+}$  (equation 23.22). In contrast,  $[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_5]^+$  is made by oxidation of  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$  (equation 23.23); the oxidizing agent is probably  $[\text{H}_2\text{F}]^+$ .



## Structures

Mononuclear metal carbonyls possess the following structures (bond distances are for the solid state)<sup>†</sup>:

<sup>†</sup> Electron diffraction data for gaseous  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$  are  $\text{Fe}-\text{C}_{\text{axial}} = 181 \text{ pm}$  and  $\text{Fe}-\text{C}_{\text{equ}} = 184 \text{ pm}$ , see: B.W. McClelland, A.G. Robiette, L. Hedberg and K. Hedberg (2001) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 40, p. 1358.




**Fig. 23.10** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4]^{2-}$  in the  $\text{K}^+$  salt [R.G. Teller *et al.* (1977) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 99, p. 1104], (b)  $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  showing the staggered configuration also adopted by  $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  and  $\text{Tc}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  [M.R. Churchill *et al.* (1981) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 20, p. 1609], (c)  $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$  [F.A. Cotton *et al.* (1974) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 800], (d)  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$  [P.C. Leung *et al.* (1983) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 39, p. 535], (e)  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  [D. Braga *et al.* (1994) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 2911], and (f)  $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  which is isostructural with  $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  [M.R. Churchill *et al.* (1977) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 16, p. 878]. Colour code: Fe, green; Re, brown; Co, blue; Os, yellow; C, grey; O, red.

- linear:  $[\text{Au}(\text{CO})_2]^+$  ( $\text{Au}-\text{C} = 197 \text{ pm}$ );
- square planar:  $[\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_4]^+$  ( $\text{Rh}-\text{C} = 195 \text{ pm}$ ),  $[\text{Pd}(\text{CO})_4]^{2+}$  ( $\text{Pd}-\text{C} = 199 \text{ pm}$ ),  $[\text{Pt}(\text{CO})_4]^{2+}$  ( $\text{Pt}-\text{C} = 198 \text{ pm}$ );
- tetrahedral:  $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$  ( $\text{Ni}-\text{C} = 182 \text{ pm}$ ),  $[\text{Cu}(\text{CO})_4]^+$  ( $\text{Cu}-\text{C} = 196 \text{ pm}$ ),  $[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4]^-$  ( $\text{Co}-\text{C} = 175 \text{ pm}$ ),  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4]^{2-}$  (Figure 23.10a);
- trigonal bipyramidal:  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$  ( $\text{Fe}-\text{C}_{\text{axial}} = 181 \text{ pm}$ ,  $\text{Fe}-\text{C}_{\text{equ}} = 180 \text{ pm}$ ),  $[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_5]^+$  ( $\text{Co}-\text{C}_{\text{axial}} = 183 \text{ pm}$ ,  $\text{Co}-\text{C}_{\text{equ}} = 185 \text{ pm}$ ),  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]^-$  in most salts ( $\text{Mn}-\text{C}_{\text{axial}} = 182 \text{ pm}$ ,  $\text{Mn}-\text{C}_{\text{equ}} = 180 \text{ pm}$ );
- square-based pyramidal:  $[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]^-$  in the  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]^+$  salt ( $\text{Mn}-\text{C}_{\text{apical}} = 179 \text{ pm}$ ,  $\text{Mn}-\text{C}_{\text{basal}} = 181 \text{ pm}$ );
- octahedral:  $\text{V}(\text{CO})_6$  ( $\text{V}-\text{C} = 200 \text{ pm}$ ),  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$  ( $\text{Cr}-\text{C} = 192 \text{ pm}$ ),  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_6$  ( $\text{Mo}-\text{C} = 206 \text{ pm}$ ),  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_6$  ( $\text{W}-\text{C} = 207 \text{ pm}$ ),  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_6]^{2+}$  ( $\text{Fe}-\text{C} = 191 \text{ pm}$ ),  $[\text{Os}(\text{CO})_6]^{2+}$  ( $\text{Os}-\text{C} = 203 \text{ pm}$ ),  $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_6]^{3+}$  ( $\text{Ir}-\text{C} = 203 \text{ pm}$ ).


With the exception of  $\text{V}(\text{CO})_6$ , each obeys the 18-electron rule. The 17-electron count in  $\text{V}(\text{CO})_6$  suggests the possibility of dimerization to ' $\text{V}_2(\text{CO})_{12}$ ' containing a V–V bond, but

this is sterically unfavourable. A mononuclear carbonyl of Mn would, like  $\text{V}(\text{CO})_6$ , be a radical, but now, dimerization occurs and  $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  is the lowest nuclearity neutral binary carbonyl of Mn. A similar situation arises for cobalt: ' $\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4$ ' is a 17-electron species and the lowest nuclearity binary carbonyl is  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ . The group 7 dimers  $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$ ,  $\text{Tc}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  and  $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  are isostructural and have staggered arrangements of carbonyls (Figure 23.10b); the M–M bond is unbridged and longer ( $\text{Mn}-\text{Mn} = 290 \text{ pm}$ ,  $\text{Tc}-\text{Tc} = 303 \text{ pm}$ ,  $\text{Re}-\text{Re} = 304 \text{ pm}$ ) than twice the metallic radius (see [Tables 21.1](#) and [22.1](#)). In  $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$  (Figure 23.10c), three CO ligands bridge between the Fe centres; each Fe atom obeys the 18-electron rule if an Fe–Fe bond is present and this is consistent with the observed diamagnetism of the complex. Even so, many theoretical studies have been carried out to investigate the presence (or not) of Fe–Fe bonding in  $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ . Figure 23.10d shows the *solid state* structure of  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ . When solid  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$  is dissolved in hexane, the IR spectrum changes; the spectrum of the solid contains bands assigned to terminal *and* bridging CO ligands, but in hexane, only absorptions due to terminal carbonyls are



**Fig. 23.11** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  which is isostructural with  $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  [C.H. Wei (1969) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 8, p. 2384], (b)  $\text{Ir}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  [M.R. Churchill *et al.* (1978) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 17, p. 3528], (c) the red isomer of  $\text{Ir}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$  and (d) the black isomer of  $\text{Ir}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$  [L. Garlaschelli *et al.* (1984) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 106, p. 6664]. In (a) and (b), O atoms have been omitted for clarity. In (c) and (d), the terminal CO and the O atoms of the bridging CO ligands have been omitted; each Ir has two  $\text{CO}_{\text{term}}$ . Colour code: Rh, yellow; Ir, red; C, grey.

seen. This is explained by the equilibrium in scheme 23.28 and solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopic data show that terminal-bridge CO exchange occurs even in *solid*  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ .




### Self-study exercises

1. Confirm that each Tc centre in  $\text{Tc}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  obeys the 18-electron rule.
2. Confirm that in *each* isomer of  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$  shown in diagram 23.28, each Co centre obeys the 18-electron rule.
3. Does the 18-electron rule allow you to assign the structure shown in Figure 23.10c to  $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$  in preference to a structure of the type  $(\text{CO})_4\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-CO})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ ?

Each group 8 metal forms a trinuclear binary carbonyl  $\text{M}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  containing a triangular framework of metal atoms. However, the arrangement of CO ligands in  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  (Figure 23.10e) differs from that in  $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  and  $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  (Figure 23.10f). The latter contain equilateral  $\text{M}_3$  triangles and four terminal CO per metal, whereas in the solid state,  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  contains an isosceles  $\text{Fe}_3$  triangle with one Fe–Fe edge (the shortest) bridged by two CO ligands. Each M atom in  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ ,  $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  and  $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  obeys the 18-electron rule. The solution  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  exhibits one resonance even as low as 123 K showing that the molecule is fluxional. The process can be described in terms of exchange of terminal and bridging CO ligands, or by considering the tilting of the  $\text{Fe}_3$ -unit

within a shell of CO ligands. X-ray data collected at several temperatures show that  $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  also undergoes a dynamic process in the solid state. This illustrates that the  $\text{CO}_{\text{term}}\text{-CO}_{\text{bridge}}$  ( $\text{CO}_{\text{term}}$  = terminal CO ligand) exchange is a low-energy process and this is one of many such examples.

The group 9 carbonyls  $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  and  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  (Figure 23.11a) are isostructural; three  $\mu\text{-CO}$  ligands are arranged around the edges of one face of the  $\text{M}_4$  tetrahedron. In  $\text{Ir}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$ , all ligands are terminal (Figure 23.11b). Each group 9 metal forms a hexanuclear carbonyl,  $\text{M}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$ , in which the metal atoms form an octahedral cluster; in  $\text{Co}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$ ,  $\text{Rh}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$  and the red isomer of  $\text{Ir}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$ , each M atom has two  $\text{CO}_{\text{term}}$  and there are four  $\mu_3\text{-CO}$  as shown in Figure 23.11c. A black isomer of  $\text{Ir}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$  has been isolated and in the solid state has 12  $\text{CO}_{\text{term}}$  and four  $\mu\text{-CO}$  (Figure 23.11d). Other octahedral carbonyl clusters include  $[\text{Ru}_6(\text{CO})_{18}]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}]^{2-}$ , but in contrast  $\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}$  has a bicapped tetrahedral structure (23.29). This is an example of a *condensed polyhedral* cluster.




(23.29)

In a *condensed polyhedral cluster*, two or more polyhedral cages are fused together through atom, edge or face sharing.


The syntheses of high-nuclearity metal carbonyl clusters are not readily generalized,<sup>†</sup> and we focus only on the structures of selected species. For seven or more metal atoms, metal carbonyl clusters tend to be composed of condensed

<sup>†</sup> For further details, see for example: C.E. Housecroft (1996) *Metal–Metal Bonded Carbonyl Dimers and Clusters*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.



**Fig. 23.12** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of the metal cores in (a)  $\text{Os}_7(\text{CO})_{21}$  [C.R. Eady *et al.* (1977) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 385], (b)  $[\text{Os}_8(\text{CO})_{22}]^{2-}$  in the  $[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{N}]^+$  salt [P.F. Jackson *et al.* (1980) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 60], (c)  $[\text{Rh}_{12}(\text{CO})_{30}]^{2-}$  in the  $[\text{Me}_4\text{N}]^+$  salt [V.G. Albano *et al.* (1969) *J. Organomet. Chem.*, vol. 19, p. 405], (d)  $[\text{Ir}_{12}(\text{CO})_{26}]^{2-}$  in the  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]^+$  salt [R.D. Pergola *et al.* (1987) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 26, p. 3487], (e)  $[\text{Os}_{20}(\text{CO})_{40}]^{2-}$  in the  $[\text{nBu}_4\text{P}]^+$  salt [L.H. Gade *et al.* (1994) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 521], and (f)  $[\text{Pt}_9(\text{CO})_{18}]^{2-}$  in the  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]^+$  salt [J.C. Calabrese *et al.* (1974) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 96, p. 2614]. Colour code: Os, yellow; Rh, blue; Ir, red; Pt, brown.

(or less often, linked) tetrahedral or octahedral units. The group 10 metals form a series of clusters containing stacked triangles, e.g.  $[\text{Pt}_9(\text{CO})_{18}]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Pt}_{15}(\text{CO})_{30}]^{2-}$ . Figure 23.12 shows the metal cores of representative clusters; in  $[\text{Os}_{20}(\text{CO})_{40}]^{2-}$  the Os atoms form a ccp arrangement. Some metal carbonyls possess ‘raft’ structures, i.e. the metal atoms form planar arrangements of edge-sharing triangles, e.g.  $\text{Os}_5(\text{CO})_{18}$  (Figure 23.13).




**Fig. 23.13** The structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $\text{Os}_5(\text{CO})_{18}$ , in which the Os atoms form a planar ‘raft’ [W. Wang *et al.* (1992) *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, p. 1737]. Colour code: Os, yellow; C, grey; O, red.

## 23.5 The isolobal principle and application of Wade’s rules

In [Section 12.11](#), we introduced *Wade’s rules* to rationalize the structures of borane clusters. This method of counting electrons can be extended to simple organometallic clusters by making use of the *isolobal relationship* between cluster fragments.

Two cluster fragments are *isolobal* if they possess the same frontier orbital characteristics: same symmetry, same number of electrons available for cluster bonding, and *approximately* the same energy.

Figure 23.14 shows the frontier MOs (i.e. those close to and including the HOMO and LUMO) of BH and  $C_{3v}$   $\text{M}(\text{CO})_3$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Fe}, \text{Ru}, \text{Os}$ ) fragments. In [Box 12.9](#), we considered how the frontier orbitals of six BH combined to give the cluster bonding MOs in  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  (a process that can be extended to other clusters), and now we look at why it is that BH and some organometallic fragments can be regarded as being similar in terms of cluster bonding. The points to note in Figure 23.14 are that the BH and  $C_{3v}$   $\text{M}(\text{CO})_3$  fragments have three frontier MOs with matching symmetries and containing the same number of electrons; the ordering of



**Fig. 23.14** The frontier MOs of a BH unit and a  $C_{3v}$  (i.e. 'conical')  $M(\text{CO})_3$  ( $M = \text{Fe, Ru, Os}$ ) group. For the BH unit, the occupied MO is an  $sp$  hybrid; for  $M(\text{CO})_3$ , the orbitals are represented by  $pd$  or  $spd$  hybrids. These orbitals combine with those of other cluster fragments to give cluster-bonding, non-bonding and antibonding MOs (see [Box 12.9](#)).

the MOs is not important. The BH and  $C_{3v}$   $M(\text{CO})_3$  ( $M = \text{Fe, Ru, Os}$ ) fragments are *isolobal* and their relationship allows BH units in borane clusters to be replaced (in theory and sometimes in practice, although syntheses are not as simple as this formal replacement suggests) by  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$ ,  $\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_3$  or  $\text{Os}(\text{CO})_3$  fragments. Thus, for example, we can go from  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  to  $[\text{Ru}_6(\text{CO})_{18}]^{2-}$ . Wade's rules categorize  $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$  as a 7 electron pair *closo*-cluster, and similarly,  $[\text{Ru}_6(\text{CO})_{18}]^{2-}$  is a *closo*-species; both are predicted to have (and have in practice) octahedral cages.

Moving to the left or right of group 8 removes or adds electrons to the frontier MOs shown in Figure 23.14. Removing or adding a CO ligand removes or adds two electrons. (The frontier MOs also change, but this is unimportant if we are simply counting electrons.) Changing the ligands similarly alters the number of electrons available. Equation 23.24 shows how the number of electrons provided by a given fragment can be determined and Table 23.4 applies this to selected fragments. These numbers are used *within the Wade approach*, also known as *polyhedral skeletal electron pair theory* (PSEPT).

$$x = v + n - 12 \quad (23.24)$$

where  $x$  = number of cluster-bonding electrons provided by a fragment,  $v$  = number of valence electrons from the metal atom and  $n$  = number of valence electrons provided by the ligands.

### Worked example 23.3 Application of Wade's rules (PSEPT)

- (a) Rationalize why  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  has a tetrahedral core.  
 (b) What class of cluster is  $\text{Ir}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$ ?

[If you are unfamiliar with Wade's rules, first review [Section 12.11](#).]

(a) Break the formula of  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  down into convenient units and determine the number of cluster-bonding electrons.

- Each  $\{\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_3\}$ -unit provides 3 cluster-bonding electrons.
- Total number of electrons available in  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12} = (4 \times 3) = 12$  electrons = 6 pairs.
- Thus,  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  has 6 pairs of electrons with which to bond 4 cluster units.

**Table 23.4** The number of electrons ( $x$  in equation 23.24) provided for cluster bonding by selected fragments;  $\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5 = \eta^5\text{-Cp}$ .

| Cluster fragment                        | Group 6:<br>Cr, Mo, W | Group 7:<br>Mn, Tc, Re | Group 8:<br>Fe, Ru, Os | Group 9:<br>Co, Rh, Ir |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| $\text{M}(\text{CO})_2$                 | -2                    | -1                     | 0                      | 1                      |
| $\text{M}(\text{CO})_3$                 | 0                     | 1                      | 2                      | 3                      |
| $\text{M}(\text{CO})_4$                 | 2                     | 3                      | 4                      | 5                      |
| $\text{M}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)$ | -1                    | 0                      | 1                      | 2                      |
| $\text{M}(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)$ | 0                     | 1                      | 2                      | 3                      |
| $\text{M}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PR}_3)$    | 0                     | 1                      | 2                      | 3                      |

- There are  $(n + 2)$  pairs of electrons for  $n$  vertices, and so  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  is a *nido*-cage; the parent deltahedron is a trigonal bipyramid, and thus  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  is expected to be tetrahedral.

(b) Rh and Ir are both in group 9 and so  $\text{Ir}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  is also a *nido*-cluster.

This example illustrates an important point about the use of such electron-counting schemes: *no information about the positions of the ligands can be obtained*. Although Wade's rules rationalize why  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  and  $\text{Ir}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  both have tetrahedral cores, they say nothing about the fact that the ligand arrangements are different (Figures 23.11a and b).

### Self-study exercises

1. Using Wade's approach, rationalize why  $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  has a tetrahedral core.
2. Using PSEPT, rationalize why  $[\text{Fe}_4(\text{CO})_{13}]^{2-}$  has a tetrahedral  $\text{Fe}_4$  core.
3. The cluster  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_6\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$  has a tetrahedral  $\text{Co}_2\text{C}_2$  core. How many electrons does each CH unit contribute to cluster bonding? [Ans. 3]

The diversity of cage structures among metal clusters is greater than that of boranes; Wade's rules were developed for boranes and extension of the rules to rationalize the structures of high-nuclearity metal clusters is limited. Boranes tend to adopt rather open structures, and there are few examples of BH units in capping positions. However, application of the *capping principle* does allow satisfactory rationalization of some condensed cages such as  $\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}$  (23.29).


Within the remit of Wade's rules (PSEPT), the addition of one or more *capping units* to a deltahedral cage requires no additional bonding electrons; a capping unit is a cluster fragment placed over the *triangular* face of a central cage.

### Worked example 23.4 Application of Wade's rules (PSEPT): the capping principle

Rationalize why  $\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}$  adopts structure 23.29 rather than an octahedral cage.

- $\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}$  can be broken down into 6  $\text{Os}(\text{CO})_3$  fragments.
- Each  $\{\text{Os}(\text{CO})_3\}$ -unit provides 2 cluster-bonding electrons.
- Total number of electrons available in  $\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18} = (6 \times 2) = 12$  electrons = 6 pairs.
- Thus,  $\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}$  has 6 pairs of electrons with which to bond 6 cluster units.

- This corresponds to a monocapped structure, the parent deltahedron being one with 5 vertices, i.e. a trigonal bipyramid:




- The monocapped trigonal bipyramid is the same as a bicapped tetrahedron (23.29).
- A *closo*-octahedral cage requires 7 pairs of electrons, and  $\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}$  has insufficient electrons for this structure.

### Self-study exercises

1. The  $\text{Os}_7$  core of  $\text{Os}_7(\text{CO})_{21}$  is a capped octahedron. Show that this is consistent with the PSEPT capping principle.
2. Use the capping principle to account for the fact that  $[\text{Os}_8(\text{CO})_{22}]^{2-}$  has a bicapped octahedral structure.

Using the isolobal principle, one can relate clusters that contain fragments having analogous orbital properties. Some isolobal pairs of metal carbonyl and hydrocarbon fragments are:

- $\text{Co}(\text{CO})_3$  ( $C_{3v}$ ) and CH (provides 3 orbitals and 3 electrons);
- $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$  ( $C_{2v}$ ) and  $\text{CH}_2$  (provides 2 orbitals and 2 electrons);
- $\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5$  ( $D_{4h}$ ) and  $\text{CH}_3$  (provides 1 orbital and 1 electron).



Thus, for example,  $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$ ,  $\text{Co}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{CH}$ ,  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_6\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{C}_4\text{H}_4$  form an isolobal series. Isolobal relationships have a *theoretical* premise and tell us nothing about methods of cluster synthesis.

## 23.6 Total valence electron counts in *d*-block organometallic clusters

The structures of many polynuclear organometallic species are not conveniently described in terms of Wade's rules, and an alternative approach is to consider the *total valence electron count*, also called the *Mingos cluster valence electron count*.

## Single cage structures

Each low oxidation state *metal cluster cage* possesses a characteristic number of valence electrons (ve) as Table 23.5 shows. We shall not describe the MO basis for these numbers, but merely apply them to rationalize observed structures. Look back to [Section 23.2](#) for the numbers of electrons donated by ligands. Any organometallic complex with a triangular  $M_3$  framework requires 48 valence electrons, for example:







- $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$  has  $(3 \times 8) + (12 \times 2) = 48$  ve;

- $H_2Ru_3(CO)_8(\mu\text{-PPh}_2)_2$  has  $(2 \times 1) + (3 \times 8) + (8 \times 2) + (2 \times 3) = 48$  ve;
- $H_3Fe_3(CO)_9(\mu_3\text{-CMe})$  has  $(3 \times 1) + (3 \times 8) + (9 \times 2) + (1 \times 3) = 48$  ve.

Similarly, clusters with tetrahedral or octahedral cages require 60 or 86 valence electrons respectively, for example:

- $Ir_4(CO)_{12}$  has  $(4 \times 9) + (12 \times 2) = 60$  ve;
- $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_4Fe_4(\mu_3\text{-CO})_4$  has  $(4 \times 5) + (4 \times 8) + (4 \times 2) = 60$  ve;

**Table 23.5** Characteristic total valence electron counts for selected low oxidation state metal clusters.

| Cluster framework                       | Diagrammatic representation of the cage   | Valence electron count |
|---|---|------------------------|
| Triangle                                |    | 48                     |
| Tetrahedron                             |    | 60                     |
| Butterfly, or planar raft of four atoms |  | 62                     |
| Square                                  |  | 64                     |
| Trigonal bipyramid                      |  | 72                     |
| Square-based pyramid                    |  | 74                     |
| Octahedron                              |  | 86                     |
| Trigonal prism                          |  | 90                     |

- $\text{Rh}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$  has  $(6 \times 9) + (16 \times 2) = 86$  ve;
- $\text{Ru}_6(\text{CO})_{17}\text{C}$  has  $(6 \times 8) + (17 \times 2) + 4 = 86$  ve.

The last example is of a cage containing an *interstitial atom* (see structure **23.12**) and *contributing all of its valence electrons* to cluster bonding. An interstitial C atom contributes 4 electrons, a B atom, 3, an N or P atom, 5, and so on.

### Worked example 23.5 An application of total valence electrons counts

Suggest what change in cluster structure might accompany the reaction:



Both clusters contain an interstitial N atom which contributes 5 electrons to cluster bonding. The negative charge contributes 1 electron.

Total valence electron count for

$$[\text{Co}_6(\text{CO})_{15}\text{N}]^- = (6 \times 9) + (15 \times 2) + 5 + 1 = 90$$

Total valence electron count for

$$[\text{Co}_6(\text{CO})_{13}\text{N}]^- = (6 \times 9) + (13 \times 2) + 5 + 1 = 86$$

i.e. the loss of two CO ligands corresponds to a loss of 4 electrons, and a change from a trigonal prism to octahedral  $\text{Co}_6$ -cage.

### Self-study exercises


1.  $[\text{Ru}_6(\text{CO})_{17}\text{B}]^-$  and  $[\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}\text{P}]^-$  contain interstitial B and P atoms respectively. Account for the fact that while  $[\text{Ru}_6(\text{CO})_{17}\text{B}]^-$  has an octahedral  $\text{M}_6$  core,  $[\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}\text{P}]^-$  adopts a trigonal prismatic core. [Ans. 86 ve; 90 ve]
2. Rationalize why  $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  has a triangular  $\text{Os}_3$  core but in  $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{12}\text{Br}_2$ , the Os atoms are in a linear arrangement. [Ans. 48 ve; 50 ve]
3. In  $\text{Os}_4(\text{CO})_{16}$ , the Os atoms are arranged in a square, but in  $\text{Os}_4(\text{CO})_{14}$  they form a tetrahedral cluster. Rationalize this observation.

## Condensed cages


Structure **23.29** showed one type of *condensed cluster*. The sub-cluster units are connected either through shared M atoms, M–M edges or  $\text{M}_3$  faces. The total valence electron count for a condensed structure is equal to the total number of electrons required by the sub-cluster units *minus* the electrons associated with the shared unit. The numbers to *subtract* are:

- 18 electrons for a shared M atom;
- 34 electrons for a shared M–M edge;
- 48 electrons for a shared  $\text{M}_3$  face.


Examples of these families of condensed polyhedral clusters are  $\text{Os}_5(\text{CO})_{19}$  (atom-sharing, **23.30**),  $\text{H}_2\text{Os}_5(\text{CO})_{16}$  (edge-sharing, **23.31**) and  $\text{H}_2\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}$  (face-sharing, **23.32**).



(23.30)




(23.31)




(23.32)

### Worked example 23.6 Electron counts in condensed cluster structures

$\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}$  has structure **23.29**, i.e. three face-sharing tetrahedra. Show that this structure is consistent with the number of valence electrons available.



can be represented as three face-sharing tetrahedra:



Valence electron count for three tetrahedra =  $3 \times 60 = 180$   
For each shared face, subtract 48 electrons.

Valence electron count for the bicapped tetrahedron =  $180 - (2 \times 48) = 84$

The number of valence electrons available in  $\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18} = (6 \times 8) + (18 \times 2) = 84$


Thus, the observed structure is consistent with the number of valence electrons available.

### Self-study exercises

1. While  $[\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}]^{2-}$  has an octahedral  $\text{Os}_6$  core, that in  $\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}$  is a capped trigonal bipyramid. Use total valence electron counts to rationalize this difference.
2. The core in  $\text{Os}_5(\text{CO})_{19}$  is shown in structure **23.30**. Show that this shape is consistent with the total valence electron count of the cluster.
3.  $[\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}]^{2-}$  has an octahedral  $\text{Os}_6$ -core, but in  $\text{H}_2\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{18}$ , the  $\text{Os}_6$ -unit is a capped square-based pyramid. Comment on this difference in terms of the total number of valence electrons available for cluster bonding.

## Limitations of total valence counting schemes

For some clusters such as  $\text{Rh}_x$  species, the number of electrons available may not match the number apparently required by the structure adopted. Two examples in rhodium carbonyl chemistry are  $[\text{Rh}_5(\text{CO})_{15}]^-$  and  $[\text{Rh}_9(\text{CO})_{19}]^{3-}$ . The former possesses 76 valence electrons and yet has a trigonal bipyramidal  $\text{Rh}_5$ -core, for which 72 electrons are usual. However, a look at the Rh–Rh bond lengths reveals that six edges are in the range 292–303 pm, while three are 273–274 pm, indicating that the extra electrons have caused bond lengthening. In  $[\text{Rh}_9(\text{CO})_{19}]^{3-}$ , 122 electrons are available but the  $\text{Rh}_9$ -core consists of two face-sharing octahedra for which 124 electrons are required by the scheme outlined above.<sup>†</sup> An example of an unexpected cluster structure is found for  $[\text{H}_5\text{Re}_6(\text{CO})_{24}]^-$ . Rather than adopt a closed-cluster structure, the  $\text{Re}_6$ -unit in  $[\text{H}_5\text{Re}_6(\text{CO})_{24}]^-$  possesses a cyclohexane-like ring with a chair conformation (23.33). Each Re centre obeys the 18-electron rule (each  $\text{Re}(\text{CO})_4$  unit has  $7 + (4 \times 2)$  valence electrons, two Re–Re bonds per Re provide 2 electrons, and the five H atoms with the 1– charge provide 1 electron per Re), but the preference for an open- rather than closed-cluster structure cannot be predicted.



These are but three examples of the limitations of electron-counting schemes. As more clusters are structurally characterized, further exceptions arise providing yet more challenges for the theorist.

## 23.7 Types of organometallic reactions


In this section, we introduce the main types of ligand transformations that take place at metal centres in organometallic compounds:

- ligand substitution;
- oxidative addition (including orthometallation);
- reductive elimination;
- alkyl and hydrogen migration;
- $\beta$ -hydrogen elimination;
- $\alpha$ -hydrogen abstraction.


<sup>†</sup> For detailed discussion, see: D.M.P. Mingos and D.J. Wales (1990) *Introduction to Cluster Chemistry*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

## Substitution of CO ligands




The substitution of a CO ligand by another 2-electron donor (e.g.  $\text{PR}_3$ ) may occur by photochemical or thermal activation, either by direct reaction of the metal carbonyl and incoming ligand, or by first replacing a CO by a more labile ligand such as THF or MeCN. An example of the latter is the formation of  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_5(\text{PPh}_3)$  (equation 23.25) which is most effectively carried out by first making the THF adduct (23.34) *in situ*.



The substitution steps are *dissociative* (see [Chapter 25](#)). The outgoing ligand leaves, creating a 16-electron metal centre which is *coordinatively unsaturated*. The entry of a new 2-electron ligand restores the 18-electron count. Competition between ligands for coordination to the 16-electron centre may be countered by having the incoming ligand (L in equation 23.26) present in excess.



In reaction 23.27, the incoming ligand provides 4 electrons and displaces two CO ligands. Multiple substitution by 2-electron donors is exemplified by reaction 23.28.






## Oxidative addition

*Oxidative addition* reactions are very important in organometallic synthesis. Oxidative addition involves:


- the addition of a molecule XY with cleavage of the X–Y single bond (equation 23.29), addition of a multiply bonded species with reduction in the bond order and

formation of a metallacycle (equation 23.30), addition of a C–H bond in an *orthometallation* step (equation 23.31) or a similar addition;

- oxidation of the metal centre by two units;
- increase in metal coordination number by 2.



Addition of O<sub>2</sub> to give an η<sup>2</sup>-peroxo complex is related to reaction type 23.30. Each addition in equations 23.29–23.31 occurs at a 16-electron metal centre, taking it to an 18-electron centre in the product. Most commonly, the precursor has a *d*<sup>8</sup> or *d*<sup>10</sup> configuration, e.g. Rh(I), Ir(I), Pd(0), Pd(II), Pt(0), Pt(II), and the metal must have an accessible higher oxidation state, e.g. Rh(III). If the starting compound contains an 18-electron metal centre, oxidative addition cannot occur without loss of a 2-electron ligand as in reaction 23.32.




Many examples of the addition of small molecules (e.g. H<sub>2</sub>, HX, RX) are known. The reverse of oxidative addition is *reductive elimination*, e.g. reaction 23.33, in which an acyl substituent is converted to an aldehyde.




Oxidative addition initially gives a *cis*-addition product, but ligand rearrangements can occur and the isolated product may contain the added groups mutually *cis* or *trans*.

## Alkyl and hydrogen migrations


Reaction 23.34 is an example of *alkyl migration*.




The reaction is also called *CO insertion* since the incoming CO molecule seems to have been inserted into the Mn–C<sub>Me</sub> bond: this name is misleading. If reaction 23.34



is carried out using <sup>13</sup>CO, none of the incoming <sup>13</sup>CO ends up in the acyl group or in the position *trans* to the acyl group; the isolated product is **23.36**. Reaction 23.34 involves the *intramolecular* transfer of an alkyl group to the C atom of a CO group which is *cis* to the original alkyl site; the incoming CO occupies the coordination site vacated by the alkyl group. Scheme 23.35 summarizes the process.




Scheme 23.35 implies that the intermediate is a coordinatively unsaturated species. In the presence of a solvent, S, such a species would probably be stabilized as Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>(COMe)(S). In the absence of solvent, a 5-coordinate intermediate is likely to be stereochemically non-rigid (see [Figure 2.13](#) and discussion) and this is inconsistent with the observation of a selective *cis*-relationship between the incoming CO and acyl group. It has been concluded from the results of theoretical studies that the intermediate is stabilized by an *agostic* Mn–H–C interaction (structure **23.37**), the presence of which locks the stereochemistry of the system.<sup>†</sup>



An *agostic* M–H–C interaction is a three-centre two-electron interaction between a metal centre, M, and a C–H bond in a ligand attached to M (e.g. structure **23.37**).


<sup>†</sup> For a more detailed discussion, see: A. Derecskei-Kovacs and D.S. Marynick (2000) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 122, p. 2078.




**Fig. 23.15** The distribution of products from the decarbonylation of  $\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(^{13}\text{CO})\{\text{C}(\text{O})\text{Me}\}$  provides evidence for the migration of the Me group rather than movement of a CO molecule.

The migration of the methyl group is reversible and the *decarbonylation* reaction has been studied with the  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labelled compound; the results are shown in Figure 23.15. The distribution of the products is consistent with the migration of the Me group, and not with a mechanism that involves movement of the ‘inserted’ CO. The reaction products can be monitored using  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy.

The ‘insertion of CO’ into  $\text{M}-\text{C}_{\text{alkyl}}$  bonds is well exemplified in organometallic chemistry, and one industrial example (equation 23.36) is a step in the Monsanto process for the production of acetic acid (see [Section 26.4](#)).





Alkyl migrations are not confined to the formation of acyl groups, and, for example, ‘alkene insertion’ involves the conversion of a coordinated alkene to a  $\sigma$ -bonded alkyl group. Equation 23.37 shows the migration of an *H* atom; related alkyl migrations occur and result in *carbon chain growth*.



### $\beta$ -Hydrogen elimination

The reverse of reaction 23.37 is a  $\beta$ -elimination step. It involves the transfer of a  $\beta$ -H atom (structure **23.38**) from the alkyl group to the metal and the conversion of the  $\sigma$ -alkyl group to a  $\pi$ -bonded alkene, i.e. a C–H bond is activated. The first step is thought to involve a cyclic intermediate **23.39** with an agostic  $\text{M}-\text{H}-\text{C}$  interaction.



$\beta$ -Elimination is responsible for the decomposition of some metal alkyl complexes (equation 23.38), but the reaction may be hindered or prevented by:

- steric hindrance;
- having a coordinatively *saturated* metal centre as in  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{Et}$ ;
- preparing an alkyl derivative which does not possess a  $\beta$ -hydrogen atom.

Examples of  $\sigma$ -bonded alkyl groups that cannot undergo  $\beta$ -elimination because they lack a  $\beta$ -H atom are Me,  $\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3$ ,  $\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3$  and  $\text{CH}_2\text{Ph}$ . Thus, methyl derivatives cannot decompose by a  $\beta$ -elimination route and are usually more stable than their ethyl analogues. This does not mean that methyl derivatives are necessarily stable; the coordinatively unsaturated  $\text{TiMe}_4$  decomposes at 233 K, but the stability can be increased by the formation of 6-coordinate adducts such as  $\text{Ti}(\text{bpy})\text{Me}_4$  and  $\text{Ti}(\text{Me}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PMe}_2)\text{Me}_4$ .

### $\alpha$ -Hydrogen abstraction

Early *d*-block metal complexes containing one or two  $\alpha$ -hydrogen atoms (see **23.38**) may undergo  $\alpha$ -hydrogen

## APPLICATIONS


## Box 23.3 Homogeneous catalysts

Many of the reaction types discussed in *Section 23.7* are represented in the catalytic processes described in *Chapter 26*. Unsaturated (16-electron) metal centres play an important role in catalytic cycles; selected catalysts or catalyst precursors are summarized below.

| Homogeneous catalyst  | Catalytic application  |
|---|--|
| RhCl(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>  | Alkene hydrogenation   |
| <i>cis</i> -[Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> I <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>−</sup>                  | Monsanto acetic acid synthesis; Tennessee–Eastman acetic anhydride process |
| HCo(CO) <sub>4</sub>  | Hydroformylation; alkene isomerization                                     |
| HRh(CO) <sub>4</sub>  | Hydroformylation (only for certain branched alkenes) <sup>‡</sup>          |
| HRh(CO)(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>   | Hydroformylation   |
| [Ru(CO) <sub>2</sub> I <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>−</sup>                              | Homologation of carboxylic acids   |
| [HFe(CO) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>−</sup>  | Water–gas shift reaction   |
| (η <sup>5</sup> -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> TiMe <sub>2</sub> | Alkene polymerization  |
| (η <sup>5</sup> -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ZrH <sub>2</sub>  | Hydrogenation of alkenes and alkynes                                       |
| Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>  | Many laboratory applications including the Heck reaction                   |

<sup>‡</sup> HRh(CO)<sub>4</sub> is more active than HCo(CO)<sub>4</sub> in hydroformylation, but shows a lower regioselectivity (see *equation 26.5* and discussion).

abstraction to yield *carbene* (alkylidene, **23.40**) or *carbyne* (alkylidyne, **23.41**) complexes. The solid state structure of the product of reaction 23.39 confirms differences in the Ta–C bond lengths: 225 pm for Ta–C<sub>alkyl</sub> and 205 pm for Ta–C<sub>carbene</sub>.



Abstraction of a second α-H atom gives a carbyne complex (e.g. reaction 23.40). Other routes to carbenes and carbynes are described in *Section 23.12*.






## Summary

A basic knowledge of the reaction types described in this section allows us to proceed to a discussion of the chemistry of selected organometallic complexes and (in *Chapter 26*) catalysis. Oxidative additions and alkyl migrations in particular are very important in the catalytic processes used in the manufacture of many organic chemicals; selected important organometallic compounds used as catalysts are summarized in *Box 23.3*.

## 23.8 Metal carbonyls: selected reactions

Reactions 23.16–23.21 illustrated conversions of neutral carbonyl compounds to carbonylate anions. Reduction by Na is typically carried out using Na/Hg amalgam; with Na in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>, highly reactive anions can be formed (equations 23.41–23.44).



The IR spectra (see *Section 23.2*) of highly charged anions exhibit absorptions for the terminal CO ligands in regions usually characteristic of bridging carbonyls, e.g. 1680 and 1471 cm<sup>−1</sup> for [Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>4−</sup>, and 1665 cm<sup>−1</sup> for [Ir(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3−</sup>.

The action of alkali on Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> (equation 23.45) gives [HFe(CO)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>−</sup> (**23.42**); nucleophilic attack by [OH]<sup>−</sup> on a CO ligand is followed by Fe–H bond formation and elimination of CO<sub>2</sub>. The [HFe(CO)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>−</sup> ion has a variety of synthetic uses.









**Table 23.6** Selected properties of  $\text{HMn}(\text{CO})_5$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$  and  $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_4$ .

| Property                      | $\text{HMn}(\text{CO})_5$ | $\text{H}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$                                    | $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_4$ |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Physical appearance at 298 K  | Colourless liquid         | Yellow liquid   | Yellow liquid             |
| Stability                     | Stable up to 320 K        | Dec. $\geq 253$ K   | Dec. $> 247$ K (mp)       |
| $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ values | 15.1                      | $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}(1) = 4.4$ ;<br>$\text{p}K_{\text{a}}(2) = 14.0$ | $< 0.4$                   |
| $\delta^1\text{H}$            | -10.7                     | -11.2   | -7.9                      |


and 23.58). Selected reactions of  $[\text{HCr}(\text{CO})_5]^-$  are shown in Figure 23.16.<sup>†</sup>



Methods of forming carbonyl halides include starting from binary metal carbonyls (equations 23.51–23.53) or metal halides (equations 23.59–23.61).



The 16-electron halide complexes *cis*- $[\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{I}_2]^-$  and *trans*- $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})\text{Cl}(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  (Vaska's compound) undergo many oxidative addition reactions and have important catalytic applications (see [Chapter 26](#)). The product of reaction 23.61 is a catalyst precursor for alkene hydrogenation. Vaska's compound readily takes up  $\text{O}_2$  to give the peroxo complex **23.44**.




<sup>†</sup> For an overview of reactions and role of  $[\text{HCr}(\text{CO})_5]^-$  in homogeneous catalysis, see: J.-J. Brunet (2000) *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, p. 1377.

## 23.10 Alkyl, aryl, alkene and alkyne complexes


### $\sigma$ -Bonded alkyl and aryl ligands

Simple  $\sigma$ -bonded organic derivatives of low oxidation state *d*-block metals are generally more reactive than analogous main group metal species. The origin is kinetic rather than thermodynamic: the availability of vacant *3d* atomic orbitals in titanium alkyl complexes means that they (except  $\text{TiMe}_4$ ) readily undergo  $\beta$ -elimination to give alkene complexes (see [Section 23.7](#)).







Alkyl and aryl derivatives can be made by reactions such as 23.62–23.70, the last being an example of an oxidative addition to a 16-electron complex. Choice of alkylating agent can affect the course of the reaction; e.g. whereas  $\text{LiMe}$  is suitable in reaction 23.62, its use instead of  $\text{ZnMe}_2$  in reaction 23.64 would reduce the  $\text{MoF}_6$ .



**Fig. 23.16** Selected reactions of  $[\text{HCr}(\text{CO})_5]^-$ .




**Fig. 23.17** (a) The structure of the anion in Zeise's salt,  $\text{K}[\text{PtCl}_3(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)]$ . The Pt(II) centre can be regarded as being square planar as indicated in the schematic representation [neutron diffraction: R.A. Love *et al.* (1975) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 14, p. 2653]. (b) The structure of  $\text{Pd}(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ ; for clarity, only the *ipso*-C atoms of the Ph rings are shown [X-ray diffraction: V.V. Bashilov *et al.* (1993) *Organometallics*, vol. 12, p. 991]. Colour code: Pt, brown; Pd, blue; C, grey; Cl, green; P, orange; H, white.




Hexamethyltungsten was the first example of a discrete trigonal prismatic complex (Figure 19.6a); it is highly reactive in air and is potentially explosive.

## Alkene ligands



Alkene complexes are often made by displacement of CO or halide ion by an alkene. The formation of *Zeise's salt*,  $\text{K}[\text{PtCl}_3(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)]$  (reaction 23.71), is catalysed by  $\text{SnCl}_2$  with  $[\text{PtCl}_3(\text{SnCl}_3)]^{2-}$  being the intermediate. The  $[\text{PtCl}_3(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)]^-$  ion (Figure 23.17a) contains a square planar (or pseudo-square planar) Pt(II) centre and in the solid state, the ethene ligand lies perpendicular to the coordination 'square plane', thereby minimizing steric interactions.




Addition of an alkene to 16-electron metal complexes is exemplified by reaction 23.72; ethene readily dissociates from  $\text{Ir}(\text{CO})\text{Cl}(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ , but the related complex  $\text{Ir}(\text{CO})\text{Cl}(\eta^2\text{-C}_2(\text{CN})_4)(\text{PPh}_3)_2$  is very stable.




Recent additions to the family of alkene complexes are fullerene derivatives such as  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})(\text{H})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ ,  $\text{Pd}(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$  (Figure 23.17b) and  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Ti}(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})$ . The  $\text{C}_{60}$  cage (see Section 13.4) functions as a polyene with localized C=C bonds, and in  $\text{C}_{60}\{\text{Pt}(\text{PET}_3)_2\}_6$ , six C=C bonds (remote from one another) in the  $\text{C}_{60}$  cage have undergone addition. Reaction 23.73 illustrates  $\text{C}_{60}$ -for-ethene substitution (the 16-electron centre is retained), and reaction 23.74 shows addition to Vaska's compound (a 16- to 18-electron conversion). Equation 23.75 shows the formation of the first fullerene complex of titanium, by fullerene displacement of a coordinated alkyne.






**Fig. 23.18** Schematic representation of the rotation of an  $\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4$  ligand in a complex  $\text{MLL}'(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)$ . The complex is viewed along a line connecting the centre of the C–C bond and the M atom; the M atom is shown in green. Because L and L' are different, rotation of the alkene interchanges the environments of  $\text{H}_1$  and  $\text{H}_4$  with  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{H}_3$ .


Reactions of alkenes with metal carbonyl clusters may give simple substitution products such as  $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{11}(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)$  or may involve oxidative addition of one or more C–H bonds. Reaction 23.76 illustrates the reaction of  $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  with  $\text{RHC}=\text{CH}_2$  ( $\text{R} = \text{alkyl}$ ) to give isomers of  $\text{H}_2\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_9(\text{RCCCH})$  in which the organic ligand acts as a 4-electron donor (one  $\pi$ - and two  $\sigma$ -interactions).



In solution, alkene complexes are often fluxional, with rotation occurring as shown in Figure 23.18. The model compound in the figure contains a mirror plane passing through M, L and L'. The limiting *low*-temperature  $^1\text{H}$  spectrum shows one resonance for  $\text{H}_1$  and  $\text{H}_4$ , and another due to  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{H}_3$ , i.e. a static picture of the molecule. On raising the temperature, the molecule gains sufficient energy for the alkene to rotate and the limiting *high*-temperature spectrum contains one resonance since  $\text{H}_1$ ,  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_3$  and  $\text{H}_4$  become equivalent on the NMR timescale. In  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})\text{Rh}(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$ , two alkene proton signals are observed at 233 K (the different H environments are red and black respectively in **23.45**); at 373 K, the proton environments become equivalent on the NMR spectroscopic timescale as each alkene ligand rotates about the metal–ligand coordinate bond.





Coordinated alkenes may be displaced by other ligands (equation 23.73). Unlike free alkenes, which undergo electrophilic additions, *coordinated* alkenes are more susceptible to nucleophilic attack and many reactions of catalytic importance involve this pathway (see [Chapter 26](#)). Reaction 23.77 shows that addition of a nucleophile,  $\text{R}^-$ , leads to a  $\sigma$ -bonded complex; the mechanism may involve direct attack at one alkene C atom, or attack at the  $\text{M}^{\delta+}$  centre followed by alkyl migration (see [Section 23.7](#)).




## Alkyne ligands

Many mono- and polynuclear organometallic complexes involving alkyne ligands are known. An alkyne  $\text{RC}\equiv\text{CR}$  has *two* fully occupied  $\pi$ -MOs and is potentially a 2- or 4-electron donor. The bonding in a monometallic alkyne complex can be described in a similar manner to that in an alkene complex (see [Section 23.2](#)), but allowing for the participation of the two orthogonal  $\pi$ -MOs. A typical C $\equiv$ C bond length in a free alkyne is 120 pm and, in complexes, this lengthens to  $\approx 124\text{--}137$  pm depending on the mode of bonding. In **23.46**, the C–C bond length (124 pm) is consistent with a weakened triple bond; the alkyne lies perpendicular to the  $\text{PtCl}_2\text{L}$ -plane and occupies one site in the square planar coordination sphere of the Pt(II) centre. A similar example is  $[\text{PtCl}_3(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)]^-$  (equation 23.78). In **23.47**, the alkyne acts as a 4-electron donor, forming a metallacycle; the C–C

bond length (132 pm) is consistent with a double bond. A decrease in the alkyne C–C–C<sub>R</sub> bond angle accompanies the change in bonding mode on going from **23.46** to **23.47**. The addition of an alkyne to Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> (equation 23.79) results in the formation of a Co<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> cluster (Figure 23.19) in which the alkyne C–C bond is lengthened to 136 pm.




The reactions between alkynes and multinuclear metal carbonyls give various product types, with alkyne coupling and alkyne–CO coupling often being observed, e.g. reaction 23.80, in which the organic ligand in the product is a 6-electron donor (two  $\sigma$ - and two  $\pi$ -interactions). Predicting the outcome of such reactions is difficult.



In solution,  $\pi$ -bonded alkynes of the type in structure **23.46** undergo rotations analogous to those of alkenes.

### Self-study exercises

- The solution <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of [K(18-crown-6)[PtCl<sub>3</sub>( $\eta^2$ -MeC≡CMe)] exhibits a singlet at  $\delta$  3.60 and a pseudo-triplet at  $\delta$  2.11 (*J* 32.8 Hz). Assign the signals and explain the origin of the ‘pseudo-triplet’. Sketch the pseudo-triplet and show where the coupling constant of 32.8 Hz is measured.
- [K(18-crown-6)[PtCl<sub>3</sub>( $\eta^2$ -MeC≡CMe)] reacts with ethene to give [K(18-crown-6)[X]]. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the product are as follows: <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  3.63 (singlet), 4.46 (pseudo-triplet, *J* 64.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  68.0 (pseudo-triplet, *J* 191.8 Hz), 70.0 (singlet). Suggest the identity of [X]<sup>−</sup>, and



C–C in Co<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>-unit = 136 pm





**Fig. 23.19** The structure (X-ray diffraction) of Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>(C<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>) [D. Gregson *et al.* (1983) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, vol. 39, p. 1024]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Co, blue; C, grey; O, red.



assign the spectra. [Ans. to both questions: see D. Steinborn *et al.* (1995) *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, vol. 234, p. 47; see Box 19.1]

## 23.11 Allyl and buta-1,3-diene complexes


### Allyl and related ligands

$\pi$ -Allyl and related complexes can be prepared by reactions such as 23.81–23.85; the last two reactions illustrate formation of allyl ligands by deprotonation of coordinated propene, and protonation of coordinated buta-1,3-diene respectively. Reactions 23.82 and 23.83 are examples of pathways that go via  $\sigma$ -bonded intermediates (e.g. **23.48**) which eliminate CO.






In [Figure 23.8](#) we showed the three  $\pi$ -MOs that the  $\pi$ -allyl ligand uses in bonding to a metal centre. In  $\text{Mo}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{H}_6)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)$  ([Figure 23.6b](#)) the central and two outer Mo–C bond lengths in the  $\text{Mo}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3)$  unit are different (221 and 230 pm respectively). This is a typical observation, e.g. 198 and 203 pm respectively for the central and outer Ni–C bonds in  $\text{Ni}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)_2$  ([Figure 23.20](#)). In the latter, the two allyl ligands are staggered. In [Figures 23.6b](#) and [23.20](#), note the orientations of the H atoms with respect to the metal centres; the two H atoms in each terminal  $\text{CH}_2$  group of a coordinated  $\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5$  ligand are non-equivalent. In solution, however, they are often equivalent on the NMR spectroscopic timescale, and this can be rationalized in terms of the  $\eta^3\text{-}\eta^1\text{-}\eta^3$  (i.e.  $\pi\text{-}\sigma\text{-}\pi$ ) rearrangement shown in [scheme 23.86](#). An  $\eta^3\text{-}\eta^1$  rearrangement also features in some reactions of allyl ligands.




### Buta-1,3-diene and related ligands

Photolysis of  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$  with buta-1,3-diene gives complex **23.49**, an orange liquid which loses CO at room temperature to give **23.50**, an air-stable yellow solid. The coordinated diene is difficult to hydrogenate, and does not undergo Diels–Alder reactions characteristic of conjugated dienes. Structural data for **23.50** confirm that the Fe atom is equidistant from each C atom of the ligand; bonding




**Fig. 23.20** The structure (neutron diffraction at 100 K) of  $\text{Ni}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)_2$  and a schematic representation of the complex [R. Goddard *et al.* (1985) *Organometallics*, vol. 4, p. 285]. Colour code: Ni, green; C, grey; H, white.

schemes for the metal–ligand interaction were discussed in [Section 23.2](#).



Iron tricarbonyl complexes of 1,3-dienes (e.g. cyclohexa-1,3-diene) play an important role in organic synthesis; the complexes are stable under a variety of reaction conditions, and iron carbonyls are inexpensive. The  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$  group acts as a protecting group for the diene functionality (e.g. against additions to the C=C bonds), allowing reactions to be carried out on other parts of the organic molecule as illustrated by [reaction 23.87](#).



The presence of the  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$  group also permits reactions with nucleophiles to be carried out at the diene functionality with control of the stereochemistry, the nucleophile being able to attack only on the side of the coordinated diene remote from the metal centre. The organic ligand can be removed in the final step of the reaction.<sup>†</sup>


<sup>†</sup> For detailed discussion of the use of metal carbonyl 1,3-diene complexes in organic synthesis, see: *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, eds E.W. Abel, F.G.A. Stone and G. Wilkinson (1995), Pergamon, Oxford, vol. 12 – Chapter 6.2, W.A. Donaldson, p. 623, and Chapter 6.3, A.J. Pearson, p. 637; L.R. Cox and S.V. Ley (1998) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 27, p. 301 – ‘Tricarbonyl complexes: An approach to acyclic stereocontrol’.

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 23.4 Molecular wires

The ability of chemists to design molecules for electronic applications is becoming a reality and ‘molecular wires’ are a topical area of research. A molecular wire is a molecule capable of transporting charge carriers from one end of the wire to the other. Molecules with extended conjugated systems are prime candidates for molecular wires since the conjugation provides the necessary electronic communication between atomic centres. The molecule must also possess a small band gap. (For details on charge carriers and band gaps, see *Section 5.9*.)

Although commercial applications are still future goals, much progress has been made in the design of potential molecular wires. Molecules so far studied have included organic molecules with conjugated alkyne functionalities, porphyrins connected by alkyne units, chains of connected thiophenes, and a number of organometallic complexes. Examples of the latter are shown below:




## Further reading

- H.L. Anderson (1999) *Chemical Communications*, p. 2323 – ‘Building molecular wires from the colours of life: Conjugated porphyrin oligomers’.
- F. Paul and C. Lapinte (1998) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 178–180, p. 431 – ‘Organometallic molecular wires and other nanoscale-sized devices. An approach



- using the organoiron (dppe)Cp\*Fe building block’ (dppe = Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>).
- N. Robertson and C.A. McGowan (2003) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 32, p. 96 – ‘A comparison of potential molecular wires as components for molecular electronics’.
- M.D. Ward (1996) *Chemistry & Industry*, p. 568 – ‘Current developments in molecular wires’.

## 23.12 Carbene and carbyne complexes


In *Section 23.7*, we introduced carbene and carbyne complexes when we discussed  $\alpha$ -hydrogen abstraction. Equations 23.39 and 23.40 exemplified methods of preparation. Carbenes can also be made by nucleophilic attack on a carbonyl C atom followed by alkylation (equation 23.88).




Compounds of the type formed in reactions such as 23.88 are called *Fischer-type carbenes*; they possess a low oxidation state metal, a heteroatom (O in this example) and an *electrophilic* carbene centre (i.e. subject to attack by nucleophiles, e.g. reaction 23.89). Resonance pair **23.51** gives a bonding description for a Fischer-type carbene complex.




In contrast, *Schrock-type carbenes* are made by reactions such as 23.39, contain an early *d*-block metal in a high oxidation state, and show nucleophilic character (i.e. susceptible to attack by electrophiles, e.g. reaction 23.90). Resonance pair 23.52 describes a Schrock-type carbene complex.




The M–C<sub>carbene</sub> bonds in both Fischer- and Schrock-type complexes are *longer* than typical M–C<sub>CO(terminal)</sub> bonds, but *shorter* than typical M–C single bonds, e.g. in (OC)<sub>5</sub>Cr=C(OMe)Ph, Cr–C<sub>carbene</sub> = 204 pm and Cr–C<sub>CO</sub> = 188 pm. This implies some degree of (*d*–*p*) $\pi$ -character as indicated by resonance structures 23.51 and 23.52. The  $\pi$ -system can be extended to the heteroatom in the Fischer-type system as shown in diagram 23.53.




One route to a carbyne (alkylidyne) complex was reaction 23.40; equation 23.91 illustrates the initial method of Fischer. The abstraction of an  $\alpha$ -H atom from a Schrock-type carbene yields the corresponding carbyne complex (equation 23.92).



M = Cr, Mo, W    X = Cl, Br, I





An M–C<sub>carbyne</sub> bond is typically shorter than an M–C<sub>CO(terminal)</sub> bond, e.g. structure 23.54. The multiple bonding can be considered in terms of an *sp* hybridized C<sub>carbyne</sub> atom, with one M–C  $\sigma$ -interaction (using an *sp*<sub>z</sub> hybrid) and two  $\pi$ -interactions (using the C<sub>carbyne</sub> 2*p*<sub>x</sub> and 2*p*<sub>y</sub> atomic orbitals overlapping with the metal *d*<sub>xz</sub> and *d*<sub>yz</sub> atomic orbitals).





Alkylidyne (carbyne) complexes containing  $\mu_3$ -CR groups interacting with a triangle of metal atoms include

Co<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>( $\mu_3$ -CMe) and H<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>( $\mu_3$ -CMe), and we considered the bonding in such compounds in terms of the isolobal principle in Section 23.5. Reactions 23.93 and 23.94 illustrate methods of introducing  $\mu_3$ -CR groups into clusters; the precursor in reaction 23.94 is unsaturated and contains an Os=Os bond which undergoes additions. The intermediate in this reaction contains a bridging carbene group which undergoes oxidative addition of a C–H bond on heating.



In mononuclear carbyne complexes, the M $\equiv$ C bond undergoes addition reactions, e.g. addition of HCl and alkynes.

Structures 25.55 (Grubbs' catalyst) and 25.56 (a complex developed by Schrock) show two important carbene compounds that are used as catalysts in *alkene (olefin) metathesis*, i.e. metal-catalysed reactions in which C=C bonds are redistributed.<sup>†</sup> Examples include ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) and ring-closing metathesis (RCM). We look at these reactions in detail in Section 26.3.



### 23.13 Complexes containing $\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl ligands

The cyclopentadienyl ligand was discussed in Chapter 18 and in Sections 23.1 and 23.2. Now we look at examples of some of its more important *d*-block metal complexes.


In a *sandwich complex*, the metal centre lies between two  $\pi$ -bonded hydrocarbon (or derivative) ligands. Complexes of the type ( $\eta^5$ -Cp)<sub>2</sub>M are called *metallocenes*.

<sup>†</sup> This definition is taken from: T.M. Trnka and R.H. Grubbs (2001) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 34, p. 18 – 'The development of L<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub>Ru=CHR olefin metathesis catalysts: An organometallic success story'.

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 23.5 The 'ExacTech' pen-meter

The iron-centred redox properties of a ferrocene derivative similar to that shown below are the basis of the 'ExacTech' pen, manufactured by Medisense Inc. The pen's function is to measure glucose levels in people with diabetes. The iron



centre facilitates electron transfer between glucose and glucose oxidase, and a glucose level reading is obtained in about 30 seconds. The lifetime of one pen-meter is about 4000 readings, and one advantage of the design is its ease of use, making it particularly suitable for use by children suffering with diabetes.


## Further reading

M.J. Green and H.A.O. Hill (1986) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions 1*, vol. 82, p. 1237.

H.A.O. Hill (1993) 'Bioelectrochemistry: Making use of the electrochemical behaviour of proteins', *NATO ASI Ser., Ser. C*, vol. 385, p. 133.


## Ferrocene and other metallocenes

The best-known cyclopentadienyl complex is the *sandwich compound* ferrocene,  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}$ ; it is a diamagnetic, orange solid (mp 393 K) which obeys the 18-electron rule (structure 23.25). In the gas phase, the two cyclopentadienyl rings are *eclipsed* (23.57) but the solid exists in several phases in which the rings are co-parallel but in different orientations.





(23.57)

Solving the structure has been hampered by disorder problems. The barrier to rotation of the two rings is low and at 298 K, there is motion even in the solid state. In derivatives of ferrocene with substituents on the Cp rings, the barrier to rotation is higher, and in  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Fe}$ , the two  $\text{C}_5$  rings are staggered in both the gas and solid states. The bonding in  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}$  can be described in terms of the interactions between the  $\pi$ -MOs of the ligands (see [Box 18.2](#)) and the metal  $3d$  atomic orbitals (see [problem 23.19](#)). Ferrocene is oxidized (e.g. by  $\text{I}_2$  or  $\text{FeCl}_3$ ) to the paramagnetic, blue ferrocenium ion,  $[(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}]^+$ . Equation 23.95 gives  $E^\circ$  relative to the standard hydrogen electrode, but the  $\text{Fc}^+/\text{Fc}$  couple is commonly used as a convenient internal, secondary reference electrode (i.e.  $E^\circ$  is defined as 0 V for reference purposes).




Metallocenes of the first row metals are known for V(II), Cr(II), Mn(II), Fe(II), Co(II) and Ni(II); reaction 23.96 is a general synthesis for all except  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{V}$  where the starting chloride is  $\text{VCl}_3$ . Reaction 23.97 gives an alternative synthesis for ferrocene and nickelocene.




The complexes  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{V}$  (air-sensitive, violet solid),  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Cr}$  (air-sensitive, red solid),  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Mn}$  (brown solid, pyrophoric when finely divided),  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Co}$  (very air-sensitive, black solid) and  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Ni}$  (green solid) are paramagnetic;  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Cr}$  and  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Ni}$  have two unpaired electrons. The 19-electron complex  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Co}$  is readily oxidized to the 18-electron  $[(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Co}]^+$ , yellow salts of which are air-stable. Nickelocene is a 20-electron complex and in its reactions (Figure 23.21) often relieves this situation, forming 18-electron complexes. The 19-electron cation  $[(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Ni}]^+$  forms when  $[(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Ni}]$  reacts with  $[\text{H}(\text{OEt}_2)_2][\text{B}(3,5\text{-}(\text{CF}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4]$  (*Brookhart's acid*). In both crystalline  $[(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Ni}]$  and  $[(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Ni}]^+[\text{B}(3,5\text{-}(\text{CF}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4]^-$ , the cyclopentadienyl rings are mutually eclipsed. Manganocene, unlike the other metallocenes, is dimorphic; the room-temperature form is polymeric and structurally similar to  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Pb}$  ([Figure 18.16c](#)), while the high-temperature form is structurally related to ferrocene.

The chemistry of ferrocene dominates that of the other metallocenes; it is commercially available and large numbers of derivatives are known. The rings in  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}$  possess aromatic character, and selected reactions are shown in Figure 23.22. That protonation occurs at the Fe(II) centre is indicated by the appearance of a signal at  $\delta$




**Fig. 23.21** Selected reactions of nickelocene,  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Ni}$ .

$-2.1$  in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $[(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{FeH}]^+$ . The exchange of an  $\eta^5\text{-Cp}$  ring for an  $\eta^6\text{-arene}$  ligand (equation 23.98) is accompanied by a change in overall charge so that the Fe atom remains an 18-electron centre.




### $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_4$ and derivatives

Reactions between metal carbonyls and cyclopentadiene usually yield mixed ligand complexes, e.g.  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$  reacts with  $\text{C}_5\text{H}_6$  to give  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_4$ . Two isomers of  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_4$  exist, *cis* (**23.58**) and *trans* (**23.59**), and both have been confirmed in the solid state. The Fe–Fe bond length (253 pm) is consistent with a single bond giving each Fe centre 18 electrons.



In solution at 298 K, both the *cis*- and *trans*-forms are present and the terminal and bridging ligands exchange by




**Fig. 23.22** Selected reactions of ferrocene,  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}$ .

## APPLICATIONS


Box 23.6 Enantioselectivity in the preparation of the herbicide *S*-Metolachlor

Each year, Novartis manufactures  $\approx 10\,000$  tonnes of the herbicide *S*-Metolachlor, the synthesis of which is shown in

the scheme below. The key to enantioselectivity is the first step of *asymmetric hydrogenation*.



The hydrogenation step is catalysed by an iridium(I) complex containing the chiral ferrocenyl bisphosphine ligand shown below. The ligand coordinates to the Ir(I) centre through the two *P*-donor atoms, and the complete catalyst



system comprises Ir(I), the ferrocenyl ligand,  $I^-$  and  $H_2SO_4$ . The 80% ee is not as high as would be required for, say, chiral drug synthesis, but is adequate for the production of a herbicide. Chiral catalysts play a vital role in directing asymmetric syntheses, and the % ee is highly sensitive to the choice of chiral ligand; 'ee' is explained in *Section 26.4*. The manufacture of *S*-Metolachlor provides an example of an industrial application of one *particular* chiral ferrocenyl bisphosphine ligand.

## Further reading





More about asymmetric syntheses in *Section 26.4*.



A. Togni (1996) *Angewandte Chemie, International Edition in English*, vol. 35, p. 1475 – 'Planar-chiral ferrocenes: Synthetic methods and applications'.

D.L. Lewis *et al.* (1999) *Nature*, vol. 401, p. 898 – 'Influence of environmental changes on degradation of chiral pollutants in soils'.

an intramolecular process. Above 308 K, *cis*  $\rightarrow$  *trans* isomerism occurs, probably through an unbridged intermediate; the *cis*-isomer can be obtained by crystallization at low temperatures.

The dimer  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_4$  is commercially available and is a valuable starting material in organometallic chemistry. Reactions with Na or halogens cleave the Fe–Fe bond (equations 23.99 and 23.100) giving useful organometallic reagents, reactions of which are exemplified in equations 23.101–23.104.






## 23.14 Complexes containing $\eta^6$ - and $\eta^7$ -ligands

### $\eta^6$ -Arene ligands

Arenes such as benzene and toluene can act as  $6\pi$ -electron donors as illustrated in equations 23.98 and 23.104. A wide range of arene complexes exist, and sandwich complexes can be made by co-condensation of metal and arene vapours (equation 23.105) or by reaction 23.106.




## APPLICATIONS

### Box 23.7 Zirconocene derivatives as catalysts

The development of Ziegler–Natta-type catalysts (see **Box 18.3** and **Section 26.7**) has, since the 1980s, included the use of zirconocene derivatives. In the presence of methylaluminoxane  $[\text{MeAl}(\mu\text{-O})]_n$  as a co-catalyst, compounds **A**, **B** and **C** (shown below) are active catalysts for propene polymerization. Compounds **A** and **B** are chiral because of the relative orientations of the two halves of the organic ligand. A racemic mixture of **A** facilitates the formation of *isotactic* polypropene, while use of catalyst **C** results in *syndiotactic* polypropene (see footnote in **Section 26.7** for


definitions of syndiotactic, isotactic and atactic). If  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{ZrCl}_2$  is used, *atactic* polypropene is produced. Such catalysts are commercially available. The active species in the catalyst system is a cation of the general type  $[\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrR}]^+$ , and such cations are now being used directly as polymerization catalysts. Formed by protonolysis, oxidative Zr–R cleavage, or abstraction of  $\text{R}^-$  from  $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrR}_2$  (e.g.  $\text{R} = \text{Me}$ ),  $[\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrR}]^+$  reagents are active catalysts *without* the need for addition of the methylaluminoxane co-catalyst.




Zirconocene derivatives are used to catalyse a range of organic hydrogenation and C–C bond-forming reactions. In the presence of methylaluminoxane, chiral complex **A** catalyses asymmetric hydrogenations (see **Section 26.4**), with the active species being a cationic zirconium hydrido complex.

### Further reading


- Encyclopedia of Reagents in Organic Synthesis* (1995), ed. L.A. Paquette, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 4, p. 2445.  
*Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II* (1995), eds E.W. Abel, F.G.A. Stone and G. Wilkinson, Pergamon, Oxford, vol. 4, p. 618.



The group 6 metals form air-sensitive 18-electron complexes  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)_2\text{M}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Cr}, \text{Mo}, \text{W}$ ). In the solid state, the two benzene rings in  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)_2\text{Cr}$  are eclipsed (**23.60**); the C–C bonds are equal in length (142 pm) and slightly longer than in free benzene (140 pm). The bonding can be described in terms of the interaction between the  $\pi$ -MOs of the ligands (Figure 23.23) and the metal 3d atomic orbitals, with the occupied ligand  $\pi$ -MOs acting as donors and the vacant MOs functioning as acceptors.




Surprisingly, the brown Cr complex is easily oxidized by  $\text{I}_2$  to the 17-electron, air-stable yellow  $[(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)_2\text{Cr}]^+$ . The ease of oxidation precludes  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)_2\text{Cr}$  from undergoing electrophilic substitution reactions. Electrophiles oxidize  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)_2\text{Cr}$  to  $[(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)_2\text{Cr}]^+$  which does not react further. The lithiated derivative  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Li})_2\text{Cr}$  can be




**Fig. 23.23** The  $\pi$ -molecular orbitals of  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$ ; the energy scale is arbitrary. The symmetry labels apply to  $D_{6h}$   $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$ ; these labels are not applicable to the ligand in a complex of other symmetry.




made by reaction of  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)_2\text{Cr}$  with  ${}^n\text{BuLi}$  (compare with the lithiation of ferrocene, Figure 23.22) and is a precursor to other derivatives.



The reaction of  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$  or  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})_3$  with benzene gives the *half-sandwich complex*  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_3$  (**23.61**), and related complexes can be made similarly. The  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_3$  unit in  $(\eta^6\text{-arene})\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_3$  complexes withdraws electrons from the arene ligand making it *less* susceptible to electrophilic attack than the free arene, but *more* susceptible to attack by nucleophiles (reaction 23.107).




As in  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)_2\text{Cr}$ , the benzene ligand in  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_3$  can be lithiated (equation 23.108) and then derivatized (scheme 23.109). The reactivity of half-sandwich complexes is not confined to sites within the  $\pi$ -bonded ligand: equation 23.110 illustrates substitution of a CO ligand for  $\text{PPh}_3$ .





### Cycloheptatriene and derived ligands

Cycloheptatriene (**23.62**) can act as a  $6\pi$ -electron donor, and in its reaction with  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_6$ , it forms  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_7\text{H}_8)\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3$ . The solid state structure of this complex (Figure 23.24a) confirms that the ligand coordinates as a triene, the ring being folded with the  $\text{CH}_2$  group bent away from the metal




**Fig. 23.24** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[(\eta^6\text{-C}_7\text{H}_8)\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3]$  [J.D. Dunitz *et al.* (1960) *Helv. Chim. Acta*, vol. 43, p. 2188] and (b)  $[(\eta^7\text{-C}_7\text{H}_7)\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3]^+$  in the  $[\text{BF}_4]^-$  salt [G.R. Clark *et al.* (1973) *J. Organomet. Chem.*, vol. 50, p. 185]. Colour code: Mo, orange; C, grey; O, red; H, white.

centre. Reaction 23.111 shows the abstraction of  $\text{H}^-$  from coordinated  $\eta^6\text{-C}_7\text{H}_8$  to give the planar  $[\eta^7\text{-C}_7\text{H}_7]^+$  ion, **23.63** (the cycloheptatrienyl cation),<sup>†</sup> which has an aromatic  $\pi$ -system and retains the ability of cycloheptatriene to act as a  $6\pi$ -electron donor.




The planarity of the  $[\text{C}_7\text{H}_7]^+$  ligand has been confirmed in the structure of the ion  $[(\eta^7\text{-C}_7\text{H}_7)\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3]^+$  (Figure 23.24b); all the C–C bond lengths are close to 140 ppm in contrast to the variation observed in  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_7\text{H}_8)\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3$  (Figure 23.24a).


In the complex  $(\eta^4\text{-C}_7\text{H}_8)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$ , cycloheptatriene acts as a diene, giving the Fe(0) centre its required 18 electrons. Equation 23.112 shows that deprotonation generates a coordinated  $[\text{C}_7\text{H}_7]^-$  ligand which bonds in an  $\eta^3$  manner, allowing the metal to retain 18 electrons. At room temperature, the  $[\text{C}_7\text{H}_7]^-$  ligand is fluxional, and on the NMR spectroscopic timescale, the  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$  unit effectively ‘visits’ every carbon atom.



In  $[\text{C}_7\text{Me}_7][\text{BF}_4]$ , the cation is *non-planar* as a result of steric hindrance between the methyl groups. The introduction of methyl substituents affects the way in which  $[\text{C}_7\text{R}_7]^+$  ( $\text{R}=\text{H}$  or  $\text{Me}$ ) coordinates to a metal centre. Schemes 23.113 and 23.114 show two related reactions that lead to different types of products; the  $\text{C}_7$ -ring adopts an  $\eta^7$ -mode in the absence of steric crowding, and an  $\eta^5$ -mode when the methyl groups are sterically congested. The differing numbers of EtCN or CO ligands in the products in the two schemes are consistent with the W centre satisfying the 18-electron rule.





<sup>†</sup> The non-systematic name for the cycloheptatrienyl cation is the *tropylium cation*.




### 23.15 Complexes containing the $\eta^4$ -cyclobutadiene ligand

Cyclobutadiene,  $C_4H_4$ , is anti-aromatic (i.e. it does not have  $4n + 2$   $\pi$ -electrons) and readily polymerizes. However, it can be stabilized by coordination to a low oxidation state metal centre. Yellow crystalline  $(\eta^4-C_4H_4)Fe(CO)_3$  is made by reaction 23.115 and its solid state structure (Figure 23.25a) shows that (in contrast to the free ligand in which the double bonds are localized) the C–C bonds in coordinated  $C_4H_4$  are of equal length.



(a)




(b)


**Fig. 23.25** (a) The structure (X-ray diffraction) of  $(\eta^4-C_4H_4)Fe(CO)_3$  [P.D. Harvey *et al.* (1988) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 27, p. 57]. (b) The  $\pi$ -molecular orbitals of  $C_4H_4$  in which the ligand geometry is as in its complexes, i.e. a square  $C_4$  framework; the symmetry labels apply to  $D_{4h}$   $C_4H_4$ ; these labels are not applicable to the ligand in a complex of other symmetry. Colour code: Fe, green; C, grey; O, red; H, white.

A  $C_4H_4$  ligand with the geometry found in its complexes, i.e. a square  $C_4$  framework, has the  $\pi$ -MOs shown in Figure 23.25b and is *paramagnetic*. However,  $(\eta^4-C_4H_4)Fe(CO)_3$  is *diamagnetic* and this provides evidence for pairing of electrons between ligand and metal: a  $C_{3v}$   $Fe(CO)_3$  fragment also has two unpaired electrons (Figure 23.14).

Cyclobutadiene complexes can also be formed by the cycloaddition of alkynes as in reaction 23.116.



In its reactions, *coordinated* cyclobutadiene exhibits aromatic character, undergoing electrophilic substitution, e.g. Friedel–Crafts acylation. A synthetic application of  $(\eta^4-C_4H_4)Fe(CO)_3$  in organic chemistry is as a stable source of cyclobutadiene; oxidation releases the ligand making it available for reaction with, for example, alkynes as in scheme 23.117.



### Glossary

The following terms were introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- organometallic compound
- hapticity of a ligand

- Dewar–Chatt–Duncanson model
- synergic effect
- Tolman cone angle
- 18-electron rule
- condensed polyhedral cluster
- isolobal principle
- polyhedral skeletal electron pair theory (PSEPT)
- capping principle (within Wade's rules)
- total valence electron counts (for metal frameworks)
- reductive carbonylation
- ligand substitution
- oxidative addition
- orthometallation
- reductive elimination
- alkyl and hydrogen migration
- CO insertion
- $\beta$ -hydrogen elimination
- agostic M–H–C interaction
- $\alpha$ -hydrogen abstraction
- carbene (alkylidene)
- carbyne (alkylidyne)
- sandwich complex
- metallocene
- half-sandwich complex

### Further reading

- M. Bochmann (1994) *Organometallics 1: Complexes with Transition Metal–Carbon  $\sigma$ -Bonds*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – This and the companion book (see below) give a concise introduction to organometallic chemistry.
- M. Bochmann (1994) *Organometallics 2: Complexes with Transition Metal–Carbon  $\pi$ -Bonds*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – see above.
- Ch. Elschenbroich and A. Salzer (1992) *Organometallics*, 2nd edn, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – An excellent text which covers both main group and transition metal organometallic chemistry.
- G. Frenking (2001) *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 635, p. 9 – An assessment of the bonding in d-block metal complexes including carbonyls which considers the relative importance of  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$ , as well as electrostatic, contributions to the metal–ligand bonds.
- A.F. Hill (2002) *Organotransition Metal Chemistry*, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge – A detailed and well-organized, basic text that complements our coverage in this chapter.
- R.B. King, ed. (1994) *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, Wiley, Chichester – The organometallic chemistry of each d-

- block metal discussed is covered in separate articles under the element name.
- S. Komiyama, ed. (1997) *Synthesis of Organometallic Compounds: A Practical Guide*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – A book emphasizing methods of synthesis and handling of air-sensitive compounds.
- P.L. Pauson (1993) ‘Organo-iron compounds’ in *Chemistry of Iron*, ed. J. Silver, Blackie Academic, Glasgow, p. 73 – A good summary of ferrocene chemistry and of other organo-iron complexes.
- R.R. Schrock (2001) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 2541 – an overview of ‘Transition metal–carbon multiple bonds’.
- A. Togni and R.L. Halterman, eds (1998) *Metallocenes*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – A two-volume book covering synthesis, reactivity and applications of metallocenes.
- A. Togni and T. Hayashi, eds (1995) *Ferrocenes. Homogeneous Catalysis, Organic Synthesis, Materials Science*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – Excellent survey of ferrocene applications.
- G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, eds (1982) *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, Pergamon, Oxford – A series of volumes reviewing the literature up to  $\approx$ 1981.
- G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, eds (1995) *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, Pergamon, Oxford – An update of the previous set of volumes which provides an excellent entry into the literature.
- H. Willner and F. Aubke (1997) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 36, p. 2403 – A review of binary carbonyl cations of metals in groups 8 to 12.

### Organometallic clusters of the d-block metals

- C.E. Housecroft (1996) *Metal–Metal Bonded Carbonyl Dimers and Clusters*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- D.M.P. Mingos and D.J. Wales (1990) *Introduction to Cluster Chemistry*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- D.F. Shriver, H.D. Kaesz and R.D. Adams, eds. (1990) *The Chemistry of Metal Cluster Complexes*, VCH, New York.
- M.D. Vargas and J.N. Nicholls (1986) ‘High nuclearity clusters: Their syntheses and reactivity’ in *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry and Radiochemistry*, vol. 30, p. 123.


### Fluxionality in organometallic complexes and uses of NMR spectroscopy

- J.W. Faller (1994) ‘Stereochemical nonrigidity of organometallic complexes’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 7, p. 3914.
- B.E. Mann (1982) ‘Non-rigidity in organometallic compounds’ in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, eds G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, vol. 3, p. 89.
- W. von Phillipsborn (1999) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 28, p. 95 – ‘Probing organometallic structure and reactivity by transition metal NMR spectroscopy’.

### Problems

- 23.1** (a) Explain the meaning of the following notations:  $\mu$ -CO;  $\mu_4$ -PR;  $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>;  $\eta^4$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>;  $\mu_3$ -H. (b) Why can the cyclopentadienyl and CO ligands be regarded as being versatile in their bonding modes? (c) Is PPh<sub>3</sub> a ‘versatile ligand’?
- 23.2** What is a synergic effect, and how does it relate to metal–carbonyl bonding?
- 23.3** Comment on the following:

- (a) Infrared spectra of  $[\text{V}(\text{CO})_6]^-$  and  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$  show absorptions at 1859 and  $1981\text{ cm}^{-1}$  respectively assigned to  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ , and 460 and  $441\text{ cm}^{-1}$  assigned to  $\nu_{\text{MC}}$ .
- (b) The Tolman cone angles of  $\text{PPh}_3$  and  $\text{P}(4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4)_3$  are both  $145^\circ$ , but that of  $\text{P}(2\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4)_3$  is  $194^\circ$ .
- (c) Before reaction with  $\text{PPh}_3$ ,  $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  may be treated with  $\text{Me}_3\text{NO}$  in MeCN.
- (d) In the complex  $[\text{Os}(\text{en})_2(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_2)]^{2+}$  the  $\text{Os}-\text{C}_{\text{ethyne}}-\text{H}_{\text{ethyne}}$  bond angle is  $127^\circ$ .
- 23.4** (a) Draw a structure corresponding to the formula  $[(\text{CO})_2\text{Ru}(\mu\text{-H})(\mu\text{-CO})(\mu\text{-Me}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{PMe}_2)_2\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_2]^+$ .  
 (b) The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of the complex in part (a) contains a quintet centred at  $\delta -10.2$ . Assign the signal and explain the origin of the observed multiplicity.
- 23.5** Rationalize the following observations.  
 (a) On forming  $[\text{IrBr}(\text{CO})(\eta^2\text{-C}_2(\text{CN})_4)(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ , the C–C bond in  $\text{C}_2(\text{CN})_4$  lengthens from 135 to 151 pm.  
 (b) During the photolysis of  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_5(\text{THF})$  with  $\text{PPh}_3$ , a signal in the  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum at  $\delta -6$  disappears and is replaced by one at  $\delta +37$ .  
 (c) On going from  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$  to  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ , absorptions in the IR spectrum at 2025 and  $2000\text{ cm}^{-1}$  are replaced by bands at 1944, 1886 and  $1881\text{ cm}^{-1}$ .
- 23.6** Draw out a bonding scheme (similar to that in Figure 23.7b) for the interaction of an  $\eta^3$ -allyl ligand with a low oxidation state metal centre.
- 23.7** Show that the metal centres in the following complexes obey the 18-electron rule:  
 (a)  $(\eta^2\text{-Cp})\text{Rh}(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)(\text{PMe}_3)$ ;  
 (b)  $(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)_2\text{Rh}(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\text{Rh}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)_2$ ;  
 (c)  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ ;  
 (d)  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3(\eta^4\text{-CH}_2\text{CHCHCH}_2)$ ;  
 (e)  $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ ;  
 (f)  $[\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_4]^-$ ;  
 (g)  $[(\eta^5\text{-Cp})\text{CoMe}(\text{PMe}_3)_2]^+$ ;  
 (h)  $\text{RhCl}(\text{H})_2(\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{H}_4)(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ .
- 23.8** Reaction of  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$  with  $\text{Na}_2[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4]$  in THF gives a salt  $\text{Na}_2[\text{A}]$  and CO. The Raman spectrum of  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{A}]$  shows an absorption at  $160\text{ cm}^{-1}$  assigned to an unbridged Fe–Fe bond. Suggest an identity and structure for  $[\text{A}]^{2-}$ .
- 23.9** Suggest possible structures for the cation in  $[\text{Fe}_2(\text{NO})_6][\text{PF}_6]_2$  and state how you would attempt to distinguish between them experimentally.
- 23.10** Comment on the following observations:  
 (a) In the IR spectrum of free  $\text{MeCH}=\text{CH}_2$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{C}=\text{C}}$  comes at  $1652\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , but in the complex  $\text{K}[\text{PtCl}_3(\eta^2\text{-MeCH}=\text{CH}_2)]$ , the corresponding absorption is at  $1504\text{ cm}^{-1}$ .  
 (b) At 303 K, the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})(\eta^1\text{-Cp})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2$  shows two singlets.
- 23.11** Use Wade's rules (PSEPT) to suggest structures for  $\text{Os}_7(\text{CO})_{21}$  and  $[\text{Os}_8(\text{CO})_{22}]^{2-}$ .
- 23.12** For each of the following clusters, confirm that the total valence electron count is consistent with the metal cage framework adopted: (a)  $[\text{Ru}_6(\text{CO})_{18}]^{2-}$ , octahedron;
- (b)  $\text{H}_4\text{Ru}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$ , tetrahedron; (c)  $\text{Os}_5(\text{CO})_{16}$ , trigonal bipyramid; (d)  $\text{Os}_4(\text{CO})_{16}$ , square; (e)  $\text{Co}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-CCl})$ , triangle; (f)  $\text{H}_2\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-PPh})$ , triangle; (g)  $\text{HRu}_6(\text{CO})_{17}\text{B}$ , octahedron; (h)  $\text{Co}_3(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3(\text{CO})_3$ , triangle; (i)  $\text{Co}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{Ni}(\eta^5\text{-Cp})$ , tetrahedron.
- 23.13** (a)  $\text{Os}_5(\text{CO})_{18}$  has a metal framework consisting of three edge-sharing triangles (a *raft* structure). Show that the valence electron count for this raft is consistent with the number available. (b) Figure 23.26 shows the metal core of  $[\text{Ir}_8(\text{CO})_{22}]^{2-}$ . What would be an appropriate electron-counting scheme for this cluster?



**Fig. 23.26** Figure for problem 23.13b.


- 23.14** Suggest products in the following reactions, and give likely structures for the products: (a)  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$  irradiated with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ ; (b)  $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  with  $\text{Na}/\text{Hg}$ ; (c)  $\text{Na}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]$  with  $\text{ONCl}$ ; (d)  $\text{Na}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]$  with  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ ; (e)  $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$  with  $\text{PPh}_3$ .
- 23.15** In Section 23.7, we stated that the distribution of the products in Figure 23.15 is consistent with the migration of the Me group, and not with a mechanism that involves movement of the 'inserted' CO. Confirm that this is true by determining the distribution of products for the latter mechanism and comparing it with that for the former mechanism.
- 23.16** Illustrate, with examples, what is meant by (a) oxidative addition, (b) reductive elimination, (c)  $\alpha$ -hydrogen abstraction, (d)  $\beta$ -hydrogen elimination, (e) alkyl migration and (f) orthometallation.
- 23.17** Discuss the following statements:  
 (a) Complexes  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3\text{L}$  where L is a 1,3-diene have applications in organic synthesis.  
 (b) The fullerenes  $\text{C}_{60}$  and  $\text{C}_{70}$  form a range of organometallic complexes.  
 (c)  $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$  are related by the isolobal principle.
- 23.18** Explain why scheme 23.86 is invoked to explain the equivalence of the H atoms in each terminal  $\text{CH}_2$  group of an  $\eta^3$ -allyl ligand, rather than a process involving rotation about the metal–ligand coordination axis.
- 23.19** With reference to Box 18.2, develop a qualitative bonding scheme for  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}$ .
- 23.20** Suggest products in the following reactions: (a) excess  $\text{FeCl}_3$  with  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}$ ; (b)  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}$  with  $\text{PhC}(\text{O})\text{Cl}$  in the presence of  $\text{AlCl}_3$ ; (c)  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{Fe}$  with toluene in the presence of Al and  $\text{AlCl}_3$ ; (d)  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{Cl}$  with  $\text{Na}[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4]$ .
- 23.21** In the reaction of ferrocene with  $\text{MeC}(\text{O})\text{Cl}$  and  $\text{AlCl}_3$ , how could one distinguish between the products  $\text{Fe}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{C}(\text{O})\text{Me})_2$  and

$(\eta^5\text{-Cp})\text{Fe}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{C}(\text{O})\text{Me})$  by methods other than elemental analysis and X-ray crystallography?

- 23.22** The reaction of  $[(\text{C}_6\text{Me}_6)\text{RuCl}_2]_2$  (**A**) with  $\text{C}_6\text{Me}_6$  in the presence of  $\text{AgBF}_4$  gives  $[(\text{C}_6\text{Me}_6)_2\text{Ru}][\text{BF}_4]_2$  containing cation **B**. Treatment of this compound with Na in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  yields a neutral Ru(0) complex, **C**. Suggest structures for **A**, **B** and **C**.
- 23.23** (a) Suggest structures for the complexes  $\text{LFe}(\text{CO})_3$  where  $\text{L} = 2,5\text{-norbornadiene}$  (**23.21**) or cycloheptatriene.  
 (b) How is the bonding mode of the cycloheptatriene ligand affected on going from  $\text{LFe}(\text{CO})_3$  to  $\text{LMo}(\text{CO})_3$ ?  
 (c) For  $\text{L} = \text{cycloheptatriene}$ , what product would you expect from the reaction of  $\text{LMo}(\text{CO})_3$  and  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{C}][\text{BF}_4]$ ?
- 23.24** Describe the bonding in  $(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{H}_4)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$ , accounting for the diamagnetism of the complex.


## Overview problems

- 23.25** Comment on each of the following statements.
- (a)  $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$  adopts a staggered conformation in the solid state, whereas  $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$  adopts an eclipsed conformation.
- (b) In anions of type  $[\text{M}(\text{CO})_4]^{n-}$ ,  $n = 1$  for  $\text{M} = \text{Co}$ , but  $n = 2$  for  $\text{M} = \text{Fe}$ .
- (c) The reaction of benzoyl chloride with  $[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{N}][\text{HCr}(\text{CO})_5]$  which has first been treated with MeOD, produces PhCDO.
- 23.26** (a) Confirm that  $\text{H}_2\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$  has sufficient valence electrons to adopt a triangular metal framework. Do the modes of bonding of the CO and H ligands affect the total valence electron count? Comment on the fact that  $\text{H}_2\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{10}$  also has a triangular  $\text{Os}_3$ -core.  
 (b) The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of  $\text{H}_2\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$  in deuterated toluene at 183 K shows two major signals (relative integrals 1:1) at  $\delta -10.46$  and  $-20.25$ ; both are doublets with  $J = 2.3$  Hz. The signals are assigned to the terminal and bridging H atoms, respectively, in the structure shown below:



The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum also exhibits two pairs of low-intensity signals:  $\delta -12.53$  and  $-18.40$  (both doublets,  $J = 17.1$  Hz) and  $\delta -8.64$  and  $-19.42$  (no coupling resolved). These signals are assigned to two other isomers of  $\text{H}_2\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ . From other NMR spectroscopic experiments, it is possible to show that the two H atoms in each isomer are attached to the same Os centre. Suggest structures for the minor isomers that are consistent with the NMR spectroscopic data.

- 23.27** (a) The cluster  $\text{H}_3\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$  **B** contains an interstitial B atom and has an  $\text{Os}_6$  cage derived from a pentagonal bipyramid with one equatorial vertex missing. Comment on this structure in terms of both Wade's rules and a total valence electron count for the cluster.  
 (b) Give a description of the bonding in  $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_6]^{3+}$  and compare it with that in the isoelectronic compound  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_6$ . How would you expect the IR spectra of these species to differ in the carbonyl stretching region?
- 23.28** Reduction of  $\text{Ir}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  with Na in THF yields the salt  $\text{Na}[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_x]$  (**A**) which has a strong absorption in its IR spectrum (THF solution) at  $1892\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Reduction of **A** with Na in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ , followed by addition of  $\text{Ph}_3\text{SnCl}$  and  $\text{Et}_4\text{NBr}$ , gives  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}][\text{B}]$  as the iridium-containing product; CO is lost during the reaction. Elemental analysis of  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}][\text{B}]$  shows that it contains 51.1% C, 4.55% H and 1.27% N. The IR spectrum of  $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}][\text{B}]$  shows one strong absorption in the carbonyl region at  $1924\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and the solution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum exhibits multiplets between  $\delta 7.1$  and  $7.3$  (30H), a quartet at  $\delta 3.1$  (8H) and a triplet at  $\delta 1.2$  (12H). Suggest structures for **A** and  $[\text{B}]^-$ . Comment on possible isomerism in  $[\text{B}]^-$  and the preference for a particular isomer.
- 23.29** Suggest possible products for the following reactions:



# Chapter 24

## The *f*-block metals: lanthanoids and actinoids

### TOPICS

- *f*-Orbitals
- Oxidation states
- Atom and ion sizes and the lanthanoid contraction
- Spectroscopic and magnetic properties
- Sources of the lanthanoids and actinoids
- Lanthanoid metals
- Inorganic, coordination and organometallic compounds of the lanthanoids
- Actinoid metals
- Inorganic, coordination and organometallic compounds of thorium, uranium and plutonium

|                 |   |                 |       |                 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|---|-----------------|-------|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1–2             | 3 | 4–12            | 13–18 |                 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |  |
| <i>s</i> -block |   |                 |       | <i>p</i> -block |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   | <i>d</i> -block |       |                 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   | La              |       |                 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   | Ac              |       |                 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   | Ce              | Pr    | Nd              | Pm | Sm | Eu | Gd | Tb | Dy | Ho | Er | Tm | Yb | Lu |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   | Th              | Pa    | U               | Np | Pu | Am | Cm | Bk | Cf | Es | Fm | Md | No | Lr |  |  |  |  |  |

### 24.1 Introduction

In this chapter we look at *f*-block metals and their compounds. There are two series of metals: the *lanthanoids* (the 14 elements that follow lanthanum in the periodic table) and the *actinoids* (the 14 elements following actinium).<sup>†</sup> The lanthanoids and actinoids (Table 24.1) are collectively known as the *inner transition metals*, while scandium, yttrium, lanthanum and the lanthanoids are together called the *rare earth metals*. Although La and Ac are strictly group 3 metals, the chemical similarity of La to the elements

Ce–Lu, and of Ac to Th–Lr, means that La is commonly classified with the lanthanoids, and Ac with the actinoids.

The symbol Ln is often used to refer generically to the elements La–Lu.

The lanthanoids resemble each other much more closely than do the members of a row of *d*-block metals. The chemistry of the actinoids is more complicated, and in addition, only Th and U have naturally occurring isotopes. Studies of the *transuranium elements* (those with  $Z > 92$ ) require specialized techniques. The occurrence of artificial isotopes among the *f*-block elements can be seen from [Appendix 5](#): all the actinoids are unstable with respect to radioactive decay (see [Section 24.9](#)), although the half-lives of the most abundant isotopes of thorium and uranium ( $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{238}\text{U}$ ,  $t_{1/2} = 1.4 \times 10^{10}$  and  $4.5 \times 10^9$  yr respectively) are

<sup>†</sup> The IUPAC recommends the names lanthanoid and actinoid in preference to lanthanide and actinide; the ending '-ide' usually implies a negatively charged ion.

**Table 24.1** Lanthanum, actinium and the *f*-block elements. Ln is used as a general symbol for the metals La–Lu.

| Element name | Symbol | <i>Z</i> | Ground state electronic configuration                |                                      |                      |                     | Radius / pm |                    |
|--------------|--------|----------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|
|              |        |          | Ln   | Ln <sup>2+</sup>                     | Ln <sup>3+</sup>     | Ln <sup>4+</sup>    | Ln          | Ln <sup>3+ ‡</sup> |
| Lanthanum    | La     | 57       | [Xe]6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>1</sup>                  | [Xe]5d <sup>1</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>0</sup>  |                     | 188         | 116                |
| Cerium       | Ce     | 58       | [Xe]4f <sup>1</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>1</sup>  | [Xe]4f <sup>2</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>1</sup>  | [Xe]4f <sup>0</sup> | 183         | 114                |
| Praseodymium | Pr     | 59       | [Xe]4f <sup>3</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>3</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>2</sup>  | [Xe]4f <sup>1</sup> | 182         | 113                |
| Neodymium    | Nd     | 60       | [Xe]4f <sup>4</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>4</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>3</sup>  |                     | 181         | 111                |
| Promethium   | Pm     | 61       | [Xe]4f <sup>5</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>5</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>4</sup>  |                     | 181         | 109                |
| Samarium     | Sm     | 62       | [Xe]4f <sup>6</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>6</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>5</sup>  |                     | 180         | 108                |
| Europium     | Eu     | 63       | [Xe]4f <sup>7</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>7</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>6</sup>  |                     | 199         | 107                |
| Gadolinium   | Gd     | 64       | [Xe]4f <sup>7</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>1</sup>  | [Xe]4f <sup>7</sup> 5d <sup>1</sup>  | [Xe]4f <sup>7</sup>  |                     | 180         | 105                |
| Terbium      | Tb     | 65       | [Xe]4f <sup>9</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>9</sup>                  | [Xe]4f <sup>8</sup>  | [Xe]4f <sup>7</sup> | 178         | 104                |
| Dysprosium   | Dy     | 66       | [Xe]4f <sup>10</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup>                 | [Xe]4f <sup>10</sup>                 | [Xe]4f <sup>9</sup>  | [Xe]4f <sup>8</sup> | 177         | 103                |
| Holmium      | Ho     | 67       | [Xe]4f <sup>11</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup>                 | [Xe]4f <sup>11</sup>                 | [Xe]4f <sup>10</sup> |                     | 176         | 102                |
| Erbium       | Er     | 68       | [Xe]4f <sup>12</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup>                 | [Xe]4f <sup>12</sup>                 | [Xe]4f <sup>11</sup> |                     | 175         | 100                |
| Thulium      | Tm     | 69       | [Xe]4f <sup>13</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup>                 | [Xe]4f <sup>13</sup>                 | [Xe]4f <sup>12</sup> |                     | 174         | 99                 |
| Ytterbium    | Yb     | 70       | [Xe]4f <sup>14</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup>                 | [Xe]4f <sup>14</sup>                 | [Xe]4f <sup>13</sup> |                     | 194         | 99                 |
| Lutetium     | Lu     | 71       | [Xe]4f <sup>14</sup> 6s <sup>2</sup> 5d <sup>1</sup> | [Xe]4f <sup>14</sup> 5d <sup>1</sup> | [Xe]4f <sup>14</sup> |                     | 173         | 98                 |

| Element name | Symbol | <i>Z</i> | Ground state electronic configuration                |                      |                      | Radius / pm       |                   |
|--------------|--------|----------|--|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|              |        |          | M  | M <sup>3+</sup>      | M <sup>4+</sup>      | M <sup>3+ *</sup> | M <sup>4+ ‡</sup> |
| Actinium     | Ac     | 89       | [Rn]6d <sup>1</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup>                  | [Rn]5f <sup>0</sup>  |                      | 111               | 99                |
| Thorium      | Th     | 90       | [Rn]6d <sup>2</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup>                  | [Rn]5f <sup>1</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>0</sup>  |                   | 94                |
| Protactinium | Pa     | 91       | [Rn]5f <sup>2</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup> 6d <sup>1</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>2</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>1</sup>  | 104               | 90                |
| Uranium      | U      | 92       | [Rn]5f <sup>3</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup> 6d <sup>1</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>3</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>2</sup>  | 103               | 89                |
| Neptunium    | Np     | 93       | [Rn]5f <sup>4</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup> 6d <sup>1</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>4</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>3</sup>  | 101               | 87                |
| Plutonium    | Pu     | 94       | [Rn]5f <sup>6</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup>                  | [Rn]5f <sup>5</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>4</sup>  | 100               | 86                |
| Americium    | Am     | 95       | [Rn]5f <sup>7</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup>                  | [Rn]5f <sup>6</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>5</sup>  | 98                | 85                |
| Curium       | Cm     | 96       | [Rn]5f <sup>7</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup> 6d <sup>1</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>7</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>6</sup>  | 97                | 85                |
| Berkelium    | Bk     | 97       | [Rn]5f <sup>9</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup>                  | [Rn]5f <sup>8</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>7</sup>  | 96                | 83                |
| Californium  | Cf     | 98       | [Rn]5f <sup>10</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup>                 | [Rn]5f <sup>9</sup>  | [Rn]5f <sup>8</sup>  | 95                | 82                |
| Einsteinium  | Es     | 99       | [Rn]5f <sup>11</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup>                 | [Rn]5f <sup>10</sup> | [Rn]5f <sup>9</sup>  |                   |                   |
| Fermium      | Fm     | 100      | [Rn]5f <sup>12</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup>                 | [Rn]5f <sup>11</sup> | [Rn]5f <sup>10</sup> |                   |                   |
| Mendelevium  | Md     | 101      | [Rn]5f <sup>13</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup>                 | [Rn]5f <sup>12</sup> | [Rn]5f <sup>11</sup> |                   |                   |
| Nobelium     | No     | 102      | [Rn]5f <sup>14</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup>                 | [Rn]5f <sup>13</sup> | [Rn]5f <sup>12</sup> |                   |                   |
| Lawrencium   | Lr     | 103      | [Rn]5f <sup>14</sup> 7s <sup>2</sup> 6d <sup>1</sup> | [Rn]5f <sup>14</sup> | [Rn]5f <sup>13</sup> |                   |                   |

‡ Ionic radius is for an 8-coordinate ion.

\* Ionic radius is for a 6-coordinate ion.

so long that for many purposes their radioactivity can be neglected.

The *transuranium elements* are those with atomic number higher than that of uranium ( $Z > 92$ ).

## 24.2 *f*-Orbitals and oxidation states

For an *f*-orbital, the quantum numbers are  $n = 4$  or  $5$ ,  $l = 3$  and  $m_l = +3, +2, +1, 0, -1, -2, -3$ ; a set of *f*-orbitals is seven-fold degenerate. *f*-Orbitals are *ungerade*.

A set of *f*-orbitals is seven-fold degenerate and there is more than one way to represent them. The *cubic set* is commonly

used and is readily related to tetrahedral, octahedral and cubic ligand fields. The cubic set comprises the  $f_{x^3}, f_{y^3}, f_{z^3}, f_{xyz}, f_{z(x^2-y^2)}, f_{y(z^2-x^2)}$  and  $f_{x(z^2-y^2)}$  atomic orbitals, and Figure 24.1 shows representations of the  $f_{z^3}$  and  $f_{xyz}$  orbitals and indicates how the remaining five atomic orbitals are related to them.† Figure 24.1c emphasizes how the directionalities of the lobes of the  $f_{xyz}$  atomic orbital relate to the corners of a cube. Each *f* orbital contains three nodal planes.

The valence shell of a lanthanoid element contains 4*f* orbitals and that of an actinoid, 5*f* atomic orbitals. The ground state electronic configurations of the *f*-block

† Three-dimensional representations of the *f* orbitals can be viewed using the following Website: <http://www.shef.ac.uk/chemistry/orbitron/AOs/4f>



## Self-study exercises

1. Explain why the metallic radii of Ru and Os are similar, whereas the value of  $r_{\text{metal}}$  for Fe is smaller than  $r_{\text{metal}}$  for Ru. [Ans. see Section 22.3]
2. Comment, with reasoning, on how you expect the trend in radii for the lanthanoid  $M^{3+}$  ions between  $\text{La}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Lu}^{3+}$  to vary. [Ans. see Table 24.1 and discussion of lanthanoid contraction]
3. Why is a discussion in the trend of ionic radii for the first row *d*-block metal ions less simple than a discussion of that of the  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  ions? [Ans. see entries for Sc–Zn in Appendix 6, and for  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  ions in Table 24.1]
4. The coordination environment of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  in  $[\text{Nd}(\text{CO}_3)_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{5-}$  is a monocapped square antiprism. What is the coordination number of the  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  ion? Suggest how this coordination number is attained, and sketch a possible structure for  $[\text{Nd}(\text{CO}_3)_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{5-}$ . [Ans. see W. Runde *et al.* (2000) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 39, p. 1050.]

## 24.4 Spectroscopic and magnetic properties

## Electronic spectra and magnetic moments: lanthanoids

The reader should refer to [Box 20.6](#) for term symbols for free atoms and ions. The interpretation of the electronic spectra of  $4f^n$  ions is based on the principles outlined for *d*-block metal ions ([Section 20.6](#)) but there are important differences. For the lanthanoids, spin–orbit coupling is more important than crystal field splitting, and terms differing only in *J* values are sufficiently different in energy to be separated in the electronic spectrum. Further, since  $l = 3$  for an *f* electron,  $m_l$  may be 3, 2, 1, 0, –1, –2 or –3, giving rise to high values of *L* for some  $f^n$  ions: e.g. for the configuration  $f^2$ , application of Hund's rules gives the ground state (with  $L = 5$ ,  $S = 1$ ) as  $^3H_4$ . Since *S*, *P*, *D*, *F* and *G* terms are also possible, many of them with different positive values of *J*, the number of possible transitions is large, even after taking into account the limitations imposed by selection rules. As a result,


## APPLICATIONS

## Box 24.1 Neodymium lasers

The word *laser* stands for 'light amplification by stimulated emission of radiation'. A laser produces beams of monochromated, very intense radiation in which the radiation waves are coherent. The principle of a laser is that of *stimulated emission*: an excited state can decay spontaneously to the ground state by emitting a photon, but in a laser, the emission is stimulated by an incoming photon of the same energy as the emission. The advantages of this over spontaneous emission are that (i) the energy of emission is exactly defined, (ii) the radiation emitted is in phase with the radiation used to stimulate it, and (iii) the emitted radiation is coherent with the stimulating radiation. Further, because their properties are identical, the *emitted as well as the stimulating* radiation can stimulate further decay, and so on, i.e. the stimulating radiation has been *amplified*.

A neodymium laser consists of a YAG rod (see [Section 22.2](#)) containing a low concentration of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$ . At each end of the rod is a mirror, one of which can also transmit radiation. An initial irradiation from an external source *pumps* the system, exciting the  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  ions which then spontaneously relax to the longer-lived  $^4F_{3/2}$  excited state (see diagram). That the lifetime of the  $^4F_{3/2}$  is relatively long is essential, allowing there to be a *population inversion* of ground and excited states. Decay to the  $^4I_{11/2}$  state is the *laser transition*, and is stimulated by a photon of the correct energy. As the

diagram shows, the neodymium laser is a *four level laser*. The mirror system in the laser allows the radiation to be reflected between the ends of the rod until a high-intensity beam is eventually emitted. The wavelength of the emission from the neodymium laser is usually 1064 nm (i.e. in the infrared), but *frequency doubling* can give lasers emitting at 532 nm.



## Further reading

P. Atkins and J. de Paula (2002) *Atkins' Physical Chemistry*, 7th edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford, p. 553.

**Table 24.3** Colours of aqua complexes of  $\text{La}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$ , and observed and calculated magnetic moments for the  $\text{M}^{3+}$  ions.

| Metal ion        | Colour     | Ground state electronic configuration | Ground state term symbol | Magnetic moment, $\mu$ (298 K) / $\mu_B$ |           |
|------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------|
|                  |            |                                       |                          | Calculated                               | Observed  |
| $\text{La}^{3+}$ | Colourless | $[\text{Xe}]4f^0$                     | $^1S_0$                  | 0  | 0         |
| $\text{Ce}^{3+}$ | Colourless | $[\text{Xe}]4f^1$                     | $^2F_{5/2}$              | 2.54                                     | 2.3–2.5   |
| $\text{Pr}^{3+}$ | Green      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^2$                     | $^3H_4$                  | 3.58                                     | 3.4–3.6   |
| $\text{Nd}^{3+}$ | Lilac      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^3$                     | $^4I_{9/2}$              | 3.62                                     | 3.5–3.6   |
| $\text{Pm}^{3+}$ | Pink       | $[\text{Xe}]4f^4$                     | $^5I_4$                  | 2.68                                     | 2.7       |
| $\text{Sm}^{3+}$ | Yellow     | $[\text{Xe}]4f^5$                     | $^6H_{5/2}$              | 0.84                                     | 1.5–1.6   |
| $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ | Pale pink  | $[\text{Xe}]4f^6$                     | $^7F_0$                  | 0  | 3.4–3.6   |
| $\text{Gd}^{3+}$ | Colourless | $[\text{Xe}]4f^7$                     | $^8S_{7/2}$              | 7.94                                     | 7.8–8.0   |
| $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ | Pale pink  | $[\text{Xe}]4f^8$                     | $^7F_6$                  | 9.72                                     | 9.4–9.6   |
| $\text{Dy}^{3+}$ | Yellow     | $[\text{Xe}]4f^9$                     | $^6H_{15/2}$             | 10.63                                    | 10.4–10.5 |
| $\text{Ho}^{3+}$ | Yellow     | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{10}$                  | $^5I_8$                  | 10.60                                    | 10.3–10.5 |
| $\text{Er}^{3+}$ | Rose pink  | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{11}$                  | $^4I_{15/2}$             | 9.58                                     | 9.4–9.6   |
| $\text{Tm}^{3+}$ | Pale green | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{12}$                  | $^3H_6$                  | 7.56                                     | 7.1–7.4   |
| $\text{Yb}^{3+}$ | Colourless | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{13}$                  | $^2F_{7/2}$              | 4.54                                     | 4.4–4.9   |
| $\text{Lu}^{3+}$ | Colourless | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}$                  | $^1S_0$                  | 0  | 0         |

spectra of  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  ions often contain large numbers of absorptions. Since the  $4f$  electrons are well shielded and not affected by the environment of the ion, bands arising from  $f$ – $f$  transitions are sharp (rather than broad like  $d$ – $d$  absorptions) and their positions in the spectrum are little affected by complex formation. Intensities of the absorptions are low, indicating that the probabilities of the  $f$ – $f$  transitions are low, i.e. little  $d$ – $f$  mixing. Absorptions due to  $4f$ – $5d$  transitions are broad and are affected by ligand environment. Small amounts of some lanthanoid salts are used in phosphors for television tubes (see *luminescence* below) because of the sharpness of their electronic transitions.

In the electronic spectra of lanthanoid metal ions, absorptions due to  $f$ – $f$  transitions are *sharp*, but bands due to  $4f$ – $5d$  transitions are *broad*.

Typical colours of  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  ions in aqueous solution are listed in Table 24.3. Usually (but not invariably)  $f^n$  and  $f^{14-n}$  species have similar colours.

The bulk magnetic moments (see [Section 20.8](#)) of  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  ions are given in Table 24.3. In general, experimental values agree well with those calculated from formulae (see [equation 20.14](#)) based on the assumption of Russell–Saunders coupling and large spin–orbit coupling constants, as a consequence of which only the states of lowest  $J$  value are populated. This is *not* true for  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ , and not quite true for  $\text{Sm}^{3+}$ . For  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ , the spin–orbit coupling constant  $\lambda$  is  $\approx 300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , only slightly greater than  $kT$  ( $\approx 200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ); the ground state of the  $f^6$  ion is  $^7F_0$  (which is diamagnetic, since  $J = 0$ ), but the states  $^7F_1$  and  $^7F_2$  are also populated to some extent and give rise to the observed magnetic moment. As expected, at low temperatures,

the moment of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  approaches zero. The variation of  $\mu$  with  $n$  (number of unpaired electrons) in Table 24.3 arises from the operation of Hund's third rule (see [Box 20.6](#)):  $J = L - S$  for a shell less than half full but  $J = L + S$  for a shell more than half full; accordingly,  $J$  and  $g_J$  (see [equation 20.15](#)) for ground states are both larger in the second half than the first half of the lanthanoid series.

#### Worked example 24.1 Determining the term symbol for the ground state of an $\text{Ln}^{3+}$ ion

Determine the term symbol for the ground state of  $\text{Ho}^{3+}$ .

Refer to [Box 20.6](#) for a review of term symbols. Two general points should be noted:

- The term symbol for the ground state of an atom or ion is given by  $^{(2S+1)}L_J$ , and the value of  $L$  (the total angular momentum) relates to the term symbols as follows:

| $L$         | 0   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Term symbol | $S$ | $P$ | $D$ | $F$ | $G$ | $H$ | $I$ |

- From Hund's third rule ([Box 20.6](#)), the value of  $J$  for the ground state is given by  $(L - S)$  for a sub-shell that is *less* than half-filled, and by  $(L + S)$  for a sub-shell that is *more* than half-filled.

Now consider  $\text{Ho}^{3+}$ .  $\text{Ho}^{3+}$  has an  $f^{10}$  electronic configuration. The  $f$  orbitals have values of  $m_l$  of  $-3, -2, -1, 0, +1,$

+2, +3 and the lowest energy arrangement (by Hund's rules, [Box 20.6](#)) is:

| $m_l$ | +3 | +2 | +1 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 |
|-------|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|
|       | ↑↓ | ↑↓ | ↑↓ | ↑ | ↑  | ↑  | ↑  |

There are four unpaired electrons.

Total spin quantum number,  $S = 4 \times \frac{1}{2} = 2$

Spin multiplicity,  $2S + 1 = 5$

Resultant orbital quantum number,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \text{sum of } m_l \text{ values} \\ &= (2 \times 3) + (2 \times 2) + (2 \times 1) - 1 - 2 - 3 \\ &= 6 \end{aligned}$$

This corresponds to an *I* state.

The highest value of the resultant inner quantum number,  $J = (L + S) = 8$

Therefore, the term symbol for the ground state of  $\text{Ho}^{3+}$  is  $^5I_8$ .

### Self-study exercises

1. Confirm that the term symbol for the ground state of  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$  is  $^2F_{5/2}$ .
2. Confirm that  $\text{Er}^{3+}$  has a term symbol for the ground state of  $^4I_{15/2}$ .
3. Why do  $\text{La}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Lu}^{3+}$  both have the term symbol  $^1S_0$  for the ground state?

### Worked example 24.2 Calculating the effective magnetic moment of a lanthanoid ion

Calculate a value for the effective magnetic moment,  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ , of  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$ .

The value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  can be calculated using [equation 20.14](#):

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = g\sqrt{J(J+1)}$$

where

$$g = 1 + \frac{S(S+1) - L(L+1) + J(J+1)}{2J(J+1)}$$

$\text{Ce}^{3+}$  has an  $f^1$  electronic configuration.

$$S = 1 \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$L = 3 \quad (\text{see worked example 24.1})$$

The sub-shell is less than half-filled, therefore

$$J = (L - S) = 3 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g &= 1 + \frac{S(S+1) - L(L+1) + J(J+1)}{2J(J+1)} \\ &= 1 + \left[ \frac{(\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{3}{2}) - (3 \times 4) + (\frac{5}{2} \times \frac{7}{2})}{2(\frac{5}{2} \times \frac{7}{2})} \right] = \frac{6}{7} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\text{eff}} &= g\sqrt{J(J+1)} \\ &= \frac{6}{7} \sqrt{(\frac{5}{2} \times \frac{7}{2})} = 2.54 \mu_{\text{B}} \end{aligned}$$

### Self-study exercises

1. Comment on why the spin-only formula is not appropriate for estimating values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for lanthanoid metal ions.
2. Confirm that the estimated value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$  is  $4.54 \mu_{\text{B}}$ .
3.  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  has an  $f^6$  electronic configuration and yet the calculated value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  is 0. Explain how this result arises.

## Luminescence of lanthanoid complexes

Irradiation with UV light of many  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  complexes causes them to fluoresce. In some species, low temperatures are required to observe the phenomenon. Their fluorescence leads to the use of lanthanoids in phosphors in televisions and fluorescent lighting. The origin of the fluorescence is  $4f-4f$  transitions, no transitions being possible for  $f^0$ ,  $f^7$  (spin-forbidden) and  $f^{14}$ . Irradiation produces  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  in an excited state which decays to the ground state either with emission of energy (observed as fluorescence) or by a non-radiative pathway. The ions that are commercially important for their emitting properties are  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  (red emission) and  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  (green emission).

## Electronic spectra and magnetic moments: actinoids

The spectroscopic and magnetic properties of actinoids are complicated and we mention them only briefly. Absorptions due to  $5f-5f$  transitions are weak, but they are somewhat broader and more intense (and considerably more dependent on the ligands present) than those due to  $4f-4f$  transitions. The interpretation of electronic spectra is made difficult by the large spin-orbit coupling constants (about twice those of the lanthanoids) as a result of which the Russell-Saunders coupling scheme partially breaks down.


Magnetic properties show an overall similarity to those of the lanthanoids in the variation of magnetic moment with the number of unpaired electrons, but values for isoelectronic lanthanoid and actinoid species, e.g.  $\text{Np(VI)}$  and  $\text{Ce(III)}$ ,  $\text{Np(V)}$  and  $\text{Pr(III)}$ ,  $\text{Np(IV)}$  and  $\text{Nd(III)}$ , are lower for the actinoids, indicating partial quenching of the orbital contribution by crystal field effects.

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 24.2 Commercial demand for the rare earth metals

The world's resources of rare earth metals lie mainly in deposits of bastnäsite in China and the US. The chart

below shows that mine production of the ore from China dominates the world's output.



[Data: US Geological Survey; data for individual countries termed above as 'Former Soviet Union' (e.g. Kazakhstan and Russia) are not available.]

The demand for the rare earth metals increased over the last two decades of the 20th century, and the demand for cerium oxides in motor vehicle catalytic converters (see *equations 26.39* and *26.40*) has been a major contributing factor. In 2001, the major use (34% of the total consumption) for rare earth metals in the US was in glass polishing and ceramics. Petroleum catalysts and catalytic converters

accounted for 16% and 15%, respectively, and 14% was used for additives to metal alloys. The remaining applications were in phosphors in televisions, lighting, computer monitors and radar (9%), permanent magnets (8%) and miscellaneous uses (4%). Only small amounts of the rare earth metals are recycled, most originating from scrapped permanent magnets.

## 24.5 Sources of the lanthanoids and actinoids


### Occurrence and separation of the lanthanoids

All the lanthanoids except Pm occur naturally. The most stable isotope of promethium,  $^{147}\text{Pm}$  ( $\beta$ -emitter,  $t_{1/2} = 2.6$  yr) is formed as a product of the fission of heavy nuclei and is obtained in mg amounts from products of nuclear reactors.


*Bastnäsite* and *monazite* are the main ores for La and the lanthanoids. All the metals (excluding Pm) can be obtained from *monazite*, a mixed phosphate (Ce,La,Nd,Pr,Th,Y...) $\text{PO}_4$ . *Bastnäsite*, (Ce,La...) $\text{CO}_3\text{F}$ , is a source of the lighter lanthanoids. The first step in extraction of the metals from monazite is removal of phosphate and thorium. The ore is heated with caustic soda, and, after cooling,  $\text{Na}_3\text{PO}_4$  is dissolved in water. The residual hydrated Th(IV) and Ln(III) oxides are treated with hot, aqueous HCl;  $\text{ThO}_2$  is not dissolved, but the Ln(III) oxides give a solution of  $\text{MCl}_3$  ( $\text{M} = \text{La}, \text{Ce} \dots$ ) which is then purified. Starting from bastnäsite, the ore is treated with dilute HCl to remove  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , and then converted to an

aqueous solution of  $\text{MCl}_3$  ( $\text{M} = \text{La}, \text{Ce} \dots$ ). The similarity in ion size and properties of the lanthanoids makes separation difficult. Modern methods of separating the lanthanoids involve solvent extraction using  $(^n\text{BuO})_3\text{PO}$  (see *Box 6.3*) or ion exchange (see *Section 10.6*).

A typical cation-exchange resin is sulfonated polystyrene or its  $\text{Na}^+$  salt. When a solution containing  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  ions is poured on to a resin column, the cations exchange with the  $\text{H}^+$  or  $\text{Na}^+$  ions (equation 24.1).



The equilibrium distribution coefficient between the resin and the aqueous solution ( $[\text{Ln}^{3+}(\text{resin})]/[\text{Ln}^{3+}(\text{aq})]$ ) is large for all the ions, but is nearly constant. The resin-bound  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  ions are now removed using a complexing agent such as  $\text{EDTA}^{4-}$  (see *equation 6.75*). The formation constants of the  $\text{EDTA}^{4-}$  complexes of the  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  ions increase regularly from  $10^{15.3}$  for  $\text{La}^{3+}$  to  $10^{19.2}$  for  $\text{Lu}^{3+}$ . If a column on which all the  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  ions have been absorbed is eluted with dilute aqueous  $\text{H}_4\text{EDTA}$ , and the pH adjusted to 8 using  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{Lu}^{3+}$  is preferentially complexed, then  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$ , and so on. By using a long ion-exchange column, 99.9% pure components can be separated (Figure 24.2).




**Fig. 24.2** A representation of the order in which EDTA<sup>4-</sup> complexes of the heavier lanthanoids are eluted from a cation-exchange column.

## The actinoids

With the exception of Th and U, the actinoids are man-made, produced by nuclear reactions (see [Chapter 2](#)). Radiation hazards of all but Th and U lead to technical difficulties in studying actinoid compounds, and conventional experimental techniques are not generally applicable.

Uranium and thorium are isolated from natural sources. Thorium is extracted from monazite as ThO<sub>2</sub> (see above), and the most important source of uranium is *pitchblende* (U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>). The uranium ore is heated with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in the presence of an oxidizing agent to give the sulfate salt of the uranyl cation, [UO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, which is separated on an anion-exchange resin, eluting with HNO<sub>3</sub> to give [UO<sub>2</sub>][NO<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>. After further work-up, the uranium is precipitated as the oxo-peroxo complex UO<sub>2</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>)·2H<sub>2</sub>O or as ‘yellow cake’ (approximate composition [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[U<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>]). Thermal decomposition gives yellow UO<sub>3</sub> which is converted to UF<sub>4</sub> ([reactions 2.19](#) and [2.20](#)); reduction with Mg yields U metal.

The isotopes <sup>227</sup>Ac and <sup>231</sup>Pa can be isolated from the decay products of <sup>235</sup>U in pitchblende, but are better synthesized by nuclear reactions [24.2](#) and [24.3](#).



[Equation 2.17](#) showed the syntheses of <sup>239</sup>Np and <sup>239</sup>Pu; lengthy irradiation of <sup>239</sup>Pu in a nuclear pile leads to the successive formation of small quantities of <sup>240</sup>Pu, <sup>241</sup>Pu, <sup>242</sup>Pu and <sup>243</sup>Pu. The last is a β<sup>-</sup>-emitter (*t*<sub>1/2</sub> = 5 h) and decays to <sup>243</sup>Am (*t*<sub>1/2</sub> = 7400 yr) which gives <sup>244</sup>Cm by sequence [24.4](#).



Both <sup>243</sup>Am and <sup>244</sup>Cm are available on a 100 g scale, and multiple neutron capture followed by β<sup>-</sup>-decay yields milligram amounts of <sup>249</sup>Bk, <sup>252</sup>Cf, <sup>253</sup>Es and <sup>254</sup>Es, plus microgram amounts of <sup>257</sup>Fm. Synthesis of the heaviest actinoids was detailed in [Section 2.6](#). In [Box 24.3](#), we highlight an everyday use of <sup>241</sup>Am.

## 24.6 Lanthanoid metals


Lanthanum and the lanthanoids, except Eu, crystallize in one or both of the close-packed structures; Eu has a bcc lattice and the value of *r*<sub>metal</sub> given in [Table 24.1](#) can be adjusted to 205 pm for 12-coordination (see [Section 5.5](#)). It is important to notice in [Table 24.1](#) that Eu and Yb have much larger metallic radii than the other lanthanoids, implying that Eu and Yb (which have well-defined lower oxidation states) contribute fewer electrons to M–M bonding. This is consistent with the lower values of Δ<sub>a</sub>*H*<sup>0</sup>: Eu and Yb, 177 and 152 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> respectively, compared with the other lanthanoids (206–430 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest

## APPLICATIONS


### Box 24.3 Detecting smoke with <sup>241</sup>Am

Commercial smoke detectors may function using a photoelectric detector or an ionization chamber. An ionization detector consists of two plates across which a voltage (supplied by a battery) is applied (see diagram). One plate has a hole in it, and under the hole lies a small quantity (typically 2 × 10<sup>-4</sup> g) of <sup>241</sup>Am, an α-particle emitter with *t*<sub>1/2</sub> = 432 yr. As the α-particles enter the chamber, they ionize atmospheric gas molecules resulting in X<sup>+</sup> ions which are attracted to the negatively charged plate, and electrons which migrate to the positively charged plate. A current flows which is calibrated to correspond to a smoke-free zone.

When smoke enters the chamber, the current changes as ions interact with the smoke particles. The sensor is equipped with an alarm which is triggered when a change in current is detected.




in this range (206 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) belongs to Sm; like Eu and Yb, Sm also has a well-defined lower oxidation state, but unlike Eu and Yb, Sm shows no anomaly in its metallic radius. Further, Eu and Yb, but not Sm, form blue solutions in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> due to reaction 24.5 (see [Section 8.6](#)).



All the lanthanoids are soft white metals. The later metals are passivated by an oxide coating and are kinetically more inert than the earlier metals. Values of  $E^\circ$  for half-reaction 24.6 lie in the range  $-2.0$  to  $-2.4$  V, and the small variation indicates that variations in  $\Delta_a H^\circ$ ,  $IE$  values and  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}} H^\circ$  (which are considerable) effectively cancel out.




All the metals liberate H<sub>2</sub> from dilute acids or steam. They burn in air to give Ln<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with the exception of Ce which forms CeO<sub>2</sub>. When heated, lanthanoids react with H<sub>2</sub> to give a range of compounds between metallic (i.e. conducting) hydrides LnH<sub>2</sub> (best formulated as Ln<sup>3+</sup>(H<sup>-</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(e<sup>-</sup>)) and saline hydrides LnH<sub>3</sub>. Non-stoichiometric hydrides are typified by ‘GdH<sub>3</sub>’ which actually has compositions in the range GdH<sub>2.85–3</sub>. Europium forms only EuH<sub>2</sub>. The alloy LaNi<sub>5</sub> is a potential ‘hydrogen storage vessel’ (see [Section 9.7](#) and [Box 9.2](#)) since it reversibly absorbs H<sub>2</sub> (equation 24.7).



The carbides Ln<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub> and LnC<sub>2</sub> are formed when the metals are heated with carbon. The LnC<sub>2</sub> carbides adopt the same structure as CaC<sub>2</sub> (see [Section 13.7](#)), but the C–C bonds (128 pm) are significantly lengthened (119 pm in CaC<sub>2</sub>). They are metallic conductors and are best formulated as Ln<sup>3+</sup>[C<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>(e<sup>-</sup>). Lanthanoid borides were discussed in [Section 12.10](#); halides are described below.

## 24.7 Inorganic compounds and coordination complexes of the lanthanoids

The discussion in this section is necessarily selective. Most of the chemistry concerns the +3 oxidation state, with Ce(IV) being the only stable +4 state (equation 24.8).




The +2 oxidation state is well defined for Eu, Sm and Lu. The estimated  $E^\circ$  values for the Sm<sup>3+</sup>/Sm<sup>2+</sup> and Yb<sup>3+</sup>/Yb<sup>2+</sup> couples are  $-1.5$  and  $-1.1$  V respectively, indicating that Sm(II) and Yb(II) are highly unstable with respect to oxidation even by water. For the Eu<sup>3+</sup>/Eu<sup>2+</sup> couple, the  $E^\circ$  value ( $-0.35$  V) is similar to that for Cr<sup>3+</sup>/Cr<sup>2+</sup> ( $-0.41$  V) and colourless Eu(II) solutions can be used for chemical studies if air is excluded.

## Halides

Reactions of F<sub>2</sub> with Ln give LnF<sub>3</sub> for all the metals and, for Ce, Pr and Tb, also LnF<sub>4</sub>. CeF<sub>4</sub> can also be made by reaction 24.9, or at room temperature in anhydrous HF (equation 24.10). Improved routes to PrF<sub>4</sub> and TbF<sub>4</sub> (equations 24.11 and 24.12) occur slowly, but quantitatively; the oxide ‘Tb<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>’ is actually a two-phase mixture of Tb<sub>7</sub>O<sub>12</sub> and Tb<sub>11</sub>O<sub>20</sub>.



With Cl<sub>2</sub>, Br<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>2</sub>, LnX<sub>3</sub> are formed. However, the general route to LnX<sub>3</sub> is by reaction of Ln<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with aqueous HX; this gives the hydrated halides, LnX<sub>3</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>x</sub> ( $x = 6$  or  $7$ ). The anhydrous trichloride is usually made by dehydrating LnCl<sub>3</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>x</sub> using SOCl<sub>2</sub> or NH<sub>4</sub>Cl; thermal dehydration results in the formation of oxochlorides. Reaction 24.13 gives metallic diiodides with high electrical conductivities; as with the dihydrides above, these diiodides are actually Ln<sup>3+</sup>(I<sup>-</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(e<sup>-</sup>). Saline LnX<sub>2</sub> (Ln = Sm, Eu, Yb; X = F, Cl, Br, I) can be formed by reducing LnX<sub>3</sub> (e.g. with H<sub>2</sub>).



The solid state structures of LnX<sub>3</sub> contain Ln(III) centres with high coordination numbers, and as  $r_{\text{M}^{3+}}$  decreases across the row, the coordination number decreases. In crystalline LaF<sub>3</sub>, each La<sup>3+</sup> centre is 11-coordinate in a pentacapped trigonal prismatic environment. The chlorides LnCl<sub>3</sub> for Ln = La to Gd possess the UCl<sub>3</sub> structure; this is a structural prototype containing tricapped trigonal prismatic metal centres. For Ln = Tb to Lu, LnCl<sub>3</sub> adopts an AlCl<sub>3</sub> layer lattice with octahedral Ln(III).

Compounds such as KCeF<sub>4</sub>, NaN<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>EuCl<sub>5</sub> are made by fusion of group 1 metal fluorides and LnF<sub>3</sub>. These are *double salts* and do not contain complex anions. Several discrete hexahalo anions of Ln(II) are known, e.g. [YbI<sub>6</sub>]<sup>4-</sup>.


### Self-study exercises

1. CeF<sub>4</sub> crystallizes with an α-ZrF<sub>4</sub> structure. What is the coordination number of each Ce<sup>4+</sup> centre in the solid state?

[Ans. see [Section 22.5](#)]

2. In GdCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, each Gd<sup>3+</sup> centre is 8-coordinate. Suggest how this is achieved.


[Hint: compare with CrCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, [Section 19.8](#)]



**Fig. 24.3** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{La}(\text{15-crown-5})(\text{NO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_3]$  [R.D. Rogers *et al.* (1990) *J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res.*, vol. 20, p. 389], (b)  $[\text{Ce}(\text{CO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_5]^{6-}$  in the guanidinium salt [R.E. Marsh *et al.* (1988) *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, vol. 44, p. 77], and (c)  $[\text{LaCl}_3(\text{18-crown-6})]$  [R.D. Rogers *et al.* (1993) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 32, p. 3451]. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity; colour code: La, yellow; Ce, green; C, grey; N, blue; Cl, green; O, red.

## Hydroxides and oxides

Lanthanum hydroxide, though sparingly soluble, is a strong base and absorbs  $\text{CO}_2$ , giving the carbonate. Base strength and solubility decrease on crossing the lanthanoid series, and  $\text{Yb}(\text{OH})_3$  and  $\text{Lu}(\text{OH})_3$  dissolve in hot concentrated  $\text{NaOH}$  (equation 24.14).



Cerium(III) hydroxide is a white solid, and in air slowly forms yellow  $\text{Ce}(\text{OH})_4$ . Most oxides  $\text{Ln}_2\text{O}_3$  are formed by thermal decomposition of oxoacid salts, e.g. reaction 24.15, but Ce, Pr and Tb give higher oxides by this method and  $\text{H}_2$  is used to reduce the latter to  $\text{Ln}_2\text{O}_3$ .




The reaction of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{O}_3$  and oleum (see [Section 15.8](#)) at 470 K results in the formation of  $\text{Nd}(\text{S}_2\text{O}_7)(\text{HSO}_4)$ , the first example of a disulfate of a rare earth metal.

## Complexes of Ln(III)

The  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  ions are hard and show a preference for  $\text{F}^-$  and  $O$ -donor ligands, e.g. in complexes with  $[\text{EDTA}]^{4-}$  ([Section 24.5](#)),  $[\text{Yb}(\text{OH})_6]^{3-}$  (equation 24.14) and in  $\beta$ -diketonate complexes ([Box 24.4](#)). In their aqua complexes, the  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  ions are typically 9-coordinate, and a tricapped trigonal prismatic structure has been confirmed in crystalline salts such as  $[\text{Pr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9][\text{OSO}_3\text{Et}]_3$  and  $[\text{Ho}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9][\text{OSO}_3\text{Et}]_3$ . High coordination numbers are the norm in complexes of  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$ , with the highest exhibited by the early lanthanoids; examples include:<sup>†</sup>

- 12-coordinate:  $[\text{La}(\text{NO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_6]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{La}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{NO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_5]^{2-}$ ;
- 11-coordinate:  $[\text{La}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{NO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_3]$ ,  $[\text{Ce}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{NO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_3]$ ,  $[\text{Ce}(\text{15-crown-5})(\text{NO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_3]$ ,  $[\text{La}(\text{15-crown-5})(\text{NO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_3]$  (Figure 24.3a);
- 10-coordinate:  $[\text{Ce}(\text{CO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_5]^{6-}$  ( $\text{Ce}^{4+}$ , Figure 24.3b),  $[\text{Nd}(\text{NO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_5]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Eu}(\text{18-crown-6})(\text{NO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_2]^+$ ;
- 9-coordinate:  $[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]^-$  ( $\text{Ln} = \text{La}, \text{Ce}, \text{Nd}, \text{Sm}, \text{Eu}, \text{Gd}, \text{Tb}, \text{Dy}, \text{Ho}$ ),  $[\text{CeCl}_2(\text{18-crown-6})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^+$ ,  $[\text{PrCl}(\text{18-crown-6})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{LaCl}_3(\text{18-crown-6})]$  (Figure 24.3c),  $[\text{Eu}(\text{tpy})_3]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{Nd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{CO}_3\text{-O},\text{O}')_4]^{5-}$ ;
- 8-coordinate:  $[\text{Pr}(\text{NCS-N})_8]^{5-}$  (between cubic and square antiprismatic);
- 7-coordinate: (24.1);
- 6-coordinate: *cis*- $[\text{GdCl}_4(\text{THF})_2]^-$ ,  $[\text{Ln}(\beta\text{-ketonate})_3]$  (see [Box 24.4](#)).



The variation found in coordination geometries for a given high coordination number is consistent with the argument that spatial requirements of a ligand and coordination restrictions of multidentate ligands are controlling factors, the  $4f$  atomic orbitals being deeply buried and playing little role in metal–ligand bonding. Thus, the  $4f^n$  configuration is not a controlling influence on the coordination number. Recent development of MRI contrast agents (see [Box 2.6](#)) has made studies of  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  complexes containing polydentate ligands with  $O$ - and  $N$ -donors important.

<sup>†</sup> Ligand abbreviations: see [Table 6.7](#) and [structure 19.23](#).


## APPLICATIONS

## Box 24.4 Lanthanoid shift reagents in NMR spectroscopy

The magnetic field experienced by a proton is very different from that of the applied field when a paramagnetic metal centre is present, and results in the  $\delta$  range over which the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopic signals appear being larger than in a spectrum of a related diamagnetic complex (see *Box 2.5*). Signals for protons *close* to the paramagnetic metal centre are significantly *shifted* and this has the effect of ‘spreading out’ the spectrum. Values of coupling constants are generally not much changed.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of large organic compounds or of mixtures of diastereomers, for example, are often difficult to interpret and assign due to overlapping of signals. This is particularly true when the spectrum is recorded on a lowfield (e.g. 100 or 250 MHz) instrument. Paramagnetic lanthanoid complexes have application as *NMR shift reagents*. The addition of a small amount of a shift reagent to a solution of an organic compound can lead to an equilibrium being established between the free and coordinated organic species. The result is that signals due to the organic species which originally overlapped, spread out, and the

spectrum becomes easier to interpret. The europium(III) complex shown below is a commercially available shift reagent (Resolve-Al<sup>TM</sup>), used, for example, to resolve mixtures of diastereomers.




See also: *Box 2.6* for application of Gd(III) complexes as MRI contrast agents.


Lower coordination numbers can be stabilized by using aryloxy or amido ligands, for example:

- 5-coordinate: (24.2), (24.3);
- 3-coordinate:  $[\text{Nd}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3]$ .

In the solid state,  $[\text{Nd}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3]$  is trigonal pyramidal but this may be a consequence of crystal packing forces (see *Section 19.7*).



R = SiHMe<sub>2</sub>  
(24.2)



R = 3,5-<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>  
(24.3)

## 24.8 Organometallic complexes of the lanthanoids

Organolanthanoid chemistry is a rapidly expanding research area, and an exciting aspect of this area is the number of efficient catalysts for organic transformations that have been discovered (see *Box 24.5*). In contrast to the extensive carbonyl chemistry of the *d*-block metals (see *Sections*



23.4 and 23.9), lanthanoid metals do not form complexes with CO under normal conditions. Unstable carbonyls such as  $\text{Nd}(\text{CO})_6$  have been prepared by matrix isolation. Organolanthanoids are usually air- and moisture-sensitive and some are pyrophoric; handling the compounds under inert atmospheres is essential.<sup>†</sup>

### $\sigma$ -Bonded complexes

Reaction 24.16 shows a general method of forming Ln–C  $\sigma$ -bonds.



In the presence of excess LiR and with R groups that are not too sterically demanding, reaction 24.16 may proceed further to give  $[\text{LnR}_4]^-$  or  $[\text{LnR}_6]^{3-}$  (equations 24.17 and 24.18).



In the solid state,  $[\text{LuMe}_6]^{3-}$  is octahedral (Lu–C = 253 pm) and analogues for all the lanthanoids except Eu are known. In these reactions, a coordinating solvent such as DME or  $\text{Me}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2$  (TMED) is needed to stabilize the


<sup>†</sup> For details of inert atmosphere techniques, see: D.F. Shriver and M.A. Drezdon (1986) *The Manipulation of Air-sensitive Compounds*, Wiley, New York.

## APPLICATIONS

## Box 24.5 Organolanthanoid complexes as catalysts


One of the driving forces behind the study of organolanthanoid complexes is the ability of a range of them to act as highly effective catalysts in a variety of organic transformations, e.g. hydrogenation, hydrosilylation, hydroboration and hydroamination reactions and the cyclization and polymerization of alkenes. The availability of a range of different lanthanoid metals coupled with a variety of ligands provides a means of systematically altering the properties of a series of organometallic complexes. In turn, this leads to controlled variation in their catalytic behaviour, including selectivity.

The presence of an  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)\text{Ln}$  or  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)_2\text{Ln}$  unit in an organolanthanoid complex is a typical feature, and often  $\text{R} = \text{Me}$ . When  $\text{R} = \text{H}$ , complexes tend to be poorly soluble in hydrocarbon solvents and catalytic activity is typically low. Hydrocarbon solvents are generally used for catalytic reactions because coordinating solvents (e.g. ethers) bind to the  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  centre, hindering association of the metal with the desired organic substrate. In designing a potential catalyst, attention must be paid to the accessibility of the metal centre to the substrate. As we discuss in the text, dimerization of organolanthanoid complexes via bridge formation is a characteristic feature. This is a disadvantage in a catalyst because the metal centre is less accessible to a substrate than in a monomer. An inherent problem of the  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)_2\text{Ln}$ -containing systems is that the steric demands of substituted cyclopentadienyl ligands may hinder the catalytic activity of the metal centre. One strategy to retain an accessible Ln centre is to increase the tilt angle between two  $\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5$  units by attaching them together as illustrated below:




Examples of organic transformations that are catalysed by organolanthanoid complexes are given below. A significant point is that only *mild* reaction conditions are required in many reactions.


- *Hydrogenation:*




- *Hydrosilylation:*




- *Hydroamination:*



- *Cyclization with hydrosilylation:*



- *Hydrogenation with cyclization:*





Selectivity in product formation is important, and this issue is addressed in detail in the articles listed below.


## Further reading

- Z. Hou and Y. Wakatsuki (2002) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 231, p. 1 – ‘Recent developments in organolanthanide polymerization catalysts’.
- K. Mikami, M. Terada and H. Matsuzawa (2002) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 41, p. 3555 – ‘Asymmetric catalysis by lanthanide complexes’.
- G.A. Molander and J.A.C. Romero (2002) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 102, p. 2161 – ‘Lanthanocene catalysts in selective organic synthesis’.

product with a solvated  $\text{Li}^+$  ion. In some cases, the solvent coordinates to the lanthanoid metal, e.g.  $\text{TmPh}_3(\text{THF})_3$  (reaction 24.19 and structure 24.4).




Complexes containing  $\sigma$ -bonded  $-\text{C}\equiv\text{CR}$  groups have been prepared by a number of routes, e.g. reaction 24.20.




## Cyclopentadienyl complexes

Many organolanthanoids contain cyclopentadienyl ligands and reaction 24.21 is a general route to  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln}$ .





The solid state structures of  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln}$  compounds vary with Ln, e.g.  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Tm}$  and  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Yb}$  are monomeric, while  $\text{Cp}_3\text{La}$ ,  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Pr}$  and  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Lu}$  are polymeric. Adducts with donors such as THF, pyridine and MeCN are readily formed, e.g. tetrahedral  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{Tb}(\text{NCMe})$  and  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{Dy}(\text{THF})$ , and trigonal bipyramidal  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{Pr}(\text{NCMe})_2$  (axial MeCN groups). The complexes  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_3\text{Sm}$  and  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_3\text{Nd}$  are one-electron reductants:  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_3\text{Ln}$  reduces  $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{Se}$  to  $\text{PPh}_3$  and forms  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Ln}(\mu\text{-Se})_n\text{Ln}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2$  ( $\text{Ln} = \text{Sm}$ ,  $n = 1$ ;  $\text{Ln} = \text{Nd}$ ,  $n = 2$ ) and  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2$ . The reducing ability is attributed to the severe steric congestion in  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_3\text{Ln}$ , the reducing agent being the  $[\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5]^-$  ligand.


By altering the  $\text{LnCl}_3:\text{NaCp}$  ratio in reaction 24.21,  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{LnCl}$  and  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{LnCl}_2$  can be isolated. However, crystallographic data reveal more complex structures than these formulae suggest: e.g.  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{ErCl}_2$  and  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{YbCl}_2$  crystallize from THF as adducts 24.5,




$(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{YbCl}$  and  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{ErCl}$  are dimeric (Figure 24.4a), and  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{DyCl}$  consists of polymeric chains (Figure 24.4b).

Schemes 24.22 and 24.23 show some reactions of  $[(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{LuCl}]_2$  and  $[(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{YbCl}]_2$ ; coordinating solvents are often incorporated into the products and can cause bridge cleavage as in reaction 24.24.







**Fig. 24.4** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a) dimeric  $[(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{ErCl}]_2$  [W. Lamberts *et al.* (1987) *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, vol. 134, p. 155], (b) polymeric  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{DyCl}$  [W. Lamberts *et al.* (1987) *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, vol. 132, p. 119] and (c) the bent metallocene  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Sm}$  [W.J. Evans *et al.* (1986) *Organometallics*, vol. 5, p. 1285]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: Er, red; Dy, pink; Sm, orange; Cl, green; C, grey.




Compounds of the type  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{LnR}$  (isolated as THF adducts) can be made directly from  $\text{LnCl}_3$ , e.g. for Lu in reaction 24.25.






Use of the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligand (more sterically demanding than the  $[\text{C}_5\text{H}_5]^-$  ligand) in organolanthanoid chemistry has played a major role in the development of this field (see [Box 24.5](#)). An increase in the steric demands of the  $[\text{C}_5\text{R}_5]^-$  ligand has been shown to stabilize derivatives of the earlier lanthanoid metals. For example, the reaction of  $\text{Na}[\text{C}_5\text{H}^i\text{Pr}_4]$  with  $\text{YbCl}_3$  in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) leads to the formation of the *monomeric* complex **24.6**.





In contrast, the reactions of  $\text{LaCl}_3$  or  $\text{NdCl}_3$  with two molar equivalents of  $\text{Na}[\text{C}_5\text{H}^i\text{Pr}_4]$  in THF followed by recrystallization from  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  lead to complexes **24.7**, characterized in the solid state. In these dimeric species, there is association between  $[(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}^i\text{Pr}_4)_2\text{MCl}_2]^-$  ions and solvated  $\text{Na}^+$ .



Lanthanoid(II) metallocenes have been known for Sm, Eu and Yb since the 1980s and are stabilized by using the bulky  $[\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5]^-$  ligand (equations 24.26–24.28). The products are obtained as solvates; the desolvated metallocenes have *bent* structures in the solid state (Figure 24.4c) rather than a ferrocene-like structure. For each of Sm, Eu and Yb, the most convenient route to  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Ln}$  starts from  $\text{LnI}_2 \cdot n\text{THF}$ .



The first Tm(II) organometallic complex was reported in 2002. Its stabilization requires a more sterically demanding  $\text{C}_5\text{R}_5$  substituent than is needed for the Sm(II), Eu(II) and Yb(II) metallocenes. Reaction 24.29 shows the synthesis of  $\{(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_3\text{-1,3-(SiMe}_3)_2)_2\text{Tm(THF)}\}$  and the use of an argon atmosphere is essential. Reaction 24.30 illustrates the effects on the reaction of using the  $[\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5]^-$  ligand in place of  $[\text{C}_5\text{H}_3\text{-1,3-(SiMe}_3)_2]^-$ .




### Bis(arene) derivatives

The co-condensation at 77 K of 1,3,5-<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub> with Ln metal vapour yields the bis(arene) derivatives (η<sup>6</sup>-1,3,5-<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ln. The complexes are thermally stable for Ln = Nd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er and Lu, but unstable for Ce, Eu, Tm and Yb.

### Complexes containing the η<sup>8</sup>-cyclooctatetraenyl ligand

In [Chapter 23](#), we described organometallic sandwich and half-sandwich complexes containing π-bonded ligands with hapticities ≤7, e.g. [(η<sup>7</sup>-C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>. The larger sizes of the lanthanoids permit the formation of sandwich complexes with the planar, octagonal [C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> ligand (see [equation 24.52](#)). Lanthanoid(III) chlorides react with K<sub>2</sub>C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub> to give [(η<sup>8</sup>-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ln]<sup>-</sup> (Ln = La, Ce,


Pr, Sm, Tb, Yb). Cerium also forms (η<sup>8</sup>-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ce (**24.8**), an analogue of uranocene (see [Section 24.11](#)), and for the lanthanoids with a stable +2 oxidation state, K<sup>+</sup> salts of [(η<sup>8</sup>-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ln]<sup>2-</sup> (Ln = Sm, Eu, Yb) are isolable.



## 24.9 The actinoid metals

The artificial nature (see [Section 24.5](#)) of all but two of the actinoid metals affects the extent of knowledge of their properties, and this is reflected in the varying amounts of information that we give for each metal. The instability of the actinoids with respect to radioactive decay has already been mentioned, and Table 24.4 lists data for the longest-lived isotope of each element. All the actinoids are highly toxic, the ingestion of long-lived α-emitters such as <sup>231</sup>Pa being extremely hazardous; lethal doses are extremely small.


**Actinium** is a soft metal which glows in the dark. It is readily oxidized to Ac<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in moist air, and liberates H<sub>2</sub> from H<sub>2</sub>O. **Thorium** is relatively stable in air, but is attacked slowly by H<sub>2</sub>O and rapidly by steam or dilute HCl. On heating, Th reacts with H<sub>2</sub> to give ThH<sub>2</sub>, halogens to give ThX<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub> and C to give nitrides and carbides; it forms alloys with a range of metals (e.g. Th<sub>2</sub>Zn, CuTh<sub>2</sub>). **Protactinium** is ductile and malleable, is not corroded by air, but reacts with O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> and halogens when heated (scheme 24.31), and with concentrated HF, HCl and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.



**Table 24.4** Half-lives and decay modes of the longest-lived isotopes of actinium and the actinoids.

| Longest-lived isotope | Half-life                 | Decay mode     | Longest-lived isotope | Half-life                | Decay mode |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| <sup>227</sup> Ac     | 21.8 yr                   | β <sup>-</sup> | <sup>247</sup> Bk     | 1.4 × 10 <sup>3</sup> yr | α, γ       |
| <sup>232</sup> Th     | 1.4 × 10 <sup>10</sup> yr | α, γ           | <sup>251</sup> Cf     | 9.0 × 10 <sup>2</sup> yr | α, γ       |
| <sup>231</sup> Pa     | 3.3 × 10 <sup>4</sup> yr  | α, γ           | <sup>252</sup> Es     | 1.3 yr                   | α          |
| <sup>238</sup> U      | 4.5 × 10 <sup>9</sup> yr  | α, γ           | <sup>257</sup> Fm     | 100 d                    | α, γ       |
| <sup>237</sup> Np     | 2.1 × 10 <sup>6</sup> yr  | α, γ           | <sup>258</sup> Md     | 52 d                     | α          |
| <sup>244</sup> Pu     | 8.2 × 10 <sup>7</sup> yr  | α, γ           | <sup>259</sup> No     | 58 min                   | α          |
| <sup>243</sup> Am     | 7.4 × 10 <sup>3</sup> yr  | α, γ           | <sup>262</sup> Lr     | 3 min                    | α          |
| <sup>247</sup> Cm     | 1.6 × 10 <sup>7</sup> yr  | α, γ           |                       |                          |            |

**Uranium** corrodes in air; it is attacked by water and dilute acids but not alkali. Scheme 24.32 gives selected reactions. With  $O_2$ ,  $UO_2$  is produced, but on heating,  $U_3O_8$  forms.



**Neptunium** is a reactive metal which quickly tarnishes in air. It reacts with dilute acids liberating  $H_2$ , but is not attacked by alkali. Despite the fact that the critical mass (see Section 2.5) of **plutonium** is <0.5 kg and it is extremely toxic, its uses as a nuclear fuel and explosive make it a much-studied element. It reacts with  $O_2$ , steam and acids, but is inert towards alkali; on heating, Pu combines with many non-metals to give, for example,  $PuH_2$ ,  $PuH_3$ ,  $PuCl_3$ ,  $PuO_2$  and  $Pu_3C_2$ . **Americium** is a very intense  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -emitter. It tarnishes slowly in dry air, reacts with steam and acids, and on heating forms binary compounds with a range of non-metals. **Curium** corrodes rapidly in air; only minute quantities can be handled (<20 mg in controlled conditions). **Berkelium** and **californium** behave similarly to Cm, being attacked by air and acids, but not by alkali. Curium and the later elements are handled only in specialized research laboratories.

In the remaining sections, we focus on the chemistries of thorium and uranium (the actinoids for which the most extensive chemistries have been developed) and plutonium. Potential diagrams for Np, Pu and Am are included in Figure 24.6.


## 24.10 Inorganic compounds and coordination complexes of thorium, uranium and plutonium

### Thorium

The chemistry of thorium largely concerns Th(IV) and, in aqueous solution, there is no evidence for any other oxidation state. The  $E^\circ$  value for the  $Th^{4+}/Th$  couple is  $-1.9 V$ .

Thorium(IV) halides are made by direct combination of the elements. White  $ThF_4$ ,  $ThCl_4$  and  $ThBr_4$ , and yellow  $ThI_4$  crystallize with lattices in which Th(IV) is 8-coordinate. Reaction of  $ThI_4$  with Th yields  $ThI_2$  and  $ThI_3$  (both polymorphic) which are metallic conductors and are formulated as  $Th^{4+}(I^-)_2(e^-)_2$  and  $Th^{4+}(I^-)_3(e^-)$  respectively. Thorium(IV) fluoride is insoluble in water and aqueous alkali metal fluoride solutions, but a large number of double or complex fluorides can be made by direct combination of their constituents. Their structures are complicated, e.g.  $[NH_4]_3[ThF_7]$  and  $[NH_4]_4[ThF_8]$  contain infinite

$[ThF_7]_n^{3n-}$  chains consisting of edge-sharing tricapped trigonal prismatic Th(IV). Thorium(IV) chloride is soluble in water, and a range of salts containing the discrete, octahedral  $[ThCl_6]^{2-}$  are known (reaction 24.33).




White  $ThO_2$  is made by thermal decomposition of  $Th(ox)_2$  or  $Th(NO_3)_4$  and adopts a  $CaF_2$  lattice (Figure 5.18). It is precipitated in neutral or even weakly acidic solution. Nowadays,  $ThO_2$  has application as a Fischer–Tropsch catalyst, but its property of emitting a blue glow when heated led to an earlier use in incandescent gas mantles. As expected from the high formal charge on the metal centre, aqueous solutions of Th(IV) salts contain hydrolysis products such as  $[ThOH]^{3+}$ ,  $[Th(OH)_2]^{2+}$ . The addition of alkali to these solutions gives a gelatinous, white precipitate of  $Th(OH)_4$  which is converted to  $ThO_2$  at  $>700 K$ .

Coordination complexes of Th(IV) characteristically exhibit high coordination numbers, and hard donors such as oxygen are preferred, for example:



- 12-coordinate:  $[Th(NO_3-O, O')_6]^{2-}$  (Figure 24.5),  $[Th(NO_3-O, O')_5(OPMe_3)_2]^-$ ;
- 10-coordinate:  $[Th(CO_3-O, O')_5]^{6-}$ ;
- 9-coordinate (tricapped trigonal prismatic):  $[ThCl_2(H_2O)_7]^{2+}$ ;
- 8-coordinate (dodecahedral):  $[ThCl_4(OSPh_2)_4]$ ,  $\alpha$ - $[Th(acac)_4]$ ,  $[ThCl_4(THF)_4]$ ;
- 8-coordinate (square antiprismatic):  $\beta$ - $[Th(acac)_4]$ ;
- 8-coordinate (cubic):  $[Th(NCS-N)_8]^{4-}$ ;
- 7-coordinate:  $[ThCl_4(NMe_3)_3]$ .

Lower coordination numbers can be stabilized by using amido or aryloxy ligands. In reaction 24.34, the bis(silyl)amido ligands are too bulky to allow the last chloro group to be replaced. Reactions 24.35 and 24.36 illustrate that steric control dictates whether  $Th(OR)_4$  is




**Fig. 24.5** The structure (X-ray diffraction) of the 12-coordinate  $[Th(NO_3-O, O')_6]^{2-}$  in the 2,2'-bipyridinium salt [M.A. Khan *et al.* (1984) *Can. J. Chem.*, vol. 62, p. 850]. Colour code: Th, brown; N, blue; O, red.

stabilized with or without other ligands in the coordination sphere.



## Uranium




Uranium exhibits oxidation states from +3 to +6, although U(IV) and U(VI) are the more common. The key starting point for the preparation of many uranium compounds is  $\text{UO}_2$  and scheme 24.37 shows the syntheses of fluorides and chlorides. The fluoride  $\text{UF}_5$  is made by controlled reduction of  $\text{UF}_6$  but readily disproportionates to  $\text{UF}_4$  and  $\text{UF}_6$ .




Uranium hexafluoride is a colourless, volatile solid with a vapour pressure of 1 bar at 329 K; it is of great importance in the separation of uranium isotopes (see [Section 2.5](#)). The solid and vapour consist of octahedral  $\text{UF}_6$  molecules ( $\text{U}-\text{F} = 199 \text{ pm}$ ). The hexafluoride is immediately hydrolyzed by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (equation 24.38) and is a vigorous fluorinating agent. Treatment of  $\text{UF}_6$  with  $\text{BCl}_3$  gives the unstable, molecular  $\text{UCl}_6$ .



The sparingly soluble, green  $\text{UF}_4$  is an inert solid (mp 1309 K) with a lattice structure containing 8-coordinate U(IV). Solid  $\text{UCl}_4$  also contains 8-coordinate U, but  $\text{UCl}_5$  is a dimer (**24.9**); the latter disproportionates on heating. The halides accept  $\text{X}^-$  to give complexes such as  $\text{NaUF}_7$ ,  $\text{Cs}_2\text{UCl}_6$  and  $[\text{NH}_4]_4\text{UF}_8$ ; the alkali metal salts adopt lattice structures with  $\text{U}-\text{F}-\text{U}$  interactions giving U in high coordination environments.




The oxide  $\text{UO}_3$  is polymorphic and all forms decompose to the mixed oxidation state  $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$  on heating. Most acids dissolve  $\text{UO}_3$  to give yellow solutions containing the uranyl ion (**24.10**), present as a complex, e.g. in aqueous solution, **24.10** exists as an aqua ion and the perchlorate salt of the pentagonal bipyramidal  $[\text{UO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5]^{2+}$  has been isolated. The  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$  ion is also present in many solid compounds including the alkaline earth uranates (e.g.  $\text{BaUO}_4$ ) which are best described as mixed metal oxides. Uranyl salts of oxoacids include  $[\text{UO}_2][\text{NO}_3]_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (see [Box 6.3](#)),  $[\text{UO}_2][\text{MeCO}_2]_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $[\text{UO}_2][\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and coordination of the oxoanions and water commonly places the U(IV) centre in a 7- or 8-coordinate environment as in **24.11** and **24.12**. In aqueous solution, the  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$  ion is partially hydrolysed to species such as  $[\text{U}_2\text{O}_5]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{U}_3\text{O}_8]^{2+}$ . In aqueous alkaline solution, the species present depend on the concentrations of both  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{OH}]^-$ . Investigations of complexes formed between  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{OH}]^-$  are difficult because of the precipitation of U(VI) as salts such as  $\text{Na}_2\text{UO}_4$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{U}_2\text{O}_7$ . However, if  $\text{Me}_4\text{NOH}$  is used in place of an alkali metal hydroxide, it is possible to isolate salts of octahedral *trans*- $[\text{UO}_2(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$ . The  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$  ion is hard and forms a more stable complex with  $\text{F}^-$  than with the later halides. Figure 24.6 gives a potential diagram for uranium at  $\text{pH} = 0$ . Reduction of  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$  first gives  $[\text{UO}_2]^+$ , but this is somewhat unstable with respect to the disproportionation reaction 24.39. Since protons are involved in this reaction, the position of the equilibrium is pH-dependent. Uranium(V) can be stabilized with respect to disproportionation by complexing with  $\text{F}^-$  as  $[\text{UF}_6]^-$ .



Uranium metal liberates  $\text{H}_2$  from acids to give the claret-coloured  $\text{U}^{3+}$  which is a powerful reducing agent (Figure 24.6). The  $\text{U}^{4+}$  ion is rapidly oxidized to  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$  by Cr(VI), Ce(IV) or Mn(VII) but oxidation by air is slow. The  $\text{U}^{4+}/\text{U}^{3+}$  and  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}/[\text{UO}_2]^+$  redox couples are reversible, but the  $[\text{UO}_2]^+/\text{U}^{4+}$  couple is not: the first two involve only electron transfer, but the last couple involves a structural reorganization around the metal centre.

Whereas the coordination chemistry of thorium is concerned with only the +4 oxidation state, that of




**Fig. 24.6** Potential diagram for uranium at pH = 0, and comparative diagrams for Np, Pu and Am.

uranium covers oxidation states +3 to +6. For U(VI), the linear  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$  unit is generally present within the complex and *trans*-octahedral, pentagonal bipyramidal and hexagonal bipyramidal complexes are usual. For other oxidation states, the coordination polyhedron is essentially determined by the spatial requirements of the ligands rather than electronic factors, and the large size of the U centre allows high coordination numbers to be attained. Complexes involving different oxidation states and coordination numbers include:

- 14-coordinate:  $[\text{U}(\eta^3\text{-BH}_4)_4(\text{THF})_2]$ ;
- 12-coordinate:  $[\text{U}(\text{NO}_3\text{-}O, O')_6]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{U}(\eta^3\text{-BH}_3\text{Me})_4]^\ddagger$ ;
- 11-coordinate:  $[\text{U}(\eta^3\text{-BH}_4)_2(\text{THF})_5]^+$ ;
- 9-coordinate:  $[\text{UCl}_3(18\text{-crown-}6)]^+$ ,  $[\text{UBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{MeCN})_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{U}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{ox})_4]^{4-}$ ;
- 8-coordinate:  $[\text{UCl}_3(\text{DMF})_5]^+$ ,  $[\text{UCl}(\text{DMF})_7]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{UCl}_2(\text{acac})_2(\text{THF})_2]$ ,  $[\text{UO}_2(18\text{-crown-}6)]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{UO}_2(\text{NO}_3\text{-}O, O')_2(\text{NO}_3\text{-}O)_2]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{UO}_2(\eta^2\text{-O}_2)_3]^{4-}$ ;
- 7-coordinate:  $\text{UO}_2\text{Cl}_2(\text{THF})_3$ ,  $[\text{UO}_2\text{Cl}(\text{THF})_4]^+$ ,  $[\text{UO}_2(\text{OSMe}_2)_5]^{2+}$ ;
- 6-coordinate: *trans*- $[\text{UO}_2\text{Cl}_4]^{2-}$ .

Lower coordination numbers are observed in alkoxy derivatives having sterically demanding substituents, e.g.  $\text{U}(\text{OC}_6\text{H}_3\text{-}2,6\text{-}^t\text{Bu}_2)_4$  (preparation analogous to reaction 24.36). The U(III) complex  $\text{U}(\text{OC}_6\text{H}_3\text{-}2,6\text{-}^t\text{Bu}_2)_3$  is probably monomeric; it is oxidized to  $\text{UX}(\text{OC}_6\text{H}_3\text{-}2,6\text{-}^t\text{Bu}_2)_3$  (X = Cl, Br, I; oxidant =  $\text{PCl}_5$ ,  $\text{CBr}_4$ ,  $\text{I}_2$  respectively) in which tetrahedral U(IV) has been confirmed for X = I. Coordinating


solvents tend to lead to increased coordination numbers as in reaction 24.40.




## Plutonium

Oxidation states from +3 to +7 are available to plutonium, although the +7 state is known in only a few salts, e.g.  $\text{Li}_3\text{PuO}_6$  has been prepared by heating  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{PuO}_2$  in  $\text{O}_2$ . Hence, the potential diagram in Figure 24.6 shows only oxidation states of +3 to +6. The chemistry of the +6 oxidation state is predominantly that of  $[\text{PuO}_2]^{2+}$  although this is less stable with respect to reduction than  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$ . The most stable oxide is  $\text{PuO}_2$ , formed when the nitrates or hydroxides of Pu in any oxidation state are heated in air. Although Pu forms  $\text{PuF}_6$ , it decomposes to  $\text{PuF}_4$  and  $\text{F}_2$ , in contrast to the relative stability of  $\text{UF}_6$ . The highest binary chloride of Pu is  $\text{PuCl}_3$ , although  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{Pu}^{\text{IV}}\text{Cl}_6]$  can be formed from  $\text{CsCl}$ ,  $\text{PuCl}_3$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$  at 320 K.

In aqueous solution,  $[\text{PuO}_2]^+$  is thermodynamically unstable (but only just) with respect to disproportionation reaction 24.41.



<sup>†</sup>  $\eta^3\text{-}[\text{BH}_3\text{Me}]^-$  is like  $\eta^3\text{-}[\text{BH}_4]^-$ : see [structure 12.9](#).



**Fig. 24.7** The structures (X-ray diffraction) of (a)  $[\text{Li}(\text{TMED})]_3[\text{ThMe}_7]$  showing the role of the TMED in stabilizing the structure (H atoms are omitted) [H. Lauke *et al.* (1984) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 106, p. 6841] and (b)  $(\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8)_2\text{Th}$  [A. Avdeef *et al.* (1972) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 11, p. 1083]. Colour code: Th, brown; Li, red; C, grey; N, blue; H, white.

The closeness of the first three reduction potentials in the reduction of  $[\text{PuO}_2]^{2+}$  (Figure 24.6) is significant. If  $\text{PuO}_2$  is dissolved in an excess of  $\text{HClO}_4$  (an acid containing a very weakly coordinating anion) at 298 K, the solution at equilibrium contains Pu(III), Pu(IV), Pu(V) and Pu(VI). In redox systems involving Pu, however, equilibrium is not always attained rapidly. As for uranium, couples involving only electron transfer (e.g.  $[\text{PuO}_2]^{2+}/[\text{PuO}_2]^+$ ) are rapidly reversible, but those also involving oxygen transfer (e.g.  $[\text{PuO}_2]^+/\text{Pu}^{4+}$ ) are slower. Since hydrolysis and complex formation (the extents of which increase with increasing ionic charge, i.e.  $[\text{PuO}_2]^+ < [\text{PuO}_2]^{2+} < \text{Pu}^{3+} < \text{Pu}^{4+}$ ) may also complicate the situation, the study of equilibria and kinetics in solutions of plutonium compounds is difficult.

The conventional means of entering plutonium chemistry is to dissolve the metal in aqueous  $\text{HCl}$ ,  $\text{HClO}_4$  or  $\text{HNO}_3$ . This generates a solution containing Pu(III). However, chloride and nitrate ions have the potential for coordination to the metal centre, and whereas  $[\text{ClO}_4]^-$  is only weakly coordinating (see above), perchlorate salts have the disadvantage of being potentially explosive. A recent approach is to dissolve Pu metal in triflic acid (trifluoromethane sulfonic acid,  $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ ) to give Pu(III) as the isolable, crystalline salt  $[\text{Pu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9][\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]_3$ . In the solid state,  $[\text{Pu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9]^{3+}$  has a tricapped trigonal prismatic structure with Pu–O bond distances of 247.6 (prism) and 257.4 pm (cap).


## 24.11 Organometallic complexes of thorium and uranium

Although organometallic complexes are known for all the early actinoids, compounds of Th and U far exceed those


of the other metals. In addition to radioactive properties, organoactinoids are air-sensitive and inert atmosphere techniques are required for their handling.

### $\sigma$ -Bonded complexes


Some difficulty was originally encountered in preparing homoleptic  $\sigma$ -bonded alkyl or aryl complexes of the actinoids, but (as for the lanthanoids, Section 24.8) use of the chelate TMED ( $\text{Me}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2$ ) was the key to stabilizing the  $\text{Li}^+$  salt of  $[\text{ThMe}_7]^{3-}$  (equation 24.42 and Figure 24.7a). Similarly, hexaalkyls of type  $\text{Li}_2\text{UR}_6 \cdot 7\text{TMED}$  have been isolated.





Bulky alkyl groups are also a stabilizing influence as illustrated by the isolation of  $\text{U}\{\text{CH}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3$  (reaction 24.43). The solid contains *trigonal pyramidal* molecules (24.13) although the deviation from planarity may be due to crystal packing effects.







Alkyl derivatives are more stable if the actinoid metal is also bound to cyclopentadienyl ligands and reactions 24.44–24.46 show general methods of synthesis where  $\text{M} = \text{Th}$  or  $\text{U}$ .






## Cyclopentadienyl derivatives

Cyclopentadienyl derivatives are plentiful among organo-metallic complexes of Th(IV), Th(III), U(IV) and U(III), and reactions 24.47–24.50 give methods of synthesis for the main families of compounds ( $M = \text{Th}, \text{U}$ ).



Compounds of type  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{MX}_2$  are usually subject to a redistribution reaction such as 24.51 unless sterically hindered as in  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{ThCl}_2$  and  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{UCl}_2$ .




### Self-study exercise

The sterically congested compound  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_3\text{U}$  reacts with two equivalents of PhCl to give  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{UCl}_2$ , Ph<sub>2</sub> and  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2$ .

This reaction is referred to as a ‘sterically induced reduction’. Write a balanced equation for the overall reaction. What is being reduced in the reaction, and which two species undergo oxidation?

[Ans. see W.J. Evans *et al.* (2000) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 122, p. 12019]



$M = \text{Th}, \text{U}$

(24.14)

Colourless  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_4\text{Th}$  and red  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_4\text{U}$  are monomeric in the solid state with pseudo-tetrahedral structures, **24.14** ( $\text{Th}-\text{C} = 287 \text{ pm}$ ,  $\text{U}-\text{C} = 281 \text{ pm}$ ). Tetrahedral structures are also observed for  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{MX}$  and  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{M}(\text{THF})$  derivatives, while  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})\text{MX}_3(\text{THF})_2$  is octahedral. How to describe the metal–ligand bonding in these and other Cp derivatives of the actinoids is the subject of much theoretical debate. The current picture suggests involvement of the metal  $6d$  atomic orbitals with the  $5f$  orbitals being fairly unperturbed; relativistic effects (see [Box 12.2](#)) also work in favour of a bonding role for the  $6d$  rather than  $5f$  atomic orbitals. Covalent contributions to the bonding appear to be present in Th(IV) and U(IV) cyclopentadienyl complexes, but for Th(III) and U(III), it is suggested that the bonding is mainly ionic.

A range of organometallic species can be made starting from  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{ThCl}$  and  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{UCl}$ , and Figure 24.8 shows selected reactions of  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{UCl}$ . The heterometallic complex  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{UFe}(\text{CO})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp})$  contains an unbridged  $\text{U}-\text{Fe}$  bond.







Fig. 24.8 Selected reactions of  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{UCl}$ .

## Complexes containing the $\eta^8$ -cyclooctatetraenyl ligand



As we have seen, the large U(IV) and Th(IV) centres accommodate up to four  $\eta^5$ -Cp<sup>-</sup> ligands, and ferrocene-like complexes are not observed. However, with the large [C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> ligand (reaction 24.52), sandwich complexes are formed by reaction 24.53.





The green  $(\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8)_2\text{U}$  (*uranocene*) and yellow  $(\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8)_2\text{Th}$  (*thorocene*) are isostructural (Figure 24.7b, mean Th–C = 270 pm and U–C = 265 pm). Bonding in these metallocenes is much studied by theorists, with arguments mirroring those discussed above for cyclopentadienyl derivatives. Uranocene is flammable in air, but does not react with H<sub>2</sub>O at 298 K;  $(\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8)_2\text{Th}$  is air-sensitive, is attacked by protic reagents and explodes when red hot.



Reaction of ThCl<sub>4</sub> with  $(\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8)_2\text{Th}$  in THF yields the half-sandwich  $(\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8)\text{ThCl}_2(\text{THF})_2$ , **24.15**, but the analogous U(IV) species is made by reaction 24.54, and the iodo derivative by reaction 24.55.



The halides are useful synthons in this area of chemistry, e.g. reactions 24.56 and 24.57.



## Glossary

The following terms have been introduced in this chapter. Do you know what they mean?

- lanthanoid
- actinoid
- transuranium element
- f*-orbital
- lanthanoid contraction

## Further reading

- H.C. Aspinall (2001) *Chemistry of f-Block Elements*, Gordon and Breach Scientific Publications, Amsterdam – An introductory general account of lanthanoids and actinoids.
- S.A. Cotton (1991) *Lanthanides and Actinides*, Macmillan, London – A good general introduction to the *f*-block elements.
- D.C. Hoffmann and D.M. Lee (1999) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 76, p. 331 – ‘Chemistry of the heaviest elements – One atom at a time’ is an excellent article covering the development and future prospects of ‘atom-at-a-time’ chemistry of the transuranium elements.
- D.C. Hoffmann, A. Ghiorso and G.T. Seaborg (2001) *The Transuranium People: The Inside Story*, Imperial College Press, London – A personalized account of the discovery and chemistry of the heaviest elements.
- N. Kaltsoyannis and P. Scott (1999) *The f Elements*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An OUP ‘Primer’ that complements the coverage in this chapter.
- S.F.A. Kettle (1996) *Physical Inorganic Chemistry*, Spektrum, Oxford – Chapter 11 gives an excellent introduction to orbital, spectroscopic and magnetic properties of the *f*-block elements.
- G.T. Seaborg (1995) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 28, p. 257 – A review by one of the pioneers and Nobel Prize winner in the field: ‘Transuranium elements: Past, present and future’.
- G.T. Seaborg, J.J. Katz and L.R. Moss (1986) *The Chemistry of the Actinide Elements*, 2nd edn, Kluwer, Dordrecht – An excellent account of the actinoid metals.
- G.T. Seaborg and W.D. Loveland (1990) *The Elements Beyond Uranium*, Wiley, New York – A text that covers syntheses of the elements, properties, experimental techniques and applications.
- G.L. Soloveichik (1994) ‘Actinides: Inorganic chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 1, p. 2 – A well-referenced review.
- Organometallic complexes**
- D.L. Clark and A.P. Sattelberger (1994) ‘Actinides: Organometallic chemistry’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 1, p. 19 – A well-referenced review.
- F.G.N. Cloke (1995) ‘Zero oxidation state complexes of scandium, yttrium and the lanthanide elements’ in *Comprehensive*

- Organometallic Chemistry II*, eds G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, vol. 4, p. 1.
- S.A. Cotton (1997) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 160, p. 93 – ‘Aspects of the lanthanide–carbon  $\sigma$ -bond’.
- F.T. Edelmann (1995) ‘Scandium, yttrium and the lanthanide and actinide elements’ in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, eds G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, vol. 4, p. 11.
- W.J. Evans (1985) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 24, p. 131 – ‘Organometallic lanthanide chemistry’.
- T.J. Marks and R.D. Ernst (1982) ‘Scandium, yttrium and the lanthanides and actinides’ in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, eds G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, vol. 3, p. 173.
- C.J. Schaverien (1994) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 36, p. 283 – ‘Organometallic chemistry of the lanthanides’.


## Problems

- 24.1** (a) What is the *lanthanoid contraction*? (b) Explain how the lanthanoids can be separated from their ores.
- 24.2** Use Hund’s rules to derive the ground state of the  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$  ion, and calculate its magnetic moment. (The spin–orbit coupling constant for  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$  is  $1000\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and so the population of states other than the ground state can be neglected at 298 K.)
- 24.3** Show that the stability of a lanthanoid dihalide  $\text{LnX}_2$  with respect to disproportionation into  $\text{LnX}_3$  and  $\text{Ln}$  is greatest for  $\text{X} = \text{I}$ .
- 24.4** How would you attempt to show that a given lanthanoid diiodide,  $\text{LnI}_2$ , has saline rather than metallic character?
- 24.5** Comment on each of the following observations:  
 (a)  $\Delta H^\circ$  for the formation of  $[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x]^-$  ( $x = 2$  or  $3$ ) in aqueous solution is nearly constant for all  $\text{Ln}$  and is almost zero.  
 (b) The value of  $E^\circ$  for the  $\text{Ce}(\text{IV})/\text{Ce}(\text{III})$  couple (measured at pH 0) decreases along the series of acids  $\text{HClO}_4$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{HCl}$ .  
 (c)  $\text{BaCeO}_3$  has a perovskite structure.
- 24.6** Comment on the observations that the electronic spectra of lanthanoid complexes contain many absorptions some of which are weak and sharp and similar to those of the gas-phase metal ions, and some of which are broad and are affected by the ligands present.
- 24.7** Discuss the variation in coordination numbers among complexes of the *4f* metals.
- 24.8** The reactions of  $\text{Ln}(\text{NCS})_3$  with  $[\text{NCS}]^-$  under varying conditions lead to discrete anions such as  $[\text{Ln}(\text{NCS})_6]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Ln}(\text{NCS})_7(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{Ln}(\text{NCS})_7]^{4-}$ . What can you say about possible structures for these species?
- 24.9** (a) Give a brief account of the formation of  $\text{Ln}-\text{C}$   $\sigma$ -bonds and of complexes containing cyclopentadienyl ligands, and comment on the roles of coordinating solvents. (b) Suggest products for the reactions of  $\text{SmCl}_3$  and  $\text{SmI}_2$  with  $\text{K}_2\text{C}_8\text{H}_8$ .
- 24.10** (a) By considering Figure 24.6, suggest a method for the separation of  $\text{Am}$  from  $\text{U}$ ,  $\text{Np}$  and  $\text{Pu}$ . (b) What would you expect to happen when a solution of  $\text{NpO}_2(\text{ClO}_4)_2$  in 1 M  $\text{HClO}_4$  is shaken with  $\text{Zn}$  amalgam and the resulting liquid decanted from the amalgam and aerated?
- 24.11**  $25.00\text{ cm}^3$  of a solution  $\text{X}$  containing  $21.4\text{ g U(VI) dm}^{-3}$  was reduced with  $\text{Zn}$  amalgam, decanted from the amalgam, and after being aerated for 5 min, was titrated with  $0.1200\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$   $\text{Ce(IV)}$  solution;  $37.5\text{ cm}^3$  of the latter was required for reoxidation of the uranium to  $\text{U(VI)}$ . Solution  $\text{X}$  ( $100\text{ cm}^3$ ) was then reduced and aerated as before, and treated with excess of dilute aqueous  $\text{KF}$ . The resulting precipitate (after drying at 570 K) weighed 2.826 g. Dry  $\text{O}_2$  was passed over the precipitate at 1070 K, after which the solid product weighed 1.386 g. This product was dissolved in water, the fluoride in the solution precipitated as  $\text{PbClF}$ , 2.355 g being obtained. Deduce what you can concerning the chemical changes in these experiments.
- 24.12** Suggest likely products in the following reactions: (a)  $\text{UF}_4$  with  $\text{F}_2$  at 570 K; (b)  $\text{Pa}_2\text{O}_5$  with  $\text{SOCl}_2$  followed by heating with  $\text{H}_2$ ; (c)  $\text{UO}_3$  with  $\text{H}_2$  at 650 K; (d) heating  $\text{UCl}_5$ ; (e)  $\text{UCl}_3$  with  $\text{NaOC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-2,4,6-Me}_3$ .
- 24.13** What structural features would you expect in the solid state of (a)  $\text{Cs}_2[\text{NpO}_2(\text{acac})_3]$ , (b)  $\text{Np}(\text{BH}_4)_4$ , (c) the guanidinium salt of  $[\text{ThF}_3(\text{CO}_3)_3]^{5-}$ , (d)  $\text{Li}_3[\text{LuMe}_6]\cdot 3\text{DME}$ , (e)  $\text{Sm}\{\text{CH}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3$ , and (f) a complex that analyses as having the composition  $[\text{UO}_2][\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]_2\cdot 2(18\text{-crown-6})\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .
- 24.14** Identify isotopes **A–F** in the following sequence of nuclear reactions:  
 (a)  $^{238}\text{U} \xrightarrow{(n, \gamma)} \text{A} \xrightarrow{-\beta^-} \text{B} \xrightarrow{-\beta^-} \text{C}$   
 (b)  $\text{D} \xrightarrow{-\beta^-} \text{E} \xrightarrow{(n, \gamma)} ^{242}\text{Am} \xrightarrow{-\beta^-} \text{F}$
- 24.15** Identify the starting isotopes **A–E** in each of the following syntheses of transactinoid elements:  
 (a)  $\text{A} + {}^4_2\text{He} \rightarrow {}^{256}_{101}\text{Md} + n$   
 (b)  $\text{B} + {}^{16}_8\text{O} \rightarrow {}^{255}_{102}\text{No} + 5n$   
 (c)  $\text{C} + {}^{11}_5\text{B} \rightarrow {}^{256}_{103}\text{Lr} + 4n$   
 (d)  $\text{D} + {}^{18}_8\text{O} \rightarrow {}^{261}_{104}\text{Rf} + 5n$   
 (e)  $\text{E} + {}^{18}_8\text{O} \rightarrow {}^{263}_{106}\text{Sg} + 4n$
- 24.16** Discuss the following statements:  
 (a) Thorium forms iodides of formulae  $\text{ThI}_2$ ,  $\text{ThI}_3$  and  $\text{ThI}_4$ .  
 (b) In the solid state, salts of  $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$  contain a linear cation.  
 (c) Reactions of  $\text{NaOR}$  with  $\text{UCl}_4$  lead to monomeric  $\text{U}(\text{OR})_4$  complexes.

- 24.17** (a) What Th-containing products would you expect from the reactions of  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{ThCl}$  with  
 (i)  $\text{Na}[(\eta^5\text{-Cp})\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_2]$ , (ii)  $\text{LiCHMeEt}$ , (iii)  $\text{LiCH}_2\text{Ph}$ ?  
 (b) What advantage does  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{ThCl}_2$  have over  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_2\text{ThCl}_2$  as a starting material?  
 (c) How might  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{UI}_2(\text{THF})_3$  react with  $\text{K}_2\text{C}_8\text{H}_8$ ?
- 24.18** (a) Suggest a method of preparing  $\text{U}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)_4$ .  
 (b) How might  $\text{U}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)_4$  react with  $\text{HCl}$ ?  
 (c)  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)(\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8)\text{ThCl}$  is dimeric, but its THF adduct is a monomer. Draw the structures of these compounds, and comment on the role of coordinating solvents in stabilizing other monomeric organothorium and organouranium complexes.
- 24.19** Discuss the following:  
 (a) Many actinoid oxides are non-stoichiometric, but few lanthanoid oxides are.  
 (b) The ion  $[\text{NpO}_6]^{5-}$  can be made in aqueous solution only if the solution is strongly alkaline.  
 (c) A solution containing  $\text{Pu}(\text{IV})$  undergoes negligible disproportionation in the presence of an excess of molar  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .
- 24.20** Give a short account of aspects of the organometallic compounds formed by the lanthanoids and actinoids and highlight major differences between families of organometallic complexes of the *d*- and *f*-block metals.

## Overview problems


- 24.21** Comment on each of the following statements.  
 (a)  $\text{Ln}^{2+}$  complexes are strong reducing agents.  
 (b) In the solid state,  $\text{Cp}_2\text{YbF}(\text{THF})$  exists as a bridged dimer, while  $\text{Cp}_2\text{YbCl}(\text{THF})$  and  $\text{Cp}_2\text{YbBr}(\text{THF})$  are monomeric.  
 (c) In  $[\text{Th}(\text{NO}_3\text{-}O, O')_3\{(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11})_2\text{SO}\}_4]^+[\text{Th}(\text{NO}_3\text{-}O, O')_5\{(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11})_2\text{SO}\}_2]^-$ , the sulfoxide ligands are *O*- rather than *S*-bonded.
- 24.22** (a) The reaction of  $\text{ScCl}_3 \cdot n\text{THF}$  with one equivalent of ligand **24.16** yields a neutral compound **A** in which the metal is octahedrally sited. **A** reacts with three equivalents of  $\text{MeLi}$  to give **B**. Suggest structures for **A** and **B**. What is the oxidation state of the metal in each compound?



(24.16)


- (b) The complex  $[(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{La}\{\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{-}2,6\text{-}(\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)_2\}]$  is 5-coordinate. Suggest, with reasoning, a structure for the complex.

- 24.23** (a) Table 24.3 lists the 'calculated' value of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  as 0. On what basis is this value calculated? Explain why *observed* values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  for  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  are greater than zero.  
 (b) The complex  $\text{UO}_2\text{Cl}_2(\text{THF})_3$  contains *one* labile THF ligand and readily forms a diuranium complex, **A**, that contains 7-coordinate U(VI) with *trans*- $\text{UO}_2$  units. **A** is a precursor to a number of mononuclear complexes. For example, one mole of **A** reacts with four moles of  $\text{K}[\text{O-}2,6\text{-}^1\text{Bu}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3]$  to give two moles of **B**, and with four moles of  $\text{Ph}_3\text{PO}$  eliminating all coordinated THF to yield two moles of **C**. Suggest identities for **A**, **B** and **C** and state the expected coordination environment of the U(VI) centre in each product.
- 24.24** (a) Compound **24.17** reacts with  $\text{MeLi}$  with loss of  $\text{CH}_4$  to give **A**. When **A** reacts with  $\text{TbBr}_3$ , a terbium-containing complex **B** is formed, the mass spectrum of which shows an envelope of peaks at  $m/z$  614 as the highest mass peaks. Suggest identities for **A** and **B**, and give a possible structure for **B**. Explain how the appearance of envelope of peaks at  $m/z$  614 in the mass spectrum confirms the number of Br atoms in the product (*hint*: see [Appendix 5](#)).



(24.17)

- (b) Ligand **24.18** in a mixed  $\text{EtOH}/\text{MeOH}$  solvent system extracts  $\text{Pu}(\text{IV})$  from aqueous  $\text{HNO}_3$ . The 10-coordinate complex  $[\text{Pu}(\text{24.18})_2(\text{NO}_3)_2]^{2+}$  has been isolated from the  $\text{EtOH}/\text{MeOH}$  extractant as a nitrate salt. Suggest how ligand **24.18** might coordinate to  $\text{Pu}(\text{IV})$ , and state how you expect the coordination number of 10 to be achieved.



(24.18)

# Chapter 25

## d-Block metal complexes: reaction mechanisms

### TOPICS

- Kinetically labile and inert complexes
- Dissociative, associative and interchange mechanisms
- Activation parameters
- Substitution in square planar complexes
- Substitution and racemization in octahedral complexes
- Electron-transfer processes

### 25.1 Introduction

We have already touched on some aspects of inorganic reaction mechanisms: *kinetically inert* metal centres such as Co(III) (Section 21.10) and organometallic reaction types (Section 23.7). Now, we discuss in more detail the mechanisms of ligand substitution and electron-transfer reactions in coordination complexes; for the substitution reactions, we confine our attention to square planar and octahedral complexes, for which kinetic data are plentiful.

A proposed mechanism *must* be consistent with all experimental facts. A mechanism cannot be proven, since another mechanism may also be consistent with the experimental data.

### 25.2 Ligand substitutions: some general points

In a ligand substitution reaction:



X is the *leaving group* and Y is the *entering group*.

#### Kinetically inert and labile complexes


Metal complexes that undergo reactions with  $t_{1/2} \leq 1$  min are described as being *kinetically labile*. If the reaction takes significantly longer than this, the complex is *kinetically inert*.

There is no connection between the *thermodynamic* stability of a complex and its lability towards substitution. For example, values of  $\Delta_{\text{hyd}}G^\circ$  for  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  are


almost equal, yet  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ( $d^3$ ) undergoes substitution slowly and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  (high-spin  $d^5$ ) rapidly. Similarly, although the overall formation constant of  $[\text{Hg}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$  is greater than that of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ , the Hg(II) complex rapidly exchanges  $[\text{CN}]^-$  with isotopically labelled cyanide, while exchange is extremely slow for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ . The kinetic inertness of  $d^3$  and low-spin  $d^6$  octahedral complexes is in part associated with crystal field effects (see Section 25.4).

#### Stoichiometric equations say nothing about mechanism

The processes that occur in a reaction are not necessarily obvious from the stoichiometric equation. For example, reaction 25.1 might suggest a mechanism involving the direct substitution of coordinated  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$  by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .




However, use of  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$  as solvent shows that all the oxygen in the aqua complex is derived from carbonate, and scheme 25.2 shows the proposed pathway of the reaction.




## Types of substitution mechanism

In inorganic substitutions, the limiting mechanisms are *dissociative* (*D*), in which the intermediate has a lower coordination number than the starting complex (equation 25.3), and *associative* (*A*), in which the intermediate has a higher coordination number (equation 25.4).<sup>†</sup>

*Dissociative* and *associative* reaction mechanisms involve two-step pathways and an *intermediate*.



An *intermediate* occurs at a local energy minimum; it can be detected and, sometimes, isolated. A *transition state* occurs at an energy maximum, and cannot be isolated.



In most metal complex substitution pathways, bond formation between the metal and entering group is thought to be *concurrent* with bond cleavage between the metal and leaving group (equation 25.5). This is the *interchange* (*I*) mechanism.



In an *I* mechanism, there is no intermediate but various transition states are possible. Two types of interchange mechanisms can be identified:

- *dissociative interchange* ( $I_d$ ), in which bond breaking dominates over bond formation;
- *associative interchange* ( $I_a$ ), in which bond formation dominates over bond breaking.

In an  $I_a$  mechanism, the reaction rate shows a dependence on the entering group. In an  $I_d$  mechanism, the rate shows only a very small dependence on the entering group. It is usually difficult to distinguish between *A* and  $I_a$ , *D* and  $I_d$ , and  $I_a$  and  $I_d$  processes.

An *interchange* (*I*) mechanism is a concerted process in which there is *no intermediate* species with a coordination number different from that of the starting complex.

## Activation parameters

The diagram opposite which distinguishes between a transition state and an intermediate also shows the Gibbs energy of activation,  $\Delta G^\ddagger$ , for each step in the two-step reaction path. Enthalpies and entropies of activation,  $\Delta H^\ddagger$  and  $\Delta S^\ddagger$ , obtained from temperature dependence of rate constants, can shed light on mechanisms. Equation 25.6 gives the relationship between the rate constant, temperature and activation parameters.

$$\ln \left( \frac{k}{T} \right) = \frac{-\Delta H^\ddagger}{RT} + \ln \left( \frac{k'}{h} \right) + \frac{\Delta S^\ddagger}{R} \quad (25.6)$$

where  $k$  = rate constant,  $T$  = temperature (K),  $\Delta H^\ddagger$  = enthalpy of activation ( $\text{J mol}^{-1}$ ),  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  = entropy of activation ( $\text{JK}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1}$ ),  $R$  = molar gas constant,  $k'$  = Boltzmann constant,  $h$  = Planck constant.<sup>§</sup>

From equation 25.6, a plot of  $\ln(k/T)$  against  $1/T$  (an *Eyring plot*) is linear; the activation parameters  $\Delta H^\ddagger$  and  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  can be determined as shown in Figure 25.1.

Values of  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  are particularly useful in distinguishing between associative and dissociative mechanisms. A *large negative value* of  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  is indicative of an *associative* mechanism, i.e. there is a decrease in entropy as the entering group associates with the starting complex. However, caution is needed; solvent reorganization can result in negative values of  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  even for a dissociative mechanism, and hence the qualifier that  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  should be *large* and negative to indicate an associative pathway.

The pressure dependence of rate constants leads to a measure of the *volume of activation*,  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  (equation 25.7).

<sup>†</sup> The terminology for inorganic substitution mechanisms is not the same as for organic nucleophilic substitutions. Since readers will already be familiar with the  $S_N1$  (unimolecular) and  $S_N2$  (bimolecular) notation, it may be helpful to note that the *D* mechanism corresponds to  $S_N1$ , and  $I_a$  to  $S_N2$ .

<sup>§</sup> Physical constants: see back inside cover of this book.

**Table 25.1** Activation parameters for substitution in selected square planar complexes (see Table 6.7 for ligand abbreviations).

| Reactants  | $\Delta H^\ddagger / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta S^\ddagger / \text{J K}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta V^\ddagger / \text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$ |
|--|--|---|---|
| $[\text{Pt}(\text{dien})\text{Cl}]^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O}$           | +84                                      | -63   | -10   |
| $[\text{Pt}(\text{dien})\text{Cl}]^+ + [\text{N}_3]^-$               | +65                                      | -71   | -8.5  |
| <i>trans</i> - $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{PEt}_3)_2] + \text{py}$         | +14                                      | -25   | -14   |
| <i>trans</i> - $[\text{PtCl}(\text{NO}_2)(\text{py})_2] + \text{py}$ | +12                                      | -24   | -9  |


or, in  
integrated form:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{d(\ln k)}{dP} &= \frac{-\Delta V^\ddagger}{RT} \\ \ln \left( \frac{k_{(P_1)}}{k_{(P_2)}} \right) &= \frac{-\Delta V^\ddagger}{RT} (P_1 - P_2) \end{aligned} \right\} (25.7)$$

where  $k$  = rate constant;  $P$  = pressure;  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  = volume of activation ( $\text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$ );  $R$  = molar gas constant;  $T$  = temperature (K).

A reaction in which the transition state has a greater volume than the initial state shows a positive  $\Delta V^\ddagger$ , whereas a negative  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  corresponds to the transition state being compressed relative to the reactants. After allowance for any change in volume of the solvent (which is important if solvated ions are involved), the sign of  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  should, in principle, distinguish between an associative and dissociative mechanism.

A negative value of  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  indicates an associative mechanism; a positive value suggests that the mechanism is dissociative.



**Fig. 25.1** An Eyring plot allows the activation parameters  $\Delta H^\ddagger$  and  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  to be determined from the temperature dependence of the rate constant; the dotted part of the line represents an extrapolation. See equation 25.6 for definitions of quantities.

## 25.3 Substitution in square planar complexes

Complexes with a  $d^8$  configuration often form square planar complexes (see Section 20.3), especially when there is a large crystal field: Rh(I), Ir(I), Pt(II), Pd(II), Au(III). However, 4-coordinate complexes of Ni(II) may be tetrahedral or square planar. The majority of kinetic work on square planar systems has been carried out on Pt(II) complexes because the rate of ligand substitution is conveniently slow. Although data for Pd(II) and Au(III) complexes indicate similarity between their substitution mechanisms and those of Pt(II) complexes, one *cannot justifiably assume* a similarity in kinetics among a series of structurally related complexes undergoing similar substitutions.

### Rate equations, mechanism and the *trans*-effect

The consensus of opinion, based on a large body of experimental work, is that nucleophilic substitution reactions in square planar Pt(II) complexes normally proceed by *associative* mechanisms ( $A$  or  $I_a$ ). Negative values of  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  and  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  support this proposal (Table 25.1). The observation that the rate constants for the displacement of  $\text{Cl}^-$  by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{PtCl}_3(\text{NH}_3)]^-$ ,  $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{NH}_3)_2]$  and  $[\text{PtCl}(\text{NH}_3)_3]^+$  are similar suggests an associative mechanism, since a dissociative pathway would be expected to show a significant dependence on the charge on the complex.

Reaction 25.8 shows the substitution of X by Y in a square planar Pt(II) complex.




The usual form of the experimental rate law is given by equation 25.9 indicating that the reaction proceeds simultaneously by two routes.<sup>†</sup>

$$\text{Rate} = -\frac{d[\text{PtL}_3\text{X}]}{dt} = k_1[\text{PtL}_3\text{X}] + k_2[\text{PtL}_3\text{X}][\text{Y}] \quad (25.9)$$

Reaction 25.8 would usually be studied under pseudo-first order conditions, with Y (as well as the solvent, S) in vast

<sup>†</sup> In rate equations, [ ] stands for 'concentration of' and should not be confused with use of square brackets around formulae of complexes in other contexts. For this reason, we omit [ ] in formulae in most reaction equations in this chapter.



**Fig. 25.2** (a) Determination of the  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  rate constants (equation 25.11) from the observed rate data for ligand substitution in a square planar complex; Y is the entering ligand. The dotted part of the line represents an extrapolation. (b) Plots of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  against concentration of the entering group for the reactions of *trans*- $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{py})_2]$  with  $[\text{SCN}]^-$  or with  $\text{I}^-$ ; both reactions were carried out in MeOH and so there is a common intercept. [Data from: U. Belluco *et al.* (1965) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 87, p. 241.]

excess. This means that, since  $[\text{Y}]_t \approx [\text{Y}]_0$ , and  $[\text{S}]_t \approx [\text{S}]_0$  (where the subscripts represent time  $t$  and time zero), we can rewrite equation 25.9 in the form of equation 25.10 where  $k_{\text{obs}}$  is the observed rate constant and is related to  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  by equation 25.11.

$$\text{Rate} = -\frac{d[\text{PtL}_3\text{X}]}{dt} = k_{\text{obs}}[\text{PtL}_3\text{X}] \quad (25.10)$$


$$k_{\text{obs}} = k_1 + k_2[\text{Y}] \quad (25.11)$$

Carrying out a series of reactions with various concentrations of Y (always under pseudo-first order conditions) allows  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  to be evaluated (Figure 25.2a). Data plotted in this form, but with different entering groups and the *same solvent*, illustrate the solvent dependence of  $k_1$  since there is a common intercept (Figure 25.2b); if the kinetic runs are repeated using a different solvent, a different common intercept is observed.

The contributions of the two terms in equation 25.9 to the overall rate reflect the relative dominance of one pathway over the other. The  $k_2$  term arises from an associative mechanism involving attack by Y on  $\text{PtL}_3\text{X}$  in the rate-determining step, and when Y is a good nucleophile, the  $k_2$  term is dominant. The  $k_1$  term might appear to indicate a concurrent dissociative pathway. However, experiment shows that the  $k_1$  term becomes dominant if the reaction is carried out in polar solvents, and its contribution diminishes in apolar solvents. This indicates solvent participation, and equation 25.9 is more fully written in the form of equation 25.12, in which S is the solvent. Since S is in vast excess, its concentration is effectively constant during the reaction (i.e. pseudo-first order conditions) and so, comparing equations 25.9 and 25.12,  $k_1 = k_3[\text{S}]$ .


$$\text{Rate} = -\frac{d[\text{PtL}_3\text{X}]}{dt} = k_3[\text{PtL}_3\text{X}][\text{S}] + k_2[\text{PtL}_3\text{X}][\text{Y}] \quad (25.12)$$

When the solvent is a potential ligand (e.g.  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), it competes with the entering group Y in the rate-determining step of the reaction, and X can be displaced by Y or S. Substitution of S by Y then occurs in a *fast* step, i.e. *non-rate determining*. The two competing pathways by which reaction 25.8 occurs are shown in scheme 25.13.



A further point in favour of both the  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  terms being associative is that *both* rate constants decrease when the steric demands of Y or L increase.

In the majority of reactions, substitution at square planar Pt(II) is *stereoretentive*: the entering group takes the coordination site previously occupied by the leaving group. An *A* or *I<sub>a</sub>* mechanism involves a 5-coordinate intermediate or transition state and, since the energy difference between different 5-coordinate geometries is small, one would expect rearrangement of the 5-coordinate species to be facile unless, for example, it is sterically hindered (*A* or *I<sub>a</sub>*) or its lifetime is too short (*I<sub>a</sub>*). The stereochemical retention can be envisaged as shown in Figure 25.3 (in which we ignore any part played by the solvent). Why does Figure 25.3 specifically show a *trigonal bipyramidal* species as the intermediate





**Fig. 25.3** Initial attack by the entering group at a square planar Pt(II) centre is from above or below the plane. Nucleophile Y then coordinates to give a trigonal bipyramidal species which loses X with retention of stereochemistry.


or transition state? To answer this, we must consider additional experimental data:

The choice of leaving group in a square planar complex is determined by the nature of the ligand *trans* to it; this is the *trans-effect* and is *kinetic* in origin.

Reactions 25.14 and 25.15 illustrate the *trans-effect* in operation: *cis*- and *trans*-[PtCl<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] are prepared *specifically* by different substitution routes.<sup>†</sup>




<sup>†</sup> The use of the terms *trans-effect* and *trans-influence* in different textbooks is not consistent, and may cause confusion; attention should be paid to specific definitions.



**Fig. 25.4** In the trigonal plane of the 5-coordinate transition state or intermediate (see Figure 25.3), a  $\pi$ -bonding interaction can occur between a metal *d* orbital (e.g. *d*<sub>xy</sub>) and suitable orbitals (e.g. *p* atomic orbitals, or molecular orbitals of  $\pi$ -symmetry) of ligand L<sup>2</sup> (the ligand *trans* to the leaving group), X (the leaving group) and Y (the entering group). Note that ligands may not necessarily contribute to the  $\pi$ -bonding scheme, e.g. NH<sub>3</sub>.

One contributing factor to the *trans-effect* is the *trans-influence* (see Box 22.9). The second factor, which addresses the *kinetic* origin of the *trans-effect*, is that of shared  $\pi$ -electron density in the 5-coordinate transition state or intermediate as shown in Figure 25.4: ligand L<sup>2</sup> is *trans* to the leaving group, X, in the initial square planar complex and is also *trans* to the entering group, Y, in the final square planar complex (Figure 25.3). These three ligands and the metal centre can communicate electronically through  $\pi$ -bonding *only* if they all lie in the *same plane* in the transition state or intermediate. This implies that the 5-coordinate species must be trigonal bipyramidal rather than square-based pyramidal. If L<sup>2</sup> is a strong  $\pi$ -acceptor (e.g. CO), it will stabilize the transition state by accepting electron density that the incoming nucleophile donates to the metal centre, and will thereby facilitate substitution at the site *trans* to it. The general order of the *trans-effect* (i.e. the ability of ligands to direct *trans*-substitution) spans a factor of about 10<sup>6</sup> in rates and is:





Experimental rates of substitution are affected by both the ground state *trans-influence* and the kinetic *trans-effect*, and rationalizing the sequence above in terms of individual factors is difficult. There is no close connection between the relative magnitudes of the *trans-influence* and *trans-effect*. However, the  $\pi$ -bonding scheme in Figure 25.4 does help to explain the very strong *trans-directing* abilities of CO, [CN]<sup>-</sup> and ethene.

The *trans-effect* is useful in devising syntheses of Pt(II) complexes, e.g. selective preparations of *cis*- and *trans*-isomers of [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (schemes 25.14 and 25.15) and of [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)(NO<sub>2</sub>)]<sup>-</sup> (schemes 25.16 and 25.17).

**Table 25.2** Values of  $n_{\text{Pt}}$  for entering ligands, Y, in reaction 25.18; values are relative to  $n_{\text{Pt}}$  for MeOH = 0 and are measured at 298 K.<sup>‡</sup>

| Ligand<br>$n_{\text{Pt}}$ | $\text{Cl}^-$<br>3.04 | $\text{NH}_3$<br>3.07 | py<br>3.19 | $\text{Br}^-$<br>4.18 | $\text{I}^-$<br>5.46 | $[\text{CN}]^-$<br>7.14 | $\text{PPh}_3$<br>8.93 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|


<sup>‡</sup> For further data, see: R.G. Pearson, H. Sobel and J. Songstad (1968) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 90, p. 319.




Finally, we should note that a small *cis*-effect does exist, but is usually of far less importance than the *trans*-effect.

### Ligand nucleophilicity

If one studies how the rate of substitution by Y in a given complex depends on the entering group, then for most reactions at Pt(II), the rate constant  $k_2$  (equation 25.9) increases in the order:



This is called the *nucleophilicity sequence* for substitution at square planar Pt(II) and the ordering is consistent with Pt(II) being a soft metal centre (see Table 6.9). A *nucleophilicity parameter*,  $n_{\text{Pt}}$ , is defined by equation 25.19 where  $k_2'$  is the rate constant for reaction 25.18 with Y = MeOH (i.e. for Y = MeOH,  $n_{\text{Pt}} = 0$ ).



(The equation is written assuming Y is a neutral ligand.)

$$n_{\text{Pt}} = \log \frac{k_2}{k_2'} \quad \text{or} \quad n_{\text{Pt}} = \log k_2 - \log k_2' \quad \text{(25.19)}$$

Values of  $n_{\text{Pt}}$  vary considerably (Table 25.2) and illustrate the dependence of the rate of substitution on the nucleophilicity of the entering group. There is no correlation between  $n_{\text{Pt}}$  and the strength of the nucleophile as a Brønsted base.

The *nucleophilicity parameter*,  $n_{\text{Pt}}$ , describes the dependence of the rate of substitution in a square planar Pt(II) complex on the nucleophilicity of the entering group.

If we now consider substitution reactions of nucleophiles with other Pt(II) complexes, linear relationships are found between values of  $\log k_2$  and  $n_{\text{Pt}}$  as illustrated in Figure 25.5. For the general reaction 25.8 (in which the ligands L do *not* have to be identical), equation 25.20 is defined where  $s$  is the *nucleophilicity discrimination factor* and  $k_2'$  is the rate constant when the nucleophile is MeOH.


$$\log k_2 = s(n_{\text{Pt}}) + \log k_2' \quad \text{(25.20)}$$

For a given substrate,  $s$  can be found from the gradient of a line in Figure 25.5; each complex has a characteristic value of  $s$ , and selected values are listed in Table 25.3. The relatively small value of  $s$  for  $[\text{Pt}(\text{dien})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2+}$  indicates that this complex does not discriminate as much between entering ligands as, for example, does *trans*- $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{PET}_3)_2]$ ; i.e.  $[\text{Pt}(\text{dien})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2+}$  is generally more reactive towards substitution than other complexes in the table, consistent with the fact that  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is a good leaving group.

The *nucleophilicity discrimination factor*,  $s$ , is a characteristic of a given square planar Pt(II) complex and describes how sensitive the complex is to variation in the nucleophilicity of the entering ligand.

## 25.4 Substitution and racemization in octahedral complexes

Most studies of the mechanism of substitution in octahedral metal complexes have been concerned with Werner-type complexes; organometallic complexes have entered the research field more recently. Among the former, the popular candidates for study have been Cr(III) ( $d^3$ ) and low-spin Co(III) ( $d^6$ ) species. These complexes are kinetically inert and their rates of reaction are relatively slow and readily followed by conventional techniques. Both Rh(III) and Ir(III) (both low-spin  $d^6$ ) also undergo very slow substitution reactions. There is no universal mechanism




**Fig. 25.5** (a) The nucleophilicity discrimination factor,  $s$ , for a particular square planar Pt(II) complex can be found from a plot of  $\log k_2$  (the second order rate constant, see [equation 25.9](#)) against  $n_{Pt}$  (the nucleophilicity parameter, see [equation 25.19](#)). Experimental results are plotted in this way in graph (b) which shows data for the reaction of  $trans\text{-}[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{py})_2]$  with different nucleophiles in MeOH at 298 or 303 K. [Data from: R.G. Pearson *et al.* (1968) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 90, p. 319.] (c) Plots of  $\log k_2$  against  $n_{Pt}$  for three square planar Pt(II) complexes; each plot is of the same type as in graph (b). The gradient of each line gives  $s$ , the nucleophilicity discrimination factor, for that particular complex. [Data from: U. Belluco *et al.* (1965) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 87, p. 241.]

by which octahedral complexes undergo substitution, and so care is needed when tackling the interpretation of kinetic data.

## Water exchange

The exchange of coordinated  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  by isotopically labelled water has been investigated for a wide range of octahedral  $[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{n+}$  species ( $\text{Co}^{3+}$  is not among these because it is unstable in aqueous solution, see [Section 21.10](#)). Reaction 25.21, where M is an *s*-, *p*- or *d*-block metal, can be studied

by using  $^{17}\text{O}$  NMR spectroscopy, and rate constants can thus be determined.



First order rate constants for the exchange of coordinated water show the following trends:

- within a group of *s*- or *p*-block metals, the rate constant increases with increasing cationic radius;

**Table 25.3** Nucleophilic discrimination factors,  $s$ , for selected square planar Pt(II) complexes. (See Table 6.7 for ligand abbreviations.)

| Complex  | $s$  |
|--|------|
| <i>trans</i> -[PtCl <sub>2</sub> (PEt <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ]  | 1.43 |
| <i>trans</i> -[PtCl <sub>2</sub> (AsEt <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] | 1.25 |
| <i>trans</i> -[PtCl <sub>2</sub> (py) <sub>2</sub> ]                 | 1.0  |
| [PtCl <sub>2</sub> (en)]   | 0.64 |
| [PtBr(dien)] <sup>+</sup>  | 0.75 |
| [PtCl(dien)] <sup>+</sup>  | 0.65 |
| [Pt(dien)(H <sub>2</sub> O)] <sup>2+</sup>                           | 0.44 |

- for cations of similar radii (e.g. Li<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ga<sup>3+</sup>), an increase in ionic charge slows down the substitution;
- among M<sup>2+</sup> ions of the  $d$ -block, there is *no* correlation between rate constants and ionic size, but trends do indicate a correlation with electronic configuration;
- limited data for M<sup>3+</sup> ions of the  $d$ -block also support a correlation between rate constants and electronic configuration.

Table 25.4 lists activation volumes for reaction 25.21 with selected first row  $d$ -block metal ions. The change from negative to positive values of  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  indicates a change from associative to dissociative mechanism, and suggests that bond making becomes less (and bond breaking more)

**Table 25.4** Volumes of activation for water exchange reactions (equation 25.21).

| Metal ion        | High-spin $d^n$ configuration | $\Delta V^\ddagger / \text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$ |
|------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| V <sup>2+</sup>  | $d^3$                         | -4.1  |
| Mn <sup>2+</sup> | $d^5$                         | -5.4  |
| Fe <sup>2+</sup> | $d^6$                         | +3.7  |
| Co <sup>2+</sup> | $d^7$                         | +6.1  |
| Ni <sup>2+</sup> | $d^8$                         | +7.2  |
| Ti <sup>3+</sup> | $d^1$                         | -12.1   |
| V <sup>3+</sup>  | $d^2$                         | -8.9  |
| Cr <sup>3+</sup> | $d^3$                         | -9.6  |
| Fe <sup>3+</sup> | $d^5$                         | -5.4  |

important on going from a  $d^3$  to  $d^8$  configuration. For the M<sup>3+</sup> ions in Table 25.4, values of  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  suggest an associative mechanism. Where data are available, an associative process appears to operate for second and third row metal ions, consistent with the idea that larger metal centres may facilitate association with the entering ligand.


First order rate constants,  $k$ , for reaction 25.21 vary greatly among the first row  $d$ -block metals (all high-spin M <sup>$n$</sup>  in the hexaaqua ions):

- Cr<sup>2+</sup> ( $d^4$ ) and Cu<sup>2+</sup> ( $d^9$ ) are kinetically very labile ( $k \geq 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ );

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 25.1 Reversible binding of NO to [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>: an example of the use of flash photolysis


In Section 14.8, we described the complex [Fe(NO)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> in association with the brown ring test for the nitrate ion. The binding of NO is reversible:



and the formation of [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>(NO)]<sup>2+</sup> can be monitored by the appearance in the electronic spectrum of absorptions at 336, 451 and 585 nm with  $\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 440, 265$  and  $85 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively. At 296 K, in a buffered solution at pH = 5.0, the value of the equilibrium constant  $K_{\text{NO}} = 1.15 \times 10^3$ . The IR spectrum of [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>(NO)]<sup>2+</sup> has an absorption at  $1810 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  assigned to  $\nu(\text{NO})$ , and this is consistent with the formulation of [Fe<sup>III</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>(NO<sup>-</sup>)]<sup>2+</sup>.

The kinetics of the reversible binding of NO to [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> can be followed by using *flash photolysis* and monitoring changes in the absorption spectrum. Irradiation of [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>(NO)]<sup>2+</sup> at a wavelength of 532 nm results in rapid dissociation of NO and loss of the absorptions at 336, 451 and 585 nm, i.e. the equilibrium above moves to the left-hand side. Following the 'flash', the equilibrium re-establishes itself within 0.2 ms (at 298 K) and the rate at which [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>(NO)]<sup>2+</sup> reforms can be determined from the reappearance of three characteristic absorptions. The

observed rate constant,  $k_{\text{obs}}$ , is  $3.0 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Under pseudo-first order conditions (i.e. with [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> in large excess), the rate constants for the forward and back reactions can be determined:



$$k_{\text{obs}} = k_{\text{on}}[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+} + k_{\text{off}}$$

in which the square brackets now stand for concentration.

At a given temperature, values of  $k_{\text{on}}$  and  $k_{\text{off}}$  can be found from the gradient and intercept of a linear plot of the variation of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  with the concentration of [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>: at 298 K,  $k_{\text{on}} = (1.42 \pm 0.04) \times 10^6 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $k_{\text{off}} = 3240 \pm 750 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

#### Further reading

- A. Wanat, T. Schnepfenseiper, G. Stochel, R. van Eldik, E. Bill and K. Wieghardt (2002) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 41, p. 4 – 'Kinetics, mechanism and spectroscopy of the reversible binding of nitric oxide to aquated iron(II). An undergraduate text book reaction revisited'.

**Table 25.5** Changes in CFSE ( $\Delta\text{CFSE}$ ) on converting a high-spin octahedral complex into a square-based pyramidal (for a dissociative process) or pentagonal bipyramidal (for an associative process) transition state, other factors remaining constant (see text).


| Metal ion (high-spin) | $d^n$ | $\Delta\text{CFSE} / \Delta_{\text{oct}}$ |                        |
|-----------------------|-------|---|------------------------|
|                       |       | Square-based pyramidal                    | Pentagonal bipyramidal |
| Sc <sup>2+</sup>      | $d^1$ | +0.06                                     | +0.13                  |
| Ti <sup>2+</sup>      | $d^2$ | +0.11                                     | +0.26                  |
| V <sup>2+</sup>       | $d^3$ | -0.20                                     | -0.43                  |
| Cr <sup>2+</sup>      | $d^4$ | +0.31                                     | -0.11                  |
| Mn <sup>2+</sup>      | $d^5$ | 0   | 0                      |

| Metal ion (high-spin) | $d^n$    | $\Delta\text{CFSE} / \Delta_{\text{oct}}$ |                        |
|-----------------------|----------|---|------------------------|
|                       |          | Square-based pyramidal                    | Pentagonal bipyramidal |
| Fe <sup>2+</sup>      | $d^6$    | +0.06                                     | +0.13                  |
| Co <sup>2+</sup>      | $d^7$    | +0.11                                     | +0.26                  |
| Ni <sup>2+</sup>      | $d^8$    | -0.20                                     | -0.43                  |
| Cu <sup>2+</sup>      | $d^9$    | +0.31                                     | -0.11                  |
| Zn <sup>2+</sup>      | $d^{10}$ | 0   | 0                      |

- Cr<sup>3+</sup> ( $d^3$ ) is kinetically inert ( $k \approx 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ );
- Mn<sup>2+</sup> ( $d^5$ ), Fe<sup>2+</sup> ( $d^6$ ), Co<sup>2+</sup> ( $d^7$ ) and Ni<sup>2+</sup> ( $d^8$ ) are kinetically labile ( $k \approx 10^4$  to  $10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$ );
- V<sup>2+</sup> ( $d^2$ ) has  $k \approx 10^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , i.e. considerably less labile than the later M<sup>2+</sup> ions.

Although one can relate some of these trends to CFSE effects as we discuss below, charge effects are also important, e.g. compare  $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ( $k = 2.1 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ( $k = 1.6 \times 10^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), both of which are high-spin  $d^5$ .

The rates of water exchange in high-spin hexaqua ions follow the sequences:



and



For a series of ions of the same charge and about the same size undergoing the same reaction by the same mechanism, we may reasonably suppose that collision frequencies and values of  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  are approximately constant, and that variations in rate will arise from variation in  $\Delta H^\ddagger$ . Let us assume that the latter arise from loss or gain of CFSE (see [Table 20.3](#)) on going from the starting complex to the transition state: a loss of CFSE means an increase in the activation energy for the reaction and hence a decrease in its rate. The splitting of the  $d$  orbitals depends on the coordination geometry ([Figures 20.8](#) and [20.10](#)), and we can calculate the change in CFSE on the formation of a transition state. Such calculations make assumptions that are actually unlikely to be valid (e.g. constant M–L bond lengths), but for comparative purposes, the results should have some meaning. [Table 25.5](#) lists results of such calculations for high-spin octahedral M<sup>2+</sup> complexes going to either 5- or 7-coordinate transition states; this provides a model for both dissociative and associative processes. For either model, and despite the simplicity of crystal field theory, there is moderately good qualitative agreement between the calculated order of lability and that observed; Jahn–Teller effects contribute towards the high rates of Cr<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup>.

## The Eigen–Wilkins mechanism

Water exchange is always more rapid than substitutions with other entering ligands. Let us now consider reaction 25.22.



The mechanism may be associative ( $A$  or  $I_a$ ) or dissociative ( $D$  or  $I_d$ ), and it is not at all easy to distinguish between these, even though the rate laws are different. An associative mechanism involves a 7-coordinate intermediate or transition state and, sterically, an associative pathway seems less likely than a dissociative one. Nevertheless, activation volumes do sometimes indicate an associative mechanism (see [Table 25.4](#)). However, for most ligand substitutions in octahedral complexes, experimental evidence supports dissociative pathways. Two limiting cases are often observed for general reaction 25.22:

- at high concentrations of Y, the rate of substitution is independent of Y, pointing to a dissociative mechanism;
- at low concentrations of Y, the rate of reaction depends on Y and ML<sub>6</sub>, suggesting an associative mechanism.

These apparent contradictions are explained by the *Eigen–Wilkins mechanism*.

The *Eigen–Wilkins mechanism* applies to ligand substitution in an octahedral complex. An *encounter complex* is first formed between substrate and entering ligand in a pre-equilibrium step, and this is followed by loss of the leaving ligand in the rate-determining step.

Consider reaction 25.22. The first step in the Eigen–Wilkins mechanism is the diffusing together of ML<sub>6</sub> and Y to form a *weakly bound encounter complex* (equilibrium 25.23).



Usually, the rate of formation of  $\{\text{ML}_6, \text{Y}\}$  and the back-reaction to ML<sub>6</sub> and Y are much faster than the subsequent conversion of  $\{\text{ML}_6, \text{Y}\}$  to products. Thus, the formation of  $\{\text{ML}_6, \text{Y}\}$  is a *pre-equilibrium*. The equilibrium constant,  $K_E$ , can rarely be determined experimentally, but it can be

**Table 25.6** Rate constants,  $k$ , for reaction 25.31; see equation 25.25 for the rate law.

| Entering ligand, Y<br>$k \times 10^{-4}/s^{-1}$ | NH <sub>3</sub><br>3 | py<br>3 | [MeCO <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup><br>3 | F <sup>-</sup><br>0.8 | [SCN] <sup>-</sup><br>0.6 |
|---|----------------------|---------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|
|---|----------------------|---------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|

estimated using theoretical models. The rate-determining step in the Eigen–Wilkins mechanism is step 25.24 with a rate constant  $k$ ; the overall rate law is equation 25.25.



$$\text{Rate} = k[\{\text{ML}_6, \text{Y}\}] \quad (25.25)$$

The concentration of  $\{\text{ML}_6, \text{Y}\}$  cannot be measured directly, and we must make use of an estimated value of  $K_E^\dagger$  which is related to  $[\{\text{ML}_6, \text{Y}\}]$  by equation 25.26.

$$K_E = \frac{[\{\text{ML}_6, \text{Y}\}]}{[\text{ML}_6][\text{Y}]} \quad (25.26)$$

The *total* concentration of  $\text{ML}_6$  and  $\{\text{ML}_6, \text{Y}\}$  in equation 25.23 is measurable because it is the initial concentration of the complex; let this be  $[\text{M}]_{\text{total}}$  (equation 25.27). Thus, we have expression 25.28 for  $[\text{ML}_6]$ .

$$\left. \begin{aligned} [\text{M}]_{\text{total}} &= [\text{ML}_6] + [\{\text{ML}_6, \text{Y}\}] \\ [\text{M}]_{\text{total}} &= [\text{ML}_6] + K_E[\text{ML}_6][\text{Y}] \\ &= [\text{ML}_6](1 + K_E[\text{Y}]) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (25.27)$$

$$[\text{ML}_6] = \frac{[\text{M}]_{\text{total}}}{1 + K_E[\text{Y}]} \quad (25.28)$$

We can now rewrite rate equation 25.25 in the form of equation 25.29 by substituting for  $[\{\text{ML}_6, \text{Y}\}]$  (from equation 25.26) and then for  $[\text{ML}_6]$  (from equation 25.28).

$$\text{Rate} = \frac{kK_E[\text{M}]_{\text{total}}[\text{Y}]}{1 + K_E[\text{Y}]} \quad (25.29)$$

This equation looks complicated, but at *low concentrations of Y* where  $K_E[\text{Y}] \ll 1$ , equation 25.29 approximates to equation 25.30, a second order rate equation in which  $k_{\text{obs}}$  is the observed rate constant.

$$\text{Rate} = kK_E[\text{M}]_{\text{total}}[\text{Y}] = k_{\text{obs}}[\text{M}]_{\text{total}}[\text{Y}] \quad (25.30)$$

Since  $k_{\text{obs}}$  can be measured experimentally, and  $K_E$  can be estimated theoretically, we can estimate  $k$  from the expression  $k = k_{\text{obs}}/K_E$  which follows from equation 25.30. Table 25.6 lists values of  $k$  for reaction 25.31 for various entering ligands. The fact that  $k$  varies so little is consistent with an  $I_d$  mechanism. If the pathway were associative, the rate would depend more significantly on the nature of Y.



The substitution of an uncharged ligand (e.g. H<sub>2</sub>O) by an anionic ligand (e.g. Cl<sup>-</sup>) is called *anation*.


At a *high concentration of Y* (e.g. when Y is the solvent),  $K_E[\text{Y}] \gg 1$ , and equation 25.29 approximates to equation 25.32, a first order rate equation with *no dependence* on the entering ligand. The value of  $k$  can be measured directly ( $k_{\text{obs}} = k$ ).

$$\text{Rate} = k[\text{M}]_{\text{total}} \quad (25.32)$$


The water exchange reaction 25.21 exemplifies a case where the entering ligand is the solvent.

Let us now look further at *experimental* trends that are consistent with dissociative ( $D$  or  $I_d$ ) mechanisms for substitution in octahedral complexes;  $I_d$  is supported in very many instances.

The rate of ligand substitution usually depends on the *nature of the leaving ligand*.



For reaction 25.33, the rate of substitution increases with X<sup>-</sup> in the following order:



This trend correlates with the M–X bond strength (the stronger the bond, the slower the rate) and is consistent with the rate-determining step involving bond breaking in a dissociative step. We can go one step further: a plot of  $\log k$  (where  $k$  is the rate constant for the forward reaction 25.33) against  $\log K$  (where  $K$  is the equilibrium constant for reaction 25.33) is linear *with a gradient of 1.0* (Figure 25.6). Equations 25.34 and 25.35 relate  $\log k$  and  $\log K$  to  $\Delta G^\ddagger$  (Gibbs energy of activation) and  $\Delta G$  (Gibbs energy of reaction), respectively. It follows that the linear relationship between  $\log k$  and  $\log K$  represents a linear relationship between  $\Delta G^\ddagger$  and  $\Delta G$ , a so-called *linear free energy relationship* (LFER).<sup>§</sup>


$$\Delta G^\ddagger \propto -\log k \quad (25.34)$$

$$\Delta G \propto -\log K \quad (25.35)$$

The interpretation of the LFER in Figure 25.6 in mechanistic terms is that the transition state is closely related to the product  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{3+}$ , and, therefore, the transition state involves, at most, only a weak Co⋯X interaction. This is consistent with a dissociative ( $D$  or  $I_d$ ) process.

<sup>†</sup>  $K_E$  can be estimated using an electrostatic approach: for details of the theory, see R.G. Wilkins (1991) *Kinetics and Mechanism of Reactions of Transition Metal Complexes*, 2nd edn, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, p. 206.

<sup>§</sup> LFERs can also use  $\ln k$  and  $\ln K$ , but it is common practice to use  $\log$ – $\log$  relationships. Note that free energy is the same as Gibbs energy.



**Fig. 25.6** Plot of  $\log k$  against  $\log K$  for selected leaving groups in reaction 25.33. [Data from: A. Haim (1970), *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 9, p. 426.]

## Stereochemistry of substitution

Although most substitutions in octahedral complexes involve  $D$  or  $I_d$  pathways, we consider the stereochemical implications only of the  $D$  mechanism since this involves a 5-coordinate species which we can readily visualize (equation 25.36).





Table 25.7 gives the isomer distributions in the products of the reactions of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with *cis*- and *trans*- $[\text{CoCl}(\text{en})_2\text{Z}]^+$ . The specificity of the substitutions in *cis*- $[\text{CoCl}(\text{en})_2\text{Z}]^+$  extends to other nucleophiles (and to other *cis*-complexes) and is explained in terms of a *square-based pyramidal* species which has a single site for attack by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Figure 25.7a). Starting from *trans*- $[\text{CoCl}(\text{en})_2\text{Z}]^+$ , the stereochemistry of substitution is not always specific, and similar effects are observed for other *trans*-complexes. Figure 25.7b shows that if, after loss of  $\text{Cl}^-$ , a *trigonal bipyramidal* species is formed, then attack within the equatorial plane can occur at one of three sites to give *cis*- and *trans*-isomers. Stabilization of the trigonal bipyramidal species

by  $\pi$ -bonding involving the *trans*-ligand Z (i.e. as in Figure 25.4) can be envisaged. The argument certainly rationalizes the observations, but one has to accept an assumption that no rearrangement occurs during the lifetime of the 5-coordinate species. (We have previously discussed rearrangements in 5-coordinate complexes; see for example the end of [Section 2.11](#).)

## Base-catalysed hydrolysis

Substitution reactions of Co(III) ammine complexes are catalysed by  $[\text{OH}]^-$ , and for reaction 25.37, the rate law is equation 25.38.



$$\text{Rate} = k_{\text{obs}}[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{X}^{2+}][\text{OH}^-] \quad (25.38)$$



That  $[\text{OH}]^-$  appears in the rate equation shows it has a rate-determining role. However, this is *not* because  $[\text{OH}]^-$  attacks the metal centre but rather because it deprotonates a coordinated  $\text{NH}_3$  ligand. Steps 25.39–25.41 show the *conjugate-base mechanism* ( $D_{cb}$  or  $S_N1cb$  mechanism). A pre-equilibrium is first established, followed by loss of  $\text{X}^-$  to give the reactive amido species **25.1**, and, finally, formation of the product in a fast step.

**Table 25.7** The isomer distributions in the reactions of *cis*- and *trans*- $[\text{CoCl}(\text{en})_2\text{Z}]^+$  with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .





| <i>cis</i> - $[\text{CoCl}(\text{en})_2\text{Z}]^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow [\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{en})_2\text{Z}]^{2+} + \text{Cl}^-$ |                          |
|--|--------------------------|
| Z  | % of <i>cis</i> -product |
| $[\text{OH}]^-$  | 100                      |
| $\text{Cl}^-$  | 100                      |
| $[\text{NO}_2]^-$  | 100                      |
| $[\text{NCS}]^-$   | 100                      |

| <i>trans</i> - $[\text{CoCl}(\text{en})_2\text{Z}]^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow [\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{en})_2\text{Z}]^{2+} + \text{Cl}^-$ |                                       |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Z  | % of <i>cis</i> -product <sup>‡</sup> |
| $[\text{OH}]^-$  | 75                                    |
| $\text{Cl}^-$  | 35                                    |
| $[\text{NO}_2]^-$  | 0                                     |
| $[\text{NCS}]^-$   | 50–70                                 |

<sup>‡</sup> Remaining % is *trans*-product.



**Fig. 25.7** Octahedral substitution through (a) a square-based pyramidal species leads to retention of the initial *cis*-isomer but through (b), a trigonal bipyramidal species, may lead to isomerization.



If the equilibrium constant for equilibrium 25.39 is  $K$ , then the rate law consistent with this mechanism is given by equation 25.42 (see [problem 25.10](#) at the end of the chapter). If


$K[\text{OH}^-] \ll 1$ , then equation 25.42 simplifies to equation 25.38 where  $k_{\text{obs}} = Kk_2$ .

$$\text{Rate} = \frac{Kk_2[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{X}^{2+}][\text{OH}^-]}{1 + K[\text{OH}^-]} \quad (25.42)$$

Two observations that are consistent with (but cannot rigidly establish) the conjugate-base mechanism are that:

- if  $\text{NH}_3$  is replaced by pyridine or another tertiary amine, base hydrolysis is very much slower;
- the exchange of H (in the  $\text{NH}_3$ ) for D in alkaline  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  is much faster than the rate of base hydrolysis.

The Green-Taube experiment provides an elegant demonstration that a conjugate-base mechanism operates: when base hydrolysis (with a fixed concentration of  $[\text{OH}^-]$ ) of  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{X}]^{2+}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{NO}_3$ ) is carried out in a mixture of  $\text{H}_2(^{16}\text{O})$  and  $\text{H}_2(^{18}\text{O})$ , it is found that the ratio of  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(^{16}\text{OH})]^{2+}$  to  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(^{18}\text{OH})]^{2+}$  is constant and independent of  $\text{X}^-$ . This provides strong evidence that




**Fig. 25.8** Twist mechanisms for the interconversion of  $\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$  enantiomers of  $M(L-L)_3$ : (a) the Bailar twist and (b) the Ray-Dutt twist. The chelating  $L-L$  ligands are represented by the red lines (see also [Box 19.2](#)).

the entering group is  $H_2O$ , and not  $[OH]^-$ , at least in the cases of the leaving groups being  $Cl^-$ ,  $Br^-$  and  $[NO_3]^-$ .

### Isomerization and racemization of octahedral complexes


Although the octahedron is stereochemically rigid, loss of a ligand gives a 5-coordinate species which can undergo Berry pseudo-rotation (see [Figure 2.13](#)). Although, earlier in this chapter, we discussed cases where the assumption is that such rearrangement does *not* occur, if the lifetime of the intermediate is long enough, it provides a mechanism for isomerization (e.g. equation 25.43). Such isomerization is related to mechanisms already described.



Our main concern in this section is the racemization of chiral complexes  $M(L-L)_3$  and  $\text{cis-}M(L-L)_2XY$  containing symmetrical or asymmetrical chelating ligands,  $L-L$ , and monodentate ligands,  $X$  and  $Y$ .

For  $[Ni(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  and  $[Ni(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$ , the rates of exchange with  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled ligands are the same as the rates of

racemization. This is consistent with a dissociative process (equation 25.44) in which the intermediate is racemic, or racemizes faster than recombination with  $L-L$ .




Such a dissociative mechanism is rare, and kinetic data are usually consistent with an intramolecular process, e.g. for  $[\text{Cr}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Co}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$  (low-spin) and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  (low-spin), the rate of racemization exceeds that of ligand exchange.<sup>†</sup> Two intramolecular mechanisms are possible: a twist mechanism, or the cleavage and reformation of the  $M-L$  bond of *one end* of the didentate ligand. Alternative twist mechanisms (the *Bailar* and *Ray-Dutt* twists) for the interconversion of enantiomers of  $M(L-L)_3$  are shown in [Figure 25.8](#). Each transition state is a trigonal prism and the mechanisms differ only in which pair of opposing triangular faces twist with respect to each other. The ligands remain coordinated throughout. It is proposed that the racemization of  $[Ni(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  occurs by a twist mechanism.


The second intramolecular mechanism for racemization involves the dissociation of *one* donor atom of a didentate

<sup>†</sup> Ligand abbreviations: see [Table 6.7](#).

ligand to give a 5-coordinate species which may undergo rearrangement within the time that the donor atom remains uncoordinated. Scheme 25.45 summarizes the available pathways for the interconversion of  $\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$  enantiomers of  $M(L-L)_3$ .




In aqueous solution, racemization of tris-oxalato complexes is faster than exchange of  $ox^{2-}$  by two  $H_2O$  ligands, suggesting that the two processes are mechanistically different. For  $[Rh(ox)_3]^{3-}$  (**25.2**) the non-coordinated O atoms exchange with  $^{18}O$  (from labelled  $H_2O$ ) faster than do the coordinated O atoms, the rate for the latter being comparable to the rate of racemization. This is consistent with a mechanism involving dissociation of one end of the  $ox^{2-}$  ligand, both for isotope exchange of the coordinated O, and for racemization.




If the chelating ligand is asymmetrical (i.e. has two different donor groups), geometrical isomerization is possible as well as racemization, making the kinetics of the system more difficult to interpret. Similarly, racemization of complexes of the type  $cis-M(L-L)_2XY$  is complicated by competing isomerization. The kinetics of these systems are dealt with in more advanced texts.

## 25.5 Electron-transfer processes

The simplest redox reactions involve *only* the transfer of electrons, and can be monitored by using isotopic tracers, e.g. reaction 25.46.



If  $[^{54}MnO_4]^-$  is mixed with unlabelled  $[MnO_4]^{2-}$ , it is found that however rapidly  $[MnO_4]^{2-}$  is precipitated as  $BaMnO_4$ , incorporation of the label has occurred. In the case of electron transfer between  $[Os(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  and  $[Os(bpy)_3]^{3+}$ , the rate of electron transfer can be measured by studying the loss of optical activity (reaction 25.47).




Electron-transfer processes fall into two classes, defined by Taube: *outer-sphere* and *inner-sphere mechanisms*.

In an *outer-sphere mechanism*, electron transfer occurs *without a covalent linkage* being formed between the reactants. In an *inner-sphere mechanism*, electron transfer occurs via a *covalently bound bridging ligand*.


In some cases, kinetic data readily distinguish between outer- and inner-sphere mechanisms, but in many reactions, rationalizing the data in terms of a mechanism is not straightforward.


### Inner-sphere mechanism

In 1953, Taube (who received the Nobel Prize for Chemistry in 1983) made the classic demonstration of an inner-sphere reaction on a skilfully chosen system (reaction 25.48) in which the reduced forms were substitutionally labile and the oxidized forms were substitutionally inert.




All the Cr(III) produced was in the form of  $[Cr(H_2O)_5Cl]^{2+}$ , and tracer experiments in the presence of excess, unlabelled  $Cl^-$  showed that all the chloro ligand in  $[Cr(H_2O)_5Cl]^{2+}$  originated from  $[Co(NH_3)_5Cl]^{2+}$ . Since the Co centre could not have lost  $Cl^-$  before reduction, and Cr could not have gained  $Cl^-$  after oxidation, the transferred  $Cl^-$  must have been bonded to both metal centres during the reaction. Intermediate **25.3** is consistent with these observations.






In the above example,  $\text{Cl}^-$  is transferred between metal centres; such transfer is often (but not necessarily) observed. In the reaction between  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5]^{3-}$ , the intermediate **25.4** (which is stable enough to be precipitated as the  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  salt) is slowly hydrolysed to  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2-}$  without transfer of the bridging ligand. Common bridging ligands in inner-sphere mechanisms include halides,  $[\text{OH}]^-$ ,  $[\text{CN}]^-$ ,  $[\text{NCS}]^-$ , pyrazine (**25.5**) and 4,4'-bipyridine (**25.6**); pyrazine acts as an electron-transfer bridge in the Creutz–Taube cation and related species (see [structure 22.57](#) and discussion).






(25.5)



(25.6)

The steps of an *inner-sphere mechanism* are bridge formation, electron transfer and bridge cleavage.

Equations 25.49–25.51 illustrate the inner-sphere mechanism for reaction 25.48; the product  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{2+}$  adds  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and then hydrolyses in a fast step to give  $[\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ .




Most inner-sphere processes exhibit second order kinetics overall, and interpreting the data is seldom simple. Any one of bridge formation, electron transfer or bridge cleavage can be rate determining. In the reaction between  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5]^{3-}$ , the rate-determining step is the breaking of the bridge, but it is common for the electron transfer to be the rate-determining step. For bridge formation to be rate determining, the substitution required to form the bridge must be slower than electron transfer. This is not so in reaction 25.49: substitution in  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  (high-spin  $d^4$ ) is very rapid, and the rate-determining step is electron transfer. However, if  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  is replaced

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 25.2 Timescales of experimental techniques for studying electron-transfer reactions

In [Section 2.11](#), we discussed fluxional processes in relation to the timescales of NMR and IR spectroscopies. A range of techniques are now available to probe electron-transfer reactions, and the recent development of femtosecond (fs) and picosecond (ps) flash photolysis methods now allow

investigations of extremely rapid reactions. For his studies of transition states of chemical reactions using femtosecond spectroscopy, Ahmed H. Zewail was awarded the 1999 Nobel Prize for Chemistry.



For details of experimental methods, see end-of-chapter reading list.

For information on femtochemistry, see:



J.C. Williamson, J. Cao, H. Ihee, H. Frey and A.H. Zewail (1997) *Nature*, vol. 386, p. 159 – ‘Clocking transient chemical changes by ultrafast electron diffraction’.

A.H. Zewail (1994) *Femtochemistry: Ultrafast Dynamics of the Chemical Bond*, 2 volumes, World Scientific, Singapore.

A.H. Zewail (2000) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 39, p. 2586 – ‘Femtochemistry: Atomic-scale dynamics of the chemical bond using ultrafast lasers’.


by  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  ( $d^3$ ), then the rate constant for reduction is similar to that for water exchange. This is also true for the reactions between  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Br}]^{2+}$  or  $[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_5(\text{N}_3)]^{3-}$ , indicating that the bridging group has little effect on the rate and that the rate-determining step is the ligand substitution required for bridge formation (the rate depending on the *leaving group*,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) (see Section 25.4).

For reaction 25.52 with a range of ligands X, the rate-determining step is electron transfer, and the rates of reaction depend on X (Table 25.8). The increase in  $k$  along the series  $\text{F}^-$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$ ,  $\text{I}^-$  correlates with increased ability of the halide to act as a bridge;  $k$  for  $[\text{OH}]^-$  is similar to that for  $\text{Br}^-$ , but for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $k$  is very small and is also pH-dependent. This observation is consistent with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  not being the bridging species at all, but rather  $[\text{OH}]^-$ , its availability in solution varying with pH.




(25.7)

Thiocyanate can coordinate through either the *N*- or *S*-donor, and the reaction of  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{NCS}-\text{S})]^{2+}$  (25.7) with  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$  leads to the linkage isomers  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{NCS}-\text{N})]^{2+}$  (70%) and  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{NCS}-\text{S})]^{2+}$  (30%). The results are explained in terms of different bridge structures. If the free *N*-donor in 25.7 bonds to the Cr(II) centre to give bridge 25.8, then the reaction proceeds to form  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{NCS}-\text{N})]^{2+}$ . Alternatively, bridge structure 25.9 gives the green  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{NCS}-\text{S})]^{2+}$ ; this is unstable and isomerizes to the purple  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{NCS}-\text{N})]^{2+}$ .



(25.8)




(25.9)

Conjugated organic anions (e.g.  $\text{ox}^{2-}$ ) lead to faster inner-sphere reactions than non-conjugated anions (e.g. succinate,  $^- \text{O}_2\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2^-$ ). In the reaction of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{3-}$  with  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{25.10})]^{3+}$  in which the spacer X in 25.10 is varied, the reaction is fast when X provides a conjugated bridge allowing efficient electron transfer, and is slower for short, saturated bridges such as  $\text{CH}_2$ . However, rapid electron transfer is also observed when the spacer is very flexible, even when it is a saturated (insulating) chain. This observation is consistent with the metal centres being

**Table 25.8** Second order rate constants for reaction 25.52 with different bridging X ligands.

| Bridging ligand, X   | $k / \text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
|----------------------|---|
| $\text{F}^-$         | $2.5 \times 10^5$                               |
| $\text{Cl}^-$        | $6.0 \times 10^5$                               |
| $\text{Br}^-$        | $1.4 \times 10^6$                               |
| $\text{I}^-$         | $3.0 \times 10^6$                               |
| $[\text{N}_3]^-$     | $3.0 \times 10^5$                               |
| $[\text{OH}]^-$      | $1.5 \times 10^6$                               |
| $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 0.1   |

brought in closer contact and a change to an outer-sphere mechanism.



(25.10)

## Outer-sphere mechanism

When *both* reactants in a redox reaction are *kinetically inert*, electron transfer must take place by a *tunnelling* or *outer-sphere* mechanism. For a reaction such as 25.46,  $\Delta G^\circ \approx 0$ , but activation energy is needed to overcome electrostatic repulsion between ions of like charge, to stretch or shorten bonds so that they are equivalent in the transition state (see below), and to alter the solvent sphere around each complex.

In a *self-exchange reaction*, the left- and right-hand sides of the equation are identical; only electron transfer, and no net chemical reaction, takes place.

The rates of outer-sphere self-exchange reactions vary considerably as illustrated in Table 25.9. The fastest reactions listed are between two low-spin complexes which differ only in the presence of an extra non-bonding electron in the  $t_{2g}$  orbitals of the complex containing the lower oxidation state metal. Such pairs of low-spin complexes have similar bond lengths in their ground states, e.g.  $\text{Fe}-\text{N}$  in  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$  are 197 and 196 pm respectively. Where one complex is high-spin and one low-spin, there is a significant difference between the metal–ligand bond lengths, e.g.  $\text{Co}-\text{N}$  in  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  ( $t_{2g}^5 e_g^2$ ) and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  ( $t_{2g}^6$ ) are 211 and 196 pm respectively. The implications of the differing bond lengths and spin states on the mechanism of self-exchange are as follows.

Clearly, the reactants must approach closely for the electron to migrate from reductant to oxidant. However, there is an important restriction imposed by the *Franck–Condon principle*: during electron transfer, the nuclei are essentially

**Table 25.9** Second order rate constants,  $k$ , for some outer-sphere redox reactions at 298 K in aqueous solution.

|   | Reaction  | $k / \text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
|---|---|---|
| No net chemical reaction<br>(self-exchange) | $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+} + [\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+} \rightarrow [\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+} + [\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$                                 | $>10^6$   |
|   | $[\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+} + [\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+} \rightarrow [\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+} + [\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$                                 | $>10^6$   |
|   | $[\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+} + [\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+} \rightarrow [\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+} + [\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$                             | 40  |
|   | $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+} + [\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+} \rightarrow [\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+} + [\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ | 3   |
|   | $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{2+} + [\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+} \rightarrow [\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+} + [\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$                                     | $10^{-4}$                                       |
|   | $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+} + [\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+} \rightarrow [\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+} + [\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$                             | $10^{-6}$                                       |
| Net chemical reaction                       | $[\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+} + [\text{Mo}(\text{CN})_8]^{3-} \rightarrow [\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+} + [\text{Mo}(\text{CN})_8]^{4-}$                                   | $2 \times 10^9$                                 |
|   | $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-} + [\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+} \rightarrow [\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-} + [\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$                                 | $10^8$  |
|   | $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-} + [\text{IrCl}_6]^{2-} \rightarrow [\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-} + [\text{IrCl}_6]^{3-}$   | $4 \times 10^5$                                 |

stationary and so electron transfer between  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  (or between other redox partners with differing bond lengths) can only occur between vibrationally excited states with identical structures (Figure 25.9). This reductant–oxidant pair is called the *encounter* or *precursor complex*.




By the *Franck–Condon principle*, a molecular electronic transition is much faster than a molecular vibration.

The greater the changes in bond lengths required to reach the encounter complex, the slower the rate of electron transfer. Table 25.9 shows that the rate of self-exchange between  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  is relatively slow, while that between  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$  is very fast. Table 25.9 illustrates another point: self-exchange between  $[\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+}$  is much faster than between  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  or  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  (all three exchange processes are between high-spin Co(II) and low-spin Co(III)). This is consistent with the ability of phen ligands to use their  $\pi$ -orbitals to facilitate the intermolecular migration of an electron from one ligand to another, and phen complexes tend to exhibit fast rates of self-exchange.

The self-exchange reactions listed in Table 25.9 all involve cationic species in aqueous solution. The rates of these reactions are typically *not* affected by the nature and concentration of the anion present in solution. On the other hand, the rate of electron transfer between anions in aqueous solution generally depends on the cation and its concentration. For example, the self-exchange reaction between  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  with  $\text{K}^+$  as the counter-ion proceeds along a pathway that is catalysed by the  $\text{K}^+$  ions.

It has been shown<sup>†</sup> that, by adding the macrocyclic ligand 18-crown-6 or crypt-[222] to complex the  $\text{K}^+$  ions (see *Figure 10.8*), the  $\text{K}^+$ -catalysed pathway is replaced by a cation-independent mechanism. The rate constant that is often quoted for the  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}/[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  self-exchange reaction is of the order of  $10^4 \text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ , whereas the value of  $k$  determined for the cation-independent pathway is  $2.4 \times 10^2 \text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ , i.e.  $\approx 100$  times smaller. This significant result indicates that caution is needed in the interpretation of rate constant data for electron-transfer reactions between complex anions.


The accepted method of testing for an outer-sphere mechanism is to apply *Marcus–Hush theory*<sup>‡</sup> which relates kinetic and thermodynamic data for two self-exchange reactions with data for the *cross-reaction* between the self-exchange partners, e.g. reactions 25.53–25.55.



For each self-exchange reaction,  $\Delta G^\circ = 0$ . The Gibbs energy of activation,  $\Delta G^\ddagger$ , for a self-exchange reaction can be

<sup>†</sup> See: A. Zahl, R. van Eldik and T.W. Swaddle (2002) *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 41, p. 757.

<sup>‡</sup> For fuller treatments of Marcus–Hush theory, see end-of-chapter reading list; Rudolph A. Marcus received the Nobel Prize for Chemistry in 1992.



**Fig. 25.9** The outer-sphere mechanism: when the reactants have differing bond lengths, vibrationally excited states with equal bond lengths must be formed in order to allow electron transfer to occur.

written in terms of four contributing factors (equation 25.56).

$$\Delta G^\ddagger = \Delta_w G^\ddagger + \Delta_o G^\ddagger + \Delta_s G^\ddagger + RT \ln \frac{k' T}{hZ} \quad (25.56)$$

where  $T$  = temperature in K;  $R$  = molar gas constant;  $k'$  = Boltzmann constant;  $h$  = Planck constant;  $Z$  = effective collision frequency in solution  $\approx 10^{11} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

The contributions in this equation arise as follows:

- $\Delta_w G^\ddagger$  is the energy associated with bringing the reductant and oxidant together and includes the work done to counter electrostatic repulsions;
- $\Delta_o G^\ddagger$  is the energy associated with changes in bond distances;
- $\Delta_s G^\ddagger$  arises from rearrangements within the solvent spheres;
- the final term accounts for the loss of translational and rotational energy on formation of the encounter complex.

Although we shall not delve into the theory, it is possible to calculate the terms on the right-hand side of equation 25.56, and thus to estimate values of  $\Delta G^\ddagger$  for self-exchange reactions. The rate constant,  $k$ , for the self-exchange can then be calculated using equation 25.57; the results of such calculations have been checked against much experimental data, and the validity of the theory is upheld.

$$k = \kappa Z e^{(-\Delta G^\ddagger/RT)} \quad (25.57)$$

where  $\kappa$  (the transmission coefficient)  $\approx 1$ ;  $Z \approx 10^{11} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (see equation 25.56).

Now consider reactions 25.53–25.55, and let the rate and thermodynamic parameters be designated as follows:

- $k_{11}$  and  $\Delta G_{11}^\ddagger$  for self-exchange 1;
- $k_{22}$  and  $\Delta G_{22}^\ddagger$  for self-exchange 2;
- $k_{12}$  and  $\Delta G_{12}^\ddagger$  for the cross-reaction; the equilibrium constant is  $K_{12}$ , and the standard Gibbs energy of reaction is  $\Delta G_{12}^0$ .

The Marcus–Hush equation (which we shall not derive) is given by expression 25.58 and applies to outer-sphere mechanisms.

$$k_{12} = (k_{11} k_{22} K_{12} f_{12})^{1/2} \quad (25.58)$$

where  $f_{12}$  is defined by the relationship

$$\log f_{12} = \frac{(\log K_{12})^2}{4 \log \left( \frac{k_{11} k_{22}}{Z^2} \right)}$$

and  $Z$  is the collision frequency (see equation 25.56).

Equation 25.59 gives a logarithmic form of equation 25.58; often,  $f \approx 1$  and so  $\log f \approx 0$ , allowing this term to be neglected in some cases. Thus, equation 25.60 is an approximate form of the Marcus–Hush equation.


$$\log k_{12} = 0.5 \log k_{11} + 0.5 \log k_{22} + 0.5 \log K_{12} + 0.5 \log f_{12} \quad (25.59)$$

$$\log k_{12} \approx 0.5 \log k_{11} + 0.5 \log k_{22} + 0.5 \log K_{12} \quad (25.60)$$

Values of  $k_{11}$ ,  $k_{22}$ ,  $K_{12}$  and  $k_{12}$  can be obtained experimentally, or  $k_{11}$  and  $k_{22}$  theoretically (see above);  $K_{12}$  is determined from  $E_{\text{cell}}$  (see Section 7.2). If the value of  $k_{12}$  calculated from equation 25.60 agrees with the experimental value, this provides strong evidence that the cross-reaction proceeds by an outer-sphere mechanism. Deviation from equation 25.60 indicates that another mechanism is operative.

### Worked example 25.1 Marcus–Hush theory: a test for an outer-sphere mechanism

**For the reaction:**



the observed rate constant is  $1.5 \times 10^4 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and the equilibrium constant is  $2.6 \times 10^5$ . The rate constants

for the self-exchange reactions  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}/[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}/[\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+}$  are  $8.2 \times 10^2$  and  $40 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  respectively. Are these data consistent with an outer-sphere mechanism for the cross-reaction?

The approximate form of the Marcus–Hush equation is:

$$k_{12} \approx (k_{11}k_{22}K_{12})^{1/2} \quad (\text{or its log form})$$

Calculate  $k_{12}$  using this equation:

$$\begin{aligned} k_{12} &\approx [(8.2 \times 10^2)(40)(2.6 \times 10^5)]^{1/2} \\ &\approx 9.2 \times 10^4 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

This is in quite good agreement with the observed value of  $1.5 \times 10^4 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and suggests that the mechanism is outer-sphere electron transfer.

### Self-study exercise

For the reaction given above, use the values of  $k_{12} = 1.5 \times 10^4 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $K_{12} = 2.6 \times 10^5$ , and  $k$  for the self-exchange reaction  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}/[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  to estimate a value of  $k$  for the self-exchange  $[\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}/[\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+}$ . Comment on the agreement between your value and the observed value of  $40 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

[Ans.  $\approx 1.1 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ]

By using the relationships in equations 25.34 and 25.35, we can write equation 25.60 in terms of Gibbs energies (equation 25.61).

$$\Delta G^\ddagger_{12} \approx 0.5\Delta G^\ddagger_{11} + 0.5\Delta G^\ddagger_{22} + 0.5\Delta G^\circ_{12} \quad (25.61)$$

In a series of related redox reactions in which one reactant is the same, a plot of  $\Delta G^\ddagger_{12}$  against  $\Delta G^\circ_{12}$  is linear with a gradient of 0.5 if an outer-sphere mechanism is operative.

An important application of Marcus–Hush theory is in bioinorganic electron-transfer systems.<sup>†</sup> For example, cytochrome *c* is an electron-transfer metalloprotein (see Section 28.4) and contains haem-iron as either Fe(II) or Fe(III). Electron transfer from one Fe centre to another is *long range*, the electron tunnelling through the protein. Model systems have been devised to investigate electron transfer between cytochrome *c* and molecular complexes such as  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ , and kinetic data are consistent with Marcus theory, indicating outer-sphere processes. For electron transfer in both metalloproteins and the model systems, the distance between the metal centres is significantly greater than for transfer between two simple metal complexes, e.g. up to 2500 pm. The rate of

electron transfer decreases exponentially with increasing distance,  $r$ , between the two metal centres (equation 25.62, where  $\beta$  is a parameter which depends on the molecular environment).

$$\text{Rate of electron transfer} \propto e^{-\beta r} \quad (25.62)$$

## Glossary

The following terms have been introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- leaving group
- entering group
- kinetically inert
- kinetically labile
- associative mechanism,  $A$
- dissociative mechanism,  $D$
- interchange mechanism,  $I_a$  or  $I_d$
- intermediate
- transition state
- rate-determining step
- fast step
- activation parameters
- volume of activation,  $\Delta V^\ddagger$
- trans*-effect
- nucleophilicity sequence
- nucleophilicity parameter
- nucleophilicity discrimination factor
- Eigen–Wilkins mechanism
- encounter complex
- pre-equilibrium
- anation
- linear free energy relationship, LFER
- conjugate–base mechanism,  $Dcb$
- Bailar twist mechanism
- Ray–Dutt twist mechanism
- outer-sphere mechanism
- inner-sphere mechanism
- Franck–Condon principle
- self-exchange mechanism
- cross-reaction
- Marcus–Hush theory (fundamental principles)

## Further reading

For an introduction to rate laws

- P. Atkins and J. de Paula (2002) *Atkins' Physical Chemistry*, 7th edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford – Chapters 25–27 give a detailed account.
- C.E. Housecroft and E.C. Constable (2002) *Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Prentice Hall, Harlow – Chapter 14 provides a basic introduction.

<sup>†</sup> For further discussion, see: R.G. Wilkins (1991) *Kinetics and Mechanism of Reactions of Transition Metal Complexes*, 2nd edn, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, p. 285; J.J.R. Fraústo da Silva and R.J.P. Williams (1991) *The Biological Chemistry of the Elements*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, p. 105.

### Kinetics and mechanisms of inorganic and organometallic reactions

- J.D. Atwood (1997) *Inorganic and Organometallic Reaction Mechanisms*, 2nd edn, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – One of the most readable texts dealing with coordination and organometallic reaction mechanisms.
- F. Basolo and R.G. Pearson (1967) *Mechanisms of Inorganic Reactions*, Wiley, New York – A classic book in the field of inorganic mechanisms.
- J. Burgess (1999) *Ions in Solution*, Horwood Publishing Ltd, Chichester – Chapters 8–12 introduce inorganic kinetics in a clear and informative manner.
- R.D. Cannon (1994) ‘Electron-transfer reactions: Theory’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 3, p. 1098.
- J.F. Endicott (1994) ‘Electron transfer in coordination compounds’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 3, p. 1081.
- R.W. Hay (2000) *Reaction Mechanisms of Metal Complexes*, Horwood Publishing Ltd, Chichester – Includes excellent coverage of substitution reactions, and isomerization, racemization and redox processes.
- R.B. Jordan (1998) *Reaction Mechanisms of Inorganic and Organometallic Systems*, 2nd edn, Oxford University Press, New York – A detailed text which includes experimental methods, photochemistry and bioinorganic systems.
- S.F.A. Kettle (1996) *Physical Inorganic Chemistry*, Spektrum, Oxford – Chapter 14 gives an excellent introduction and includes photokinetics.
- A.G. Lappin (1994) *Redox Mechanisms in Inorganic Chemistry*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester – A comprehensive review of redox reactions in inorganic chemistry, including multiple electron transfer and some aspects of bioinorganic chemistry.
- M.L. Tobe and J. Burgess (1999) *Inorganic Reaction Mechanisms*, Addison Wesley Longman, Harlow – A comprehensive account of inorganic mechanisms.
- R.G. Wilkins (1991) *Kinetics and Mechanism of Reactions of Transition Metal Complexes*, 2nd edn, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – An excellent and detailed text which includes experimental methods.

### More specialized reviews

- J. Burgess and C.D. Hubbard (2003) *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 54, p. 71 – ‘Ligand substitution reactions’.
- B.J. Coe and S.J. Glenwright (2000) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 203, p. 5 – ‘*Trans*-effects in octahedral transition metal complexes’ (includes both structural and kinetic *trans*-effects).
- R.J. Cross (1985) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 14, p. 197 – ‘Ligand substitution reactions in square planar molecules’.
- R. van Eldik (1999) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 182, p. 373 – ‘Mechanistic studies in coordination chemistry’.
- A. Haim (1975) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 8, p. 264 – ‘Role of the bridging ligand in inner-sphere electron-transfer reactions’.
- R.A. Marcus (1986) *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, vol. 90, p. 3460 – ‘Theory, experiment and reaction rates: A personal view’.
- G. McLendon (1988) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 21, p. 160 – ‘Long-distance electron transfer in proteins and model systems’.
- W. Preetz, G. Peters and D. Bublitz (1996) *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 96, p. 977 – ‘Preparation and investigation of mixed octahedral complexes’.
- G. Stochel and R. van Eldik (1999) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 187, p. 329 – ‘Elucidation of inorganic reaction mechanisms through volume profile analysis’.
- H. Taube (1984) *Science*, vol. 226, p. 1028 – ‘Electron transfer between metal complexes: Retrospective’ (Nobel Prize for Chemistry lecture).

## Problems

25.1 Review what is meant by the following terms:

- elementary step,
- rate-determining step,
- activation energy,
- intermediate,
- transition state,
- rate equation,
- zero, first and second order rate laws,
- nucleophile.

25.2 Sketch reaction profiles for the reaction pathways described in equations 25.3 and 25.4. Comment on any significant features including activation energies.

25.3 Discuss evidence to support the proposal that substitution in square planar complexes is an associative process.

25.4 Under pseudo-first order conditions, the variation of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  with  $[\text{py}]$  for reaction of square planar  $[\text{Rh}(\text{cod})(\text{PPh}_3)_2]^+$


( $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $\text{cod} = \mathbf{23.20}$ ) with pyridine is as follows:

|                                    |         |        |       |       |
|------------------------------------|---------|--------|-------|-------|
| $[\text{py}] / \text{mol dm}^{-3}$ | 0.00625 | 0.0125 | 0.025 | 0.05  |
| $k_{\text{obs}} / \text{s}^{-1}$   | 27.85   | 30.06  | 34.10 | 42.04 |

Show that the data are consistent with the reaction proceeding by two competitive routes, indicate what these pathways are, and determine values of the rate constants for each pathway. [Data from: H. Krüger *et al.* (1987) *J. Chem. Ed.*, vol. 64, p. 262.]

25.5 (a) The *cis*- and *trans*-isomers of  $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{NH}_3)(\text{NO}_2)]^-$  are prepared by reaction sequences 25.16 and 25.17 respectively. Rationalize the observed differences in products in these routes. (b) Suggest the products of the reaction of  $[\text{PtCl}_4]^{2-}$  with  $\text{PEt}_3$ .

25.6 (a) Suggest a mechanism for the reaction:



(b) If the intermediate in your mechanism is sufficiently long-lived, what complication might arise?

25.7 For the reaction:




it is found that:

$$\frac{d[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{X}^{2+}]}{dt} = k_{\text{obs}}[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})^{3+}][\text{X}^-]$$

and for  $\text{X}^- = \text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  is positive. Rationalize these data.

25.8 (a) Rationalize the formation of the products in the following sequence of reactions:




(b) Suggest methods of preparing  $[\text{RhCl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2-}$ , *cis*- $[\text{RhCl}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^-$  and *fac*- $[\text{RhCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]$ .

25.9 What reason can you suggest for the sequence  $\text{Co} > \text{Rh} > \text{Ir}$  in the rates of anation of  $[\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  ions?

25.10 Derive rate law 25.42 for the mechanism shown in steps 25.39–25.41.

25.11 Suggest a mechanism for the possible racemization of tertiary amines  $\text{NR}_1\text{R}_2\text{R}_3$ . Is it likely that such molecules can be resolved?

25.12 The rate of racemization of  $[\text{CoL}_3]$  where  $\text{HL} = \mathbf{25.11a}$  is approximately the same as its rate of isomerization into  $[\text{CoL}'_3]$  where  $\text{HL}' = \mathbf{25.11b}$ . What can you deduce about the mechanisms of these reactions?



25.13 Substitution of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  by thiocyanate is complicated by proton loss. By considering the reaction scheme in Figure 25.10, derive an expression for  $-\frac{d[\text{SCN}^-]}{dt}$  in terms of the equilibrium and rate constants,  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{3+}]$ ,  $[\text{SCN}^-]$ ,  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{SCN})^{2+}]$  and  $[\text{H}^+]$ .





Fig. 25.10 Scheme for problem 25.13.

25.14 Rationalize the observation that when the reaction:




is carried out in  $\text{H}_2(^{18}\text{O})$ , the water in the complex contains equal proportions of  $\text{H}_2(^{18}\text{O})$  and  $\text{H}_2(^{16}\text{O})$ .

25.15 Two twist mechanisms for the rearrangement of  $\Delta\text{-M}(\text{L-L})_3$  to  $\Lambda\text{-M}(\text{L-L})_3$  are shown in Figure 25.8. The initial diagrams in (a) and (b) are identical; confirm that the enantiomers formed in (a) and (b) are also identical.


25.16 The rate constants for racemization ( $k_r$ ) and dissociation ( $k_d$ ) of  $[\text{FeL}_3]^{4-}$  ( $\text{H}_2\text{L} = \mathbf{25.12}$ ) at several temperatures,  $T$ , are given in the table. (a) Determine  $\Delta H^\ddagger$  and  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  for each reaction. (b) What can you deduce about the mechanism of racemization?

| $T/\text{K}$                    | 288 | 294 | 298 | 303 | 308  |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| $k_r \times 10^5/\text{s}^{-1}$ | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 13.4 |
| $k_d \times 10^5/\text{s}^{-1}$ | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 7.7 | 14.0 |

[Data from: A. Yamagishi (1986) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 25, p. 55.]



25.17 The reaction:



in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$  is catalysed by  $\text{KNH}_2$ . Suggest an explanation for this observation.

25.18 Give an example of a reaction that proceeds by an inner-sphere mechanism. Sketch reaction profiles for inner-sphere electron-transfer reactions in which the rate-determining step is (a) bridge formation, (b) electron transfer and (c) bridge cleavage. Which profile is most commonly observed?

25.19 Discuss, with examples, the differences between inner- and outer-sphere mechanisms, and state what is meant by a self-exchange reaction.

**25.20** Account for the relative values of the rate constants for the following electron-transfer reactions in aqueous solution:




| Reaction number | Reactants   | $k / \text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
|-----------------|---|---|
| I               | $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+} + [\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ | $10^4$  |
| II              | $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+} + [\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ | $10^{-2}$                                       |
| III             | $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+} + [\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ | $10^{-8}$                                       |

For which reactions is  $\Delta G^\circ = 0$ ?


**25.21** (a) If, in an electron-transfer process, there is both electron and ligand transfer between reagents, what can you conclude about the mechanism? (b) Explain why very fast electron transfer between low-spin octahedral Os(II) and Os(III) in a self-exchange reaction is possible.

## Overview problems

**25.22** Suggest products in the following ligand substitution reactions. Where the reaction has two steps, specify a product for each step. Where more than one product could, in theory, be possible, rationalize your choice of preferred product.



**25.23** (a) The reaction:




occurs by a dissociative mechanism and the first order rate constants,  $k_1$ , vary with the nature of substituent X as follows:



Comment on these data.

(b) The ligand, L, shown below forms the complex  $[\text{PtLCl}]^+$  which reacts with pyridine to give  $[\text{PtL}(\text{py})]^{2+}$ .




The observed rate constant,  $k_{\text{obs}}$ , can be written as:

$$k_{\text{obs}} = k_1 + k_2[\text{pyridine}]$$

What conformational change must ligand L make before complex formation? Explain the origins of the two terms in the expression for  $k_{\text{obs}}$ .


**25.24** Suggest *two* experimental methods by which the kinetics of the following reactions might be monitored:



Comment on factors that contribute towards the suitability of the methods suggested.

**25.25** (a) The reaction of  $\text{cis-}[\text{PtMe}_2(\text{Me}_2\text{SO})(\text{PPh}_3)]$  with pyridine leads to  $\text{cis-}[\text{PtMe}_2(\text{py})(\text{PPh}_3)]$  and the rate of reaction shows no dependence on the concentration of pyridine. At 298 K, the value of  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  is  $24 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . Comment on these data.

(b) For the reaction:



rate constants for  $\text{X} = \text{Cl}^-$  and  $\text{I}^-$  are  $6.0 \times 10^5$  and  $3.0 \times 10^6 \text{ dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ , respectively. Suggest how the reactions proceed and state which step in the reaction is the rate-determining one. Comment on why the rate constants for  $\text{X}^- = \text{Cl}^-$  and  $\text{I}^-$  differ.

**25.26** Consider the following reaction that takes place in aqueous solution; L, X and Y are general ligands.



Discuss the possible competing pathways that exist and the factors that favour one pathway over another. Write a rate equation that takes into account the pathways that you discuss.

# Chapter 26

## Homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis

### TOPICS

- Introductory concepts
- Homogeneous catalysis: alkene (olefin) metathesis
- Homogeneous catalysts: industrial applications
- Homogeneous catalyst development
- Heterogeneous catalysis: surfaces and interactions with adsorbates
- Heterogeneous catalysis: commercial applications
- Heterogeneous catalysis: organometallic cluster models

### 26.1 Introduction and definitions

Numerous applications of catalysts in small-scale synthesis and the industrial production of chemicals have been described in this book. Now we discuss catalysis in detail, focusing on commercial applications. Catalysts containing *d*-block metals are of immense importance to the chemical industry: they provide cost-effective syntheses, and control the specificity of reactions that might otherwise give mixed products. In 1990 in the US, the value of chemicals (including fuels) produced with at least one manufacturing catalytic step was 890 billion dollars.<sup>†</sup> The search for new catalysts is one of the major driving forces behind organometallic research, and the chemistry in much of this chapter can be understood in terms of the reaction types introduced in [Chapter 23](#). Current research also includes the development of environmentally friendly ‘green chemistry’, e.g. the use of supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> (scCO<sub>2</sub>, see [Section 8.13](#)) as a medium for catalysis.<sup>‡</sup>

A *catalyst* is a substance that alters the rate of a reaction without appearing in any of the products of that reaction; it may speed up or slow down a reaction. For a reversible reaction, a catalyst alters the rate at which equilibrium is attained; it does *not* alter the position of equilibrium.

The term *catalyst* is often used to encompass both the *catalyst precursor* and the *catalytically active species*. A

<sup>†</sup> For an overview of the growth of catalysis in industry during the 20th century, see: G.W. Parshall and R.E. Putscher (1986) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 63, p. 189.

<sup>‡</sup> For example, see: W. Leitner (2002) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 35, p. 746 – ‘Supercritical carbon dioxide as a green reaction medium for catalysis’.

catalyst precursor is the substance added to the reaction, but it may undergo loss of a ligand such as CO or PPh<sub>3</sub> before it is available as the catalytically active species.

Although one tends to associate a catalyst with *increasing* the rate of a reaction, a *negative catalyst* slows down a reaction.

Some reactions are internally catalysed (*autocatalysis*) once the reaction is under way, e.g. in the reaction of [C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> with [MnO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, the Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions formed catalyse the forward reaction.

In an *autocatalytic reaction*, one of the products is able to catalyse the reaction.


Catalysts fall into two categories, homogeneous and heterogeneous, depending on their relationship to the phase of the reaction in which they are involved.

A *homogeneous catalyst* is in the same phase as the components of the reaction that it is catalysing; a *heterogeneous catalyst* is in a different phase from the components of the reaction for which it is acting.

### 26.2 Catalysis: introductory concepts

#### Energy profiles for a reaction: catalysed versus non-catalysed

A catalyst operates by allowing a reaction to follow a different pathway from that of the non-catalysed reaction. If the activation barrier is lowered, then the reaction proceeds more rapidly. Figure 26.1 illustrates this for a reaction that follows a single step when it is non-catalysed, but a two-step path when a catalyst is added. Each step in the



**Fig. 26.1** A schematic representation of the reaction profile of a reaction without and with a catalyst. The pathway for the catalysed reaction has two steps, and the first step is rate determining.

catalysed route has a characteristic Gibbs energy of activation,  $\Delta G^\ddagger$ , but the step that matters with respect to the rate of reaction is that with the higher barrier; for the catalysed pathway, the first step is the rate-determining step. (See [Box 26.1](#) for the relevant equations for and relationship between  $E_a$  and  $\Delta G^\ddagger$ .) Values of  $\Delta G^\ddagger$  for the controlling steps in the catalysed and non-catalysed routes are marked in Figure 26.1. A crucial aspect of the catalysed pathway is that it must not pass through an energy minimum *lower* than the energy of the products – such a minimum would be an ‘energy sink’, and would lead to the pathway yielding different products from those desired.

## Catalytic cycles

A catalysed reaction pathway is usually represented by a *catalytic cycle*.

A *catalytic cycle* consists of a series of stoichiometric reactions (often reversible) that form a closed loop; the catalyst must be regenerated so that it can participate in the cycle of reactions more than once.

For a catalytic cycle to be efficient, the intermediates must be short-lived. The downside of this for understanding the mechanism is that short lifetimes make studying a cycle difficult. Experimental probes are used to investigate the kinetics of a catalytic process, isolate or trap the intermediates, attempt to monitor intermediates in solution, or devise systems that model individual steps so that the product of the model-step represents an intermediate in the cycle. In the latter, the ‘product’ can be characterized by conventional techniques (e.g. NMR and IR spectroscopies, X-ray diffraction, mass spectrometry). For many cycles, however, the mechanisms are not firmly established.

## Self-study exercises

These exercises review types of organometallic reactions and the 18-electron rule.

1. What type of reaction is the following, and by what mechanism does it occur?



[Ans. see equation 23.35]

2. Which of the following compounds contain a 16-electron metal centre: (a)  $\text{Rh}(\text{PPh}_3)_3\text{Cl}$ ; (b)  $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_4$ ; (c)  $\text{Ni}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)_2$ ; (d)  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4(\text{PPh}_3)$ ; (e)  $[\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{I}_2]^-$ ?

[Ans. (a), (c), (e)]

3. Write an equation to show  $\beta$ -elimination from  $\text{L}_n\text{MCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{R}$ .

[Ans. see equation 23.38]

4. What is meant by ‘oxidative addition’? Write an equation for the oxidative addition of  $\text{H}_2$  to  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$ .

[Ans. see equation 23.29 and associated text; see equation 26.9]

5. What type of reaction is the following, and what, typically, is the mechanism for such reactions?



[Ans. see equation 23.25 and associated text]

We now study one cycle in detail to illustrate the notations. Figure 26.2 shows a simplified catalytic cycle for the Wacker process which converts ethene to acetaldehyde (equation

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 26.1 Energy and Gibbs energy of activation: $E_a$ and $\Delta G^\ddagger$

The Arrhenius equation:

$$\ln k = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{RT} \quad \text{or} \quad k = A e^{(-E_a/RT)}$$

is often used to relate the rate constant,  $k$ , of a reaction to the activation energy,  $E_a$ , and to the temperature,  $T$  (in K). In this equation,  $A$ , is the pre-exponential factor, and  $R$  = molar gas constant. The activation energy is often approximated to  $\Delta H^\ddagger$ , but the exact relationship is:


$$E_a = \Delta H^\ddagger + RT$$

The energy of activation,  $\Delta G^\ddagger$ , is related to the rate constant by the equation:

$$k = \frac{k' T}{h} e^{(-\Delta G^\ddagger/RT)}$$


where  $k'$  = Boltzmann’s constant,  $h$  = Planck’s constant.

In [Section 25.2](#) we discussed activation parameters, including  $\Delta H^\ddagger$  and  $\Delta S^\ddagger$ , and showed how these can be determined from an Eyring plot ([Figure 25.1](#)) which derives from the equation above relating  $k$  to  $\Delta G^\ddagger$ .



**Fig. 26.2** Catalytic cycle for the Wacker process; for simplicity, we have ignored the role of coordinated  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , which replaces  $\text{Cl}^-$  *trans* to the alkene.

26.1); the process was developed in the 1950s and although it is not of great industrial significance nowadays, it provides a well-studied example for close examination.




The *feedstocks* for the industrial process are highlighted along with the final product in Figure 26.2. The catalyst in the Wacker process contains palladium: through most of the cycle, the metal is present as Pd(II) but is reduced to Pd(0) as  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHO}$  is produced. We now work through the cycle, considering each step in terms of the organometallic reaction types discussed in [Section 23.7](#).

The first step involves substitution by  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$  in  $[\text{PdCl}_4]^{2-}$  (equation 26.2); at the top of Figure 26.2, the arrow notation shows  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$  entering the cycle and  $\text{Cl}^-$  leaving. One  $\text{Cl}^-$  is then replaced by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , but we ignore this in Figure 26.2.



The next step involves nucleophilic attack by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with loss of  $\text{H}^+$ ; recall that coordinated alkenes are susceptible to nucleophilic attack (see [equation 23.77](#)). In the third step,

$\beta$ -elimination occurs and formation of the Pd–H bond results in loss of  $\text{Cl}^-$ . This is followed by attack by  $\text{Cl}^-$  with H atom migration to give a  $\sigma$ -bonded  $\text{CH}(\text{OH})\text{CH}_3$  group. Elimination of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHO}$ ,  $\text{H}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  with reduction of Pd(II) to Pd(0) occurs in the last step. To keep the cycle going, Pd(0) is now oxidized by  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  (equation 26.3). The secondary cycle in Figure 26.2 shows the reduction of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  to  $\text{Cu}^+$  and reoxidation of the latter by  $\text{O}_2$  in the presence of  $\text{H}^+$  (equation 26.4).



If the whole cycle in Figure 26.2 is considered with species ‘in’ balanced against species ‘out’, the *net reaction* is reaction 26.1.

## Choosing a catalyst

A reaction is not usually catalysed by a unique species and a number of criteria must be considered when choosing the most effective catalyst, especially for a commercial process. Moreover, altering a catalyst in an industrial plant already in operation may be costly (e.g. a new plant design may be required) and the change must be guaranteed to be finan-


cially viable. Apart from the changes in reaction conditions that the use of a catalyst may bring about (e.g. pressure and temperature), other factors that must be considered are:

- the concentration of catalyst required;
- the catalytic turnover;
- the selectivity of the catalyst to the desired product;
- how often the catalyst needs renewing.

The *catalytic turnover number* (TON) is the number of moles of product per mole of catalyst; this number indicates the number of catalytic cycles for a given process, e.g. after 2 h, the TON was 2400. The *catalytic turnover frequency* (TOF) is the catalytic turnover per unit time: the number of moles of product per mole of catalyst per unit time, e.g. the TOF was  $20 \text{ min}^{-1}$ .

Defining the catalytic turnover number and frequency is not without problems. For example, if there is more than one product, one should distinguish between values of the total TON and TOF for all the catalytic products, and specific values for individual products. The term catalytic turnover number is usually used for batch processes, whereas catalytic turnover frequency is usually applied to continuous processes (flow reactors).

Now we turn to the question of selectivity, and the conversion of propene to an aldehyde provides a good example. Equation 26.5 shows the four possible products that may result from the reaction of propene with CO and  $\text{H}_2$  (*hydroformylation*; see also [Section 26.4](#)).




The following ratios are important:

- the *n*:*i* ratio of the aldehydes (regioselectivity of the reaction);
- the aldehyde:alcohol ratio for a given chain (chemoselectivity of the reaction).

The choice of catalyst can have a significant effect on these ratios. For reaction 26.5, a cobalt carbonyl catalyst (e.g.  $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_4$ ) gives  $\approx 80\%$   $\text{C}_4$ -aldehyde,  $10\%$   $\text{C}_4$ -alcohol and  $\approx 10\%$  other products, and an *n*:*i* ratio  $\approx 3$ :1. For the same reaction, various rhodium catalysts with phosphine co-catalysts can give an *n*:*i* ratio of between 8:1 and 16:1, whereas ruthenium cluster catalysts show a high chemoselectivity to aldehydes with the regioselectivity depending on the choice of cluster, e.g. for  $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ , *n*:*i*  $\approx 2$ :1, and for  $[\text{HRu}_3(\text{CO})_{11}]^-$ , *n*:*i*  $\approx 74$ :1. Where the hydroformylation catalyst involves a bisphosphine ligand (e.g.  $\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ ), the ligand bite angle (see [structure 6.16](#)) can significantly influence the product distribution. For example, the *n*:*i* ratios in the hydroformylation of


hex-1-ene catalysed by a Rh(I)-bisphosphine complex are  $\approx 2.1$ , 12.1 and 66.5 as the bite angle of the bisphosphine ligand increases along the series:<sup>†</sup>



Although a diagram such as Figure 26.2 shows a catalyst being regenerated and passing once more around the cycle, in practice, catalysts eventually become exhausted or are *poisoned*, e.g. by impurities in the feedstock.


## 26.3 Homogeneous catalysis: alkene (olefin) metathesis

In [Section 23.12](#), we introduced *alkene (olefin) metathesis*, i.e. metal-catalysed reactions in which C=C bonds are redistributed. Examples are shown in Figure 26.3. The Chauvin mechanism for metal-catalysed alkene metathesis involves a metal alkylidene species and a series of [2 + 2]-cycloadditions and cycloreversions (Figure 26.4). The catalysts that have played a dominant role in the development of this area of chemistry are those developed by Schrock (catalyst [23.56](#)) and Grubbs (catalysts [26.1](#) and [26.2](#)). Catalyst [26.1](#) is the traditional, commercially available ‘Grubbs’ catalyst’; related complexes are also used. The more recently developed ‘second generation’ catalyst [26.2](#) exhibits higher catalytic activities in alkene metathesis reactions. In Grubbs’ catalysts, tricyclohexylphosphine is chosen in preference to other  $\text{PR}_3$  ligands because its steric hindrance and strongly electron-donating properties lead to enhanced catalytic activity.



A great advantage of Grubbs’ catalysts is that they are tolerant of a large range of functional groups, thus

<sup>†</sup> For further discussion of the effects of ligand bite angles on catalyst efficiency and selectivity, see: P. Dierkes and P.W.N.M. van Leeuwen (1999) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 1519.




**Fig. 26.3** Examples of alkene (olefin) metathesis reactions with their usual abbreviations.


permitting their widespread application. We highlight one laboratory example that combines coordination chemistry with the use of catalyst **26.1**: the synthesis of a *catenate*.

A *catenand* is a molecule containing two interlinked chains.  
A *catenate* is a related molecule that contains a coordinated metal ion.

Topologically, the chemical assembly of a catenand is non-trivial because it requires one molecular chain to be threaded through another. Molecule **26.3** contains two terminal alkene functionalities and can also act as a didentate ligand by using the  $N,N'$ -donor set.



The complex  $[\text{Cu}(\mathbf{26.3})_2]^+$  is shown schematically at the left-hand side of equation 26.6. The tetrahedral  $\text{Cu}^+$  centre acts as a template, fixing the positions of the two ligands with the central phenanthroline units orthogonal to one another. Ring closure of *each* separate ligand can be achieved by treating  $[\text{Cu}(\mathbf{26.3})_2]^+$  with Grubbs' catalyst, and the result is the formation of a catenate, shown schematically as the product in equation 26.6. The relative orientations of the two coordinated ligands in  $[\text{Cu}(\mathbf{26.3})_2]^+$  is important if competitive reactions between *different* ligands are to be minimized.



**Fig. 26.4** A catalytic cycle for ring-closure metathesis (RCM) showing the Chauvin mechanism which involves  $[2 + 2]$ -cycloadditions and cycloreversions.


## 26.4 Homogeneous catalysis: industrial applications

In this section, we describe selected homogeneous catalytic processes that are of industrial importance; many more processes are applied in industry and detailed accounts can be found in the suggested reading at the end of the chapter. Two advantages of homogeneous over heterogeneous catalysis are the relatively mild conditions under which many processes operate, and the selectivity that can be achieved. A disadvantage is the need to separate the catalyst at the end of a reaction in order to recycle it, e.g. in the hydroformylation process, volatile  $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_4$  can be removed by flash evaporation. The use of polymer supports or biphasic systems (Section 26.5) makes catalyst separation easier, and the development of such species is an active area of current research.


Throughout this section, the role of *coordinatively unsaturated 16-electron species* (see Section 23.7) and the ability of the metal centre to change coordination number (essential requirements of an active catalyst) should be noted.

### Alkene hydrogenation

The most widely used procedures for the hydrogenation of alkenes nearly all employ heterogeneous catalysts, but for certain specialized purposes, homogeneous catalysts are used. Although addition of  $\text{H}_2$  to a double bond is thermodynamically favoured (equation 26.7), the kinetic barrier is high and a catalyst is required to permit the reaction to be carried out at a viable rate without the need for high temperatures and pressures.




*Wilkinson's catalyst* (26.4) has been widely studied, and in its presence alkene hydrogenation can be carried out at 298 K and 1 bar  $\text{H}_2$  pressure. The red, 16-electron Rh(I) complex 26.4 can be prepared from  $\text{RhCl}_3$  and  $\text{PPh}_3$ , and is commonly used in benzene/ethanol solution, in which it dissociates to some extent (equilibrium 26.8); a solvent molecule (solv) fills the fourth site in  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_2$  to give  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\text{solv})$ .




The *cis*-oxidative addition of  $\text{H}_2$  to  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  yields an octahedral complex which dissociates giving a coordinatively unsaturated 16-electron species (equation 26.9). The solvated complex  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\text{solv})$  (formed from  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_2$  in reaction 26.8) is also involved in the catalytic cycle (but at

low concentrations) and probably acts in a similar manner to  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$ .



The addition of an alkene to  $\text{RhCl}(\text{H})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$  brings alkene and hydrido ligands together on the Rh(I) centre, allowing hydrogen migration, followed by reductive elimination of an alkane. The process is summarized in Figure 26.5, the role of the solvent being ignored. The scheme shown should not be taken as being unique; for example, for some alkenes, experimental data suggest that  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\eta^2\text{-alkene})$  is an intermediate. Other catalysts that are effective for alkene hydrogenation include  $\text{HRuCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  and  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  (this precursor loses  $\text{PPh}_3$  to become the active catalyst).

Substrates for hydrogenation catalysed by Wilkinson's catalyst include alkenes, dienes, allenes, terpenes, butadiene rubbers, antibiotics, steroids and prostaglandins. Significantly, ethene actually poisons its own conversion to ethane and catalytic hydrogenation using  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  cannot be applied in this case. For effective catalysis, the size of the alkene is important. The rate of hydrogenation is hindered by sterically demanding alkenes (Table 26.1); many useful *selective* hydrogenations can be achieved, e.g. reaction 26.10.



Biologically active compounds usually have at least one *asymmetric centre* and dramatic differences in the activities of different enantiomers of chiral drugs are commonly observed (see Box 23.6). Whereas one enantiomer may be an effective therapeutic drug, the other may be inactive or highly toxic as was the case with thalidomide.<sup>†</sup> *Asymmetric synthesis* is therefore an active field of research.


*Asymmetric synthesis* is an enantioselective synthesis and its efficiency can be judged from the *enantiomeric excess* (ee):

$$\% \text{ ee} = \left( \frac{|\text{R} - \text{S}|}{|\text{R} + \text{S}|} \right) \times 100$$

where R and S = relative quantities of *R* and *S* enantiomers.

An enantiomerically pure compound has 100% enantiomeric excess (100% ee). In *asymmetric catalysis*, the catalyst is chiral.

<sup>†</sup> See for example: 'When drug molecules look in the mirror': E. Thall (1996) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 73, p. 481; 'Counting on chiral drugs': S.C. Stinson (1998) *Chemical & Engineering News*, 21 Sept. issue, p. 83.



**Fig. 26.5** Catalytic cycle for the hydrogenation of  $\text{RCH}=\text{CH}_2$  using Wilkinson's catalyst,  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$ .


If hydrogenation of an alkene can, in principle, lead to enantiomeric products, then the alkene is *prochiral* (see [problem 26.4a](#)). If the catalyst is *achiral* (as  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  is), then the product of hydrogenation of the prochiral alkene is a racemic mixture: i.e. starting from a prochiral alkene, there is an equal chance that the  $\sigma$ -alkyl complex formed during the catalytic cycle (Figure 26.5) will be an *R*- or an *S*-enantiomer. If the catalyst is *chiral*, it should favour the formation of one or other of the *R*- or *S*-enantiomers, thereby making the hydrogenation enantioselective. *Asymmetric hydrogenations* can be carried out by modifying Wilkinson's catalyst, introducing a chiral phosphine or chiral didentate bisphosphine, e.g. (*R,R*-

DIOP (see [Table 26.2](#)). By varying the chiral catalyst, hydrogenation of a given prochiral alkene proceeds with differing enantiomeric selectivities as exemplified in [Table 26.2](#). An early triumph of the application of asymmetric alkene hydrogenation to drug manufacture was the production of the alanine derivative L-DOPA (**26.5**), which is used in the treatment of Parkinson's disease.<sup>†</sup> The anti-inflammatory drug Naproxen (active in the (*S*)-form) is prepared by chiral resolution or by asymmetric hydrogenation of a prochiral alkene (reaction 26.11); enantiopurity is essential, since the (*R*)-enantiomer is a liver toxin.

**Table 26.1** Rate constants for the hydrogenation of alkenes (at 298 K in  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$ ) in the presence of Wilkinson's catalyst.<sup>‡</sup>




| Alkene                 | $k/\times 10^{-2} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ |
|------------------------|---|
| Phenylethene (styrene) | 93.0  |
| Dodec-1-ene            | 34.3  |
| Cyclohexene            | 31.6  |
| Hex-1-ene              | 29.1  |
| 2-Methylpent-1-ene     | 26.6  |
| 1-Methylcyclohexene    | 0.6   |


<sup>‡</sup> For further data, see: F.H. Jardine, J.A. Osborn and G. Wilkinson (1967) *Journal of the Chemical Society A*, p. 1574.




<sup>†</sup> For further details, see: W.A. Knowles (1986) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 63, p. 222 – 'Application of organometallic catalysis to the commercial production of L-DOPA'.

**Table 26.2** Observed % ee of the product of the hydrogenation of  $\text{CH}_2=\text{C}(\text{CO}_2\text{H})(\text{NHCOMe})$  using Rh(I) catalysts containing different chiral bisphosphines.

| Bisphosphine  |                       |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|
|    | ( <i>R,R</i> )-DIOP   |  |  |
| 73 ( <i>R</i> )   |                       |  |  |
|   | ( <i>S,S</i> )-BPPM   |  |  |
| 99 ( <i>R</i> )   |                       |  |  |
|  | ( <i>R,R</i> )-DIPAMP |  |  |
| 90 ( <i>S</i> )   |                       |  |  |
| % ee (selective to enantiomer <i>R</i> or <i>S</i> )                                |                       |  |  |

**Self-study exercise**

Which of the following ligands are chiral? For each chiral ligand, explain how the chirality arises.



[Ans. (a), (c), (d)]

**Monsanto acetic acid synthesis**


The conversion of  $\text{MeOH}$  to  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$  (equation 26.12) is carried out on a huge industrial scale: currently  $\approx 3.5 \text{ Mt}^\dagger$

$^\dagger \text{ Mt} = \text{megatonne}; 1 \text{ metric tonne} \approx 1.1 \text{ US ton.}$

of  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$  is produced a year worldwide, and 60% of the world's acetyls are manufactured using the Monsanto process.





Before 1970, the BASF process (employing cobalt catalysts) was used commercially, but its replacement by the Monsanto process has brought the advantages of milder conditions and greater selectivity (Table 26.3). The Monsanto process involves two interrelated cycles. In the left-hand cycle in Figure 26.6,  $\text{MeOH}$  is converted to  $\text{MeI}$ , which then enters the Rh-cycle by oxidatively adding to the 16-electron complex  $\text{cis-}[\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{I}_2]^-$ . This addition is the rate-determining step in the process, and so the formation of  $\text{MeI}$  is critical to the viability of the Monsanto process. The right-hand cycle in Figure 26.6 shows methyl migration to give a species which is shown as 5-coordinate, but an 18-electron species, either dimer **26.7** or  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{COMe})\text{I}_3(\text{solv})$  where  $\text{solv} = \text{solvent}$ , is more likely. Recent EXAFS (see [Box 26.2](#)) studies in THF solution indicate a dimer at 253 K, but solvated monomer above 273 K. Addition of CO follows to give an 18-electron, octahedral complex which eliminates  $\text{MeC}(\text{O})\text{I}$ . The latter enters the left-hand cycle in Figure 26.6 and is converted to acetic acid.



Optimizing manufacturing processes is essential for financial reasons, and each catalytic process has potential problems that have to be overcome. One difficulty in the Monsanto process is the oxidation of  $\text{cis-}[\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{I}_2]^-$  by  $\text{HI}$  (reaction 26.13), the product of which easily loses CO, resulting in the loss of the catalyst from the system (equation 26.14). Operating under a pressure of CO prevents this last detrimental step and also has the effect of reversing the effects of reaction 26.13 (equation 26.15). Adding small amounts of  $\text{H}_2$  prevents oxidation of Rh(I) to Rh(III).

**Table 26.3** Major advantages of the Monsanto process over the BASF process for the manufacture of acetic acid (equation 26.12) can be seen from the summary in this table.


| Conditions                                    | BASF<br>(Co-based catalyst) | Monsanto<br>(Rh-based catalyst) |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Temperature / K                               | 500                         | 453                             |
| Pressure / bar                                | 500–700                     | 35                              |
| Catalyst concentration / mol dm <sup>-3</sup> | 0.1                         | 0.001                           |
| Selectivity / %                               | 90                          | >99                             |



Iridium-based complexes also catalyse reaction 26.12, and the combination of  $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_2\text{I}_2]^-$  with  $\text{Ru}_2(\text{CO})_6\text{I}_2(\mu\text{-I})_2$  as a catalyst promoter provides a commercially viable system.

### Tennessee–Eastman acetic anhydride process

The Tennessee–Eastman acetic anhydride process converts methyl acetate to acetic anhydride (equation 26.16) and has been in commercial use since 1983.



It closely resembles the Monsanto process but uses  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{Me}$  in place of  $\text{MeOH}$ ; *cis*- $[\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{I}_2]^-$  remains the catalyst and the oxidative addition of  $\text{MeI}$  to *cis*-


$[\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{I}_2]^-$  is still the rate-determining step. One pathway can be described by adapting Figure 26.6, replacing:

- $\text{MeOH}$  by  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{Me}$ ;
- $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  by  $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$ ;
- $\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}$  by  $(\text{MeCO})_2\text{O}$ .

However, a second pathway (Figure 26.7) in which  $\text{LiI}$  replaces  $\text{HI}$  is found to be extremely important for efficiency of the process; the final product is formed by the reaction of acetyl iodide and lithium acetate. Other alkali metal iodides do not function as well as  $\text{LiI}$ , e.g. replacing  $\text{LiI}$  by  $\text{NaI}$  slows the reaction by a factor of  $\approx 2.5$ .


### Self-study exercises

1. With reference to Figure 26.7, explain what is meant by the term ‘coordinatively unsaturated’.
2. What features of  $[\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{I}_2]^-$  allow it to act as an active catalyst?



**Fig. 26.6** The Monsanto acetic acid process involves two interrelated catalytic cycles.






**Fig. 26.8** Competitive catalytic cycles in the hydroformylation of alkenes to give linear (inner cycle) and branched (outer cycle) aldehydes.

Just as we saw that the rate of hydrogenation was hindered by sterically demanding alkenes (Table 26.1), so too is the rate of hydroformylation affected by steric constraints, as is illustrated by the data in Table 26.4.

Other hydroformylation catalysts that are used industrially are  $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PBU}_3)$  (which, like  $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_4$ , must lose CO to become coordinatively unsaturated) and  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  (which loses  $\text{PPh}_3$  to give the catalytically active  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ ). Data in Table 26.5 compare the operating conditions for, and selectivities of, these catalysts with those of  $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_4$ . The Rh(I) catalyst is particularly selective towards aldehyde formation, and under certain conditions the  $n:i$  ratio is as high as 20:1. An excess of  $\text{PPh}_3$  prevents reactions 26.19 which occur in the presence of CO; the products are also hydroformylation catalysts but lack the selectivity of  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ . The parent phosphine complex,  $\text{HRh}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$ , is inactive towards hydroformylation, and while  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  is active,  $\text{Cl}^-$  acts as an inhibitor.



### Self-study exercises

1. Interpret the data in equation 26.18 into a form that gives an  $n:i$  ratio for the reaction. [Ans.  $\approx 1.9:1$ ]
2. Draw out a catalytic cycle for the conversion of pent-1-ene to hexanal using  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})_4$  as the catalyst precursor. [Ans. see inner cycle in Figure 26.8, replacing Co by Rh]

**Table 26.4** Rate constants for the hydroformylation of selected alkenes at 383 K in the presence of the active catalytic species  $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_3$ .



| Alkene             | $k / \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Hex-1-ene          | 110                                 |
| Hex-2-ene          | 30                                  |
| Cyclohexene        | 10                                  |
| Oct-1-ene          | 109                                 |
| Oct-2-ene          | 31                                  |
| 2-Methylpent-2-ene | 8                                   |





substitution. The chemo- and regioselectivities observed for the supported homogeneous catalysts are typically quite different from those of their conventional analogues.

While much progress has been made in this area, leaching of the metal into solution (which partly defeats the advantages gained with regard to catalyst separation) is a common problem.

## Biphasic catalysis




Biphasic catalysis addresses the problem of catalyst separation. One strategy uses a water-soluble catalyst. This is retained in an aqueous layer that is immiscible with the organic medium in which the reaction takes place. Intimate contact between the two solutions is achieved during the catalytic reaction, after which the two liquids are allowed to settle and the catalyst-containing layer separated by decantation. Many homogeneous catalysts are hydrophobic and so it is necessary to introduce ligands that will bind to the metal but that carry hydrophilic substituents. Among ligands that have met with success is **26.9**: e.g. the reaction of an excess of **26.9** with  $[\text{Rh}_2(\text{nbd})_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2]$  (**26.10**) gives a species, probably  $[\text{RhCl}(\mathbf{26.9})_3]^{3+}$ , which catalyses the hydroformylation of hex-1-ene to aldehydes (at 40 bar, 360 K) in 90% yield with an  $n:i$  ratio of 4:1. An excess of the ligand in the aqueous phase stabilizes the catalyst and increases the  $n:i$  ratio to  $\approx 10:1$ . Much work has been carried out with the *P*-donor ligand **26.11** which can be introduced into a variety of organometallic complexes by carbonyl or alkene displacement. For example, the water-soluble complex  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\mathbf{26.11})_3$  is a hydroformylation catalyst precursor; conversion of hex-1-ene to heptanal proceeds with 93% selectivity for the *n*-isomer, a higher selectivity than is shown by  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  under conventional homogeneous catalytic conditions. A range of alkene hydrogenations are catalysed by  $\text{RhCl}(\mathbf{26.11})_3$  and it is particularly efficient and selective for the hydrogenation of hex-1-ene.





Biphasic asymmetric hydrogenation has also been developed using water-soluble chiral bisphosphines such as **26.12** coordinated to Rh(I). With  $\text{PhCH}=\text{C}(\text{CO}_2\text{H})(\text{NH-C}(\text{O})\text{Me})$  as substrate, hydrogenation takes place with 87% ee, and similar success has been achieved for related systems.

A second approach to biphasic catalysis uses a fluoruous (i.e. perfluoroalkane) phase instead of an aqueous phase. We must immediately draw a distinction between the higher  $C_n$  perfluoroalkanes used in fluoruous biphasic catalysis and the low-boiling CFCs that have been phased out under the Montreal Protocol (see [Box 13.7](#)). The principle of fluoruous biphasic catalysis is summarized in scheme 26.22.



At room temperature, most fluoruous solvents are immiscible with other organic solvents, but an increase in temperature typically renders the solvents miscible. The reactants are initially dissolved in a non-fluorinated, organic solvent and the catalyst is present in the fluoruous phase. Raising the temperature of the system creates a single phase in which the catalysed reaction occurs. On cooling, the solvents,


along with the products and catalyst, separate. Catalysts with suitable solubility properties can be designed by incorporating fluorophilic substituents such as  $C_6F_{13}$  or  $C_8F_{17}$ . For example, the hydroformylation catalyst  $HRh(CO)(PPh_3)_3$  has been adapted for use in fluorous media by using the phosphine ligand **26.13** in place of  $PPh_3$ . Introducing fluorinated substituents obviously alters the electronic properties of the ligand. If the metal centre in the catalyst ‘feels’ this change, its catalytic properties are likely to be affected. Placing a spacer between the metal and the fluorinated substituent can minimize these effects. Thus, in phosphine ligand **26.14** (which is a derivative of  $PPh_3$ ), the aromatic ring helps to shield the P atom from the effects of the electronegative F atoms. Although the use of the biphasic system allows the catalyst to be recovered and recycled, leaching of the Rh into the non-fluorous phase does occur over a number of catalytic cycles.



Although the biphasic catalysts described above appear analogous to those discussed in [Section 26.4](#), it does *not* follow that the mechanisms by which the catalysts operate for a given reaction are similar.

### Self-study exercises

1. Give an example of how  $PPh_3$  can be converted into a hydrophilic catalyst.
2. The ligand (L):





forms the complex  $[Rh(CO)_2L]^+$ , which catalyses the hydrogenation of styrene in a water/heptane system. Suggest how L coordinates to the Rh centre. Explain how the catalysed reaction would be carried out, and comment on the advantages of the biphasic system over using a single solvent.

[Ans. see C. Bianchini *et al.* (1995) *Organometallics*, vol. 14, p. 5458]

## *d*-Block organometallic clusters as homogeneous catalysts

Over the past 25 years, much effort has been put into investigating the use of *d*-block organometallic clusters as homogeneous catalysts, and equations 26.23–26.25 give examples of small-scale catalytic reactions. Note that in reaction 26.23, insertion of CO is into the O–H bond; in the Monsanto process using  $[Rh(CO)_2I_2]^-$  catalyst, CO insertion is into the C–OH bond (equation 26.12).



A promising development in the area is the use of *cationic* clusters;  $[H_4(\eta^6-C_6H_6)_4Ru_4]^{2+}$  catalyses the reduction of fumaric acid, the reaction being selective to the C=C bond and leaving the carboxylic acid units intact (Figure 26.10).


Despite the large amount of work that has been carried out in the area and the wide range of examples now known,<sup>†</sup> it would appear that no industrial applications of cluster catalysts have yet been found to be viable.

## 26.6 Heterogeneous catalysis: surfaces and interactions with adsorbates

The majority of industrial catalytic processes involve *heterogeneous catalysis* and Table 26.6 gives selected examples. Conditions are generally harsh, with high temperatures and pressures. Before describing specific industrial applications, we introduce some terminology and discuss the properties of metal surfaces and zeolites that render them useful as heterogeneous catalysts.

We shall mainly be concerned with reactions of gases over heterogeneous catalysts. Molecules of reactants are *adsorbed* on to the catalyst surface, undergo reaction and the products are *desorbed*. Interaction between the adsorbed species and surface atoms may be of two types: physisorption or chemisorption.

<sup>†</sup> For a well-referenced review of this area, see: G. Stüss-Fink and G. Meister (1993) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 35, p. 41 – ‘Transition metal clusters in homogeneous catalysis’.



**Fig. 26.10** (a) Catalytic cycle for the hydrogenation of fumaric acid by  $[\text{H}_4(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)_4\text{Ru}_4]^{2+}$ ; (b)  $\text{H}_4\text{Ru}_4$  core of  $[\text{H}_4(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)_4\text{Ru}_4]^{2+}$  and (c)  $\text{H}_6\text{Ru}_4$  core of  $[\text{H}_6(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)_4\text{Ru}_4]^{2+}$ , both determined by X-ray diffraction [G. Meister *et al.* (1994) *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, p. 3215]. Colour code in (b) and (c): Ru, red; H, white.

## CHEMICAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### Box 26.2 Some experimental techniques used in surface science

In much of this book, we have been concerned with studying species that are soluble and subjected to solution techniques such as NMR and electronic spectroscopy, or with structural data obtained from X-ray or neutron diffraction studies of *single crystals* or electron diffraction studies of gases. The investigation of solid surfaces requires specialist techniques, many of which have been developed relatively recently. Selected examples are listed below.

| Acronym    | Technique  | Application and description of technique                              |
|------------|--|---|
| AES        | Auger electron spectroscopy  | Study of surface composition  |
| EXAFS      | Extended X-ray absorption fine structure                                       | Estimation of internuclear distances around a central atom            |
| FTIR       | Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy  | Study of adsorbed species   |
| HREELS     | High-resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy                              | Study of adsorbed species   |
| LEED       | Low-energy electron diffraction  | Study of structural features of the surface and of adsorbed species   |
| SIMS       | Secondary ion mass spectrometry  | Study of surface composition  |
| STM        | Scanning tunnelling microscopy   | Obtaining images of a surface and adsorbed species at an atomic level |
| XANES      | X-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy  | Study of oxidation states of surface atoms                            |
| XRD        | X-ray diffraction  | Investigation of phases and particle sizes                            |
| XPS (ESCA) | X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis) | Study of surface composition and oxidation states of surface atoms    |

For further details of solid state techniques, see:  
 J. Evans (1997) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 26, p. 11 – ‘Shining light on metal catalysts’.  
 S.S. Perry and G.A. Somorjai (1994) ‘Surfaces’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 7, p. 4064.

G.A. Somorjai (1994) *Surface Chemistry and Catalysis*, Wiley, New York.  
 A.R. West (1999) *Basic Solid State Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Wiley, Chichester.

**Table 26.6** Examples of industrial processes that use heterogeneous catalysts.

| Industrial manufacturing process                               | Catalyst system   |
|--|---|
| NH <sub>3</sub> synthesis (Haber process) <sup>‡</sup>         | Fe on SiO <sub>2</sub> and Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> support |
| Water–gas shift reaction*                                      | Ni, iron oxides   |
| Catalytic cracking of heavy petroleum distillates              | Zeolites (see <a href="#">Section 26.7</a> )                      |
| Catalytic reforming of hydrocarbons to improve octane number** | Pt, Pt–Ir and other Pt-group metals on acidic alumina support     |
| Methanation (CO → CO <sub>2</sub> → CH <sub>4</sub> )          | Ni on support   |
| Ethene epoxidation   | Ag on support   |
| HNO <sub>3</sub> manufacture (Haber–Bosch process)***          | Pt–Rh gauzes  |

<sup>‡</sup> See [Section 14.5](#).


\* See [equation 9.12](#).

\*\* The octane number is increased by increasing the ratio of branched or aromatic hydrocarbons to straight-chain hydrocarbons. The 0–100 octane number scale assigns 0 to *n*-heptane and 100 to 2,2,4-trimethylpentane.


\*\*\* See [Section 14.9](#).

*Physisorption* involves weak van der Waals interactions between the surface and adsorbate. *Chemisorption* involves the formation of chemical bonds between surface atoms and the adsorbed species.

The process of adsorption activates molecules, either by cleaving bonds or by weakening them. The dissociation of a diatomic molecule such as H<sub>2</sub> on a metal surface is represented schematically in equation 26.26; bond formation does not have to be with a single metal atom as we illustrate later. Bonds in molecules, e.g. C–H, N–H, are similarly activated.



The balance between the contributing bond energies is a factor in determining whether or not a particular metal will facilitate bond fission in the adsorbate. However, if metal–adsorbate bonds are especially strong, it becomes energetically less



**Fig. 26.11** A schematic representation of typical features of a metal surface. [Based on a figure from *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry* (1994), R. B. King (ed.), vol. 3, p. 1359, John Wiley & Sons: Chichester.]


favourable for the adsorbed species to leave the surface, and this blocks adsorption sites, reducing catalytic activity.

The adsorption of CO on metal surfaces has been thoroughly investigated. Analogies can be drawn between the interactions of CO with metal atoms on a surface and those in organometallic complexes (see [Section 23.2](#)), i.e. both terminal and bridging modes of attachment are possible, and IR spectroscopy can be used to study adsorbed CO. Upon interaction with a surface metal atom, the C–O bond is weakened in much the same way that we described in [Figure 23.1](#). The extent of weakening depends not only on the mode of interaction with the surface but also on the surface coverage. In studies of the adsorption of CO on a Pd(111)<sup>†</sup> surface, it is found that the enthalpy of adsorption of CO becomes less negative as more of the surface is covered with adsorbed molecules. An abrupt decrease in the amount of heat evolved per mole of adsorbate is observed when the surface is half-occupied by a *monolayer*; at this point, significant reorganization of the adsorbed molecules is needed to accommodate still more. Changes in the mode of attachment of CO molecules to the surface alter the strength of the C–O bond and the extent to which the molecule is activated.


Diagrams of hcp, fcc or bcc metal lattices such as we showed in [Figure 5.2](#) imply ‘flat’ metal surfaces. In practice, a surface contains imperfections such as those illustrated in [Figure 26.11](#). The *kinks* on a metal surface are extremely important for catalytic activity, and their presence increases the rate of catalysis. In a close-packed lattice, sections of ‘flat’ surface contain M<sub>3</sub> triangles ([26.15](#)), while a step possesses a line of M<sub>4</sub> ‘butterflies’ (see [Table 23.5](#)), one of which is highlighted in structure [26.16](#). Both can accommodate adsorbed species in sites which can be mimicked by

<sup>†</sup> The notations (111), (110), (101)... are Miller indices and define the crystal planes in the metal lattice.

discrete metal clusters; this has led to the *cluster-surface analogy* (see [Section 26.8](#)).



(26.15)



(26.16)

The design of metal catalysts has to take into account not only the available surface but also the fact that the catalytically active platinum-group metals (see [Section 22.2](#)) are rare and expensive. There can also be the problem that extended exposure to the metal surface may result in side reactions. In many commercial catalysts including motor vehicle catalytic converters, small metal particles (e.g. 1600 pm in diameter) are dispersed on a support such as  $\gamma$ -alumina (*activated alumina*, see [Section 12.7](#)) which has a large surface area. Using a support of this type means that a high percentage of the metal atoms are available for catalysis. In some cases, the support itself may beneficially modify the properties of the catalyst; e.g. in hydrocarbon reforming (Table 26.6), the metal and support operate together:

- the platinum-group metal catalyses the conversion of an alkane to alkene;
- isomerization of the alkene is facilitated by the acidic alumina surface;
- the platinum-group metal catalyses the conversion of the isomerized alkene to an alkane which is more highly branched than the starting hydrocarbon.

As well as having roles as supports for metals, silica and alumina are used directly as heterogeneous catalysts. A major application is in the catalytic cracking of heavy petroleum distillates; very fine powders of silica and  $\gamma$ -alumina possess a huge surface area of  $\approx 900 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . Large surface areas are a key property of zeolite catalysts (see [Section 13.9](#)), the selectivity of which can be tuned by varying the sizes, shapes and Brønsted acidity of their cavities and channels; we discuss these properties more fully in [Section 26.7](#).

## 26.7 Heterogeneous catalysis: commercial applications


In this section, we describe selected commercial applications of heterogeneous catalysts. The examples have been chosen to illustrate a range of catalyst types, as well as the development of motor vehicle catalytic converters.

## Alkene polymerization: Ziegler–Natta catalysis

The polymerization of alkenes to yield stereoregular polymers by heterogeneous Ziegler–Natta catalysis (see also [Boxes 18.3](#) and [23.7](#)) is of vast importance to the polymer industry. First generation catalysts were made by reacting  $\text{TiCl}_4$  with  $\text{Et}_3\text{Al}$  to precipitate  $\beta\text{-TiCl}_3 \cdot x\text{AlCl}_3$  which was converted to  $\gamma\text{-TiCl}_3$ . While the latter catalysed the production of isotactic polypropene, its selectivity and efficiency required significant improvement.<sup>†</sup> A change in the method of catalyst preparation generated the  $\delta$ -form of  $\text{TiCl}_3$  which is stereoselective below 373 K. The co-catalyst,  $\text{Et}_2\text{AlCl}$ , in these systems is essential, its role being to alkylate Ti atoms on the catalyst surface. In third generation catalysts (used since the 1980s),  $\text{TiCl}_4$  is supported on  $\text{MgCl}_2$  which contains an electron donor such as a diester;  $\text{Et}_3\text{Al}$  may be used for alkylation. Alkene polymerization is catalysed at defect sites in the crystal lattice, and the *Cossee–Arlman mechanism* shown in [Figure 26.12](#) is the accepted pathway of the catalytic process. In [Figure 26.12](#), the  $\text{TiCl}_5$  unit shown at the starting point represents a *surface site* which has a surface Cl atom and a vacant coordination position which renders the Ti centre coordinatively unsaturated. In the first step, the surface Cl atom is replaced by an ethyl group, and it is crucial that the alkyl group is *cis* to the vacant lattice site. Coordination of the alkene then takes place, followed by alkyl migration (see [equations 23.34](#) and [23.35](#)), and repetition of these last two steps results in polymer growth. In propene polymerization, the stereoselective formation of isotactic polypropene is thought to be controlled by the catalyst's surface structure which imposes restrictions on the possible orientations of the coordinated alkene relative to the metal-attached alkyl group.


### Self-study exercise

Propene polymerization by the Ziegler–Natta process can be summarized as follows.



Comment on the type of polymer produced and the need for selectivity for this form of polypropene.


<sup>†</sup> In *isotactic* polypropene, the methyl groups are all on the same side of the carbon chain; the polymer chains pack efficiently to give a crystalline material. Isotactic polypropene is of greater commercial value than the soft and elastic *atactic* polymer, in which the Me groups are randomly arranged. Also of commercial importance is *syndiotactic* polypropene, in which the Me groups are regularly arranged on either side of the carbon backbone.



**Fig. 26.12** A schematic representation of alkene polymerization on the surface of a Ziegler–Natta catalyst; the vacant coordination site must be *cis* to the coordinated alkyl group.

### Fischer–Tropsch carbon chain growth


Scheme 26.27 summarizes the Fischer–Tropsch (FT) reaction, i.e. the conversion of synthesis gas (see [Section 9.4](#)) into hydrocarbons. A range of catalysts can be used (e.g. Ru, Ni, Fe, Co) but Fe and Co are currently favoured.



If petroleum is cheap and readily available, the FT process is not commercially viable and in the 1960s, many industrial plants were closed. In South Africa, the *Sasol process* continues to use  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{CO}$  as feedstocks. Changes in the availability of oil reserves affect the views of industry as regards its feedstocks, and research interest in the FT reaction continues to be high.


The product distribution, including carbon chain length, of an FT reaction can be controlled by choice of catalyst, reactor design and reaction conditions; the addition of promoters such as group 1 or 2 metal salts (e.g.  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ ) affects the selectivity of a catalyst. The exact mechanism by which the FT reaction occurs is not known, and many model studies have been carried out using discrete metal clusters (see [Section 26.8](#)). The original mechanism proposed by Fischer and Tropsch involved the adsorption of  $\text{CO}$ , C–O bond cleavage to give a surface carbide, and hydrogenation to produce  $\text{CH}_2$  groups which then polymerized. Various mechanisms have been put forward, and the involvement of a surface-bound  $\text{CH}_3$  group has been debated. Any mechanism (or series of pathways) must account for the formation of surface

carbide, graphite and  $\text{CH}_4$ , and the distribution of organic products shown in scheme 26.27. Current opinion favours  $\text{CO}$  dissociation on the catalyst surface to give surface C and O and, in the presence of adsorbed H atoms (equation 26.26), the formation of surface CH and  $\text{CH}_2$  units and release of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . If  $\text{CO}$  dissociation and subsequent formation of  $\text{CH}_x$  groups is efficient (as it is on Fe), the build up of  $\text{CH}_x$  units leads to reaction between them and to the growth of carbon chains. The types of processes that might be envisaged on the metal surface are represented in scheme 26.28. Reaction of the surface-attached alkyl chain would release an alkane; if it undergoes  $\beta$ -elimination, an alkene is released.




It has also been suggested that vinylic species are involved in FT chain growth and that combination of surface-bound CH and  $\text{CH}_2$  units to give  $\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2$  may be followed by successive incorporation of  $\text{CH}_2$  units alternating with alkene isomerization as shown in scheme 26.29. Release of

a terminal alkene results if reaction of the adsorbate is with H instead of  $\text{CH}_2$ .



## Haber process


Figure 26.13 illustrates the vast scale on which the industrial production of  $\text{NH}_3$  is carried out and its growth over the latter part of the 20th century. In [equation 14.19](#) and the




**Fig. 26.13** World production of  $\text{NH}_3$  between 1960 and 2000. [Data: US Geological Survey.]

accompanying discussion, we described the manufacture of  $\text{NH}_3$  using a heterogeneous catalyst. Now we focus on the mechanism of the reaction and on catalyst performance.

Without a catalyst, the reaction between  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2$  occurs only slowly, since the activation barrier for the dissociation of  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2$  in the gas phase is very high. In the presence of a suitable catalyst such as Fe, dissociation of  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2$  to give adsorbed atoms is facile, with the energy released by the formation of  $\text{M}-\text{N}$  and  $\text{M}-\text{H}$  bonds more than offsetting the energy required for  $\text{N}\equiv\text{N}$  and  $\text{H}-\text{H}$  fission. The adsorbates then readily combine to form  $\text{NH}_3$  which desorbs from the surface. The rate-determining step is the dissociative adsorption of  $\text{N}_2$  (equation 26.30); the notation '(ad)' refers to an adsorbed atom.



Dihydrogen is similarly adsorbed (equation 26.26), and the surface reaction continues as shown in scheme 26.31 with gaseous  $\text{NH}_3$  finally being released; activation barriers for each step are relatively low.




Metals other than Fe catalyse the reaction between  $N_2$  and  $H_2$ , but the rate of formation of  $NH_3$  is metal-dependent. High rates are observed for Fe, Ru and Os. Since the rate-determining step is the chemisorption of  $N_2$ , a high activation energy for this step, as is observed for late  $d$ -block metals (e.g. Co, Rh, Ir, Ni and Pt), slows down the overall formation of  $NH_3$ . Early  $d$ -block metals such as Mo and Re chemisorb  $N_2$  efficiently, but the M–N interaction is strong enough to favour retention of the adsorbed atoms; this blocks surface sites and inhibits further reaction. The catalyst used industrially is active  $\alpha$ -Fe which is produced by reducing  $Fe_3O_4$  mixed with  $K_2O$  (an *electronic promoter* which improves catalytic activity),  $SiO_2$  and  $Al_2O_3$  (*structural promoters* which stabilize the catalyst's structure). High-purity (often synthetic) magnetite and the catalyst promoters are melted electrically and then cooled; this stage distributes the promoters homogeneously within the catalyst. The catalyst is then ground to an optimum grain size. High-purity materials are essential since some impurities poison the catalyst. Dihydrogen for the Haber process is produced as synthesis gas (Section 9.4), and contaminants such as  $H_2O$ , CO,  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  are *temporary catalyst poisons*. Reduction of the Haber process catalyst restores its activity, but over-exposure of the catalyst to oxygen-containing compounds decreases the efficiency of the catalyst irreversibly; a 5 ppm CO content in the  $H_2$  supply (see equations 9.11 and 9.12) decreases catalyst activity by  $\approx 5\%$  per year. The performance of the catalyst depends critically on the operating temperature of the  $NH_3$  converter, and a 770–790 K range is optimal.

### Self-study exercises


1. Write equations to show how  $H_2$  is manufactured for use in the Haber process. [Ans. see scheme 9.11]
2. The catalytic activity of various metals with respect to the reaction of  $N_2$  and  $H_2$  to give  $NH_3$  varies in the order  $Pt < Ni < Rh \approx Re < Mo < Fe < Ru \approx Os$ . What factors contribute towards this trend? [Ans. see text in this section]
3. Figure 26.13 shows that the industrial manufacture of  $NH_3$  is carried out on a huge scale and that production has increased dramatically during the last 40 years. Account for these statistics. [Ans. see Box 14.3]

## Production of $SO_3$ in the Contact process

Production of sulfuric acid, ammonia and phosphate rock (see Section 14.2) heads the inorganic chemical and mineral industries in the US. The oxidation of  $SO_2$  to  $SO_3$  (equation 26.32) is the first step in the Contact process, and in Section 15.8 we discussed how the yield of  $SO_3$  depends on temperature and pressure. At ordinary temperatures, the reaction is too slow to be commercially viable, while at very high temperatures, equilibrium 26.32 shifts to the left, decreasing the yield of  $SO_3$ .



Use of a catalyst increases the rate of the forward reaction 26.32, and active catalysts are Pt, V(V) compounds and iron oxides. Modern manufacturing plants for  $SO_3$  use a  $V_2O_5$  catalyst on an  $SiO_2$  carrier (which provides a large surface area) with a  $K_2SO_4$  promoter; the catalyst system contains 4–9% by weight of  $V_2O_5$ . Passage of the reactants through a series of catalyst beds is required to obtain an efficient conversion of  $SO_2$  to  $SO_3$ , and an operating temperature of 690–720 K is optimal. Since oxidation of  $SO_2$  is exothermic and since temperatures  $>890$  K degrade the catalyst, the  $SO_2/SO_3/O_2$  mixture must be cooled between leaving one catalyst bed and entering to the next. Although the  $V_2O_5/SiO_2/K_2SO_4$  system is introduced as a solid catalyst, the operating temperatures are such that the catalytic oxidation of  $SO_2$  occurs in a liquid melt on the surface of the silica carrier. The reaction mechanism and intermediates have not been established, but the role of the vanadium(V) catalyst can be represented by scheme 26.33.




## Catalytic converters

Environmental concerns have grown during the past few decades (see, for example, Box 9.2), and to the general public, the use of motor vehicle catalytic converters is well known. Regulated exhaust emissions<sup>†</sup> comprise CO, hydrocarbons and  $NO_x$  (see Section 14.8). The radical NO is one of several species that act as catalysts for the conversion of  $O_3$  to  $O_2$  and is considered to contribute to depletion of the ozone layer. Although industrial processes also contribute to  $NO_x$  emissions,<sup>‡</sup> the combustion of transport fuels is the major source (Figure 26.14). A typical catalytic converter is  $\geq 90\%$  efficient in reducing emissions, accommodating current European regulations which call for a 90% reduction in CO and an 85% decrease in hydrocarbon and  $NO_x$  emissions, bringing combined hydrocarbon and  $NO_x$  output to  $<0.2 \text{ g km}^{-1}$ . The toughest regulations to meet are those laid down in California (the Super Ultra Low Emissions Vehicle, SULEV, standards).

A catalytic converter consists of a honeycomb ceramic structure coated in finely divided  $Al_2O_3$  (the *washcoat*). Fine particles of catalytically active Pt, Pd and Rh are dispersed within the cavities of the washcoat and the whole unit is contained in a stainless steel vessel placed in sequence in the vehicle's exhaust pipe. As the exhaust gases pass through the converter at high temperatures, redox reactions


<sup>†</sup> For a report on the current status of motor vehicle emission control, see: M.V. Twigg (2003) *Platinum Metals Review*, vol. 47, p. 157.

<sup>‡</sup> Shell and Bayer are among companies that have introduced processes to eliminate industrial  $NO_x$  emissions: *Chemistry & Industry* (1994) p. 415 – 'Environmental technology in the chemical industry'.



**Fig. 26.14** Sources of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in the US. [Data: Environmental Protection Agency (1998) 'NO<sub>x</sub>: How nitrogen oxides affect the way we live and breathe'.]

26.34–26.38 occur (C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> is a representative hydrocarbon). Under legislation, the only acceptable emission products are CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O.



Whereas CO and hydrocarbons are oxidized, the destruction of NO<sub>x</sub> involves its reduction. Modern catalytic converters have a 'three-way' system which promotes both oxidation and reduction; Pd and Pt catalyse reactions 26.34 and 26.35, while Rh catalyses reactions 26.36 and 26.37, and Pt catalyses reaction 26.38.

The efficiency of the catalyst depends, in part, on metal particle size, typically 1000–2000 pm diameter. Over a period of time, the high temperatures needed for the operation of a catalytic converter cause ageing of the metal particles with a loss of their optimal size and a decrease in the efficiency of the catalyst. Constant high-temperature running also transforms the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support into a phase with a lower surface area, again reducing catalytic activity. To counter degradation of the support, group 2 metal oxide stabilizers are added to the alumina; new support materials, such as a high temperature-resistant fibrous silica–alumina washcoat developed by Toyota in 1998, may eventually replace Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Catalytic converters operate only with unleaded fuels; lead additives bind to the alumina washcoat, deactivating the catalyst.

In order to achieve the regulatory emission standards, it is crucial to control the air:fuel ratio as it enters the catalytic converter: the optimum ratio is 14.7:1. If the air:fuel ratio exceeds 14.7:1, extra O<sub>2</sub> competes with NO for H<sub>2</sub> and the efficiency of reaction 26.37 is lowered. If the ratio is less than 14.7:1, oxidizing agents are in short supply and CO, H<sub>2</sub> and hydrocarbons compete with each other for NO and O<sub>2</sub>. The air:fuel ratio is monitored by a sensor fitted in the exhaust pipe; the sensor measures O<sub>2</sub> levels and sends an electronic signal to the fuel injection system or carburettor to adjust the air:fuel ratio as necessary. Catalytic converter design also includes a CeO<sub>2</sub>/Ce<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system to store oxygen. During 'lean' periods of vehicle running, O<sub>2</sub> can be 'stored'

by reaction 26.39; during 'rich' periods when extra oxygen is needed for hydrocarbon and CO oxidation, CeO<sub>2</sub> is reduced (equation 26.40).




A catalytic converter cannot function immediately after the 'cold start' of an engine; at its 'light-off' temperature (typically 620 K), the catalyst operates at 50% efficiency but during the 90–120 s lead time, exhaust emissions are not controlled. Several methods have been developed to counter this problem, e.g. electrical heating of the catalyst using power from the vehicle's battery.

The development of catalytic converters has recently encompassed the use of zeolites, e.g. Cu-ZSM-5 (a copper-modified ZSM-5 system), but at the present time, and despite some advantages such as low light-off temperatures, zeolite-based catalysts have not shown themselves to be sufficiently durable for their use in catalytic converters to be commercially viable.

## Zeolites as catalysts for organic transformations: uses of ZSM-5


For an introduction to zeolites, see [Figure 13.23](#) and the accompanying discussion. Many natural and synthetic zeolites are known, and it is the presence of well-defined cavities and/or channels, the dimensions of which are comparable with those of small molecules, that makes them invaluable as catalysts and molecular sieves. Zeolites are environmentally 'friendly' and the development of industrial processes in which they can replace less 'acceptable' acid catalysts is advantageous. In this section, we focus on catalytic applications of synthetic zeolites such as ZSM-5 (structure-type code MFI, [Figure 26.15](#)); the latter is silicon-rich with composition Na<sub>n</sub>[Al<sub>n</sub>Si<sub>96-n</sub>O<sub>192</sub>]·*x*H<sub>2</sub>O (*n* < 27).<sup>†</sup> Within the aluminosilicate framework of ZSM-5 lies a system of interlinked channels; one set can be seen in [Figure 26.15](#), but the channels are often represented in the form of structure [26.17](#). Each channel has an elliptical

<sup>†</sup> Structures of zeolites can be viewed and manipulated using the website: <http://www.iza-structure.org/databases/>



**Fig. 26.15** Part of the aluminosilicate framework of synthetic zeolite ZSM-5 (structure-type MFI).


cross-section ( $53 \times 56$  pm and  $51 \times 55$  pm) and the *effective pore size* is comparable to the *kinetic molecular diameter* of a molecule such as 2-methylpropane or benzene, leading to the *shape-selective* properties of zeolite catalysts. The effective pore size differs from that determined crystallographically because it takes into account the flexibility of the zeolite framework as a function of temperature; similarly, the kinetic molecular diameter allows for the molecular motions of species entering the zeolite channels or cavities.



(26.17)

The high catalytic activity of zeolites arises from the Brønsted acidity of Al sites, represented in resonance pair **26.18**; the Si:Al ratio affects the number of such sites and acid strength of the zeolite.

Zeolite catalysts are important in the catalytic cracking of heavy petroleum distillates. Their high selectivities and high rates of reactions, coupled with reduced coking effects, are major advantages over the activities of the alumina/silica




(26.18)

catalysts that zeolites have replaced. Ultrastable Y (USY) zeolites are usually chosen for catalytic cracking because their use leads to an increase in the gasoline (motor fuels) octane number. It is essential that the catalyst be robust enough to withstand the conditions of the cracking process; both USY and ZSM-5 (used as a co-catalyst because of its shape-selective properties) meet this requirement. The shape-selectivity of ZSM-5 is also crucial to its activity as a catalyst in the conversion of methanol to hydrocarbon fuels; the growth of carbon chains is restricted by the size of the zeolite channels and thereby gives a selective distribution of hydrocarbon products. The MTG (methanol-to-gasoline) process has operated on an industrial scale in New Zealand from 1985, making use of natural gas reserves which can be converted to MeOH and, subsequently, to motor fuels. However, the commercial viability of the process depends on current oil prices. Recent advances have shown zeolites are effective in catalysing the direct conversion of synthesis gas to motor fuels. The MTO (methanol-to-olefins) process converts MeOH to  $C_2$ – $C_4$  alkenes and is also catalysed by ZSM-5. The development of a gallium-modified ZSM-5 catalyst (Ga-ZSM-5) has provided an efficient catalyst for the production of aromatic compounds from mixtures of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  alkanes (commonly labelled LPG).

Zeolites are replacing acid catalysts in a number of manufacturing processes. One of the most important is the alkylation of aromatics; the Mobil–Badger process for producing  $C_6H_5Et$  from  $C_6H_6$  and  $C_2H_4$  provides the precursor for styrene (and hence polystyrene) manufacture. The isomerization of 1,3- to 1,4-dimethylbenzene (xylenes) is also catalysed on the acidic surface of ZSM-5, presumably with channel shape and size playing an important role in the observed selectivity.


## 26.8 Heterogeneous catalysis: organometallic cluster models

One of the driving forces behind organometallic cluster research is to model metal-surface catalysed processes such as the Fischer–Tropsch reaction. The *cluster-surface analogy* assumes that discrete organometallic clusters containing *d*-block metal atoms are realistic models for the bulk metal. In many small clusters, the arrangements of the metal atoms mimic units from close-packed arrays, e.g.



**Fig. 26.16** The proton-induced conversion of a cluster-bound CO ligand to  $\text{CH}_4$ : a cluster model for catalysed hydrogenation of CO on an Fe surface. Each green sphere represents an  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$  unit.


the  $\text{M}_3$ -triangle and  $\text{M}_4$ -butterfly in structures **26.15** and **26.16**. The success of modelling studies has been limited, but a well-established and much-cited result is that shown in Figure 26.16.<sup>†</sup>



(26.19)

Model studies involve transformations of organic fragments which are proposed as surface intermediates, but do

not necessarily address a complete sequence as is the case in Figure 26.16. For example, metal-supported ethylidyne units (**26.19**) are proposed as intermediates in the Rh- or Pt-catalysed hydrogenation of ethene, and there has been much interest in the chemistry of  $\text{M}_3$ -clusters such as  $\text{H}_3\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{CR}$ ,  $\text{H}_3\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{CR}$  and  $\text{Co}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{CR}$  which contain ethylidyne or other alkylidyne units. In the presence of base,  $\text{H}_3\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{CMe}$  undergoes reversible deprotonation and loss of  $\text{H}_2$  (equation 26.41), perhaps providing a model for an organic fragment transformation on a metal surface.



<sup>†</sup> For further details, see M.A. Drezdon, K.H. Whitmire, A.A. Bhattacharyya, W.-L. Hsu, C.C. Nagel, S.G. Shore and D.F. Shriver (1982) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 104, p. 5630 – ‘Proton induced reduction of CO to  $\text{CH}_4$  in homonuclear and heteronuclear metal carbonyls’.

## Glossary

The following terms have been introduced in this chapter.

Do you know what they mean?

- catalyst
- catalyst precursor
- autocatalytic
- homogeneous catalyst
- heterogeneous catalyst
- catalytic cycle
- catalytic turnover number
- catalytic turnover frequency
- alkene metathesis
- Grubbs' catalyst
- catenand
- catenate
- coordinatively unsaturated
- asymmetric hydrogenation
- prochiral
- enantiomeric excess
- hydroformylation
- chemoselectivity and regioselectivity (with respect to hydroformylation)
- biphasic catalysis
- physisorption
- chemisorption
- adsorbate
- Ziegler–Natta catalysis
- Fischer–Tropsch reaction
- catalytic converter
- zeolite

## Further reading

### General texts

- B. Cornils and W.A. Hermann (eds) (1996) *Applied Homogeneous Catalysis with Organometallic Compounds*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (2 volumes) – The first volume covers catalytic processes used in industry; the second volume deals with recent developments and specialized processes.
- F.A. Cotton, G. Wilkinson, M. Bochmann and C. Murillo (1999) *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*, 6th edn, Wiley Interscience, New York – Chapter 22 gives a full account of the homogeneous catalysis of organic reactions by *d*-block metal compounds.
- R.J. Farrauto and C.H. Bartholomew (1997) *Fundamentals of Industrial Catalytic Processes*, Kluwer, Dordrecht – Provides a detailed account of catalysts and their industrial applications.
- G.W. Parshall and S.D. Ittel (1992) *Homogeneous Catalysis*, 2nd edn, Wiley, New York – Contains an excellent coverage of the applications of homogeneous catalysis in industry.

### Homogeneous catalysis

- D. Forster and T.W. Dekleva (1986) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 63, p. 204 – ‘Catalysis of the carbonylation of alcohols to carboxylic acids’: a detailed look at the Monsanto process.
- A. Fürstner (2000) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 39, p. 3012 – ‘Olefin metathesis and beyond’: a review that considers catalyst design and applications in alkene metathesis.
- F.H. Jardine (1994) ‘Hydrogenation and isomerization of alkenes’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 3, p. 1471.
- P.W. Jolly (1982) ‘Nickel catalyzed oligomerization of alkenes and related reactions’ in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, eds G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, vol. 8, p. 615.
- H.B. Kagan (1982) ‘Asymmetric synthesis using organometallic catalysts’ in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, eds G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, vol. 8, p. 463.
- S.W. Polichnowski (1986) *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 63, p. 204 – ‘Catalysis of the carbonylation of alcohols to carboxylic acids’: an account of the elucidation of the mechanism of the Tennessee–Eastman process.
- G.G. Stanley (1994) ‘Carbonylation processes by homogeneous catalysis’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 2, p. 575 – A well-referenced overview which includes hydroformylation, Monsanto and Tennessee–Eastman processes.
- I. Tkatchenko (1982) in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, eds G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, vol. 8, p. 101 – A detailed account of hydroformylation (with industrial plant flow diagrams) and related processes.
- T.M. Trnka and R.H. Grubbs (2001) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 34, p. 18 – ‘The development of  $L_2X_2Ru=CHR$  olefin metathesis catalysts: An organometallic success story’: an insight into Grubbs’ catalysts by their discoverer.

### Heterogeneous catalysis

- A. Dyer (1994) ‘Zeolites’, in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 8, p. 4363 – A review of structures, properties and applications of zeolites.
- F.H. Ribeiro and G.A. Somorjai (1994) ‘Heterogeneous catalysis by metals’ in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. R.B. King, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 3, p. 1359 – A general introduction to concepts and catalyst design.

### Industrial processes

- J. Hagen (1999) *Industrial Catalysis*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – Covers both homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis, including catalyst production, testing and development.
- Ullman's Encyclopedia of Industrial Inorganic Chemicals and Products* (1998) Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – Six volumes with detailed accounts of industrial processes involving inorganic chemicals.
- R. Schlögl (2003) *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 42, p. 2004 – ‘Catalytic synthesis of ammonia – a “never-ending story”?’.

R.I. Wijngaarden and K.R. Westerterp (1998) *Industrial Catalysts*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – A book that focuses on practical aspects of applying catalysts in industry.

### Biphasic catalysis

L.P. Barthel-Rosa and J.A. Gladysz (1999) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 190–192, p. 587 – ‘Chemistry in fluorous media: A user’s guide to practical considerations in the application of fluorous catalysts and reagents’.

B. Cornils and W.A. Hermann (eds) (1998) *Aqueous-phase Organometallic Catalysis: Concepts and Applications*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – An up-to-date account.

A.P. Dobbs and M.R. Kimberley (2002) *Journal of Fluorine Chemistry*, vol. 118, p. 3 – ‘Fluorous phase chemistry: A new industrial technology’.

N. Pinault and D.W. Bruce (2003) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 241, p. 1 – ‘Homogeneous catalysts based on water-soluble phosphines’.

D.M. Roundhill (1995) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 38, p. 155 – ‘Organotransition-metal chemistry and homogeneous catalysis in aqueous solution’.

E. de Wolf, G. van Koten and B.-J. Deelman (1999) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 28, p. 37 – ‘Fluorous phase separation techniques in catalysis’.

### Polymer-supported catalysts

B. Clapham, T.S. Reger and K.D. Janda (2001) *Tetrahedron*, vol. 57, p. 4637 – ‘Polymer-supported catalysis in synthetic organic chemistry’.


## Problems

**26.1** (a) Analyse the catalytic cycle shown in Figure 26.17, identifying the types of reactions occurring. (b) Why does this process work best for R' = vinyl, benzyl or aryl groups?

**26.2** The isomerization of alkenes is catalysed by  $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_3$  and Figure 26.18 shows the relevant catalytic cycle. (a)  $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_4$  is a catalyst precursor; explain what this means. (b) Give a fuller description of what is happening in each of the steps shown in Figure 26.18.

**26.3** Outline the catalytic processes involved in the manufacture of acetic acid (Monsanto process) and acetic anhydride (Tennessee–Eastman process).

**26.4** (a) Of the following alkenes, which are prochiral:  $\text{PhHC}=\text{CHPh}$ ,  $\text{PhMeC}=\text{CHPh}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CHPh}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{C}(\text{CO}_2\text{H})(\text{NHC}(\text{O})\text{Me})$ ? (b) If an asymmetric hydrogenation proceeds with 85% ee favouring the R-enantiomer, what is the percentage of each enantiomer formed?




**Fig. 26.17** Catalytic cycle for use in problem 26.1.

**26.5** (a) Assuming some similarity between the mechanism of hydroformylation using  $\text{HCo}(\text{CO})_4$  and  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  as catalysts, propose a mechanism for the conversion of  $\text{RCH}=\text{CH}_2$  to  $\text{RCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CHO}$  and explain what is happening in each step. (b) ‘The regioselectivity of the hydroformylation of  $\text{RCH}=\text{CH}_2$  catalysed by  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  drops when the temperature is increased’. Explain what is meant by this statement.


**26.6** The hydroformylation of pent-2-ene using  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$  as the catalyst was found to give rise to three aldehydes in a ratio 35:12:5. Show how the three products arose, and suggest which was formed in the most and which in the least amount.

**26.7** In the catalysed reaction of  $\text{RCH}=\text{CH}_2$  with  $\text{H}_2$ , the catalyst precursor is  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$ . It is proposed that the first step in the mechanism is the addition of the alkene to the active catalyst, *trans*- $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ . Suggest how the reaction might then proceed and construct an appropriate catalytic cycle.

**26.8** (a) Ligand **26.9** is used in biphasic catalysis. The IR spectrum of  $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  shows strong absorptions at 2049, 1975 and  $1935\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , while that of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4(\mathbf{26.9})]^+$  exhibits bands at 2054, 1983 and  $1945\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . What can you deduce from these data? (b) Which of the complexes  $[\text{X}][\text{Ru}(\mathbf{26.20})_3]$  in which  $\text{X}^+ = \text{Na}^+$ ,  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]^+$  or  $[\text{Ph}_4\text{P}]^+$  might be suitable candidates for testing in biphasic catalysis using aqueous medium for the catalyst?



**26.9** Give a brief discussion of the use of homogeneous catalysis in selected industrial manufacturing processes.




**Fig. 26.18** Catalytic cycle for use in problem 26.2.

**26.10** For the catalysed hydrocyanation of buta-1,3-diene:




(a step in the manufacture of nylon-6,6), the catalyst precursor is  $\text{NiL}_4$  where  $\text{L} = \text{P}(\text{OR})_3$ . Consider the addition of only the first equivalent of HCN. (a) Some values of  $K$  for:




are  $6 \times 10^{-10}$  for  $\text{R} = 4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ ,  $3 \times 10^{-5}$  for  $\text{R} = \textit{i}\text{Pr}$  and  $4 \times 10^{-2}$  for  $\text{R} = 2\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ . Comment on the trend in values and on the relevance of these data to the catalytic process. (b) The first three steps in the proposed catalytic cycle are the addition of HCN to the active catalyst, loss of L, and the addition of buta-1,3-diene with concomitant H migration. Draw out this part of the catalytic cycle. (c) Suggest the next step in the cycle, and discuss any complications.

**26.11**  $\text{H}_2\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{10}$  (**26.21**) catalyses the isomerization of alkenes:



(a) By determining the cluster valence electron count for  $\text{H}_2\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{10}$  deduce what makes this cluster an effective catalyst. (b) Propose a catalytic cycle that accounts for the formation of the products shown.



(26.21)

**26.12** Describe briefly why a clean nickel surface (fcc structure) should not be regarded as comprising a perfect close-packed array of atoms. Indicate the arrangements of atoms that an adsorbate might encounter on the surface, and suggest possible modes of attachment for CO.

**26.13** (a) What advantages are there to using Rh supported on  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  as a catalyst rather than the bulk metal? (b) In a catalytic converter, why is a combination of platinum-group metals used?

**26.14** The forward reaction in equation 26.32 is exothermic. What are the effects of (a) increased pressure and (b) increased temperature on the yield of  $\text{SO}_3$ ? (c) In trying to optimize both the yield and rate of formation of  $\text{SO}_3$ , what problem does the Contact process encounter and how is it overcome?

**26.15** (a) Outline how the gaseous reaction between  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2$  proceeds in the presence of a heterogeneous catalyst, and state why a catalyst is needed for the commercial production of  $\text{NH}_3$ . (b) Suggest why V and Pt are poor catalysts for the reaction between  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2$ , and give a possible reason why Os (although it is a good catalyst) is not used commercially.

**26.16** (a) Summarize the structural features of importance in a Ziegler–Natta catalyst comprising  $\text{TiCl}_4$  supported on  $\text{MgCl}_2$ . (b) What is the role of the ethyl aluminium compounds which are added to the catalyst? (c) Explain how a Ziegler–Natta catalyst facilitates the conversion of ethene to a representative oligomer.

**26.17** Give a brief discussion of the use of heterogeneous catalysis in selected industrial manufacturing processes.


**26.18** Comment on each of the following:

- (a) Zeolite 5A (effective pore size 430 pm) is used to separate a range of *n*- and *iso*-alkanes.  
 (b) Zeolite ZSM-5 catalyses the isomerization of 1,3- to 1,4- $\text{Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$  (i.e. *m*- to *p*-xylene), and the conversion of  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$  to  $\text{EtC}_6\text{H}_5$ .

**26.19** Summarize the operation of a three-way catalytic converter, including comments on (a) the addition of cerium oxides, (b) the light-off temperature, (c) optimum air–fuel ratios and (d) catalyst ageing.


## Overview problems

- 26.20** Ligand **26.22** has been designed for use in Ru-based catalysts for hydrogenation reactions in an EtOH/hexane solvent system. These solvents separate into two phases upon the addition of a small amount of water. (a) For what types of hydrogenations would this catalyst be especially useful? Rationalize your answer. (b) Ligand **26.22** is related to BINAP (**26.6**) but has been functionalized. Suggest a reason for this functionalization.



(26.22)

- 26.21** (a) One proposed method for removing NO from motor vehicle emissions is by catalytic reduction using  $\text{NH}_3$  as the reducing agent. Bearing in mind the regulated, allowed emissions, write a balanced equation for the redox reaction and show that the oxidation state changes balance.
- (b) In the presence of Grubbs' catalyst, compound **26.23** undergoes a selective ring-closure metathesis to give a bicyclic product **A**. Draw the structure of a 'first generation' Grubbs' catalyst. Suggest the identity of **A**, giving reasons for your choice. Write a balanced equation for the conversion of **26.23** to **A**.



(26.23)


- 26.22** The catalyst  $[\text{Rh}(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]^+$  can be prepared by the reaction of  $[\text{Rh}(\text{nbd})(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]^+$  (nbd = **26.24**) with two equivalents of  $\text{H}_2$ . In coordinating

solvents,  $[\text{Rh}(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]^+$ , in the form of a solvated complex  $[\text{Rh}(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)(\text{solv})_2]^+$ , catalyses the hydrogenation of  $\text{RCH}=\text{CH}_2$ . (a) Draw the structure of  $[\text{Rh}(\text{nbd})(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]^+$  and suggest what happens when this complex reacts with  $\text{H}_2$ . (b) Draw the structure of  $[\text{Rh}(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)(\text{solv})_2]^+$ , paying attention to the expected coordination environment of the Rh atom. (c) Given that the first step in the mechanism is the substitution of one solvent molecule for the alkene, draw a catalytic cycle that accounts for the conversion of  $\text{RCH}=\text{CH}_2$  to  $\text{RCH}_2\text{CH}_3$ . Include a structure for each intermediate complex and give the electron count at the Rh centre in each complex.



(26.24)

- 26.23** There is much current interest in 'dendritic' molecules, i.e. those with 'branched arms' that diverge from a central core. The supported dendritic catalyst **26.25** can be used in hydroformylation reactions, and shows high selectivity for branched over linear aldehyde products. (a) Is **26.25** likely to be the active catalytic species? Rationalize your answer. (b) What advantages does **26.25** have over a mononuclear hydroformylation catalyst such as  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ ? (c) Give a general scheme for the hydroformylation of pent-1-ene (ignoring intermediates in the catalytic cycle) and explain what is meant by 'selectivity for branched over linear aldehyde products'.



(26.25)

# Chapter 27

## Some aspects of solid state chemistry

### TOPICS

- Lattice defects
- Electrical conductivity in ionic solids
- Superconductors
- Chemical vapour deposition
- Inorganic fibres

### 27.1 Introduction

There is intense current interest in developing new inorganic materials, and solid state and polymer chemistries are ‘hot’ areas of research. We have already encountered many structural aspects of the solid state and have exemplified applications of solid state materials, e.g. magnetic properties of metal oxides (*Chapters 21* and *22*), semiconductors (*Chapter 5*) and heterogeneous catalysts (*Chapter 26*). The following topics appeared in *Chapter 5*:

- structures of metals;
- polymorphism;
- alloys;
- band theory;
- semiconductors;
- prototype ionic lattices;
- lattice energies and their applications in inorganic chemistry;
- Frenkel and Schottky defects.

With the exception of lattice defects and semiconducting materials, these will not be discussed further here. In *Section 20.8*, we introduced some concepts of magnetism including *ferromagnetism*, *antiferromagnetism* and *ferrimagnetism*; although these properties are important in materials chemistry, it is beyond the scope of this book to take this topic further.

The topics chosen for inclusion in this chapter reflect areas of active interest and elaborate upon some topics that have been given only brief mention in earlier chapters. In describing the chemistries of the *d*- and *f*-block metals in *Chapters 21*, *22* and *24*, we included many examples of solid state compounds, and we now look further at electrical conducting and superconducting properties. At various points in the book, we have mentioned colour pigments in ceramic materials when

describing applications of inorganic compounds (mainly oxides); *Section 27.5* looks at the colouring of ceramics in more detail. We describe *chemical vapour deposition* (CVD) for the formation of thin films of materials and its application in the semiconductor industry, and in the final section, discuss selected inorganic fibres. Throughout the chapter, we emphasize commercial applications in order to exemplify the role that inorganic chemistry plays in technological developments. In contrast to other chapters, applications are not highlighted specifically in boxed material.

### 27.2 Defects in solid state lattices

Structures of solids are usually described in terms of prototype structures (e.g. NaCl, TiO<sub>2</sub>) but in reality, almost all crystals are not perfect arrays of ordered atoms or ions but contain *defects*.

*Intrinsic defects* occur in lattices of pure compounds; *extrinsic defects* result from the addition of dopants.


#### Types of defect: stoichiometric and non-stoichiometric compounds

The lattice defects that we introduced in *Section 5.17* are due to *point defects* in the crystal lattice. A *Schottky defect* arises from vacant lattice sites, but the stoichiometry and electrical neutrality of the compound are retained. Defects that fall in this category include:

- a vacant *atom* site in a metal lattice;
- a vacant *cation* and a vacant *anion* site in an MX lattice (*Figure 5.26*);
- two vacant *cations* and one vacant *anion* site in an M<sub>2</sub>X lattice.

In ionic lattices in which there is a significant difference in size between the cation and anion (e.g. AgBr), the smaller ion may occupy a site that is vacant in the ideal lattice. This is a *Frenkel defect* (Figure 5.27) and does not affect the stoichiometry or electrical neutrality of the compound.


Many defects result in a compound being *non-stoichiometric*. Such defects often occur in crystalline solids of *d*-block metal compounds when the metal exhibits variable oxidation states. Metal oxides and sulfides are particularly prone to non-stoichiometric defects and these often lead to dramatic changes in physical properties even at low levels of crystal imperfection. For example, treatment of  $\text{TiO}_2$  with  $\text{H}_2$  at 770–970 K results in an O deficiency such that the stoichiometry is  $\text{TiO}_{1.993}$ . The change from  $\text{TiO}_2$  to  $\text{TiO}_{1.993}$  causes a decrease in electrical resistivity by more than five orders of magnitude. Some crystalline metal oxides are extremely difficult to prepare in a stoichiometric form. For example, TiO (see end of Section 21.5) exists as a non-stoichiometric compound in the range  $\text{TiO}_{0.82}$ – $\text{TiO}_{1.23}$ ; FeO is always Fe-deficient and is formulated as  $\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{O}$  ( $0.04 < x < 0.11$ ), occurring naturally in this form in meteorites and oceanic basalt. Thus, in  $\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{O}$ , some  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  ions are present to counter what would otherwise be a charge imbalance caused by  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  vacancies. The  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  centres tend to occupy interstitial sites between vacancies giving well-defined clusters such as 27.1 and 27.2; the clusters can be described as zones of an  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ -type lattice.



$\text{Fe}^{3+}$  shown in green; vacancies are the transparent spheres

(27.1)

(27.2)



**Fig. 27.1** NiO possesses an NaCl structure; doping with  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$  in the presence of air/ $\text{O}_2$  results in the replacement of an  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  centre (blue) with an  $\text{Li}^+$  ion (yellow), and the oxidation of one  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  to an  $\text{Ni}^{3+}$  centre (green). Oxide ions are shown in red.

Metal oxides having a  $\text{CaF}_2$  structure are subject to various non-stoichiometric defects. Uranium(IV) oxide has the stoichiometry  $\text{UO}_{2+x}$ , i.e. it has an *anion-excess structure* in which excess  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ions are accommodated in interstitial sites. The addition of dopants to crystalline solids produces defects which can be of commercial significance; dopant cations must be of a similar size to those in the parent lattice. Adding CaO to  $\text{ZrO}_2$  stabilizes the cubic form of zirconia (see Section 22.5) and prevents a phase change from cubic to monoclinic that would otherwise occur on cooling below 1143 K. The introduction of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  into the  $\text{ZrO}_2$  lattice results in replacement of  $\text{Zr}^{4+}$  by  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and the creation of an  $\text{O}^{2-}$  vacancy to counter the charge imbalance; the doped zirconia,  $\text{Ca}_x\text{Zr}_{1-x}\text{O}_{2-x}$ , is *anion-deficient*.

The introduction of a dopant may result in a change in the oxidation state of metal sites in the parent lattice; a well-cited example is the doping of NiO with  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$  in the presence of air/ $\text{O}_2$ . When an  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  ion is replaced by  $\text{Li}^+$ , electrical neutrality is retained by the oxidation of another  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  to  $\text{Ni}^{3+}$  (Figure 27.1).

## Colour centres (F-centres)

Defects that result from the presence of trapped electrons in a crystal lattice cause colour changes. If NaCl is heated in Na vapour, Na atoms enter the NaCl lattice; Na is oxidized to  $\text{Na}^+$  (equation 27.1).



The electron produced in oxidation step 27.1 remains trapped in the crystal lattice and occupies a lattice site, leaving a  $\text{Cl}^-$  site vacant. Excitation and subsequent relaxation of the electron results in the emission of radiation in the visible region. The electron centre is known as an *F-centre* (from the German *Farbe* for colour). The origins of F-centres are varied, but their presence has some dramatic consequences. For example, some variants of transparent minerals are coloured owing to the presence of F-centres, e.g. Blue John is a rare blue-purple form of fluor spar and is much prized in jewellery and decorative ornaments.

## Thermodynamic effects of crystal defects

At the beginning of this section we stated that almost all

crystals are imperfect. The creation of a defect from an ideal crystal is an endothermic process, but is entropically favoured since a degree of *disorder* is introduced into the otherwise perfectly ordered lattice. The balance between the  $\Delta H$  and  $T\Delta S$  terms (equation 27.2) is therefore important.

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S \quad (27.2)$$

At temperatures above 0 K, the thermodynamic balance favours the presence of defects, and the minimum value of  $\Delta G$  is attained at a given equilibrium concentration of defects, the concentration being temperature-dependent.

### 27.3 Electrical conductivity in ionic solids

Ionic *solids* usually have a high electrical resistance (low conductivity, see [Section 5.8](#)) and the conductivity is significant only when the compound is molten. The presence of defects in an ionic solid decreases the resistance, e.g. cubic zirconia stabilized with CaO (see above) is a *fast-ion conductor*, the conductivity arising from the migration of  $O^{2-}$  ions. An increased concentration of defects can be introduced by heating a solid to a high temperature and then cooling it rapidly. Since more defects are present at high temperatures, the effect of quenching the solid is to ‘freeze’ the defect concentration present at elevated temperature.

The presence of defects in a crystal lattice facilitates ion migration and enhances electrical conductivity (i.e. lowers the resistance).


Mechanisms of ion migration can be categorized as follows:

- migration of a cation into a cation vacancy, creating a new vacancy into which another cation can migrate, and so on;
- migration of a cation into an interstitial site (as in [Figure 5.27](#)), creating a vacancy which can be filled by another migrating ion, and so on.

Anion migration could also occur by the first mechanism, but for the second, it is usually the cation that is small enough to occupy an interstitial site, for example, the tetrahedral holes in an NaCl-type structure.


#### Sodium and lithium ion conductors

Current developments in battery technology, electrochromic devices (see [Box 22.4](#)) and research into electrically powered vehicles make use of solid electrolytes (see [Box 10.3](#)). The sodium/sulfur battery contains a solid  $\beta$ -alumina electrolyte. The name  *$\beta$ -alumina* is misleading since it is prepared by the reaction of  $Na_2CO_3$ ,  $NaNO_3$ ,  $NaOH$  and  $Al_2O_3$  at 1770 K and is a non-stoichiometric compound of approximate




**Fig. 27.2** A schematic representation of part of the structure of  $\beta$ -alumina ( $Na_2O \cdot 11Al_2O_3$ ) in which  $Na^+$  ions are mobile between bridged layers of  $Al_2O_3$  spinel structure. Spinel layers were introduced in [Box 12.6](#).

composition  $Na_2Al_{22}O_{34}$  (or  $Na_2O \cdot 11Al_2O_3$ ), always containing an excess of  $Na^+$ ; we therefore refer to this material as *Na  $\beta$ -alumina*. Equation 27.3 shows the half-reactions that occur in the sodium/sulfur battery;  $Na^+$  ions produced at the anode migrate through the Na  $\beta$ -alumina electrolyte and combine with the polysulfide anions formed at the cathode (equation 27.4). The reactions are reversed when the cell is recharged.



The Na  $\beta$ -alumina acts as a *sodium ion conductor*. The key to this property lies in its structure which consists of spinel-type layers 1123 pm thick, with  $Na^+$  ions occupying the interlayer spaces (Figure 27.2). The conductivity of Na  $\beta$ -alumina ( $3 \Omega^{-1} m^{-1}$ ) arises from the ability of the  $Na^+$  ions to migrate through the gaps between the spinel layers; it therefore conducts in one plane though the crystal in the same way that graphite conducts only in the plane parallel to the carbon-containing planes ([Figure 13.4a](#)). Although the conductivity of Na  $\beta$ -alumina is small compared with a metal ([Figure 5.9](#)), it is large compared with typical ionic solids (e.g.  $10^{-13} \Omega^{-1} m^{-1}$  for solid NaCl). The  $Na^+$  ions in Na  $\beta$ -alumina can be replaced by cations such as  $Li^+$ ,  $K^+$ ,  $Cs^+$ ,  $Rb^+$ ,  $Ag^+$ ,  $Tl^+$  and  $H^+$ . However, the conductivities of these materials are lower than that of Na  $\beta$ -alumina: the match between the size of the  $Na^+$  ions and the interlayer channels in the host lattice leads to the most efficient cation mobility. The conductivities of Na  $\beta$ -alumina and selected cation and anion conductors exhibiting relatively




**Fig. 27.3** Conductivities of selected ionic solids; the ion given in parentheses after the solid electrolyte is the ion conductor.

high (i.e. in the context of ionic solids) conductivities are compared in Figure 27.3.

Materials of composition  $\text{Na}_{1+x}\text{Zr}_2\text{P}_{3-x}\text{Si}_x\text{O}_{12}$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 3$ ) are known as *Na super-ionic conductors* (NASICON) and also have potential application in sodium/sulfur batteries. They comprise solid solutions of  $\text{NaZr}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3$  (the host lattice) and  $\text{Na}_4\text{Zr}_2(\text{SiO}_4)_3$ ; the former adopts a structure composed of corner-sharing  $\text{ZrO}_6$  octahedra and  $\text{PO}_4$  tetrahedra which generate a network through which channels run. Once  $\text{Na}_4\text{Zr}_2(\text{SiO}_4)_3$  is incorporated to give a solid solution, the  $\text{Na}^+$  ion conductivity increases and is optimized to  $10 \Omega^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}$  when  $x \approx 2$ .


Solid electrolytes with applications in lithium batteries include  $\text{Li}_7\text{NbO}_6$ ,  $\text{Li}_{12}\text{Ti}_{17}\text{O}_{40}$ ,  $\text{Li}_8\text{ZrO}_6$  and  $\text{Li}_3\text{N}$  which are *Li<sup>+</sup> ion conductors* (see also [Box 10.3](#)). In  $\text{Li}_7\text{NbO}_6$ , one-eighth of the  $\text{Li}^+$  sites are vacant, rendering the solid a good ionic conductor. Lithium nitride has the layer structure shown in [Figure 10.3a](#). A 1–2% deficiency of  $\text{Li}^+$  ions in the hexagonal layers leads to  $\text{Li}^+$  conduction *within* these layers, the interlayer  $\text{Li}^+$  ion sites remaining fully occupied. Lithium

nitride is used as the solid electrolyte in cells containing an Li electrode coupled with a  $\text{TiS}_2$ ,  $\text{TaS}_2$  or other metal sulfide electrode. During battery discharge,  $\text{Li}^+$  ions flow through the solid  $\text{Li}_3\text{N}$  barrier and enter the  $\text{MS}_2$  solid; this acts as a host lattice (equation 27.5), intercalating the  $\text{Li}^+$  ions which occupy vacant sites.



### d-Block metal(II) oxides

In [Chapter 21](#), we described the chemistry of the first row *d*-block metal(II) oxides, but said little about their electrical conductivity properties. The oxides  $\text{TiO}$ ,  $\text{VO}$ ,  $\text{MnO}$ ,  $\text{FeO}$ ,  $\text{CoO}$  and  $\text{NiO}$  adopt NaCl lattices but are non-stoichiometric, being metal-deficient as exemplified for  $\text{TiO}$  and  $\text{FeO}$  in Section 27.2. In  $\text{TiO}$  and  $\text{VO}$ , there is overlap of the metal  $t_{2g}$  orbitals giving rise to a partially occupied band (Figure 27.4) and, as a result,  $\text{TiO}$  and  $\text{VO}$  are electrically conducting. In contrast,  $\text{MnO}$  is an insulator at 298 K



**Fig. 27.4** (a) One face of the unit cell of  $\text{TiO}$ ; colour code: Ti, pale grey; O, red. (b) Overlap of the Ti  $t_{2g}$  orbitals occurs and leads to (c) the formation of a partly filled metal conduction band.

but is semiconducting (see [Section 5.9](#)) at higher temperatures; FeO, CoO and NiO behave similarly, their conductivities (which are low at 298 K) increasing with temperature. The conductivity is explained in terms of an *electron-hopping* mechanism, in which an electron moves from an  $M^{2+}$  to  $M^{3+}$  centre (recall that the metal-deficiency in the non-stoichiometric oxide leads to the presence of  $M^{3+}$  sites), effectively creating a positive hole. Heating the oxide in the presence of  $O_2$  results in further  $M^{2+}$  ions being oxidized. In turn, this facilitates electron migration through the solid.

## 27.4 Superconductivity

### Superconductors: early examples and basic theory

A *superconductor* is a material, the electrical resistance of which drops to zero when it is cooled below its *critical temperature*,  $T_c$ .

Superconductivity was discovered in 1911 by H. Kamerlingh Onnes (awarded the Nobel Prize for Physics in 1913): on cooling to its critical temperature,  $T_c$ , a superconductor loses all electrical resistance and at the same time becomes a perfect diamagnetic material. The latter (the *Meissner effect*) is detected by an unusual phenomenon: if a permanent magnet is placed on top of a superconductor as it is cooled, at  $T_c$  the magnet rises to become suspended in midair above the superconducting material. A range of metals, alloys and metallic compounds are superconductors (Table 27.1). However, to put the practical limitations of working with the materials listed in Table 27.1 (and many others including the superconducting fullerenes described in [Section 13.4](#)) into perspective, compare the values of  $T_c$  with the boiling points of available coolants, e.g. liquid He (4.2 K),  $H_2$  (20.1 K) and  $N_2$  (77 K).

Superconductivity is usually described in terms of *Cooper pairs*, which we describe only at a simple level.<sup>†</sup> A Cooper

**Table 27.1** Selected superconducting metals, alloys and metallic compounds.

| Element or compound | $T_c$ / K | Element or compound | $T_c$ / K |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| Al                  | 1.17      | AuPb <sub>2</sub>   | 3.15      |
| $\alpha$ -Hg        | 4.15      | InPb                | 6.65      |
| In                  | 3.41      | Ir <sub>2</sub> Th  | 6.50      |
| Nb                  | 9.25      | Nb <sub>2</sub> SnV | 9.8       |
| Ru                  | 0.49      | CuS                 | 1.62      |
| Sn                  | 3.72      | Nb <sub>3</sub> Sn  | 18        |
| Ti                  | 0.40      | TiO                 | 0.58      |
| Zn                  | 0.85      | SnO                 | 3.81      |
| Al <sub>2</sub> Y   | 0.35      | (SN) <sub>x</sub>   | 0.26      |


**Table 27.2** Selected high-temperature superconductors with  $T_c > 77$  K.

| Compound  | $T_c$ / K | Element or compound   | $T_c$ / K |
|---|-----------|---|-----------|
| YBa <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>                                 | 93        | Tl <sub>2</sub> CaBa <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>                                      | 119       |
| YBa <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>4</sub> O <sub>8</sub>                                 | 80        | Tl <sub>2</sub> Ca <sub>2</sub> Ba <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub>                       | 128       |
| Y <sub>2</sub> Ba <sub>4</sub> Cu <sub>7</sub> O <sub>15</sub>                  | 93        | TlCaBa <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>  | 103       |
| Bi <sub>2</sub> CaSr <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>                | 92        | TlCa <sub>2</sub> Ba <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>                                      | 110       |
| Bi <sub>2</sub> Ca <sub>2</sub> Sr <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub> | 110       | Tl <sub>0.5</sub> Pb <sub>0.5</sub> Ca <sub>2</sub> Sr <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub>    | 120       |
| HgBa <sub>2</sub> Ca <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>                | 135       | Hg <sub>0.8</sub> Tl <sub>0.2</sub> Ba <sub>2</sub> Ca <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8.33</sub> | 138       |

pair consists of two electrons of opposite spin and momentum that are brought together by a cooperative effect involving the positively charged nuclei in the vibrating crystal lattice. Cooper pairs of electrons (which are present in the Fermi level, see [Section 5.8](#)) remain as bound pairs below some critical temperature ( $T_c$ ) and their presence gives rise to resistance-free conductivity. The theory holds for the earliest known superconductors but for the cuprates that we discuss below, while Cooper pairs may still be significant, new theories are required. To date, no complete explanation for the conducting properties of the *high-temperature superconductors* has been forthcoming.

### High-temperature superconductors


Since 1987, cuprate superconductors with  $T_c > 77$  K have been the centre of intense interest. One of the first to be discovered was YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> made by reaction 27.6. The oxygen content of the final material depends on reaction conditions (e.g. temperature and pressure).



Selected *high-temperature superconductors* are listed in Table 27.2; the oxidation state of the Cu centres in YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> can be inferred by assuming fixed oxidation states of +3, +2 and –2 for Y, Ba and O respectively; the result indicates a mixed Cu(II)/Cu(III) compound. A similar result is obtained for some other materials listed in Table 27.2. High-temperature superconductors have two structural features in common:



- Their structures are related to that of perovskite. [Figure 5.23](#) showed a ‘ball-and-stick’ representation of this structure; the same structure is depicted in [Figure 27.5a](#), but with the octahedral coordination spheres of the Ti centres shown in polyhedral representation (see [diagram 13.17](#) and [Figure 22.8](#)).
- They always contain layers of stoichiometry CuO<sub>2</sub>; these may be planar ([Figure 27.5b](#)) or puckered.

<sup>†</sup> For a greater depth discussion, see: J. Bardeen, L.N. Cooper and J.R. Schrieffer (1957) *Physics Reviews*, vol. 108, p. 1175; A.R. West (1999) *Basic Solid State Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.




**Fig. 27.5** (a) A unit cell of perovskite,  $\text{CaTiO}_3$ , using a polyhedral representation for the coordination environments of the Ti centres; an O atom (red) lies at each vertex of the octahedra, and the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ion is shown in grey. (b) Part of a layer of stoichiometry  $\text{CuO}_2$  which forms a building block in all cuprate high-temperature superconductors; colour code: Cu, brown; O, red.

The incorporation of the two structural building blocks is illustrated in Figure 27.6 which shows a unit cell of  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ . The unit cell of  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$  can be considered in terms of three stacked perovskite unit cells; taking the prototype perovskite to be  $\text{CaTiO}_3$ , then on going from  $\text{CaTiO}_3$  to  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ ,  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Y}^{3+}$  ions substitute for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , while Cu centres substitute for Ti(IV). Compared with the lattice derived by stacking three perovskite unit cells, the structure of  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$  is oxygen-deficient, leading to the Cu coordination environments being square



**Fig. 27.6** A unit cell of  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ . (a) A representation showing coordination polyhedra for the Cu centres (square planar and square-based pyramidal); the  $\text{Y}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  ions are shown in blue and green respectively. (b) The unit cell drawn using a 'ball-and-stick' representation; colour code: Cu, brown; Y, blue; Ba, green; O, red.

planar or square-based pyramidal (Figure 27.6a), the  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  ions being 10-coordinate (Figure 27.6b), and each  $\text{Y}^{3+}$  ion being in a cubic environment. The structure is readily described in terms of sheets, and the unit cell in Figure 27.6 can be represented schematically as layer structure 27.3. Other high-temperature superconductors can be described in similar fashion, e.g.  $\text{Tl}_2\text{Ca}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{10}$  (containing  $\text{Tl}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  centres) is composed of layer sequence 27.4. The non- $\text{CuO}_2$  oxide layers in the cuprate superconductors are isostructural with layers from an NaCl structure, and so the structures are sometimes described in terms of perovskite and rock salt layers.



A full discussion of the bonding and origins of superconductivity in these cuprate materials is beyond the scope of this book, but we can comment on several important points. It is the  $\text{CuO}_2$  layers that are responsible for the superconducting properties, while the other layers in the lattice act as sources of electrons. The arrangement of the layers is an important factor in controlling the superconductivity. Taking the square planar Cu centres to be Cu(II) gives a  $d^9$  configuration with the unpaired electron in a  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbital. The energies of the  $3d$  and  $2p$  atomic orbitals are sufficiently close to allow significant orbital mixing, and a band structure is appropriate. The half-filled band is then tuned electronically by the effects of the ‘electron sinks’ which make up the neighbouring layers in the lattice.


### Superconducting properties of $\text{MgB}_2$

Although magnesium boride,  $\text{MgB}_2$ , has been known since the 1950s, it was only in 2001 that its superconducting properties ( $T_c = 39\text{ K}$ ) were discovered.<sup>†</sup> Solid  $\text{MgB}_2$  has hexagonal symmetry and consists of layers of Mg and B atoms (Figure 27.7). Each layer of B atoms resembles a layer of C atoms in graphite, and each layer of Mg atoms is close-packed. No other metal boride has yet been shown to have a  $T_c$  as high as that of  $\text{MgB}_2$ . Although the onset of superconductivity for  $\text{MgB}_2$  occurs at a much lower temperature than for the cuprate superconductors, the simple, layered structure of  $\text{MgB}_2$  makes this new superconductor of particular interest. Thin films can be fabricated by passing  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  over Mg (supported on  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  or SiC) heated at  $970\text{ K}$  under an  $\text{H}_2$  atmosphere. The electrical and magnetic properties of  $\text{MgB}_2$  films prepared in this way are comparable with those of single crystals of  $\text{MgB}_2$ .

### Applications of superconductors

Commercial applications of high-temperature superconductors are now established and a host of potential uses should become reality during the 21st century. The majority of magnetic resonance imaging scanners (see [Box 2.6](#)) rely on superconducting magnets with flux densities of  $0.5\text{--}2.0\text{ T}$ . Currently, NbTi ( $T_c = 9.5\text{ K}$ ) multicore conductors are used, but replacement by high-temperature superconductors would be financially beneficial.

The combination of two superconductors separated by a thin oxide barrier which is a weak insulator makes up a *Josephson junction*, a device that is very sensitive to magnetic fields. Among applications of Josephson junctions is their role in SQUID (*superconducting quantum interference device*) systems for measuring magnetic susceptibilities. The extreme sensitivity of a SQUID allows it to be used to measure very weak biomagnetic signals such as those origi-



**Fig. 27.7** A repeat unit in the solid state structure of  $\text{MgB}_2$ . Colour code: Mg, yellow; B, blue.

nating from the brain, and naval vessels equipped with SQUIDs have increased sensitivity to detect undersea mines.

Superconductors have been applied to develop train systems that operate with *magnetic-levitation* (MAGLEV) in which the train effectively travels  $\approx 10\text{ mm}$  above its tracks, i.e. virtually frictionless motion. The first commercial train came into service in Shanghai in 2003 and can reach speeds of  $440\text{ km h}^{-1}$ .

For the development of applications for superconductors, two obstacles in particular have to be surmounted. The first is that the material must be cooled to low temperatures to attain  $T_c$ . As higher temperature superconductors are developed, this has become less of a major drawback, but still militates against the use of superconductors in conventional settings. The second problem is one of fabrication. When prepared as a bulk material, the cuprate superconductors have unacceptably low critical current densities, i.e. the superconductivity is lost after the material has carried only a limited amount of current. The origin of the problem is the presence of grain boundaries in the solid and can be overcome by preparing thin films using, for example, CVD (see [Section 27.6](#)) or *texturing* the material (i.e. alignment of crystallites) through specialized crystallization techniques or mechanical working. Even with the advances that have been made so far, the application of superconductors for bulk power transmission remains a long way in the future.

## 27.5 Ceramic materials: colour pigments

A *ceramic* material is a hard, high melting solid which is usually chemically inert.

Ceramic materials are commonplace in everyday life, e.g. floor and wall tiles, crockery, wash-basins, baths and decorative pottery and tiles, and also include the cuprate

<sup>†</sup> See: J. Nagamatsu, N. Nakagawa, T. Muranaka, Y. Zenitani and J. Akimitsu (2001) *Nature*, vol. 410, p. 63.

high-temperature superconductors discussed above. Many ceramic materials consist of metal oxides or silicates, and the addition of white and coloured pigments is a huge industrial concern. In earlier chapters, we mentioned the use of several metal oxides (e.g. CoO and TiO<sub>2</sub>, [Boxes 21.3](#) and [21.9](#)) as colour pigments. One of the factors that has to be taken into account when choosing a pigment is the need for it to withstand the high firing temperatures involved in the manufacture of ceramics. This is in contrast to the introduction of pigments into, for example, fabrics.

## White pigments (opacifiers)

An *opacifier* is a glaze additive that makes an otherwise transparent glaze opaque.

The most important commercial opacifiers in ceramic materials are TiO<sub>2</sub> (in the form of anatase) and ZrSiO<sub>4</sub> (zircon). While SnO<sub>2</sub> is also highly suitable, its use is not as cost effective as that of TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZrSiO<sub>4</sub>, and it is retained only for specialist purposes. Zirconium(IV) oxide is also an excellent opacifier but is more expensive than ZrSiO<sub>4</sub>. Fine particles of these pigments scatter incident light extremely strongly: the refractive indices of anatase, ZrSiO<sub>4</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub> and SnO<sub>2</sub> are 2.5, 2.0, 2.2 and 2.1 respectively. The firing temperature of the ceramic material determines whether or not TiO<sub>2</sub> is a suitable pigment for a particular application. Above 1120 K, anatase converts to rutile, and although rutile also has a high refractive index ( $\mu = 2.6$ ), the presence of relatively large particles of rutile prevents it from functioning as an effective opacifier. Anatase is therefore useful only if working temperatures do not exceed the phase transition temperature. Zircon is amenable to use at higher firing temperatures; it can be added to the molten glaze and precipitates as fine particles dispersed in the glaze as it is cooled.

## Adding colour

Cation substitution in a host lattice such as ZrO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, SnO<sub>2</sub> or ZrSiO<sub>4</sub> is a means of altering the colour of a pigment; the substituting cation must have one or more unpaired electrons so as to give rise to an absorption in the visible region (see [Section 20.6](#)). Yellow pigments used to colour ceramics include (Zr,V)O<sub>2</sub> (which retains the lattice structure of *baddeleyite*, the monoclinic form of ZrO<sub>2</sub> in which the metal is 7-coordinate), (Sn,V)O<sub>2</sub> (with a V-doped *cassiterite* lattice) and (Zr,Pr)SiO<sub>4</sub> (with a *zircon* lattice doped with  $\approx 5\%$  Pr). Blue pigmentation can be obtained using (Zr,V)SiO<sub>4</sub> and this is routinely used when high-temperature firing is required; cobalt oxide-based pigments produce a more intense blue coloration than vanadium-doped zirconia, but are unsuitable for use at elevated temperatures. The content of cobalt oxide needed in a blue ceramic is  $\approx 0.4\text{--}0.5\%$  Co.

Spinel (AB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) (see [Box 12.6](#)) are an important class of oxide for the manufacture of brown and black pigments for ceramics. The three spinels FeCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, ZnCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and


ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> are structurally related, forming a family in which Fe<sup>2+</sup> or Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions occupy tetrahedral sites, while Cr<sup>3+</sup> or Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions are octahedrally sited. In nature, cation substitution occurs to produce, for example, black crystals of the mineral *franklinite* (Zn,Mn,Fe)(Fe,Mn)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> which has a variable composition. In the ceramics industry, spinels for use as pigments are prepared by heating together suitable metal oxides in appropriate stoichiometric ratios so as to control the cation substitution in a parent spinel lattice. In (Zn,Fe)(Fe,Cr)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, a range of brown shades can be obtained by varying the cation site compositions. For the commercial market, reproducibility of shade of colour is, of course, essential.

## 27.6 Chemical vapour deposition (CVD)

We devote a significant part of this chapter to the method of *chemical vapour deposition*, the development of which has been closely tied to the need to deposit thin films of a range of metals and inorganic materials for use in semiconducting devices, ceramic coatings and electrochromic materials. Table 27.3 lists some applications of selected thin film materials. Part of the challenge of the successful production of thin films is to find suitable molecular precursors, and there is much research interest in this area.

**Table 27.3** Some applications of selected thin film materials; see also Table 27.5.

| Thin film                          | Applications   |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>     | Oxidation resistance   |
| AlN                                | High powered integrated circuits; acoustic devices                                       |
| C (diamond)                        | Cutting tools and wear-resistant coatings; heat sink in laser diodes; optical components |
| CdTe                               | Solar cells  |
| CeO <sub>2</sub>                   | Optical coatings; insulating films   |
| GaAs                               | Semiconducting devices; electrooptics; (includes solar cells)                            |
| GaN                                | Light-emitting diodes (LED)  |
| GaAs <sub>1-x</sub> P <sub>x</sub> | Light-emitting diodes (LED)  |
| LiNbO <sub>3</sub>                 | Electrooptic ceramic   |
| NiO                                | Electrochromic devices   |
| Si                                 | Semiconductors, many applications of which include solar cells                           |
| Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>     | Diffusion barriers and inert coatings in semiconducting devices                          |
| SiO <sub>2</sub>                   | Optical wave guides  |
| SnO <sub>2</sub>                   | Sensors for reducing gases, e.g. H <sub>2</sub> , CO, CH <sub>4</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub>  |
| TiC                                | Wear resistance  |
| TiN                                | Friction reduction   |
| W                                  | Metal coatings on semiconducting integrated circuits                                     |
| WO <sub>3</sub>                    | Electrochromic windows   |
| ZnS                                | Infrared windows   |



**Fig. 27.8** Schematic representation of the CVD setup used to deposit high-purity silicon by thermal decomposition of  $\text{SiHCl}_3$ .

We illustrate CVD by focusing on the deposition of specific materials including semiconductors. In any industrial CVD process, reactor design is crucial to the efficiency of the deposition, and it should be recognized that *the diagrams given of CVD reactors are highly schematic*.

*Chemical vapour deposition (CVD) is the delivery (by uniform mass transport) of a volatile precursor or precursors to a heated surface on which reaction takes place to deposit a thin film of the solid product; the surface must be hot enough to permit reaction but cool enough to allow solid deposition. Multilayer deposition is also possible. Metal-organic chemical vapour deposition (MOCVD) refers specifically to use of metal-organic precursors.*

## High-purity silicon for semiconductors

Although Ge was the first semiconductor to be used commercially, it is Si that now leads the world market. Germanium has been replaced, not only by Si, but by a range of recently developed semiconducting materials. All silicon semiconductors are manufactured by CVD. In [Box 5.3](#), we described the Czochralski process for obtaining single crystals of pure silicon. The silicon used for the crystal growth must itself be of high purity and a purification stage is needed after the manufacture of Si from  $\text{SiO}_2$  (reaction 27.7). Crude silicon is first converted to the volatile  $\text{SiHCl}_3$  which is then converted back to a higher purity grade of Si (equation 27.8) by using CVD.






Figure 27.8 illustrates the industrial CVD procedure:  $\text{SiHCl}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2$  pass into the reaction vessel where they come into contact with a high-purity silicon surface, electrically heated to 1400 K. Back-reaction 27.8 is highly endothermic and occurs on the Si surface to deposit additional Si (mp = 1687 K); no deposition occurs on the

vessel walls because these are kept cold, devoid of the heat energy needed to facilitate the reaction between  $\text{SiHCl}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2$ . A secondary product of the deposition reaction is  $\text{SiCl}_4$  (equation 27.9), some of which reacts with  $\text{H}_2$  to give more  $\text{SiHCl}_3$ . The remainder leaves with the exhaust gases<sup>†</sup> and finds use in the manufacture of silica.



A more recently developed CVD process starts with  $\text{SiH}_4$  (equation 27.10), which is first prepared from  $\text{SiHCl}_3$  by scheme 27.11.



The high-grade silicon produced by CVD is virtually free of B or P impurities, and this is essential despite the fact that doping with B or P is routine. Careful tuning of the properties of n- or p-type semiconductors (see [Section 5.9](#)) depends on the *controlled* addition of B, Al, P or As during their manufacture.

## $\alpha$ -Boron nitride

Thin films of  $\alpha$ -BN (which possesses the layer structure shown in [Figure 12.18](#)) can be deposited by CVD using reactions of  $\text{NH}_3$  with volatile boron compounds such as  $\text{BCl}_3$  (equation 27.12) or  $\text{BF}_3$  at temperatures of  $\approx 1000\text{ K}$ .



An important application of such films is in doping silicon to generate a p-type semiconductor (Figure 27.9). The semiconductor-grade silicon is first oxidized to provide a layer of  $\text{SiO}_2$  which is then etched; deposition of a thin film of  $\alpha$ -BN provides contact between Si and  $\alpha$ -BN within the etched zones. By heating under  $\text{N}_2$ , B atoms from the film diffuse into the silicon to give the desired p-type semiconductor which is finally plated with a thin film of nickel (see ‘metal deposition’ below).

$\alpha$ -Boron nitride films have a range of other applications which make use of the material’s hardness, resistance to oxidation and insulating properties.

## Silicon nitride and carbide

The preparation and structure of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  were discussed at the end of [Section 13.12](#). Its uses as a refractory material are widespread, as are its applications in the microelectronics

<sup>†</sup> For an assessment of the treatment of waste volatiles from the semiconductor industry, see: P.L. Timms (1999) *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, p. 815.





Fig. 27.9 Boron doping of silicon using  $\alpha\text{-BN}$ .

industry and solar cell construction. Thin films of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  can be prepared by reacting  $\text{SiH}_4$  or  $\text{SiCl}_4$  with  $\text{NH}_3$  (equation 13.83), or  $\text{SiCl}_4$  with  $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ . Films deposited using  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{SiH}_3$  (27.5) as a precursor with a plasma-enhanced CVD technique have the advantage of low carbon contamination; the precursor is made by reduction of  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{SiCl}_3$  using  $\text{Li}[\text{AlH}_4]$  and is an air- and heat-stable volatile compound, ideal for CVD.



Silicon carbide (*carborundum*) has several polymorphs; the  $\beta$ -form adopts the wurtzite structure (Figure 5.20). It is extremely hard, resists wear, withstands very high temperatures, has a high thermal conductivity and a low coefficient of thermal expansion, and has long been used as a refractory material and abrasive powder. Recent development of suitable CVD

methods has made possible the deposition of  $\beta\text{-SiC}$  of  $>99.9\%$  purity, with suitable precursors being alkylsilanes, alkylchlorosilanes, or alkanes with chlorosilanes. Silicon carbide is a IV–IV semiconductor (band gap =  $288\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) which has particular application for high-frequency devices and for systems operating at high temperatures. Thin films exhibit excellent reflective properties and are used for manufacturing mirrors for laser radar systems, high-energy lasers, synchrotron X-ray equipment and astronomical telescopes. Silicon carbide is also used for blue light-emitting diodes (LEDs); silicon carbide fibres are described in Section 27.7.

### III–V Semiconductors

The III–V semiconductors comprise AlAs, AlSb, GaP, GaAs, GaSb, InP, InAs and InSb, and of these GaAs is the most important commercially. The band gaps of these materials are compared with that of Si in Figure 27.10. Although GaAs and InP possess similar band gaps (see Section 5.8) to Si, they exhibit higher electron mobilities making them of great commercial value for high-speed computer circuitry. Ternary materials are also important,




Fig. 27.10 Band gaps (at 298 K) of the III–V semiconductors and of Si.

**Table 27.4** The dependence of the wavelength,  $\lambda$ , of the emitted radiation from  $\text{GaAs}_{1-x}\text{P}_x$  on the composition of the material.

| $x$ in $\text{GaAs}_{1-x}\text{P}_x$ | Substrate | $\lambda$ / nm | Observed colour or region of spectrum |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| 0.10                                 | GaAs      | 780            | Infrared                              |
| 0.39                                 | GaAs      | 660            | Red                                   |
| 0.55                                 | GaP       | 650            | Red                                   |
| 0.65                                 | GaP       | 630            | Orange                                |
| 0.75                                 | GaP       | 610            | Orange                                |
| 0.85                                 | GaP       | 590            | Yellow                                |

e.g.  $\text{GaAs}_{1-x}\text{P}_x$  is the semiconductor of choice in LEDs in pocket calculator, digital watch and similar displays, the colour of the emitted light depending on the band gap (see Table 27.4). In such devices, the semiconductor converts electrical energy into optical energy.


Thin films of GaAs are deposited commercially using CVD techniques by reactions such as 27.13. Slow hydrolysis of GaAs in moist air means that films must be protectively coated.



The commercial production of  $\text{GaAs}_{1-x}\text{P}_x$  requires the epitaxial growth of the crystalline material on a substrate.

*Epitaxial* growth of a crystal on a substrate crystal is such that the growth follows the crystal axis of the substrate.


Figure 27.11 gives a representation of an apparatus used to deposit  $\text{GaAs}_{1-x}\text{P}_x$ ; the operating temperature is typically  $\approx 1050\text{ K}$  and  $\text{H}_2$  is used as a carrier gas. Gallium (mp 303 K, bp 2477 K) is held in a vessel within the reactor and reacts with the incoming dry HCl to give GaCl which then disproportionates (scheme 27.14) providing Ga at the substrate.



The proportions of the group 15 hydrides entering the reactor can be varied as required; they thermally decompose by reaction 27.15 giving elemental components for the ternary semiconductor at the substrate surface. High-purity reagents are essential for the deposition of films that are of acceptable commercial grade.



Table 27.4 illustrates how the variation in semiconductor composition affects the colour of light emitted from a  $\text{GaAs}_{1-x}\text{P}_x$ -containing LED. Dopants can be added to the semiconductor by injecting a volatile dopant-precursor into




**Fig. 27.11** Schematic representation of the CVD assembly used for the epitaxial growth of  $\text{GaAs}_{1-x}\text{P}_x$ ;  $\text{H}_2$  is the carrier gas.

the  $\text{PH}_3$  and  $\text{AsH}_3$  gas inflow. For an n-type semiconductor,  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  or  $\text{Et}_2\text{Te}$  may be used, providing S or Te atom dopants.

Mobile telephones incorporate multilayer III–V epitaxial heterojunction bipolar transistor wafers such as that illustrated in Figure 27.12. The p–n junctions on either side of the base layer are a crucial feature of semiconductor devices, and in the wafer shown in Figure 27.12 (and in other similar wafers), the p-type base layer must be highly doped to provide high-frequency performance. Choice of dopant is critical, e.g. use of a Zn dopant (see below) results in its diffusion into the emitting n-type layers. This problem has been overcome by doping with C which exhibits a low diffusion coefficient; C-doped wafers have been used commercially since the early 1990s.

## Metal deposition

The use of volatile molecular, often organometallic, precursors for the deposition of thin films of metals for contacts and wiring in electrical devices (i.e. semiconductor–metal



**Fig. 27.12** Typical components in a multilayer heterojunction bipolar transistor wafer, each deposited by CVD.









Fig. 27.13 Schematic representation of a CVD setup used for the deposition of the perovskite BaTiO<sub>3</sub>.



It is also possible to deposit BaTiO<sub>3</sub> by using CVD (Figure 27.13), the source of Ti being the alkoxide Ti(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>4</sub> and of Ba, a β-ketonate complex such as BaL<sub>2</sub> where L<sup>-</sup> = 27.6. A typical reactor temperature for BaTiO<sub>3</sub> deposition is ≈500 K, and substrates that have been used include MgO, Si and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Although often formulated as ‘BaL<sub>2</sub>’, the precursor is not so simple and its exact formulation depends on its method of preparation, e.g. adducts such as BaL<sub>2</sub>·(MeOH)<sub>3</sub> and [BaL<sub>2</sub>(OEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], the tetramer Ba<sub>4</sub>L<sub>8</sub>, and the species Ba<sub>5</sub>L<sub>9</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>(OH). Any increased degree of oligomerization is accompanied by a decrease in volatility, a fact that militates against the use of the precursor in CVD. Complexes containing fluorinated β-ketonate ligands such as 27.7 possess higher volatilities than related species containing non-fluorinated ligands, but unfortunately their use in CVD experiments leads to thin films of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> contaminated with fluoride.

So far, we have illustrated the formation of binary (e.g. GaAs, TiC) and ternary (e.g. GaAs<sub>1-x</sub>P<sub>x</sub>, BaTiO<sub>3</sub>) systems through the combination of two or three volatile precursors in the CVD reactor. A problem that may be encountered is how to control the stoichiometry of the deposited material; in some cases, controlling the ratios of the precursors works satisfactorily, but in other cases, better control is

achieved by trying to find a suitable *single* precursor. There is active research in this area and it is illustrated by the formation of LiNbO<sub>3</sub> from the alkoxide precursor LiNb(OEt)<sub>6</sub>. The ceramic LiNbO<sub>3</sub> is used commercially for a range of electronic purposes (Table 27.5) and is conventionally prepared by reaction 27.19 or 27.20.



In order to develop an appropriate CVD method for depositing LiNbO<sub>3</sub> from LiNb(OEt)<sub>6</sub>, one major problem has to be overcome: the volatility of bulk LiNb(OEt)<sub>6</sub> is low, and hence an aerosol-type system is used to introduce the molecular precursor into the CVD reactor. Solid LiNb(OEt)<sub>6</sub> is dissolved in toluene and the solution converted into a fine mist using ultrasonic radiation. In the first part of the reactor (550 K), the mist volatilizes and is transported in a flow of the carrier gas into a higher temperature region containing the substrate on which thermal decomposition of LiNb(OEt)<sub>6</sub> occurs to give LiNbO<sub>3</sub>. Such results for the formation of ternary (or more complex) ceramic materials and the development of *aerosol-assisted CVD* may have a potential for commercial application in the future.

The explosion of interest in cuprate superconductors (see Section 27.4) during the last two decades has led to active research interest into ways of depositing these materials as thin films. For example, CVD precursors and conditions for the deposition of YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> have included BaL<sub>2</sub>, CuL<sub>2</sub> and YL<sub>3</sub> (L<sup>-</sup> = 27.6) with He/O<sub>2</sub> carrier gas, and an

LaAlO<sub>3</sub> substrate at 970 K. Progress to date, however, has not reached a level that makes CVD commercially viable.

## 27.7 Inorganic fibres

A *fibre* (inorganic or organic) usually has a diameter  $<0.25$  mm, a length-to-diameter ratio  $\geq 10:1$ , and a cross-sectional area  $<5 \times 10^{-3}$  mm<sup>2</sup>; *whiskers* are included in this category.

Fibrous asbestos (a layer silicate which occurs naturally, see Section 13.9) was used for much of the 20th century as an insulating material. It is now well recognized that exposure to asbestos fibres causes lung damage (see Box 13.9) and alternative insulating materials have entered the commercial market. Certain forms of asbestos that do not utilize fibres of length 5–20  $\mu\text{m}$  remain in use, e.g. in brake linings. Glass fibres have a wide range of applications, two of the major ones being insulation and reinforcement of other materials such as plastics. Aluminoborosilicate glass fibres are the most commonly employed; aluminolime silicate glass fibres are suited to acid-resistant needs, and when a high tensile strength material is required, aluminosilicate glass is generally appropriate. While the use of glass fibres for insulation is widespread, high-temperature working requires materials such as Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

We limit our main discussion in this section to B, C, SiC and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> fibres which can be employed for high-temperature ( $>1300$  K) operations. Much of today's fibre technology stems from the development of new, low-density, high tensile strength materials for air and space travel. Boron fibres were among the first to be developed, with carbon and silicon carbide fibres entering and dominating the market in more recent years. Silicon carbide has the advantage over both B and C fibres in that it is resistant to oxidation at high temperatures, oxidizing in air only above  $\approx 1250$  K.

### Boron fibres

Boron fibres can be manufactured by CVD, boron being deposited on a heated tungsten substrate (1550 K) by reaction 27.21; the reactor is schematically represented in Figure 27.14. The tungsten substrate is drawn through the reactor, making the boron fibre production a continuous process. The proportion of H<sub>2</sub> and BCl<sub>3</sub> that interacts in the reactor is low and unchanged gases are recycled after first separating them from HCl.




A final step in manufacture is to coat the fibre with SiC or B<sub>4</sub>C; this provides protection against reactions with other elements at high operating temperatures and ensures that the fibre retains its tensile strength at elevated temperature. Typically, the W wire substrate has an 8  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter, the

diameter of the boron fibre  $\approx 150$   $\mu\text{m}$ , and the SiC or B<sub>4</sub>C coating is  $\approx 4$   $\mu\text{m}$  thick.


### Carbon fibres

Since 1970, the commercial production of carbon fibres has risen dramatically. Where the low weight of a construction material is crucial, carbon-fibre reinforced polymers are now dominating the market. Body-parts for modern military aircraft contain  $\leq 50\%$  by weight of carbon-fibre reinforced composites in place of aluminium. This trend is also being followed in modern commercial aircraft design. The performance of Formula 1 racing cars has been greatly enhanced by turning to body parts constructed from carbon-fibre reinforced materials. Carbon fibres are characterized by being stiff but brittle, and have a low density and high tensile strength; the high resistance to thermal shock arises from a high thermal conductivity but low coefficient of thermal expansion.

A number of different grades of carbon fibre are manufactured, but all are made by the thermal degradation of an appropriate polymeric organic precursor. For example, PAN-based carbon fibres are manufactured from polyacrylonitrile and may, depending on grade, retain a low nitrogen content. Their production is shown in scheme 27.22 in which atom X represents an arbitrary N content. Carbon fibres usually require a protective coating to provide resistance to reaction with other elements at elevated temperature.




The importance of carbon-fibre composite materials in the development of the space shuttle cannot be overemphasized. Reinforced *carbon-carbon composites* are used in the nose cone and wing leading edges to provide the resistance to thermal shock and stress required for re-entry into the Earth's atmosphere. Carbon-carbon composites are a particular group of carbon-fibre reinforced materials in which both the bulk material and fibres are carbon. The



**Fig. 27.14** Schematic representation of the assembly used for the manufacture of boron fibres by CVD using a tungsten substrate.

manufacturing process for the space shuttle's carbon–carbon composites starts by impregnating a graphitized rayon fabric with a phenolic resin and then subjecting the material to heat treatment to convert the phenolic resin to carbon. The next stage is impregnation with furfuryl alcohol (27.8) followed by heat treatment to convert this component to carbon. Three cycles of this process result in the desired composite material. The composite must be coated with SiC to render it resistant to oxidation. This coating is generated by heating the composite in contact with a mixture of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$  and SiC in a furnace. Final impregnation with tetraethyl orthosilicate seals any surface imperfections.



### Silicon carbide fibres

The resistance of SiC to high-temperature working and oxidation makes it a valuable advanced material. Fibres of  $\beta$ -SiC are produced by CVD (see Section 27.6) using  $\text{R}_{4-x}\text{SiCl}_x$  precursors or an alkane and chlorosilane in a reactor similar to that in Figure 27.14. Fibres marketed under the tradename of *Nicalon* are produced by a melt-spinning process. This begins with reaction 27.23, the product of which is pyrolysed to give a carborasilane polymer.



The polymer is heated until molten, forced through an appropriately sized aperture, and solidified as it is drawn into a fibre; the commercial process produces multifilament fibres rather than single strands and cross-linking of the filaments adds strength to the final product. The composition of Nicalon fibres is not as simple as this description implies: carbon and silica are present in addition to  $\beta$ -SiC.

### Alumina fibres

Alumina fibres (often with silica content) are produced commercially on a large scale. Their high tensile strength, flexibility and inertness make them valuable in, for example, rope, thread (suitable for cloth manufacture), insulating material and electrical-cable coverings. A number of different manufacturing methods are in operation for the production of alumina–silica fibres, depending on the type of fibre and also the manufacturer. Polycrystalline  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  fibres can be formed by extruding hydrated alumina slurries through suitable nozzles and then heating the extruded material. As an example of a fibre with silica content, continuous fibres containing 15%  $\text{SiO}_2$  by weight are manufactured starting from  $\text{Et}_3\text{Al}$ . This is subject to partial hydrolysis to give a polymeric material which is dissolved along with an alkyl silicate in a suitable solvent. The viscous solution is amenable to fibre production by gel-spinning; the fibres so formed are heated (*calcined*) to convert the material into alumina–silica. Further heating results in the formation of a polycrystalline material.

### Glossary

The following terms have been introduced in this chapter. Do you know what they mean?

- intrinsic defect
- extrinsic defect
- colour centre or F-centre
- cation or anion conductor
- superconductor
- ceramic material
- opacifier
- chemical vapour deposition (CVD)
- metal–organic chemical vapour deposition (MOCVD)
- epitaxial growth
- ferroelectric
- inorganic fibre

### Further reading

#### General and introductory texts

M. Ladd (1994) *Chemical Bonding in Solids and Fluids*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester.

- U. Schubert and N. Hüsing (2000) *Synthesis of Inorganic Materials*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.
- L. Smart and E. Moore (1992) *Solid State Chemistry: An Introduction*, Chapman and Hall, London.
- A.R. West (1999) *Basic Solid State Chemistry*, 2nd edn, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – An introductory text which includes structures and bonding in solids, and electrical, magnetic and optical properties.

### More specialized articles

- A.K. Cheetham and P. Day, eds (1992) *Solid State Chemistry*, Clarendon Press, Oxford – Two volumes covering techniques (vol. 1) and compounds (vol. 2) in detail.
- R.A. Eppler (1998) ‘Ceramic colorants’ in *Ullman’s Encyclopedia of Industrial Inorganic Chemicals and Products*, Wiley-VCH,


- Weinheim, vol. 2, p. 1069 – Describes types and applications of ceramic pigments.
- R.B. King, ed. (1994) *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, Wiley, Chichester – Contains the following detailed reviews: J.K. Burdett, ‘Superconductivity’, vol. 7, p. 4039; M. Greenblatt, ‘Ionic conductors’, vol. 3, p. 1584; W.H. McCarroll, ‘Oxides: Solid state chemistry’, vol. 6, p. 2903; R.J.D. Tilley, ‘Defects in solids’, vol. 2, p. 966.
- T.T. Kodas and M. Hampden-Smith, eds (1994) *The Chemistry of Metal CVD*, VCH, Weinheim – Covers the deposition of a range of metals from organometallic precursors.
- C.H. Winter and D.M. Hoffman, eds (1999) *Inorganic Materials Synthesis*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A detailed coverage which includes inorganic thin films.

## Problems

- 27.1** Explain what is meant by (a) a Schottky defect in  $\text{CaCl}_2$ , and (b) a Frenkel defect in  $\text{AgBr}$ . (c) Suggest what effect doping crystals of  $\text{AgCl}$  with  $\text{CdCl}_2$  might have on the  $\text{AgCl}$  lattice structure.
- 27.2** Why are *d*-block metal oxides much more frequently non-stoichiometric than are non-*d*-block metal oxides?
- 27.3** When nickel(II) oxide is heated in  $\text{O}_2$ , some of the cations are oxidized and vacant cation sites are formed according to the equation:
- $$4\text{Ni}^{2+}(\text{s}) + \text{O}_2(\text{g}) \rightleftharpoons 4\text{Ni}^{3+}(\text{s}) + 2\Box_+ + 2\text{O}^{2-}(\text{s})$$
- where  $\Box_+$  denotes a vacant cation site and (s) denotes an ion in the solid. Account for the fact that the conductivity of the product is, for small deviations from stoichiometry, proportional to the sixth root of the pressure of  $\text{O}_2$ .
- 27.4** Comment on each of the following: (a) the difference between extrinsic and intrinsic defects; (b) why  $\text{CaO}$  is added to  $\text{ZrO}_2$  used in refractory materials; (c) the formation of solid solutions of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ .
- 27.5** Suggest why doping  $\text{NiO}$  with  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$  in air (or the presence of  $\text{O}_2$ ) leads to an increase in electrical conductivity, and comment on the dependence of this increase on the amount of lithium dopant.
- 27.6** Comment on the structural and compositional implications of (a) the Fe-deficiency of iron(II) oxide, and (b) the anion-excess nature of uranium(IV) oxide.
- 27.7** If  $\text{Ag}$  electrodes are placed in contact with and on either side of a piece of bulk  $\text{AgI}$  (mp 831 K) heated at 450 K, and current is passed through the cell for a given period, it is found that one electrode gains mass and the other loses mass. Rationalize these observations.
- 27.8** Comment on the following values of electrical conductivities:  $\text{Na } \beta$ -alumina,  $3 \times 10^{-2} \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$  (at 298 K);  $\text{Li}_3\text{N}$ ,  $5 \times 10^{-3} \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$  (at 298 K);  $\text{NaCl}$ ,  $10^{-15} \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$  (at 300 K). Would you expect these values to be direction-independent with respect to the crystal under study?
- 27.9** A recently developed solid state battery consists of lithium and  $\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}$  electrodes separated by a solid polymer electrolyte. Suggest how this battery might operate.
- 27.10** Discuss the variation in electrical conductivities along the series  $\text{TiO}$ ,  $\text{VO}$ ,  $\text{MnO}$ ,  $\text{FeO}$ ,  $\text{CoO}$  and  $\text{NiO}$ .
- 27.11** (a) The structure of  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$  can be described as consisting of rock salt and perovskite layers. Describe the origin of this description.  
(b) Why is the potential replacement of  $\text{NbTi}$  by high-temperature superconducting components in MRI equipment of commercial interest?
- 27.12** Explain what is meant by ‘doping’ using as your examples (a)  $\text{MgO}$  doping of  $\text{ZrO}_2$ , (b)  $\text{LaF}_3$  doping of  $\text{CaF}_2$ , (c) B doping of  $\text{Si}$ , and (d) As doping of  $\text{Si}$ .
- 27.13** Suggest likely products in the following reactions; (the reactions as shown are not necessarily balanced):
- (a)  $x\text{LiI} + \text{V}_2\text{O}_5 \xrightarrow{\Delta}$   
 (b)  $\text{CaO} + \text{WO}_3 \xrightarrow{\Delta}$   
 (c)  $\text{SrO} + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \xrightarrow{\Delta, \text{ in presence of } \text{O}_2}$
- 27.14** Suggest possible solid state precursors for the formation of the following compounds by pyrolysis reactions: (a)  $\text{BiCaVO}_5$ ; (b) the Mo(VI) oxide  $\text{CuMo}_2\text{YO}_8$ ; (c)  $\text{Li}_3\text{InO}_3$ ; (d)  $\text{Ru}_2\text{Y}_2\text{O}_7$ .
- 27.15** Give a brief outline of a typical CVD process and give examples of its use in the semiconductor industry.
- 27.16** Briefly discuss each of the following.  
 (a) Precursors for, and composition and uses of, CVD wear-resistant coatings.  
 (b) The production of GaAs thin films.  
 (c) The advantages of using LEDs over traditional glass-reflector cat’s eyes for road-lane markings.  
 (d) Problems in developing CVD methods for the deposition of perovskite and cuprate superconductors.

## Overview problems

- 27.17** (a) Describe the structure of lithium nitride and explain how it is able to function as a lithium ion conductor. The structures of  $\text{Li}_3\text{P}$  and  $\text{Li}_3\text{As}$  are analogous to that of the nitride. How do you expect the degree of ionic character in these compounds to vary?
- (b) Epitaxial  $\text{MgB}_2$  films can be grown from  $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$  and  $\text{Mg}$  vapour at temperatures up to 1030 K. Explain the meaning of 'epitaxial' and state what particular properties the films possess.
- 27.18** (a) MOCVD with  $\text{Al}(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_3$  as the precursor can be used to deposit  $\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ . Outline the principle of MOCVD, commenting on the required properties of the precursors.
- (b) Fibres of  $\text{InN}$  can be grown at 476 K by the following reaction; nano-sized metal droplets act as catalytic sites for the formation of the crystalline fibres.



When  $^t\text{Bu}_3\text{In}$  replaces  $\text{In}^t\text{Bu}_2(\text{N}_3)$ , only amorphous products and metallic  $\text{In}$  are obtained. What is the likely role of the 1,1-dimethylhydrazine in the reaction, and what appears to be the primary source of nitrogen for the  $\text{InN}$ ? Group 13 nitrides have applications in blue/violet LED displays. What controls the wavelength of emitted light in compounds of this type?

- 27.19** (a) At 670 K,  $\text{CaF}_2$  (mp = 1691 K) doped with 1%  $\text{NaF}$  has an electrical conductivity of  $0.1 \Omega^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}$ . Suggest how this conductivity arises.
- (b) The value of  $T_c$  for  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$  is 93 K. Sketch the change in electrical resistivity as a function of temperature as  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$  is cooled from 300 to 80 K. How does the shape of this graph differ from those that describe the change in resistivity with temperature for a typical metal and a typical semiconductor?

# Chapter 28

## The trace metals of life

### TOPICS

- Metal storage and transport
- Dealing with O<sub>2</sub>
- Biological redox processes
- The Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion: Nature's Lewis acid

### 28.1 Introduction

When one considers the chemistry of biological processes, the boundary between inorganic and organic chemistry is blurred. The *bulk biological* elements that are essential to all life include C, H, N, O (the four most abundant elements in biological systems) along with Na, K, Mg, Ca, P, S and Cl. The fundamental elements that make up the building blocks of biomolecules (e.g. amino acids, peptides, carbohydrates, proteins, lipids and nucleic acids) are C, H, N and O, with P playing its part in, for example, ATP and DNA (see [Box 14.12](#)) and S being the key to the coordinating abilities of cysteine residues in proteins. The roles of the less abundant, but nonetheless essential, elements include osmotic control and nerve action (Na, K and Cl), Mg<sup>2+</sup> in chlorophyll (see [Section 11.8](#)), Mg<sup>2+</sup>-containing enzymes involved in phosphate hydrolysis, structural functions of Ca<sup>2+</sup> (e.g. bones, teeth, shells) and triggering actions of Ca<sup>2+</sup> (e.g. in muscles). The *trace metals* are V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn and Mo, while *trace non-metals* comprise B, Si, Se, F and I; their essentiality to life can be summarized as follows:

- V: accumulated by a few organisms (see [Box 28.1](#)), and has been shown to be essential for growth in rats and chicks;
- Cr: essential (see Table 28.1);
- Mn, Fe, Cu, Ni, Zn: essential to all organisms (see Table 28.1);
- Co: essential to mammals and many other organisms (see Table 28.1);
- Mo: essential to all organisms (see Table 28.1) although green algae may be an exception;
- B: essential to green algae and higher plants, but its role is unknown;
- Si: exoskeletons of marine diatoms composed of hydrated silica, but its role in other biological systems is less well defined;<sup>†</sup>

- Se: essential to mammals and some higher plants;
- F: its role is not fully established but its deficiency causes dental caries;
- I: essential to many organisms.

Despite their crucial role in life, the trace metals make up only a tiny fraction of the human body-weight (Table 28.1). In this chapter we look at the ways in which living systems store metals, and the manner in which trace metal ions take part in the transport of molecules such as O<sub>2</sub>, electron transfer processes and catalysis. It is assumed that the reader has already studied Chapters 19 and 20, and is familiar with the general principles of *d*-block coordination chemistry: a study of the trace metals in biological systems is *applied coordination chemistry*.

Research progress in bioinorganic chemistry has been greatly assisted in recent years by the development of methods to solve protein structures using X-ray diffraction and NMR spectroscopy. Readers are encouraged to make use of the Protein Data Bank (PDB) to update the information given in this chapter; information is available using the worldwide web (<http://www.rcsb.org/pdb>).<sup>‡</sup>

### Amino acids, peptides and proteins: some terminology

In this chapter, we refer to polypeptides and proteins, and we now give a brief résumé of some of the terminology needed.<sup>§</sup>

A *polypeptide* in Nature is formed by the condensation, in varying sequences, of the 20 naturally occurring  $\alpha$ -amino acids. Structure **28.1** gives the general formula of an amino

<sup>†</sup> See: J.D. Birchall (1995) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 24, p. 351 – ‘The essentiality of silicon in biology’.

<sup>‡</sup> Protein structures in this chapter have been drawn using atomic coordinates from the Protein Data Bank.

<sup>§</sup> For a more detailed account, see for example: J. McMurry (2004) *Organic Chemistry*, 6th edn, Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, Chapter 26.

**Table 28.1** Mass of each trace metal present in an average 70 kg human, and a summary of where the trace metals are found and their biological roles.

| Metal | Mass / mg | Biological roles  |
|-------|-----------|---|
| V     | 0.11      | Enzymes (nitrogenases, haloperoxidases)   |
| Cr    | 14        | Claimed (not yet proven) to be essential in glucose metabolism in higher mammals  |
| Mn    | 12        | Enzymes (phosphatase, mitochondrial superoxide dismutase, glycosyl transferase); photoredox activity in Photosystem II (see <a href="#">equation 21.53</a> and discussion)  |
| Fe    | 4200      | Electron-transfer systems (Fe-S proteins, cytochromes); O <sub>2</sub> storage and transport (haemoglobin, myoglobin, haemerythrin); Fe storage (ferritin, transferritin); Fe transport proteins (siderophores); in enzymes (e.g. nitrogenases, hydrogenases, oxidases, reductases) |
| Co    | 3         | Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> coenzyme  |
| Ni    | 15        | Enzymes (urease, some hydrogenases)   |
| Cu    | 72        | Electron transfer systems (blue copper proteins); O <sub>2</sub> storage and transport (haemocyanin); Cu transport proteins (ceruloplasmin)   |
| Zn    | 2300      | Acts as a Lewis acid (e.g. in hydrolysis processes involving carboxypeptidase, carbonic anhydrase, alcohol dehydrogenase); structural roles   |
| Mo    | 5         | Enzymes (nitrogenases, reductases, hydroxylases)  |


acid and **28.2** shows a peptide link formed after the condensation of two amino acid residues. A peptide chain has an *N-terminus* (corresponding to an NH<sub>2</sub> group) and a *C-terminus* (corresponding to a CO<sub>2</sub>H group). The names, abbreviations and structures of those amino acids that are mentioned in this chapter are listed in Table 28.2. All but glycine are chiral, but Nature is specific in the enantiomers that it uses.

*Proteins* are high molecular mass polypeptides with complex structures. The sequence of amino acids gives the primary structure of the protein, while the secondary and

tertiary structures reveal the spatial properties of the peptide chain. Haemoglobin, myoglobin and most metalloenzymes are *globular proteins* in which the polypeptide chains are coiled into near-spherical structures. The *prosthetic group* in a protein is an additional, non-amino acid component of a protein which is essential for the biological activity of the protein. We shall be concerned with prosthetic groups containing metal centres, e.g. haem is the prosthetic group in haemoglobin and myoglobin. The proteins that we discuss contain metals (*metalloproteins*) and the form of

**Table 28.2** Some of the 20 naturally occurring  $\alpha$ -amino acids.

| Name of amino acid | Abbreviation for amino acid residue (alternative abbreviation used in sequence specification) | R group in:   |
|--------------------|---|---|
|                    |   |   |
| L-Arginine         | Arg (R)   | CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> NHC(=NH)NH <sub>2</sub>         |
| L-Aspartic acid    | Asp (D)   | CH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H   |
| L-Cysteine         | Cys (C)   | CH <sub>2</sub> SH  |
| L-Glutamic acid    | Glu (E)   | CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H                               |
| Glycine            | Gly (G)   | H   |
| L-Histidine        | His (H)   |   |
| L-Leucine          | Leu (L)   | CH <sub>2</sub> CHMe <sub>2</sub>   |
| L-Lysine           | Lys (K)   | CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub> |
| L-Methionine       | Met (M)   | CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> SMe   |
| L-Serine           | Ser (S)   | CH <sub>2</sub> OH  |
| L-Threonine        | Thr (T)   | CH(OH)Me  |
| L-Tyrosine         | Tyr (Y)   |   |



the protein with the metal removed is called the *apoprotein*; the prefix *apo-* before a particular protein (e.g. ferritin and apoferritin) signifies the metal-free species. The difference between a protein and the corresponding apoprotein is analogous to that between a metal complex and the corresponding free ligand.


## 28.2 Metal storage and transport: Fe, Cu, Zn and V

Living organisms require ways of storing and transporting trace metals, and storing the metal in a non-toxic form is clearly critical. Consider Fe, the most important trace metal in humans. Table 28.1 gives the average mass of Fe present in a 70 kg human, and this level needs to be maintained through a dietary intake (typically 6–40 mg per day) offsetting loss through, for example, bleeding. There is no excretory loss of Fe, a phenomenon not shared by other metals present in the body. The amount of Fe stored in the

body far exceeds that taken in per day, but only a very small fraction of the iron in the body is actually in use at any one time; the mammalian system is very effective at recycling Fe. Whereas we can discuss the storage and transport of Fe in some detail, less information is currently available about the storage and transport of other trace metals.

### Iron storage and transport



In mammals, the task of transferring iron from dietary sources to haemoglobin (see [Section 28.3](#)) initially involves the absorption of Fe(II) after passage through the stomach, followed by uptake into the blood in the form of the Fe(III)-containing metalloproteins *transferrins*. Iron is transported as transferrin to protein ‘storage vessels’ until it is required for incorporation into haemoglobin. In mammals, iron is stored mainly in the liver (typically 250–1400 ppm of Fe is present), bone marrow and spleen in the form of *ferritin*, a water-soluble metalloprotein. *Apo-ferritin* has been isolated from, for example, horse spleen and has a molecular weight of  $\approx 445\,000$ . X-ray diffraction studies confirm that it consists of 24 equivalent units (each with 163 amino acid residues) arranged so as to form a hollow shell (Figure 28.1), the cavity of which has a diameter of  $\approx 8000$  pm. In *ferritin*, this cavity contains up to 4500 high-spin  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  centres in the form of a *microcrystalline* oxo-hydroxophosphate of composition  $(\text{FeO}\cdot\text{OH})_8(\text{FeO}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)$ . Results of an EXAFS (see [Box 26.2](#)) study indicate that this core comprises double layers of approximately close-packed  $\text{O}^{2-}$  and  $[\text{OH}]^-$  ions, with interstitial sites between the layers occupied by Fe(III) centres. Adjacent [OFeO]-triple layer blocks are only weakly associated with each other. The phosphate groups in the iron-containing core appear to function as terminators and linking groups to the protein shell.




**Fig. 28.1** (a) The structure of the protein shell in ferritin (isolated from the bull frog) which shows the polypeptide chains in ‘ribbon’ representation. (b) One of the 24 equivalent units that are present in the protein shell of ferritin.

While the structures of apoferritin and ferritin are fairly well established, the manner in which iron is transported in and out of the protein cavity is still under investigation. It is proposed that iron enters as  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  and is oxidized once inside the protein. The formation of the crystalline core is an example of *biomineralization* and it is a remarkable achievement of evolution that iron can be stored in mammals effectively as hydrated iron(III) oxide, i.e. a form closely related to rust!


As we illustrate throughout this chapter, studying appropriate model compounds gives valuable insight into related, but more complicated, bioinorganic systems. The synthesis of large iron-oxo clusters from mono- and dinuclear precursors is of research interest in relation to modelling the formation of the core of ferritin, and reactions 28.1 and 28.2 give two examples. The  $\text{Fe}_6\text{O}_{14}$ -core of the product of reaction 28.2 is shown in Figure 28.2.



The *transferrins* are *glycoproteins* (i.e. compounds of proteins and carbohydrates) and include *serum transferrin*, *lactoferrin* (present in milk) and *ovotransferrin* (present in egg white). In humans, serum transferrin transports  $\approx 40$  mg of iron per day to the bone marrow. It contains a single polypeptide chain (molecular weight of  $\approx 80\,000$ ) coiled in such a way as





**Fig. 28.3** Schematic representation of an  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  binding site in transferrin; the coordinated  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$  points towards the positively charged Arg residue and the *N*-terminus of a helix. The binding site in human lactoferrin has been determined by protein X-ray crystallography.



**Fig. 28.2** A model for the biomineralization of ferritin. The  $\text{Fe}_6\text{O}_{14}$ -core of  $[\text{Fe}_6(\text{OMe})_4(\mu\text{-OMe})_8(\mu_4\text{-O})_2\text{L}_2]^{2+}$  ( $\text{L} = \text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2)_3$ ) determined by X-ray diffraction [V.S.Nair *et al.* (1992) *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 31, p. 4048]. Colour code: Fe, green; O, red.


to contain two pockets suitable for binding  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ . Each pocket presents hard *N*- and *O*-donor atoms to the metal centre (Figure 28.3), but the presence of a  $[\text{CO}_3]^{2-}$  or  $[\text{HCO}_3]^-$  ligand is also essential. The stability constant for the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  complex is very high ( $\log \beta = 28$  at pH 7.4), making transferrin extremely efficient as an iron transporting and scavenging agent in the body. The exact mechanism by which the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  enters and leaves the cavity has not been elucidated, but it seems reasonable that a change in conformation of the polypeptide chain facilitates the process.

Aerobic microorganisms also require iron, but cannot simply absorb it from their aqueous environment since  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  is precipitated as  $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$  ( $K_{\text{sp}} = 2.64 \times 10^{-39}$ ). Evolution has provided these organisms with *O*-donor polydentate




**Fig. 28.4** (a) The structure of the siderophore enterobactin,  $H_6L$ , showing the donor atoms; OH groups are deprotonated before coordination to  $Fe^{3+}$ . (b) The structure of the vanadium(IV) complex  $[VL]^{2-}$  ( $H_6L$  = enterobactin) determined by X-ray diffraction of the  $K^+$  salt [T.B. Karpishin *et al.* (1993) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 115, p. 1842]. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; colour code: V, yellow; C, grey; O, red; N, blue.

ligands called *siderophores* which scavenge for iron. Examples of siderophores are the anions derived from *enterobactin* (Figure 28.4a), *desferrichrome* (Figure 28.5a) and *desferrioxamine* (Figure 28.5b). Enterobactin,  $H_6L$ , is derived from three L-serine residues, each carrying a 2,3-dihydroxybenzoyl group. The deprotonated form,  $L^{6-}$ , binds  $Fe^{3+}$  to give the complex  $[FeL]^{3-}$  in which  $Fe^{3+}$  is in an octahedral (or close to octahedral) environment. Spectroscopic data (electronic and circular dichroism spectra) show that the  $\Lambda$ -complex is formed diastereoselectively (see [Box 19.2](#)). The crystal structure of iron(III) enterobactin has not been determined, but studies on model compounds provide relevant information. The model ligand **28.3** is closely related to enterobactin and gives a complex with  $Fe^{3+}$  for which  $\log \beta$  is close to the value for iron(III) enterobactin. The V(IV) complex of enterobactin (reaction 28.3) has been structurally characterized by X-ray diffraction, and although the radius of a V(IV) centre (58 nm) is smaller than that of Fe(III) (65 nm), the gross structural features of the Fe(III) and V(IV) complexes should be similar. The three ‘arms’ of the ligand lie above the central macrocycle allowing each arm to act as an  $O,O'$ -donor (Figure 28.4b). The 6-coordinate V(IV) centre is in an environment described as trigonal prismatic with a twist angle of  $28^\circ$  (see [structures 19.8](#), [19.9](#) and [19.12](#)).



High-spin  $Fe^{3+}$  complexes of the siderophores are kinetically labile. If  $Fe^{3+}$  is exchanged for  $Cr^{3+}$ , kinetically inert complexes are obtained which can be studied in solution as models for the  $Fe^{3+}$  complexes.

The complexes that transport iron in mammals and microorganisms have very high overall stability constants (see above) and, although exact mechanisms have not been elucidated, it is reasonable to propose that reduction to  $Fe^{2+}$  is required since the stability constant for the  $Fe^{2+}$  complex is orders of magnitude lower than that for the  $Fe^{3+}$  complex.



**Fig. 28.5** The structures of the siderophores (a) desferrichrome and (b) desferrioxamine, showing the donor atoms; OH groups are deprotonated before coordination to  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ .

### Self-study exercises


1. Explain why high-spin  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  complexes of siderophores are kinetically labile whereas analogous model complexes containing  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  are kinetically inert. [Ans. see Section 25.2]
2. The coordination of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  to the deprotonated form,  $\text{L}^{6-}$ , of enterobactin gives only the  $\Delta$ -complex. Why is this? What would you expect to observe if you were to use the unnatural diastereomer of  $\text{L}^{6-}$  with an (*R,R,R*)-stereochemistry?

### Metallothioneins: transporting some toxic metals

Transporting soft metal centres is important in protection against toxic metals such as  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . Complexation requires soft ligands, which are provided by Nature in the form of cysteine residues (Table 28.2) in *thioneins*, the metal complexes of which are *metallothioneins*. Thioneins also bind  $\text{Cu}^+$  and  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , but their active role in transporting and

storing these metals in mammals has not been confirmed. Thioneins are small proteins containing  $\approx 62$  amino acids, about one-third of which are cysteine. The Cys residues are either adjacent to each other or separated by one other amino acid residue, thus providing pockets of *S*-donor sites ideally suited to scavenging soft metal ions. Both Cd and Hg have NMR active nuclei (the most important are  $^{113}\text{Cd}$ , 12% abundance,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $^{199}\text{Hg}$ , 17% abundance,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ) and the application of NMR spectroscopy to probe the coordination sites in Cd- and Hg-containing metallothioneins has greatly aided structural determination.

The presence of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cu}^+$  and  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  induces the production of thioneins in the liver and kidneys of mammals. Between 4 and 12 metal centres can be bound by one thionein;  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  centres are likely to be in tetrahedral environments, while  $\text{Cu}^+$  may be 3-coordinate. The structure of the Cd/Zn-containing metallothionein isoform II from rat liver has been determined by X-ray diffraction, and Figure 28.6a illustrates the folded protein chain consisting of 61 amino acid residues of which 20 are Cys groups. One  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  and two  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  centres are bound in




**Fig. 28.6** (a) The backbone (folded to give two pockets) of the polypeptide chain in metallothionein isoform II from rat liver. Each pocket contains a multinuclear metal unit coordinated by cysteine residues; the composition and structures of these two units are (b)  $\text{CdZn}_2\text{S}_8$  and (c)  $\text{Cd}_4\text{S}_{10}$ . Colour code: Zn, red; Cd, blue; S, yellow.

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

### Box 28.1 The specialists: organisms that store vanadium

Storage and transport of vanadium are specialized affairs. Just why certain organisms accumulate high levels of vanadium is unknown and the biological functions of this trace metal have yet to be established.


The fungus *Amanita muscaria* (the deadly poisonous *fly agaric* toadstool) contains  $\geq 400$  times more vanadium than is typical of plants, and the amount present is independent of the vanadium content of the soil in which the fungus grows. *Amanita muscaria* takes up the metal by using the conjugate base of (*S,S*)-2,2'-(hydroxyimino)dipropionic acid ( $\text{H}_3\text{L}$ ) to transport and store the trace metal as the V(IV) complex  $[\text{VL}_2]^{2-}$ , *amavadin*.



The formation of a complex of 'naked' V(IV) is in contrast to the more common occurrence of complexes containing  $[\text{VO}]^{2+}$  (see **Section 21.6**). The structure of the amavadin derivative  $\Lambda\text{-}[\text{V}(\text{HL})_2]\cdot\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$  has recently been solved. The complex contains five chiral centres, one of which is the V(IV) centre. The latter is 8-coordinate and each  $\text{HL}^{2-}$  ligand acts as an *N,O,O',O''*-donor; the N–O unit coordinates in a side-on ( $\eta^2$ ) manner. *Amanita muscaria* contains a 1:1 mixture of the  $\Lambda$ - and  $\Delta$ -forms of amavadin. Amavadin undergoes a reversible one-electron oxidation without a change in structure, and this observation may be significant in view of a possible role in electron transfer.

The levels of vanadium present in some ocean-dwelling ascidians, such as the sea squirt *Ascidia nigra*, are extraordinarily high, up to  $10^7$  times greater than in the surrounding water. The metal is taken up from seawater (where it is typically present  $\approx 1.1\text{--}1.8 \times 10^{-3}$  ppm) in the form of  $[\text{VO}_4]^{3-}$  and is stored in vacuoles in specialized blood cells called *vanadocytes*.

Here it is reduced to  $\text{V}^{3+}$  or  $[\text{VO}]^{2+}$  by the polyphenolic blood pigment *tunichrome*. (Note the structural relationship between tunichrome and L-DOPA, **26.5**.) Storage of vanadium must involve the formation of  $\text{V}^{3+}$  or  $[\text{VO}]^{2+}$  complexes, but the nature of these species is not known.









#### Further information

- R.E. Berry, E.M. Armstrong, R.L. Beddoes, D. Collison, S.N. Ertok, M. Helliwell and C.D. Garner (1999) *Angewandte Chemie, International Edition in English*, vol. 38, p. 795 – 'The structural characterization of amavadin'.
- C.D. Garner, E.M. Armstrong, R.E. Berry, R.L. Beddoes, D. Collison, J.J.A. Cooney, S.N. Ertok and M. Helliwell (2000) *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry*, vol. 80, p. 17 – 'Investigations of amavadin'.
- D. Rehder (1991) *Angewandte Chemie, International Edition in English*, vol. 30, p. 148 – 'The bioinorganic chemistry of vanadium'.

one pocket of the folded chain, and four Cd<sup>2+</sup> in the other (Figures 28.6b and 28.6c).


Thiolate and related complexes are studied as models for metallothioneins. For example, the Cu(I)-containing metallothionein in yeast has been modelled by [Cu<sub>4</sub>(SPh)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (28.4), while model studies on canine liver cuprothionein have utilized complex 28.5 in which the Cys residues are 'replaced' by thiourea ligands. Among Cd<sub>x</sub>S<sub>y</sub>-containing clusters studied as models for Cd<sup>2+</sup>-containing metallothioneins is [Cd<sub>3</sub>(SC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub><sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>)<sub>7</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (28.6).




## 28.3 Dealing with O<sub>2</sub>

### Haemoglobin and myoglobin

In mammals, O<sub>2</sub> (taken in by respiration) is carried in the bloodstream by *haemoglobin* and is stored in the tissues in *myoglobin*. Both haemoglobin and myoglobin are *haem-iron proteins*. Myoglobin has a molecular weight of ≈17 000 and is a monomer with a protein chain consisting of 153 amino acid residues. Haemoglobin has a molecular weight of ≈64 500 and is a tetramer (Figure 28.7a). The protein chain in myoglobin and in each chain of haemoglobin contains a protoporphyrin IX group (see Figure 11.8a for porphyrin) which, together with a histidine residue tethered to the protein backbone, contains an Fe centre. A porphyrin ring containing an Fe centre is called a *haem group* and the one present in haemoglobin is shown in Figure 28.7b. The Fe(II) centre is in a square-based pyramidal environment when in its 'rest state', also referred to as the deoxy-form. When O<sub>2</sub> binds to the haem group, it enters *trans* to the His residue to give an octahedral species (28.7); we return to details of this structure later. Although each of the four units in haemoglobin contains a haem group, the four groups do *not* operate independently of each other: the binding (and release) of O<sub>2</sub> is a *cooperative* process. As the tetramer binds successive O<sub>2</sub> molecules, the affinity of the 'vacant' haem groups for O<sub>2</sub> *increases* such that the affinity for the fourth site is ≈300 times that of the first haem unit. The 'cooperativity' can be rationalized in terms of communication between the haem groups arising from conformational changes in the protein chains. Consider the haem group in its rest state in Figure 28.7b: it contains high-spin Fe(II) lying ≈40 pm out of the plane of the *N,N',N'',N'''*-donor set of the porphyrin group and is drawn towards the His residue; the high-spin Fe(II) centre is apparently too large to fit within the plane of the four *N*-donor atoms. When O<sub>2</sub> enters the sixth coordination site, the iron centre (now low-spin Fe<sup>3+</sup>, see below) moves into the plane of the porphyrin ring and pulls the His residue with it. This in turn perturbs not only the protein chain to which the His group is attached, but also the other three protein subunits, and a cooperative process triggers the other haem units to successively bind O<sub>2</sub> more avidly. When O<sub>2</sub> is released from haemoglobin to myoglobin, the loss of the first O<sub>2</sub> molecule triggers the release of the remaining three. Myoglobin does not exhibit this cooperative effect since it comprises only one protein chain. When bound in either haemoglobin or myoglobin, the O<sub>2</sub> molecule resides in a






**Fig. 28.7** (a) The structure of haemoglobin shown in a ribbon representation. The four subunits, each containing a haem unit, are shown in different colours. (b) The structure of the haem unit in its rest state. The Fe(II) centre is coordinated by a protoporphyrin IX ligand and a histidine residue; the non-terminated stick represents the connection to the protein backbone. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Colour code: Fe, green; C, grey; N, blue; O, red.

sterically protected cavity; the importance of this becomes clear when we look at model compounds.

Many man- and woman-years of research activity have gone into reaching our current level of understanding of  $O_2$  uptake by myoglobin and haemoglobin, and various proposals have been put forward to describe the nature of the iron centre and of the  $O_2$  species in the oxy-forms of these proteins. Some model studies have involved the reactions of  $O_2$  with certain Co(II) Schiff base<sup>†</sup> complexes. Reactions such as that represented in equation 28.4 yield Co(III) compounds in which the  $O_2$  molecule is bound 'end-on' to the metal centre; the Co–O–O bond angle is  $\approx 125^\circ$  and the O–O bond length  $\approx 126$  pm (compare values of 121 pm in  $O_2$  and 134 pm in  $[O_2]^-$ , see [Box 15.2](#)).




Co(II)  
L = monodentate ligand, e.g. py

(28.4)


The Co(III) complex formed in reaction 28.4 can be considered to contain coordinated  $[O_2]^-$ , but the presence of the axial base, L, is crucial to the formation of the monomeric product. In its absence, a dicobalt species with a Co–O–O–Co peroxo-bridge (i.e. analogous to those discussed in [Section 21.10](#)) is formed.

A logical ligand to model the active sites in myoglobin and haemoglobin is one derived from porphyrin. Tetraphenylporphyrin ( $H_2tpp$ , **28.8**) is readily available, but the reaction of the Fe(II) complex  $Fe(tpp)_2$  with  $O_2$  leads to a peroxo-bridged Fe(III) complex (equation 28.5).




(28.8)


<sup>†</sup> A Schiff base is an imine formed by condensation of a primary amine and a carbonyl compound.



Interaction with the second iron centre can be prevented by using a porphyrin ligand with bulky substituents; an example is ligand **28.9**, a so-called ‘picket-fence’ porphyrin. The four substituents in ligand **28.9** form a cavity, and reaction 28.6 shows the binding of O<sub>2</sub> within this cavity. The axial ligand is 1-methylimidazole which is structurally similar to a His residue; the system clearly resembles the iron environment in haemoglobin (compare Figure 28.7).



The solid state structure of the product of reaction 28.6 has been determined by X-ray diffraction and confirms an end-on, bent coordination mode of the O<sub>2</sub> group; the O–O bond length is 125 pm and the Fe–O–O bond angle is 136°. The vibrational spectrum of the complex exhibits an absorption at 1159 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to  $\nu(\text{O}-\text{O})$ , and, when compared with values of  $\nu(\text{O}-\text{O})$  of 1560 cm<sup>-1</sup> for O<sub>2</sub>,  $\approx 1140$  cm<sup>-1</sup> for [O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> and  $\approx 800$  cm<sup>-1</sup> for [O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, it suggests the presence of an [O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> ligand. Oxyhaemoglobin and



oxy-myoglobin are characterized by values of  $\nu(\text{O}-\text{O}) = 1107$  and 1103 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The current model for O<sub>2</sub> binding to the low-spin Fe(II) centre in haemoglobin and myoglobin is that coordination is accompanied by electron transfer, oxidizing high-spin Fe(II) to low-spin Fe(III) and reducing O<sub>2</sub> to [O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>. Both low-spin Fe(III) (*d*<sup>5</sup>) and [O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> contain an unpaired electron, and the fact that the oxy-forms of the proteins are diamagnetic can be understood in terms of antiferromagnetic coupling between the Fe(III) centre and [O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> ligand (see Section 20.8).

In binding to a haem group, O<sub>2</sub> acts as a  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand (see Section 20.4). It is not surprising, therefore, that other  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands can take the place of O<sub>2</sub> in haemoglobin or myoglobin, and this is the basis of the toxicity of CO. Cyanide, however, although a  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand, favours higher oxidation state metal centres and binds to Fe(III) in cytochromes (see Section 28.4); [CN]<sup>-</sup> poisoning is *not* caused by [CN]<sup>-</sup> blocking the O<sub>2</sub>-binding sites in haemoglobin.

## Haemocyanin


*Haemocyanins* are O<sub>2</sub>-carrying copper-containing proteins in molluscs (e.g. whelks, snails, squid) and arthropods (e.g. lobsters, crabs, shrimps, horseshoe crabs, scorpions), and although the name suggests the presence of a haem group, haemocyanins are *not* haem proteins. Haemocyanins isolated from arthropods and molluscs are hexameric (*M<sub>r</sub>* per unit  $\approx 75\,000$ ), while those from molluscs possess 10 or 20 subunits, each with *M<sub>r</sub>*  $\approx 350\,000$  to 450 000. The deoxy-form of a haemocyanin is colourless and contains Cu(I), while O<sub>2</sub> binding results in the blue Cu(II) form. Only recently have the structures of a deoxyhaemocyanin (isolated from the spiny lobster) and oxyhaemocyanin (isolated from the Atlantic horseshoe crab) been confirmed. The folded protein chain of one subunit of the deoxy-form is shown in Figure 28.8a. Buried within the metalloprotein are

## RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL

Box 28.2 The specialists: how the blood-sucking *Rhodnius prolixus* utilizes NO

*Nitrophorins* are haem proteins which are present in the salivary glands of the blood-sucking insect *Rhodnius prolixus*. Binding of NO to the Fe(III) centre in nitrophorin (NP1) is reversible, and is dependent on pH. Crucial to the process of blood-sucking by *Rhodnius prolixus* is the fact that NO binds 10 times more tightly at pH 5 (i.e. the pH of the saliva

within the insect) than at pH 7 (i.e. the physiological pH of the victim). Once insect saliva is released into the victim, NO is released causing expansion of the blood vessels (vasodilation) and inhibiting blood clotting. In response to being bitten, the victim releases histamine to aid healing of the wound.




Studying the NO complex is difficult because of ready oxidation of the NO ligand. However, the crystal structure of a  $[\text{CN}]^-$ -containing analogue of NP1-NO was determined in 1998, confirming the binding of the  $[\text{CN}]^-$  ligand (and by analogy, the NO ligand) to the haem Fe centre (angle  $\text{Fe}-\text{C}-\text{N} = 173^\circ$ ). The cyano group is lodged in a pocket of the protein chain between two leucine residues (see **Table 28.2**). Structural data for the histamine complex show that this same protein pocket hosts the histamine ligand, indicating that NO and histamine compete for the same binding site. At physiological pH, the haem unit in

NP1 binds histamine  $\approx 100$  times more strongly than NO; this should both aid the dissociation of NO and inhibit the role of histamine, both of which work in favour of the attacking *Rhodnius prolixus*.

## Further information

A. Weichsel, J.F. Andersen, D.E. Champagne, F.A. Walker and W.R. Montfort (1998) *Nature Structural Biology*, vol. 5, p. 304 – ‘Crystal structures of a nitric oxide transport protein from a blood-sucking insect’.


two adjacent Cu(I) centres ( $\text{Cu}\cdots\text{Cu} = 354 \text{ pm}$ , i.e. non-bonded), each of which is bound by three histidine residues (Figure 28.8b and structure **28.10**).



The active site of the structurally characterized oxyhaemocyanin is shown in Figure 28.8c; the  $\text{Cu}_2(\text{His})_6$ -unit ( $\text{Cu}\cdots\text{Cu} = 360 \text{ pm}$ ) resembles that in the deoxy-form. The  $\text{O}_2$  unit is bound in a bridging mode with an  $\text{O}-\text{O}$  bond length of  $140 \text{ pm}$ , typical of that found in peroxide complexes. The  $\text{O}_2$ -binding site is formulated as  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})-[\text{O}_2]^{2-}-\text{Cu}(\text{II})$ ,



i.e. electron transfer accompanies  $\text{O}_2$  binding. Resonance Raman spectroscopic data are consistent with this formulation:  $\nu(\text{O}-\text{O}) \approx 750 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  compared with  $\approx 800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$ . The Cu(II) centres are strongly antiferromagnetically coupled, with the  $\mu-[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$  ligand being involved in a *superexchange* mechanism (see **Section 20.8**).

Many model compounds have been studied in attempts to understand the binding of  $\text{O}_2$  in haemocyanin, and often involve imidazole or pyrazole derivatives to represent His residues. In the light of the crystallographic data (Figure 28.8), one model that closely resembles oxyhaemocyanin is the peroxo dicopper(II) complex (**28.11**) in which each Cu(II) centre is coordinated by an isopropyl-derivatized tris-pyrazolylborate ligand. Like oxyhaemocyanin, complex **28.11** is diamagnetic as a result of antiferromagnetically coupled Cu(II) centres. The Raman spectrum of **28.11** shows an absorption at  $741 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  assigned to  $\nu(\text{O}-\text{O})$




 **Fig. 28.8** The structure of deoxyhaemocyanin from the spiny lobster (*Panulirus interruptus*): (a) the backbone of the protein chain and the positions of the two Cu(I) centres, and (b) the active site in which the two Cu(I) centres are bound by histidine residues. (c) The O<sub>2</sub>-binding site in oxyhaemocyanin from the Atlantic horseshoe crab (*Limulus polyphemus*). Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Cu, brown; C, grey; O, red; N, blue.

which agrees well with the value for oxyhaemocyanin. However, O<sub>2</sub> binding in complex **28.11** is irreversible. In contrast, model complex **28.12** releases O<sub>2</sub> in MeCN/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 353 K under vacuum. When O<sub>2</sub> is added at room temperature, complex **28.12** is regenerated.



### Haemerythrin

In marine invertebrates such as annelids (segmented earthworms), molluscs and arthropods (see above), O<sub>2</sub> is transported by *haemerythrin*, a non-haem Fe-containing




**Fig. 28.9** (a) Two subunits in the metalloprotein deoxyhaemerythrin from the sipuncolid worm (*Themiste dyscrita*); the backbone of the protein chains are shown in ribbon representation and the position of the  $\text{Fe}_2$  unit is shown. (b) The active site in which the two  $\text{Fe(II)}$  centres are bound by histidine, glutamate and aspartate residues. (c) The  $\text{O}_2$ -binding site in oxyhaemerythrin from *Themiste dyscrita*. The red hashed line represents a hydrogen-bonded interaction (see equation 28.7). Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Fe, green; C, grey; O, red; N, blue.


protein. In the blood, the metalloprotein ( $M_r \approx 108\,000$ ) consists of eight subunits, each with 113 amino acid residues and a diiron-active site; in tissues, fewer subunits make up the metalloprotein. Unlike haemoglobin, haemerythrin exhibits no cooperativity between the subunits during  $\text{O}_2$  binding.

The structures of the deoxy- and oxy-forms of haemerythrin have been determined crystallographically (Figure 28.9). In the deoxy-form, a hydroxy-bridged  $[\text{Fe(II)}]_2$  unit is present as shown in structure 28.13 (see Table 28.2); the dotted lines represent connections into the protein backbone. The two  $\text{Fe(II)}$  centres in deoxyhaemerythrin are strongly antiferromagnetically coupled through the  $\text{Fe}-\text{O}-\text{Fe}$  bridge.



The left-hand  $\text{Fe(II)}$  centre in 28.13 is coordinatively unsaturated and adds  $\text{O}_2$  to give oxyhaemerythrin (Figure 28.9c). The hydroxyl H atom in 28.13 participates in

$\text{O}_2$  binding, becoming part of an  $[\text{HO}_2]^-$  ligand, but remaining associated with the  $\mu$ -oxo group by hydrogen bond formation (equation 28.7).






Many model studies have focused on *methaemerythrin*, i.e. the oxidized Fe(III)–Fe(III) form of haemerythrin which contains an oxo (rather than hydroxy) bridge. Methaemerythrin does not bind O<sub>2</sub>, but does interact with ligands such as [N<sub>3</sub>]<sup>−</sup> and [SCN]<sup>−</sup>. Reaction 28.8 makes use of the trispyrazolylborate ligand, [HBpz<sub>3</sub>]<sup>−</sup>, to model three His residues; the product (28.14) contains antiferromagnetically coupled Fe(III) centres.



## Cytochromes P-450

*Oxygenases* are enzymes that insert oxygen into other molecules; a *monooxygenase* inserts one oxygen atom, and a *dioxygenase* inserts two.

The cytochromes P-450 are metalloenzymes which function as monooxygenases, catalysing the insertion of oxygen into a C–H bond of an aromatic or aliphatic hydrocarbon, i.e. the conversion of RH to ROH. Two examples of the biological utilization of this reaction are in drug metabolism and steroid synthesis. The oxygen atom originates from O<sub>2</sub>: one O atom is inserted into the organic substrate and one atom is reduced to H<sub>2</sub>O.



The active site in a cytochrome P-450 is a haem unit, and structural data for cytochrome P-450 complexed with (1*S*)-camphor have confirmed the presence of an axially coordinated cysteine residue. The active site contains a 5-coordinate Fe(III) centre, schematically represented by structure 28.15. In its rest state, cytochrome P-450 contains a low-spin Fe(III) centre. Carbon monoxide adducts of cytochromes P-450 absorb at 450 nm and this is the origin of the name of the enzyme. It is proposed that the catalytic cycle for the conversion of RH to ROH follows the sequence of steps:

- binding of the organic substrate RH to the active site of the metalloenzyme and loss of a bound H<sub>2</sub>O ligand;
- one-electron reduction of low-spin Fe(III) to low-spin Fe(II);
- binding of O<sub>2</sub> to give an adduct, followed by one-electron transfer from iron to produce an Fe(III)–peroxo complex;
- acceptance of another electron to give an {Fe(III)–O–O<sup>−</sup>} species which is protonated to {Fe(III)–O–OH};
- further protonation and loss of H<sub>2</sub>O leaving an {Fe(IV)=O} species with the porphyrin ring formally a radical cation;
- transfer of the oxo O atom to the bound RH substrate and release of ROH with concomitant binding of an H<sub>2</sub>O ligand to the active site of the metalloenzyme which once again contains low-spin Fe(III).

The insertion of O into the C–H bond of RH is thought to involve a radical pathway.

## 28.4 Biological redox processes

In this section we look at ways in which Nature carries out redox chemistry with reference to blue copper proteins, iron–sulfur proteins and cytochromes; the redox steps in Photosystem II were outlined in the discussion accompanying equation 21.53. We have already discussed two topics of prime importance to electron transfer in Nature. The first is the way in which the reduction potential of a metal redox couple such as Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> can be tuned by

altering the ligands coordinated to the metal centre; look back at the values of  $E^\circ$  for  $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}$  redox couples listed in [Table 7.1](#). The second is the discussion of *Marcus–Hush theory* in [Section 25.5](#); this theory applies to electron transfer in bioinorganic systems where communication between redox active metal centres may be over relatively long distances as we shall illustrate in the following examples.

## Blue copper proteins

There are three classes of copper centres in blue copper proteins:

- A Type 1 centre is characterized by an intense absorption in the electronic spectrum with  $\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 600 \text{ nm}$ , and  $\epsilon_{\text{max}} \approx 100$  times greater than that of aqueous  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ; the absorption is assigned to charge transfer from a cysteine ligand to  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ . In the EPR spectrum ( $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  has one unpaired electron), narrow hyperfine splitting is observed.<sup>†</sup>
- A Type 2 centre exhibits electronic spectroscopic characteristics typical of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ , and the EPR spectrum is typical of a  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  centre in a simple coordination complex.
- A Type 3 centre exhibits an absorption with  $\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 330 \text{ nm}$  and exists as a pair of  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  centres which are antiferromagnetically coupled to give a diamagnetic system; hence, there is no EPR spectroscopic signature. The  $\text{Cu}_2$ -unit can function as a two-electron transfer centre and is involved in the reduction of  $\text{O}_2$ .

Blue copper proteins contain a minimum of one Type 1 Cu centre, and those in this class include *plastocyanins* and *azurins*. Plastocyanins are present in higher plants and blue-green algae, where they transport electrons between Photosystems I and II (see above). The protein chain in a plastocyanin comprises between 97 and 104 amino acid residues (most typically 99) and has  $M_r \approx 10\,500$ . Azurins occur in some bacteria and are involved in electron transport in the conversion of  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  to  $\text{N}_2$ . Typically, the protein chain contains 128 or 129 amino acid residues ( $M_r \approx 14\,600$ ).

Single-crystal structural data have provided valuable information about blue copper proteins containing Type 1 Cu centres. Figure 28.10a shows a representation of the folded protein chain of spinach plastocyanin. The  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  centre lies within a pocket in the chain, bound by a Cys, a Met and two His residues (Figure 28.10b); the S(Met) atom is significantly further away from the  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  centre than is S(Cys). Figure 28.10c shows the backbone of the protein chain in azurin isolated from the bacterium *Pseudomonas putida*. The coordination environment of the  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  centre resembles that in plastocyanin with  $\text{Cu}-\text{S}(\text{Met}) > \text{Cu}-\text{S}(\text{Cys})$ , but in addition, an O atom from an adjacent Gly residue is involved in a weak coordinate interaction (Figure 28.10d). Structural

studies have also been carried out on the reduced forms of plastocyanin and azurin. In each case, the coordination sphere remains the same except for changes in the Cu–L bond lengths; typically, the bonds lengthen by 5–10 pm on going from  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  to  $\text{Cu}(\text{I})$ . The observed coordination spheres can be considered as suiting *both*  $\text{Cu}(\text{I})$  and  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  (see [Section 21.12](#)) and thus facilitate rapid electron transfer. It should be noted, however, that in each structure discussed above, *three* donor atoms are more closely bound than the remaining donors and this indicates that binding of  $\text{Cu}(\text{I})$  is more favourable than that of  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$ . This is supported by the high reduction potentials (measured at pH 7) of plastocyanin (+370 mV) and azurin (+308 mV).

*Oxidases* are enzymes that use  $\text{O}_2$  as an electron acceptor.


Multicopper blue copper proteins include *ascorbate oxidase* and *laccase*. These are metalloenzymes that catalyse the reduction of  $\text{O}_2$  to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (equation 28.9) and, at the same time, an organic substrate (e.g. a phenol) undergoes a one-electron oxidation. The overall scheme can be written in the form of equation 28.10;  $\text{R}^\bullet$  undergoes polymerization.



Spectroscopic data are consistent with the presence of all three types of copper site in ascorbate oxidase and laccase, and this was confirmed crystallographically in 1992 for ascorbate oxidase, isolated from courgettes (zucchini; *Cucurbita pepo medullosa*). Figure 28.11 shows one unit of ascorbate oxidase in which four  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  centres are accommodated within the folds of the protein chain. Three Cu centres form a triangular array (non-bonded  $\text{Cu}\cdots\text{Cu}$  separations of 340 pm for the bridged interaction, and 390 pm for the remaining two  $\text{Cu}\cdots\text{Cu}$  distances). The fourth Cu atom (a Type 1 centre) is a significant distance away (>1200 pm), but indirectly connected to the  $\text{Cu}_3$  unit by the protein chain. The coordination sphere of the Type 1 centre is similar to that in the oxidized form of plastocyanin (compare Figure 28.11c with Figure 28.10b) with the metal bound by one Met residue ( $\text{Cu}-\text{S} = 290 \text{ pm}$ ), one Cys residue ( $\text{Cu}-\text{S} = 213 \text{ pm}$ ) and two His groups. The  $\text{Cu}_3$ -unit lies within eight His residues (Figure 28.11), and can be subdivided into Type 2 and Type 3 Cu centres. The Type 2 centre is coordinated by two His groups and either an  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  or  $[\text{OH}]^-$  ligand (the experimental data cannot distinguish between them). The Type 3 centre consists of two Cu atoms bridged by either an  $\text{O}^{2-}$  or  $[\text{OH}]^-$  ligand; magnetic data show these Cu centres to be antiferromagnetically coupled. Reduction of  $\text{O}_2$  occurs at a Type 2/Type 3  $\text{Cu}_3$  site, with the remote Type 1 Cu centre acting as the main electron acceptor, removing electrons from the organic substrate; details of the mechanism are not understood.

Laccase has been isolated from lacquer trees (e.g. *Rhus vernifera*) and from various fungi. The crystal structure of

<sup>†</sup> For details of EPR spectroscopy, see for example: R.V. Parish (1990), *NMR, NQR, EPR and Mössbauer Spectroscopy in Inorganic Chemistry*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester.




 **Fig. 28.10** The structure of spinach plastocyanin: (a) the backbone of the protein chain showing the position of the Cu(II) centre and (b) the coordination sphere of the Cu(II) centre, consisting of one methionine, one cysteine and two histidine residues. The structure of azurin from *Pseudomonas putida*: (c) the backbone of the protein chain showing the position of the Cu(II) centre and (d) the Cu(II) centre, coordinated by a methionine, a cysteine and two histidine residues; one O atom from the glycine residue adjacent to one of the histidines interacts weakly with the metal centre (the red hashed line). Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Cu, brown; S, yellow; C, grey; N, blue; O, red.

laccase obtained from the fungus *Trametes versicolor* was reported in 2002 and confirms the presence of a trinuclear copper site containing Type 2 and Type 3 copper atoms, and a monocopper (Type 1) site. The structure of the trinuclear copper site is similar to that in ascorbate oxidase (Figure 28.11). However, the Type 1 copper atom in laccase is 3-coordinate (trigonal planar and bound by one Cys and two His residues) and lacks the axial ligand present in the Type 1 copper centre in ascorbate oxidase. The absence of the axial ligand is thought to be responsible for tuning the reduction potential of the metalloenzyme. Laccases function over a wide range of potentials: +500 mV (versus a normal hydrogen electrode) is characteristic of a ‘low-potential laccase’ and +800 mV is typical for a ‘high-potential laccase’. Laccase from *Trametes versicolor* belongs to the latter class.


## The mitochondrial electron-transfer chain

*Mitochondria* are the sites in cells where raw, biological fuels are converted into energy.


Before continuing the discussion of specific electron-transfer systems, we take a look at the *mitochondrial electron-transfer chain*, i.e. the chain of redox reactions that occurs in living cells. This allows us to appreciate how the different systems discussed later fit together. Each system transfers one or more electrons and operates within a small range of reduction potentials as illustrated in Figure 28.12; diagrams 28.16 and 28.17 show the structures of the coenzymes  $[\text{NAD}]^+$  and FAD, respectively.



(28.16)




**Fig. 28.11** (a) A ribbon representation of one unit of ascorbate oxidase isolated from courgettes (zucchini, *Cucurbita pepo medullosa*). The positions of the Type 1 (on the left), Type 2 and Type 3 Cu atoms are shown. (b) Details of the tricopper unit. Each Type 3 Cu centre is bound to the protein backbone by three His residues, and the Type 2 Cu is coordinated by two His residues. (c) The Type 1 Cu centre is coordinated by a Cys, a Met and two His residues. Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Cu, brown; S, yellow; C, grey; N, blue; O, red.




At one end of the chain in Figure 28.12, *cytochrome c oxidase* catalyses the reduction of  $O_2$  to  $H_2O$  (equation 28.9 for which  $E' = +815\text{mV}$ ). The  $E'$  scale (applicable to measurements at pH 7) in Figure 28.12 extends to  $-414\text{mV}$ , which corresponds to reaction 28.11 at pH 7, and this range of potentials corresponds to those accessible under physiological conditions.



Most redox reactions involving organic molecules occur in the range  $0\text{mV} > E' > -400\text{mV}$ . The oxidation of a biological 'fuel' (e.g. carbohydrate) involves reactions in which




**Fig. 28.12** A schematic representation of part of the mitochondrial electron-transfer chain; reduction potentials,  $E'$ , are measured at physiological pH 7 and are with respect to the standard hydrogen electrode at pH 7. Reduction potentials quoted in this chapter are with respect to the standard hydrogen electrode at pH 7.



**Fig. 28.13** (a) A ribbon representation of the metalloprotein rubredoxin from the bacterium *Clostridium pasteurianum*. The position of the Fe atom in the active site is shown. (b) Detail of the active site showing the tetrahedral arrangement of the Cys residues that bind the Fe centre. Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Fe, green; S, yellow; C, grey.

electrons are passed through members of the electron transport chain until eventually  $\text{H}_2$  and the electrons enter the  $[\text{NAD}]^+/\text{NADH}$  couple. Electron transfer in steps utilizing redox couples provided by the metal centres in metalloproteins is an essential feature of biological systems. There is a mismatch, however: oxidations and reductions of organic molecules typically involve two-electron processes, whereas redox changes at metal centres involve one-electron steps. The mediators in the electron transport chain are *quinones*, organic molecules which can undergo both one- and two-electron processes (equation 28.12).



At several points in the mitochondrial electron-transfer chain, the release of energy is coupled to the synthesis of ATP from ADP (see [Box 14.12](#)), and this provides a means of storing energy in living cells.

## Iron–sulfur proteins


The existence of iron–sulfur proteins in our present oxidizing environment has to be attributed to the fact that, during a

stage in evolution, the environment was a *reducing* one.<sup>†</sup> Iron–sulfur proteins are of relatively low molecular weight and contain high-spin Fe(II) or Fe(III) coordinated tetrahedrally by four *S*-donors. The latter are either  $\text{S}^{2-}$  (i.e. discrete sulfide ions) or Cys residues attached to the protein backbone; the sulfide (but not the cysteine) sulfur can be liberated as  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  by the action of dilute acid. The  $\text{FeS}_4$  centres occur singly in *rubredoxins*, but are combined into di-, tri- or tetrairon units in *ferredoxins*. The biological functions of iron–sulfur proteins include electron-transfer processes, nitrogen fixation, catalytic sites in hydrogenases, and oxidation of NADH to  $[\text{NAD}]^+$  in mitochondria (Figure 28.12).

*Hydrogenases* are enzymes that catalyze the reaction:  
 $2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2$ .

The simplest iron–sulfur proteins are *rubredoxins* ( $M_r \approx 6000$ ) which are present in bacteria. Rubredoxins contain single  $\text{FeS}_4$  centres in which all the *S*-donors are from Cys residues. Figure 28.13 shows the structure of the rubredoxin isolated from the bacterium *Clostridium pasteurianum*. The metal site lies in a pocket of the folded protein chain; the four Fe–S(Cys) bonds are of similar length (227–235 pm) and the S–Fe–S bond angles lie in the range  $103\text{--}113^\circ$ . The reduction potential for the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}$  couple is sensitive to the conformation of the protein chain forming the pocket in which the  $\text{FeS}_4$ -unit lies. Consequently, a range of reduction potentials has been observed depending on the exact origin of the rubredoxin, but all are close to 0 V, e.g.  $-58\text{ mV}$  for rubredoxin from *Clostridium pasteurianum*. Rubredoxins function as one-electron transfer sites, with the iron centre shuttling between Fe(II)

<sup>†</sup> For a fuller discussion, see J.J.R. Fraústo da Silva and R.J.P. Williams (1991) *The Biological Chemistry of the Elements*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, p. 331.



**Fig. 28.14** The iron–sulfur units from ferredoxins, structurally characterized by X-ray diffraction: (a) the [2Fe–2S] ferredoxin from spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*), (b) the [3Fe–4S] ferredoxin from the bacterium *Azotobacter vinelandii*, and (c) the [4Fe–4S] ferredoxin from the bacterium *Chromatium vinosum*. Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Fe, green; S, yellow; C, grey.


and Fe(III). Upon oxidation, the Fe–S bond lengths shorten by  $\approx 5$  pm.

*Ferredoxins* occur in bacteria, plants and animals and are of several types:

- [2Fe–2S] ferredoxins contain two Fe centres, bridged by two  $S^{2-}$  ligands with the tetrahedral coordination sphere of each metal completed by two Cys residues (Figure 28.14a);
- [3Fe–4S] ferredoxins contain three Fe and four  $S^{2-}$  centres arranged in an approximately cubic framework with one corner vacant; this unit is connected to the protein backbone by Cys residues (Figure 28.14b);
- [4Fe–4S] resemble [3Fe–4S] ferredoxins, but contain an additional FeS(Cys) group which completes the approximately cubic cluster core (Figure 28.14c).

The advantage of ferredoxins over rubredoxins in terms of redox chemistry is that by combining several Fe centres in close proximity, it is possible to access a greater range of reduction potentials. Different conformations of the protein pockets which surround the  $Fe_xS_y$  clusters affect the detailed structural features of the cluster cores and, thus, their reduction potentials, e.g.  $-420$  mV for spinach [2Fe–2S] ferredoxin, and  $-270$  mV for adrenal [2Fe–2S] ferredoxin. A [2Fe–2S] ferredoxin acts as a one-electron transfer centre, going from an Fe(II)/Fe(II) state in the reduced form to an Fe(II)/Fe(III) state when oxidized and vice versa. Evidence for the localized, mixed valence species comes from EPR spectroscopic data.

A [4Fe–4S] ferredoxin also transfers one electron, and typical reduction potentials lie around  $-300$  to  $-450$  mV corresponding to the half-reaction 28.13. Note that a [4Fe–4S] ferredoxin containing *four* Fe(II) centres is never accessed in biology.




The two species represented in equation 28.13 do not actually possess localized Fe(II) and Fe(III) centres, rather the electrons are delocalized over the cluster core. One could

envisage further oxidation to species that are formally 3Fe(III)–Fe(II) and 4Fe(III). Whereas the latter is never accessed under physiological conditions, 3Fe(III)–Fe(II) is the oxidized form of HIPIP (*high-potential protein*), i.e. 2Fe(III)–2Fe(II) is the reduced form of HIPIP or the oxidized form of ferredoxin. In contrast to the reduction potentials of ferredoxins, those of HIPIPs are *positive*, e.g.  $+360$  mV for HIPIP isolated from the bacterium *Chromatium vinosum*. Within a given metalloprotein, redox reactions involving two electrons which effectively convert a ferredoxin into HIPIP do *not* occur.

Although we have focused on individual structural units in rubredoxins, ferredoxins and HIPIPs, we should note that some metalloproteins contain more than one  $Fe_xS_y$  unit. For example, the ferredoxin isolated from *Azotobacter vinelandii* contains both [4Fe–4S] and [3Fe–4S] units, with the closest Fe $\cdots$ Fe separation between units being  $\approx 930$  pm.

Oxygenic photosynthesis involves the cytochrome  $b_6f$  complex which is made up of subunits including cytochrome  $f$  containing one  $c$  haem, cytochrome  $b_6$  with two  $b$  haems, and Rieske protein which is a high-potential protein containing a [2Fe–2S] cluster. The latter is distinguished from a [2Fe–2S] ferredoxin by having one Fe centre bound by two His (rather than Cys) residues (Figure 28.15). Rieske protein is the electron-transfer site in the oxidation of plastoquinol (a hydroquinone) to plastoquinone, during which protons are released. Rieske protein has a *positive* reduction potential ( $+290$  mV) for that isolated from spinach chloroplasts, contrasting with *negative* values for [2Fe–2S] ferredoxins. The difference must be attributed to the His versus Cys coordination of one Fe centre.

Three types of iron-containing *hydrogenases* have been identified in sulfate-reducing bacteria: the NiFe, Fe-only and NiFeSe hydrogenases catalyse the reversible reduction of  $H^+$  to  $H_2$  at the end of the electron chain. The crystal structure of NiFe hydrogenase from the bacterium *Desulfovibrio gigas* has been determined. It contains an  $Fe_3S_4$  and two  $Fe_4S_4$  clusters in addition to the active site which is the NiFe-containing unit shown in Figure 28.16. The two



**Fig. 28.15** (a) The structure (shown in ribbon representation) of Rieske protein from spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*) chloroplast. The position of the Fe-containing active site is shown. (b) Detail of the [2Fe–2S] active site in which one Fe atom is coordinated by two Cys residues and the second is bound by two His residues. Hydrogen atoms are omitted; colour code: Fe, green; S, yellow; C, grey; N, blue.


metal atoms are bridged by an  $S^{2-}$  ligand and two Cys residues, and the Ni centre is ligated by two additional Cys residues. The Fe centre is approximately octahedrally sited and three terminal ligands have been assigned as one SO and two CO (or possibly  $[CN]^-$ ) groups. Infrared spectroscopic and mass spectrometric data support these assignments. Even in its oxidized state, it is proposed that the ligand environment around the iron centre leads to its being low-spin Fe(II). The structural data have also revealed the presence of an Mg atom close to the NiFe-unit. The Mg centre is octahedrally sited and is bound by protein residues and  $H_2O$  molecules. The mechanism by which the active site operates is not yet known.

The crystal structures of the Fe-only hydrogenases from the bacteria *Clostridium pasteurianum* and *Desulfovibrio desulficans* have been determined. Although the major features of the active site have been elucidated, some

uncertainties remain (see below). In addition to two [4Fe–4S] units, Fe-only hydrogenase contains the unusual ‘hydrogen cluster’ which consists of an  $Fe_4S_4$ -cluster bridged by a Cys residue to an  $Fe_2S_2$ -unit (Figure 28.17). The two S atoms in the latter are proposed to be part of a propane-1,3-dithiolate bridge, the C atoms of which are shown in Figure 28.17. Each Fe atom in the  $Fe_2S_2$ -unit carries two terminal ligands assigned, respectively, as CO and  $[CN]^-$ . These assignments are supported by IR spectroscopic data. An additional ligand (shown as an O atom in Figure 28.17) forms an asymmetrical bridge between the two Fe atoms. The identity of this ligand is uncertain, but  $H_2O$  has been proposed for the hydrogenase isolated from *D. desulficans*. In the structure of the enzyme from *C. pasteurianum*, the bridging ligand has been assigned as CO. The Fe centre at the right-hand side of Figure 28.17 is proposed to be the primary catalytic centre at which  $H^+$  is



**Fig. 28.16** The structure of the active site in the NiFe hydrogenase from the sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio gigas*. The identities of the terminal ligands on Fe are not unambiguous (see text). Colour code: Fe, green; Ni, blue; S, yellow; C, grey; O, red. Each non-terminated stick represents the connection of a coordinated amino acid to the protein backbone.



**Fig. 28.17** The structure of the hydrogen cluster in the Fe-only hydrogenase from *Desulfovibrio desulficans*. The  $Fe_4S_4$ -cluster has four associated Cys residues, one of which bridges to the  $Fe_2S_2$ -unit. The right-hand Fe atom is coordinatively unsaturated (see text). Colour code: Fe, green; S, yellow; C, grey; N, blue; O, red. Each non-terminated stick represents the connection of a coordinated amino acid to the protein backbone.


reduced to  $H_2$ . In the structure of Fe-only hydrogenase from *D. desulficans*, this Fe site is coordinatively unsaturated (Figure 28.17). In contrast, this ‘vacant’ Fe site in the hydrogenase from *C. pasteurianum* is occupied by a terminal  $H_2O$  ligand. The differences in structural details of the active sites in the Fe-only hydrogenases from *C. pasteurianum* and *D. desulficans* are rationalized in terms of the former being an oxidized or resting state, while the latter represents a reduced state. A possible proton pathway within the enzyme involves Lys and Ser residues (see Table 28.2) in the protein backbone. Although a Lys residue is not directly coordinated to the active Fe centre, one is hydrogen-bonded to the Fe-bound  $[CN]^-$  ligand. The propane-1,3-dithiolate bridge has also been considered as a potential proton donor/acceptor site. Mechanistic details have yet to be determined. However, it has been established that the addition of CO inhibits enzyme activity. Crystallographic data confirm that the CO binds at the Fe site which is coordinatively unsaturated in the native enzyme (Figure 28.17).

Since the late 1990s, there has been a surge of research interest in designing and studying suitable model compounds for NiFe and Fe-only hydrogenases. This has included Fe(II) compounds containing both CO and  $[CN]^-$  ligands (see end of Section 21.9) and compounds such as 28.18 and 28.19. Structurally, complex 28.18 closely resembles the active site of Fe-only hydrogenase (Figure 28.17), but attempts to study reactions of 28.18 with  $H^+$  lead to the formation of insoluble and catalytically inactive polymeric material. On the other hand, complex 28.19 is an active catalyst for proton reduction.

Nitrogen fixation by bacteria involves the reduction of  $N_2$  to  $NH_3$  (equation 28.14) catalysed by *nitrogenases*; concomitant with this process is the hydrolysis of ATP which is an energy-releasing process.




Studies of nitrogenase proteins from the bacteria *Azotobacter vinelandii* and *Clostridium pasteurianum* have provided structural details of the proteins involved. Two metalloproteins make up the nitrogenase system: an Fe




protein which couples the hydrolysis of ATP to electron transfer, and an FeMo protein which is responsible for binding  $N_2$ . The dual role of these proteins can be summarized in three steps:

- reduction of Fe protein;
- one-electron transfer from the Fe protein to FeMo protein in a process which also involves ATP hydrolysis;
- electron and  $H^+$  transfer to  $N_2$ .


The Fe protein is a dimer and contains one  $[4Fe-4S]$  ferredoxin cluster held by Cys residues between the two halves of the protein. The ferredoxin site is relatively exposed on the surface of the protein. The FeMo protein contains two different Fe-containing clusters called the P-cluster and the FeMo cofactor; both are buried within the protein. Details of their structures have been revealed through X-ray crystallography. In its reduced state, the P-cluster (Figure 28.18a) consists of two  $[4Fe-4S]$  units with one S atom in common. The  $[4Fe-4S]$  cubanes are also bridged by two Cys residues, and each cubane is further connected to the protein backbone by two terminal Cys residues. The P-cluster acts as an intermediate in electron transfer from the Fe protein to the FeMo cofactor. This redox chemistry brings about structural changes in the P-cluster. On going from a reduced to oxidized state, the P-cluster opens up, replacing two Fe–S(shared atom) interactions with Fe–O(serine) and Fe–N(amide-backbone) bonds. The structure of the FeMo cofactor (Figure 28.18b) has been revealed through increasingly higher resolution



**Fig. 28.18** The structures of the two types of cluster unit present in the nitrogenase molybdenum–iron protein isolated from *Azotobacter vinelandii*: (a) the P-cluster in its reduced state and (b) the FeMo cofactor. Colour code: Fe, green; Mo, pale grey; S, yellow; C, grey; N, blue; O, red. Each non-terminated stick represents the connection of a coordinated amino acid to the protein backbone.



(a)





(b)



**Fig. 28.19** (a) The protein chain (shown in a ribbon representation) of horse heart cytochrome *c*, showing the position of the haem unit. (b) An enlargement of the coordination sphere of the iron site showing the residues which are covalently linked to the protein chain. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted; colour code: Fe, green; S, yellow; N, blue; C, grey; O, red.

crystal structures. It consists of a [4Fe–3S] unit connected by three bridging S atoms to a [3Fe–1Mo–3S] unit. A 6-coordinate, central atom (detected for the first time in 2002)<sup>†</sup> completes the cubane motif of each unit. Unambiguous assignment of this atom based on crystallographic electron density data is difficult. Possible atoms are C, N, O and S and, of these, the favoured candidate is N. This assignment is supported by theoretical studies. How (or, even, whether) the presence of this central atom is connected to the conversion of N<sub>2</sub> to NH<sub>3</sub> in nitrogenase is, as yet, unknown. The Mo centre in the FeMo cofactor is approximately octahedral; it is bound to the protein backbone by a His residue and is also coordinated by a didentate homocitrate ligand. The closest distance between metal centres in the two metal clusters in the FeMo protein is ≈1400 pm, a separation which is amenable to electron transfer (see [Section 25.5](#)). The way in which the Fe and FeMo proteins act together to catalyse the conversion of N<sub>2</sub> to NH<sub>3</sub> has yet to be established.

Before leaving iron–sulfur proteins, we must mention the important contributions that model studies have made, in particular before protein X-ray structural data were available. For discrete clusters of the type formed by reaction 28.15 and shown in diagram 28.20, it is possible to investigate magnetic, electronic spectroscopic and electrochemical properties, record <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra (see [Section 2.12](#)) and determine accurate structural data by X-ray diffraction. Working with metalloproteins is, of course, far more difficult.



Model compound **28.20** and related complexes contain high-spin Fe centres. Formally there are two Fe(II) and two Fe(III), but spectroscopic data are consistent with four equivalent metal centres and, therefore, delocalization of electrons within the cage.


## Cytochromes

Figure 28.12 showed *cytochromes* to be vital members of the mitochondrial electron-transfer chain; they are also essential components in plant chloroplasts for photosynthesis. Cytochromes are haem proteins, and the ability of the iron centre to undergo reversible Fe(III) ⇌ Fe(II) changes allows them to act as one-electron transfer centres. Many different cytochromes are known, with the reduction potential for the Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> couple being tuned by the surrounding protein environment. Cytochromes belong to various families, e.g. cytochromes *a*, cytochromes *b* and cytochromes *c*, which are denoted according to the substituents on the


<sup>†</sup> See: O. Einsle, F.A. Tezcan, S.L.A. Andrade, B. Schmid, M. Yoshida, J.B. Howard and D.C. Rees (2002) *Science*, vol. 297, p. 1696 – ‘Nitrogenase MoFe-protein at 1.16 Å resolution: a central ligand in the FeMo-cofactor’.

haem group. We saw in Section 28.3 that in the O<sub>2</sub>-carrying haem proteins, the 'rest state' contains a 5-coordinate Fe(II) centre which becomes 6-coordinate after O<sub>2</sub> uptake. In contrast, the electron-transfer cytochromes *b* and *c* contain 6-coordinate Fe which is present as either Fe(II) or Fe(III); there is little change in ligand conformation as the redox change occurs. Figure 28.19 shows the structure of cytochrome *c* isolated from horse heart; compare the haem structure with that in haemoglobin (Figure 28.7). In cytochrome *c*, the haem unit is bound to the protein backbone through axial His and Met residues, and through two Met residues which are covalently linked to the porphyrin ring.

In the mitochondrial electron-transfer chain, cytochrome *c* accepts an electron from cytochrome *c*<sub>1</sub> and then transfers it to cytochrome *c* oxidase (equation 28.16). Ultimately, the electron is used in the four-electron reduction of O<sub>2</sub> (see




**Fig. 28.20** Cytochrome *c*554 isolated from *Nitrosomonas europaea*: the protein chain shown in a ribbon representation and the four haem units. The Fe···Fe distances between haem units are  $\approx 950$  pm, 1220 pm and 920 pm.



**Fig. 28.21** The Cu<sub>A</sub>, Cu<sub>B</sub>, haem *a* and haem *a*<sub>3</sub> sites in cytochrome *c* oxidase extracted from bovine (*Bos taurus*) heart muscle. The lower right-hand diagram shows the relative positions and orientations of the metal sites within the protein; an enlargement of each site shows details of the ligand spheres. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted; colour code: Cu, brown; Fe, green; S, yellow; N, blue; C, grey; O, red.

below). The oxidized forms of the cytochromes in equation 28.16 contain Fe(III), and the reduced forms, Fe(II).



It is proposed that an electron is transferred by tunnelling through one of the exposed edges of the haem unit (recall that the porphyrin ring is conjugated). In relation to this, it is instructive to look at the arrangement of the haem units in cytochrome *c*554, a tetrahaem protein isolated from the bacterium *Nitrosomonas europaea* and essential to the nitrification pathway:  $\text{NH}_3$  is converted to  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  (catalysed by *ammonia monooxygenase*) which is then oxidized to  $[\text{NO}_3]^-$  (catalysed by *hydroxylamine oxidoreductase*). The role of cytochrome *c*554 is to accept pairs of electrons from hydroxylamine oxidoreductase and transfer them, via cytochrome *c*552, to terminal oxidases. The crystal structure of cytochrome *c*554 shows that the four haem units are arranged in pairs such that the porphyrin rings are approximately parallel, and have overlapping edges. Adjacent pairs are then approximately perpendicular to each other (Figure 28.20). Such arrangements have been observed in other multi-haem cytochromes and are presumably set up to provide efficient electron-transfer pathways between the edges of the haem groups.


The exact nature of the metal sites in cytochrome *c* oxidase was resolved in 1995. This terminal member of the mitochondrial electron-transfer chain catalyses the reduction of  $\text{O}_2$  to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (equation 28.9), and contains four active metal centres ( $\text{Cu}_A$ ,  $\text{Cu}_B$ , haem *a* and haem *a*<sub>3</sub>) which couple electron transfer to proton pumping. Electron transfer involves the  $\text{Cu}_A$  and haem *a* sites, electrons being transferred from cytochrome *c* (equation 28.16) to  $\text{Cu}_A$  and then to haem *a*. Haem *a*<sub>3</sub> and  $\text{Cu}_B$  provide the site for  $\text{O}_2$  binding and  $\text{O}_2$  to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  conversion, and are involved in pumping  $\text{H}^+$  (four per  $\text{O}_2$  molecule) across the mitochondrial inner membrane. Until 1995, proposals for the nature of the metal sites were based largely on spectroscopic data and the fact that the  $\text{Cu}_B \cdots \text{Fe}(\text{haem } a_3)$  centres were strongly antiferromagnetically coupled; the latter suggested the possible presence of a bridging ligand. Crystallographic data have now cleared the uncertainty, revealing the following structural features:

- Fe(haem *a*) is 6-coordinate with His residues in the axial sites;
- $\text{Cu}_A$  is a dicopper site bridged by Cys residues, with a  $\text{Cu}_2\text{S}_2$  core that is not unlike that in a  $[\text{2Fe-2S}]$  ferredoxin;
- the 3-coordinate  $\text{Cu}_B$  and 5-coordinate Fe(haem *a*<sub>3</sub>) lie  $\approx 450$  pm apart and are *not* connected by a bridging ligand.

Figure 28.21 shows the active metal sites in the oxidized form of cytochrome *c* oxidase and the spatial relationship between

them; they lie within a protein which has  $M_r \approx 20\,000$  and is made up of 13 different polypeptide subunits. Detailed structural studies of the protein chains have shown that a hydrogen-bonded system which incorporates residues in the protein backbone, haem propanoate side chains, and a His residue bound to  $\text{Cu}_A$  may provide an electron-transfer ‘highway’ between  $\text{Cu}_A$  and haem *a*.

Many model systems have been developed to aid our understanding of electron transfer and  $\text{O}_2$  binding by cytochromes. The initial step in the catalytic cycle involving cytochrome *c* oxidase is  $\text{O}_2$  binding to the reduced state of the Fe(haem *a*<sub>3</sub>)/ $\text{Cu}_B$  active site; this contains Fe(II) and Cu(I). Most model systems have focused on complexes involving a bridging Fe– $\text{O}_2$ –Cu or related peroxo species. However, experimental data support the formation of a haem–superoxide complex of type Fe(haem *a*<sub>3</sub>) $\text{O}_2/\text{Cu}_B$  containing Fe(III) and Cu(I). Structure 28.21 shows a model for this system.<sup>†</sup> The reaction of 28.21 with  $\text{O}_2$  has been monitored using electronic spectroscopy, and the formation of a 1:1 complex has been confirmed. The resonance Raman spectrum of the complex exhibits an absorption at  $570\text{ cm}^{-1}$  assigned to  $\nu(\text{Fe}-\text{O})$  that shifts to  $544\text{ cm}^{-1}$  when isotopically labelled  $^{18}\text{O}_2$  is used as the source of dioxygen. This absorption is characteristic of a porphyrin Fe-bound superoxide ligand.



<sup>†</sup> See: J.P. Collman, C.J. Sunderland, K.E. Berg, M.A. Vance and E.I. Solomon (2003) *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 125, p. 6648 – ‘Spectroscopic evidence for a heme–superoxide/Cu(I) intermediate in a functional model for cytochrome *c* oxidase’.

Finally in this section, we should note that it is the strong binding of  $[\text{CN}]^-$  to Fe(III) in cytochromes that renders cyanide toxic.

### Self-study exercise

In the complex formed between complex 28.21 and  $\text{O}_2$ , isotopic labelling of the  $\text{O}_2$  causes a shift in the absorption assigned to  $\nu(\text{Fe}-\text{O})$ . Explain why this shift occurs. [Ans. see Section 2.9]

## 28.5 The $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ion: Nature's Lewis acid

In this section we focus on the Zn(II)-containing enzymes *carbonic anhydrase II* and *carboxypeptidases A and G2*. These are somewhat different from other systems so far described. Zinc(II) is not a redox active centre, and so cannot take part in electron-transfer processes. It is, however, a hard metal centre (see Table 6.9) and is ideally suited to coordination by *N*- and *O*-donors. It is also highly polarizing, and the activity of Zn(II)-containing metalloenzymes depends on the Lewis acidity of the metal centre.

### Carbonic anhydrase II


Human carbonic anhydrase II (CAII) is present in red blood cells and catalyses the reversible hydration of  $\text{CO}_2$  (reaction

28.17). This process is slow ( $k = 0.037 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) but is fundamental to the removal of  $\text{CO}_2$  from actively metabolizing sites; CAII increases the rate of hydrolysis by a factor of  $\approx 10^7$  at physiological pH.




The metalloprotein consists of 260 amino acids and contains a  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  ion bound by three His residues in a pocket  $\approx 1500 \text{ pm}$  deep; the tetrahedral coordination sphere is completed by a hydroxide ion (Figure 28.22a). The peptide chain environment around the active site is crucial to the catalytic activity of the site: the  $[\text{OH}]^-$  ligand is hydrogen bonded to an adjacent glutamic acid residue, and to the OH group of an adjacent threonine residue (see Table 28.2). Next to the  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  centre lies a hydrophobic pocket which 'captures'  $\text{CO}_2$ . The catalytic cycle by which  $\text{CO}_2$  is hydrolysed is shown in Figure 28.22b. After release of  $[\text{HCO}_3]^-$ , the coordinated  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ligand must be deprotonated in order to regenerate the active site, and the proton is transferred via a hydrogen-bonded network to a His residue (*non-coordinated* to  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ) within the catalytic pocket.

The active site in CAII has been modelled using a tris(pyrazolyl)hydroborato ligand (28.22) to mimic the three histidine residues that bind  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  in the metalloenzyme. Because  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  is a  $d^{10}$  metal ion, it tolerates a range of coordination geometries. However, tris(pyrazolyl)hydroborato ligands are tripodal (see Section 19.7) and can force tetrahedral coordination in a complex of type  $[\text{Zn}(28.22)\text{X}]$ . The hydroxo complex 28.23 is one of a series





**Fig. 28.22** (a) Schematic representation of the active site in human carbonic anhydrase II (CAII). (b) The catalytic cycle for the hydration of  $\text{CO}_2$  catalysed by CAII.



of tris(pyrazolyl)hydroborato complexes that have been studied as models for the active site in CAII.



The reversible protonation of the coordinated [OH]<sup>-</sup> ligand in CAII (Figure 28.22a) is modelled by the reaction of complex **28.23** with (C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>B(OH<sub>2</sub>) and subsequent deprotonation with Et<sub>3</sub>N (equation 28.18). The choice of acid is important as the conjugate base generally displaces the H<sub>2</sub>O ligand as in reaction 28.19.




Complex **28.23** reacts with CO<sub>2</sub> (equation 28.20) and catalyses oxygen exchange between CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O (equation 28.21). The latter reaction is also catalysed by carbonic anhydrase.



## Carboxypeptidase A

Carboxypeptidase A (CPA) is a pancreatic metalloenzyme which catalyses the cleavage of a peptide link in a polypeptide chain. The site of cleavage is specific in two ways: it occurs at the C-terminal amino acid (equation 28.22), and it exhibits a high selectivity for substrates in which the C-terminal amino acid contains a large aliphatic or Ph substituent. The latter arises from the presence, near to the active site, of a hydrophobic pocket in the protein which is compatible with the accommodation of, for example, a Ph group (see below).




Carboxypeptidase A is monomeric ( $M_r \approx 34\,500$ ) and exists in three forms ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ) which contain 307, 305 and 300 amino acids respectively. Near the surface of the protein lies a pocket in which a Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion is bound to the protein backbone by one didentate Glu and two His residues. A 5-coordinate coordination sphere is completed by a water molecule (Figure 28.24a).

The mechanism by which the CPA-catalysed peptide-link cleavage occurs has drawn much research attention, and the pathway that is currently favoured is illustrated in a schematic form in Figure 28.23. In the first step, the peptide to be cleaved is 'manoeuvred' into position close to the Zn<sup>2+</sup> site; the dominant substrate-protein interactions involved at this stage (Figure 28.23a) are:

- salt-bridge formation between the C-terminal carboxylate group of the substrate and residue Arg-145<sup>†</sup> which is positively charged;
- intermolecular interactions between the non-polar group R' and residues in a hydrophobic pocket of the protein chain.

These interactions may be supplemented by hydrogen bond formation (shown in Figure 28.23a) between the OH group of Tyr-248 and the N-H group indicated in the figure, and between Arg-127 and the C=O group adjacent to the peptide cleavage site. This latter interaction polarizes the carbonyl group, activating it towards nucleophilic attack. The nucleophile is the H<sub>2</sub>O ligand coordinated to Zn<sup>2+</sup>;

<sup>†</sup> We have not previously included residue numbers, but do so in this discussion for the sake of clarity. Residues are numbered sequentially along the protein chain.



**Fig. 28.23** Schematic representation of the generally accepted mechanism for the CPA-catalysed cleavage of a C-terminal peptide link; see [Figure 28.24a](#) for a more detailed diagram of the coordination sphere of the  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  ion. The red line represents the protein chain; only residues mentioned in the discussion are shown. The diagrams do not imply whether a mechanism is concerted or not.






Fig. 28.23 continued



 **Fig. 28.24** The structures of the active sites in (a)  $\alpha$ -carboxypeptidase A (CPA) isolated from bovine (*Bos taurus*) pancreas, and (b) carboxypeptidase G2 (CPG2) isolated from *Pseudomonas* sp.; see [Table 28.2](#) for amino acid abbreviations. Colour code: Zn, yellow; C, grey; O, red; N, blue.


the Lewis acidity of the metal ion polarizes the O–H bonds, and (although this is not a unique proposal) it is likely that the carboxylate group of Glu-270 assists in the process by removing  $H^+$  from the  $H_2O$  ligand (Figure 28.23b). Figure 28.23c shows the next step in the proposed mechanism: the cleavage of the peptide C–N bond for which  $H^+$  is probably provided by Glu-270. It appears likely that the second  $H^+$  required for the formation of the  $NH_3^+$  group on the departing terminal amino acid comes from the terminal  $CO_2H$  group of the remaining portion of the substrate (Figure 28.23d). Figure 28.23c shows Glu-72 bound in a monodentate manner to the  $Zn^{2+}$  centre, whereas in the rest state, a didentate mode has been confirmed (Figure 28.23a). A change from a di- to monodentate coordination appears to be associated with the formation of the  $Zn^{2+} \cdots O \cdots H(\text{Arg-127})$  interaction illustrated in Figure 28.23c, the  $Zn^{2+}$  ion being able to move towards Arg-127 as the interaction develops. To complete the catalytic cycle, an  $H_2O$  ligand refills the vacant site on the  $Zn^{2+}$  centre. Details of this mechanism are based upon a range of data including kinetic and molecular mechanics studies and investigations of  $Co^{2+}$  substituted species (see below).

### Carboxypeptidase G2

The carboxypeptidase family of enzymes also includes carboxypeptidase G2 (CPG2) which catalyses the cleavage of C-terminal glutamate from folate (**28.24**) and related compounds such as methotrexate (in which  $NH_2$  replaces the OH group in the pterin group, and NMe replaces NH in the 4-amino benzoic acid unit).

Folic acid is required for growth, and the growth of tumours can be inhibited by using cancer treatment drugs which reduce

the levels of folates. Structural data for the enzyme CPG2 have provided valuable information which should assist design of such drugs. Carboxypeptidase G2 (isolated from bacteria of *Pseudomonas* sp.) is a dimeric protein with  $M_r \approx 41\,800$  per unit. Each monomer contains two domains, one containing the active site and one intimately involved in dimerization. Unlike carboxypeptidase A, the active site of CPG2 contains two  $Zn(II)$  centres, separated by 330 pm and bridged by an Asp residue and a water molecule (Figure 28.24b). Each  $Zn^{2+}$  ion is further coordinated by His and Glu residues of the protein chain to give a tetrahedral environment. The pocket containing the  $Zn_2$ -unit also contains arginine and lysine residues (Table 28.2) which may be involved in binding the substrate molecule, positioning it correctly for interaction with the catalytic site.




## Cobalt-for-zinc ion substitution


A practical disadvantage of working with metalloproteins containing  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  is the  $d^{10}$  configuration of the ion: the metal site cannot be probed by using UV-VIS or EPR spectroscopies or by magnetic measurements. Studies involving  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ -for- $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  substitution provide a metal centre that is amenable to investigation by spectroscopic and magnetic techniques ( $\text{Co}^{2+}$  is a  $d^7$  ion), the choice of  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  being because:

- the ionic radii of  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  are about the same;
- $\text{Co}^{2+}$  can tolerate similar coordination environments to  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ;
- it is often possible to replace  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  in a protein by  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  without greatly perturbing the protein conformation.


A typical method of metal ion substitution is shown in scheme 28.23 in which the ligand L removes  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  by complexation.



P = protein in the metalloprotein; AP = inactive apoprotein.



(28.25)



(28.26)

For example, treatment of carbonic anhydrase with **28.25** (or its conjugate base) results in the removal of  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and the formation of the catalytically inactive apoprotein. Reaction of the apoprotein with  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  gives a cobalt-substituted enzyme,  $[\text{PCo}^{2+}]$ , which catalyses the hydration of  $\text{CO}_2$ . Similarly, the  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  ion can be removed from carboxypeptidase A by treatment with bpy (**28.26**), and after insertion of  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ , the model metalloenzyme  $[\text{PCo}^{2+}]$  is found to be active (actually more so than native carboxypeptidase A) with respect to peptide cleavage. Investigations can be carried out with  $[\text{PCo}^{2+}]$  which are impossible with native zinc enzymes, e.g. electronic spectroscopic data provide insight into coordination geometries, and monitoring the electronic spectrum as a function of pH indicates whether ligands such as  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  are deprotonated or not.

## Glossary

The following terms have been introduced in this chapter. Do you know what they mean?

- trace metals
- polypeptide
- protein
- metalloprotein
- apoprotein

- ferritin
- transferrin
- siderophore
- metallothionein
- haem-protein
- haemoglobin
- myoglobin
- haemocyanin
- haemerythrin
- blue copper proteins
- oxidase
- hydrogenase
- plastocyanin
- azurin
- ascorbate oxidase
- laccase
- mitochondrial electron-transfer chain
- rubredoxin
- ferredoxins
- nitrogenase
- cytochrome
- cytochrome *c*
- cytochrome *c* oxidase
- carbonic anhydrase II
- carboxypeptidase A
- carboxypeptidase G2

## Further reading

Bioinorganic chemistry is a fast-moving area and readers interested in the area are advised to update the following reading list by consulting major chemical journals, in particular *Angewandte Chemie*, *Chemical Communications*, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, *Nature*, *Science*, *Nature Structural Biology* and *Structure*.

### General sources

- I. Bertini, H.B. Gray, S.J. Lippard and J.S. Valentine (1994) *Bioinorganic Chemistry*, University Science Books, Mill Valley – An excellent and detailed text, one of the best currently available.
- J.A. Cowan (1997) *Inorganic Biochemistry: An Introduction*, 2nd edn, Wiley-VCH, New York – An up-to-date text covering a wider range of topics than in this chapter and including case studies.
- D.E. Fenton (1995) *Biocoordination Chemistry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – A clearly written, introductory text.
- J.J.R. Fraústo da Silva and R.J.P. Williams (1991) *The Biological Chemistry of the Elements*, Oxford University Press, Oxford – An excellent, detailed text.
- W. Kaim and B. Schwederski (1994) *Bioinorganic Chemistry: Inorganic Elements in the Chemistry of Life*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim – A detailed text covering the roles of inorganic elements in living organisms, as well as applications in chemotherapy.

S.J. Lippard and J.M. Berg (1994) *Principles of Bioinorganic Chemistry*, University Science Books, Mill Valley – One of the primary modern texts dealing with bioinorganic chemistry.

#### More specialized articles including model compounds

D.W. Christianson and C.A. Fierke (1996) *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 29, p. 331 – ‘Carbonic anhydrase: Evolution of the zinc binding site by Nature and by design’.

C.L. Drennan and J.W. Peters (2003) *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, vol. 13, p. 220 – ‘Surprising cofactors in metalloenzymes’.

M.C. Feiters, A.E. Rowan and R.J.M. Nolte (2000) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 29, p. 375 – ‘From simple to supramolecular cytochrome P450 mimics’.

D.E. Fenton (1999) *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 28, p. 159 – ‘Metallobiosites and their synthetic analogues – a belief in synergism’.

R.B. King, ed. (1994) *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*, Wiley, Chichester: volumes 2 and 4 contain detailed reviews of copper and iron proteins, respectively.

J.G. Leigh, G.R. Moore and M.T. Wilson (1993) ‘Biological iron’ in *Chemistry of Iron*, ed. J. Silver, Blackie, London, p. 181.

G. Parkin (2000) *Chemical Communications*, p. 1971 – ‘The bioinorganic chemistry of zinc: synthetic analogues of zinc enzymes that feature tripodal ligands’.

A.K. Powell (1993) ‘Models for iron biomolecules’ in *Chemistry of Iron*, ed. J. Silver, Blackie, London, p. 244.

K.N. Raymond, E.A. Dertz and S.S. Kim (2003) *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 100, p. 3584 – ‘Enterobactin: an archetype for microbial iron transport’.

N. Romero-Isart and M. Vařák (2002) *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry*, vol. 88, p. 388 – ‘Advances in the structure and chemistry of metallothioneins’.

P.C. Wilkins and R.G. Wilkins (1987) *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 79, p. 195 – ‘The coordination chemistry of the binuclear iron site in hemerythrin’.

## Problems

**28.1** Give brief descriptions of the following: (a) peptide; (b) naturally occurring amino acids; (c) metalloprotein; (d) apoprotein; (e) haem unit.

**28.2** Give an account of the storage and transport of metalloproteins in mammals. How does the uptake of iron by aerobic microorganisms differ from that in mammals?

**28.3** The complex  $[\text{CrL}_3]^{3-}$  where  $\text{H}_2\text{L} = 1,2\text{-(HO)}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$  is a model complex for enterobactin. How is the model related to enterobactin, and what is the reason for chromium-for-iron substitution?

**28.4** Comment on the following observations:  
 (a) Thioneins bind, for example,  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  in cysteine-rich pockets.  
 (b)  $[\text{Cu}_4(\text{SPh})_6]^{2-}$  is a model for the Cu-containing metallothionein in yeast.  
 (c) Imidazole and trispyrazolylborate derivatives are often used to model histidine-binding sites.

**28.5** (a) Briefly describe the mode of binding of  $\text{O}_2$  to the iron centre in one haem unit of haemoglobin. (b) What are ‘picket fence’ porphyrins and why are they used in model studies of  $\text{O}_2$  binding to myoglobin or haemoglobin? (c) The binding of  $\text{O}_2$  to haemoglobin exhibits a ‘cooperativity’ effect. What is meant by this statement? (d) Why is the change from deoxyhaemoglobin to the oxy-form accompanied by a decrease in the observed magnetic moment?

**28.6** Compare the modes of binding of  $\text{O}_2$  to the metal centres in (a) myoglobin, (b) haemerythrin and (c) haemocyanin. Indicate what supporting experimental evidence is available for the structures you describe.

**28.7** Differentiate between Type 1, Type 2 and Type 3 copper centres in blue copper proteins, giving both experimental and structural distinctions.


**28.8** Describe the structure of the copper site in plastocyanin and discuss the features of both the metal centre and metal-binding site that allow it to function as an electron-transfer site.

**28.9** Ascorbate oxidase contains four copper centres. Discuss their coordination environments, and classify the centres as Type 1, 2 or 3. What is the function of ascorbate oxidase and how do the copper centres facilitate this function?

**28.10** Comment on the following observations:  
 (a) ‘Blue copper proteins’ are not always blue.  
 (b) Two different metalloproteins, both containing  $[\text{4Fe-4S}]$  ferredoxins bound to the protein chain by Cys ligands, exhibit reduction potentials of +350 and +490 mV.  
 (c) The toxicity of CO is associated with binding to haemoglobin, but that of  $[\text{CN}]^-$  is not.


**28.11** What is the mitochondrial electron-transfer chain, and what role do quinones play in the chain?

**28.12** Model compounds are often used to model iron–sulfur proteins. Comment on the applicability of the following models, and on the data given.  
 (a)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{SPh})_4]^{2-}$  as a model for rubredoxin; observed values of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  are  $5.85 \mu_{\text{B}}$  for the oxidized form of the model compound and  $5.05 \mu_{\text{B}}$  for the reduced form.  
 (b)  $[\text{Fe}_2(\mu\text{-S})_2(\text{SPh})_4]^{2-}$  as a model for the active site in spinach ferredoxin.  
 (c) Compound **28.27** as a model for part of the active sites in nitrogenase; the Mössbauer spectrum of **28.27** is consistent with equivalent Fe centres, each with an oxidation state of 2.67.



(28.27)

**28.13** For a [4Fe-4S] protein, the following series of redox reactions are possible, in which each step is a one-electron reduction or oxidation:



(a) Which of these couples are accessible under physiological conditions? (b) Which couple represents the HIPIP system? (c) How do the redox potentials of the HIPIP and [4Fe-4S] ferredoxin system differ and how does this affect their roles in the mitochondrial electron-transfer chain?

**28.14** Comment on the similarities and differences between a [2Fe-2S] ferredoxin and Rieske protein, in terms of both structure and function.

**28.15** (a) Outline the similarities and differences between the haem units in deoxymyoglobin and cytochrome *c*. (b) What function does cytochrome *c* perform in mammals?

**28.16** (a) What is the function of cytochrome *c* oxidase? (b) Describe the four active metal-containing sites in cytochrome *c* oxidase and the proposed way in which they work together to fulfil the role of the metalloprotein.


**28.17** Give an explanation for the following observations (part d assumes Box 28.2 has been studied):

- both haemoglobin and cytochromes contain haem-iron;
- cytochrome *c* oxidase contains more than one metal centre;
- each subunit in deoxyhaemoglobin contains 5-coordinate Fe(II), but in cytochrome *c*, the Fe centre is always 6-coordinate;
- nitrophorin (NP1) reversibly binds NO.

**28.18** Discuss the role of  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  as an example of a Lewis acid at work in a biological system.

**28.19** The hydrolysis of the acid anhydride **28.28** by  $[\text{OH}]^-$  is catalyzed by  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  ions. The rate equation is of the form:

$$\text{Rate} = k[\mathbf{28.28}][\text{Zn}^{2+}][\text{OH}^-]$$




(28.28)

It is also known that the addition of  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  does not accelerate hydrolysis by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  or attack by other nucleophiles. Suggest a mechanism for this reaction.

**28.20** Why is metal substitution used to investigate the metal binding site in carbonic anhydrase? Discuss the type of information that might be forthcoming from such a study.

## Overview problems

**28.21** Compound **28.29**,  $\text{H}_4\text{L}$ , is a model for the siderophore desferrioxamine. It binds  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  to give the complex  $[\text{Fe}(\text{HL})]$ . What features does **28.29** have in common with desferrioxamine? Suggest a reason for the choice of the macrocyclic unit in ligand **28.29**. Suggest a structure for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{HL})]$ .




(28.29)

**28.22** (a) The structure of a bacterial protein reported in 2001 showed that the active site contains a  $\text{Zn}_4(\text{Cys})_9(\text{His})_2$  cluster. To what family does this metalloprotein belong, and why is the binding site atypical?

(b) Cytochrome P-450 is a monooxygenase. Outline its function, paying attention to the structure of the active site. Construct a catalytic cycle that describes the monooxygenation of an organic substrate RH.

**28.23** Compound **28.30** reacts with  $\text{Zn}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to give a complex  $[\text{Zn}(\mathbf{28.30})(\text{OH})]^+$  that is a model for the active site of carbonic anhydrase. Suggest a structure for this complex. What properties does **28.30** possess that (a) mimic the coordination site in carbonic anhydrase and (b) control the coordination geometry around the  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  ion in the model complex.




(28.30)

**28.24** (a) Comment on the relevance of studying complexes such as  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_4(\text{CO})_2]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3(\text{CN})_3]^-$  as models for the active sites of NiFe and Fe-only hydrogenases.


(b) Describe the structure of the FeMo cofactor in nitrogenase. Until 2002, when a central ligand was

located in the FeMo cofactor, it was suggested that  $N_2$  binding might take place at 3-coordinate iron sites. Explain why this proposal is no longer plausible.

- 28.25** (a) Whereas the stability constant,  $K$ , for the equilibrium:




is of the order of 10, that for the equilibrium:



is of the order of 3000. Rationalize this observation.

- (b) Photosystem II operates in conjunction with cytochrome  $b_6f$ . The crystal structure of cytochrome  $b_6f$  from the alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* has been determined, and one of the cofactors present in this cytochrome is shown in Figure 28.25. What is the function of Photosystem II? Identify the cofactor shown in Figure 28.25.



**Fig. 28.25** Structure for problem 28.25b. Colour code: Mg, yellow; C, grey; O, red; N, blue.



# Appendices

- 1 Greek letters with pronunciations
- 2 Abbreviations and symbols for quantities and units
- 3 Selected character tables
- 4 The electromagnetic spectrum
- 5 Naturally occurring isotopes and their abundances
- 6 Van der Waals, metallic, covalent and ionic radii for the *s*-, *p*- and first row *d*-block elements
- 7 Pauling electronegativity values ( $\chi^P$ ) for selected elements of the periodic table
- 8 Ground state electronic configurations of the elements and ionization energies for the first five ionizations
- 9 Electron affinities
- 10 Standard enthalpies of atomization ( $\Delta_a H^\circ$ ) of the elements at 298 K
- 11 Selected standard reduction potentials (298 K)

# Appendix 1

## Greek letters with pronunciations

| Upper case letter | Lower case letter | Pronounced |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------|
| A                 | $\alpha$          | alpha      |
| B                 | $\beta$           | beta       |
| $\Gamma$          | $\gamma$          | gamma      |
| $\Delta$          | $\delta$          | delta      |
| E                 | $\epsilon$        | epsilon    |
| Z                 | $\zeta$           | zeta       |
| H                 | $\eta$            | eta        |
| $\Theta$          | $\theta$          | theta      |
| I                 | $\iota$           | iota       |
| K                 | $\kappa$          | kappa      |
| $\Lambda$         | $\lambda$         | lambda     |
| M                 | $\mu$             | mu         |
| N                 | $\nu$             | nu         |
| $\Xi$             | $\xi$             | xi         |
| O                 | $\omicron$        | omicron    |
| $\Pi$             | $\pi$             | pi         |
| P                 | $\rho$            | rho        |
| $\Sigma$          | $\sigma$          | sigma      |
| T                 | $\tau$            | tau        |
| $\Upsilon$        | $\upsilon$        | upsilon    |
| $\Phi$            | $\phi$            | phi        |
| X                 | $\chi$            | chi        |
| $\Psi$            | $\psi$            | psi        |
| $\Omega$          | $\omega$          | omega      |

# Appendix 2

## Abbreviations and symbols for quantities and units

For ligand structures, see Table 6.7. Where a symbol has more than one meaning, the context of its use should make the meaning clear. For further information on SI symbols and names of units, see: *Quantities, Units and Symbols in Physical Chemistry* (1993) IUPAC, 2nd edn, Blackwell Science, Oxford.

|                             |   |                   |   |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|---|
| $a$                         | cross-sectional area                                      | ccp               | cubic close-packed                            |
| $a_i$                       | relative activity of a component $i$                      | CFC               | chlorofluorocarbon                            |
| $a_0$                       | Bohr radius of the H atom                                 | CFSE              | crystal field stabilization energy            |
| A                           | ampere (unit of current)                                  | cm                | centimetre (unit of length)                   |
| $A$                         | absorbance  | $\text{cm}^3$     | cubic centimetre (unit of volume)             |
| $A$                         | frequency factor (in Arrhenius equation)                  | $\text{cm}^{-1}$  | reciprocal centimetre (wavenumber)            |
| $A$                         | Madelung constant   | conc              | concentrated                                  |
| $A$                         | mass number (of an atom)                                  | Cp                | cyclopentadienyl                              |
| $A_r$                       | relative atomic mass                                      | cr                | crystal                                       |
| $A(\theta, \phi)$           | angular wavefunction                                      | CT                | charge transfer                               |
| $A$ mechanism               | associative mechanism                                     | CVD               | chemical vapour deposition                    |
| Å                           | ångstrom (non-SI unit of length, used for bond distances) | Cys               | cysteine                                      |
| acacH                       | acetylacetonate   | $d$               | bond distance or internuclear separation      |
| ADP                         | adenosine diphosphate                                     | $d$ -             | dextro- (see Box 19.2)                        |
| aq                          | aqueous   | d                 | day (non-SI unit of time)                     |
| Arg                         | arginine  | $D$               | bond dissociation enthalpy                    |
| Asp                         | aspartic acid   | $\bar{D}$         | average bond dissociation enthalpy            |
| atm                         | atmosphere (non-SI unit of pressure)                      | $D$ mechanism     | dissociative mechanism                        |
| ATP                         | adenosine triphosphate                                    | D                 | debye (non-SI unit of electric dipole moment) |
| ax                          | axial   | $Dcb$ mechanism   | conjugate-base mechanism                      |
| $B$                         | magnetic field strength                                   | dec               | decomposition                                 |
| $B$                         | Racah parameter   | DHA               | 9,10-dihydroanthracene                        |
| bar                         | bar (unit of pressure)                                    | dien              | 1,4,7-triazheptane (see Table 6.7)            |
| 9-BBN                       | 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane                                | dil               | dilute  |
| bcc                         | body-centred cubic  | $\text{dm}^3$     | cubic decimetre (unit of volume)              |
| bp                          | boiling point   | DME               | dimethoxyethane                               |
| bpy                         | 2,2'-bipyridine   | DMF               | $N,N$ -dimethylformamide                      |
| Bq                          | becquerel (unit of radioactivity)                         | $\text{dmgH}_2$   | dimethylglyoxime                              |
| $^n\text{Bu}$               | $n$ -butyl  | DMSO              | dimethylsulfoxide                             |
| $^t\text{Bu}$               | $tert$ -butyl   | DNA               | deoxyribonucleic acid                         |
| $c$                         | coefficient (in wavefunctions)                            | $E$               | energy  |
| $c$                         | concentration (of solution)                               | $E$               | identity operator                             |
| $c$                         | speed of light  | $E$               | bond enthalpy term                            |
| $c\text{-C}_6\text{H}_{11}$ | cyclohexyl  | $e$               | charge on the electron                        |
| $C$                         | Curie constant  | $e^-$             | electron                                      |
| C                           | coulomb (unit of charge)                                  | $EA$              | electron affinity                             |
| Ci                          | curie (non-SI unit of radioactivity)                      | $E_a$             | activation energy                             |
| $C_n$                       | $n$ -fold rotation axis                                   | $E_{\text{cell}}$ | electrochemical cell potential                |

|                                   |   |                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| $E^\circ$                         | standard reduction potential                                      | $K_p$             | equilibrium constant expressed in terms of partial pressures   |
| EDTAH <sub>4</sub>                | <i>N,N,N',N'</i> -ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (see Table 6.7) | $K_{\text{self}}$ | self-ionization constant                                       |
| en                                | 1,2-ethanediamine (see Table 6.7)                                 | $K_{\text{sp}}$   | solubility product constant                                    |
| EPR                               | electron paramagnetic resonance                                   | $K_w$             | self-ionization constant of water                              |
| eq                                | equatorial  | kg                | kilogram (unit of mass)  |
| ESR                               | electron spin resonance   | kJ                | kilojoule (unit of energy)                                     |
| Et                                | ethyl   | kPa               | kilopascal (unit of pressure)                                  |
| eV                                | electron volt   |                   |  |
| EXAFS                             | extended X-ray absorption fine structure                          | $L$               | Avogadro's number  |
|                                   |   | $L$               | total (resultant) orbital quantum number                       |
| $F$                               | Faraday constant  | $L$               | ligand   |
| FAD                               | flavin adenine dinucleotide                                       | l                 | liquid   |
| fcc                               | face-centred cubic  | $l$               | length   |
| FID                               | free induction decay  | $l$               | orbital quantum number   |
| FT                                | Fourier transform   | $l$ -             | laevo- (see Box 19.2)  |
|                                   |   | $\ell$            | path length  |
| $G$                               | Gibbs energy  | LCAO              | linear combination of atomic orbitals                          |
| g                                 | gas   | LED               | light-emitting diode   |
| g                                 | gram (unit of mass)   | Leu               | leucine  |
| Glu                               | glutamic acid   | LFER              | linear free energy relationship                                |
| Gly                               | glycine   | LFSE              | ligand field stabilization energy                              |
|                                   |   | LGO               | ligand group orbital   |
| $H$                               | enthalpy  | LMCT              | ligand-to-metal charge transfer                                |
| $h$                               | Planck constant   | Ln                | lanthanoid   |
| h                                 | hour (non-SI unit of time)  | LUMO              | lowest unoccupied molecular orbital                            |
| [HBpz <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> | trispyrazolylborate   | Lys               | lysine   |
| hcp                               | hexagonal close-packed  |                   |  |
| HIPIP                             | high-potential protein  | M                 | molarity   |
| His                               | histidine   | $m$               | mass   |
| HMPA                              | hexamethylphosphoramide (see structure 10.5)                      | $m$               | metre (unit of length)   |
| HOMO                              | highest occupied molecular orbital                                | $m^3$             | cubic metre (unit of volume)                                   |
| Hz                                | hertz (unit of frequency)   | $m_e$             | electron rest mass   |
| $h\nu$                            | high-frequency radiation (for a photolysis reaction)              | $m_i$             | molality   |
|                                   |   | $m_i^\circ$       | standard state molality  |
|                                   |   | $m_l$             | magnetic quantum number  |
| $I$                               | nuclear spin quantum number                                       | $M_L$             | total (resultant) orbital magnetic quantum number              |
| $i$                               | centre of inversion   | $m_s$             | magnetic spin quantum number                                   |
| $I_a$ mechanism                   | associative interchange mechanism                                 | $M_S$             | magnetic spin quantum number for the multi-electron system     |
| $I_d$ mechanism                   | dissociative interchange mechanism                                |                   |  |
| $IE$                              | ionization energy   | $M_r$             | relative molecular mass  |
| IR                                | infrared  | Me                | methyl   |
| IUPAC                             | International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry                 | Mes               | mesityl (2,4,6-Me <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>2</sub> ) |
|                                   |   | Met               | methionine   |
| $j$                               | inner quantum number  | min               | minute (non-SI unit of time)                                   |
| J                                 | joule (unit of energy)  | MLCT              | metal-to-ligand charge transfer                                |
| $J$                               | spin-spin coupling constant                                       | MO                | molecular orbital  |
| $J$                               | total (resultant) inner quantum number                            | MOCVD             | metal-organic chemical vapour deposition                       |
| $k$                               | force constant  | mol               | mole (unit of quantity)  |
| $k$                               | rate constant   | mp                | melting point  |
| $k$                               | Boltzmann constant  | Mt                | megatonne  |
| K                                 | kelvin (unit of temperature)                                      | $N$               | normalization factor   |
| $K$                               | equilibrium constant  | $N$               | number of nuclides   |
| $K_a$                             | acid dissociation constant  | $n$               | neutron  |
| $K_b$                             | base dissociation constant  | $n$               | Born exponent  |
| $K_c$                             | equilibrium constant expressed in terms of concentrations         | $n$               | number of (e.g. moles)   |
|                                   |   | $n$               | principal quantum number                                       |

|                    |  |                   |   |
|--------------------|--|-------------------|---|
| $n$                | nucleophilicity parameter                                    | T                 | tesla (unit of magnetic flux density)                               |
| [NAD] <sup>+</sup> | nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide                            | $T$               | temperature   |
| NASICON            | Na super ionic conductor                                     | $T_c$             | critical temperature of a superconductor                            |
| nm                 | nanometre (unit of length)                                   | $T_C$             | Curie temperature   |
| NMR                | nuclear magnetic resonance                                   | $T_N$             | Néel temperature  |
| oxH <sub>2</sub>   | oxalic acid  | t                 | tonne (metric)  |
| $P$                | pressure   | $t$               | time  |
| Pa                 | pascal (unit of pressure)                                    | $t_{1/2}$         | half-life   |
| PES                | photoelectron spectroscopy                                   | <sup>t</sup> Bu   | <i>tert</i> -butyl  |
| Ph                 | phenyl   | THF               | tetrahydrofuran   |
| phen               | 1,10-phenanthroline  | Thr               | threonine   |
| $pK_a$             | $-\log K_a$  | TMEDA             | <i>N,N,N',N'</i> -tetramethylethylenediamine                        |
| pm                 | picometre (unit of length)                                   | TMS               | tetramethylsilane   |
| ppb                | parts per billion  | TOF               | catalytic turnover frequency  |
| ppm                | parts per million  | TON               | catalytic turnover number   |
| ppt                | precipitate  | tppH <sub>2</sub> | tetraphenylporphyrin  |
| Pr                 | propyl   | tpy               | 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine   |
| <sup>1</sup> Pr    | <i>iso</i> -propyl   | trien             | 1,4,7,10-tetraazadecane (see Table 6.7)                             |
| PVC                | polyvinylchloride  | Tyr               | tyrosine  |
| py                 | pyridine   | $U$               | internal energy   |
| pzH                | pyrazole   | u                 | atomic mass unit  |
| $q$                | point charge   | UV                | ultraviolet   |
| $Q$                | reaction quotient  | UV-VIS            | ultraviolet-visible   |
| R                  | general alkyl or aryl group                                  | $V$               | potential difference  |
| $R$                | molar gas constant   | $V$               | volume  |
| $R$                | Rydberg constant   | V                 | volt (unit of potential difference)                                 |
| $R$                | resistance   | v                 | vapour  |
| R-                 | Cahn–Ingold–Prelog notation for an enantiomer (see Box 19.2) | $v$               | velocity  |
| $r$                | radial distance  | VB                | valence bond  |
| $r$                | radius   | ve                | valence electrons (in electron counting)                            |
| $R(r)$             | radial wavefunction  | VIS               | visible   |
| $r_{\text{cov}}$   | covalent radius  | VSEPR             | valence-shell electron-pair repulsion                               |
| $r_{\text{ion}}$   | ionic radius   | [X]               | concentration of X  |
| $r_{\text{metal}}$ | metallic radius  | yr                | year (non-SI unit of time)  |
| $r_v$              | van der Waals radius   | $z$               | number of moles of electrons transferred in an electrochemical cell |
| RDS                | rate-determining step  | $Z$               | atomic number   |
| RF                 | radiofrequency   | $Z$               | effective collision frequency in solution                           |
| $S$                | entropy  | $Z_{\text{eff}}$  | effective nuclear charge  |
| $S$                | overlap integral   | $ z_- $           | modulus of the negative charge                                      |
| $S$                | total spin quantum number                                    | $ z_+ $           | modulus of the positive charge                                      |
| $S$                | screening (or shielding) constant                            | ZSM-5             | a type of zeolite (see Section 26.7)                                |
| S-                 | Cahn–Ingold–Prelog notation for an enantiomer (see Box 19.2) | $\alpha$          | polarizability of an atom or ion                                    |
| s                  | second (unit of time)  | $[\alpha]$        | specific rotation   |
| s                  | solid  | $\beta$           | stability constant  |
| s                  | spin quantum number  | $\beta^-$         | beta-particle   |
| s                  | nucleophilicity discrimination factor                        | $\beta^+$         | positron  |
| $S_n$              | $n$ -fold improper rotation axis                             | $\delta$          | chemical shift  |
| $S_N1cb$ mechanism | conjugate–base mechanism                                     | $\delta^-$        | label for an enantiomer (see Box 19.2)                              |
| Ser                | serine   | $\delta^-$        | partial negative charge   |
| soln               | solution   | $\delta^+$        | partial positive charge   |
| solv               | solvated; solvent  | $\Delta$          | change in   |
| SQUID              | superconducting quantum interference device                  |                   |   |

|                             |   |                               |  |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| $\Delta$ -                  | label for enantiomer with right-handedness (see Box 19.2)                                       | $\tau_1$                      | spin relaxation time (in NMR spectroscopy)                     |
| $\Delta$                    | heat (in a pyrolysis reaction)  | $\nu$                         | total number of particles produced per molecule of solute      |
| $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$       | octahedral crystal field splitting energy   | $\nu$                         | frequency  |
| $\Delta_{\text{tet}}$       | tetrahedral crystal field splitting energy  | $\bar{\nu}$                   | wavenumber   |
| $\Delta H^\circ$            | standard enthalpy change  | $\nu_e$                       | neutrino   |
| $\Delta H^\ddagger$         | enthalpy change of activation   | $\chi$                        | magnetic susceptibility  |
| $\Delta_a H$                | enthalpy change of atomization  | $\chi_m$                      | molar magnetic susceptibility                                  |
| $\Delta_c H$                | enthalpy change of combustion   | $\chi$                        | electronegativity  |
| $\Delta_{\text{EA}} H$      | enthalpy change associated with the gain of an electron   | $\chi^{\text{AR}}$            | Allred–Rochow electronegativity                                |
| $\Delta_f H$                | enthalpy change of formation  | $\chi^{\text{M}}$             | Mulliken electronegativity                                     |
| $\Delta_{\text{fus}} H$     | enthalpy change of fusion   | $\chi^{\text{P}}$             | Pauling electronegativity                                      |
| $\Delta_{\text{hyd}} H$     | enthalpy change of hydration  | $\psi$                        | wavefunction   |
| $\Delta_{\text{lattice}} H$ | enthalpy change for the formation of an ionic lattice   | $\Omega$                      | ohm (unit of resistance)                                       |
| $\Delta_r H$                | enthalpy change of reaction   |                               |  |
| $\Delta_{\text{sol}} H$     | enthalpy change of solution   |                               |  |
| $\Delta_{\text{solv}} H$    | enthalpy change of solvation  |                               |  |
| $\Delta_{\text{vap}} H$     | enthalpy change of vaporization   | 2c-2e                         | two-centre two-electron  |
| $\Delta G^\circ$            | standard Gibbs energy change  | 3c-2e                         | three-centre two-electron                                      |
| $\Delta G^\ddagger$         | Gibbs energy of activation  |                               |  |
| $\Delta_f G$                | Gibbs energy change of formation  | (+)-                          | label for specific rotation of an enantiomer (see Box 19.2)    |
| $\Delta_r G$                | Gibbs energy change of reaction   | (-)-                          | label for specific rotation of an enantiomer (see Box 19.2)    |
| $\Delta S$                  | entropy change  | $^\circ$ or $^\ominus$        | standard state   |
| $\Delta S^\circ$            | standard entropy change   | $\ddagger$                    | (called a ‘double dagger’) activated complex; transition state |
| $\Delta S^\ddagger$         | entropy change of activation  | $^\circ$                      | degree   |
| $\Delta U(0\text{ K})$      | internal energy change at 0 K   | $>$                           | is greater than  |
| $\Delta V^\ddagger$         | volume of activation  | $\gg$                         | is much greater than   |
|                             |   | $<$                           | is less than   |
| $\varepsilon$               | molar extinction (or absorption) coefficient  | $\ll$                         | is much less than  |
| $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$  | molar extinction coefficient corresponding to an absorption maximum (in an electronic spectrum) | $\geq$                        | is greater than or equal to                                    |
| $\varepsilon_0$             | permittivity of a vacuum  | $\leq$                        | is less than or equal to                                       |
| $\varepsilon_r$             | relative permittivity (dielectric constant)   | $\approx$                     | is approximately equal to                                      |
| $\eta$                      | hapticity of a ligand (see Box 18.1)  | $=$                           | is equal to  |
| $\lambda$ -                 | label for an enantiomer (see Box 19.2)  | $\neq$                        | is not equal to  |
| $\lambda$                   | spin–orbit coupling constant  | $\rightleftharpoons$          | equilibrium  |
| $\lambda$                   | wavelength  | $\propto$                     | is proportional to   |
| $\lambda_{\text{max}}$      | wavelength corresponding to an absorption maximum (in an electronic spectrum)                   | $\times$                      | multiplied by  |
| $\Lambda$ -                 | label for enantiomer with left-handedness (see Box 19.2)  | $\infty$                      | infinity   |
|                             |   | $\pm$                         | plus or minus  |
| $\mu$                       | electric dipole moment  | $\sqrt{\quad}$                | square root of   |
| $\mu$                       | reduced mass  | $\sqrt[3]{\quad}$             | cube root of   |
| $\mu$                       | refractive index  | $ x $                         | modulus of $x$   |
| $\mu(\text{spin only})$     | spin-only magnetic moment   | $\sum$                        | summation of   |
| $\mu_{\text{B}}$            | Bohr magneton   | $\Delta$                      | change in (for example, $\Delta H$ is ‘change in enthalpy’)    |
| $\mu_{\text{eff}}$          | effective magnetic moment   | $\sphericalangle$             | angle  |
| $\mu_i$                     | chemical potential of component $i$   | $\log$                        | logarithm to base 10 ( $\log_{10}$ )                           |
| $\mu_i^\circ$               | standard chemical potential of $i$  | $\ln$                         | natural logarithm, i.e. logarithm to base $e$ ( $\log_e$ )     |
| $\mu$ -                     | bridging ligand   | $\int$                        | integral of  |
| $\rho$                      | density   | $\frac{d}{dx}$                | differential with respect to $x$                               |
| $\sigma$                    | mirror plane  | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | partial differential with respect to $x$                       |

# Appendix 3

## Selected character tables

The character tables given in this appendix are for some commonly encountered point groups. Complete tables are available in many physical and theoretical chemistry texts, e.g. see Chapter 3 reading list.

| $C_1$ | $E$ |
|-------|-----|
| $A$   | 1   |

| $C_s$ | $E$ | $\sigma_h$ |               |                     |
|-------|-----|------------|---------------|---------------------|
| $A'$  | 1   | 1          | $x, y, R_z$   | $x^2, y^2, z^2, xy$ |
| $A''$ | 1   | -1         | $z, R_x, R_y$ | $yz, xz$            |

| $C_2$ | $E$ | $C_2$ |                  |                     |
|-------|-----|-------|------------------|---------------------|
| $A$   | 1   | 1     | $z, R_z$         | $x^2, y^2, z^2, xy$ |
| $B$   | 1   | -1    | $x, y, R_x, R_y$ | $yz, xz$            |

| $C_{2v}$ | $E$ | $C_2$ | $\sigma_v(xz)$ | $\sigma_v'(yz)$ |          |                 |
|----------|-----|-------|----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|
| $A_1$    | 1   | 1     | 1              | 1               | $z$      | $x^2, y^2, z^2$ |
| $A_2$    | 1   | 1     | -1             | -1              | $R_z$    | $xy$            |
| $B_1$    | 1   | -1    | 1              | -1              | $x, R_y$ | $xz$            |
| $B_2$    | 1   | -1    | -1             | 1               | $y, R_x$ | $yz$            |

| $C_{3v}$ | $E$ | $2C_3$ | $3\sigma_v$ |                     |                            |
|----------|-----|--------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| $A_1$    | 1   | 1      | 1           | $z$                 | $x^2 + y^2, z^2$           |
| $A_2$    | 1   | 1      | -1          | $R_z$               |                            |
| $E$      | 2   | -1     | 0           | $(x, y) (R_x, R_y)$ | $(x^2 - y^2, xy) (xz, yz)$ |

| $C_{4v}$ | $E$ | $2C_4$ | $C_2$ | $2\sigma_v$ | $2\sigma_d$ |                     |                  |
|----------|-----|--------|-------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|
| $A_1$    | 1   | 1      | 1     | 1           | 1           | $z$                 | $x^2 + y^2, z^2$ |
| $A_2$    | 1   | 1      | 1     | -1          | -1          | $R_z$               |                  |
| $B_1$    | 1   | -1     | 1     | 1           | -1          |                     | $x^2 - y^2$      |
| $B_2$    | 1   | -1     | 1     | -1          | 1           |                     | $xy$             |
| $E$      | 2   | 0      | -2    | 0           | 0           | $(x, y) (R_x, R_y)$ | $(xz, yz)$       |

| $C_{5v}$ | $E$ | $2C_5$            | $2C_5^2$          | $5\sigma_v$ |                    |                   |
|----------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| $A_1$    | 1   | 1                 | 1                 | 1           | $z$                | $x^2 + y^2, z^2$  |
| $A_2$    | 1   | 1                 | 1                 | -1          | $R_z$              |                   |
| $E_1$    | 2   | $2\cos 72^\circ$  | $2\cos 144^\circ$ | 0           | $(x, y)(R_x, R_y)$ | $(xz, yz)$        |
| $E_2$    | 2   | $2\cos 144^\circ$ | $2\cos 72^\circ$  | 0           |                    | $(x^2 - y^2, xy)$ |

| $D_2$ | $E$ | $C_2(z)$ | $C_2(y)$ | $C_2(x)$ |          |                 |
|-------|-----|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| $A$   | 1   | 1        | 1        | 1        |          | $x^2, y^2, z^2$ |
| $B_1$ | 1   | 1        | -1       | -1       | $z, R_z$ | $xy$            |
| $B_2$ | 1   | -1       | 1        | -1       | $y, R_y$ | $xz$            |
| $B_3$ | 1   | -1       | -1       | 1        | $x, R_x$ | $yz$            |

| $D_3$ | $E$ | $2C_3$ | $3C_2$ |                    |                   |                  |
|-------|-----|--------|--------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| $A_1$ | 1   | 1      | 1      |                    |                   | $x^2 + y^2, z^2$ |
| $A_2$ | 1   | 1      | -1     | $z, R_z$           |                   |                  |
| $E$   | 2   | -1     | 0      | $(x, y)(R_x, R_y)$ | $(x^2 - y^2, xy)$ | $(xz, yz)$       |

| $D_{2h}$ | $E$ | $C_2(z)$ | $C_2(y)$ | $C_2(x)$ | $i$ | $\sigma(xy)$ | $\sigma(xz)$ | $\sigma(yz)$ |                 |
|----------|-----|----------|----------|----------|-----|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| $A_g$    | 1   | 1        | 1        | 1        | 1   | 1            | 1            | 1            | $x^2, y^2, z^2$ |
| $B_{1g}$ | 1   | 1        | -1       | -1       | 1   | 1            | -1           | -1           | $R_z$ $xy$      |
| $B_{2g}$ | 1   | -1       | 1        | -1       | 1   | -1           | 1            | -1           | $R_y$ $xz$      |
| $B_{3g}$ | 1   | -1       | -1       | 1        | 1   | -1           | -1           | 1            | $R_x$ $yz$      |
| $A_u$    | 1   | 1        | 1        | 1        | -1  | -1           | -1           | -1           |                 |
| $B_{1u}$ | 1   | 1        | -1       | -1       | -1  | -1           | 1            | 1            | $z$             |
| $B_{2u}$ | 1   | -1       | 1        | -1       | -1  | 1            | -1           | 1            | $y$             |
| $B_{3u}$ | 1   | -1       | -1       | 1        | -1  | 1            | 1            | -1           | $x$             |

| $D_{3h}$ | $E$ | $2C_3$ | $3C_2$ | $\sigma_h$ | $2S_3$ | $3\sigma_v$ |              |                   |
|----------|-----|--------|--------|------------|--------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|
| $A_1'$   | 1   | 1      | 1      | 1          | 1      | 1           |              | $x^2 + y^2, z^2$  |
| $A_2'$   | 1   | 1      | -1     | 1          | 1      | -1          | $R_z$        |                   |
| $E'$     | 2   | -1     | 0      | 2          | -1     | 0           | $(x, y)$     | $(x^2 - y^2, xy)$ |
| $A_1''$  | 1   | 1      | 1      | -1         | -1     | -1          |              |                   |
| $A_2''$  | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1         | -1     | 1           | $z$          |                   |
| $E''$    | 2   | -1     | 0      | -2         | 1      | 0           | $(R_x, R_y)$ | $(xz, yz)$        |


| $D_{4h}$ | $E$ | $2C_4$ | $C_2$ | $2C_2'$ | $2C_2''$ | $i$ | $2S_4$ | $\sigma_h$ | $2\sigma_v$ | $2\sigma_d$ |                  |
|----------|-----|--------|-------|---------|----------|-----|--------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| $A_{1g}$ | 1   | 1      | 1     | 1       | 1        | 1   | 1      | 1          | 1           | 1           | $x^2 + y^2, z^2$ |
| $A_{2g}$ | 1   | 1      | 1     | -1      | -1       | 1   | 1      | 1          | -1          | -1          | $R_z$            |
| $B_{1g}$ | 1   | -1     | 1     | 1       | -1       | 1   | -1     | 1          | 1           | -1          | $x^2 - y^2$      |
| $B_{2g}$ | 1   | -1     | 1     | -1      | 1        | 1   | -1     | 1          | -1          | 1           | $xy$             |
| $E_g$    | 2   | 0      | -2    | 0       | 0        | 2   | 0      | -2         | 0           | 0           | $(R_x, R_y)$     |
| $A_{1u}$ | 1   | 1      | 1     | 1       | 1        | -1  | -1     | -1         | -1          | -1          | $(xz, yz)$       |
| $A_{2u}$ | 1   | 1      | 1     | -1      | -1       | -1  | -1     | -1         | 1           | 1           | $z$              |
| $B_{1u}$ | 1   | -1     | 1     | 1       | -1       | -1  | 1      | -1         | -1          | 1           |                  |
| $B_{2u}$ | 1   | -1     | 1     | -1      | 1        | -1  | 1      | -1         | 1           | -1          |                  |
| $E_u$    | 2   | 0      | -2    | 0       | 0        | -2  | 0      | 2          | 0           | 0           | $(x, y)$         |

| $D_{2d}$ | $E$ | $2S_4$ | $C_2$ | $2C_2'$ | $2\sigma_d$ |                                  |
|----------|-----|--------|-------|---------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| $A_1$    | 1   | 1      | 1     | 1       | 1           | $x^2 + y^2, z^2$                 |
| $A_2$    | 1   | 1      | 1     | -1      | -1          | $R_z$                            |
| $B_1$    | 1   | -1     | 1     | 1       | -1          | $x^2 - y^2$                      |
| $B_2$    | 1   | -1     | 1     | -1      | 1           | $z$<br>$xy$                      |
| $E$      | 2   | 0      | -2    | 0       | 0           | $(x, y)(R_x, R_y)$<br>$(xz, yz)$ |

| $D_{3d}$ | $E$ | $2C_3$ | $3C_2$ | $i$ | $2S_6$ | $3\sigma_d$ |   |
|----------|-----|--------|--------|-----|--------|-------------|---|
| $A_{1g}$ | 1   | 1      | 1      | 1   | 1      | 1           | $x^2 + y^2, z^2$                            |
| $A_{2g}$ | 1   | 1      | -1     | 1   | 1      | -1          | $R_z$                                       |
| $E_g$    | 2   | -1     | 0      | 2   | -1     | 0           | $(R_x, R_y)$<br>$(x^2 - y^2, xy), (xz, yz)$ |
| $A_{1u}$ | 1   | 1      | 1      | -1  | -1     | -1          |   |
| $A_{2u}$ | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1  | -1     | 1           | $z$   |
| $E_u$    | 2   | -1     | 0      | -2  | 1      | 0           | $(x, y)$                                    |

| $T_d$ | $E$ | $8C_3$ | $3C_2$ | $6S_4$ | $6\sigma_d$ |                                 |
|-------|-----|--------|--------|--------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| $A_1$ | 1   | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1           | $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$               |
| $A_2$ | 1   | 1      | 1      | -1     | -1          |                                 |
| $E$   | 2   | -1     | 2      | 0      | 0           | $(2z^2 - x^2 - y^2, x^2 - y^2)$ |
| $T_1$ | 3   | 0      | -1     | 1      | -1          | $(R_x, R_y, R_z)$               |
| $T_2$ | 3   | 0      | -1     | -1     | 1           | $(x, y, z)$<br>$(xy, xz, yz)$   |





## Appendix 4

# The electromagnetic spectrum

The frequency of electromagnetic radiation is related to its wavelength by the equation:

$$\text{Wavelength } (\lambda) = \frac{\text{Speed of light } (c)}{\text{Frequency } (\nu)}$$

where  $c = 3.0 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ .


$$\text{Wavenumber } (\bar{\nu}) = \frac{1}{\text{Wavelength}}$$

with units in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (pronounced 'reciprocal centimetre')

Energy ( $E$ ) = Planck's constant ( $h$ )  $\times$  Frequency ( $\nu$ ) where  $h = 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$

(continued over the page)

The energy given in the last column is measured per mole of photons.



# Appendix 5

## Naturally occurring isotopes and their abundances

Data from *WebElements* by Mark Winter. Further information on radiocative nuclides can be found using the Web link [www.webelements.com](http://www.webelements.com)

| Element     | Symbol | Atomic number, Z | Mass number of isotope (% abundance)  |
|-------------|--------|------------------|---|
| Actinium    | Ac     | 89               | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 224–229   |
| Aluminium   | Al     | 13               | 27(100)   |
| Americium   | Am     | 95               | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 237–245   |
| Antimony    | Sb     | 51               | 121(57.3), 123(42.7)  |
| Argon       | Ar     | 18               | 36(0.34), 38(0.06), 40(99.6)  |
| Arsenic     | As     | 33               | 75(100)   |
| Astatine    | At     | 85               | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 205–211   |
| Barium      | Ba     | 56               | 130(0.11), 132(0.10), 134(2.42), 135(6.59), 136(7.85), 137(11.23), 138(71.70)               |
| Berkelium   | Bk     | 97               | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 243–250   |
| Beryllium   | Be     | 4                | 9(100)  |
| Bismuth     | Bi     | 83               | 209(100)  |
| Boron       | B      | 5                | 10(19.9), 11(80.1)  |
| Bromine     | Br     | 35               | 79(50.69), 81(49.31)  |
| Cadmium     | Cd     | 48               | 106(1.25), 108(0.89), 110(12.49), 111(12.80), 112(24.13), 113(12.22), 114(28.73), 116(7.49) |
| Caesium     | Cs     | 55               | 133(100)  |
| Calcium     | Ca     | 20               | 40(96.94), 42(0.65), 43(0.13), 44(2.09), 48(0.19)   |
| Californium | Cf     | 98               | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 246–255   |
| Carbon      | C      | 6                | 12(98.9), 13(1.1)   |
| Cerium      | Ce     | 58               | 136(0.19), 138(0.25), 140(88.48), 142(11.08)  |
| Chlorine    | Cl     | 17               | 35(75.77), 37(24.23)  |
| Chromium    | Cr     | 24               | 50(4.345), 52(83.79), 53(9.50), 54(2.365)   |
| Cobalt      | Co     | 27               | 59(100)   |
| Copper      | Cu     | 29               | 63(69.2), 65(30.8)  |
| Curium      | Cm     | 96               | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 240–250   |
| Dysprosium  | Dy     | 66               | 156(0.06), 158(0.10), 160(2.34), 161(18.9), 162(25.5), 163(24.9), 164(28.2)                 |
| Einsteinium | Es     | 99               | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 249–256   |
| Erbium      | Er     | 68               | 162(0.14), 164(1.61), 166(33.6), 167(22.95), 168(26.8), 170(14.9)                           |
| Europium    | Eu     | 63               | 151(47.8), 153(52.2)  |
| Fermium     | Fm     | 100              | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 251–257   |
| Fluorine    | F      | 9                | 19(100)   |
| Francium    | Fr     | 87               | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 210–227   |
| Gadolinium  | Gd     | 64               | 152(0.20), 154(2.18), 155(14.80), 156(20.47), 157(15.65), 158(24.84), 160(21.86)            |
| Gallium     | Ga     | 31               | 69(60.1), 71(39.9)  |
| Germanium   | Ge     | 32               | 70(20.5), 72(27.4), 73(7.8), 74(36.5), 76(7.8)  |
| Gold        | Au     | 79               | 197(100)  |
| Hafnium     | Hf     | 72               | 174(0.16), 176(5.20), 177(18.61), 178(27.30), 179(13.63), 180(35.10)                        |
| Helium      | He     | 2                | 3(<0.001), 4(>99.999)   |
| Holmium     | Ho     | 67               | 165(100)  |
| Hydrogen    | H      | 1                | 1(99.985), 2(0.015)   |
| Indium      | In     | 49               | 113(4.3), 115(95.7)   |
| Iodine      | I      | 53               | 127(100)  |
| Iridium     | Ir     | 77               | 191(37.3), 193(62.7)  |
| Iron        | Fe     | 26               | 54(5.8), 56(91.7), 57(2.2), 58(0.3)   |
| Krypton     | Kr     | 36               | 78(0.35), 80(2.25), 82(11.6), 83(11.5), 84(57.0), 86(17.3)                                  |
| Lanthanum   | La     | 57               | 138(0.09), 139(99.91)   |

| Element      | Symbol | Atomic number, <i>Z</i> | Mass number of isotope (% abundance)  |
|--------------|--------|-------------------------|---|
| Lawrencium   | Lr     | 103                     | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 253–262   |
| Lead         | Pb     | 82                      | 204(1.4), 206(24.1), 207(22.1), 208(52.4)   |
| Lithium      | Li     | 3                       | 6(7.5), 7(92.5)   |
| Lutetium     | Lu     | 71                      | 175(97.41), 176(2.59)   |
| Magnesium    | Mg     | 12                      | 24(78.99), 25(10.00), 26(11.01)   |
| Manganese    | Mn     | 25                      | 55 (100)  |
| Mendelevium  | Md     | 101                     | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 247–260   |
| Mercury      | Hg     | 80                      | 196(0.14), 198(10.02), 199(16.84), 200(23.13), 201(13.22), 202(29.80), 204(6.85)                                |
| Molybdenum   | Mo     | 42                      | 92(14.84), 94(9.25), 95(15.92), 96(16.68), 97(9.55), 98(24.13), 100(9.63)                                       |
| Neodymium    | Nd     | 60                      | 142(27.13), 143(12.18), 144(23.80), 145(8.30), 146(17.19), 148(5.76), 150(5.64)                                 |
| Neon         | Ne     | 10                      | 20(90.48), 21(0.27), 22(9.25)   |
| Neptunium    | Np     | 93                      | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 234–240   |
| Nickel       | Ni     | 28                      | 58(68.27), 60(26.10), 61(1.13), 62(3.59), 64(0.91)  |
| Niobium      | Nb     | 41                      | 93(100)   |
| Nitrogen     | N      | 7                       | 14(99.63), 15(0.37)   |
| Nobelium     | No     | 102                     | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 250–262   |
| Osmium       | Os     | 76                      | 184(0.02), 186(1.58), 187(1.6), 188(13.3), 189(16.1), 190(26.4), 192(41.0)                                      |
| Oxygen       | O      | 8                       | 16(99.76), 17(0.04), 18(0.20)   |
| Palladium    | Pd     | 46                      | 102(1.02), 104(11.14), 105(22.33), 106(27.33), 108(26.46), 110(11.72)   |
| Phosphorus   | P      | 15                      | 31(100)   |
| Platinum     | Pt     | 78                      | 190(0.01), 192(0.79), 194(32.9), 195(33.8), 196(25.3), 198(7.2)   |
| Plutonium    | Pu     | 94                      | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 234–246   |
| Polonium     | Po     | 84                      | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 204–210   |
| Potassium    | K      | 19                      | 39(93.26), 40(0.01), 41(6.73)   |
| Praseodymium | Pr     | 59                      | 141(100)  |
| Promethium   | Pm     | 61                      | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 141–151   |
| Protactinium | Pa     | 91                      | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 228–234   |
| Radium       | Ra     | 88                      | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 223–230   |
| Radon        | Rn     | 86                      | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 208–224   |
| Rhenium      | Re     | 75                      | 185(37.40), 187(62.60)  |
| Rhodium      | Rh     | 45                      | 103(100)  |
| Rubidium     | Rb     | 37                      | 85(72.16), 87(27.84)  |
| Ruthenium    | Ru     | 44                      | 96(5.52), 98(1.88), 99(12.7), 100(12.6), 101(17.0), 102(31.6), 104(18.7)  |
| Samarium     | Sm     | 62                      | 144(3.1), 147(15.0), 148(11.3), 149(13.8), 150(7.4), 152(26.7), 154(22.7)                                       |
| Scandium     | Sc     | 21                      | 45(100)   |
| Selenium     | Se     | 34                      | 74(0.9), 76(9.2), 77(7.6), 78(23.6), 80(49.7), 82(9.0)  |
| Silicon      | Si     | 14                      | 28(92.23), 29(4.67), 30(3.10)   |
| Silver       | Ag     | 47                      | 107(51.84), 109(48.16)  |
| Sodium       | Na     | 11                      | 23(100)   |
| Strontium    | Sr     | 38                      | 84(0.56), 86(9.86), 87(7.00), 88(82.58)   |
| Sulfur       | S      | 16                      | 32(95.02), 33(0.75), 34(4.21), 36(0.02)   |
| Tantalum     | Ta     | 73                      | 180(0.01), 181(99.99)   |
| Technetium   | Tc     | 43                      | artificial isotopes only; mass number range 95–99   |
| Tellurium    | Te     | 52                      | 120(0.09), 122(2.60), 123(0.91), 124(4.82), 125(7.14), 126(18.95), 128(31.69), 130(33.80)                       |
| Terbium      | Tb     | 65                      | 159(100)  |
| Thallium     | Tl     | 81                      | 203(29.52), 205(70.48)  |
| Thorium      | Th     | 90                      | 232(100)  |
| Thulium      | Tm     | 69                      | 169(100)  |
| Tin          | Sn     | 50                      | 112(0.97), 114(0.65), 115(0.36), 116(14.53), 117(7.68), 118(24.22), 119(8.58), 120(32.59), 122(4.63), 124(5.79) |
| Titanium     | Ti     | 22                      | 46(8.0), 47(7.3), 48(73.8), 49(5.5), 50(5.4)  |
| Tungsten     | W      | 74                      | 180(0.13), 182(26.3), 183(14.3), 184(30.67), 186(28.6)  |
| Uranium      | U      | 92                      | 234(0.005), 235(0.72), 236(99.275)  |
| Vanadium     | V      | 23                      | 50(0.25), 51(99.75)   |
| Xenon        | Xe     | 54                      | 124(0.10), 126(0.09), 128(1.91), 129(26.4), 130(4.1), 131(21.2), 132(26.9), 134(10.4), 136(8.9)                 |
| Ytterbium    | Yb     | 70                      | 168(0.13), 170(3.05), 171(14.3), 172(21.9), 173(16.12), 174(31.8), 176(12.7)                                    |
| Yttrium      | Y      | 39                      | 89(100)   |
| Zinc         | Zn     | 30                      | 64(48.6), 66(27.9), 67(4.1), 68(18.8), 70(0.6)  |
| Zirconium    | Zr     | 40                      | 90(51.45), 91(11.22), 92(17.15), 94(17.38), 96(2.8)   |

# Appendix 6

## Van der Waals, metallic, covalent and ionic radii for the *s*-, *p*- and first row *d*-block elements

The ionic radius varies with the charge and coordination number of the ion; a coordination number of 6 refers to octahedral coordination, and of 4 refers to tetrahedral unless otherwise specified. Data for the heavier *d*-block metals and the lanthanoids and actinoids are listed in Tables 22.1 and 24.1.

|                 | Element | Van der Waals radius, $r_v$ / pm | Metallic radius for 12-coordinate metal, $r_{\text{metal}}$ / pm | Covalent radius, $r_{\text{cov}}$ / pm | Ionic radius                        |               |                                |
|-----------------|---------|----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
|                 |         |                                  |  |  | Ionic radius, $r_{\text{ion}}$ / pm | Charge on ion | Coordination number of the ion |
| <b>Hydrogen</b> | H       | 120                              |  | 37 <sup>‡</sup>                        |                                     |               |                                |
| <b>Group 1</b>  | Li      |                                  | 157  |  | 76                                  | 1+            | 6                              |
|                 | Na      |                                  | 191  |  | 102                                 | 1+            | 6                              |
|                 | K       |                                  | 235  |  | 138                                 | 1+            | 6                              |
|                 | Rb      |                                  | 250  |  | 149                                 | 1+            | 6                              |
|                 | Cs      |                                  | 272  |  | 170                                 | 1+            | 6                              |
| <b>Group 2</b>  | Be      |                                  | 112  |  | 27                                  | 2+            | 4                              |
|                 | Mg      |                                  | 160  |  | 72                                  | 2+            | 6                              |
|                 | Ca      |                                  | 197  |  | 100                                 | 2+            | 6                              |
|                 | Sr      |                                  | 215  |  | 126                                 | 2+            | 8                              |
|                 | Ba      |                                  | 224  |  | 142                                 | 2+            | 8                              |
| <b>Group 13</b> | B       | 208                              |  | 88                                     |                                     |               |                                |
|                 | Al      |                                  | 143  | 130                                    | 54                                  | 3+            | 6                              |
|                 | Ga      |                                  | 153  | 122                                    | 62                                  | 3+            | 6                              |
|                 | In      |                                  | 167  | 150                                    | 80                                  | 3+            | 6                              |
|                 | Tl      |                                  | 171  | 155                                    | 89                                  | 3+            | 6                              |
|                 |         |                                  |  |  | 159                                 | 1+            | 8                              |
| <b>Group 14</b> | C       | 185                              |  | 77                                     |                                     |               |                                |
|                 | Si      | 210                              |  | 118                                    |                                     |               |                                |
|                 | Ge      |                                  |  | 122                                    | 53                                  | 4+            | 6                              |
|                 | Sn      |                                  | 158  | 140                                    | 74                                  | 4+            | 6                              |
|                 | Pb      |                                  | 175  | 154                                    | 119                                 | 2+            | 6                              |
|                 |         |                                  |  |  | 65                                  | 4+            | 4                              |
|                 |         |                                  |  |  | 78                                  | 4+            | 6                              |
| <b>Group 15</b> | N       | 154                              |  | 75                                     | 171                                 | 3-            | 6                              |
|                 | P       | 190                              |  | 110                                    |                                     |               |                                |
|                 | As      | 200                              |  | 122                                    |                                     |               |                                |
|                 | Sb      | 220                              |  | 143                                    |                                     |               |                                |
|                 | Bi      | 240                              | 182  | 152                                    | 103                                 | 3+            | 6                              |
|                 |         |                                  |  |  | 76                                  | 5+            | 6                              |
| <b>Group 16</b> | O       | 140                              |  | 73                                     | 140                                 | 2-            | 6                              |
|                 | S       | 185                              |  | 103                                    | 184                                 | 2-            | 6                              |
|                 | Se      | 200                              |  | 117                                    | 198                                 | 2-            | 6                              |
|                 | Te      | 220                              |  | 135                                    | 211                                 | 2-            | 6                              |

<sup>‡</sup> Sometimes it is more appropriate to use a value of 30 pm in organic compounds.

|  | Element | Van der Waals radius, $r_v$ / pm | Metallic radius for 12-coordinate metal, $r_{\text{metal}}$ / pm | Covalent radius, $r_{\text{cov}}$ / pm | Ionic radius                        |                   |                                |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
|  |         |                                  |  |  | Ionic radius, $r_{\text{ion}}$ / pm | Charge on ion     | Coordination number of the ion |
| <b>Group 17</b>                          | F       | 135                              |  | 71                                     | 133                                 | 1-                | 6                              |
|  | Cl      | 180                              |  | 99                                     | 181                                 | 1-                | 6                              |
|  | Br      | 195                              |  | 114                                    | 196                                 | 1-                | 6                              |
|  | I       | 215                              |  | 133                                    | 220                                 | 1-                | 6                              |
| <b>Group 18</b>                          | He      | 99                               |  |  |                                     |                   |                                |
|  | Ne      | 160                              |  |  |                                     |                   |                                |
|  | Ar      | 191                              |  |  |                                     |                   |                                |
|  | Kr      | 197                              |  |  |                                     |                   |                                |
|  | Xe      | 214                              |  |  |                                     |                   |                                |
| <b>First row <i>d</i>-block elements</b> | Sc      |                                  | 164  |  | 75                                  | 3+                | 6                              |
|  | Ti      |                                  | 147  |  | 86                                  | 2+                | 6                              |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 67                                  | 3+                | 6                              |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 61                                  | 4+                | 6                              |
|  | V       |                                  | 135  |  | 79                                  | 2+                | 6                              |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 64                                  | 3+                | 6                              |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 58                                  | 4+                | 6                              |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 53                                  | 4+                | 5                              |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 54                                  | 5+                | 6                              |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 46                                  | 5+                | 5                              |
|  | Cr      |                                  | 129  |  | 73                                  | 2+                | 6 (low-spin)                   |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 80                                  | 2+                | 6 (high-spin)                  |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 62                                  | 3+                | 6                              |
|  | Mn      |                                  | 137  |  | 67                                  | 2+                | 6 (low-spin)                   |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 83                                  | 2+                | 6 (high-spin)                  |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 58                                  | 3+                | 6 (low-spin)                   |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 65                                  | 3+                | 6 (high-spin)                  |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 39                                  | 4+                | 4                              |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 53                                  | 4+                | 6                              |
|  | Fe      |                                  | 126  |  | 61                                  | 2+                | 6 (low-spin)                   |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 78                                  | 2+                | 6 (high-spin)                  |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 55                                  | 3+                | 6 (low-spin)                   |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 65                                  | 3+                | 6 (high-spin)                  |
|  | Co      |                                  | 125  |  | 65                                  | 2+                | 6 (low-spin)                   |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 75                                  | 2+                | 6 (high-spin)                  |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 55                                  | 3+                | 6 (low-spin)                   |
|  |         |                                  |  |  | 61                                  | 3+                | 6 (high-spin)                  |
|  | Ni      |                                  | 125  |  | 55                                  | 2+                | 4                              |
|  |         |                                  |  | 44                                     | 2+                                  | 4 (square planar) |                                |
|  |         |                                  |  | 69                                     | 2+                                  | 6                 |                                |
|  |         |                                  |  | 56                                     | 3+                                  | 6 (low-spin)      |                                |
|  |         |                                  |  | 60                                     | 3+                                  | 6 (high-spin)     |                                |
| Cu                                       |         | 128                              |  | 46                                     | 1+                                  | 2                 |                                |
|  |         |                                  |  | 60                                     | 1+                                  | 4                 |                                |
|  |         |                                  |  | 57                                     | 2+                                  | 4 (square planar) |                                |
|  |         |                                  |  | 73                                     | 2+                                  | 6                 |                                |
| Zn                                       |         | 137                              |  | 60                                     | 2+                                  | 4                 |                                |
|  |         |                                  |  | 74                                     | 2+                                  | 6                 |                                |

# Appendix 7

## Pauling electronegativity values ( $\chi^P$ ) for selected elements of the periodic table






Values are dependent on oxidation state.

| Group 1   | Group 2   |                           | Group 13                       | Group 14                       | Group 15       | Group 16  | Group 17  |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| H<br>2.2  |           |                           |                                |                                |                |           |           |
| Li<br>1.0 | Be<br>1.6 |                           | B<br>2.0                       | C<br>2.6                       | N<br>3.0       | O<br>3.4  | F<br>4.0  |
| Na<br>0.9 | Mg<br>1.3 |                           | Al(III)<br>1.6                 | Si<br>1.9                      | P<br>2.2       | S<br>2.6  | Cl<br>3.2 |
| K<br>0.8  | Ca<br>1.0 | <i>(d-block elements)</i> | Ga(III)<br>1.8                 | Ge(IV)<br>2.0                  | As(III)<br>2.2 | Se<br>2.6 | Br<br>3.0 |
| Rb<br>0.8 | Sr<br>0.9 |                           | In(III)<br>1.8                 | Sn(II)<br>1.8<br>Sn(IV)<br>2.0 | Sb<br>2.1      | Te<br>2.1 | I<br>2.7  |
| Cs<br>0.8 | Ba<br>0.9 |                           | Tl(I)<br>1.6<br>Tl(III)<br>2.0 | Pb(II)<br>1.9<br>Pb(IV)<br>2.3 | Bi<br>2.0      | Po<br>2.0 | At<br>2.2 |

# Appendix 8

## Ground state electronic configurations of the elements and ionization energies for the first five ionizations<sup>‡</sup>

$IE(n)$  in  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  for the processes:



| Atomic number, $Z$ | Element | Ground state electronic configuration | $IE(1)$ | $IE(2)$ | $IE(3)$ | $IE(4)$ | $IE(5)$ |
|--------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1                  | H       | $1s^1$                                | 1312    |         |         |         |         |
| 2                  | He      | $1s^2 = [\text{He}]$                  | 2372    | 5250    |         |         |         |
| 3                  | Li      | $[\text{He}]2s^1$                     | 520.2   | 7298    | 11820   |         |         |
| 4                  | Be      | $[\text{He}]2s^2$                     | 899.5   | 1757    | 14850   | 21010   |         |
| 5                  | B       | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^1$                | 800.6   | 2427    | 3660    | 25030   | 32830   |
| 6                  | C       | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^2$                | 1086    | 2353    | 4620    | 6223    | 37830   |
| 7                  | N       | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^3$                | 1402    | 2856    | 4578    | 7475    | 9445    |
| 8                  | O       | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^4$                | 1314    | 3388    | 5300    | 7469    | 10990   |
| 9                  | F       | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^5$                | 1681    | 3375    | 6050    | 8408    | 11020   |
| 10                 | Ne      | $[\text{He}]2s^2 2p^6 = [\text{Ne}]$  | 2081    | 3952    | 6122    | 9371    | 12180   |
| 11                 | Na      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^1$                     | 495.8   | 4562    | 6910    | 9543    | 13350   |
| 12                 | Mg      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2$                     | 737.7   | 1451    | 7733    | 10540   | 13630   |
| 13                 | Al      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^1$                | 577.5   | 1817    | 2745    | 11580   | 14840   |
| 14                 | Si      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^2$                | 786.5   | 1577    | 3232    | 4356    | 16090   |
| 15                 | P       | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^3$                | 1012    | 1907    | 2914    | 4964    | 6274    |
| 16                 | S       | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^4$                | 999.6   | 2252    | 3357    | 4556    | 7004    |
| 17                 | Cl      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^5$                | 1251    | 2298    | 3822    | 5159    | 6540    |
| 18                 | Ar      | $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 3p^6 = [\text{Ar}]$  | 1521    | 2666    | 3931    | 5771    | 7238    |
| 19                 | K       | $[\text{Ar}]4s^1$                     | 418.8   | 3052    | 4420    | 5877    | 7975    |
| 20                 | Ca      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2$                     | 589.8   | 1145    | 4912    | 6491    | 8153    |
| 21                 | Sc      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^1$                | 633.1   | 1235    | 2389    | 7091    | 8843    |
| 22                 | Ti      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^2$                | 658.8   | 1310    | 2653    | 4175    | 9581    |
| 23                 | V       | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^3$                | 650.9   | 1414    | 2828    | 4507    | 6299    |
| 24                 | Cr      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^1 3d^5$                | 652.9   | 1591    | 2987    | 4743    | 6702    |
| 25                 | Mn      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^5$                | 717.3   | 1509    | 3248    | 4940    | 6990    |
| 26                 | Fe      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^6$                | 762.5   | 1562    | 2957    | 5290    | 7240    |
| 27                 | Co      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^7$                | 760.4   | 1648    | 3232    | 4950    | 7670    |
| 28                 | Ni      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^8$                | 737.1   | 1753    | 3395    | 5300    | 7339    |
| 29                 | Cu      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^1 3d^{10}$             | 745.5   | 1958    | 3555    | 5536    | 7700    |
| 30                 | Zn      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^{10}$             | 906.4   | 1733    | 3833    | 5730    | 7970    |
| 31                 | Ga      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^{10} 4p^1$        | 578.8   | 1979    | 2963    | 6200    |         |
| 32                 | Ge      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^2 3d^{10} 4p^2$        | 762.2   | 1537    | 3302    | 4411    | 9020    |

<sup>‡</sup> Values are from several sources, but mostly from the *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics* (1993) 74th edn, CRC Press, Boca Raton, and from the NIST Physics Laboratory, Physical Reference Data. The values in  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  are quoted to four significant figures or less depending upon the accuracy of the original data in eV. A conversion factor of  $1 \text{ eV} = 96.485 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  has been applied.

| Atomic number, $Z$ | Element | Ground state electronic configuration      | $IE(1)$ | $IE(2)$ | $IE(3)$ | $IE(4)$ | $IE(5)$ |
|--------------------|---------|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 33                 | As      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^23d^{10}4p^3$               | 947.0   | 1798    | 2735    | 4837    | 6043    |
| 34                 | Se      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^23d^{10}4p^4$               | 941.0   | 2045    | 2974    | 4144    | 6590    |
| 35                 | Br      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^23d^{10}4p^5$               | 1140    | 2100    | 3500    | 4560    | 5760    |
| 36                 | Kr      | $[\text{Ar}]4s^23d^{10}4p^6 = [\text{Kr}]$ | 1351    | 2350    | 3565    | 5070    | 6240    |
| 37                 | Rb      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^1$                          | 403.0   | 2633    | 3900    | 5080    | 6850    |
| 38                 | Sr      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^2$                          | 549.5   | 1064    | 4138    | 5500    | 6910    |
| 39                 | Y       | $[\text{Kr}]5s^24d^1$                      | 599.8   | 1181    | 1980    | 5847    | 7430    |
| 40                 | Zr      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^24d^2$                      | 640.1   | 1267    | 2218    | 3313    | 7752    |
| 41                 | Nb      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^14d^4$                      | 652.1   | 1382    | 2416    | 3700    | 4877    |
| 42                 | Mo      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^14d^5$                      | 684.3   | 1559    | 2618    | 4480    | 5257    |
| 43                 | Tc      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^24d^5$                      | 702     | 1472    | 2850    |         |         |
| 44                 | Ru      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^14d^7$                      | 710.2   | 1617    | 2747    |         |         |
| 45                 | Rh      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^14d^8$                      | 719.7   | 1744    | 2997    |         |         |
| 46                 | Pd      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^04d^{10}$                   | 804.4   | 1875    | 3177    |         |         |
| 47                 | Ag      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^14d^{10}$                   | 731.0   | 2073    | 3361    |         |         |
| 48                 | Cd      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^24d^{10}$                   | 867.8   | 1631    | 3616    |         |         |
| 49                 | In      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^24d^{10}5p^1$               | 558.3   | 1821    | 2704    | 5200    |         |
| 50                 | Sn      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^24d^{10}5p^2$               | 708.6   | 1412    | 2943    | 3930    | 6974    |
| 51                 | Sb      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^24d^{10}5p^3$               | 830.6   | 1595    | 2440    | 4260    | 5400    |
| 52                 | Te      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^24d^{10}5p^4$               | 869.3   | 1790    | 2698    | 3610    | 5668    |
| 53                 | I       | $[\text{Kr}]5s^24d^{10}5p^5$               | 1008    | 1846    | 3200    |         |         |
| 54                 | Xe      | $[\text{Kr}]5s^24d^{10}5p^6 = [\text{Xe}]$ | 1170    | 2046    | 3099    |         |         |
| 55                 | Cs      | $[\text{Xe}]6s^1$                          | 375.7   | 2234    | 3400    |         |         |
| 56                 | Ba      | $[\text{Xe}]6s^2$                          | 502.8   | 965.2   | 3619    |         |         |
| 57                 | La      | $[\text{Xe}]6s^25d^1$                      | 538.1   | 1067    | 1850    | 4819    | 5940    |
| 58                 | Ce      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^16s^25d^1$                  | 534.4   | 1047    | 1949    | 3546    | 6325    |
| 59                 | Pr      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^36s^2$                      | 527.2   | 1018    | 2086    | 3761    | 5551    |
| 60                 | Nd      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^46s^2$                      | 533.1   | 1035    | 2130    | 3898    |         |
| 61                 | Pm      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^56s^2$                      | 538.8   | 1052    | 2150    | 3970    |         |
| 62                 | Sm      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^66s^2$                      | 544.5   | 1068    | 2260    | 3990    |         |
| 63                 | Eu      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^76s^2$                      | 547.1   | 1085    | 2404    | 4120    |         |
| 64                 | Gd      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^76s^25d^1$                  | 593.4   | 1167    | 1990    | 4245    |         |
| 65                 | Tb      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^96s^2$                      | 565.8   | 1112    | 2114    | 3839    |         |
| 66                 | Dy      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{10}6s^2$                   | 573.0   | 1126    | 2200    | 3990    |         |
| 67                 | Ho      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{11}6s^2$                   | 581.0   | 1139    | 2204    | 4100    |         |
| 68                 | Er      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{12}6s^2$                   | 589.3   | 1151    | 2194    | 4120    |         |
| 69                 | Tm      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{13}6s^2$                   | 596.7   | 1163    | 2285    | 4120    |         |
| 70                 | Yb      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^2$                   | 603.4   | 1175    | 2417    | 4203    |         |
| 71                 | Lu      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^1$               | 523.5   | 1340    | 2022    | 4366    |         |
| 72                 | Hf      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^2$               | 658.5   | 1440    | 2250    | 3216    |         |
| 73                 | Ta      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^3$               | 728.4   | 1500    | 2100    |         |         |
| 74                 | W       | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^4$               | 758.8   | 1700    | 2300    |         |         |
| 75                 | Re      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^5$               | 755.8   | 1260    | 2510    |         |         |
| 76                 | Os      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^6$               | 814.2   | 1600    | 2400    |         |         |
| 77                 | Ir      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^7$               | 865.2   | 1680    | 2600    |         |         |
| 78                 | Pt      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^15d^9$               | 864.4   | 1791    | 2800    |         |         |
| 79                 | Au      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^15d^{10}$            | 890.1   | 1980    | 2900    |         |         |
| 80                 | Hg      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^{10}$            | 1007    | 1810    | 3300    |         |         |
| 81                 | Tl      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^{10}6p^1$        | 589.4   | 1971    | 2878    | 4900    |         |
| 82                 | Pb      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^{10}6p^2$        | 715.6   | 1450    | 3081    | 4083    | 6640    |
| 83                 | Bi      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^{10}6p^3$        | 703.3   | 1610    | 2466    | 4370    | 5400    |
| 84                 | Po      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^{10}6p^4$        | 812.1   | 1800    | 2700    |         |         |

| Atomic number, $Z$ | Element | Ground state electronic configuration             | $IE(1)$ | $IE(2)$ | $IE(3)$ | $IE(4)$ | $IE(5)$ |
|--------------------|---------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 85                 | At      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^{10}6p^5$               | 930     | 1600    | 2900    |         |         |
| 86                 | Rn      | $[\text{Xe}]4f^{14}6s^25d^{10}6p^6 = [\text{Rn}]$ | 1037    |         |         |         |         |
| 87                 | Fr      | $[\text{Rn}]7s^1$                                 | 393.0   | 2100    | 3100    |         |         |
| 88                 | Ra      | $[\text{Rn}]7s^2$                                 | 509.3   | 979.0   | 3300    |         |         |
| 89                 | Ac      | $[\text{Rn}]6d^17s^2$                             | 499     | 1170    | 1900    |         |         |
| 90                 | Th      | $[\text{Rn}]6d^27s^2$                             | 608.5   | 1110    | 1930    | 2780    |         |
| 91                 | Pa      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^27s^26d^1$                         | 568     | 1130    | 1810    |         |         |
| 92                 | U       | $[\text{Rn}]5f^37s^26d^1$                         | 597.6   | 1440    | 1840    |         |         |
| 93                 | Np      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^47s^26d^1$                         | 604.5   | 1130    | 1880    |         |         |
| 94                 | Pu      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^67s^2$                             | 581.4   | 1130    | 2100    |         |         |
| 95                 | Am      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^77s^2$                             | 576.4   | 1160    | 2160    |         |         |
| 96                 | Cm      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^77s^26d^1$                         | 578.0   | 1200    | 2050    |         |         |
| 97                 | Bk      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^97s^2$                             | 598.0   | 1190    | 2150    |         |         |
| 98                 | Cf      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{10}7s^2$                          | 606.1   | 1210    | 2280    |         |         |
| 99                 | Es      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{11}7s^2$                          | 619     | 1220    | 2330    |         |         |
| 100                | Fm      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{12}7s^2$                          | 627     | 1230    | 2350    |         |         |
| 101                | Md      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{13}7s^2$                          | 635     | 1240    | 2450    |         |         |
| 102                | No      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{14}7s^2$                          | 642     | 1250    | 2600    |         |         |
| 103                | Lr      | $[\text{Rn}]5f^{14}7s^26d^1$                      | 440 (?) |         |         |         |         |

# Appendix 9

## Electron affinities

Approximate enthalpy changes,  $\Delta_{\text{EA}}H(298 \text{ K})$ , associated with the gain of one electron by a gaseous atom or anion. A negative enthalpy ( $\Delta H$ ), but a positive electron affinity ( $EA$ ), corresponds to an exothermic process (see Section 1.10).


$$\Delta_{\text{EA}}H(298 \text{ K}) \approx \Delta U(0 \text{ K}) = -EA$$

|                 | Process   | $\approx \Delta_{\text{EA}}H / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ |
|-----------------|---|--|
| <b>Hydrogen</b> | $\text{H}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{H}^{-}(\text{g})$      | -73  |
| <b>Group 1</b>  | $\text{Li}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Li}^{-}(\text{g})$    | -60  |
|                 | $\text{Na}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Na}^{-}(\text{g})$    | -53  |
|                 | $\text{K}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{K}^{-}(\text{g})$      | -48  |
|                 | $\text{Rb}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Rb}^{-}(\text{g})$    | -47  |
|                 | $\text{Cs}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Cs}^{-}(\text{g})$    | -45  |
| <b>Group 15</b> | $\text{N}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{N}^{-}(\text{g})$      | $\approx 0$  |
|                 | $\text{P}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{P}^{-}(\text{g})$      | -72  |
|                 | $\text{As}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{As}^{-}(\text{g})$    | -78  |
|                 | $\text{Sb}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Sb}^{-}(\text{g})$    | -103   |
|                 | $\text{Bi}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Bi}^{-}(\text{g})$    | -91  |
| <b>Group 16</b> | $\text{O}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{O}^{-}(\text{g})$      | -141   |
|                 | $\text{O}^{-}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{O}^{2-}(\text{g})$ | +798   |
|                 | $\text{S}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{S}^{-}(\text{g})$      | -201   |
|                 | $\text{S}^{-}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{S}^{2-}(\text{g})$ | +640   |
|                 | $\text{Se}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Se}^{-}(\text{g})$    | -195   |
|                 | $\text{Te}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Te}^{-}(\text{g})$    | -190   |
| <b>Group 17</b> | $\text{F}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{F}^{-}(\text{g})$      | -328   |
|                 | $\text{Cl}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Cl}^{-}(\text{g})$    | -349   |
|                 | $\text{Br}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Br}^{-}(\text{g})$    | -325   |
|                 | $\text{I}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{I}^{-}(\text{g})$      | -295   |

# Appendix 10

## Standard enthalpies of atomization ( $\Delta_a H^\circ$ ) of the elements at 298 K

Enthalpies are given in  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  for the process:



Elements (E) are arranged according to their position in the periodic table. The lanthanoids and actinoids are excluded. The noble gases are omitted because they are monatomic at 298 K.

| 1                | 2                | 3                | 4                | 5                | 6                | 7                | 8                | 9                | 10               | 11               | 12               | 13               | 14               | 15               | 16                         | 17               |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| <b>H</b><br>218  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                            |                  |
| <b>Li</b><br>161 | <b>Be</b><br>324 |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  | <b>B</b><br>582  | <b>C</b><br>717  | <b>N</b><br>473  | <b>O</b><br>249            | <b>F</b><br>79   |
| <b>Na</b><br>108 | <b>Mg</b><br>146 |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  | <b>Al</b><br>330 | <b>Si</b><br>456 | <b>P</b><br>315  | <b>S</b><br>277            | <b>Cl</b><br>121 |
| <b>K</b><br>90   | <b>Ca</b><br>178 | <b>Sc</b><br>378 | <b>Ti</b><br>470 | <b>V</b><br>514  | <b>Cr</b><br>397 | <b>Mn</b><br>283 | <b>Fe</b><br>418 | <b>Co</b><br>428 | <b>Ni</b><br>430 | <b>Cu</b><br>338 | <b>Zn</b><br>130 | <b>Ga</b><br>277 | <b>Ge</b><br>375 | <b>As</b><br>302 | <b>Se</b><br>227           | <b>Br</b><br>112 |
| <b>Rb</b><br>82  | <b>Sr</b><br>164 | <b>Y</b><br>423  | <b>Zr</b><br>609 | <b>Nb</b><br>721 | <b>Mo</b><br>658 | <b>Tc</b><br>677 | <b>Ru</b><br>651 | <b>Rh</b><br>556 | <b>Pd</b><br>377 | <b>Ag</b><br>285 | <b>Cd</b><br>112 | <b>In</b><br>243 | <b>Sn</b><br>302 | <b>Sb</b><br>264 | <b>Te</b><br>197           | <b>I</b><br>107  |
| <b>Cs</b><br>78  | <b>Ba</b><br>178 | <b>La</b><br>423 | <b>Hf</b><br>619 | <b>Ta</b><br>782 | <b>W</b><br>850  | <b>Re</b><br>774 | <b>Os</b><br>787 | <b>Ir</b><br>669 | <b>Pt</b><br>566 | <b>Au</b><br>368 | <b>Hg</b><br>61  | <b>Tl</b><br>182 | <b>Pb</b><br>195 | <b>Bi</b><br>210 | <b>Po</b><br>$\approx 146$ | <b>At</b><br>92  |

# Appendix 11

## Selected standard reduction potentials (298 K)

The concentration of each aqueous solution is  $1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  and the pressure of a gaseous component is 1 bar ( $10^5 \text{ Pa}$ ). (Changing the standard pressure to 1 atm (101 300 Pa) makes no difference to the values of  $E^\circ$  at this level of accuracy.) Each half-cell listed contains the specified solution species at a concentration of  $1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; where the half-cell contains  $[\text{OH}^-]$ , the value of  $E^\circ$  refers to  $[\text{OH}^-] = 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , hence the notation  $E^\circ_{[\text{OH}^-]=1}$  (see Box 7.1).

| Reduction half-equation  | $E^\circ$ or $E^\circ_{[\text{OH}^-]=1} / \text{V}$ |
|--|---|
| $\text{Li}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Li}(\text{s})$   | -3.04   |
| $\text{Cs}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cs}(\text{s})$   | -3.03   |
| $\text{Rb}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Rb}(\text{s})$   | -2.98   |
| $\text{K}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{K}(\text{s})$   | -2.93   |
| $\text{Ca}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca}(\text{s})$   | -2.87   |
| $\text{Na}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Na}(\text{s})$   | -2.71   |
| $\text{La}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{La}(\text{s})$   | -2.38   |
| $\text{Mg}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mg}(\text{s})$   | -2.37   |
| $\text{Y}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Y}(\text{s})$   | -2.37   |
| $\text{Sc}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Sc}(\text{s})$   | -2.03   |
| $\text{Al}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Al}(\text{s})$   | -1.66   |
| $[\text{HPO}_3]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{H}_2\text{PO}_2]^{-}(\text{aq}) + 3[\text{OH}]^{-}(\text{aq})$ | -1.65   |
| $\text{Ti}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ti}(\text{s})$   | -1.63   |
| $\text{Mn}(\text{OH})_2(\text{s}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}(\text{s}) + 2[\text{OH}]^{-}(\text{aq})$  | -1.56   |
| $\text{Mn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}(\text{s})$   | -1.19   |
| $\text{V}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{V}(\text{s})$   | -1.18   |
| $\text{Te}(\text{s}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Te}^{2-}(\text{aq})$   | -1.14   |
| $2[\text{SO}_3]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 4[\text{OH}]^{-}(\text{aq}) + [\text{S}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}(\text{aq})$ | -1.12   |
| $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{SO}_3]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 2[\text{OH}]^{-}(\text{aq})$            | -0.93   |
| $\text{Se}(\text{s}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Se}^{2-}(\text{aq})$   | -0.92   |
| $\text{Cr}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cr}(\text{s})$   | -0.91   |
| $2[\text{NO}_3]^{-}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{N}_2\text{O}_4(\text{g}) + 4[\text{OH}]^{-}(\text{aq})$          | -0.85   |
| $2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2(\text{g}) + 2[\text{OH}]^{-}(\text{aq})$  | -0.82   |
| $\text{Zn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}(\text{s})$   | -0.76   |
| $\text{Cr}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cr}(\text{s})$   | -0.74   |
| $\text{S}(\text{s}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{S}^{2-}(\text{aq})$   | -0.48   |
| $[\text{NO}_2]^{-}(\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{NO}(\text{g}) + 2[\text{OH}]^{-}(\text{aq})$                        | -0.46   |
| $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}(\text{s})$   | -0.44   |
| $\text{Cr}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cr}^{2+}(\text{aq})$  | -0.41   |
| $\text{Ti}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ti}^{2+}(\text{aq})$  | -0.37   |
| $\text{PbSO}_4(\text{s}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Pb}(\text{s}) + [\text{SO}_4]^{2-}(\text{aq})$   | -0.36   |
| $\text{Tl}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Tl}(\text{s})$   | -0.34   |
| $\text{Co}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Co}(\text{s})$   | -0.28   |
| $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_3\text{PO}_3(\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$           | -0.28   |
| $\text{V}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{V}^{2+}(\text{aq})$  | -0.26   |
| $\text{Ni}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ni}(\text{s})$   | -0.25   |
| $2[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{S}_2\text{O}_6]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$      | -0.22   |

| Reduction half-equation  | $E^\circ$ or $E^\circ_{[\text{OH}^-]=1} / \text{V}$ |
|--|---|
| $\text{O}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{O}_2(\text{aq}) + 2[\text{OH}]^-(\text{aq})$            | -0.15   |
| $\text{Sn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Sn}(\text{s})$   | -0.14   |
| $\text{Pb}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Pb}(\text{s})$   | -0.13   |
| $\text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}(\text{s})$   | -0.04   |
| $2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}, 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2(\text{g}, 1 \text{ bar})$   | 0   |
| $[\text{NO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{NO}_2]^- (\text{aq}) + 2[\text{OH}]^- (\text{aq})$         | +0.01   |
| $[\text{S}_4\text{O}_6]^{2-} (\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2[\text{S}_2\text{O}_3]^{2-} (\text{aq})$  | +0.08   |
| $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+} (\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+} (\text{aq})$                                      | +0.10   |
| $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+} (\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+} (\text{aq})$                                      | +0.11   |
| $\text{S}(\text{s}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{S}(\text{aq})$   | +0.14   |
| $2[\text{NO}_2]^- (\text{aq}) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 4\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{N}_2\text{O}(\text{g}) + 6[\text{OH}]^- (\text{aq})$      | +0.15   |
| $\text{Cu}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cu}^+(\text{aq})$   | +0.15   |
| $\text{Sn}^{4+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Sn}^{2+}(\text{aq})$   | +0.15   |
| $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-} (\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{SO}_3(\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$     | +0.17   |
| $\text{AgCl}(\text{s}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ag}(\text{s}) + \text{Cl}^- (\text{aq})$  | +0.22   |
| $[\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+} (\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+} (\text{aq})$                        | +0.25   |
| $[\text{Co}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+} (\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{Co}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+} (\text{aq})$  | +0.31   |
| $\text{Cu}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cu}(\text{s})$   | +0.34   |
| $[\text{VO}]^{2+} (\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{V}^{3+} (\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$               | +0.34   |
| $[\text{ClO}_4]^- (\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{ClO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + 2[\text{OH}]^- (\text{aq})$       | +0.36   |
| $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-} (\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-} (\text{aq})$  | +0.36   |
| $\text{O}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 4\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 4[\text{OH}]^- (\text{aq})$   | +0.40   |
| $\text{Cu}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cu}(\text{s})$   | +0.52   |
| $\text{I}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{I}^- (\text{aq})$   | +0.54   |
| $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_6]^{2-} (\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_3(\text{aq})$                          | +0.56   |
| $\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{HAsO}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$         | +0.56   |
| $[\text{MnO}_4]^- (\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{MnO}_4]^{2-} (\text{aq})$   | +0.56   |
| $[\text{MnO}_4]^- (\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{MnO}_2(\text{s}) + 4[\text{OH}]^- (\text{aq})$           | +0.59   |
| $[\text{MnO}_4]^{2-} (\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{MnO}_2(\text{s}) + 4[\text{OH}]^- (\text{aq})$         | +0.60   |
| $[\text{BrO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 6\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Br}^- (\text{aq}) + 6[\text{OH}]^- (\text{aq})$           | +0.61   |
| $\text{O}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{O}_2(\text{aq})$   | +0.70   |
| $[\text{BrO}]^- (\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Br}^- (\text{aq}) + 2[\text{OH}]^- (\text{aq})$              | +0.76   |
| $\text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq})$  | +0.77   |
| $\text{Ag}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ag}(\text{s})$   | +0.80   |
| $[\text{ClO}]^- (\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cl}^- (\text{aq}) + 2[\text{OH}]^- (\text{aq})$              | +0.84   |
| $2\text{HNO}_2(\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 4\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ | +0.86   |
| $[\text{NO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + 3\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{HNO}_2(\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                 | +0.93   |
| $\text{Pd}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Pd}(\text{s})$   | +0.95   |
| $[\text{NO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{NO}(\text{g}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                    | +0.96   |
| $\text{HNO}_2(\text{aq}) + \text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{NO}(\text{g}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                           | +0.98   |
| $[\text{VO}_2]^+ (\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{VO}]^{2+} (\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$             | +0.99   |
| $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+} (\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+} (\text{aq})$  | +1.03   |
| $[\text{IO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + 6\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 6\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{I}^- (\text{aq}) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                 | +1.09   |
| $\text{Br}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Br}^- (\text{aq})$   | +1.09   |
| $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+} (\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+} (\text{aq})$                                      | +1.12   |
| $\text{Pt}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Pt}(\text{s})$   | +1.18   |
| $[\text{ClO}_4]^- (\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{ClO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$           | +1.19   |
| $2[\text{IO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + 12\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 10\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{I}_2(\text{aq}) + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$               | +1.20   |
| $\text{O}_2(\text{g}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 4\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$   | +1.23   |
| $\text{MnO}_2(\text{s}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$                             | +1.23   |
| $\text{Tl}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Tl}^+(\text{aq})$  | +1.25   |
| $2\text{HNO}_2(\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 4\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{N}_2\text{O}(\text{g}) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$              | +1.30   |

| Reduction half-equation  | $E^\circ$ or $E^\circ_{[\text{OH}^-]=1} / \text{V}$ |
|--|---|
| $[\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 14\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 6\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Cr}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 7\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$            | +1.33   |
| $\text{Cl}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Cl}^-(\text{aq})$  | +1.36   |
| $2[\text{ClO}_4]^- (\text{aq}) + 16\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 14\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cl}_2(\text{aq}) + 8\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                         | +1.39   |
| $[\text{ClO}_4]^- (\text{aq}) + 8\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 8\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cl}^-(\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                            | +1.39   |
| $[\text{BrO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + 6\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 6\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Br}^-(\text{aq}) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                            | +1.42   |
| $[\text{ClO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + 6\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 6\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cl}^-(\text{aq}) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                            | +1.45   |
| $2[\text{ClO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + 12\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 10\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cl}_2(\text{aq}) + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                         | +1.47   |
| $2[\text{BrO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + 12\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 10\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Br}_2(\text{aq}) + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                         | +1.48   |
| $\text{HOCl}(\text{aq}) + \text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cl}^-(\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                                    | +1.48   |
| $[\text{MnO}_4]^- (\text{aq}) + 8\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 5\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                         | +1.51   |
| $\text{Mn}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^{2+}(\text{aq})$  | +1.54   |
| $2\text{HOCl}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Cl}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                                 | +1.61   |
| $[\text{MnO}_4]^- (\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{MnO}_2(\text{s}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                            | +1.69   |
| $\text{PbO}_2(\text{s}) + 4\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + [\text{SO}_4]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{PbSO}_4(\text{s}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ | +1.69   |
| $\text{Ce}^{4+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ce}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  | +1.72   |
| $[\text{BrO}_4]^- (\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{BrO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                       | +1.76   |
| $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$  | +1.78   |
| $\text{Co}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Co}^{2+}(\text{aq})$  | +1.92   |
| $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_8]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}(\text{aq})$   | +2.01   |
| $\text{O}_3(\text{g}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{O}_2(\text{g}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                                       | +2.07   |
| $\text{XeO}_3(\text{aq}) + 6\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 6\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Xe}(\text{g}) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                                    | +2.10   |
| $[\text{FeO}_4]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 8\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                       | +2.20   |
| $\text{H}_4\text{XeO}_6(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{XeO}_3(\text{aq}) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$                      | +2.42   |
| $\text{F}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{F}^-(\text{aq})$  | +2.87   |

# Answers to non-descriptive problems

Full methods of working for all problems are given in the accompanying *Solutions Manual*. Where no answer is given below, guidelines are given in the *Solutions Manual*.

## Chapter 1

### Mid-chapter problems

- Each isotope: 24 e, 24 p; 26, 28, 29 and 30 n, respectively.
- Only one isotope, e.g. P, Na, Be.
- (a)  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  m, far infrared; (b)  $3 \times 10^{-10}$  m, X-ray; (c)  $6 \times 10^{-7}$  m, visible.
- (a), (e) Lyman; (b), (d) Balmer; (c) Paschen.
- 266 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>
- (a) Energy increases; (b) size increases.
- (a)  $n = 6, l = 0, m_l = 0$ ; (b)  $n = 4, l = 2, m_l = -2$ ;  $n = 4, l = 2, m_l = -1$ ;  $n = 4, l = 2, m_l = 0$ ;  $n = 4, l = 2, m_l = 1$ ;  $n = 4, l = 2, m_l = 2$ .
- (a) Same value of  $n$ ; (b) same value of  $l$ ; (c) different values of  $m_l$ ;  $n = 4, l = 1, m_l = -1$ ;  $n = 4, l = 1, m_l = 0$ ;  $n = 4, l = 1, m_l = 1$ .
- (a) 1; (b) 3; (c) 1; (d) 2; (e) 0; (f) 2.
- 146 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>; same energy.
- Spin-paired designated by  $m_s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $n = 5, l = 1, m_l = -1, m_s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $n = 5, l = 1, m_l = 0, m_s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $n = 5, l = 1, m_l = 1, m_s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ .
- $1s < 2s < 3s < 3p < 3d < 4p < 6s < 6p$ .
- Core electrons written in [ ]: (a)  $[1s^2 2s^2 2p^6] 3s^1$ ; (b)  $[1s^2] 2s^2 2p^5$ ; (c)  $[1s^2] 2s^2 2p^3$ ; (d)  $[1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6] 4s^2 3d^1$ .
- $1s^2 2s^2 2p^1$ ;  $n = 1, l = 0, m_l = 0$ ;  $m_s = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $n = 1, l = 0, m_l = 0$ ;  $m_s = -\frac{1}{2}$ ;  $n = 2, l = 0, m_l = 0$ ;  $m_s = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $n = 2, l = 0, m_l = 0$ ;  $m_s = -\frac{1}{2}$ ;  $n = 2, l = 0, m_l = 0$ ;  $m_s = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $-\frac{1}{2}$ .

### End-of-chapter problems

- (a)  $^{27}_{13}\text{Al}$ , 13 p, 13 e, 14 n; (b)  $^{79}_{35}\text{Br}$ , 35 p, 35 e, 44 n;  $^{81}_{35}\text{Br}$ , 35 p, 35 e, 46 n; (c)  $^{54}_{26}\text{Fe}$ , 26 p, 26 e, 28 n;  $^{56}_{26}\text{Fe}$ , 26 p, 26 e, 30 n;  $^{57}_{26}\text{Fe}$ , 26 p, 26 e, 31 n;  $^{58}_{26}\text{Fe}$ , 26 p, 26 e, 32 n.

- Assume  $^3\text{H}$  can be ignored; %  $^1\text{H} = 99.20$ , %  $^2\text{H} = 0.80$ .
- For  $n = 2, r = 211.7$  pm; for  $n = 3, r = 476.4$  pm.
- (a)  $n = 1, l = 0, m_l = 0$ ; (b)  $n = 4, l = 0, m_l = 0$ ; (c)  $n = 5, l = 0, m_l = 0$ .
- $n = 3, l = 1, m_l = -1$ ;  $n = 3, l = 1, m_l = 0$ ;  $n = 3, l = 1, m_l = 1$ .
- 7; 4f;  $n = 4, l = 3, m_l = -3$ ;  $n = 4, l = 3, m_l = -2$ ;  $n = 4, l = 3, m_l = -1$ ;  $n = 4, l = 3, m_l = 0$ ;  $n = 4, l = 3, m_l = 1$ ;  $n = 4, l = 3, m_l = 2$ ;  $n = 4, l = 3, m_l = 3$ .
- (b); (e).
- $n = 1, E = -1312$ ;  $n = 2, E = -328.0$ ;  $n = 3, E = -145.8$ ;  $n = 4, E = -82.00$ ;  $n = 5, E = -52.50$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>; the larger is the value of  $n$ , the higher (less negative) the energy level; the energy levels get closer together as  $n$  increases.
- Energy level diagrams similar to Figure 1.14 showing the configurations: (a)  $2s^2 2p^5$ ; (b)  $3s^2 3p^1$ ; (c)  $3s^2$ .
- (a)  $\text{Sn}^{3+}(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{Sn}^{4+}(\text{g}) + \text{e}^-$ ; endothermic; (b)  $\text{Al}(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{Al}^{3+}(\text{g}) + 3\text{e}^-$ .
- Group 1.
- (a) +657 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.
- (a) Single; (b) single; (c) double; (d) single.
- (b) VB theory predicts all to be diamagnetic.
- (a) Single; (b) single; (c) double; (d) triple; (e) single.
- (a)  $\frac{1}{2}, 1$ ; (b) yes ( $\text{H}_2$  and  $[\text{He}_2]^{2+}$  are isoelectronic).
- (b)  $\text{O}_2, 2.0$ ;  $[\text{O}_2]^+, 2.5$ ;  $[\text{O}_2]^-, 1.5$ ;  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}, 1.0$ . (c)  $\text{O}_2, [\text{O}_2]^+$  and  $[\text{O}_2]^-$ .
- (a) Polar,  $\text{N}^{\delta-}-\text{H}^{\delta+}$ ; (b) polar,  $\text{F}^{\delta-}-\text{Br}^{\delta+}$ ; (c) slightly polar,  $\text{C}^{\delta-}-\text{H}^{\delta+}$ ; (d) polar,  $\text{P}^{\delta+}-\text{Cl}^{\delta-}$ ; (e) non-polar.
- HF and  $[\text{OH}]^-$ ;  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $[\text{NO}_2]^+$ ;  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$ ;  $\text{SiCl}_4$  and  $[\text{AlCl}_4]^-$ .
- (a) Bent; (b) tetrahedral; (c) trigonal pyramidal; (d) trigonal bipyramidal; (e) trigonal pyramidal; (f) pentagonal bipyramidal; (g) linear; (h) bent; (i) trigonal planar.
- (a) Bent, polar; (b) linear, non-polar; (c) bent, polar; (d) trigonal planar, non-polar; (e) trigonal bipyramidal, non-polar; (f) planar, polar; (g) planar, non-polar; (h) linear, polar.

- 1.32** (a) Trigonal planar; no isomers; (b) tetrahedral; no isomers; (c) trigonal bipyramidal; Me, axially or equatorially sited; (d) octahedral; *cis* or *trans*.
- 1.34** (a) *trans*; (b) NSF<sub>3</sub>, no lone pair on S; (c) three lone pairs prefer to occupy equatorial sites in trigonal bipyramidal arrangement.
- 1.35** (a) Square-based pyramidal molecule; (b) 4s electron in K better shielded from nuclear charge; (c) BI<sub>3</sub>, no lone pair.
- 1.36** (a) 2nd electron removed from positively charged ion; (b) *trans* isomer converted to *cis*; (c) degenerate HOMO  $\pi_g^*(3p_x)^1\pi_g^*(3p_y)^1$ .

## Chapter 2

- 2.1** (a) 9p, 9e, 10n; (b) 27p, 27e, 32n; (c) 92p, 92e, 143n.
- 2.4** (b)  $5.98 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ .
- 2.5**  $k = 0.0039 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ;  $t_{\frac{1}{2}} = 180 \text{ s}$ .
- 2.6**  $7.55 \times 10^{-10} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .
- 2.7** Reading across each row of table:  
 $\alpha$ -particle: -2; -2; -4; yes  
 $\beta$ -particle: +1; -1; 0; yes  
 positron: -1; +1; 0; yes  
 (n, $\gamma$ ) reaction: 0; +1; +1; no
- 2.8** Refer to Table 2.1 to check answers.
- 2.9** (a)  ${}^{58}_{26}\text{Fe} + 2n \rightarrow {}^{60}_{27}\text{Co} + \beta^-$ ;  
 (b)  ${}^{55}_{25}\text{Mn} + n \rightarrow {}^{56}_{25}\text{Mn} + \gamma$ ;  
 (c)  ${}^{32}_{16}\text{S} + n \rightarrow {}^{32}_{15}\text{P} + p$ ;  
 (d)  ${}^{23}_{11}\text{Na} + \gamma \rightarrow {}^{20}_{11}\text{Na} + 3n$ .
- 2.10** (a)  ${}^{92}_{36}\text{Kr}$ ; (b)  ${}^{97}_{40}\text{Zr}$ .
- 2.11** (a) Fast; (b) slow; (c) slow.
- 2.12**  $t_{\frac{1}{2}} = 300 \text{ days}$ .
- 2.13** Shift to  $2120 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .
- 2.16**  $2.01 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .
- 2.18**  $J_{\text{PF}}$  and  $J_{\text{PH}} \gg J_{\text{HH}}$  (for directly attached pairs of nuclei).
- 2.19** 2  ${}^{13}\text{C}$  environments; each  ${}^{13}\text{C}$  couples to three equivalent  ${}^{19}\text{F}$ ; larger value of  $J_{\text{CF}}$  is due to  ${}^{19}\text{F}$  directly attached to  ${}^{13}\text{C}$  and smaller  $J_{\text{CF}}$  is long-range coupling.
- 2.20** A doublet for Ph<sub>2</sub>PH with large  $J_{\text{PH}}$ ; a singlet for PPh<sub>3</sub>.
- 2.21** (a) Coupling of  ${}^{31}\text{P}$  to 9 equivalent  ${}^1\text{H}$ ; (b) doublet  $J_{\text{PH}} = 2.7 \text{ Hz}$ .
- 2.22** (a) Coupling to two equivalent  ${}^1\text{H}$  gives triplet; (b) only 4.7% of the terminal  ${}^1\text{H}$  are attached to  ${}^{29}\text{Si}$ ; observe singlet with overlapping doublet ( $J_{\text{SiH}} = 194 \text{ Hz}$ ); relative intensities of three lines 2.35:95.3:2.35.

- 2.23** (a) Binomial quartet; coupling to three equivalent  ${}^1\text{H}$ ; (b) doublet of quartets; coupling to one  ${}^{31}\text{P}$  (gives doublet) and to three equivalent  ${}^1\text{H}$  (gives quartet).
- 2.24** (a) Disphenoidal; (b) static structure at 175 K contains two equatorial and two axial F giving two triplets ( $J_{\text{FF}}$ ); at 298 K, a fluxional process renders all  ${}^{19}\text{F}$  equivalent.
- 2.25** Consistent for all except (b); VSEPR predicts PF<sub>5</sub> is trigonal bipyramidal with two F environments, ratio 2:3.
- 2.28** SiCl<sub>4</sub>, SiCl<sub>3</sub>Br, SiCl<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>, SiClBr<sub>3</sub> and SiBr<sub>4</sub> present.
- 2.29** 3  ${}^{31}\text{P}$  environments 2:1:2, with  $J({}^{31}\text{P}-{}^{31}\text{P})$ .
- 2.30** Doublet (satellites) superimposed on singlet.
- 2.31** One signal each for SeS<sub>7</sub>, 1,2-Se<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>, 1,3-Se<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>; 2 for 1,2,3-Se<sub>3</sub>S<sub>5</sub> and 1,2,3,4-Se<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub>; 3 for 1,2,4- and 1,2,5-Se<sub>3</sub>S<sub>5</sub>.
- 2.32** Coupling to  ${}^{11}\text{B}$ ,  $I = \frac{3}{2}$ ; 1:1:1:1 quartet.
- 2.33** Me group exchange on NMR timescale.

## Chapter 3

- 3.1** (a) Trigonal planar; non-polar; (b) bent; polar; (c) trigonal pyramidal; polar; (d) linear; non-polar; (e) tetrahedral; polar.
- 3.3** (a) C<sub>8</sub>; (b) C<sub>2</sub>; (c) C<sub>5</sub>; (d) C<sub>3</sub>.
- 3.4** Bent;  $E$ , C<sub>2</sub>,  $\sigma_v$  and  $\sigma_v'$ .
- 3.5** C<sub>2</sub> axis bisecting the O—O bond.
- 3.6** Labels are C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>2</sub> ( $\times 3$ ),  $\sigma_h$  and  $\sigma_v$  ( $\times 3$ ).
- 3.7** (a) Lose C<sub>3</sub> axis, two C<sub>2</sub> axes, two  $\sigma_v$  planes; (b) lose C<sub>2</sub> axis,  $\sigma_v$  plane; (c)  $\sigma_h$  plane.
- 3.8** (a) NH<sub>3</sub>, PBr<sub>3</sub>, [SO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>; (b) SO<sub>3</sub>; AlCl<sub>3</sub>; [NO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>.
- 3.9** [ICl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>; XeF<sub>4</sub>.
- 3.10** (a) 2 (disphenoidal); (b) 2 (bent); (c) 9 (octahedral); (d) 2 (disphenoidal); (e) 2 (bent); (f) 4 (trigonal planar).
- 3.11** (a) Ethane-like; (b) staggered; (c) yes, at the midpoint of the Si—Si bond; (d) eclipsed; (e) no.
- 3.12** (a) No; (b) no; (c) yes; (d) no; (e) no; (f) yes; (g) yes; (h) no.
- 3.14** C<sub>3v</sub>.
- 3.15** Linear.
- 3.16** C<sub>4v</sub>.
- 3.17** Structure is T-shaped.
- 3.19** (a) and (e) T<sub>d</sub>; (b) and (d) C<sub>3v</sub>; (c) C<sub>2v</sub>.
- 3.20** (a) C<sub>2v</sub>; (b) yes.
- 3.21** I<sub>h</sub>.
- 3.22** (a) 3; (b) 9; (c) 4; (d) 3; (e) 6.
- 3.23** (a) 3; (b) 2; (c) 4; (d) 3; (e) 2; (f) 3.



## Chapter 7

- 7.1 (a) Ca, +2; O, -2; (b) H, +1; O, -2; (c) H, +1; F, -1; (d) Fe, +2; Cl, -1; (e) Xe, +6; F, -1; (f) Os, +8; O, -2; (g) Na, +1; S, +6; O, -2; (h) P, +5; O, -2; (i) Pd, +2; Cl, -1; (j) Cl, +7; O, -2; (k) Cr, +3; H, +1; O, -2.
- 7.2 (a) Cr, +6 to +3; (b) K, 0 to +1; (c) Fe, +3 to 0; Al, 0 to +3; (d) Mn, +7 to +4.
- 7.3 All redox reactions *except* for (c), (e) and (h); for redox, red = reduced, ox = oxidized: (a) N, red; Mg, ox; (b) N, ox; O, red; (d) Sb, ox; F in F<sub>2</sub>, red; (f) C, ox; O in O<sub>2</sub>, red; (g) Mn, red; two Cl, ox.
- 7.4 Changes are: (a) N, 2 × (-3); Mg, 3 × (+2); (b) N, 2 × (+2); O, 2 × (-2); (d) Sb, +2; F, 2 × (-1); (f) C, 2 × (+2); O, 2 × (-2); (g) Mn, -2; Cl, 2 × (+1).
- 7.5 (a)  $2\text{Ag}^+(\text{aq}) + \text{Zn}(\text{s}) \rightarrow 2\text{Ag}(\text{s}) + \text{Zn}^{2+}(\text{aq})$ ;  
 $E_{\text{cell}}^{\circ} = 1.56 \text{ V}$ ;  $\Delta G^{\circ} = -301 \text{ kJ per mole of reaction}$ ;  
 (b)  $\text{Cl}_2(\text{aq}) + 2\text{Br}^-(\text{aq}) \rightarrow 2\text{Cl}^-(\text{aq}) + \text{Br}_2(\text{aq})$ ;  
 $E_{\text{cell}}^{\circ} = 0.27 \text{ V}$ ;  $\Delta G^{\circ} = -52.1 \text{ kJ per mole of reaction}$ ;  
 (c)  $[\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7]^{2-}(\text{aq}) + 14\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 6\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq}) \rightarrow$   
 $2\text{Cr}^{3+}(\text{aq}) + 7\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 6\text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{aq})$ ;  $E_{\text{cell}}^{\circ} = 0.56 \text{ V}$ ;  
 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -324 \text{ kJ per mole of reaction}$ .
- 7.7 (a) +1.48; (b) +1.34; (c) +1.20 V.
- 7.8 (a) 1.08 V; (b) -208 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>; (c) kinetically stable; additives act as catalysts.
- 7.9 0.34 V.
- 7.10 (a) +0.74 V; (b) less easily ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$  is less negative).
- 7.11 -0.15 V.
- 7.13  $K \approx 10^{42}$ .
- 7.14 (c).
- 7.15  $\Delta G^{\circ}(298 \text{ K}) = 41.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ; disproportionation of precipitated CuCl is thermodynamically unfavourable.
- 7.18 (a)
- $$[\text{VO}_2]^+ \xrightarrow{+0.99} [\text{VO}]^{2+} \xrightarrow{+0.34} \text{V}^{3+} \xrightarrow{-0.26} \text{V}^{2+} \xrightarrow{-1.18} \text{V}$$
- (b) no species disproportionates.
- 7.20 (a) 1.22 V.
- 7.22 (a)  $[\text{ClO}_4]^-$ ; (b)  $\text{Cl}^-$ .
- 7.24 (a) +1.84 V.
- 7.26 (a) -0.78 V; (b) 0.06 V.
- 7.27 (a)  $\beta([\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+})/\beta([\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}) = 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$ ; (b)  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{3-}$  is unstable with respect to disproportionation.


## Chapter 8

- 8.3 Polar: (a); (b); (c); (d); (e); (f); (h); (i); (j).
- 8.4 (a)  $2\text{KI} + \text{Zn}(\text{NH}_2)_2$ ; (b)  $\text{K}_2[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_2)_4]$ ;

(c)  $\text{GeH}_4 + 2\text{MgBr}_2 + 4\text{NH}_3$ ; (d)  $[\text{NH}_4]^+ + [\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2]^-$ ;  
 (e)  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}_2$ ;  $\text{NaO}_2$ ; (f)  $\text{K}[\text{HC}\equiv\text{C}] + \text{NH}_3$ ; in aqu. sol.,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$  only *partially* dissociates.

- 8.5 (a)  $\text{Zn} + 2\text{NaNH}_2 + 2\text{NH}_3 \rightarrow \text{Na}_2[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_2)_4] + \text{H}_2$   
 $[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_2)_4]^{2-} + 2[\text{NH}_4]^+ \rightarrow \text{Zn}(\text{NH}_2)_2 + 4\text{NH}_3$   
 $\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_2)_2 + 2\text{NH}_4\text{I} \rightarrow [\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_3)_4]\text{I}_2$   
 (b) In water:  $2\text{K} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{KOH} + \text{H}_2$ ; in liquid NH<sub>3</sub>, at low concentrations: form  $\text{K}^+(\text{NH}_3) + \text{e}^-(\text{NH}_3)$ ; on standing,  $2\text{NH}_3 + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow 2[\text{NH}_2]^- + \text{H}_2$ .
- 8.6 (a)  $\text{H}_2\text{NNH}_2$ ; (b)  $\text{Hg}_3\text{N}_2$ ; (c)  $\text{O}_2\text{NNH}_2$ ; (d)  $\text{MeNH}_2$ ;  
 (e)  $\text{OC}(\text{NH}_2)_2$ ; (f)  $[\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6]\text{Cl}_3$ .
- 8.7  $\text{AlF}_3 + \text{NaF} \rightarrow \text{Na}[\text{AlF}_4]$  (soluble in liquid HF)  
 $\text{Na}[\text{AlF}_4] + \text{BF}_3 \rightarrow \text{AlF}_3(\text{precipitate}) + \text{Na}[\text{BF}_4]$ .
- 8.8 Species formed: (a)  $[\text{ClF}_2]^+ + [\text{HF}_2]^-$ ; (b)  $[\text{MeOH}_2]^+ + [\text{HF}_2]^-$ ; (c)  $[\text{Et}_2\text{OH}]^+ + [\text{HF}_2]^-$ ; (d)  $\text{Cs}^+ + [\text{HF}_2]^-$ ; (e)  $\text{Sr}^{2+} + 2[\text{HF}_2]^-$ ; (f)  $[\text{H}_2\text{F}]^+ + [\text{ClO}_4]^-$ .
- 8.9 (a)  $\text{H}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_7 + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow [\text{H}_3\text{SO}_4]^+ + [\text{HS}_2\text{O}_7]^-$ ;  
 (b) relatively strong acid.
- 8.10 (a) Basic;  $\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow [\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+ + [\text{HSO}_4]^-$ ;  
 (b) Basic;  $\text{NH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow [\text{NH}_4]^+ + [\text{HSO}_4]^-$ ;  
 (c)  $\text{HCO}_2\text{H} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow \text{CO} + [\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+ + [\text{HSO}_4]^-$ ;  
 (d) Basic;  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow [\text{H}_4\text{PO}_4]^+ + [\text{HSO}_4]^-$ ;  
 (e) Basic;  
 $\text{HCl} + 2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow \text{HOSO}_2\text{Cl} + [\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+ + [\text{HSO}_4]^-$
- 8.12 (a)  $\text{Ph}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}_2 + \text{HCl} \rightleftharpoons [\text{Ph}_2\text{CCH}_3]^+ + \text{Cl}^-$ ;  
 equilibrium then upset by:  $\text{Cl}^- + \text{BCl}_3 \rightarrow [\text{BCl}_4]^-$  with an increase in conductivity but further addition of  $\text{BCl}_3$  has no effect.  
 (b)  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4 \rightleftharpoons [\text{NO}]^+ + [\text{NO}_3]^-$   
 $[\text{NO}_3]^- + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightleftharpoons [\text{NO}_2]^+ + [\text{HSO}_4]^- + [\text{OH}]^-$   
 $[\text{OH}]^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightleftharpoons [\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+ + 2[\text{HSO}_4]^-$   
 Overall:  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4 + 3\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightleftharpoons$   
 $[\text{NO}]^+ + [\text{NO}_2]^+ + [\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+ + 3[\text{HSO}_4]^-$
- 8.15 (a) Terminal and bridge Al-Cl are 2c-2e bonds; localized bonding; (b)  $[\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7]^- + \text{AlCl}_3 \rightleftharpoons [\text{Al}_3\text{Cl}_{10}]^-$ .
- 8.17 (a)  $\text{BF}_3$ ;  $\text{SbF}_5$ ; (b) oxidizing agent and  $\text{F}^-$  acceptor; (c)  $\text{Na} + \text{N}_2\text{O}_4 \rightarrow \text{NO}(\text{g}) + \text{NaNO}_3$ .
- 8.18  $[\text{I}]^- = [\text{Ga}(\text{NH}_2)_4]^-$ ;  $[\text{II}]^- = [\text{Ga}(\text{NH})_2]^-$ .
- 8.19 (a)  $\text{SbCl}_3 \rightleftharpoons [\text{SbCl}_2]^+ + [\text{SbCl}_4]^-$ ; (b)  $\text{AgNO}_3 + \text{NOCl} \rightarrow \text{AgCl} + \text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ ; (c)  $\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_2)_3$ ,  $[\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_2)_4]^-$ .


## Chapter 9



- 9.3 1 : 1 : 1 three-line signal.

- 9.4 Sample contains small amounts of  $\text{CD}_2\text{HCN}$ ;  $^1\text{H}-^2\text{H}$  spin-spin coupling gives 1 : 2 : 3 : 2 : 1 signal;  $\text{CDH}_2\text{CN}$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  present in negligible amounts.

- 9.5** React  $D_2O + AlCl_3$  to prepare DCl; then  $Li[AlH_4] + DCl$ ; accurate measurement of  $M_r$ , or of density of water formed on combustion.
- 9.6** In dilute solutions, *tert*-BuOH  $\approx$  monomeric;  $3610\text{ cm}^{-1}$  due to  $\nu(\text{OH})$ ; in more concentrated solutions, hydrogen-bonded association weakens covalent O–H bond; band (broad) is shifted to lower frequency.
- 9.7**  $MCl + HCl \rightleftharpoons M[HCl_2]$  equilibrium position is governed by relative lattice energies of MCl and  $M[HCl_2]$ .
- 9.10** (a)  $KH + NH_3 \rightarrow KNH_2 + H_2$ ;  
 $KH + EtOH \rightarrow KOEt + H_2$ .
- 9.11** (a)  $2H_2O \rightarrow 2H_2 + O_2$ ;  
(b)  $2LiH \rightarrow 2Li + H_2$ ;  
(c)  $CaH_2 + H_2O \rightarrow Ca(OH)_2 + H_2$ ;  
(d)  $Mg + 2HNO_3 \rightarrow Mg(NO_3)_2 + H_2$ ;  
(e)  $2H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O$ ;  
(f)  $CuO + H_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta} Cu + H_2O$ .
- 9.12**  $H_2O_2$  is kinetically stable.
- 9.13** (b) Mg: 6-coordinate; octahedral; H: 3-coordinate; trigonal planar.
- 9.14** Ratio coordination numbers Al:H 6:2; stoichiometry 1:3.
- 9.17** (b) Symmetrical  $O\cdots H\cdots O$  in  $[H_5O_2]^+$  unit; four  $H_2O$  hydrogen bonded (asymmetrical interactions likely) to H atoms of central  $[H_5O_2]^+$ ; (c) symmetric stretch IR inactive for  $D_{3h} XY_3$ , but active for  $C_{3v} XY_3$ .
- 9.18** (a)  $-401\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .
- 9.19** (b)  $SiH_4 + LiAlCl_4$ ;  $H_2 + K[PPh_2]$ ;  $LiAlH_4 + 3LiCl$ .
- 9.20**  $BeH_2$ , polymeric chain;  $[PtH_4]^{2-}$ , square planar; NaH, saline hydride;  $[NiH_4]^{4-}$ , M(0);  $[PtH_6]^{2-}$ , M(IV);  $[TeH_9]^{2-}$ , tricapped trigonal prismatic;  $HfH_{2.1}$ , non-stoichiometric;  $AlH_3$ , 3D lattice with octahedral metals.
- 9.21** (a) Hydrogen-bonded, wurtzite-like structure for both; (b) viscosity decreases as number of hydrogen bonds per molecule decreases; (c) stronger hydrogen bonding in dimer in vapour phase than in liquid lowers  $\Delta_{vap}S$ ; (d)  $pK_a(2)$  for maleic acid larger because hydrogen-bonded interaction hinders  $H^+$  dissociation:



## Chapter 10


- 10.1** (b)  $ns^1$ .
- 10.6** (a)  ${}^{40}_{19}\text{K} \rightarrow {}^{40}_{18}\text{Ar} + \beta^+$ ; (b)  $0.57\text{ dm}^3$ .
- 10.8** Gives LiF and NaI.

- 10.9** Halide exchange between  $[PtCl_4]^{2-}$  and KBr or KI.
- 10.11** Phase of solid in equilibrium with dissolved salt alters at 305 K.
- 10.12** (a)  $N^{3-}$  wholly in unit,  $Li^+$  per unit =  $6 \times \frac{1}{3} = 2$ ;  
(b) consider both layers 1 and 2 to obtain  $Li_3N$ .
- 10.14** Disproportionation.
- 10.15** (a)  $[O_2]^-$ ; (b)  $[O_2]^{2-}$ ; (c)  $[O_3]^-$ ; (d)  $[N_3]^-$ ; (e)  $N^{3-}$ ;  
(f)  $Na^-$ .
- 10.17** (a)  $[C\equiv N]^-$  isoelectronic with CO; bonding as in CO (Section 1.17); (b) as for KOH (Section 10.6).
- 10.19** (a)  $NaH + H_2O \rightarrow NaOH + H_2$ ;  
(b)  $KOH + CH_3CO_2H \rightarrow [CH_3CO_2]K + H_2O$ ;  
(c)  $2NaN_3 \rightarrow 2Na + 3N_2$ ;  
(d)  $K_2O_2 + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2KOH + H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2KOH + H_2O + \frac{1}{2}O_2$ ;  
(e)  $NaF + BF_3 \rightarrow Na[BF_4]$ ;  
(f) Cathode:  $K^+ + e^- \rightarrow K$ ; anode:  $2Br^- \rightarrow Br_2 + 2e^-$ ;  
(g) Cathode:  $2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow 2[OH]^- + H_2$ ; anode:  $2Cl^- \rightarrow Cl_2 + 2e^-$ .
- 10.20** (a)  $K_2SO_4 + H_2O$ ; (b)  $NaHSO_3$ , or  $Na_2SO_3 + H_2O$ ; (c)  $K[C_2H_5O] + H_2O$ ; (d)  $Na[(CH_3)_2HCO] + H_2$ ; (e)  $NaHCO_3$ , or  $Na_2CO_3 + H_2O$ ; (f)  $HCO_2Na$ ; (g)  $Cs_2[C_2O_4] + 2H_2O$ ; (h)  $NaBH_4 + NaCl$ .
- 10.21** (a)  $-18\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ; (b) NaCl.
- 10.22** (a)  $Li_3N + 3H_2O \rightarrow 3LiOH + NH_3$ ; (b) M = Li; A =  $Li_2O$ ; B =  $H_2$ .
- 10.23** (a) For gas-phase species, bond order = 0.
- 10.24** (b) Soluble:  $NaNO_3$ ;  $RbNO_3$ ,  $Cs_2CO_3$ ,  $Na_2SO_4$ , LiCl.
- 10.25**  $Li_3N$ , direct combination of elements, layer structure; NaOH, neutralizes  $HNO_3$ , no gas evolved; Cs, reacts explosively with  $H_2O$ ;  $Cs_7O$ , suboxide;  $Li_2CO_3$ , sparingly soluble;  $NaBH_4$ , reducing agent;  $Rb_2O$ , basic and antiferite structure; Li, highest  $IE_1$  of group 1 metals.

## Chapter 11

- 11.2**  $Ca(OH)_2 = 1.05 \times 10^{-2}\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  
 $Mg(OH)_2 = 1.12 \times 10^{-4}\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; relative solubilities = 94:1.
- 11.3** (a)  $3Mg + N_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta} Mg_3N_2$ ;  
(b)  $Mg_3N_2 + 6H_2O \rightarrow 2NH_3 + 3Mg(OH)_2$ .
- 11.4** (a)  $Mg^{2+}$  replace  $Na^+$  ions, and  $[C\equiv C]^{2-}$  replace  $Cl^-$  in NaCl lattice;  $[C\equiv C]^{2-}$  is not spherical, so elongation along one axis; (b) free rotation of  $[CN]^-$  in NaCN means  $[CN]^-$  ion is pseudo-spherical.
- 11.5** (a)  $[NH_4]_2[BeF_4] \xrightarrow{\Delta} BeF_2 + 2NH_4F$ ;  
(b)  $2NaCl + BeCl_2 \rightarrow Na_2[BeCl_4]$ ;  
(c)  $BeF_2 \xrightarrow{\text{water}} [Be(H_2O)_4]^{2+} + 2F^-$

- 11.6 (a) See 11A;  $sp^2$ ; (b) See 11B.



- 11.7 (a) See Figure 5.21; (b) per unit cell, two  $Mg^{2+}$  and four  $F^-$  ions, giving 1:2  $Mg^{2+}:F^-$  ratio.

- 11.9 (a)  $CaCl_2$  forms a hydrate;  
 $CaH_2 + H_2O \rightarrow Ca(OH)_2 + H_2$ .

- 11.10 (a) See discussion of disproportionation of  $CaF$  in Section 5.16; (b) dissolve each in dilute  $HCl$ , measure  $\Delta_r H^\circ$ , and apply Hess cycle.

- 11.11 (a)  $SrO_2$  and  $H_2O_2$ , conjugate base and acid respectively;  $HCl$  and  $SrCl_2$ , conjugate acid and base respectively; (b) base + weak acid:  
 $BaO_2 + 2H_2O \rightarrow Ba(OH)_2 + H_2O_2$ .

- 11.12 (a)  $MO + H_2O \rightarrow M(OH)_2$ ;  $Sr$ ,  $\Delta_r H^\circ = -81.5$ ;  $Ba$ ,  $\Delta_r H^\circ = -105.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .

- 11.13 (a) Bubble  $CO_2$  through limewater;  
 (b)  $Ca(OH)_2(aq) + CO_2(g) \rightarrow CaCO_3(s) + H_2O(l)$ ;  
 (c) white precipitate, 'milky' appearance.

- 11.16 A complex such as  $[MgOMg]^{2+}$  or its hydrate formed in  $MgCl_2(aq)$ .

- 11.17 (b) Formation of  $[Be(H_2O)_4]^{2+}$  thermodynamically favourable; (c) phase change  $hcp \rightarrow bcc$ .

- 11.18 (a) Antifluorite structure for  $Na_2S$ ; (b)  $C^{2-}$ ,  $N^-$  and  $O$  are isoelectronic; (c) formation of  $[Be(OH)_4]^{2-}$ ; (d) high mp, stability at high temperatures.


- 11.19 (a)  $Ca(OH)_2 + H_2$ ; (b)  $2BeH_2 + LiCl + AlCl_3$ ;  
 (c)  $C_2H_2 + Ca(OH)_2$ ; (d)  $BaSO_4 + H_2O_2$ ;  
 (e)  $2HF + Ca(HSO_4)_2$ ; (f)  $MgO_2 + H_2O$ ; (g)  $MgO + CO_2$ ; (h)  $MgO + Mg_3N_2$ .

- 11.20 (a)  $M = Sr$ ;  $A = [Sr(NH_3)_6]$ ;  $B = Sr(NH_2)_2$ ;  $C = H_2$ ;  
 (b)  $X = Ca$ ;  $D = Ca(OH)_2$ .

- 11.21 (a)  $CaI_2(THF)_4$ ;  $BaI_2(THF)_5$ ;  $r(Ba^{2+}) > r(Ca^{2+})$ ;  
 (b) sparingly soluble:  $BaSO_4$ ,  $MgCO_3$ ,  $Mg(OH)_2$ ,  $CaF_2$ ;  
 soluble, no reaction:  $BeCl_2$ ,  $Mg(ClO_4)_2$ ,  $BaCl_2$ ,  $Ca(NO_3)_2$ ; react with water:  $CaO \rightarrow Ca(OH)_2$ ,  $SrH_2 \rightarrow Sr(OH)_2$ .

## Chapter 12

- 12.2




- 12.4 (a)  $B_2O_3(s) + 3Mg(s) \xrightarrow{\Delta} 2B(s) + 3MgO(s)$   
 (b)  $Al_2O_3$  is amphoteric,  $Fe_2O_3$  is basic; only  $Al_2O_3$  reacts, leaving solid  $Fe_2O_3$ :  
 $Al_2O_3(s) + 3H_2O(l) + 2NaOH(aq) \rightarrow 2Na[Al(OH)_4](aq)$ ;  
 (c)  $2Na[Al(OH)_4](aq) + CO_2(g) \rightarrow Al_2O_3 \cdot 3H_2O(s) + Na_2CO_3(aq) + H_2O(l)$

- 12.5 (a) See Figure 2.10; (b) 1:1:1:1 multiplet; (c) doublet [ $J(^{11}B-^{31}P)$ ] of quartets [ $J(^{11}B-^1H)$ ]; (d) singlet.

- 12.6  $\Delta_r H^\circ = -851.5 \text{ kJ}$  per mole of  $Fe_2O_3$  (or  $Al_2O_3$ ); enough energy released to melt the iron formed.

- 12.9 (a)  $Me_3N \cdot BH_3$  forms;  $^{11}B$  NMR spectrum of  $THF \cdot BH_3$  and  $Me_3N \cdot BH_3$  shows two 1:3:3:1 quartets, at different chemical shifts; (b) no; no change in  $^{11}B$  or  $^{31}P$  NMR spectra; (c) yes; monitor solution by  $^{11}B$  NMR spectroscopy; (d) formation of complex through one or two  $P \rightarrow B$  bonds; use  $^{31}P$  or  $^{11}B$  NMR spectroscopy.

- 12.10 (a) Attack by  $H_2O$  on larger Al (but not B) possible; (b) reaction steps are



- 12.11 (a)  $B(OEt)_3 + 3HCl$ ; (b)  $EtOH \cdot BF_3$ ;  
 (c)  $B(NHPh)_3 + 3HCl$ ; (d)  $KBF_4$  (ionic salt).

- 12.12 (a)  $Na_3[AlF_6]$ ; (b)  $CaTiO_3$ ; (c) rewrite  $Na_3[AlF_6]$  as  $Na_2[NaAlF_6] \equiv NaXF_3$ ; cryolite has perovskite structure with  $^{23}Na$  in Ca sites, and  $Al + \frac{1}{3}Na$  in Ti sites.

- 12.13 (a)  $[MBr_6]^{3-}$ , octahedral;  $[MCl_5]^{2-}$ , trigonal bipyramidal;  $[MBr_4]^-$ , tetrahedral; (b) crystal packing effects; (c)  $TiCl_3 + H_2N(CH_2)_5NH_2 + 2HCl$ ;  
 $2TiCl_3 + 3CsCl$ ; (d) monomeric  $GaCl_2$  would be paramagnetic;  $Ga[GaCl_4]$  contains diamagnetic  $Ga^+$  and  $[GaCl_4]^-$  ions.

- 12.14 (a)  $AlF_3 + 3F^- \rightarrow [AlF_6]^{3-}$ ; on adding  $BF_3$ , formation of  $[BF_4]^-$  causes displacement and precipitation of  $AlF_3$ . (b) Data indicate common species for  $GaCl_2$  and  $GaCl_3/HCl$ ; i.e.  $[GaCl_4]^-$ . (c) Solid  $TlI_3$  is  $Tl^+[I_3]^-$ ; hydrated  $Tl_2O_3$  is insoluble, and oxidation of  $Tl^+(aq)$  to solid  $Tl_2O_3$  is much easier than to  $Tl^{3+}(aq)$ ;  $I_2$  is oxidant.

- 12.15 (a) At 298 K, terminal and bridging H involved in dynamic process; process persists at 203 K; (b) all  $^{11}B$  nuclei equivalent; quintet due to coupling of  $^{11}B$  nucleus to four equivalent  $^1H$  nuclei (exchange of terminal and bridging H); (c) IR timescale  $\neq$  NMR timescale.


- 12.17 Use localized 2c-2e bonds; coordinate  $N \rightarrow Al$  bonds.

- 12.18  $B_5H_9$ , *nido*-cage, square-based pyramid with four bridging H;  $[B_8H_8]^{2-}$ , *closo*-dodecahedron;  $C_2B_{10}H_{12}$ , *closo*-icosahedron; *nido*- $[B_6H_9]^-$ , pentagonal pyramid with three bridging H atoms;  $C_2B_{10}H_{12}$  could have C atoms adjacent (1,2-isomer), or apart (1,7- and 1,12-isomers).

- 12.19 (a) Adding two electrons means parent deltahedron changes from  $n = 6$  (for  $B_5H_9$ ) to  $n = 7$  (for  $B_5H_{11}$ ); predict a change from *nido* to *arachno*.

(b) Anion undergoes dynamic process in solution, all eight H equivalent and 'see' every B.

- 12.20** (a) 1- $\text{BrB}_5\text{H}_8$ , isomerizing to 2- $\text{BrB}_5\text{H}_8$ ;  
 (b)  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_8(\text{PF}_3) + \text{H}_2$ ; (c)  $\text{K}[1\text{-BrB}_5\text{H}_7] + \text{H}_2$ ;  
 (d)  $4\text{B}(\text{OR})_3 + \text{MeB}(\text{OR})_2 + 11\text{H}_2$  (the B–C bond is not hydrolysed).
- 12.21** (a)  $\text{Ga}^+ + [\text{I}_3]^- \rightarrow \text{Ga}^{3+} + 3\text{I}^-$ ;  
 $\text{Ga}^+ + \text{Br}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ga}^{3+} + 2\text{Br}^-$ ;  
 $\text{Ga}^+ + 2[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-} \rightarrow \text{Ga}^{3+} + 2[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ ;  
 $\text{Ga}^+ + 2[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Ga}^{3+} + 2[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ ;  
 (b)  $[\text{Ti}(\text{CN})_4]^-$ ,  $\text{Ti}(\text{CN})_3$ .
- 12.22** (a) Al,  $\approx 82\,000$  ppm; Mg,  $\approx 24\,000$  ppm; (b) oxidation: H,  $8 \times (-1 \text{ to } 0)$ ; reduction: Ga,  $2 \times (+3 \text{ to } 0)$ ,  $1 \times (+3 \text{ to } +1)$ .
- 12.23** (a)



(b)  $\text{A} = (\text{Cl}_2\text{B})_3\text{BCO}$ .

- 12.24** (b)  $N, N', N'', S, S', S''$ - and  $N, N', N'', N''', O, O', O''$ -donors.
- 12.25** (a) At 223 K, static structure, six  $\text{BH}_{\text{term}}$  and one  $\mu_3\text{-H}$  over a  $\text{B}_3$ -face; capping H fluxional over  $\text{B}_6$ -cage at 297 K but no exchange with  $\text{H}_{\text{term}}$ ;  
 $J(\text{BH}_{\text{term}}) \gg J(\text{BH}_{\text{cap}})$ ; (b)  $\text{X} = [\text{NH}_4][\text{GaF}_4]$ .

## Chapter 13

- 13.4**  $[\text{C}_{60}]^{n-}$  occupy eight corner and six face sites =  $(8 \times \frac{1}{8}) + (6 \times \frac{1}{2}) = 4$ ;  $\text{K}^+$  occupy nine sites inside unit cell and 12 edge sites =  $9 + (12 \times \frac{1}{4}) = 12$ ;  
 $[\text{C}_{60}]^{n-} : \text{K}^+ = 1:3$ .
- 13.6** (a)  $\text{Mg}_2\text{C}_3$  and  $\text{CaC}_2$  contain  $[\text{C}=\text{C}=\text{C}]^{4-}$  and  $[\text{C}\equiv\text{C}]^{2-}$  ions respectively;  $\text{ThC}_2$  contains  $[\text{C}_2]^{4-}$ ; TiC is an interstitial carbide; (b)  $[\text{NH}_4]\text{Br}$  acts as an acid in liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ ; (c) Si–H (or Si–D) is not broken in rate-determining step, and presumably  $[\text{OH}]^-$  attacks Si.
- 13.8** (a) Linear; (b) linear; (c); trigonal pyramidal; (d) trigonal bipyramidal; (e) tetrahedral at Si; (f) octahedral; (g) octahedral; (h) tetrahedral.
- 13.9** (a)  $[\text{Sn}_9\text{Ti}]^{3-}$  possesses 11 pairs of electrons for cluster bonding; *closo* cage; (b) two isomers because Ti could occupy one of the two different sites.
- 13.11** (a)  $\text{GeCl}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{GeO}_2 + 4\text{HCl}$ ;  $\text{GeO}_2$  is dimorphic, rutile and quartz forms;
- (b)  $\text{SiCl}_4 + 4\text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Na}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 4\text{HCl}$ ; discrete  $[\text{SiO}_4]^{4-}$  ion not present, polymeric species;  
 (c)  $\text{CsF} + \text{GeF}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cs}[\text{GeF}_3]$ ; trigonal pyramidal  $[\text{GeF}_3]^-$  ions;  
 (d)  $2\text{SiH}_3\text{Cl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow (\text{H}_3\text{Si})_2\text{O} + 2\text{HCl}$ ;  
 (e)  $2\text{SiF}_4 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2 + 2[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+ + [\text{SiF}_6]^{2-} + 2\text{HF}$ ; octahedral  $[\text{SiF}_6]^{2-}$ ;  
 (f)  $2[\text{Bu}_4\text{P}]\text{Cl} + \text{SnCl}_4 \rightarrow [\text{Bu}_4\text{P}]_2[\text{SnCl}_6]$ ; octahedral  $[\text{SnCl}_6]^{2-}$ .
- 13.12** All splittings are due to  $^{119}\text{Sn}\text{-}^{19}\text{F}$  couplings; each species is octahedral: (a) single F environment; (b) *trans*- and *cis*-isomers both have two equivalent F sites; (c)  $\text{A} = \text{mer}$ -isomer with two F sites (1:2);  $\text{B} = \text{fac}$ -isomer with three equivalent F nuclei; (d)  $\text{A} = \text{trans}$ -Cl giving four equivalent F;  $\text{B} = \text{cis}$ -Cl, giving two F environments (2:2); (e) two F environments (1:4); (f) six F equivalent.
- 13.13** (a)  $[\text{Sn}(\text{OH})_6]^{2-} + \text{H}_2$ ; (b)  $\text{PbSO}_4$ ; (c)  $\text{Na}_2\text{CS}_3$ ;  
 (d)  $-\text{SiH}_2\text{O}-$  polymers; (e)  $\text{ClCH}_2\text{SiH}_3$ .
- 13.14** (a) Dissolve each in conc  $\text{HF}(\text{aq})$ , measure  $\Delta_f H^\circ$ , and apply Hess's law; (b) Si–Si and Si–H bond energies from  $\Delta_c H^\circ$  for  $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$  and  $\text{SiH}_4$ ; apply Pauling relationship; (c) determine Pb(IV) by allowing it to oxidize  $\text{I}^-$  and titrating  $\text{I}_2$  formed with thiosulfate (or heat with HCl, pass  $\text{Cl}_2$  into  $\text{KI}(\text{aq})$ , and titrate  $\text{I}_2$  formed against thiosulfate).
- 13.15** At 1000 K, CO is more thermodynamically stable than  $\text{SnO}_2$ ; C reduces  $\text{SnO}_2$  at 1000 K but not at 500 or 750 K.
- 13.16** (a)  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  replaces  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  with no structural change ( $r_{\text{ion}}$ , see Appendix 6); (b) see Figure 13.19 to see that  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  can replace  $\text{Si}^{4+}$  with electrical neutrality conserved by  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  replacing  $\text{Na}^+$ ; (c)  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  can replace  $\text{Si}^{4+}$  in silica structure with interstitial  $\text{Li}^+$  maintaining electrical neutrality.
- 13.17** I =  $(\text{CN})_2$ ; II =  $\text{CS}_2$ ; III =  $\text{CO}_2$ ; all  $D_{\infty h}$ .
- 13.18**  $\text{KCN}(\text{aq})$  is very alkaline owing to hydrolysis;  $[\text{CN}]^-$  competes unsuccessfully with  $[\text{OH}]^-$  for  $\text{Al}^{3+}$ .
- 13.19** (a)  $\text{NH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$ ; then forms  $\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; (b) same as (a); (c)  $\text{NH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{CO}_2\text{S}$ ; then forms  $\text{OCS} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ .
- 13.20** (b) Linear; (c)  $\text{Sn}_4\text{F}_4$ -ring, each Sn has a lone pair; localized Sn–F single bonds.
- 13.21**  $\text{SiF}_4$ , gas, tetrahedral molecules; Si, semiconductor;  $\text{Cs}_3\text{C}_{60}$ , superconducting at 40 K;  $\text{SnO}$ , amphoteric;  $[\text{Ge}_9]^{4-}$ , Zintl ion;  $\text{GeF}_2$ , carbene analogue;  $[\text{SiO}_4]^{4-}$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  salt is component of cement;  $\text{PbO}_2$ , acidic oxide;  $\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ , water-soluble salt not decomposed;  $\text{SnF}_4$ , sheet structure, octahedral Sn.
- 13.22** (b)  $\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ ,  $\text{PbCl}_2$ ,  $\text{Pb}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2$ ; (c)  $230\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , bending mode;  $1917\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\nu(\text{CN})$ ;  $1060\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\nu(\text{CCL})$ .
- 13.23** (a)  $\text{NaCl} + \text{H}_3\text{GeOCH}_3$ ; (b)  $\text{CaNCN} + \text{C}$ ;  
 (c)  $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2 + \text{SiH}_4$  + higher silanes; (d)  $\text{KF} + \text{Si}$ ;  
 (e)  $[\text{Ge}(1,2\text{-O}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)_3]^{2-}$ ; (f)  $2\text{SiH}_3\text{I} + \text{O}_2$ ; (g) see equation 13.11; (h)  $\text{Na}_2[\text{Sn}(\text{OH})_6]$
- 13.24** (c)  $[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $D_{2h}$ ;  $[\text{C}_2\text{S}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $D_{2d}$ .

## Chapter 14

- 14.1** (a) 0; (b) +5; (c) +3; (d) +4; (e) +2; (f) -3; (g) -1; (h) 0; (i) +5; (j) +3; (k) +5.
- 14.2** (a) +932; (b) -274; (c) -450 kJ per mole of reaction.
- 14.4** (a)  $\text{Ca}_3\text{P}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2 + 2\text{PH}_3$ ;  
 (b)  $\text{NaOH} + \text{NH}_4\text{Cl} \rightarrow \text{NaCl} + \text{NH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ;  
 (c)  $\text{Mg}(\text{NO}_3)_2 + 2\text{NH}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2(\text{s}) + 2\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ ;  
 (d)  $\text{AsH}_3 + 4\text{I}_2 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4 + 8\text{HI}$ ;  
 (e)  $\text{PH}_3 + \text{KNH}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{liquid NH}_3} \text{KPH}_2 + \text{NH}_3$ .
- 14.5** (a)  $\text{HCl}(\text{aq})$  is fully ionized; solutions of  $\text{NH}_3$  contain dissolved  $\text{NH}_3$ ; (b)  $[\text{NH}_4][\text{NH}_2\text{CO}_2]$  is salt of very weak acid.
- 14.7**  $\text{HNO}_3(\text{aq}) + 6\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 6\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{NH}_2\text{OH}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$   
 $[\text{BrO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) + 6\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 6\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Br}^- (\text{aq}) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$   
 $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}(\text{aq}) + [\text{BrO}_3]^- (\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3(\text{aq}) + \text{Br}^- (\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ .
- 14.8** (a)  $3\text{NaNH}_2 + \text{NaNO}_3 \rightarrow \text{NaN}_3 + 3\text{NaOH} + \text{NH}_3$ ;  
 (b) Na with liquid  $\text{NH}_3$ ;  
 (c)  $2\text{NaN}_3 + \text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \rightarrow \text{Pb}(\text{N}_3)_2 + 2\text{NaNO}_3$ .
- 14.9** (a) Species include  $[\text{CN}_2]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{NO}_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{NCO}]^-$ ;  
 (b) bonding scheme similar to that for  $\text{CO}_2$ .
- 14.10** (b) Unit cell contains two complete As, and  $[(4 \times \frac{1}{4}) + (8 \times \frac{1}{8})] \text{Ni} = 2 \text{Ni}$ ; i.e. 1:1.
- 14.11** (a) Electron diffraction, or vibrational spectroscopy;  
 (b) Raman (not IR) spectroscopy.
- 14.12** (b) Assume spherical  $[\text{PCl}_4]^+$  and  $[\text{PCl}_6]^-$  ions.
- 14.13** Each ion contains equivalent F centres: (a) doublet (coupling to  $^{31}\text{P}$ ); (b) 1:1:1:1:1:1 signal (coupling to  $^{121}\text{Sb}$ ) superimposed on a 1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1 signal (coupling to  $^{123}\text{Sb}$ ), relative abundances  $^{121}\text{Sb}:^{123}\text{Sb} \approx 1:1$ .
- 14.14** (a)  $[\text{PCl}_4]^+[\text{SbCl}_6]^-$ ; (b)  $\text{K}^+[\text{AsF}_6]^-$ ; (c)  $[\text{NO}]^+[\text{SbF}_6]^-$ ;  
 (d)  $[\text{H}_2\text{F}]^+[\text{SbF}_6]^-$ ; tendency for  $\text{Sb}-\text{F}-\text{Sb}$  bridge formation may give  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  or higher association.
- 14.15** (a) See Figure 14.12b and 14.41;  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$ , no lone pairs, 12 electrons in valence shell of each  $\text{Sb}(\text{V})$  centre;  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_7]^-$ , one lone pair and four bonding pairs per  $\text{Sb}(\text{III})$  gives trigonal bipyramidal arrangements with equatorial lone pairs; (b) chains with octahedral  $\text{Bi}(\text{III})$ .
- 14.16**  $[\text{NO}]^+$  is isoelectronic with  $\text{CO}$  and MO diagram is similar;  $\text{NO}$  has one more electron than  $[\text{NO}]^+$  and this occupies a  $\pi^*$ -MO; frequency of vibration depends on force constant, which increases as bond strengthens.
- 14.17**  $\text{B} = \text{N}_2\text{O}$ .
- 14.19** (a) Triple-rutile lattice; (b) need three rutile-type unit cells to give unambiguous description of lattice; (c) O: 3-coordinate; Fe: 6-coordinate; Sb: 6-coordinate; (d) Fe: one central + eight corners = 2 Fe; Sb: two central + eight edge = 4 Sb; O: ten central + four face = 12 O; stoichiometry = 2:4:12 = 1:2:6.
- 14.20** (a)  $[\text{P}_3\text{O}_{10}]^{5-}$  gives two signals in  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectrum (rel. integrals 2:1);  $[\text{P}_4\text{O}_{13}]^{6-}$  gives two signals of equal integral. (b)  $\text{AsF}_5$  is isostructural with  $\text{PF}_5$ , 14.32; equal  $^{19}\text{F}$  peaks of relative integrals 3:2 (eq:ax) will coalesce at a higher temperature if rapid exchange occurs. (c) Refer to Figure 14.19a, replacing three Cl by  $\text{NMe}_2$ ; three possible isomers giving one, two or three signals in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum; or use  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR.
- 14.21** (a) If  $2\text{Ti}(\text{III}) \rightarrow 2\text{Ti}(\text{IV})$ , change in oxidation state for N is -1 to -3; product is  $\text{NH}_3$ . (b) If  $2\text{Ag}(\text{I}) \rightarrow 2\text{Ag}(\text{0})$ , change in oxidation state for P is +3 to +5; product is  $[\text{PO}_4]^{3-}$ . (c) If  $2\text{I}(\text{0}) \rightarrow 2\text{I}(-1)$  twice, change in oxidation state for P is +1 to +3 to +5, i.e.  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_3\text{PO}_3 \rightarrow \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ .
- 14.22** (a) Tetrahedral; (b) planar; (c) trigonal pyramidal at N, bent at O; (d) tetrahedral; (e) trigonal bipyramidal with axial F atoms.
- 14.23** (a)  $\text{K}^{15}\text{NO}_3 + \text{Al}, \text{NaOH}(\text{aq}) \rightarrow ^{15}\text{NH}_3$ ; pass over Na; (b) oxidize  $^{15}\text{NH}_3$  [see part (a)] with  $\text{CuO}$  or  $\text{NaOCl}$ ; (c)  $\text{K}^{15}\text{NO}_3 + \text{Hg}, \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow ^{15}\text{NO}$ ; combine with  $\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{AlCl}_3$ .
- 14.24** (a) Reduce to  $^{32}\text{P}_4$ ; treat with  $\text{NaOH}(\text{aq})$ ;  
 (b)  $^{32}\text{P}_4$  [see part (a)] + limited  $\text{Cl}_2$ ; hydrolyse the product;  
 (c)  $^{32}\text{P}_4$  [see part (a)] + excess S to  $^{32}\text{P}_4\text{S}_{10}$ ; treat with  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}$ .
- 14.25**  $\text{D} = \text{N}_2$ .
- 14.26** Combination of Al + P is isoelectronic with Si + Si.
- 14.27** (a)  $J(^{11}\text{B}-^{31}\text{P})$ ;  $^{31}\text{P}$ ,  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $^{11}\text{B}$ ,  $I = \frac{3}{2}$ .
- 14.28** (a)  $[\text{PI}_4]^+[\text{GaBr}_4]^-$ ; (b)  $[\text{P}(\text{OH})\text{Br}_3]^+[\text{AsF}_6]^-$ ;  
 (c)  $2\text{PbO} + 4\text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2$ ; (d)  $\text{K}[\text{PH}_2] + \text{H}_2$ ;  
 (e)  $\text{NH}_3 + 3\text{LiOH}$ ; (f)  $\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ;  
 (g)  $\text{BiOCl} + 2\text{HCl}$ ; (h)  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3 + 3\text{HCl}$ .
- 14.29** (b) Bi behaves as a typical metal;  
 (c)  $[\text{X}]^- = [\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_4]^-$ .
- 14.30** (a) Doublet (939 Hz) of doublets (731 Hz) of quintets (817 Hz); (b)  $[\text{BiF}_7]^{2-}$  as expected from VSEPR;  
 (b)  $[\text{SbF}_6]^{3-}$  must have stereochemically inactive lone pair.
- 14.31** (b)  $\text{A} = \text{AsOCl}_3$ ;  $C_{3v}$  consistent with monomer;  $C_{2h}$  consistent with dimer (structure 14.37).

## Chapter 15

- 15.1** (b)  $ns^2np^4$ .
- 15.2**  $^{209}_{83}\text{Bi}(\text{n},\gamma)^{210}_{83}\text{Bi} \xrightarrow{\beta^-} ^{210}_{84}\text{Po}$ .
- 15.3** Anode:  $4[\text{OH}]^-(\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{O}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) + 4\text{e}^-$ ;  
 cathode:  $2\text{H}^+(\text{aq}) + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2(\text{g})$ .
- 15.4**  $8\text{E} \rightarrow 4\text{E}_2$ ;  $\Delta_r H^\circ = -1992 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for  $\text{E} = \text{O}$ , and  $-1708 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for  $\text{E} = \text{S}$ ;  $8\text{E} \rightarrow \text{E}_8$ ;  
 $\Delta_r H^\circ = -1168 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for  $\text{E} = \text{O}$ , and  $-2128 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  for  $\text{E} = \text{S}$ .

15.5 (a)  $E^\circ_{\text{cell}} = 1.08 \text{ V}$ , so  $\Delta_r G^\circ$  is negative; (b)  $59.9 \text{ g dm}^{-3}$ .

15.6 (a)  $2\text{Ce}^{4+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Ce}^{3+} + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}^+$ ;  
(b)  $2\text{I}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{I}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

15.7 (a)  $\text{Mn}(\text{OH})_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{MnO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; (b)  $\text{MnO}_2$  will catalyse decomposition of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ :  
 $2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2$ .

15.8 (a) Bent; (b) trigonal pyramidal; (c) bent;  
(d) disphenoidal; (e) octahedral; (f) bent at each S (two isomers).


15.9 (a)  $\text{SF}_4$  is an  $\text{F}^-$  donor or acceptor;  $\text{BF}_3$  is an  $\text{F}^-$  acceptor;  $\text{CsF}$  is source of  $\text{F}^-$ ; (b) gives  $\text{RCF}_3$ .

15.12  $[\text{TeF}_7]^-$  is pentagonal bipyramidal; binomial octet in  $^{125}\text{Te}$  NMR spectrum means it is fluxional on NMR timescale;  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR spectrum, singlet for F atoms attached to non-spin active Te; 0.9%  $^{123}\text{Te}$  and 7.0%  $^{125}\text{Te}$  couple to give two doublets, i.e. satellites.

15.13 (a) All isoelectronic and isostructural; (b) isoelectronic:  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$  and  $[\text{NO}_2]^+$ ; isostructural  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $[\text{NO}_2]^+$ ; isoelectronic:  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{TeO}_2$ , but not isostructural; (c) all isoelectronic, but only  $\text{SO}_3$  and  $[\text{PO}_3]^-$  are isostructural; (d) all isoelectronic and isostructural.

15.14 (a)  $\text{SO}_3$ , trigonal planar;  $[\text{SO}_3]^{2-}$ , trigonal pyramidal.


15.16 (a) Reaction required is:  
 $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-} + 8\text{H}^+ + 8\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{S}^{2-} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; this is assisted by very high  $[\text{H}^+]$  and very low solubility of  $\text{CuS}$ .  
(b) Expected from VSEPR. (c) White precipitate is  $\text{Ag}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ , dissolves forming  $[\text{Ag}(\text{S}_2\text{O}_3)_3]^{5-}$ ; disproportionation of  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_3]^{2-}$



brought about by removal of  $\text{S}^{2-}$  as insoluble  $\text{Ag}_2\text{S}$ .

15.17 (a)  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-} + 2\text{Ag}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow [\text{S}_2\text{O}_5]^{2-} + 2\text{Ag} + 2\text{H}^+$ ;  
(b)  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-} + 3\text{I}_2 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2[\text{SO}_4]^{2-} + 6\text{I}^- + 8\text{H}^+$ .

15.18



15.20  $\text{S}_2\text{O}$ , 15.38;  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_3]^{2-}$ , 15.55; NSF, 15.61;  $\text{NSF}_3$ , 15.62;  $[\text{NS}_2]^+$ , 15.68;  $\text{S}_2\text{N}_2$ , 15.66.

15.21  $\text{S}_\infty$ , chiral polymer;  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_8]^{2-}$ , strong oxidizing agent;  $[\text{S}_2]^-$ , blue, paramagnetic;  $\text{S}_2\text{F}_2$ , two monomeric isomers;  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ , antifluorite structure;  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_6]^{2-}$ , contains weak S–S bond;  $\text{PbS}$ , black, insoluble solid;  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , disproportionates in presence of  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ;  $\text{HSO}_3\text{Cl}$ , explosive with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ;  $[\text{S}_2\text{O}_3]^{2-}$ , strong reducing agent;  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , toxic gas;  $\text{SeO}_3$ , tetramer in solid.

15.22 (a)  $\text{CuS}$  ppt; forms soluble  $\text{Na}_2[\text{CuS}_2]$ ;  
(b)  $\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SO}_3$ ;  
 $\text{SO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_3 + 2\text{CsN}_3 \rightarrow \text{Cs}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_5 + 2\text{HN}_3$ .

15.23 (a)  $[\text{SF}_3]^+[\text{SbF}_6]^-$ ; (b)  $\text{HSO}_3\text{F}$ ; (c)  $2\text{NaCl} + \text{H}_2\text{S}_4$ ;  
(d)  $[\text{HSO}_4]^- + 2\text{I}^- + 2\text{H}^+$ ; (e)  $\text{NSF} + \text{Cs}[\text{AsF}_6]$ ;  
(f)  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_5 + \text{HCl}$ ; (g)  $\text{SO}_2 + [\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$ .

15.24 (b) See Figure 9.7 and discussion; (c)  $\text{Al}_2\text{Se}_3$ ,  $\text{SF}_4$ ,  $\text{SeO}_2$ ; kinetically stable:  $\text{SF}_6$ .

15.25 (a) Planar; (b)  $d(\text{Se}–\text{Se}) < 2r_{\text{cov}}$ ; suggests some  $\pi$ -character.

## Chapter 16

16.2 (a)  $2\text{X}^- + \text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow \text{X}_2 + 2\text{Cl}^-$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Br}$  or  $\text{I}$ ); (b) see reaction 10.1; to prevent recombination of  $\text{Na}$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$ ;  
(c)  $\text{F}_2 + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{HF}$ ; explosive chain reaction.

16.3 Lone pair–lone pair repulsions between O and F weaken bond.

16.5  $\text{ClF}$ , 170;  $\text{BrF}$ , 185;  $\text{BrCl}$ , 213;  $\text{ICl}$ , 232;  $\text{IBr}$ , 247 pm; agreement with Table 16.3 good where  $[\chi^{\text{P}}(\text{Y}) - \chi^{\text{P}}(\text{X})]$  is small.


16.6 (a)  $2\text{AgCl} + 2\text{ClF}_3 \rightarrow 2\text{AgF}_2 + \text{Cl}_2 + 2\text{ClF}$  ( $\text{AgF}_2$ , not  $\text{AgF}$ , because  $\text{ClF}_3$  is a very strong oxidizing agent);  
(b)  $2\text{ClF} + \text{BF}_3 \rightarrow [\text{Cl}_2\text{F}]^+[\text{BF}_4]^-$ ;  
(c)  $\text{CsF} + \text{IF}_5 \rightarrow \text{Cs}^+[\text{IF}_6]^-$ ;  
(d)  $\text{SbF}_5 + \text{ClF}_5 \rightarrow [\text{ClF}_4]^+[\text{SbF}_6]^-$  or  $2\text{SbF}_5 + \text{ClF}_5 \rightarrow [\text{ClF}_4]^+[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$ ;  
(e)  $\text{Me}_4\text{NF} + \text{IF}_7 \rightarrow [\text{Me}_4\text{N}]^+[\text{IF}_8]^-$ ;  
(f)  $\text{K}[\text{BrF}_4] \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{KF} + \text{BrF}_3$

16.8 (a) Square planar; (b) bent; (c) disphenoidal;  
(d) pentagonal bipyramidal; (e) planar (see 16.8);  
(f) octahedral; (g) square-based pyramid.

16.9 (a)  $\text{BrF}_5$ : doublet and quintet ( $J_{\text{FF}}$ ), rel. int. 4:1;  $[\text{IF}_6]^+$ : singlet; (b)  $\text{BrF}_5$  likely to be fluxional, high-temperature limiting spectrum is singlet;  $[\text{IF}_6]^+$ : singlet at all temperatures.

16.11 (a) Disphenoidal; (b) see 16.27, (c) bent, (d) square-based pyramid.

16.12 (a) In cold alkali:  
 $\text{Cl}_2 + 2\text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{NaCl} + \text{NaOCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ;  
in hot alkali:




16.14 (a)  $[\text{ClO}_3]^- + 6\text{Fe}^{2+} + 6\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Cl}^- + 6\text{Fe}^{3+} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ;  
(b)  $[\text{IO}_3]^- + 3[\text{SO}_3]^{2-} \rightarrow \text{I}^- + 3[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$  (partial reduction also possible);  
(c)  $[\text{IO}_3]^- + 5\text{Br}^- + 6\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{Br}_2 + \text{IBr} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

16.15 (a) Determine total chlorine by addition of excess of  $\text{I}^-$  and titration with thiosulfate; only  $\text{HCl}$  is a strong acid so concentration can be determined by pH measurement.  $\Delta_r H^\circ$  found by measuring  $K$  at different temps.

(b) Neutralize solution of weighed amount of oxide with  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  and titrate  $\text{I}_2$  against thiosulfate; add excess dilute  $\text{HCl}$  and titrate again.

(c) Raman spectroscopy to find stretching frequency, that of  $[\text{Cl}_2]^- < \text{Cl}_2$ .

- 16.16** (a) HF vapour is polymeric, hydrogen bonds not broken on vaporization; those in H<sub>2</sub>O are. (b) Iodide complex with Ag<sup>+</sup> must be more stable than chloride complex.
- 16.17** (a) N–H⋯F hydrogen bond formation; structure similar to that of ice. (b) For the product HX, HI has weakest bond.
- 16.18** (a) 10CsF + I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 3IF<sub>5</sub> → 5Cs<sub>2</sub>IOF<sub>5</sub>;  
5CsF + I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 3IF<sub>5</sub> → 5CsIOF<sub>4</sub>; not redox;  
(b) –150 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.
- 16.19** (b) Interactions involving π<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>(2p<sub>x</sub>)<sup>1</sup>π<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>(2p<sub>y</sub>)<sup>1</sup> of O<sub>2</sub> and π<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>(3p<sub>x</sub>)<sup>2</sup>π<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>(3p<sub>y</sub>)<sup>1</sup> of [Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> give in-plane (σ-type) and out-of-plane (π-type) bonding interactions.
- 16.20** (b)



- 16.21** HClO<sub>4</sub>, strong acid; CaF<sub>2</sub>, prototype structure; I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, anhydride of HIO<sub>3</sub>; ClO<sub>2</sub>, radical; [BrF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, requires powerful fluorinating agent; [IF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, distorted octahedral; HOCl, weak acid; C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>·Br<sub>2</sub>, charge transfer complex; ClF<sub>3</sub>, used to fluorinate uranium; RbCl, solid contains octahedral chloride; I<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>, halogen in square planar environment.

## Chapter 17


- 17.2** He<sub>2</sub>, σ(1s)<sup>2</sup>σ\*(1s)<sup>2</sup>; [He<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, σ(1s)<sup>2</sup>σ\*(1s)<sup>1</sup>.
- 17.3** Linear XeF<sub>2</sub>; square planar XeF<sub>4</sub>; distorted octahedral XeF<sub>6</sub>.
- 17.4** Eight bonding pairs and one lone pair; stereochemically inactive lone pair.
- 17.5** (a) From hydrolysis of XeF<sub>2</sub>; Δ<sub>f</sub>H<sup>o</sup>(HF, 298 K) is known. (b) Use thermochemical cycle relating [XeF<sub>2</sub>(s)], [XeF<sub>2</sub>(g)], [Xe(g) + 2F(g)], [Xe(g) + F<sub>2</sub>(g)].
- 17.6** Consider Xe + Cl<sub>2</sub> → XeCl<sub>2</sub> versus F analogue; weaker Xe–Cl than Xe–F bond; stronger Cl–Cl than F–F bond.
- 17.7** From Born–Haber cycle assuming lattice energies of XeF and CsF ≈ equal.
- 17.8** [XeO<sub>6</sub>]<sup>4-</sup>, octahedral; XeOF<sub>2</sub>, T-shaped; XeOF<sub>4</sub>, square pyramidal (O apical); XeO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, disphenoidal; XeO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub>, octahedral; XeO<sub>3</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, trigonal bipyramidal (axial F).
- 17.9** (a) CsF + XeF<sub>4</sub> → Cs[XeF<sub>5</sub>];  
(b) SiO<sub>2</sub> + 2XeOF<sub>4</sub> → SiF<sub>4</sub> + 2XeO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>  
or: SiO<sub>2</sub> + XeOF<sub>4</sub> → SiF<sub>4</sub> + XeO<sub>3</sub>;  
(c) XeF<sub>2</sub> + SbF<sub>5</sub> → [XeF][SbF<sub>6</sub>]  
or: 2XeF<sub>2</sub> + SbF<sub>5</sub> → [Xe<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>][SbF<sub>6</sub>];  
or: XeF<sub>2</sub> + 2SbF<sub>5</sub> → [XeF][Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>]

- (d) 2XeF<sub>6</sub> + 16[OH]<sup>-</sup> → [XeO<sub>6</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> + Xe + O<sub>2</sub> + 8H<sub>2</sub>O + 12F<sup>-</sup>;  
(e) 2KrF<sub>2</sub> + 2H<sub>2</sub>O → 2Kr + O<sub>2</sub> + 4HF.
- 17.11** (a) Doublet assigned to two F<sub>term</sub>; triplet due to F<sub>bridge</sub>; J(F<sub>term</sub>–F<sub>bridge</sub>).
- 17.12** (a) [KrF][AuF<sub>6</sub>] + Kr; (b) Rb[HXeO<sub>4</sub>];  
(c) Xe + Cl<sub>2</sub> + [XeF][Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>] + SbF<sub>5</sub>;  
(d) Kr(OTeF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> + BF<sub>3</sub>;  
(e) [C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>Xe]<sup>+</sup>[CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> + Me<sub>3</sub>SiF;  
(f) [C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>Xe]<sup>+</sup> + C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>IF<sub>2</sub>.
- 17.14** KrF<sub>2</sub> D<sub>∞h</sub>; symmetric stretch is IR inactive.
- 17.15** XeF<sub>2</sub>, 3c-2e interaction, Xe–F bond order = ½, [XeF]<sup>+</sup>, σ-bonding MO, Xe–F bond order = 1.

## Chapter 18

- 18.1** (a) MeBr + 2Li  $\xrightarrow{\text{Et}_2\text{O}}$  MeLi + LiBr;  
(b) Na + (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>  $\xrightarrow{\text{THF}}$  Na<sup>+</sup>[(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>;  
(c) <sup>n</sup>BuLi + H<sub>2</sub>O → <sup>n</sup>BuH + LiOH;  
(d) Na + C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>6</sub> → Na<sup>+</sup>[C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>]<sup>-</sup>; i.e. Na[Cp].
- 18.4** (a) Mg + 2C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>6</sub> → (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Mg (i.e. Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg);  
(b) MgCl<sub>2</sub> + LiR → RMgCl + LiCl or  
MgCl<sub>2</sub> + 2LiR → R<sub>2</sub>Mg + 2LiCl;  
(c) RBeCl  $\xrightarrow{\text{LiAlH}_4}$  RBeH.
- 18.5** To make each Mg centre 4-coordinate, n = 4.
- 18.6** (a) Smaller K when steric demands of R smaller; dimer favoured.
- 18.7** (a) Al<sub>2</sub>Me<sub>6</sub> + 6H<sub>2</sub>O → 2Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> + 6CH<sub>4</sub>  
(b) nAlR<sub>3</sub> + nR'NH<sub>2</sub> → (RAINR')<sub>n</sub> + 2nRH  
(e.g. n = 2);  
(c) Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl + Na[C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>] → Me<sub>3</sub>Si(η<sup>1</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) + NaCl;  
(d) 2Me<sub>2</sub>SiCl<sub>2</sub> + Li[AlH<sub>4</sub>] →  
2Me<sub>2</sub>SiH<sub>2</sub> + LiCl + AlCl<sub>3</sub>.
- 18.9** Anthracene (L) and K give K<sup>+</sup>[(L)]<sup>-</sup>; radical anion acts as a reducing agent, Sn(IV) → Sn(II) (regenerating anthracene); KBr is second product.
- 18.10** (a) Chain similar to 18.26; octahedral; (b) chain; trigonal bipyramidal; (c) monomeric; tetrahedral;  
(d) monomeric; octahedral.
- 18.11** (a) Et<sub>3</sub>SnOH or (Et<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>2</sub>O; (b) (η<sup>1</sup>-Cp)Et<sub>3</sub>Sn;  
(c) (Et<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>2</sub>S; (d) Et<sub>3</sub>PhSn; (e) Et<sub>3</sub>SnSnEt<sub>3</sub>.
- 18.12** (a) Tilt angle of C<sub>5</sub>-rings increases as the steric demands of R increase.
- 18.13** A = Br<sub>2</sub>InCHBr<sub>2</sub>·C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub>;  
B = [Ph<sub>4</sub>P]<sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub>[HC(InBr<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>.
- 18.15** (a) Me<sub>3</sub>Sb·BH<sub>3</sub>; (b) Me<sub>3</sub>SbO; (c) Me<sub>3</sub>SbBr<sub>2</sub>;  
(d) Me<sub>3</sub>SbCl<sub>2</sub>; [Me<sub>6</sub>Sb]<sup>-</sup>; (e) Me<sub>4</sub>SbI; (f) Me<sub>3</sub>SbBr<sub>2</sub>;  
Me<sub>3</sub>Sb(OEt)<sub>2</sub>.
- 18.21** (a) Coparallel rings result in non-polar molecule; observed dipole moment implies rings are tilted;

- (b)  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{Be}$ , all Me groups equivalent;  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)(\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)\text{Be}$  in solid; in solution, molecule fluxional with equivalent rings: two Me environments and equivalent CH protons.
- 18.22** **A** =  $[\text{RP}=\text{PRMe}]^+[\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]^-$  ( $R = 2,4,6\text{-}^t\text{Bu}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$ ); **B** =  $\text{RMeP}-\text{PMeR}$ .
- 18.23** (a)  $\text{MeC}(\text{CH}_2\text{SbCl}_2)_3 + 6\text{Na} \rightarrow \text{MeC}(\text{CH}_2\text{Sb})_3 + 6\text{NaCl}$ ; Sb-Sb bond formation.
- 18.24** (a)  $[(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Ge}]^+[\text{MCl}_3]^-$  ( $M = \text{Ge}$  or  $\text{Sn}$ ); (b)  $\delta$  121.2 ( $C_{\text{ring}}$ ), 9.6 ( $\text{CMe}$ ); (c) molecular ion =  $[\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{15}\text{Ge}]^+$ , Ge has five isotopes; (d) trigonal pyramidal  $[\text{MCl}_3]^-$ .
- 18.25** (a) **X** =  $\text{Et}_3\text{Bi}$ ; **Y** =  $\text{EtBI}_2$ ; chain has  $\mu\text{-I}$  linking 5-coordinate Bi; (b)  $\text{Ar}_4\text{Te}$ ,  $\text{Ar}_3\text{TeCl}$  and  $\text{Ar}_2\text{TeCl}_2$  initially formed; disproportionation:  
 $\text{Ar}_4\text{Te} + \text{Ar}_2\text{TeCl}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ar}_4\text{TeCl}_2 + \text{Ar}_2\text{Te}$ ; then,  
 $\text{Ar}_4\text{TeCl}_2 + 2\text{LiAr} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_6\text{Te} + 2\text{LiCl}$ ;
- (c)



## Chapter 19

- 19.3** Trend in  $E^\circ$  values irregular across period; variation in ionization energies is not enough to account for variation in  $E^\circ$ .
- 19.6** (a) Ions generally too small; (b) charge distribution; (c) oxidizing power of O and F; (apply electroneutrality principle in b and c).
- 19.7** (a) +2;  $d^5$ ; (b) +2;  $d^6$ ; (c) +3;  $d^6$ ; (d) +7;  $d^0$ ; (e) +2;  $d^8$ ; (f) +3;  $d^1$ ; (g) +3;  $d^2$ ; (h) +3;  $d^3$ .
- 19.8** (a) Linear; (b) trigonal planar; (c) tetrahedral; (d) trigonal bipyramidal *or* square-based pyramidal; (e) octahedral.
- 19.10** (a) Two, axial (2 C) and equatorial (3 C); (b) low-energy fluxional process; Berry pseudo-rotation.
- 19.12** Tripodal ligand; trigonal bipyramidal with central N of ligand and Cl in axial sites.
- 19.13** (a) Aqueous solutions of  $\text{BaCl}_2$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Br}][\text{SO}_4]$  give  $\text{BaSO}_4$  ppt; aqueous solutions of  $\text{AgNO}_3$  and  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{SO}_4)]\text{Br}$  give  $\text{AgBr}$  ppt; only free ion can be precipitated; (b) needs quantitative precipitation of free  $\text{Cl}^-$  by  $\text{AgNO}_3$ ; (c) Co(III) salts are ionization isomers; Cr(III) salts are hydration isomers; (d) *trans*- and *cis*- $[\text{CrCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]$ .
- 19.14** (a)  $[\text{Co}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{CN})_2]^+[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})(\text{CN})_4]^-$ ;  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{CN})_2]^+[\text{Co}(\text{bpy})(\text{CN})_4]^-$ ;  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}[\text{Co}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ ; (b) *trans*- and *cis*- $[\text{Co}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{CN})_2]^+$ , and *cis*- $[\text{Co}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{CN})_2]^+$  has

optical isomers; similarly for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{CN})_2]^+$ ;  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$  has optical isomers.


- 19.15** Ignoring conformations of the chelate rings: (a) four depending on orientations of the Me groups; (b) two.
- 19.16** 8;  $\Delta$  metal configuration with  $(\delta\delta\delta)$ ,  $(\delta\delta\lambda)$ ,  $(\delta\lambda\lambda)$  or  $(\lambda\lambda\lambda)$ ; similarly for  $\Lambda$ . All are related as diastereomers except those in which every chiral centre has changed configuration, e.g.  $\Delta$ - $(\delta\delta\lambda)$  and  $\Lambda$ - $(\lambda\lambda\delta)$ .
- 19.17** (a) Optical; (b) geometrical (*cis* and *trans*), and the *cis*-isomer has optical isomers; (c) geometrical (*trans* and *cis*) as square planar; (d) no isomers; *cis* arrangement; (e) geometrical (*trans* and *cis*); *cis* isomer has optical isomers.
- 19.18** (a) IR spectroscopy; (b) as for (a);  $^{195}\text{Pt}$  is NMR active and  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectra of the *cis*- and *trans*-isomers show satellites with  $J_{\text{PtP}}$  *cis* > *trans*; (c)  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectroscopy, *fac*-isomer has one P environment, *mer*-isomer has two; Rh is spin-active, observe doublet for *fac* ( $J_{\text{RhP}}$ ); for *mer*-isomer, observe doublet of triplets ( $J_{\text{RhP}}$  and  $J_{\text{PP}}$ ) and doublet of doublets ( $J_{\text{RhP}}$  and  $J_{\text{PP}}$ ) with relative integrals 1:2.
- 19.19** All octahedral; (a) *mer* and *fac*; (b) *cis* and *trans*, plus enantiomers for *cis*-isomer; (c) only *mer*-isomer.
- 19.20** (a)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Cr}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{CrF}_6]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Mn}(\text{ox})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2-}$ ,  $[\text{Zn}(\text{py})_4]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{CoCl}_2(\text{en})_2]^+$ ; (b) ionic, unrealistic:  $\text{Mn}^{7+}$ ,  $\text{O}^{2-}$ ; charges of  $\text{Mn}^+$  and  $\text{O}^{2-}$  suggest bonding is largely covalent.
- 19.21** (a) Chiral: *cis*- $[\text{CoCl}_2(\text{en})_2]^+$ ,  $[\text{Cr}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ ,  $[\text{Ni}(\text{phen})_3]^{2+}$ , *cis*- $[\text{RuCl}(\text{py})(\text{phen})_2]^+$ ; (b)  $[\text{Pt}(\text{SCN-}S)_2(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]$ , singlet;  $[\text{Pt}(\text{SCN-}N)_2(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]$ , singlet;  $[\text{Pt}(\text{SCN-}S)(\text{SCN-}N)(\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]$ , doublet,  $J(^{31}\text{P}-^{31}\text{P})$ .
- 19.22** (a) N = chiral centre; (b) linear  $[\text{Ag}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ ; tetrahedral  $[\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$ ; (c) coordination isomerism.
- 19.23** (a) Tetrahedral; trigonal planar; monocapped trigonal prism; tricapped trigonal prism; square planar; linear; (b) cubic coordination for  $\text{Cs}^+$  in  $\text{CsCl}$ ; in complexes, more usual to find dodecahedral or square antiprismatic, less often hexagonal bipyramidal.

## Chapter 20

- 20.2** Green is absorbed; appears purple.
- 20.3** (a) *N*-donors; didentate; may be monodentate; (b) *N*-donors; didentate; (c) *C*-donor; monodentate; may bridge; (d) *N*-donor; monodentate; may bridge; (e) *C*-donor; monodentate; (f) *N*-donors; didentate; (g) *O*-donors; didentate; (h) *N*- or *S*-donor; monodentate; (i) *P*-donor; monodentate.
- 20.4**  $\text{Br}^- < \text{F}^- < [\text{OH}]^- < \text{H}_2\text{O} < \text{NH}_3 < [\text{CN}]^-$
- 20.5** (a)  $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$  (higher oxidation state); (b)  $[\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  (stronger field ligand); (c)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$

(higher oxidation state); (d)  $[\text{Ni}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  (stronger field ligand); (e)  $[\text{ReF}_6]^{2-}$  (third row metal); (f)  $[\text{Rh}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$  (second row metal).

- 20.6** (a) No possibility in  $d^8$  case of promoting an electron from a fully occupied  $t_{2g}$  orbital to an empty  $e_g$  orbital; (c) magnetic data ( $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ ).
- 20.8** (a) Octahedral, low-spin  $d^5$ ; (b) octahedral, low-spin  $d^3$ ; (d) octahedral, high-spin  $d^4$ ; (e) octahedral, high-spin  $d^5$ ; (f) square planar,  $d^8$ ; (g) tetrahedral,  $d^7$ ; (h) tetrahedral,  $d^8$ .
- 20.10** (b)  $\text{F}^- < \text{H}_2\text{O} < \text{NH}_3 < \text{en} < [\text{CN}]^- < \text{I}^-$ .
- 20.11** (a) In  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ ,  $t_2$  orbitals all singly occupied; in tetrahedral  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ,  $t_2$  orbitals asymmetrically filled and complex suffers Jahn–Teller distortion; (b) Jahn–Teller effect in excited state  $t_{2g}^3 e_g^3$  arising when electron is promoted from ground state  $t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$ .
- 20.12** (a) See table below;  $E$  and  $T_2$ ; (b) see Table 20.5; tetrahedral:  $A_2$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_1$ ; octahedral:  $A_{2g}$ ,  $T_{2g}$  and  $T_{1g}$ .



- 20.13** (a)  $10\,000\text{ cm}^{-1} = 1000\text{ nm}$ ;  $30\,000\text{ cm}^{-1} = 333\text{ nm}$ ; (b)  $400\text{--}700\text{ nm}$ ;  $25\,000\text{--}14\,285\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; (c)  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ : green;  $[\text{Ni}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ : purple; (d)  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  weaker field ligand than  $\text{NH}_3$ ; relative energies of transitions are estimated from Orgel diagram:  $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+} < [\text{Ni}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ ;  $E \propto \text{wavenumber}$  or  $E \propto 1/\text{wavelength}$ .
- 20.14** (a)  $\text{Cr}(\text{III})$  is  $d^3$ , so three bands; (b) *trans*- $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{F}_2]^+$  has centre of symmetry, *cis* has not; charge transfer (CT) from  $\text{Cl}^-$  to  $\text{Co}^{3+}$  probably accounts for more intense colour of chloro complex; CT for  $\text{F}^-$  is most unlikely.
- 20.15**  $x =$  (a) 4; (b) 3; (c) 2; assume one can ignore magnetic moment associated with orbital angular momentum.
- 20.17** (a)  $1.73\ \mu_B$ ; (b) take into account spin–orbit coupling.
- 20.18** Octahedral  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  ( $d^8$ ) should have no orbital contribution; tetrahedral  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  will have an orbital contribution, so  $\mu_{\text{eff}} \neq \mu(\text{spin-only})$ ; all electrons paired in square planar  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ .
- 20.20** Normal spinel would have tetrahedral  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  with two octahedral  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$ ; inverse spinel would have tetrahedral

$\text{Mn}^{3+}$ , octahedral  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  and octahedral  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ; compare LFSE values:

$$\text{LFSE tet. Ni}^{2+} + \text{oct. Mn}^{3+} = -15\,622\text{ cm}^{-1}$$

$$\text{LFSE oct. Ni}^{2+} + \text{tet. Mn}^{3+} = -13\,933\text{ cm}^{-1}$$

Predict normal spinel; factor not accounted for is Jahn–Teller effect for  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$ ; predict normal spinel by small margin, actual structure is inverse spinel.

- 20.21** (a) Difference in LFSE on going from octahedral aqua ion to tetrahedral chloro complex much less for  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  ( $d^7$ ) than  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  ( $d^8$ ); (b) indicates  $\text{H}_4[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$  is weak acid in respect of fourth dissociation constant;  $\text{H}^+$  complexing of  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$  makes reduction easier; (c) LFSE plays a *minor* part; there is a loss of LFSE on reduction of  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$ , gain on reduction of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and loss on reduction of  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ ; the decisive factor is large value of  $IE_3$  for Mn.
- 20.22** (b)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-} > [\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-} > [\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ ; (c) yes;  $e^4 t_2^4$ .
- 20.23** (a)  $[\text{CrI}_6]^{4-}$ ,  $[\text{Mn}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$ , both high-spin  $d^4$ ; (b)  $[\text{NiBr}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $d^8$ , tetrahedral;  $[\text{PdBr}_4]^{2-}$ ,  $d^8$ , square planar.
- 20.25** (a) Both spin-allowed, but Laporte-forbidden transitions; non-centrosymmetric  $[\text{CoCl}_4]^{2-}$  has larger  $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$ ; (b) hidden under higher energy charge transfer band;  $17\,200\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  ${}^3T_{2g} \leftarrow {}^3T_{1g}(F)$ ;  $25\,600\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  ${}^3T_{1g}(P) \leftarrow {}^3T_{1g}(F)$ ; (c) paramagnetic, tetrahedral; diamagnetic, square planar (probably *trans*).
- 20.26** (a) (i)  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{V}^{4+}$ ; (ii) e.g.  $\text{Re}^{6+}$ ,  $\text{W}^{5+}$ ,  $\text{Tc}^{6+}$ ; temperature has greatest affect on ions in (ii); (b)  $\text{F}^-$ ,  $\sigma$ - and  $\pi$ -donor;  $\text{CO}$ ,  $\sigma$ -donor,  $\pi$ -acceptor;  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\sigma$ -donor.


## Chapter 21

- 21.3** Ether is chelating ligand;  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$  ligand may be mono-, di- or tridentate; suggest three didentate  $[\text{BH}_4]^-$ .
- 21.4** (a)  $\text{Li}_2\text{TiO}_3$  must have  $\text{NaCl}$  structure, i.e.  $[\text{Li}^+]_2\text{Ti}^{4+}[\text{O}^{2-}]_3$ ;  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Ti}^{4+}$  and  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  are about the same size; electrical neutrality must be maintained; (b)  $E^\circ$  for  $\text{Ti}^{4+} + e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ti}^{3+}$  is  $+0.1\text{ V}$  at pH 0, so one might think that in alkali no reaction with  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$ ; but  $\text{TiO}_2$  extremely insoluble and  $\text{H}_2$  also upsets the equilibrium.
- 21.5** Yellow ammonium vanadate in acidic solution contains  $[\text{VO}_2]^+$ ; reduction by  $\text{SO}_2$  gives blue  $[\text{VO}]^{2+}$ ; Zn reduction to purple  $\text{V}^{2+}$ .
- 21.6**  $2\text{VBr}_3 \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{VBr}_4 + \text{VBr}_2$ ;  $2\text{VBr}_4 \xrightarrow{\Delta} 2\text{VBr}_3 + \text{Br}_2$ ; with removal of  $\text{Br}_2$ ,  $\text{VBr}_2$  is final product.
- 21.7** Compound is an alum containing  $[\text{V}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , octahedral  $d^2$ ;  $\mu(\text{spin-only}) = 2.83\ \mu_B$ ; three bands for  $d^2$  ion.
- 21.8**  $[\text{Cr}(\mathbf{21.74})]$ ; hexadentate  $N, N', N'', O, O', O''$ ; *fac*-isomer.
- 21.9** Cr should be oxidized to  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  but air should have no further action.

- 21.10** (a) Colorimetry (for  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ ) or gas evolution ( $\text{CO}_2$ ); (b) autocatalysis.
- 21.12** (a) Mössbauer spectrum; (b) show  $\text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  changes colour at high  $[\text{Cl}^-]$  and also changes colour if  $\text{Cl}^-$  displaced by  $\text{F}^-$ ; (c) treat ppt with acid to give  $\text{MnO}_2$  and  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ , determine both with oxalic acid in strongly acidic solution.
- 21.13** (a)  $2\text{Fe} + 3\text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{FeCl}_3$ ;  
 (b)  $\text{Fe} + \text{I}_2 \rightarrow \text{FeI}_2$ ;  
 (c)  $2\text{FeSO}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 + \text{SO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ;  
 (d)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+} + [\text{SCN}]^- \rightarrow [\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{SCN}-N)]^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ;  
 (e)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+} + 3[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]^{2-} \rightarrow [\text{Fe}(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)_3]^{3-} + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; on standing, the Fe(III) oxidizes oxalate;  
 (f)  $\text{FeO} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow \text{FeSO}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ;  
 (g)  $\text{FeSO}_4 + 2\text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Fe}(\text{OH})_2(\text{precipitate}) + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ .
- 21.14** (a) Compare lattice energy determined from Born cycle with that interpolated from values for  $\text{MnF}_2$  and  $\text{ZnF}_2$ ;  
 (b)  $K \approx 10^{35}$ .
- 21.15**  $\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{Co}^{\text{III}}_2\text{O}_4$ : in *normal* spinel the  $\text{Co}^{3+}$  ions occupy octahedral sites, favoured for low-spin  $d^6$  (LFSE).
- 21.16** (a)  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}_2]^+$  is low-spin  $d^6$  so diamagnetic;  $[\text{CoCl}_4]^{2-}$  is  $d^7$ , tetrahedral,  $e^4t_2^3$ , no orbital contribution expected;  $\mu(\text{spin-only}) = 3.87 \mu_B$ ; here, spin-orbit coupling appears not to be important;  
 (b) values  $> \mu(\text{spin-only})$ ; due to spin-orbit coupling;  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  inversely related to ligand field strength.
- 21.17** (a) Green ppt is hydrated  $\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_2$ ; yellow solution contains  $[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4]^{2-}$ , and red  $[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_5]^{3-}$ ;  
 (b)  $\text{K}_2[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4]$  reduced to give  $\text{K}_4[\text{Ni}_2(\text{CN})_6]$  (see **21.51**) or  $\text{K}_4[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4]$ .
- 21.18** Gives octahedral *trans*- $[\text{Ni}(\text{L})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$  (paramagnetic) then square planar  $[\text{Ni}(\text{L})_2]$  (diamagnetic); isomerism involves relative orientations of Ph groups in L.
- 21.19** (a)  $\text{CuSO}_4 + 2\text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2(\text{s}) + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ;  
 (b)  $\text{CuO} + \text{Cu} + 2\text{HCl} \rightarrow 2\text{CuCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ;  
 (c)  $\text{Cu} + 4\text{HNO}_3(\text{conc}) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{NO}_2$ ;  
 (d)  $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2 + 4\text{NH}_3 \rightarrow [\text{Cu}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+} + 2[\text{OH}]^-$ ;  
 (e)  $\text{ZnSO}_4 + 2\text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Zn}(\text{OH})_2(\text{s}) + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ;  
 $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_2(\text{s}) + 2\text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Na}_2[\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_4]$ ;  
 (f)  $\text{ZnS} + 2\text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{S} + \text{ZnCl}_2$ .
- 21.20** (b)  $[\text{Pd}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$  analogous to  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Hdmg})_2]$ .
- 21.21** HCl can act in two ways: preferential complexing of  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  by  $\text{Cl}^-$ , and diminution of reducing power of  $\text{SO}_2$  because of  $[\text{H}^+]$  in equilibrium:  
 $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-} + 4\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{SO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$   
 Try effect of replacing HCl by (a) saturated LiCl or another very soluble chloride; (b)  $\text{HClO}_4$  or another very strong acid which is not easily reduced.
- 21.22** (a) Square planar; (b) tetrahedral; (c) tetrahedral. Distinguish by magnetic data.
- 21.23** (a)  $[\text{MnO}_4]^-$ ; (b)  $[\text{MnO}_4]^{2-}$ ; (c)  $[\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7]^{2-}$ ; (d)  $[\text{VO}]^{2+}$ ;

(e)  $[\text{VO}_4]^{3-}$  (*ortho*),  $[\text{VO}_3]^-$  (*meta*); (f)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ . Permanganate.


- 21.26**  $\text{X} = \text{K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{ox})_3] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; analysis gives  $\text{ox}^{2-}:\text{Fe} = 3:1$ , hence  $3\text{K}^+$  needed, and  $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to make 100%.



$[\text{Fe}(\text{ox})_3]^{3-}$  is chiral but reaction with  $[\text{OH}]^-$  suggests anion may be too labile to be resolved into enantiomers.

- 21.27**  $\text{A} = [\text{Co}(\text{DMSO})_6][\text{ClO}_4]_2$ ;  $\text{B} = [\text{Co}(\text{DMSO})_6][\text{CoCl}_4]$ .

- 21.28**  $\text{Cu}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{S} \rightarrow \text{CuS} + 2\text{H}^+$ ; very low solubility product of CuS allows its precipitation in acid solution. Reduction is:



but also:  $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-} + 8\text{H}^+ + 8\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{S}^{2-} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with the very high  $[\text{H}^+]$  and insolubility of CuS combining to bring about the second reaction.


- 21.29** (a)  $2\text{BaFeO}_4 + 3\text{Zn} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{ZnO} + 2\text{BaZnO}_2$ ;  
 (c)  $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{S}_2)^{2-}$ , 1:1 ratio.

- 21.30** (a) High-spin  $\text{Co}^{3+}$ ,  $t_{2g}^4e_g^2$ ; orbital contribution to  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  and for more than half-filled shell,  $\mu_{\text{eff}} > \mu(\text{spin-only})$ ;  
 (b) assume oxidation of  $[\text{O}_2]^{2-}$  ligand; 1e-oxidation removes electron from  $\pi_g^*(2p_x)^2\pi_g^*(2p_y)^2$  level; bond order increases; (c)  $[\text{Ni}(\text{acac})_3]^-$ ; *cis*- $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}_2]^+$ .

- 21.31** (a) Lowest to highest energy:  ${}^3T_{2g} \leftarrow {}^3A_{2g}$ ;  ${}^3T_{1g}(F) \leftarrow {}^3A_{2g}$ ;  ${}^4T_{1g}(P) \leftarrow {}^3A_{2g}$ ; (b) Jahn-Teller effect:  $\text{CuF}_2$ ,  $d^9$ ;  $[\text{CuF}_6]^{2-}$  and  $[\text{NiF}_6]^{3-}$ , low-spin  $d^7$ ; (c) *trans*- $\text{VBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4\text{Br} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; octahedral cation.

- 21.32** (a)  $\text{V} \equiv \text{V}$  ( $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^0$ ); (b) reducing agent; (c) decrease; electron added, giving  $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^1$ .

- 21.33** (a)  $[\text{NiL}_2]^{2+}$ ,  $d^8$  versus  $[\text{NiL}_2]^{3+}$ , low-spin  $d^7$ , Jahn-Teller distorted; (b) low-spin  $d^6$  diamagnetic; Fe(III) impurities,  $d^5$ , paramagnetic; (c) tautomers:



## Chapter 22

- 22.3** (a) Assume  $\text{CrCl}_2$  and  $\text{WCl}_2$  have same structure; calculate  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ(\text{CrCl}_2)$  and estimate  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ(\text{WCl}_2)$  using  $\Delta U \propto 1/r$ ;  $\Delta_{\text{lattice}}H^\circ(\text{WCl}_2) \approx -2450$  to  $-2500 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ; Born-Haber cycle gives  $\Delta_fH^\circ(\text{WCl}_2) \approx +353$  to  $+403 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .
- 22.4** (a) Same 3D structure and same unit cell size but  $A_r \text{Hf} \gg \text{Zr}$ ; (b) Nb(IV) is  $d^1$ ;  $\text{NbF}_4$  has no Nb-Nb, but  $\text{NbCl}_4$  and  $\text{NbBr}_4$  contain pairs of Nb atoms.

**22.5** (a) Cs[NbBr<sub>6</sub>]; (b) K<sub>2</sub>[TaF<sub>7</sub>] or K<sub>3</sub>[TaF<sub>8</sub>] more likely than K[TaF<sub>6</sub>] under conditions given; (c) [Nb(bpy)F<sub>5</sub>] is one possible product; (d) MF<sub>5</sub> (M = Nb, Ta), tetramer; NbBr<sub>5</sub>, dimer; [NbBr<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, octahedral; [TaF<sub>7</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, monocapped octahedron; [TaF<sub>8</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>, square antiprism; [Nb(bpy)F<sub>5</sub>], pentagonal bipyramid possible.

**22.6** [Cl<sub>3</sub>M(μ-Cl)<sub>3</sub>MCl<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>; no Cr–Cr bonding (Cr(III) is d<sup>3</sup>); W≡W bond pairs up metal electrons.


**22.7** (a) [Mo<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4/2</sub> = [Mo<sub>6</sub>(μ<sub>3</sub>-Cl)<sub>8</sub>]<sup>4+</sup> with two extra terminal Cl *trans* to each other, and four equatorial Cl involved in bridging; [Mo<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4/2</sub> = [Mo<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>]Cl<sub>2+2</sub> = Mo<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>12</sub> = MoCl<sub>2</sub>; (b) W = s<sup>2</sup>d<sup>4</sup>; valence electrons = 36 + 8 – 4 = 40; 16 used for eight M–Cl; 24 left for 12 W–W single bonds.

**22.10** Re≡Re bond, eclipsed ligands; description as for Cr≡Cr.

**22.11** ReCl<sub>4</sub> (22.37), Re–Re; Re<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>, Re=Re; [Re<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, Re≡Re; [Re<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, Re–Re; [Re<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>(μ-Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], Re≡Re.

**22.14** (b) *fac*- and *mer*-isomers; <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy is diagnostic; <sup>1</sup>H decoupled spectrum of *fac*-isomer, a singlet; for *mer*-isomer, triplet and doublet (*J*<sub>PP</sub>). [Hydride signals in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra also diagnostic.]

**22.15** IR spectroscopic data show H or D is present:




**22.16** (a) β-PdCl<sub>2</sub> (22.72) related to [Nb<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>12</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> but no M–M bonding in Pd<sub>6</sub> core.

**22.17** (a) X-ray diffraction definitive; *cis*- and *trans*-[PtCl<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] distinguished by dipole moments and IR spectroscopy; [Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>][PtCl<sub>4</sub>] is a 1 : 1 electrolyte; (b) [(H<sub>3</sub>N)<sub>2</sub>Pt(μ-Cl)<sub>2</sub>Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> is 1 : 2 electrolyte; no ν(Pt–Cl)<sub>terminal</sub> absorptions in IR spectrum.

**22.18** (a) K<sub>2</sub>[PtI<sub>4</sub>], square planar anion; (b) *cis*-[PtCl<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], square planar; (c) [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(phen)], square planar and didentate ligand, so *cis*; (d) [PtCl(tpy)]Cl, square planar, tridentate tpy; (e) K<sub>2</sub>[Pt(CN)<sub>4</sub>], square planar anion, stacked in solid state.

**22.19** For *trans*-[PdCl<sub>2</sub>(R<sub>2</sub>P(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>PR<sub>2</sub>)] to form, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub> chain must be long; smaller chains give *cis*-monomer. Dimer with *trans*-arrangement:



**22.20** (a) Bulky EtNH<sub>2</sub> ligands prevent cation–anion stacking, so discrete ions; (b) complex [Ag<sub>2</sub>I]<sup>+</sup> more stable than [Ag<sub>2</sub>Cl]<sup>+</sup> (see Table 6.9); (c) equilibrium involved is: Hg<sup>2+</sup> + Hg ⇌ [Hg<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> rather than: Hg<sup>2+</sup> + Hg ⇌ 2Hg<sup>+</sup>.

**22.24** (a) [PCL<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>]<sub>3</sub>[ReCl<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup>][ReCl<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>]; (b) *J*(<sup>19</sup>F–<sup>187</sup>Os); <sup>187</sup>Os, 1.64%, *I* = 1/2.

**22.25** (a) [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>[HfF<sub>7</sub>] is [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[HfF<sub>6</sub>] + NH<sub>4</sub>F; for 7-coordination, see Figure 19.7; (b) NbCl<sub>5</sub> is Cl-bridged dimer with one <sup>93</sup>Nb environment; similarly for NbBr<sub>5</sub>; halide exchange can introduce asymmetry and two <sup>93</sup>Nb environments.

**22.26** (b) [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>[PMo<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>].

**22.27** (a) Octahedral, low-spin d<sup>6</sup>; square planar d<sup>8</sup>; d<sup>0</sup>; see worked example 22.2; (b) in <sup>77</sup>Se NMR spectra, *J*(<sup>77</sup>Se–<sup>103</sup>Rh); in <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, singlets assigned to [SeCN]<sup>-</sup> ligands and doublet, *J*(<sup>13</sup>C<sub>CN</sub>–<sup>103</sup>Rh).

**22.28** (a) [NO]<sup>-</sup>; (b) 4 [C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> are each didentate to each of two Mo centres, linking four Mo<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub> units into a ‘square’; mass spectrometry to distinguish [3 + 3] from [4 + 4].

## Chapter 23

**23.3** (a) [V(CO)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> and Cr(CO)<sub>6</sub> isoelectronic; greater negative charge leads to more back-donation; (b) 4-Me group does not affect cone angle but in 2-position, makes ligand more bulky; (c) Me<sub>3</sub>NO + CO → Me<sub>3</sub>N + CO<sub>2</sub>; MeCN occupies vacant site but easily replaced by PPh<sub>3</sub>; (d) free HC≡CH is linear; back-donation from Os reduces C–C bond order, making C more sp<sup>2</sup>-like.

**23.4** (b) Shift consistent with metal–hydride; <sup>1</sup>H nucleus of bridging H couples to four equivalent <sup>31</sup>P nuclei (100%, *I* = 1/2) to give binomial quintet.

**23.5** (a) Significant population of π\*-MO causes C–C bond to lengthen; (b) replacement of THF ligand by PPh<sub>3</sub>; (c) in Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub>, 2025 and 2000 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to ν<sub>CO</sub>; PPh<sub>3</sub> poorer π-acceptor than CO.

**23.8** Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> + Na<sub>2</sub>[Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub>] → CO + Na<sub>2</sub>[Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>]; [(OC)<sub>4</sub>Fe–Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> isoelectronic and isostructural with *solution* structure of Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>.

**23.11** Os<sub>7</sub>(CO)<sub>21</sub>: capped octahedral; [Os<sub>8</sub>(CO)<sub>22</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>: bicapped octahedral.

**23.12** Electron counts: (a) 86; (b) 60; (c) 72; (d) 64; (e) 48; (f) 48; (g) 86; (h) 48; (i) 60.

**23.13** (a) Os<sub>5</sub>(CO)<sub>18</sub> has 76 electrons; three edge-sharing triangles = (3 × 48) – (2 × 34) = 76; (b) Ir–Ir bond *between* clusters is 2c-2e; two 60-electron tetrahedra.

**23.14** (a) Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub>(η<sup>2</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), trigonal bipyramidal, equatorial C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>; (b) Na[Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>]; anion trigonal bipyramidal; (c) Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>(NO); trigonal bipyramidal (two isomers possible); (d) HMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>; octahedral; (e) Ni(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>) or Ni(CO)<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>; tetrahedral.

**23.15** For CO insertion, 25% product is Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>Me (no <sup>13</sup>CO) and 75% is Mn(<sup>13</sup>CO)(CO)<sub>4</sub>Me with <sup>13</sup>CO *cis* to Me.

**23.20** (a) [(η<sup>5</sup>-Cp)<sub>2</sub>Fe]<sup>+</sup>[FeCl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>; (b) (η<sup>5</sup>-Cp)Fe(η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>C(O)Ph); (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>C(O)Ph)<sub>2</sub>Fe;

- (c)  $[(\eta^5\text{-Cp})\text{Fe}(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Me})]^+[\text{AlCl}_4]^-$ ;  
 (d)  $\text{NaCl} + (\eta^5\text{-Cp})\text{FeCo}(\text{CO})_6$ .
- 23.21**  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy;  $\eta^5\text{-Cp}$  gives singlet,  $\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{C}(\text{O})\text{Me}$  gives singlet (Me) and two multiplets. Could also use  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy.
- 23.23** (a) 18-electron rule suggests L acts as 4-electron donor.  
 (b) L becomes  $\eta^6$  (see equation 23.111, left side);  
 (c)  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{C}]^+$  abstracts  $\text{H}^-$ .
- 23.26** (a) 48 electrons; no; unsaturated 46-electron species with  $\text{Os}=\text{Os}$  bond.
- 23.27** (a) Wade: 7 electron pairs, predict octahedral  $\text{Os}_6$ -cage with interstitial B; total electrons available = 86, not consistent with the open cage observed;  $\text{H}_3\text{Os}_6(\text{CO})_{16}\text{B}$  is exception to both electron-counting rules;  
 (b)  $\sigma$ -donation,  $\pi$ -back-donation in  $[\text{W}(\text{CO})_6]$ ; in  $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_6]^{3+}$ ,  $\sigma$ -donation dominates;  $\bar{\nu}_{\text{CO}}$  for cation > neutral complex.
- 23.28** **A** =  $\text{Na}[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_4]$ , tetrahedral anion;  
**B** $^-$  =  $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_3(\text{SnPh}_3)_2]^-$ , trigonal bipyramid; *trans*- $\text{SbPh}_3$  likely on steric grounds.

## Chapter 24

- 24.2**  $^2F_{5/2}$ ;  $2.54 \mu_{\text{B}}$ .
- 24.3** Consider cycle for:  $3\text{LnX}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{LnX}_3 + \text{Ln}$ ; for a given Ln, difference in lattice energy between  $3\text{LnX}_2$  and  $2\text{LnX}_3$  is the governing factor, and is least when X is largest.
- 24.4** Determine electrical conductivity.
- 24.5** (a) Near constancy originates in small variation in metal ion size which affects interactions with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $[\text{EDTA}]^{4-}$  similarly; hexadentate  $[\text{EDTA}]^{4-}$  has four O-donors and so  $\Delta H^\circ$  for replacement of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is small.  
 (b) Complex formation by anions:  $\text{Cl}^- > [\text{SO}_4]^{2-} > [\text{NO}_3]^- > [\text{ClO}_4]^-$ . (c)  $\text{BaCeO}_3$  is a mixed oxide.
- 24.8** Hard  $\text{Ln}^{3+}$  suggests  $[\text{NCS}]^-$  N-bonded;  $[\text{Ln}(\text{NCS})_6]^{3-}$ , octahedral; 8-coordinate  $[\text{Ln}(\text{NCS})_7(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{4-}$  could be dodecahedral, square antiprismatic, cubic or distorted variants (hexagonal bipyramidal less likely);  $[\text{Ln}(\text{NCS})_7]^{4-}$  could be pentagonal bipyramidal, capped octahedral, or distorted variants.
- 24.9** (b) Sandwich complexes  $[(\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8)_2\text{Sm}]^-(\text{K}^+\text{ salt})$  and  $[(\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8)_2\text{Sm}]^{2-}$ .
- 24.10** (b) Zn amalgam should reduce Np(VI) to Np(III);  $\text{O}_2$  at pH 0 should oxidize Np(III) to  $[\text{NpO}_2]^+$  and some  $[\text{NpO}_2]^{2+}$  (oxidation might be slow).
- 24.11**  $\text{U(VI)} \rightarrow \text{U(IV)}$  after aeration;  $\text{UF}_4$  formed and then:  $2\text{UF}_4 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{UF}_6 + \text{UO}_2\text{F}_2$ .

- 24.12** (a)  $\text{UF}_6$ ; (b)  $\text{PaCl}_5$ , then  $\text{PaCl}_4$ ; (c)  $\text{UO}_2$ ;  
 (d)  $\text{UCl}_4 + \text{UCl}_6$ ; (e)  $\text{U}(\text{OC}_6\text{H}_2\text{-2,4,6-Me}_3)_3$ .
- 24.14** (a) **A**,  $^{239}\text{U}$ ; **B**,  $^{239}\text{Np}$ ; **C**,  $^{239}\text{Pu}$ ; (b) **D**,  $^{241}\text{Pu}$ ; **E**,  $^{241}\text{Am}$ ;  
**F**,  $^{242}\text{Cm}$ .
- 24.15** (a) **A**,  $^{253}\text{Es}$ ; (b) **B**,  $^{244}\text{Pu}$ ; (c) **C**,  $^{249}\text{Cf}$ ; (d) **D**,  $^{248}\text{Cm}$ ;  
 (e) **E**,  $^{249}\text{Cf}$ .
- 24.16** (a) All Th(IV) compounds:  $\text{Th}^{4+}(\text{I}^-)_2(\text{e}^-)_2$ ,  $\text{Th}^{4+}(\text{I}^-)_3(\text{e}^-)$  and  $\text{ThI}_4$ ; (b) solid state salts contain linear  $\text{UO}_2$  unit with other ligands in equatorial plane;  
 (c) monomer only if R is very bulky, e.g.  $\text{R} = 2,6\text{-}^i\text{Bu}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$ .
- 24.17** (a)  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{ThRu}(\text{CO})_2(\eta^5\text{-Cp})$ ;  $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{ThCHMeEt}$ ;  
 $(\eta^5\text{-Cp})_3\text{ThCH}_2\text{Ph}$ ; (b) bulkier organic ligand hinders redistribution reaction; (c) to give  $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)(\eta^8\text{-C}_8\text{H}_8)\text{U}(\text{THF})_x$  (in practice,  $x = 1$ ).
- 24.18** (a)  $\text{UCl}_4 + 4(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)\text{MgCl}$  in  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ ;  
 (b)  $\text{U}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)_4 + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{U}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)_3\text{Cl} + \text{CH}_3\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2$ .
- 24.22** (a) **A** = [*fac*-(**24.16-N,N',N''**) $\text{ScCl}_3$ ]; **B** = [*fac*-(**24.16-N,N',N''**) $\text{ScMe}_3$ ]; +3.
- 24.23** (a) For  $f^6$ ,  $S = 3$ ,  $L = 3$ ,  $J = 0$ ,  $g = 1$ ;  
 $\mu_{\text{eff}} = g\sqrt{J(J+1)} = 0$ ;  
 (b) **A** =  $[(\text{THF})_2\text{ClO}_2\text{U}(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\text{UO}_2\text{Cl}(\text{THF})_2]$ ;  
**B** =  $[\text{UO}_2(\text{THF})_2(\text{O}-2,6\text{-}^i\text{Bu}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_2]$ ;  
**C** =  $[\text{UO}_2\text{Cl}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_2]$ ; all *trans*- $\text{UO}_2$  units.
- 24.24** (a) Let **24.17** = HL; **A** = LiL; **B** = LTbBr $_2$ .

## Chapter 25

- 25.4** Consider usual square planar rate law, equation 25.9 with  $k_{\text{obs}}$  given by equation 25.11; suggest pathways are:
- $$[\text{Rh}(\text{cod})(\text{PPh}_3)_2]^+ + \text{py} \xrightarrow{k_2} [\text{Rh}(\text{cod})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{py})]^+ + \text{PPh}_3$$
- competes with:
- $$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\text{Rh}(\text{cod})(\text{PPh}_3)_2]^+ + \text{S} \xrightarrow{k_1} [\text{Rh}(\text{cod})(\text{PPh}_3)\text{S}]^+ + \text{PPh}_3 \\ [\text{Rh}(\text{cod})(\text{PPh}_3)\text{S}]^+ + \text{py} \xrightarrow{\text{fast}} [\text{Rh}(\text{cod})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{py})]^+ + \text{S} \end{array} \right.$$
- Plot of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  vs  $[\text{py}]$  is linear; gradient =  $k_2 = 322 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ; intercept =  $k_1 = 25 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .
- 25.5** (b) *trans*- $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{PEt}_3)_2]$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$ .
- 25.6** (a) As Figure 25.3 with  $\text{L}^1 = \text{L}^3 = \text{L}$ , and  $\text{L}^2 = \text{X} = \text{Cl}$ ;  
 (b) rearrangement of 5-coordinate intermediate may be possible, giving *cis* + *trans*- $[\text{PtL}_2\text{ClY}]^+$ .
- 25.7** Positive  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  suggests dissociative (*D* or  $I_{\text{d}}$ ); the rate law suggests associative mechanism; apply Eigen–Wilkins mechanism to account for apparent second order kinetics.

**25.8** (a) Step 1, only one product possible; *trans*-effect of  $\text{Cl}^- > \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , so specific isomer formation observed; (b)  $[\text{RhCl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2-}$  from *trans*- $[\text{RhCl}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^- + \text{Cl}^-$ , or from  $[\text{RhCl}_6]^{3-} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; *cis*- $[\text{RhCl}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^-$  from  $[\text{RhCl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (*trans*-effect of  $\text{Cl}^-$ ); *fac*- $[\text{RhCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]$  from *cis*- $[\text{RhCl}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (*trans*-effect of  $\text{Cl}^-$ ).

**25.9** All group 9,  $d^6$ ; magnitude of  $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$  increases down group.

**25.11** Inversion at N; simple amines cannot be resolved.

**25.12** These are  $\text{acac}^-$ -type ligands; common mechanism involving dissociation of one end of chelate and reformation of Co–O bond; this may exchange  $\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CH}_3$  and  $\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CD}_3$  groups.

$$\mathbf{25.13} \quad -\frac{d[\text{SCN}^-]}{dt} = \left(k_1 + \frac{k_2 K_1}{[\text{H}^+]}\right) [\text{Fe}][\text{SCN}^-] - \left(k_{-1} + \frac{k_{-2} K_2}{[\text{H}^+]}\right) [\text{Fe}(\text{SCN})]$$

where  $[\text{Fe}] = [\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{3+}]$  and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{SCN})] = [\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{SCN})^{2+}]$ .

**25.14** First step involves breaking one Co–O bond in carbonate chelate ring;  $\text{H}_2(^{18}\text{O})$  fills vacant site; protonation of pendant carbonate–O atom.

**25.16** Both sets of data are the same within experimental error; (a)  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 128 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ;  $\Delta S^\ddagger = 95 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; (b) data consistent with racemization by dissociative process.

**25.17** *Dcb* mechanism;  $[\text{NH}_2]^-$  in  $\text{NH}_3$  is analogous to  $[\text{OH}]^-$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

**25.20** **I**: both low-spin, similar Ru–N bond lengths; **II**:  $[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$  is low-spin, becomes high-spin (and has longer Co–N) after reduction;  $\Delta_r G^\circ$  helps reaction; **III**: see text discussion, Section 25.5;  $\Delta G^\circ = 0$  for self-exchanges **I** and **III**.

**25.22** (a)  $[\text{PtCl}_3(\text{NH}_3)]^-$ , *cis*- $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{NH}_3)_2]$ ; (b) *cis*- $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_2\text{Cl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2+}$ ; (c)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{NO})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5]^{2+}$ .

**25.23** (b) Change from *trans,trans* to *cis,cis*-conformation.


**25.24**  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectroscopy; electronic spectroscopy; take into account rate of reaction *vs* timescale of the method chosen.

**25.25** (a) Dissociative pathway.

**26.4** (a)  $\text{PhMeC}=\text{CHPh}$ ;  $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{C}(\text{CO}_2\text{H})(\text{NHC}(\text{O})\text{Me})$ ; (b)  $\approx 8\%$  *S* and  $92\%$  *R*.

**26.5** (a) Base cycle on inner part of Figure 26.8; (b) regioselectivity is *n*:*i* ratio; greater selectivity to linear aldehyde at lower temperature.

**26.6**




**(I)** highest yield, by alkene isomerization and then as in Figure 26.8; **(III)** lowest yield (sterically hindered); **(II)** formed as secondary product with both **(I)** and **(III)**.


**26.7** Steps in cycle: loss of  $\text{PPh}_3$  from  $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  to give *trans*- $\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ ; alkene addition gives  $18e\text{-Rh}$ , which undergoes H migration to give  $\sigma$ -alkyl; oxidative addition of  $\text{H}_2$ , then H migration, elimination of  $\text{RCH}_2\text{CH}_3$  to regenerate catalyst.

**26.8** (a) Similar IR absorptions indicate similar amounts of back-donation to CO ligands and so similar charge distribution in complexes; (b) complex needs to be water-soluble, so  $\text{Na}^+$  salt best choice.

**26.10** (a) Active  $16e$ -complex is  $\text{NiL}_3$ , so dissociation step is important; *K* depends on steric factors;



(c) transfer of CN to give either **26A** or **26B**; linear alkene is needed for the commercial process.




**26.11** (a) 46 electron count, so unsaturated; (b) addition of alkene to an  $\text{Os}(\text{CO})_3$  vertex; transfer of one cluster H to give  $\sigma$ -bonded alkyl bound to Os at C(2);  $\beta$ -elimination gives alkene, *E*- or *Z*-isomer.

**26.14** (a) Increases yield of  $\text{SO}_3$ ; (b) reduces yield.

**26.15** (b) V: strong chemisorption of N, nitride formation; Pt: high  $\Delta G^\ddagger$  for  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption; Os: rare and expensive compared with catalyst used ( $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ).

**26.20** (a) Asymmetric hydrogenations; ligand is chiral; (b) catalyst soluble in hexane, and catalyst recovery after phase separation.

**26.21** (a)  $4\text{NH}_3 + 6\text{NO} \rightarrow 5\text{N}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; (b) see **26.1**; **A** =



**26.23** (a) No; each Rh is  $18e$ -electron centre.

## Chapter 26

**26.1** (a) First, formation of active catalytic species; step 1 = oxidative addition; step 2 = alkene insertion; step 3 =  $\beta$ -elimination; step 4 = elimination of HX; (b) no  $\beta$ -H present.

## Chapter 27

- 27.1** (a) Vacant  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  sites must be in 1:2 ratio; (b) see Figure 5.27; (c)  $\text{Ag}^+$  and  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  similar size; replacement of  $\text{Ag}^+$  by  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  gives charge imbalance countered by an extra  $\text{Ag}^+$  vacancy.
- 27.3** For small deviations from stoichiometry,  $[\text{Ni}^{2+}]$  and  $[\text{O}^{2-}]$  are nearly constant, so  $K = [\text{Ni}^{3+}]^4[\square_+]^2/p(\text{O}_2)$ . Since  $[\square_+] = \frac{1}{2}[\text{Ni}^{3+}]$ ,  $K \propto [\text{Ni}^{3+}]^6/p(\text{O}_2)$  with conductivity  $\propto [\text{Ni}^{3+}]$  and hence  $\propto p(\text{O}_2)^{1/6}$ .
- 27.5** Creation of positive hole as electron hops from  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  to  $\text{Ni}^{3+}$ ; as more  $\text{Li}^+$  incorporated, more  $\text{Ni}^{3+}$  sites created, and conductivity increases.
- 27.7**  $\text{AgI}$  is a solid  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion conductor; passage of  $\text{Ag}^+$  (not  $e^-$ ) occurs through solid electrolyte.
- 27.9**  $\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}$  reversibly intercalates  $\text{Li}^+$ ;  

$$x\text{Li}^+ + \text{V}_6\text{O}_{13} + xe^- \xrightleftharpoons[\text{charge}]{\text{discharge}} \text{Li}_x\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}$$
- 27.13** (a)  $\text{Li}_x\text{V}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{I}_2$ ; (b)  $\text{CaWO}_4$ ; (c)  $\text{Sr}_2\text{FeO}_4$  (or  $\text{SrFeO}_3$ ).
- 27.14** (a)  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$ ,  $\text{CaO}$ ; (b)  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{MoO}_3$ ,  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$ ; (c)  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ ; (d)  $\text{RuO}_2$ ,  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$ .
- 27.17** (a) See Figure 10.3;  $\text{Li}_3\text{As} < \text{Li}_3\text{P} < \text{Li}_3\text{N}$ .
- 27.18** (b)  $\text{H}_2\text{NNMe}_2$  is H atom donor to facilitate  ${}^t\text{BuH}$  elimination; see Table 27.4 and discussion.
- 27.19** (a)  $\text{F}^-$  vacancies, giving holes for  $\text{F}^-$  migration; (b) for metal and semiconductor, see Figures 5.9 and 5.10.

## Chapter 28

- 28.3** Octahedral complex with three catecholate ligands; the  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  complex ( $d^3$ ) is kinetically inert, so solution studies practicable.
- 28.4** (a) Soft *S*-donors compatible with soft metal ion; (b) protein binding sites coordinate several metals in cluster units; (c) similar  $\text{C}_3\text{N}_2$  heterocyclic rings present in each.
- 28.10** (a)  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  blue;  $\text{Cu}^+$ , colourless; (b) changes in conformation of metal-binding pocket alters coordination environment and also reduction potential; (c)  $\text{CO}$  blocks  $\text{O}_2$  binding site by coordinating to  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ , but  $[\text{CN}]^-$  favours  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and binds tightly to cytochrome haem.
- 28.12** (a)  $[\text{Fe}(\text{SPh})_4]^{2-}$  models  $\text{Fe}\{\text{S}(\text{Cys})\}_4$ -site; for  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ,  $\mu(\text{spin-only})$  values are 4.90 and  $5.92 \mu_B$ ; (b) spinach ferredoxin is a  $[\text{2Fe-2S}]$  system with an  $\text{Fe}_2(\mu\text{-S})_2\{\text{S}(\text{Cys})\}_4$  core; (c) **28.27** models half of  $\text{FeMo}$  cofactor; Mössbauer data consistent with delocalization of charge.
- 28.13** (a) Middle two;  $4\text{Fe}(\text{III})$  and  $4\text{Fe}(\text{II})$  states are not accessed; (b)  $3\text{Fe}(\text{III})\cdot\text{Fe}(\text{II}) \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Fe}(\text{III})\cdot 2\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ .
- 28.22** (a) Metallothioneins; typically *S*-donor Cys.
- 28.23** (a) Imidazole rings mimic His residues; (b) tripodal ligand encourages formation of *tetrahedral*  $[\text{Zn}(\mathbf{28.30})(\text{OH})]^+$ .
- 28.25** (a) Haemoglobin contains four haem units; cooperativity leads to  $K_4 \gg K_1$ ; (b) catalyses oxidation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to  $\text{O}_2$  in green plants and algae; chlorophyll.

# Index

Note: (B) indicates text in a Box, (F) a Figure, (N) a footnote, (T) a Table and (WE) a Worked Example.

- $\alpha$ -particles, 55
  - bombardment of nuclei by, 57
  - penetrating power of, 55(F)
- A (associative) substitution mechanism, 765
- abbreviations, 865–7
- amino acids, 831(T)
  - ligands, 184(T)
- abrasives, 296, 318, 339, 346(B), 608, 646
- absolute permittivity, 215
- absorption spectra, 570–1
  - $[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ , 576(F)
  - $[\text{Ni}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{2+}$ , 576(F)
  - $[\text{Ti}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ , 559(F), 574
- abundance of elements
  - d*-block metals, 594, 646
  - group 1, 257
  - group 2, 276(F)
  - group 13, 294(F)
  - group 14, 339(F)
  - group 15, 387(F)
  - group 16, 433(F)
  - group 17, 470(F)
  - group 18, 493, 493(F)
- acceptor level in semiconductor, 144
- acceptor ligand, 566, 568(F), 671, 678, 683, 839
- acetaldehyde, manufacture of, 787–8
- acetic acid
  - dissociation of, 164(B), 166
  - Monsanto process, 470, 721, 722(B), 793–4
  - pH calculations, 164(B)
  - solid state structure, 247
- acetic anhydride
  - Tennessee–Eastman process, 470(B), 722(B), 794, 795(F)
- acetonitrile
  - dielectric constant, 215(T)
  - transfer of ions to (from water), 216
- acetylacetonate ion, 179, 184(T)
- acetylacetone, 179, 180(F)
  - coordination complexes with, 179–80, 180, 180(F), 604, 615, 621, 629, 631, 652, 653(F), 689
- acetylide ion, 357
- acetylides, 263
- acid anhydride, 413–14
- acid dissociation constants, 164(B), 166
  - acetic acid, 166
  - hexaaqua ions compared with acids, 171
  - hydrogen halides, 167, 477
  - oxalic acid, 166
  - oxoacids, 167
    - group 15, 415, 420(T)
    - group 16, 458(T), 462
    - group 17, 485
- acid nomenclature for oxoacids, 168(B)
- 'acid rain', 415, 454(B)
- acids
  - in non-aqueous solvents, 217
  - strengths, 163–5, 216
  - see also* Brønsted acids; Lewis acids
- acids and bases, solvent-oriented definition, 217, 219, 227
- actinide, *see* actinoid
- actinium
  - extraction of, 748
  - ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882
  - longest lived isotope, 755(T)
  - mass number range, 875
  - metal, 755
  - oxidation state(s), 743(T)
  - physical properties, 742(T), 882
- actinium-227, 748, 755(T)
- actinoids, 741
  - complexes, 546
  - electronic spectra, 746
  - ground state electronic configuration(s), 17, 18(T), 742(T), 882
  - IUPAC nomenclature, 17(N), 741(N)
  - magnetic properties, 746
  - metals, 755–6
  - occurrence and separation, 748
  - organometallic compounds, 759–61
  - oxidation states, 743(T)
  - physical properties, 742(T), 882
  - synthesis of, 61–2
  - see also* actinium; americium; berkelium; californium; curium; einsteinium; fermium; lawrencium; mendelevium; neptunium; nobelium; plutonium; protactinium; thorium; uranium
- activated alumina, 316, 802
- activated carbon, dihydrogen absorbed on, 240(B)
- activated charcoal, 340, 340(B)
- activation energy, 787(B)
- activation Gibbs energy, 765, 787(B)
  - for catalysis, 787
  - for self-exchange reactions, 780–1
- activation parameters
  - determination of, 765–6, 766(F), 787(B)
  - listed for various complexes, 766(T)
- activation volume, 765–6
  - for water exchange reactions, 771(T)
- activity, 165–6
  - relative, 166
  - of water, 162
- acyclic diene metathesis polymerization, 790(F)
- adamantine solid, 152
- adatom (on metal surface), 801(F)
- adducts in complexes, 179
- adenine–thymine base-pairs (in DNA), 250, 252(F)
- ADMET (acyclic diene metathesis polymerization), 790(F)
- ADP (adenosine diphosphate), 423(B)
- ADP–ATP transformations, 277, 423(B), 847
- adsorbed atoms and molecules, 243(F), 799
- aerosol-assisted CVD technique, 825
- AES (Auger electron spectroscopy), 800(B)
- agostic M–H–C interactions, 720
- air, purification of, 265
- albite, 372
- algicides, 521(B)
- alkali metal ion batteries, 262(B)
- alkali metals, 21(T), 257
  - see also* group 1
- alkalides, 270
- alkaline earth metals, 21(T), 275
  - see also* group 2
- alkalis, 167
- alkene complexes, 725–6
- alkene ligands, 704–6
  - conversion to  $\sigma$ -bonded alkyl groups, 721
  - nucleophilic attack, 726
- alkene (olefin) metathesis reactions, 730, 789–90
  - examples (with abbreviations), 730, 790(F)
- alkenes
  - boron analogues, 320, 321
  - coordinated, nucleophilic attack on, 726, 788
  - hydroformylation of, 789, 795–6, 797(T)
  - hydrogenation of, 626, 678, 722(B), 724, 791–3, 798, 808
  - isomerization of, 722(B), 795
  - oligomerization of, 797
  - polymerization of, 507, 512(B), 722(B), 734(B), 802, 803(F)
  - production of, 803–4, 807
  - reactions with metal carbonyl clusters, 725–6
  - see also* coordinated alkenes
- alkoxy complexes, of group 2 metals, 288, 289(F)
- alkyl complexes
  - d*-block metal, 724–5
  - f*-block metal, 751, 759–60
- alkyl ligands,  $\sigma$ -bonded, 700–1
  - conversion to  $\pi$ -bonded alkenes, 721
- alkyl migrations, 720–1
- alkylaluminium hydrides, 512
- alkylidene complexes, 722, 729–30

- alkylidyne complexes, 722, 730  
 alkyllead chlorides, 524  
 alkylmagnesium halides, 509–10  
 alkyltin chlorides, 521–2  
   reactions, 522, 522(F)  
 alkyne complexes, 726–7  
 alkynes  
   addition to metal carbonyls, 726–7  
   boron analogues, 320, 321  
   C–C bond length, 726  
   hydrogenation of, 722(B)  
 allenes, boron analogues, 321  
 allotropes, 3(B)  
   boron, 294, 300–1, 300(F)  
   carbon, 338, 339, 345–53  
   and isotopes, 3(B)  
   phosphorus, 392–3  
   selenium, 441  
   sulfur, 3(B), 27, 439–40  
 allowed energies (in wave mechanics), 8(B)  
 allowed transitions (in emission spectra), 5, 5(F)  
 alloy steels, 140, 140(B), 594, 645, 646  
 alloys, 139–41, 276(B), 277, 594, 595, 596  
   interstitial, 139–40  
   substitutional, 139  
   *see also* steels  
 Allred–Rochow electronegativity values, 38, 38(F)  
 allyl complexes, 727–8  
 allyl ligand, 706  
   molecular orbitals, 707(F)  
 Alnico alloys, 595  
 alum, 322, 605, 615, 620, 625, 675, 680  
 alum shales, 322  
 alumina, 316  
   activated, 316, 802  
    $\alpha$ -form, 316  
    $\beta$ -form, 262(B), 815  
   production of, 293  
 alumina fibres, 827  
 aluminates, 316  
 aluminium  
   abundance of isotope(s), 875  
   appearance of metal, 299  
   compared with beryllium, 289, 290  
   extraction of, 293–4  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 297(T), 880  
   occurrence, 293, 470  
   physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 297(T), 877, 879, 880, 884, 885  
   production data (US), 294(F)  
   reactivity, 301  
   recycling of, 276(B), 294(F)  
   structure of metal, 135(T), 136  
   thin films, 824  
   uses, 295–6, 295(F)  
 aluminium alkyls, 511–13  
 aluminium aqua ions, 322  
 aluminium carbide, 357  
 aluminium chloride, 290, 310–11  
 aluminium cyclopentadienyl complexes, 513–14  
 aluminium(I) halides, 311–12  
 aluminium halides, complexes, 312  
 aluminium hydride, 254–5, 302, 305  
   adducts, 305, 305(F), 306–7  
 aluminium hydroxide, 290, 316  
   amphoteric behaviour, 173, 290, 316  
 aluminium–magnesium alloys, 276(B), 277, 277(F)  
 aluminium nitride, 318  
   uses, 820(T)  
 aluminium–nitrogen cluster compounds, 321–2  
   structures, 322(F)  
 aluminium organometallic compounds, 511–14  
 aluminium oxalato complex, 323, 324(F)  
 aluminium oxide, 316  
   amphoteric behaviour, 173, 316  
   in anodized aluminium, 299–300  
   production of, 294  
   standard Gibbs energy of formation, 210(F)  
   uses, 296, 820(T)  
 aluminium sulfate, 301, 322, 443(B)  
 aluminium tetrahydroborate(1–), 305, 305(F)  
 aluminium trialkyls, 512–13  
 aluminium trichloride adducts, 311(B)  
 aluminium trihalide, 309–11  
 aluminoborosilicate glass, 314(B)  
   fibres, 826  
 aluminosilicate glass fibres, 826  
 aluminosilicates, 293, 370, 372–3, 374(B), 374(F), 446(B)  
   *see also* zeolites  
 aluminium, *see* aluminium  
 alvite, 645  
 Alzheimer's disease, causes, 322  
 amalgams, 263, 279, 415, 457, 605, 634, 648(B), 672, 677, 694, 722  
*Amanita muscaria* (fly agaric toadstool), 836(B)  
 amavadin, 836(B)  
 americium, 62(T)  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882  
   longest lived isotope, 755(T)  
   mass number range, 875  
   metal, 756  
   oxidation state(s), 743(T)  
   physical properties, 742(T), 882  
   potential diagram, 758(F)  
   synthesis of, 748  
   uses, 748(B)  
 amides, 219, 261  
 amido complexes, 271–2  
   of group 2 metals, 288, 289(F)  
 amidolithium complexes, 272, 272(F)  
 amino acids, 831(T)  
   abbreviations, 831(T)  
 ammonia, 394–7  
   anomalous properties, 246, 247(F), 394  
   aqueous solution, 164–5, 165(WE), 168, 168–9(WE)  
   bonding in, 103(WE), 113–15  
   determination of, 696  
   industrial production, 395(B)  
   as ligand, 183, 184(T), 185  
   liquid  
     reactions in, 218–19, 261  
     as solvent, 217, 218–21  
   manufacture of, 243, 395–6, 801(T), 804–5  
   molecular dipole moment, 40  
   physical properties, 218, 218(F), 218(T), 247(F), 394(T)  
   compared with water, 218, 218(F), 218(T)  
   preparation of, 394–5  
   production in biological systems, 850  
   reactions, 396  
   solubility in water, 168, 396  
   in Solvay process, 266, 267(F), 396  
   supercritical, 232, 233  
   symmetry operations in, 83–4(WE), 85(F)  
   thermodynamics of formation, 396(WE)  
   uses, 395(B)  
   world production data, 804(F)  
 ammonia monooxygenase, 853  
 ammonium carbamate, 396  
 ammonium carbonate, 396  
 'ammonium hydroxide', 168  
 ammonium ion  
   dissociation constants, 168, 168–9(WE)  
   in solid state, 149, 149(F)  
 ammonium nitrate, 396  
   in explosives, 392, 396, 416(B)  
   as fertilizer, 395(B), 416(B)  
   reactivity, 392  
   solution in liquid ammonia, 219  
 ammonium nitrite, 392  
 ammonium perchlorate, 396  
 ammonium phosphate fertilizers, 395(B), 421(B)  
 ammonium salts, in solid state, 396–7  
 ammonium sulfate, 278(B), 395(B), 396, 460  
 amphoteric oxides and hydroxides, 173–4  
   *d*-block metal compounds, 602, 627  
   group 2 compounds, 173, 279, 285  
   group 13 compounds, 173, 290, 313, 316, 317  
   group 14 compounds, 174, 375, 376  
   group 15 compounds, 174  
   periodic trends in properties, 173–4  
   water as, 173, 217  
 amygdalin, 379(B)  
 anaemia, 595, 623(B)  
 anaesthetic gases, 412  
 analysis  
   gravimetric, 178, 324  
   group 1 metals, 260–1  
   neutron activation analysis, 472  
   radioisotopes used, 65  
 anatase, 593, 600(B), 820  
 anation, 773  
 anglesite, 339  
 angular momentum (of electron)  
   orbital angular momentum, 9, 15(B), 572(B)  
   spin angular momentum, 15, 15(B), 572(B)  
 animal feed supplements, 470(B), 596  
 anion-deficient structures, 814  
 anion-excess structures, 814  
 anionic ligands, 179  
 anodic protection of steel, 140, 201(B)  
 anodized aluminium, 299  
 anomalous properties  
   of fluorine, 471  
   of group 1 metals, 260  
   of hydrides, 246, 247(F), 394  
   ionization energies, 23, 298(B)  
 anorthite, 372  
 anthocyanin pigments, 459(B)  
 anti-aromatic compounds, 737  
 antibonding molecular orbitals, 30, 31, 31(F), 33(F), 107–27  
 anticuprite lattice, 641  
 antiferromagnetic compounds, 584, 605, 615, 631  
 antiferromagnetic coupling, 584, 609, 691  
   in biological systems, 839, 840, 844  
 antiferroite lattice, 149  
 antifouling agents, 518, 521(B), 638  
 anti-knock agents, 259, 344(B), 474(B), 518, 524  
 antimonates, 424  
 antimonides, 403

- antimony, 393  
 abundance, 387(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 aqueous solution chemistry, 428–9  
 bond enthalpy terms, 390(T)  
 detection of, 397(B)  
 extraction of, 387  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 389(T), 881  
 occurrence, 387  
 physical properties, 389(T), 877, 879, 881, 883, 884  
 reactions, 394  
 uses, 389
- antimony complex halides, 410  
 antimony complexes, 428(F), 429  
 antimony cyclopentadienyl complexes, 529(F), 530, 531(F)  
 antimony hydride *see* stibane/stibine  
 antimony organometallic compounds, 527–30  
 antimony oxides, 389, 419, 469(B)  
 antimony pentachloride, 410  
 as chloride acceptor, 405, 410  
 antimony pentafluoride, 410  
 as fluoride acceptor, 222, 224, 405  
 reactions, 218, 362, 410  
 in superacids, 224, 445  
 antimony sulfides, 389, 428  
 antimony(III) trifluoride, reactions, 362  
 antimony trihalides, 409  
 antineutrino, 55  
 antiseptics, 443, 470(B)  
 apatites, 387, 423(B), 474  
 apoferritin, 832  
 Apollo missions, 226(B), 240(B), 397, 593, 645  
 apoproteins, 832  
 applications  
 activated charcoal, 340(B)  
 air purification, 265  
 alkali metal ion batteries, 262(B)  
 ammonia, 395(B)  
 anaemia, 623(B)  
 bactericides, 691(B)  
 building materials, 287(B)  
 caesium clock, 260(B)  
 calcium carbide, 280(B)  
 calcium chloride, 266, 283, 283(B)  
 cancer treatment, 689(B)  
 catalysts, 722(B), 734(B), 752(B)  
 chloroalkali industry, 266(B)  
 clays, 374(B)  
 cobalt blues, 627(B)  
 cutting tools, 402(B)  
 diagnostic imaging agents, 671(B)  
 dihydrogen, 238–42  
 drying agents, 262, 281(B), 283  
 electrochromic windows, 659(B)  
 environmental catalysts, 646(B)  
 flame retardants, 469(B)  
 gas sensors, 375(B), 687(B)  
 glass industry, 314(B)  
 glucose pen meter, 731(B)  
 gypsum plasters, 287(B)  
 herbicide manufacture, 733(B)  
 homogeneous catalysts, 722(B)  
 indium–tin oxide, 317(B)  
 iodine, 470(B)  
 iron complexes, 623(B)  
 iron powder manufacture, 710(B)  
 isotopes, 63–5  
 large cations for large anions, 270(B), 711(B)  
 lasers, 744(B)  
 Lewis acid pigment solubilization, 311(B)  
 lubricants, 660(B)  
 magnesium oxide, 284(B)  
 magnetic resonance imaging, 74(B)  
 Marsh test, 397(B)  
 metal arc-welding, 494(B)  
 metal nitrides, 402(B)  
 molecular wires, 729(B)  
 molten salts, 227  
 nerve gases, 388(B)  
 nitric acid, 416(B)  
 NMR spectroscopy shift reagents, 751(B)  
 nuclear fuel reprocessing, 181(B)  
 nuclear power, 59(B)  
 organolanthanoid complexes, 752(B)  
 organotin compounds, 518, 521(B)  
 photochromic glasses, 693(B)  
 photocopiers, 434(B)  
 photography, 693(B)  
 pigments, 627(B)  
 radioisotopes in medicine, 61(B), 470(B)  
 rare earth metals, 747(B)  
 reference electrodes, 200(B)  
 refractory materials, 284(B)  
 semiconductors, 143, 402(B), 514(B)  
 silicones, 377(B)  
 silver sols, 691(B)  
 smoke detector, 748(B)  
 solar power, 341(B)  
 solvent extraction, 181(B)  
 spacecraft fuels, 226(B), 494(B)  
 super-iron battery, 618(B)  
 superconductors, 819  
 supercritical fluids, 230, 231(B), 232–3  
 titanium dioxide, 600(B)  
 ultramarine blues, 446(B)  
 underwater steel structures, 201(B)  
 water purification, 443(B)  
 wine, 459(B)  
 wood preservatives, 386(B)  
 Ziegler–Natta catalysts, 512(B)  
 zirconocene derivatives, 734(B)
- applied coordination chemistry, 830–62  
 aprotic solvents, 214, 224–7  
 aqua regia, 417  
 aquamarine, 276  
 aquated cations  
 acidic behaviour, 172–3, 287  
*d*-block metal, 196(T), 542, 544, 559(F), 559(T), 576(F)  
 formation of, 171–2, 267–8, 287  
 group 1, 267–8  
 group 2, 287–8  
 group 13, 322  
 aqueous solutions, 162–91  
 definitions, 165–6  
 dissociation constants, 164(B)  
 units, 165–6  
*arachno*-clusters, 326, 326(F), 328, 359, 360(F)  
 aragonite, 286  
 arc welding, 494(B)  
 arene complexes, 734–5  
 of lanthanoids, 755  
 argentides, 694  
 argentite, 647  
 arginine, 831(T)  
 argon  
 abundance, 387(F), 493, 493(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 extraction of, 392, 493  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 21–2(WE), 495(T), 880  
 physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 158(F), 495(T), 878, 880  
 uses, 493, 494, 494(B)  
 argyria, 691(B)  
 aromatic compounds  
 alkylation of, 807  
 production from alkanes, 807  
 Arrhenius equation, 57, 787(B)  
 arsane/arsine  
 physical properties, 247(F), 394(T)  
 preparation of, 219, 395  
 reactions, 397  
 thermal decomposition of, 397(B)  
 arsenic, 393  
 abundance, 387(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 bond enthalpy terms, 390(T)  
 detection of, 397(B)  
 extraction of, 387  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 389(T), 881  
 occurrence, 387  
 physical properties, 389(T), 877, 879, 881, 883, 884  
 reactions, 394  
 in semiconductors, 144, 389  
 uses, 386(B), 389  
 arsenic acid, 424  
 arsenic cyclopentadienyl complexes, 530, 531(F)  
 arsenic organometallic compounds, 527–30  
 arsenic(V) oxide, 419  
 arsenic(III) oxide, 419  
 production of, 387, 419  
 arsenic pentafluoride, 409  
 as fluoride acceptor, 222, 405, 409, 440  
 as oxidizing agent, 440  
 reactions, 218, 405, 409  
 arsenic selenide, uses, 434(B)  
 arsenic sulfides, 428  
 arsenic trihalides, 409  
 arsenides, 402–3  
 arsenites, 422  
 arsenopyrite, 387  
 ‘arsenous acid’, 419, 422  
 arsine *see* arsane  
 arsine ligands, 703  
 arthropods, oxygen transport proteins, 839–41  
 artificial diamonds, 345, 346(B), 347(B), 652  
 artificial isotopes, 57–8, 60(B), 275, 279, 437, 469, 473–4, 646, 741  
 mass number ranges listed, 875–6  
 aryl complexes  
*d*-block metal, 724–5  
*f*-block metal, 753  
 aryl magnesium halides, 509–10  
 asbestos, 370, 371, 826  
 use, 371(B)  
 asbestosis, 826  
*Ascidia nigra* (sea squirt), 836(B)  
 ascorbate oxidase, 844, 846(F)  
 aspartic acid, 831(T)  
 associative interchange mechanism, 765  
 associative substitution mechanism, 765  
 square planar complexes, 766  
 astatine, 469  
 mass number range, 875  
 physical properties, 879, 881, 884  
 asymmetric catalysis, 752(B), 791

- asymmetric hydrogenation, 733(B), 734(B), 752(B), 791, 792
- asymmetric synthesis, 733(B), 791
- asymmetrical hydrogen bonds, 244
- atacamite, 596
- atactic polymers  
 meaning of term, 802(N)  
 production of, 734(B)
- atmosphere, components, 339, 387(F), 432
- atmosphere (unit of pressure), 23(B), 136(N), 194(N)
- atomic absorption spectroscopy, 261
- atomic mass unit, 2
- atomic nucleus, 2
- atomic number, 2  
 conservation of, 57
- atomic orbitals, 9–16  
 degenerate, 10, 14(F)  
 hybridization of, 100–5  
 linear combinations (LCAOs), 29  
 lobes, 13, 14(F)  
 nodal planes, 13, 14(F)  
 overlap of, 33(F), 41(F), 42(F)  
 quantum numbers for, 9, 9–10(WE), 15  
 size, 13, 15  
 types, 10
- atomic spectra  
 group 1 metals, 261  
 hydrogen, 4–5
- atomization, enthalpy change of, 137  
 listed for various elements, 135(T), 537(F), 651(F), 884  
 thermodynamics, 37, 137, 588  
*see also* standard enthalpy of atomization
- atoms and atomic structure, 1–2  
 Bohr model, 5–6, 298(B)  
 Rutherford–Bohr model, 4
- ATP (adenosine triphosphate), 423(B)  
 conversion to ADP, 423(B)  
 synthesis from ADP, 277, 423(B), 847
- aufbau* principle, 21–2, 21(WE)  
 applications, 29, 31(F), 33, 108, 112, 115, 142
- aurides, 694
- austenitic stainless steels, 140(B)
- autocatalysis, 786
- autoprotolysis, 163
- Avogadro number, 6, 153, 176
- axial sites, 49
- axis of symmetry, rotation about, 80
- azane *see* ammonia
- azeotrope, 416
- azides, 259, 387, 392, 399, 400–1  
 decomposition of, 259, 392  
 thermal decomposition of, 392
- azurins, 844, 845(F)
- $\beta$ -elimination, 721, 803  
 $\sigma$ -bonded alkyl groups not affected by, 721
- $\beta$ -particles, 55  
 penetrating power of, 55(F)
- back-donation of electronic charge, 701, 704(F)  
*see also* Dewar–Chatt–Duncanson model
- bacteria, nitrogen-fixation processes, 386–7
- bactericides, 521(B), 691(B)
- baddeleyite, 645, 820
- Bailar twist mechanism, 776, 776(F)
- ball clay, 374(B)
- ball-and-stick representation of lattices, 132, 133(F), 148(F), 149(F), 150(F), 151(F), 152(F)
- Balmer series, 4, 4(F), 5(F)
- band, meaning of term, 142
- band gap, 142  
 in semiconductors, 142(F), 143, 144
- band theory, 141–2  
 for insulators, 142(F), 317  
 metals, 141–2  
 semiconductors, 142(F), 143
- bar (unit of pressure), 23(B), 136(N)
- barite, 278
- barium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 extraction of, 276  
 flame colour, 279  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 278(T), 881  
 physical properties, 135(T), 278(T), 877, 879, 881, 884  
 reactivity, 279  
 uses, 278
- barium, aqua species, 288
- barium ferrate(VI), 618(B)
- barium fluoride, 282
- barium halides, 282–3
- barium hydroxide, 286
- barium organometallic compounds, 510
- barium oxide, 284, 284(F)  
 Born exponent for, 153(WE)
- barium peroxide, 285
- barium sulfate, 286  
 solubility in water, 174(T)
- barium titanate (mixed oxide), 152, 600  
 deposition of, 825  
 preparation of, 824  
 uses, 600, 824(T)
- Bartlett, Neil, 157, 492
- barycentre, 558
- barytes, 276, 278
- base dissociation constants, 164(B)
- base-catalysed hydrolysis, octahedral complexes, 774–6
- base-pairs in DNA, 250, 252(F)
- bases  
 in non-aqueous solvents, 217  
 strengths, 168, 216  
*see also* Bronsted bases; Lewis bases
- BASF acetic acid process, 793  
 compared with Monsanto process, 794(T)
- basic beryllium acetate, 286, 286(F)
- basic beryllium nitrate, 286
- basic oxygen (steel-making) process, 138(B)
- basic zinc acetate, 640–1
- basis set of orbitals, 32  
 carbon atom, 115(F)
- bastnäsite, 645, 747
- batteries  
 alkali metal ion batteries, 262(B)  
 dry cell/Leclanché cell battery, 595, 595(F), 596, 618(B)  
 lead–acid storage battery, 339(B), 341, 381  
 lithium-ion battery, 262(B), 625, 816  
 nickel cadmium (NiCd) battery, 596, 631, 648  
 nickel–metal hydride battery, 251(B), 596  
 sodium/sulfur battery, 262(B), 815, 816  
 super-iron battery, 618(B)  
 zinc–air battery, 596
- bauxite, 293  
 gallium in, 294
- Bayer process, 293–4
- bayerite, 316
- 9-BBN (9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane), 511
- Becquerel, Henri, 57
- becquerel (radioactivity unit), 57
- Beer–Lambert law, 571, 576(F)
- Bell's rule, 170–1
- bent molecules, carbon suboxide, 368
- bent triatomic molecules, 40, 45(F)  
 bonding in, 109–12  
 chlorite ion, 486  
 dichlorine monoxide, 484  
 group 16 hydrides, 445  
 interhalogen ions, 481(T)  
 nitrogen dioxide, 414(F)  
 ozone, 439(F)  
 $[S_3]^{2-}$  ion, 446  
 vibrational modes, 92
- bentonite, 374(B)
- benzene  
 compared with borazine, 319  
 complexes with *d*-block metals, 734–5  
 molecular orbitals, 735(F)  
 structure, 82(F)
- benzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid, 248(B)
- benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic acid  
 as clathrate with bromine, 477  
 hydrogen bonding in, 248(B)
- berkelium, 62(T)  
 bombardment by heavy nuclides, 61  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882  
 longest lived isotope, 755(T)  
 mass number range, 875  
 metal, 756  
 oxidation state(s), 743(T)  
 physical properties, 742(T), 882  
 synthesis of, 748
- Berlin green, 620
- Berry pseudo-rotation, 73, 73(F), 407, 776
- beryl, 275, 277, 371
- beryllium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 compared with aluminium, 289, 290  
 extraction of, 229, 277  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 21(WE), 101, 278(T), 880  
 occurrence, 275–6  
 physical properties, 135(T), 278(T), 877, 879, 880, 884  
 reactivity, 279  
 term symbol for, 573(B)  
 uses, 277  
*see also* diberyllium
- beryllium alkyls, 507, 508–9(B), 509(F)
- beryllium, aqua species, 287
- beryllium carbide, 279, 357
- beryllium carbonate, 286
- beryllium chloride, 280–2, 290  
 bonding in, 101–2, 280–2
- beryllium dichloride, 280–1  
 bonding in, 101–2, 101(F), 280–1, 281(F)  
 as Lewis acid, 281–2(WE)  
 molecular shape, 43  
 solid state structure, 281(F)
- beryllium difluoride, 280
- beryllium halides, 280–2, 290
- beryllium hydride, 254, 279
- beryllium hydroxide, 173, 285, 290
- beryllium oxide, 173, 283, 284(F)
- Bessemer (steel-making) process, 138(B)
- Beta battery, 262(B)
- bicapped square-antiprismatic molecules  
 borane cluster compounds, 330(F)  
 group 14 Zintl ions, 359(F)

- bicapped tetrahedral molecules, 713, 716  
 bicapped trigonal prism, 547(F)  
 bicyclic ligands, 269  
 bidentate, *see* didentate  
 binary compound, meaning of term, 236  
 binary hydrides, 251–5  
   classification, 251  
   group 14, 354–5  
   intermediate hydrides, 255  
   interstitial metal hydrides, 251  
   molecular hydrides, 253–4  
   polymeric hydrides, 254–5  
   saline hydrides, 251–3  
 binding energy  
   of electron, 116(B)  
   nuclear, 53–5  
   per nucleon, 54–5  
 bioinorganic chemistry, 830–62  
 biological systems  
   chlorophylls, 277, 288, 288(F), 830  
   DNA, 250, 252(F), 423(B)  
   electron-transfer processes in, 782  
   hydrogen bonding in, 250, 252(F)  
   iron in, 595, 618, 623(B)  
   nitrogen fixation, 850  
   nitrogen monoxide in, 413(B)  
   phosphates in, 423(B), 830  
   trace elements in, 288, 595, 596, 614, 646, 830, 831(T)  
 biomineralization, 833, 833(F)  
 biphasic catalysis, 798–9  
 4,4'-bipyrazine-containing complexes, 778, 779, 780(T)  
 2,2'-bipyridine, 184(T)  
 bis(dimethylglyoximate)copper(II), 637, 637(F)  
 bis(dimethylglyoximate)nickel(II), 632, 633(F)  
 bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane, 704  
 bis(diphenylphosphino)methane, 704  
 bis(ethylmethylglyoximate)nickel(II), 632, 633(F)  
 bismite, 387, 419  
 bismuth, 393  
   abundance, 387(F)  
   abundance of isotope(s), 875  
   aqueous solution chemistry, 428–9  
   bond enthalpy terms, 390(T)  
   extraction of, 387  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 389(T), 881  
   occurrence, 387  
   physical properties, 135(T), 389(T), 877, 879, 881, 883, 884  
   reactions, 394  
   uses, 389  
 bismuth-210, 57(T)  
 bismuth-214, 57(T)  
 bismuth(III) chloride, reaction with bismuth in molten salt media, 229  
 bismuth complexes, 428(F), 429  
 bismuth cyclopentadienyl complexes, 530, 531(F)  
 bismuth halides, 411  
 bismuth(III) iodide lattice, 605  
 bismuth–molybdate catalyst, 662(B)  
 bismuth organometallic compounds, 527–30  
 bismuth oxides, 389, 419  
 bismuth(III) sulfide, 428  
 bismuthane, 394(T)  
 bismuthates, 424  
 bismuthides, 403  
 bismuthinite, 387  
 bisphosphines, chiral Rh(I) catalysts modified by, 792, 793(T)  
 bite angle (of chelate rings), 183  
   effect on catalysis, 789  
 biuret test, 596, 637  
 black phosphorus, 392(F), 393  
 bleaching agents, 443, 458, 471, 484, 485, 486  
 bleaching powder, 277, 485  
 blocks (in periodic table), 20, 20(F)  
   *see also* *d*-block ...; *f*-block ...; *p*-block ...; *s*-block elements  
 blood-sucking insects, 840(B)  
 blue copper proteins, 844–5  
 Blue John (fluorspar), 814  
 blue vitriol, 635  
 body-centred cubic (bcc) lattice, 134  
 boehmite, 316  
 Bohr, Niels, 5  
 Bohr magnetons, 579  
 Bohr model of atom, 5–6, 298(B)  
 Bohr radius, 6  
 bohrium, 62(T)  
 boiling points  
   *d*-block metals, 597(T), 650(T)  
   group 18 elements, 135(T)  
   liquid gases, 817  
   *p*-block hydrides, 246, 247(F), 355(F), 394, 394(T)  
   *see also under individual elements, physical properties*  
 bond dissociation energy, 28  
   C–C single bond, 343  
   halogen diatomics, 391, 472(F)  
   hydrogen halides, 477(T)  
   N–N single bond, 391  
   noble-gas cations, 492  
   O–O single bond, 391  
 bond dissociation enthalpies  
   additivity, 37(WE)  
   estimation from electronegativity values, 39(WE)  
   homonuclear diatomic molecules, 35(T)  
   hydrogen bonds, 244, 245(T)  
   listed  
     for group 1 metals, 260(T)  
     for *p*-block elements, 342(T), 389(T), 435(T)  
     listed for various diatomic molecules, 35(T)  
   relationship to enthalpy change of atomization, 37  
 bond distance, 27  
 bond enthalpies  
   group 14 elements, 343(T)  
   group 15 elements, 390(T)  
   group 15 hydrides, 394(WE)  
   group 16 elements, 436(T)  
 bond length, listed for various diatomic molecules, 35(T)  
 bond order, 30  
   listed for various diatomic molecules, 35(T)  
   and Pauling electronegativity values, 37  
 bonding considerations, 26–36, 100–27  
   group 13 elements, 297  
   group 14 elements, 343–4  
   group 15 elements, 390–1  
   group 15 organometallic compounds, 527  
   group 16 elements, 436–7  
   group 17 elements, 471–3  
   interhalogen ions, 482  
 bonding models, 26–35  
   diatomic molecules  
     Lewis structures, 26–7  
     molecular orbital approach, 29–36, 41–3  
     valence bond approach, 27–9  
   historical overview, 26  
   *see also* molecular orbital theory; valence bond theory  
 bonding molecular orbitals, 30, 31, 31(F), 33(F), 107–27  
 bone ash, 388  
 bones  
   components, 423(B)  
   dating of, 474  
 borane, adducts, bonding in, 304–5(WE)  
 borane cluster compounds, 326–34  
   bond distances, 327  
   bonding in, 327–30  
     MO approach, 328, 329(B)  
   nomenclature, 328(B)  
   reactions, 330–2  
   structures, 326(F), 330(F)  
     Wade's rules for prediction, 328–30  
 borates, 293, 296, 315, 469(B)  
   structures of anions, 315(F)  
 borax, 257, 293, 294, 296, 315  
   toxicity, 296(B)  
 borazine, 303(F), 319  
   charge distribution, 319, 319(F)  
   compared with benzene, 319  
   reactions, 319–20  
 borazines, 319–20  
   structures, 320, 320(F)  
 borazon, 318  
 Bordeaux mixture, 636  
 boric acid, 294, 296, 314–15  
   behaviour in non-aqueous solvents, 223  
   reactions, 314–15  
   structure, 314(F)  
   toxicity, 296(B)  
   uses, 296, 296(B), 314  
 borides, metal, 324, 598, 606  
   structures, 325(T)  
 Born exponents, 153  
   calculations, 153(WE)  
   values, 153(T), 158  
 Born forces, 153  
 Born–Haber cycle, 155, 156(F)  
   applications  
     metal halides, 156(WE), 263, 298(WE), 478, 479(WE)  
     zinc oxide, 435(WE)  
   electron affinities estimated by, 157  
   lattice energies in, 155  
   standard enthalpies of atomization in, 137  
 Born–Landé equation, 154, 158  
   applications, 472–3(WE)  
   calculation of, 154(WE)  
   refinements, 155  
 Born–Mayer equation, 155  
 borohydrides, 253, 305  
 boron  
   abundance of isotope(s), 875  
   allotropes, 294, 300–1, 300(F)  
   appearance of element, 299  
   in biological systems, 296(B), 830  
   extraction of, 294  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 297(T), 880  
   NMR active nuclei, 68(T), 72  
   occurrence, 293  
   physical properties, 24(F), 297(T), 877, 879, 880, 884  
   as plant nutrient, 296(B), 830  
   reactivity, 301

- boron cont.  
 term symbols for, 573(B)  
 uses of compounds and element, 295(F), 296
- boron-based flame retardants, 296
- boron fibres, 826
- boron halides, 307–9  
 adducts with, 308–9  
 cluster compounds, 308–9, 334  
 molecular shape, 46  
 trihalides, 307–8  
 Wade's rules apparently violated by, 334
- boron hydrides  
 adducts, bonding in, 304–5(WE)  
 bonding in, 102, 103(F), 112–13, 124–7  
 electron-deficient clusters, 293, 326–34  
 simple hydrides, 253, 301–3, 304  
*see also* diborane
- boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT), 331
- boron nitride, 317–18  
 $\alpha$ -BN films, 821–2  
 compared with graphite, 317  
 cubic polymorph, 317–18, 318, 318(T)  
 hexagonal polymorph, 317, 318(F), 318(T)  
 wurtzite lattice polymorph, 318
- boron nitrides, ternary, 318–19
- boron-11 NMR spectroscopy, 299, 306(WE), 71, 71(F), 301–2(WE)
- boron organometallic compounds, 303(F), 321, 511
- boron oxide, 294, 313  
 uses, 296, 313, 314(B)
- boron phosphate, 313  
 isoelectronic relationships, 313(WE)
- boron–phosphorus compounds, 321
- boron tribromide, 307
- boron trichloride, 307  
 structure, 7(B)  
 symmetry elements in, 84(WE)
- boron trifluoride, 307  
 axes of symmetry in, 80(F)  
 bonding in, 106, 117–19  
 structure, 79, 80(F)
- borosilicate glass, 296, 314(B), 369
- boundary condition, for particle in a box, 8(B)
- boundary surfaces, atomic orbitals, 13, 14(F)
- Brackett series, 4–5
- Bragg's equation, 147(B)
- branching-chain reactions  
 combustion of hydrogen, 242  
 nuclear fission, 58–9
- brass(es), 140–1, 596, 633(B)
- breathalyzers, 607
- breathing masks, 265, 340(B), 366
- breeding (nuclear) reactions, 60
- bridging bromo groups, 638, 639(F)
- bridging carboxylates, in *d*-block metal complexes, 665, 670, 679, 682, 683(F)
- bridging chlorines, 628, 669, 670(F)
- bridging cyano ligands, 620, 621(F)
- bridging fluorines, 364, 410, 451, 478, 496, 604, 655, 659
- bridging hydrogens  
 in boron hydrides, 124, 125, 125(F), 253, 301, 327  
 in polymeric hydrides, 254–5
- bridging hydroxo groups, 172, 287, 429, 608, 609(F), 842
- bridging ligands  
 effect on reaction rates, 778–9  
 in electron-transfer processes, 777–8
- bridging nitrido groups, 674–5
- bridging oxo groups, 369, 370, 419, 421–2, 842  
 in *d*-block metal compounds, 620, 659, 673–4
- bridging phosphines, 723
- bridging sulfur, 639
- brine  
 electrolysis of, 266(B)  
 extraction of elements from, 257
- bromates, 486
- bromic acid, 486
- bromine  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 environmental concerns, 474(B)  
 extraction of, 471, 474(B)  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 472(T), 881  
 occurrence, 470  
 physical properties, 472(T), 878, 879, 881, 883, 884, 886  
*see also* dibromine
- bromine-containing charge transfer complexes, 475–6
- bromine monochloride, 480, 480(T)
- bromine monofluoride, 480, 480(T)  
 calculation of bond dissociation enthalpy, 39(WE)
- bromine oxides, 483, 484
- bromine pentafluoride, 47, 479, 480(T), 481(T)  
 reactions, 481
- bromine trifluoride, 224–5, 479, 481  
 acid–base behaviour in, 225  
 behaviour of fluorides in, 225  
 as fluorinating agent, 224  
 molecular shape, 479, 481(T)  
 physical properties, 218(F), 224–5, 480(T)  
 reactions in, 225  
 reactivity, 222  
 self-ionization of, 225  
 as solvent, 224–5
- 'bromine water', 475
- bromo bridges, 638, 639(F)
- bromomethane, 362(B), 474(B)
- Brønsted acid(s), 166–7, 217  
 aquated cations as, 172–3  
 water as, 163–5
- Bronsted base(s), 167–8, 168–9(WE), 217  
 ligands as, 180  
 water as, 163–5
- bronze, 596, 633(B)
- Brookhart's acid, 731
- brookite (mineral), 593
- brown ring test, 412, 771(B)
- brucite, 151
- building materials, 277, 278(B), 287(B), 340, 370
- bulk biological elements, 830
- buta-1,3-diene, 705  
 molecular orbitals, 706(F)
- buta-1,3-diene complexes, 728  
 bonding in, 705–6  
*see also* cyclobutadiene complexes
- 'butterfly' clusters, 717(T), 801, 802
- n*-butyllithium, 504, 505(T)
- t*-butyllithium, NMR spectroscopy, 505–6(WE), 505(T)
- butylpyridinium chloride, with dialuminium hexachloride, 227–8
- cadmium, 694–5  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875
- ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
 metal, 694–5  
 NMR active nuclei, 835  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 648  
 oxidation state, 540(T), 694–5  
 physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 650(T), 695(T), 881  
 reactivity, 694  
 solid state structure, 135  
 toxicity, 648
- cadmium amalgam, 648, 648(B)
- cadmium chloride lattice, 151
- cadmium(II) complexes, 695
- cadmium-containing metallothioneins, 835, 837
- cadmium dihalides, 695
- cadmium(II) halo complexes, 695
- cadmium(II), hexaammine cation, 695
- cadmium(II), hexaaqua cation, 695
- cadmium(II) hydroxide, 695  
 in NiCd batteries, 596, 695
- cadmium iodide, lattice energy, 156
- cadmium iodide lattice, 151
- cadmium(II) oxide, 695
- cadmium(II) selenide, 648, 695
- cadmium(II) sulfide, 648, 695
- cadmium(II) telluride, 648, 695  
 uses, 341(B), 648, 695, 820(T)
- cadmium(II), tetraammine cation, 695
- caesium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 appearance of metal, 261  
 flame colour, 261  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 260(T), 881  
 occurrence, 257  
 physical properties, 24(F), 134, 135(T), 260(T), 877, 879, 881, 883, 884, 885  
 production of metal, 258, 259  
 radioisotopes, 60(B), 261  
 reactions, 262
- caesium auride, 694
- caesium-based atomic clock, 260(B)
- caesium chloride (CsCl) structure, 149, 149(F)
- caesium fulleride, 352
- caesium hydride, 252(T)
- caesium hydroxide, 167
- caesium suboxides, 265, 265(F)
- caesium superoxide, 264
- cage structures  
 electron counting, 328, 714  
 gallium compounds, 322  
 silicates, 371, 373(F)  
 total valence electron counts, 717–18, 718(WE)
- Cahn–Ingold–Prelog rules (for naming chiral compounds), 96(B), 551(B)
- calamine, 596
- calcite, 286
- calcium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 extraction of, 229, 277  
 flame colour, 279  
 ground state electronic configuration, 17, 18(T), 278(T), 880  
 physical properties, 135(T), 278(T), 877, 879, 880, 884, 885  
 reactivity, 279  
 uses of compounds, 277
- calcium aluminate, 316–17

- calcium, aqua species, 288  
 calcium carbide, 357  
   production of, 280(B), 284, 357  
   worldwide data, 280(B)  
 calcium carbonate, 286  
   occurrence, 276, 286  
   solubility in water, 174(T), 286  
   thermal stability, 283, 286  
   uses, 267(F), 277, 278(B)  
 calcium chloride  
   production of, 267(F), 283  
   uses, 266, 281(B), 283(B)  
 calcium cyanamide, 280(B), 357, 392  
   reactions, 357  
 calcium fluoride  
   dissociation in aqueous solution, 174  
   lattice energy, 156(WE)  
   minerals, 149, 277, 470  
   use in synthesis of fluorine, 470  
   uses, 277  
   *see also* fluorite lattice  
 calcium halides, 282–3  
 calcium hydride, 279, 281(B)  
 calcium hydroxide, 286  
   pH calculations, 164(B)  
   production of, 277  
   solubility in water, 174(T)  
   uses, 277, 278(B)  
 calcium hypochlorite, 277, 485  
 calcium organometallic compounds, 510  
 calcium oxide, 284  
   melting point, 284(F)  
   standard Gibbs energy of formation, 210(F)  
   uses, 277, 284  
 calcium peroxide, 285  
 calcium phosphate, 388  
   solubility in water, 174(T)  
 calcium silicate, 371  
 calcium sulfate, 278(B), 281(B), 286, 287(B),  
   460  
 calcium titanate *see* perovskite  
 caliche, 470  
 californium, 62(T)  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T),  
   742(T), 882  
   longest lived isotope, 755(T)  
   mass number range, 875  
   metal, 756  
   oxidation state(s), 743(T)  
   physical properties, 742(T), 882  
   synthesis of, 748  
 calomel, 200(B), 696  
 cancer treatment, 61(B), 275, 331, 689(B)  
 canonical structures, 28  
 capping principle (in Wade's rules), 716  
   application, 716(WE)  
 car airbags, 259, 388, 392  
 carat (gold content), 648  
 carbaboranes, 332–3  
   structures, 332–4  
   13-vertex *closo*-carbaborane, 334  
   Wade's rules applied to structures, 333(WE)  
 carbamic acid, 396  
 carbene complexes, 722, 729–30  
 carbenium ions, formation of, 224  
 carbides, 357–8  
   of *d*-block metals, 598, 606, 617  
   group 2, 279, 280(B)  
   lanthanoid, 749  
 carbon  
   abundance, 339(F)  
   abundance of isotope(s), 875  
   allotropes, 338, 339, 345–53  
   atomic orbitals, 115(F)  
   bond enthalpy terms, 343(T)  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T),  
   342(T), 880  
   physical properties, 342(T), 877, 879, 880,  
   884  
   as reducing agent, 210  
   term symbols for, 573(B)  
   *see also* diamond; fullerenes; graphite  
 carbon-13, enriched compounds, 65  
 carbon-14, 64  
 carbon black, 339  
 carbon–carbon composites, 826–7  
 carbon chain growth (industrial) processes,  
   803–4, 807  
 carbon cycle, 367(B)  
 carbon dioxide  
   in atmosphere, 339  
   bonding in, 119, 120(F)  
   compared with silicon dioxide, 366  
   as greenhouse gas, 367(B), 456(B)  
   physical properties, 366(T)  
   reactions, 368  
   solid, 366  
   solution in water, 367–8  
   structure, 82(F)  
   supercritical, 218, 230, 231–2(B), 232,  
   340(B), 367  
   vibrational modes, 91(F)  
 carbon disulfide, 377–8  
   physical properties, 377(T)  
   reactions, 362, 377–8  
 carbon-fibre composite materials, 826  
 carbon fibres, 340, 826–7  
 carbon halides, 361–3  
 carbon monofluoride, 347  
 carbon monoxide, 366  
   adsorption on metal surfaces, 801  
   binding to haemoglobin, 366, 839  
   bonding in, 42–3, 44(F), 304(WE), 366  
   detection of, 687(B)  
   hydrogenation of, 808(F)  
   physical properties, 366(T)  
   as a  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand, 701  
   production of, 366  
   quantitative analysis, 366, 484  
   reactions, 366  
   as reducing agent, 210, 710  
   standard Gibbs energy of formation, 210(F)  
   toxicity, 366, 839  
   *see also* carbonyl ligands  
 carbon nanotubes, 353  
   dihydrogen absorbed on, 240(B)  
 carbon-13 NMR spectroscopy, 67, 344  
   applications, *t*-butyllithium, 505–6(WE)  
   organometallics studied by, 701–2, 713  
 carbon–silicon bonds, 344  
 carbon steels, 139  
 carbon suboxide, 368–9  
   Lewis structure, 369(WE)  
 carbon subsulfide, 378  
 carbon tetrachloride, 343, 361  
   physical properties, 361(T)  
   production of, 361–2  
   uses, 362  
 carbon tetrafluoride, 361, 361(T)  
 carbon tetrahalides, 361–2  
   physical properties, 361(T)  
 carbonate ion, 368  
 carbonates  
   group 1, 266, 368  
   group 2, 174(T), 286  
 carbonic acid, 167, 368  
 carbonic anhydrase II, 854–5  
   active site, 854(F)  
   cobalt-for-zinc ion substitution, 859  
 carbonyl chloride, 230, 362  
 carbonyl ligands, 701–2  
   in *d*-block metal complexes, 624  
   insertion reactions, 720  
   substitution reactions, 719, 722  
 carbonyls, 42, 709–14  
   commercial availability, 710  
   physical properties, 709(T)  
   reactions, 722–3, 722–4  
   structures, 711–14  
   synthesis of, 710–11  
   Wade's rule, 714–15, 715–16(WE)  
   *see also d*-block metal carbonyls  
 carboplatin, 647, 689(B)  
 carborundum, 822  
 carboxylate bridges, in *d*-block metal  
   complexes, 665, 670, 679, 682, 683(F)  
 carboxylic acids, 166–7  
   homologation of, 722(B)  
   hydrogen bonding in, 244–5, 248(B)  
   protonation by sulfuric acid, 223  
 carboxypeptidase A, 855–8  
   active site, 858(F)  
   cobalt-for-zinc ion substitution, 859  
   mechanism for catalytic cleavage of peptide  
   link, 856–7(F)  
 carboxypeptidase G2, 858  
   active site, 858, 858(F)  
 carbyne complexes, 722, 730  
 carnallite, 257, 276, 470  
 carnotite, 593  
 Cartesian axis set, tetrahedron described by,  
   103(F)  
 cassava, 379(B)  
 cassiterite, 151, 339, 375  
 cast iron, 138(B)  
 catalysis, 786–812  
   basic concepts, 786–9  
 catalyst poisons, 789, 805  
 catalyst precursors, 678, 786  
 catalysts, 786–812  
   choosing, 788–9  
   *d*-block metal complexes/compounds, 626,  
   678, 689, 730  
   *d*-block metals, 594, 595, 596, 630, 647  
   *d*-block organometallics, 733(B), 734(B)  
   group 1 metals and compounds in, 259  
   group 13 compounds, 296, 313  
   group 14 elements and compounds, 340(B),  
   341  
   in Haber process, 395, 396  
   hydrogenation using, 239, 243–4, 596, 626,  
   630, 647, 678, 752(B)  
   magnesium bromide, 283  
   meaning of term, 786  
   organolanthanoid complexes as, 752(B)  
   for polymerization, 507, 512(B)  
   in sulfur trioxide manufacture, 455, 594, 805  
   in sulfuric acid manufacture, 455  
   zeolites, 372–3  
   *see also* heterogeneous...; homogeneous  
   catalysts  
 catalytic converters, 646(B), 647, 802, 805–6  
   cerium oxide in, 747(B), 806  
   reactions in, 806  
   temperature limitations, 806  
   zeolites in, 806

- catalytic cracking of petroleum distillates, 801(T), 807
- catalytic cycles, 787–8  
 in biological systems, 843, 854(F)  
 for hydroformylation of alkenes, 796(F)  
 for hydrogenation of alkenes, 792(F)  
 for hydrogenation of fumaric acid, 800(F)  
 for industrial processes, 787–8  
 for Monsanto acetic acid process, 794(F)  
 oligomerization of ethene, 797(F)  
 for ring-closing metathesis, 790(F)  
 for Tennessee–Eastman acetic anhydride process, 795(F)
- catalytic reforming of hydrocarbons, 801(T)
- catalytic turnover frequency, 789
- catalytic turnover number, 789
- catalytically active species, 786
- catenand, 790
- catenate, 790
- catenation, 343, 439
- cathodic protection of steel, 201(B)
- cationic clusters, use as catalysts, 799, 800(F)
- cations  
 aquated  
 acidic properties, 172–3  
 formation of, 171–2  
 meaning of term, 131
- celestite, 276, 277
- cell potential, 194  
 relationship to standard cell potential, 196  
 standard, 194
- celsius, 372
- cement components, 316–17, 370, 371
- cementite, 138(B)
- centre of symmetry, reflection through, 82
- centrosymmetric molecules, MO parity labels for, 30(B)
- ceramic materials, 317, 341, 370, 374(B), 380, 651, 819–20  
 glazes and pigments, 296, 627(B), 635, 638, 819–20  
 opacifiers, 341, 652
- cerium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 ground state electronic configuration, 17, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
 physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 746(WE), 881, 887
- cerium(III) complexes, 750(F)
- cerium organometallic compounds, 755
- cerium oxide, uses, 747(B), 806
- cerium(IV) oxide, 749, 820(T)
- cerium(IV) oxide/cerium(III) oxide system in catalytic converters, 806
- cerussite, 339
- cesium, *see* caesium
- CFCs (chlorofluorocarbons), 361  
 effect on ozone layer, 362(B)  
 production of, 361, 471  
 reduction in usage, 362(B)
- CFSE (crystal field stabilization energy)  
 change on formation of transition state, 772, 772(T)  
 for octahedral complexes, 560, 561(T)
- chain reactions, combustion of hydrogen, 242
- chalcantite, 596
- chalcocite, 433
- chalcogens, 21(T), 432  
*see also* group 16
- chalcopyrite, 595, 596
- chalk, 276
- character tables for point groups, 85, 89–90, 109(T), 869–72
- charcoal, 340  
 activated, 340, 340(B)
- charge density of ions, 172, 289  
 in diagonal relationships, 289
- charge distribution, Pauling's electroneutrality principle, 539
- charge transfer absorptions, 475, 539, 570, 612, 637, 668, 685
- charge transfer band, 475
- charge transfer complexes, 475  
 with fullerenes, 352  
 group 16, 531  
 group 17, 475–7  
 with halogens, 475–7
- charge transfer transitions, 571
- Chauvin mechanism, 789, 790(F)
- chelate, meaning of term, 183
- chelate effect, 185
- chelate rings  
 bite angle in, 183, 789  
 formation of, 183, 186
- chemical hardness, 187
- chemical shifts (in NMR spectroscopy), 66(B), 68–9
- chemical vapour deposition, 288, 402(B), 820–6  
*see also* CVD
- chemical warfare agents, 362, 388(B)  
 detection of, 388(B)
- chemiluminescence, 393
- chemisorption, 801
- chemoselectivity, in hydroformylation reaction, 797(T), 798
- Chernobyl disaster, 60(B)
- Chile saltpetre, 257, 387, 470
- china clay, 374(B)
- chiral catalysts, 733(B), 792
- chiral cations, in ionic liquids, 229
- chiral complexes, 549–52, 552  
 nomenclature, 551(B)
- chiral molecules, 95–7, 97(WE)  
 criteria for chirality, 97  
 nomenclature, 96(B), 551(B)  
 organomagnesium compounds, 510
- chloramine, 398
- chlorates, 437, 486
- chloric acid, 486
- chloride acceptors, 405, 410
- chlorinated organic compounds, 471
- chlorinating agents, 606
- chlorine  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 472(T), 880  
 occurrence, 470  
 physical properties, 472(T), 878, 879, 880, 883, 884, 887  
 production of, 192  
 uses, 266(B), 471  
*see also* dichlorine
- chlorine difluoride ion, 482
- chlorine dioxide, 484  
 uses, 471, 471(F), 484
- chlorine monofluoride, 480, 480(T)
- chlorine oxides, 483–4
- chlorine pentafluoride, 479, 480(T), 481(T)  
 reactions, 481
- chlorine trifluoride, 47(F), 479  
 molecular shape, 46(F), 47(F), 479, 481(T)  
 physical properties, 480(T)
- reactions, 481
- chlorite ion, 486
- chloro bridges, 628, 669, 670(F)
- chloroalkali industry, 266(B)  
 chemicals used in, 259(F)
- chloroapatite, 387
- chloroauric acid, 690
- chlorofluorocarbons, phase-out of use, 266(B)
- chloroiridic acid, 688
- chlorophylls, 277, 288, 288(F)
- chloroplatinic acid, 684
- chlorosulfonic/chlorosulfuric acid, 461
- chlorous acid, 486
- chloryl fluoride, 484
- cholesterol, extraction from foodstuffs, 231(B)
- chromated copper arsenate, 386(B)
- chromatography, stationary phases, 296, 316, 341
- chrome alum, 608
- 'chromic acid', 600
- chromite, 316(B), 594
- chromium, 606–11  
 abundance, 594(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 in biological systems, 830, 831(T)  
 Frost–Ebsworth diagram, in aqueous solution, 207, 207(F)  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 597(T), 880  
 metal, 606  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 594  
 oxidation states, 540(T), 606  
 physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 878, 880, 884, 885  
 potential diagram for, 606(F)  
 recycling of, 594(B)  
 in stainless steels, 140(B)  
 world reserves, 594(B)
- chromium(II) acetate, 610
- chromium arene complexes, 735
- chromium carbide, 357–8, 606
- chromium carbonyl  
 bonding in, 569(WE)  
 physical properties, 709(T)  
 reactions, 723  
 structure, 712  
 synthesis of, 710
- chromium carbonyl hydride anion  
 reactions, 724(T)  
 synthesis of, 724
- chromium(II) carboxylates, 610  
 bonding in, 610–11, 610(F)
- chromium–chromium multiple bonds, 610–11
- chromium complexes  
 bonding in, 556–7  
 optical isomers, 95, 95(F), 549
- chromium(II) complexes, 610  
 water exchange reaction, 771
- chromium(III) complexes, 609  
 water exchange reaction, 772
- chromium(V) complexes, 608
- chromium(VI) complexes, 606
- chromium difluoride, thermochemistry, 478–9(WE)
- chromium dihalides, 609
- chromium hexafluoride, 606
- chromium(II), hexaaqua ion, 538, 609
- chromium(III), hexaaqua ion, 172, 608  
 dimer, 173(F), 609(F)
- chromium(II) ions, oxidation of, 199(WE)
- chromium organometallic compounds, 730  
*see also* chromium carbonyl; chromocene

- chromium oxides, 606, 608  
 chromium(VI) oxohalides, 606  
 chromium pentafluoride, 607  
 chromium peroxo complexes, 606–7, 608  
 chromium plating, 594  
 chromium sulfides, 606  
 chromium tetrahalides, 607  
 chromium trifluoride, thermochemistry, 478–9(WE)  
 chromium trihalides, 608  
 chromocene, 731  
 chromyl chloride, 606  
 chrysotile, 371(B)  
 cinnabar, 433, 648  
*cis*-isomers, 48, 49, 549  
   IR spectroscopy, 549, 550(F)  
   NMR spectroscopy, 550(B)  
   platinum(II) complexes, 549, 550(F), 687  
*cis*-effect, 769  
 cisplatin, 647, 689(B)  
 clathrates, 477  
   with halogens, 477  
   with methane, 355(B)  
   with noble gases, 492  
 clays, 293, 370, 374(B)  
 cleaning solvent, 231(B)  
 cleavage plane, 151  
 Clementi–Raimondi calculations for effective nuclear charge, 19(B)  
 close-packing of spheres or atoms, 131–2  
   interstitial holes in, 133–4, 139  
   packing efficiency, 132, 134  
 closo-clusters, 326, 326(F), 328, 359, 716(WE)  
 cloud seeding, 648  
 cluster catalysts, 799  
 cluster compounds  
   boron halides, 308–9, 334  
   boron hydrides, 326–34  
   carbaboranes, 332–3  
   classification, 326  
   *d*-block organometallic compounds, 713, 716–19, 799  
   meaning of term, 326  
   Nb and Ta halides, 658  
   Zr halides, 653–4  
 cluster-surface analogy, 802, 807–8  
 cobalt, 624–30  
   abundance, 594(F)  
   abundance of isotope(s), 875  
   in biological systems, 596, 830, 831(T)  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 597(T), 880  
   metal, 624  
   occurrence, extraction and uses, 595–6  
   oxidation states, 540(T), 624  
   physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 878, 880, 884, 885, 887  
 cobalt-60, 61(B), 596  
 cobalt(II) acetylacetonate complexes, 629  
 cobalt(III) ammine complexes, 625(B), 626  
   base-catalysed hydrolysis, 774–5  
   inner-sphere reactions, 777, 778  
 cobalt-based catalysts, 722(B), 793, 794(T), 795, 796, 797(T), 803  
 cobalt blues, 627(B)  
 cobalt carbonyl hydride  
   physical properties, 734(T)  
   reactions, 702  
 cobalt carbonyls  
   as catalysts, 789  
   physical properties, 709(T)  
   reactions, 711, 727, 730  
   structures, 712–13, 712(F)  
   synthesis of, 710  
 cobalt complexes, optical isomers, 549, 552(F)  
 cobalt(II) complexes, 628–30  
   geometrical isomers, 625(B)  
   outer-sphere reactions, 781(F), 781–2(WE)  
   outer-sphere redox reactions, 779, 780(T)  
   water exchange reaction, 772  
 cobalt(III) complexes, 626–7  
   ligand substitution reactions, 773, 774, 774(F), 775(F)  
   outer-sphere reactions, 781(F), 781–2(WE)  
   outer-sphere redox reactions, 779, 780(T)  
 cobalt(IV) compounds, 624  
 cobalt(II) cyano complexes, 629  
 cobalt dihalides, 624, 627  
 cobalt(II), hexaammine ion, 779  
 cobalt(III), hexaammine ion, 626, 779  
   charge distribution on, 540–1  
   reduction of, 202  
 cobalt(II), hexaaqua ion, 628  
   colour, 538  
   electronic transitions for, 574(WE)  
   reactions, 539  
 cobalt(III), hexaaqua ion, 625  
   reduction of, 202  
 cobalt(III), hexacyano ion, 626  
 cobalt(III), hexafluoro ion, 627  
 cobalt(I) hydrido complex anion, 254(F)  
 cobalt(III) hydrido complex anion, 254, 254(F)  
 cobalt(II) hydroxide, 627  
 cobalt(III) nitrate, 624  
 cobalt(II), nitrate complexes, 630  
 cobalt organometallic compounds, 730  
   *see also* cobalt carbonyls; cobaltocene  
 cobalt(II) oxide, 627, 816, 817  
   electrical conductivity, 817  
   uses, 627(B)  
 cobalt(III/II) oxide, 624  
 cobalt peroxo complexes, 626–7  
 cobalt(II), Schiff base complexes, as models for haemoglobin, 838  
 cobalt superoxo complexes, 627  
 cobalt(II) tetrachloro ion, 628  
 cobalt tetrachlorides, colour, 538  
 cobalt trifluoride, 624  
 cobaltite, 595  
 cobaltocene, 731  
 coffee decaffeination, 231(B), 232  
 coinage metals, 596, 648  
 colemanite, 315  
 collagen, 423(B)  
 Collman's reagent, 711  
 colloidal gold, 648  
 colour centres (F-centres), 814  
 colour wheel, 539(T)  
 colours  
   of ceramic materials, 819–20  
   of charge transfer complexes, 475, 668  
   of *d*-block metal compounds and complexes, 538–9, 570, 627(B), 688, 820  
   electromagnetic spectrum, 539(T), 874  
   lanthanoid aqua complexes, 745(T)  
   LEDs, 823(T)  
   pigments, 820  
   *see also* pigments  
 columbite, 646  
 columbium *see* niobium  
 common-ion effect, 178  
 complementary base-pairs in DNA, 250, 252(F)  
 complexes *see* coordination complexes  
 concentration, notation, 162(N), 766(N), 771(B)  
 condensed cages, total valence electron counts, 718  
 condensed phosphates, 422, 423(F)  
 condensed phosphoric acids, 420(T), 422, 423(F)  
 condensed polyarsenates, 424  
 condensed polyhedral clusters, 713, 718  
 conduction band, 143  
 conductivity, electrical, 141  
 conductometric titration, 223  
 conjugate acid–base pair, 164  
 conjugate–base mechanism, 774–5  
 conjugated dienes, characteristic reaction, 728  
 conjugated double bonds, *s-cis/s-trans* conformations, 519  
 conjugated systems, in molecular wires, 729(B)  
*conjuncto*-cluster, 326  
 conservation of mass number (in nuclear reactions), 57, 59  
 Contact process for SO<sub>3</sub> production, 455, 459, 805  
 control rods in nuclear reactors, 60, 296, 645  
 Cooper pairs of electrons, 817  
 cooperative process, O<sub>2</sub> and haem groups, 837  
 cooperite (PtS) lattice, 635(F)  
 coordinate bonds, 26, 179  
 coordinated alkenes  
   in *d*-block metal complexes, 725(F)  
   nucleophilic attack on, 726, 788  
 coordinating solvents, 214  
 coordination complexes  
   of *d*-block metals, 555–92  
   definitions and terminology, 178–9  
   examples, 179  
   factors affecting stabilities, 186–8  
   formation in aqueous solution, 179–80, 287–8  
   thermodynamic considerations, 182–3, 185  
   ionic charge effect on stability, 186  
   ionic size effect on stability, 186  
   nomenclature, 253(N), 503(B)  
   of *p*-block elements, 323–4  
   reduction potentials affected by formation of, 202  
   rules for drawing structure, 179  
   of *s*-block elements, 268–71, 283, 287–8  
   stability constants, 180–6, 587–8  
   *see also see also under individual elements*  
 coordination isomers, 549  
   *d*-block metal complexes, 549  
 coordination numbers  
   in close-packed lattices, 132, 133(F)  
   in *d*-block metal compounds, 541–7, 649  
   in *f*-block metal compounds, 743  
   metallic radii affected by, 137  
   in MX salts, 148  
   in non-close-packed lattices, 134  
   in perovskite, 152  
   prediction by radius ratio rules, 145(B)  
   in rutile, 151  
   in silicates, 370  
 coordinatively unsaturated 16-electron centres, 719, 791, 796, 842  
 copper, 634–9  
   abundance, 594(F)  
   abundance of isotope(s), 875  
   in biological systems, 596, 830, 831(T), 839–41

- copper cont.  
 compared with silver, 689–90  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 597(T), 880  
 history, 633(B)  
 metal, 634  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 596  
 oxidation states, 540(T), 634  
 physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 690(T), 878, 880, 884, 886  
 recycling of, 595(B)  
 uses, 633(B)
- copper(II) acetate, 636
- copper alloys, 140–1, 596
- copper(II) azide, 400
- copper carbide, 357
- copper carbonyl, structure, 712
- copper complexes, 544(F)
- copper(I) complexes, 638–9, 853(F)
- copper(II) complexes, 636–7  
 water exchange reaction, 771
- copper(I) compounds, 637–8
- copper(II) compounds, 635–7
- copper(III) compounds, 634–5
- copper(IV) compounds, 635
- copper-containing metallothioneins, 835, 837
- copper-containing proteins, 839–41, 844–5
- copper dihalides, 635
- copper(I), disproportionation of, 203, 203(WE), 634
- copper(I) halides, lattice energies, 156
- copper(II) halo complexes, 636
- copper(II), hexaaqua ion, 635  
 stepwise stability constants (H<sub>2</sub>O displaced by NH<sub>3</sub>), 588(F)
- copper(I) hydride, 638
- copper(II) hydroxide, 635
- copper monohalides, 638
- copper(II) nitrate, 636
- copper(I) oxide, 638
- copper(II) oxide, 635
- copper(IV) oxide, 634
- copper(II) sulfate, 460, 596, 635–6
- copper(II), tetracyano ion, 637
- core electrons, 22
- corrosion inhibitors, 607
- corrosion of iron, 201(B)
- corrosion-resistant alloys, 594, 595, 645, 646
- corundum, 296, 316
- Cossee–Arlman mechanism, 802, 803(F)
- coulombic attraction, in isolated ion-pair, 152–3
- coulombic interactions, in ionic lattice, 153
- coulombic potential energy, 215
- coulombic repulsion, spin-paired electrons, 560
- coupling constant (NMR), 67(B), 69  
 in <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy, 70, 71
- covalent bonds, 26  
 enthalpy terms, 342(T), 389(T), 435(T)  
 length, 27
- covalent complexes, 557(B)
- covalent radius of atom, 27  
 listed for various elements, 877–8  
*see also under individual elements, physical properties*
- covalently bonded hydrides, 253–4, 301, 394–401
- covalently bonded nitrides, 317–18, 379–81, 401
- Creutz–Taube cation, 679  
 electron-transfer bridge in, 778
- β-cristobalite (SiO<sub>2</sub>) lattice, 150–1, 369
- critical mass (of radioactive isotopes), 58, 756
- critical (superconducting) temperature, 352, 817  
 listed for various elements and compounds, 817(T)
- cross-reaction in outer-sphere mechanism, 780
- crown ethers, 186, 268, 269(F)  
 compounds involving, 268–9, 269(F), 270–1, 695, 750, 750(F)  
*d*-block metal compounds, 546, 547, 612, 617, 620, 629–30  
 group 2 compounds, 288  
 group 14 compounds, 525  
 group 16 compounds, 447  
 hydrogen bonding in, 249  
 nomenclature, 268
- cryolite, 293, 310, 470
- cryptand-222, 269, 269(F)  
 complexes with group 1 metals, 269–70, 269(F)  
 complexes with group 2 metals, 288  
 complexes with group 16 metals, 447  
 in fullerides, 270(B), 353  
 in Zintl ions, 220, 358, 359(F)
- cryptands, 186, 220, 269–70  
 uses, 269, 270, 288
- cryptates, 269–71
- crystal defects, 158–9, 813–15  
 thermodynamic effects, 814–15
- crystal field stabilization energy, octahedral complexes, 560–1, 561(T)
- crystal field theory, 557–64  
 advantage(s), 559  
 limitations, 564  
 uses, 564
- crystal fields, 563(F)  
 octahedral, 558–60  
 square planar, 562  
 tetrahedral, 562
- crystallization, solvent of, 236
- crystallographic disorder, 348, 406(B), 425, 507
- cubanes, 452
- cubeoctahedral molecules, 547
- cubic arrangement of atoms  
 in actinoid complexes, 546, 756  
 relationship to square antiprismatic arrangement, 546  
 in Se and Te tetrachlorides, 452(F)
- cubic close-packed (ccp) lattice, 132, 151  
 interstitial holes in, 133–4, 401  
 unit cell, 132–3, 133(F), 261(WE)
- cubic molecules, orbital hybridization for, 556(T)
- cubic zirconia, 652, 814  
 electrical conductivity, 816(F)
- cuprate superconductors, 633(B), 635, 817–19  
 as thin films, 825–6
- cupric ... *see* copper(II) ...
- cuprite (CuO) lattice, 596, 638
- cuprous ... *see* copper(I) ...
- Curie Law, 583
- Curie, Marie, 57
- curie (radioactivity unit), 57
- Curie temperature, 584  
 for Cr(II) complexes, 610
- curium, 62(T)  
 bombardment by heavy nuclides, 61
- ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882
- longest lived isotope, 755(T)
- mass number range, 875
- metal, 756
- oxidation state(s), 743(T)
- physical properties, 742(T), 882
- synthesis of, 748
- Curl, Robert, 1
- cutting-tool materials, 346(B), 357, 402(B), 594, 820(T), 824
- CVD (chemical vapour deposition), 288, 402(B), 820–6  
 aerosol-assisted technique, 825  
 α-boron nitride films, 821–2  
 ceramic coatings, 824  
 cuprate superconductors, 825–6  
 group 2 metallocenes, 510  
 high-purity silicon, 821  
 metal films, 823–4  
 nickel(II) oxide, 631  
 perovskite-type metal oxides, 824–5  
 plasma-enhanced technique, 822  
 silicon carbide and nitride, 822  
 III–V semiconductors, 822–3
- cyanamide ion, 357
- cyanates, 380
- cyanic acid, 380
- cyanides, 380  
 toxicity, 380, 647(B), 839, 854
- cyano bridges, 620, 621(F)
- cyano compounds, *d*-block metal compounds, 624
- cyanogen, 379  
 derivatives, 380
- cyanogen chloride, 380
- cyanoglucosides, 379(B)
- cyclic polyethers, 268  
*see also* crown ethers
- cyclization, catalysts, 752(B)
- cyclobutadiene, reactions, 737
- cyclobutadiene complexes, *see also* buta-1,3-diene complexes
- cyclobutadienyl complexes, 737
- cyclodimers, 253, 301
- cycloheptatrienyl complexes, 735–7
- cycloheptatrienylium cation, 736
- cyclooctatetraenyl complexes  
 of lanthanoids, 755  
 Th and U, 761
- cyclooct-1,5-diene, 705
- cyclooligomerization, of B–N compounds, 320
- cycloorganosilicon compounds, 519
- cyclopentadienyl complexes  
 with beryllium, 507, 507(F), 508–9(B)  
 bonding in, 508–9(B), 542, 731  
 with *d*-block metals, 731–4  
 18-electron rule for, 708, 708–9(WE)  
 with group 2 elements, 507, 507(F), 508–9(B), 510, 510–11(WE)  
 with group 13 elements, 513–14, 517, 517–18, 518  
 with group 14 elements, 518–19, 519(F), 523, 525, 525(F)  
 with group 15 elements, 529(F), 530, 531(F)  
 with lanthanoids, 753–5  
 with magnesium, 510  
 nomenclature, 503(B)  
 representation of bonding, 542, 700  
 with strontium, 510–11(WE)  
 with thorium and uranium, 760

- cyclopentadienyl complexes cont.  
 tilt angle, 519(F), 523  
 with titanium, 542  
*see also* ferrocene
- cyclopentadienyl ligand, 504
- cyclotriphosphate ion, 422, 423(F)
- cyclotron, 57
- cysteine, 831(T)  
 in thioneins, 835
- cytochrome *c* oxidase, 846, 846(F), 853  
 modelling studies, 853  
 structural features, 852(F), 853
- cytochromes, 846(F), 851–4  
 binding of [CN]<sup>−</sup> to Fe(III), 839, 854  
 [CN]<sup>−</sup> binding to Fe(III), 854  
 cytochrome *b*, 846(F), 852  
 cytochrome *c*, 782, 846(F), 851(F), 852  
 cytochrome *c*<sub>1</sub>, 846(F), 852  
 cytochrome *c*554, 852(F), 853
- cytochromes P-450, 618, 843  
 carbon monoxide adducts, 843
- Czochralski process, 143(B), 821
- δ-bond, 611
- δ notation for chiral molecules, 551(B), 551(B)
- d*-block metal carbonyl anions  
 preparation of, 702, 711, 722  
 synthesis of, 711
- d*-block metal carbonyl cluster anions,  
 stabilization of, 711(B)
- d*-block metal carbonyl clusters, 710
- d*-block metal carbonyl halides, preparation  
 of, 723, 724
- d*-block metal carbonyl hydrides  
 physical properties, 724(T)  
 preparation of, 702, 723  
 reactions, 723–4, 724(F)
- d*-block metal carbonyls, 709–14  
 commercial availability, 710  
 IR spectroscopy, 701, 702(F), 702(T), 722  
 NMR spectroscopy, 701–2  
 physical properties, 709(T)  
 reactions, 722–4  
 structures, 711–14  
 synthesis of, 710–11  
 Wade's rules, 714–15, 715–16(WE)
- d*-block metal complexes, 555–92  
 crystal field theory, 557–64  
 factors affecting formation, 539  
 with group 15 elements, 392, 393, 400, 400(F)  
 with H<sub>2</sub>, 668, 707  
 high-spin complexes, 544–5, 555  
 kinetically inert or labile, 764  
 low-spin complexes, 544–5, 555  
 magnetic properties, 579–85  
 metal–ligand covalent bonding in, 578–9  
 molecular orbital theory, 564–70  
 with N<sub>2</sub>, 706  
 reaction mechanisms, 764–85  
 thermodynamic aspects, 585–7, 764  
 valence bond theory, 555–7  
 Werner's theory, 541, 625(B)
- d*-block metal(II) oxides  
 electrical conductivity, 816–17, 816(F)  
*see also individual elements*
- d*-block metals, 20, 20(F), 593–699  
 abundance of isotopes, 875–6  
 coordination numbers, 541–7  
 first row, 593–644  
 abundance, 594(F)  
 elements, 597, 598, 602, 606, 611, 617, 624, 630, 634, 639  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 593–7  
 physical properties, 135(T), 586(F), 587(F), 588(F), 589(F), 589(T), 597(T), 878, 880, 884  
 reduction potentials, 538(WE)  
 general considerations, 535–54  
 ground state electronic configuration(s), 18(T), 536, 597(T), 650(T), 880  
 halides, 478  
 thermochemistry, 478–9(WE)  
 hydrides, 254  
 nitrides, 401, 402(B)  
 organometallic compounds, 700–40  
 oxidation states, 540(T)  
 phosphides, 402  
 physical properties, 536–8  
 reactivity, 538  
 second and third rows, 645–99  
 elements, 651, 652, 654, 658, 666, 671, 679, 684, 689, 694  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 645–8  
 physical properties, 135(T), 649–51, 650(T), 881, 884  
*see also* group 3... (to)... group 12
- d*-block organometallic clusters, 713–14, 716–19  
 as homogeneous catalysts, 799
- d*-block organometallic compounds, 700–40  
 total valence electron counts, 716–19
- d*–*d* transitions, 570, 571
- D* (dissociative) substitution mechanism, 765
- d* notation for chiral molecules, 96(B), 551(B)
- d* orbital separation energy  
 in octahedral crystal field ( $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ ), 558–9, 559(T), 560(F), 563(F)  
 in tetrahedral crystal field ( $\Delta_{\text{tet}}$ ), 562, 563(F)
- d* orbital(s)  
 boundary-surface representations, 14(F), 282, 555, 556(F)  
 quantum numbers for, 10
- d*-block metals  
 abundance, 594(F), 646(F)  
 physical properties, 146(F)
- Daniel cell, 193–4, 193(F)  
 thermodynamic factors governing  
 electrochemical reaction, 194(WE), 209, 209(T)
- darmstadtium, 61, 62(T)
- daughter nuclide, 55
- $\alpha$ -Dawson anions, 660, 661, 661(F)  
 reduction of, 661
- Dcb* (conjugate–base) mechanism, 774
- de Broglie, Louis, 6
- de Broglie relationship, 6
- decaborane(14), production of, 327
- decahydrodecaborate(2–) ion, reactions, 331
- decarbonylation, 721
- Deep Space One* (space probe), 494(B)
- defect spinel structure, 316
- defects in solid state lattices, 158–9, 813–15
- degenerate modes of vibration, 90–1, 91(F)
- degenerate orbitals, 10, 14(F), 32  
 doubly degenerate, 558(B)  
 triply degenerate, 558(B)
- dehydrating agents, 281(B), 460  
*see also* drying agents
- deionized water, 443(B)
- deliquescent substances  
*d*-block metal compounds, 619, 622  
 group 2 compounds, 280  
 group 15 compounds, 415, 419, 420, 421  
 delocalized bonding interactions, 109, 113, 115, 116
- deltahedron, 326  
 parent set for Wade's rules, 330(F), 333–4
- denitrification, 417(B)
- denticity of ligands, 183  
 listed for various ligands, 184(T)
- dentistry applications, 652
- deoxyhaemerythrin, 842, 842(F)
- deoxyhaemocyanin, 839, 841(F)
- desferriochrome, 834, 835(F)
- desferrioxamine, 834, 835(F)
- desorption of products from catalyst surfaces,  
 799
- desulfurization processes, 278(B), 286, 453, 662(B)
- detergents, 286, 370, 422
- deuterated compounds, 237–8
- deuterated solvents, 66(B), 237
- deuterium (D), 237  
 electrolytic separation of, 65  
 exchange reactions, 63  
 fusion with tritium, 62  
 in nuclear fission, 62  
 properties, 237(T)
- deuterium labelling, 64, 238
- deuterium oxide  
 compared with water, 238(T)  
 as nuclear reactor moderator, 60, 237  
 physical properties, 238(T)  
 as solvent in NMR spectroscopy, 66(B), 237
- Dewar benzene derivative, synthesis of, 737
- Dewar borazine derivatives, 320
- Dewar–Chatt–Duncanson model,  
 applications, 701, 704
- diagnostic imaging agents, 61(B), 671(B)
- diagonal line across periodic table, 173, 173(F), 338, 385
- diagonal relationships  
 beryllium and aluminium, 289, 290  
 lithium and magnesium, 260, 288–90
- dialanes, 513
- dialkylselenium, 530–1
- dialuminium heptachloride ion, 227, 229(F)
- dialuminium hexachloride, 227, 310  
 molten salt systems, 227, 229–30  
 structure, 310(F)
- dialuminium tetraalkyls, 513
- diamagnetic species, 29  
*d*-block metal complexes/compounds, 555, 557, 564, 580(B), 610, 623, 632, 637, 664, 665, 673(WE), 690, 737  
 nitrogen oxides, 412(T)  
*p*-block organo-compounds, 518
- diamagnetic Zintl ions, 358
- diamond  
 as gemstones, 346(B)  
 production of, 339, 346(B)  
 properties, 345, 346(B)  
 structure, 131, 150(F), 345  
 uses, 339, 346(B)
- diamond-type network, 149, 152
- diamonds, artificial, 652
- diantimony tetraphenyls, 528
- diaphragm (electrolysis) cell, 266(B)
- diarsenic tetraphenyls, 528
- diarylplumbylenes, 525–6
- diaspore (mineral), 316
- diastereomers, 551(B)

- diatomic molecules  
 heteronuclear, molecular orbital theory, 41–3  
 homonuclear  
 bond dissociation energies, 28, 35(T)  
 ground state electronic configurations, 35(F)  
 meaning of term, 27  
 molecular orbital theory, 29–36, 35(WE)  
 valence bond theory, 27–9
- diazonium compounds, 416
- dibasic acids, 166, 167
- diberyllium, bonding in, 31–2, 32(F), 35(T)
- dibismuth tetraphenyls, 528
- diborane(6), 253, 301  
 bonding in, 124–7  
 compared with digallane, 303  
 NMR spectra, 301–2(WE)  
 reactions, 302–3, 303(F), 304, 327  
 structure, 125(F), 253, 301
- diboron tetrabromide, structure, 82(F)
- diboron tetrahalides, 308
- dibromine, 475  
 in clathrates, 477  
 extraction of, 471  
 inter- and intra-molecular distances, 475(F)
- 1,2-dibromomethane, 474(B)
- dichlorine, 475  
 in aqueous solution, 488  
 as bleach, 471  
 in clathrates, 477  
 inter- and intra-molecular distances, 475(F)  
 manufacture of, 471  
 preparation of, 475  
 production of, 258, 266(B)  
 uses, 266(B), 471
- dichlorine hexaoxide, 484
- dichlorine monoxide, 483–4
- dichromate(VI) salts, 606, 607
- didentate ligands, 183, 184(T), 305, 324  
 IUPAC nomenclature, 183(N)
- dielectric constant, 214–15  
 listed for various solvents, 215(T)  
 for water, 176, 215(T)
- dielectric materials, 824(T)
- Diels–Alder reactions, 227, 438, 728
- 1,3-diene complexes, 728
- differentiating effects, non-aqueous solvents, 217
- difluorine, 474–5  
 bonding in, 28–9, 32–3, 35(T)  
 manufacture of, 470–1  
 as oxidizing agent, 195  
 production of, 277  
 reactions, 474–5  
 solid-state lattice structures, 134  
 synthesis of, 474
- digallane, 253, 302, 303  
 compared with diborane, 303  
 preparation of, 303  
 reactions, 303–4, 303(F)  
 structure, 82(F)
- digallium tetraalkyls, 515–16
- digermenes, 520–1
- diglyme, 302
- dihalides, metal, 478
- dihelium, bonding in, 31, 32(F)
- 9,10-dihydroanthracene (DHA),  
 hydrogenation by, 350
- dihydrogen, 238–44  
 absorption by metals and alloys, 255, 647, 749
- bonding in  
 molecular orbital approach, 29–30, 31(F)  
 valence bond approach, 27–8
- d*-block metal complexes, 254, 668, 707
- as fuel, 239, 240–1(B), 242
- industrial production of, 239
- occurrence, 238
- physical properties, 238, 242(T)
- reaction with dinitrogen, 243, 395–6, 396(WE), 804–5
- reactivity, 242–4
- solid-state lattice structure, 134
- storage of, 240–1(B), 251
- synthesis of, 238
- uses, 238–42
- diindium tetraalkyls, 515–16
- diiodine, 475  
 in aqueous solution, 488  
 extraction of, 471  
 inter- and intra-molecular distances, 475(F)
- diiodine pentoxide, 484  
 reactions, 366
- diiron nonacarbonyl, 710, 712, 712(F)
- $\beta$ -diketonate complexes, 750, 751(B)
- $\beta$ -diketonates, 179–80
- $\beta$ -diketones, 179
- dilithium, bonding in, 31, 35(T)
- N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF)  
 dielectric constant, 215(T)  
 transfer of ions to (from water), 216
- 1,1-dimethylhydrazine, 398
- dimolybdenum(III) alkoxy derivatives, 664
- dimolybdenum(III) amido derivatives, 664
- dimorphite, 428
- dinickel(I) hexacyano ion, 634
- dinitrogen, 392  
 bonding in, 28–9, 35(WE)  
 in *d*-block metal complexes, 392  
*d*-block metal complexes, 400(F), 706  
 industrial separation of, 392  
 reaction with dihydrogen, 243, 395–6, 396(WE), 804–5  
 reaction(s) with, calcium carbide, 357, 392
- dinitrogen difluoride, 405  
 geometrical isomers, 49, 405  
 point group assignment(s), 87(WE)  
 reactions, 405  
 structure, 82(F)  
 symmetry properties, 83(WE)
- dinitrogen monoxide, 412, 412(T)
- dinitrogen pentaoxide, 412(T), 414(F), 415
- dinitrogen tetrafluoride, 405
- dinitrogen tetraoxide, 414–15  
 equilibrium with nitrogen dioxide, 414  
 liquid, as solvent, 217, 225–7  
 as oxidizing agent, 226(B), 415  
 physical properties, 218(F), 225(T), 412(T)  
 reactions, 405, 415  
 self-ionization of, 217, 226  
 structure, 414(F)
- dinitrogen trioxide, 412(T), 413  
 resonance structures, 414(F)  
 structure, 414(F)
- diopside, 371
- dioxygen, 437–8  
 bonding in, 28–9, 33, 35(T)  
 as oxidizing agent, 198  
 production of, 437  
 singlet state, 438  
 triplet ground state, 438
- dioxygen difluoride, 448, 448(T)  
 reacts, 438, 448
- dioxygenases, 843
- diphosphane, 398
- diphosphoric acid, 420(T), 421
- dipole–dipole interactions, 27
- dipole moments  
 electric, 39–41, 39(WE)  
 change during IR active vibrational modes, 91  
 change in IR active vibrational modes, 550(F)  
 listed for various solvents, 215(T), 218(T)  
 nitrogen halides, 404(WE)
- direct band gap semiconductors, 514(B)
- diselenides, 531
- disilyl ether, 356
- disilyl sulfide, 356
- disinfectants, 470(B), 471, 485
- disorder in crystal structure, 348, 406(B), 425, 507
- dispersion forces, 27, 155, 472
- disphenoidal species, 45(F)  
 interhalogen ions, 481(T)
- disproportionation, 157–8, 203  
 of Au(I), 692  
 of Cu(I), 203, 203(WE), 634, 638  
 in Frost–Ebsworth diagrams, 207  
 of Hf and Zr halides, 653  
 of Hg(I), 696–7  
 of Mn compounds, 613, 615  
 of PtF<sub>5</sub>, 684  
 stabilizing species against, 202  
 standard enthalpy of, 158
- dissociation, of acids, 164(B), 166
- dissociation enthalpy  
 in thermochemical cycles, 156(F)  
*see also* enthalpy change on atomization
- dissociative interchange mechanism, 765
- dissociative substitution mechanisms, 719, 765
- octahedral complexes, 772
- distillation  
 nitric acid, 416  
 water, 443(B)  
*see also* azeotrope
- disulfide anion, 446
- disulfite ion, 458
- disulfur decafluoride, 448(T), 450
- disulfur dichloride, 450–1
- disulfur difluoride, 448(T), 449
- disulfur dinitride, 464
- disulfuric acid, 458(T), 461
- disulfurous acid, 457, 458, 458(T)
- dithionate ion, 458–9  
 preparation of, 459  
 structure, 458–9, 459(F)
- dithionic acid, 458–9, 458(T)
- dithionites, 457
- dithionous acid, 457, 458(T)
- ditungsten(III) alkoxy derivatives, 664
- ditungsten(III) amido derivatives, 664
- DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid), 250, 252(F), 423(B)  
 effect of platinum(II) ammine complexes, 689(B)
- docosahedron, 334, 334(F)
- dodecahedral complexes  
 Co(II), 628, 630  
 Cr(V), 608  
 Mo(IV), 663  
 Nb(IV) and Nb(V), 656  
 Th(IV), 756  
 Ti(IV), 599  
 W(IV), 663

- dodecahedral complexes cont.  
 Zn(II), 641  
 Zr(IV), 652
- dodecahedral molecules  
 borane cluster compounds, 330(F)  
 boron halide clusters, 309(F)  
*d*-block metal compounds, 546–7, 547(F), 651  
 orbital hybridization for, 556(T)
- dodecahedron, 330(F), 547(F)
- dodecahydrododecaborate(2<sup>-</sup>) ion, reactions, 331–2
- dolomite, 276
- donor atoms in complexes, 179
- donor level in semiconductor, 144
- L-DOPA, 792
- dopant (in semiconductor), 144
- doping of semiconductors, 143–4, 821, 823
- dot-and-cross diagrams, 26
- double bonds, and geometrical isomers, 49
- double oxides, 152  
*see also* mixed metal oxides
- double salts, lanthanoid halides, 749
- doublets, 572(B)
- doubly degenerate orbital, notation for, 558(B)
- Downs process, 192, 227, 229, 257–8  
 chlorine produced by, 192, 471  
 ionic liquids in, 227, 229, 258  
 redox reactions, 258  
 redox reactions in, 192  
 sodium produced by, 192, 257–8
- drilling fluids, 374(B)
- drugs, asymmetric synthesis of, 791, 792–3
- dry cell battery, 595, 595(F), 596, 618(B)
- dry ice, 366  
*see also* carbon dioxide, solid
- drying agents, 262, 279, 281(B), 283, 341, 419
- dubnium, 62(T)
- dust-control agents, 283(B)
- dyes, 470(B), 620  
*see also* pigments
- dynamite, 392
- dysprosium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
 physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 881
- dysprosium organometallic compounds, 753, 754(F), 755
- η prefix, meaning of nomenclature, 248(B), 503(B)
- effective atomic number rule, 569  
*see also* eighteen-electron rule
- effective magnetic moment, 579  
 for Cr(II) complexes, 581(WE)  
 effects of temperature, 583–4, 584(F)  
 listed for first row *d*-block ions, 581(T), 582(T)  
 for Pd(II) complexes, 580(B)  
 spin-only values for first row *d*-block ions, 581(T)  
 spin and orbital contributions to, 581–3  
 units, 579
- effective nuclear charge, 17, 19(B), 22, 33, 34(F), 36, 41, 144  
 determination of, 19(B), 37
- efflorescence, meaning of term, 617
- efflorescent compounds, 617
- Eigen–Wilkins mechanism, 772–3
- eight-coordinate molecules  
*d*-block metal compounds and complexes, 546–7, 651, 663  
*f*-block metal compounds and complexes, 750, 756, 758  
 shape(s), 45(F), 46(T), 541(T)  
*see also* bicapped trigonal prismatic; cubic; dodecahedral; hexagonal bipyramidal; square antiprismatic
- eighteen-electron complexes, 712, 731, 732, 735
- eighteen-electron rule, 36, 569–70, 707–9  
 applications, 569(WE), 683, 708–9(WE), 712, 719  
 limitations, 570, 707
- Einstein, Albert, 6
- Einstein's mass–energy equation, 53
- Einstein's relativity theory, 298(B)
- einsteinium, 62(T)  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882  
 longest lived isotope, 755(T)  
 mass number range, 875  
 oxidation state(s), 743(T)  
 physical properties, 882  
 synthesis of, 748
- electrical conductivity  
 in ionic solids, 815–17  
 variation with temperature, 141
- electrical resistivity, 141  
 graphite vs diamond, 345  
 variation with temperature, metals  
 compared with semiconductors, 141
- electrides, 270
- electrochemical cell  
 standard conditions, 194  
*see also* galvanic cell
- electrochemical half-cells, 193–4
- electrochromic systems, 601, 631, 659(B), 660, 820(T)
- electrolysis  
 of aqueous NaCl solution, 266(B)  
 deuterium separated from hydrogen, 65  
 in Downs process, 192, 227, 258  
 in liquid hydrogen fluoride, 222  
 of molten alumina, 294  
 of molten group 2 halides, 276, 277  
 of molten KF and HF, 471
- electrolytic cell, redox reactions in, 192
- electromagnetic spectrum, 874  
 visible part, 539(T)
- electron affinities, 25  
 enthalpy changes listed for various elements, 25(T)  
 estimation of, 157  
 listed for various elements, 883
- electron counting  
 for borane cluster compounds, 328  
 for *d*-block metal organometallic compounds, 569–70, 715(T), 716–19  
 for *d*-block organometallics, 708  
 for heteroatomic Zintl ions, 403(WE)  
 for Zintl ions, 359, 403(WE)  
*see also* eighteen-electron rule; total valence electron counts; Wade's rules
- electron-deficient compounds, 124, 326
- electron-deficient cluster compounds, boron-containing, 293, 326–34
- electron-deficient species, meaning of term, 326
- electron diffraction, basis, 6, 7(B)
- electron-hopping mechanism, 817
- electron-pairing energy, 560
- electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) *see* ESR
- 18-electron rule *see* eighteen-electron rule
- electron sharing, 28
- electron spin resonance *see* ESR
- electron transfer, 28, 777  
 in Photosystem II enzyme, 614
- electron-transfer processes, 777–82  
 experimental techniques for studying, 778(B)  
 inner-sphere mechanism, 777–9  
 outer-sphere mechanism, 777, 779–82
- electron-transfer systems, in biological systems, 831(T), 843–54
- electron tunnelling, in outer-sphere processes, 779, 782
- electron volt, 6, 23(N)
- electronegativity, 36–9  
 Allred–Rochow values, 38, 38(F)  
 definition, 37  
 Mulliken values, 37–8, 38(F)  
 Pauling values, 37, 38(F), 38(T)  
 listed for various elements, 879
- electroneutrality principle, 171, 539
- d*-block metals, 540–1
- electronic configuration(s)  
 diagrammatic representations, 22, 22(F)  
 listed for elements (ground state), 18(T), 880–2  
*see also* ground state electronic configuration(s)
- electronic spectra  
 of actinoids, 746  
 of *d*-block metal complexes, 570–8, 574–6, 576(WE)  
 octahedral and tetrahedral complexes, 574–5, 576(WE)  
 spectral features, 571  
 of lanthanoids, 744–5, 745–6(WE)  
 selection rules for, 571, 574
- electronic transitions, 570  
 notations in crystal field theory, 562(B)  
 selection rules, 571, 574
- electron(s), 1–2  
 binding energy of, 116(B)  
 nuclear, 55  
*see also* β-particles  
 probability density, 11  
 properties, 2(T), 5, 53, 176, 215  
 wave–particle duality, 6  
 X-rays scattered by, 146(B)
- electrooptic devices, 296, 514(B), 820(T), 824(T)
- electroplating, 594, 596
- electrostatic crystal field model, 557
- electrostatic model for ionic lattices, 152–5  
 limitations, 156
- eleven-coordinate molecules, *f*-block metal compounds and complexes, 750, 758
- Ellingham diagrams, 210
- emerald, 276, 296
- emery, 296
- emission spectra  
 hydrogen, 4–5  
 sodium, 96, 261
- enantiomeric excess (ee), 791
- enantiomerically pure Grignard reagents, 510
- enantiomers, 95, 549, 551(B)  
 interconversion of, 776, 776(F)
- encounter complex, 772, 780
- endohedral metallofullerenes, 353

- energy matching of ligand group orbitals, 126–7
- entering group (in substitution reaction), 764  
effect on reaction rate, 773, 773(T)
- enterobactin, 834, 834(F)  
model ligand, 834  
vanadium(IV) complex, 834, 834(F)
- enthalpies of hydration  
factors affecting, 177  
first row *d*-block metal  $M^{2+}$  ions, 586, 587(F)  
listed for various ions, 177(T)  
*see also* standard enthalpy of hydration
- enthalpy of activation, 765
- enthalpy change  
of atomization, 137  
listed for various elements, 135(T), 884  
relationship to bond dissociation enthalpy, 37  
trends, 137, 537(F), 651(F)
- of complex formation, 185
- for disproportionation, estimation of, 158
- for dissociation of hydrogen halides, 170
- for dissolution of ionic salts in aqueous solution, 175–6
- electron affinity, 25, 157, 237
- of formation  
estimation of, 157  
thallium trifluoride, 298–9(WE)
- of fusion, group 18 elements, 135(T)
- of hydration of ions, 176–7
- for ionization energy, 23
- for lattice, 155
- relationship to internal-energy change, 23(B)
- of transfer of ions from water to organic solvent, 215–16
- of vaporization  
group 18 elements, 135(T)  
*p*-block hydrides, 246, 247(F)
- entropy of activation, 765
- entropy change  
of complex formation, 182–3, 183, 185  
for dissociation of hydrogen halides in aqueous solution, 170  
for dissolution of ionic salts in aqueous solution, 175–6  
of hydration of ions, 176–7  
of vaporization, effect of H-bonding, 246  
*see also* standard entropy change
- environmental catalysts, 646(B)
- environmental concerns  
'acid rain', 415, 454(B)  
bromine compounds, 474(B)  
CFCs (chlorofluorocarbons), 362(B)  
cyanide wastes, 647(B)  
eutrophication, 421(B)  
greenhouse effect, 355(B), 367(B)  
mercury health risks, 648(B)  
 $NO_x$  emissions, 414(B), 805  
organotin compounds, 521(B)  
ozone layer, 362(B), 438, 474(B)  
phosphate fertilizers, 421(B)  
radon health hazard, 493  
road de-icing agents, 259  
sulfur dioxide emissions, 278(B)  
tropospheric pollutants, 414(B)  
vehicle emissions, 241(B), 414(B), 646(B)  
volcanic emissions, 456(B)
- enzymes, metals in, 595, 596, 614, 624, 831(T)
- epitaxial growth of crystals, 823
- epoxidation of ethene, 801(T)
- EPR spectroscopy *see* ESR (electron spin resonance) spectroscopy
- Epsom salts, 277
- equations  
Arrhenius equation, 57  
Born–Landé equation, 154  
Born–Mayer equation, 155  
Bragg's equation, 147(B)  
de Broglie, 6  
Einstein's mass–energy equivalence, 53  
Kapustinskii equation, 158, 285(WE)  
Kirchhoff's equation, 23(B)  
Marcus–Hush, 781  
Nernst equation, 194, 197, 211  
Schrödinger wave, 6–9, 8(B)  
van Vleck equation, 582
- equatorial sites, 49
- equilibrium constants  
aqueous solutions, 164(B), 165(WE)  
relationship with Gibbs energy change, 169, 175–6  
relationship with standard Gibbs energy change, 194
- erbium  
abundance of isotope(s), 875  
ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 881
- erbium organometallic compounds, 753, 754(F), 755
- erythrosine B, 470(B)
- ESCA (electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis), 800(B)
- ESR (electron spin resonance) spectroscopy  
electron sharing shown by, 579  
examples of use, 352, 457, 539, 581, 778(B), 844
- 1,2-ethanediamine (en) ligand, 183, 184(T)
- ethanedioic acid *see* oxalic acid
- ethanoic acid *see* acetic acid
- ethanolic KOH, 266
- ethene  
bonding in, 105, 105(F)  
epoxidation of, 801(T)  
hydrogenation of, 808  
oligomerization of, 797(F)  
polymerization of, 512(B)
- 2-ethylanthraquinol, in synthesis of hydrogen peroxide, 442
- N,N,N',N'*-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid ( $H_4$ EDTA), 166  
ion, 183, 184(T), 185, 288
- ethyne, production of, 280(B), 284
- European Chemical Industry Council (CEPIC), *Sustech* programme, 228(B)
- europium  
abundance of isotope(s), 875  
ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 881
- europium boride, 324
- europium(III) complexes, 751(B)
- europium hydride, 749
- europium organometallic compounds, 755
- eutectics, in molten salts, 227
- eutrophication, 421(B)
- ExacTech pen (glucose) meter, 731(B)
- EXAFS (extended X-ray adsorption fine structure) technique, 800(B)  
applications, 793, 832  
exchange energy, 24(B)  
loss for octahedral complexes, 560
- exchange processes, in solution, 73
- excited states, 16
- exhaust emissions, 413, 414(B)
- exothermic reactions, dissociation of hydrogen halides in aqueous solution, 169
- expanded metals (solutions in liquid  $NH_3$ ), 220
- explosive reactions, 242, 449(F), 461, 486
- explosive substances, 380, 388, 398, 400, 404, 405, 415, 417, 437, 438, 439, 443, 462, 464, 483, 484, 487, 499, 500, 608  
*d*-block metal complexes/compounds, 612, 725
- explosives, 388, 392, 401, 416(B)
- extraction methods, solvent extraction, 180
- extrinsic defects, 813
- extrinsic semiconductors, 143–4
- Eyring plot, 765, 766(F), 787(B)
- f*-block metals, 20, 20(F), 535, 741–63  
abundance of isotope(s), 875–6  
ground state electronic configurations, 18(T), 742(T), 881, 882  
physical properties, 742(T), 881, 882  
*see also* actinoids; lanthanoids
- F-centres, 814
- f*–*f* transitions, 745, 746
- f* orbital(s), 742–3  
cubic set, 742, 743(F)  
quantum numbers for, 10
- fac*-isomers, 49, 324, 549
- face-centred cubic (fcc) lattice, 133, 133(F), 261(WE)  
examples, 148, 148(F)
- FAD, 846
- FAD/FADH<sub>2</sub> couple, 846(F)
- Faraday balance, 579
- Faraday constant, 175, 194
- fast-ion conductors, 692, 815
- fast neutrons, 57
- fast step (in substitution reaction), 767
- Fehling's solution, 596, 638
- feldspars, 293, 370, 372
- femtosecond flash photolysis, 778(B)
- fermentation, of wine, 459(B)
- Fermi–Dirac distribution, 142
- Fermi level, 142
- fermium, 62(T)  
ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882  
longest lived isotope, 755(T)  
mass number range, 875  
oxidation state(s), 743(T)  
physical properties, 882  
synthesis of, 748
- ferrates, 617
- ferredoxins, 847, 848, 848(F)  
advantage over rubredoxins, 848
- ferric ... *see* iron(III) ...
- ferrimagnetic compounds, 615, 619
- ferrimagnetism, 585
- ferrites, 619
- ferritic stainless steels, 140(B)
- ferritin, 832  
biomineralization of, 833(F)  
modelling of, 834
- ferrocene and derivatives, 731–2  
bonding in, 731  
18-electron rule for, 708  
in mixed ligand complexes, 732–4  
reactions, 731–2, 732(F)  
structure, 731  
uses, 731(B), 733(B)

- ferrocene/ferrocenium reference electrode, 731  
 ferroelectric materials, 152, 599–600, 656, 824  
 ferromagnetic coupling, 610, 629  
 ferromagnetic materials, 584, 608, 619  
 ferromagnetism, 352, 584  
 ferrous... *see* iron(II) ...  
 fertilizers  
   nitrogenous, 278(B), 280(B), 357, 388, 395(B), 396, 416(B), 460  
   phosphate, 388, 395(B), 421(B)  
   potassium-based, 259  
 fibreglass, 314(B), 826  
 fibres, 826  
   inorganic, 826–7  
 fibrous sulfur, 439, 440  
 fire-resistant materials, 425  
 firework ingredients, 277, 278, 296, 389, 486  
 first order kinetics  
   ligand substitution reactions, 773  
   radioactive decay, 56  
 first order rate constant, 56  
 Fischer–Tropsch reaction, 803–4  
   catalysts for, 756, 803  
 Fischer-type carbene complexes, 729  
 five-coordinate molecules  
   *d*-block metal compounds, 544  
   fluxionality, 72, 528  
   rearrangements in, 73, 73(F), 528  
   shape(s), 45(F), 46(T), 541(T)  
   *see also* pentagonal planar...; square-pyramidal...; trigonal bipyramidal molecules  
 flame photometry, 261  
 flame retardants, 296, 341, 469(B), 640  
   boron-based, 296  
   halogen-based, 469(B), 471, 474(B)  
   phosphorus-based, 389, 469(B)  
   tin-based, 341, 389, 469(B)  
 flame tests, 261, 279  
 flash photolysis, applications, 771(B), 778(B)  
 flavours, extraction of, 231(B)  
 flue gas desulfurization processes, 278(B)  
 fluorescence, lanthanoid complexes, 746  
 fluoride acceptors, 157, 222, 224, 225, 405, 409, 440, 485  
 fluoride affinities, 157  
 fluorinating agents, 224, 409, 448, 477, 480, 481, 496, 624  
 fluorine  
   abundance of isotope(s), 875  
   in biological systems, 830  
   bonding in, 391  
   extraction of, 470–1  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 28–9, 33, 42, 472(T), 880  
   occurrence, 470  
   physical properties, 472(T), 878, 879, 880, 883, 884, 887  
   radioisotopes, 473–4  
   term symbols for, 573(B)  
   *see also* difluorine  
 fluorine bomb calorimetry, 474  
 fluorine nitrate, 417  
 fluorine-19 NMR spectroscopy, 72, 473  
 fluorite (CaF<sub>2</sub>) lattice, 149, 470  
 fluoro bridges, 364, 410, 451, 478, 496, 604, 655, 659  
 fluoroapatite, 387, 423(B), 470, 474  
 fluorocarbons, 361, 471  
 fluorosulfonic/fluorosulfuric acid, 223–4, 450, 461  
   physical properties, 218(F), 223–4  
   self-ionization of, 224  
   as solvent, 223–4, 442  
 fluorosulfates, 450  
 fluorous biphasic catalysts, 798  
 fluorspars, 149, 277, 470, 814  
 fluxes, brazing/soldering, 296  
 fluxionality, 72–3  
   in cyclopentadienyl complexes, 507  
   in *d*-block organometallic compounds, 713, 726, 726(F), 736  
   in organometallic compounds, 528, 530  
   in *p*-block compounds, 407, 507  
 food preservatives, 457, 459(B)  
 fool's gold, 432–3  
 formamide  
   dielectric constant, 215(T)  
   transfer of ions to (from water), 216  
 formic acid  
   hydrogen bonding in, 245, 245(F)  
   structure, 245(F)  
 four-centre two-electron bonding interactions, 702  
 four-coordinate molecules  
   *d*-block metal compounds, 543–4, 543(F)  
   shape(s), 45(F), 46(T), 541(T)  
   *see also* disphenoidal...; square planar...; tetrahedral molecules  
 fourteen-coordinate molecules, *f*-block metal compounds and complexes, 758  
 francium, 257, 261, 875, 881  
 Franck–Condon principle, 780  
 franklinite, 820  
 Frasch process, 433, 433(F)  
 free energy, *see also* Gibbs energy  
 Frenkel defects, 158–9, 814  
   experimental observation of, 159  
 freons, 361  
 frequency doubling (in lasers), 744(B)  
 Friedel–Crafts catalysts, 281, 290, 655  
 Friedel–Crafts reactions, 228, 307, 309, 310–11  
 frontier orbitals  
   for hexahydrohexaborate(2–) ion, 329(B)  
   *see also* HOMO; LUMO  
 Frost–Ebsworth diagrams, 204–8, 206(F), 207(F)  
   for chromium in aqueous solution, 207, 207(F)  
   interpretation of, 206–8, 208(WE)  
   limitations, 206  
   for manganese in aqueous solution, 205–6, 206–7, 206(F)  
   for nitrogen in aqueous solution, 207–8, 207(F), 399(WE)  
   for phosphorus in aqueous solution, 207–8, 207(F), 208(WE)  
   relationship to potential diagrams, 205–6  
 FTIR (Fourier transform infrared) spectroscopy, 800(B)  
 fuel cells, 239, 240–1(B)  
   first observation, 240(B)  
   use in motor vehicles, 240–1(B)  
 Fuller, Richard Buckminster, 348  
 fullerenes, 1, 348–53  
   C<sub>60</sub>, 348  
     cycloaddition reaction, 353  
     ene-like nature, 350  
     halogenation reactions, 350, 350(F)  
     oxo compounds, 350  
     reactivity, 349–53  
     structure, 348, 349(F)  
   C<sub>70</sub>, 348  
     structure, 349, 349(F)  
   C<sub>120</sub>, 353  
     halides, 350, 351(F)  
     Isolated Pentagon Rule (IPR) for, 348  
     occurrence, 339  
     organometallic derivatives, 725, 725(F)  
     production of, 348  
     reactivity, 349–53  
     structures, 348, 349(F)  
   fullerides, 270(B), 352–3  
     structure, 352(F)  
     superconducting salts, 352, 817  
 fuller's earth, 374(B)  
 fulminates, 380  
 fumaric acid  
   hydrogen bonding in, 248(B)  
   hydrogenation of, 799, 800(F)  
 fumigants, 474(B)  
 fundamental absorptions (in IR spectra), 92  
 fungicides, 460, 521(B), 596, 636, 638  
 furnace-lining bricks, 284(B)  
 fused salts *see* molten salts  
 γ-radiation, 55  
   penetrating power of, 55(F)  
 gadolinium  
   abundance of isotope(s), 875  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
   physical properties, 24(F), 742(T), 745(T), 881  
 gadolinium(III) complexes, 74(B), 750  
 gadolinium hydrides, 749  
 galena, 210, 339, 433  
 gallaborane, 303–4  
   reactions, 304  
   structure, 303–4, 304(F)  
 gallium  
   abundance of isotope(s), 875  
   appearance of metal, 300  
   extraction of, 294  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 297(T), 880  
   lattice structure, 136  
   occurrence, 293, 294  
   physical properties, 134, 135(T), 297(T), 877, 879, 880, 884  
   radioisotopes, 324  
   reactivity, 301  
   in semiconductors, 144, 296  
   structure of metal, 136  
   worldwide production of, 295(F)  
 gallium arsenide, 402  
   compared with silicon as a semiconductor, 514(B)  
   demand for, 294, 296  
   doping of, 824  
   ternary GaAs<sub>1–x</sub>P<sub>x</sub>, 823  
   thin films, 823  
   uses, 296, 341(B), 389, 402, 514(B), 820(T), 823  
 gallium(I) bromide, 312  
   in synthesis of multinuclear gallium compounds, 312–13  
   in synthesis of organogallium compounds, 516  
 gallium cage compounds, 322  
 gallium(I) chloride, 312, 313  
 gallium cyclopentadienyl complexes, 517  
 gallium–gallium triple bond, 516

- gallium hydrides, 253, 302, 303–4, 303(F)  
*see also* digallane  
 gallium nitride, 318, 820(T)  
 gallium organometallic compounds, 514–18, 516(WE)  
 gallium oxides and hydroxides, 317  
 gallium trialkyls, 514–15  
 gallium triaryls, 515  
 gallium trihalides, 303, 311  
 galvanic cells, 193–4  
   cell potentials, 194  
   redox reactions in, 192  
 galvanized steel, 139–40, 201(B), 596  
 gas detectors/sensors, 341, 375(B), 600(B), 687(B), 820(T)  
 gas hydrates, 355(B)  
 gas mantles, 756  
 gas masks, 340(B)  
 gasoline, synthesis of, 803, 807  
 Gemini missions, 240(B)  
 gemstones, 275–6, 296, 339, 346(B)  
   artificial, 652  
 geometrical isomerism  
   in dinitrogen difluoride, 49, 405  
   in platinum(II) complexes, 687, 688(B)  
 geometrical isomers, 48–9, 549  
   IR spectroscopy, 549, 550(F)  
   NMR spectroscopy, 550(B)  
   platinum(II) complexes, 549, 550(F)  
 gerade (subscript on symmetry label), 558(B)  
 germanates, 373, 375, 375(F)  
 germane, 219, 247(F), 355  
 germanium  
   abundance, 339(F)  
   abundance of isotope(s), 875  
   bond enthalpy terms, 343(T)  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 342(T), 881  
   lattice structure, 149, 152  
   physical properties, 342(T), 877, 879, 881, 884  
   reactions, 353, 364  
   as semiconductor, 143, 341  
   structure, 149  
   uses, 341  
 germanium–carbon bonds, 344  
 germanium cyclopentadienyl complexes, 520  
 germanium–germanium bonds, 344  
 germanium–germanium double bonds, 520–1  
 germanium halides, 364  
 germanium halohydrides, 356  
 germanium organometallic compounds, 520–1  
 germanium oxides, 373, 375  
 germanium sulfides, 377(T), 378  
 germanium tetraalkyls and tetraaryls, 520  
 germanium Zintl ions, 358  
   structure, 359, 359(F)  
 germides, 360–1  
 germynes, 520  
 getters in vacuum tubes, 278  
 Gibbs energy of activation, 765, 787(B)  
   for catalysis, 787  
   for self-exchange reactions, 780–1  
 Gibbs energy change  
   on complex formation, 183, 185  
   for dissolution of ionic salts in aqueous solution, 175–6  
   plots against oxidation state, 205, 206(F), 207(F)  
   relationship with  
     enthalpy and entropy, 169  
     equilibrium constant(s), 169, 175, 194  
     on transfer of ions from water to organic solvent, 215–16  
 Gibbs energy profiles  
   catalysed reactions, 787(F)  
   ligand substitution reactions, 765  
 gibbsite, 316  
 Gillespie, R.J., 43  
 glass fibres, 314(B), 826  
 glasses, 296, 314(B), 340, 341, 369–70, 434  
   pigments in, 627, 627(B), 638  
 globular proteins, 831  
 glucose pen meter, 731(B)  
 glutamic acid, 831(T)  
 glyceraldehyde, as chiral reference compound, 96(B)  
 glycine, 831(T)  
 glycoproteins, 833  
 goethite, 595, 619, 619(B)  
 gold, 689–94  
   abundance of isotope(s), 875  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
   metal, 689–90  
   and nitric acid, 416  
   occurrence, extraction and uses, 647, 648  
   oxidation states, 540(T), 689–90  
   physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884  
   recycling of, 690(B)  
 gold carbonyl, structure, 712  
 gold complexes, 543(F)  
 gold(I) complexes, 694  
 gold(III) complexes, 690–1  
 gold(I) cyano compounds, 694  
 gold(I) fluoride, 692  
 gold mixed-valence compounds, 691  
 gold(III) oxide, 690  
 gold(III) phosphino complexes, 691  
 gold trihalides, 690  
 Gouy balance, 579  
 Graham's law of effusion, 61  
 gram magnetic susceptibility, 580(B)  
 graphite  
   compared with boron nitride, 317  
   intercalation compounds, 263, 345, 347–8  
   production of, 339  
   reactivity, 345  
   salts, 347  
   structure, 345, 348(F)  
   uses, 340, 340(F), 345  
 Grätzel cell, 341(B)  
 gravimetric analysis, 324, 632  
   common-ion effect in, 178  
 Greek letters, listed, 864  
 green chemistry, 228(B), 386(B), 786  
*Green Chemistry* (RSC journal), 228(B)  
 'green' fuel, 239, 240(B)  
 green solvents, 229, 230  
 Green–Taube experiment, 775  
 green vitriol, 622  
 greenhouse gases, 355(B), 367(B), 456(B)  
 greenockite, 648  
 grey cast iron, 138(B)  
 grey tin, 136, 137(WE), 143, 149  
 Grignard reagents, 509–10  
   enantiomerically pure, 510  
   examples of use, 511–12, 514, 524, 526, 527  
   preparation of, 509  
   ground state, of hydrogen atom, 16  
 ground state electronic configuration(s), 16–17, 17, 21  
*d*-block metals, 18(T), 536, 597(T), 650(T), 880, 881  
   determination of, 17, 21–2(WE)  
*f*-block metals, 18(T), 742(T), 881, 882  
   listed for elements, 18(T), 880–2  
   notation(s), 16, 17, 30, 31  
*p*-block elements, 18(T), 297(T), 342(T), 389(T), 435(T), 472(T), 495(T), 880, 881  
*s*-block elements, 18(T), 260(T), 278(T), 880, 881  
   *see also* *aufbau* principle  
 ground state *trans*-influence, 688(B), 768  
 group 1, 257–74  
   abundance, 257  
   acetylides, 261  
   amalgams, 263  
   amides, 219, 261  
   amido complexes, 271–2  
   appearance of metals, 261  
   atomic spectra, 96, 96(B), 261  
   azides, 400  
   carbonates, 265–6  
   compared with group 2, 289(T)  
   complex ions in aqueous solution, 268–71  
   extraction of metals, 257–9  
   flame tests, 261  
   fullerides, 270(B), 352–3  
   ground state electronic configurations, 18(T), 260(T), 880, 881, 882  
   halates, 486  
   halides, 145(B), 157, 263–4, 478  
     lattice energies, 157, 264(T)  
     radius ratios, 145(B)  
     solubilities in water, 176(T), 264  
   structures, 148–9  
   hydrated ions, 171–2, 177(T), 267–8  
   hydrides, 237, 251–3, 263  
   hydrogencarbonates, 265–6  
   hydroxides, 167, 265  
   intercalation compounds, 263, 347  
   IUPAC-recommended name, 21(T)  
   NMR active nuclei, 68(T), 260(T), 261  
   non-aqueous coordination chemistry, 271–2  
   occurrence, 257  
   organometallic compounds, 504–7  
   oxides, 264–5  
   oxoacid salts, 265–6  
   ozonides, 265, 439  
   perhalates, 487  
   peroxides, 264  
   phosphates, 421  
   phosphides, 402  
   physical properties, 135(T), 146(F), 259–61, 260(T), 877, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884  
   radioactive isotopes, 60(B), 261  
   reactivity of metals, 238, 261–3  
   solutions of metals in liquid ammonia, 219, 220  
   suboxides, 265  
   superoxides, 264–5  
   uses, 259  
   *see also* caesium; francium; lithium; potassium; rubidium; sodium  
 group 2, 275–92  
   abundance, 276(F)  
   alkoxy complexes, 288, 289(F)  
   amido complexes, 288, 289(F)  
   appearance of metals, 279  
   carbonates, 174(T), 286  
   compared with group 1, 289(T)

- group cont.
- compared with group 13, 289(T)
  - complex ions in aqueous solution, 287–8
  - coordination complexes, 283, 288
  - extraction of metals, 276–7
  - flame tests, 279
  - ground state electronic configurations, 18(T), 278(T), 880, 881, 882
  - halides, 280–3
    - lattice energies, 157
  - hydrides, 254–5, 279
  - hydroxides, 173, 285–6
  - IUPAC-recommended name, 21(T)
  - metallocenes, 510, 526
  - organometallic compounds, 507, 509–11
  - oxides, 173, 283–5
  - oxoacid salts, 286
  - pernitrides, 401–2
  - peroxides, 285
  - phosphides, 402
  - physical properties, 135(T), 146(F), 278–9, 278(T), 877, 879, 880, 881, 882, 884
  - radioactive isotopes, 275, 279
  - reactivity of metals, 238, 279
  - solutions of metals in liquid ammonia, 219, 220, 279
  - sulfates, 286, 460
  - uses, 277–8
  - see also* barium; beryllium; calcium; magnesium; radium; strontium
- group 3, 597–8, 651
- abundance, 594(F), 646(F)
  - ground state electronic configurations, 880, 881, 882
  - occurrence, extraction and uses, 371, 593, 645
  - physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 650(T), 878, 880, 881, 882, 884
  - see also* scandium; ytterium
- group 4, 598–601, 652–4
- abundance, 594(F), 646(F)
  - ground state electronic configurations, 880, 881
  - halides, 230
  - occurrence, extraction and uses, 593, 645–6
  - physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 650(T), 878, 880, 881, 884
  - see also* hafnium; titanium; zirconium
- group 5, 602–5, 654–8
- abundance, 594(F), 646(F)
  - carbonyls, physical properties, 709(T)
  - ground state electronic configurations, 880, 881
  - occurrence, extraction and uses, 593–4, 646
  - physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 650(T), 878, 880, 881, 884
  - see also* niobium; tantalum; vanadium
- group 6, 606–11, 658–66
- abundance, 594(F), 646(F)
  - carbonyls, physical properties, 709(T)
  - ground state electronic configurations, 880, 881
  - occurrence, extraction and uses, 594, 646
  - physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 650(T), 878, 880, 881, 884
  - see also* chromium; molybdenum; tungsten
- group 7, 611–17, 666–71
- abundance, 594(F), 646(F)
  - carbonyls, physical properties, 709(T)
  - ground state electronic configurations, 880, 881
  - occurrence, extraction and uses, 594–5, 646–7
  - physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 650(T), 878, 880, 881, 884
  - see also* manganese; rhenium; technetium
- group 8, 617–24, 671–9
- abundance, 594(F), 646(F)
  - carbonyls, physical properties, 709(T)
  - ground state electronic configurations, 880, 881
  - Mössbauer spectroscopy, 73–5, 74(T)
  - occurrence, extraction and uses, 138(B), 595, 647
  - physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 650(T), 878, 880, 881, 884
  - see also* iron; osmium; ruthenium
- group 9, 624–30, 679–84
- abundance, 594(F), 646(F)
  - carbonyls, physical properties, 709(T)
  - ground state electronic configurations, 880, 881
  - occurrence, extraction and uses, 595–6, 647
  - physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 650(T), 878, 880, 881, 884
  - see also* cobalt; iridium; rhodium
- group 10, 630–4, 684–9
- abundance, 594(F), 646(F)
  - carbonyls, physical properties, 709(T)
  - ground state electronic configurations, 880, 881
  - occurrence, extraction and uses, 596, 647
  - physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 650(T), 878, 880, 881, 884
  - see also* nickel; palladium; platinum
- group 11, 634–9, 689–94
- abundance, 594(F), 646(F)
  - carbides, 357
  - ground state electronic configurations, 880, 881
  - halides, 199–202
  - solubilities in water, 176(T)
  - solubility in water, 176, 176(T)
  - Mössbauer spectroscopy, 74(T), 75
  - occurrence, extraction and uses, 596, 647–8
  - physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 650(T), 690, 690(T), 878, 880, 881, 884
  - see also* copper; gold; silver
- group 12, 639–41, 694–7
- abundance, 594(F), 646(F)
  - ground state electronic configurations, 880, 881
  - lattice structures, 135, 135(T)
  - occurrence, extraction and uses, 596–7, 648
  - physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 650(T), 695(T), 878, 880, 881, 884
  - see also* cadmium; mercury; zinc
- group 13, 293–337
- abundance, 294(F)
  - appearance of elements, 299–300
  - aqua ions, 322
  - compared with group 2, 289(T)
  - coordination complexes, 323–4
  - electron-deficient borane clusters, 293, 326–34
  - elements, 299–301
  - extraction of elements, 293–4
  - ground state electronic configurations, 18(T), 296–7, 297(T), 880, 881
  - halides, 307–13
  - hydrides, 301–7
  - hydroxides, 314–15, 316
  - lattice structures, 134, 135(T)
  - metal borides, 324–5
  - nitrides, 317–19
  - nitrogen-containing compounds, 317–22
  - NMR active nuclei, 68(T), 297(T), 299
  - occurrence, 293
  - organometallic compounds, 253, 259, 511–18
  - oxidation states, 297
  - oxides, 173–4, 313, 316, 317
  - oxoacid salts, 322
  - oxoacids/oxoanions, 314–15, 316–17
  - physical properties, 135(T), 296–9, 297(T), 877, 879, 880, 881, 884
  - reactivity of elements, 301
  - redox reactions in aqueous solution, 322–3
  - structures of elements, 135(T), 136, 300–1
  - uses of elements and compounds, 295–6
  - see also* aluminium; boron; gallium; indium; thallium
- group 14, 338–84
- abundance, 339(F)
  - allotropes of carbon, 345–53
  - aqueous solution chemistry, 381
  - bonding considerations, 343–4
  - cation formation, 342
  - compounds with metals, 357–61
  - elements, 342–54
  - extraction of elements, 339
  - ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 342, 880, 881
  - halides, 361–5
  - structures, 363, 364–5, 365(WE)
  - halohydrides, 356
  - hydrides, 354–5
  - intercalation compounds, 345–8
  - ionization energies, 342, 342(T)
  - lattice structures, 135(T), 136
  - metallocenes, 525, 526–7
  - Mössbauer spectroscopy, 74(T), 344
  - nitrogen-containing compounds, 379–81
  - NMR active nuclei, 68(T), 342(T), 344
  - occurrence, 338–9
  - organometallic compounds, 344(B), 376–7, 476, 518–27
  - oxidation states, 338
  - oxides, 174, 365–70, 373, 375–6
  - oxoacids and salts, 368, 370–3, 373–5, 381
  - physical properties, 135(T), 342–5, 342(T), 877, 879, 880, 881, 884
  - reactivity of elements, 345, 349–53, 353, 353–4(WE)
  - structures of elements, 136, 149, 150(F), 345, 348–9, 348(F), 353
  - sulfides, 377–9
  - uses, 339–41
  - see also* carbon; germanium; lead; silicon; tin
- group 15, 385–431
- abundance, 387(F)
  - aqueous solution chemistry, 428–9
  - bonding considerations, 390–1
  - compounds with metals, 401–3
  - double bond formation, 527
  - elements, 392–4
  - extraction of, 387
  - ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 24, 880, 881
  - halides, 403–5, 406–11
  - redox chemistry, 411(WE)
  - hydrides, 394–401
  - bond enthalpies, 394(WE)
  - NMR active nuclei, 68(T), 389(T), 391

- group 15 cont.  
 occurrence, 386–7  
 organometallic compounds, 476–7, 527–30  
 oxides, 174, 412–15, 417–19  
 oxoacids, 415–17, 419–24  
 oxohalides of nitrogen, 405–6  
 physical properties, 135(T), 389–92, 389(T), 877, 879, 880, 881, 883, 884  
 radioactive isotopes, 57, 391–2  
 reactivity of elements, 392–4  
 recommended name, 21(T)  
 sulfides, 426–8  
 thermochemical data, 389(T), 390(WE)  
 uses, 387–9  
*see also* antimony; arsenic; bismuth; nitrogen; phosphorus
- group 16, 432–67  
 abundance, 433(F)  
 aqueous solution chemistry, 464–5  
 bonding considerations, 436–7  
 charge transfer complexes, 531  
 compounds with nitrogen, 462–4  
 elements, 437–42  
 extraction of elements, 433  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 880, 881  
 halides, 448–53  
 hydrides, 170, 442–6  
*see also* water  
 isotopes as tracers, 437  
 IUPAC-recommended name, 21(T), 432  
 NMR active nuclei, 68(T), 435(T), 437  
 occurrence, 432–3  
 organometallic compounds, 530–2  
 oxides, 453–7  
 oxoacids and salts, 457–62  
 physical properties, 434–6, 435(T), 877, 879, 880, 881, 883, 884  
 polymeric compounds, 446–8  
 representation of hypervalent compounds, 436  
 uses, 433–4  
*see also* oxygen; polonium; selenium; sulfur; tellurium
- group 17, 468–91  
 abundance, 470(F)  
 aqueous solution chemistry, 488–9  
 bonding considerations, 471–3  
 charge transfer complexes, 475–7  
 clathrates, 477  
 elements, 474–5  
 extraction of elements, 470–1  
 ground state electronic configuration, 880, 881, 882  
 hydrogen halides, 477–8  
 interhalogen compounds, 479–82  
 isotopes as tracers, 473  
 IUPAC-recommended name, 21(T), 468  
 metal halides, 478–9  
 NMR active nuclei, 68(T), 473  
 occurrence, 469–70  
 oxides, 448, 483–4  
 oxoacids and salts, 485–7  
 oxofluorides, 484–5  
 physical properties, 146(F), 472(T), 878, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884  
 polyhalide anions, 483  
 polyhalogen cations, 482  
 reactions with dihydrogen, 242  
 uses, 471  
*see also* astatine; bromine; chlorine; fluorine; iodine
- group 18, 492–502  
 abundance of elements, 493, 493(F)  
 compounds, 157, 496–501  
 crystalline structures, 134  
 extraction of elements, 493  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 880, 881, 882  
 halides, 496–9, 501  
 ionization energies, 158(F)  
 IUPAC-recommended name, 21(T), 492  
 NMR active nuclei, 68(T), 495  
 occurrence, 493  
 oxides (of xenon), 499  
 oxofluorides, 499  
 oxofluoro complexes, 499, 501  
 physical properties, 135(T), 158(F), 494–6, 495(T), 878, 880, 881, 882  
 uses, 493–4  
*see also* argon; helium; krypton; neon; radon; xenon
- group theory, 79  
 groups (in periodic table), 20, 20(F)  
 IUPAC-recommended names, 21(T)
- Grove, William, 240(B)
- Grubbs' catalysts, 730, 789  
 advantages, 789–90  
 example of use, 790
- guanine–cytosine base-pairs (in DNA), 250, 252(F)
- Guignet's green, 608
- gypsum, 276, 278(B), 286, 287(B)  
 uses (in US), 287(B)
- gypsum plasters, 287(B)  
 earliest use, 287(B)
- <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy *see* proton NMR spectroscopy
- Haber–Bosch process, 416, 801(T)
- Haber process, 238, 395–6, 804–5  
 catalysts, 395, 396, 801(T), 804, 805
- haem group, 837, 838(F)
- haem-iron proteins, 837–9
- haematite, 138(B), 296, 595, 619
- haemerythrin, 841–3
- haemocyanins, 839–41
- haemoglobin, 831, 837–9  
 binding of carbon monoxide, 366, 839  
 ribbon representation, 838(F)
- hafnium, 652–3  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
 metal, 652  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 645–6  
 oxidation states, 540(T), 652  
 physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884
- hafnium borohydrides, 547, 548(F)
- hafnium(IV) complexes, 652
- hafnium halides, 652, 653
- hafnium hydrides, 251
- hafnium nitride, 402(B)
- hafnium(IV) oxide, 652
- hafnium(IV) oxo-complexes, 652
- half-cells/reactions, 193–4  
 in potential diagrams, 203–4, 205(WE)  
 sign of standard reduction potentials for, 195  
 standard reduction potentials listed, 196(T), 885–7
- half-life, of radioisotopes, 56, 57, 57(T), 60(B), 61, 64, 65
- half-sandwich complexes, 735, 761
- halides, 478–9  
*f*-block metal, 757, 758  
 group 1, 157, 263–4  
 group 2, 157, 280–3  
 group 3, 598, 651  
 group 4, 230, 598–9, 601, 652, 652–3  
 group 5, 604, 605, 654–5, 656, 656–7  
 group 6, 606, 607, 608, 659, 662, 663, 665  
 group 7, 614–15, 667, 669  
 group 8, 618–19, 622–3, 673, 675, 676  
 group 9, 624, 627, 679, 680  
 group 10, 631, 684, 686  
 group 11, 156–7, 174(T), 176(T), 199–202, 635, 638, 690, 691, 692  
 group 12, 640, 695, 696  
 group 13, 307–13  
 group 14, 361–5  
 group 15, 403–5, 406–11  
 redox chemistry, 411(WE)  
 group 16, 448–53  
 group 18, 496–9, 501  
 lanthanoid, 749  
 MX<sub>*n*</sub>-to-MX<sub>*n*+2</sub> transition, 299(B)
- halite, 148
- halogen-based flame retardants, 469(B), 471, 474(B)
- halogen oxides, 483–4
- halogen oxofluorides, 484–5
- halogens, 21(T), 468  
*see also* group 17
- halohydrides, group 14, 356
- hapticity of ligands, 503(B), 700
- hard cations and ligands, 187–8  
 examples, 188(T), 651, 656, 681, 756, 757
- hard and soft acids and bases (HSAB) principle, 187–8
- 'hard' water, 286
- hassium, 62(T)
- health risks, radioactive isotopes, 60(B)
- heavier *d*-block metals, 536, 645–99
- heavy water *see* deuterium oxide
- Heck reaction, 228, 722(B)
- Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, 6
- Heitler–Pauling bonding model, 26
- helium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 atomic interactions in, 16  
 extraction of, 493  
 ground state electronic configuration, 17, 18(T), 21–2(WE), 31, 495(T), 880  
 liquid, 493–4, 495  
 nuclei, 55  
*see also*  $\alpha$ -particles  
 occurrence, 493  
 physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 158(F), 495(T), 878, 880  
 synthesis by nuclear fusion, 62  
 term symbol for, 573(B)  
 uses, 493–4, 494, 494(B)
- heme, *see* haem
- hemihydrate, 286
- hemimorphite, 596
- hencicosahedron, 334, 334(F)
- herbicides, manufacture of, 733(B)
- Hess's Law of constant heat summation, applications, 155, 156(WE), 169, 298–9(WE), 354(WE), 394(WE), 435(WE)

- heterogeneous catalysis  
 commercial applications, 801(B), 802–7  
 alkene polymerization, 802  
 catalytic converters, 805–6  
 Contact process for SO<sub>3</sub> production, 805  
 Fischer–Tropsch reaction, 803–4  
 Haber process, 804–5  
 zeolites as catalysts, 806–7  
 examples, 801(B)  
 organometallic cluster models, 807–8  
 surfaces and interactions with adsorbates, 799, 801–2
- heterogeneous catalysts, 239, 243–4, 340(B), 341, 396, 647  
 meaning of term, 786
- heteroleptic complex, 233
- heteronuclear diatomic molecules, molecular orbital theory, 41–3
- heteronuclear NMR spectra, 71(F)  
 types, 71
- heteronuclear spin–spin coupling, 67(B), 69–72, 70, 70(F), 71, 71(F)
- heteropoly blues, 661
- heteropolyanions, 602, 660–2
- hexaammine complexes, reduction of, 202
- hexaaqua ions, 171, 180  
 reduction of, 202
- hexadentate ligands, 184(T)
- hexafluorosilicate ion, 363–4
- hexagonal bipyramid, 547(F)
- hexagonal bipyramidal molecules  
*d*-block metal compounds, 547, 547(F), 695  
*f*-block metal compounds and complexes, 758
- hexagonal close-packed (hcp) lattice, 132  
 interstitial holes in, 133–4, 402  
 unit cell, 133(F)
- hexahalides, metal, 478
- closo*-hexahydrohexaborate(2–) ion  
 bonding in, 329(B)  
 factors affecting reactivity, 331  
 halogenation of, 331  
 oxidation of, 331  
 preparation of, 327  
 reactions, 330–1  
 structure, 326(F), 327, 328(WE)  
 synthesis of, 327
- hexamethyltungsten, 545(F), 725
- hex-1-ene, hydrogenation of, 798
- high-spin complexes, 544, 555, 560, 566, 574(WE), 771, 772  
 Co(IV), 624  
 Co(III), 624, 627  
 Co(II), 628, 777, 780  
 Cr(II), 609, 777  
 electronic spectra, 574  
 Fe(IV), 617  
 Fe(III), 620  
 Fe(II), 622, 764  
 magnetic moments, 581(T), 581(WE), 582(T), 583  
 Mn(III), 614  
 Mn(II), 616  
 thermodynamic aspects, 585
- high-temperature superconductors, 324, 352, 389, 633(B), 635, 817–19, 817(T)  
 precursors, 288  
 structures, 152, 352(F), 817–18
- HIPIP (high potential protein), 848
- histamine, 840(B)
- histidine, 831(T)
- Hittorf's phosphorus, 392–3, 392(F), 393
- HMPA (hexamethylphosphoramide), 271  
 lithium complexes with, 271
- holmium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
 physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 745–6(WE), 881
- holmium organometallic compounds, 755
- HOMO (highest occupied molecular orbital), 43, 44(F)  
 in borane clusters, 329(B)
- homogeneous catalysis  
 advantages and disadvantages, 791  
 alkene (olefin) metathesis, 789–90  
 industrial applications, 722(B), 791–7  
 alkene hydrogenation, 722(B), 791–3  
 hydroformylation (Oxo-process), 722(B), 795–6, 797(T)  
 Monsanto acetic acid synthesis, 722(B), 793–4  
 Tennessee–Eastman acetic anhydride process, 722(B), 794, 795(F)
- homogeneous catalysts, 239, 243, 683  
 development of, 797–9  
 examples, 722(B)  
 meaning of term, 786
- homoleptic complex, 233
- homonuclear covalent bond, 27
- homonuclear diatomic molecules  
 bond dissociation energies, 28, 35(T)  
 ground state electronic configurations, 35(F)  
 meaning of term, 27  
 molecular orbital theory, 29–36  
 valence bond theory, 27–9
- homonuclear spin–spin coupling, 66–7(B)
- homopolyanions, 602
- hops extraction, 231(B), 232
- hormite clays, 374(B)
- host–guest compounds, 355(B), 477
- HREELS (high resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy), 800(B)
- HSAB (hard and soft acids and bases)  
 principle, 187–8
- Hund's rules, 21, 574(B)  
 applications, 555, 745  
 limitations, 573(B)
- hybrid orbitals, 100–5  
 for *d*-block metal complexes, 556(T)
- hydrated proton(s), 163, 236
- hydrates, 236
- hydration, 171  
 thermodynamics, 176–7, 589
- hydration energy, 175
- hydration enthalpy, 176–7  
 first row *d*-block metal M<sup>2+</sup> ions, 586, 587(F)
- hydration entropy, 176–7
- hydration isomers, 548  
*d*-block metal compounds, 548
- hydration shell of ion, 170–2
- hydrazine, 397–8  
 bonding in, 391  
 as Brønsted base, 169  
 production of, 392, 397  
 structure, 169, 398, 398(F)  
 uses, 226(B), 397
- hydrazinium salts, 397–8
- hydrazoic acid, 400
- hydride ion, 237
- hydride ligands, 702–3
- hydrides  
 anomalous properties, 246, 247(F)  
 binary, 251–5  
 of *d*-block metals, 598  
 of *d*-block elements, 254  
*d*-block metal, reactions, 723  
 group 2, 279  
 group 11, 638  
 group 12, 640  
 group 13, 301–7  
 group 14, 354–5  
 group 15, 394–401  
 bond enthalpies, 394(WE)  
 group 16, 170, 442–6  
 lanthanoid, 749  
 of *p*-block elements, 253–4, 301–7, 354–5, 394–401  
 trends in physical properties, 246, 247(F)  
 polar and non-polar bonds in, 244  
 of *s*-block elements, 237, 251–3, 254, 263, 279  
 see also binary hydrides; covalently bonded . . . ; interstitial metal . . . ; polymeric . . . ; saline hydrides
- hydrido carbonyl complexes, preparation of, 702, 723
- hydrido complexes, *d*-metal, 254, 668, 707
- hydroamination, catalysts, 752(B)
- hydrocarbons  
 boiling points, 355(F)  
 catalytic reforming of, 801(T), 803  
 compared with other group 14 hydrides, 354  
 production from methanol, 807  
 production of, 803–4
- hydrochloric acid, 163–4
- hydrocyanic acid, 380
- hydroformylation process, 244, 795–6  
 catalysts for, 722(B), 789, 795, 797–8, 797(T)  
 effect of ligand bite angle, 789
- hydrogen, 236–56  
 abundance of isotope(s), 875  
 ground state electronic configuration, 16, 17, 18(T), 42  
 isotopes, 237–8  
 metallic character, 239(B)  
 physical properties, 24(F), 877, 879, 880, 883, 884  
 term symbol for, 573(B)  
 see also dihydrogen; protium
- hydrogen-2 see deuterium
- hydrogen-3 see tritium
- $\alpha$ -hydrogen abstraction, 721–2
- hydrogen atom, 236  
 Bohr radius, 6  
 emission spectra, 4–5  
 ground state, 16  
 solutions of Schrödinger wave equation for, 11(T)
- hydrogen azide, 400  
 reactions, 400  
 structure, 400(F)
- hydrogen bonding, 244–50, 250(WE)  
 in beryllium compounds, 287(F)  
 in biological systems, 250, 252(F), 842–3  
 in carboxylic acids, 244–5, 248(B)  
 in group 1 oxoacid salts, 266, 268(F)  
 in group 15 compounds, 394, 421  
 in [H<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 236, 237(F), 247, 249(F)  
 in hydrogen peroxide, 442  
 in ice, 163, 244

- hydrogen bonding cont.  
intermolecular, 162  
IR spectroscopy, 246–7  
by nitrogen, 391, 394  
in non-aqueous solvents, 216, 218, 221  
in phosphoric acid, 421  
in solid state structures, 247–50, 248(B)
- hydrogen bond(s), 244–6  
meaning of term, 244
- hydrogen bridges *see* bridging hydrogen atoms
- hydrogen bromide  
physical properties, 477(T)  
thermodynamic data, 170(T)
- hydrogen chloride  
aqueous solution, 163–4  
physical properties, 477(T)  
thermodynamic data, 170(T)
- hydrogen cluster (in hydrogenase), 849, 849(F)
- hydrogen cyanide, 380  
bonding in, 105–6, 105(F), 250  
equilibrium constants, 164(B)  
in plants, 379(B)  
reactions, 380
- hydrogen difluoride anion  
bonding in, 123, 124(F)  
structure, 221(B), 247, 249(F)
- hydrogen disulfide, 446
- hydrogen economy, 238
- $\beta$ -hydrogen elimination, 721
- hydrogen fluoride, 477–8  
anomalous properties, 246, 247(F)  
bond dissociation enthalpy, 170(T), 245(T), 477  
bonding in, 42  
dipole moment, 40  
liquid, as solvent, 221–2  
physical properties, 218(F), 221, 477(T)  
production of, 277, 471  
solid state structure, 247, 249(F)  
thermodynamic data, 170(T)
- hydrogen halides, 167, 477–8  
dissociation in aqueous solution, 169–70  
production of, 242, 477
- hydrogen iodide  
physical properties, 477(T)  
thermodynamic data, 170(T)
- hydrogen ion, 236  
*see also* proton
- hydrogen migration, 721
- hydrogen nomenclature for oxoacids, 168(B)
- hydrogen peroxide, 442–5  
bonding in, 391  
physical properties, 443(T), 887  
production of, 442, 442(F)  
reactions, 442, 444–5  
redox reactions, 444(WE)  
structure, 27(F), 443, 443(F)  
uses, 443
- hydrogen selenide, 445  
dissociation in aqueous solution, 170  
physical properties, 247(F), 445(T)
- hydrogen storage vessels, 240(B), 251, 647, 749
- hydrogen sulfide, 445  
dissociation in aqueous solution, 170  
extraction of sulfur from, 433  
occurrence, 445  
physical properties, 247(F), 445(T)  
production of, 445  
structure, 82(F)
- hydrogen telluride, 445  
dissociation in aqueous solution, 170  
physical properties, 247(F), 445(T)
- hydrogen-like species, orbital energies, 13
- hydrogen-transfer agent(s), 350
- hydrogenase enzymes, 624
- hydrogenases, 847, 848–9
- hydrogenating agents, 306
- hydrogenation  
of alkenes, 626, 678, 722(B), 724, 791–3, 798  
of fumaric acid, 799, 800(F)  
of unsaturated fats, 239
- hydrogenation catalysts, 239, 242, 243–4, 596, 626, 647, 678, 752(B), 791–3
- hydrogensulfate(1–) ion, 167
- hydrolysis, in aqueous chemistry, 172
- hydrophobic zeolites, 372
- hydrosilylation, catalysts, 752(B)
- hydrothermal method of synthesis, 375
- hydrothermal oxidation, 232
- hydroxide ion, 163
- hydroxides, 167  
as bases, 167  
group 1, 167, 226  
group 2, 174(T), 285–6  
group 3, 651  
group 8, 174(T), 619, 623  
group 9, 627  
group 10, 631  
group 11, 635  
group 12, 640, 695, 696  
group 13, 314–15, 316  
lanthanoid, 750
- hydroxo-bridged species, 172, 287, 429, 608, 609(F), 842
- hydroxyapatite, 387, 423(B)
- hydroxylamine, 169, 399
- hydroxylamine oxidoreductase, 853
- hygroscopic substances  
*d*-block metal compounds, 605, 612, 622  
group 2 halides, 283  
meaning of term, 283  
*p*-block metal compounds, 364, 399, 464, 484
- hyperconjugation  
in boron hydrides, 304  
negative, 356
- hypervalent molecules, 104, 120
- hypho*-cluster, 326, 328
- hypochlorites, 485  
cyanides treated by, 647(B)  
as oxidizing agents, 469, 485
- hypochlorous acid, 485, 488  
anhydride, 483–4  
as weak acid, 167
- hypofluorous acid, 485
- hypohalites, 485–6
- hypohalous acids, as weak acids, 485, 488
- hypoiodous acid, 489
- hyponitrous acid, 415
- hypophosphoric acid, 420–1, 420(T)
- hypophosphorous acid, nomenclature, 168(B), 420(T)
- I* (interchange) mechanism, 765
- I<sub>a</sub>* (associative interchange) mechanism, 765
- ice  
density, 163  
hydrogen bonding in, 162–3, 163(F), 244  
structure, 162–3, 163(F)
- icosahedral molecules  
borane cluster compounds, 330(F)  
borohydride ions, 87(F)  
boron allotropes, 300(F)
- icosahedral point group, 86
- icosahedron, 330(F)
- I<sub>d</sub>* (dissociative interchange) mechanism, 765
- identity operator (*E*), 82, 83–4(WE)
- ilmenite, 593, 599, 600(B)
- imperfections in surfaces, 801, 801(F)
- improper rotation axis (*S<sub>n</sub>*), 82, 83(F)
- incandescent gas mantles, 756
- indirect band gap semiconductors, 514(B)
- indium  
abundance of isotope(s), 875  
appearance of metal, 300  
extraction of, 294–5, 295  
ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 297(T), 881  
lattice structure, 136  
occurrence, 293, 294  
physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 297(T), 877, 879, 881, 884  
reactivity, 301  
structure of metal, 136  
uses, 296
- indium(I) chloride, 313
- indium cyclopentadienyl complexes, 518
- indium hydride, adducts, 305
- indium nitride, 318
- indium organometallic compounds, 514–18
- indium oxides and hydroxides, 317
- indium–tin oxide, 296, 317(B)
- indium trialkyls, 514–15
- indium triaryls, 515
- indium trihalides, 311
- induced-dipole–induced-dipole interactions, 155
- industrial processes  
BASF acetic acid process, 793, 794(T)  
Bayer process, 293–4  
Contact process for SO<sub>3</sub> production, 455, 459, 805  
Czochralski process, 143(B), 821  
Downs process, 257–8  
Frasch process, 433, 433(F)  
Haber–Bosch process, 416  
Haber process, 238, 395–6  
Mobil–Badger process (for alkylation of aromatics), 807  
Mond process, 596  
Monsanto acetic acid process, 470(B), 721, 722(B), 793–4, 794(T)  
MTG process, 807  
MTO process, 807  
Oxo-process (for hydroformylation of alkenes), 795–6  
Pilkington process, 341  
Raschig process, 397  
Rochow process, 518  
Sasol process, 803  
Shell Higher Olefins Process, 797  
silicon purification, 143(B)  
Solvay process, 266, 267(F), 277, 283  
steel manufacture, 138(B)  
Tennessee–Eastman acetic anhydride process, 470(B), 722(B), 794, 795(F)  
Wacker process, 787–8, 788(F)  
zone melting, 143(B)
- inert gases *see* group 18
- inert pair effect  
stereochemical, 48  
thermodynamic, 279, 297, 298(B), 299(B), 322, 364, 517, 694

- infinite dilution, 165  
infrared *see* IR  
inner orbital complexes, 557(B)  
inner quantum number, 15(B), 572(B)  
inner-sphere mechanism, 777–9  
inner transition elements, 535, 741  
*see also f*-block metals  
insecticides, 296(B), 389, 607  
insulation fibreglass, 314(B)  
insulators  
  band theory for, 142  
  ionic solids as, 131  
intercalation compounds, 263, 345, 347–8  
interchange mechanism, 765  
interhalogen compounds, 479–82  
  bonding in ions, 482  
  physical properties, 480(T)  
  structures, 479, 481–2, 481(T)  
intermediate, meaning of term, 765  
intermediate hydrides, 255  
intermetallic compounds, 140–1  
intermolecular hydrogen bonding, 162  
internal dihedral angle, in group 16  
  compounds, 443(F), 446, 448  
internal energy change, relationship to  
  enthalpy change, 23(B)  
internuclear distance, 27, 144, 237  
interstitial alloys, 139–40  
interstitial atoms, in cage structures, 702,  
  718  
interstitial carbides, 357  
interstitial holes, 133–4, 139  
interstitial metal hydrides, 240(B), 702  
interstitial metal nitrides, 401  
intramolecular bond parameters,  
  determination of, 7(B)  
intramolecular transfers, of alkyl group, 721  
intrinsic defects, 813  
intrinsic semiconductors, 143  
  crystal structures, 152  
inverse spinels, 316(B), 619  
inversion centre  
  absence in chiral molecules, 97  
  *g* and *u* symmetry labels, 30(B), 558(B)  
  in octahedron, 121(F)  
  reflection through, 82  
iodates, 486  
iodic acid, 486  
iodinating agent(s), 480  
iodine  
  abundance of isotope(s), 875  
  in biological systems, 471, 830  
  ground state electronic configuration, 18(T),  
  472(T), 881  
  occurrence, 470  
  physical properties, 472(T), 878, 879, 881,  
  883, 884, 886  
  radioisotopes, 60(B), 470(B)  
  uses, 470(B), 471  
  *see also* diiodine  
iodine-containing charge transfer complexes,  
  475, 476, 477  
iodine heptafluoride, 479, 480(T), 481(T)  
iodine monobromide, 480, 480(T)  
iodine monochloride, 480, 480(T)  
iodine monofluoride, 480  
iodine pentafluoride, 479, 480(T), 481(T)  
  self-ionization of, 481  
iodine trichloride, 481(T)  
  dimer, 479, 481  
iodine trifluoride, 480(T), 481(T)  
ion–dipole interaction, 171  
ion exchange  
  lanthanoids separated by, 747, 748(F)  
  water purified by, 286, 443(B)  
ion-exchange resins, 265  
  aqueous group 1 ions adsorbed on, 268  
ion-pair, isolated, coulombic attraction in,  
  152–3  
ion propulsion system, 494(B)  
ion–solvent interactions, 215  
ionic bonds, 26  
ionic charge, stabilities of complexes affected  
  by, 186  
ionic complexes, 557(B)  
ionic lattices, 146–52  
ionic liquids, 227–30  
  applications, 229–30  
ionic mobilities, non-aqueous solvents, 218,  
  223  
ionic radii, 144–5  
  listed for various elements, 145(B), 177(T),  
  877–8  
  *d*-block metals, 878  
  *f*-block metals, 742(T)  
  *p*-block elements, 177(T), 877–8  
  *s*-block elements, 177(T), 877  
  periodic trends, 145–6, 146(F)  
  ratios, 145(B)  
  in silicates, 370(F)  
  *see also under individual elements, physical  
  properties*  
ionic salts  
  solubilities, 174–8  
  transfer from water to organic solvent,  
  215–16  
ionic size, 144–6  
  stabilities of complexes affected by, 186  
ionic solids  
  electrical conductivity in, 815–17  
  structures, 146–52  
ionization, thermodynamics, 23, 296–7, 588  
ionization energy, 23–4  
  *d*-block metals, 24(F), 537, 690(T), 695(T),  
  880, 881  
  first row  $M^{2+}$  ions, 589(F)  
  and electronegativity, 37  
  first, 23, 24(F)  
  of hydrogen, 6  
  listed for elements, 24(F), 880–2  
  paucity of data for *d*-block metals, 689  
  second, 23  
  successive ionizations, 23  
  third, 23  
  trends, 23, 24(F), 259, 279, 296–7, 342, 537,  
  689, 695  
  units, 6, 23  
  *see also under individual elements, physical  
  properties*  
ionization isomers, 548  
  *d*-block metal compounds, 548  
ions, notation, 162(N)  
*ipso*-carbon atom of phenyl ring, 512  
IR spectrometer, 94  
IR spectroscopy, 90–4  
  bending modes, 91(F), 92, 92(F)  
  bent triatomic molecules, 92  
  *d*-block metal carbonyls, 701, 702(F),  
  702(T), 722  
  degrees of vibrational freedom, 90–1  
  deuterium exchange reactions, 63–4,  
  63(WE)  
  effect of hydrogen bonding, 246–7  
  fundamental absorptions, 92  
  isomers distinguished by, 548, 549, 550(F)  
  linear triatomic molecules, 92  
  selection rule for IR active mode, 91,  
  550(F)  
  stretching modes, 91(F), 92, 92(F)  
   $XY_3$  molecules, 92–3  
   $XY_4$  molecules, 93  
iridium, 679–84  
  abundance of isotope(s), 875  
  ground state electronic configuration, 18(T),  
  650(T), 881  
  metal, 679  
  occurrence, extraction and uses, 647  
  oxidation states, 540(T), 679  
  physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884  
  iridium(III) ammine complexes, 681  
  iridium-based catalysts, 794  
  iridium carbonyl cluster anion, structure,  
  714(F)  
  iridium carbonyls  
  physical properties, 709(T)  
  structures, 713, 713(F), 716(WE)  
  synthesis of, 710  
  iridium(I) complexes, 683–4  
  iridium(II) complexes, 682–3  
  iridium(III) complexes, 681–2  
  iridium(IV) complexes, 680  
  iridium(II), dinitrogen complexes, 677  
  iridium(IV), halo salts, 680  
  iridium(V), halo salts, 679  
  iridium(III), hexaaqua cation, 680  
  iridium(III), hexachloro salts, 681  
  reactions, 681  
  iridium(IV), hexachloro salts, 680  
  reactions, 680  
  iridium hexafluoride, 679  
  iridium(V), hydrido complexes, 679  
  iridium organometallic compounds, 683–4,  
  725  
  iridium-osmium alloy, 647  
  iridium(III), oxalato complexes, 681  
  iridium(IV) oxide, 680  
  iridium pentafluoride, 679  
  iridium tetrafluoride, 680  
  iridium trichloride, reactions, 681(F)  
  iridium trihalides, 680  
iron, 617–24  
  abundance, 594(F)  
  abundance of isotope(s), 875  
  analytical determination of, 601  
  in biological systems, 595, 830, 831(T),  
  832–5, 837–9, 841–3  
  commercial production of, 138(B)  
  corrosion/rusting of, 201(B), 617  
  ground state electronic configuration, 18(T),  
  597(T), 880  
  metal, 595, 617  
  minerals, 138(B), 595  
  occurrence, extraction and uses, 138(B), 595  
  oxidation states, 540(T), 617  
  phase diagram, 136(F)  
  physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 878,  
  880, 884, 885, 886  
  polymorphism, 139  
  recycling of, 138–9(B)  
  standard reduction potentials, 196(T),  
  205(WE), 538(WE), 885  
  transport and storage in mammals, 832–5  
  iron(III), acetylacetonate complexes, 179, 180,  
  180(F), 621  
  iron-based catalysts, 239, 396, 722(B), 801(T),  
  803, 804, 805

- iron carbonyl hydride  
physical properties, 734(T)  
preparation of, 702
- iron carbonyls  
physical properties, 709(T)  
reactions, 710, 711, 719, 722, 723, 728  
structures, 75(F), 712, 712(F), 713  
synthesis of, 710  
uses, 710(B)
- iron(II) complexes, 623–4  
outer-sphere redox reactions, 779, 780, 780(T)  
water exchange reaction, 772
- iron(III) complexes, 620–1  
outer-sphere redox reactions, 779, 780, 780(T)
- iron(IV) complexes, 618
- iron-containing proteins, 837–9, 841–3, 847–51
- iron deficiency (in body), 595, 623(B)
- iron dihalides, 622
- iron garnets, 619
- iron(III), hexaammine ion, 621
- iron(III), hexaaqua ion, 172, 619, 620  
reduction of, 202
- iron(III), hexacyano ion, 620
- iron(II), hydrido complex anion, 254, 254(F)
- iron(II) hydroxide, 174(T), 623
- iron(III) hydroxide, 174(T), 619
- iron-57 (Mössbauer) spectroscopy, 73–5, 623, 851
- iron–molybdenum protein (in nitrogenase), 850–1, 850(F)
- iron(III) nitrate, 620
- iron(III), nitrosyl complex, 412, 771(B)
- iron organometallic compounds, 725, 736, 737  
*see also* ferrocene; iron carbonyls
- iron(III), oxalate ion, as chiral species, 97(WE)
- iron(II) oxide, 623, 814, 817  
standard Gibbs energy of formation, 210(F)
- iron(III) oxide, 595, 619, 833
- iron pentacarbonyl, 49
- iron(III) perchlorate, 620
- iron(III), porphyrinato complexes, 621
- iron powder, manufacture of, 710(B)
- iron pyrites, 432–3, 595, 623
- iron silicide, 358
- iron(II) sulfamate, 181(B)
- iron(II) sulfate, 622
- iron(III) sulfate, 620
- iron(II) sulfide, 174(T), 617, 623
- iron-sulfur proteins, 847–51  
model studies, 851  
*see also* ferredoxins; hydrogenases; nitrogenases; rubredoxins
- iron supplements, 623(B)
- iron trihalides, 618–19
- irrational susceptibility, 579
- Irving–Williams series, 587–8
- isocyanic acid, 380
- isoelectronic molecules, 43
- isoelectronic relationships, boron phosphate, 313(WE)
- isoform II, 836(F)
- isolated ion-pair, coulombic attraction in, 152–3
- Isolated Pentagon Rule (for fullerenes), 348
- isolobal principle, 329(B), 358, 714  
applications, 716
- isomer shift (in Mössbauer spectroscopy), 75
- isomerism  
in *d*-block metal complexes, 547–52  
*see also* stereoisomerism; structural isomerism
- isomerization  
of alkenes, 722(B), 795  
in octahedral complexes, 774, 775(F), 776
- isopolyanions, 602
- isostructural species, 43
- isotactic polymers  
meaning of term, 802(N)  
production of, 734(B), 802
- isotope dilution analysis, 65
- isotope exchange reactions, 63, 770, 777
- isotopes  
abundance, 875–6  
applications, 63–5  
artificially produced, 57–8, 741  
mass number ranges listed, 875–6  
meaning of term, 2, 3  
naturally occurring, 386, 875–6
- isotopic enrichment, 65, 68
- isotopic labelling, 64, 386, 770  
applications, 770
- ITO (indium–tin oxide), 296, 317(B)
- IUPAC definitions, chiral molecules, 95(N)
- IUPAC nomenclature, 20–1, 21(T)  
actinoids/lanthanoids, 17(N), 741(N)  
chiral compounds, 96(B)  
*cis/trans* (*E/Z*) isomers, 49(N)  
didentate ligands, 183(N)  
group 15 trihydrides, 394(T)  
oxoacids, 167, 168(B), 420(T), 458(T)  
semi-metals, 338(N)  
transition elements, 21, 535  
transuranium elements, 62(T)
- j*–*j* coupling, 572(B)
- Jahn–Teller distortions, 545, 561–2, 563(F), 574  
in chromium compounds, 609, 610, 772  
in copper compounds, 574, 636, 637, 772  
in gold compounds, 691  
in manganese compounds, 614, 615
- Jahn–Teller theorem, 562
- jewellery alloys, 139
- Jørgensen, Sophus Mads, 625(B)
- Josephson junctions, 819
- Jupiter, fluid hydrogen in core, 239(B)
- kaolinite, 374(B)
- Kapustinskii equation, 158, 285(WE)
- $\alpha$ -Keggin anions, 660, 661, 661(F)  
reduction of, 661
- Kel-F polymer, 361
- Keper model, 541–2  
definition, 542  
limitations, 542
- kernite, 293, 296, 315
- kinetic isotope effect, 64, 237
- kinetic *trans*-effect, 688(B), 768
- kinetically inert substances, 238, 260, 319, 343, 385, 626, 663, 685, 764, 779
- kinetically labile complexes, 764
- kinetics, radioactive decay, 56–7, 56(WE)
- kinks on metal surfaces, 801, 801(F)
- Kipp's apparatus, 445
- Kirchhoff's equation, 23(B)
- Koopmans' theorem, 116(B)
- Kotani plots, 584
- Kroto, Harry, 1, 348
- krypton  
abundance, 493, 493(F)  
abundance of isotope(s), 875  
extraction of, 493  
ground state electronic configuration, 17, 18(T), 21–2(WE), 495(T), 881  
physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 158(F), 495(T), 878, 881  
uses, 494
- krypton difluoride, 492, 501  
solid state structure, 497(F)
- Kyoto Protocol, 367(B)
- $\lambda$  notation for chiral molecules, 551(B), 551(B)
- l* notation for chiral molecules, 96(B), 551(B)
- laccase, 844–5
- lactoferrin, 833
- lacunary anions, 661–2
- lamellar compounds, 345
- Landé splitting factor, 581
- Langmuir, Irving, 26
- lanthanide, *see* lanthanoid
- lanthanoid contraction, 137, 536, 649, 743
- lanthanoids, 645, 741  
borides, 324  
carbides, 749  
colours of aqua complexes, 745(T)  
complexes, 750–1  
luminescence, 746  
as NMR shift reagents, 751(B)  
electronic spectra, 744–5, 745–6(WE)  
endohedral metallofullerenes, 353  
ground state electronic configurations, 17, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
halides, 749  
hydrides, 749  
hydroxides, 750  
IUPAC nomenclature, 17(N), 741(N)  
magnetic moments, 745, 745(T), 746(WE)  
metals, 748–9  
nitridoborates, 318  
occurrence, 747  
organometallic compounds, 751–5  
oxidation states, 743, 749  
oxides, 750  
physical properties, 742(T), 881  
separation of, 747, 748(F)
- lanthanum  
abundance of isotope(s), 875  
ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 742(T), 881  
occurrence, extraction and uses, 645  
oxidation state, 540(T)  
periodic table classification, 645, 741  
physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 742(T), 745(T), 881, 884, 885
- lanthanum carbide, 357
- lanthanum(III) complexes, 750(F)
- lanthanum hydroxide, 750
- lanthanum–nickel alloy, 749
- lanthanum organometallic compounds, 755
- lapis lazuli, 446(B)
- Laporte-forbidden transitions, 571, 574(WE), 616
- Laporte selection rule, 538, 571
- LAPS (Lewis acid pigment solubilization) technique, 311(B)
- large cations with large anions, 270(B), 711(B)
- lasers, 744(B)

- Latimer diagrams, 204  
 relationship to Frost–Ebsworth diagrams, 205–6  
*see also* potential diagrams
- lattice defects, 158–9, 813–15
- lattice energy, 152  
 applications, 157–8  
 in Born–Haber cycle, 155, 156(F), 156(WE)  
 calculated vs experimental values, 156–7  
 estimated by electrostatic model, 152–5  
 estimates using Kapustinskii equation, 158, 285(WE)  
 for first row *d*-block metal dichlorides, 586, 586(F)  
 group 1 halides, 264(T)  
 trends, 299(B)
- lattice enthalpy change, 155, 177
- lattice structures, 131, 146–52
- 'laughing gas', 412
- lawrencium, 62(T)  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882  
 longest lived isotope, 755(T)  
 mass number range, 876  
 oxidation state(s), 743(T)  
 physical properties, 882  
 synthesis of, 61
- laws and principles  
 Beer–Lambert Law, 571, 576  
 Curie Law, 583  
 Graham's law of effusion, 61  
 Hess's Law of constant heat summation, 155, 156(WE), 298–9(WE)  
 Le Chatelier's principle, 172, 178  
 Pauli exclusion principle, 21  
*see also* rules
- laxative/purgative, 277, 460
- layer structures, 151  
*d*-block metal complexes and compounds, 614, 615, 632, 633(F), 653, 659, 660(B), 663, 680  
 metal halides, 478  
*p*-block compounds, 263(F), 314, 317, 318(F), 345, 348(F), 371–2, 378, 816
- lazurite, 446(B)
- LCAOs (linear combinations of atomic orbitals), 29
- Le Chatelier's principle, 172, 178
- lead  
 abundance, 339(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 bond enthalpy terms, 343(T)  
 extraction of, 210, 339  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 342(T), 881  
 minerals/ores, 210, 339  
 physical properties, 135(T), 342(T), 877, 879, 881, 884, 886  
 reactivity, 353  
 recycling of, 339(B)  
 structure, 135(T), 136  
 toxicity, 344(B)  
 uses, 341
- lead-206, 56(F), 57(T)  
 lead-210, 56(F), 57(T)  
 lead-214, 56(F), 57(T)  
 lead(II) acetate, 381  
 lead(IV) acetate, 381  
 lead–acid storage battery, 339(B), 341, 381  
 lead(II), aqua ion, 381  
 lead(II) azide, 400  
 lead cyclopentadienyl complexes, 525, 525(F)
- lead dihalides, 365  
 lead-free solders, 296, 344(B), 389  
 lead halides, 365  
 lead hydride, 355  
 lead(II) iodide, solubility in water, 174(T), 175(WE)  
 lead organometallic compounds, 524–6  
 lead oxides, 341, 375–6, 381  
 lead(II) sulfate, 341, 381  
 lead(IV) sulfate, 381  
 lead sulfide, 378  
 solubility in water, 174(T), 378–9(WE)  
 lead tetraalkyls and tetraaryls, 259, 344(B), 474(B), 518, 524  
 lead tetrachloride, 365  
 lead Zintl ions, 358  
 leaded motor fuels, 342(F), 344(B), 474(B), 518, 524
- leaving group  
 effect on reaction rates, 773, 779  
 in electron-transfer processes, 779  
 in substitution reactions, 764
- Leclanché cell, 595, 595(F)
- LEDs (light-emitting diodes), 296, 514(B), 820(T)  
 dependence of colour on composition, 823(T)
- LEED (low-energy electron diffraction), 7(B), 800(B)
- lepidocrocite, 595, 619, 619(B)
- leucine, 831(T)
- levelling effects of non-aqueous solvents, 217, 219
- Lewis, G.N., 26
- Lewis acid pigment solubilization, 311(B)
- Lewis acid(s), 171  
 beryllium dichloride, 281–2(WE)  
 beryllium hydroxide, 285  
 boron compounds, 307, 313, 314  
 as catalysts, 313, 652  
*d*-block metal halides, 599, 652  
 group 13 compounds, 313, 314  
 group 13 halides, 307  
 group 13 organometallics, 513  
 group 14 halides, 364  
 group 14 organometallic compounds, 523, 525  
 group 15 halides, 224, 407, 411  
 as metal centres in complexes, 188(T)  
 reactions in ionic liquids, 229  
 Zn(II)-containing enzymes, 854–8
- Lewis base(s), 171  
 donation of electrons to Lewis acid, 179  
 ligands as, 179, 180  
 as ligands in complexes, 188(T)  
 phosphine as, 397  
 reaction of group 13 hydrides, 303  
 water as, 171–2
- Lewis structures, 26–7
- LFER (linear free energy relationship), 773
- LFSE (ligand field stabilization energy)  
 changes on oxidation of chromium and vanadium, 589  
 Co(III) vs Co(II) complexes, 626  
 octahedral compared with tetrahedral systems, 586(F), 587  
 and spinel structures, 587  
 thermochemical and spectroscopic values, 586  
 trends, 585–6
- LGO (ligand group orbital) approach to bonding, 107–12  
 for bent triatomic molecules, 109–12  
 for *d*-block metal octahedral complexes, 567(B)  
 for linear triatomic molecules, 107–9
- Libby, W.F., 64
- ligand field stabilization energies, trends, 585–6
- ligand field theory, 570, 586
- ligand group orbital  
 meaning of term, 107  
*see also* LGO approach
- ligand substitution  
 in *d*-block metal carbonyls, 719, 722  
 in *d*-block metal complexes, 764–6  
 in octahedral complexes, 769–77  
 effect of entering ligand, 773  
 effect of leaving ligand, 773  
 stereochemistry, 774  
 in square planar complexes, 766–9
- ligands  
 abbreviations, 184(T)  
 denticity, 183, 184(T)  
 hapticity, 503(B), 700  
 meaning of term, 179  
 nucleophilicity, 769  
 structures, 184(T)
- light  
 speed of, 4, 53, 873  
*see also* UV radiation; visible light
- light-induced reactions, 242
- lime *see* calcium oxide
- limestone, 276, 278(B)
- Lindqvist structure, 660, 661(F)
- linear free energy relationship, 773
- linear molecules, *d*-block metal compounds, 45(F), 46(T)  
*d*-block metal compounds, 543, 628, 638, 694, 696  
 [I<sub>3</sub>]<sup>−</sup> ion, 483  
 interhalogen ions, 481(T)  
 Kepert model, 542  
 molecular orbital approach to bonding, 107–9  
 orbital hybridization for, 101–2, 104, 105–6, 556(T)  
 orbital interactions in, 119, 120(F)  
 point groups, 85–6  
 symmetry properties, 86(F)  
 vibrational modes, 92  
 xenon difluoride, 46(WE), 92(WE), 124, 125(F), 496(T)
- linear molecules, *d*-block metal compounds, 712
- linkage isomerism, in *d*-block metal complexes, 682
- linkage isomers, 549  
*d*-block metal complexes, 549
- Lipscomb, W.N., 124, 124(N), 327(N)
- liquid air, fractional distillation of, 392, 493
- liquid ammonia, 217, 218–21  
 physical properties, 218, 218(F), 218(T)  
 reactions in, 218–19, 261  
 redox reactions in, 221  
 self-ionization of, 217, 218  
 solutions  
 of *d*-block metal compounds/complexes, 621, 685, 693, 694  
 of lanthanoids, 749  
 of *s*-block metals, 219–20, 279, 722
- liquid dihydrogen, storage of, 240(B)
- liquid dinitrogen tetroxide, 217, 225–7  
 acid–base behaviour in, 226  
 reactions in, 226–7

- liquid gases, boiling points, 242(T), 387, 389(T), 495(T), 817
- liquid helium, 493–4, 495, 495(T), 817
- liquid hydrogen, boiling point, 242(T), 817
- liquid hydrogen fluoride, 221–2  
acid–base behaviour in, 221–2  
electrolysis in, 222  
liquid range, 218(F)  
physical properties, 221  
self-ionization of, 221
- liquid nitrogen, 387–8, 389(T), 817
- liquid ranges, solvents, 218(F)
- liquid sulfur dioxide, 217–18  
as solvent, 441, 454
- lithal, 253
- litharge, 376
- lithium  
abundance of isotope(s), 876  
amido complexes, 271–2, 272(F)  
appearance of metal, 261  
compared with magnesium, 260, 288, 289–90  
extraction of metal, 258  
flame colour, 261  
ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 31, 260(T), 880  
in liquid ammonia, 219, 221(T)  
NMR active nuclei, 68(T), 260(T), 505  
nuclear binding energy, 53–4(WE)  
occurrence, 257  
physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 259, 260(T), 877, 879, 880, 883, 884, 885  
production of, 229, 258  
reactions, 262  
term symbol for, 573(B)  
*see also* dilithium
- lithium alkyls, 505–7  
structure, 505(F), 506(F)
- lithium aluminium hydride, 253, 259, 281(B), 306
- lithium amide, 261
- lithium carbonate, 259, 265, 266, 290
- lithium cobaltate (mixed oxide), uses, 262(B), 625
- lithium complexes, 268, 271–2
- lithium-containing crown ether complexes, 268
- lithium fluoride, 264, 290
- lithium halides, 145(B), 264, 264(T)
- lithium hydride, 251, 252(T)
- lithium hydroxide, 167, 290
- lithium-ion battery, 262(B), 625, 816
- lithium–iron sulfide battery, 262(B)
- lithium niobate (mixed oxide), 655, 816  
thin films, 825  
uses, 824(T)
- lithium nitrate, 290
- lithium nitride, 259, 263, 263(F), 290, 816  
electrical conductivity, 816(F)  
solid state structure, 263(F)
- lithium oxide, 264, 290
- lithium ozone, 265
- lithium perchlorate, 290
- lithium peroxide, 264, 265, 290
- lithium tetrahydroaluminate(1–), 253, 259, 281(B), 306
- lithium tetrahydroborate(1–), 303, 303(F)
- lobes, atomic orbital, 13, 14(F)
- localized bonds, 100, 116  
two-centre two-electron bond, 28
- localized  $\sigma$ -bonds, 100, 102
- London dispersion forces *see* dispersion forces
- lone pair(s) of electrons, 26  
effect on bonding, 391, 437  
effect on dipole moments, 40  
in hybrid orbitals, 103  
stereochemical effects, 46, 48, 449, 452, 526  
stereochemically inactive, 46
- low-spin complexes, 544–5, 555, 560, 566, 764  
Co(II), 629  
Co(III), 625, 626, 769, 777  
Co(IV), 624  
Cr(II), 581(WE)  
Cu(IV), 634  
Fe(II) and Fe(III), 620, 623  
Ir(III), 681, 769  
Mn(II), 617  
Mn(III), 615  
Ni(III) and Ni(IV), 630, 631  
octahedral complexes, 560–1, 561(T)  
Pd(IV), 684  
Pt(IV), 684  
Rh(III) and Rh(VI), 679, 680, 681, 769  
Ru(II), Ru(III) and Ru(IV), 674, 676, 678
- low-temperature baths, 367, 368(T), 388(T)
- LS* coupling, 572(B)
- lubricants, 314, 317, 340, 345, 660(B), 663
- luminescence, lanthanoid complexes, 746
- LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital), 43, 44(F)  
in borane clusters, 329(B)  
in boron hydride adducts, 304(WE)
- lunar rock, 593, 623, 645
- lutetium  
abundance of isotope(s), 876  
ground state electronic configuration, 17, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 881
- lutetium(III) complexes, 750
- lutetium organometallic compounds, 751, 753, 755
- Lyman series, 4, 4(F), 5(F)
- lysine, 831(T)
- lysozyme, 459(B)
- $\mu$  prefix (for bridging atoms), meaning of notation, 172(N)
- macrocyclic complexes, 186
- macrocyclic effect, 186
- macrocyclic ligands, 220, 268–71, 288  
in *d*-block metal complexes, 629–30, 639  
in *d*-block metal complexes, 546, 546(F)  
and Kepert model, 542  
*see also* crown ethers; cryptands; porphyrins
- Madelung, Erwin, 153
- Madelung constants, 153, 154, 158  
listed for various lattice types, 154(T)  
for spinels, 587
- magic acid, 224
- MAGLEV (magnetic-levitation) trains, 819
- magnesia, 284(B)  
milk of, 277
- magnesite, 276
- magnesium  
abundance of isotope(s), 876  
compared with lithium, 260, 288, 289–90  
extraction of, 276  
ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 278(T), 880  
physical properties, 135(T), 278(T), 877, 879, 880, 884, 885  
reactivity, 279  
recycling of, 276(B)  
uses, 277
- magnesium–aluminium alloys, 276(B), 277, 277(F)
- magnesium(II), aqua species, 288
- magnesium boride, 324  
structure, 819, 819(F)  
superconducting properties, 819
- magnesium bromide, 283
- magnesium carbide, 279, 357
- magnesium carbonate, 290  
solubility in water, 174(T), 286  
thermal stability, 283, 286, 290
- magnesium fluoride, 282, 290
- magnesium halides, 282–3
- magnesium hydroxide, 285–6, 290  
solubility in water, 174(T)
- magnesium nitrate, 290
- magnesium nitride, 290
- magnesium organometallic compounds, 509–10
- magnesium oxide, 157, 192, 284, 284(F), 290  
melting point, 284(F)  
uses, 284(B)
- magnesium perchlorate, 281(B), 290
- magnesium peroxide, 285, 290
- magnesium silicide, 358
- magnesium sulfate, 277, 281(B), 286, 460
- magnetic moments, 579  
of actinoids, 746  
of lanthanoids, 745, 745(T), 746(WE)  
spin and orbital contributions to, 581–3  
*see also* effective magnetic moment
- magnetic quantum number, 9
- magnetic recording tapes, 608, 619
- magnetic resonance imaging, 74(B), 493
- magnetic spin quantum number, 15
- magnetic susceptibility, 579, 580(B)  
experimental determination of, 579–80, 628  
units, 580(B)
- magnetically dilute systems, 584
- magnetite, 138(B), 296, 316(B), 595, 619
- magnets, 747(B)
- Magnus's green salt, 687–8
- main group elements, 21
- malachite, 596
- malolactic fermentation, 459(B)
- manganate(VII) ion, 204, 612  
colour, 538, 539  
electronic transitions in, 571(T)
- manganate(IV) salts, 614
- manganate(V) salts, 613
- manganate(VI) salts, 613
- manganate(VII) salts, 612  
reactions, 612–13
- manganese, 611–17  
abundance, 594(F)  
abundance of isotope(s), 876  
analytical determination of, 612  
in biological systems, 614, 830, 831(T)  
Frost–Ebsworth diagram, in aqueous solution, 205–6, 206–7, 206(F)  
ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 597(T), 880  
metal, 611–12  
minerals, 151, 594–5  
occurrence, extraction and uses, 594–5  
oxidation states, 540(T), 611  
physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 878, 880, 884, 885, 887  
polymorphism, 136  
potential diagram, 204(F)

- manganese cont.  
 standard reduction potentials, 196(T), 204, 885  
 structure, 135–6
- manganese(III), acetylacetonate complexes, 615
- manganese(II) carbonate, 616
- manganese carbonyl  
 physical properties, 709(T)  
 reactions, 711, 723  
 structure, 712, 712(F)
- manganese carbonyl derivatives, reactions, 720
- manganese carbonyl hydride  
 physical properties, 734(T)  
 preparation of, 723
- manganese(II) complexes, 616–17  
 water exchange reaction, 772
- manganese(III) complexes, 615
- manganese(IV) complexes, 614
- manganese dihalides, 616
- manganese(II), hexaaqua ion  
 colour, 538  
 electronic transitions in, 571(T), 574(WE)
- manganese(III), hexaaqua ion, 615
- manganese(III), hexacyano ion, 615
- manganese(II) hydroxide, solubility of, 201
- manganese(III) hydroxide, solubility of, 201
- manganese(II) ions, oxidation of, 201–2
- manganese nitride, 233
- manganese organometallic compounds, 725  
*see also* manganese carbonyl; manganocene
- manganese(II) oxide, 616, 816
- manganese(III) oxide, 615
- manganese(IV) oxide, 614
- manganese(VII) oxide, 612
- manganese(V) oxochloride, 613
- manganese(VI) oxochloride, 613
- manganese(VII) oxohalides, 612
- manganese(II) sulfate, 616
- manganese tetrafluoride, 613
- manganese trifluoride, 614–15
- manganocene, 731
- many-electron atoms, 16–17
- marble, 276
- Marcus, Rudolph A., 780(N)
- Marcus–Hush theory, 780–1  
 applications, 781, 781–2(WE), 844
- Marsh test, 397(B)
- martensitic stainless steels, 140(B)
- mass defect, 53
- mass number, 2  
 conservation in nuclear reactions, 57, 59
- mass spectrometry, isotopes, 3
- matches, component compounds, 389, 427, 486
- matrix isolation, carbonyls prepared by, 710
- medical applications  
 drugs, 623, 623(B), 647, 648, 689(B), 791  
 imaging, 74(B), 493, 593, 647, 671(B)  
 iron supplements, 623(B)  
 radioisotopes, 61(B), 470(B), 647, 671(B)
- Meissner effect, 817
- meitnerium, 62(T)
- melting points, 137  
*d*-block metals, 135(T), 597(T), 646, 650(T)  
 group 2 metal oxides, 284(F)  
 group 18 elements, 135(T)  
 metallic elements, 135(T)  
*p*-block hydrides, 246, 247(F), 394(T)  
 zinc halides, 640(F)
- see also under individual elements, physical properties*
- membrane (electrolysis) cell, 266(B)
- Mendeléev, Dmitri, 17
- mendelevium, 62(T)  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882  
 longest lived isotope, 755(T)  
 mass number range, 876  
 oxidation state(s), 743(T)  
 physical properties, 882
- mer*-isomers, 49, 549
- mercury, 694–5, 695–7  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 extraction of, 648  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
 lattice structures, 135  
 melting point, 134, 135(T)  
 metal, 694–5  
 NMR active nuclei, 68(T), 835  
 occurrence, 648  
 oxidation states, 540(T), 694–5  
 physical properties, 24(F), 648(B), 695(T), 881, 884  
 potential diagram, 696  
 reactivity, 694  
 toxicity, 648(B)  
 uses, 648(B)
- mercury(I), disproportionation of, 696–7
- mercury(I) chloride, 696, 697
- mercury(II) complexes, 696
- mercury(I) compounds, 696–7
- mercury-containing metallothioneins, 835
- mercury dihalides, 695–6  
 solubility in water, 696(F)
- mercury (electrolysis) cell, 266(B)
- mercury(I) halides, 696, 697
- mercury(I) nitrate, 697
- mercury(II) nitrate, 648(B)
- mercury(II) oxide, 696
- mercury polycations, 695
- mesityl substituents, 343, 344
- meta-antimonites, 424
- meta-arsenites, 422
- metaboric acid, 314, 314(F)
- metal borides, 324  
 structures, 325(T)
- metal carbonyls *see d*-block metal carbonyls
- metal films, deposition of, 823–4
- metal halides  
 energetics, 478–9(WE)  
 structures, 478
- metal–metal multiple bonds  
 bonding, 610, 611(F)  
 chromium, 610–11  
 molybdenum, 664, 665–6  
 osmium, 676  
 rhenium, 670  
 ruthenium, 679  
 tungsten, 664, 665
- metallacyclopropane ring, 704
- metallic bonding, 131
- metallic elements, solid state structures, 131–6
- metallic hydrides, 251, 749
- metallic radii, 136–7  
 and coordination number, 137  
 listed for various elements, 135(T), 877–8  
*d*-block metals, 135(T), 597(T), 878  
*f*-block metals, 742(T)
- p*-block elements, 135(T), 877–8  
*s*-block elements, 135(T), 877  
 trends for *s*- and *d*-block metals, 536(F)  
*see also under individual elements, physical properties*
- metalloenes, 507, 731–2, 755, 761  
 group 2, 510, 526  
 group 14, 525  
 coparallel and tilted C<sub>5</sub> rings in, 526–7  
*see also* chromocene; cobaltocene; ferrocene; manganocene; nickelocene; thorocene; uranocene; vanadocene; zirconocene
- metalloenzymes, 595, 596, 614, 831, 831(T), 843, 844, 854–9
- metallofullerenes, endohedral, 353
- metalloproteins, 831–2, 847–51  
 electron transfer in, 782
- metallothioneins, 835–7, 836(F)
- metals  
 bonding in, 141  
 resistivity, 141, 141(F)  
 ‘metaphosphoric acid’, 422  
 metastable state, 345  
 metastable technetium isotope, 61(B)
- metathesis reactions, 254  
*see also* alkene (olefin) metathesis
- metavanadates, 603–4, 603(F)
- meteorites, 593
- methaemerythrin, 843
- methanation process, 801(T)
- methane  
 anomalous properties, 247(F)  
 bonding in, 103, 103(F), 115–16  
 compared with silane, 343, 354  
 as greenhouse gas, 367(B)  
 release from sea deposits, 355(B)  
 sources, 367(B)  
 vibrational modes, 94(F)
- methane hydrates, 355(B)
- methanofullerenes, 352
- methanoic acid *see* formic acid
- methanol  
 conversion to alkenes or gasoline, 807  
 dielectric constant, 215(T)  
 production of, 239, 807  
 transfer of ions to (from water), 216
- methionine, 831(T)
- methyl viologen, as quenching agent, 678
- methylaluminoxane catalysts, 734(B)
- 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium cation, 230
- methylhydrazine, 226(B)
- methylthium, species present in solution, 505(F), 505(T)
- S*-metolachlor (herbicide), 733(B)
- Meyer, Lothar, 17
- mica(s), 151, 293, 371
- microporous materials, 340(B)
- microstates, 573(B), 576–7  
 table(s), 573(B), 577(T)
- milk of magnesia, 277
- Miller indices, 801(N)
- Mingos cluster valence electron count, 716  
*see also* total valence electron counting schemes
- minus (–) notation for chiral molecules, 96(B), 551(B)
- mirror images, non-superposability in enantiomers, 95, 95(F)
- mirror plane, 80–1
- mispickel, 387
- mitochondria, 845

- mitochondrial electron-transfer chain, 845–7, 853
- mixed metal oxides, 152, 316–17, 316(B)  
antimonates, 424  
cobalt compounds, 624–5  
electrical conductivity, 816, 816(F)  
Hf and Zr compounds, 655–6  
iron compounds, 617, 618, 619  
nickel compounds, 631  
titanium compounds, 599  
uranium compounds, 757
- mixed-valence complexes/compounds  
cobalt(III/II) oxide, 624  
gold compounds, 691  
palladium complexes, 684, 685  
platinum complexes, 685–6  
ruthenium complexes, 676, 678–9  
uranium oxide, 757
- mixing of orbitals, 33–4, 571
- Mobil–Badger process (for alkylation of aromatics), 807
- MOCVD (metal–organic chemical vapour deposition) technique, 514, 821, 824
- models and theories  
band theory, 141–2  
Bohr model of atom, 5–6, 298(B)  
crystal field model, 557  
Dewar–Chatt–Duncanson model, 701, 704  
electrostatic model for ionic lattices, 152–5, 156  
Heitler–Pauling bonding model, 26  
Hund–Mulliken bonding model, 26  
Jahn–Teller theorem, 562  
Kepert model, 541–2  
Koopmans' theorem, 116(B)  
molecular orbital (MO) theory, 26, 29–36  
quantum theory, 3–6  
Rutherford–Bohr model of atom, 4  
valence bond (VB) theory, 26, 100–7  
valence-shell electron-pair repulsion (VSEPR) theory, 43, 45–6, 46–7(WE)
- moderators (in nuclear reactors), 60, 237
- modulus, (mathematical) meaning of term, 153(N)
- molality, aqueous solutions, 165, 166
- molar extinction coefficient, 571  
typical values for various electronic transitions, 571(T)
- molar magnetic susceptibility, 579  
experimental determination of, 579–60, 579–80  
units, 580(B)
- molarity  
aqueous solutions, 165, 166  
water, 162(WE)
- mole, meaning of term, 6
- molecular (covalent) hydrides, 253–4
- molecular dipole moments, 40, 40–1(WE)
- molecular orbital diagrams  
cyclopentadienyl complexes, 508(B)  
for *d*-block metal complexes  
 $\pi$ -bonding, 566–7, 568(F)  
 $\sigma$ -bonding only, 565–6, 566(F)  
for diatomic molecules, 31(F), 32(F), 34(F), 42(F), 44(F), 142(F)  
group 16 halide ions, 453(F)  
partial diagrams, 119, 120(F), 121(F), 124(F), 125(F), 127(F), 566(F), 568(F)  
for polyatomic molecules, 113(F), 114(F), 120(F), 121(F), 125(F), 127(F), 329(B), 453(F)  
polyatomic species, 107  
for triatomic molecules, 108(F), 111(F)
- molecular orbital (MO) theory, 26  
applications, 29–33, 261(WE), 329(B)  
diatomic molecules  
heteronuclear molecules, 41–3  
homonuclear molecules, 29–33, 261(WE)  
rules for, 29
- molecular orbital theory  
for boron hydrides, 124–7, 328, 329(B)  
compared with valence bond theory, 116–17  
for *d*-block metal octahedral complexes, 564–70  
diatomic molecules, 35(WE)  
ligand group orbital approach, 107–12, 117–19  
objective use of, 119–27  
polyatomic molecules, 107–19
- molecular orbitals, 29  
highest occupied (HOMO), 43, 44(F), 329(B)  
lowest unoccupied (LUMO), 43, 44(F), 304(WE), 329(B)  
mixing of, 33–4, 571  
parity of, 30(B), 558(B)  
 $\pi/\pi^*$  orbitals, 32  
 $\sigma/\sigma^*$  orbitals, 30
- molecular shape  
geometrical isomerism, 48  
VSEPR theory, 43, 45–8
- molecular symmetry, 79–99
- molecular wires, 729(B)
- molluscs, oxygen transport proteins, 839–41
- molten salts, 227  
applications, 227, 258  
reactions in, 229–30  
as solvents, 227
- molybdates(VI), 660
- molybdenite, 433, 646, 660(B)
- molybdenum, 658–66  
abundance of isotope(s), 876  
in biological systems, 646, 830, 831(T)  
ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
metal, 658–9  
occurrence, extraction and uses, 646  
oxidation states, 540(T), 658–9  
physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884
- molybdenum carbonyl  
physical properties, 709(T)  
reactions, 719, 723  
structure, 712  
synthesis of, 710
- molybdenum carbonyls, reactions, 735
- molybdenum(III) complexes, 664
- molybdenum(IV) complexes, 663
- molybdenum(V) complexes, 662–3
- molybdenum(VI) complexes, 662
- molybdenum dihalides, 665
- molybdenum(IV), halo complexes, 663
- molybdenum hexafluoride, 659  
bonding in, 104
- molybdenum–iron protein (in nitrogenase), 850–1, 850(F)
- molybdenum–molybdenum multiple bonds, 664, 665–6
- molybdenum organometallic compounds, 705(F), 725, 730, 735–6, 736(F)  
*see also* molybdenum carbonyl
- molybdenum(IV) oxides  
[MoO<sub>3</sub>( $\mu_3$ -O)( $\mu$ -O)<sub>3</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>9</sub>]<sup>4+</sup>, 663(F)  
MoO<sub>2</sub>, 663
- molybdenum(VI) oxide, 660
- molybdenum(VI) oxohalides, 659
- molybdenum pentahalides, 662
- molybdenum peroxo complexes, 444(F), 662
- molybdenum(IV) sulfide, 660(B), 663
- molybdenum tetrahalides, 663
- molybdenum trihalides, 663
- 'molybdic acid', 660
- monazite, 645, 747  
lanthanoids extracted from, 747  
thorium extracted from, 748
- Mond process, 596
- Monel metal, 596, 630
- monobasic acids, 166, 167, 169
- monocapped octahedral molecules  
*d*-block metal compounds/complexes, 545, 546(F), 656  
xenon heptafluoride anion, 498, 498(F)
- monocapped octahedron, 546(F)
- monocapped square-antiprismatic molecules, Zintl ions, 359(F)
- monocapped trigonal bipyramid *see* bicapped tetrahedron
- monocapped trigonal prism, 546(F)
- monocapped trigonal prismatic molecules  
*d*-block metal compounds/complexes, 545–6, 546(F), 652, 653(F), 656, 665  
orbital hybridization for, 556(T)
- monochromatic radiation  
in polarimetry, 96  
in X-ray diffraction, 146(B)
- monoclinic sulfur, 439
- monodentate ligands, 183, 184(T), 305  
complexes with, factors affecting stabilities, 186–8
- monolayer on catalyst surface, 801
- monooxygenases, 722, 843
- monotopic elements, 2
- Monsanto acetic acid process, 793–4  
catalysts used, 470(B), 722(B), 793, 794  
compared with BASF process, 794(T)  
reactions steps, 721, 799
- montmorillonite, 374(B)
- Montreal Protocol, 266(B), 362(B)
- mordants, 316
- Mössbauer spectroscopy, 73–5  
Fe-57 spectroscopy, 73–5, 623, 851  
Sn-119 spectroscopy, 344  
suitable nuclei, 74(T), 344
- motor vehicle airbags, 259, 388, 392
- motor vehicle catalytic converters, 646(B), 647, 802, 805–6
- motor vehicles, fuel cells in, 240–1(B)
- Mount St. Helens eruption, 456(B)
- MOVPE (metal organic vapour phase epitaxy) technique, 514
- MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) scanners, 74(B), 493, 593, 646, 819
- MTG (methanol-to-gasoline) process, 807
- MTO (methanol-to-olefins) process, 807
- Mulliken electronegativity values, 37–8, 38(F)
- multilayer heterojunction bipolar transistor wafer, 823
- multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, 66–73, 301–2(WE)
- multiple bonds  
in polyatomic molecules, valence bond approach, 105–7  
*see also* metal–metal multiple bonds
- multiplicity of NMR spectroscopic signal, 69–70

- myoglobin, 831, 837–9
- n-type semiconductors, 144, 375(B), 378, 823
- N*-donor ligands, 183, 184(T)
- $[N_5]^+$  ion, 400–1
- $[NAD]^+$ , 845
- $[NAD]^+/NADH$  couple, 846(F), 847
- nanosecond flash photolysis, 778(B)
- nanotubes, 353
- dihydrogen absorbed in, 240(B)
- naphthalide salts, as reducing agents, 711
- Naproxen, 792, 793
- NASICON (sodium super-ionic conductors), 816
- electrical conductivity, 816(F)
- National Institute of Standards and Technology, caesium clock, 260(B)
- native gold, 647, 648
- native platinum, 647
- Natta, Giulio, 512(B)
- natural abundance of isotopes, 68(T), 74(T), 875–6
- naturally occurring radioactive nuclides, 55–7
- abundance, 875–6
- half-lives, 57(T)
- nature *see* biological systems
- nearest-neighbour atoms
- in close-packed lattices, 132, 134, 135
- Néel temperature, 584–5
- negative catalyst, 786
- negative hyperconjugation, 356
- neodymium
- abundance of isotope(s), 876
- ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881
- physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 881
- neodymium(III) complexes, 751
- neodymium lasers, 744(B)
- neodymium organometallic compounds, 753, 755
- neon
- abundance, 493(F)
- abundance of isotope(s), 876
- extraction of, 493
- ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 21–2(WE), 495(T), 880
- physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 158(F), 495(T), 878, 880
- term symbols for, 573(B)
- uses, 494
- nephelauxetic effect, 578, 578–9(WE)
- neptunium, 62(T)
- ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882
- longest lived isotope, 755(T)
- mass number range, 876
- metal, 756
- oxidation state(s), 743(T)
- physical properties, 742(T), 882
- potential diagram, 758(F)
- synthesis of, 748
- Nernst equation, 194, 197, 198, 198(WE), 211
- nerve gases, 388(B)
- Nessler's reagent, 696
- neutrino, 55
- neutron activation analysis, 474
- neutron diffraction
- ionic lattices studied by, 146
- and magnetic moments, 585
- metal hydrides studied by, 703
- neutron(s), 1–2
- high-energy, bombardment by, 57
- penetrating power of, 55(F)
- properties, 2(T), 53
- 'slow'/thermal, bombardment by, 57–8
- Nicalon fibres, 827
- nickel, 630–4
- abundance, 594(F)
- abundance of isotope(s), 876
- analytical determination of, 632
- in biological systems, 830, 831(T)
- ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 597(T), 880
- metal, 630
- occurrence, extraction and uses, 596
- oxidation states, 540(T), 630
- physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 878, 880, 884, 885
- recycling of, 596(F)
- nickel(II) acetylacetonate complexes, 631
- nickel arsenide, 402
- structure, 403(F)
- nickel-based catalysts, 239, 596, 630, 797, 801(T)
- nickel cadmium (NiCd) battery, 596, 631, 648
- electrochemical reactions, 596
- nickel carbonyl
- reactions, 711
- structure, 712
- nickel carbonyls
- physical properties, 709(T)
- reactions, 711
- synthesis of, 710
- nickel complexes, 185, 186, 564
- bonding in, 557
- formation of, 182(WE)
- nickel(II) complexes, 632–3
- ligand substitution reactions, 773
- racemization of, 776
- water exchange reaction, 772
- nickel(I) compounds, 634
- nickel(II) compounds, 631–2
- nickel(III) compounds, 631
- nickel(IV) compounds, 630–1
- nickel dihalides, 631
- nickel(II), hexaammine ion, 632
- absorption spectra, 576(F)
- nickel(II), hexaaqua ion, 631
- absorption spectra, 576(F)
- ligand substitution reactions, 773
- stepwise stability constants ( $H_2O$  displaced by  $NH_3$ ), 588(F)
- nickel(II), hydrido complex anion, 254
- nickel(IV), hydrido anion, 254, 254(F)
- nickel(III) hydrous oxide, 631
- nickel(II) hydroxide, 631
- nickel–metal hydride battery, 251(B), 596
- nickel organometallic compounds, 728(F)
- see also* nickel carbonyl; nickelocene
- nickel(II) oxide, 631, 816, 817
- standard Gibbs energy of formation, 210(F)
- as thin film, 820(T)
- nickel(II) oxide, doping with  $Li_2O$ , 814, 814(F)
- nickel(II) pentacyano anion, 632
- nickel silver, 596
- nickel(II) sulfide, 631
- nickel(II) tetracyano anion, 632
- nickel tetrafluoride, 630
- nickel trifluoride, 630–1
- nickelocene, 731
- reactions, 731(F)
- nido-clusters, 326, 326(F), 328, 359, 403(WE), 716(WE)
- night-storage radiators, 284(B)
- nine-coordinate molecules
- d*-block metal compounds, 547, 651
- f*-block metal compounds and complexes, 750, 756, 758
- see also* tricapped trigonal prismatic
- nineteen-electron complexes, 731
- niobates, 655, 820(T), 824(T), 825
- niobite, 646
- niobium, 654–8
- abundance of isotope(s), 876
- ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881
- metal, 654
- occurrence, extraction and uses, 646
- oxidation states, 540(T), 654
- physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884
- niobium(IV) complexes, 656
- niobium(V) complexes, 656
- niobium hydrides, 251
- niobium(IV) oxide, 656
- niobium(V) oxide, 655
- niobium oxohalides, 655
- niobium pentahalides, 654–5
- niobium subhalides, 657–8, 658(WE)
- niobium tetrahalides, 656
- niobium–titanium superconductors, 593, 646, 819
- niobium trihalides, 656–7
- nitrate ion
- bonding in, 106–7(WE), 120, 121(F), 417
- structure, 417, 418(F)
- test for, 412, 771(B)
- nitrate salts, 416–17
- removal from waste water, 417(B)
- nitrate ligands, 226–7
- nitric acid, 167, 416–17
- acid anhydride, 415
- basic behaviour in non-aqueous solvents, 217, 223
- commercial demand, 416(B)
- manufacture of, 416, 801(T)
- nomenclature, 168(B)
- structure, 417, 418(F)
- nitric oxide *see* nitrogen monoxide
- nitric oxide transport protein, 840(B)
- nitrides, 401–2
- boron nitrides, 317–19
- of *d*-block metals, 401, 402(B), 598, 606
- of *p*-block elements, 317–19
- of *s*-block elements, 259, 263, 290
- nitrido bridges, 674–5
- nitridoborates, 318
- nitrite salts, 388, 415
- removal from waste water, 417(B)
- nitrogen
- abundance, 387(F)
- abundance of isotope(s), 876
- bond enthalpy terms, 390(T)
- fixing of, 386–7, 847, 850
- Frost–Ebsworth diagram, in aqueous solution, 207–8, 207(F), 399(WE)
- ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 28, 103, 389(T), 880
- liquid, 387, 388(T)
- occurrence, 386–7
- physical properties, 24(F), 389(T), 877, 879, 880, 883, 884
- reaction(s) with, hydrogen, 243, 387, 395–6
- term symbols for, 573(B)
- uses, 387–8
- see also* dinitrogen

- nitrogen difluoride radical, 405  
 nitrogen dioxide, 414–15  
   equilibrium with dinitrogen tetroxide, 414  
   physical properties, 412(T)  
   structure, 414(F)  
 nitrogen fixation, 850  
 nitrogen fluorides, 404(T), 405  
 nitrogen halides, 403–5  
   dipole moments in, 404(WE)  
 nitrogen monoxide, 412–13  
   in biological systems, 413(B), 840(B)  
   physical properties, 412(T)  
   reversible bonding to  $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ , 412, 771(B)  
   *see also* nitrosyl ligand; nitrosyl radical  
 nitrogen oxides, 412–15  
   *see also*  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions  
 nitrogen oxoacids, 415–17  
 nitrogen oxohalides, 405–6  
 nitrogen–selenium compounds, 464  
 nitrogen–sulfur compounds, 462–4  
 nitrogen tribromide, 404  
 nitrogen trichloride, 404, 404(T)  
 nitrogen trifluoride, 40, 404, 404(T)  
 nitrogen triiodide, 405  
 nitrogenases, 850–1  
   Fe protein in, 850  
   FeMo protein in, 850–1, 850(F)  
 nitrogenous fertilizers, 278(B), 357, 388, 395(B), 396, 416(B), 460  
 nitroglycerine, 388  
 nitronium ion, 217(N)  
 nitrophorin, 840(B)  
 nitroprusside, 623  
 nitrosyl anion, 415  
 nitrosyl complexes, 412, 570, 771(B)  
 nitrosyl halides, 405  
 nitrosyl ion, 217, 413  
 nitrosyl ligands, 623–4  
   binding to Fe(III) in nitrophorin, 840(B)  
   displacement of CO by, 723  
   valence electron count for, 569–70, 570, 708, 723  
 nitrosyl radicals, environmental effects, 805  
 nitrous acid, 167, 415–16  
   acid anhydride, 413  
   nomenclature, 168(B)  
 nitrous oxide *see* dinitrogen monoxide  
 nitril cation, 415  
 nitril halides, 405  
 nitril ion, 217  
 NMR active nuclei  
   *d*-block metals, 68(T), 649, 651, 702, 835  
   group 1, 68(T), 260(T), 261  
   group 13, 68(T), 297(T), 299  
   group 14, 68(T), 342(T), 344  
   group 15, 68(T), 389(T), 391  
   group 16, 68(T), 435(T), 437  
   group 17, 68(T), 473  
   group 18, 68(T), 495  
 NMR spectroscopy, 66–73, 66(B)  
   applications  
     *t*-butyllithium, 505–6(WE)  
     *d*-block metal carbonyls, 701–2  
     electron-transfer processes, 778(B)  
     geometrical isomers, 549, 550(B)  
     metallothioneins, 835  
     *p*-block compounds, 70–2  
     *s*-block compounds, 68, 261, 505–6(WE)  
     chemical shifts, 66(B), 68–9  
     and isotope abundance, 66(B), 68, 68(T)  
     lanthanoid shift reagents, 751(B)  
     multiplicity of signal, 69–70, 505–6(WE)  
     non-binomial multiplets, 71, 506(WE)  
     nuclear spin–spin coupling in, 66–7(B), 69–72, 70, 70(F), 71, 71(F)  
     nuclei suitable for, 66(B), 68, 68(T)  
     proton-decoupled, 71, 71(F)  
     resonance frequencies, 66(B)  
   signals  
     broadening of, 66(B), 68  
     multiplicity, 66–7(B), 69–70, 70, 72  
     relative integrals, 66(B)  
     satellite peaks, 72, 72(F), 550(B)  
   solvents for, 66(B)  
   spectral windows, 68  
   standard references, 66(B), 68(T)  
   *see also* boron-11; carbon-13; fluorine-19; oxygen-17; phosphorus-31; proton...; thallium-205; tin-119 NMR spectroscopy  
 nobelium, 62(T)  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882  
   longest lived isotope, 755(T)  
   mass number range, 876  
   oxidation state(s), 743(T)  
   physical properties, 882  
 noble gas electronic configuration(s), 21–2(WE), 24  
 noble gases, 21(T), 492  
   *see also* group 18  
 nodal planes, atomic orbital, 13, 14(F)  
 nomenclature  
   actinoids/lanthanoids, 17(N), 20, 741(N)  
   boranes, 328(B)  
   chiral complexes and compounds, 96(B), 551(B)  
   *cis/trans* (*E/Z*) isomers, 49(N)  
   coordination complexes, 253(N), 503(B)  
   crown ethers, 268  
   cyclopentadienyl complexes, 503(B)  
   group 15 trihydrides, 394(T)  
   hybrid orbitals, 101, 102, 103  
   oxidation states, 193  
   oxoacids, 167, 168(B)  
     of halogens, 485(T)  
     of phosphorus, 420(T)  
     of sulfur, 458(T)  
   periodic table, 20–1, 21(T)  
   Stock, 193  
   substitution mechanisms, 765(N)  
   transuranium elements, 62(T)  
   zeolites, 373(N)  
 non-aqueous media, 214–35  
   acid–base behaviour in, 216–17  
   applications, 181(B), 218  
   dielectric constants listed, 215(T)  
   differentiating effects, 217  
   group 1 complexes in, 271–2  
   levelling effects, 217, 219  
 non-close-packed lattices, 134  
 non-crossing rule, 575  
 non-stoichiometric compounds, 814, 815, 816  
 2,5-norbornadiene, 705  
 normal modes of vibration, 90–1, 91(F)  
 normalization factors, 28  
   *see also* wavefunctions  
 notation  
   bridging atoms, 172(N)  
   chiral molecules, 96(B), 551(B)  
   concentration, 162(N), 766(N), 771(B)  
   crystal field theory, 558(B), 562(B)  
   crystal planes, 801(N)  
   doubly degenerate orbital, 558(B)  
   electronic transitions, 562(B)  
   ground state electronic configuration, 16, 17, 30, 31  
   ions, 162(N), 766(N)  
   standard reduction potentials, 197(B)  
   triply degenerate orbital, 558(B)  
   wavefunctions, 12(B)  
 $\text{NO}_x$  emissions, 413, 414(B)  
   environmental effects, 414(B)  
   sources, 413, 414(B), 806(F)  
   *see also* nitrogen oxides  
 nuclear binding energy, 53–5  
 nuclear charge  
   effective, 17, 19(B), 22, 23, 34(F), 36, 41, 144  
   *see also* atomic number  
 nuclear emissions, 55  
 nuclear fission, 58–61  
   balancing equations, 59(WE)  
   energy production by, 60  
 nuclear fuel reprocessing, 61, 181(B), 218, 481, 677  
 nuclear fusion, 62–3  
 nuclear magnetic resonance *see* NMR  
 nuclear power, 59(B), 60–1  
   as percentage of electricity in various countries, 59(B)  
 nuclear reactors  
   Chernobyl disaster, 60(B)  
   control rods, 60, 296, 645  
   coolants, 259  
   fuel-rod cladding, 645  
   heat-transfer agents, 494  
   moderators, 60, 237  
 nuclear spin quantum number, 68  
   listed for various NMR active nuclei, 68(T)  
   listed for various nuclei, 68(T)  
 nuclear transformations, 55–6, 57–8  
 nuclei  
   bombardment by high-energy particles, 57  
   bombardment by 'slow'/thermal neutrons, 57–8  
 nucleon, average binding energy per, 54–5  
 nucleophilicity discrimination factor, 769  
   determination of, 769, 770(F)  
   listed for square planar Pt(II) complexes, 771(T)  
   for square planar Pt(II) complexes, 770(F)  
 nucleophilicity parameter, 769  
 nucleophilicity sequence for substitution, 769  
 nucleus of atom, 2  
 nuclides  
   nomenclature for, 2  
   radioactive, 55–7  
 Nyholm, R.S., 43  
 octadecahedral molecules, borane cluster compounds, 330(F)  
 octahedral clusters  
   boranes, 326, 326(F), 328(WE), 330(F)  
   bonding in organometallic clusters, 714  
   carbaboranes, 333, 333(WE)  
   metal carbonyl, 713, 713(F), 714(F)  
   molybdenum, 665  
   niobium, 657  
   tantalum, 657  
   tungsten, 665  
   zirconium, 653  
 octahedral complexes  
   As(V), 409  
   base-catalysed hydrolysis, 774–6  
   Cd(II), 695

- octahedral complexes cont.  
 Co(II) and Co(III), 625(B), 626, 628  
 Cr(III), 557, 608  
 crystal field stabilization energies, 560–1, 561(T)  
 Cu(II), 637  
 dissociative mechanisms, 772  
 distortion of, 545, 561–2  
 Fe(II) and Fe(III), 254, 557, 620, 621, 623–4, 624  
 Hg(II), 696  
 Ir(IV), 680  
 isomerization in, 775(F), 776  
 Kepert model, 542  
 lanthanoid, 751  
 Mg(II), 283  
 Mn(II) and Mn(III), 615, 616  
 Mo(IV) and Mo(V), 662, 663  
 molecular orbital theory for, 564–70  
 Nb(V), 656  
 Ni(II), 557, 631, 632  
 Os(II), 254  
 osmium, 673, 673–4, 675  
 Pd(IV), 684  
 Pt(IV), 254, 684, 685  
 racemization of, 776–7  
 relationship to square planar complexes, 562, 563(F)  
 rhenium, 668, 669, 670  
 ruthenium, 254, 673, 673–4, 675  
 Sc(III), 598  
 substitution reactions, 769–77  
 Ta(IV), 656  
 technetium, 668, 669, 670  
 Ti(II), 601  
 U(VI), 758  
 V(III), 605  
 W(IV) and W(V), 662, 663  
 Y(III), 651  
 Zn(II), 641  
 Zr(IV), 652
- octahedral crystal field, 558–60  
 energy level diagram, 575(F)  
 splitting of *d* orbitals in, 559(F), 563(F)
- octahedral holes in close-packed lattices, 133–4, 139, 401
- octahedral molecules, 45(F), 46(T), 48, 87(F)  
 Al(III) fluoride complexes, 309–10  
 bismuth halides, 411  
*d*-block metal compounds, 544–5, 605, 667, 679, 712, 713  
 geometrical isomers, 48–9, 625(B)  
 group 16 halides and ions, 452  
 group 17 oxoacids, 487  
 group 17 oxoacids and salts, 487  
 interhalogen ions, 481–2, 481(T)  
 NMR spectroscopy, 70  
 orbital hybridization for, 104, 556(T)  
 orbital interactions in, 120–3  
 point groups, 86  
 relationship to trigonal prismatic molecules, 545, 625(B)  
 telluric acid, 462  
 xenon hexafluoride, 496(T)
- octahedral–pentagonal bipyramidal conversion, changes in CFSE, 772(T)
- octahedral point group, 86
- octahedral–square planar interconversions, 632
- octahedral–square-based pyramidal conversion, changes in CFSE, 772(T)
- octahedral–tetrahedral interconversions, 628, 629
- octahedron, 330(F)  
 relationship to trigonal prism, 545
- octane number, increasing, 801(T), 807
- oct-1-ene, hydroformylation of, 795
- octet rule, 36, 36(WE)  
*see also* eighteen-electron rule
- olefin *see* alkene
- oleum, 455, 461  
 solution of boric acid in, 223  
*see also* sulfuric acid
- oligomerization of alkenes, 797
- olivine, 276, 371
- Onnes, H. Kamerlingh, 817
- opacifiers (in ceramics and paints), 341, 652, 820
- optical activity, 95
- optical isomers, 95, 549, 552
- optical properties, yttrium hydrides, 253(B)
- optoelectronic devices, 296, 514(B), 820(T), 824(T)
- orbital basis set, 32
- orbital energies, hydrogen-like species, 13
- orbital hybridization, 100–5  
*sp* hybridization, 101–2, 104, 105(F), 106  
*sp*<sup>2</sup> hybridization, 102–3, 104, 105  
*sp*<sup>3</sup> hybridization, 103, 103(WE), 104  
*sp*<sup>2</sup>*d* hybridization, 104  
*sp*<sup>3</sup>*d* hybridization, 104  
*sp*<sup>3</sup>*d*<sup>2</sup> hybridization, 104
- orbital interaction diagrams *see* molecular orbital diagrams
- orbital mixing, 33–4
- orbital quantum number, 9, 15(B), 572(B)
- ores, extraction of elements from, 138(B), 210
- organoaluminium compounds, 511–14
- organoantimony compounds, 527–30
- organoarsenic compounds, 527–30
- organobarium compounds, 510
- organoberyllium compounds, 507, 509(F)
- organobismuth compounds, 527–30
- organoboranes, 303(F), 321, 511
- organocalcium compounds, 510
- organogallium compounds, 514–18, 516(WE)
- organogermanium compounds, 520–1
- organoindium compounds, 514–18
- organolanthanoid complexes, 751–5  
 uses, 752(B)
- organolead compounds, 259, 344(B), 474(B), 524–6
- organolithium compounds, 505–7
- organomagnesium compounds, 509–10
- organomercury compounds, transmetallation of, 509
- organometallic compounds, 42, 338, 503–34, 700–40, 751–5, 759–61  
 of actinoids, 759–61  
 of *d*-block metals, 700–40  
 $\eta$  nomenclature, 503(B)  
 effect of bulky substituents on stability, 343, 519, 521, 523  
 of group 1 elements, 504–7  
 of group 2 elements, 507, 509–11  
 of group 13 elements, 259, 511–18  
 of group 14 elements, 344(B), 376–7, 476, 518–27  
 of group 15 elements, 476–7, 527–30  
 of group 16 elements, 530–2  
 of lanthanoids, 751–5  
 meaning of term, 503, 700  
 of *p*-block elements, 511–32
- reactions, 719–23  
 of *s*-block elements, 504–11
- organoselenium compounds, 530–1
- organosilicon compounds, 376–7, 518–20
- organosilicon hydrides, 519–20(WE)
- organostrontium compounds, 510, 510–11(WE)
- organotellurium compounds, 530–1
- organothallium compounds, 514–18
- organotin compounds, 521–4, 523–4(WE)  
 uses, 518, 521(B)
- organotin halides, 521–2, 523–4(WE)  
 reactions, 522(F)
- organotin(IV) hydrides, 523
- Orgel diagrams, 575, 575(F), 576(F)
- orpiment, 387, 428, 433
- orthoboric acid, 314, 314(F)  
*see also* boric acid
- orthoclase, 370, 372
- orthometallation, 680, 681(F), 720
- orthonitrates, 417
- orthoperiodic acid, 487
- orthophosphoric acid, nomenclature, 168(B), 420(T)
- orthorhombic sulfur, 439
- orthovanadates, 603
- 'osmic acid', 672
- osmiridium, 647
- osmium, 671–8  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
 metal, 671  
 NMR active nuclei, 651  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 647  
 oxidation states, 540(T), 671  
 physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884
- osmium carbonyl cluster anions, structures, 714, 714(F)
- osmium(II), carbonyl complexes, 671
- osmium carbonyls  
 as catalysts, 799  
 physical properties, 709(T)  
 reactions, 711, 720, 723  
 structures, 712, 712(F), 713, 714, 714(F), 716, 716(WE)  
 synthesis of, 710
- osmium(II) complexes, 677–8
- osmium(III) complexes, 676
- osmium(IV) complexes, 675
- osmium(V) complexes, 673–4
- osmium(VI) complexes, 672
- osmium(VII) complexes, 672
- osmium(II), dinitrogen complexes, 677
- osmium(III), halo complexes, 676
- osmium(IV), hexafluoro anion, reactions, 675(F)
- osmium(II), hydrido anion, 254, 676
- osmium, imido compounds, 672
- osmium organometallic compounds, 730  
*see also* osmium carbonyls
- osmium–osmium triple bond, 676
- osmium(IV) oxide, 673
- osmium(VIII) oxide, 672
- osmium oxofluorides, 671
- osmium pentahalides, 673
- osmium tetrahalides, 673
- osmium trihalides, 675
- outer orbital complexes, 557(B)
- outer-sphere mechanism, 777, 779–82  
 testing for, 780–1, 781–2(WE)

- overall stability constant of coordination complex, 181
- overlap integral, 29
- overpotential, 195  
in electrolysis of HCl, 489(WE)
- ovotransferrin, 833
- oxalate ion, reaction with permanganate, 613
- oxalate ligand, 97(WE), 184(T)
- oxalato complexes, 323, 324(F), 681
- oxalic acid, 166  
*see also* ethanedioic acid
- oxidases, 844
- oxidation, 192  
change in oxidation state, 193
- oxidation states, 192–3  
actinoids, 743(T), 756, 757, 758  
change on oxidation or reduction, 193  
*d*-block metals, 539, 540(T)  
thermodynamic aspects in aqueous solution, 588–9  
factors affecting relative stabilities, 200–2, 649  
Gibbs energy change plotted against, 205, 206(F), 207(F)  
group 13 elements, 297  
group 14 elements, 338  
lanthanoids, 743, 749  
nomenclature, 193  
*see also under individual elements*
- oxidative addition, 719–20
- oxides  
*f*-block metal, 749, 757, 758  
group 1, 264–5  
group 2, 283–5  
group 3, 651  
group 4, 151, 341(B), 593, 599, 600(B), 601, 652  
group 5, 602, 602–3, 604, 605, 606, 655, 656  
group 6, 606, 608, 659(B), 660, 663  
group 7, 612, 614, 615, 616, 668, 669  
group 8, 595, 619, 623, 672, 673  
group 9, 624, 627, 680  
group 10, 631, 684, 687  
group 11, 634, 635, 638, 690, 692  
group 12, 596, 640, 695, 696  
group 13, 313, 316, 317  
group 14, 150–1, 365–70, 373, 375–6  
group 15, 412–15, 417–19  
group 16, 453–7  
group 17, 448, 483–4  
group 18, 499
- oxidizing agents  
antimony trifluoride, 409  
arsenic compounds, 424, 440  
bismuthates, 424  
chlorates, 437, 486, 487  
chlorine monofluoride, 480  
chromium compounds, 606, 607, 649  
dichlorine, 488  
difluorine, 195, 470, 474  
dinitrogen pentaoxide, 415  
dinitrogen tetraoxide, 226(B), 415  
dioxygen, 198, 438  
ferrate(VI), 617  
fluorosulfates, 450  
group 16 oxides and oxoacids, 455, 456, 457, 462  
hydrogen peroxide, 444  
hypochlorites, 469, 485  
iridium hexachloro salts, 680  
iron compounds, 620  
krypton difluoride, 498, 501  
manganese compounds, 595, 611, 612, 612(B), 614  
nickel compounds, 630, 631  
nitrogen oxoacids, 416, 417  
osmium and ruthenium compounds, 672  
ozone, 438  
perchloryl fluoride, 485  
perhalate salts, 487  
peroxodisulfates, 461, 469  
platinum hexafluoride, 684  
potassium manganate(VII), 595, 612, 612(B)  
selenic acid, 462  
silver(II) compounds, 692  
sodium peroxide, 264  
sulfur dioxide in conc. HCl, 455  
xenon compounds, 496, 499
- Oxo-process (for hydroformylation of alkenes), 795–6
- oxoacids and salts, 167  
group 1, 265–6  
group 2, 286  
group 13, 314–15, 316–17, 322  
group 14, 368, 370–3, 373–5, 381  
group 15, 415–17, 419–24  
group 16, 457–62  
group 17, 485–7  
group 18, 499  
nomenclature, 167, 168(B), 420(T), 458(T)  
trends, 170–1
- oxohalides  
of *d*-block metals, 602, 606, 612, 655, 667  
group 6, 659  
group 15 (nitrogen), 405–6  
group 16, 450, 451  
group 17, 484–5  
group 18 (xenon), 499  
of nitrogen, 405–6
- oxonium ion, 163, 236
- oxygen  
abundance, 432, 433(F)  
abundance of isotope(s), 876  
bond enthalpy terms, 436(T)  
ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 28, 33, 435(T), 880  
occurrence, 432  
physical properties, 435(T), 877, 879, 880, 883, 884, 886  
storage and transport in biological systems, 837–43  
term symbols for, 573(B)  
uses, 433  
*see also* dioxygen
- oxygen bridges, 369, 370, 419, 421–2, 842  
in *d*-block metal compounds, 620, 660, 673–4
- oxygen difluoride, 448, 448(T)
- oxygen fluorides, 448, 448(T)
- oxygen hydrides *see* hydrogen peroxide; water
- oxygen ions, O<sup>2-</sup>, 157
- 'oxygen mixture', 437
- oxygen-17 NMR spectroscopy, 770
- oxygen/helium breathing mixture, 494
- oxygenases, 843
- oxyhaemerythrin, 842, 842(F)
- oxyhaemocyanin, 839, 841(F)
- oxyhaemoglobin, 839
- oxymyoglobin, 839
- ozone, 438–9  
physical properties, 887  
reactions, 438–9  
structure, 439(F)
- ozone layer, 362(B), 438  
effect of CFCs, 362(B)  
effect of NO radicals, 805
- ozonides, 265, 350, 439, 439(F)
- ozone oxygen, 488, 625
- ozone, 438
- $\pi/\pi^*$  molecular orbitals, 32
- $\pi$ -acceptor ligands, 566  
*d*-block metal complexes stabilized by, 671, 678, 683  
examples, 566, 839  
partial MO diagram, 568(F)
- $\pi$ -bonded organic ligands, 704–6
- $\pi$ -bonding  
in borazines, 319  
localized, 107(WE)  
in metal carbonyl complexes, 701, 701(F)  
molecular orbital approach, 119, 120(F)  
for *d*-block metal octahedral complexes, 566–9  
by *p*-*d* overlap  
in *d*-block metal complexes, 768(F)  
in group 14 compounds, 343, 356, 366  
by *p*-*p* overlap, 105, 107(WE)  
in group 14 compounds, 343, 344, 356, 366  
in group 15 compounds, 390
- $\pi$ -donor ligands, 566  
examples, 566  
partial MO diagram, 568(F)
- p*-block elements, 20, 20(F), 293–502  
abundance of isotopes, 875–6  
electronegativity (Pauling) values, 38(F), 38(T), 435(T), 472(T), 879  
ground state electronic configuration(s), 18(T), 297(T), 342(T), 389(T), 435(T), 472(T), 495(T), 880, 881, 882  
hydrides, 246, 247(F), 254–5  
organometallic compounds, 511–32  
oxides, 173–4  
physical properties, 877–8, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884  
*see also* group 13...(*to*)...group 18
- p* orbital(s)  
boundary-surface representations, 14(F)  
quantum numbers for, 10  
solutions of Schrödinger wave equation for, 11(T)
- p*-type semiconductors, 144, 378, 821
- p*-block elements, hydrides, 253–4
- pairing energy, 560
- palladium, 684–9  
abundance of isotope(s), 876  
ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
hydrogen absorbed by, 255, 647  
metal, 684  
occurrence, extraction and uses, 647  
oxidation states, 540(T), 684  
physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884, 886
- palladium(II) acetate, 689
- palladium-based catalysts, 722(B), 788, 788(F), 806
- palladium carbonyl, structure, 712
- palladium complexes  
square planar complexes, 564  
tetrahedral complexes, 580(B)
- palladium(II) complexes, square planar complexes, 687–9
- palladium(III) complexes, 685

- palladium(IV) complexes, 684–5  
 palladium(II), cyano complexes, 688  
 palladium dichloride, uses, 687(B)  
 palladium dihalides, 686  
 palladium mixed-valence complexes, 684, 685  
 palladium(II) oxide, 687  
 palladium tetrafluoride, 684  
 paper and pulp industry, 374(B), 443, 443(B), 471, 471(F), 484  
 paramagnetic shift (NMR), 69, 69(B)  
 paramagnetic species, 29  
   *d*-block metal compounds and complexes, 539, 555, 557, 564, 580(B), 605, 632, 664, 737  
   dioxygen, 29, 35, 438  
   FSO<sub>2</sub>O radical, 450  
   nitrogen oxides, 412(T)  
   ozonide ion, 439  
   sulfur vapour at high temperatures, 440  
 paramagnetic Zintl ions, 358, 359  
 paramagnetism, 29, 579  
 paraquat, as quenching agent, 678  
 parity of orbitals, 30(B), 558(B)  
 partial  $\pi$ -bond order, 120  
 particle-in-a-box model, 8(B)  
 Pascal's triangle, 67(B)  
 Paschen series, 4–5  
 passivated metals, 238, 279, 353, 602, 651, 654  
 patronite, 593  
 Pauli exclusion principle, 21, 573(B)  
 Pauling, Linus, 26, 37, 144, 555  
 Pauling electronegativity values, 37  
   listed for various elements, 38(T), 879  
   *p*-block elements, 38(T), 435(T), 472(T), 879  
   *s*-block elements, 38(T), 879  
 Pauling's electroneutrality principle, 539  
 Pearson, R.G., 187  
 penetrating power, of nuclear emissions, 55(F)  
 penetration of atomic orbitals, 17  
*nido*-pentaborane(9)  
   preparation of, 327  
   reactions, 332, 332(F)  
   structure, 326(F), 327  
 pentagonal bipyramid, 330(F), 546(F)  
 pentagonal bipyramidal clusters, 330(F)  
   carboranes, 333  
 pentagonal bipyramidal complexes and molecules  
   Co(II), 628, 629  
   *d*-block metal compounds, 546, 546(F), 667  
   geometrical isomers, 49  
   Hf(IV), 652  
   interhalogen compounds, 479, 481(T)  
   Mo(II), 665  
   Nb(V), 656  
   orbital hybridization for, 556(T)  
   Re(V), 668  
   Ru(IV), 675  
   Sc(III), 598  
   tellurium fluoride ions, 452  
   U(VI), 758  
   uranyl compounds, 757  
   V(III), 605  
   Y(III), 651  
   Zn(II), 641  
   Zr(IV), 652, 653(F)  
 pentagonal bipyramidal crystal field, splitting of *d* orbitals in, 563(F)  
 pentagonal planar molecules, 45(F)  
   iodine pentafluoride anion, 481(T), 482  
   NMR spectroscopy, 72  
   xenon pentafluoride anion, 47, 72, 498  
 pentahalides  
   interhalogen compounds, 480(T)  
   metal, 478  
 pentane-2,4-dione, 179  
   *see also* acetylacetone  
 pentaphenyl compounds, of group 15  
   elements, 528  
 pentlandite, 596, 647  
 peptide chains  
   C-terminus, 831  
   N-terminus, 831  
 peptide links, 831  
   biological cleavage of, 856–7(F)  
   test for, 637  
 perbromates, 487  
 perchlorate ion, structure, 486(F)  
 perchlorates, 267(WE), 487, 620  
 perchloric acid, 167, 487  
   anhydride, 484  
   nomenclature, 168(B)  
   structure, 486(F)  
 perchloryl fluoride, 485–6  
 perfluoroalkanes, biphasic catalysis using, 798  
 periodates, 487, 489  
 periodic acid, 487  
 periodic table, 17, 20–1, 20(F)  
   diagonal (metal/non-metal) line, 173, 173(F), 338, 385  
   IUPAC nomenclature, 20–1, 20(F)  
 periodicity, 20  
 permanent hardness, 286  
 permanganate-oxalate reaction, 613  
 permanganate *see* manganate(VII) ion  
 permittivity  
   absolute, 215  
   relative, 214–15  
   listed for various solvents, 215(T), 218(T)  
   for water, 176, 215(T), 218(T)  
   of vacuum, 5, 176, 215  
 pernitrides, 401–2  
 perovskite, 146, 152, 593  
 perovskite (CaTiO<sub>3</sub>) structure, 152, 152(F), 817, 818(F)  
 perovskite-type metal oxides  
   deposition by CVD, 825  
   uses, 824(T)  
 peroxide ion, 220, 264, 438, 438(B), 444  
 peroxides  
   group 1, 264  
   group 2, 285  
 peroxo complexes, 444–5  
   *d*-block metal, 444(F), 601, 606–7, 608, 626–7, 662  
   synthesis of, 720  
 peroxocarbonates, 368, 444  
 peroxodisulfates, as oxidizing agents, 469  
 peroxosulfuric acids, 461  
 perrhenates, 668  
 perrhenic acid, 666, 668  
 pertechnetates, 668  
 pertechnetate acid, 666, 668  
 perxenate ion, 499  
 perylene derivative, 311(B)  
 pesticides, 518, 521(B)  
 petroleum distillates, catalytic cracking of, 801(T), 807  
 Pfund series, 4–5  
 pH, relationship to equilibrium constant(s), 164(B)  
 phase diagrams  
   for iron, 136(F)  
   for polymorphic metals, 136  
   supercritical fluid region, 230(F)  
 phenolphthalein, 219  
 phosgene, 340(B), 362  
 phosphane/phosphine, 397  
   physical properties, 247(F), 394(T)  
   production of, 395  
   reactions, 397  
   *see also* diphosphane  
 phosphate fertilizers, 388, 395(B), 421(B)  
 phosphate rock (ore), 387, 421(B), 593  
 phosphates, 421  
   in biological systems, 423(B)  
   pollution by, 286, 421(B)  
 phosphazene polymers, 425  
 phosphazenes, 424–6  
   bonding in, 426  
   structure, 426(F)  
 phosphides, 402  
 phosphido bridges, 723  
 phosphine *see* phosphane  
 phosphine ligands, 703–4  
   displacement of CO by, 723  
   Tolman cone angles for, 704(T)  
 phosphinic acid, 167, 419–20, 420(T)  
   nomenclature, 168(B)  
 phosphite ligands, 703  
   Tolman cone angles for, 704(T)  
 phosphite ozonides, 439  
 phosphites, confusion with term, 420  
 phosphonates, 420  
 phosphonic acid, 420, 420(T)  
 phosphonium halides, 397  
 phosphoric acid, 167, 388–9, 421–2  
   acid dissociation constants, 420(T)  
   condensed phosphoric acids, 420(T), 422, 423(F)  
   nomenclature, 168(B)  
   structure, 420(T), 421  
 phosphorous acid, nomenclature, 420(T)  
 phosphors, 640, 645, 695, 745, 746, 747(B)  
 phosphorus, 392–3  
   abundance, 387(F)  
   abundance of isotope(s), 876  
   allotropes, 392–3  
   bond enthalpy terms, 390(T)  
   in *d*-block metal complexes, 393  
   extraction of, 387  
   Frost–Ebsworth diagram, in aqueous solution, 207–8, 207(F), 208(WE)  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 21(WE), 389(T), 880  
   NMR active nuclei, 68(T), 389(T), 391  
   occurrence, 387  
   physical properties, 24(F), 389(T), 877, 879, 880, 883, 884  
   radioactive isotopes, 57–8, 391–2  
   reactions, 392–3  
   in semiconductors, 144  
   uses, 388–9, 421(B)  
 phosphorus-based flame retardants, 389, 469(B)  
 phosphorus halides, <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy, 408(WE)  
 phosphorus(III) halides, 406–7  
 phosphorus(V) halides, 406, 407–8  
 phosphorus mixed P(III)/P(V) oxides, 419  
 phosphorus-31 NMR spectroscopy, 69, 70–2, 70(F), 71(F), 391, 550(B), 704  
   of phosphorus halides, 408(WE)  
   proton-decoupled, 71, 71(F)

- phosphorus(III) oxide, 418  
 phosphorus(V) oxide, 281(B), 393, 418–19  
 phosphorus oxoacids, 419–24  
   nomenclature, 168(B), 420(T)  
 phosphorus pentachloride, 408  
   reactions, 408(F)  
 phosphorus pentafluoride, 407–8  
   molecular shape, 47, 87–8(WE)  
   point group assignment, 87–8(WE)  
 ‘phosphorus pentoxide’, 418, 427  
   *see also* phosphorus(V) oxide  
 phosphorus selenides, 427  
 phosphorus sulfides, 426–7  
 phosphorus trichloride, 389, 406, 407  
   symmetry elements in, 84(WE)  
 phosphorus trifluoride, 406, 407  
 phosphoryl trichloride, 88–9(WE), 407, 408  
 photocatalysts, 600(B)  
 photochromic glasses, 693(B)  
 photoconductors, 378, 434, 441  
 photocopiers, 434(B), 619  
 photoelectric cells, 434, 820(T)  
 photoelectron spectroscopy, 34, 116(B)  
 photographic chemicals, 399, 461, 471, 648, 693(B)  
 photolysis, dihydrogen produced by, 239, 242  
 photosensitive pigments, 311(B)  
 photosensitizers, 677  
 photosynthesis, 242, 339  
 Photosystem II (PSII), 614, 844  
 phthalocyanine ligand, 617, 629  
 physiological importance  
   of *d*-block metals, 595, 830, 831(T), 832  
   of *p*-block elements, 423(B), 830  
   of *s*-block elements, 259, 830  
 physisorption, 801  
 ‘picket-fence’ porphyrins, 839  
 picosecond flash photolysis, 778(B)  
 picric acid, 651  
 piezoelectric materials, 302, 600, 656  
 pig iron, 138(B)  
 pigments, 341, 428, 434, 446(B), 595, 596, 600(B), 608, 695  
   in ceramics, 627(B), 635, 638, 819–20  
   thin film, 311(B)  
 Pilkington (float glass) process, 341  
 pitchblende, 748  
 planar molecules, symmetry properties, 83(WE)  
 Planck, Max, 4, 6  
 Planck constant, 4, 5  
 Planck relationship, 4  
 plane of symmetry ( $\sigma_h/\sigma_v$ ), 80, 83(WE)  
   absence in chiral molecules, 97  
   reflection through, 80–1  
 plant nutrients, 259, 296(B), 395(B), 421(B), 595, 830  
 plants, hydrogen cyanide in, 379(B)  
 plasma-enhanced CVD technique, 822  
 plaster of Paris, 278(B), 286, 287(B)  
 plasterboard, 287(B)  
 plastocyanins, 844, 845(F)  
 platinum, 684–9  
   abundance of isotope(s), 876  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
   metal, 684  
   NMR active nucleus, 550(B)  
   occurrence, extraction and uses, 647  
   oxidation states, 540(T), 684  
   physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884, 886  
   platinum(II) acetate, 689  
   platinum(II) acetylacetonate complexes, 689  
   platinum(II) ammine complexes, 549, 550(F), 687–8  
   platinum(IV) ammine complexes, 685  
   platinum-based catalysts, 646(B), 806  
   platinum blues, 685–6  
   platinum carbonyl, structure, 712  
   platinum carbonyl cluster anions, structure, 714, 714(F)  
   platinum complexes  
   geometrical isomers, 549, 550(F)  
   square planar complexes, 550(F), 564  
   *see also* Zeise’s salt  
   platinum(II) complexes  
   geometrical isomers, 687  
   nucleophilicity discrimination factor listed, 771(T)  
   reactions, 725, 727  
   square planar complexes, 687–9  
   substitution reactions, 766  
   *trans*-effect in, 687, 768–9  
   *trans*-influence in, 688(B)  
   platinum(III) complexes, 685–6  
   platinum(IV) complexes, 684–5  
   platinum(II) cyano complexes, 688  
   platinum dihalides, 686  
   platinum-group metals, 536  
   abundance, 646(F)  
   catalysts, 801(T)  
   in catalytic converters, 646(B), 806  
   and nitric acid, 416  
   occurrence, extraction and uses, 647  
   physical properties, 881, 884  
   reactivity, 538  
   *see also* iridium; osmium; palladium; platinum; rhodium; ruthenium  
   platinum hexafluoride, 684  
   reactions, 438, 684  
   platinum(II) hydrido complex anions, 254, 254(F)  
   platinum(IV) hydrido complex anions, 254  
   platinum mixed-valence complexes, 685–6  
   platinum organometallic compounds, 688(B), 689, 727  
   platinum(IV) oxide, 684, 687  
   platinum pentafluoride, 684  
   platinum(II), tetrachloro ion, 48  
   vibrational modes, 94(F)  
   platinum tetrahalides, 684  
   plumbane, 355  
   plumbides, 358  
   plus (+) notation for chiral molecules, 96(B), 551(B)  
 plutonium, 62(T)  
   critical mass, 756  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882  
   longest lived isotope, 755(T)  
   mass number range, 876  
   metal, 756  
   oxidation states, 743(T), 758  
   physical properties, 742(T), 882  
   potential diagram, 758(F)  
   separation from uranium, 181(B), 218  
   synthesis of, 748  
 plutonium compounds, 758–9  
 plutonium(IV) oxide, 758  
 plutonium oxycations, 758  
 plutonyl halides, 758  
 pnictogens, 21(T)  
   *see also* group 15  
 point defects, 158–9, 813–14  
 point groups, 85–9  
   assignment of, 86–7, 87–9(WE), 88(F)  
   strategy for, 88(F)  
    $C_1$  point group, 85  
    $C_{2v}$  point group, 89, 109  
    $C_{3v}$  point group, 113, 114(F)  
    $C_{\infty v}$  point group, 85  
   character tables for, 85, 89–90, 109(T), 126(T), 869–72  
   characteristic symmetry elements, 86(T)  
    $D_{2h}$  point group, 125–6  
    $D_{3h}$  point group, 112  
    $D_{\infty h}$  point group, 85–6, 109(F)  
    $I_h$  point group, 86  
    $O_h$  point group, 86  
    $T_d$  point group, 86  
 poisoning of catalysts, 789, 805  
 polar coordinates, 7(F)  
 polar diatomic molecules, 39–40  
 polarimeter, 96, 96(F)  
 polarizability of atoms or molecules, 155  
 polarization of bonds, and strength of acids, 172  
 polarized light, rotation by chiral compounds, 95–6  
 pollution  
   by Cu, 595(B)  
   by cyanide, 647(B)  
   by nitrates/nitrites, 417(B)  
   by  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions, 414(B)  
   by phosphate fertilizers, 421(B)  
   by  $\text{SO}_2$  emissions, 454(B)  
   control, 228(B), 240(B), 266, 278(B), 521(B)  
 polonium, 432  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 435(T), 881  
   isotopes, 56(F), 57(T), 432  
   mass number range, 876  
   physical properties, 435(T), 879, 881, 884  
 polyacrylonitrile-based carbon fibres, 826  
 polyatomic molecules  
   bonding in, 100–30  
   molecular orbital approach, 107–19  
   valence bond approach, 100–5  
   meaning of term, 100  
   multiple bonding in, valence bond approach, 105–7  
 polybasic acids, 167  
 polybromide ions, 483  
 polycatenasulfur, 3(B), 439, 440  
 polydentate ligands, 183  
 polydentate phosphines, 703–4  
 polyethers, 268  
   *see also* crown ethers  
 polyhalide anions, 483  
 polyhalogen cations, 482  
 polyhydrofullerenes, 349–50  
 polyiodide ions, 483  
 polyiodobromide ions, 483  
 polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) fuel cell, 241(B)  
 polymer stabilizers, 518, 521(B), 596  
 polymer-supported catalysts, 797–8  
 polymeric hydrides, 254–5  
 polymerization, solvents for, 231(B)  
 polymerization of alkenes, 802, 803(F)  
 polymerization isomers, 549  
   *d*-block metal complexes, 549, 687  
 polymers, phosphazene polymers, 425

- polymorphism  
   ice, 162  
   metals, 134, 136  
   phosphorus(V) oxide, 418–19  
   rhodium(III) oxide, 680  
   silica, 369, 369(F)  
   silicon dioxide, 150, 369(F)  
   silicon nitride, 381  
   silver(I) iodide, 692  
   zinc hydroxide, 640  
   zinc sulfide, 150, 151
- polyoxometallates, 602, 660
- polypeptides, 830–1
- polyphosphates, 286, 422
- polyselenides, 447
- polysulfanes, 445–6
- polysulfides, 446–7
- poly(sulfur nitride), 464
- polysulfuric acids, salts, 461
- polytellurides, 447
- polythionates, 461–2
- pond storage (of spent nuclear fuel), 61, 181(B)
- population inversion of ground and excited states, 744(B)
- porcelain/pottery glazes, 296, 638
- porphyrins and derivatives, 288, 288(F)  
   in haemoglobin and myoglobin, 837–9, 838–9  
   and Kepert model, 542
- positive hole concept, 571, 574, 574(B)
- positron, 55
- potash, production of, 258(B)
- potassium  
   abundance of isotope(s), 876  
   appearance of metal, 261  
   flame colour, 261  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 260(T), 880  
   metallic radius, 135(T), 137(WE)  
   occurrence, 257  
   physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 260(T), 877, 879, 880, 883, 884, 885  
   as plant nutrient, 259  
   production of, 258, 259  
   radioactive isotope, 261  
   reaction with water, 238, 262
- potassium amide, 261
- potassium antimony tartrate, 389
- potassium bromate, 486
- potassium carbonate, 266
- potassium chlorate, 437, 486
- potassium chloride, solubility in liquid ammonia, 218
- potassium dichromate(VI), 607
- potassium ferrate(VI), 618(B)
- potassium fulleride, 352, 352(F)
- potassium graphite compounds, 347
- potassium hexacyanomanganate(III), 615
- potassium hydride, 252(T), 253
- potassium hydrogencarbonate, 266
- potassium hydroxide, 167, 265
- potassium iodate, 486
- potassium manganate(VII), 595, 612  
   uses, 612(B)
- potassium ozonide, 265
- potassium perbromate, 487
- potassium perchlorate, 487
- potassium permanganate, 595, 612, 612(B)
- potassium salts, resources and demand, 258(B)
- potassium superoxide, 265
- potential diagrams, 203–5, 205(WE)  
   americium, 758(F)
- bromine, 488(F)
- chlorine, 488(F)
- chromium, 606(F)
- indium in acidic solution, 323(WE)
- iodine, 488(F)
- iron, 205(WE)
- limitations, 204
- manganese, 204(F)
- mercury, 696
- neptunium, 758(F)
- nitrogen, 399(F), 399(WE)
- plutonium, 758(F)
- relationship to Frost–Ebsworth diagrams, 205–6
- rhenium, 667(F)
- selenium, 464(F)
- sulfur, 464(F)
- technetium, 667(F)
- tellurium, 464(F)
- uranium, 758(F)
- vanadium, 604(F)
- pottery, 374(B)  
   glazes and pigments, 296
- praseodymium  
   abundance of isotope(s), 876  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
   physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 881
- praseodymium organometallic compounds, 753, 755
- pre-equilibrium, 772
- precipitation  
   effect of non-aqueous solvents, 218–19  
   reduction potentials affected by, 199–202  
   *see also* sparingly soluble compounds
- precursor complex, 780
- pre-polymerized coagulants, in water treatment, 443(B)
- pressure, units, 23(B), 136(N)
- pressure–temperature phase diagrams, 136
- principal quantum number, 5, 9
- principles *see* laws and principles
- prochiral alkenes, 792
- promethium  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
   mass number range, 876  
   physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 881
- promethium-147, 747
- 1,3-propanediamine (pn) ligand, 183
- propene, hydroformylation of, 789
- propenes, polymerization of, 512(B), 734(B), 802
- prosthetic groups in proteins, 831–2
- protactinium  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882  
   isolation of, 748  
   longest lived isotope, 755(T)  
   mass number range, 876  
   metal, 755  
   oxidation state(s), 743(T)  
   physical properties, 742(T), 882
- protactinium-231, 748, 755(T)
- protactinium-234, 56, 57(T)
- proteins, 831–2  
   prosthetic groups in, 831–2
- protic solvents, 214, 221–4
- protium (H), 237
- properties, 237(T)
- proton acceptors, 163, 217  
   *see also* Brønsted bases
- proton-decoupled NMR spectra, 71, 71(F)
- proton donors, 163, 217  
     *see also* Brønsted acids
- proton NMR spectroscopy, 68, 236  
     borohydride complexes, 306(WE)  
     diborane, 301(WE)  
     hydride complexes, 703  
     organotin compounds, 523–4(WE)  
     solvents for, 66(B), 237  
     tetramethyltin, 345(WE)
- proton pumping, in mitochondria, 853
- proton(s), 1–2, 236  
     hydrated, 163, 236  
     properties, 2(T), 53
- Prussian blue, 620
- prussic acid, 380
- PSEPT (polyhedral skeletal electron pair theory), 715  
   applications, 715–16(WE)  
   *see also* Wade's rules
- pseudo-first order kinetics, ligand substitution reactions, 766–7
- pseudo-halogens, 379, 400
- pseudo-metals, 464
- pseudo-trigonal planar environment, 79
- PTFE (polytetrafluoroethene), 361
- puddling process (for wrought iron), 138(B)
- pulse radiolysis, 778(B)
- purification  
   of air, 265  
   of water, 265
- purification of water, 322, 340(B), 373, 439, 443(B), 484, 612(B)
- purple of Cassius, 648
- PVC stabilizers, 518, 521(B)
- pyrazine-containing complexes, 679, 778
- Pyrex glass, 314(B), 369
- pyroelectric materials, 824(T)
- pyrolusite, 151, 594, 616
- pyrophoric materials, 353, 531  
   *d*-block metals, 595, 596, 611, 617, 624, 630, 652, 731  
   meaning of term, 504  
   organometallics, 504, 751
- pyrophosphoric acid, nomenclature, 420(T)
- pyrotechnics, 277, 278, 296
- pyrovanadates, 603, 603(F)
- pyroxene minerals, 371
- pyrrhotite, 647
- quadruple bond formation  
   in Cr(II) compounds, 610, 611(F)  
   in Mo(II) compounds, 665–6  
   in Re(III) compounds, 670
- quadrupolar relaxation (in NMR), 66(B)
- quadrupole moment, 68
- quantized energy levels, 8(B)
- quantum numbers, 9, 9–10(WE), 10, 10(WE), 16(WE), 22(WE)  
   inner quantum number, 15(B), 572(B)  
   magnetic quantum number, 9  
   magnetic spin quantum number, 15  
   for multi-electron species, 572(B)  
   nuclear spin quantum number, 68, 68(T)  
   orbital quantum number, 9, 15(B), 572(B)  
   principal quantum number, 5, 9  
   spin quantum number, 15, 15(B), 572(B)
- quantum theory, 3–6  
   Bohr's theory, 5–6  
   classical theory, 4–5  
   wave mechanics, 4, 6–9

- quartets, 572(B)  
 quartz, 339, 369  
 quartz glass, 340  
 quasilinear structure  
   group 2 metal halides, 282(T)  
   meaning of term, 282  
 quenching agents, 678  
 quenching (thermal), phase changes studied by, 136  
 quicklime, 277  
 quinones, as mediators in electron-transfer chain, 847
- R* notation for chiral molecules, 551(B)  
 Racah parameters, 570, 576, 578  
 racemic mixture, 96  
 racemization, of octahedral complexes, 776–7  
 racing cars, C-fibre composites in, 826  
 radial distribution functions, 11, 12(F), 13(F), 19(F), 227  
 radial orbitals  
   in borane clusters, 329(B)  
   in Zintl ions, 360  
 radical, 26  
 radical anions, 504–5  
 radioactive decay, kinetics, 56–7, 56(WE)  
 radioactive decay series, 56  
 radioactive isotopes, 55–7  
   applications, 63–5  
   artificially produced, 57–8, 60(B), 275, 279, 432, 437, 469, 646  
   group 1 metals, 60(B), 261  
   group 2 metals, 275, 279  
   group 13 metals, 324  
   group 15 elements, 57, 391–2  
   group 16 elements, 432, 437  
   group 17 elements, 58, 60(B), 469, 473–4  
   half-lives, 56, 57(T), 60(B), 61(B), 62, 64, 63, 65  
   naturally occurring, 55–7, 261  
   abundance, 875–6  
   separation of, 62  
   transformation of, 55–6  
 radioactivity, 55–7  
   units, 57  
 radiocarbon dating, 55, 64–5, 64–5(WE)  
 radiofluorine dating, 474  
 radioisotopes, group 16 elements, 432  
 radiopharmaceuticals, 61(B), 324, 669, 671(B)  
 radium  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 278(T), 881  
   mass number range, 876  
   physical properties, 278(T), 881  
   as radioactive decay product, 56(F), 57(T), 275  
 radium-226, 56(F), 57(T)  
 radius ratio rules, 145(B)  
 radon  
   ground state electronic configuration, 17, 18(T), 495(T), 881  
   mass number range, 876  
   occurrence, 56(F), 493  
   physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 158(F), 495(T), 881  
 radon-222, 56(F), 56(WE), 57(T), 492  
 radon compounds, 492, 501  
 ‘raft’ structures, 714, 714(F)  
 Raman scattering, 91(B)  
 Raman spectroscopy, 90, 91(B), 492  
 Raney nickel, 596, 630  
 rapid expansion of supercritical solutions (RESS), 231(B)
- rare earth metals, 741  
   uses, 747(B)  
   world production data, 747(B)  
   see also lanthanoids; lanthanum; scandium; yttrium  
 Raschig process, 397  
 rate-determining step  
   in catalytic processes, 787, 793, 794, 804  
   in electron-transfer processes, 778–9  
   in Haber process, 804  
   in substitution reactions, 767, 772, 773  
 Ray–Dutt twist mechanism, 776, 776(F)  
 Rayleigh scattering, 91(B)  
 rayon, 637  
 RCM (ring-closing metathesis), 730, 790(F)  
 reaction channel, in nuclear fission, 58  
 reaction mechanisms, *d*-block metal complexes, 764–85  
 reaction quotient in Nernst equation, 197(N)  
 realgar, 387, 428, 433  
 recycling  
   of aluminium, 276(B), 294(F)  
   of chromium, 594(B)  
   of copper, 595(B), 596  
   of gold, 690(B)  
   of iron and steel, 138–9(B)  
   of lead, 339(B)  
   of magnesium, 276(B)  
   of nickel, 596(F)  
   of silver, 690(B)  
   of tin, 339(B)  
 red lead, 341, 376  
 red phosphorus, 389, 393, 419  
 red wine, 459(B)  
 redistribution reactions, 73  
 redox reactions  
   in biological systems, 843–54  
   *d*-block metals, 610  
   *d*-block complexes, 656, 656(T), 657(F)  
   in Downs process, 192, 258  
   in electrolytic and galvanic cells, 192  
   group 13 metals, 322–3  
   group 15 halides, 411(WE)  
   hydrogen peroxide, 444(WE)  
   in liquid ammonia, 221  
   monitoring by isotopic tracers, 777  
 redox relationships, graphical representation of, 205–8  
 reduced mass, 63, 63(WE)  
 reduced-emission vehicles, 241(B)  
 reducing agents  
   carbon, 210  
   carbon monoxide, 210, 710  
   *d*-block metals, 537, 602  
   dithionites, 457  
   group 1 metals, 195, 196(T)  
   hydrogen peroxide in alkaline solution, 444  
   lithium aluminium hydride, 306  
   naphthalide salts, 711  
   organotin(IV) hydrides, 523  
   phosphorus oxoacids and salts, 419  
   sulfites, 457  
   sulfur dioxide, 455  
   tin dichloride, 364  
   titanium compounds, 601  
   U<sup>3+</sup> ion, 757  
 reducing sugars, test for, 638  
 reduction, 192  
   change in oxidation state, 193  
 reduction potentials  
   *d*-block metals, first row, 538(WE)  
   dependence on cell conditions, 197–9
- effect of complex formation on, 202  
 effect of precipitation on, 199–202  
 iron–sulfur proteins, 848  
 mitochondrial electron-transfer chain, 846(F)  
 pH dependence, 198(WE)  
 relationship to standard reduction potentials, 197–8  
   see also standard reduction potentials  
 reductive carbonylation, 710  
 reductive elimination, 720  
 reference electrodes  
   ferrocenium/ferrocene couple, 731  
   silver(I) chloride/silver electrode, 200(B)  
   standard calomel electrode, 200(B), 696  
   standard hydrogen electrode, 195, 200(B)  
 refractory materials  
   *d*-block metal compounds, 594, 598, 651, 652  
   magnesium oxide, 284(B)  
   meaning of term, 284  
   *p*-block compounds, 299, 317, 340, 357, 358, 380, 381  
 regioselectivity, in hydroformylation reaction, 795, 797(T), 798  
 relative activity, 166  
 relative atomic mass, 2, 2–3(WE)  
 relative permittivity, 214–15  
   listed for various solvents, 215(T), 218(T)  
   for water, 176, 215(T), 218(T)  
   variation with temperature, 215(F)  
 relativistic effects, 297, 298(B)  
   in *d*-block metals, 649, 689, 694  
   in Th and U cyclopentadienyl derivatives, 760  
 resistivity, 141  
 resonance hybrid, 28  
 resonance structures, 28  
 BF<sub>3</sub>, 106(F)  
 [BN<sub>3</sub>]<sup>6-</sup>, 319  
 [B<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>]<sup>8-</sup>, 319  
 BrNO, 405  
 [CO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, 368  
 ClNO, 405  
 ClO<sub>2</sub>, 484  
 [ClO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, 486  
 F<sub>2</sub>, 29  
 FNO, 405  
 F<sub>3</sub>NO, 406  
 H<sub>2</sub>, 28  
 HN<sub>3</sub>, 400  
 HNO<sub>3</sub>, 418  
 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 436  
 [N<sub>5</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 401  
 [NO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, 106(WE), 120  
 N<sub>2</sub>O, 412  
 N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 414  
 O<sub>3</sub>, 439  
 O<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, 448  
 PF<sub>5</sub>, 391  
 [S<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, 441  
 SF<sub>6</sub>, 120, 436  
 S<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, 449  
 S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, 464  
 S<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, 462  
 SO<sub>2</sub>, 454  
 SO<sub>3</sub>, 455  
 [Te<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, 442  
 metal butadiene complex, 706  
 metal carbene, 729–30  
 metal carbonyl group, 701  
 phosphazenes, 426

- respirators, 265, 366  
reverse osmosis, 443(B)  
rhenium, 666–71  
  abundance of isotope(s), 876  
  ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
  metal, 666–7  
  occurrence, extraction and uses, 647  
  oxidation states, 540(T), 666–7  
  physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881  
  potential diagram, 667(F)  
rhenium carbonyl  
  physical properties, 709(T)  
  structure, 712, 712(F)  
rhenium complexes, 545(F)  
rhenium(III) complexes, 670  
rhenium(IV) complexes, 669  
rhenium(V) complexes, 668  
rhenium(VI) complexes, 668  
rhenium(VII) complexes, 668  
rhenium(V) halo complexes, 668  
rhenium(VI) halo complexes, 668  
rhenium heptafluoride, 667  
rhenium hexahalides, 667  
rhenium hydrido complexes, 254, 254(F)  
rhenium(VII) hydrido complexes, 668  
rhenium imido compounds, 668  
rhenium(VI) oxide (ReO<sub>3</sub>) lattice, 598(F)  
rhenium(IV) oxide, 669  
rhenium(VI) oxide, 668  
rhenium(VII) oxide, 668  
rhenium oxohalides, 667  
rhenium pentahalides, 667  
rhenium–rhenium multiple bonds, 670  
rhenium tetrahalides, 669  
rhenium trihalides, 669  
rhenium trioxide lattice, 668  
rhodium, 679–84  
  abundance of isotope(s), 876  
  ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
  metal, 679  
  NMR active nucleus, 550(B), 649  
  occurrence, extraction and uses, 647  
  oxidation states, 540(T), 679  
  physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884  
rhodium(III) ammine complexes, 681  
rhodium-based catalysts, 646(B), 722(B), 791, 793, 794, 795, 795(F), 798, 799, 806  
rhodium carbonyl cluster anion, structure, 714(F)  
rhodium carbonyls  
  physical properties, 709(T)  
  structure, 715–16(WE)  
  structures, 712, 713, 713(F)  
  synthesis of, 710  
rhodium complexes, 544(F)  
rhodium(I) complexes, 683  
rhodium(II) complexes, 682–3  
rhodium(III) complexes, 681–2  
rhodium(IV) halo salts, 680  
rhodium(V) halo salts, 679  
rhodium(III), hexaaqua cation, 680  
rhodium hexafluoride, 679  
rhodium(III) oxalato complexes, racemization of, 777  
rhodium(III) oxide, 680  
  polymorphs, 680  
rhodium(IV) oxide, 680  
rhodium pentafluoride, 679  
rhodium tetrafluoride, 680  
rhodium trihalides, 680  
*Rhodnius prolixus* (blood-sucking insect), 840(B)  
rhombohedral sulfur, 440  
Rieske protein, 848, 849(F)  
ring-closing metathesis, 730, 790(F)  
ring-opening metathesis, 790(F)  
ring-opening metathesis polymerization, 730, 790(F)  
RNA (ribonucleic acid), 423(B)  
road de-icing agents, 259, 266, 283, 283(B)  
Rochow process, 518  
rock salt, 148, 257, 470  
rock salt (NaCl) lattice, 148, 148(F)  
  defects in, 159(F)  
rocket fuels/propellants, 226(B), 239, 242, 397, 443, 487  
ROM (ring-opening metathesis), 790(F)  
ROMP (ring-opening metathesis polymerization), 730, 790(F)  
roscoelite, 593  
rotation axis, 80, 83(WE)  
rotational motion, degrees of freedom, 90  
rubber, vulcanization of, 440, 450, 597  
rubidium  
  abundance of isotope(s), 876  
  appearance of metal, 261  
  flame colour, 261  
  ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 260(T), 881  
  occurrence, 257  
  physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 260(T), 877, 879, 881, 883, 884, 885  
  production of metal, 258, 259  
  reactions, 262  
rubidium carbonate, reactions, 267(WE)  
rubidium fulleride, 352, 352(F)  
rubidium halides, 264(T)  
rubidium hydride, 252(T)  
rubidium hydroxide, 167  
rubidium perchlorate, production of, 267(WE)  
rubidium suboxide, 265  
rubidium superoxide, 264  
rubies, 296, 608  
rubredoxins, 847–8, 847(F)  
rules  
  Bell's rule, 170–1  
  Cahn–Ingold–Prelog rules, 96(B), 551(B)  
  eighteen-electron rule, 36, 569, 707–8  
  electronic transition selection rules, 571, 574  
  Hund's rules, 21, 555, 573(B), 745  
  IR active mode selection rules, 91, 550(F)  
  Isolated Pentagon Rule (for fullerenes), 348  
  Laporte selection rule, 538, 571  
  Mingos cluster electron counting rules, 716  
  non-crossing rule, 575  
  octet rule, 36, 36(WE)  
  radius ratio rules, 145(B)  
  Slater's rules, 19(B), 38, 145  
  spin selection rule, 571  
  *styx* rules (for boron hydrides), 124(N), 327(N)  
  Trouton's rule, 246  
  Wade's rules, 328, 358, 714–15  
  *see also* laws and principles  
Russell–Saunders coupling, 572(B), 574(B), 745, 746  
rusticles, 619(B)  
rusting of iron, 201(B), 617  
ruthenates, 672  
ruthenium, 671–9  
  abundance of isotope(s), 876  
  ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
  metal, 671  
  occurrence, extraction and uses, 647  
  oxidation states, 540(T), 671  
  physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884  
ruthenium-106, 677  
ruthenium(III) acetate complexes, 676  
ruthenium-based catalysts, 722(B), 799  
ruthenium(III), 2,2'-bipyridine complex, 242, 677–8  
  as photosensitizer, 677, 678(F)  
  redox reaction, 242  
  structure, 242(F)  
ruthenium blues, 676  
ruthenium carbonyls  
  as catalysts, 799, 800(F)  
  physical properties, 709(T)  
  reactions, 711, 723, 726  
  structures, 713, 713(F)  
  synthesis of, 710  
ruthenium(II) complexes, 677–8  
  outer-sphere reaction, 781–2(WE)  
ruthenium(III) complexes, 676  
ruthenium(IV) complexes, 675  
ruthenium(V) complexes, 673–4  
ruthenium(VI) complexes, 672  
ruthenium(II), dinitrogen complexes, 677  
ruthenium(III), halo complexes, 676  
ruthenium(II), hexaammine cation, 676  
ruthenium(II), hexaaqua cation, 677  
ruthenium hexafluoride, 671  
ruthenium(II), hydrido anion, 254, 676  
ruthenium mixed-valence complexes, 676, 678–9  
ruthenium nitrosyl complexes, 677  
ruthenium(II), nitrosyl complexes, 677  
ruthenium organometallic compounds, 705(F), 730  
  *see also* ruthenium carbonyls  
  ruthenium(IV) oxide, 673  
  ruthenium(VIII) oxide, 672  
  ruthenium(VI) oxychloride, 230  
  ruthenium(VI) oxyfluoride, 671  
  ruthenium oxyfluorides, 671  
  ruthenium pentafluoride, 673  
  ruthenium–ruthenium multiple bond, 679  
  ruthenium tetrafluoride, 673  
  ruthenium trihalides, 675  
  ruthenium tris-chelates, 677  
Rutherford, Ernest, 55  
rutherfordium, 62(T)  
  synthesis of, 61  
rutile, 151, 593, 600(B)  
rutile (TiO<sub>2</sub>) structure, 151, 151(F), 342  
Rydberg constant, 4  
  
σ-bonded alkyl and aryl ligands, 700–1  
  complexes with, 724–5, 751, 753  
σ-bonding, 100  
σ orbitals  
  σ–π crossover, 34, 35(F), 679  
  σ/σ\* orbitals, 30  
σ-bonding, in metal carbonyl complexes, 701, 701(F)  
s-block elements, 20, 20(F), 257–92  
  abundance of isotopes, 875–6  
  coordination complexes, 268–71, 283  
  electronegativity (Pauling) values, 38(T), 879  
  ground state electronic configuration(s), 18(T), 260(T), 278(T), 880, 881, 882

- s*-block elements cont.  
 hydrides, 237, 251–3, 254  
 organometallic compounds, 504–11  
 physical properties, 877, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884  
 solutions in liquid ammonia, 279  
 solutions of metals in liquid ammonia, 219–20  
*see also* group 1; group 2
- S* notation for chiral molecules, 551(B)
- s* orbital(s)  
 boundary-surface representation, 14(F)  
 quantum numbers for, 10, 16(WE)  
 solutions of Schrödinger wave equation for, 11(T)
- s*–*p* separation, 33–5, 34(F)
- sacrificial anodes, 140, 201(B)
- saline (salt-like) carbides, 357
- saline (salt-like) halides, 472–3(WE), 749
- saline (salt-like) hydrides, 251–3
- saline (salt-like) nitrides, 401
- salt-bridge, 194
- saltpetre, 257, 387, 470
- samarium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
 physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 881
- samarium(III) complexes, 751
- samarium organometallic compounds, 753, 754(F), 755
- sand, 339, 370
- sandwich complexes, 268, 507, 509(F), 730, 755, 761  
*see also* ferrocene; metallocenes
- sandwich structures, cadmium iodide, 151
- Sarin (nerve gas), 388(B)
- Sasol process, 803
- satellite peaks (in NMR spectra), 72, 72(F), 550(B)
- saturated calomel electrode (SCE), 200(B)
- saturated solutions, 174
- Saturn, liquid hydrogen in core, 239(B)
- scandium, 597–8  
 abundance, 594(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 597(T), 880  
 metal, 597–8  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 371, 593  
 oxidation state, 540(T), 597  
 physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 878, 880, 884, 885  
 polymorphism, 136
- scandium complexes, 227, 546(F), 598
- scandium organometallic compounds, 725
- scandium oxohydroxide, 598
- scandium trihalides, 598
- SCE (saturated calomel electrode), 200(B)
- scheelite, 646
- Schiff base, 838(N)
- Schiff base complexes, 838
- Schottky defects, 158, 813–14
- Schrock-type carbene complexes, 730
- Schrock's catalyst, 730, 789
- Schrödinger wave equation, 6–9, 8(B)  
 solutions for hydrogen atom, 11(T)
- s-cis/s-trans* conformations (of conjugated double bonds), 519
- screening constant, determination of, 19(B)
- screening effects, 17
- seaborgium, 62(T)
- seawater, extraction of elements from, 257, 276, 470, 836(B)
- second order kinetics  
 base-catalysed hydrolysis, 774–5  
 electron-transfer processes, 778–9, 779  
 ligand substitution reactions, 773
- selection rules  
 for electronic transitions, 5, 571  
 for IR active modes, 91, 550(F)
- selenic acid, 462
- selenides, phosphorus selenides, 427
- selenium  
 abundance, 433(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 allotropes, 441  
 aqueous solution chemistry, 464–5  
 in biological systems, 830  
 bond enthalpy terms, 436(T)  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 435(T), 881  
 occurrence, 433  
 physical properties, 435(T), 877, 879, 881, 883, 884  
 preparation of, 441  
 reactions, 218, 442  
 Se<sub>∞</sub> chains, 95, 95(F)  
 uses, 434, 434(B)
- selenium halides, 451–2, 451(T)
- selenium–nitrogen compounds, 464
- selenium-77 NMR spectroscopy, 437(WE)
- selenium organometallic compounds, 530–1
- selenium oxides, 456–7, 457(WE)
- selenium oxoacids, 462
- selenium tetranitride, 464
- selenous acid, 456, 462
- self-consistent field (SCF) methods, effective nuclear charge calculated using, 19(B)
- self-exchange reactions, 779–80
- self-ionization  
 of bromine trifluoride, 225  
 of dinitrogen tetroxide, 226  
 of fluorosulfonic acid, 224  
 of liquid ammonia, 217, 218  
 of liquid hydrogen fluoride, 221  
 of non-aqueous solvents, 214, 217, 218, 221, 224, 226  
 of sulfuric acid, 223  
 of water, 163
- self-ionization constant  
 ammonia, 218(T)  
 water, 163, 218(T)
- self-ionizing non-aqueous solvents, 217–18  
 acids and bases in, 217
- semiconductors, 143–4  
 band theory for, 142(F), 143  
 crystal structures, 152  
*d*-block metal compounds, 600(B), 601, 619, 648, 695  
 doping of, 143–4, 296, 389, 821, 823  
 electrical resistivity, 141  
 extrinsic (n- and p-type), 143–4  
 group 14 elements and compounds, 143–4, 364, 375(B), 378, 514(B), 820(T), 821, 822–3  
 intrinsic, 143  
 purification of silicon for, 143(B), 356, 821  
 III–V semiconductors, 514(B), 822–3  
*see also* gallium arsenide; silicon
- semi-metals, 173(F), 338
- sequestering agents, 286, 288, 422
- serine, 831(T)
- serum transferrin, 833
- sesquihydrate, 286
- seven-centre two-electron bonding  
 interactions, in organometallics, 702
- seven-coordinate molecules  
*d*-block metal compounds and complexes, 545–6, 601, 617, 620, 630, 670  
*f*-block metal compounds and complexes, 750, 756, 758  
 shape(s), 45(F), 46(T)  
*see also* monocapped octahedral; monocapped trigonal prismatic; pentagonal bipyramidal
- seventeen-electron complexes, 735
- shielding of electrons, 17
- SHOP (Shell Higher Olefins Process), 797
- SI units  
 energy, 6  
 radioactivity, 57
- siderite, 138(B), 595
- siderophores, 834, 835(F)
- Siemens electric arc (steel-making) process, 138(B)
- silane, 247(F), 354  
 compared with methane, 343, 354  
 preparation of, 219, 354  
 structure, 82(F)
- silanes, 355  
 boiling points, 355(F)
- silica, 369–70  
 polymorphs, 369, 369(F)  
 uses, 340  
*see also* silicon dioxide
- silica gel, 340–1
- silica glass, 314(B), 369
- silicates, 339, 341, 370–3  
 structures, 370, 372(F)
- silicic acid, 370
- silicides, 358
- silicon  
 abundance, 339, 339(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 in biological systems, 830  
 bond enthalpy terms, 343(T)  
 compared with gallium arsenide as a semiconductor, 514(B)  
 doping of, 143–4, 821  
 extraction of, 339  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 342(T), 880  
 high-purity (for semiconductors), 143(B), 356, 821  
 lattice structure, 149, 152  
 physical properties, 342(T), 877, 879, 880, 884  
 purification of, 143(B), 356  
 reactions, 353, 353–4(WE), 356  
 as semiconductor, 143–4, 341(B), 820(T), 821  
 structure, 149  
 uses, 143–4, 340–1, 341(B), 820(T)
- silicon carbide  
 fibres, 826, 827  
 thin films, 822  
 uses, 822
- silicon dioxide  
 compared with carbon dioxide, 366  
 polymorphs, 150, 369(F)  
 reactions, 363, 821  
 uses, 340  
*see also* β-cristobalite
- silicon disulfide, 377(T), 378

- silicon halohydrides, 356  
 silicon hydrides, 343, 354–5  
 silicon nitride, 380–1  
   thin films, 822  
   uses, 380, 820(T), 822  
   whiskers, 380  
 silicon organometallic compounds, 376–7,  
   518–20  
 silicon–silicon double bonds, 343, 519  
 silicon–silicon triple bonds, 343  
 silicon tetraalkyls and tetraryls, 518  
 silicon tetrahalides, 343, 363, 363–4  
   physical properties, 361(T)  
 silicones, 376–7, 518  
   applications, 377(B)  
   structures, 376  
 silicosis, 341  
 silver, 689–94  
   abundance of isotope(s), 876  
   compared with copper, 689–90  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T),  
     650(T), 881  
   metal, 689–90  
   NMR active nuclei, 651  
   occurrence, extraction and uses, 647–8  
   oxidation states, 540(T), 689–90  
   physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 690(T),  
     881, 884, 886  
   recycling of, 690(B)  
   standard reduction potential, 196(T), 208,  
     885  
 silver amalgam, 648(B)  
 silver(I) ammine complex ions, 693–4  
 silver(I) azide, 400  
 silver bromide, formation of complexes, 461  
 silver(I) bromide, 692  
   Frenkel defects in, 159(F)  
   solubility in water, 174(T), 176(T)  
   uses, 471, 693(B)  
 silver(I) carbide, 357  
 silver(I) chloride  
   reduction of Ag(I), 199–200  
   solubility in water, 174(T), 176(T),  
     178(WE), 199  
 silver(I) chloride/silver electrode, 200(B)  
 silver(I) chromate, solubility in water, 174(T)  
 silver(I) complexes, 692–3  
 silver(II) complexes, 692  
 silver(III) complexes, 690  
 silver(I) cyano compounds, 694  
 silver difluoride, 691–2  
 silver(I) fluoride, solubility in water, 176(T)  
 silver(I) halides, 692  
   half-cells involving, 199–200  
   lattice energies, 156  
   solubility in water, 156–7, 174(T),  
     176(T)  
   uses, 648, 693(B)  
 silver(I) halo anions, 694  
 silver(I) iodide, 692  
   polymorphs, 692  
   reduction of Ag(I), 200  
   solubility  
     in liquid ammonia, 218  
     in water, 174(T), 176(T), 200, 218  
   uses, 648  
 silver(I) oxide, 692  
 silver(II) oxide, 692  
 silver sols, 691(B)  
 silver(I) sulfate, solubility in water, 174(T)  
 silver trifluoride, 690  
 silylenes, 519  
 simple cubic lattice, 134  
 SIMS (secondary ion mass spectrometry),  
   800(B)  
 singlet dioxygen, 438  
 singlets, 572(B)  
 six-coordinate molecules  
   *d*-block metal compounds, 544–5  
   *f*-block metal compounds and complexes,  
     750, 758  
   enantiomers, 95, 95(F)  
   shape(s), 45(F), 46(T), 541(T)  
   *see also* octahedral; trigonal prismatic  
 sixteen-electron complexes, 707  
   additions to, 724, 725  
 sixteen-electron metal centres, 719, 720,  
   722(B)  
 skutterudite, 595  
 slaked lime, 276, 277, 278(B)  
 Slater's rules, 19(B), 38, 145  
 slow neutrons, 57  
 Smalley, Richard, 1, 348  
 smectite clays, 374(B)  
 smelling salts, 396  
 smithsonite, 596  
 smoke detector, 748(B)  
 soda glass, 369–70  
 soda lime, 286  
 sodalite, 446(B)  
 sodanitre, 387  
 sodide ion, 270  
 sodium  
   abundance of isotope(s), 876  
   appearance of metal, 261  
   disposal of excess, 262–3  
   as drying agent, 262  
   flame colour, 261  
   ground state electronic configuration, 18(T),  
     260(T), 880  
   in liquid ammonia, 219, 220, 722  
   occurrence, 257  
   physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 260(T),  
     877, 879, 880, 883, 884, 885  
   production of, 192, 257–8, 259  
   reaction with water, 262  
   standard reduction potential, 208, 885  
 sodium alloys, 259  
 sodium  $\beta$ -alumina, 815  
   electrical conductivity, 815, 816(F)  
 sodium amalgam, 263, 648(B), 694, 722  
 sodium amide, 261  
   solid state structure, 261–2(WE)  
 sodium azide, 259, 392, 399, 400  
 sodium borohydride, 253  
 sodium bromide, solubility in water, 176(T)  
 sodium carbide, 357  
 sodium carbonate, 266, 267(F)  
   uses (in US), 267(F)  
   world production data, 266  
 sodium chlorate, 486  
 sodium chloride  
   electrolysis of aqueous solution, 266(B)  
   electrolysis of molten, 192, 227, 258, 471  
   lattice energy, 156  
   solid state structure, 148–9(WE)  
   solubility in water, 176(T)  
   uses, 259(F)  
   *see also* rock salt  
 sodium chlorite, 486  
 sodium chromate(VI), 607  
 sodium cyanide, 380  
 sodium D-line, 96, 96(B), 261  
 sodium dichromate(VI), 607  
 sodium fluoride  
   lattice energy, 154(WE)  
   solubility in water, 176(T)  
 sodium fulleride, 352  
 sodium hydride, 251, 252(T), 253  
 sodium hydrogencarbonate, 266  
   production of, 266, 267(F)  
 sodium hydroxide, 167, 265  
   production of, 266(B)  
   uses, 259(F)  
 sodium hypochlorite, 485–6  
 sodium iodide, solubility in water, 176(T)  
 sodium ion conductor, 815  
 sodium montmorillonite, 374(B)  
 sodium naphthalide, 504, 711  
 sodium nitride, 263  
   solid state structure, 263(F)  
 sodium nitrite, 415  
 sodium ozonide, 265  
 sodium peroxide, 264  
 sodium peroxoborate, 315, 443  
 sodium phosphate, 421  
 sodium silicates, 267  
 sodium sulfate, 281(B)  
 sodium/sulfur battery, 262(B), 815, 816  
 sodium super-ionic conductors, 816  
 sodium tetrahydroborate(1–), 253, 259,  
   303(F), 305  
 sodium tetraphenylborate, 511  
 soft cations and ligands, 187–8  
   examples, 188(T), 639, 681, 688, 696, 835  
 solar cells, 341(B), 648, 820(T)  
 solar collectors/panels, 341(B), 627(B)  
 solar power, 341(B)  
 solders, 139, 296, 344(B), 389  
 solid solution, 139  
 solid state, phase changes, 136  
 solid state lattices, defects in, 158–9, 813–15  
 solid state metal borides, 324  
   structures, 325(T)  
 solid state structures  
   group 2 compounds, 281(F)  
   halogens, 475  
   hydrogen bonding in, 247–50, 248(B)  
   hydrogen fluoride, 247, 249(F)  
 solubility, 174  
   ionic salts, 174–8  
   and saturated solutions, 174  
   sparingly soluble salts, 174, 175(WE)  
 solubility constant/product  
   dissolution of ionic salts, 175  
   sparingly soluble salts, 174, 174(T),  
     175(WE)  
 solution, exchange processes, 73  
 Solvay process, 266, 267(F), 277, 283  
   ammonia in, 396  
 solvent of crystallization, 236  
 solvent extraction, 180  
   lanthanoids, 747  
   in nuclear fuel reprocessing, 181(B)  
   by supercritical carbon dioxide, 231(B)  
 solvent-oriented acids and bases, 217  
 solvents  
   in NMR spectroscopy, 66(B)  
   non-aqueous, 214–35  
   substitution rate equations affected by,  
     767  
 solvolysis, in dinitrogen tetraoxide, 226–7  
 solvothermal method of synthesis, 375  
 Soman (nerve gas), 388(B)  
*sp* hybrid orbitals, 101–2, 101(F), 104, 105(F),  
   106

- $sp^2$  hybrid orbitals, 102, 102(F), 103(F), 104, 105  
 $sp^3$  hybrid orbitals, 103, 103(WE), 104  
 space-filling representation of lattices, 133(F), 134, 148(F)  
 space shuttle  
   construction materials, 826–7  
   fuel cells, 240(B)  
   fuels, 239, 487  
 spacecraft fuel, 226(B), 239, 397, 494(B)  
 sparingly soluble compounds  
   *d*-block metal halides, 638, 692  
   determination of solubilities, 65  
   group 2 compounds, 174(T), 282, 286  
   group 14 compounds, 174(T), 364, 365, 378, 378(WE), 381  
   solubility in water, 174, 174(T), 175(WE)  
   sulfides, 174(T), 378, 378(WE), 445  
 $sp^2d$  hybrid orbitals, 105  
 $sp^3d$  hybrid orbitals, 104  
 $sp^3d^2$  hybrid orbitals, 105  
 specific rotation of plane-polarized light, 96  
 spectral lines, 4–5  
 spectrochemical series of ligands, 559, 560  
 spectrophotometry, electron-transfer reactions studied by, 778(B)  
 spectroscopic timescale, 72, 778(B)  
 spectroscopy, *see also* electronic ...; IR ...; Mössbauer ...; NMR ...; UV-Vis ...; vibrational spectroscopy  
 sperrylite, 647  
 sphalerite, 150, 433, 596  
   indium in, 294  
 sphere-packing models, 131–4  
   applied to structures of elements, 134–6  
 spherical ion model, 146–7  
 spin-allowed transitions, 571, 575  
 spin crossover, 584, 585(F)  
 spin-forbidden transitions, 571, 575, 616  
 spin-only formula, 579, 583  
   uses, 581(WE)  
 spin-orbit coupling, 15(B), 571, 572(B), 583, 583(WE), 744  
 spin-orbit coupling coefficient/constant, 15(B), 572(B), 581  
   for first row *d*-block metal ions, 583(T)  
   range of values, 581, 584  
 spin-paired electrons, 16, 28  
 spin quantum number, 15, 15(B)  
   *see also* nuclear spin quantum number  
 spin-relaxation time (NMR), 68  
 spin selection rule, 571  
 spin-spin coupling  
   heteronuclear, 67(B), 69–72, 70(F), 71, 71(F), 550(B), 703  
   homonuclear, 66–7(B)  
 spinel nitrides, 381, 401  
 spinel structures, sodium  $\beta$ -alumina, 815(F)  
 spinels, 152, 316(B), 594, 615, 619  
   octahedral and tetrahedral sites in, 316(B), 586, 820  
   as pigments, 820  
 spirulina powder, 231(B)  
 spodumene, 257  
   extraction of elements from, 258  
 square antiprism, 547(F)  
 square antiprismatic complexes and molecules, 45(F), 46(T)  
   *d*-block metal compounds, 546, 547(F)  
   geometrical isomers, 49  
   Hf(V), 656  
   Hg(II), 696  
   interhalogen ions, 481(T)  
   metal halides, 478  
   Mn(II), 617  
   Mo(IV), 663  
   Nb(IV), 656  
   orbital hybridization for, 556(T)  
   Ta(V), 656  
   tellurium fluoride ions, 452  
   Th(IV), 756  
   W(IV), 663  
   Zintl ions, 359(F)  
   Zr(IV), 652  
 square antiprismatic crystal field, splitting of *d* orbitals in, 563(F)  
 square-based pyramid, trigonal bipyramid converted to, 73, 73(F), 528  
 square-based pyramidal complexes and molecules, 45(F)  
   bismuth halides, 411  
   Co(I), 254, 254(F)  
   Co(II), 628, 629  
   Cu(II), 636, 637  
   *d*-block metal compounds, 544, 712  
   geometrical isomers, 549  
   group 13 halides, 311, 311(F)  
   group 14 oxides, 376, 376(F)  
   group 15 organometallic compounds, 528  
   group 17 oxoacids, 487  
   Hf(IV), 652  
   Hg(II), 696  
   interhalogen compounds, 479, 481(T)  
   Ir(I), 254  
   Nb(V), 656  
   Ni(II), 631  
   orbital hybridization for, 104, 556(T)  
   Os(VI), 673  
   rhenium, 668  
   Ru(II) and Ru(VI), 673, 678  
   technetium, 668  
   Ti(IV), 601  
   V(IV), 604, 605  
   Zn(II), 641  
   Zr(IV), 652  
 square-based pyramidal crystal field, splitting of *d* orbitals in, 563(F)  
 square-based pyramidal *d*-block metal clusters, valence electron count for, 717(T)  
 square-based pyramidal species  
   in Berry pseudo-rotation, 73(F)  
   in octahedral substitution reactions, 774, 775(F)  
 square brackets, meaning of use, 162(N), 766(N), 771(B)  
 square planar complexes and molecules, 45(F)  
   Ag(II), 692  
   Au(III), 690  
   Co(II), 628, 629  
   crystal field splitting diagram for, 564(F), 564(WE)  
   Cu(II), 636, 637  
   *d*-block metal compounds, 543–4, 712  
   *d*-block organometallics, 725  
   geometrical isomers, 48, 549, 550(F)  
   interhalogen ions, 481(T)  
   IR spectroscopy, 549, 550(F)  
   Kepert model not applicable, 542  
   magnetic properties, 549  
   Mn(II), 616  
   Ni(II), 557, 564, 564(WE), 631, 632, 633  
   NMR spectroscopy, 550(B)  
   orbital hybridization for, 104, 105, 556(T)  
   Pd(II), 254, 564, 687–9  
   Pt(II), 254, 549, 550(F), 564, 687–9  
   relationship to octahedral complexes, 562, 563(F)  
   Ru(IV), 675  
   substitution in, 766–9  
   *trans*-influence in, 688(B)  
   vibrational modes, 93, 94(F), 550(F)  
   xenon tetrafluoride, 496(T)  
 square planar crystal field, 562  
   splitting of *d* orbitals in, 563(F)  
 square planar–octahedral interconversions, 632  
 square planar–tetrahedral interconversions, 633  
 SQUID (superconducting quantum interference device) systems, 579, 819  
 stability constants  
   coordination complexes, 180–6, 587–8  
   determination of, 182  
   stepwise, 181, 588  
   trends in, 182  
 stainless steel, 140, 140(B), 594  
   recycling of, 594(B)  
 standard calomel electrode, 696  
 standard cell potential(s), 194  
   calculation of, 195, 195(WE)  
   experimental determination of, 194  
   relationship to cell potential, 197–8  
 standard enthalpy of atomization, 137  
   *d*-block metals, 651(F)  
   factors affecting, 137  
   listed for various elements, 135(T), 651(F), 884  
   trends, 137, 537(F), 651(F)  
   *see also under individual elements, physical properties*  
 standard enthalpy of disproportionation, 158  
 standard enthalpy of formation, 157  
   group 1 halides, 264(T)  
   thallium trifluoride, 298–9(WE)  
 standard enthalpy of fusion, group 1 metals, 137, 260(T)  
 standard enthalpy of hydration, 176–7  
   listed for various ions, 177(T)  
   *see also under individual elements, physical properties*  
 standard enthalpy of solution, 175–6  
 standard enthalpy of transfer (of ions from water to organic solvent), 215–16  
 standard entropy of hydration, 176–7  
   group 1 metals, 260(T)  
   listed for various ions, 177(T)  
 standard entropy of solution, 175–6  
 standard Gibbs energy change  
   relationship to  
     enthalpy and entropy change(s), 169  
     equilibrium constant(s), 169, 175, 194, 211  
     standard cell potential, 194, 211  
   of solution, 175–6  
   of transfer of ions from water to organic solvent, 215–16  
 standard Gibbs energy of formation  
   aqueous ions, 175–7, 209, 209–10(WE)  
   carbon monoxide, variation with temperature, 210(F)  
   metal oxides, variation with temperature, 210, 210(F)  
 standard Gibbs energy of hydration, 176–7  
   group 1 metals, 260(T)  
   listed for various ions, 177(T)

- standard hydrogen electrode, 195, 200(B)  
 standard pressure, 136(N), 194(N)  
 standard reduction potentials, 195  
*d*-block metal compounds and complexes, 601, 604(F), 606(F), 610, 612, 617, 621, 626, 638, 659, 678(F), 689  
*d*-block metals, 537(T), 612, 634, 651, 652, 667(F), 690, 695(T), 885  
 first row  $M^{2+}$  ions, 589(T), 695(T)  
 determination of, 195  
 factors affecting magnitude, 208–9, 588–9  
 group 1 metals, 196(T), 221(T), 260(T), 885  
 group 2 metals, 196(T), 278(T), 885  
 group 13 metals, 196(T), 297(T), 322–3, 885  
 group 14 metals, 342(T), 381  
 lanthanoids, 749, 885  
 limitations, 198, 385  
 listed for various half-cells  
 in aqueous solutions, 196(T), 221(T), 885–7  
 in liquid ammonia, 221(T)  
 notation, 197(B)  
 in potential diagrams, 204  
 standard cell potential calculated from, 195(WE)  
*see also under individual elements, physical properties*  
 standard state, of solute in solution, 165  
 stannane, 247(F), 355  
 stannides, 220(F), 358  
 stationary states in Bohr (atomic) model, 5  
 steel(s), 139–40  
 alloy steels, 140, 594, 645, 646  
 carbon steels, 139  
 galvanized/zinc-coated, 139–40, 201(B), 596  
 manufacture of, 138(B), 594, 595  
 recycling of, 138–9(B), 594(B)  
 stainless, 594  
 tin-plated, 339(B), 341  
 step (on metal surface), 801, 801(F)  
 stepwise dissociation of acids, 166  
 stepwise stability constants of coordination complexes, 181, 588  
 trends, 182  
 stereochemical inert pair effect, 48  
 stereochemical non-rigidity, 72–3  
 examples, 254, 407, 451, 482, 703, 720  
 stereochemically active lone pair(s) of electrons, 43, 48, 449, 526  
 stereochemically specific hydrogenation, 752(B)  
 stereoelectronic effect, in trisilylamine, 356  
 stereoisomerism  
 in *d*-block metal complexes, 549–52, 552  
 in organomagnesium compounds, 510  
*see also geometrical isomers; optical isomers*  
 stereoregular polymers, production of, 512(B)  
 stereoretentive substitution, in square planar complexes, 767  
 stereoselective hydrogenation, 791–2  
 stereospecific polymerization of alkenes, 507, 512(B)  
 sterling silver, 139  
 stibane/stibine  
 physical properties, 247(F), 394(T)  
 reactions, 397  
 thermal decomposition of, 397(B)  
 stibine ligands, 703  
 stibnite, 387, 428, 433  
 stimulated emission (in laser), 744(B)  
 STM (scanning tunnelling microscopy), 800(B)  
 Stock, Alfred, 326  
 Stock nomenclature for oxidation states, 193  
 stoichiometric equations, 764  
 stopped-flow techniques, 778(B)  
 strong acids, 163–5, 167  
 nitric acid, 416  
 perhenic and pertechnetic acids, 668  
 sulfuric acid, 460  
 tetrafluoroboric acid, 307  
 strong bases, 167  
 strong field (in crystal field theory), 559  
 strontianite, 276, 277  
 strontium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 flame colour, 279  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 278(T), 881  
 mineral sources, 276, 277  
 physical properties, 135(T), 278(T), 877, 879, 881, 884  
 radioactive isotope, 279  
 reactivity, 279  
 uses, 277–8  
 strontium aqua species, 288  
 strontium ferrate(VI), 618(B)  
 strontium halides, 282–3  
 strontium hydroxide, 286  
 strontium nitrides, 402  
 strontium organometallic compounds, 510, 510–11(WE)  
 strontium oxide, 284  
 lattice energy, 285(WE)  
 melting point, 284(F)  
 standard Gibbs energy of formation, 210(F)  
 strontium peroxide, 285, 285(WE)  
 structural isomerism  
 in *d*-block metal complexes, 548–9, 682, 687  
*see also coordination ...; hydration ...; ionization ...; linkage ...; polymerization isomerism*  
 structural *trans*-effect, 688(B)  
 structure prototypes, 146–52  
 anti-ReO<sub>3</sub>, 263(F)  
 anticuprite, 641  
 antiferroite, 149  
 bismuth(III) iodide, 605  
 caesium chloride (CsCl), 149  
 CdI<sub>2</sub>/CdCl<sub>2</sub>, 151  
 cooperite (PtS), 635(F)  
 β-cristobalite (SiO<sub>2</sub>), 150–1  
 cuprite (Cu<sub>2</sub>O), 638  
 fluorite (CaF<sub>2</sub>), 149  
 K<sub>2</sub>GeF<sub>6</sub>, 614  
 K<sub>2</sub>MnF<sub>6</sub>, 614  
 K<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub>, 614, 685  
 nickel arsenide (NiAs), 402, 403(F)  
 perovskite (CaTiO<sub>3</sub>), 152  
 rhenium(VI) oxide (ReO<sub>3</sub>), 598(F)  
 rock salt (NaCl), 148  
 rutile (TiO<sub>2</sub>), 151  
 trirutile, 424(F)  
 wurtzite (ZnS), 151  
 zinc blende (ZnS), 149–50  
*styx* rules (for boron hydrides), 124(N), 327(N)  
 subhalides, Nb and Ta, 657–8, 658(WE)  
 sublimation, group 14 compounds, 365(WE), 366  
 suboxides  
 group 1, 265  
 group 14, 368–9  
 substitution mechanisms  
 nomenclature, 765(N)  
 types, 765  
 substitutional alloys, 139  
 SULEV (Super Ultra Low Emissions Vehicle)  
 standards, 805  
 sulfamic acid, 219  
 sulfate ion, 167  
 sulfate-reducing bacteria, hydrogenases from, 848–9, 849(F)  
 sulfates, 460  
 sulfide ion, test for, 623  
 sulfides, 446  
*d*-block metal sulfides, 446  
 group 14, 377–9  
 group 15, 426–8  
 solubility in water, 174(T), 445  
 sulfinyl fluoride, 450  
 sulfinyl halides, 450, 451  
 sulfite ion, 167, 457–8  
 sulfites, uses, 457  
 sulfonyl halides, 450, 451  
 sulfur  
 abundance, 433(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 allotropes, 3(B), 27, 439–40  
*cyclo*-allotropes, 440  
 aqueous solution chemistry, 464–5  
 bond enthalpy terms, 436(T)  
 fibrous, 439, 440  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 435(T), 880  
 isotopes, 3(B), 437, 457  
 occurrence, 432–3  
 physical properties, 435(T), 877, 879, 880, 883, 884  
 production (US) data, 433(F)  
 reactivity, 440–1  
 [S<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> anion, 446  
 [S<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> cation, 441  
 [S<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> anion, 447, 447(F)  
 S<sub>6</sub>, 3(B), 439, 440  
 [S<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> cation, 441, 441(F)  
 S<sub>8</sub>, 89(WE), 439, 440  
 [S<sub>19</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> cation, 441, 441(F)  
 S<sub>∞</sub> chains, 3(B), 439, 440  
 uses, 434, 434(F)  
 sulfur bridges, 639  
 sulfur chlorides, 450–1  
 sulfur dioxide, 453–5  
 as bactericide, 459(B)  
 emissions, 278(B), 454(B), 456(B)  
 liquid, as solvent, 217–18, 441, 454  
 manufacture of, 453  
 physical properties, 218(F), 218(T), 454(T)  
 pollution by, 454(B)  
 reactions, 454–5, 805  
 vibrational modes, 92(F)  
 sulfur fluorides, 448–50, 448(T)  
 sulfur hexafluoride, 449  
 bonding in, 120–3, 436  
 physical properties, 448(T)  
 structure, 82(F)  
 sulfur monochloropentafluoride, 449(F), 450  
 sulfur–nitrogen compounds, 462–4  
 reactions, 462–4, 463(F)  
 sulfur oxoacids and salts, 457–62  
 sulfur oxochlorides, 451  
 sulfur oxofluorides, 450

- sulfur tetrafluoride, 449  
 molecular shape, 47  
 physical properties, 448(T)  
 reactions, 449, 449(F)  
 structure, 449
- sulfur trioxide, 455  
 in oleum, 455, 459, 461  
 physical properties, 454(T)  
 production of, 455, 459, 805  
 solid state polymorphs, 455, 456(F)  
 vibrational modes, 93(F)
- sulfuric acid, 167, 459–60  
 acid–base behaviour in, 223  
 acid dissociation constant, 458(T)  
 as dehydrating agent, 281(B), 460  
 manufacture of, 433, 455, 459, 594  
 nomenclature, 168(B)  
 as non-aqueous solvent, 222–3  
 physical properties, 218(F), 222–3, 222(T)  
 protonation of, 460(WE)  
 reactions, 460  
 self-ionization of, 223  
 structure, 458(T), 459, 459(F)  
 uses, 434, 434(F)  
*see also* oleum
- sulfurous acid, 167, 457, 457–8, 458(T)
- sulfuryl halides, 450, 451
- Sun, fusion reactions in, 63
- super-iron battery, 618(B)
- superacids, 224, 445, 460, 655
- superconducting critical temperature, 352, 817  
 listed for various elements and compounds, 817(T)
- superconducting metals and intermetallics, 493–4, 593, 646
- superconductors  
 applications, 819  
 bismuthates, 424  
 cuprates, 817–19  
 fullerides, 352  
 high temperature, 152, 288, 324, 352, 389, 633(B), 635, 817–19  
 magnesium boride, 819
- supercritical amines, as solvents, 233
- supercritical ammonia, 232  
 applications, 233  
 physical properties, 232(T)
- supercritical carbon dioxide (scCO<sub>2</sub>), 218, 230, 232, 340(B), 367  
 applications, 231–2(B), 232  
 physical properties, 232(T)
- supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC), 231(B)
- supercritical fluids, 230–3  
 applications, 230, 231(B), 232–3  
 meaning of term, 230, 230(F)  
 properties, 230, 232(T)  
 as solvents, 230, 231(B), 232–3
- supercritical hydrothermal crystallization, 232
- supercritical water, 232  
 applications, 232–3  
 physical properties, 232(T)
- superexchange mechanism, 585, 840
- superoxide ion, 220, 264, 839  
 O–O bond distance in, 438, 438(B)
- superoxides, group 1, 264–5
- superoxo complexes, 627
- superphosphate fertilizers, 421(B)
- surface imperfections, 801, 801(F)
- surfaces  
 catalyst, 801, 804  
 experimental techniques for studying, 800(B)
- sylvanite, 433, 647
- sylvinites, 257
- sylvite, 257, 470
- symbols, listed, 867–8
- symmetrical hydrogen bonds, 245
- symmetry-allowed interactions, 41
- symmetry axis, rotation about, 80
- symmetry centre, reflection through, 82
- symmetry elements, 80, 84(WE)  
 nomenclature, 80, 81, 82  
 reason for use, 90
- symmetry labels, 90, 110, 558(B)
- symmetry matching of ligand group orbitals, 125–6
- symmetry operations, 79–80, 83–4(WE)  
 nomenclature, 80  
 successive operations, 84–5
- symmetry plane  
 absence in chiral molecules, 97  
 reflection through, 80–1
- syndiotactic polymers  
 meaning of term, 802(N)  
 production of, 734(B)
- synergic effect, 701
- synthesis gas, 239  
 conversion into hydrocarbons, 803–4, 807
- synthetic diamonds and gemstones, 345, 346(B), 347(B), 645, 652  
 production in various countries, 347(B)  
 uses, 346(B)
- synthetic rubbers, 507
- Szilard–Chalmers effect, 62
- T-shaped molecules, 45(F), 47, 47(F)  
 interhalogen compounds, 479, 481(T)  
*p*-block metal compounds, 543  
 vibrational modes, 93
- talc, 151, 371–2
- Tanabe–Sugano diagrams, 577  
 application, 577(WE)  
 for *d*<sup>2</sup> configuration in octahedral field, 577(F)
- tangential orbitals  
 in borane clusters, 329(B)  
 in Zintl ions, 360
- tanning agents, 594, 607
- tantalates, 655
- tantalite, 646
- tantalum, 654–8  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
 metal, 654  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 646  
 oxidation states, 540(T), 654  
 physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884
- tantalum complexes, 546(F)
- tantalum(IV) complexes, 656
- tantalum(V) complexes, 656
- tantalum nitride, 402(B)
- tantalum organometallic compounds, 730
- tantalum(V) oxide, 655
- tantalum(V) oxohalides, 655
- tantalum pentahalides, 654–5
- tantalum subhalides, 657–8
- tantalum tetrahalides, 656
- tartar emetic, 389
- Taube, Henry, 777
- taxol, extraction of, 231(B)
- TBP (tri-*n*-butyl phosphate), 181(B), 421
- technetium, 666–71  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
 mass number range, 876  
 metal, 666–7  
 metastable isotope (Tc-99m), 61(B), 669, 671(B)  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 646–7  
 oxidation states, 540(T), 666–7  
 physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884  
 potential diagram, 667(F)
- technetium carbonyl  
 physical properties, 709(T)  
 structure, 712, 712(F)
- technetium(I) carbonyl complex, 670, 671(B)
- technetium(III) complexes, 670
- technetium(IV) complexes, 669
- technetium(V) complexes, 668
- technetium(VI) complexes, 668, 669
- technetium(VII) complexes, 668
- technetium(V) halo complexes, 668
- technetium hexahalides, 667
- technetium hydrido complexes, 254, 668
- technetium imido compounds, 668
- technetium(IV) oxide, 669
- technetium(VII) oxide, 668
- technetium(V) oxo-complexes, 668
- technetium oxohalides, 667
- technetium pentafluoride, 667
- technetium tetrachloride, 669
- teeth  
 components, 423(B)  
 dating of, 474
- Teflon, 361
- telluric acid, 451, 462
- tellurite (mineral), 456
- tellurium  
 abundance, 433(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 aqueous solution chemistry, 464  
 bond enthalpy terms, 436(T)  
 element, 441  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 435(T), 881  
 occurrence, 433  
 physical properties, 435(T), 877, 879, 881, 883, 884  
 reactions, 442  
 uses, 434
- tellurium halides, 451–3, 451(T)
- tellurium-125 NMR spectroscopy, 437(WE)
- tellurium organometallic compounds, 530–1
- tellurium oxides, 456, 457, 457(WE)
- tellurium oxoacids, 462
- tellurium subhalides, 453
- tellurous acid, 462
- temporary catalyst poisons, 805
- temporary hardness of water, 286
- ten-coordinate molecules, *f*-block metal compounds and complexes, 750, 756
- Tennessee–Eastman acetic anhydride process, 794, 795(F)  
 catalyst for, 470(B), 722(B)
- terbium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
 physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 881
- terbium organometallic compounds, 755
- terephthalic acid, 248(B)
- term symbols, 572–4(B)
- 1,4,7,10-tetraazadecane ligand, 184(T), 637

- tetrabasic acids, 166  
*arachno*-tetraborane(10)  
 preparation of, 327  
 reactions, 332, 333(F)  
 structure, 326(F), 327
- tetrachloroaluminate ion, 227, 310–11  
 tetrachloromethane *see* carbon tetrachloride  
 tetradentate ligands, 184(T), 637  
 tetraethyllead, 259, 344(B), 474(B), 518, 524  
 tetrafluoroboric acid, 307  
 tetrafluoroethene, 361  
 tetrafluoromethane, 40, 361  
 tetragermabuta-1,3-dienes, 521  
 tetrahalides, metal, 478  
 tetrahedral complexes and molecules, 45(F), 46(T), 87(F)  
 Ag(I) and Au(I), 692–3  
 Cd(II), 695  
 Co(II), 628  
 crystal field splitting diagram for, 564(WE)  
 Cu(I), 638, 639  
 Cu(II) (flattened structure), 636, 637, 637(F)  
*d*-block metal compounds, 542, 598–9, 612, 613, 668, 712  
 Fe(II), 624  
 group 14 compounds, 363, 369  
 group 15 organometallic compounds, 528  
 Hf(IV), 652  
 Hg(II), 696  
 magnetic properties, 549  
 Mn(II), 616  
 Ni(II), 254, 557, 564, 564(WE), 631, 633  
 orbital hybridization for, 103, 103(WE), 308(F), 556(T)  
 orbital interactions in, 115–16  
 Os(VI), 672, 673  
 Ru(VII) (flattened structure), 672  
 vibrational modes, 93, 94(F)  
 Zn(II), 641  
 Zr(IV), 652
- tetrahedral crystal field, 562  
 splitting of *d* orbitals in, 563(F)
- tetrahedral *d*-block metal clusters, valence electron count for, 717(T)
- tetrahedral holes in close-packed lattices, 133–4, 139, 316(B)
- tetrahedral–octahedral interconversions, 628, 629
- tetrahedral point group, 86
- tetrahedral species  
 boron halide clusters, 309(F)  
*d*-block metal compounds, 543
- tetrahedral–square planar interconversions, 633
- tetrahydroaluminate(1–) ion, 306–7  
*see also* lithium tetrahydroaluminate(1–)
- tetrahydroborate(1–) ion, 305–6  
 dynamic behaviour of complexes, 306(WE)  
*see also* aluminium ... ; sodium tetrahydroborate(1–)
- tetramethylsilane, as NMR spectral reference, 66(B), 68(T)
- tetramethyltin, NMR spectroscopy, 345(WE)
- tetramethyltitanium, 724
- tetraselenafulvalene derivative, 530
- tetraselenium tetranitride, 464
- tetrasulfur tetranitride, 462–3
- textile fibres, 314(B)
- textile industry, supercritical carbon dioxide used, 231(B)
- thalidomide, 791
- thallium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 appearance of metal, 300  
 extraction of, 295  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 297(T), 881  
 occurrence, 293  
 physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 297(T), 877, 879, 881, 884, 885  
 reactivity, 301  
 structure of metal, 134, 135(T)  
 uses, 296  
 world production of, 296
- thallium cyclopentadienyl complexes, 518
- thallium(I) halides, 313
- thallium(III) halides, 298–9(WE), 311
- thallium–nitrogen cluster compounds, 322
- thallium-205 NMR spectroscopy, 299
- thallium organometallic compounds, 514–18
- thallium oxide, 317
- thallium sulfate, 296
- thallium trialkyls, 514–15
- thallium triaryls, 515
- theorems *see* models and theories
- thermal neutrons, 57, 58
- thermite process, 301
- thermochemical cycles  
 electron affinities in, 25, 157  
 ionization energies in, 23, 155, 156(F)  
 standard enthalpies of atomization in, 137, 155, 156(F)
- thallium fluorides, 298(WE)  
*see also* Born–Haber cycles
- thermochromic compounds, 529, 604
- thermodynamic *6s* inert pair effect, 279, 297, 298(B), 299(B), 322, 364, 517, 694
- thermodynamic stability, *d*-block metal complexes, 764
- thermodynamics  
 coordination complex formation, 182–3, 185  
 crystal/lattice defects, 814–15  
*d*-block metal complexes, 585–7  
 dissociation of hydrogen halides, 169–70  
 dissolution of ionic salts in aqueous solution, 175–6  
 electrochemical cell reactions, 194  
 in Frost–Ebsworth diagrams, 205–6  
 hydration of ions, 176–7  
 hydrolysis of carbon halides, 361  
*see also* enthalpy; entropy; Gibbs energy
- thermonuclear bomb, 62
- thiating agents, 426
- thiazyl fluoride, 463
- thiazyl trifluoride, 463
- thin film materials, applications, 820(T)
- thin film pigments, 311(B)
- thiocyanate ion, 440
- thiocyanate ligands, bonding by, 549, 682, 779
- thioneins, 835
- thionyl chloride, 217
- thionyl halides, 450, 451
- thiosulfates, 461, 693(B)
- thiosulfuric acid, 461
- thixotropy, of clays, 374(B)
- thorium  
 extraction from ore, 747, 748  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882  
 longest lived isotope, 741, 755(T)  
 mass number range, 876  
 metal, 755  
 oxidation state, 743(T), 756  
 physical properties, 742(T), 882  
 thorium-230, 56(F), 57(T)  
 thorium-232, 741, 755(T)  
 thorium-234, 56, 56(F), 57(T)  
 thorium carbide, 357  
 thorium(IV) complexes, 756–7  
 thorium cyclopentadienyl complexes, 760  
 thorium(IV) halides, 756  
 thorium(IV) hydroxide, 756  
 thorium organometallic complexes, 759–61  
 thorium(IV) oxide, 756  
 thorocene, 761  
 thortveitite, 371, 593
- three-centre two-electron (3c-2e) bonding interactions, 123–4  
 in beryllium compounds, 254, 507  
 in *d*-block organometallics, 702, 720  
 in hydrides, 124, 301, 302, 327  
 hydrogen-bonding, 245  
 in hydrogen difluoride anion, 123  
 in xenon fluorides, 123–4, 497
- three-coordinate  
*d*-block metal compounds and complexes, 543, 543(F), 638, 641, 651  
 shape(s), 45(F), 46(T), 541(T)  
*see also* T-shaped ... ; trigonal planar ... ; trigonal pyramidal molecules
- III–V semiconductors, 514(B), 822–3
- threonine, 831(T)
- thulium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
 physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 881  
 thulium organometallic compounds, 753, 755  
 thyroid cancer, 60(B)
- tilt angle, for cyclopentadienyl complexes, 519(F), 523
- tin  
 abundance, 339(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 $\alpha$ -form (grey tin), 136, 137(WE), 143, 149  
 $\beta$ -form (white tin), 136  
 bond enthalpy terms, 343(T)  
 extraction of, 210, 339  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 342(T), 881  
 minerals, 151, 210, 339  
 physical properties, 135(T), 342(T), 877, 879, 881, 884, 886  
 polymorphism, 136  
 reactivity, 353  
 recycling of, 339(B)  
 structure, 136, 149  
 uses, 341
- tin alloys, 341
- tin, aqua ions, 381
- tin-based flame retardants, 341, 469(B)
- tin cyclopentadienyl complexes, 523
- tin dioxide, 341
- tin halides, 364
- tin hydride, 355
- tin(IV) hydride *see* stannane
- tin-119 Mössbauer spectroscopy, 344
- tin(IV) nitride, 381
- tin-119 NMR spectroscopy, 344
- tin organometallic compounds, 521–4, 523–4(WE)
- tin(II) oxide, 376
- tin(IV) oxide, 375  
 standard Gibbs energy of formation, 210(F)  
 uses, 375(B), 820(T)

- tin-plated steel, 339(B), 341  
tin sulfides, 377(T), 378  
tin tetrafluoride, 365, 365(WE)  
tin Zintl ions, 358  
  structure, 358–9(WE), 359, 359(F)  
titanates, 599–600  
  preparation of, 824  
  thin films, 825  
  uses, 824(T)  
  see also barium titanate; mixed oxides;  
  perovskite  
*Titanic*, rusticles on, 619(B)  
titanium, 598–601  
  abundance, 594(F)  
  abundance of isotope(s), 876  
  ground state electronic configuration, 18(T),  
  597(T), 880  
  metal, 593, 598  
  minerals, 151, 593  
  occurrence, extraction and uses, 593  
  oxidation states, 540(T), 598  
  physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 878,  
  880, 884, 885  
titanium alkoxides, 599(F), 600  
titanium-based catalysts, 512(B), 722(B), 802,  
803(F)  
titanium boride, 324, 598  
titanium carbide, 357, 598, 820(T)  
titanium complexes, 601  
titanium dihalides, 601  
titanium dioxide  
  manufacture of  
  by chloride process, 593, 600(B)  
  by sulfate process, 600(B)  
  non-stoichiometric form, 814  
  occurrence, 151, 593  
  uses, 341(B), 600(B), 820  
  see also rutile  
titanium(III), hexaaqua ion, 601  
  absorption spectra, 559, 574  
titanium hydrides, 251, 598  
titanium(IV) nitrate, 598, 599(F)  
titanium nitride, 402(B), 598, 820(T)  
titanium organometallic compounds, 542, 601,  
724  
titanium(II) oxide, 601, 814, 816, 816(F)  
titanium(III) oxide, 601  
titanium peroxo complexes, 601  
titanium tetrahalides, 230, 598–9  
titanium trihalides, 601  
titrimetric analysis, 461, 601, 607  
TMEDA (tetramethylethylenediamine)  
  *f*-block organometallic compounds  
  stabilized by, 751, 759, 759(F)  
  lithium alkyls solubilized by, 506, 545  
TNT (trinitrotoluene), 388  
TOF (catalytic turnover frequency), 789  
Tolman cone angle, 703  
  listed for various phosphine and phosphite  
  ligands, 704(T)  
TON (catalytic turnover number), 789  
tooth decay, 423(B)  
toothpaste ingredients, 285  
total valence electron counting schemes,  
716–19  
  applications, 718(WE)  
  condensed cages, 718  
  limitations, 719  
  single cage structures, 717–18  
toxicity  
  actinoids, 756  
  cadmium, 648  
  carbon monoxide, 366, 839  
  chromium compounds, 594, 607  
  cyanides, 380, 647(B), 839, 854  
  *d*-block metals and compounds, 648,  
  648(B), 672  
  *f*-block metals, 756  
  group 2 metals and compounds, 277  
  group 13 compounds, 296, 296(B)  
  group 14 metals and compounds, 344(B),  
  362, 379, 380  
  group 15 metals and compounds, 386,  
  386(B), 389, 397, 400  
  group 16 compounds, 445, 448, 450, 456  
  mercury, 648(B)  
  osmium and ruthenium compounds, 672  
  trace elements, 288, 595, 830  
  *trans*-effect, 687, 768–9  
   compared with, *trans*-influence, 688(B)  
  *trans*-influence, 688(B), 768  
   compared with, *trans*-effect, 688(B)  
  *trans*-isomers, 48, 49, 549  
  IR spectroscopy, 549, 550(F)  
  NMR spectroscopy, 550(B)  
  platinum(II) complexes, 549, 550(F),  
  552(F), 687  
  transannular interactions, 441  
  transferrins, 832, 833  
  Fe<sup>3+</sup> binding site in, 833(F)  
  transition elements, 21, 535  
  see also *d*-block metals  
  transition state, 765  
  translational motion, degrees of freedom, 90  
  transmetallation reactions, 504, 509, 511  
  transmutation of elements, 55–6  
  transuranium elements, 58, 741–2  
  IUPAC nomenclature, 62(T)  
  syntheses of, 61–2  
  synthesis of, 748  
  see also americium; berkelium; bohrium;  
  californium; curium; dubnium;  
  einsteinium; fermium; hassium;  
  lawrencium; meitnerium; mendelevium;  
  neptunium; nobelium; plutonium;  
  rutherfordium; seaborgium  
  transuranium metals, syntheses of, 58  
  tremolite, 371, 373(F)  
  triads (of *d*-block elements), 20(F), 535  
  trialkylaluminum compounds, 512–13, 512(B)  
  trialkylboranes, 303(F), 511  
  triangular *d*-block metal clusters, valence  
  electron count for, 717(T)  
  tri-*n*-butyl phosphate, 181(B), 421  
  tricapped trigonal prismatic molecules  
  borane cluster compounds, 330(F)  
  boron halide clusters, 309, 309(F)  
  *d*-block metal compounds, 254, 254(F), 547,  
  598, 651  
  *f*-block metal compounds and complexes,  
  750, 756, 759  
  orbital hybridization for, 556(T)  
  Zintl ions, 358(WE), 359(F), 360(F)  
  tridentate ligands, 184(T), 305, 324(F), 547  
  trigermylamine, 356  
  trigonal bipyramid, conversion to square-  
  based pyramid, 73, 73(F), 528  
  trigonal bipyramidal clusters  
  boranes, 330(F)  
  carborane, 333  
  *d*-block metal clusters, 717(T)  
  Zintl ions, 220(F), 359(F)  
  trigonal bipyramidal complexes and  
  molecules, 45(F), 46, 46(T), 47, 544, 712  
  Cd(II), 695  
  Co(II), 628  
  Cu(II), 636, 637  
  dynamic interconversion of, 73, 73(F)  
  geometrical isomers, 49, 549  
  group 14 organometallic compounds,  
  519–20(WE)  
  group 15 halides, 87–8(WE), 409, 410  
  group 15 organometallic compounds, 528  
  Hg(II), 696  
  Kepert model, 542  
  Mn(II), 616  
  Ni(II), 631  
  orbital hybridization for, 104, 556(T)  
  organosilicon hydrides, 519–20(WE)  
  sulfur halides and oxohalides, 449  
  Ta(V), 656  
  Y(III), 651  
  trigonal bipyramidal crystal field, splitting of  
  *d* orbitals in, 563(F)  
  trigonal bipyramidal transition state or  
  intermediate  
  in octahedral substitution reactions, 774,  
  775(F)  
  in square planar substitution reactions,  
  767–8  
  trigonal planar complexes and molecules,  
  45(F), 46(T)  
  Ag(I), 693  
  boron halides, 307  
  Co(II), 628  
  Cu(I), 638  
  *d*-block metal compounds, 543  
  Hg(II), 696  
  Kepert model, 542  
  nitrate ion, 417, 418(F)  
  orbital hybridization for, 102, 103(F), 104,  
  106, 106–7(WE), 308(F), 556(T)  
  orbital interactions in, 113–15, 120, 121(F)  
  symmetry elements in, 80, 84(WE)  
  vibrational modes, 92–3, 93(F)  
  trigonal prism, relationship to octahedron,  
  545, 625(B)  
  trigonal prismatic *d*-block metal clusters,  
  valence electron count for, 717(T)  
  trigonal prismatic molecules  
  *d*-block metal compounds, 545, 725  
  nickel arsenide, 402, 403(F)  
  orbital hybridization for, 556(T)  
  relationship to octahedral molecules, 545,  
  625(B)  
  trigonal pyramidal molecules, 45(F)  
  ammonia, 396  
  *d*-block metal compounds, 543  
  *f*-block metal compounds and complexes,  
  759  
  group 15 halides, 407, 409  
  halate ions, 486  
  orbital hybridization for, 103(WE)  
  orbital interactions in, 113–15  
  organometallic compounds, 528, 530  
  sulfite ion, 457–8  
  symmetry elements in, 84(WE)  
  symmetry operations in, 83–4(WE)  
  vibrational modes, 93  
  trihalides, metal, 478  
  trihydrogen cation, 243(B)  
  triiodide anion, 483  
  triiron dodecacarbonyl, 710, 712, 712(F), 713  
  trimesic acid, 248(B)  
  trimethylaluminum, 512  
  trimethylamine, detection of, 600(B)

- triphenylaluminium, 512  
triphenylantimony oxide, 528  
triphenylarsenic oxide, 528  
triphenylbismuth oxide, 528  
triphosphate ion, 288, 422, 423(F)  
triphosphoric acid, 420(T), 421, 422  
triple bonds *see* metal–metal multiple bonds  
triple superphosphate fertilizers, 421(B)  
triplets, 572(B)  
triply degenerate orbital, notation for, 558(B)  
tripodal ligands, 542  
  *d*-block metal complexes with, 544, 630  
  Kepert model, 542  
trirhenium(III) chloro complexes, 669–70  
trirutile lattice, 424, 424(F)  
tris-chelate complexes, 549  
trisilylamine, 356–7  
trisilylphosphine, 356  
tris-oxalato complexes, racemization of, 777  
trisulfuric acid, 461  
trithiocarbonates, 377  
tritium  
  in nuclear fission, 62  
  production of, 62  
tritium (T), 238  
  fusion with deuterium, 62  
  production of, 238  
  properties, 237(T)  
trona (mineral), 266  
tropospheric pollutants, 414(B)  
tropylium cation, 736(N)  
Trouton's rule, 246  
tungstates(VI), 660  
tungsten, 658–66  
  abundance of isotope(s), 876  
  ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
  metal, 658–9  
  NMR active nuclei, 649  
  occurrence, extraction and uses, 646  
  oxidation states, 540(T), 658–9  
  physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884  
  uses, 820(T)  
tungsten bronzes, 662  
tungsten carbide, 357, 646  
tungsten carbonyl  
  physical properties, 709(T)  
  reactions, 723  
  structure, 712  
  synthesis of, 710  
tungsten(III) complexes, 664  
tungsten(IV) complexes, 663  
tungsten(V) complexes, 662–3  
tungsten(VI) complexes, 662  
tungsten dihalides, 665  
tungsten(IV) halo complexes, 663  
tungsten hexahalides, 649, 659  
tungsten organometallic compounds, 545(F), 725, 736–7  
  *see also* tungsten carbonyl  
tungsten(IV) oxide, 663  
tungsten(VI) oxide, 660  
  uses, 659(B), 660, 820(T)  
tungsten(VI) oxohalides, 659  
tungsten pentahalides, 662  
tungsten(VI) peroxo complexes, 662  
tungsten tetrahalides, 663  
tungsten trihalides, 663  
tungsten–tungsten multiple bonds, 664, 665  
'tungstic acid', 660  
tunichrome, 836(B)  
tunnelling (outer-sphere) mechanism, 779  
Turnbull's blue, 620  
Tutton salts, 605, 635, 677  
twelve-coordinate molecules  
  *d*-block metal compounds, 547  
  *f*-block metal compounds and complexes, 750, 756, 758  
twenty-electron complexes, 731  
twist mechanisms (for interconversions of enantiomers), 776, 776(F)  
two-centre two-electron bonding interactions, 28, 102, 179, 281(F), 309, 327, 700  
  in beryllium organometallics, 508(B)  
  in organometallics, 702  
two-coordinate molecules  
  *d*-block metal compounds/complexes, 543, 543(F)  
  shape(s), 45(F), 46(T), 541(T)  
  *see also* bent . . . ; linear molecules  
two-phase solvent system, 180, 181(B)  
typical elements, 21  
tyrosine, 831(T)  
Ukrainian red, 620  
ulexite, 315  
ultramarine, 446(B)  
uncertainty principle, 6  
underwater steel structures, 201(B)  
ungerade orbitals, 742  
ungerade (subscript on symmetry label), 558(B)  
unit cell(s), 132  
  β-cristobalite lattice, 150(F)  
  body-centred cubic lattice, 134(F)  
  cadmium iodide lattice, 151(F)  
  caesium chloride lattice, 149(F)  
  close-packed lattices, 133(F)  
  cooperite lattice, 635(F)  
  face-centred cubic lattice, 133(F), 148(F), 261(WE)  
  fluorite lattice, 150(F)  
  hexagonal close-packed lattice, 133(F)  
  iron antimonate, 424(F)  
  krypton difluoride, 497(F)  
  nickel arsenide lattice, 403(F)  
  perovskite lattice, 152(F), 818(F)  
  rock salt lattice, 148(F)  
  rutile lattice, 151(F)  
  simple cubic lattice, 134(F)  
  trirutile lattice, 424(F)  
  wurtzite lattice, 151(F)  
  xenon difluoride, 497(F)  
  YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 818(F)  
  zinc blende lattice, 150(F)  
units  
  aqueous solutions, 165–6  
  effective magnetic moment, 579  
  energy, 6  
  magnetic susceptibility, 580(B)  
  radioactivity, 57  
unsaturated compounds, hydrogenation of, 239, 244  
ununbium, 61, 62(T)  
unununium, 61, 62(T)  
uranates, 757  
uranium  
  abundance of isotope(s), 876  
  enrichment of, 61, 471  
  extraction from ore, 748  
  fission products, 58, 646, 677  
  ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 882  
  longest lived isotope, 741, 755(T)  
  mass number range, 876  
  metal, 756  
  oxidation states, 743(T), 757  
  physical properties, 742(T), 882  
  potential diagram, 758(F)  
  radioactive decay series (U-238), 56, 275, 469  
  separation from plutonium, 181(B), 218  
uranium-234, 56(F), 57(T)  
uranium-235, fission of, 58–9  
uranium-238, 56(F), 57(T), 741, 755(T)  
uranium compounds, 757–8  
uranium cyclopentadienyl complexes, 760, 760(F)  
uranium hexafluoride, 61, 471, 481, 757  
uranium hexahalides, 757  
uranium organometallic complexes, 759–61  
uranium(IV) oxide, 61  
uranium(VI) oxide, 61, 757  
uranium pentahalides, 757  
uranium tetrahalides, 757  
uranocene, 761  
uranyl ion, 748, 757  
uranyl nitrate, 61, 181(B), 757  
urea, 395(B)  
US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), on green chemistry, 228(B)  
US Presidential Green Chemistry Challenge Awards, 228(B), 386(B)  
USY (ultrastable Y) catalysts, 807  
vacuum, permittivity, 5, 176, 215  
valence bond (VB) theory, 26  
  for boron hydrides, 124, 327(N)  
  compared with molecular orbital theory, 116–17  
  for *d*-block metal complexes, 556–7  
  in diatomic molecules, 27–9  
  nomenclature, 557(B)  
  orbital hybridization, 100–5  
  orbital hybridization schemes, 555–6  
  in polyatomic molecules, 105–7  
valence electron counts  
  in *d*-block organometallic compounds, 570, 716–19  
  listed for various cluster frameworks, 717(T)  
  values for various ligands, 570, 708  
  *see also* eighteen-electron rule; total valence electron counting schemes  
valence electrons, 22  
  and isoelectronicity, 43  
  representation in Lewis structures, 26  
valence-shell electron-pair repulsion theory *see* VSEPR theory  
valinomycin, 271(F)  
  potassium ion, 271, 271(F)  
van der Waals forces, 27  
  in F-containing compounds, 472  
  in sandwich structures, 151, 156  
van der Waals radii, 27  
  group 18 elements, 135(T), 878  
  listed for various elements, 877–8  
van Vleck equation, 582  
vanadates, 602  
vanadinite, 593  
vanadium, 602–5  
  abundance, 594(F)  
  abundance of isotope(s), 876  
  in biological systems, 830, 831(T)  
  ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 597(T), 880

- vanadium cont.  
 metal, 602  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 593–4  
 oxidation states, 540(T), 602  
 physical properties, 135(T), 597(T), 878, 880, 884, 885  
 potential diagram for, 604(F)  
 storage and transport in biological systems, 836(B)  
 thin films, 824
- vanadium carbonyl  
 physical properties, 709(T)  
 structure, 712  
 synthesis of, 710
- vanadium complexes, 605
- vanadium(II) complexes, 605  
 water exchange reaction, 772
- vanadium(III) complexes, 605
- vanadium dihalides, 605
- vanadium(II), hexaaqua ion, 605
- vanadium(III), hexaaqua ion, 577(WE), 605
- vanadium organometallic compounds *see*  
 vanadium carbonyls; vanadocene
- vanadium(II) oxide, 605, 816
- vanadium(III) oxide, 605
- vanadium(V) oxide, as catalyst, 455, 594, 805
- vanadium oxides, 602–3, 604, 605
- vanadium oxohalides, 602, 604
- vanadium(IV) oxosulfate, 604
- vanadium(IV) oxyacetylacetonate complexes, 604
- vanadium pentafluoride, 602
- vanadium peroxy complexes, 444(F)
- vanadium tetrahalides, 604
- vanadium trihalides, 605
- vanadocene, 731
- vanadocytes, 836(B)
- vanadyl ion, 172–3, 604
- Vaska's compound, 684, 724  
 reactions, 724, 725
- vasodilator drugs, 623
- vehicle emissions, 414(B), 646(B)
- vibrational motion, degrees of freedom, 90
- vibrational spectroscopy, 90  
 isomers distinguished using, 549  
*see also* IR...; Raman spectroscopy
- vibronic coupling, 571
- violet phosphorus, 392–3  
*see also* Hittorf's phosphorus
- volcanic emissions, 456(B)
- volume of activation, 765–6
- volume magnetic susceptibility, 580(B)
- VSEPR (valence-shell electron-pair repulsion)  
 theory, 43, 45–7, 46–7(WE)  
 limitations, 48  
 minimum-energy structures, 45(F), 46(T)  
 structures predicted/rationalized  
 ammonia, 103(WE)  
 group 15 organometallics, 530(WE)  
 group 16 organometallics, 531  
 hydrides, 253, 519–20(WE)  
 interhalogens and ions, 47, 479, 480, 481(T)  
 organosilicon hydrides, 519–20(WE)  
 sulfur tetrafluoride, 449  
 xenon compounds, 46–7(WE), 93, 496, 499
- vulcanization of rubber, 440, 450, 597
- Wacker process (for acetaldehyde), 787–8, 788(F)
- Wade's rules, 328, 358  
 applications  
 boranes, 328(WE), 330(WE)  
 carboranes, 333(WE)  
 carbonyls, 714–15, 715–16(WE)  
 germides/plumides/stannides, 358, 359, 360, 361  
 Zintl ions, 359, 360, 403(WE)
- capping principle, 716  
 application, 716(WE)
- isolobal relationship, 714
- parent deltahedra for, 330(F), 333–4
- PSEPT approach, 715  
 application, 715–16(WE)
- relationship to MO approach, 329(B)  
*see also* PSEPT
- washing powders, 286, 315, 373, 422
- water, 442  
 amphoteric behaviour, 173  
 anomalous properties, 246, 247(F)  
 bonding in molecule, 109–12  
 as Bronsted acid or base, 163–5  
 compared with deuterium oxide, 238(T)  
 density, variation with temperature, 163  
 fluoridation of, 423(B)  
 'hard', 286  
 as Lewis base, 171–2  
 liquid range, 218(F)  
 molarity, 162(WE)  
 molecular dipole moment, 40  
 molecular shape, 46, 101(F)  
 nitrates/nitrites in, 417(B)  
 oxidation of, 198  
 physical properties, 163(T), 215(T), 218(F), 218(T), 238(T), 247(F)  
 compared with liquid ammonia, 218, 218(T)  
 compared with non-aqueous solvents, 218(F)  
 properties, 162–5, 176  
 purification of, 265, 322, 340(B), 373, 439, 443(B), 484, 612(B)  
 reduction of, 198  
 self-ionization of, 163  
 softening of, 286, 288, 422  
 solid-state structure, 163(F)  
 supercritical, 232–3  
 symmetry elements in molecule, 81(F), 89, 110(F)  
 as weak-field ligand, 586
- water exchange reactions, for octahedral  
*d*-block metal complexes, 770–2
- water–gas shift reaction, 239, 366  
 catalysts, 239, 722(B), 801(T)
- water glass, 370
- wave mechanics, 4, 6–9
- wave–particle duality, 6
- wavefunctions, 6, 9  
 angular components, 6, 9, 12–13  
 linear combination of, 28  
 normalization of, 12(B), 28  
 notation, 12(B)  
 radial components, 6, 9, 10–11, 10(F), 11(F)
- wavenumber, meaning of term, 4, 873
- weak acids, 164, 166  
 carbamic acid, 396  
 group 15 oxoacids, 415  
 group 16 hydrides, 170, 445  
 halogen oxoacids, 485, 488  
 hydrocyanic acid, 380  
 hypochlorous acid, 167
- weak bases, 164, 168–9
- weak field (in crystal field theory), 559
- weak-field ligands, 586
- weakly bound encounter complex, 772
- wear-resistant coatings, 820(T), 824
- weedkillers, 486
- welding, 494(B)
- Werner, Alfred, 541(N), 625(B)
- Weston standard cell, 648
- whiskers, 380, 826
- white cast iron, 138(B)
- white phosphorus, 388, 392, 392(F)
- white pigments, 820  
*see also* opacifiers; titanium dioxide; zircon;  
 zirconium(IV) oxide
- white tin, 136
- white wine, 459(B)
- Wilkinson's catalyst, 683, 791  
 applications, 791–2, 792(F), 792(T)
- windows, electrochromic, 659(B)
- wine, production of, 459(B)
- Wolfram's red salt, 685
- wolframite, 646
- wollastonites, 371
- wood preservatives, 386(B), 518, 521(B), 607, 640
- wrought iron, 138(B)
- wurtzite (ZnS) structure, 151  
 properties, 640  
 semiconductors, 152  
 structure, 151
- X-ray diffraction  
 disorders, 406  
 hydride complexes, 703  
 ionic lattices studied by, 146, 146–7(B)  
 ionic radius determined by, 144  
 isomers distinguished using, 549  
 powder diffraction techniques, 146(B)  
 surfaces studied by, 800(B)
- XANES (X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy), 800(B)
- xenates, 499
- xenon  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 extraction of, 493  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 495(T), 881  
 physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 158(F), 495(T), 878, 881  
 uses, 494, 494(B)
- xenon–carbon bond formation, 499–500
- xenon–carbon–chlorine bond formation, 500
- xenon chlorides, 498–9
- xenon difluoride  
 bonding in, 123–4, 125(F), 482, 497  
 molecular shape, 46(WE), 496(T)  
 physical properties, 496(T)  
 production of, 496  
 reactions, 481, 497  
 solid state lattice, 497(F)
- xenon fluorides, 496–8
- xenon heptafluoride ion, structure, 498, 498(F)
- xenon hexafluoride  
 molecular shape, 496(T)  
 physical properties, 496(T)  
 production of, 496  
 reactions, 497–8
- xenon–metal bond formation, 500–1
- xenon–nitrogen bond formation, 499

- xenon-129  
 as MRI clinical agent, 74(B)  
 NMR spectroscopy, 68(T), 495(WE)
- xenon oxides, 499
- xenon oxofluorides, 499
- xenon pentafluoride ion  
<sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum, 72, 72(F)  
 molecular shape, 46–7(WE), 498
- xenon tetrafluoride  
 bonding in, 497  
 molecular shape, 496, 496(T)  
 physical properties, 496(T)  
 production of, 496  
 reactions, 498  
 symmetry elements in, 81(F), 90
- xenon tetraoxide, 499
- xenon trioxide, 499
- xerography, 434(B)
- Xerox Corporation, research, 311(B)
- XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy), 800(B)
- XRD (X-ray diffraction), 800(B)  
*see also* X-ray diffraction
- YAG (yttrium aluminium garnet), 645
- YIG (yttrium iron garnet), 619
- ytterbium  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 742(T), 881  
 physical properties, 742(T), 745(T), 881
- ytterbium organometallic compounds, 751, 753, 755
- yttrium, 651  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
 metal, 651  
 NMR active nuclei, 649  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 645  
 oxidation state, 540(T), 651  
 physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884, 885
- yttrium aluminium garnet, 645
- yttrium(III) complexes, 227, 651
- yttrium hydrides, optical properties, 253(B)
- yttrium(III) hydroxide, 651
- yttrium iron garnet, 619
- yttrium(III), nitrate complexes, 227
- yttrium(III) oxide, 651
- yttrium trihalides, 651
- Zeise's salt, 688(B), 689  
 formation of, 725  
 structure, 725(F)
- zeolite catalysts, 806–7  
 shape-selective properties, 807
- zeolites, 372–3  
 nomenclature, 373(N)  
 SOD lattice type, 446(B)  
 structure of H-ZSM-5 zeolite, 374(F)  
 structures, 374(F), 446(B), 806–7  
 uses, 286, 372–3, 801(T), 802, 806–7
- zero-point energy, 64, 155, 343
- zero-emission vehicles, 240(B)
- Zewail, Ahmed H., 778(B)
- Ziegler–Natta catalysts, 512, 512(B), 599, 734(B), 802, 803(F)
- zinc, 639–41  
 abundance, 594(F)  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 in biological systems, 830, 831(T)  
 ground state electronic configuration, 17, 18(T), 597(T), 880  
 metal, 639–40  
 minerals, 150, 151, 433, 596, 640  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 596–7  
 oxidation of, 195  
 oxidation state, 540(T), 639–40  
 physical properties, 24(F), 135(T), 597(T), 695(T), 878, 880, 884, 885  
 structure, 135  
 uses, 596(F)
- zinc–air battery, 596
- zinc alloys, 140–1
- zinc amide, 219
- zinc blende (ZnS), 149–150, 433, 596, 640  
 compared with diamond lattice, 150(F)  
 semiconductors, 152  
 sodium amide, 262(WE)
- zinc carbonate, 640
- zinc-coated steel, 139–40, 201(B), 596
- zinc(II) complexes, 544(F), 641
- zinc(II)-containing enzymes, 854–8  
 cobalt-for-zinc ion substitution, 859  
 disadvantages, 859
- zinc-containing metallothioneins, 835
- zinc cyanide, 641
- zinc halides, 640  
 melting points, 640(F)
- zinc hydride, 640
- zinc hydroxide, 640  
 polymorphs, 640
- zinc nitrate, 640
- zinc oxide, 596–7, 640  
 standard Gibbs energy of formation, 210(F)  
 thermochemical cycles for, 435–6(WE)
- zinc sulfate, 640
- zinc sulfide, 597, 640  
 polymorphs, 150  
 thermochemical cycles for, 436(WE)  
 uses, 640, 820(T)  
*see also* wurtzite; zinc blende
- Zintl ions, 358–60, 358(WE), 403(WE)  
 structure, 220(F), 359(F), 360(F)  
 synthesis of, 220, 271, 359
- zircon, 645, 820
- zirconium, 652–4  
 abundance of isotope(s), 876  
 ground state electronic configuration, 18(T), 650(T), 881  
 metal, 652  
 occurrence, extraction and uses, 645–6  
 oxidation states, 540(T), 652  
 physical properties, 135(T), 650(T), 881, 884
- zirconium(IV) acetylacetonate complexes, 652, 653(F)
- zirconium-based catalysts, 722(B), 734(B)
- zirconium clusters, 653–4
- zirconium complexes, 546(F)
- zirconium(IV) complexes, 652
- zirconium halides, 652, 652–3
- zirconium nitride, 402(B)
- zirconium(IV) oxide, 652  
 cubic form, 652, 814  
 uses, 652, 820
- zirconium(IV) oxo-complexes, 652
- zirconocene derivatives, uses, 734(B)
- zone refining method, 138(B), 646
- ZSM-5 zeolites, 372–3, 806–7

